Rapid intracellular acidification is a novel plant defense response countered by the brown
planthopper

Yanjuan Jiang[a,b,1*], Xiao-Ya Zhang[b,c,1], Shaoqin Li[d,e], Yu-Cheng Xie[c], Xu-Mei Luo[c], Zhipeng Li[d,e],

Dongjie Jin[d,e], Yongping Yang[d,e], Zhengyan Pu[a], Li Zhang[f,g], Jia-Bao Lu[h], Hai-Jian Huang[h], Chuan-

Xi Zhang[c,h,*], Sheng Yang He[b,f,g,*].

[a]State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, School of Life

Sciences, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China

[b]DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

[c]Institute of Insect Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China.

[d]CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Plant Resources and Sustainable Use, Xishuangbanna Tropical

Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650223, China

[e]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

[f]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[g]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[h]State Key Laboratory for Managing Biotic and Chemical Threats to the Quality and Safety of

Agro-products, Ningbo University, China.

[1]Yanjuan Jiang and Xiao-Ya Zhang contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors

Email: yanjuanjiang@ynu.edu.cn, chxzhang@zju.edu.cn or Shengyang.he@duke.edu

Author Contributions: S.Y.H., C.X.Z., Y.J.J. and X.Y.Z. conceptualized and designed this study;
Y.J.J., X.Y.Z., S.Q.L., Y.C.X., X.M.L., Z.P.L., D.J.J., Y.P.Y., Z.Y.P., L.Z., J.B.L., and H.J.H.
performed experiments and analyzed data; C.X.Z. and S.Y.H. analyzed data. Y.J.J., X.Y.Z., C.X.Z.
and S.Y.H. wrote the paper with all authors approved the final article.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Classification: Biological Sciences; Plant Biology.

Keywords: Brown planthopper | Carbonic anhydrase | Insect effector | Plant immunity | Callose
deposition|

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 4

Abstract

The brown planthopper (BPH) is the most destructive insect pest in rice. Through a stylet, BPH
secrets a plethora of salivary proteins into rice phloem cells as a crucial step of infestation. However,
how various salivary proteins function in rice cells to promote insect infestation is poorly understood.
Among them, one of the salivary proteins is predicted to be a carbonic anhydrase (NICA). The
survival rate of the *NICA*-RNA interference (RNAi) BPH insects was extremely low on rice,
indicating a vital role of this salivary protein in BPH infestation. We generated *NICA* transgenic rice
plants and found that *NICA* expressed in rice plants could restore the ability of *NICA*-RNAi BPH to
survive on rice. Next, we produced rice plants expressing the ratiometric pH sensor pHusion and
found that *NICA*-RNAi BPH induced rapid intracellular acidification of rice cells during feeding.
Further analysis revealed that both *NICA*-RNAi BPH feeding and artificial lowering intracellular pH
activated plant defense responses, and that NICA-mediated intracellular pH stabilization is linked
to diminished defense responses, including reduced callose deposition at the phloem sieve plates
and suppressed defense gene expression. Given the importance of pH homeostasis across the
kingdoms of life, discovery of NICA-mediated intracellular pH modulation uncovered a new
dimension in the interaction between plants and piecing/sucking insect pests. The crucial role of

NICA for BPH infestation of rice suggests that NICA is a promising target for chemical or trans-
kingdom RNAi-based inactivation for BPH control strategies in plants.

Significance Statement

Insect pests pose a serious biotic threat to crop production worldwide. Understanding how insect
pests attack plants could inspire innovative pest control measures to enhance global food security.
The brown planthopper (BPH) is the most devastative insect pest in rice. In this study, we
discovered that BPH secretes a salivary carbonic anhydrase (NICA) to regulate the intracellular pH
of the rice cell to facilitate its feeding and survival on rice plants and that NICA-mediated intracellular
pH stabilization is linked to diminished defense responses. These findings not only uncovered that
intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously uncharacterized battleground in plant-piecing/sucking
insect interactions, but also open a door to potentially using NICA as a valuable molecular tool to
gain insights into the broad impact of intracellular pH homeostasis on plant cell physiology.

Main Text

Introduction

The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, Hemiptera, Delphacidae) is a monophagous
insect pest of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) found in all rice-growing countries. The BPH sucks rice phloem
sap via its stylet, causing leaf yellowing and wilting, stunted plant growth, reduced photosynthesis
and ultimately death of rice plant (1). During severe BPH outbreaks, tens of thousands of insects
swarm on a rice field, resulting in the 'hopperburn' phenomenon, which is characterized by large-
scale wilting, yellowing and lethal drying of rice plants (2). Besides direct damages, the BPH may
also indirectly damage rice plants by oviposition and transmitting viral disease agents (3, 4).

Application of chemical insecticides has been a main strategy for controlling BPH. Although it has
the advantages of having rapid effects on killing insects and low costs, use of insecticides leads to
environmental pollution and resistance of BPH to pesticides. In recent decades, breeding rice
resistant varieties to control BPH has attracted increasing attention. To date, more than 30
resistance genes have been found in the rice genome (5). However, BPH often quickly evolves
new biological types that evade rice resistant genes. Therefore, additional BPH controlling methods
need to be developed to complement the current control measures toward long-term solutions of
achieving durable BPH resistance in rice. One method could be based on disruption of key steps

of BPH's natural infestation process. However, development of such methods will require a
comprehensive understanding of the basic biology of the BPH-rice interaction.

A critical step in BPH infestation of rice is secreting bioactive substances into the plant tissues
through the stylet (6-8). Specifically, during feeding, BPH secretes both colloidal and watery saliva
(9, 10). The main function of the colloidal saliva is to form a saliva sheath around the piercing-
sucking mouthparts, stabilizing the overall feeding apparatus. The composition and function of
watery saliva is more complex, and it contains salivary proteins that are believed, in most cases, to
regulate various pathways in plant cells to enhance BPH feeding and survival in rice plants. For
example, NlEG1, a salivary endo-b-1,4-glucanase, degrades plant celluloses to help the BPH's
stylet reach to the phloem (11). NlSEF1, an EF-hand Ca^{2+}-binding protein, interferes with calcium
signaling and H_2O_2 production during BPH feeding (12). Salivary protein 7 is required for normal
feeding behavior and for countering accumulation of a defense compound, tricin (13). On the other
hand, mucin-like protein (NlMLP) triggers defense responses in rice cells, including cell death,
callose deposition and up-regulation of pathogen-responsive genes (14, 15).

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) (EC 4.2.1.1) are zinc metalloenzymes that function as catalysts in the
bidirectional conversion of CO_2 and water into bicarbonate and protons (16). There are at least five
distinct CA families (α-, β-, γ-, δ-, and ε-CAs) and three of them (α-, β-, and γ-CAs) are ubiquitously
distributed among animal, plant, and bacterial species. The widespread distribution and adequate
abundance of these CA families underline their evolutionary importance throughout the kingdoms
of life. CAs participate in a wide range of biological processes, such as pH regulation, CO_2
homeostasis, stomatal aperture, and plant defense (17-21). NICA belongs to the α-CA subfamily.
Our previous study showed that *NICA* expressed in BPH salivary glands (6). Surprisingly, however,
RNA interference (RNAi) of the *NICA* transcript in BPH insects affects neither pH maintenance
within the salivary gland, watery saliva or gut, nor insect feeding behavior or honeydew excretion,
but greatly reduced survival of BPH on rice plants, suggesting a critical function *in planta* via an
unknown mechanism (6).

Here, we report that *NICA*-RNAi BPH feeding results in rapid intracellular acidification of rice cells.
We found that NICA is secreted into the rice tissues and functions as an effector that stabilizes host
cell intracellular pH, accompanied by suppression of defense responses, during BPH feeding. Thus,
we have uncovered intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously uncharacterized battleground in
plant-insect interactions.

Results

NICA is detected in rice sheath tissues during BPH feeding. NICA was previously found to be

highly expressed in salivary glands and present in the watery saliva of BPH fed on artificial diet (6).

We conducted a more detailed characterization of *NICA* expression in this study. RNA *in situ*

hybridization showed that the expression level of *NICA* was detectable throughout the principal

glands (PGs) and accessory glands (AGs), but not in A-follicle of the principal gland (APG) (Fig.

1*A*), which further raised the possibility that NICA may be one of the "effector proteins" secreted

into the rice tissue during BPH feeding on rice plants. To test this possibility, we compared protein

profiles in the leaf sheaths of Nipponbare rice plants before and after BPH feeding using liquid

chromatograph-mass spectrometer (LC-MS). We found 8 NICA-specific peptides in BPH-fed leaf

sheath tissue (Fig. 1*B; SI Appendix,* Fig. S1), confirming that NICA is secreted into the host tissues

during BPH feeding.

Transgenic expression of *NICA* in rice rescues the ability of *NICA*-silenced BPH to feed and

survive. To further clarify the site of function (i.e., in insect vs. in plant) of NICA in the BPH-rice

interaction, we produced transgenic Nipponbare plants expressing *NICA* (see Methods). A total of

26 lines were produced and 6 lines were found to robustly express the *NICA* transcript. *NICA*-

expressing plants exhibited no noticeable changes in appearance compared to Nipponbare plants

(*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2). *NICA*-expressing plant lines were propagated to T3 generation, and 3 lines

were subjected to further characterization, including BPH feeding. For BPH feeding assay, double-

stranded RNA (dsRNA) of *NICA* (ds*NICA*) or the control green fluorescent protein gene (ds*GFP*)

was injected into 3rd instar BPH nymphs to initiate RNAi of the *NICA* transcript (22). Quantitative

real-time PCR analysis confirmed that the transcript levels of the *NICA* gene were reduced by 99%

and 97%, respectively, in tested individuals when compared with non-RNAi control and ds*GFP*-

treated insects (Figure 2*A*). We found that the survival rate of ds*NICA* BPH was sharply decreased,

starting at day 11 post-infestation, to ~40% at day 14, whereas the control ds*GFP* BPH survived

normally on wild-type Nipponbare plants (Fig. 2*B*). This result is consistent with previous results

conducted in the *japonica* cultivar Xiushui134 rice plants (6), suggesting that the requirement of

NICA for BPH survival is not specific to a specific rice genotype. Strikingly, *NICA* transgenic plants

almost fully restored the survival of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects (Fig. 2*B*), demonstrating that *NI*CA

expressed in the host tissue can complement the infestation defect of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects.

The conserved catalytic site amino acids of *NI*CA are critical to its function in rice. NICA

contains several conserved amino acid residues predicted to be at the catalytic site of carbonic

anhydrases (Fig. 2*C*). We asked if some of these conserved active site residues are critical to the

function of *NI*CA in the rice-BPH interaction. Accordingly, we expressed three different *NICA*

mutants in Nipponbare plants. "*NICA-m1*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which His[159] and
His[161] were mutated to Ala; "*NICA-m2*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which Glu[182] and His[184]
were replaced by Ala; and "*NICA-m3*" plants carry a *NICA* transgene in which all seven conserved
active site residues, His[159], His[161], Glu[171], Glu[182], His[184], Thr[269] and Phe[279], were changed to Ala.
Like *NICA* transgenic plants, transgenic plants expressing these *NICA*-mutants exhibited no
noticeable changes in appearance (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2). We conducted BPH survival assay and
found that, in contrast to plants expressing wild-type *NICA*, the *NICA-m2* and *NICA-m3* plants could
not fully rescue the infestation defect of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects. The *NICA-m1* plants, on the
other hand, could recover the infestation defect of ds*NICA* BPH (Fig. 2*D* and *E* and *SI Appendix,*
*Fig. S*3). Thus, several conservative residues at the predicted catalytic site are indispensable for
the function of *NI*CA inside the plant cell.

After having demonstrated the requirement of catalytic site residues for the function of NICA in rice,
we next investigated the subcellular localization of NICA in both *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf cells
and rice leaf protoplasts transiently expressing *NICA:CFP*. In both cases, NICA:CFP showed a
nonuniform distribution of CFP signal in the cell. Further colocalization studies with YFP signal
revealed that NICA was colocalized in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus (Fig. 1*C*).

NICA counters rapid intracellular acidification during BPH feeding of rice. BPH feeding on
rice plants can be divided into two phases based on the electropenetrography (EPG) waveforms
(23). The first phase involves BPH's stylet penetrates the plant through the cell walls and cell
membranes of various epidermal and mesophyll cells until the stylet reaches the phloem sieve cells
inside the vascular system. In Nipponbare, the time to reach the phloem sieve cells can be around
1.2 h before sustained phloem sap ingestion occurs at 3.8 h (23). Our finding of the site of NICA
action in the plant prompted us to test the hypothesis that NICA might modulate rice cell pH
changes during BPH feeding. To directly test this hypothesis, we constructed a rice transgenic line
expressed a cytoplasmic ratiometric pH sensor, cyto-pHusion. Cyto-pHusion consists of the
tandem concatenation of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and a monomeric red
fluorescent protein 1 (mRFP1) (24). EGFP is highly sensitive to pH variation with the brightest
EGFP signal emitted at a pH of 7-8. EGFP fluorescence is gradually quenched at lower pH values
and totally quenched at pH values <5. In contrast, mRFP1 is insensitive to pH changes in the
physiologically relevant range and serves as an internal reference. We placed 5th BPH nymphs on
the leaf sheath of cyto-pHusion-expressing rice plants for 4 hours before recording GFP and RFP
signals of the feeding sites under microscopic observation. As shown in the Fig. 3*B*, ds*GFP* BPH
feeding did not elicit an obvious intracellular pH change in rice cells during this period. In contrast,
ds*NICA* BPH feeding induced a significant pH decrease at 4 h (Fig. 3*B*). The decreased ratio of
EGFP: mRFP was caused by the quenched EGFP signals after ds*NICA* BPH feeding, while the

internal control, mRFP, kept at a stable level (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S4). These results uncovered a
previously uncharacterized plant cellular response, rapid intracellular acidification, during the early
stage of ds*NICA* BPH feeding and demonstrated that BPH has evolved a critical virulence effector,
NICA, to counter this novel plant cellular response.

Ectopic intracellular acidification causes defense gene expression in rice plants. Because
plant defense responses are important for limiting BPH survival in rice (25-28), we conducted
experiments to determine if intracellular acidification would trigger defense gene expression. Rice
is normally grown in Yoshida medium, pH of 4 (29). To test if medium pH changes can modulate
defense responses in rice, we first grew rice seedlings in Yoshida medium until they reached the
5-leaf stage and then placed them to fresh Yoshida medium with pH adjusted to 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7,
respectively, for 48 hours. Interestingly, drastically increased expression of defense response
genes (e.g., *OsNH1*, *OsNH2*, *OsWRKY45*, *OsPBZ1*) was observed in Nipponbare plants at acidic
pH of 2 (Fig. 3*C-F*; *SI Appendix,* Fig. S5). The pHusion sensor plants exposed to external pH of 2
showed significant intracellular acidification (Fig. 3*G-H*). These results suggest that cellular
acidification is sufficient to induce defense gene expression in rice.

Rice defense responses are inhibited by NICA. As NICA stabilizes intracellular pH during WT
BPH feeding (Fig. 3*A-B*) and ectopic intracellular acidification causes activation of defense gene
expression (Fig 3*C-F*), we next tested the hypothesis that a major function of NICA-mediated
stabilization of intracellular pH may be to prevent over-stimulation of downstream rice defense
responses during feeding. Callose deposition in the phloem sieve tubes is a classical defense
response that is associated with feeding of piercing-sucking insects (30). We examined this
response using aniline blue to stain callose in the phloem sieve cells. Indeed, we found that fewer
and smaller callose deposition was found in the sieve plates of *NICA*-expressing leaf sheaths
compared to those found in the sieve plates of Nipponbare fed by BPH (Fig. 4*A-F*), demonstrating
that *NI*CA suppresses callose deposition in sieve cell pates. Interestingly, the transcript levels of
callose biosynthesis genes, such as *OsGSL1* and *OsGSL3*, were expressed at lower levels in *NICA*
transgenic plants compared to Nipponbare plants (Fig. 4*G-H*). We also measured the transcript
levels of defense marker genes, *OsNH1* and *OsWRKY45*, in Nipponbare and *NICA*-expressing
plants. As shown in Fig. 4*I* and 4*J*, expression of *OsNH1* and *OsWRKY45* was suppressed in *NICA*
transgenic plants compared to those in Nipponbare plants. Collectively, these results showed that
NICA-mediated intracellular acidification is linked to downregulation of callose deposition in phloem
sieve cells as well as defense gene expression in rice plants.

Discussion
221

In this study, we provided evidence that intracellular acidification is a previously unrecognized plant
defense response that occurs during BPH feeding in rice. This finding was facilitated by our attempt
to understand the role of NICA in the rice-BPH interaction. We found that the *NICA* transcript is
detected mainly in the salivary glands (Fig. 1*A*) and that the NICA protein is found in rice tissues
fed by BPH (Fig. 1*B*). *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insect survived very poorly on at least two
independent cultivars of rice plants, Xiushui134 and Nipponbare ((6), Fig. 2), whereas *NICA*
expressing rice plants can restore the normal survival of *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insects,
suggesting that NICA functions in plant cells (Fig. 2). Using the cytoplasm pH sensor, we found that
NICA is required for BPH to maintain a normal plant cytoplasm pH during BPH feeding (Fig. 3*A-B*).
Pathogen/insect-derived effectors can be powerful molecular probes to discovering novel plant
regulators/responses to biotic attacks. Our study of NICA represents such an example and has
revealed plant intracellular acidification as an early step in the battle between plants and BPH
insects.

Discovery of intracellular acidification in the rice-BPH interaction adds to the growing evidence for
the importance of cellular pH changes in plant biology and plant interactions with other organisms.
In addition to the intracellular acidification response observed in our study, extracellular
alkalinization of culture plant cells has long been recognized as a canonical plant response to
microbial elicitors as well as endogenous plant signals (31). Extracellular alkalinization caused by
plant endogenous RAPID ALKALINIZATION FACTOR (RALF) peptides, for example, are
perceived by the FERONIA-family receptors (32, 33). There is evidence that this perception causes
phosphorylation of PM-localized H(+)-ATPase 2, resulting in the inhibition of proton transport
across the PM (34). Notably, extracellular alkalinization has recently been shown to inhibit or
promote growth- or immunity-associated cell surface receptor functions through specific pH-
sensitive amino acid sensors (31), illustrating a broad impact of pH regulation on various aspects
of plant biology.

Because cellular pH alterations could potentially affect multiple biomolecules and, hence, multiple
cellular processes, future research should comprehensively define all cellular processes that are
affected by intracellular pH acidification. In this study, we found that this pH change is linked to
activation of callose deposition at phloem sieve cells and expression of defense response genes,
such as *OsNH1* and *OsWRKY45* (Fig. 4; and *SI Appendix,* Fig. S5). In reverse, NICA-mediated
intracellular pH stabilization dampens these defense responses (Fig. 4). Callose deposition in
phloem sieve cells, in particular, is a classical defense response to a variety of sucking/piecing
insects and is thought to limit nutrient flow during insect feeding (30, 35-38). Together, these results
suggest that a major effect of NICA-mediated pH stabilization is to prevent overactivation of defense
responses during BPH feeding.

CAs are universally present in all organisms (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S6); other piecing/sucking insects
may use CAs or another mechanism to manipulate host intracellular pH as part of their infestation
strategy. Indeed, CA has been reported as a protein component of saliva in rice green leafhopper,
Nephotettix cincticeps (39), suggesting that CAs may have a broad role in plant-piecing/sucking
insect interactions. Because plants have endogenous CAs, we find it notable that NICA is required
for modulating intracellular pH during BPH feeding. One possibility is that NICA has some unique
properties that are not masked by plant CAs. The crucial role of NICA for BPH infestation of rice
suggests that NICA is an important target for chemical or trans-kingdom RNAi-based inactivation
for the development of novel BPH control strategies in plants.

Discovering the role of pH regulation during plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses and
characterizing the impact of such pH alterations could be an important area for future research.
Because maintaining proper external and internal pH is critical for all forms of life, prokaryotic and
eukaryotic organisms, alike, have evolved mechanisms to achieve pH homeostasis. Facing the
fluctuating external pH, prokaryotes have evolved diverse mechanisms for sensing external pH.
For instance, the bimodal sensing of pH is employed by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* (40).
Fungi employ a conserved pathway, mediated by Rim101 and PacC, to sense external pH (41). It
has been reported that different subcellular compartments within the plant cell maintain different
pH values, presumably as part of carrying out their unique physiological functions (42). The
demonstrated ability of NICA to counter stimulus-dependent pH changes in plant cells could make
NICA a useful molecular tool to modulate and broadly understand the effects of pH stabilization on
plant signaling and metabolic pathways in different cell types, organelles, and tissues in plants by,
for example, targeting NICA expression in specific tissues, cells, or organelles.

Materials and Methods
Experimental materials and methods, including *in situ* mRNA hybridization, LC-MS, transgenic rice
construction, RNA interference of BPH, BPH survival test, pH assay and callose deposition staining,
can be found in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.*

Data Availability.
All data are presented within this paper.

Acknowledgments

We thank our lab members Yanru Hu, Houping Wang, Xiao Han and Richard Hilleary for their
comments and suggestions during this work. This study was supported by funding from the Natural
Science Foundation of China (Grants 31870259 to Y.J.), funds for The Young and Middle Aged

References

1. S.L. Jing, Y. Zhao, B. Du, R.Z. Chen, L.L. Zhu, G.C. He, Genomics of interaction between the
brown planthopper and rice. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* **19**, 82-87 (2017).

2. K. Sogawa, Feeding of the rice plant- and leafhoppers. *Rev. Plant Prot. Res.* **6**, 31-43 (1973).

3. K.C. Ling, E.R. Tiongco, V.M. Aguiero, Rice ragged stunt, a new virus disease. *Plant Dis. Rep.*
62, 701-705 (1978).

4. S.X. Zhou *et al.*, OsMKK3, a stress-responsive protein kinase, positively regulates rice resistance
to *Nilaparvata lugens* via phytohormone dynamics. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, 3023-3038 (2019).

5. B. Du, R.Z. Chen, J.P. Guo, G.C. He, Current understanding of the genomic, genetic, and
molecular control of insect resistance in rice. *Mol. Breeding* **40**, 24 (2020).

6. H.J. Huang *et al.*, Screening and functional analyses of *Nilaparvata lugens* salivary proteome. *J.*
Proteome Res. **15**, 1883-1896 (2016).

7. W. Rao *et al.*, Secretome analysis and in planta expression of salivary proteins identify candidate
effectors from the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **32**, 227-
239 (2019).

8. X.Q. Liu, H.Y. Zhou, J. Zhao, H.X. Hua, Y.P. He, Identification of the secreted watery saliva
proteins of the rice brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stal) by transcriptome and Shotgun
LC-MS/MS approach. *J. Insect Physiol.* **89**, 60-69 (2016).

9. Y.Y. Wang *et al.*, Responses of two contrasting genotypes of rice to brown planthopper. *Mol.*
Plant Microbe Interact. **21**, 122-132 (2008).

10. P.W. Miles, Aphid saliva. *Biol. Rev.* **74**, 41-85 (1999).

11. R. Ji *et al.*, A salivary endo-beta-1,4-glucanaseacts as an effector that enables the brown
planthopper to feed on rice. *Plant Physiol.* **173**, 1920-1932 (2017).

12. W.F. Ye *et al.*, A salivary EF-hand calcium-binding protein of the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata*
lugens functions as an effector for defense responses in rice. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40498 (2017).

13. G. Gong *et al.,* Salivary protein 7 of the brown planthopper functions as an effector for mediating
tricin metabolism in rice plants. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 3205 (2022).

14. H.J. Huang, C.W. Liu, H.J. Xu, Y.Y. Bao, C.X. Zhang, Mucin-like protein, a saliva component
involved in brown planthopper virulence and host adaptation. *J. Insect Physiol.* **98**, 223-230
(2017).

15. X.X. Shangguan *et al.*, A mucin-like protein of planthopper Is required for feeding and induces
immunity response in plants. *Plant Physiol.* **176**, 552-565 (2018).
16. R.F. Meldrum, F.J.W. Roughton, Carbonic anhydrase. Its preparation and properties. *J. Physiol.*
80, 113-142 (1933).
17. C.B. Engineer, M. Ghassemian, J.C. Anderson, S.C. Peck, H. Hu, J.I. Schroeder, Carbonic
anhydrases, EPF2 and a novel protease mediate CO2 control of stomatal development. *Nature*
513, 246-250 (2014).
18. X.J. Yu, K. McGourty, M. Liu, K.E. Unsworth, D.W. Holden, pH sensing by intracellular
Salmonella induces effector translocation. *Science* **328**, 1040-1043 (2010).
19. K.S. Smith，J.G. Ferry, Prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **24**, 335-366
(2000).
20. R.P. Henry, Multiple roles of carbonic anhydrase in cellular transport and metabolism. *Annu.*
Rev. Physiol. **58**, 523-538 (1996).
21. Y.L. Zhou *et al.*, Carbonic anhydrases CA1 and CA4 function in atmospheric CO2-modulated
disease resistance. *Planta* **25**1, 75 (2020).
22. H.J. Xu *et al.*, Two insulin receptors determine alternative wing morphs in planthoppers. *Nature*
519, 464-467 (2015).
23. M.B. Ghaffar, J. Pritchard, B. Ford-Lloyd, Brown Planthopper (*N. lugens* Stal) Feeding
Behaviour on Rice Germplasm as an Indicator of Resistance. *PLoS One* **6**, 2137 (2011).
24. K.S.K. Gjetting, C.K. Ytting, A. Schulz, A.T. Fuglsang, Live imaging of intra- and extracellular
pH in plants using pHusion, a novel genetically encoded biosensor. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 12 (2012).
25. Z.Q. Fu，X.N. Dong, Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense.
Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **64**, 839-863 (2013).
26. R. Innes, The positives and negatives of NPR: a unifying model for salicylic acid signaling in
plants. *Cell* **173**, 1314-1315 (2018).
27. J.P. Guo *et al.*, *Bph6* encodes an exocyst-localized protein and confers broad resistance to
planthoppers in rice. *Nature Genet.* **50**, 297-306 (2018).
28. J. He *et al.,* An R2R3 MYB transcription factor confers brown planthopper resistance by
regulating the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase pathway in rice. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **117**,
271-277 (2020).
29. F.D. Yoshida S, Cock JH, Gomez KA., Laboratory manual for physiological studies of rice, 3rd
edn. *Manila, The Philippines: International Rice Research Institute*, 61-64 (1976).
30. P.Y. Hao *et al.*, Herbivore-induced callose deposition on the sieve plates of rice: an important
mechanism for host resistance. *Plant Physiol.* **146**, 1810-1820 (2008).
31. L. Liu *et al.,* Extracellular pH sensing by plant cell-surface peptide-receptor complexes. *Cell*
185, 1-15 (2022).

32. E. Murphy，I.D. Smet, Understanding the RALF family: a tale of many species. *Trends Plant*
Sci. **19**, 664-671 (2014).
33. A. Abarca, C.M. Franck, C. Zipfel, Family-wide evaluation of RAPID ALKALINIZATION
FACTOR peptides. *Plant Physiol.* **187**, 996-1010 (2021).
34. M. Haruta, G. Sabat, K. Stecker, B.B. Minkoff, M.R. Sussman, A peptide hormone and its
receptor protein kinase regulate plant cell expansion. *Science* **343**, 408-411 (2014).
35. E.J. Koh *et al.,* Callose deposition in the phloem plasmodesmata and inhibition of phloem
transport in citrus leaves infected with "*Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus*". *Protoplasma* **249**,
687-697 (2012).
36. A.M. Ernst *et al.*, *Sieve element occlusion (SEO)* genes encode structural phloem proteins
involved in wound sealing of the phloem. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **109**, E1980-1989 (2012).
37. B. Batailler *et al.*, Soluble and filamentous proteins in Arabidopsis sieve elements. *Plant, Cell*
Environ. **35**, 1258-1273 (2012).
38. Y.J. Jiang, C.X. Zhang, R.Z. Chen, S.Y. He, Challenging battles of plants with phloem-feeding
insects and prokaryotic pathogens. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **116**, 23390-23397 (2019).
39. M. Hattori, S. Komatsu, H.Noda, Y. Matsumoto, Proteome analysis of watery saliva secreted
by green rice leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps*. *PLoS One* **10**, e0123671 (2015).
40. Y.L. Yang，V. Sourjik, Opposite responses by different chemoreceptors set a tunable
preference point in *Escherichia coli* pH taxis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**,1482-1489 (2012).
41. M. Hayashi, T. Fukuzawa, H. Sorimachi, T. Maeda, Constitutive activation of the pH-responsive
Rim101 pathway in yeast mutants defective in late steps of the MVB/ESCRT pathway. *Mol. Cell.*
Biol. **25**, 9478-9490 (2005).
42. J. Shen *et al.*, Organelle pH in the Arabidopsis Endomembrane System. *Mol. Plant* **6**, 1419-
1437．

Figures

Fig. 1. Initial characterization of *Nilaparvata lugens* carbonic anhydrase (NICA). (*A*) *in situ* RNA hybridisation of salivary glands in 5-instar BPHs (lower row) using antisense NICA sequence as a probe. Sense *NICA* probe was used as a negative control (upper row). (*B*) The amino acid sequence of NICA. The highlighted amino acid residues indicate the peptides detected in BPH-infested rice sheath tissue by LC-MS analysis. (*C*) NICA is colocalized with the YFP signals in *N. benthamiana* (upper row) and rice cells (lower row). YFP and NICA-CFP fusion proteins were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells for 48 h using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression method. YFP and NICA-CFP fusion proteins were co-expressed in rice protoplasts 16 h after the corresponding DNA constructs were introduced into rice protoplasts via polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation. Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends, and one representative experiment is displayed here.

Fig. 2. Effects of *NICA* RNAi on BPH survival on rice cultivar Nipponbare. (*A*) The *NICA*
transcript levels in BPH at 3 dpi post-injection of ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* were determined by qRT-PCR
(displayed as % of the *NICA* transcript abundance in control BPH). (*B*) The daily survival rates of
ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on rice genotypes indicated. The black dashed line indicates
significant differences in survival rates start day 9 between ds*NICA* BPH on Nipponbare (Nip) and
other treatments (Two-way ANOVA; Tukey's honestly significant difference [HSD]; $P < 0.05$, mean
± SEM, n = 8 replicates for each genotype). Experiments were repeated three times with similar
trends, and one representative experiment is displayed here. (*C*) Schematic display of the NICA
protein with the N-terminus displayed in bule, the C-terminus in green and the central catalytic

domain in yellow/orange. The amino acid sequence (158 - 280 aa) of the putative active site is

showed. Amino acid substitutions in NICA-m1, NICA-m2 and NICA-m3 are indicated in red. (*D-E*)

The survival rates of 20 ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH insects in Nipponbare and *NICA* transgenic plants

after 1- and 15-days post-infestation. Values are represented as mean ± SEM (Two-way ANOVA;

n ≥ 6 biological/plant replicates for each plant genotype). Experiments were repeated three times

with similar trends, and one representative experiment is displayed here.

Figure 3. Role of NICA in maintaining intracellular pH of rice cells. (*A*) Confocal microscopic images at BPH feeding sites in Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) 4 h after placing 30 5th-instar BPH nymphs on each plant. Confocal images from plants with no BPH feeding served as the control. (*B*) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are represented as mean ± SEM (Two-way ANOVA; n ≥ 14 seedlings per condition). (*C-F*) Expression of defense response genes, such as *OsWRKY45*, *OsPBZ1*, *OsNH1* and *OsNH2*, in Nipponbare plants after being transferred to Yoshida medium at pH of 2. Values represent mean ± SEM (Two-way ANOVA). (*G*) Confocal microscopic images of Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) at 12 h after being transferred to Yoshida medium at pH of 2. Confocal images from plants grown in Yoshida medium with pH of 4 served as the control. The blue dashed rectangles denote areas of calculating EGFP:mRFP signal ratios, shown in *H*. (*H*) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are represented as mean ± SEM

459   (Two-way ANOVA; n ≥ 33 calculation area per condition). Experiments were repeated three times

460   with similar trends, and one representative experiment is displayed here.

Figure 4. NICA dampens callose deposition and defense gene expression. (*A-D*) Callose accumulation (bright blue fluorescence indicated by red arrows) on the sieve plates of Nipponbare leaf sheaths (*A* and *C*) and *NICA-OE* leaf sheaths (*B* and *D*) plants before and 72 h after BPH feeding (n = 4 independent experiments). P, phloem; X, xylem. The pictures were taken under a Zeiss Microscope. (*A-B*) Cross-sections. (*C-D*) Longitudinal sections. (*E*) Total areas of callose deposition in BPH-infested leaf sheaths of Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total areas of callose deposition found in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Four experiments were performed. Values represent mean ± SEM. (*F*) Total number of callose deposits in BPH-infested leaf sheaths of Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total number of callose deposits found in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Four experiments were performed. Values represent mean ± SEM. (*G* and *H*) Relative expression levels of the callose synthase gene *OsGSL1* (*G*) and *OsGSL3* (*H*) in response to BPH feeding. Values represent mean ± SEM (Two-way ANOVA; n = 3). (*I-J*) Expression of defense marker genes, *OsNH1* and *OsWRKY45,* is suppressed by NICA. Values are represented as mean ± SEM (Two-way ANOVA; n ≥ 6). Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.