Intracellular acidification is a novel plant defense response countered by the brown
planthopper for survival in rice

Yanjuan Jiang[a,b,1*], Xiao-Ya Zhang[b,c,1], Shaoqin Li[d,e], Yu-Cheng Xie[c], Xu-Mei Luo[c], Zhipeng Li[d,e],
Dongjie Jin[d,e], Yongping Yang[d,e], Zhengyan Pu[a], Li Zhang[f,g], Jia-Bao Lu[h], Hai-Jian Huang[h],
Chuan-Xi Zhang[c,h,*], Sheng Yang He[b,f,g,*].

[a]State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan
University, Kunming 650091, China

[b]DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

[c]Institute of Insect Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China.

[d]CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Plant Resources and Sustainable Use, Xishuangbanna Tropical
Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650223, China

[e]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

[f]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[g]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[h]State Key Laboratory for Managing Biotic and Chemical Threats to the Quality and Safety of
Agro-products, Ningbo University, China.

[1]Yanjuan Jiang and Xiao-Ya Zhang contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors

Email: yanjuanjiang@ynu.edu.cn, chxzhang@zju.edu.cn or Shengyang.he@duke.edu

Author Contributions: S.Y.H., C.X.Z., Y.J.J. and X.Y.Z. conceptualized and designed this study;
Y.J.J., X.Y.Z., S.Q.L., Y.C.X., X.M.L., Z.P.L., D.J.J., Y.P.Y., Z.Y.P., L.Z., J.B.L., and H.J.H.
performed experiments and analyzed data; C.X.Z. and S.Y.H. analyzed data. Y.J.J., X.Y.Z.,
C.X.Z. and S.Y.H. wrote the paper with all authors approved the final article.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Classification: Biological Sciences; Plant Biology.

Keywords: Brown planthopper | Carbonic anhydrase | Insect effector | Plant immunity | Callose
deposition|

This PDF file includes:

Main Text
Figures 1 to 4

Abstract

The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens*) is the most destructive insect pest in rice.
Through a stylet, BPH secrets a plethora of salivary proteins into rice phloem cells as a crucial
step of infestation. However, how various salivary proteins function in rice cells to promote insect
infestation is poorly understood. Among them, one of the salivary proteins is predicted to be a
carbonic anhydrase (NlCA). The survival rate of the *NlCA*-RNA interference (RNAi) BPH insects
was extremely low on rice, indicating a vital role of this salivary protein in BPH infestation. We
generated *NlCA* transgenic rice plants and found that *NlCA* expressed in rice plants could restore
the ability of *NlCA*-RNAi BPH to survive on rice. Next, we produced rice plants expressing the
ratiometric pH sensor pHusion and found that *NlCA*-RNAi BPH induced rapid intracellular
acidification of rice cells during feeding. Further analysis revealed that both *NlCA*-RNAi BPH
feeding and artificial lowering of intracellular pH activated plant defense responses, and that
NlCA-mediated intracellular pH stabilization is linked to diminished defense responses, including
reduced callose deposition at the phloem sieve plates and suppressed defense gene expression.
Given the importance of pH homeostasis across the kingdoms of life, discovery of NlCA-mediated
intracellular pH modulation uncovered a new dimension in the interaction between plants and
piecing/sucking insect pests. The crucial role of NlCA for BPH infestation of rice suggests that
NlCA is a promising target for chemical or trans-kingdom RNAi-based inactivation for BPH control
strategies in plants.

Significance Statement

Insect pests pose a serious biotic threat to crop production worldwide. Understanding how insect
pests attack plants could inspire innovative pest control measures to enhance global food security.
The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens*) is the most devastative insect pest in rice. In
this study, we discovered that BPH secretes a salivary carbonic anhydrase (NlCA) to regulate the

intracellular pH of the rice cell to facilitate its feeding and survival on rice plants and that NICA-
mediated intracellular pH stabilization is linked to diminished defense responses. These findings
not only uncovered that intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously uncharacterized battleground
in plant-piecing/sucking insect interactions, but also open a door to potentially using NICA as a
valuable molecular tool to gain insights into the broad impact of intracellular pH homeostasis on
plant cell physiology.

Main Text

Introduction

The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, Hemiptera, Delphacidae) is a
monophagous insect pest of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) found in all rice-growing Asian countries. The
BPH sucks rice phloem sap via its stylet, causing leaf yellowing and wilting, stunted plant growth,
reduced photosynthesis and ultimately death of rice plant (1). During severe BPH outbreaks, tens
of thousands of insects swarm on a rice field, resulting in the 'hopperburn' phenomenon, which is
characterized by large-scale wilting, yellowing and lethal drying of rice plants (2). Besides direct
damages, the BPH may also indirectly damage rice plants by oviposition and transmitting viral
disease agents (3, 4).

Application of chemical insecticides has been a main strategy for controlling BPH. Although it has
the advantages of having rapid effects on killing insects and low costs, use of insecticides leads
to environmental pollution and resistance of BPH to pesticides. In recent decades, breeding rice
resistant varieties to control BPH has attracted increasing attention. To date, more than 30
resistance genes have been found in the rice genome (5). However, BPH often quickly evolves
new biological types that evade rice resistant genes. Therefore, additional BPH controlling
methods need to be developed to complement the current control measures toward long-term
solutions of achieving durable BPH resistance in rice. One method could be based on disruption
of key steps of BPH's natural infestation process. However, development of such methods will
require a comprehensive understanding of the basic biology of the BPH-rice interaction.

A critical step in BPH infestation of rice is secreting bioactive substances into the plant tissues
through the stylet (6-8). Specifically, during feeding, BPH secretes both colloidal and watery
saliva (9, 10). The main function of the colloidal saliva is to form a saliva sheath around the
piercing-sucking mouthparts, stabilizing the overall feeding apparatus. The composition and
function of watery saliva is more complex, and it contains salivary proteins that are believed, in

most cases, to regulate various pathways in plant cells to enhance BPH feeding and survival in
rice plants. For example, NIEG1, a salivary endo-b-1,4-glucanase, degrades plant celluloses to
help the BPH's stylet reach to the phloem (11). NISEF1, an EF-hand Ca^{2+}-binding protein,
interferes with calcium signaling and H_2O_2 production during BPH feeding (12). Salivary protein 7
is required for normal feeding behavior and for countering accumulation of a defense compound,
tricin (13). On the other hand, mucin-like protein (NIMLP) triggers defense responses in rice cells,
including cell death, callose deposition and up-regulation of pathogen-responsive genes (14, 15).

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) (EC 4.2.1.1) are zinc metalloenzymes that function as catalysts in the
bidirectional conversion of CO_2 and water into bicarbonate and protons (16). There are at least
five distinct CA families (α-, β-, γ-, δ-, and ε-CAs) and three of them (α-, β-, and γ-CAs) are
ubiquitously distributed among animal, plant, and bacterial species. The widespread distribution
and adequate abundance of these CA families underline their evolutionary importance throughout
the kingdoms of life. CAs participate in a wide range of biological processes, such as pH
regulation, CO_2 homeostasis, stomatal aperture, and plant defense (17-21). NICA belongs to the
α-CA subfamily. Our previous study showed that *NICA* expressed in BPH salivary glands (6).
Surprisingly, however, RNA interference (RNAi) of the *NICA* transcript in BPH insects affects
neither pH maintenance within the salivary gland, watery saliva or gut, nor insect feeding
behavior or honeydew excretion, but greatly reduced survival of BPH on rice plants, suggesting a
critical function *in planta* via an unknown mechanism (6).

Here, we report that *NICA*-RNAi BPH feeding results in rapid intracellular acidification of rice cells.
We found that NICA is secreted into the rice tissues and functions as an effector that stabilizes
host cell intracellular pH, accompanied by suppression of defense responses, during BPH feeding.
Thus, we have uncovered intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously uncharacterized
battleground in plant-insect interactions.

Results

NICA is detected in rice sheath tissues during BPH feeding. NICA was previously found to be
highly expressed in salivary glands and present in the watery saliva of BPH fed on artificial diet
(6). We conducted a more detailed characterization of *NICA* expression in this study. RNA *in situ*
hybridization showed that the expression level of *NICA* was detectable throughout the principal
glands (PGs) and accessory glands (AGs), but not in A-follicle of the principal gland (APG) (Fig.
1*A*), which further raised the possibility that NICA may be one of the "effector proteins" secreted
into the rice tissue during BPH feeding on rice plants. To test this possibility, we compared protein
profiles in the leaf sheaths of Nipponbare rice plants before and after BPH feeding using liquid

chromatograph-mass spectrometer (LC-MS). We found 8 NICA-specific peptides in BPH-fed leaf
sheath tissue (Fig. 1*B; SI Appendix,* Fig. S1), confirming that NICA is secreted into the host
tissues during BPH feeding.

Transgenic expression of *NICA* in rice rescues the ability of *NICA*-silenced BPH to feed
and survive. To further clarify the site of function (i.e., in insect vs. in plant) of NICA in the BPH-
rice interaction, we produced transgenic Nipponbare plants expressing *NICA* (see Methods). A
total of 26 lines were produced and 6 lines were found to robustly express the *NICA* transcript.
NICA-expressing plants exhibited no noticeable changes in appearance compared to Nipponbare
plants (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2). *NICA*-expressing plant lines were propagated to T3 generation, and
3 lines were subjected to further characterization, including BPH feeding. For BPH feeding assay,
double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) of *NICA* (ds*NICA*) or the control green fluorescent protein gene
(ds*GFP*) was injected into 3rd instar BPH nymphs to initiate RNAi of the *NICA* transcript (22). The
sequence of *NICA* used for RNAi treatment is expected to be specific to BPH (*SI Appendix,* Fig.
S3). Quantitative real-time PCR analysis confirmed that the transcript levels of the *NICA* gene
were reduced by 99% and 97%, respectively, in tested individuals when compared with non-RNAi
control and ds*GFP*-treated insects (Figure 2*A*). There were no significant differences in survival
rates between ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH when fed on the artificial diet, indicating that silencing
NICA expression has no obvious impact on the basic physiology of BPH (Fig. 2*B*). However, we
found that the survival rate of ds*NICA* BPH was sharply decreased, starting at day 9 post-
infestation, to ~40% at day 14, whereas the control ds*GFP* BPH survived normally on wild-type
Nipponbare plants (Fig. 2*C*). This result is consistent with previous results conducted in the
japonica cultivar Xiushui134 rice plants (6), suggesting that the requirement of NICA for BPH
survival is not specific to a specific rice genotype. Strikingly, *NICA* transgenic plants almost fully
restored the survival of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects (Fig. 2*C*; *SI Appendix,* Fig. S4), demonstrating
that *NI*CA stably expressed in the host tissue can complement the infestation defect of *NICA*-
silenced BPH insects.

The conserved catalytic site amino acids of *NI*CA are critical to its function in rice. NICA
contains several conserved amino acid residues predicted to be at the catalytic site of carbonic
anhydrases (Fig. 2*D*). We asked if some of these conserved active site residues are critical to the
function of *NI*CA in the rice-BPH interaction. Accordingly, we expressed three different *NICA*
mutants in Nipponbare plants. "*NICA-m1*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which His[159] and
His[161] were mutated to Ala; "*NICA-m2*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which Glu[182] and
His[184] were replaced by Ala; and "*NICA-m3*" plants carry a *NICA* transgene in which all seven
conserved active site residues, His[159], His[161], Glu[171], Glu[182], His[184], Thr[269] and Phe[279], were
changed to Ala. Like *NICA* transgenic plants, transgenic plants expressing these *NICA*-mutants

exhibited no noticeable changes in appearance (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S2). We conducted BPH
survival assay and found that, in contrast to plants expressing wild-type *NICA*, the *NICA-m2* and
NICA-m3 plants could not fully rescue the infestation defect of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects. The
NICA-m1 plants, on the other hand, could recover the infestation defect of ds*NICA* BPH (Fig. 2*E*
and *F*; *SI Appendix,* Fig. S5). Thus, several conservative residues at the predicted catalytic site
are indispensable for the function of *NICA* inside the plant cell.

After having demonstrated the requirement of catalytic site residues for the function of NICA in
rice, we next investigated the subcellular localization of NICA in both *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf
cells and rice leaf protoplasts transiently expressing *NICA:CFP*. In both cases, NICA:CFP showed
a nonuniform distribution of CFP signal in the cell. Further colocalization studies with YFP signal
revealed that NICA was colocalized in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus (Fig. 1*C; SI Appendix,*
Fig. S6).

NICA counters rapid intracellular acidification during BPH feeding of rice. BPH feeding on
rice plants can be divided into two phases based on the electropenetrography (EPG) waveforms
(23). The first phase involves BPH's stylet penetrates the plant through the cell walls and cell
membranes of various epidermal and mesophyll cells until the stylet reaches the phloem sieve
cells inside the vascular system. In Nipponbare, the time to reach the phloem sieve cells can be
around 1.2 h before sustained phloem sap ingestion occurs at 3.8 h (23). Our finding of the site of
NICA action in the plant prompted us to test the hypothesis that NICA might modulate rice cell pH
changes during BPH feeding. To directly test this hypothesis, we constructed a rice transgenic
line expressed a cytoplasmic ratiometric pH sensor, cyto-pHusion. Cyto-pHusion consists of the
tandem concatenation of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and a monomeric red
fluorescent protein 1 (mRFP1) (24). EGFP is highly sensitive to pH variation with the brightest
EGFP signal emitted at a pH of 7-8. EGFP fluorescence is gradually quenched at lower pH
values and totally quenched at pH values < 5. In contrast, mRFP1 is insensitive to pH changes in
the physiologically relevant range and serves as an internal reference. We placed 5th instar BPH
nymphs on the leaf sheath of cyto-pHusion-expressing rice plants and recorded GFP and RFP
signals of the feeding sites under microscopic observation at different time points with a 12 h test
period. As shown in the Fig. 3*B-E*, control ds*GFP* BPH feeding did not elicit an obvious
intracellular pH change in rice cells during this period. In contrast, ds*NICA* BPH feeding induced a
significant pH decrease at 4 h and 8 h (Fig. 3*C* and *D*). The decreased ratio of EGFP: mRFP was
caused by the quenched EGFP signal after ds*NICA* BPH feeding, while the average signal
intensity of the internal control, mRFP, kept at a stable level (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S7). These results
uncovered a previously uncharacterized plant cellular response, rapid intracellular acidification,

during the early stage of ds*NICA* BPH feeding and demonstrated that BPH has evolved a critical

virulence effector, NICA, to counter this novel plant cellular response.

Intracellular acidification is linked to defense gene expression in rice plants. Plant defense

responses are important for limiting BPH survival in rice (25-28), Although extracellular pH

change has long been known as a classical plant defense response to biotic stress (29, 30), our

discovery of intracellular acidification during dsNICA BPH feeding raises the intriguing possibility

that intracellular pH change may be a previously missed defense response. Indeed, we found that

expression of defense response genes (e.g., *OsNH1*, *OsNH2*, *OsWRKY45*, *OsWRKY13*) was

significantly induced at a higher level by ds*NICA* BPH infestation than by ds*GFP* BPH control at 4

h and 8 h (Fig. 3*F-I*), which is consistent with the intracellular pH change at 4 h and 8 h (Fig. 3 *C-*

D). These results provide evidence that intercellular acidification is linked to rice defense

activation. Next, we conducted experiments to determine if ectopic intracellular acidification, in

the absence of BPH infestation, would trigger defense gene expression. Rice is normally grown in

Yoshida medium, pH of 4 (31). To test if medium pH changes can modulate defense responses in

rice, we first grew rice seedlings in Yoshida medium until they reached the 5-leaf stage and then

placed them to fresh Yoshida medium with pH adjusted to 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively, for 48

hours. Interestingly, drastically increased expression of defense response genes (e.g., *OsNH1*,

OsNH2, *OsWRKY45*, *OsPBZ1*) was observed in Nipponbare plants at acidic pH of 2 (*SI*

Appendix, Figs. S8, S9). The pHusion sensor plants exposed to external pH of 2 showed

significant intracellular acidification (Fig. 3*J-K*). Survival rates of BPH insects were lower in

Nipponbare plants growing in media with pH of 2, compared to those growing in media with pH of

4 (Fig. 3*L*). These results suggest that cellular acidification is sufficient to induce defense gene

expression in rice and to reduce BPH's ability to survive on rice.

Rice defense responses are inhibited by NICA. As NICA stabilizes intracellular pH during WT

BPH feeding (Fig. 3*A-D*) and both ds*NICA* BPH feeding and ectopic intracellular acidification

cause activation of defense gene expression (Fig 3*F-I*; *SI Appendix,* Fig. S8-9), we next tested

the hypothesis that a major function of NICA-mediated stabilization of intracellular pH may be to

prevent over-stimulation of downstream rice defense responses during feeding. Callose

deposition in the phloem sieve tubes is a classical defense response that is associated with

feeding of piercing-sucking insects (32). We examined this response using aniline blue to stain

callose in the phloem sieve cells. Indeed, we found that fewer and smaller callose deposition was

found in the sieve plates of *NICA*-expressing leaf sheaths compared to those found in the sieve

plates of Nipponbare fed by BPH (Fig. 4*A-F*), demonstrating that *NI*CA suppresses callose

deposition in sieve cell pates. Interestingly, the transcript levels of callose biosynthesis genes,

such as *OsGSL1*, *OsGSL3*, *OsGSL5* and *OsGns5,* were expressed at lower levels in *NICA*

transgenic plants compared to Nipponbare plants (Fig. 4*G-J*). We also measured the transcript
levels of defense marker genes (e.g., *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsWRKY45* and *OsWRKY13*) in
Nipponbare and *NICA*-expressing plants fed by ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH. As shown in Fig. 4*K-N*,
induced expression of *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsWRKY13* and *OsWRKY45* by ds*NICA* BPH was
suppressed in *NICA* transgenic plants compared to those in Nipponbare plants. Collectively,
these results showed that NICA-mediated intracellular acidification is linked to downregulation of
callose deposition in phloem sieve cells as well as defense gene expression in rice plants.

Discussion

In this study, we provided evidence that intracellular acidification is a previously unrecognized
plant defense response that occurs during BPH feeding on rice. This finding was facilitated by our
attempt to understand the role of NICA in the rice-BPH interaction. We found that the *NICA*
transcript is detected mainly in the salivary glands (Fig. 1*A*) and that the NICA protein is found in
rice tissues fed by BPH (Fig. 1*B*). *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insect survived very poorly on at least
two independent cultivars of rice plants, Xiushui134 and Nipponbare ((6), Fig. 2), whereas *NICA*
expressing rice plants can restore the normal survival of *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insects (Fig. 2;
SI Appendix, Fig. S4), suggesting that NICA functions in plant cells. Using the cytoplasm pH
sensor, we found that NICA is required for BPH to maintain a normal plant cytoplasm pH during
BPH feeding (Fig. 3*A-D*). Pathogen/insect-derived effectors can be powerful molecular probes to
discovering novel plant regulators/responses to biotic attacks. Although several piercing/sucking
herbivores derived effectors, such as Btfer1, LsPDI1, LsSP1, Mp55, DNase II and BISP, have
been reported as defense-modulating effectors (33-38), the discovery of intracellular acidification
as a previously uncharacterized plant defense response is unprecedented in plant-biotic
interactions.

Our demonstration of a link between intracellular acidification and defense activation has laid a
foundation for future discovery of potentially diverse pH-sensitive intracellular regulators of
defense responses, which could add a new dimension in the study of plant-biotic interactions. Of
note, extracellular alkalinization of culture plant cells has long been recognized as a canonical
plant response to microbial elicitors as well as endogenous plant signals (26). Extracellular
alkalinization caused by plant endogenous RAPID ALKALINIZATION FACTOR (RALF) peptides,
for example, are perceived by the FERONIA-family receptors (39, 40). There is evidence that this
perception causes phosphorylation of PM-localized H(+)-ATPase 2, resulting in the inhibition of
proton transport across the PM (41). Notably, extracellular alkalinization has recently been shown
to inhibit or promote growth- or immunity-associated cell surface receptor functions through
specific pH-sensitive amino acid sensors (26). In contrast, intracellular pH change as a plant

defense response has so far escaped the discovery by researchers until this study. Future
research might investigate if intracellular acidification in the BPH-rice interaction is linked to
extracellular alkalinization. However, ectopic extracellular acidification of rice growth medium
causes intracellular acidification and activates defense response genes (*SI Appendix,* Figs. S8,
S9), which is opposite to what would be expected if intracellular acidification-associated defense
activation requires extracellular alkalinization. Thus, it appears that intracellular acidification can
activate plant defense independently of extracellular alkalinization.

CAs are universally present in all organisms (*SI Appendix,* Fig. S10); other piecing/sucking
insects may use CAs or another mechanism to manipulate host intracellular pH as part of their
infestation strategy. Indeed, CA has been reported as a protein component of saliva in rice green
leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps* (46) and aphid *Myzus persicae* (42). In the case of *M. persicae,*
CA-II was shown to increase viral transmission via plant apoplastic acidification-mediated
acceleration of intracellular vesicular trafficking (42). However, because NICA plays a critical role
in BPH's survival on rice plants *per se* (i.e., in the absence of viral infection), as shown in this
study, it is more likely that insect-secreted CAs constitute play a primary role in facilitating insect
survival by countering intracellular acidification-associated defense activation. In fact, future
research should examine if CA-mediated increase in viral transmission may be partly an indirect
consequence of defense suppression, which was not examined in the previous study (47).

Discovering the role of pH regulation during plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses and
characterizing the impact of such pH alterations could be an important area for future research.
Because maintaining proper external and internal pH is critical for all forms of life, prokaryotic and
eukaryotic organisms, alike, have evolved mechanisms to achieve pH homeostasis. Facing the
fluctuating external pH, prokaryotes have evolved diverse mechanisms for sensing external pH.
For instance, the bimodal sensing of pH is employed by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* (43).
Fungi employ a conserved pathway, mediated by Rim101 and PacC, to sense external pH (44). It
has been reported that different subcellular compartments within the plant cell maintain different
pH values, presumably as part of carrying out their unique physiological functions (45). In this
study, we found that this pH change is linked to activation of callose deposition at phloem sieve
cells and expression of defense response genes, such as *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsPBZ1* and
OsWRKY45 (Fig. 3; and *SI Appendix,* Figs. S8, S9). In reverse, NICA-mediated intracellular pH
stabilization dampens these defense responses (Fig. 4). Callose deposition in phloem sieve cells,
in particular, is a classical defense response to a variety of sucking/piecing insects and is thought
to limit nutrient flow during insect feeding (32, 46-50). Nevertheless, because cellular pH
alterations could potentially affect multiple biomolecules and, hence, multiple cellular processes,

future research should comprehensively define all cellular processes that are affected by
intracellular pH acidification. The demonstrated ability of NICA to counter stimulus-dependent pH
changes in plant cells could make NICA a useful molecular tool to modulate and broadly
understand the effects of pH stabilization on plant signaling and metabolic pathways in different
cell types, organelles, and tissues in plants by, for example, targeting NICA expression in specific
tissues, cells, or organelles. Additionally, the crucial role of NICA for BPH infestation of rice
suggests that NICA is an important target for chemical or trans-kingdom RNAi-based inactivation
for the development of novel BPH control strategies in plants.
310

311   **Materials and Methods**
312   Experimental materials and methods, including *in situ* mRNA hybridization, LC-MS, transgenic
313   rice construction, RNA interference of BPH, BPH survival test, pH assay and callose deposition
314   staining, can be found in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.*
315

316   **Data Availability.**
317   All data are presented within this paper.
318

Acknowledgments

We thank our lab members Richard Hilleary, Yanru Hu, Houping Wang and Xiao Han for their
comments and suggestions during this work. This study was supported by funding from the
Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 31870259 to Y.J.), funds for The Young and Middle
Aged Academic and technical Leaders of Yunnan Province (202105AC160028 to Y.J.J., which
supported her research at Michigan State University), Zhejiang University (to support the
research of X.Y.Z. at Michigan State University), Howard Hughes Medical Institute to S.Y.H. and
the National Key Research and Development Plan in the 14th five year plan (2021YFD1401100)
to C.X.Z.

References
330

1. S.L. Jing, Y. Zhao, B. Du, R.Z. Chen, L.L. Zhu, G.C. He, Genomics of interaction between the
brown planthopper and rice. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* **19**, 82-87 (2017).
2. K. Sogawa, Feeding of the rice plant- and leafhoppers. *Rev. Plant Prot. Res.* **6**, 31-43 (1973).
3. K.C. Ling, E.R. Tiongco, V.M. Aguiero, Rice ragged stunt, a new virus disease. *Plant Dis. Rep.*
62, 701-705 (1978).

4. S.X. Zhou *et al.*, OsMKK3, a stress-responsive protein kinase, positively regulates rice
resistance to *Nilaparvata lugens* via phytohormone dynamics. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **20**, 3023-3038
(2019).

5. B. Du, R.Z. Chen, J.P. Guo, G.C. He, Current understanding of the genomic, genetic, and
molecular control of insect resistance in rice. *Mol. Breeding* **40**, 24 (2020).

6. H.J. Huang *et al.*, Screening and functional analyses of *Nilaparvata lugens* salivary proteome.
J. Proteome Res. **15**, 1883-1896 (2016).

7. W. Rao *et al.*, Secretome analysis and in planta expression of salivary proteins identify
candidate effectors from the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Mol. Plant Microbe*
Interact. **32**, 227-239 (2019).

8. X.Q. Liu, H.Y. Zhou, J. Zhao, H.X. Hua, Y.P. He, Identification of the secreted watery saliva
proteins of the rice brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stal) by transcriptome and Shotgun
LC-MS/MS approach. *J. Insect Physiol.* **89**, 60-69 (2016).

9. Y.Y. Wang *et al.*, Responses of two contrasting genotypes of rice to brown planthopper. *Mol.*
Plant Microbe Interact. **21**, 122-132 (2008).

10. P.W. Miles, Aphid saliva. *Biol. Rev.* **74**, 41-85 (1999).

11. R. Ji *et al.*, A salivary endo-beta-1,4-glucanaseacts as an effector that enables the brown
planthopper to feed on rice. *Plant Physiol.* **173**, 1920-1932 (2017).

12. W.F. Ye *et al.*, A salivary EF-hand calcium-binding protein of the brown planthopper
Nilaparvata lugens functions as an effector for defense responses in rice. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40498
(2017).

13. G. Gong *et al.,* Salivary protein 7 of the brown planthopper functions as an effector for
mediating tricin metabolism in rice plants. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 3205 (2022).

14. H.J. Huang, C.W. Liu, H.J. Xu, Y.Y. Bao, C.X. Zhang, Mucin-like protein, a saliva component
involved in brown planthopper virulence and host adaptation. *J. Insect Physiol.* **98**, 223-230
(2017).

15. X.X. Shangguan *et al.*, A mucin-like protein of planthopper Is required for feeding and induces
immunity response in plants. *Plant Physiol.* **176**, 552-565 (2018).

16. R.F. Meldrum, F.J.W. Roughton, Carbonic anhydrase. Its preparation and properties. *J.*
Physiol. **80**, 113-142 (1933).

17. C.B. Engineer, M. Ghassemian, J.C. Anderson, S.C. Peck, H. Hu, J.I. Schroeder, Carbonic
anhydrases, EPF2 and a novel protease mediate CO_2 control of stomatal development. *Nature*
513, 246-250 (2014).

18. X.J. Yu, K. McGourty, M. Liu, K.E. Unsworth, D.W. Holden, pH sensing by intracellular
Salmonella induces effector translocation. *Science* **328**, 1040-1043 (2010).

19. K.S. Smith, J.G. Ferry, Prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **24**, 335-366
(2000).

20. R.P. Henry, Multiple roles of carbonic anhydrase in cellular transport and metabolism. *Annu.*
Rev. Physiol. **58**, 523-538 (1996).

21. Y.L. Zhou *et al.*, Carbonic anhydrases CA1 and CA4 function in atmospheric CO_2-modulated
disease resistance. *Planta* **25**1, 75 (2020).

22. H.J. Xu *et al.*, Two insulin receptors determine alternative wing morphs in planthoppers.
Nature **519**, 464-467 (2015).

23. M.B. Ghaffar, J. Pritchard, B. Ford-Lloyd, Brown planthopper (*N. lugens* Stal) feeding
behaviour on rice germplasm as an indicator of resistance. *PLoS One* **6**, 2137 (2011).

24. K.S.K. Gjetting, C.K. Ytting, A. Schulz, A.T. Fuglsang, Live imaging of intra- and extracellular
pH in plants using pHusion, a novel genetically encoded biosensor. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 12 (2012).

25. Z.Q. Fu，X.N. Dong, Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense.
Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **64**, 839-863 (2013).

26. R. Innes, The positives and negatives of NPR: a unifying model for salicylic acid signaling in
plants. *Cell* **173**, 1314-1315 (2018).

27. J.P. Guo *et al.*, *Bph6* encodes an exocyst-localized protein and confers broad resistance to
planthoppers in rice. *Nature Genet.* **50**, 297-306 (2018).

28. J. He *et al.,* An R2R3 MYB transcription factor confers brown planthopper resistance by
regulating the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase pathway in rice. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **117**,
271-277 (2020).

29. C. Kesten *et al.*, Pathogen-induced pH changes regulate the growth-defense balance in
plants. *EMBO J.* **38**, e101822 (2019).

30 L. Liu *et al.*, Extracellular pH sensing by plant cell-surface peptide-receptor complexes. *Cell*
185, 3341-3355 (2022).

31. F.D. Yoshida S, Cock JH, Gomez KA., Laboratory manual for physiological studies of rice, 3rd
edn. *Manila, The Philippines: International Rice Research Institute*, 61-64 (1976).

32. P.Y. Hao *et al.*, Herbivore-induced callose deposition on the sieve plates of rice: an important
mechanism for host resistance. *Plant Physiol.* **146**, 1810-1820 (2008).

33. Q. Su *et al.*, A salivary ferritin in the whitefly suppresses plant defenses and facilitates host
exploitation. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 3343-3355 (2019).

34. J. Fu *et al.*, Planthopper-secreted salivary disulfide isomerase activates immune responses in
plants. *Front. Plant. Sci.* **11**, 622513 (2020).

35. H.J. Huang *et al.*, Planthopper salivary sheath protein LsSP1 contributes to manipulation of
rice plant defenses. *Nature Commun.* **14**, 737 (2023).

36. D.A. Elzinga, M. De Vos, G. Jander, Suppression of plant defenses by a *Myzus persicae*
(green peach aphid) salivary effector protein. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **27**, 747-756 (2014).
37. H.J. Huang *et al.*, Salivary DNase II from *Laodelphax striatellus* acts as an effector that
suppresses plant defence. *New Phytol.* **224**, 860-874 (2019).
38. J. Guo *et al.*, A tripartite rheostat controls self-regulated host plant resistance to insects.
Nature 10.1038/s41586-023-06197-z (2023).
39. E. Murphy，I.D. Smet, Understanding the RALF family: a tale of many species. *Trends Plant
Sci.* **19**, 664-671 (2014).
40. A. Abarca, C.M. Franck, C. Zipfel, Family-wide evaluation of RAPID ALKALINIZATION
FACTOR peptides. *Plant Physiol.* **187**, 996-1010 (2021).
41. M. Haruta, G. Sabat, K. Stecker, B.B. Minkoff, M.R. Sussman, A peptide hormone and its
receptor protein kinase regulate plant cell expansion. *Science* **343**, 408-411 (2014).
42. H. Guo et al., Salivary carbonic anhydrase II in winged aphid morph facilitates plant infection
by viruses. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **120**, e2222040120 (2023).
43. Y.L. Yang，V. Sourjik, Opposite responses by different chemoreceptors set a tunable
preference point in *Escherichia coli* pH taxis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**,1482-1489 (2012).
44. M. Hayashi, T. Fukuzawa, H. Sorimachi, T. Maeda, Constitutive activation of the pH-
responsive Rim101 pathway in yeast mutants defective in late steps of the MVB/ESCRT
pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**, 9478-9490 (2005).
45. J. Shen *et al.*, Organelle pH in the Arabidopsis endomembrane system. *Mol. Plant* **6**, 1419-
1437.
46. E.J. Koh *et al.,* Callose deposition in the phloem plasmodesmata and inhibition of phloem
transport in citrus leaves infected with "*Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus*". *Protoplasma* **249**,
687-697 (2012).
47. A.M. Ernst *et al.*, *Sieve element occlusion (SEO)* genes encode structural phloem proteins
involved in wound sealing of the phloem. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA 109*, e1980-1989 (2012).
48. B. Batailler *et al.*, Soluble and filamentous proteins in Arabidopsis sieve elements. *Plant, Cell
Environ.* **35**, 1258-1273 (2012).
49. Y.J. Jiang, C.X. Zhang, R.Z. Chen, S.Y. He, Challenging battles of plants with phloem-feeding
insects and prokaryotic pathogens. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* **116**, 23390-23397 (2019).
50. M. Hattori, S. Komatsu, H.Noda, Y. Matsumoto, Proteome analysis of watery saliva secreted
by green rice leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps. PLoS One* **10**, e0123671 (2015).
438
439

Figures

Fig. 1. Initial characterization of *Nilaparvata lugens* carbonic anhydrase (NICA). (*A*) *in situ*
RNA hybridisation of salivary glands in 5-instar BPHs (lower row) using antisense NICA
sequence as a probe. Sense *NICA* probe was used as a negative control (upper row). White
arrows indicate glands. Nuclei are stained blue by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). (*B*) The
amino acid sequence of NICA. The highlighted amino acid residues indicate the peptides
detected in BPH-infested rice sheath tissue by LC-MS analysis. (*C*) NICA is colocalized with the
YFP signals in *N. benthamiana* (upper row) and rice cells (lower row). YFP and NICA-CFP fusion
proteins were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells for 48 h using the *Agrobacterium*-
mediated transient expression method. YFP and NICA-CFP fusion proteins were co-expressed in
rice protoplasts 16 h after the corresponding DNA constructs were introduced into rice protoplasts
via polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation. Experiments were repeated three times with
similar trends.

Fig. 2. Effects of *NICA* RNAi on BPH survival on rice cultivar Nipponbare. (*A*) The *NICA*
transcript levels in BPH at 3 dpi post-injection of ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* were determined by qRT-PCR
(displayed as % of the *NICA* transcript abundance in control BPH). Values are displayed as mean
± SEM of 4 experimental replicates (Two-way ANOVA; 3 biological replicates in each experiment
and 30 individual insects pooled for each biological replicate). (*B*) The daily survival rates of
ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on artificial diet. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3
biological replicates (one biological replicate includes 50 individual BPH adults fed on artificial diet
in a lucifugal plastic bottle). (*C*) The daily survival rates of ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding
on Nipponbare and NlCA-expressing line 1. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 8 biological
replicates (Two-way ANOVA; 20 individual 2nd BPH nymphs per rice plant for each biological
replicate). The black dashed line indicates significant differences (*P* < 0.05) in survival rates start
day 9 between ds*NICA* BPH on Nipponbare (Nip) and other treatments. (*D*) Schematic display of

the NlCA protein with the N-terminus displayed in bule, the C-terminus in green and the central
catalytic domain in yellow/orange. The amino acid sequence (158 - 280 aa) of the putative active
site is showed. Amino acid substitutions in NlCA-m1, NlCA-m2 and NlCA-m3 are indicated in red.
(*E-F*) The survival rates of 20 ds*GFP* and ds*NlCA* BPH insects in Nipponbare and *NlCA*
transgenic plants after 1- and 15-days post-infestation. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥
6 biological replicates; 20 individual 2nd BPH nymphs per rice plant for each biological replicate).
ns indicates no significant difference between treatments (Two-way ANOVA). Different letters
indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey test, *P* < 0.05).
Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.

Figure 3. Role of NICA in maintaining intracellular pH of rice cells. (*A*) Confocal microscopic images at BPH feeding sites in Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) 4 h after placing 30 5th-instar BPH nymphs on each plant. Confocal images from plants with no BPH feeding served as the control. (*B-E*) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios at 1.5 h (B), 4 h (C), 8 h (D) and 12 h (E) of ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* BPH treatment compared with no BPH control. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 24 circular areas of leaf sheath, each circular area had a diameter of 100 μm with the feeding site at the center). (*F-I*) Expression of defense response genes, *OsWRKY45*, *OsWRKY13*, *OsNH1* and *OsNH2*, in Nipponbare plants infested by ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* BPH. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate represents pooled leaf sheaths from three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH nymphs per plant. (*J*) Confocal microscopic images of Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) at 12 h after

being transferred to Yoshida medium at pH of 2. Confocal images from plants grown in Yoshida medium with pH of 4 served as the control. (*K*) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 33 calculation area per condition). (*L*) The 7th-day survival rates of ds*GFP*- and ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on Nipponbare growing in media with different pHs. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates (20 individual insects per each biological replicate). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey test, *P* < 0.05). Experiments were repeated at least three times with similar trends in this figure.

Figure 4. NICA dampens callose deposition and defense gene expression. (*A-D*) Callose
accumulation (bright blue fluorescence indicated by red arrows) on the sieve plates of
Nipponbare leaf sheaths (*A* and *C*) and *NICA-OE* leaf sheaths (*B* and *D*) plants before and 72 h

after BPH feeding. P, phloem; X, xylem. The pictures were taken under a Zeiss Microscope. (*A-B*)

Cross-sections. (*C-D*) Longitudinal sections. (*E*) Total areas of callose deposition in BPH-infested

leaf sheaths of Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total areas of callose

deposition found in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM

of four experiments. (*F*) Total number of callose deposits in BPH-infested leaf sheaths of

Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total number of callose deposits found

in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of four

experiments. (*G-J*) Relative expression levels of the callose synthase gene *OsGSL1* (*G*),

OsGSL3 (*H*), *OsGSL5* (*I*) and *OsGns5* (*J*) in response to BPH feeding. Values are displayed as

mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates. Each biological replicate represents pooled leaf sheath

form three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH nymphs per plant. (*K-N*) Expression of

defense marker gene *OsWRKY45* (*K*)*, OsWRKY13* (*L*)*, OsNH1* (*M*) and *OsNH1* (*N*) in response

to BPH feeding. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates. Each biological

replicate represents pooled leaf sheaths from three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH

nymphs per plant. ns indicates no significant difference between treatments (Two-way ANOVA).

Different letters indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey

test, *P* < 0.05). Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.