1 **Rapid intracellular acidification is a novel plant defense response countered by the brown**
2 **planthopper**
3

Yanjuan Jiang,[1,2,a*] Xiao-Ya Zhang,[2,3,a] Shaoqin Li,[4,5] Yu-Cheng Xie,[3] Xu-Mei Luo,[3] Yongping
Yang,[4,5] Zhengyan Pu,[1] Li Zhang,[6,7] Jia-Bao Lu,[8] Hai-Jian Huang,[8] Chuan-Xi Zhang,[3,8,*] Sheng
Yang He[2,6,7,9,*]

[1]State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan
University, Kunming 650091, China

[2]DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

[3]Institute of Insect Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China.

[4]CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Plant Resources and Sustainable Use, Xishuangbanna Tropical
Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650223, China

[5]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

[6]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[7]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA.

[8]State Key Laboratory for Managing Biotic and Chemical Threats to the Quality and Safety of
Agro products, Ningbo University, China.

[9]Lead contract

19
[a]Yanjuan Jiang and Xiao-Ya Zhang contributed equally to this work.
*Corresponding authors
Email: yanjuanjiang@ynu.edu.cn, chxzhang@zju.edu.cn or Shengyang.he@duke.edu

Highlights
● Rapid intracellular acidification is a novel plant defense response.
● Brown planthopper delivers into rice tissues a major virulence protein, carbonic anhydrase
(*Nl*CA) critical for survival.
● NlCA counters intracellular acidification to suppress plant defense.
● Results suggest pH-sensitive intracellular regulators of defense responses in rice-brown
planthopper interaction.
32
33

In brief

The brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) is the most devastative insect pest in rice. Jiang et
al. discovered that BPH secretes a salivary carbonic anhydrase (*NlC*A) to regulate the
intracellular pH of the rice cell to facilitate its feeding and survival on rice plants and that NICA-
counters host intracellular pH stabilization to diminished defense responses. These findings not
only uncovered that intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously uncharacterized battleground in
plant-insect interactions, but also open a door to future discovery of pH-sensitive intracellular
regulators of defense responses, which could add a new research dimension in the study of
plant-biotic interactions.

SUMMARY

The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens*) is the most destructive insect pest in rice.
Through a stylet, BPH secrets a plethora of salivary proteins into rice phloem cells as a crucial
step of infestation. However, how various salivary proteins function in rice cells to promote insect
infestation is poorly understood. Among them, one of the salivary proteins is predicted to be a
carbonic anhydrase (NICA). The survival rate of the *NICA*-RNA interference (RNAi) BPH insects
was extremely low on rice, indicating a vital role of this salivary protein in BPH infestation. We
generated *NICA* transgenic rice plants and found that *NICA* expressed in rice plants could restore
the ability of *NICA*-RNAi BPH to survive on rice. Next, we produced rice plants expressing the
ratiometric pH sensor pHusion and found that *NICA*-RNAi BPH induced rapid intracellular
acidification of rice cells during feeding. Further analysis revealed that both *NICA*-RNAi BPH
feeding and artificial of lowering intracellular pH activated plant defense responses, and that
NICA-mediated intracellular pH stabilization is linked to diminished defense responses, including
reduced callose deposition at the phloem sieve plates and suppressed defense gene expression.
Given the importance of pH homeostasis across the kingdoms of life, discovery of NICA-mediated
intracellular pH modulation uncovered a new dimension in the interaction between plants and
piecing/sucking insect pests. The crucial role of NICA for BPH infestation of rice suggests that
NICA is a promising target for chemical or trans-kingdom RNAi-based inactivation for BPH control
strategies in plants.

INTRODUCTION

The brown planthopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, Hemiptera, Delphacidae) is a
monophagous insect pest of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) found in all rice-growing Asian countries. The
BPH sucks rice phloem sap via its stylet, causing leaf yellowing and wilting, stunted plant growth,

reduced photosynthesis and ultimately death of rice plant.[1] During severe BPH outbreaks, tens of

thousands of insects swarm on a rice field, resulting in the 'hopperburn' phenomenon, which is

characterized by large-scale wilting, yellowing and lethal drying of rice plants.[2] Besides direct

damages, the BPH may also indirectly damage rice plants by oviposition and transmitting viral

disease agents.[3,4]

Application of chemical insecticides has been a main strategy for controlling BPH. Although it has

the advantages of having rapid effects on killing insects and low costs, use of insecticides leads

to environmental pollution and resistance of BPH to pesticides. In recent decades, breeding rice

resistant varieties to control BPH has attracted increasing attention. To date, more than 30

resistance genes have been found in the rice genome.[5] However, BPH often quickly evolves new

biological types that evade rice resistant genes. Therefore, additional BPH controlling methods

need to be developed to complement the current control measures toward long-term solutions of

achieving durable BPH resistance in rice. One method could be based on disruption of key steps

of BPH's natural infestation process. However, development of such methods will require a

comprehensive understanding of the basic biology of the BPH-rice interaction.

A critical step in BPH infestation of rice is secreting bioactive substances into the plant tissues

through the stylet.[6-8] Specifically, during feeding, BPH secretes both colloidal and watery

saliva.[9,10] The main function of the colloidal saliva is to form a saliva sheath around the piercing-

sucking mouthparts, stabilizing the overall feeding apparatus. The composition and function of

watery saliva is more complex, and it contains salivary proteins that are believed, in most cases,

to regulate various pathways in plant cells to enhance BPH feeding and survival in rice plants. For

example, NlEG1, a salivary endo-b-1,4-glucanase, degrades plant celluloses to help the BPH's

stylet reach to the phloem.[11] NlSEF1, an EF-hand Ca^{2+}-binding protein, interferes with calcium

signaling and H_2O_2 production during BPH feeding.[12] Salivary protein 7 is required for normal

feeding behavior and for countering accumulation of a defense compound, tricin.[13] On the other

hand, mucin-like protein (NlMLP) triggers defense responses in rice cells, including cell death,

callose deposition and up-regulation of pathogen-responsive genes.[14,15]

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) (EC 4.2.1.1) are zinc metalloenzymes that function as catalysts in the

bidirectional conversion of CO_2 and water into bicarbonate and protons.[16] There are at least five

distinct CA families (α-, β-, γ-, δ-, and ε-CAs) and three of them (α-, β-, and γ-CAs) are

ubiquitously distributed among animal, plant, and bacterial species. The widespread distribution

and adequate abundance of these CA families underline their evolutionary importance throughout

the kingdoms of life. CAs participate in a wide range of biological processes, such as pH

regulation, CO_2 homeostasis, stomatal aperture, and plant defense.[17-21] NICA belongs to the α-

CA subfamily. Our previous study showed that *NICA* expressed in BPH salivary glands.[6]
Surprisingly, however, RNA interference (RNAi) of the *NICA* transcript in BPH insects affects
neither pH maintenance within the salivary gland, watery saliva or gut, nor insect feeding
behavior or honeydew excretion, but greatly reduced survival of BPH on rice plants, suggesting a
critical function *in planta* via an unknown mechanism.[6]

Here, we report that *NICA*-RNAi BPH feeding results in rapid intracellular acidification of rice
cells. We found that NICA is secreted into the rice tissues and functions as an effector that
stabilizes host cell intracellular pH, accompanied by suppression of defense responses, during
BPH feeding. Thus, we have uncovered intracellular pH homeostasis is a previously
uncharacterized battleground in plant-insect interactions.

Results

NICA is detected in rice sheath tissues during BPH feeding. NICA was previously found to be
highly expressed in salivary glands and present in the watery saliva of BPH fed on artificial diet.[6]
We conducted a more detailed characterization of *NICA* expression in this study. RNA *in situ*
hybridization showed that the expression level of *NICA* was detectable throughout the principal
glands (PGs) and accessory glands (AGs), but not in A-follicle of the principal gland (APG)
(Figure 1A), which further raised the possibility that NICA may be one of the "effector proteins"
secreted into the rice tissue during BPH feeding on rice plants. To test this possibility, we
compared protein profiles in the leaf sheaths of Nipponbare rice plants before and after BPH
feeding using liquid chromatograph-mass spectrometer (LC-MS). We found 8 NICA-specific
peptides in BPH-fed leaf sheath tissue (Figure 1B), confirming that NICA is secreted into the host
tissues during BPH feeding.

Transgenic expression of *NICA* in rice rescues the ability of *NICA*-silenced BPH to feed
and survive. To further clarify the site of function (i.e., in insect vs. in plant) of NICA in the BPH-
rice interaction, we produced transgenic Nipponbare plants expressing *NICA* (see Methods). A
total of 26 lines were produced and 6 lines were found to robustly express the *NICA* transcript.
NICA-expressing plants exhibited no noticeable changes in appearance compared to Nipponbare
plants (Supplemental Figure 1A-B). *NICA*-expressing plant lines were propagated to T3
generation, and 3 lines were subjected to further characterization, including BPH feeding. For
BPH feeding assay, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) of *NICA* (ds*NICA*) or the control green
fluorescent protein gene (ds*GFP*) was injected into 3rd instar BPH nymphs to initiate RNAi of the
NICA transcript.[22] Quantitative real-time PCR analysis confirmed that the transcript levels of the
NICA gene were reduced by 99% and 97%, respectively, in tested individuals when compared

with non-RNAi control and ds*GFP*-treated insects (Figure 2A). There were no significant

differences in survival rates between ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH when fed on the artificial diet,

indicating that silencing *NICA* expression has no obvious impact on the basic physiology of BPH

(Figure 2B). However, we found that the survival rate of ds*NICA* BPH was sharply decreased,

starting at day 9 post-infestation, to ~40% at day 14, whereas the control ds*GFP* BPH survived

normally on wild-type Nipponbare plants (Figure 2C). This result is consistent with previous

results conducted in the *japonica* cultivar Xiushui134 rice plants,[6] suggesting that the requirement

of NICA for BPH survival is not specific to a specific rice genotype. Strikingly, *NICA* transgenic

plants almost fully restored the survival of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects (Figure 2C; Supplemental

Figure 1C-D), demonstrating that *NI*CA expressed in the host tissue can complement the

infestation defect of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects.

The conserved catalytic site amino acids of *NI*CA are critical to its function in rice. NICA

contains several conserved amino acid residues predicted to be at the catalytic site of carbonic

anhydrases (Figure 2D). We asked if some of these conserved active site residues are critical to

the function of *NI*CA in the rice-BPH interaction. Accordingly, we expressed three different *NICA*

mutants in Nipponbare plants. "*NICA-m1*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which His^{159} and

His^{161} were mutated to Ala; "*NICA-m2*" plants contain a *NICA* transgene in which Glu^{182} and

His^{184} were replaced by Ala; and "*NICA-m3*" plants carry a *NICA* transgene in which all seven

conserved active site residues, His^{159}, His^{161}, Glu^{171}, Glu^{182}, His^{184}, Thr^{269} and Phe^{279}, were

changed to Ala. Like *NICA* transgenic plants, transgenic plants expressing these *NICA*-mutants

exhibited no noticeable changes in appearance (Supplemental Figure 1A-B). We conducted BPH

survival assay and found that, in contrast to plants expressing wild-type *NICA*, the *NICA-m2* and

NICA-m3 plants could not fully rescue the infestation defect of *NICA*-silenced BPH insects. The

NICA-m1 plants, on the other hand, could recover the infestation defect of ds*NICA* BPH (Figure

2E and F; Supplemental Figure 1E). Thus, several conservative residues at the predicted

catalytic site are indispensable for the function of *NI*CA inside the plant cell.

After having demonstrated the requirement of catalytic site residues for the function of NICA in

rice, we next investigated the subcellular localization of NICA in both *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf

cells and rice leaf protoplasts transiently expressing *NICA:CFP*. In both cases, NICA:CFP showed

a nonuniform distribution of CFP signal in the cell. Further colocalization studies with YFP signal

revealed that NICA was colocalized in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus (Figure 1C).

NICA counters rapid intracellular acidification during BPH feeding of rice. BPH feeding on

rice plants can be divided into two phases based on the electropenetrography (EPG)

waveforms.[23] The first phase involves BPH's stylet penetrates the plant through the cell walls and

cell membranes of various epidermal and mesophyll cells until the stylet reaches the phloem sieve cells inside the vascular system. In Nipponbare, the time to reach the phloem sieve cells can be around 1.2 h before sustained phloem sap ingestion occurs at 3.8 h.[23] Our finding of the site of NICA action in the plant prompted us to test the hypothesis that NICA might modulate rice cell pH changes during BPH feeding. To directly test this hypothesis, we constructed a rice transgenic line expressed a cytoplasmic ratiometric pH sensor, cyto-pHusion. Cyto-pHusion consists of the tandem concatenation of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and a monomeric red fluorescent protein 1 (mRFP1) .[24] EGFP is highly sensitive to pH variation with the brightest EGFP signal emitted at a pH of 7-8. EGFP fluorescence is gradually quenched at lower pH values and totally quenched at pH values < 5. In contrast, mRFP1 is insensitive to pH changes in the physiologically relevant range and serves as an internal reference. We placed 5th instar BPH nymphs on the leaf sheath of cyto-pHusion-expressing rice plants and recorded GFP and RFP signals of the feeding sites under microscopic observation at different time points with a 12 h test period. As shown in the Figure 3B-E, ds*GFP* BPH feeding did not elicit an obvious intracellular pH change in rice cells during this period. In contrast, ds*NICA* BPH feeding induced a significant pH decrease at 4 h and 8 h (Figure 3C and D). The decreased ratio of EGFP: mRFP was caused by the quenched EGFP signals after ds*NICA* BPH feeding, while the internal control, mRFP, kept at a stable level (Supplemental Figure 2). These results uncovered a previously uncharacterized plant cellular response, rapid intracellular acidification, during the early stage of ds*NICA* BPH feeding and demonstrated that BPH has evolved a critical virulence effector, NICA, to counter this novel plant cellular response.

Because extracellular pH change is associated with plant responses to biotic stress,[25,26] we speculated that rapid intracellular acidification of ds*NICA* BPH feeding might induce defense gene expression. To test this possibility, Nipponbare plants infested by ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH were examined for defense gene expression. We found that expression of defense response genes (e.g., *OsNH1*, *OsNH2*, *OsWRKY45*, *OsWRKY13*) was significantly induced at a higher level by ds*NICA* BPH infestation than by ds*GFP* BPH control at 4 h and 8 h (Figure 3F-I), which is consistent with the intracellular pH change at 4 h and 8 h (Figure 3C-D). Taken together, these results indicate that intercellular acidification is linked to rice defense activation.

Ectopic intracellular acidification causes defense gene expression in rice plants. Because plant defense responses are important for limiting BPH survival in rice,[27-30] we conducted experiments to determine if intracellular acidification would trigger defense gene expression. Rice is normally grown in Yoshida medium, pH of 4.[31] To test if medium pH changes can modulate defense responses in rice, we first grew rice seedlings in Yoshida medium until they reached the 5-leaf stage and then placed them to fresh Yoshida medium with pH adjusted to 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and

7, respectively, for 48 hours. Interestingly, drastically increased expression of defense response
genes (e.g., *OsNH1*, *OsNH2*, *OsWRKY45*, *OsPBZ1*) was observed in Nipponbare plants at acidic
pH of 2 (Supplemental Figure 3A-D). The pHusion sensor plants exposed to external pH of 2
showed significant intracellular acidification (Figure 3J-K). Survival rates of BPH insects were
lower in Nipponbare plants growing in media with pH of 2, compared to those growing in media
with pH of 4 (Figure 3L) These results suggest that cellular acidification is sufficient to induce
defense gene expression in rice and to reduce BPH's ability to survive on rice.

Rice defense responses are inhibited by NICA. As NICA stabilizes intracellular pH during WT
BPH feeding (Figure 3A-D) and both ds*NICA* BPH feeding and ectopic intracellular acidification
causes activation of defense gene expression (Figure 3F-I; Supplemental Figure 3), we next
tested the hypothesis that a major function of NICA-mediated stabilization of intracellular pH may
be to prevent over-stimulation of downstream rice defense responses during feeding. Callose
deposition in the phloem sieve tubes is a classical defense response that is associated with
feeding of piercing-sucking insects.[32] We examined this response using aniline blue to stain
callose in the phloem sieve cells. Indeed, we found that fewer and smaller callose deposition was
found in the sieve plates of *NICA*-expressing leaf sheaths compared to those found in the sieve
plates of Nipponbare fed by BPH (Figure 4A-F), demonstrating that *NICA* suppresses callose
deposition in sieve cell pates. Interestingly, the transcript levels of callose biosynthesis genes,
such as *OsGSL1*, *OsGSL3*, *OsGSL5* and *OsGns5,* were expressed at lower levels in *NICA*
transgenic plants compared to Nipponbare plants (Figure 4G-J). We also measured the transcript
levels of defense marker genes (e.g., *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsWRKY45* and *OsWRKY13*) in
Nipponbare and *NICA*-expressing plants fed by ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH. As shown in Figure 4K-
N, induced expression of *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsWRKY13* and *OsWRKY45* by ds*NICA* BPH was
suppressed in *NICA* transgenic plants compared to those in Nipponbare plants. Collectively,
these results showed that NICA-mediated intracellular acidification is linked to downregulation of
callose deposition in phloem sieve cells as well as defense gene expression in rice plants.

Discussion

In this study, we provided evidence that intracellular acidification is a previously unrecognized
plant defense response that occurs during BPH feeding on rice. This finding was facilitated by our
attempt to understand the role of NICA in the rice-BPH interaction. We found that the *NICA*
transcript is detected mainly in the salivary glands (Figure 1A) and that the NICA protein is found
in rice tissues fed by BPH (Figure 1B). *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insect survived very poorly on at
least two independent cultivars of rice plants, Xiushui134 and Nipponbare ([6], Figure 2), whereas
NICA expressing rice plants can restore the normal survival of *NICA*-silenced (ds*NICA*) insects

(Figure 2; Supplemental Figure 1), suggesting that NlCA functions in plant cells. Using the
cytoplasm pH sensor, we found that NlCA is required for BPH to maintain a normal plant
cytoplasm pH during BPH feeding (Figure 3A-D). Pathogen/insect-derived effectors can be
powerful molecular probes to discovering novel plant regulators/responses to biotic attacks.
Although other piercing/sucking herbivores derived effectors, such as Btfer1, LsPDI1, LsSP1,
Mp55, DNase II and BISP, have been reported as defense-suppressive effectors,[33-38] our
discovery of intracellular acidification as a plant defense response and our finding that brown
planthopper (BPH) secretes NlCA as a counter-defense measure illustrates host cell pH
homeostasis as a novel battlefield that has not been revealed in any plant-biotic interactions.

Extracellular alkalinization of culture plant cells has long been recognized as a canonical plant
response to microbial elicitors as well as endogenous plant signals.[26] Extracellular alkalinization
caused by plant endogenous RAPID ALKALINIZATION FACTOR (RALF) peptides, for example,
are perceived by the FERONIA-family receptors.[39,40] There is evidence that this perception
causes phosphorylation of PM-localized H(+)-ATPase 2, resulting in the inhibition of proton
transport across the PM.[41] Notably, extracellular alkalinization has recently been shown to inhibit
or promote growth- or immunity-associated cell surface receptor functions through specific pH-
sensitive amino acid sensors.[26] In contrast, intracellular pH changes have so far escaped the
discovery by researchers until this study. Our demonstration of a link between intracellular
acidification and defense activation has laid a foundation for future discovery of potentially diverse
pH-sensitive intracellular regulators of defense responses, which could add a new dimension in
the study of plant-biotic interactions.

Because cellular pH alterations could potentially affect multiple biomolecules and, hence, multiple
cellular processes, future research should comprehensively define all cellular processes that are
affected by intracellular pH acidification. In this study, we found that this pH change is linked to
activation of callose deposition at phloem sieve cells and expression of defense response genes,
such as *OsNH1, OsNH2, OsPBZ1* and *OsWRKY45* (Figure 3). In reverse, NlCA-mediated
intracellular pH stabilization dampens these defense responses (Figure 4). Callose deposition in
phloem sieve cells, in particular, is a classical defense response to a variety of sucking/piecing
insects and is thought to limit nutrient flow during insect feeding.[32,42-45] Together, these results
suggest that a major effect of NlCA-mediated pH stabilization is to prevent overactivation of
defense responses during BPH feeding.

The mechanism by which NlCA counters intracellular acidification is likely inherent to its
reversible inter-conversion of carbon dioxide and water into carbonic acid, protons and
bicarbonate ions. CAs are universally present in all organisms (Supplemental Figure 4); other

piecing/sucking insects may use CAs or another mechanism to manipulate host intracellular pH

as part of their infestation strategy. Indeed, CA has been reported as a protein component of

saliva in rice green leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps*, [46] and aphid *Myzus persicae*.[47] In the case

of *M. persicae,* CA-II was shown to increase viral transmission via plant apoplastic acidification-

mediated acceleration of intracellular vesicular trafficking.[47] However, because NICA plays a

critical role in BPH's survival on rice plants *per se* (i.e., in the absence of viral infection), as shown

in this study, it is more likely that insect-secreted CAs constitute play a primary role in facilitating

insect survival by countering intracellular acidification-associated defense activation. In fact,

future research should examine if CA-mediated increase in viral transmission may be a

secondary consequence of defense suppression, which was not examined in the previous

study.[47]

Discovering the role of pH regulation during plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses and

characterizing the impact of such pH alterations could be an important area for future research.

Because maintaining proper external and internal pH is critical for all forms of life, prokaryotic and

eukaryotic organisms, alike, have evolved mechanisms to achieve pH homeostasis. Facing the

fluctuating external pH, prokaryotes have evolved diverse mechanisms for sensing external pH.

For instance, the bimodal sensing of pH is employed by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*.[48]

Fungi employ a conserved pathway, mediated by Rim101 and PacC, to sense external pH.[49] It

has been reported that different subcellular compartments within the plant cell maintain different

pH values, presumably as part of carrying out their unique physiological functions.[50] The

demonstrated ability of NICA to counter stimulus-dependent pH changes in plant cells could

make NICA a useful molecular tool to modulate and broadly understand the effects of pH

stabilization on plant signaling and metabolic pathways in different cell types, organelles, and

tissues in plants by, for example, targeting NICA expression in specific tissues, cells, or

organelles. Additionally, the crucial role of NICA for BPH infestation of rice suggests that NICA is

an important target for chemical or trans-kingdom RNAi-based inactivation for the development of

novel BPH control strategies in plants.

STAR METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

● KEY RESOURCES TABLE

● RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

○ Lead contact

○ Materials availability

○ Data and code availability

● EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
○ Plant materials and insects

● METHOD DETAILS
○ Plant materials and insects
○ Transgenic rice
○ *In situ* mRNA hybridization analysis
○ Rice sheath sample preparation for LC-MS
○ RNA interference (RNAi)
○ BPH survival test on artificial diet
○ BPH survival test on rice
○ pH assay
○ RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR).
○ Callose deposition in rice sheath
● QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
325

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Figure 1. Generation of *NlCA-OE* and mutant *NlCA-OE* plants, and survival
rates of BPH.
Supplemental Figure 2. Quantification of fluorescent signals in leaf sheaths of
Nipponbare plants expressing the cyto-pHusion ratiometric pH sensor in response to
BPH feeding.
Supplemental Figure 3. Defense response genes are modulated by ectopic pH
manipulation in rice.
Supplemental Figure 4. Phylogenic analysis of carbonic anhydrase proteins from
representative bacteria, insect, mammal, and plant species.
336

Acknowledgments
We thank our lab members Richard Hilleary, Yanru Hu and Xiao Han for their comments and
suggestions during this work. This study was supported by fundings from the Natural Science
Foundation of China (Grants 32360082 and 31870259, which supported her research at Michigan
State University), funds for The Young and Middle Aged Academic and technical Leaders of
Yunnan Province (202105AC160028 to Y.J.J.), Zhejiang University (to support the research of
X.Y.Z. at Michigan State University), Howard Hughes Medical Institute to S.Y.H. and the National
Key Research and Development Plan in the 14th five year plan (2021YFD1401100) to C.X.Z.
345

346 **Author Contributions:** S.Y.H., C.X.Z., Y.J.J. and X.Y.Z. conceptualized and designed this study;
347 Y.J.J., X.Y.Z., S.Q.L., Y.C.X., X.M.L., Y.P.Y., Z.Y.P., L.Z., J.B.L., and H.J.H. performed
348 experiments and analyzed data; C.X.Z. and S.Y.H. analyzed data. Y.J.J., X.Y.Z., C.X.Z. and
349 S.Y.H. wrote the paper with all authors approved the final article.
350
351 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no competing interest.
352
353 **REFERENCES**
354

1. Jing, S. L. et al. Genomics of interaction between the brown planthopper and rice. Curr. Opin.
Insect Sci. *19*, 82-87 (2017).
2. Sogawa, K. Feeding of the rice plant- and leafhoppers. Rev. Plant Prot. Res. *6*, 31-43 (1973).
3. Ling, K. C., Tiongco, E.R., & Aguiero, V.M. Rice ragged stunt, a new virus disease. Plant
Disease Report *62*, 701-705 (1978).
4. Zhou, S. X. et al. OsMKK3, a Stress-Responsive Protein Kinase, Positively Regulates Rice
Resistance to *Nilaparvata lugens* via Phytohormone Dynamics. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *20*, 3023-3038
(2019).
5. Du, B., Chen, R. Z., Guo, J. P. & He, G. C. Current understanding of the genomic, genetic, and
molecular control of insect resistance in rice. Mol. Breeding *40*, 1103-3 (2020).
6. Huang, H. J. et al. Screening and Functional Analyses of *Nilaparvata lugens* Salivary Proteome.
J. Proteome Res. *15*, 1883-1896 (2016).
7. Rao, W. et al. Secretome analysis and in planta expression of salivary proteins identify
candidate effectors from the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. Mol. Plant Microbe
Interact. *32*, 227-239 (2019).
8. Liu, X. Q., Zhou, H. Y., Zhao, J., Hua, H. X. & He, Y. P. Identification of the secreted watery
saliva proteins of the rice brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) by transcriptome and
Shotgun LC-MS/MS approach. J. Insect Physiol. *89*, 60-69 (2016).
9. Wang, Y. Y. et al. Responses of two contrasting genotypes of rice to brown planthopper. Mol.
Plant Microbe Interact. *21*, 122-132 (2008).
10. Miles, P. W. Aphid saliva. Biol. Reviews *74*, 41-85 (1999).
11. Ji, R. et al. A Salivary Endo-beta-1,4-Glucanase Acts as an Effector That Enables the Brown
Planthopper to Feed on Rice. Plant Physiol. *173*, 1920-1932 (2017).
12. Ye, W. F. et al. A salivary EF-hand calcium-binding protein of the brown planthopper
Nilaparvata lugens functions as an effector for defense responses in rice. Sci. Rep. *7*, 40498
(2017).

13. Gong, G. et al. Salivary protein 7 of the brown planthopper functions as an effector for
mediating tricin metabolism in rice plants. Sci. Rep. *12*, 3205 (2022).

14. Huang, H. J., Liu, C. W., Xu, H. J., Bao, Y. Y. & Zhang, C. X. Mucin-like protein, a saliva
component involved in brown planthopper virulence and host adaptation. J. Insect Physiol. *98*,
223-230 (2017).

15. Shangguan, X. X. et al. A Mucin-Like Protein of Planthopper Is Required for Feeding and
Induces Immunity Response in Plants. Plant Physiol. *176*, 552-565 (2018).

16. Meldrum NU, R. F. Carbonic anhydrase. Its preparation and properties. J. Physiol. *80*, 113-
142 (1933).

17. Engineer, C. B. et al. Carbonic anhydrases, EPF2 and a novel protease mediate CO2 control
of stomatal development. Nature *513*, 246-250 (2014).

18. Yu, X. J., McGourty, K., Liu, M., Unsworth, K. E. & Holden, D. W. pH sensing by intracellular
Salmonella induces effector translocation. Science *328*, 1040-1043 (2010).

19. Smith, K. S. & Ferry, J. G. Prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. *24*, 335-
366 (2000).

20. Henry, R. P. Multiple roles of carbonic anhydrase in cellular transport and metabolism. Annu.
Rev. Physiol. *58*, 523-538 (1996).

21. Zhou, Y. L. et al. Carbonic anhydrases CA1 and CA4 function in atmospheric CO2-modulated
disease resistance. Planta *251*, 75 (2020).

22. Xu, H. J. et al. Two insulin receptors determine alternative wing morphs in planthoppers.
Nature *519*, 464-467 (2015).

23. Ghaffar, M. B., Pritchard, J. & Ford-Lloyd, B. Brown planthopper (*N. lugens* Stål) feeding
behaviour on rice germplasm as an indicator of resistance. PLoS One *6*, e22137 (2011).

24. Gjetting, K. S. K., Ytting, C. K., Schulz, A. & Fuglsang, A. T. Live imaging of intra- and
extracellular pH in plants using pHusion, a novel genetically encoded biosensor. J. Exp. Bot. *63*,
12 (2012).

25. Kesten, C. et al. Pathogen-induced pH changes regulate the growth-defense balance in
plants. EMBO J. *38*, e101822 (2019).

26. Liu, L. et al. Extracellular pH sensing by plant cell-surface peptide-receptor complexes. Cell
185: 3341-3355 (2022).

27. Fu, Z. Q. & Dong, X. N. Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global
defense. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. *64*, 839-863 (2013).

28. Innes, R. The Positives and Negatives of NPR: A Unifying Model for Salicylic Acid Signaling
in Plants. Cell *173*, 1314-1315 (2018).

29. Guo, J. P. et al. *Bph6* encodes an exocyst-localized protein and confers broad resistance to
planthoppers in rice. Nat. Genet. *50*, 297-306 (2018).

30. He, J. et al. An R2R3 MYB transcription factor confers brown planthopper resistance by
regulating the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase pathway in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *117*,
271-277 (2020).

31. Yoshida S, F. D., Cock JH, Gomez KA. Laboratory manual for physiological studies of rice,
3rd edn. Manila, The Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, 61-64 (1976).

32. Hao, P. Y. et al. Herbivore-induced callose deposition on the sieve plates of rice: an important
mechanism for host resistance. Plant Physiol. *146*, 1810-1820 (2008).

33. Guo, J. et al. A tripartite rheostat controls self-regulated host plant resistance to insects.
Nature *618*:799-807 (2023).

34. Huang, H.-J. et al. Planthopper salivary sheath protein LsSP1 contributes to manipulation of
rice plant defenses. Nat. Commun. *14*, 737 (2023).

35. Huang, H.-J. et al. Salivary DNase II from *Laodelphax striatellus* acts as an effector that
suppresses plant defense. New Phytol. *224*, 860-874 (2019).

36. Elzinga, D. A., De Vos, M. & Jander, G. Suppression of plant defenses by a *Myzus persicae*
(green peach aphid) salivary effector protein. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. *27*, 747-756 (2014).

37. Su, Q. et al. A salivary ferritin in the whitefly suppresses plant defenses and facilitates host
exploitation. J. Exp. Bot. *70*, 3343-3355 (2019).

38. Fu, J. et al. Planthopper-Secreted Salivary Disulfide Isomerase Activates Immune Responses
in Plants. Front. Plant Sci. *11*, 622513 (2020).

39. Murphy, E. & De Smet, I. Understanding the RALF family: a tale of many species. Trends
Plant Sci. *19*, 664-671 (2014).

40. Abarca, A., Franck, C. M. & Zipfel, C. Family-wide evaluation of RAPID ALKALINIZATION
FACTOR peptides. Plant Physiol. *187*, 996-1010 (2021).

41. Haruta, M., Sabat, G., Stecker, K., Minkoff, B. B. & Sussman, M. R. A peptide hormone and
its receptor protein kinase regulate plant cell expansion. Science *343*, 408-411 (2014).

42. Koh, E. J. et al. Callose deposition in the phloem plasmodesmata and inhibition of phloem
transport in citrus leaves infected with "*Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus*". Protoplasma *249*,
687-697 (2012).

43. Ernst, A. M. et al. Sieve element occlusion (SEO) genes encode structural phloem proteins
involved in wound sealing of the phloem. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, E1980-1989 (2012).

44. Batailler, B. et al. Soluble and filamentous proteins in Arabidopsis sieve elements. Plant Cell
Environ. *35*, 1258-1273 (2012).

45. Jiang, Y. J., Zhang, C. X., Chen, R. Z. & He, S. Y. Challenging battles of plants with phloem-
feeding insects and prokaryotic pathogens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *116*: 23390-23397
(2019).

46. Hattori, M., Komatsu, S., Noda, H. & Matsumoto, Y. Proteome Analysis of Watery Saliva

Secreted by Green Rice Leafhopper, Nephotettix cincticeps. PLoS One *10*, e0123671 (2015).

47. Guo, H. et al. Salivary carbonic anhydrase II in winged aphid morph facilitates plant infection

by viruses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *120*, e2222040120 (2023).

48. Yang, Y. L. & Sourjik, V. Opposite responses by different chemoreceptors set a tunable

preference point in Escherichia coli pH taxis. Mol. Microbiol. *86*, 1482-1489 (2012).

49. Hayashi, M., Fukuzawa, T., Sorimachi, H. & Maeda, T. Constitutive activation of the pH-

responsive Rim101 pathway in yeast mutants defective in late steps of the MVB/ESCRT

pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. *25*, 9478-9490 (2005).

50. Shen, J. et al. Organelle pH in the Arabidopsis Endomembrane System. Mol. Plant *6*, 1419-

1437 (2013).

A

	CY3	DAPI	Merged
Control			
NICA		glands	glands

C

	NICA-CFP	YFP	Merged

B

```
  1 MELFFHISMI VTIMVVSLTG MGRTTRLFRSR GGPMAPSEPEF PTELEGEDRFL VNPLNVDEVL SFGPRAPQGS
 71 SPGFPWDRSQL PQPQQSPIDIC RCKCKSMTYQ PLKLSYAKTSR NDGIVVEISN SGHGVNLKVPKA EAKPFLSGGML
141 SNRYIYDNIH CHWGRNDIMG SEHFIDAESYP MECHMVTFNE KYGTINEALK NKQDGVVVLT WFYKLANEDNK
211 RLQNFLQYVPS VRENGAKIFRA DQNALFFPLEV LPINQEYFAY PGSLTTPPFTE AVTFIILPIAV GISKDQLALLR
281 TLMDDKNPSKP VLSNRREIQTL NHRTVYCVKGR VQAISREIPPQ QSNDVYNQIH RYC
```

Figure 1. Initial characterization of *Nilaparvata lugens* carbonic anhydrase (NICA). (A) *in situ* RNA hybridisation of salivary glands in 5-instar BPHs (lower row) using antisense NICA sequence as a probe. Sense *NICA* probe was used as a negative control (upper row). White arrows indicate glands. Nuclei are stained blue by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bar = 100 μm. (B) The amino acid sequence of NICA. The highlighted amino acid residues indicate the peptides detected in BPH-infested rice sheath tissue by LC-MS analysis. (C) NICA is colocalized with the YFP signals in *N. benthamiana* (upper row; Scale bar = 10 μm) and rice cells (lower row; Scale bar = 5 μm). YFP and NICA-CFP fusion proteins were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells for 48 h using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression method. YFP and NICA-CFP fusion proteins were co-expressed in rice protoplasts 16 h after the corresponding DNA constructs were introduced into rice protoplasts via polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation. Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.

Figure 2. Effects of *NICA* RNAi on BPH survival on rice cultivar Nipponbare. (A) The *NICA* transcript levels in BPH at 3 dpi post-injection of ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* were determined by qRT-PCR (displayed as % of the *NICA* transcript abundance in control BPH). Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 4 experimental replicates (Two-way ANOVA; 3 biological replicates in each experiment and 30 individual insects pooled for each biological replicate). (B) The daily survival rates of ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on artificial diet. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates (one biological replicate includes 50 individual BPH adults fed on artificial diet in a lucifugal plastic bottle). (C) The daily survival rates of ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on Nipponbare and *NICA*-expressing line 1. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 8 biological replicates (Two-way ANOVA; 20 individual 2nd BPH nymphs per rice plant for each biological replicate). The black dashed line indicates significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in survival rates start day 9 between ds*NICA* BPH on Nipponbare (Nip) and other treatments. (D) Schematic display of

the NICA protein with the N-terminus displayed in bule, the C-terminus in green and the central catalytic domain in yellow/orange. The amino acid sequence (158 - 280 aa) of the putative active site is showed. Amino acid substitutions in NICA-m1, NICA-m2 and NICA-m3 are indicated in red. (E-F) The survival rates of 20 ds*GFP* and ds*NICA* BPH insects in Nipponbare and *NICA* transgenic plants after 1- and 15-days post-infestation. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 6 biological replicates; 20 individual 2nd BPH nymphs per rice plant for each biological replicate). ns indicates no significant difference between treatments (Two-way ANOVA). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey test, *P* < 0.05). Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.

Figure 3. Role of NICA in maintaining intracellular pH of rice cells. (A) Confocal microscopic images at BPH feeding sites in Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) 4 h after placing 30 5th-instar BPH nymphs on each plant. Confocal images from plants with no BPH feeding served as the control. Scale bar = 20 μm. (B-E) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios at 1.5 h (B), 4 h (C), 8 h (D) and 12 h (E) of ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* BPH treatment compared with no BPH control. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 24 circular areas of leaf sheath phloem with a diameter of 100 μm with the feeding site at the center). (F-I) Expression of defense response genes, *OsWRKY45*, *OsWRKY13*, *OsNH1* and *OsNH2*, in Nipponbare plants infested by ds*GFP* or ds*NICA* BPH. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate represents pooled leaf sheaths from three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH nymphs per plant. (J) Confocal microscopic images of Nipponbare plants expressing a ratiometric cytoplasmic pH sensor (Cyto-pHusion) at 12 h after being transferred to Yoshida medium at pH of 2. Confocal images from

plants grown in Yoshida medium with pH of 4 served as the control. Scale bar = 15 μm. (K) EGFP:mRFP signal ratios. EGFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 500 nm and λ_{Em} = 540 nm. mRFP was imaged at λ_{Ex} = 570 nm and λ_{Em} = 620 nm. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 33 calculation area per condition). (L) The 7th-day survival rates of ds*GFP*- and ds*NICA*-injected BPH insects feeding on Nipponbare growing in media with different pHs. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates (20 individual insects per each biological replicate). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey test, *P* < 0.05). Experiments were repeated at least three times with similar trends in this figure.

Figure 4. NICA dampens callose deposition and defense gene expression. (A-D) Callose accumulation (bright blue fluorescence indicated by red arrows) on the sieve plates of Nipponbare leaf sheaths (A and C) and *NICA-OE* leaf sheaths (B and D) plants before and 72 h after BPH feeding. P, phloem; X, xylem. The pictures were taken under a Zeiss Microscope. (A-B)

Cross-sections. Scale bar = 5 µm. (C-D) Longitudinal sections. Scale bar = 10 µm. (E) Total areas of callose deposition in BPH-infested leaf sheaths of Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total areas of callose deposition found in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of four experiments. (F) Total number of callose deposits in BPH-infested leaf sheaths of Nipponbare and *NICA*-OE. Each data point represents the total number of callose deposits found in 300 cross-sections of each experiment. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of four experiments. (G-J) Relative expression levels of the callose synthase gene *OsGSL1* (G), *OsGSL3* (H), *OsGSL5* (I) and *OsGns5* (J) in response to BPH feeding. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates. Each biological replicate represents pooled leaf sheath form three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH nymphs per plant. (K-N) Expression of defense marker gene *OsWRKY45* (K)*, OsWRKY13* (L)*, OsNH1* (M) and *OsNH1* (N) in response to BPH feeding. Values are displayed as mean ± SEM of 3 biological replicates. Each biological replicate represents pooled leaf sheaths from three individual rice plants fed by 20 5th instar BPH nymphs per plant. ns indicates no significant difference between treatments (Two-way ANOVA). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences analyzed by two-way ANOVA (Tukey test, $P < 0.05$). Experiments were repeated three times with similar trends.