NSC95397 is a Novel HIV Latency Reversing Agent

Randilea Nichols Doyle[1+], Vivian Yang[1,2+], Robert Damoiseaux[3,4], Oliver I. Fregoso[1,2]

[1] Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of
California, Los Angeles, California, USA
[2] Molecular Biology Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA
[3] California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California,
USA
[4] Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of California, Los
Angeles, California, USA

[+] Randilea Nichols Doyle and Vivian Yang contributed equally to this work. Author order
was determined alphabetically.

Correspondence: Oliver I. Fregoso
Tel: 310.794.1424
Email: ofregoso@mednet.ucla.edu

Abstract

The latent viral reservoir represents one of the major barriers of curing HIV. Focus on the "kick

and kill" approach, in which virus expression is reactivated then cells producing virus are

selectively depleted, has led to the discovery of many latency reversing agents (LRAs) that can

reactivate latently integrated virus and further our understanding of the mechanisms driving HIV

latency and latency reversal. Thus far, individual compounds have yet to be robust enough to

work as a therapy, highlighting the importance of identifying new compounds that can act in novel

pathways and synergize with known LRAs. In this study, we identified a promising LRA,

NSC95397, from a screen of ~4250 compounds in J-Lat cell lines. We validated that NSC95397

reactivates latent viral transcription and protein expression from cells with unique integration

events. Cotreating cells with NSC95397 and known LRAs demonstrated that NSC95397 has the

potential to synergize with different drugs, such prostratin, a PKC agonist, and SAHA, an HDAC

inhibitor. By looking at multiple common markers of open chromatin, we show that NSC95397

does not increase open chromatin globally. Bulk RNA sequencing revealed that NSC95397 does

not greatly change cellular transcription. Instead, NSC95397 downregulates many pathways key

to metabolism, cell growth, and DNA repair – highlighting the potential of these pathways in

regulating HIV latency. Overall, we identified NSC95397 as a novel LRA that does not alter global

transcription, that shows potential for synergy with known LRAs, and that may act through novel

pathways not previously recognized for their ability to modulate HIV latency.

Introduction

As antiretroviral therapy (ART) has successfully prolonged the life expectancy of HIV positive individuals, these patients are now at higher risk from comorbidities such as cancers and HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Latency reversal remains one of the primary hopes of curing HIV infected individuals. However, our lack of understanding about the molecular mechanisms leading to latency and reactivation has impeded our capacity to efficiently reactivate latent HIV. While some components of latency are inherent to the virus, such as the expression of Tat and cis-regulatory elements encoded within HIV, it seems that the primary determinants of latency and reactivation are cellular host factors that control gene expression (1, 2). Once integrated, expression of the HIV provirus is subject to much of the same cellular machinery that regulates host genes. The HIV-1 LTR has multiple binding sites for the transcription factor NF- κB, as well as binding sites for both constitutive (Sp1) and inducible (NF-AT and AP-1) transcription factors (2, 3). Since transcription is dependent on the accessibility of these transcription factor binding sites, histone positioning and epigenetic modifications have large effects on HIV gene expression (1, 2). Consistent with this data, the most promising latency reversing agents (LRAs) attempt to activate host transcription (such as PKC, JNK/MAPK, and NF-κB agonists) and chromatin decondensation (such as histone deacetylase inhibitors, histone methyltransferase inhibitors, and DNA methyltransferase inhibitors). PKC agonists, such as PMA and prostratin, can potently activate latent virus in cell lines but have not been taken to clinical trials for various reasons, including oncogenic potential (4). Similarly, other compounds targeting these pathways, such as Bryostatin-1 and SAHA (Vorinostat), have performed well *in vitro* and *ex vivo* but have had limited success in clinical trials (4, 5). The likely reason for the lack of viable LRAs is the heterogeneity of the viral reservoir *in vivo* (5). To overcome this, new LRAs are needed, especially ones acting through different mechanisms or different pathways. At the same time, mechanistically distinct LRAs have the potential to synergize and increase the desired effect of reactivating latent virus

with lower concentrations of drug and thus minimizing side effects. As such, future treatments will

likely need to be combinatorial to overcome toxic side effects as well as stimulate as many latent

cells as possible.

To address the need for LRAs stimulating different pathways and mechanisms than current ones,

we performed a medium-throughput screen in J-Lat 10.6 cells, a clonally selected Jurkat cell line

with an integrated ΔEnv HIV-1 that contains GFP in the place of Nef (6-8). At steady state, these

cells express little to no HIV or GFP, but upon activation with a LRA, such as PMA, HIV and GFP

are expressed. We started with approximately 4,250 unique small molecules that we narrowed

down through multiple steps of validation, including flow cytometry, qPCR, and western blot for

both HIV and GFP, to a single compound, NSC95397, which reactivates latent HIV in multiple J-

Lat clones with unique integration events. Previously studied for its potential to treat cancer,

NSC95397 is a Cdc25 phosphatase inhibitor that can also inhibit many members of the dual-

specificity phosphatase (DUSP) family, specifically DUSP1 (MKP1), DUSP6 (MKP3), and

DSUP14 (MKP6) (9-12), which are key to cell proliferation and reaction to infection. NSC95397

has been primarily studied in cancer cells, with a focus on its ability to inhibit cell growth and

induce cell cycle arrest (10). Only a few studies have been conducted in immune cells, where

NSC95397 is suggested to be anti-inflammatory through inhibition of serine-threonine kinases

such CD45 and SHP-2 (13). Additionally, most transcription factor families associated with HIV

transcription are inhibited in NSC95397 treated cells, making the mechanism by which NSC95397

activates HIV transcription unclear (14).

To understand how NSC95397 is activating HIV expression in the J-Lat system, we performed

combinatorial studies with current LRAs and found that NSC95397 is not acting upon the same

pathways, as it showed a more than additive effect on reactivation when combined with other

LRAs. Additionally, analysis of histone marks associated with active transcription indicated that

NSC95397 does not alter global histone modifications to reactivate latent HIV. Transcriptome

analysis by RNA sequencing found that NSC95397 does not stimulate transcriptional upregulation

or Tat-mediated LTR activation. Instead, NSC95397 leads to the downregulation of diverse

pathways, including metabolism, cell cycle, hypoxia/redox, and DNA repair. We confirmed that

cells treated with NSC95397 have increased accumulation of signaling molecules in the DNA

damage repair pathways. Thus, while we were unable to identify an obvious mechanism of action

for NSC95397, our data indicates that it acts on different and unknown pathways compared to

current LRAs and could be a useful combinatorial therapy in our quest to cure latent HIV-1.

Results

<u>NSC95397 is identified as a HIV latency reversal agent (LRA) from a medium-throughput screen.</u>

To identify novel compounds and molecular pathways of HIV latency reversal, we conducted a

medium-throughput screen of approximately 4,250 compounds (Figure 1A) in J-Lat 10.6 cells, a

clonally selected Jurkat cell line with a latently integrated ΔEnv HIV-1 that contains GFP in the

place of Nef (6, 7). In the initial screen, we incubated the J-Lat 10.6 cells with individual

compounds in 348-well glass-bottom plates for 36 hours, then imaged for GFP expression using

an automated ImageExpress microscope (Figure S1 A-B). Using Z-score cutoffs of ≥ 2 for GFP

cell count and % of cells GFP positive and ≥ 1 for GFP average intensity, we identified 83

compounds for additional testing (Figure 1B-C). Though PMA was a positive control used in this

study, we also found it as a hit within the library (light grey square in Figure 1B-C, S1A-B).

Additionally, we identified IBET151 (purple diamond), a previously described LRA (15),

suggesting our screen is robust enough to identify new potential LRAs (Figure 1B-C, S1A-B). To

validate the 83 hits, GFP expression was measured in J-Lat 10.6 cells treated in triplicate and

incubated for 24 and 48 hours (Figure 1C). 35 of the 83 compounds validated with repeated GFP

expression. Neuronal signaling, DNA damage response (DDR) pathways, and metabolism were

the top three pathways targeted by the 35 validated compounds (Figure 1D). Next, we performed

EC_{50} titration curves on a subset of the validated compounds in the J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells,

another clonal J-Lat line with a unique integration event (8), at 24 and 48 hours (Figure S1C-E).

While most compounds further validated at multiple concentrations and with little toxicity, we

found that NSC95397 stimulates HIV (GFP) expression in both 10.6 and 5A8 J-Lat cells equal to

or more than the LRA positive control PMA (Figure 1E; blue triangle in Figure 1B-C, S1A-B).

Unlike NSC95397, which stimulates HIV expression in both J-Lat clonal cell lines, IBET151 only

stimulates GFP expression in 10.6 cells (Figure 1F). Through our screen and follow-up validation,

we identified NSC95397 as a compound previously not linked to HIV latency reversal that can

reactivate HIV expression in multiple latency clones with unique integration events.

<u>NSC95397 reactivates GFP and p24 expression in J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells.</u>

One of the consequences of fluorescence-based screens is the potential for false positives from

autofluorescent compounds. To rule out false positives and ensure true HIV reactivation, we

assayed hits from our screen for intracellular HIV p24 via flow cytometry, GFP protein expression

by flow cytometry and western blot, and HIV-1 and GFP mRNA expression by qRT-PCR. At higher

concentrations, NSC95397 is slightly autofluorescent in the GFP channel with 30-40% of cells

GFP+ (Figure 2A) vs 20% p24+ (Figure 2B). qPCR confirmed expression of both GFP and

unspliced HIV RNA with fold changes ranging 10 – 150 compared to untreated cells (Figure 2 C-

D). Lastly, protein expression was confirmed by western blot for GFP in both J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8

cells, further indicating that NSC95397-mediated HIV reactivation occurs irrespective of

integration site (Figure 2E). In contrast to NSC95397, another hit from the screen was Sunitinib,

a receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor used to treat cancer (Figure S2A). However, no intracellular

HIV p24 was detected when measured via flow cytometry (Figure S2B). Consistent with the lack

of protein expression, there was no gene expression for GFP or HIV-1 as measured by qRT-PCR

(Figure S2D-E). Lastly, autofluorescent ("green") cells were identified in Sunitinib-treated Jurkat

cells with flow cytometry (Figure S2C). These data indicate that while Sunitinib is a false positive

with autofluorescence in the GFP channel, NSC95397 induces HIV-1 RNA and protein expression

in multiple J-Lat clones.

<u>NSC95397 increases HIV reactivation in combination with established LRAs.</u>

While NSC95397 has been studied as a dual-specificity phosphatase inhibitor, it has not been

correlated with canonical HIV latency reactivation pathways, nor well-tested in immune cells. To

better understand the molecular mechanisms by which NSC95397 reactivates latently integrated

HIV-1, we first looked to see whether NSC95397 could synergize with other known latency

reversing compounds. We expected that if the combined treatments increase reactivation more

than the simple addition of the treatments alone, then the compounds likely act in different,

potentially synergistic, pathways.

We first assessed the potential for NSC95397 to synergize with SAHA, a histone-deacetylase

inhibitor. At 1.0 to 12.5 µM, SAHA alone reactivates both J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells in a titratable

manner (Figure 3A-B, S3A-B). When combined with 1.5 µM NSC95397 in the J-Lat 10.6 cells,

there is a more than additive increase of reactivation, especially with 5.0 µM and 1.0 µM SAHA

(Figure 3A, S3A). In the J-Lat 5A8 cells, there is a more than additive increase of reactivation in

all combinations (Figure 3B, S3B). There is also a moderate increase in dead GFP+ cells when

NSC is combined with SAHA (Figure S3A-B). This suggests that NSC95397 does not act similar

to SAHA. To directly determine whether NSC95397 modifies the global epigenetic landscape to

increase euchromatin, we treated J-Lat 10.6 and J-Lat 5A8 cells with NSC95397 and assessed

the levels of three markers of active promoter and enhancer regions by flow cytometry: H3K4me3,

H3K9ac, H3K27ac. In both cell lines, there was little to no change in NSC95397 treated cells

compared to untreated cells for all three markers of active chromatin (Figure 3C-D, S4A-D).

We next assessed the potential for NSC95397 to synergize with prostratin, a PKC agonist that

can reactivate latent HIV through NF-κB mediated transcription. In J-Lat 10.6 cells, 0.1 to 0.66

µM of prostratin alone lead to a titratable increase of GFP compared to untreated cells (Figure

3E, S3C). Similarly, 0.5 to 5.0 µM of prostratin lead to a titratable increase of GFP in J-Lat 5A8

cells compared to untreated cells (Figure 3F, S3D). When combined with 1.5 µM NSC95397,

there is a more than additive effect for both cell lines (Figure 3E-F, S3C-D), suggesting that

prostratin and NSC95397 act in different pathways. Similar to SAHA treated cells, there was also

an increase in dead GFP+ cells when NSC and prostratin were combined (Fig S3 C-D). Together,

the data suggests that NSC95397 does not directly reactivate latent HIV through NF-κB

transcription nor through altering chromatin to allow for active transcription.

NSC95397 does not increase known HIV-associated transcription pathways.

As NSC95397 does not appear to change histone modifications nor act through PKC/NF-κB

transcription, we utilized bulk RNA sequencing to identify potential transcriptional pathways

associated with NSC95397-mediated latency reversal. J-Lat 10.6 cells were treated with

NSC95397 for 24 hours, with PMA and SAHA used as positive controls. PMA and SAHA treated

cells group apart in both PCA and cluster analysis, while NSC95397 and group close to the

untreated control cells (Figure 4A-B), indicative of their different mechanisms of action. PMA,

SAHA, and NSC95397 all increased viral transcripts compared to untreated cells (Figure 4C, S5A,

S6). As HIV Tat stimulates LTR transcription and elongation, we also looked for upregulation in

Tat-regulated host genes but found little change and correlation to Tat-mediated host transcripts

(Figure 4D), suggesting NSC95397 does not specifically work through stimulation of HIV-1 Tat.

As some compounds may reactivate latently infected cells due to heat shock stress (16), we also

looked for increase in heat shock response transcripts but saw little change in NSC95397 treated

cells (Figure 4E). Notably, unlike the positive controls, NSC95397 had very few differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) outside of the HIV-1 transcripts, suggesting that NSC95967 may

specifically activate the latent HIV and few other genes. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

for enriched hallmarks did not identify any positively enriched hallmarks for NSC95397. This is

supported by the lack of increased HIV-related transcription factor transcripts (Figure 4F) as well

as the lack of enrichment of transcription factors by TRRUST (transcriptional regulatory

relationships unraveled by sentence-based text-mining, http://www.grnpedia.org/trrust) analysis

(Figure S5B). While TRRUST also did not show enrichment of transcription-factor-mediated

changes for downregulated genes and pathways (Figure S5C), GSEA analysis identified many

significant negatively enriched hallmarks, including metabolism, cell cycle, hypoxia/redox, stress

response, and DNA damage/repair (Figure 4G, Supplement Table 1). Thus, our RNA sequencing

analyses indicate that NSC95397 does not greatly alter the global transcriptional landscape,

despite specifically activating HIV transcription.

<u>NSC95397 increases markers of DNA damage.</u>

DNA damage has been linked to transcriptional and epigenomic changes that are related to

activating transcriptional pathways, some of which have been correlated with latency reactivation

(17, 18). As we identified downregulation of genes in pathways mediating DNA repair by

NSC95397 (Figure 4G), we asked if NSC95397 treatment leads to an accumulation of DNA

damage. To test this, we treated U2OS cells with DMSO as a negative control, Etoposide, a

topoisomerase II inhibitor that induces DNA breaks, as a positive control, PMA, or NSC95397 and

probed for three different markers of the DNA damage response (DDR) by immunofluorescence:

γH2AX, a general marker of DNA damage, RPA32, a marker of single stranded DNA (ssDNA)

lesions, and 53BP1, a marker of double stranded DNA (dsDNA) breaks. NSC95397 treatment led

to an increase in γH2AX mean fluorescence intensity when compared to untreated cells, though

to a much lesser extent than Etoposide (Figure 5). Similar results were seen for RPA32 and

53BP1 when measuring foci per cell; however, the effect on 53BP1 was not as robust as RPA32,

suggesting potential specificity in the DDR activation by NSC95397 (Figure 5). Together, this

supports our RNA sequencing analysis and suggests that NSC95397 activates the host DDR,

particularly ssDNA break pathways.

Discussion

In this study, we have identified NSC95397 as a novel LRA that reactivates latent HIV expression

differently from previously reported latency reversing mechanisms. Although NSC95397 has been

studied as a potential cancer therapeutic, there are few studies in immune cell types and none

that explain its ability to reverse HIV latency. NSC95397 broadly inhibits members of the DUSP

family and thus change many pathways in cell signaling, therefore it is possible that the compound

could dysregulate some of the transcription factor families related to HIV latency reversal and

general HIV transcription (2, 3). However, unlike with PMA and SAHA, our RNA sequencing data

suggests that NSC95397 does not globally activate transcription pathways associated with HIV

latency reversal. This is true even with the shared downregulation of Myc and Myc-associated

pathways, which has been shown to promote proviral latency (19). In fact, at the concentration

and timing we used, there are very little differentially expressed genes and the overall

transcriptome remains very similar to untreated cells. This, along with the lack of change in global

histone markers we checked, suggests that NSC95397 may cause little side effects and make it

an ideal drug to consider for cure therapy.

At the same time, the lack of global changes epigenetically and transcriptionally suggests the

possibility that NSC95397 reactivates latent HIV through mechanisms that are either LTR specific

or not yet tied to latency modulation. Since NSC95397 is a broad dual-specificity phosphatase

inhibitor, further exploring changes in global proteomics, phosphoproteomics, and post-

translational modifications to identify protein networks affected would help to understand how

latency can be governed and may elucidate new pathways involved in HIV latency and

reactivation. Similarly, since RNA sequencing showed shutdown of metabolism, DNA repair,

hypoxia/stress response, and generally anabolic pathways in addition to Myc-associated

pathways, it would be important to look at how changes in metabolism and the metabolic

microenvironment can influence latency.

Of the enriched downregulated pathways, the shutdown of DNA repair especially stood out to us

due to data implicating the DNA damage response (DDR) in HIV replication. Early studies with

UV have shown that DNA damage can increase HIV transcription (20, 21). Similarly, members of

the DDR have been tangentially implicated in mechanisms related to latency modulation (18, 22).

This opens the potential for NSC95397 to reactivate latent viral transcription through the

downstream effects of turning off portions of the DDR and the accumulation of DNA damage

markers as well as increasing the effects of DNA-damage-related transcription from expressed

viral proteins. At the same time, there is an increase in the reactivation profile of dead cells treated

with NSC95397, which could suggest a sensitivity to DNA damage post reactivation. Our data

highlights that further specific studies need to be done to define the precise connections between

the DDR and latency reactivation, both generally and with NSC95397.

Despite its currently unknown mechanisms of action for HIV latency reversal, the potential for

NSC95397 to synergize with other compounds is an important consideration for future work, since

single LRAs have not performed well on their own in clinical trials. Additionally, combining

synergistic LRAs allows for lower concentrations of each compound, thus maximizing efficiency

while lowering toxicity and other side effects. We showed that NSC95397 not only has a greater

than additive effect when combined with either prostratin or SAHA, but also increases the

proportion of reactivated cells in the dead population, as mentioned above. This demonstrates a

potential for NSC95397 or future derivatives to work well with other LRAs for activating cells with

latent HIV, while also sensitizing reactivated cells to death through DNA damage or other

mechanisms. Interestingly, the two J-Lat clonal cell lines we used responded, both in HIV

expression and cell toxicity, to NSC95397 co-treatments differentially, suggesting that there may

be integration site specific responses (Figure S3). While this could be explained by cell line

specific properties, it highlights the issue with reservoir heterogeneity and the importance of

formulating LRA cocktails that target multiple pathways and will reach a greater proportion of the

latent viral reservoir.

Another key consideration is as the population of HIV infected individuals is getting older, there

has been an increase in AIDS and non-AIDS related cancers (23-25), with cancer as one of the

leading causes of death for HIV positive individuals on ART (23-25). However, the effect of

chemotherapy on the expansion or contraction of the latent HIV reservoir has not been explored,

highlighting the importance in understanding how the drug regimens interact to affect HIV

infection, latency, and cancers. While NSC95397 is not currently used to treat cancer, given the

importance of Cdc25 inhibition in cancer therapy, NSC95397 represents an interesting compound

to further test in the scope of HIV infected individuals who develop cancer. Moreover, our study

sets the foundation to test additional cancer therapies for their impact on HIV replication and the

latent viral reservoir.

In summary, our results present NSC95397, a novel LRA with an unknown mechanism that

exhibits important characteristics as an LRA: potential for synergizing with known LRAs and little

change in global transcription and chromatin landscape. In future studies, to better understand

latency modulation and find a new direction for LRA development, it will be important to follow up

on the specific mechanism by which NSC95367 reactivates latent HIV, how it specifically affects

immune cells both infected and not, and how well the compound performs as an LRA *in vivo.*

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the UCLA Molecular Screening Shared Resource, especially Bobby Tofig

and Constance Yuen, for their help with performing the drug screen and analysis. Flow cytometry

was performed in the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center (JCCC) and Center for AIDS

Research Flow Cytometry Core Facility. We thank the UCLA Technology Center for Genomics &

Bioinformatics for performing the RNA sequencing library preparation and sequencing. We would

also like to thank Jeff Long and Steve Jacobsen (UCLA) for the use of the LSM 980. We thank

Warner Greene for generously sending us the J-Lat 5A8 cells used in this study. This research

was funded by NIH NIAID grant R01AI147837 (O.I.F.) and a UCLA DGSOM I3T Discovery Seed

Grant (O.I.F), with support from the Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award

AI007323 (R.N.D, V.Y.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author Contributions

Experimental Concept and Design: R.N.D., V.Y., R.D., O.I.F.

Experiment Execution: R.N.D., V. Y.

Manuscript Writing and Editing: R.N.D., V.Y., O.I.F.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Culture

The J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells were cultured in flasks (Thermo Fisher Nunc™ EasYFlask™) in

RPMI 1640 growth medium (L-glutamine, Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1%

penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) and the human bone osteosarcoma epithelial (U2OS) cells were

cultured as adherent cells directly on tissue culture plastic (Greiner) in DMEM growth medium

(high glucose, L-glutamine, no sodium pyruvate; Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and

1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) at 37°C and 5% CO_2. U2OS cells were harvested using 0.05%

Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco).

Medium-throughput compound screen

J-Lat 10.6 or 5A8 cells were seeded into 384-well black, glass-bottom plates (Greiner) using an

Agilent BioTek Multiflo. All compounds were stored at 10 mM in DMSO, and 0.25 µl was added

to each well using a Biomek Fx (Beckman Coulter). Media containing 0.25 µl DMSO or 5.0 ng/mL

PMA was added to the first and last two columns, respectively. Cells were incubated for 24, 36,

or 48 hours. Cells incubated with Hoechst (Invitrogen H3570) for 15 minutes then each plate was

imaged using ImageXpress Micro Confocal High Content Imaging System (Molecular Devices).

Images were analyzed using the MetaXpress Analysis software, and the raw data was imported

into the Collaborative Drug Discovery vault online to generate Z scores. The EC_{50} was conducted

similarly; each compound was purchased new, resuspended at 10 mM in DMSO, then a 10-step

4-fold dilution was pipetted down the plate. Follow up studies were done manually with IBET151

(Cayman Chemistry 11181), NSC95397 (Cayman Chemistry 21431), PMA (VWR 102515-692),

prostratin (Cayman Chemistry 10272), SAHA (Cayman Chemistry 10009929), and Sunitinib

(Cayman Chemistry 13159).

Flow cytometry

J-Lat 10.6 or 5A8 cells were plated in U-bottom 96-well microplates (Corning Inc) at 1×10^6

cells/mL in plain or drug media for 24 hours. Cells were then incubated with Ghost Dye (Ghost

Dye™ Red 780) (1:4000 µL PBS) for 30 min at 4°C, then fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min.

Alternatively, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min, then permeabilized with 0.1% Saponin for

5 minutes and probed with anti-H3K4me3 (Cell Signaling 12064S, 1:150 in 0.1% Saponin), anti-

H3K9ac (Cell Signaling C5B11, 1:150 in 0.1% Saponin), anti-H3K27ac (Cell Signaling D5E4,

1:150 in 0.1% Saponin), or anti-p24 (Beckman Coulter 6604667, 1:250 in 0.1% Saponin) for 1

hour, in the dark as necessary. Cells were analyzed with an Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and data analysis was performed with FlowJo (BD).

Western Blots

J-Lat 10.6 or 5A8 cells were plated in 6-well tissue culture-treated plates (Greiner Bio-one) at

(5×10^6 cells/mL) in plain or drug media for 24 hrs. Cells were then lysed in

radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% DOC, 0.1% SDS,

50 mM Tris) for 15 min on ice and clarified by centrifugation at 14,800 x g for 15 minutes. Lysates

were boiled in 4x sample buffer (250 mM Tris-HCL, 8% SDS, 0.2% Bromophenol Blue, 40%

Glycerol, 20% β-mercaptoethanol) in preparation for SDS-PAGE using 12% Bis-Tris

polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes.

Immunoblotting was performed using mouse anti-eGFP (Takara, 1:2000), rabbit anti-Actin

(Bethyl, 1:6000), mouse anti-gag (NIH-ARP#3537, 1:1000) followed by donkey anti-rabbit IgG

800CW (IRDye®,1:20000) or donkey anti-mouse IgG 680RD (IRDye®,1:20000) and imaged on

a LI-COR Odyssey M.

RNA Isolation

Total RNA was isolated from $4x10^6$ J-Lat 10.6 cells according to the W.M. Keck Foundation

Biotechnology Resource Laboratory at Yale University TRIzol RNA Isolation protocol (26).

qRT-PCR

250 ng of input RNA was used as template for reverse transcription by SuperScript IV First-Stand

Synthesis System (Invitrogen) with Oligo(dT) primers. qRT-PCR was performed with PowerTrack

SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the LightCycler 480 System (Roche) with

the following primers: (5' to 3') HIV-1 unspliced RNA GCGACGAAGACCTCCTCAG and

GAGGTGGGTTGCTTTGATAGAGA and GAPDH

CAAGATCATCAGCAATGCCT and AGGGATGATGTTCTGGAGAG. Initial enzyme activation at

95°C for 2 minutes, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 60 seconds. mRNA levels

were quantified by calculating ΔΔCt. Target transcript Ct values were normalized to the Ct value

of the housekeeping gene GAPDH followed by calculating fold change to untreated cells.

RNA Library Prep and Sequencing

Libraries for RNA-Seq were prepared with KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq Kit (Cat.KK8420). The

workflow consists of mRNA enrichment and fragmentation, first strand cDNA synthesis using

random priming followed by second strand synthesis converting cDNA:RNA hybrid to double-

stranded cDNA (dscDNA), and incorporates dUTP into the second cDNA strand. cDNA

generation is followed by end repair to generate blunt ends, A-tailing, adaptor ligation and PCR

amplification. Different adaptors were used for multiplexing samples in one lane. Sequencing was

performed on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 for PE 2x50 run. Data quality check was done on Illumina

SAV. Demultiplexing was performed with Illumina Bcl2fastq v2.19.1.403 software.

RNA Sequencing Analysis

Fastq files were run through FastQC and MultiQC to check the quality of raw sequence data (27,

28). A custom reference genome was generated by combining the Genome Reference

Consortium Human build 38 (GRCh38/hg38) and the HIV-1 genome specific to J-Lat 10.6 (6)

before aligning the reads to the genome through STAR (29). Aligned reads were then normalized

through the DESeq2 package in R (30). R package EnhancedVolcano was used to visualize

specific gene subsets (31). Gene lists were sorted and filtered for log2FoldChange above 2.

Upregulated and downregulated gene lists were submitted to Metascape [https://metascape.org]

for drug specific and cross comparison analyses (32). Top 500 up/downregulated genes were

taken for drug specific Metascape analysis. Top 1000 up/downregulated genes were taken for

drug cross comparison Metascape analysis. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was

performed to identify the statistically significant hallmark gene sets from each drug treatment

compared to untreated cells (33, 34).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

U2OS cells were plated on glass coverslips in 6-well tissue culture-treated plates (Greiner Bio-

one) overnight and then switched into plain or drugged media consisting of either 5.0 ng/mL PMA,

50.0 µM Etoposide, 5.0 µM NSC95397, or 10.0 µL DMSO for 24 hours. Cells were then fixed in

4% PFA for 15 minutes and permeabilized with 1% Triton-X 100 in PBS for 5-10 min at 4°C. Cells

were then blocked with immunofluorescence (IF) block buffer (3% BSA in PBS) for 1 hour and

incubated with primary antibodies: rabbit anti-γH2A.x (Cell Signaling 9718, 1:2000), rabbit anti-

RPA32 (GeneTex GTX113004 1:2000), or rabbit anti-53BP1 (Cell Signaling S1778, 1:2000)

overnight at 4°C. Coverslips were washed three times with PBS then incubated with secondary

antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 or 594) and Hoechst 33342 (1:2000) for 1 hour. Coverslips were then

washed three times with PBS and mounted onto slides with ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant

(Invitrogen) and sealed when dried. Images were obtained with a ZEISS LSM 980.

Figure 1. NSC95397 identified as a HIV latency reversal agent (LRA) from a medium-throughput screen. A) Schematic detailing the screen methodology. **B-C)** Z-scores of total cell count or GFP intensity vs percent of cells GFP positive. The grey square and purple diamond are two known latency reversal agents, PMA and IBET151, respectively, and the blue triangle is a previously unknown LRA, NSC95397. **D)** 83 compounds were rescreened in triplicate at 24 and 48 hours. Each row of the heatmap represents a different compound, with the top and second row being the controls: untreated (UT) and PMA. **E)** A circle graph representing the pathways/targets of the 35 compounds that revalidated in **D**. **F-G)** The EC_{50} titration of NSC95397 and IBET151 in J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells. PMA was used as a positive control at 50nM.

Supplemental Figure 1. NSC95397 and IBET151 were identified as HIV LRAs of interest from a medium-throughput screen. A-B) The raw screen data of total cell count or GFP intensity vs percent of cells GFP positive. The grey square and purple diamond are two known latency reversal agents, PMA and IBET151, respectively, and the blue triangle is a previously unknown LRA, NSC95397. **C)** Example plate map of the EC_{50} screen. Each compound was screened in triplicate and across the plate is a 4-fold concentration decrease. The first two columns and last row were treated with the vehicle control, DMSO, and the last two columns were treated with the positive control, PMA. **D-E)** The percent of GFP positive cells, top green plate, and dead PI positive cell, lower red plate, measured during our EC_{50} titration experiment in J-Lat 10.6 (**D**) and 5A8 cells (**E**). Related to Figure 1.

Figure 2. NSC reactivates GFP and p24 expression in J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells. J-Lat 10.6 (**A-E**) or 5A8 (**F**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control), or a titration of NSC95397 (NSC) from 12.5 μM to 1.0 μM. **A-B)** Bar graphs showing GFP expression (**A**) or

intracellular HIV p24 (**B**) measured via flow cytometry. **C-D)** Bar graphs showing GFP (**C**) or

unspliced HIV RNA transcripts (**D**) measured via qRT-PCR. **E-F)** Western blot of whole cell

lysates of either J-Lat 10.6 (**E**) or 5A8 cells (**F**) probed for GFP and actin (loading control). 25.0

µM SAHA was used as appositive control. L = ladder; UT = untreated. Results were analyzed

with a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests in **A-B** or an unpaired t test in

C-D; ns = not significant, * = $p \leq 0.0332$, ** = $p \leq 0.0021$, *** = $p \leq 0.0002$, **** = $p \leq 0.0001$.

Supplemental Figure 2. Sunitinib is one of a subset of false positives identified in a J-Lat

screen for LRAs. J-Lat 10.6 or parental Jurkat cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL

PMA (positive control), or a titration of Sunitinib (SU) from 5.0 – 1.0 µM. **A-B)** Bar graphs showing

GFP (**A**) or intracellular HIV p24 (**B**) measured via flow cytometry in J-Lat 10.6 cells. **C)** A bar

graph of GFP measured via flow cytometry in treated Jurkat cells. **D-E)** Bar graphs showing GFP

(**D**) or unspliced HIV RNA transcripts (**E**) measured via qRT-PCR. Related to Figure 2.

Figure 3. NSC95397 increases HIV reactivation in combination with established LRAs. A-

B) J-Lat 10.6 (**A**) or 5A8 (**B**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control),

NSC95397 (NSC) at 1.5 µM, a titration of SAHA from 12.5 – 1.0 µM, or a titration of SAHA with

NSC held constant at 1.5µM. Bar graphs show GFP mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) measured

via flow cytometry. **C-D)** J-Lat 10.6 (**C**) or 5A8 (**D**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL

PMA, 25.0 µM SAHA (positive control), or NSC at 2.5 µM. Bar graphs show H3K9ac MFI

measured by flow cytometry. **E-F)** J-Lat 10.6 (**E**) or 5A8 (**F**) cells were untreated or treated with

5.0 ng/mL PMA, NSC at 1.5µM, a titration of prostratin (PS) from 0.66 – 0.1 µM (**E**) or 5 – 0.5 µM

(**F**), or a titration of PS with NSC held constant at 1.5 µM. Bar graphs show GFP MFI measured

via flow cytometry. Results were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple

comparisons tests; ns = not significant, * = p ≤ 0.0332, ** = p ≤ 0.0021, *** = p ≤ 0.0002, **** =

p ≤ 0.0001.

Supplemental Figure 3. NSC95397 increases HIV reactivation and cytotoxicity in

combination with established LRAs. Stacked graphs show percent of cells from the full

population that are either dead (ghost dye positive) and GFP- (black), dead and GFP+ (blue), live

and GFP+ (green), or live and GFP- (white) stacked to a total of 100%. **A-B)** J-Lat 10.6 (**A**) or

5A8 (**B**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control), NSC95397 (NSC)

at 1.5 μM, a titration of SAHA from 12.5 – 1.0 μM, or a titration of SAHA with NSC held constant

at 1.5 μM. **C-D)** J-Lat 10.6 (**C**) or 5A8 (**D**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA,

NSC at 1.5 μM, a titration of prostratin (PS) from 0.66 – 0.1 μM (**C**) or 5.0 – 0.5 μM (**D**), or a

titration of PS with NSC held constant at 1.5 μM. Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 4.

Supplemental Figure 4. NSC95397 does not change global histone modifications for open

chromatin. J-Lat 10.6 (**A, C**) or 5A8 (**B, E**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA,

25.0 μM SAHA (positive control for histone modifications), or 2.5 μM NSC. Bar graphs show

H3K4me3 (**A-B**) or H3K27ac (**C-D**) MFI measured by flow cytometry. Results were analyzed with

a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests; ns = not significant, * = p ≤ 0.0332,

** = p ≤ 0.0021, *** = p ≤ 0.0002, **** = p ≤ 0.0001. Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure

3.

Figure 4. NSC95397 does not globally activate HIV latency reversal associated pathways.

J-Lat 10.6 cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, 25.0 μM SAHA, or 5.0 μM SAHA

NSC95397 (NSC) and analyzed by bulk RNA sequencing in four biological replicates. **A)** Principal

component analysis (PCA) of all normalized transcripts in all samples. **B)** Clustered heatmap of

all normalized transcripts in all samples. **C-F)** Volcano plot labeling HIV-associated reads (**C**),

selected HIV Tat-modulated genes (**D**), key heat shock response genes (**E**), and HIV-associated

transcription factors (**F**). Cut-offs are drawn for log2 fold change above 1 and p-value greater than

0.05 where reads are separated to non-significant and non-enriched (gray), non-significant with

log2 fold change above 1.0 (green), significant and log2 fold change below 1.0 (blue), or

significant and log2 fold change above 1.0 (red). **G)** Gene ontology using Gene Set Enrichment

Analysis (GSEA) identifying top negatively enriched hallmarks.

Supplemental Figure 5. NSC95397 has a minimal effect on global transcription. J-Lat 10.6

cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, 25.0 µM SAHA, or 5.0 µM NSC95397 (NSC)

and used for bulk RNA sequencing in four biological replicates. **A)** Bar graph showing log2 fold

change of HIV associated reads normalizing cells treated with PMA (grey), SAHA (orange), or

NSC95397 (blue) against untreated cells. **B-C)** Gene ontology using Metascape for TRRUST

analysis of upregulated (left) and downregulated (right) genes for all three treatments (**B**) and

NSC95397 alone (**C**). Related to Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure 6.

Supplemental Figure 6. PMA and SAHA change latency modulating pathways. J-Lat 10.6

cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA or 25.0 µM SAHA and used for bulk RNA

sequencing in four biological replicates. **A-B)** Volcano plot highlighting HIV-associated reads in

cells treated with PMA (**A**) or SAHA (**B**). **C-D)** Volcano plots highlighting HIV-associated

transcription factors in cells treated with PMA (**C**) or SAHA (**D**). **E-F)** Volcano plots highlighting

selected HIV Tat-modulated genes in cells treated with PMA (**E**) or SAHA (**F**). **G-H)** Volcano plots

highlighting key heat shock response genes in cells treated with PMA (**G**) or SAHA (**H**). Cut-offs

are drawn for log2 fold change above 1 and p-value greater than 0.05 where reads are separated

to non-significant and non-enriched (gray), non-significant with log2 fold change above 1.0

(green), significant and log2 fold change below 1.0 (blue), or significant and log2 fold change

above 1.0 (red). Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 5.

Figure 5. NSC95397 causes accumulation of DNA damage markers. A) Representative

images of U2OS cells untreated or treated with 10.0 μL DMSO (negative control), 50.0 μM

Etoposide (ETOP; DNA damage positive control), 5.0 ng/mL PMA, or 5.0 μM NSC95397 (NSC)

for 24 hours and assessed for presence of DNA damage markers by confocal microscopy. Blue

(Hoechst 33342) shows nuclei while damage markers (γH2AX, RPA32, or p53BP1) are in red. **B)**

Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of at least 100 cells per condition was quantified for γH2AX.

Foci per cell of at least 100 cells per condition was quantified for RPA32 and 53BP1 where foci

above the ETOP control are binned at 60 (RPA32) or 15 (53BP1). Results were analyzed with a

one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests; ns = not significant, * = $p \leq 0.0332$, **

= $p \leq 0.0021$, *** = $p \leq 0.0002$, **** = $p \leq 0.0001$.

References

1.   Mbonye U, Karn J. 2017. The Molecular Basis for Human Immunodeficiency Virus
Latency. Annu Rev Virol 4:261-285.

2.   Siliciano RF, Greene WC. 2011. HIV latency. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med
1:a007096.

3.   Roebuck KA, Saifuddin M. 1999. Regulation of HIV-1 transcription. Gene Expr 8:67-84.

4.   Spivak AM, Planelles V. 2018. Novel Latency Reversal Agents for HIV-1 Cure. Annu
Rev Med 69:421-436.

5.   Ait-Ammar A, Kula A, Darcis G, Verdikt R, De Wit S, Gautier V, Mallon PWG, Marcello
A, Rohr O, Van Lint C. 2019. Current Status of Latency Reversing Agents Facing the
Heterogeneity of HIV-1 Cellular and Tissue Reservoirs. Front Microbiol 10:3060.

6.   Chung CH, Mele AR, Allen AG, Costello R, Dampier W, Nonnemacher MR, Wigdahl B.
2020. Integrated Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Sequence in J-Lat 10.6.
Microbiol Resour Announc 9.

7.   Jordan A, Bisgrove D, Verdin E. 2003. HIV reproducibly establishes a latent infection
after acute infection of T cells in vitro. EMBO J 22:1868-77.

8.   Chan JK, Bhattacharyya D, Lassen KG, Ruelas D, Greene WC. 2013.
Calcium/calcineurin synergizes with prostratin to promote NF-kappaB dependent
activation of latent HIV. PLoS One 8:e77749.

9.   Peyregne VP, Kar S, Ham SW, Wang M, Wang Z, Carr BI. 2005. Novel hydroxyl
naphthoquinones with potent Cdc25 antagonizing and growth inhibitory properties. Mol
Cancer Ther 4:595-602.

10.   Dubey NK, Peng BY, Lin CM, Wang PD, Wang JR, Chan CH, Wei HJ, Deng WP. 2018.
NSC 95397 Suppresses Proliferation and Induces Apoptosis in Colon Cancer Cells
through MKP-1 and the ERK1/2 Pathway. Int J Mol Sci 19.

11.   Marti F, Krause A, Post NH, Lyddane C, Dupont B, Sadelain M, King PD. 2001.
Negative-feedback regulation of CD28 costimulation by a novel mitogen-activated
protein kinase phosphatase, MKP6. J Immunol 166:197-206.

12.   Seo H-Y, Cho S-Y. 2011. *In vivo* Effect of NSC-95397 as a DUSP14 Inhibitor. Bulletin of
the Korean Chemical Society 32:4438-4440.

13.   Stanford SM, Panchal RG, Walker LM, Wu DJ, Falk MD, Mitra S, Damle SS, Ruble D,
Kaltcheva T, Zhang S, Zhang ZY, Bavari S, Barrios AM, Bottini N. 2012. High-throughput

screen using a single-cell tyrosine phosphatase assay reveals biologically active

inhibitors of tyrosine phosphatase CD45. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:13972-7.

14.   Yang Y, Yang WS, Yu T, Yi YS, Park JG, Jeong D, Kim JH, Oh JS, Yoon K, Kim JH,

Cho JY. 2014. Novel anti-inflammatory function of NSC95397 by the suppression of

multiple kinases. Biochem Pharmacol 88:201-15.

15.   Boehm D, Calvanese V, Dar RD, Xing S, Schroeder S, Martins L, Aull K, Li PC,

Planelles V, Bradner JE, Zhou MM, Siliciano RF, Weinberger L, Verdin E, Ott M. 2013.

BET bromodomain-targeting compounds reactivate HIV from latency via a Tat-

independent mechanism. Cell Cycle 12:452-62.

16.   Timmons A, Fray E, Kumar M, Wu F, Dai W, Bullen CK, Kim P, Hetzel C, Yang C, Beg

S, Lai J, Pomerantz JL, Yukl SA, Siliciano JD, Siliciano RF. 2020. HSF1 inhibition

attenuates HIV-1 latency reversal mediated by several candidate LRAs In Vitro and Ex

Vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:15763-15771.

17.   Christmann M, Kaina B. 2013. Transcriptional regulation of human DNA repair genes

following genotoxic stress: trigger mechanisms, inducible responses and genotoxic

adaptation. Nucleic Acids Res 41:8403-20.

18.   White CH, Moesker B, Beliakova-Bethell N, Martins LJ, Spina CA, Margolis DM,

Richman DD, Planelles V, Bosque A, Woelk CH. 2016. Transcriptomic Analysis

Implicates the p53 Signaling Pathway in the Establishment of HIV-1 Latency in Central

Memory CD4 T Cells in an In Vitro Model. PLoS Pathog 12:e1006026.

19.   Jiang G, Espeseth A, Hazuda DJ, Margolis DM. 2007. c-Myc and Sp1 contribute to

proviral latency by recruiting histone deacetylase 1 to the human immunodeficiency virus

type 1 promoter. J Virol 81:10914-23.

20.   Stanley SK, Folks TM, Fauci AS. 1989. Induction of expression of human

immunodeficiency virus in a chronically infected promonocytic cell line by ultraviolet

irradiation. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 5:375-84.

21.   Miller SC, Taylor A, Watanabe K, Mok K, Torti FM. 1997. Regulation of NF-kappaB and

HIV-1 LTR activity in mouse L cells by ultraviolet radiation: LTR trans-activation in a

nonirradiated genome in heterokaryons. Exp Cell Res 230:9-21.

22.   Besnard E, Hakre S, Kampmann M, Lim HW, Hosmane NN, Martin A, Bassik MC,

Verschueren E, Battivelli E, Chan J, Svensson JP, Gramatica A, Conrad RJ, Ott M,

Greene WC, Krogan NJ, Siliciano RF, Weissman JS, Verdin E. 2016. The mTOR

Complex Controls HIV Latency. Cell Host Microbe 20:785-797.

23.   Albini L, Calabresi A, Gotti D, Ferraresi A, Festa A, Donato F, Magoni M, Castelli F,

594   Quiros-Roldan E. 2013. Burden of non-AIDS-defining and non-virus-related cancers

595   among HIV-infected patients in the combined antiretroviral therapy era. AIDS Res Hum

596   Retroviruses 29:1097-104.

597   24.   Herida M, Mary-Krause M, Kaphan R, Cadranel J, Poizot-Martin I, Rabaud C, Plaisance

598   N, Tissot-Dupont H, Boue F, Lang JM, Costagliola D. 2003. Incidence of non-AIDS-

599   defining cancers before and during the highly active antiretroviral therapy era in a cohort

600   of human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. J Clin Oncol 21:3447-53.

601   25.   Yanik EL, Napravnik S, Cole SR, Achenbach CJ, Gopal S, Olshan A, Dittmer DP,

602   Kitahata MM, Mugavero MJ, Saag M, Moore RD, Mayer K, Mathews WC, Hunt PW,

603   Rodriguez B, Eron JJ. 2013. Incidence and timing of cancer in HIV-infected individuals

604   following initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 57:756-64.

605   26.   Chomczynski P, Mackey K. 1995. Short technical reports. Modification of the TRI

606   reagent procedure for isolation of RNA from polysaccharide- and proteoglycan-rich

607   sources. Biotechniques 19:942-5.

608   27.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Kaller M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results

609   for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047-8.

610   28.   Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.,

611   Babraham Bioinformatics, http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc

612   29.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,

613   Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29:15-21.

614   30.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

615   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

616   31.   Blighe K, S Rana, and M Lewis. 2018. EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano

617   plots with enhanced colouring and labeling,

618   https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano.

619   32.   Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, Benner C,

620   Chanda SK. 2019. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of

621   systems-level datasets. Nat Commun 10:1523.

622   33.   Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich

623   A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP. 2005. Gene set enrichment analysis:

624   a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc

625   Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:15545-50.

626 34. Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdottir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. 2015. The

627   Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst 1:417-

628   425.

A

Cells and compounds combined in 384-well glass-bottomed plates → Incubated 24-48 hours → Hoescht added → Cells imaged with an ImageExpress

B Total Cell Count vs % GFP Positive Z-Scores

Total cell count z-scores
% of cells GFP + z-score

C GFP Average Intensity vs % GFP Positive Z-Scores

GFP average intensity z-scores
% of cells GFP + z-score

D Validation Retesting

Hours Post-Treatment
24hr   48hr

UT
PMA

NSC95397 →

IBET151 →

% of cells GFP +

E Categories Targeted by Validated Compounds

20.00% DDR pathways
14.29% Metabolism
5.71% Lipid synthesis
2.86% Immune signaling
28.57% Neuronal signaling
5.71% Hormone signaling
5.71% VEGFR signaling
8.57% Intracellular signaling
5.71% c-Met
2.86% Cell cycle

F NSC95397 EC$_{50}$ Curve

% of cells GFP +
Drug concentration (µM)

10.6
5A8
10.6 PMA
5A8 PMA

G IBET151 EC$_{50}$ Curve

% of cells GFP +
Drug concentration (µM)

Figure 1. NSC95397 identified as a HIV latency reversal agent (LRA) from a medium-throughput screen. A) Schematic detailing the screen methodology. **B-C)** Z-scores of total cell count or GFP intensity vs percent of cells GFP positive. The grey square and purple diamond are two known latency reversal agents, PMA and IBET151, respectively, and the blue triangle is a previously unknown LRA, NSC95397. **D)** 83 compounds were rescreened in triplicate at 24 and 48 hours. Each row of the heatmap represents a different compound, with the top and second row being the controls: untreated (UT) and PMA. **E)** A circle graph representing the pathways/targets of the 35 compounds that revalidated in **D**. **F-G)** The EC$_{50}$ titration of NSC95397 and IBET151 in J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells. PMA was used as a positive control at 50 nM.

Supplemental Figure 1. NSC95397 and IBET151 were identified as HIV LRAs of interest from a medium-throughput screen. A-B) The raw screen data of total cell count or GFP intensity vs percent of cells GFP positive. The grey square and purple diamond are two known latency reversal agents, PMA and IBET151, respectively, and the blue triangle is a previously unknown LRA, NSC95397. **C)** Example plate map of the EC_{50} screen. Each compound was screened in triplicate and across the plate is a 4-fold concentration decrease. The first two

648   columns and last row were treated with the vehicle control, DMSO, and the last two columns were
649   treated with the positive control, PMA. **D-E)** The percent of GFP positive cells, top green plate,
650   and dead PI positive cell, lower red plate, measured during our EC50 titration experiment in J-Lat
651   10.6 (**D**) and 5A8 cells (**E**). Related to Figure 1.

Figure 2. NSC reactivates GFP and p24 expression in J-Lat 10.6 and 5A8 cells. J-Lat 10.6 (**A-E**) or 5A8 (**F**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control), or a titration of NSC95397 (NSC) from 12.5 μM to 1.0 μM. **A-B)** Bar graphs showing GFP expression (**A**) or intracellular HIV p24 (**B**) measured via flow cytometry. **C-D)** Bar graphs showing GFP (**C**) or unspliced HIV RNA transcripts (**D**) measured via qRT-PCR. **E-F)** Western blot of whole cell lysates of either J-Lat 10.6 (**E**) or 5A8 cells (**F**) probed for GFP and actin (loading control). 25.0 μM SAHA was used as appositive control. L = ladder; UT = untreated. Results were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests in **A-B** or an unpaired t test in **C-D**; ns = not significant, * = p ≤ 0.0332, ** = p ≤ 0.0021, *** = p ≤ 0.0002, **** = p ≤ 0.0001.

663
664
Supplemental Figure 2. Sunitinib is one of a subset of false positives identified in a J-Lat
screen for LRAs. J-Lat 10.6 or parental Jurkat cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL
PMA (positive control), or a titration of Sunitinib (SU) from 5.0 – 1.0 µM. **A-B)** Bar graphs showing
GFP (**A**) or intracellular HIV p24 (**B**) measured via flow cytometry in J-Lat 10.6 cells. **C)** A bar
graph of GFP measured via flow cytometry in treated Jurkat cells. **D-E)** Bar graphs showing GFP
(**D**) or unspliced HIV RNA transcripts (**E**) measured via qRT-PCR. Related to Figure 2.

Figure 3. NSC95397 increases HIV reactivation in combination with established LRAs. A-B) J-Lat 10.6 (**A**) or 5A8 (**B**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control),

NSC95397 (NSC) at 1.5 µM, a titration of SAHA from 12.5 – 1.0 µM, or a titration of SAHA with
NSC held constant at 1.5 µM. Bar graphs show GFP mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) measured
via flow cytometry. **C-D)** J-Lat 10.6 (**C**) or 5A8 (**D**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL
PMA, 25.0 µM SAHA (positive control), or NSC at 2.5 µM. Bar graphs show H3K9ac MFI
measured by flow cytometry. **E-F)** J-Lat 10.6 (**E**) or 5A8 (**F**) cells were untreated or treated with
5.0 ng/mL PMA, NSC at 1.5µM, a titration of Prostratin (PS) from 0.66 – 0.1 µM (**E**) or 5 – 0.5 µM
(**F**), or a titration of PS with NSC held constant at 1.5 µM. Bar graphs show GFP MFI measured
via flow cytometry. Results were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple
comparisons tests; ns = not significant, $* = p \le 0.0332$, $** = p \le 0.0021$, $*** = p \le 0.0002$, $**** =$
$p \le 0.0001$.

Supplemental Figure 3. NSC95397 increases HIV reactivation and cytotoxicity in combination with established LRAs. Stacked graphs show percent of cells from the full population that are either dead (ghost dye positive) and GFP- (black), dead and GFP+ (blue), live and GFP+ (green), or live and GFP- (white) stacked to a total of 100%. **A-B)** J-Lat 10.6 (**A**) or 5A8 (**B**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA (positive control), NSC95397 (NSC) at 1.5 µM, a titration of SAHA from 12.5 – 1.0 µM, or a titration of SAHA with NSC held constant at 1.5 µM. **C-D)** J-Lat 10.6 (**C**) or 5A8 (**D**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, NSC at 1.5 µM, a titration of Prostratin (PS) from 0.66 – 0.1 µM (**C**) or 5.0 – 0.5 µM (**D**), or a titration of PS with NSC held constant at 1.5 µM. Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 4.

696
697

Supplemental Figure 4. NSC95397 does not change global histone modifications for open chromatin. J-Lat 10.6 (**A, C**) or 5A8 (**B, E**) cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, 25.0 µM SAHA (positive control for histone modifications), or 2.5 µM NSC. Bar graphs show H3K4me3 (**A-B**) or H3K27ac (**C-D**) MFI measured by flow cytometry. Results were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests; ns = not significant, * = p ≤ 0.0332, ** = p ≤ 0.0021, *** = p ≤ 0.0002, **** = p ≤ 0.0001. Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 3.

705
706
Figure 4. NSC95397 does not globally activate HIV latency reversal associated pathways.
J-Lat 10.6 cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, 25.0 μM SAHA, or 5.0 μM SAHA
NSC95397 (NSC) and analyzed by bulk RNA sequencing in four biological replicates. **A)** Principal

component analysis (PCA) of all normalized transcripts in all samples. **B)** Clustered heatmap of
all normalized transcripts in all samples. **C-F)** Volcano plot labeling HIV-associated reads (**C**),
selected HIV Tat-modulated genes (**D**), key heat shock response genes (**E**), and HIV-associated
transcription factors (**F**). Cut-offs are drawn for log2 fold change above 1 and p-value greater than
0.05 where reads are separated to non-significant and non-enriched (gray), non-significant with
log2 fold change above 1.0 (green), significant and log2 fold change below 1.0 (blue), or
significant and log2 fold change above 1.0 (red). **G)** Gene ontology using Gene Set Enrichment
Analysis (GSEA) identifying top negatively enriched hallmarks.

Supplemental Figure 5. NSC95397 has a minimal effect on global transcription. J-Lat 10.6
cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA, 25.0 µM SAHA, or 5.0 µM NSC95397 (NSC)
and used for bulk RNA sequencing in four biological replicates. **A)** Bar graph showing log2 fold
change of HIV associated reads normalizing cells treated with PMA (grey), SAHA (orange), or
NSC95397 (blue) against untreated cells. **B-C)** Gene ontology using Metascape for TRRUST
analysis of upregulated (left) and downregulated (right) genes for all three treatments (**B**) and
NSC95397 alone (**C**). Related to Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure 6.

Supplemental Figure 6. PMA and SAHA change latency modulating pathways. J-Lat 10.6 cells were untreated or treated with 5.0 ng/mL PMA or 25.0 µM SAHA and used for bulk RNA sequencing in four biological replicates. **A-B)** Volcano plot highlighting HIV-associated reads in cells treated with PMA (**A**) or SAHA (**B**). **C-D)** Volcano plots highlighting HIV-associated transcription factors in cells treated with PMA (**C**) or SAHA (**D**). **E-F)** Volcano plots highlighting selected HIV Tat-modulated genes in cells treated with PMA (**E**) or SAHA (**F**). **G-H)** Volcano plots highlighting key heat shock response genes in cells treated with PMA (**G**) or SAHA (**H**). Cut-offs are drawn for log2 fold change above 1 and p-value greater than 0.05 where reads are separated to non-significant and non-enriched (gray), non-significant with log2 fold change above 1.0 (green), significant and log2 fold change below 1.0 (blue), or significant and log2 fold change above 1.0 (red). Related to Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 5.

Figure 5. NSC95397 causes accumulation of DNA damage markers. A) Representative
images of U2OS cells untreated or treated with 10.0 µL DMSO (negative control), 50.0 µM
Etoposide (ETOP; DNA damage positive control), 5.0 ng/mL PMA, or 5.0 µM NSC95397 (NSC)
for 24 hours and assessed for presence of DNA damage markers by confocal microscopy. Blue
(Hoechst 33342) shows nuclei while damage markers (γH2AX, RPA32, or p53BP1) are in red. **B)**
Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of at least 100 cells per condition was quantified for γH2AX.
Foci per cell of at least 100 cells per condition was quantified for RPA32 and 53BP1 where foci
above the ETOP control are binned at 60 (RPA32) or 15 (53BP1). Results were analyzed with a
one-way ANOVA with Dunnett multiple comparisons tests; ns = not significant, * = p ≤ 0.0332, **
= p ≤ 0.0021, *** = p ≤ 0.0002, **** = p ≤ 0.0001.