RNA Targets and Function of *Topoisomerase 3β* in Reducing Cellular Stress

Shohreh Teimuri and Beat Suter*

Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Berne, 3012, Switzerland

*Corresponding address: Beat.Suter@unibe.ch

Keywords: Topoisomerase 3β, Drosophila, RNA topoisomerase targets, posttranscriptional gene expression, cellular stress, neurodegeneration, cancer.

Abstract (217 words)

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) relaxes DNA and RNA, and it is the only Topoisomerase found to work on RNAs. We isolated and identified the naturally cross-linked RNA targets of Top3β in Drosophila. Top3β is particularly active on long RNAs, RNAs with many splice sites, and RNAs that are localized in large cells. Demonstrating the importance of its enzymatic activity, Top3β without the hydroxyl group that is needed for its covalent binding to the RNA, prevents normal expression and subcellular localization of the gene products of the identified targets. At the cellular level, Top3β activity is needed to maintain the morphology of the neuromuscular junction in adult flies and to prevent premature loss of coordinated movement and aging. Alterations in human *Top3β* have been associated with several neurological diseases and cancers. The homologs of genes and (pre)mRNAs mis-expressed in these conditions show the same characteristics identified in the Drosophila *Top3β* targets, suggesting that the Drosophila can model the function of human *Top3β*. Testing the role of the enzymatic activity of Top3β in the ALS/FTD-associated RNA $(G4C2)_{49}$ assay for neurodegeneration, revealed that *Top3β*$^+$ clearly reduced the neurodegenerative activity of this RNA. Therefore, this study not only identified RNA targets of Top3β but also showed the physiological relevance of the enzymatic activity of Top3β toward its RNA targets in different situations.

Introduction

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) is an RNA-binding protein (RBP) with dual enzymatic activity toward DNA and RNA (1). Topoisomerases are better known for their activity toward DNA, where they resolve tension and supercoiling. Much less is known about the function of topoisomerases toward RNA. Along with other RBPs, Top3β contributes to mRNA translation, particularly in the nervous system, which is significant for neurodevelopment and psychological and physical well-being (2). Its functional importance is suggested by results that link impaired *Top3β* function to various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders (2)(3)(73).

Drosophila melanogaster Top3β possesses the key features of a topoisomerase. The predicted catalytic tyrosine (Tyr, Y) residue is present at position 332 (Y332) and is essential for its topoisomerase activity (4). Top3β topoisomerases distinguish themselves from other topoisomerases by their conserved RNA-binding motif called RGG (Arginine Glycine Glycine) box, which binds to RNAs or proteins. Its paralogue Top3α, a DNA topoisomerase, possesses the catalytic tyrosine residue but lacks the RGG box (4). *In vitro*, the RGG box is not required for the enzymatic activity but might play a role in binding to the RNA and thereby facilitating the enzymatic activity *in vivo* (2).

We hypothesized that the neurological requirements for an RNA topoisomerase might reflect, in part, its activity on mRNAs that need to be transported over long distances to be translated at the right place and at the right time. During such transport, long molecules, such as mRNAs, are likely to become entangled or suffer other structural problems that might interfere with their packaging, transport, unpackaging, and translation (1). A topoisomerase that acts on RNAs might, therefore, be able to rescue such RNAs. As discussed by Su and colleagues (74), there is considerable evidence that Top3β activity on RNA has important functions in cells and that it might act at all levels of gene expression. Using human cell lines, these authors showed that Top3β indeed affects mRNA translation and turnover (74). However, there is still surprisingly little knowledge about the steps in gene expression where Top3β acts on RNAs and particularly about the *in vivo* role of this activity for the cells and the organism. Especially in large cells, where mRNAs need to travel over long distances, an RNA topoisomerase could provide an important advantage and allow the cell to function properly. Drosophila has such

large cells in the nervous system, the female germline, and the young, syncytial embryo. The

latter is easily accessible and free of additional tissue. The expression of Drosophila Top3β is

particularly abundant in 0-2 hours old, syncytial embryos. In these large cells, proper mRNA

localization to specific compartments combined with controlled local translation is essential

for normal differentiation and cellular physiology. We, therefore, set out to study the activity

and function of Drosophila Top3β in young embryos to identify relevant *in vivo* RNA targets,

interacting proteins, and biological functions.

Consistent with the notion that larger RNAs are more likely to encounter structural problems

in living cells, we found that longer RNAs are more likely to be targets of Top3β in young

embryos. This seems significant because the same RNA features are also over-represented

among the differentially expressed RNAs in the *Top3β* mutants. Specific mutations of the Y332

active site tyrosine (Y332F) and the RGG box (ΔRGG) revealed the importance of the

enzymatic activity and the RNA/protein binding, respectively. The enzymatic activity of Top3β

is needed to bind to mRNAs and for the normal accumulation of these mRNAs and their

encoded proteins in general and in specific subcellular locations. The lack of this enzymatic

activity stresses the affected cells and causes neurodegeneration and premature aging.

Because human Top3β affects the expression of RNAs with similar features and the

Drosophila enzyme affects the outcome of a human RNA that causes neurodegeneration, this

Drosophila model produces valuable novel insights into neurodegenerative diseases and

cancers with which human *Top3β* had been associated.

Results

RNAs depending on *Top3β* for their normal expression

To test whether Top3β affects RNA expression, RNAs from 0-2 hours-old embryos were extracted from a wild-type control strain and the *Top3β* mutants *Top3β²⁶*, *Top3β^{Y332F}*, and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*. All mutants were both maternally and zygotically mutant. Sequence analysis of the transcriptome carried out on biological triplicates established the effect of the different *Top3β* mutations on the RNA levels expressed in 0-2 hours old embryos. Transcriptome-wide changes in RNA levels between the *Top3β* null mutant *Top3β²⁶* and the wild type were analyzed and RNAs that showed level changes in adjusted p-values <0.05 and absolute log2 fold changes >1 were selected for further analysis (**Supplementary Tables S1, Fig. 1**). This yielded 677 differentially expressed RNAs of which 73% (496) were downregulated in the null mutant *Top3²⁶*. The effect of *Top3β* on the different RNA levels can either be direct or indirect. Under the assumption that direct effects on RNAs help to rescue RNAs and indirect effects could evenly cause up or downregulation of RNA levels, the estimated number of RNAs directly dependent on *Top3β* for their normal expression levels would be around 315.

Gene ontology enrichment analysis on the list of genes that depend on *Top3β* for their normal expression revealed an enrichment for biological processes associated with different aspects of cellular development and physiology (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). Several of these are active in neural processes, including the regulation of axon extension and guidance, and the regulation of synaptic vesicles. This points to the possible effects of Top3β on neuronal processes. We also noted the enrichment of several other processes relating to cellular signaling, regulation of the cytoskeleton, and meta-/catabolic processes.

To investigate the contribution of the catalytic Tyr (which is essential for the enzymatic activity) and the RGG domains (which mediates interactions with RNAs and proteins) to the proper expression of these 677 differentially expressed genes, their expression was also analyzed in both point mutants (**Fig. 1A**). In the *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutant, 220 out of 289 (76%) differentially expressed RNAs were downregulated in the embryos. This fraction is very close to the one of the null mutant, suggesting again, that the majority of these RNAs depend directly on the catalytic activity of Top3β. The impact of the mutated RGG domain on the embryonic transcriptome was less pronounced, with 29 out of 61 (47%) RNAs downregulated

in the embryo, many might be indirect targets. Remarkably, 2 out of 3 of these RNAs

depended not only on the RGG motives but also on the Y332 for their normal expression

levels.

To focus more on the direct consequences of *Top3β* activity, we selected the embryonic RNAs

that depended on *Top3β* for their normal level of expression and were downregulated in the

null mutant **(Supplementary Table S1).** We then asked which RNA features were

overrepresented in this group compared to the wild-type control. In embryos, the RNAs that

were downregulated in the *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{26}* mutants had longer untranslated regions

(UTRs), protein coding sequences (CDS), and pre-mRNAs **(Fig. 1B-1D**). We conclude that long

pre-mRNAs and mRNAs depend more strongly on *Top3β* to reach their normal expression

levels.

Fig. 1. Effect of the *Top3β* mutations on the embryonic transcriptome. A) Effect of the *Top3β* point
mutations on the expression of the 677 genes that are differentially expressed in the *Top3β* null mutant
Top3β^{26}. The number and percentage of differentially expressed RNAs in 0-2 hrs. old embryos are
shown. Expression levels were determined relative to the transcript levels of the *Top3β^{+}* strain *w*. **B)-D)**

RNA features of transcripts that depend on *Top3β* to reach normal expression levels. **B)** mRNAs with
longer UTRs are over-represented in the group of RNAs that depend on *Top3β* to reach normal
expression levels. **C)** mRNAs with longer CDS are more downregulated in the *Top3β²⁶* and *Top3β^{Y332F}*
mutants. **D)** mRNAs with longer pre-mRNAs are more downregulated in the *Top3β²⁶* and *Top3β^{Y332F}*.
Expression levels were compared to the *Top3β⁺* (*w*) control in 0-2 hrs. old embryos. p-value
<0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*

Top3β in neurological diseases and cancers

Top3β has been associated with several neurological diseases and cancers. To test whether

the human *Top3β* acts also to support the expression of higher levels, particularly of long

RNAs, a list of diseases associated with *Top3β* was retrieved using gene-disease associations

(DisGeNET (v7.0)(5)). This list consisted of 12 diseases which were either neurological diseases

or cancers. The lists of differentially expressed genes associated with each of these diseases

were then obtained from the same database and used to identify their *Drosophila*

orthologues from DIOPT Version 9.0 (**Supplementary Table S2**). The list of these *Drosophila*

genes was then compared with the list of genes whose embryonic mRNAs are downregulated

in the absence of *Top3β* (**Supplementary Table S1**) to identify the genes shared between the

two lists (**Fig. 2**). Due to the very short list of shared genes with the Juvenile Myoclonic

Epilepsy (JME), this disease was not considered for further studies. For the remaining ones,

there is a clear overlap between the two datasets and the overlap ranges between 2 and >3

times the calculated random overlap, suggesting that the effects seen in the fly model with

the inactivated *Top3β* also contribute to the human disease phenotype.

Notably, a single gene, *Rop* (*Ras opposite*), was present in the lists from all neurological

diseases and the downregulated embryonic RNAs (**Fig. 2C**). *Rop,* is involved in vesicle release

and control of synaptic activity (6)(7). In contrast, comparing all cancer lists with the

downregulated embryonic RNAs in the *Top3β* mutants, revealed 50 genes shared between

the six different lists (**Figs. 2D, E**). Based on the KEGG pathways, some of these shared genes

are only involved in the MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signaling pathway that

regulates various cellular processes, including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and

survival (8) (9). It thus seems that the MAPK signaling pathway is particularly sensitive to

Top3β activity and that this might affect growth control.

Fig. 2. Overlap between downregulated embryonic RNAs in *Top3β[26]* and the fly homologs of differentially expressed RNAs in cancers and neurological diseases. A, B) Venn diagram of the genes shared between the list of down-regulated RNAs in *Top3β[26]* embryos and the fly homologs of differentially expressed RNAs of the listed diseases. Numbers and frequencies are listed. The probability of random overlap is shown in % at the bottom left of each Venn diagram. **C)** Drosophila genes depending on *Top3β* for normal expression levels in embryos were placed into a Venn diagram such that their position shows in which neurological disease their homologs also depend on (human) *Top3β* for their normal expression levels (note that JME was not included). *Rop* appeared in all lists. **D)** Drosophila genes depending on *Top3β* for normal expression levels in embryos were placed into a Venn diagram such that their position shows in which cancer their homologs also depend on (human) *Top3β* for their normal expression levels. **E)** List of the 50 genes that depend on *Drosophila Top3β* for their normal expression levels in embryos and whose homologs are also affected in all listed cancers associated with human *Top3β* alterations.

Comparing the features of the Drosophila RNAs shared between the fly list of embryonic RNAs

that depend on *Top3β*, and the homologs of the above human disease datasets revealed that

the RNAs shared between the two datasets had on average longer pre-mRNAs and UTRs

compared to the RNAs of the individual diseases that were not present in the embryonic list

(Supplementary Fig. S2). This result suggests that human *Top3β* also affects the expression

of RNAs with the same features as its fly homolog, pointing to the potential value of

identifying direct Top3β target mRNAs in the model system because their lower expression

might contribute to the disease phenotype.

RNA interactions of Top3β

To identify directly the RNA targets to which Top3β binds and performs its topoisomerase

activity, we sequenced the RNAs attached to Top3β::eGFP by immunoprecipitation (IP) in the

presence of (limited amounts of) ionic detergent. This was done using animals that expressed

an eGFP fused to wild-type Top3β and, as a negative control, Top3β::eGFP in which Y332 was

replaced by a phenylalanine (Y332F). This mutant form of Top3β lacks only the -OH group

through which Top3β forms the transient covalent bond between its Y332 side chain and the

RNA target during the enzymatic reaction (10). The naturally occurring covalent bond

between Top3β and its targets makes artificial cross-linking superfluous (thus reducing the

problem of high background) while still allowing the use of ionic detergents at low

concentrations during the isolation. These conditions should pull down primarily covalently

bound RNAs without denaturing the antibodies and their interactions. The covalent bond

between Top3β's Y332 and the RNA targets was stabilized for the IP by removing Mg^{2+}, which

is needed for the enzyme to resolve the bond at the end of the enzymatic reaction (11). To

determine if the target RNAs were specifically bound to the –OH group of Y332, we used the

Y332F mutant as a negative control as described above. The RNAs that are enriched in the

wild type compared to the Y332F mutant are candidate targets of the catalytic activity of

Top3β **(Supplementary Table S3)**. Because long RNAs seem more likely to depend on this

enzymatic activity, we further tested the quality of the data by comparing the RNA length of

the presumed targets with the background RNA length and found that longer RNAs were

more likely to covalently bind to Top3β as they showed higher enrichment in the wild-type
embryonic extracts (**Fig. 3A**). The results might also reflect that longer RNAs have a higher
chance of enduring physical stress and are also, for this reason, more likely to become
substrates of the enzymatic activity of Top3β. Interestingly, recent work in human cells also
found that TOP3β preferentially binds to long mRNAs (74).

From the list of immunopurified RNAs, the ones with an adjusted p-value smaller than 0.05
(adjp-value<0.05; note that *Rop* had 0.027) and a log2-fold change of more than 1 (log2FC>1)
were selected and ranked based on their adjp-value. These 46 embryonic RNAs and their
genes were used for further analyses (**Supplementary Table S4**). Several features of their RNA
correlated with the chance of becoming a target of Top3β's enzymatic activity. Top3β RNA
targets had on average longer 3'UTRs and stemmed from larger genes with longer introns
compared to the complete set of different types of RNAs detected in the wild-type RNA-IP
(**Fig. 3B-C, Supplementary Tables S3, S4**). The fact that targeted mRNAs have longer 3'UTRs
is consistent with mRNAs that undergo localization and/or translational regulation having a
higher chance of becoming a Top3β target because these processes are mainly controlled by
sequences in the 3'UTR. A higher abundance of mRNAs with long coding sequences (CDS)
might reflect that mRNAs with long open reading frames (ORFs) might become a Top3β target
when their translation becomes blocked. Embryonic Top3β targets had also a higher number
of transcript isoforms per gene and more exons (**Fig. 3D-E, Supplementary Tables S3, S4**).
This might indicate that mRNA splicing, a nuclear activity, increases the chance of an RNA
becoming a Top3β target. In summary, these results present evidence for the involvement of
Top3β in mRNA splicing, mRNA localization, and/or translational control and translation.

Fig 3: Characteristics of embryonic RNA targets of Top3β. **A)** Longer RNAs are more likely targets of the enzymatic activity of Top3β because they are more enriched in the IP with the wild-type Top3β compared to the Top3β^{Y332F}. r measures the strength of the correlation and p stands for p-value. **B-E)** Transcript features of the top 46 embryonic RNA targets of Top3β. The complete sets of RNAs detected in the wild-type RNA-IP served as the standard. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*. **F)** Homologous targets shared between Drosophila Top3β and mammalian FMRP1 and Top3β. Venn diagram for the RNAs shared between our study with the previous mammalian study (1), which revealed the overlap of the interactors with mouse FMRP1 from brain extracts and Top3β interactors in HeLa cells. The list of the Drosophila homologs that were also found in both mammalian interactor screens is also shown.

Homologs of Drosophila Top3β target mRNAs also bind mammalian Top3β and FMR1

The analysis of protein interactors of Drosophila Top3β showed that one branch of interactions goes through Tdrd3 and FMR1, the fly homolog of FMRP (**Supplementary Fig. S3**). Mammalian Top3β has been linked to the fragile X syndrome protein FMRP (1) which binds to ribosomes and regulates translation. These researchers also found an overlap in HITS-CLIP data of FMRP produced from mouse brain extracts and Top3β HITS-CLIP data of Flag-Top3β from HeLa cells. Comparing the Drosophila Top3::eGFP RNA-IP results with the shared mammalian result set revealed intriguing similarities despite comparing different species and having used different tissues (**Fig. 3F**). This further strengthens the value of the technique applied to obtain the Drosophila Top3β target RNAs and the use of Drosophila as a model to study the role of Top3β in human disease. A Venn diagram illustrates the overlap between the top targets of Drosophila Top3β and the shared mammalian targets, with the number of such overlapping targets listed (**Fig. 3F**). The common feature of the proteins encoded by the shared RNA targets is that they are predominantly large proteins. Many are localized to the plasma membrane or the cell cortex (e.g., Sev, Mgl, α-Spec, Kst, Pcx) or cytoskeleton-associated (e.g., Dhc64C, Mhc, Myo10A, α-Spec, Kst), have axonal functions (e.g., Shot, Dhc64C, Kst, Prosap), or scaffolding functions (Shot, Prosap). Consistent with similar results in vertebrates (74), these results show that there is a clear overlap between the Top3β and the FMRP activities but, additionally, the two proteins perform activities independent of the other one.

Top3β supports expression and subcellular localization of its transcript targets

The *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{26}* mutants have reduced or no function, but the mutations cause neither lethality nor sterility. This is a common phenomenon observed with conserved genes and proteins that are still important for optimizing cellular physiology. In a lab setting, the reduced function of these mutants can often be compensated for by other mechanisms. However, by directly analyzing the cellular phenotypes of the mutants, one may observe increased cellular stress and changes in physiology or anatomy that reveal the cellular function of the normal gene. Such cellular stress and changes often lead to signs of premature aging, like a decline in neuromuscular performance and a shorter lifespan.

To evaluate the consequences of the interaction of Top3β with its RNA targets, we selected *shot*, *Dhc64C*, and *kst* from the top list of RNAs covalently bound to Top3β for further analysis. These RNAs exhibit several distinctive features, including a high number of transcript isoforms, long mRNAs, localized mRNAs, and maternal expression. Furthermore, their homologs were also identified by a mammalian study (**Fig. 3**; (1)) and they are expressed in neurons (22)(23). In normal young embryos, *shot* mRNA accumulates more strongly in the polar granules and the pole cells at the posterior end (**Fig. 4**). In embryos that were maternally and zygotically mutant for *Top3β*, *shot* mRNA levels were overall reduced and the more intense, localized staining pattern in the posterior pole region was lost in the null- and the enzymatic *Top3β*^{Y332F} mutant, but not in *Top3β*^{ΔRGG}, suggesting that the normal expression and localization depends on *Top3β* and particularly on its enzymatic activity. Analogous studies revealed that the anti-Shot antibody signal was similarly weaker in the null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} embryos compared to the wild type (**Fig. 4**), suggesting that the reduced mRNA levels caused the reduced Shot protein expression. These findings are consistent with *shot* mRNA being the primary target of Top3β and they highlight the importance of the enzymatic activity of Top3β for the efficient expression and localization of the encoded protein. In the *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos, the Shot protein levels, and localization were less affected, like the mRNA levels (**Fig. 4**). The effects on the proteins encoded by two more *Top3β* targets were similar. The cytoplasmic Dynein heavy chain (Dhc or Dhc64C) protein accumulated more strongly at the posterior end of the wild-type embryo, in front of the pole cells. This was neither detectable in the null mutant nor in *Top3β*^{Y332F} (**Fig. 4**). The Kst protein accumulated at the apical cortex in normal blastoderm embryos, but in the *Top3β* null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} its signal was reduced throughout the embryo, including the apical cortex. Protein signals for Dhc and Kst showed an intermediate level in the *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos (**Fig. 4**), indicating that the RGG box also affects their expression, albeit less strongly than the catalytic activity.

Fig. 4. Expression and localization of gene products of Top3β targets. The posterior pole of the
embryos is shown to the right. *shot* mRNA and Shot protein localization in the posterior part of syncytial
(*shot* mRNA) and cellularizing (Shot protein) wild-type embryos in the different *Top3β* mutants. Lower
signal levels and less localized signals were seen in the null and *Top3β^{Y332F}*. Both were less reduced
in *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant embryos. Note the *shot* mRNA localization in the pole cells in the wild type and
Top3β^{ΔRGG} mutant. Dhc64C localization at the posterior side of the somatic part of the embryo
(arrowhead) was not detectable in the null mutant and *Top3β^{Y332F}* and reduced in *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant
embryos. Kst localization at the apical membrane (arrowhead) was reduced in null mutants and
Top3β^{Y332F} compared to the wild type. Its localization was still identifiable in *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant embryos.
Top3β protein is localized similarly to its targets. It is, however absent from the null mutant which reveals
the background level of the staining. Note also that Top3β is also nuclear in the wild type and
Top3β^{Y332F}. Imaging settings were identical for the staining for the same protein and mRNA,
respectively, in the different genotypes except for the insets which were overexposed to reveal the
embryo. The scale bar is 10µm for the shot mRNA pictures and 20µm for the other micrographs.

Top3β normally localizes to the same area in blastoderm embryos as the different proteins

and mRNAs described here. In the Discussion section, we will detail how this seems to

contribute to an interesting gene expression mechanism. Note also that the wild-type protein

and Top3β^{Y332F} localize to the cytoplasm and the nuclei **(Fig. 4)**. In contrast, Top3β^{ΔRGG} is clearly

seen above background levels only in the cytoplasm. This indicates that its RGG box is needed

312 to localize Top3β to the nucleus and that the lack of the RGG box likely reduces the nuclear
313 functions of Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$.

Top3β supports neuronal structure and function in aging adults

The negative geotaxis assay measures the activity of the nervous system and the muscles, and
the coordination between them. In this assay, all four *Top3β* genotypes showed similar
climbing abilities in young flies **(Fig. 5A).** Neuromuscular conditions sometimes become only
apparent at an older age because the young nervous system can compensate for some cellular
deficits. But such compensations can take their toll if they stress the cells. We, therefore,
tested for premature aging and age-related degeneration of the climbing ability. Indeed, as
seen in **Fig. 5A,** from week 6 on, the *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{26}* flies became slower, and their
climbing ability declined more than the wild-type one. The results suggest that Top3β plays a
role in aging and combating neurodegeneration in *Drosophila* motor neurons.

Fig. 5: Aging effects of *Top3β* mutants. A) Climbing assays of wild type, *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*, *Top3β^{Y332F}*, and *Top3β^{26}* flies. A two-way ANOVA analysis was performed. The graph bars show the mean climbing index (C.I.) for the different genotypes. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (S.E.M). Each dot represents a calculated individual C.I. The adjusted p-values for each significant difference are indicated on the graph. (p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*.) **B)** In adults, null mutant NMJs contain more branches than the wild type, indicative of a compensatory mechanism. Arrowheads point to the lost synaptic integrity of the dorsal longitudinal flight muscle (DLM). NMJ synapses were visualized by staining motor neurons with anti-HRP antibodies. The progressive denervation of the DLM is particularly evident in the null and the *Y332F* mutant in the adult thoracic NMJs. The scale bar represents 20µm. All panels show maximum intensity projections with a z-step size of 0.7µm.

As the flies age, their motor abilities and neurotransmission decline, and fragmentation of synaptic motor terminals becomes apparent (24). To gain insights into the possible adaptation of the adult neuromuscular system, we also studied the structure of the adult neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) in the four genotypes during aging **(Fig. 5B)**. Particularly the young null mutants contained more branched structures compared to the simpler branch structures in the wild type. Although to a lesser degree, young *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutants also displayed presynaptic overgrowth. In older flies, premature loss of locomotive ability, a sign of faster aging, became apparent in both *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* flies by week 6 **(Fig. 5).** Concomitant with this, denervation of the DLM (Dorsal Longitudinal Muscle) synapses became evident. Particularly in the null mutants and also in *Top3β^{Y332F}*, loss of synaptic integrity was observed during aging in 1-2 months old adult flies **(Fig. 5B)**. Because *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* flies live less long than wild-type and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* flies **(Supplementary Fig. S4)** and only living flies were selected for the NMJ preparation, the decline of their NMJ structure and function is most likely even stronger than observed in living animals.

Even though the lack of *Top3β* activity seems to be compensated early on, we conclude that loss of *Top3β* over extended periods leads to loss of synaptic integrity, faster aging, and shortened lifespan. Given that lack of enzymatic activity of Top3β affects the expression of many genes whose mRNAs are direct Top3β targets, it appears that reduced expression of many genes can be compensated initially by the cells for some time and that these compensations induce cellular stress that leads later to premature aging of the nervous system and reduced neuromuscular performance.

Top3β mutants enhance (G4C2)$_{49}$-induced neuronal toxicity in flies

To gain deeper insights into the cellular significance of Top3β's catalytic activity toward one specific RNA, we investigated its effect on the phenotype induced by GC-rich repeat RNA sequences. For this, we used the repeat sequence (GGGGCC)$_{49}$ (also named G4C2)$_{49}$ that induces neurotoxic effects in both humans and flies. In humans, the presence of 20-28 repeats of this sequence was shown to be associated with neurodegenerative diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (25)(26)(27). Using a GMR-GAL4 driver (28) to study the effect of this RNA on the adult eyes revealed that *Top3β* mutants enhanced the neurotoxic effect of the (G4C2)$_{49}$ transcripts in the eyes of young flies aged 1-2 days (**Fig. 6**). Of the *Top3β* mutants, *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* exhibited the most severe eye lesions with irregular ommatidial structures, and this was particularly evident from the widespread cell death. Young *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutants displayed irregular ommatidia but usually less cell death. After one month, the rough eye phenotype caused by the *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants affected the entire eye, indicating widespread degeneration of ommatidial cells. These results show that the topoisomerase activity of Top3β counteracts the toxic activity of the (G4C2)$_{49}$ transcripts in the Drosophila model for this disease **(Fig. 6)**.

Fig. 6: *Top3β* activities toward (G4C2)$_{49}$ repeat RNAs in the eye. Expression of the UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$ transgene in wild-type (+) and *Top3β* mutant flies using the GMR-GAL4 driver. *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* caused mild disruptions, the *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants caused strong degeneration. Shown are data from one experiment carried out in parallel; data were reproduced in a total of three or more independent experiments. All *Top3β* mutants enhanced the degenerative phenotype of the (G4C2)$_{49}$ RNA.

382   Genotype: $Top3\beta^{26}$ (or $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ or $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$);;UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$/GMR-GAL4. GMR-GAL4 control
383   genotype: $Top3\beta^{+}$; ;UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$/GMR-GAL4.

Discussion

Discussion

Top3β and its catalytic activity have a strong effect on the production of normal expression

levels of a considerable number of RNAs during embryonic development. Particularly long

pre-mRNAs and RNAs with long ORFs and long UTRs depend on *Top3β* for their normal

expression levels (**Fig. 1; Fig. 4**). This supports the hypothesis that to be expressed at proper

levels and locations, long RNA molecules are more dependent on RNA topoisomerases that

can solve structural problems. Longer ORFs increase the risk of stalling translation because

structural problems of the mRNA can prevent it from entering the ribosome. In such cases,

Top3β can rescue the translation of stalled mRNAs (74). UTRs contain elements that regulate

posttranscriptional gene expression like RNA localization and translational control (29) and

long 3'UTRs are a characteristic of mRNAs that are under these posttranscriptional controls.

RNAs with such long UTRs depend more strongly on *Top3β*, suggesting that localized and

translationally controlled mRNAs also depend more strongly on Top3β for their proper

expression. Indeed, the expression of three of the top targets of Top3β depended heavily on

Top3β in terms of expression levels and localization within the cell (**Fig. 4**).

RNA localization combined with translational control is a mechanism to provide local

expression of gene products and it is most important in large cells, but also for fine-tuning

gene expression in general. In support of this notion, *Top3β* mutations were implicated

specifically in several neurological diseases and cancers. The (pre)mRNAs that depend on

Top3β for normal expression in Drosophila embryos <u>and</u> whose homologs have been

implicated in one of the neuronal diseases or cancers are particularly long. This supports the

view that large RNAs depend more strongly on *Top3β.* Very likely because their length,

transport, and translational control provide strong risks for the inactivation of the RNA due to

structural problems. It is, therefore, evident that failure to rescue such RNAs in neuronal cells

interferes with the timely and local expression of the encoded proteins involved in neural

development, axonal growth, synaptic physiology, and plasticity.

The characteristics of the targeted RNAs suggest that Top3β is particularly involved in

processes like RNA localization, interactions with RNP particles, translation and its control,

and splicing. The protein interaction map **(Supplementary Fig. S3)** supports this

interpretation and further suggests that it might also be involved in RNA degradation**.**

RNA targets of Top3β

Finding that Top3β interacts with splicing factors **(Supplementary Fig. S3; Table S5)** appears to be a significant result because Top3β's RNA targets have a greater number of exons, longer introns, and alternative transcripts **(Fig. 3)**. The fact that these parameters correlate with one another (30)(31)(32)(33)(34) further strengthens the conclusion that Top3β participates in the nuclear activity of RNA splicing. Moreover, snoRNAs are also enriched in the Top3β targets and they play roles in mRNA splicing and editing and they are also involved in stress response and metabolic homeostasis (35), two additional characteristics of Top3β targets **(Supplementary Fig. S3; Tables S4,S5)**. The analysis of the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* phenotype revealed that the RGG motif is needed for the nuclear localization of a large fraction of Top3β in the blastoderm embryo **(Fig. 4)**. The failure of the Top3β^{ΔRGG} mutant protein to localize to the embryonic nuclei suggests that reduced nuclear functions of Top3β^{ΔRGG} might contribute to the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant phenotype, too. This allele might therefore also become useful to analyze the nuclear roles of *Top3β* in the future*.

Longer pre-mRNAs pose not only more chances for splicing errors (because of the increased difficulty in identifying the correct splice sites) but also for entanglement of the RNA. The latter is also a considerable problem during transport over extended distances, which might even involve mRNA packaging and unpackaging before the mRNAs can be activated for translation at the right place and at the right time. During such transport, extended molecules, such as mRNAs, stand an increased chance of becoming entangled or suffering other structural problems that might interfere with their transport or translation. The coding sequence (CDS) and untranslated regions (UTRs) of an mRNA molecule are also important for regulating gene expression at the level of RNA localization and translation. Particularly 3'UTRs affect not only the translation in neurons but also the localization to the places where these mRNAs will be translated in response to extrinsic stimuli, such as neurotrophins, synaptic activation, and regeneration after injury (36)(8)(37)(38). Consistent with the proposed function of Top3β in rescuing (pre-)mRNAs with the described characteristics, the embryonic targets of Top3β displayed a strong bias for these characteristics **(Fig. 3; Supplementary Tables S4,S5)** and the enzymatic activity of *Top3β* is particularly important for mRNAs that are generated from long pre-mRNAs, for mRNAs with long 3'UTRs and those with long coding sequences **(Figs. 1B-D; Supplementary Table S1)**. These mRNAs are expressed at reduced

levels in the absence of the RNA topoisomerase activity and mRNAs with these characteristics

were found to bind to Top3β.

Important targets of Top3β

The normal expression levels of the *Ras opposite* (*Rop)* mRNA in young Drosophila embryos

depend on *Top3β* (**Fig. 2C**; **Supplementary Table S1**)*.* In the context of human neurological

diseases, this was particularly striking because the human homolog of *Rop* is differentially

expressed in all neurological diseases with which human *Top3β* had been associated **(Fig. 2C)**.

Rop mRNA was also identified as a significant direct target of Top3β with an adjp-value of

0.027 **(Supplementary Table S3).** *Rop* regulates neurotransmitter secretion in a dosage-

dependent manner (6) and it has been described to act in synaptic vesicle priming and

transport. In *Rop* mutants, the release of neurotransmitters from the pre-synapse area into

the synaptic cleft fails to occur. It, therefore, seems that *Rop* could be a rewarding gene to

further investigate.

Localization of mRNAs combined with translational control is a mechanism that is particularly

important in large cells (like oocytes and young embryos) and cells with large extensions (like

neurons). Many of the identified mRNAs function in these cells and have important roles in

neurons because they encode large cytoskeletal and cortical elements (e.g., *kst, α-spec, shot,*

mgl) or regulators of NMJ growth (e.g., *Prosap*). An interesting Top3β target is the *shot* mRNA,

which had been identified as a Top3β target in Drosophila and mammals, and also as an FMRP

target in mammalian cells (**Fig. 3F**; (1)(74)). In Drosophila, normal *shot* mRNA levels also

depend on *Top3β* and its enzymatic activity (**Supplementary Tables S3,S4; Fig. 4**). *shot*

encodes a spectraplakin family member, a large cytoskeletal linker molecule that binds actin

and microtubules and participates in axon growth and neuromuscular junction maintenance

and growth control (39),(40),(41),(42). Drosophila *shot* has additional roles in many other

tissues and cell types and it consists of 45 exons producing 22 transcript isoforms, which is

many more than the average of 2 isoforms per *Drosophila* gene. *shot* RNA and protein

expression in embryos are markedly reduced and less localized in *Top3β* null mutants and in

the enzymatically dead *Top3β^{Y332F}* embryos (**Fig. 4**). The enzymatic activity of Top3β is

therefore key to the proper expression of *shot* mRNA and protein. The reduced *shot* mRNA

levels and localization might reflect a role of Top3β in splicing *shot* pre-mRNAs, in localizing

shot mRNA, and in resolving RNA entanglements.

Along with α-Spec, Kst (β$_H$-Spectrin) crosslinks F-actin and acts as a molecular scaffold when

it is recruited to the apical membrane of epithelial cells (43)(44). The mRNAs encoding these

two proteins are both targets of Top3β, and we showed that the normal expression levels and

localization of the Kst protein in the embryos depend on the enzymatic activity of Top3β,

suggesting that the lack of Top3β affects Kst protein production at the level of *kst* mRNA

production, localization and/or translation. Interestingly, Top3β accumulates also

preferentially at the apical cortex of embryos, further suggesting that higher Top3β activity in

this location may contribute to the apical translatability of apical transcripts – for instance by

rescuing entangled mRNAs -, thereby expressing the encoded protein in this location at higher

levels, even if the mRNA is uniformly expressed. It thus appears that subcellular localization

of Top3β activity might serve as a novel tool to target gene expression to specific cellular

locations even for mRNAs that are expressed uniformly.

Being the major retrograde cytoplasmic motor in all cells, neuronal cytoplasmic dynein moves

cargo from the synapse along the axon and back to the cell body (45). During Drosophila

oogenesis, dynein transports mRNAs, proteins, and organelles from the nurse cells into the

oocyte, where they are deposited for the young embryo. In the embryo, it then transports

them to the apical cortex (76)(77). The apical accumulation of the large Dynein heavy chain

(Dhc) subunit of the cytoplasmic dynein complex (75), depends heavily on *Top3β* (**Fig. 4**)*.

Both null and *Top3β^{Y332F}* embryos showed reduced Dhc protein staining and lack of Dhc

concentration at the apical cortex. Top3β and its enzymatic activity act on the *Dhc* mRNA and

this is needed to allow proper Dhc protein expression and polar distribution. Possibly pointing

to a feedback effect, Top3β also forms a complex with FMR1 (1), which binds to the cargo

adaptor BicD of the dynein transport machinery (46), which can localize its cargo at the apical

cortex.

Top3β environment and relevance

The enrichment of neuronal and other ribonucleoproteins (RNP) forming granules, was a clear

result of the analysis of the Top3β interactors (**Supplementary Fig. S3A**). In the case of the

neuronal RNP granules, they concentrate specific sets of mRNAs and regulatory proteins in

the same location, promoting their common long-distance transport to axons or dendrites

(20)(21). They also have a dual function in regulating the translation of associated mRNAs

(47). As a component of neuronal RNPs, Me31B mediates the transport and controls the

translation of neuronal RNAs, including the translational repression of synaptic transcripts

(26). We found Me31B highly enriched with Top3β and this interaction depended on the RGG

box, suggesting that the RGG box links Top3β to the neuronal RNPs.

Consistent with our analysis of physically interacting proteins (**Supplementary Fig. S3; Table**

S5), Top3β had already been described to be present in complexes with FMR1 (1). Comparing

the datasets of significantly less abundant transcripts in the extracts of *Top3β* mutants

(**Supplementary Table S1**) to the data of significantly less translated transcripts upon *Fmr1*

RNAi treatment in Drosophila ovaries (48) revealed many shared mRNAs (**Fig. 7**). Even though

different tissues have been used for the two experiments, a large fraction of the ovarian

transcripts end up in the young embryo. The overlap between the two data sets indicates that

FMR1 and Top3β proteins act on many of the same mRNAs, but that *FMR1* and *Top3β* are

also involved in additional functions independently of each other.

520
521   **Fig. 7.** Ovarian mRNAs depending on *FMR1* for their translation and on *Top3β* for their normal
522   accumulation in young embryos. **A)** List of the shared mRNAs and **B)** Venn diagram of the
523   overlap between the two datasets.
524
525
The lack of RNA topoisomerase activity can interfere with the expression of many different

genes involved in a variety of different pathways. The resulting suboptimal functioning of

different cellular mechanisms is likely to interfere with cellular physiology, making it less

efficient and producing cellular stress. Further research needs to test, which of the individual

pathways suggested by our work causes a physiological problem in the human conditions

involving *Top3β*. Serious neurological health issues often arise when several pathways are not

functioning properly anymore, making it difficult to pinpoint a single primary cause. Our work

revealed that reduced activity of Top3β affects several of the pathways that rely on mRNAs

that are Top3β targets. The combination of defects in different physiological pathways is likely

to impact the outcome of the various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders and

cancers with altered *Top3β* function.

Material and Methods

Fly stocks and maintenance

The *white* (*w*) strain was used as a wild-type control as this was the genetic background of the mutants used in this study. The mutant fly stocks *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* were established as described below. *Top3β^{26}* was from Lee and colleagues (49). The fly stocks were grown and maintained on a regular corn medium (ingredients: corn, yeast powder, sucrose syrup, agar, potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate, water, nipagin, and propionic acid) supplied with brewer's yeast for the experiments. All *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were stored at 18°C in glass or plastic vials with day/night (12 h/12 h) light cycles. All experiments were performed at 25°C. (G4C2)$_{49}$ flies (Stock #84727; (28)) express 49 pure 5'GGGGCC3' repeats under the control of UAS. These repeats are neurotoxic and model the G4C2 repeats seen in human *C9orf72* that are associated with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Dementia.

Generation of *Top3β* alleles

Genomic sequences were scanned for suitable sgRNA target sites with the JBrowse from FlyBase and appropriate sgRNA sequences were chosen based on (a) their distance from the tagging site, and (b) the absence of potential off-target sites on the same chromosome as the target site (51). To mutate the endogenous *Top3β*, a sgRNA was designed, which hybridized in the vicinity of the Y332 codon of *Top3β* and induced the Cas9 nuclease to perform a double-strand break. Complementary oligodeoxynucleotides with suitable overhangs (**Supplementary Table S6**) were annealed and cloned into the pCFD5 vector, which is used to express the sgRNA (52). The Cas9 fly stock was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (#79004). ssDNA was designed for the mutation changing the Tyr (Y) to a Phe (F) codon and injected into the progeny produced by Cas9- and sgRNA-expressing flies. The single-strand oligodeoxynucleotide with the flanking arms precisely matching the endogenous sequences changes the sequence at the mutation site by serving as a template (**Supplementary Table S6**) for the homologous recombination DNA repair at the double-strand break. The same strategy was used to delete the endogenous RGG region in *Top3β* (ΔRGG) using the appropriate gRNA and ssDNA templates.

RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing was performed using 1 mL of TRIzol® Reagent per 50-100 mg of tissue samples (0-2 hours embryos and 1-5 days old heads), which were homogenized at room temperature. RNA extraction was done according to the Trizol protocol. The University of Bern's Next Generation Sequencing Platform conducted quality control assessments, library generation, sequencing runs, and sequencing quality control steps. The purified total RNA was assessed for quantity and quality using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Qubit 4.0 fluorometer with the Qubit RNA BR or HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Q10211 or Q32855, respectively) and an Agilent Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System using a Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Sequencing libraries were made using a CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit with UDI 12nt Set A1 (Lexogen, 117.96) according to Lexogen's guidelines. The libraries were paired-end sequenced using either shared Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP, S1, or S2 Reagent Kits (200 cycles; Illumina, 200240719, 2002831, or 20028315) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. The quality of the sequencing runs was assessed using the Illumina Sequencing Analysis Viewer (Illumina version 2.4.7), and all base call files were demultiplexed and converted into FASTQ files using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20.

The quality and quantity of reads generated from RNA-seq were evaluated. Most of the reads were from mature transcripts without introns, but we mapped them to the reference genome that includes introns using an alignment tool like Hisat2 that can handle large gaps. This required information on where each gene is located in the genome, available for example from Ensemble (53). The number of reads mapping to each gene was counted using the FeatureCounts tool, which requires information about the location of each gene in the genome. This resulted in a table of read counts for each sample and gene.

To test for differential expression between two experimental groups containing three biological replicates each, we used the DESeq2 tool. The analysis included the following steps: 1. Normalization to correct for differences in the total number of reads between samples. 2. Variance estimation between replicates to account for the limited number of replicates in RNA-seq experiments (DESeq2 incorporates information from other genes with similar overall

expression levels into the estimation). 3. Log-fold change (LFC) adjustment based on evidence

strength which was estimated by the LFC. If it is weak (e.g., because the gene is expressed at

low levels, the variance between replicates is high, or there are few replicates), the LFC shrinks

toward zero. 4. Calculation of a test statistic and comparison to the normal distribution to

obtain a p-value. 5. Multiple test corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to

consider only potentially detectable, differentially expressed genes. DESeq2 applies a false

discovery rate correction based on the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. However, the

multiple-test correction considers only genes that could potentially be detected as

differentially expressed. Only these genes will have an adjusted p-value. The mean read count

across all samples is used to decide if a gene should be included or not.

Extracting transcript features

The information about exon length, exon count, 3´UTR length, gene name, gene length, 5´UTR

length, mRNA length, and CDS length was extracted from the gtf file of dmel-all-filtered-

r6.42.gff using a custom python script.

Generation of the *Top3β::eGFP* cDNA clone for GAL4>UAS expression

For the *Top3β::eGFP* construct, the *Top3β* cDNA clone LD10035 was amplified. Enhanced GFP

(EGFP) was PCR amplified from a plasmid (pEGFP–C3). PCR amplified fragments were run on

an agarose gel and purified using the Wizard SV kit (Promega). The sequences were cloned

into the pUASz1.0 vector (54) with the *eGFP* ORF at the C-terminal end of the *Top3β* ORF using

a ligation kit (NEB). The UASz vector allows one to induce the constructs in any tissue,

including the somatic tissue, the germline, and the CNS (54). Plasmids were sequenced to

confirm the integrity of the construct and purified with a PureYield kit (Promega) for injection.

Transgenic stocks were established with the attP landing platform 86F from the Bloomington

Stock Center (#24749).

To generate an enzymatically dead enzyme, a Phe codon substituted the codon for the Tyr

responsible for crosslinking the enzyme with the nucleic acid in the *UASz- Top3β::eGFP* vector

to give rise to *UASz- Top3β^{Y332F}::eGFP*. Similarly, to figure out the role of the RGG box, we

deleted in the *UASz- Top3β::eGFP* vector the sequence coding for this box, giving rise to *UASz-*

Top3β^{ΔRGG}::eGFP. At the site of the desired mutation, oligonucleotide primers with the

desired mutation were used to amplify the mutant double-stranded DNA plasmid

(**Supplementary Table S6**). A protocol based on two different PCR amplifications was used for

this. First, two elongation reactions were carried out, with one of the two primers each. In

the second reaction, the two initial elongation reactions were mixed and an additional PCR

reaction was carried out. The wild-type and mutant eGFP fusion constructs were transformed

into *Drosophila* using the attP-86F landing platform. mat-tub-GAL4 (#7062) was used to drive

the expression in the older female germline and GAL4-BG380 (#24749) to drive expression in

the CNS. UASz-eGFP transgenic flies, obtained from the Bloomington stock center, were used

as negative controls.

Sample preparation for mass spectrometry

Drosophila Top3β::eGFP was purified using the GFP tag and a mouse anti-eGFP antibody as

described previously (55). Protein G magnetic beads were washed 3x with PBS and incubated

for 2 hours with an anti-GFP antibody. After this, they were washed 3x with 1 ml 1x PBS and

one more time with 1 ml homogenization buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5

mM EDTA PH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors). To prepare the extracts, 1 ml

homogenization buffer was added to 1 gr of the samples (dechorionated embryos 0-2 hours

or adult heads 1-5 days old) in the glass homogenizer. The samples were homogenized and

transferred into the tube. Homogenized samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g (RCF), 4°C for

40 min. The interphase was transferred into a fresh Eppendorf tube, centrifuged again at

16,000 g, 4°C for 40 min, and transferred into a fresh tube. Beads were then incubated with

the extracts for 6 hours at 4°C on a wheel and the extracts were subsequently removed from

the beads. The beads were washed once with 1 ml homogenization buffer and then several

times with wash buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA PH 8.0; 1 mM DTT;

protease inhibitors). The wash buffer was removed, and the beads were sent for mass

spectrometry.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry analysis was done by the Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core Facility of the University of Bern, and the data are available in **Supplementary Table S5**. Proteins in the affinity pull-down were re-suspended in 8M Urea / 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, reduced for 30 min at 37°C with 0.1M DTT / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, alkylated for 30 min at 37°C in the dark with IAA 0.5M / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, dilute with 4 volumes of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH8 / 2 mM CaCl2 before overnight digestion at room temperature with 100 ng sequencing grade trypsin (Promega). Samples were centrifuged, and a magnet holder trapped the magnetic beads to extract the peptides in the supernatant. The digests were analyzed by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS/MS (PROXEON coupled to a QExactive mass spectrometer, ThermoFisher Scientific) with three injections of 5 µl digests. Peptides were trapped on a µPrecolumn C18 PepMap100 (5 µm, 100 Å, 300 µm×5 mm, ThermoFisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland) and separated by backflush on a C18 column (5 µm, 100 Å, 75 µm×15 cm, C18) by applying a 60 min gradient of 5% acetonitrile to 40% in water, 0.1% formic acid, at a flow rate of 350 ml/min. The Full Scan method was set with a resolution at 70,000 with an automatic gain control (AGC) target of 1E06 and a maximum ion injection time of 50 ms. The data-dependent method for precursor ion fragmentation was applied with the following settings: resolution 17,500, AGC of 1E05, maximum ion time of 110 milliseconds, mass window 2 m/z, collision energy 27, underfill ratio 1%, charge exclusion of unassigned and 1+ ions, and peptide match preferred, respectively.

The data were then processed with the software MaxQuant (56) version 1.6.14.0 against the UniProtKB(57) Drosophila 7228 database (release 2021_02) containing canonical and isoform entries, to which common contaminants were added. The following parameters were set: digestion by strict trypsin (maximum three missed cleavages), first search peptide tolerance of 15 ppm, MS/MS match tolerance of 20 ppm, PSM and protein FDR set to 0.01 and a minimum of 2 peptides requested per group. Carbamidomethylation on cysteine was selected as a fixed modification; the following variable modifications were allowed: methionine oxidation, deamidation of asparagines and glutamines, and protein N-terminal acetylation; a maximum of 3 modifications per peptide was allowed. Match between runs was turned on (match time windows 0.7 min) but only allowed within replicates of the same kind.

Peptides were normalized by variance stabilization (58), imputed and combined to form Top3

(59) intensities, and considered alongside MaxQuant's Label-Free Quantification (LFQ) values.

Missing peptides, respectively LFQ intensities, were imputed by drawing values from a

Gaussian distribution of width 0.3 centered at the sample distribution mean minus 2.5x the

sample standard deviation, provided there were at most 1 non zero value in the group;

otherwise, the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (60) was used. Differential expression was

performed by applying the empirical Bayes test (61) between groups; significance testing was

performed as described (62).

RNA immunoprecipitation

Protein G magnetic beads were washed 2-3x with 1ml blocking buffer (20 mM Hepes, 150

mM KCl, 20% Glycerol, 0.5 % Tween, BSA (Biolabs), Heparin 0.2 mg/ml, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA

free protease inhibitors). Beads were blocked with a blocking buffer for 2-3 hours at room

temperature. An anti-GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours. Beads

were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM KCL, 1

mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors). Anti-

GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours at room temperature. Beads

were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer.

Dechorionated embryos were 0-2 hours old. 1g of the embryos were homogenized in 2 ml

hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 10 mM KCL, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, SDS

0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). 600

μl of extract, 323 μl of Adjusting buffer (57% Glycerol 100%, 0.4M KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM

DTT, 20 mM Hepes, SDS 0.1%), and 2 μl of RNase-free DNase I (20 μ/ml, Roche) were added

to the washed antibody beads and were precipitated ON rotating at 4°C. Samples were

washed 8x with 1 ml high salt wash buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM

DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% Glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase

inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). On the last wash, 100 μl of the samples were taken before

centrifugation. 100 μl of proteinase K buffer, 1.5 μl proteinase K (20 mg/ml Roche), and RNase

inhibitors were added to each tube and were incubated for 30 minutes at 55°C. 1 ml Trizol

reagent was added to each tube, and RNA was extracted according to the Trizol protocol

(TRIzol™ Reagent, Invitrogen™, Catalog number: 15596026).

The Next Generation Sequencing Platform, University of Bern, conducted quality control

assessments, library generation, sequencing runs, and sequencing quality control steps. The

quantity and quality of the purified total RNA were assessed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific

Qubit 4.0 fluorometer with the Qubit RNA BR or HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Q10211 or Q32855, respectively) and an Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System using

a Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Sequencing libraries were made

using a CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit, Version 1 with UDI 12nt Set A1 (Lexogen,

117.96) according to Lexogen's guidelines for this kit. Pooled cDNA libraries were paired-end

sequenced using either shared Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP, S1, or S2 Reagent Kits (200 cycles;

Illumina, 200240719, 2002831, or 20028315) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. The

quality of the sequencing run was assessed using Illumina Sequencing Analysis Viewer

(Illumina version 2.4.7) and all base call files were demultiplexed and converted into FASTQ

files using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20.

The quality of the RNA-seq reads was assessed using fastqc v0.11.9 (63) and RSeQC v4.0.0

(64). Unique molecular identifiers (UMI) were extracted with umi-tools v1.1.2 (65), and

adapters were trimmed with cutadapt v3.4.1 (66) according to manufacturer instructions. The

reads were aligned to the Drosophila reference genome (BDGP6.32) using hisat2 v2.2.1 (67).

FeatureCounts v2.0.1 (68) was used to count the number of reads overlapping with each gene

as specified in release 103 of the Ensembl genome annotation. The Bioconductor package

DESeq2 v1.36.0 (69) was used to test for differential gene expression between the

experimental groups. To generate the log2 fold change versus transcript length plots, the

estimated log2 fold change per gene was plotted against the most extended transcript length

per gene with R v4.2.1 (70). We used the log2 fold change>=1 and the adjusted p-value (adjp-

value) <0.05 of enrichment over control for further analysis.

Dissection and immunostaining of adult Dorsal Longitudinal Muscles (DLMs)

Thoraces of 0-3 days old and 2 months old adult wild-type, $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$, $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$, and

$Top3\beta^{26}$ flies were separated from the rest of the body in 1x PBS. The thoraces were fixed in

PFA (32% formaldehyde diluted to 4% with 1x PBS) for 30 min at room temperature and then washed 3x with PBS. All 1x PBS was removed from the tubes using a Pasteur pipette. The tubes were submerged into a liquid nitrogen flask for 10 seconds using cryogenic tweezers. Then, 300 µL of ice-cold 1x PBS was added to the samples. The thoraxes were cut into two hemithoraxes, transferred into 1x PBS (24), and blocked in 1x PBS with 0.1% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 at pH 7.4 for at least one h at 4°C. Mouse anti-HRP or anti-Brp (nc82; 1:125 dilution) from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank were used to stain motor neurons. Primary antibodies were removed on the following day and the tissue was washed four times with PBST for 5 min each on a rotator. For the secondary antibody staining, goat anti-mouse A647 (1.5 mg/ml, diluted 1:200 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.) was added to the samples, which were then kept at room temperature for 2 hours in a dark box on the rotator. After the 2 h incubation, the secondary stain was washed out 4 times for 5 min with PBST. Then, the samples were mounted on a slide (24).

Negative geotaxis (climbing) assays

Wild-type flies and the three *Top3β* mutant strains were reared on fresh food and allowed to hatch at 25° C. For each genotype, all 0-3-day-old adult male flies were collected. Ten young adult male flies, each, were anesthetized and placed in 4 separate graduated flat-bottom glass cylinders. After 1 hour of recovery from CO_2 exposure, flies were gently tapped 4x to the bottom of the cylinder to startle them repeatedly with constant force to displace the flies to the bottom surface. The flies were then allowed to climb the wall until they reached the top of the column. The climbing procedure was video recorded using an iPhone 11 Pro. These assays were repeated every week over 7 weeks on the same weekday. Three fly batches, each, provided the material for the triplicates which were performed within 5-minute intervals. After the three trials, each batch of 10 flies was transferred into glass vials and kept at 25° C. Before the experiment, every single batch was inspected to ensure that all 40 flies could be video-tracked when they started to climb after the tapping. To calculate each climbing index (CI), flies that remained at the bottom were counted as in zone 0. The formula used to calculate the CI is CI = (0 x n0 + 1 x n1 + 2 x n2 + 3 x n3 + 4 x n4 + 5 x n5) / nTotal, where nTotal is the total number of flies and nx is the number of flies that reached zone x.

Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. For the negative geotaxis assays, the means, standard error of the means, and p-values were obtained by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks go to P. Nicholson and the members of the University of Bern NGS platform for sequencing the many RNA samples and to the IBU group of R. Bruggmann, particularly, S. Oberhänsli and G. van Geest, for their important support during the analyses of the sequencing data. We thank the University of Bern (Switzerland) Mass Spectrometry Center (PMSCF) members S. Braga Lagache and N. Buchs for performing the MS experiments and M. Heller and A-C. Uldry for the data analysis. We wish to acknowledge all our group members who gave their time and expertise to provide critical input and technical support. Special thanks go to P. Vazquez, R. Dörig, and D. Beuchle. We highly appreciate the continuous support from friends and colleagues in the fly community for sharing their results, fly stocks, and antibodies. For this work, too, FlyBase provided invaluable support, the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank provided antibodies, and the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) fly stocks.

Funding

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation project grants 31003A_173188 and 310030_205075 and by the Equipment grant 316030_150824 to BS. Support came also from the University of Bern to BS. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

References

1. Xu D, Shen W, Guo R, Xue Y, Peng W, Sima J, et al. Top3β is an RNA topoisomerase that works with fragile X syndrome protein to promote synapse formation. Nat Neurosci. 2013;16(9):1238.

2. Ahmad M, Shen W, Li W, Xue Y, Zou S, Xu D, et al. Topoisomerase 3β is the major topoisomerase for mRNAs and linked to neurodevelopment and mental dysfunction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(5):2704–13.

3. Kaufman CS, Genovese A, Butler MG. Deletion of TOP3B is associated with cognitive impairment and facial dysmorphism. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2016;150(2):106–11.

4. Dekker NH, Rybenkov V V, Duguet M, Crisona NJ, Cozzarelli NR, Bensimon D, et al. The mechanism of type IA topoisomerases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2002;99(19):12126–31.

5. Piñero J, Saüch J, Sanz F, Furlong LI. The DisGeNET cytoscape app: Exploring and visualizing disease genomics data. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2021;19:2960–7.

6. Wu MN, Littleton JT, Bhat MA, Prokop A, Bellen HJ. ROP, the Drosophila Sec1 homolog, interacts with syntaxin and regulates neurotransmitter release in a dosage-dependent manner. EMBO J. 1998;17(1):127–39.

7. Schulze KL, Littleton JT, Salzberg A, Halachmi N, Stern M, Lev Z, et al. Rop, a Drosophila homolog of yeast Sec1 and vertebrate n-Sect/Munc-18 proteins, is a negative regulator of neurotransmitter release in vivo. Neuron. 1994;13(5):1099–108.

8. Zhang W, Liu HT. MAPK signal pathways in the regulation of cell proliferation in mammalian cells. Cell Res. 2002;12(1):9–18.

9. Huang R, Quan Y, Chen J, Wang T, Xu M, Ye M, et al. Osteopontin promotes cell migration and invasion, and inhibits apoptosis and autophagy in colorectal cancer by activating the p38 MAPK signaling pathway. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2017;41(5):1851–64.

10. Baker NM, Rajan R, Mondragón A. Structural studies of type I topoisomerases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(3):693–701.

11. Pommier Y, Sun Y, Shar-yin NH, Nitiss JL. Roles of eukaryotic topoisomerases in transcription, replication and genomic stability. Nat Rev Mol cell Biol. 2016;17(11):703.

12. Nostramo R, Xing S, Zhang B, Herman PK. Insights into the role of P-bodies and stress granules in protein quality control. Genetics. 2019;213(1):251–65.

13. Siaw GE-L, Liu I-F, Lin P-Y, Been MD, Hsieh T. DNA and RNA topoisomerase activities of Top3β are promoted by mediator protein Tudor domain-containing protein 3. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113(38):E5544–51.

14. Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, Kobayashi S. Me31B silences translation of oocyte-localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during Drosophila oogenesis. 2001;

15. Wilhelm JE, Buszczak M, Sayles S. Efficient protein trafficking requires trailer hitch, a

component of a ribonucleoprotein complex localized to the ER in Drosophila. Dev
Cell. 2005;9(5):675–85.

16.   Barbee SA, Estes PS, Cziko A-M, Hillebrand J, Luedeman RA, Coller JM, et al. Staufen-
and FMRP-containing neuronal RNPs are structurally and functionally related to
somatic P bodies. Neuron. 2006;52(6):997–1009.

17.   Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas F V, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, Song J-J, et al. Argonaute2 is
the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. Science (80-). 2004;305(5689):1437–41.

18.   Wang M, Ly M, Lugowski A, Laver JD, Lipshitz HD, Smibert CA, et al. ME31B globally
represses maternal mRNAs by two distinct mechanisms during the Drosophila
maternal-to-zygotic transition. Elife. 2017;6:e27891.

19.   Lee J, Yoo E, Lee H, Park K, Hur J-H, Lim C. LSM12 and ME31B/DDX6 define distinct
modes of posttranscriptional regulation by ATAXIN-2 protein complex in Drosophila
circadian pacemaker neurons. Mol Cell. 2017;66(1):129–40.

20.   Hillebrand J, Barbee SA, Ramaswami M. P-body components, microRNA regulation,
and synaptic plasticity. ScientificWorldJournal. 2007;7:178–90.

21.   Ruscica V, Bawankar P, Peter D, Helms S, Igreja C, Izaurralde E. Direct role for the
Drosophila GIGYF protein in 4EHP-mediated mRNA repression. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(13):7035–48.

22.   Lee S, Kolodziej PA. Short Stop provides an essential link between F-actin and
microtubules during axon extension. 2002;

23.   Liu Z, Steward R, Luo L. Drosophila Lis1 is required for neuroblast proliferation,
dendritic elaboration and axonal transport. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2(11):776–83.

24.   Sidisky JM, Babcock DT. Visualizing synaptic degeneration in adult Drosophila in
association with neurodegeneration. JoVE (Journal Vis Exp. 2020;(159):e61363.

25.   DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, Boxer AL, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, et
al. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes
chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron. 2011;72(2):245–56.

26.   Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, Simón-Sánchez J, Rollinson S, Gibbs JR, et al. A
hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-
linked ALS-FTD. Neuron. 2011;72(2):257–68.

27.   Vatsavayai SC, Nana AL, Yokoyama JS, Seeley WW. C9orf72-FTD/ALS pathogenesis:
evidence from human neuropathological studies. Acta Neuropathol. 2019;137:1–26.

28.   Goodman LD, Prudencio M, Kramer NJ, Martinez-Ramirez LF, Srinivasan AR, Lan M, et
al. Toxic expanded GGGGCC repeat transcription is mediated by the PAF1 complex in
C9orf72-associated FTD. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(6):863–74.

29.   Mignone F, Gissi C, Liuni S, Pesole G. Untranslated regions of mRNAs. Genome Biol.
2002;3:1–10.

30.   Ast G. How did alternative splicing evolve? Nat Rev Genet. 2004;5(10):773–82.

31.   Black DL. Protein diversity from alternative splicing: a challenge for bioinformatics

and post-genome biology. Cell. 2000;103(3):367–70.

32.   Budagyan B, Loraine A. Gene length and alternative transcription in fruit fly. In:
Proceedings 2004 IEEE Computational Systems Bioinformatics Conference, 2004 CSB
2004. IEEE; 2004. p. 515–6.

33.   McGuire AM, Pearson MD, Neafsey DE, Galagan JE. Cross-kingdom patterns of
alternative splicing and splice recognition. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–19.

34.   Fox-Walsh KL, Dou Y, Lam BJ, Hung S, Baldi PF, Hertel KJ. The architecture of pre-
mRNAs affects mechanisms of splice-site pairing. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2005;102(45):16176–81.

35.   Liang J, Wen J, Huang Z, Chen X, Zhang B, Chu L. Small nucleolar RNAs: insight into
their function in cancer. Front Oncol. 2019;9:587.

36.   Han K, Gennarino VA, Lee Y, Pang K, Hashimoto-Torii K, Choufani S, et al. Human-
specific regulation of MeCP2 levels in fetal brains by microRNA miR-483-5p. Genes
Dev. 2013;27(5):485–90.

37.   Tushev G, Glock C, Heumüller M, Biever A, Jovanovic M, Schuman EM. Alternative 3'
UTRs modify the localization, regulatory potential, stability, and plasticity of mRNAs in
neuronal compartments. Neuron. 2018;98(3):495–511.

38.   Glock C, Biever A, Tushev G, Nassim-Assir B, Kao A, Bartnik I, et al. The translatome of
neuronal cell bodies, dendrites, and axons. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(43).

39.   Dewey EB, Parra AS, Johnston CA. Loss of the spectraplakin gene Short stop induces a
DNA damage response in Drosophila epithelia. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):1–13.

40.   Sanchez-Soriano N, Travis M, Dajas-Bailador F, Gonçalves-Pimentel C, Whitmarsh AJ,
Prokop A. Mouse ACF7 and drosophila short stop modulate filopodia formation and
microtubule organisation during neuronal growth. J Cell Sci. 2009;122(14):2534–42.

41.   Prokop A, Beaven R, Qu Y, Sánchez-Soriano N. Using fly genetics to dissect the
cytoskeletal machinery of neurons during axonal growth and maintenance. J Cell Sci.
2013;126(11):2331–41.

42.   Valakh V, Walker LJ, Skeath JB, DiAntonio A. Loss of the spectraplakin short stop
activates the DLK injury response pathway in Drosophila. J Neurosci.
2013;33(45):17863–73.

43.   Bennett V, Baines AJ. Spectrin and ankyrin-based pathways: metazoan inventions for
integrating cells into tissues. Physiol Rev. 2001;81(3):1353–92.

44.   Thomas GH, Williams JA. Dynamic rearrangement of the spectrin membrane skeleton
during the generation of epithelial polarity in Drosophila. J Cell Sci.
1999;112(17):2843–52.

45.   Banks GT, Fisher E. Cytoplasmic dynein could be key to understanding
neurodegeneration. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–4.

46.   Bianco A, Dienstbier M, Salter HK, Gatto G, Bullock SL. Bicaudal-D regulates fragile X
mental retardation protein levels, motility, and function during neuronal
morphogenesis. Curr Biol. 2010;20(16):1487–92.

47. Formicola N, Vijayakumar J, Besse F. Neuronal ribonucleoprotein granules: Dynamic
sensors of localized signals. Traffic. 2019;20(9):639–49.

48. Greenblatt EJ, Spradling AC. Fragile X mental retardation 1 gene enhances the
translation of large autism-related proteins. Science (80-). 2018;361(6403):709–12.

49. Lee SK, Xue Y, Shen W, Zhang Y, Joo Y, Ahmad M, et al. Topoisomerase 3β interacts
with RNAi machinery to promote heterochromatin formation and transcriptional
silencing in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4946.

51. Gratz SJ, Rubinstein CD, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. CRISPR-Cas9
genome editing in Drosophila. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2015;111(1):31–2.

52. Port F, Bullock SL. Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with tRNA-flanked
sgRNAs. Nat Methods. 2016;13(10):852–4.

53. Cunningham F, Allen JE, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, et al.
Ensembl 2022. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D988–95.

54. DeLuca SZ, Spradling AC. Efficient expression of genes in the Drosophila germline
using a UAS promoter free of interference by Hsp70 piRNAs. Genetics.
2018;209(2):381–7.

55. Vazquez-Pianzola P, Adam J, Haldemann D, Hain D, Urlaub H, Suter B. Clathrin heavy
chain plays multiple roles in polarizing the Drosophila oocyte downstream of Bic-D.
Development. 2014;141(9):1915–26.

56. Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
ppb-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat
Biotechnol. 2008;26(12):1367–72.

57. Consortium U. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(D1):D506–15.

58. Huber W, Von Heydebreck A, Sültmann H, Poustka A, Vingron M. Variance
stabilization applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of
differential expression. Bioinformatics. 2002;18(suppl_1):S96–104.

59. Silva JC, Gorenstein M V, Li GZ, Vissers JP. C; Geromanos, SJ Absolute quantification of
proteins by LCMSE: A virtue of parallel MS acquisition. Mol Cell Proteomics.
2006;5:144–56.

60. Silver JD, Ritchie ME, Smyth GK. Microarray background correction: maximum
likelihood estimation for the normal–exponential convolution. Biostatistics.
2009;10(2):352–63.

61. Kammers K, Cole RN, Tiengwe C, Ruczinski I. Detecting significant changes in protein
abundance. EuPA open proteomics. 2015;7:11–9.

62. Uldry A-C, Maciel-Dominguez A, Jornod M, Buchs N, Braga-Lagache S, Brodard J, et al.
Effect of Sample Transportation on the Proteome of Human Circulating Blood
Extracellular Vesicles. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(9):4515.

63. Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2010.

64. Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.
Bioinformatics. 2012;28(16):2184–5.

65. Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique
Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. Genome Res.
2017;27(3):491–9.

66. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17(1):10–2.

67. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–60.

68. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923–30.

69. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):1–21.

70. Core R. TEAM, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Online https//www r-project
org. 2022;

71. Castells-Nobau A, Nijhof B, Eidhof I, Wolf L, Scheffer-de Gooyert JM, Monedero I, et
al. Two algorithms for high-throughput and multi-parametric quantification of
Drosophila neuromuscular junction morphology. J Vis Exp Jove. 2017;(123).

72. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, et al.
STRING v10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(D1):D447–52.

73. Stoll G, Pietiläinen OPH, Linder B, Suvisaari J, Brosi C, Hennah W, Leppä V, Torniainen
M, Ripatti S, Ala-Mello S, Plöttner O, Rehnström K, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Varilo T,
Tallila J, Kristiansson K, Isohanni M, Kaprio J, Eriksson JG, Raitakari OT, Lehtimäki T,
Jarvelin MR, Salomaa V, Hurles M, Stefansson H, Peltonen L, Sullivan PF, Paunio T,
Lönnqvist J, Daly MJ, Fischer U, Freimer NB, Palotie A. Deletion of TOP3β, a
component of FMRP-containing mRNPs, contributes to neurodevelopmental
disorders. Nat Neurosci. 2013 Sep;16(9):1228-1237. doi: 10.1038/nn.3484. Epub 2013
Aug 4. PMID: 23912948; PMCID: PMC3986889.

74. Su, S, Xue, Y., Sharov, A., Zhang, Y., Lee, S.K., Martindale, J.L., Li, W., Ku, W.L., Zhao, K.,
De, S., Shen, W., Sen, P., Gorospe, M., Xu, D., Wang, W. A dual-activity topoisomerase
complex regulates mRNA translation and turnover. Nucleic Acids Res
2022;50(12):7013-7033.

75. Schiavo G, Greensmith L, Hafezparast M, Fisher EM. Cytoplasmic dynein heavy chain:
the servant of many masters. Trends Neurosci. 2013 Nov;36(11):641-51. doi:
10.1016/j.tins.2013.08.001.
76. Wilkie GS, Davis I. Drosophila wingless and pair-rule transcripts localize apically by
dynein-mediated transport of RNA particles. Cell. 2001 Apr 20;105(2):209-19.
doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00312-9. PMID: 11336671.

77.   Bullock SL, Ish-Horowicz D. Conserved signals and machinery for RNA transport in
Drosophila oogenesis and embryogenesis. Nature. 2001 Dec 6;414(6864):611-6.
doi: 10.1038/414611a. PMID: 11740552.

1003

Supplementary

Figures S1-S4

Figure S1

Supplementary Fig. S1: Gene Ontology enrichment for embryonic RNAs that need *Top3β* for their normal expression levels. Strength: Log10 of the enrichment according to StringDB.

Figure S2

Supplementary Fig. S2: Transcript features for the differentially expressed RNAs from the embryo and the fly homologs of genes associated with the indicated diseases. A, B) mRNAs shared between the two lists show longer UTRs compared to the average fly homolog of the disease mRNA and the average *Top3β* dependent mRNA. **C)** CDS length revealed no significant difference between the three gene lists for each disease (except for AD). **D)** Shared mRNAs show longer pre-mRNAs compared to the average disease-homolg mRNA length and the average length of the embryonic *Top3β*-dependent RNA list. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*. Sch: Schizophrenia, IC: Impaired cognition, AD: Autistic Disorders, Epi: Epilepsy, FXS: Fragile X syndrome, CAF: Congenital anomaly of face, JME: Juvenile Myoclonic Epilepsy, MNL: Malignant neoplasm of the lung, PMN: Primary malignant neoplasm, NSCL: Non-Small Cell lung carcinoma, RC: Renal carcinoma, MNK: Malignant neoplasm of the kidney.

Fig. S3: Pathways and interactions of Drosophila Top3β. A) *Gene ontology enrichment for Top3β-associated proteins for the top 100 interactors identified in embryonic extracts.* Immunoprecipitations (IP) on extracts from 0-2 hours old embryos were performed using *Top3β-eGFP* and the *eGFP* control line. Polypeptide components of the IP complexes were analyzed using mass spectrometry (MS) and their abundance was compared to the eGFP control. This resulted in a list of 426 potential complex components with an adjusted p-value <0.01 and log2FC>1 (**Supplementary Table S5).** 89 ribosomal proteins were in this set. A gene ontology enrichment analysis was then performed for the top 100 (according to log2FC) non-ribosomal proteins. This revealed proteins involved in the activation of translation, P-bodies, stress granules, and neural ribonucleoprotein granules, all membrane-less structures involved in storing specific mRNAs during periods of stress or concentrating mRNAs and regulatory proteins (12). Additionally, the interactors were also enriched in several functions related to mitochondria. **B)** *Proteins enriched in the embryonic protein-IP with their*

physical interactions according to Cytoscape-String. Proteins that ended up further away from Top3β than the ones shown here, were removed from the interaction map. Tdrd3, an established interactor of Top3β (13), was among the top enriched proteins, suggesting that our immunoprecipitation was specific. To assess the role of the Y332 residue and the RGG box in Top3β interactions, IP results were compared with the ones from the *Top3β* mutants *Top3β^{Y332F}-eGFP* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}-eGFP*. Among the 337 non-ribosomal binding candidates, 102 needed the Y residue and the RGG box to bind to Top3β-eGFP **(Supplementary Table S5)**. An additional 16 proteins needed the Tyr (but not the RGG) and 23 the RGG box (but not the Y332) for their binding to Top3β. A large fraction of the identified proteins is involved in RNA transport, translation, splicing, mRNA surveillance, and degradation. The physical interaction map of the proteins enriched in the Top3β::GFP IP was created using Cytoscape-String and the results from the mutant analyses were entered into this map. The resulting map resolved into two distinct sub-maps. The left branch connects Top3β functions to its nuclear functions and includes splicing factors. The right branch is enriched in cytoplasmic proteins and includes Tdrd3, FMR1, the piRNA pathway, and translation. The association first Tdrd3 and FMRP has already been described from work with vertebrate cell cultures (74). The interaction of Me31B with Top3β turned out to be dependent on the RGG box. As an RNA helicase, Me31B is a core component of a variety of ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs) that participate in translational control and mRNA decapping during embryogenesis, oogenesis, neurogenesis, and neurotransmission (14)(15)(16)(17)(18)(19)(20)(21). Me31B RNPs also containing Tral, eIF4E1, Cup, and pAbp. They are also involved in RNA localization and translational control of maternal mRNAs during oogenesis and embryogenesis (18). These other complex proteins were also enriched in the embryonic Top3β::eGFP IP.

Figure S4

Supplementary Fig. S4: Life span modulation by *Top3β*. The null and the *Y332F* mutants show the strongest effect on survival, but their kinetics differ.

Legends for

Supplementary

Tables S1-S6

Supplementary Tables S1-S6

Supplementary Table S1) embryo transcriptomics lists the filtered Top3β transcriptomics results (with adjusted p-value <0.05 and absolute log2 fold change >1) from embryos. Columns C, D: Top3β[26] vs wild-type embryos. Columns E, F: Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ vs wild-type embryos. Columns G, H: Top3β^{Y332F} vs wild-type embryos. Columns I: gene name (symbol), Columns J-M: length of indicated RNA features. The embryo background list (2nd sheet) shows the length of the RNA features for all transcripts identified.

Supplementary Table S2) List of Drosophila melanogaster homologs of differentially expressed genes associated with those neurological diseases and cancers with which human *Top3β* had been associated.

Supplementary Table S3) List of RNAs (and gene names) that were purified with Top3β::GFP from embryonic extract. Enrichment of an RNA in the wild-type IP versus the null mutant was used to select the best target RNAs. RNA features are indicated for these RNAs, too.

Supplementary Table S4) List of the top targets of Top3β::GFP with their RNA features indicated.

Supplementary Table S5) List of proteins co-immunoprecipitated (co-IP) with Top3β::GFP. Enrichment in comparison to GFP-only IPs and co-IPs with mutant versions of Top3β*::GFP are shown. The dependence of the interaction with the RGG domain and the Y332 residue are shown.

Supplementary Table S6) Oligos, primers and fly lines used in this study.