RNA Targets and Function of Topoisomerase 3β in Reducing Stress in Neuronal Physiology

Shohreh Teimuri, Masaba Baroi Sayor, and Beat Suter*

Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Berne, 3012, Switzerland

*Corresponding address: Beat.Suter@unibe.ch

Abstract

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) relaxes DNA and RNA and its dysregulation is implicated in various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders. We developed a novel protocol to isolate RNA targets of the topoisomerase without artificial cross-linking and demonstrated that topoisomerase activity toward long RNAs is useful in cells where mRNAs travel over long distances and require strict translational control. We show that such mRNAs depend on the RNA topoisomerase activity for their proper expression and localization. Lack of the enzymatic activity of Top3β stresses neurons, affecting the morphology of the neuromuscular junction (NMJ) in larvae and adult flies, aging, and the coordinated movement of older flies. The interaction network of Top3β links it to all levels of posttranscriptional RNA expression, splicing, localization, translation, and RNA stability. This study provides insights into the mechanisms underlying neurological diseases, including schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders, and Fragile X syndrome, diseases to which Top3β and many of its interactors, particularly Tdrd3 and FMR1, have been linked.

Introduction

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) is an RNA-binding protein (RBP) with dual enzymatic activity toward DNA and RNA (1). Topoisomerases are better known for their activity toward DNA, where they resolve tension and supercoiling. Much less is known about the function of topoisomerases toward RNA. Along with other RBPs, Top3β contributes to mRNA translation, particularly in the nervous system, which is significant for neurodevelopment and psychological and physical well-being (2). Its functional importance is suggested by results that link impaired *Top3β* function to various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders (2)(3).

Drosophila melanogaster Top3β possesses the key feature of a topoisomerase. The predicted catalytic tyrosine residue is present at position 332 (Y332) and is essential for its topoisomerase activity (4). Top3β topoisomerases distinguish themselves from other topoisomerases by their conserved RNA-binding motif called RGG (Arginine Glycine Glycine) box, which binds to RNAs or proteins. Its paralogue Top3α, a DNA topoisomerase, possesses the catalytic tyrosine residue but lacks the RGG box (4). *In vitro*, the RGG box is not required for the enzymatic activity but might play a role in binding to the RNA and thereby facilitate the enzymatic activity *in vivo* (2).

We hypothesized that the neurological requirements for a topoisomerase, which acts on RNAs, might reflect, in part, its activity on mRNAs that need to be transported over long distances to be translated at the right time at the right place. During such transport, long linear molecules, such as mRNAs, are likely to become entangled or suffer other structural problems that might interfere with their transport, (un)packaging, and translation (1). A topoisomerase that acts on RNA might, therefore, be able to rescue such RNAs. Particularly in large cells, where mRNAs need to travel over long distances, a topoisomerase could provide an important advantage and allow the cell to function properly. Drosophila has such large cells in the nervous system, the female germline, and the young, syncytial embryo. We, therefore, set out to study the activity and function of Drosophila Top3β in the young embryo and the nervous system to identify relevant *in vivo* RNA targets, interacting proteins, and biological functions.

Consistent with the notion that larger RNAs are more likely to encounter structural problems
in living cells, we found that longer RNAs are more likely to be targets of Top3β in young
embryos. Comparing the phenotype caused by the specific mutations (Y332F and ΔRGG) with
the null phenotype tells us whether the enzymatic activity or RNA and protein binding are
important for the normal function of Top3β in the affected process. Specifically, we wanted
to test the involvement of these elements in localizing the identified Top3β RNA targets and
facilitating the local accumulation of the encoded proteins. Here we show that this is the case
and that Top3β is needed for the normal accumulation of localized proteins encoded by
mRNAs that are targets of Top3β. Accordingly, the lack of this enzyme stresses the affected
tissue and causes signs of neurodegeneration and premature aging.

Results

Drosophila *Top3β* is preferentially expressed in the female germ line as part of the maternal contribution deposited in the egg to support embryogenesis. *Top3β* is also expressed at high levels in the nervous system. We, therefore, wanted to find out how important Top3β is in these tissues and stages for proper development and physiological function and whether it contributes to RNA localization and controlled local translation in these large cells and cells with long extensions.

RNA interactions of Top3β in embryos and heads

To identify the RNA targets to which Top3β binds and performs its topoisomerase activity, we sequenced the RNAs isolated by immunoprecipitation (IP). This was done using animals that expressed an eGFP fused to wild-type Top3β, Top3β in which Y332 was replaced by a phenylalanine (Y332F), and Top3β with the RGG box deleted (ΔRGG). An eGFP-expressing line served as an additional negative control.

During its enzymatic reaction, Top3β forms a covalent bond between its Y332 side chain and the RNA targets (5). Using this to our advantage, we added an ionic detergent at low concentration to the IP, conditions that should pull down primarily covalently bound RNAs without denaturing the antibodies and their interactions. The covalent bond between Top3β's Y332 and the RNA targets was stabilized for the IP by removing Mg^{2+}, which is needed for the enzyme to resolve the bond at the end of the enzymatic reaction (6). To determine if the target RNAs were specifically bound to the –OH group of Y332, we used the Y332F mutant as a negative control, as it does not have this -OH group and, therefore, cannot form the covalent bond. The RNAs that are enriched in the wild type compared to the Y332F mutant can be considered to be targets of the catalytic activity of Top3β **(Supplementary Table S1, S2)**.

The candidate RNA targets that depend on Y332 with adjusted p-value (adjp-value) <0.05 and log2FC>1 were selected and ranked based on their adjp-value. We used 46 embryonic RNA targets and the top 50 head RNA targets for further analyses (**Supplementary Table S3, S4**). Because long RNAs seem more likely to depend on this enzymatic activity, we further tested the quality of the data by comparing the RNA length of the presumed targets with the background RNA length and found that in embryos, longer RNAs were more likely to covalently bind to Top3β as they showed higher enrichment in the wild-type extracts

compared to the *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutant extracts (**Fig. 1A; Supplementary Table S1, S2**). The results might also reflect that longer RNAs have a higher chance of enduring physical stress and are therefore also for this reason more likely to become substrates of the enzymatic activity of Top3β. In the head extracts, this was not the case (**Fig. 1B**). It is not clear whether this is due to the much higher cellular diversity in the head, the smaller cell sizes compared to the syncytial embryos, or another reason.

We next analyzed which RNA features correlate with the chance of becoming a target of Top3β's enzymatic activity. The results showed that embryonic Top3β targets had on average longer 3'UTRs and stemmed from larger genes with longer introns compared to the complete set of different types of RNAs detected in the wild-type RNA-IP (**Fig. 1C-D, Supplementary Table S1, S2**). Both embryonic and head Top3β targets had a higher number of transcript isoforms per gene and more exons (**Fig. 1E-F, Supplementary Table S1, S2**).

A compartment enrichment analysis revealed that the encoded proteins of the top 50 head RNA-IP targets were predominantly located in the plasma membrane, the cortex, and in mitochondria. Mutants in the corresponding top 50 head genes are mainly enriched for neurophysiology phenotypes in *Drosophila,* whereas the genes for the embryonic RNA-IP targets had been associated with several phenotypes in Drosophila, including abnormal neurophysiology.

Homologs of Drosophila Top3β target mRNAs bind also mammalian Top3β and FMRP1

Comparing our Top3β RNA-IP results with those obtained from the shared targets of FMRP1 (HITS-CLIP data of FMRP produced from mouse brain extracts) and Top3β (HITS-CLIP data of Flag-Top3β from HeLa cells; (1)) revealed intriguing similarities. A Venn diagram illustrates the overlap between the top targets of Drosophila Top3β and the shared mammalian targets, with the number of such overlapping targets listed in **Fig. 2**. The common feature of the proteins encoded by the shared RNA targets is that they are predominantly large proteins. Many are localized to the plasma membrane or the cell cortex (e.g., Sev, Mgl, α-Spec, Kst, Pcx) or cytoskeleton-associated (e.g., Dhc64C, Mhc, Myo10A, α-Spec, Kst), have axonal functions (e.g., Shot, Dhc64C, Kst, Prosap), or scaffolding functions (Shot, Prosap).

Fig. 1. RNA features of enzymatic targets of Top3β. Extracts were from A) embryos and B) heads. A) Longer RNAs are more likely targets of the enzymatic activity of Top3β because they are more enriched in the IP with the wild-type Top3β compared to the Top3β^{Y332F}. B) No correlation between the length of the bound RNAs and their covalent binding to Top3β was observed in head extracts. r measures the strength of the correlation and p stands for p-value. C-F) Transcript features for the embryonic RNA targets (46 RNAs) and the top 50 head RNA targets of Top3β sorted according to the adjp-value. The complete sets of RNAs detected in the wild-type RNA-IP served as the standard. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*

Fig. 2. Homologous targets shared between Drosophila Top3β and mammalian FMRP1 and Top3β. Venn diagram for the RNAs shared between our study (Drosophila head and embryo RNA-IPs) with the previous mammalian study (1), which revealed the overlap of the interactors with mouse FMRP1 from brain extracts and Top3β interactors in HeLa cells. The list of the Drosophila homologs that were also found in both mammalian interactor screens is also shown.

The interaction network of RNA Top3β

To identify factors that might contribute to the Top3β activity, immunoprecipitations (IP) on extracts from 0-2 hours old embryos and 1-5 days old heads were performed using the same three alleles of *Top3β-eGFP* and the *eGFP* control line. The proteins present in the IP complexes were analyzed using mass spectrometry (MS) and their abundance was compared to the eGFP control. Proteins with an adjusted p-value <0.01 and log2FC >1 were retained from the analysis of the wild-type Top3β::eGFP/eGFP embryo IPs and this resulted in 426 proteins. Proteins with an adjusted p-value <0.05 and log2FC >1 were retained from the analysis of the wild-type Top3β::eGFP/eGFP head IPs and this yielded 67 proteins (**Supplementary Table S5, S6).**

Ribosomal proteins were particularly highly represented among the IP interactors. 89 in the set of embryonic interactors and ten among the head interactors. After excluding ribosomal proteins from this list, a gene ontology enrichment analysis on the top 100 (according to log2FC) embryonic proteins **(Fig. 3A)** and the remaining 57 head proteins **(Fig. 3B)** was performed. Particularly the analysis of the embryonic data revealed enrichment for proteins involved in the activation of translation, P-bodies, stress granules, and neural ribonucleoprotein granules, all membrane-less structures involved in storing specific mRNAs during periods of stress or concentrating mRNAs and regulatory proteins (7). Additionally, the interactors were also enriched in several functions related to mitochondria.

Pointing to high conservation of the activity of Top3β between the two very different stages and tissues, 17 of the 57 non-ribosomal head proteins were also identified as interactors in the embryonic IPs and 8 of the 10 ribosomal head proteins were also identified as interactors in the embryonic IPs **(Fig. 3C; Supplementary Table S5, S6).**

Proteins that require Tyr332 (Y332) or the RGG box for binding

Tdrd3, a well-known interactor of Top3β (8), was among the top enriched proteins in both embryonic and head IPs, suggesting that our immunoprecipitation was specific. To assess the role of the Y332 residue and the RGG box in Top3β interactions, we compared the IP results with the ones from the *Top3β* mutants *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*. Among the 337 non-ribosomal binding candidates in the embryos, 102 proteins needed the Y residue and the RGG box to bind to Top3β because they were not enriched in the protein-IP from either mutant

Figure 3:

Fig. 3. Gene ontology enrichment for Top3β-associated proteins. A) Gene ontology enrichment for Top3β-associated proteins for the top 100 interactors from embryonic extracts and B) Gene ontology enrichment for Top3β associated proteins for 57 head interactors. Strength shows the Log10 of the enrichment according to StringDB (80). C) Number of proteins that were common in the head and embryo protein-IP.

(Supplementary Table S5, S6). An additional 16 proteins needed Tyr (but not RGG) for their

binding and 23 proteins were dependent on the RGG box (but not on Y332F) for their binding

to Top3β. In the data set from heads, of the 57 non-ribosomal binding proteins, 2 proteins

were not enriched in the Y332F mutant, 33 were not enriched in the ΔRGG mutant, and no

protein was absent from both mutant IPs. Proteins absent only from one of the two mutants

are likely to bind either directly to the corresponding site in the wild-type Top3β or interact

with an RNA or protein that binds to it.

The analysis of the IP results revealed that more proteins required the RGG box to interact

with Top3β, and these proteins are involved in RNA transport, splicing, mRNA surveillance,

and degradation processes. In contrast, proteins that required the Y332 residue were only

involved in RNA transport or splicing based on the KEGG pathway analysis. In addition, a visual

inspection of the interaction map (described in the next paragraph) also revealed many

translation factors among the Y332-dependent interactors **(Fig. 4A)**. The large difference in

the numbers of interacting proteins between embryo and head protein-IPs was striking. These

differences were seen for the binding to the RGG and Y332 motives.

A physical interaction map of the proteins enriched in the Top3β::GFP IP was created using

Cytoscape-String. In this way, the first, second, and subsequent interactors of Top3β were

determined **(Fig. 4)**. Entering the results from the mutant analyses into this map gave an idea

at which level the different proteins might interact with Top3β and which other proteins they

might need for their interaction. The map derived from the embryonic protein IPs resolves

two distinct processes **(Fig. 4A)**. The right branch is enriched in cytoplasmic proteins and

includes Tdrd3, FMR1, the piRNA pathway, and translation. The left branch connects Top3β

functions to its nuclear functions and includes the splicing activity. Many proteins identified

by the head protein-IPs are shared with the embryo protein-IP set, particularly in the

cytoplasmic branch **(Fig. 4B)**.

Me31B was identified as an interactor in both embryonic and head protein-IPs and its

interaction with Top3β turned out to be dependent on the RGG box in the embryonic protein-

IPs. Me31B is an ATP-dependent RNA helicase and a core component of a variety of

ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs) that play critical roles in translational repression and

mRNA decapping during embryogenesis, oogenesis, neurogenesis, and neurotransmission

(9)(10)(11)(12)(13)(14)(15)(16). Me31B is part of an RNP complex containing Tral, eIF4E1,

Figure 4

Fig. 4. Protein-IP physical interaction. A) proteins enriched in the embryo protein-IP with their physical interactions. Proteins that ended up further away from Top3β than the ones shown here, were removed from the interaction map. B) Proteins enriched in the head protein-IP with their physical interactions. The size of the bulbs represents the enrichment in the protein-IP. The color of the bulbs indicates whether their interactions with Top3β depend on Y332 or the RGG box.

187 Cup, and pAbp, that is involved in RNA localization and RNP-mediated translational repression

188 of maternal mRNAs during oogenesis and embryogenesis (13). Me31B is located relatively

189 close to Top3β in the physical interaction map and the other complex proteins, Tral, eIF4E1,

190 Cup, and pAbp, were enriched in the embryonic protein-IP.

191 Biological relevance of Top3β activity

The $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ and $Top3\beta^{26}$ mutants have reduced or no function, but the mutations neither

cause lethality nor sterility. This is a common phenomenon observed with genes and proteins

that are still important for optimizing cellular physiology in the wild. In a lab setting, the

reduced function of these mutants can often be compensated for by other mechanisms.

However, by directly analyzing the cellular phenotypes of the mutants, one may observe

increased cellular stress and changes in physiology or anatomy that reveal the cellular

function of the normal gene. Such cellular stress and changes often lead to signs of premature

aging, like a decline in neuromuscular performance and a shorter lifespan.

200 Role of Top3β for localizing and expressing its transcript targets

To evaluate the consequences of the interaction of Top3β with its RNA targets, we selected 5

genes (*shot*, *Pfrx*, *Hers*, *Dhc64C*, and *kst)* from the embryo RNA IP top list for further analysis.

These RNAs exhibit several distinctive features, including a high number of transcript

isoforms, long mRNAs, localized mRNAs, and maternal expression. *shot*, *Dhc64C*, and *kst* were

also on the list of our shared targets with the previous mammalian study (Fig. 2; (1)) and they

are also expressed in neurons (17)(18). We first assessed the effect of *Top3β* mutants on the

expression and subcellular localization of the *shot* mRNA in young embryos. The embryos

analyzed were maternally and zygotically either wild type or mutant. In the wild type, *shot*

mRNA accumulates more strongly in the polar granules and the pole cells at the posterior end

(**Fig. 5)**. This expression depends on *Top3β* and particularly on its enzymatic activity because

shot mRNA levels are reduced and the more intense localization pattern in the posterior pole

region is lost in the null- and the $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ mutant, but not in $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$.

To investigate the effect of Top3β on the expression and subcellular localization of the

proteins encoded by the selected *Top3β* target RNAs, we also stained wild-type and mutant

embryos for these proteins. The resulting Shot signal was weaker in the entire null mutant

and $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ embryos compared to the wild type. Given that the mRNA levels were also

Figure 5:

(a version of Fig. 5 with better resolution and contrast is appended)

Fig. 5. Expression and localization of RNA targets and their encoded proteins. The posterior pole of the embryos is shown to the right. *shot* mRNA and Shot protein localization in the posterior part of syncytial (*shot* mRNA) and cellularizing (Shot protein) wild-type embryos and the different *Top3β* mutants. Lower signal levels and less localized signals were seen in the null and *Top3β^{Y332F}*. Both were less reduced in *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant embryos. Note the *shot* mRNA localization in the pole cells in the wild type and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant. Dhc64C localization at the posterior side of the somatic part of the embryo (arrowhead) was not detectable in null mutants and *Top3β^{Y332F}* and reduced in the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant embryo. Kst localization at the apical membrane (arrowhead) was reduced in the null mutants and *Top3β^{Y332F}* compared to the wild type. Its localization was still identifiable in the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant embryo. Top3β protein is localized similarly to its targets. It is, however absent from the null mutant which reveals the background level of the staining. Note also that Top3β is also nuclear in the wild type and *Top3β^{Y332F}*. Imaging settings were identical for the staining for the same protein or mRNA in the different genotypes except for the insets which are overexposed to reveal the embryo. The scale bar is 10µm for the *shot* mRNA pictures and 20µm for the other micrographs.

reduced in similar ways, this decreased protein level could result from reduced RNA synthesis

or processing (splicing), or from RNA instability. These findings are consistent with *shot* mRNA

being the primary target of Top3β and they highlight the importance of the enzymatic activity

of Top3β for the efficient expression and localization of the encoded protein. In the *Top3β*^{ΔRGG}

mutant embryos, the Shot protein levels and localization were also less affected, as the mRNA

levels were **(Fig. 5)**.

The Dynein heavy chain (Dhc or Dhc64C) protein accumulates more strongly at the posterior

end of the wild-type embryo, in front of the pole cells. This was neither detectable in the null

mutant nor in *Top3β*^{Y332F} **(Fig. 5)**. The Kst protein accumulates at the apical cortex in normal

blastoderm embryos, but in the *Top3β* null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} its signal was reduced

throughout the embryo, including the apical cortex. It is conceivable that this decreased

protein abundance results from reduced RNA synthesis (e.g., splicing) or stability, or a

reduced translation of the mRNA. Protein signals for Dhc and Kst showed an intermediate

level in the *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos **(Fig. 5)**, indicating that the RGG box also affects their

expression, but less than the catalytic activity.

Top3β normally localizes to the same area in the blastoderm embryo where the different

proteins and mRNAs described here localize. We note that the wild-type protein and

Top3β^{Y332F} localize to the cytoplasm and the nuclei **(Fig 5)**. In contrast, Top3β^{ΔRGG} is clearly

seen above the background only in the cytoplasm. This indicates that its RGG box is needed

to move Top3β from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and that the lack of the RGG box likely

reduces the nuclear functions of Top3β^{ΔRGG}.

Top3β affects the NMJ morphology in larvae and adults

Larval motoneurons have been studied extensively to reveal developmental and physiological

effects on synapse morphology and function. We quantitatively compared the morphology of

the L3 larval NMJs on muscle 4 of the abdominal hemisegments 3, 4, and 5 between the wild

type and the three mutant *Top3β* alleles using *Drosophila_NMJ_morphometrics* (19) **(Fig. 6;**

Supplementary Fig. S1). In most cases, the alleles revealed an allelic series where the

phenotypes were stronger in the null mutant, followed by the *Top3β*^{Y332F} and the *Top3β*^{ΔRGG}

mutant. The number of boutons, active zones, and areas was significantly higher in the null

mutant **(Figs. 6A-C; Fig. 7)**. Aside from revealing a role in the normal establishment of NMJs,

Figure 6:

Fig. 6. NMJ morphometrics. Quantification of synaptic morphogenesis from NMJ4 abdominal segments A3-A5 of both sides of third instar wandering larvae (n=30, both males and females). The graphs are scatterplots and error bars represent the standard error of the mean (S.E.M). Each dot represents an individual measurement value of the NMJ4. The adjusted p-values are indicated on the graph. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison tests were carried out for the statistical analysis. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*. Compared to the wild type, the number of boutons was significantly higher in the null mutant (**A**), the null mutant was enriched for active zones (**B**), the synaptic area was larger in the null mutant (**C**), $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$ NMJs displayed the longest branch length (**D**).

Figure 7:

(a version of Fig. 7 with better resolution and contrast is appended)

Fig. 7. Morphology of larval NMJs. Representative NMJ 4 synapses (segments A3-A5, both sides) from the wild type and the 3 different *Top3β* mutants double-stained with anti-HRP to visualize the neuronal plasma membrane (red) and anti-Brp (green), which marks active zones. The genotypes are indicated on the left. *Top3β²⁶* is a presumed null mutant. Scale bar is 20µm and magnifications are the same for all panels. All panels show maximum intensity projections with a z-step size of 0.91 µm.

the increased elaboration of the NMJs in the null mutant may suggest that a compensatory

mechanism exists that attempts to compensate for a less efficient NMJ.

The NMJs of the null (*Top3β²⁶*) and the catalytic (*Top3β^{Y332F}*) mutants showed an increased

number of satellite boutons **(A in Supplementary Fig. S1)**. Satellite boutons are small boutons

that bud from a terminal parent and branching boutons (20). 53% of the *Top3β²⁶* NMJs were

found to contain satellite boutons (16 out of 30 images), compared to 27% of *Top3β^{Y332F}* NMJs

(8 out of 30 images) and 13% of *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* NMJs (4 out of 30 images). The wild-type NMJs

showed only very few satellite boutons (1 out of 30 NMJ images).

The null and the catalytic mutants also displayed filopodial extensions in their NMJs, which

mostly stained positive for the active zones in presynaptic neurons using the anti-Bruchpilot

(Brp) antibody **(B in Supplementary Fig. S1)**. Filopodial extensions appear as thin, thread-like

structures (21). 43% (13 out of 30) of the *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutant NMJs, 30% (9 out of 30) of the

Top3β²⁶ NMJs, and 17% (6 out of 30) of the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* NMJs showed such filopodial

extensions, while these structures were only seen 3% of the wild-type NMJs (1 out of 30).

Such filopodial extensions were either sprouting from the terminal boutons or other more

proximal boutons of the axon branches.

This NMJ study further showed that among the three mutant alleles, the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant

displayed a unique feature because it mostly contained by far the longest individual branch

(Figs. 6D). This suggests that this mutation hinders the formation of complex branched

structures **(Fig. 7)**. Additionally, the terminal boutons in the *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutant were often

swollen (**C in Supplementary Fig. 1**; 37% in the Top3β^{ΔRGG}), while they developed normally in

the wild-type, *Top3β²⁶*, and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants (10% in the wild type, 13% in the *Top3β²⁶*, and

10% in the *Top3β^{Y332F}*).

Deteriorating neuromuscular structure and function in Top3β mutants

The negative geotaxis assay measures the activity of the nervous system and the musculature,

and the coordination between them. In this assay, all four genotypes showed similar climbing

abilities in young flies **(Fig. 8A).** Neuromuscular conditions sometimes become only apparent

at an older age because the young nervous system can compensate for some cellular deficits.

But such compensations can take their toll if they stress the cells. We, therefore, tested for

premature aging and age-related degeneration of the climbing ability. Indeed, as seen in **Fig.**

Figure 8:

Fig. 8. Aging effects of *Top3β* mutants. A) Climbing assays of wild type, *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*, *Top3β^{Y332F}*, and *Top3β^{26}*. A two-way ANOVA analysis was performed. The graph bars show the mean climbing index (C.I.) for the different genotypes. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (S.E.M). Each dot represents a calculated individual C.I. The adjusted p-values for each significant difference are indicated on the graph. (p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*.) B) In adults, null mutant NMJs contain more branches than the wild type, indicative of a compensatory mechanism. Arrowheads point to loss of synaptic integrity of dorsal longitudinal flight muscle (DLM) NMJ synapses visualized by structural staining of motor neurons. The progressive denervation of the DLM is seen particularly in the null and the *Y332F* mutant in the adult thoracic NMJs. Scale bar, 20μm. All panels show maximum intensity projections with a z-step size of 0.7 μm.

8A, from week 6 on, the *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{26}* flies became slower, and their climbing ability

declined more than the wild-type one. The results suggest that Top3β plays a crucial role in

aging and combating neurodegeneration in *Drosophila* motor neurons. As the flies age, their

motor abilities and neurotransmission decline, and fragmentation of synaptic motor

terminals becomes apparent (22).

To gain insights into the possible adaptation of the adult neuromuscular system, we also

studied the structure of the adult NMJs in the four genotypes during aging **(Fig. 8B)**. The young

null mutants (followed by *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*) had more branched structures compared

to the simpler branch structures in the wild type. As suggested for the larval NMJ, the

observed overgrowth might have arisen to compensate for suboptimal synaptic physiology.

However, as the flies age, progressive denervation of the DLM (Dorsal Longitudinal Muscles)

synapses in the null mutant occurred, leading to a progressive loss of locomotive ability and

more rapid aging **(Fig. 8B)**. In both the null and *Top3β^{Y332F}*, a loss of synaptic integrity was

observed during aging in 1-2 months old flies **(Fig. 8B)**. Because *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* flies

live less long than wild-type and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* flies **(Supplementary Fig. S2)**, the decline of their

NMJ structure and function is most likely even stronger than observed in the living animals.

Furthermore, the similarity between the phenotypes of *Top3β^{26}* and the *Top3β^{Y332F}* flies, the

effect on longevity and aging seems to be caused primarily due to the loss of the enzymatic

activity of Top3β, which leads to loss of synaptic integrity, faster aging, and shortened

lifespan.

Discussion

RNA targets of Top3β

Finding that Top3β interacts with splicing factors **(Figs. 3, 4)** appears to be a significant result because Top3β's RNA targets have a greater number of exons and alternative transcripts **(Figs. 1E, F)**. Exon numbers and intron length also correlate with alternative splicing (AS) (23)(24)(25)(26)(27) and Drosophila Top3β also interacts more frequently with RNAs that contain longer introns **(Fig. 1D)**. Moreover, snoRNAs are also enriched in the Top3β targets and recent studies showed that snoRNAs play an essential role in the regulation of mRNA splicing and editing and they are also involved in stress response and metabolic homeostasis (28), two additional characteristics of Top3β targets.

Transcripts from neural genes were also enriched among the Top3β targets. Alternative splicing of precursor mRNA is a crucial step of gene expression that increases transcriptomic and proteomic diversity and must be tightly regulated during neurodevelopment (29). The nervous system exhibits extraordinarily complex and diverse AS patterns in a variety of metazoan organisms (30)(31)(32)(33). Importantly, these diversified AS patterns play essential roles in modulating numerous neuronal states and activities (34)(35). Neurons are susceptible to splicing perturbations with numerous neurological diseases linked to splicing defects.

Top3β has been associated with many neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases, including schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders, and Fragile X syndrome. A previous study showed that autism risk factor genes are exceptionally long (36). Longer genes tend to have more splice variants (37) and have greater numbers of regulatory elements (38). Longer pre-mRNAs provide more chances for splicing errors (because of the increased difficulty to identify the correct splice sites) but also for entanglement. The latter is also a considerable problem during transport over extended distances, which might even involve mRNA packaging and unpackaging before the mRNAs can be activated for translation at the right place and at the right time. During such transport, long linear molecules, such as mRNAs, are likely to become entangled or suffer other structural problems that might interfere with their transport or translation. The coding sequence (CDS) and untranslated regions (UTRs) of an mRNA molecule are also important for regulating gene expression at the level of splicing, RNA

localization, and protein synthesis. UTRs affect not only the translation in neurons but also
the localization to the places where these mRNAs will be translated in response to extrinsic
stimuli, such as neurotrophins, synaptic activation, and regeneration after injury
(39)(8)(40)(41). Consistent with the proposed function of Top3β in rescuing (pre-)mRNAs with
the described characteristics, the embryonic targets of Top3β displayed a strong bias for these
characteristics (**Figs. 1C-F**).

Important target genes of Top3β

Lack of the enzymatic activity of Top3β causes the formation of many satellite boutons in the
NMJs**.** These tiny boutons represent a developmentally arrested stage of bouton maturation
(20), pointing to the importance of the catalytic activity of Top3β for NMJs maturation.
Identifying Top3β targets suggested that mRNAs encoding large cytoskeletal and cortical
elements (*kst*, *α-spec*, *shot, mgl*), regulators of NMJ growth (*Prosap*), and sufficient functional
mitochondria are important to overcome this developmental block. The latter is also
interesting because impairment of the mitochondrial one-carbon metabolism has been linked
to an increase in the number of satellite boutons (42). An interesting Top3β target is the *shot*
mRNA, which encodes a large cytoskeletal linker molecule that participates in axon growth
and neuromuscular junction maintenance (43). *shot* promotes the formation of F-actin-rich
filopodia at growth cones of *Drosophila* primary neurons (44), and proper F-actin dynamics is
essential for axon guidance (45). Impaired *shot* function leads to excessive growth at the larval
NMJ (46). *shot* produces 22 transcript isoforms, which is many more than the average of 2
isoforms per *Drosophila* gene. *shot* RNA and protein expression in embryos are markedly
reduced and less localized in null mutant and *Top3β^{Y332F}* embryos. The enzymatic activity of
Top3β is therefore key to the proper expression of *shot* mRNA and protein, pointing to its
possible involvement in splicing *shot* pre-mRNAs, resolving RNA entanglements, and
translation into the protein.

Along with α-Spec, Kst (β$_H$-Spectrin) crosslinks F-actin and acts as a molecular scaffold (47)
when it is recruited to the apical membrane of epithelial cells (48). The mRNAs encoding these
two proteins are both targets of Top3β, and we showed that the normal expression levels and
localization of the Kst protein in the embryos depend on the enzymatic activity of Top3β,
suggesting again that the lack of Top3β reduces the translation of *kst* mRNA at the pre- mRNA

or mRNA level. Interestingly, Top3β accumulates also preferentially at the apical cortex of

embryos, further suggesting that higher Top3β activity in this location may contribute to the

apical translatability of apical transcripts, thereby expressing the encoded protein in this

location at higher levels, even if the mRNA is uniformly expressed. It thus appears that

subcellular localization of Top3β activity might even serve as a novel tool to target gene

expression to specific cellular locations even if its mRNA is expressed uniformly.

Finally, we investigated Dhc, the large heavy chain subunit of the cytoplasmic dynein motor

complex (40)(41). Being the major retrograde motor in all cells, neuronal cytoplasmic dynein

moves cargo from the synapse along the axon and back to the cell body (49). During

Drosophila oogenesis, dynein transports mRNAs, proteins, and organelles from the nurse cells

into the oocyte, where they are deposited for the young embryo. In the embryo, it then

transports them to the apical cortex. We observed reduced protein expression and lack of

Dhc concentration at the apical cortex in both the null and $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ embryos. Again, $Top3\beta$

and its enzymatic activity are needed to allow proper protein expression and the

establishment of the polar distribution of Dhc with its apical accumulation.

The RGG box of Top3β appeared to be less important for most phenotypes analyzed. An

exception was that the deletion of this box caused overgrowth of the longest branch in NMJs

and a swollen last bouton phenotype, a phenotype that had been ascribed to defective

retrograde microtubule-dependent transport because it was observed in the $p150^{Glued}$ CAP-

Gly domain mutant where the altered dynactin activity caused the loss of the retrograde

transport activity. As a consequence, cell components and proteins accumulated at synaptic

termini leading to overgrowth of the NMJs and swollen last boutons (50). Normally, Dhc

transports many RNAs and proteins as part of the Dynein/Dynactin ($p150^{Glued}$)/BicD transport

(DDB) machinery. We found that the RGG deletion also reduced the binding of Top3β to the

motor protein Dhc **(Supplementary Table S8)**. If the lack of Top3β or its removal from the

terminal bouton by retrograde transport is important to terminate the bouton growth at the

right size, we can hypothesize why Dynein/Dynactin transport is needed and why only the

RGG mutant and not the $Top3\beta$ null mutant shows the swollen bouton and phenotype. There

is good evidence that a physical interaction between Top3β and the dynein motor happens

and is important for normal cargo localization. Top3β forms a complex with Tdrd3 and FMR1

and the latter was shown to interact with the BicD, the subunit of the DDB transport

machinery, and to depend on this interaction to be transported normally along microtubules

and to accumulate at the proper levels and locations in the nervous system (1)(51). The

Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ protein would then be expected to stay longer in the terminal bouton and further

facilitate the expression from its target mRNAs of factors that promote branch and bouton

growth.

There is another phenotype that shows the importance of the RGG box for the localization of

Top3β. It is needed for the nuclear localization of a good fraction of Top3β in the blastoderm

embryo **(Fig. 5)**, a process that might also involve dynein transport. The failure of the

Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ mutant protein to localize to the embryonic nuclei additionally suggests that

reduced nuclear functions of Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ might contribute more to the *Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$* mutant

phenotype.

Top3β environment and relevance

Stress granules (SGs) are essential for normal cell functioning when cells are subjected to

stress stimuli. SGs can be seen to assemble under different conditions, such as oxidative

stress, the presence of translation-blocking drugs, viral infection, and the knockdown of

specific translation initiation factors (52). When the cellular stress state is persistent, SGs alter

multiple intracellular signaling pathways so that cells can adjust their metabolism and even

cellular architecture to survive (53)(54). If the SGs persist for the long term in cells, their

susceptibility to aging or aging-related diseases (neurodegenerative disorders) increases (55).

Moreover, mRNA accumulation in stress granules correlates with longer coding and UTR

regions, and poor translatability (56), further suggesting that longer RNAs are more likely to

cause cellular stress. Gene ontology analysis on the stress granule mRNAs showed gene

enrichment in ATP binding, transcription factors, RNA splicing, and cell cycle factors (57). The

enrichment of SGs in our gene ontology results suggests a role for Top3β in storing or

recovering mRNAs during periods of stress, which includes neurodegeneration and aging.

The enrichment of RNAs encoding neuronal ribonucleoproteins (RNP) particles, also known

as granules, was a common result among our Top3β targets from heads and embryos. These

RNP granules concentrate specific sets of mRNAs and regulatory proteins in the same

location, promoting their common long-distance transport to axons or dendrites (20)(21).

They also have a dual function in regulating the translation of associated mRNAs while

preventing the translation of others (58). As a component of neuronal RNPs, Me31B mediates
the transport and controls the translation of neuronal RNAs, including the translational
repression of synaptic transcripts (26). We found Me31B highly enriched with Top3β and this
interaction depended on the RGG box, suggesting that the RGG box links Top3β to the
neuronal RNPs.

Mitochondrial dysfunction is another factor that can negatively affect neuron development
as neurons require a high energy supply and have limited regenerative capabilities. The Krebs
or tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle is a crucial metabolic pathway that integrates various
mitochondrial functions and has been implicated in aging, neurodegeneration, and cancer
(23)(59)(60). The high presence of TCA process components and one-carbon metabolism
elements associated with Top3β suggest that Top3β may also play a role in aging through the
regulation of mitochondrial activity.

The malfunctioning of numerous cellular mechanisms can cause neuronal activities to be less
efficient and produce cellular stress. Further research needs to test, which of the individual
pathways suggested by our work causes a physiological problem in the human conditions
mentioned in this discussion. However, serious health issues often arise when several
pathways are not functioning properly anymore, making it difficult to pinpoint the primary
cause. Our work also revealed that reduced activity of Top3β is likely to affect several of the
pathways that rely on Top3β because under limiting amounts of Top3β, elevated stress in one
pathway will further reduce limited Top3β, affecting the less sensitive pathways as well. The
combination of defects in the different Top3β pathways is, therefore, likely to contribute
significantly to the outcome of the various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders
Top3β has been implicated in.

Material and Methods

Fly stocks and maintenance

The *white* (*w*) strain was used as a wild-type control as this was the genetic background of the mutants used in this study. The mutant fly stocks $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ and $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$ were established as described below. $Top3\beta^{26}$ was from Lee and colleagues (61). The fly stocks were grown and maintained on a regular corn medium (ingredients: corn, yeast powder, sucrose syrup, agar, potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate, water, nipagin, and propionic acid) supplied with brewer's yeast for the experiments. All *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were stored at 18°C in glass or plastic vials with day/night (12 h/12 h) light cycles. All experiments were performed at 25°C.

Generation of *Top3β* alleles:

Genomic sequences were scanned for suitable sgRNA target sites with the JBrowse from FlyBase and appropriate sgRNA sequences were chosen based on (a) their distance from the tagging site, and (b) the absence of potential off-target sites on the same chromosome as the target site (62). To mutate the endogenous $Top3\beta$, a sgRNA was designed, which hybridized in the vicinity of the Y332 codon of $Top3\beta$ and induced the Cas9 nuclease to perform a double-strand break. Complementary oligodeoxynucleotides with suitable overhangs (**Supplementary Table S7**) were annealed and cloned into the pCFD5 vector, which is used to express the sgRNA (63). The Cas9 fly stock was obtained from the Bloomington stock center (ref. number: 79004). ssDNA was designed for the mutation changing the Tyr (Y) to a Phe (F) codon and injected into the progeny produced by Cas9- and sgRNA-expressing flies. The single-strand oligodeoxynucleotide with the flanking arms precisely matching the endogenous sequences changes the sequence at the mutation site by serving as a template (**Supplementary Table S7**) for the homologous recombination DNA repair at the double-strand break. The same strategy was used to delete the endogenous RGG region in $Top3\beta$ (ΔRGG) using the appropriate gRNA and ssDNA templates.

Generation of the *Top3β::eGFP* cDNA clone for GAL4>UAS expression

For the *Top3β::eGFP* construct, the *Top3β* cDNA clone LD10035 was amplified. Enhanced GFP (EGFP) was PCR amplified from a plasmid (pEGFP–C3). PCR amplified fragments were run on

an agarose gel and purified using the Wizard SV kit (Promega). The sequences were cloned

into the pUASz1.0 vector (64) with the *eGFP* ORF at the C-terminal end of the *Top3β* ORF using

a ligation kit (NEB). The UASz vector allows one to induce the constructs in any tissue,

including the somatic tissue, the germline, and the CNS (64). Plasmids were sequenced to

confirm the integrity of the construct and purified with a PureYield kit (Promega) for injection.

Transgenic stocks were established with the attP landing platform 86F from the Bloomington

stock center (ref. number: 24749).

To generate an enzymatically dead enzyme, a Phe codon substituted the codon for the Tyr

responsible for crosslinking the enzyme with the nucleic acid in the *UASz-Top3β::eGFP* vector

to give rise to *UASz-Top3β^{Y332F}::eGFP*. Similarly, to figure out the role of the RGG box, we

deleted the gene DNA coding for this box in the *UASz-Top3β::eGFP* vector, giving rise to *UASz-*

Top3β^{ΔRGG}::eGFP. At the site of the desired mutation, oligonucleotide primers with the

desired mutation were used to amplify the mutant double-stranded DNA plasmid

(**Supplementary Table S7)**. A protocol based on two different PCR amplifications was used for

this. First, two elongation reactions were carried out, with one of the two primers each. In

the second reaction, the two initial elongation reactions were mixed and an additional PCR

reaction was carried out. The wild-type and mutant eGFP fusion constructs were transformed

into *Drosophila* using the attP-86F landing platform. mat-tub-Gal4 (ref. number: 7062) was

used to drive the expression in the older female germline and Gal4-BG380 (ref. number:

24749) to drive expression in the CNS. UASz-eGFP transgenic flies, obtained from the

Bloomington stock center, were used as negative controls.

Sample preparation for mass spectrometry

Drosophila Top3β was purified using Top3β tagged with eGFP. The eGFP tag combined with a

mouse anti-eGFP antibody has proven to work extremely well for protein-IPs (65). Protein G

magnetic beads were washed 3x with PBS and incubated for 2 hours with an anti-GFP

antibody. After this, they were washed 3x with 1 ml 1x PBS and one more time with 1 ml

homogenization buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA PH 8.0, 1 mM DTT,

protease inhibitors). To prepare the extracts, 1 ml homogenization buffer was added to 1 gr

of the samples (dechorionated embryos 0-2 hours or adult heads 1-5 days old) in the glass

homogenizer. The samples were homogenized and transferred into the tube. Homogenized

samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g (RCF), 4°C for 40 min. The interphase was transferred

into a fresh Eppendorf tube, centrifuged again at 16,000 g, 4°C for 40 min, and transferred

into a fresh tube. Beads were then incubated with the extracts for 6 hours at 4°C on a wheel.

Extracts were then removed from the beads. The beads were washed once with 1 ml

homogenization buffer and then several times with wash buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150

mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA PH 8.0; 1 mM DTT; protease inhibitors). The wash buffer was

removed, and the beads were sent for mass spectrometry.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry analysis was done by the Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core Facility,

University of Bern, and the data are available in **Supplementary Table S5, S6**. Proteins in the

affinity pull-down were re-suspended in 8M Urea / 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, reduced for 30 min

at 37°C with 0.1M DTT / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, alkylated for 30 min at 37°C in the dark with

IAA 0.5M / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, dilute with 4 volumes of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH8 / 2 mM CaCl2

before overnight digestion at room temperature with 100 ng sequencing grade trypsin

(Promega). Samples were centrifuged, and a magnet holder trapped the magnetic beads to

extract the peptides in the supernatant. The digests were analyzed by liquid chromatography

(LC)-MS/MS (PROXEON coupled to a QExactive mass spectrometer, ThermoFisher Scientific)

with three injections of 5 µl digests. Peptides were trapped on a µPrecolumn C18 PepMap100

(5 µm, 100 Å, 300 µm×5 mm, ThermoFisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland) and separated

by backflush on a C18 column (5 µm, 100 Å, 75 µm×15 cm, C18) by applying a 60 min gradient

of 5% acetonitrile to 40% in water, 0.1% formic acid, at a flow rate of 350 ml/min. The Full

Scan method was set with a resolution at 70,000 with automatic gain control (AGC) target of

1E06 and a maximum ion injection time of 50 ms. The data-dependent method for precursor

ion fragmentation was applied with the following settings: resolution 17,500, AGC of 1E05,

maximum ion time of 110 milliseconds, mass window 2 m/z, collision energy 27, underfill ratio

1%, charge exclusion of unassigned and 1+ ions, and peptide match preferred, respectively.

The data were then processed with the software MaxQuant (66) version 1.6.14.0 against the

UniProtKB(67) Drosophila 7228 database (release 2021_02) containing canonical and isoform

entries, to which common contaminants were added. The following parameters were set:

digestion by strict trypsin (maximum three missed cleavages), first search peptide tolerance

of 15 ppm, MS/MS match tolerance of 20 ppm, PSM and protein FDR set to 0.01 and a

minimum of 2 peptides requested per group. Carbamidomethylation on cysteine was selected

as a fixed modification; the following variable modifications were allowed: methionine oxidation, deamidation of asparagines and glutamines, and protein N-terminal acetylation; a maximum of 3 modifications per peptide was allowed. Match between runs was turned on (match time windows 0.7 min) but only allowed within replicates of the same kind.

Peptides were normalized by variance stabilization (68), imputed and combined to form Top3 (69) intensities, and considered alongside MaxQuant's Label-Free Quantification (LFQ) values. Missing peptides, respectively LFQ intensities, were imputed by drawing values from a Gaussian distribution of width 0.3 centered at the sample distribution mean minus 2.5x the sample standard deviation, provided there were at most 1 non zero value in the group; otherwise, the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (70) was used. Differential expression was performed by applying the empirical Bayes test (71) between groups; significance testing was performed as described (72).

RNA immunoprecipitation

Protein G magnetic beads were washed 2-3x with 1ml blocking buffer (20 mM Hepes, 150 mM KCl, 20% Glycerol, 0.5 % Tween, BSA (Biolabs), Heparin 0.2 mg/ml, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors). Beads were blocked with a blocking buffer for 2-3 hours at room temperature. An anti-GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours. Beads were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM KCL, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors). Anti-GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours at room temperature. Beads were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer.

Fly heads were collected from 1-5 days old adults. Dechorionated embryos were 0-2 hours old. 1g of the extracts homogenized in 2 ml hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 10 mM KCL, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). 600 μl of extract, 323 μl of Adjusting buffer (57% Glycerol 100%, 0.4M KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 20 mM Hepes, SDS 0.1%), and 2 μl of RNase-free DNase I (20 μ/ml, Roche) were added to the washed antibody beads and were precipitated ON rotating at 4°C. Samples were washed 8x with 1 ml high salt wash buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% Glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). On the last

wash, 100 µl of the samples were taken before centrifugation. 100 µl of proteinase K buffer,

1.5 µl proteinase K (20 mg/ml Roche), and µl RNase inhibitor was added to each tube and

were incubated for 30min at 55°C. 1 ml Trizol reagent was added to each tube, and RNA was

extracted according to the Trizol protocol (TRIzol™ Reagent, Invitrogen™, Catalog number:

15596026).

The Next Generation Sequencing Platform, University of Bern, conducted quality control

assessments, library generation, sequencing runs, and sequencing quality control steps. The

quantity and quality of the purified total RNA were assessed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific

Qubit 4.0 fluorometer with the Qubit RNA BR or HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Q10211 or Q32855, respectively) and an Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System using

a Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Sequencing libraries were made

using a CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit, Version 1 with UDI 12nt Set A1 (Lexogen,

117.96) according to Lexogen's guidelines for this kit. Pooled cDNA libraries were paired-end

sequenced using either shared Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP, S1, or S2 Reagent Kits (200 cycles;

Illumina, 200240719, 2002831, or 20028315) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. The

quality of the sequencing run was assessed using Illumina Sequencing Analysis Viewer

(Illumina version 2.4.7) and all base call files were demultiplexed and converted into FASTQ

files using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20.

The quality of the RNA-seq reads was assessed using fastqc v0.11.9 (73) and RSeQC v4.0.0

(74). Unique molecular identifiers (UMI) were extracted with umi-tools v1.1.2 (75), and

adapters were trimmed with cutadapt v3.4.1 (76) according to manufacturer instructions. The

reads were aligned to the Drosophila reference genome (BDGP6.32) using hisat2 v2.2.1 (77).

FeatureCounts v2.0.1 (78) was used to count the number of reads overlapping with each gene

as specified in release 103 of the Ensembl genome annotation. The Bioconductor package

DESeq2 v1.36.0 (79) was used to test for differential gene expression between the

experimental groups. To generate the log2 fold change versus transcript length plots, the

estimated log2 fold change per gene was plotted against the most extended transcript length

per gene with R v4.2.1 (80). We used the log2 fold change>=1 and the adjusted p-value (adjp-

value) <0.05 of enrichment over control for further analysis.

Dissection and immunostaining of third-instar larvae

594 Male and female wild-type, *Top3β^{Y332F}*, *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*, and *Top3β^{26}* third instar wandering larvae
595
(approximately 94 hours old) were dissected in 1x PBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline). After
removing the internal organs, the fillets were washed 3x with PBS to remove all residual tissue
parts. The fillets were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min, rinsed in PTX (PBS
+ 0.1% Triton X- 100), and blocked in PTX + 5% NGS (normal goat serum) to block unspecific
binding sites. After blocking for 1 hour, the fillets were stained with the primary antibodies
rabbit anti-HRP (1:750 dilution) from Jackson Immuno Research and mouse anti-Brp (nc82;
1:125 dilution) from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank. The fillets were then incubated
overnight at 4°C on a Nutator. The next day, the unbound antibodies were washed off with
500 µl of PTX (4x) for one hour. The secondary antibodies, goat anti-rabbit A488 (1mg/ml;
diluted 1:200; LubioScience GmbH) and goat anti-mouse A647 (1.5 mg/ml; diluted 1:200;
Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.) were added to the fillets and incubated for one
hour at room temperature on a Nutator, followed by washing 4x with PTX. The fillets were
then incubated with Rhodamine-phalloidin (1 unit/ml; Invitrogen) in 1x PBS for 15 minutes to
stain the larval muscles' F-actin. The fillets were then rinsed, washed with 1x PBS, and placed
into 70% glycerol (in 1x PBS) in Eppendorf tubes. These were wrapped with foil paper and
kept at 4°C until they settled down at the bottom of the tubes, Then, they were mounted on
slides. Focusing on abdominal segments A3-A5, we produced a collection of a total of 120
NMJ images to analyze synaptic morphology. These were quantified using the macro
*'Drosophila_NMJ_morphometrics'*c (19).

Image acquisition

616 The NMJ terminals of muscle 4 of the abdominal hemisegments 3, 4, and 5 on the left and
617 right sides were imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. 2-channel image stacks of the
618 NMJ terminals were acquired using a 63x objective and a z-step size of 0.91 µm. The acquired
619 images were exported as individual .tiff files.

A Fiji macro for multiparametric larval NMJ analysis

621 The macro *'Drosophila_NMJ_morphometrics'* runs on Fiji and quantitatively assesses nine
622 morphometric characteristics of many glutamatergic NMJs based on high-content confocal
623 microscopy images. The macro accurately assessed the following eight characteristics: NMJ

area, perimeter, total length, longest branch length, number of islands, number of branches and branching points, and number of active zones. This made them suitable for high-throughput analyses of synapse morphology. The macro gave an inaccurate count of the number of boutons, so the boutons were counted manually. The images were run on the algorithm and were processed to a result picture in which the delineation of the automatically recognized NMJ features was presented. The macro "Drosophila NMJ Morphometrics" was downloaded (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.2077399.v121). This macro is compatible with Fiji (ImageJ) version 1.49k. All images were analyzed with this algorithm and the results were visualized in GraphPad Prism 8.

Dissection and immunostaining of adult Dorsal Longitudinal Muscles (DLMs)

Thoraces of 0-3 days old and 2 months old adult wild-type, $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$, $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$, and $Top3\beta^{26}$ flies were separated from the rest of the body in 1x PBS. The thoraces were fixed in PFA (32% formaldehyde diluted to 4% with 1x PBS) for 30 min at room temperature and then washed 3x with PBS. All 1x PBS from tubes was removed using a Pasteur pipette. The tubes were submerged into a liquid nitrogen flask for 10 s using cryogenic tweezers. Then, 300 µL of ice-cold 1x PBS was added to the samples. The thoraxes were cut into two hemithoraces and transferred into 1x PBS (22) and blocked in 1x PBS with 0.1% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 at pH 7.4 for at least one h at 4°C. Mouse anti-Brp (nc82; 1:125 dilution) from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and Phalloidin-647 at a dilution of 1:1,000 in blocking buffer were used to stain motor neurons and muscle tissues, respectively. Primary antibodies were removed on the following day and the tissue was washed four times with PBST for 5 min each on a rotator. For the secondary antibody staining, goat anti-mouse A647 (1.5 mg/ml, diluted 1:200 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc.) was added to the samples, which were then kept at room temperature for 2 hours in a dark box on the rotator. After the 2 h incubation, the secondary stain was washed out 4 times for 5 min with PBST. Then, the samples were mounted on a slide (22).

Negative geotaxis (climbing) assays

Wild-type flies and the three $Top3\beta$ mutant strains were reared on fresh food and allowed to hatch at 25° C. For each genotype, all 0-3 days old adult male flies were collected. Ten young adult male flies, each, were anesthetized and placed in 4 separate graduated glass cylinders

with a flat bottom. After 1 hour of recovery from CO_2 exposure, flies were gently tapped 4x

to the bottom of the cylinder to startle them repeatedly with constant force to displace the

flies to the bottom surface. The flies were then allowed to climb the wall until they reached

the top of the column. The climbing procedure was video recorded using an iPhone 11 Pro.

These assays were repeated every week over 7 weeks on the same weekday. Three fly

batches, each, provided the material for the triplicates which were performed within 5min

intervals. After the three trials, each batch of 10 flies was transferred into glass vials and kept

at 25° C. Before the experiment, every single batch was inspected to ensure that all 40 flies

could be video tracked when they started to climb after the tapping. To calculate each

climbing index (CI), flies that remained at the bottom were counted as in zone 0. The formula

used to calculate the CI is CI = (0 x n0 + 1 x n1 + 2 x n2 + 3 x n3 + 4 x n4 + 5 x n5) / nTotal,

where nTotal is the total number of flies and nx is the number of flies that reached zone x.

Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. The means, standard errors,

and p-values for the hatching rate experiments were obtained by one-way ANOVA with

Tukey's multiple comparison test. For the multi-parametric quantification of NMJ morphology

and the negative geotaxis assays, the means, standard error of the means, and p-values were

obtained by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

References

1.   Xu D, Shen W, Guo R, Xue Y, Peng W, Sima J, et al. Top3β is an RNA topoisomerase
that works with fragile X syndrome protein to promote synapse formation. Nat
Neurosci. 2013;16(9):1238.

2.   Ahmad M, Shen W, Li W, Xue Y, Zou S, Xu D, et al. Topoisomerase 3β is the major
topoisomerase for mRNAs and linked to neurodevelopment and mental dysfunction.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(5):2704–13.

3.   Kaufman CS, Genovese A, Butler MG. Deletion of TOP3B is associated with cognitive
impairment and facial dysmorphism. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2016;150(2):106–11.

4.   Dekker NH, Rybenkov V V, Duguet M, Crisona NJ, Cozzarelli NR, Bensimon D, et al.
The mechanism of type IA topoisomerases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2002;99(19):12126–
31.

5.   Baker NM, Rajan R, Mondragón A. Structural studies of type I topoisomerases.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(3):693–701.

6.   Pommier Y, Sun Y, Shar-yin NH, Nitiss JL. Roles of eukaryotic topoisomerases in
transcription, replication and genomic stability. Nat Rev Mol cell Biol.
2016;17(11):703.

7.   Nostramo R, Xing S, Zhang B, Herman PK. Insights into the role of P-bodies and stress
granules in protein quality control. Genetics. 2019;213(1):251–65.

8.   Siaw GE-L, Liu I-F, Lin P-Y, Been MD, Hsieh T. DNA and RNA topoisomerase activities
of Top3β are promoted by mediator protein Tudor domain-containing protein 3. Proc
Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113(38):E5544–51.

9.   Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, Kobayashi S. Me31B silences translation of oocyte-
localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during Drosophila
oogenesis. Development. 2001;128(17):3233–3242

10. Wilhelm JE, Buszczak M, Sayles S. Efficient protein trafficking requires trailer hitch, a
component of a ribonucleoprotein complex localized to the ER in Drosophila. Dev
Cell. 2005;9(5):675–85.

11. Barbee SA, Estes PS, Cziko A-M, Hillebrand J, Luedeman RA, Coller JM, et al. Staufen-
and FMRP-containing neuronal RNPs are structurally and functionally related to
somatic P bodies. Neuron. 2006;52(6):997–1009.

12. Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas F V, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, Song J-J, et al. Argonaute2 is
the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. Science (80-). 2004;305(5689):1437–41.

13. Wang M, Ly M, Lugowski A, Laver JD, Lipshitz HD, Smibert CA, et al. ME31B globally
represses maternal mRNAs by two distinct mechanisms during the Drosophila
maternal-to-zygotic transition. Elife. 2017;6:e27891.

14. Lee J, Yoo E, Lee H, Park K, Hur J-H, Lim C. LSM12 and ME31B/DDX6 define distinct

modes of posttranscriptional regulation by ATAXIN-2 protein complex in Drosophila
circadian pacemaker neurons. Mol Cell. 2017;66(1):129–40.

15.   Hillebrand J, Barbee SA, Ramaswami M. P-body components, microRNA regulation,
and synaptic plasticity. Scientific World Journal. 2007;7:178–90.

16.   Ruscica V, Bawankar P, Peter D, Helms S, Igreja C, Izaurralde E. Direct role for the
Drosophila GIGYF protein in 4EHP-mediated mRNA repression. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(13):7035–48.

17.   Lee S, Kolodziej PA. Short Stop provides an essential link between F-actin and
microtubules during axon extension. Development. 2002;129(5):1195–1204.

18.   Liu Z, Steward R, Luo L. Drosophila Lis1 is required for neuroblast proliferation,
dendritic elaboration and axonal transport. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2(11):776–83.

19.   Castells-Nobau A, Nijhof B, Eidhof I, Wolf L, Scheffer-de Gooyert JM, Monedero I, et
al. Two algorithms for high-throughput and multi-parametric quantification of
Drosophila neuromuscular junction morphology. J Vis Exp Jove. 2017;(123):55395.

20.   Gatto CL, Broadie K. Temporal requirements of the fragile X mental retardation
protein in the regulation of synaptic structure. Development. 2008;135(15):2637–
2648.

21.   Svitkina TM, Bulanova EA, Chaga OY, Vignjevic DM, Kojima S, Vasiliev JM, et al.
Mechanism of filopodia initiation by reorganization of a dendritic network. J Cell Biol.
2003;160(3):409–21.

22.   Sidisky JM, Babcock DT. Visualizing synaptic degeneration in adult Drosophila in
association with neurodegeneration. JoVE (Journal Vis Exp. 2020;(159):e61363.

23.   Ast G. How did alternative splicing evolve? Nat Rev Genet. 2004;5(10):773–82.

24.   Black DL. Protein diversity from alternative splicing: a challenge for bioinformatics
and post-genome biology. Cell. 2000;103(3):367–70.

25.   Budagyan B, Loraine A. Gene length and alternative transcription in fruit fly. In:
Proceedings 2004 IEEE Computational Systems Bioinformatics Conference, 2004 CSB
2004. IEEE; 2004. p. 515–6.

26.   McGuire AM, Pearson MD, Neafsey DE, Galagan JE. Cross-kingdom patterns of
alternative splicing and splice recognition. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–19.

27.   Fox-Walsh KL, Dou Y, Lam BJ, Hung S, Baldi PF, Hertel KJ. The architecture of pre-
mRNAs affects mechanisms of splice-site pairing. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2005;102(45):16176–81.

28.   Liang J, Wen J, Huang Z, Chen X, Zhang B, Chu L. Small nucleolar RNAs: insight into
their function in cancer. Front Oncol. 2019;9:587.

29.   Su C-H, Tarn W-Y. Alternative splicing in neurogenesis and brain development. Front
Mol Biosci. 2018;5:12.

30. Liu G, Beggs H, Jürgensen C, Park H-T, Tang H, Gorski J, et al. Netrin requires focal
adhesion kinase and Src family kinases for axon outgrowth and attraction. Nat
Neurosci. 2004;7(11):1222–32.

31. Wang ET, Sandberg R, Luo S, Khrebtukova I, Zhang L, Mayr C, et al. Alternative
isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes. Nature. 2008;456(7221):470–6.

32. Irimia M, Weatheritt RJ, Ellis JD, Parikshak NN, Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Babor M,
et al. A highly conserved program of neuronal microexons is misregulated in autistic
brains. Cell. 2014;159(7):1511–23.

33. Li YI, Sanchez-Pulido L, Haerty W, Ponting CP. RBFOX and PTBP1 proteins regulate the
alternative splicing of micro-exons in human brain transcripts. Genome Res.
2015;25(1):1–13.

34. Vuong CK, Black DL, Zheng S. The neurogenetics of alternative splicing. Nat Rev
Neurosci. 2016;17(5):265–81.

35. Norris AD, Calarco JA. Emerging roles of alternative pre-mRNA splicing regulation in
neuronal development and function. Front Neurosci. 2012;6:122.

36. King IF, Yandava CN, Mabb AM, Hsiao JS, Huang H-S, Pearson BL, et al.
Topoisomerases facilitate transcription of long genes linked to autism. Nature.
2013;501(7465):58–62.

37. Grishkevich V, Yanai I. Gene length and expression level shape genomic novelties.
Genome Res. 2014;24(9):1497–503.

38. Sugino K, Clark E, Schulmann A, Shima Y, Wang L, Hunt DL, et al. Mapping the
transcriptional diversity of genetically and anatomically defined cell populations in
the mouse brain. Elife. 2019;8:e38619.

39. Han K, Gennarino VA, Lee Y, Pang K, Hashimoto-Torii K, Choufani S, et al. Human-
specific regulation of MeCP2 levels in fetal brains by microRNA miR-483-5p. Genes
Dev. 2013;27(5):485–90.

40. Tushev G, Glock C, Heumüller M, Biever A, Jovanovic M, Schuman EM. Alternative 3'
UTRs modify the localization, regulatory potential, stability, and plasticity of mRNAs in
neuronal compartments. Neuron. 2018;98(3):495–511.

41. Glock C, Biever A, Tushev G, Nassim-Assir B, Kao A, Bartnik I, et al. The translatome of
neuronal cell bodies, dendrites, and axons. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(43).

42. García-Cazorla À, Verdura E, Juliá-Palacios N, Anderson EN, Goicoechea L, Planas-
Serra L, et al. Impairment of the mitochondrial one-carbon metabolism enzyme
SHMT2 causes a novel brain and heart developmental syndrome. Acta Neuropathol.
2020;140(6):971–5.

43. Dewey EB, Parra AS, Johnston CA. Loss of the spectraplakin gene Short stop induces a
DNA damage response in Drosophila epithelia. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):1–13.

44. Sanchez-Soriano N, Travis M, Dajas-Bailador F, Gonçalves-Pimentel C, Whitmarsh AJ,

Prokop A. Mouse ACF7 and drosophila short stop modulate filopodia formation and
microtubule organisation during neuronal growth. J Cell Sci. 2009;122(14):2534–42.

45. Prokop A, Beaven R, Qu Y, Sánchez-Soriano N. Using fly genetics to dissect the
cytoskeletal machinery of neurons during axonal growth and maintenance. J Cell Sci.
2013;126(11):2331–41.

46. Valakh V, Walker LJ, Skeath JB, DiAntonio A. Loss of the spectraplakin short stop
activates the DLK injury response pathway in Drosophila. J Neurosci.
2013;33(45):17863–73.

47. Bennett V, Baines AJ. Spectrin and ankyrin-based pathways: metazoan inventions for
integrating cells into tissues. Physiol Rev. 2001;81(3):1353–92.

48. Thomas GH, Williams JA. Dynamic rearrangement of the spectrin membrane skeleton
during the generation of epithelial polarity in Drosophila. J Cell Sci.
1999;112(17):2843–52.

49. Banks GT, Fisher E. Cytoplasmic dynein could be key to understanding
neurodegeneration. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–4.

50. Lloyd TE, Machamer J, O'Hara K, Kim JH, Collins SE, Wong MY, et al. The p150Glued
CAP-Gly domain regulates initiation of retrograde transport at synaptic termini.
Neuron. 2012;74(2):344–60.

51. Bianco A, Dienstbier M, Salter HK, Gatto G, Bullock SL. Bicaudal-D regulates fragile X
mental retardation protein levels, motility, and function during neuronal
morphogenesis. Curr Biol. 2010;20(16):1487–92.

52. Vanderweyde T, Youmans K, Liu-Yesucevitz L, Wolozin B. Role of stress granules and
RNA-binding proteins in neurodegeneration: a mini-review. Gerontology.
2013;59(6):524–33.

53. Chinta SJ, Lieu CA, DeMaria M, Laberge R, Campisi J, Andersen JK. Environmental
stress, ageing and glial cell senescence: a novel mechanistic link to Parkinson's
disease? J Intern Med. 2013;273(5):429–36.

54. Reinhardt HC, Schumacher B. The p53 network: cellular and systemic DNA damage
responses in aging and cancer. Trends Genet. 2012;28(3):128–36.

55. Poljšak B, Milisav I. Clinical implications of cellular stress responses. Bosn J basic Med
Sci. 2012;12(2):122.

56. Khong A, Matheny T, Jain S, Mitchell SF, Wheeler JR, Parker R. The stress granule
transcriptome reveals principles of mRNA accumulation in stress granules. Mol Cell.
2017;68(4):808–20.

57. van Leeuwen W, VanInsberghe M, Battich N, Salmén F, van Oudenaarden A, Rabouille
C. Identification of the stress granule transcriptome via RNA-editing in single cells and
in vivo. Cell reports methods. 2022;2(6):100235.

58. Formicola N, Vijayakumar J, Besse F. Neuronal ribonucleoprotein granules: Dynamic

sensors of localized signals. Traffic. 2019;20(9):639–49.

59. Briere J-J, Favier J, Gimenez-Roqueplo A-P, Rustin P. Tricarboxylic acid cycle
dysfunction as a cause of human diseases and tumor formation. Am J Physiol Physiol.
2006;291(6):C1114–20.

60. Mishur RJ, Khan M, Munkácsy E, Sharma L, Bokov A, Beam H, et al. Mitochondrial
metabolites extend lifespan. Aging Cell. 2016;15(2):336–48.

61. Lee SK, Xue Y, Shen W, Zhang Y, Joo Y, Ahmad M, et al. Topoisomerase 3β interacts
with RNAi machinery to promote heterochromatin formation and transcriptional
silencing in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4946.

62. Gratz SJ, Rubinstein CD, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. CRISPR-Cas9
genome editing in Drosophila. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2015;111(1):31–2.

63. Port F, Bullock SL. Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with tRNA-flanked
sgRNAs. Nat Methods. 2016;13(10):852–4.

64. DeLuca SZ, Spradling AC. Efficient expression of genes in the Drosophila germline
using a UAS promoter free of interference by Hsp70 piRNAs. Genetics.
2018;209(2):381–7.

65. Vazquez-Pianzola P, Adam J, Haldemann D, Hain D, Urlaub H, Suter B. Clathrin heavy
chain plays multiple roles in polarizing the Drosophila oocyte downstream of Bic-D.
Development. 2014;141(9):1915–26.

66. Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
ppb-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat
Biotechnol. 2008;26(12):1367–72.

67. Consortium U. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(D1):D506–15.

68. Huber W, Von Heydebreck A, Sültmann H, Poustka A, Vingron M. Variance
stabilization applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of
differential expression. Bioinformatics. 2002;18(suppl_1):S96–104.

69. Silva JC, Gorenstein M V, Li GZ, Vissers JP. C; Geromanos, SJ Absolute quantification of
proteins by LCMSE: A virtue of parallel MS acquisition. Mol Cell Proteomics.
2006;5:144–56.

70. Silver JD, Ritchie ME, Smyth GK. Microarray background correction: maximum
likelihood estimation for the normal–exponential convolution. Biostatistics.
2009;10(2):352–63.

71. Kammers K, Cole RN, Tiengwe C, Ruczinski I. Detecting significant changes in protein
abundance. EuPA open proteomics. 2015;7:11–9.

72. Uldry A-C, Maciel-Dominguez A, Jornod M, Buchs N, Braga-Lagache S, Brodard J, et al.
Effect of Sample Transportation on the Proteome of Human Circulating Blood
Extracellular Vesicles. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(9):4515.

73.　Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2010.

74.　Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.
Bioinformatics. 2012;28(16):2184–5.

75.　Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique
Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. Genome Res.
2017;27(3):491–9.

76.　Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17(1):10–2.

77.　Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–60.

78.　Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923–30.

79.　Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):1–21.

80.　Core R. TEAM, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Online https//www r-project
org. 2022.

81.　Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, et al.
STRING v10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(D1):D447–52.

Figures 5 & 7

with

better resolution and contrast

Figure 5

Figure 7

	HRP	Brp	merged
wild type			
Top3β²⁶			
Top3β^Y332F			
Top3β^ΔRGG			