RNA Targets and Function of Topoisomerase 3β in Reducing Cellular Stress

Shohreh Teimuri and Beat Suter*

Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Berne, 3012, Switzerland

*Corresponding address: Beat.Suter@unibe.ch

Keywords: Topoisomerase 3β, Drosophila, RNA topoisomerase targets, posttranscriptional gene expression, cellular stress, neurodegeneration, cancer.

Abstract (249 words)

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) relaxes DNA and RNA, and its dysregulation is implicated in neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders. We used a novel protocol without artificial cross-linking to isolate RNA targets of Top3β. The features of the target RNAs show that Top3β is particularly active on long RNAs, RNAs with many splice sites, and RNAs that are localized in large cells. For individual top target mRNAs, we demonstrated that the topoisomerase activity had a strong effect on gene expression in cells where the mRNAs travel over long distances and require strict translational control. The encoded proteins depended on the topoisomerase activity for their proper expression levels and subcellular localization. Lack of Top3β activity stresses neurons, too, affecting the morphology of the neuromuscular junction in adult flies, and it causes premature loss of coordinated movement and aging. Top3β activity affects gene expression of (pre)mRNAs with the same characteristics identified in the Top3β targets and homologs of mRNAs with these characteristics are also preferentially mis-expressed in several neurological diseases and cancers which have been associated with changes in human Top3β. To test whether Top3β can indeed play a role in neuronal health through its effect on RNAs, we tested its role in the expression of the ALS/FTD-associated RNA (G4C2)49 in the Drosophila eye assay. The enzymatic activity of Top3β clearly reduced the neurodegenerative activity of this RNA. Therefore, this study not only identified RNA targets of Top3β but also showed the physiological relevance of the enzymatic activity of Top3β toward its RNA targets.

Introduction

Topoisomerase 3β (Top3β) is an RNA-binding protein (RBP) with dual enzymatic activity toward DNA and RNA (1). Topoisomerases are better known for their activity toward DNA, where they resolve tension and supercoiling. Much less is known about the function of topoisomerases toward RNA. Along with other RBPs, Top3β contributes to mRNA translation, particularly in the nervous system, which is significant for neurodevelopment and psychological and physical well-being (2). Its functional importance is suggested by results that link impaired *Top3β* function to various neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders (2)(3)(73).

Drosophila melanogaster Top3β possesses the key features of a topoisomerase. The predicted catalytic tyrosine (Tyr, Y) residue is present at position 332 (Y332) and is essential for its topoisomerase activity (4). Top3β topoisomerases distinguish themselves from other topoisomerases by their conserved RNA-binding motif called RGG (Arginine Glycine Glycine) box, which binds to RNAs or proteins. Its paralogue Top3α, a DNA topoisomerase, possesses the catalytic tyrosine residue but lacks the RGG box (4). *In vitro*, the RGG box is not required for the enzymatic activity but might play a role in binding to the RNA and thereby facilitating the enzymatic activity *in vivo* (2).

We hypothesized that the neurological requirements for an RNA topoisomerase might reflect, in part, its activity on mRNAs that need to be transported over long distances to be translated at the right place and at the right time. During such transport, long molecules, such as mRNAs, are likely to become entangled or suffer other structural problems that might interfere with their packaging, transport, unpackaging, and translation (1). A topoisomerase that acts on RNAs might, therefore, be able to rescue such RNAs. As discussed by Su and colleagues (74), there is considerable evidence that Top3β activity on RNA has important functions in cells and that it might act at all levels of gene expression. Using human cell lines, these authors showed that Top3β indeed affects mRNA translation and turnover (74). However, there is still surprisingly little knowledge about the steps in gene expression where Top3β acts on RNAs and particularly about the *in vivo* role of this activity for the cells and the organism. Especially in large cells, where mRNAs need to travel over long distances, an RNA topoisomerase could provide an important advantage and allow

the cell to function properly. Drosophila has such large cells in the nervous system, the

female germline, and the young, syncytial embryo. The latter is easily accessible and free of

additional tissue. The expression of Drosophila Top3β is particularly abundant in 0-2 hours

old, syncytial embryos. In these large cells, proper mRNA localization to specific

compartments combined with controlled local translation is essential for normal

differentiation and cellular physiology. We, therefore, set out to study the activity and

function of Drosophila Top3β in young embryos to identify relevant *in vivo* RNA targets,

interacting proteins, and biological functions.

Consistent with the notion that larger RNAs are more likely to encounter structural

problems in living cells, we found that longer RNAs are more likely to be targets of Top3β in

young embryos. Specific mutations of the Y332 active site tyrosine (Y332F) and the RGG box

(ΔRGG) revealed the importance of the enzymatic activity and the RNA and protein binding,

respectively, for the normal function of Top3β. The enzymatic activity of Top3β is needed to

bind to mRNAs and for the normal accumulation of these mRNAs and their encoded

proteins in general and in specific subcellular locations. We describe evidence that the lack

of this enzymatic activity stresses the affected cells and causes neurodegeneration and

premature aging. The same RNA features that make an RNA a target of Top3β are also over-

represented among the differentially expressed RNAs in the *Top3β* mutants, revealing that

such RNAs depend more strongly on the topoisomerase activity. Similarly, these features

seem to be overrepresented in misregulated RNAs in many neurodegenerative diseases and

cancers with which human *Top3β* had been associated.

Results

RNAs depending on *Top3β* for their normal expression

To test whether Top3β affects RNA expression, RNAs from 0-2 hour-old embryos were extracted from a wild-type control strain and the *Top3β* mutants *Top3β[26]*, *Top3β[Y332F]*, and *Top3β[ΔRGG]*. All mutants were both maternally and zygotically mutant. Sequence analysis of the transcriptome carried out on biological triplicates established the effect of the different *Top3β* mutations on the RNA levels expressed in 0-2 hours old embryos. Transcriptome-wide changes in RNA levels between the *Top3β* null mutant *Top3β[26]* and the wild type were analyzed and RNAs that showed level changes in adjusted p-values <0.05 and absolute log2 fold changes >1 were selected for further analysis (**Supplementary Tables S1, Fig. 1**). This yielded 677 differentially expressed RNAs or which 73% of (496) were downregulated in the null mutant *Top3[26]*. The effect of *Top3β* on the different RNA levels can either be direct or indirect. Under the assumption that direct effects on RNAs help to rescue RNAs and indirect effects could evenly cause up or downregulation of RNA levels, the estimated number of RNAs directly dependent on *Top3* for their normal expression levels would be around 315.

Gene ontology enrichment analysis on the list of genes that depend on *Top3β* for their normal expression revealed an enrichment for biological processes associated with different aspects of cellular development and physiology (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). Several of these are active in neural processes, including the regulation of axon extension and guidance, and the regulation of synaptic vesicles. This points to the possible effects of Top3β on neuronal processes. We also noted the enrichment of several other processes relating to cellular signaling, regulation of the cytoskeleton, and meta-/catabolic processes.

To investigate the contribution of the catalytic Tyr (which is essential for the enzymatic activity) and the RGG domains (which mediates interactions with RNAs and proteins) to the proper expression of these 677 differentially expressed genes, their expression was also analyzed in both point mutants (**Fig. 1A**). In the *Top3β[Y332F]* mutant, 220 out of 289 (76%) differentially expressed RNAs were downregulated in the embryos. This fraction is very close to the one of the null mutant, suggesting again, that the majority of these RNAs depend directly on the catalytic activity of Top3β. The impact of the mutated RGG domain on the embryonic transcriptome was less pronounced, with 29 out of 61 (47%) RNAs

downregulated in the embryo, many might be indirect targets. Remarkably, 2 out of 3 of
these RNAs depended not only on the RGG motives but also on the Y332 for their normal
expression levels.

To focus more on the direct consequences of *Top3β* activity, we selected the embryonic
RNAs that depended on *Top3β* for their normal level of expression and were downregulated
in the null mutant **(Supplementary Table S1).** We then asked which RNA features were
overrepresented in this group compared to the wild-type control. In embryos, the RNAs that
were downregulated in the *Top3β*^{Y332F} and *Top3β*^{26} mutants had longer UTRs, CDS, and pre-
mRNAs (**Fig. 1B-1D**).

Fig. 1. Effect of the *Top3β* mutations on the embryonic transcriptome. A) Effect of the *Top3β* point mutations on the expression of the 677 genes that are differentially expressed in the *Top3β* null mutant *Top3β²⁶*. The number and percentage of differentially expressed RNAs in 0-2 hrs. old embryos are shown. Expression levels were determined relative to the transcript levels of the *Top3β⁺* strain *w*. **B)-D)** RNA features of transcripts that depend on *Top3β* to reach normal expression levels. **B)** mRNAs with longer UTRs are over-represented in the group of RNAs that depend on *Top3β* to reach normal expression levels. **C)** mRNAs with longer CDS are more downregulated in the *Top3β²⁶* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants. **D)** mRNAs with longer pre-mRNAs are more downregulated in the *Top3β²⁶* and *Top3β^{Y332F}*. Expression levels were compared to the *Top3β⁺* (*w*) control in 0-2 hrs. old embryos. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*

Possible roles in neurological diseases and cancers

Top3β has been associated with many neurological diseases and several cancers. If *Top3β* has a general function in allowing the expression of higher levels, particularly of long RNAs, we expect that such RNAs are also more likely to be misregulated in diseases with human *Top3β* aberrations. A list of diseases associated with *Top3β* was retrieved using gene-disease associations (DisGeNET (v7.0)(5)). This list consisted of 12 diseases which were either neurological diseases or cancers. The lists of differentially expressed genes associated with each of these diseases were then obtained from the same database and used to identify their *Drosophila* orthologues from DIOPT Version 9.0 (**Supplementary Table S2**). The list of these *Drosophila* genes was then compared with the list of genes whose embryonic mRNAs are downregulated in the absence of *Top3β* (**Supplementary Table S1**) to identify any genes shared between the two lists (**Fig. 2**). Due to very short list of shared genes with the Juvenile Myoclonic Epilepsy (JME), this disease was not considered for further studies. For the remaining ones, there is a clear overlap between the two datasets and the overlap ranges between 2 and >3 times the calculated random overlap.

Comparing the shared lists between neurological diseases and downregulated embryonic RNAs revealed a single gene, *Rop,* (*Ras opposite*) that is present in every list (**Fig. 2C**). This suggests that the gene *Rop,* which is involved in vesicle release and control of synaptic activity, may be commonly involved or associated with various neurological diseases and that *Top3β* has a role in the production of either *Rop* mRNA or one of its upstream factors (6)(7).

Comparing all the shared lists between cancers and downregulated embryonic RNAs in the *Top3β* mutants, revealed 50 shared genes (**Figs. 2D, E**). Based on the KEGG pathways, some

of these shared genes are only involved in the MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase)

signaling pathway. The MAPK signaling pathway regulates various cellular processes,

including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and survival (8), maintaining cellular

homeostasis by tightly regulating the timing and intensity of cell division and programmed

cell death (9). It thus seems that the MAPK signaling pathway is particularly sensitive to

Top3β activity.

Fig. 2. Overlap between downregulated embryonic RNAs in *Top3β*[26] and the fly homologs of differentially expressed RNAs in cancers and neurological diseases. A, B) Venn diagram of the genes shared between the list of down-regulated RNAs in *Top3β*[26] embryos and the fly homologs of differentially expressed RNAs of the listed diseases. Numbers and frequencies are listed. The probability of random overlap is shown in % at the bottom left of each Venn diagram. **C)** Drosophila genes depending on *Top3β* for normal expression levels in embryos were placed into a Venn diagram such that their position shows in which neurological disease their homologs also depend on (human) *Top3β* for their normal expression levels (note that JME was not included). *Rop* appeared in all lists. **D)** Drosophila genes depending on *Top3β* for normal expression levels in embryos were placed into a Venn diagram such that their position shows in which cancer their homologs also depend on (human) *Top3β* for their normal expression levels. **E)** List of the 50 genes that depend on *Drosophila Top3β* for their normal expression levels in embryos and whose homologs are also affected in all listed cancers associated with human *Top3β* alterations.

Comparing the features of the Drosophila RNAs shared between the fly list of embryonic RNAs that depend on *Top3β* to reach proper levels of expression and the homologs of the above human disease datasets revealed that the RNAs shared between the two datasets had on average longer pre-mRNAs and UTRs compared to the RNAs of the individual diseases that were not present in the embryonic list **(Suppl. Fig. S2)**. This result is an indication that human *Top3β* might also affect the expression of RNAs with the same features as its fly homolog.

RNA interactions of Top3β

To identify directly the RNA targets to which Top3β binds and performs its topoisomerase activity, we sequenced the RNAs isolated by immunoprecipitation (IP). This was done using animals that expressed an eGFP fused to wild-type Top3β and Top3β in which Y332 was replaced by a phenylalanine (Y332F). During its enzymatic reaction, Top3β forms a covalent bond between its Y332 side chain and the RNA targets (10). Using this to our advantage, we added an ionic detergent at low concentration to the IP, conditions that should pull down primarily covalently bound RNAs without denaturing the antibodies and their interactions. The covalent bond between Top3β's Y332 and the RNA targets was stabilized for the IP by removing Mg^{2+}, which is needed for the enzyme to resolve the bond at the end of the enzymatic reaction (11). To determine if the target RNAs were specifically bound to the –OH group of Y332, we used the Y332F mutant as a negative control, as it does not have this -OH group and, therefore, cannot form the covalent bond. The RNAs that are enriched in the

wild type compared to the Y332F mutant are candidate targets of the catalytic activity of

Top3β (**Supplementary Table S3**). Because long RNAs seem more likely to depend on this

enzymatic activity, we further tested the quality of the data by comparing the RNA length of

the presumed targets with the background RNA length and found that longer RNAs were

more likely to covalently bind to Top3β as they showed higher enrichment in the wild-type

embryonic extracts compared to the *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutant extracts (**Fig. 3A**). The results might

also reflect that longer RNAs have a higher chance of enduring physical stress and are also,

for this reason, more likely to become substrates of the enzymatic activity of Top3β.

Interestingly, recent work in human cells also found that TOP3β preferentially binds to long

mRNAs (74).

From the list of immunopurified RNAs, the ones with an adjusted p-value smaller than 0.05

(adjp-value<0.05) and a log2-fold change of more than 1 (log2FC>1) were selected and

ranked based on their adjp-value. These 46 embryonic RNAs and their genes were used for

further analyses (**Supplementary Table S4**). We next analyzed which RNA features correlate

with the chance of becoming a target of Top3β's enzymatic activity. The results showed that

embryonic Top3β RNA targets had on average longer 3'UTRs and stemmed from larger

genes with longer introns compared to the complete set of different types of RNAs detected

in the wild-type RNA-IP (**Fig. 3B-C, Supplementary Tables S3, S4**). The fact that targeted

mRNAs have longer 3'UTRs is consistent with mRNAs that undergo localization and/or

translational regulation having a higher chance of becoming a Top3β target because these

processes are mainly controlled by sequences in the 3'UTR. A higher abundance of mRNAs

with long coding sequences (CDS) might reflect that mRNAs with long open reading frames

(ORFs) might become a Top3β target when their translation becomes blocked. Embryonic

Top3β targets had also a higher number of transcript isoforms per gene and more exons

(**Fig. 3D-E, Supplementary Tables S3, S4**). This might indicate that mRNA splicing, a nuclear

activity, increases the chance of an RNA becoming a Top3β target. In summary, these results

present the first evidence for the involvement of Top3β in mRNA splicing, mRNA

localization, and/or translational control and translation.

Fig 3: **Characteristics of embryonic RNA targets of Top3β**. **A)** Longer RNAs are more likely targets of the enzymatic activity of Top3β because they are more enriched in the IP with the wild-type Top3β compared to the Top3β^{Y332F}. r measures the strength of the correlation and p stands for p-value. **B-E)** Transcript features of the top 46 embryonic RNA targets of Top3β. The complete sets of RNAs detected in the wild-type RNA-IP served as the standard. p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*. **F)** Homologous targets shared between Drosophila Top3β and mammalian FMRP1 and Top3β. Venn diagram for the RNAs shared between our study with the previous mammalian study (1), which revealed the overlap of the interactors with mouse FMRP1 from brain extracts and Top3β interactors in HeLa cells. The list of the Drosophila homologs that were also found in both mammalian interactor screens is also shown.

Homologs of Drosophila Top3β target mRNAs bind also mammalian Top3β and FMR1

Mammalian FMRP/FMR1 binds to ribosomes and regulates translation. Comparing our Top3β RNA-IP results with those obtained from the shared targets of FMRP (HITS-CLIP data of FMRP produced from mouse brain extracts) and Top3β (HITS-CLIP data of Flag-Top3β from HeLa cells; (1)) revealed intriguing similarities. A Venn diagram illustrates the overlap between the top targets of Drosophila Top3β and the shared mammalian targets, with the number of such overlapping targets listed in **Fig. 3F**. The common feature of the proteins encoded by the shared RNA targets is that they are predominantly large proteins. Many are localized to the plasma membrane or the cell cortex (e.g., Sev, Mgl, α-Spec, Kst, Pcx) or cytoskeleton-associated (e.g., Dhc64C, Mhc, Myo10A, α-Spec, Kst), have axonal functions (e.g., Shot, Dhc64C, Kst, Prosap), or scaffolding functions (Shot, Prosap). Consistent with similar results in vertebrates (74), these results show that there is a clear overlap between the Top3β and the FMRP activities but, additionally, the two proteins perform activities independent of the other one.

Interaction network of the RNA Top3β

To identify proteins that might contribute to the Top3β activity, immunoprecipitations (IP) on extracts from 0-2 hours old embryos were performed using *Top3β-eGFP* and the *eGFP* control line. Polypeptide components of the IP complexes were analyzed using mass spectrometry (MS) and their abundance was compared to the eGFP control. This resulted in a list of 426 potential complex components with an adjusted p-value <0.01 and log2FC >1 (**Supplementary Table S5**). With 89, ribosomal proteins were particularly highly represented in this set. A gene ontology enrichment analysis was then performed for the top 100 (according to log2FC) non-ribosomal proteins (**Fig. 4A**). This revealed enrichment for proteins involved in the activation of translation, P-bodies, stress granules, and neural ribonucleoprotein granules, all membrane-less structures involved in storing specific mRNAs during periods of stress or concentrating mRNAs and regulatory proteins (12). Additionally, the interactors were also enriched in several functions related to mitochondria.

Tdrd3, a well-known interactor of Top3 (13), was among the top enriched proteins, suggesting that our immunoprecipitation was specific. To assess the role of the Y332 residue

and the RGG box in Top3β interactions, we compared the IP results with the ones from the

Top3β mutants *Top3β^{Y332F}-eGFP* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}-eGFP*. Among the 337 non-ribosomal

binding candidates, 102 needed the Y residue and the RGG box to bind to Top3β-eGFP

because they were not enriched in the protein-IP from either mutant **(Supplementary Table**

S5). An additional 16 proteins needed the Tyr (but not the RGG) and 23 the RGG box (but

not the Y332) for their binding to Top3β.

Fig. 4: Pathways and interactions of Drosophila Top3B. A) Gene ontology enrichment for Top3β-associated proteins for the top 100 interactors identified in embryonic extracts. **B)** Proteins enriched in the embryonic protein-IP with their physical interactions according to Cytoscape-String. Proteins that ended up further away from Top3β than the ones shown here, were removed from the interaction map.

A large fraction of the identified proteins are involved in RNA transport, translation, splicing,

mRNA surveillance, and degradation. A physical interaction map of the proteins enriched in

the Top3β::GFP IP was created using Cytoscape-String and the results from the mutant

analyses were entered into this map (**Fig. 4B**). The resulting map resolved into two distinct

sub-maps. The left branch connects Top3β functions to its nuclear functions and includes

splicing factors. The right branch is enriched in cytoplasmic proteins and includes Tdrd3,

FMR1, the piRNA pathway, and translation. The association first with Tdrd3 and at the next

level with FMRP shows the strong conservation of the Top3β activities between vertebrates

and invertebrates because similar results have been described from work with vertebrate

cell cultures (74). The interaction of Me31B with Top3β turned out to be dependent on the

RGG box. As an RNA helicase, Me31B is a core component of a variety of ribonucleoprotein

complexes (RNPs) that participate in translational control and mRNA decapping during

embryogenesis,   oogenesis,   neurogenesis,   and   neurotransmission

(14)(15)(16)(17)(18)(19)(20)(21). Me31B RNPs also containing Tral, eIF4E1, Cup, and pAbp,

are also involved in RNA localization and translational control of maternal mRNAs during

oogenesis and embryogenesis (18). These other complex proteins were also enriched in the

embryonic Top3β-eGFP IP.

Biological relevance of the topoisomerase activity

The $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ and $Top3\beta^{26}$ mutants have reduced or no function, but the mutations cause

neither lethality nor sterility. This is a common phenomenon observed with genes and

proteins that are still important for optimizing cellular physiology. In a lab setting, the

reduced function of these mutants can often be compensated for by other mechanisms.

However, by directly analyzing the cellular phenotypes of the mutants, one may observe

increased cellular stress and changes in physiology or anatomy that reveal the cellular

function of the normal gene. Such cellular stress and changes often lead to signs of

premature aging, like a decline in neuromuscular performance and a shorter lifespan.

Role of Top3β for localizing and expressing its transcript targets

To evaluate the consequences of the interaction of Top3β with its RNA targets, we selected

5 genes (*shot*, *Pfrx*, *Hers*, *Dhc64C*, and *kst)* from the top list of RNAs covalently bound to

Top3β for further analysis. These RNAs exhibit several distinctive features, including a high

number of transcript isoforms, long mRNAs, localized mRNAs, and maternal expression.

shot, *Dhc64C* and *kst* homologs were also identified by a mammalian study (Fig. 3; (1)) and

they are also expressed in neurons (22)(23). In normal young embryos, *shot* mRNA

accumulates more strongly in the polar granules and the pole cells at the posterior end (**Fig.**

5). In embryos that were maternally and zygotically mutant, *shot* mRNA levels were overall

reduced and the more intense localized staining pattern in the posterior pole region was

lost in the null- and the enzymatic *Top3β*^{Y332F} mutant, but not in *Top3β*$^{\Delta RGG}$, suggesting that

the normal expression and localization depends on *Top3β* and particularly on its enzymatic

activity. Analogous studies revealed that the anti-Shot antibody signal was weaker in the

entire null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} embryos compared to the wild type (**Fig. 5)**, suggesting

that the reduced mRNA levels caused the reduced Shot protein expression. These findings

are consistent with *shot* mRNA being the primary target of Top3β and they highlight the

importance of the enzymatic activity of Top3β for the efficient expression and localization of

the encoded protein. In the *Top3β*$^{\Delta RGG}$ mutant embryos, the Shot protein levels, and

localization were also less affected, as the mRNA levels were **(Fig. 5)**. The effect on the

proteins encoded by two more *Top3β* targets were similar. The cytoplasmic Dynein heavy

chain (Dhc or Dhc64C) protein accumulated more strongly at the posterior end of the wild-

type embryo, in front of the pole cells. This was neither detectable in the null mutant nor in

Top3β^{Y332F} **(Fig. 5)**. The Kst protein accumulated at the apical cortex in normal blastoderm

embryos, but in the *Top3β* null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} its signal was reduced throughout the

embryo, including the apical cortex. Protein signals for Dhc and Kst showed an intermediate

level in the *Top3β*$^{\Delta RGG}$ mutant embryos **(Fig. 5)**, indicating that the RGG box also affects their

expression, albeit less strongly than the catalytic activity.

Fig. 5. Expression and localization of gene products of Top3β targets. The posterior pole of the embryos is shown to the right. *shot* mRNA and Shot protein localization in the posterior part of syncytial (*shot* mRNA) and cellularizing (Shot protein) wild-type embryos in the different *Top3β* mutants. Lower signal levels and less localized signals were seen in the null and *Top3β*^{Y332F}. Both were less reduced in *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos. Note the *shot* mRNA localization in the pole cells in the wild type and *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant. Dhc64C localization at the posterior side of the somatic part of the embryo (arrowhead) was not detectable in the null mutant and *Top3β*^{Y332F} and reduced in *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos. Kst localization at the apical membrane (arrowhead) was reduced in null mutants and *Top3β*^{Y332F} compared to the wild type. Its localization was still identifiable in *Top3β*^{ΔRGG} mutant embryos. Top3β protein is localized similarly to its targets. It is, however absent from the null mutant which reveals the background level of the staining. Note also that Top3β is also nuclear in the wild type and *Top3β*^{Y332F}. Imaging settings were identical for the staining for the same protein and mRNA, respectively, in the different genotypes except for the insets which were overexposed to reveal the embryo. The scale bar is 10µm for the shot mRNA pictures and 20µm for the other micrographs.

Top3β normally localizes to the same area in blastoderm embryos as the different proteins

and mRNAs described here. In the Discussion section, we will detail how this seems to

contribute to an interesting gene expression mechanism. Note also that the wild-type

protein and Top3β^{Y332F} localize to the cytoplasm and the nuclei **(Fig 5)**. In contrast,

Top3β^{ΔRGG} is clearly seen above background levels only in the cytoplasm. This indicates that

its RGG box is needed to localize Top3β to the nucleus and that the lack of the RGG box
likely reduces the nuclear functions of Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$.

Deteriorating neuromuscular structure and function in adult Top3β mutants

The negative geotaxis assay measures the activity of the nervous system and the
musculature, and the coordination between them. In this assay, all four genotypes showed
similar climbing abilities in young flies **(Fig. 6A).** Neuromuscular conditions sometimes
become only apparent at an older age because the young nervous system can compensate
for some cellular deficits. But such compensations can take their toll if they stress the cells.
We, therefore, tested for premature aging and age-related degeneration of the climbing
ability. Indeed, as seen in **Fig. 6A,** from week 6 on, the *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{26}* flies became
slower, and their climbing ability declined more than the wild-type one. The results suggest
that Top3β plays a crucial role in aging and combating neurodegeneration in *Drosophila*
motor neurons.

Fig. 6: **Aging effects of *Top3β* mutants. A)** Climbing assays of wild type, $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$, $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$, and $Top3\beta^{26}$ flies. A two-way ANOVA analysis was performed. The graph bars show the mean climbing index (C.I.) for the different genotypes. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (S.E.M). Each dot represents a calculated individual C.I. The adjusted p-values for each significant difference are indicated on the graph. (p-value <0.0001=****, p-value <0.001=***, p-value <0.01=**, p-value <0.05=*.) **B)** In adults, null mutant NMJs contain more branches than the wild type, indicative of a compensatory mechanism. Arrowheads point to the lost synaptic integrity of dorsal longitudinal flight muscle (DLM). NMJ synapses were visualized by staining of motor neurons with anti-HRP antibodies. The progressive denervation of the DLM is particularly evident in the null and the *Y332F* mutant in the adult thoracic NMJs. The scale bar represents 20µm. All panels show maximum intensity projections with a z-step size of 0.7µm.

As the flies age, their motor abilities and neurotransmission decline, and fragmentation of synaptic motor terminals becomes apparent (24). To gain insights into the possible adaptation of the adult neuromuscular system, we also studied the structure of the adult NMJs in the four genotypes during aging **(Fig. 6B)**. The young null mutants (followed by $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ and $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$) had more branched structures compared to the simpler branch structures in the wild type. The overgrowth might have arisen to compensate for suboptimal synaptic physiology. However, as the flies age, progressive denervation of the DLM (Dorsal Longitudinal Muscles) synapses in the null mutant occurred, leading to a progressive loss of locomotive ability and more rapid aging **(Fig. 6B)**. In both the null and $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$, a loss of synaptic integrity was observed during aging in 1-2 months old adult flies **(Fig. 6B)**. Because $Top3\beta^{26}$ and $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ flies live less long than wild-type and $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$ flies **(Supplementary Fig. S3)**, the decline of their NMJ structure and function is most likely even stronger than observed in the living animals. Furthermore, because of the similarity between the phenotypes of $Top3\beta^{26}$ and the $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$ flies, the effect on longevity and aging seems to be caused primarily due to the loss of the enzymatic activity of Top3β, which leads to loss of synaptic integrity, faster aging, and shortened lifespan.

Top3β mutants enhance $(G4C2)_{49}$-induced neuronal toxicity in flies

To gain deeper insights into the cellular significance of Top3β's catalytic activity, we investigated its effect on the phenotype induced by GC-rich repeat RNA sequences. For this, we used the repeat sequence $(GGGGCC)_{49}$ (also named $G4C2)_{49}$ that induces neurotoxic effects in both humans and flies. In humans, the presence of 20-28 repeats of this sequence

was shown to be associated with neurodegenerative diseases such as frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (25)(26). The accumulation of both sense and antisense repeat strands of this sequence leads to the formation of RNA foci throughout the nervous system, which is believed to be toxic (27). In a fly model for this disease, neuronal cells were engineered to express an RNA with 49 repeats, denoted as $(G4C2)_{49}$, using a Gmr-GAL4 driver (28). Studying the effect of this RNA in the eyes of adult flies revealed that *Top3β* mutants enhanced the neurotoxic effect of the $(G4C2)_{49}$ transcripts in the eyes of young flies aged 1-2 days (**Fig. 7A**). Of the *Top3β* mutants, *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* exhibited the most severe eye lesions with irregular ommatidial structures, and this was particularly evident from the widespread cell death. Young *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutants displayed irregular ommatidia but usually less cell death. After one month, the rough eye phenotype caused by the *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants affected the entire eye, indicating widespread degeneration of ommatidial cells. These results show that the topoisomerase activity of Top3β counteracts the toxic activity of the $(G4C2)_{49}$ transcripts.

$(G4C2)_n$ RNAs undergo repeat-associated non-AUG translation, resulting in the production of the dipeptide repeats GA, GP, or GR. There is good evidence that at least some of these repeats can form neurotoxic aggregates (27). To test the involvement of Top3β in the translatability of the $(G4C2)_n$ RNAs in the open reading frame that leads to Glycine-Arginine (GR) repeats, we examined the effect of a transcript containing 44 repeats fused to the open reading frame of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) (UAS-LDS-(G4C2)$^{44GR-GFP}$; LDS indicates an intronic leader sequence without AUG). By expressing a GR dipeptide under UAS control with the Gmr-GAL4 driver, the GFP tag in the GR reading frame enables fluorescence imaging and quantitation of GR repeat levels in eyes (**Fig. 6B**). The absence of *Top3β* led to the most severe eye lesions but significantly lower levels of GFP signal, implying that fewer GR dipeptides were present. Similar results were observed for *Top3β^{Y332F}*. Despite producing milder eye lesions, *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* mutants still led to reduced GFP signal, indicative of lower levels of GR dipeptides. We conclude that *Top3β* contributes to the production of GR dipeptides. Furthermore, the combined findings suggest that the GR repeats produced in this assay are less responsible for the neurodegeneration of the ommatidial cells. The $(G4C2)_{44}$ RNA itself or one of the other dipeptide repeats are more likely to be the neurotoxic substances.

Fig. 7: *Top3β* activities toward (G4C2)$_n$ repeat RNAs in the eye. A) Expression of the UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$ transgene in wild-type (w) and *Top3β* mutant flies using the Gmr-Gal4 driver. *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* caused mild disruptions, the *Top3β^{26}* and *Top3β^{Y332F}* mutants caused strong degeneration. Shown are data from one experiment carried out in parallel; data were reproduced in a total of three or more independent experiments. All *Top3β* mutants enhanced the degenerative phenotype of the (G4C2)$_{49}$ RNA. Genotype: *Top3β^{26}* (or *Top3β^{Y332F}* or *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*);;UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$/GMR-Gal4. GMR-Gal4 control genotype: *w Top3β$^+$*; ;UAS-(G4C2)$_{49}$/GMR-Gal4. **B)** *Top3β* mutants reduced the GR-GFP signal in UAS-LDS-(G4C2)$_{44}$$^{GR-GFP}$ animals. Quantification of mean GFP fluorescence intensity. Each data point represents the fluorescence of one eye of one animal. Shown are data from one experiment, but data were reproduced in two additional, independent experiments. Male genotype: *Top3β^{26}*/Y (or *Top3β^{Y332F}* or *Top3β^{ΔRGG}*); UAS-LDS (G4C2)$_{44}$$^{GR-GFP}$/GMR-Gal4, female genotypes (wild-type controls): *Top3β^{26}* (or *Top3β^{Y332F}* /*Top3β^{ΔRGG}*)/+;; UAS-LDS (G4C2)$_{44}$$^{GR-GFP}$/GMR-Gal4

Discussion

Top3β and its catalytic activity have an important role in the production of normal expression levels of a considerable number of RNAs during embryonic development. The fact that particularly long pre-mRNAs and RNAs with long open reading frames and long UTRs depend on *Top3β* for their normal expression levels (**Fig. 1**) is consistent with the hypothesis that these long molecules are more dependent on an RNA topoisomerase that can counteract structural problems.

The UTRs of mRNA molecules play crucial roles in regulating gene expression through RNA localization and translational control. The dependence on *Top3β* of transcript levels of mRNAs with long 3'UTRs seems to reflect at least in part that these mRNAs need to be transported to particular cellular locations and that they are under translational control (29). These processes are important in large cells like the nervous system, but also for fine-tuning gene expression in general. It is, therefore, not surprising that *Top3β* mutations have been implicated in many neurological diseases and cancers. The (pre)mRNAs that depend on *Top3β* for normal expression in Drosophila embryos and whose homologs have been implicated in one of the neuronal diseases or cancers are particularly long. We see this as evidence in support of the view that large RNAs depend more strongly on *Top3β* and very likely because their length, transport, and translational control provide a strong risk for the inactivation of the RNA due to structural problems. In the context of neural physiology, this finding suggests that Top3β may be important for the timely and local expression of proteins involved in neural development, axonal growth, synaptic physiology, and plasticity.

The normal expression levels of the *Ras opposite* (*Rop*) mRNA in young Drosophila embryos depend on *Top3β*. In the context of human neurological diseases this was particularly striking because the human homolog of *Rop* is differentially expressed in all neurological diseases with which human *Top3β* has been associated (**Fig. 2C**). *Rop* regulates neurotransmitter secretion in a dosage-dependent manner (6) and it had been described to act in synaptic vesicle priming and transport. In *Rop* mutants, the release of neurotransmitters from the pre-synapse area into the synaptic cleft fails to occur. It, therefore, seems that *Rop* could be a rewarding gene to further investigate. ALS and FTD are a subset of neurological diseases that involve GC-rich sequence repeats. In the Drosophila model for this disease, we found that the enzymatic activity of Top3β counteracts the

481 neurodegeneration caused by these GC-rich RNAs (Fig. 7). From what is known about

482 topoisomerases, it appears that Top3β could be doing this by making these RNAs accessible

483 to the cellular pathways that act on it, including translation and degradation.

RNA targets of Top3β

Finding that Top3β interacts with splicing factors **(Fig. 4)** appears to be a significant result

because Top3β's RNA targets have a greater number of exons, longer introns, and

alternative transcripts **(Fig. 3)**. The fact that these parameters correlate with one another

(30)(31)(32)(33)(34) further strengthens the conclusion that Top3β participates in the

nuclear activity of RNA splicing. Moreover, snoRNAs are also enriched in the Top3β targets

and recent studies showed that snoRNAs play an important role in the regulation of mRNA

splicing and editing and they are also involved in stress response and metabolic homeostasis

(35), two additional characteristics of Top3β targets. Even though it would have been

interesting to further explore this connection, we focused the presented research on other

aspects of *Top3β* activities that seem to relate more to its cytoplasmic functions.

Longer pre-mRNAs pose not only more chances for splicing errors (because of the increased

difficulty in identifying the correct splice sites) but also for entanglement of the RNA. The

latter is also a considerable problem during transport over extended distances, which might

even involve mRNA packaging and unpackaging before the mRNAs can be activated for

translation at the right place and at the right time. During such transport, extended

molecules, such as mRNAs, are likely to become entangled or suffer other structural

problems that might interfere with their transport or translation. The coding sequence (CDS)

and untranslated regions (UTRs) of an mRNA molecule are also important for regulating

gene expression at the level of RNA localization and translation. Particularly 3'UTRs affect

not only the translation in neurons but also the localization to the places where these

mRNAs will be translated in response to extrinsic stimuli, such as neurotrophins, synaptic

activation, and regeneration after injury (36)(8)(37)(38). Consistent with the proposed

function of Top3β in rescuing (pre-)mRNAs with the described characteristics, the

embryonic targets of Top3β displayed a strong bias for these characteristics (**Figs. 3**) and the

enzymatic activity of *Top3β* is particularly important for mRNAs that are generated from

long pre-mRNAs, for mRNAs with long 3'UTRs and those with long coding sequences (**Figs.**

1B-D). These mRNAs are expressed at reduced levels in the absence of the RNA
topoisomerase activity and mRNAs with these characteristics were found to bind to Top3β.

Important targets of Top3β

Localization of mRNAs combined with translational control is a mechanism that is most
important in large cells (like oocytes and young embryos) and cells with large extensions
(like neurons). Many of the identified mRNAs function in these cells and have important
roles in neurons because they encode large cytoskeletal and cortical elements (e.g., *kst*, *α-*
spec, *shot*, *mgl*) or regulators of NMJ growth (e.g., *Prosap*). An interesting Top3β target is
the *shot* mRNA, which had been identified as a Top3**β** target in Drosophila and mammals,
and also as an FMR1 target in mammalian cells (**Fig 3F**; (1)(74)). In Drosophila, normal *shot*
mRNA levels also depend on *Top3β* and its enzymatic activity (**Suppl. Table S4**). *shot*
encodes a spectraplakin family member, a large cytoskeletal linker molecule that binds actin
and microtubules and participates in axon growth and neuromuscular junction maintenance
and growth control (39),(40),(41),(42). Drosophila *shot* has additional roles in many other
tissues and cell types and it consists of 45 exons producing 22 transcript isoforms, which is
many more than the average of 2 isoforms per *Drosophila* gene. *shot* RNA and protein
expression in embryos are markedly reduced and less localized in *Top3β* null mutants and in
the enzymatically dead *Top3β^{Y332F}* embryos (**Fig. 5**). The enzymatic activity of Top3β is
therefore key to the proper expression of *shot* mRNA and protein. The reduced mRNA levels
and their failure to localize to specific compartments as they do in the wild type, are
consistent with an involvement of Top3β in splicing *shot* pre-mRNAs and in localizing the
mRNA, possibly through its activity in resolving RNA entanglements.

Along with α-Spec, Kst (β_H-Spectrin) crosslinks F-actin and acts as a molecular scaffold (43)
when it is recruited to the apical membrane of epithelial cells (44). The mRNAs encoding
these two proteins are both targets of Top3β, and we showed that the normal expression
levels and localization of the Kst protein in the embryos depend on the enzymatic activity of
Top3β, suggesting that the lack of Top3β affects Kst protein production at the level of *kst*
mRNA production, localization and/or translation. Interestingly, Top3β accumulates also
preferentially at the apical cortex of embryos, further suggesting that higher Top3β activity
in this location may contribute to the apical translatability of apical transcripts – for instance

by rescuing entangled mRNAs -, thereby expressing the encoded protein in this location at

higher levels, even if the mRNA is uniformly expressed. It thus appears that subcellular

localization of Top3β activity might even serve as a novel tool to target gene expression to

specific cellular locations even if their mRNAs are expressed uniformly.

Finally, we investigated Dhc, the large heavy chain subunit of the cytoplasmic dynein motor

complex (75). Being the major retrograde cytoplasmic motor in all cells, neuronal

cytoplasmic dynein moves cargo from the synapse along the axon and back to the cell body

(45). During Drosophila oogenesis, dynein transports mRNAs, proteins, and organelles from

the nurse cells into the oocyte, where they are deposited for the young embryo. In the

embryo, it then transports them to the apical cortex (76)(77). We observed reduced protein

expression and lack of Dhc concentration at the apical cortex in both the null and $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$

embryos. Again, $Top3\beta$ and its enzymatic activity are needed to allow proper protein

expression and the establishment of the proper polar distribution of Dhc with its apical

accumulation. There is good evidence for a physical interaction between Top3β and the

dynein motor and that it is important for normal cargo localization (1)(46). Top3β forms a

complex with Tdrd3 and FMR1 and the latter was shown to interact with the BicD protein,

the subunit of the DDB transport machinery. Furthermore, it even depends on this

interaction to be transported normally along microtubules and to accumulate at the proper

levels and locations in the nervous system.

The analysis of the $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$ phenotype revealed that the RGG motif is needed for the

nuclear localization of a good fraction of Top3β in the blastoderm embryo **(Fig. 5)**, a process

that might also involve dynein transport. The failure of the Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ mutant protein to

localize to the embryonic nuclei additionally suggests that reduced nuclear functions of

Top3β$^{\Delta RGG}$ might contribute to the $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$ mutant phenotype, too.

Top3β environment and relevance

The enrichment of mRNAs encoding neuronal ribonucleoproteins (RNP) particles, also

known as granules, was a clear result of the analysis of our Top3β targets. These RNP

granules concentrate specific sets of mRNAs and regulatory proteins in the same location,

promoting their common long-distance transport to axons or dendrites (20)(21). They also

have a dual function in regulating the translation of associated mRNAs while preventing the

translation of others (47). As a component of neuronal RNPs, Me31B mediates the transport

and controls the translation of neuronal RNAs, including the translational repression of

synaptic transcripts (26). We found Me31B highly enriched with Top3β and this interaction

depended on the RGG box, suggesting that the RGG box links Top3β to the neuronal RNPs.

Consistent with our analysis of physically interacting proteins (**Fig. 4B**), Top3β had already

been described to be present in complexes with FMR1 (1). Comparing the datasets of

significantly less abundant transcripts in the extracts of *Top3β* mutants (**Supplementary**

Table S1) to the data of significantly less translated transcripts upon *Fmr1* RNAi treatment in

Drosophila ovaries (48) revealed many shared mRNAs (**Fig. 8**). Even though different tissues

have been used for the two experiments, a large fraction of the ovarian transcripts end up in

the young embryo. The overlap between the two data sets indicates that FMR1 and Top3β

proteins act on many of the same mRNAs, but that *FMR1* and *Top3β* are also involved in

additional functions independently of each other.

584
585   **Fig. 8.** Ovarian mRNAs depending on *FMR1* for their translation and on *Top3β* for their
586   normal accumulation in young embryos. **A)** List of the shared mRNAs and **B)** Venn diagram
587   of the overlap between the two datasets.
588
589
The lack of RNA topoisomerase activity can interfere with the expression of many different

genes in a variety of different pathways. The resulting suboptimal functioning of different

cellular mechanisms is likely to interfere with cellular physiology, making it less efficient and

producing cellular stress. Further research needs to test, which of the individual pathways

suggested by our work causes a physiological problem in the human conditions involving

Top3β. However, serious neurological health issues often arise when several pathways are

not functioning properly anymore, making it difficult and possibly less meaningful to

pinpoint a primary cause. Our work revealed that reduced activity of Top3β affects several

of the pathways that rely on mRNAs that were Top3β targets. The combination of defects in

different physiological pathways is likely to impact the outcome of the various

neurodevelopmental and cognitive disorders and cancers *Top3β* has been implicated.

Material and Methods

Fly stocks and maintenance

The *white (w)* strain was used as a wild-type control as this was the genetic background of the mutants used in this study. The mutant fly stocks *Top3β^{Y332F}* and *Top3β^{ΔRGG}* were established as described below. *Top3β^{26}* was from Lee and colleagues (49). The fly stocks were grown and maintained on a regular corn medium (ingredients: corn, yeast powder, sucrose syrup, agar, potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate, water, nipagin, and propionic acid) supplied with brewer's yeast for the experiments. All *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were stored at 18°C in glass or plastic vials with day/night (12 h/12 h) light cycles. All experiments were performed at 25°C. (G4C2)$_{49}$ flies (Stock #84727) express 49 pure 5'GGGGCC3' repeats under the control of UAS and poly(GP)-GFP flies (#84723) 114 nucleotides of intronic sequence from the human *C9orf72* gene followed by an expanded G4C2 array of 44 (or fewer repeats according to the note in the stock description). The G4C2 arrays can produce three dipeptides, GA, GR, and GP, with the GR dipeptide open reading frame being GFP-tagged at the 3' end for use in this study (78). These repeats are neurotoxic and model the G4C2 repeats seen in human *C9orf72* that are associated with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Dementia.

Generation of *Top3β* alleles

Genomic sequences were scanned for suitable sgRNA target sites with the JBrowse from FlyBase and appropriate sgRNA sequences were chosen based on (a) their distance from the tagging site, and (b) the absence of potential off-target sites on the same chromosome as the target site (51). To mutate the endogenous *Top3β*, a sgRNA was designed, which hybridized in the vicinity of the Y332 codon of *Top3β* and induced the Cas9 nuclease to perform a double-strand break. Complementary oligodeoxynucleotides with suitable overhangs (**Supplementary Table S6**) were annealed and cloned into the pCFD5 vector, which is used to express the sgRNA (52). The Cas9 fly stock was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (#79004). ssDNA was designed for the mutation changing the Tyr (Y) to a Phe (F) codon and injected into the progeny produced by Cas9- and sgRNA-expressing flies. The single-strand oligodeoxynucleotide with the flanking arms precisely

matching the endogenous sequences changes the sequence at the mutation site by serving

as a template (**Supplementary Table S6**) for the homologous recombination DNA repair at

the double-strand break. The same strategy was used to delete the endogenous RGG region

in *Top3β* (ΔRGG) using the appropriate gRNA and ssDNA templates.

RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing was performed using 1 mL of TRIzol® Reagent per 50-100 mg of tissue

samples (0-2 hours embryos and 1-5 days old heads), which were homogenized at room

temperature. RNA extraction was done according to the Trizol protocol. The University of

Bern's Next Generation Sequencing Platform conducted quality control assessments, library

generation, sequencing runs, and sequencing quality control steps. The purified total RNA

was assessed for quantity and quality using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Qubit 4.0 fluorometer

with the Qubit RNA BR or HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Q10211 or Q32855,

respectively) and an Agilent Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System using a

Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Sequencing libraries were made

using a CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit with UDI 12nt Set A1 (Lexogen, 117.96)

according to Lexogen's guidelines. The libraries were paired-end sequenced using either

shared Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP, S1, or S2 Reagent Kits (200 cycles; Illumina, 200240719,

2002831, or 20028315) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. The quality of the

sequencing runs was assessed using the Illumina Sequencing Analysis Viewer (Illumina

version 2.4.7), and all base call files were demultiplexed and converted into FASTQ files

using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20.

The quality and quantity of reads generated from RNA-seq were evaluated. Most of the

reads were from mature transcripts without introns, but we mapped them to the reference

genome that includes introns using an alignment tool like Hisat2 that can handle large gaps.

This required information on where each gene is located in the genome, available for

example from Ensemble (53). The number of reads mapping to each gene was counted

using the FeatureCounts tool, which requires information about the location of each gene in

the genome. This resulted in a table of read counts for each sample and gene.

To test for differential expression between two experimental groups containing three

biological replicates each, we used the DESeq2 tool. The analysis included the following

steps: 1. Normalization to correct for differences in the total number of reads between

samples. 2. Variance estimation between replicates to account for the limited number of

replicates in RNA-seq experiments (DESeq2 incorporates information from other genes with

similar overall expression levels into the estimation). 3. Log-fold change (LFC) adjustment

based on evidence strength which was estimated by the LFC. If it is weak (e.g., because the

gene is expressed at low levels, the variance between replicates is high, or there are few

replicates), the LFC shrinks toward zero. 4. Calculation of a test statistic and comparison to

the normal distribution to obtain a p-value. 5. Multiple test corrections using the Benjamini-

Hochberg procedure to consider only potentially detectable, differentially expressed genes.

DESeq2 applies a false discovery rate correction based on the Benjamini-Hochberg

procedure. However, the multiple-test correction considers only genes that could

potentially be detected as differentially expressed. Only these genes will have an adjusted p-

value. The mean read count across all samples is used to decide if a gene should be included

or not.

Extracting transcript features

The information about exon length, exon count, 3′UTR length, gene name, gene length,

5′UTR length, mRNA length, and CDS length was extracted from the gtf file of dmel-all-

filtered-r6.42.gff using a custom python script.

Generation of the *Top3β::eGFP* cDNA clone for GAL4>UAS expression

For the *Top3β::eGFP* construct, the *Top3β* cDNA clone LD10035 was amplified. Enhanced

GFP (EGFP) was PCR amplified from a plasmid (pEGFP–C3). PCR amplified fragments were

run on an agarose gel and purified using the Wizard SV kit (Promega). The sequences were

cloned into the pUASz1.0 vector (54) with the *eGFP* ORF at the C-terminal end of the *Top3β*

ORF using a ligation kit (NEB). The UASz vector allows one to induce the constructs in any

tissue, including the somatic tissue, the germline, and the CNS (54). Plasmids were

sequenced to confirm the integrity of the construct and purified with a PureYield kit

(Promega) for injection. Transgenic stocks were established with the attP landing platform

86F from the Bloomington Stock Center (#24749).

To generate an enzymatically dead enzyme, a Phe codon substituted the codon for the Tyr

responsible for crosslinking the enzyme with the nucleic acid in the *UASz-Top3β::eGFP*

vector to give rise to *UASz-Top3β^{Y332F}::eGFP*. Similarly, to figure out the role of the RGG box,

we deleted in the in the *UASz-Top3β::eGFP* vector the sequence coding for this box, giving

rise to *UASz-Top3β^{ΔRGG}::eGFP*. At the site of the desired mutation, oligonucleotide primers

with the desired mutation were used to amplify the mutant double-stranded DNA plasmid

(Supplementary Table S6). A protocol based on two different PCR amplifications was used

for this. First, two elongation reactions were carried out, with one of the two primers each.

In the second reaction, the two initial elongation reactions were mixed and an additional

PCR reaction was carried out. The wild-type and mutant eGFP fusion constructs were

transformed into *Drosophila* using the attP-86F landing platform. mat-tub-Gal4 (#7062) was

used to drive the expression in the older female germline and Gal4-BG380 (#24749) to drive

expression in the CNS. UASz-eGFP transgenic flies, obtained from the Bloomington stock

center, were used as negative controls.

Sample preparation for mass spectrometry

Drosophila Top3β::eGFP was purified using the GFP tag and a mouse anti-eGFP antibody as

described previously (55). Protein G magnetic beads were washed 3x with PBS and

incubated for 2 hours with an anti-GFP antibody. After this, they were washed 3x with 1 ml

1x PBS and one more time with 1 ml homogenization buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150 mM

NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA PH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors). To prepare the extracts, 1 ml

homogenization buffer was added to 1 gr of the samples (dechorionated embryos 0-2 hours

or adult heads 1-5 days old) in the glass homogenizer. The samples were homogenized and

transferred into the tube. Homogenized samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g (RCF), 4°C for

40 min. The interphase was transferred into a fresh Eppendorf tube, centrifuged again at

16,000 g, 4°C for 40 min, and transferred into a fresh tube. Beads were then incubated with

the extracts for 6 hours at 4°C on a wheel and the extracts were subsequently removed

from the beads. The beads were washed once with 1 ml homogenization buffer and then

several times with wash buffer (25 mM Hepes PH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA PH 8.0; 1

mM DTT; protease inhibitors). The wash buffer was removed, and the beads were sent for

mass spectrometry.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry analysis was done by the Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core

Facility of the University of Bern, and the data are available in **Supplementary Table S5**.

Proteins in the affinity pull-down were re-suspended in 8M Urea / 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8,

reduced for 30 min at 37°C with 0.1M DTT / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, alkylated for 30 min at

37°C in the dark with IAA 0.5M / 100 mM Tris-HCl pH8, dilute with 4 volumes of 20 mM Tris-

HCl pH8 / 2 mM CaCl2 before overnight digestion at room temperature with 100 ng

sequencing grade trypsin (Promega). Samples were centrifuged, and a magnet holder

trapped the magnetic beads to extract the peptides in the supernatant. The digests were

analyzed by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS/MS (PROXEON coupled to a QExactive mass

spectrometer, ThermoFisher Scientific) with three injections of 5 µl digests. Peptides were

trapped on a µPrecolumn C18 PepMap100 (5 µm, 100 Å, 300 µm×5 mm, ThermoFisher

Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland) and separated by backflush on a C18 column (5 µm, 100 Å,

75 µm×15 cm, C18) by applying a 60 min gradient of 5% acetonitrile to 40% in water, 0.1%

formic acid, at a flow rate of 350 ml/min. The Full Scan method was set with a resolution at

70,000 with an automatic gain control (AGC) target of 1E06 and a maximum ion injection

time of 50 ms. The data-dependent method for precursor ion fragmentation was applied

with the following settings: resolution 17,500, AGC of 1E05, maximum ion time of 110

milliseconds, mass window 2 m/z, collision energy 27, underfill ratio 1%, charge exclusion of

unassigned and 1+ ions, and peptide match preferred, respectively.

The data were then processed with the software MaxQuant (56) version 1.6.14.0 against the

UniProtKB(57) Drosophila 7228 database (release 2021_02) containing canonical and

isoform entries, to which common contaminants were added. The following parameters

were set: digestion by strict trypsin (maximum three missed cleavages), first search peptide

tolerance of 15 ppm, MS/MS match tolerance of 20 ppm, PSM and protein FDR set to 0.01

and a minimum of 2 peptides requested per group. Carbamidomethylation on cysteine was

selected as a fixed modification; the following variable modifications were allowed:
methionine oxidation, deamidation of asparagines and glutamines, and protein N-terminal
acetylation; a maximum of 3 modifications per peptide was allowed. Match between runs
was turned on (match time windows 0.7 min) but only allowed within replicates of the same
kind.

Peptides were normalized by variance stabilization (58), imputed and combined to form
Top3 (59) intensities, and considered alongside MaxQuant's Label-Free Quantification (LFQ)
values. Missing peptides, respectively LFQ intensities, were imputed by drawing values from
a Gaussian distribution of width 0.3 centered at the sample distribution mean minus 2.5x
the sample standard deviation, provided there were at most 1 non zero value in the group;
otherwise, the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (60) was used. Differential expression was
performed by applying the empirical Bayes test (61) between groups; significance testing
was performed as described (62).

RNA immunoprecipitation

Protein G magnetic beads were washed 2-3x with 1ml blocking buffer (20 mM Hepes, 150
mM KCl, 20% Glycerol, 0.5 % Tween, BSA (Biolabs), Heparin 0.2 mg/ml, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill
EDTA free protease inhibitors). Beads were blocked with a blocking buffer for 2-3 hours at
room temperature. An anti-GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours.
Beads were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM
KCL, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors).
Anti-GFP antibody was added to the beads and rotated for 1-2 hours at room temperature.
Beads were washed 3x with non-hypotonic lysis buffer.

Dechorionated embryos were 0-2 hours old. 1g of the embryos were homogenized in 2 ml
hypotonic lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes, 10 mM KCL, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tween, SDS
0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). 600
μl of extract, 323 μl of Adjusting buffer (57% Glycerol 100%, 0.4M KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM
DTT, 20 mM Hepes, SDS 0.1%), and 2 μl of RNase-free DNase I (20 μ/ml, Roche) were added
to the washed antibody beads and were precipitated ON rotating at 4°C. Samples were
washed 8x with 1 ml high salt wash buffer (20 mM Hepes, 1 mM EDTA, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM

DTT, 0.5% Tween, 20% Glycerol, SDS 0.1%, 1/2 pill EDTA free protease inhibitors, and RNase

inhibitor (Biolabs, 40,000U/ml)). On the last wash, 100 µl of the samples were taken before

centrifugation. 100 µl of proteinase K buffer, 1.5 µl proteinase K (20 mg/ml Roche), and

RNase inhibitors were added to each tube and were incubated for 30 minutes at 55°C. 1 ml

Trizol reagent was added to each tube, and RNA was extracted according to the Trizol

protocol (TRIzol™ Reagent, Invitrogen™, Catalog number: 15596026).

The Next Generation Sequencing Platform, University of Bern, conducted quality control

assessments, library generation, sequencing runs, and sequencing quality control steps. The

quantity and quality of the purified total RNA were assessed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific

Qubit 4.0 fluorometer with the Qubit RNA BR or HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Q10211 or Q32855, respectively) and an Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System

using a Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Sequencing libraries

were made using a CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit, Version 1 with UDI 12nt Set A1

(Lexogen, 117.96) according to Lexogen's guidelines for this kit. Pooled cDNA libraries were

paired-end sequenced using either shared Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP, S1, or S2 Reagent Kits

(200 cycles; Illumina, 200240719, 2002831, or 20028315) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000

instrument. The quality of the sequencing run was assessed using Illumina Sequencing

Analysis Viewer (Illumina version 2.4.7) and all base call files were demultiplexed and

converted into FASTQ files using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20.

The quality of the RNA-seq reads was assessed using fastqc v0.11.9 (63) and RSeQC v4.0.0

(64). Unique molecular identifiers (UMI) were extracted with umi-tools v1.1.2 (65), and

adapters were trimmed with cutadapt v3.4.1 (66) according to manufacturer instructions.

The reads were aligned to the Drosophila reference genome (BDGP6.32) using hisat2 v2.2.1

(67). FeatureCounts v2.0.1 (68) was used to count the number of reads overlapping with

each gene as specified in release 103 of the Ensembl genome annotation. The Bioconductor

package DESeq2 v1.36.0 (69) was used to test for differential gene expression between the

experimental groups. To generate the log2 fold change versus transcript length plots, the

estimated log2 fold change per gene was plotted against the most extended transcript

length per gene with R v4.2.1 (70). We used the log2 fold change>=1 and the adjusted p-

value (adjp-value) <0.05 of enrichment over control for further analysis.

Dissection and immunostaining of adult Dorsal Longitudinal Muscles (DLMs)

Thoraces of 0-3 days old and 2 months old adult wild-type, $Top3\beta^{Y332F}$, $Top3\beta^{\Delta RGG}$, and
$Top3\beta^{26}$ flies were separated from the rest of the body in 1x PBS. The thoraces were fixed in
PFA (32% formaldehyde diluted to 4% with 1x PBS) for 30 min at room temperature and
then washed 3x with PBS. All 1x PBS was removed from the tubes using a Pasteur pipette.
The tubes were submerged into a liquid nitrogen flask for 10 seconds using cryogenic
tweezers. Then, 300 µL of ice-cold 1x PBS was added to the samples. The thoraxes were cut
into two hemithoraxes, transferred into 1x PBS (24), and blocked in 1x PBS with 0.1% normal
goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 at pH 7.4 for at least one h at 4°C. Mouse anti-HRP or
anti-Brp (nc82; 1:125 dilution) from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank were used to
stain motor neurons. Primary antibodies were removed on the following day and the tissue
was washed four times with PBST for 5 min each on a rotator. For the secondary antibody
staining, goat anti-mouse A647 (1.5 mg/ml, diluted 1:200 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch
Laboratories Inc.) was added to the samples, which were then kept at room temperature for
2 hours in a dark box on the rotator. After the 2 h incubation, the secondary stain was
washed out 4 times for 5 min with PBST. Then, the samples were mounted on a slide (24).

Negative geotaxis (climbing) assays

Wild-type flies and the three $Top3\beta$ mutant strains were reared on fresh food and allowed
to hatch at 25° C. For each genotype, all 0-3-day-old adult male flies were collected. Ten
young adult male flies, each, were anesthetized and placed in 4 separate graduated flat
bottom glass cylinders. After 1 hour of recovery from CO_2 exposure, flies were gently tapped
4x to the bottom of the cylinder to startle them repeatedly with constant force to displace
the flies to the bottom surface. The flies were then allowed to climb the wall until they
reached the top of the column. The climbing procedure was video recorded using an iPhone
11 Pro. These assays were repeated every week over 7 weeks on the same weekday. Three
fly batches, each, provided the material for the triplicates which were performed within 5-
minute intervals. After the three trials, each batch of 10 flies was transferred into glass vials
and kept at 25° C. Before the experiment, every single batch was inspected to ensure that all

40 flies could be video-tracked when they started to climb after the tapping. To calculate

each climbing index (CI), flies that remained at the bottom were counted as in zone 0. The

formula used to calculate the CI is CI = (0 x n0 + 1 x n1 + 2 x n2 + 3 x n3 + 4 x n4 + 5 x n5) /

nTotal, where nTotal is the total number of flies and nx is the number of flies that reached

zone x.

Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. For the negative geotaxis

assays, the means, standard error of the means, and p-values were obtained by two-way

ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks go to P. Nicholson and the members of the University of Bern NGS platform for
sequencing the many RNA samples and to the IBU group of R. Bruggmann, particularly, S.
Oberhänsli and G. van Geest, for their important support during the analyses of the
sequencing data. We thank the University of Bern (Switzerland) Mass Spectrometry Center
(PMSCF) members S. Braga Lagache and N. Buchs for performing the MS experiments and
M. Heller and A-C. Uldry for the data analysis. We wish to acknowledge all our group
members who gave their time and expertise to provide critical input and technical support.
Special thanks go to P. Vazquez, R. Dörig, and D. Beuchle. We highly appreciate the
continuous support from friends and colleagues in the fly community for sharing their
results, fly stocks, and antibodies. For this work, too, FlyBase provided invaluable support,
the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank provided antibodies, and the Bloomington
Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) fly stocks.

Funding

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation project grants
31003A_173188 and 310030_205075 and by the Equipment grant 316030_150824 to BS.
Support came also from the University of Bern to BS. The funders had no role in study
design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for
publication.

References

1.   Xu D, Shen W, Guo R, Xue Y, Peng W, Sima J, et al. Top3β is an RNA topoisomerase
874   that works with fragile X syndrome protein to promote synapse formation. Nat
875   Neurosci. 2013;16(9):1238.

876   2.   Ahmad M, Shen W, Li W, Xue Y, Zou S, Xu D, et al. Topoisomerase 3β is the major
877   topoisomerase for mRNAs and linked to neurodevelopment and mental dysfunction.
878   Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(5):2704–13.

879   3.   Kaufman CS, Genovese A, Butler MG. Deletion of TOP3B is associated with cognitive
880   impairment and facial dysmorphism. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2016;150(2):106–11.

881   4.   Dekker NH, Rybenkov V V, Duguet M, Crisona NJ, Cozzarelli NR, Bensimon D, et al.
882   The mechanism of type IA topoisomerases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2002;99(19):12126–
883   31.

884   5.   Piñero J, Saüch J, Sanz F, Furlong LI. The DisGeNET cytoscape app: Exploring and
885   visualizing disease genomics data. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2021;19:2960–7.

886   6.   Wu MN, Littleton JT, Bhat MA, Prokop A, Bellen HJ. ROP, the Drosophila Sec1
887   homolog, interacts with syntaxin and regulates neurotransmitter release in a dosage-
888   dependent manner. EMBO J. 1998;17(1):127–39.

889   7.   Schulze KL, Littleton JT, Salzberg A, Halachmi N, Stern M, Lev Z, et al. Rop, a
890   Drosophila homolog of yeast Sec1 and vertebrate n-Sect/Munc-18 proteins, is a
891   negative regulator of neurotransmitter release in vivo. Neuron. 1994;13(5):1099–108.

892   8.   Zhang W, Liu HT. MAPK signal pathways in the regulation of cell proliferation in
893   mammalian cells. Cell Res. 2002;12(1):9–18.

894   9.   Huang R, Quan Y, Chen J, Wang T, Xu M, Ye M, et al. Osteopontin promotes cell
895   migration and invasion, and inhibits apoptosis and autophagy in colorectal cancer by
896   activating the p38 MAPK signaling pathway. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2017;41(5):1851–
897   64.

898   10.   Baker NM, Rajan R, Mondragón A. Structural studies of type I topoisomerases.
899   Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(3):693–701.

900   11.   Pommier Y, Sun Y, Shar-yin NH, Nitiss JL. Roles of eukaryotic topoisomerases in
901   transcription, replication and genomic stability. Nat Rev Mol cell Biol.
902   2016;17(11):703.

903   12.   Nostramo R, Xing S, Zhang B, Herman PK. Insights into the role of P-bodies and stress
904   granules in protein quality control. Genetics. 2019;213(1):251–65.

905   13.   Siaw GE-L, Liu I-F, Lin P-Y, Been MD, Hsieh T. DNA and RNA topoisomerase activities
906   of Top3β are promoted by mediator protein Tudor domain-containing protein 3. Proc
907   Natl Acad Sci. 2016;113(38):E5544–51.

908   14.   Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, Kobayashi S. Me31B silences translation of oocyte-
909   localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during Drosophila
910   oogenesis. 2001;

15.   Wilhelm JE, Buszczak M, Sayles S. Efficient protein trafficking requires trailer hitch, a

component of a ribonucleoprotein complex localized to the ER in Drosophila. Dev
Cell. 2005;9(5):675–85.

16.   Barbee SA, Estes PS, Cziko A-M, Hillebrand J, Luedeman RA, Coller JM, et al. Staufen-
and FMRP-containing neuronal RNPs are structurally and functionally related to
somatic P bodies. Neuron. 2006;52(6):997–1009.

17.   Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas F V, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, Song J-J, et al. Argonaute2 is
the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. Science (80-). 2004;305(5689):1437–41.

18.   Wang M, Ly M, Lugowski A, Laver JD, Lipshitz HD, Smibert CA, et al. ME31B globally
represses maternal mRNAs by two distinct mechanisms during the Drosophila
maternal-to-zygotic transition. Elife. 2017;6:e27891.

19.   Lee J, Yoo E, Lee H, Park K, Hur J-H, Lim C. LSM12 and ME31B/DDX6 define distinct
modes of posttranscriptional regulation by ATAXIN-2 protein complex in Drosophila
circadian pacemaker neurons. Mol Cell. 2017;66(1):129–40.

20.   Hillebrand J, Barbee SA, Ramaswami M. P-body components, microRNA regulation,
and synaptic plasticity. ScientificWorldJournal. 2007;7:178–90.

21.   Ruscica V, Bawankar P, Peter D, Helms S, Igreja C, Izaurralde E. Direct role for the
Drosophila GIGYF protein in 4EHP-mediated mRNA repression. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(13):7035–48.

22.   Lee S, Kolodziej PA. Short Stop provides an essential link between F-actin and
microtubules during axon extension. 2002;

23.   Liu Z, Steward R, Luo L. Drosophila Lis1 is required for neuroblast proliferation,
dendritic elaboration and axonal transport. Nat Cell Biol. 2000;2(11):776–83.

24.   Sidisky JM, Babcock DT. Visualizing synaptic degeneration in adult Drosophila in
association with neurodegeneration. JoVE (Journal Vis Exp. 2020;(159):e61363.

25.   DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, Boxer AL, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, et
al. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes
chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron. 2011;72(2):245–56.

26.   Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, Simón-Sánchez J, Rollinson S, Gibbs JR, et al. A
hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-
linked ALS-FTD. Neuron. 2011;72(2):257–68.

27.   Vatsavayai SC, Nana AL, Yokoyama JS, Seeley WW. C9orf72-FTD/ALS pathogenesis:
evidence from human neuropathological studies. Acta Neuropathol. 2019;137:1–26.

28.   Goodman LD, Prudencio M, Kramer NJ, Martinez-Ramirez LF, Srinivasan AR, Lan M, et
al. Toxic expanded GGGGCC repeat transcription is mediated by the PAF1 complex in
C9orf72-associated FTD. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(6):863–74.

29.   Mignone F, Gissi C, Liuni S, Pesole G. Untranslated regions of mRNAs. Genome Biol.
2002;3:1–10.

30.   Ast G. How did alternative splicing evolve? Nat Rev Genet. 2004;5(10):773–82.

31.   Black DL. Protein diversity from alternative splicing: a challenge for bioinformatics

and post-genome biology. Cell. 2000;103(3):367–70.

32.   Budagyan B, Loraine A. Gene length and alternative transcription in fruit fly. In:
Proceedings 2004 IEEE Computational Systems Bioinformatics Conference, 2004 CSB
2004. IEEE; 2004. p. 515–6.

33.   McGuire AM, Pearson MD, Neafsey DE, Galagan JE. Cross-kingdom patterns of
alternative splicing and splice recognition. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–19.

34.   Fox-Walsh KL, Dou Y, Lam BJ, Hung S, Baldi PF, Hertel KJ. The architecture of pre-
mRNAs affects mechanisms of splice-site pairing. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2005;102(45):16176–81.

35.   Liang J, Wen J, Huang Z, Chen X, Zhang B, Chu L. Small nucleolar RNAs: insight into
their function in cancer. Front Oncol. 2019;9:587.

36.   Han K, Gennarino VA, Lee Y, Pang K, Hashimoto-Torii K, Choufani S, et al. Human-
specific regulation of MeCP2 levels in fetal brains by microRNA miR-483-5p. Genes
Dev. 2013;27(5):485–90.

37.   Tushev G, Glock C, Heumüller M, Biever A, Jovanovic M, Schuman EM. Alternative 3▯
UTRs modify the localization, regulatory potential, stability, and plasticity of mRNAs in
neuronal compartments. Neuron. 2018;98(3):495–511.

38.   Glock C, Biever A, Tushev G, Nassim-Assir B, Kao A, Bartnik I, et al. The translatome of
neuronal cell bodies, dendrites, and axons. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(43).

39.   Dewey EB, Parra AS, Johnston CA. Loss of the spectraplakin gene Short stop induces a
DNA damage response in Drosophila epithelia. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):1–13.

40.   Sanchez-Soriano N, Travis M, Dajas-Bailador F, Gonçalves-Pimentel C, Whitmarsh AJ,
Prokop A. Mouse ACF7 and drosophila short stop modulate filopodia formation and
microtubule organisation during neuronal growth. J Cell Sci. 2009;122(14):2534–42.

41.   Prokop A, Beaven R, Qu Y, Sánchez-Soriano N. Using fly genetics to dissect the
cytoskeletal machinery of neurons during axonal growth and maintenance. J Cell Sci.
2013;126(11):2331–41.

42.   Valakh V, Walker LJ, Skeath JB, DiAntonio A. Loss of the spectraplakin short stop
activates the DLK injury response pathway in Drosophila. J Neurosci.
2013;33(45):17863–73.

43.   Bennett V, Baines AJ. Spectrin and ankyrin-based pathways: metazoan inventions for
integrating cells into tissues. Physiol Rev. 2001;81(3):1353–92.

44.   Thomas GH, Williams JA. Dynamic rearrangement of the spectrin membrane skeleton
during the generation of epithelial polarity in Drosophila. J Cell Sci.
1999;112(17):2843–52.

45.   Banks GT, Fisher E. Cytoplasmic dynein could be key to understanding
neurodegeneration. Genome Biol. 2008;9(3):1–4.

46.   Bianco A, Dienstbier M, Salter HK, Gatto G, Bullock SL. Bicaudal-D regulates fragile X
mental retardation protein levels, motility, and function during neuronal
morphogenesis. Curr Biol. 2010;20(16):1487–92.

47. Formicola N, Vijayakumar J, Besse F. Neuronal ribonucleoprotein granules: Dynamic
sensors of localized signals. Traffic. 2019;20(9):639–49.

48. Greenblatt EJ, Spradling AC. Fragile X mental retardation 1 gene enhances the
translation of large autism-related proteins. Science (80-). 2018;361(6403):709–12.

49. Lee SK, Xue Y, Shen W, Zhang Y, Joo Y, Ahmad M, et al. Topoisomerase 3β interacts
with RNAi machinery to promote heterochromatin formation and transcriptional
silencing in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4946.

51. Gratz SJ, Rubinstein CD, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. CRISPR-Cas9
genome editing in Drosophila. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2015;111(1):31–2.

52. Port F, Bullock SL. Augmenting CRISPR applications in Drosophila with tRNA-flanked
sgRNAs. Nat Methods. 2016;13(10):852–4.

53. Cunningham F, Allen JE, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, et al.
Ensembl 2022. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D988–95.

54. DeLuca SZ, Spradling AC. Efficient expression of genes in the Drosophila germline
using a UAS promoter free of interference by Hsp70 piRNAs. Genetics.
2018;209(2):381–7.

55. Vazquez-Pianzola P, Adam J, Haldemann D, Hain D, Urlaub H, Suter B. Clathrin heavy
chain plays multiple roles in polarizing the Drosophila oocyte downstream of Bic-D.
Development. 2014;141(9):1915–26.

56. Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
ppb-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat
Biotechnol. 2008;26(12):1367–72.

57. Consortium U. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(D1):D506–15.

58. Huber W, Von Heydebreck A, Sültmann H, Poustka A, Vingron M. Variance
stabilization applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of
differential expression. Bioinformatics. 2002;18(suppl_1):S96–104.

59. Silva JC, Gorenstein M V, Li GZ, Vissers JP. C; Geromanos, SJ Absolute quantification of
proteins by LCMSE: A virtue of parallel MS acquisition. Mol Cell Proteomics.
2006;5:144–56.

60. Silver JD, Ritchie ME, Smyth GK. Microarray background correction: maximum
likelihood estimation for the normal–exponential convolution. Biostatistics.
2009;10(2):352–63.

61. Kammers K, Cole RN, Tiengwe C, Ruczinski I. Detecting significant changes in protein
abundance. EuPA open proteomics. 2015;7:11–9.

62. Uldry A-C, Maciel-Dominguez A, Jornod M, Buchs N, Braga-Lagache S, Brodard J, et al.
Effect of Sample Transportation on the Proteome of Human Circulating Blood
Extracellular Vesicles. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(9):4515.

63. Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2010.

64. Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.
Bioinformatics. 2012;28(16):2184–5.

65. Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique
Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. Genome Res.
2017;27(3):491–9.

66. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17(1):10–2.

67. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–60.

68. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923–30.

69. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):1–21.

70. Core R. TEAM, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Online https//www r-project
org. 2022;

71. Castells-Nobau A, Nijhof B, Eidhof I, Wolf L, Scheffer-de Gooyert JM, Monedero I, et
al. Two algorithms for high-throughput and multi-parametric quantification of
Drosophila neuromuscular junction morphology. J Vis Exp Jove. 2017;(123).

72. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, Forslund K, Heller D, Huerta-Cepas J, et al.
STRING v10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(D1):D447–52.

73. Stoll G, Pietiläinen OPH, Linder B, Suvisaari J, Brosi C, Hennah W, Leppä V, Torniainen
M, Ripatti S, Ala-Mello S, Plöttner O, Rehnström K, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Varilo T,
Tallila J, Kristiansson K, Isohanni M, Kaprio J, Eriksson JG, Raitakari OT, Lehtimäki T,
Jarvelin MR, Salomaa V, Hurles M, Stefansson H, Peltonen L, Sullivan PF, Paunio T,
Lönnqvist J, Daly MJ, Fischer U, Freimer NB, Palotie A. Deletion of TOP3β, a
component of FMRP-containing mRNPs, contributes to neurodevelopmental
disorders. Nat Neurosci. 2013 Sep;16(9):1228-1237. doi: 10.1038/nn.3484. Epub 2013
Aug 4. PMID: 23912948; PMCID: PMC3986889.

74. Su, S, Xue, Y., Sharov, A., Zhang, Y., Lee, S.K., Martindale, J.L., Li, W., Ku, W.L., Zhao, K.,
De, S., Shen, W., Sen, P., Gorospe, M., Xu, D., Wang, W. A dual-activity topoisomerase
complex regulates mRNA translation and turnover. Nucleic Acids Res
2022;50(12):7013-7033.

75. Schiavo G, Greensmith L, Hafezparast M, Fisher EM. Cytoplasmic dynein heavy chain:
the servant of many masters. Trends Neurosci. 2013 Nov;36(11):641-51. doi:
10.1016/j.tins.2013.08.001.

76. Wilkie GS, Davis I. Drosophila wingless and pair-rule transcripts localize apically by
dynein-mediated transport of RNA particles. Cell. 2001 Apr 20;105(2):209-19.
doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00312-9. PMID: 11336671.

77.   Bullock SL, Ish-Horowicz D. Conserved signals and machinery for RNA transport in
1072   Drosophila oogenesis and embryogenesis. Nature. 2001 Dec 6;414(6864):611-6.
1073   doi: 10.1038/414611a. PMID: 11740552.

1074   78.   Goodman, L.D., Prudencio, M., Srinivasan, A.R., Rifai, O.M., Lee, V.M., Petrucelli, L.,
1075   Bonini, N.M. (2019). eIF4B and eIF4H mediate GR production from expanded G4C2 in a
1076   Drosophila model for C9orf72-associated ALS. Acta Neuropathol. Commun. 7(1): 62.