Arrive and wait: inactive bacterial taxa contribute to perceived soil microbiome resilience after a

multidecadal press disturbance

Samuel E. Barnett (barne424@msu.edu)[1], Ashley Shade (ashley.shade@cnrs.fr)[2*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing,

MI 48824, USA

[2] Universite Claude Bernard Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR 5557 Écologie Microbienne, 16 rue Dubois

69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, FRANCE

Running title: Mechanisms of soil microbiome resilience

Keywords: Microbial ecology, soil, resilience, fire, dormancy, high-throughput sequencing,

temporal dynamics, disturbance ecology, community ecology, interannual

Type of Article: Letter

No. abstract words: 150

No. main text words: 4997

No. references: 64

No. figures: 6

Corresponding author: Ashley Shade

UMR5557 - Ecologie Microbienne Lyon

Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

Bât. Mendel, 5eme étage

16 Rue Dubois

69622 Villeurbanne cedex, FRANCE

+33472431377

ashley.shade@cnrs.fr

Statement of authorship:

AS conceptualized the research, AS and SB collected soil samples at the research site

(investigation), SB performed laboratory work and conducted formal analysis (investigation), SB

performed data curation, SB wrote the original draft manuscript, SB and AS contributed to

review and editing of the manuscript, SB performed data visualization, and AS provided

supervision, administration, and funding acquisition.

Data accessibility statement:

Raw sequences are available on the NCBI short read archive BioProject PRJNA973689.

Representative OTU sequences in FASTA format, along with metadata, OTU table, taxonomy

table, and phylogenetic tree, all in a phyloseq object, are available through figshare DOI:

10.6084/m9.figshare.23060354. Sequence processing and analysis code are available through

GitHub at https://github.com/ShadeLab/Centralia_RNA_DNA_multiyear.

Abstract

Long-term (press) disturbances like the climate crisis and other anthropogenic pressures are fundamentally altering ecosystems and their functions. Many critical ecosystem functions, such as biogeochemical cycling, are facilitated by microbial communities. Understanding the functional consequences of microbiome responses to press disturbances requires ongoing observations of the active populations that contribute functions. This study leverages a 7-year time series of a 60-year-old coal seam fire (Centralia, Pennsylvania, USA) to examine the resilience of soil bacterial microbiomes to a press disturbance. Using 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, we assessed the interannual dynamics of the active subset and the "whole" bacterial community. Contrary to our hypothesis, the whole communities demonstrated greater resilience than active subsets, suggesting that inactive members contributed to overall resilience. Thus, in addition to selection mechanisms of active populations, perceived microbiome resilience is also supported by mechanisms of dispersal, persistence, and revival from the local dormant pool.

Introduction

The climate crisis and anthropogenic pressure on the environment fundamentally change ecosystems and their functions. Soil microbial communities are vital to ecosystem functioning, filling important roles in biogeochemical cycling (Madsen 2011) and plant health (Mendes *et al.* 2013; Turner *et al.* 2013), among other ecosystem services. Thus, understanding the responses and functional consequences of microbial communities to severe disturbances is essential for advancing a holistic understanding of climate change and anthropogenic pressures' impact on the natural world.

Community stability can be defined as the interplay between resistance (*i.e.,* the degree to which the community remains unchanged during a disturbance event) and resilience (*i.e., engineering resilience* (Holling 1996) or the rate at which the community returns to a pre-disturbance state) (Pimm 1984; Shade *et al.* 2012; Griffiths & Philippot 2013; Philippot *et al.* 2021). Microbial community resistance and resilience can vary substantially across soils and disturbances (Griffiths & Philippot 2013). The duration of a disturbance event, whether it is a pulse (*i.e.,* a discrete, short-term event) or press (*i.e.,* a long-term, continuous event), and whether the disturbance is compounded, may differentially affect the stability of the affected microbial community (Shade *et al.* 2012; Philippot *et al.* 2021). In light of the long-term environmental impacts of human activities and climate change across broad ecological scales, ongoing observations of microbial community dynamics to press disturbances, particularly during recovery, may illuminate the mechanisms behind community resilience to important environmental pressures.

Microbial communities tend to have low resistance to disturbances (Shade *et al.* 2012). However, the rate and degree of resilience of these communities can vary widely. The perceived

variability in resilience may be due to complex, interacting, and poorly understood ecological

and evolutionary mechanisms (Shade *et al.* 2012; Griffiths & Philippot 2013; Shade 2023),

including the interplay of speciation, selection, and dispersal assembly processes (Vellend 2010;

Nemergut *et al.* 2013). Quantifications of microbiome resilience may also be complicated by the

substantial pool of dormant microorganisms that persists in many environmental systems, but

especially in soils (Aanderud *et al.* 2015). Dormancy is a reversible state of extremely low

metabolism that can allow bacteria to survive under poor conditions or during disturbance (Jones

& Lennon 2010; Lennon & Jones 2011; Kearns & Shade 2018). Some dormant bacterial cells

can remain viable for extended periods, and some can be selectively revived given conducive

growth conditions (Jones & Lennon 2010; Lennon & Jones 2011). Because ecosystem response

to disturbance reflects the functions of active microbiome members (Allison & Martiny 2008;

Shade *et al.* 2012), it is important to account for the dynamics of active members to develop

more direct predictors of ecosystem resilience and functional recovery.

The six-decade-old coal mine fire in Centralia, Pennsylvania, USA, provides a unique

case study to examine the resilience of soil microbial communities to an intense, long-term heat

disturbance (Shade 2018). The surface soils above the active fires are continuously hotter than

ambient soil temperatures and coal combustion products percolate through the soil and open

vents in the ground, altering soil chemistry at the surface (Tobin-Janzen *et al.* 2005; Janzen &

Tobin-Janzen 2008; Elick 2011). The gradual advancement of the fire along the coal seams

allows for direct comparisons across a gradient of disturbance intensities (*i.e.,* soil temperature)

and across fire-affected, decades-old recovered, and unaffected reference soils, all within an area

encompassing less than 0.35 km^2 (Shade 2018). Furthermore, as disturbance intensity changes

over time within a site, community changes can be tracked and understood in the context of the

dynamic warming and cooling that define this press disturbance.

The overarching goal of this study was to understand the ecological mechanisms that

support soil microbiome resilience. Our previous work in Centralia revealed that soil bacterial

communities had a high capacity to recover from warming (within approximately 8-10 years)

despite their multi-year exposure to the disturbance (Lee *et al.* 2017; Sorensen & Shade 2020).

Advancing, we conducted a 7-year study that delineated the "whole" bacterial communities from

the subset of their active members to understand the contributions of dormancy as a potential

mechanism of resilience. Samples were annually collected from established Centralia sites

representing fire-affected, recovered, and reference soils (Fig. 1A, Table S1). Whole bacterial

communities were assessed using 16S rRNA gene amplicons, which include contributions of

active, dormant, and recently deceased cells. The active subset of the whole community was

determined by filtering the dataset to include only the taxa that met a conservative, population-

level activity criterion based on their 16S rRNA to 16S rRNA gene ratios (Blagodatskaya &

Kuzyakov 2013; Bowsher *et al.* 2019). We applied several specific methods to quantify the

microbiome recovery of the whole community and their active subsets relative to the disturbance

intensity, particularly time-lag and trajectory analysis (Beisner *et al.* 2003; Lamothe *et al.* 2019).

Building from previous findings (Lee *et al.* 2017; Kearns & Shade 2018), we hypothesized that

the microbiome resilience is largely attributable to directional changes in the active populations,

indicative of environmental selection driving community turnover as the soils cool.

This work illuminates the resilience of the often overlooked, inactive part of the

community that is nonetheless viable and can become interactive. By considering the dormant

microbial pool, this work indicates the need for careful consideration of how recovery of

microbiome structure (which taxa are detected and in what relative contributions) influences

microbial community functional recovery after disturbance. Thus, this study advances

understanding of the complex and interacting ecological mechanisms that drive microbial

community responses to disturbance.

Materials and Methods

Study site, soil collection, and processing

Centralia, Pennsylvania, overlies an anthracite seam that has been burning constantly

since 1962 (Elick 2011). Heat and combustion gasses from the fire about 46m deep make their

way to the surface, increasing surface soil temperatures and producing steam vents (Elick 2011).

Nineteen sites were selected based on previous experiments (Lee *et al.* 2017; Kearns & Shade

2018), including three reference, four recovered, and 12 fire-affected (supplemental materials;

Table S1). Soils were collected from each site from 2015 to 2021 in the beginning of October. 20

cm deep soil cores were collected as previously described (Lee *et al.* 2017; Kearns & Shade

2018) with sterilized PVC soil corer or trowel, sieved to 4 mm, homogenized, and aliquots for

nucleotide extraction were flash frozen in liquid N_2 (detailed in supplemental materials). Soil and

air temperatures were collected with an electronic thermometer, and CO_2 venting was measured

using an EGM-5 portable CO_2 gas analyzer (PP Systems, Amesbury, USA). Daily soil

temperatures were monitored throughout the year at five sites (Table S1) using HOBO 64K

pendant Temperature data loggers (UA-001-64; ONSET, Bourne USA). Soil moisture was

measured gravimetrically, and soil chemistry analysis was performed by the Soil and Plant

Nutrition Lab at Michigan State University (East Lansing, USA;

https://www.canr.msu.edu/spnl).

DNA/RNA extraction and processing

DNA and RNA were coextracted from 0.25 g of soil following a published phenol-chloroform protocol (Griffiths *et al.* 2000), with the alternate use of 0.1 mm zirconium bead containing BeadBug homogenizer tubes (#Z763764; Benchmark Scientific, Sayreville USA) for bead beating. Both positive (*i.e.,* mock bacterial and fungal community (Colovas *et al.* 2022)) and negative (*i.e.,* nuclease-free water) nucleotide extraction controls were included for each batch of nucleic acid extraction, and all controls were processed along with samples. DNA and RNA aliquots were processed as previously described (Lee *et al.* 2017; Sorensen & Shade 2020) and detailed in supplemental materials. To generate cDNA from RNA aliquots, DNA was degraded using the TURBO DNA-free kit (#AM1907; Invitrogen, Waltham USA) following the rigorous DNase treatment protocol for the RNA aliquot and RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase kit (#18080093; Invitrogen) following the standard protocol. Illumina MiSeq V4 region 16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing of DNA and cDNA were performed by the Genomics Core Research Technology Support Facility at Michigan State University (East Lansing, MI, USA) following standard protocols as detailed in supplemental materials. Triplicate sequencing runs were performed for both DNA libraries, while single runs were performed for both RNA libraries. As detailed in supplemental materials, 16S rRNA gene counts in undiluted DNA extracts were quantified with qPCR to proxy overall Bacterial and Archaeal community sizes across the disturbance intensity gradient.

Sequence processing

Sequence processing was performed using standard pipelines for amplicon sequencing as

previously described with custom scripts (Barnett *et al.* 2020) and detailed in supplemental

materials. We defined bacterial OTUs based on 16S rRNA gene sequence clusters at 97%

sequence identity, including DNA and RNA sequence sets. OTUs were used rather than ASVs to

avoid the potential artificial splitting of bacterial populations into multiple clusters (Glassman &

Martiny 2018; Schloss 2021) and to account for potential errors that could propagate among the

RNA amplicons due to the additional amplification required for reverse transcription.

Statistical analyses.

All statistical analyses were conducted using R (version 4.1.1) (R Core Team 2018). Due

to the repeated measures study design, we utilized linear mixed effects (LME) models from

package nlme (Pinheiro *et al.* 2020) to compare community, soil, and experimental features. In

all LME models, the site was the random effect. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used

for all *post hoc* tests to adjust p-values for multiple comparisons. Change in soil temperature and

pH over time was examined within fire classifications with LME models. Differences in soil pH

across fire classifications were tested using ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's.

OTU counts from triplicate libraries were summed for DNA samples. Samples with less

than 100,000 reads were removed because these were clear outliers regarding sequence output,

suggesting low quality. Sites with less than three years of adequate DNA sequencing were

removed, and the resulting OTU table was rarefied to 161,171 reads and used for the whole

community analysis. To construct an OTU table for the active community, DNA samples

without a corresponding RNA sample after quality filtering and sites with less than three years of

paired DNA and RNA samples were removed, and the resulting OTU table was rarefied to

100,491 reads. OTUs found in an RNA sample but not the corresponding DNA sample (*i.e.,*

phantom OTUs, Fig. S1, supplemental material) were given a count of 1 in the DNA sample

(Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov 2013; Bowsher *et al.* 2019). OTUs with an RNA: DNA ratio ≥ 1 in

each paired RNA and DNA sample were then defined as active (Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov

2013; Bowsher *et al.* 2019). Analysis of the active community subset used the OTU tables from

the filtered DNA samples to only include active OTUs (*i.e.,* zero count wherever DNA and

cDNA count was zero or RNA: DNA ratio was < 1). Critically, DNA relative abundances (not

cDNA relative abundances) were used to determine active taxon dynamics, as the relativized

abundances of 16S rRNA are not indicative of population abundances due to the known

individual- and population-level heterogeneity in gene regulation, ribosomal gene copy number,

post-translational modification, ribosome stability, etc. (Blazewicz *et al.* 2013).

Alpha diversity was measured as Faith's phylogenetic diversity index (PD). Change in

PD over time within fire classifications and across soil temperature regardless of fire

classification was examined with LME models. Beta diversity was measured using abundance-

weighted UniFrac distance calculated with package phyloseq (McMurdie & Holmes 2013).

Variation in community structure across fire classification, sampling year, and their interaction

was performed using PERMANOVA blocked by site ID. *Post hoc* analysis of variation in

community structure across fire classifications within each year or across years within each fire

class was also performed using PERMANOVA blocked by site ID. Variation in community

structure explained by edaphic factors and temperature was examined using a constrained

correspondence analysis with package phyloseq. Selection of edaphic factors included in the

final models was accomplished with function ordistep from the vegan package (Oksanen *et al.*

2018) with the initial full model incorporating soil temperature, CO_2 emission, pH, percent soil

organic matter, and phosphate, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, nitrate, ammonium,

sulfate, and arsenic concentrations.

We used two methods to assess community change over time. First, time-lag analysis

measures the distance between communities within a site over increasing time-steps. The shape,

particularly the slope, of the relationship between community distance and time-lag can indicate

directional shifts in communities during recovery (Lamothe *et al.* 2019). Time-lag analysis was

performed separately for each fire classification using LME models. Abundance-weighted

UniFrac distance across time points within a site was the response variable, and the square root

of time point difference was the fixed effect. Slopes of time-lag linear regressions for each site

were then regressed to the maximum soil temperature at each site, regardless of fire

classification, using a linear model. Second, community trajectory analyses measure

directionality in community structure over time, which can indicate community recovery

(Lamothe *et al.* 2019). Trajectory directionality was calculated using package ecotraj (De

Cáceres *et al.* 2019; Sturbois *et al.* 2021) and was compared across fire classifications using a

Kruskall-Wallis test and *post hoc* pairwise Dunn's tests. Trajectory directionality was also

regressed to maximum soil temperature at each site, regardless of fire classification, using a

linear model. To further assess whether the bacterial communities were becoming more like the

undisturbed reference communities as soils cooled, we tested whether the distance in community

structure between disturbed soils (*i.e.,* fire-affected and recovered soils) and reference soils

within years was associated with time or disturbed soil temperature. We used LME models to

compare the mean abundance-weighted UniFrac distance between the disturbed site and all three

references, paired by timepoint, to year or soil core temperature.

We calculated the percentage of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity within each site attributed

to active taxa using previously described methods (Shade *et al.* 2014), see Supplemental

Methods. To examine the influence of OTUs that become inactive or newly active over time, we

separately analyzed OTUs active in the first timepoint of each timepoint pair (*e.g.,* 2016 when

comparing 2016 to 2020) and in the second timepoint of each pair (*e.g.,* 2020 when comparing

2016 to 2020). The percentage of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity attributed to active taxa was

compared across fire classifications using Kruskall-Wallis and *post hoc* pairwise Dunn's tests

and across time differences between samplings within each fire class using LME models.

Upset plots were made using custom code based on the R package UpSetR (Conway *et*

al. 2017), see Supplemental Methods. Community assembly processes contributing to the whole

bacterial communities' structure were accomplished using NTI calculations (Stegen *et al.* 2012,

2015; Dini-Andreote *et al.* 2015; Barnett *et al.* 2020; Ning *et al.* 2020), see Supplemental

Methods. βNTI values for site pairs within each fire classification were compared across these

classes using Kruskall-Wallis and *post hoc* pairwise Dunn tests. The relationship between βNTI

values for site pairs and differences in soil temperature, the difference in soil pH, and their

interaction were examined using a linear model after scaling and centering both factors to make

them directly comparable.

Results

Soil temperature and pH vary over fire classification

As expected, fire-affected soils were generally hotter than recovered and reference soils.

Notably, the fire-affected soil temperatures decreased over the seven years, yet no consistent

changes over time were observed in recovered or reference sites (Fig. 1B, Table S2; LME

models). This pattern was confirmed by daily temperatures in selected sites that were hourly

tracked over the seven years by the *in situ* HOBO monitors (Fig. S2). Soil pH did not change

over time (Fig. S3A, Table S2; LME models), though it did overall differ across fire

classifications (ANOVA: DF = 2, F = 14.54, p-value < 0.001). pH was lower in recovered sites

than either reference or fire-affected sites, and the pH range across fire-affected sites was large

(Fig. S3B, *post hoc* Tukey's: p-values < 0.05).

Bacterial diversity changes across fire classification and over time

Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD) increased over time in fire-affected soils for both

whole communities and active subsets but no increase in recovered or reference soils (Fig. S4;

Table S3; LME models). When time and fire classification were ignored, PD increased as soil

temperature decreased for whole communities and active subsets (Fig. 1C and D, Table S4; LME

models). Overall bacterial and archaeal counts, as determined by qPCR, showed no significant

relationship to soil temperature across our soils (Table S5; LME model).

For whole bacterial communities, phylogenetic beta diversity (weighted UniFrac) was

explained by fire classification (18.0% variation explained), time (5.7%), and their interaction

(4.0%) (Fig. S5, Table S6; PERMANOVA). *Post hoc* tests within each fire class showed that

time was explanatory only in fire-affected sites (Fig S6, Table S7). While fire classification was

explanatory in all years, the variation explained (R^2) decreased between 2015 and 2018 (Fig. S7,

Table S7). Differences across fire classifications could be attributed to differences in beta-

dispersion (ANOVA; df = 2, F = 34.722, p-value < 0.001). Specifically, dispersion was higher

among fire-affected soils than recovered and reference soils (Table S8).

For the active subset of the community, fire classification (12.4% variation explained)

and time (5.7%), but not their interaction, explained phylogenetic beta diversity (Fig. S8, Table

S6; PERMANOVA). Beta-dispersion again varied across fire classifications for the active subset

(ANOVA; df = 2, F = 17.536, p-value < 0.001). Dispersion across fire-affected soil was higher

than recovered and reference soils, though the effect was smaller than for the whole community

(Table S8).

Measured edaphic factors, particularly soil temperature, pH, and pH-associated properties

(*e.g.,* Ca, Mg, and Fe concentration), explained a large portion of the variation in community

structure for both whole communities (Fig. S9A; variation explained = 45.342%) and active

subsets (Fig. S9B; variation explained = 35.789%). As expected, the variation between fire-

affected and both reference and recovered sites was largely associated with soil temperature. In

contrast, variation across and within the reference and recovered sites was primarily associated

with pH (Fig. S9).

Disturbance intensity drives community trajectories

For both the whole bacterial communities and active subsets, time-lag analysis showed

increasing community dissimilarity as the timespan between the samplings increases for fire-

affected sites but no relationship for either recovered or reference soils (LME models; Fig. 2A

and B, Table S9). The slopes of the time-lag relationships were positively correlated to the

maximum soil temperature of the sites (Fig 2C and D; linear regression; whole community: R^2 =

0.67, p-value < 0.001, active subset: R^2 = 0.30, p-value = 0.011). Notably, the relationship

between the time-lag slope and maximum soil temperature was stronger for the whole

community than the active subset.

306   For both the whole communities and active subsets, there was higher directionality in the

307 fire-affected sites than either recovered or reference sites, with no significant difference between

308 the latter classifications (Fig. 3A and B; Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test; whole community: chi-

309 squared = 9.04, df = 2, p-value = 0.01, active subset: chi-squared = 8.67, df = 2, p-value = 0.01;

310 *post hoc* Dunn's tests). Community directionality was positively correlated with maximum soil

311 temperature (a proxy for disturbance intensity), with, again, a stronger relationship for the whole

312 communities relative to the active subsets (Figs. 3C and D; linear regression; whole community:

313 $R^2 = 0.55$, p-value < 0.001, active subset: $R^2 = 0.20$, p-value = 0.036).

314   We next tested whether the change in community structure between disturbed soils (*i.e.,*

315 fire-affected and recovered soils) and reference soils within years changed over time or was

316 associated with soil temperature. We found that for both whole communities and active subsets,

317 disturbed communities tended to become more like the reference communities over time and as

318 temperature decreased (Fig. 3E-H; LME models). While fire-affected and recovered soils

319 demonstrated increased similarity to reference communities over time, the slope of the change in

320 community distances was higher for fire-affected communities than recovered communities (Fig

321 3E-F).

323 *Active members contribute little to shifts in the whole community*

324   Across most sites, less than 50% of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity in the whole community

325 was attributable to active OTUs. For fire-affected sites, the dissimilarity attributed to active taxa

326 decreased as the period between sampling years increased (Fig. 4, Table S10; LME models; p-

327 values < 0.05). This trend was statistically supported when partitioning taxa as active at the

earlier and later time points in the year-pairing. In contrast, no such trend was observed for

recovered or reference sites.

Interannual OTU activity dynamics vary across fire-affected and unaffected soils

Many OTUs had distinctive yearly activity patterns (Fig. 5 and Figs. S10 and S11). For

fire-affected sites (Fig. 5A), most OTUs tended to have consistent activity in later sampling years

(*e.g.,* 2018-2021) but not earlier (*e.g.,* 2015-2017). This trend suggests that many OTUs became

active in later years for fire-affected sites, corresponding to cooling soil temperatures and

recovery. For reference and recovered soils (Fig. 5B), most OTUs had no clear annual

progression or delineation, suggesting more random activity patterns in these soils that

experienced ambient temperatures. Activity groups were taxonomically diverse for both cases,

with no obvious enrichment in taxa at the phylum level or *Proteobacteria* class.

Community assembly processes for whole communities differ across fire classifications

While the majority of within-year, site-to-site comparisons indicate dominance of

homogeneous selection (βNTI < -2), phylogenetic turnover among fire-affected sites tended to

be higher than among recovered or reference sites (Fig. 6A; Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test: DF =

2, chi-squared = 68.399, p-value < 0.001; post hoc Dunn test) with many site pairs demonstrating

weak selection (-2 $\leq$ βNTI $\leq$ 2) or variable selection (βNTI > 2). This pattern was consistent

across seven years (Fig. 6D and S12). For these site pairs with weak selection, homogenizing

dispersal (RC_{bray} < -0.95), followed by drift alone (-0.95 $\leq$ RC_{bray} $\leq$ 0.95), tended to be the

dominant assembly process (Fig. 6D). Scaled between-site differences in soil pH and

temperature were both positively associated with βNTI, but their interaction was not (Fig. 6B

and C, Table S11; linear regression: $R^2 = 0.5031$, p-value < 0.001). The coefficient for scaled

difference in pH (2.63) is much larger than that of scaled difference in temperature (0.42).

Discussion

We used long-term soil microbiome surveys from Centralia, Pennsylvania, USA, to

examine the resilience of whole bacterial communities and their active members impacted by

heat press disturbance from a subterranean coal seam fire. Over seven years, we observed

general cooling of the fire-affected sites, indicating a gradual reduction in disturbance intensity.

Cooling was not unexpected at these locations (Elick 2011). While heat intensity decreased in

most sites, other fire-induced environmental impacts continued, exemplified by elevated local

CO_2 concentrations and steam venting observed in some soils only a few degrees Celsius above

ambient temperature. The gradual change in disturbance intensity provided a unique opportunity

to observe the transition and recovery of the microbial communities during both early stages and

later stages of recovery (*i.e.,* cooling fire-affected and recovered sites, respectively). Temporal

trends in bacterial diversity revealed strong evidence for the resilience of the microbiomes in

both the whole communities and their active subsets. In comparison, communities in recovered

and reference sites were more stable over the years. These findings agree with our previous

study, which included soils compared along the fire-impact gradient within one sampling year,

that the whole bacterial communities in Centralia soils are resilient (Lee *et al.* 2017).

Dispersal is an important microbiome assembly mechanism for soil (Albright & Martiny

2018; Barnett *et al.* 2020; Evans *et al.* 2020; Walters *et al.* 2022). Across Centralia sites, when

selection was weak, homogenizing dispersal was the dominant assembly process. Dispersal

likely explains the increased alpha diversity observed as fire-affected soils cool. However, even

when dispersal is high, immigrant taxa may not necessarily be immediately active or later

become established. Rather, their DNA may remain extractable either in intact cells (dormant or

dead) or as extracellular DNA (Morrissey *et al.* 2015; Carini *et al.* 2017; Lennon *et al.* 2018).

The microbial conveyor belt hypothesis proposes that dormancy aids microbial dispersal

capability (Mestre & Höfer 2021), though more supporting evidence is needed (Choudoir &

DeAngelis 2022). During a 45-week mesocosm experiment with soil from Centralia reference

sites that explicitly controlled dispersal (Sorensen & Shade 2020), we observed that post-

disturbance activation of dispersed taxa contributed to overall resilience. Building from these

collective results, we present an updated working hypothesis to integrate dispersal and dormancy

mechanisms in soil microbiome resilience. We hypothesize that dispersed members from the

regional species pool progressively replace taxa lost to disturbance as thermal selection weakens,

but some likely maintain dormancy while conditions remain suboptimal. This process effectively

rebuilds and accumulates the dormant "seed bank" but does not contribute immediately to

functional recovery (*i.e.,* active populations) until conditions become favorable for activity.

Thus, re-establishing the microbial seed bank during or after a disturbance could provide a

restoration management strategy (Moreira-Grez *et al.* 2019; King & Bell 2022; Shade 2023).

Patterns of OTU activity over time in fire-affected sites demonstrated that many bacteria

are likely to be activating (either after dispersal or from the local seed bank) in the fire-affected

soils over the last few years as the soils have cooled. Of the top 20 interannual activity patterns,

most taxa tended to have observed activity only in the later sampling years. In other words, many

active taxa become detectably active after one to three years of no detectable activity in fire-

affected soil. This is best exemplified by the second most numerous activity pattern that includes

activity detected in 2016-2021 but not 2015, possibly suggesting significant activation of taxa

after this first sampling year in particular. In reference and recovered sites, the top 20 patterns

exhibited random years in which no activity is detected, including patterns with detectable

activity in the first few sampling years. We further see that the most numerous activity patterns

include many phyla, suggesting that activation and perhaps recovery of the active community

subset is phylogenetically indiscriminate at high taxonomic levels.

Notably, the temporal changes within the whole communities were greater than in their

active subsets, indicating differences in perceived resilience and recovery between the active and

whole communities. For example, time explains more variation in beta diversity for whole

communities than for active subsets, and no interaction was found between time and fire

classification for active communities. Similarly, the relationships between maximum temperature

and both time lag slopes and trajectory directionality were weaker for active subsets than the

whole communities. When considering variation in the whole community structure within a site

over time, active OTUs tended to explain a smaller percentage of the community dissimilarity as

the timescale increased, but only for fire-affected sites. This important finding indicates that over

multiple years, changes in the structure of the active subset account for less of the change in

overall community structure in recovering soils. Thus, we propose that while the overall bacterial

community is largely resilient, the active populations collectively may recover slower. This

conclusion refutes our original hypothesis that bacterial community resilience is largely

attributable to the dynamics of active populations. It suggests that dispersal enables bacterial taxa

from undisturbed soils to arrive and persist in the fire-affected soils as they cool, with some

immigrants remaining dormant though persistent as disturbance intensity slowly wanes. This

accumulation of inactive microbial diversity throughout a disturbance may enable recovery of

the bacterial community after the disturbance; this should be investigated in future studies.

pH and associated edaphic properties are well-known drivers of bacterial community

diversity and soil assembly (Fierer & Jackson 2006; Malik *et al.* 2017; Tripathi *et al.* 2018;

Barnett *et al.* 2020; Yavitt *et al.* 2020). Many pH related properties explained structural

differences among Centralia communities (e.g., Ca, Mg, Fe, etc.) and βNTI (Stegen *et al.* 2012,

2015) correlated to difference in soil pH, together indicating pH-related selection across

Centralia soils. βNTI also correlated to difference in soil temperature, though this relationship

was weaker than that of soil pH, and there was no statistically significant interaction between

these factors. We thus propose that while soil pH selection acts upon Centralia soil bacterial

communities, soil heating imposes either alternate selection pressures or increased stochasticity.

Soil acidification may be a legacy effect of mining (Rice & Herman 2012; Wang *et al.* 2020) or

coal fires in this area (Tobin-Janzen *et al.* 2005; Janzen & Tobin-Janzen 2008; Lee *et al.* 2017)

and may promote alternative stable states among the recovered communities distinct from

unaffected soils (Quadros *et al.* 2016).

Other mechanisms may also have contributed to the patterns we observed. First, cyclical

seasonal disturbance intensity patterns, as observed from HOBO temperature monitors, may

produce temporary conditions suitable for the growth or maintenance of fire-sensitive

populations (Philippot *et al.* 2021). Second, as soils are extremely diverse (Rondon *et al.* 1999),

the non-detection of rare taxa is a distinct possibility despite our deep sequencing, as

demonstrated by the rarefaction curves. Third, extracellular DNA (eDNA) may contribute to the

divergence in the whole community and active subset dynamics between fire-affected and

unheated soils because eDNA in soil degrades more rapidly at higher temperatures (Sirois &

Buckley 2018). However, a large influence of eDNA on the observed interannual patterns is not

expected due to the short lifespan of nucleic acids in soils in general.

Broadly, our findings and working conceptual model for bacterial community recovery

from press disturbance have implications for understanding ecosystem recovery to large, long-

term stress. We interpret the observed relatively high resilience of the whole community with

somewhat lower resilience of the active subset to indicate that, while microbial community

functional potential may recover rapidly along with the demographic recovery of members lost

to the disturbance, the realized activity of these communities may take longer to recover because

it is harbored within the dormant pool. As only the active subset of the community performs

ecosystem functions and services, it is likely that biologically mediated soil processes, such as

biogeochemical cycling, may remain altered for some period after disturbance intensity wanes,

especially for functions with low redundancy among populations. If ecosystem function is used

to measure ecosystem recovery (Harris 2003), then whole bacterial community surveys will

provide a biased view of the recovery progress. However, our findings provide a positive outlook

on the recovery potential of disturbed soils. Understanding the stability and potential for

recovery of microbial communities will help to predict changes to vital ecosystem functions and

develop methods of microbiome restoration.

Acknowledgments

We thank Tammy Tobin-Janzen for Centralia research consultation and local sampling

support and Keara L Grady for coordinating fieldwork logistics and organization of multi-year

soil procurement and processing. We additionally thank SH Lee, JW Sorensen, TK Dunivin, JL

Chodkowski, N Stopnisek, and M Mechan Llontop for collecting samples. This work was

supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation CAREER award #1749544 to AS. AS

acknowledges the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) support. We

declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Aanderud, Z.T., Jones, S.E., Fierer, N. & Lennon, J.T. (2015). Resuscitation of the rare

biosphere contributes to pulses of ecosystem activity. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, 24.

Albright, M.B.N. & Martiny, J.B.H. (2018). Dispersal alters bacterial diversity and composition

in a natural community. *ISME J.*, 12, 296–299.

Allison, S.D. & Martiny, J.B.H. (2008). Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial

communities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 105, 11512–11519.

Barnett, S.E., Youngblut, N.D. & Buckley, D.H. (2020). Soil characteristics and land-use drive

bacterial community assembly patterns. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 96, fiz194.

Beisner, B.E., Haydon, D.T. & Cuddington, K. (2003). Alternative stable states in ecology.

Front. Ecol. Environ., 1, 376–382.

Blagodatskaya, E. & Kuzyakov, Y. (2013). Active microorganisms in soil: Critical review of

estimation criteria and approaches. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 67, 192–211.

Blazewicz, S.J., Barnard, R.L., Daly, R.A. & Firestone, M.K. (2013). Evaluating rRNA as an

indicator of microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses. *ISME J.*,

7, 2061–2068.

Bowsher, A.W., Kearns, P.J. & Ashley, S. (2019). 16S rRNA/rRNA Gene Ratios and Cell

Activity Staining Reveal Consistent Patterns of Microbial Activity in Plant-Associated Soil.

mSystems, 4, e00003-19.

De Cáceres, M., Coll, L., Legendre, P., Allen, R.B., Wiser, S.K., Fortin, M.-J., *et al.* (2019).

Trajectory analysis in community ecology. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 89, e01350.

Carini, P., Marsden, P.J., Leff, J.W., Morgan, E.E., Strickland, M.S. & Fierer, N. (2017). Relic

DNA is abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial diversity. *Nat. Microbiol.*,

2, 16242.

Choudoir, M.J. & DeAngelis, K.M. (2022). A framework for integrating microbial dispersal

modes into soil ecosystem ecology. *iScience*, 25, 103887.

Colovas, J., Bintarti, A.F., Mechan Llontop, M.E., Grady, K.L. & Shade, A. (2022). Do-it-

Yourself Mock Community Standard for Multi-Step Assessment of Microbiome Protocols.

Curr. Protoc., 2, e533.

Conway, J.R., Lex, A. & Gehlenborg, N. (2017). UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of

intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics*, 33, 2938–2940.

Dini-Andreote, F., Stegen, J.C., van Elsas, J.D. & Salles, J.F. (2015). Disentangling mechanisms

that mediate the balance between stochastic and deterministic processes in microbial

succession. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 112, E1326 LP-E1332.

Elick, J.M. (2011). Mapping the coal fire at Centralia, Pa using thermal infrared imagery. *Int. J.*

Coal Geol., 87, 197–203.

Evans, S.E., Bell-Dereske, L.P., Dougherty, K.M. & Kittredge, H.A. (2020). Dispersal alters soil

microbial community response to drought. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 22, 905–916.

Fierer, N. & Jackson, R.B. (2006). The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 103, 626–631.

Glassman, S.I. & Martiny, J.B.H. (2018). Broadscale Ecological Patterns Are Robust to Use of

Exact Sequence Variants versus Operational Taxonomic Units. *mSphere*, 3, e00148-18.

Griffiths, B.S. & Philippot, L. (2013). Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil

microbial community. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 37, 112–129.

Griffiths, R.I., Whiteley, A.S., O'Donnell, A.G. & Bailey, M.J. (2000). Rapid Method for

Coextraction of DNA and RNA from Natural Environments for Analysis of Ribosomal

513  DNA- and rRNA-Based Microbial Community Composition. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 66,

514  5488–5491.

515 Harris, J.A. (2003). Measurements of the soil microbial community for estimating the success of

516  restoration. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 801–808.

517 Holling, C.S. (1996). Engineering Resilience versus Ecological Resilience. In: *Engineering*

518  *within Ecological Constraints* (ed. Schulze, P.). National Academy Press, Washington,

519  D.C., pp. 31–43.

520 Janzen, C. & Tobin-Janzen, T. (2008). Microbial Communities in Fire-Affected Soils. In:

521  *Microbiology of Extreme Soils* (eds. Dion, P. & Nautiyal, C.S.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg,

522  Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 299–316.

523 Jones, S.E. & Lennon, J.T. (2010). Dormancy contributes to the maintenance of microbial

524  diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 107, 5881 LP – 5886.

525 Kearns, P.J. & Shade, A. (2018). Trait-based patterns of microbial dynamics in dormancy

526  potential and heterotrophic strategy: case studies of resource-based and post-press

527  succession. *ISME J.*, 12, 2575–2581.

528 King, W.L. & Bell, T.H. (2022). Can dispersal be leveraged to improve microbial inoculant

529  success? *Trends Biotechnol.*, 40, 12–21.

530 Lamothe, K.A., Somers, K.M. & Jackson, D.A. (2019). Linking the ball-and-cup analogy and

531  ordination trajectories to describe ecosystem stability, resistance, and resilience. *Ecosphere*,

532  10, e02629.

533 Lee, S.-H., Sorensen, J.W., Grady, K.L., Tobin, T.C. & Shade, A. (2017). Divergent extremes

534  but convergent recovery of bacterial and archaeal soil communities to an ongoing

535  subterranean coal mine fire. *ISME J.*, 11, 1447–1459.

Lennon, J.T. & Jones, S.E. (2011). Microbial seed banks: the ecological and evolutionary

implications of dormancy. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 9, 119–130.

Lennon, J.T., Muscarella, M.E., Placella, S.A. & Lehmkuhl, B.K. (2018). How, when, and where

relic DNA affects microbial diversity. *MBio*, 9, e00637-18.

Madsen, E.L. (2011). Microorganisms and their roles in fundamental biogeochemical cycles.

Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 22, 456–464.

Malik, A.A., Thomson, B.C., Whiteley, A.S., Bailey, M. & Griffiths, R.I. (2017). Bacterial

Physiological Adaptations to Contrasting Edaphic Conditions Identified Using Landscape

Scale Metagenomics. *MBio*, 8, e00799-17.

McMurdie, P.J. & Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: An R package for reproducible interactive

analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One*, 8, e61217.

Mendes, R., Garbeva, P. & Raaijmakers, J.M. (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: significance

of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. *FEMS*

Microbiol. Rev., 37, 634–663.

Mestre, M. & Höfer, J. (2021). The Microbial Conveyor Belt: Connecting the Globe through

Dispersion and Dormancy. *Trends Microbiol.*, 29, 482–492.

Moreira-Grez, B., Muñoz-Rojas, M., Kariman, K., Storer, P., O'Donnell, A.G., Kumaresan, D.,

et al. (2019). Reconditioning Degraded Mine Site Soils With Exogenous Soil Microbes:

Plant Fitness and Soil Microbiome Outcomes. *Front. Microbiol.*, 10, 1617.

Morrissey, E.M., McHugh, T.A., Preteska, L., Hayer, M., Dijkstra, P., Hungate, B.A., *et al.*

(2015). Dynamics of extracellular DNA decomposition and bacterial community

composition in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 86, 42–49.

Nemergut, D.R., Stanish, L.F., Schmidt, S.K., Ferrenberg, S., Lynch, R.C., Wickey, P., *et al.*

(2013). Patterns and Processes of Microbial Community Assembly. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.*

Rev., 77, 342–356.

Ning, D., Yuan, M., Wu, L., Zhang, Y., Guo, X., Zhou, X., *et al.* (2020). A quantitative

framework reveals ecological drivers of grassland microbial community assembly in

response to warming. *Nat. Commun.*, 11, 4717.

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., *et al.* (2018).

vegan: Community Ecology Package.

Philippot, L., Griffiths, B.S. & Langenheder, S. (2021). Microbial Community Resilience across

Ecosystems and Multiple Disturbances. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 85, e00026-20.

Pimm, S.L. (1984). The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature*, 307, 321–326.

Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. & R Core Team. (2020). nlme: Linear and

Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models.

Quadros, P.D. de, Zhalnina, K., Davis-Richardson, A.G., Drew, J.C., Menezes, F.B., Camargo,

F.A. d. O., *et al.* (2016). Coal mining practices reduce the microbial biomass, richness and

diversity of soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 98, 195–203.

R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Rice, K.C. & Herman, J.S. (2012). Acidification of Earth: An assessment across mechanisms and

scales. *Appl. Geochemistry*, 27, 1–14.

Rondon, M.R., Goodman, R.M. & Handelsman, J. (1999). The Earth's bounty: assessing and

accessing soil microbial diversity. *Trends Biotechnol.*, 17, 403–409.

Schloss, P.D. (2021). Amplicon Sequence Variants Artificially Split Bacterial Genomes into

Separate Clusters. *mSphere*, 6, e00191-21.

Shade, A. (2018). Understanding Microbiome Stability in a Changing World. *mSystems*, 3,

e00157-17.

Shade, A. (2023). Microbiome rescue: directing resilience of environmental microbial

communities. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 72, 102263.

Shade, A., Jones, S.E., Caporaso, J.G., Handelsman, J., Knight, R., Fierer, N., *et al.* (2014).

Conditionally Rare Taxa Disproportionately Contribute to Temporal Changes in Microbial

Diversity. *MBio*, 5, e01371-14.

Shade, A., Peter, H., Allison, S., Baho, D., Berga, M., Buergmann, H., *et al.* (2012).

Fundamentals of Microbial Community Resistance and Resilience. *Front. Microbiol.*, 3,

417.

Sirois, S.H. & Buckley, D.H. (2018). Factors governing extracellular DNA degradation

dynamics in soil. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*, 11, 173–184.

Sorensen, J.W. & Shade, A. (2020). Dormancy dynamics and dispersal contribute to soil

microbiome resilience. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 375, 20190255.

Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Fredrickson, J.K. & Konopka, A.E. (2015). Estimating and mapping

ecological processes influencing microbial community assembly. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, 370.

Stegen, J.C., Lin, X., Konopka, A.E. & Fredrickson, J.K. (2012). Stochastic and deterministic

assembly processes in subsurface microbial communities. *ISME J.*, 6, 1653–1664.

Sturbois, A., De Cáceres, M., Sánchez-Pinillos, M., Schaal, G., Gauthier, O., Mao, P. Le, *et al.*

(2021). Extending community trajectory analysis: New metrics and representation. *Ecol.*

Modell., 440, 109400.

Tobin-Janzen, T., Shade, A., Marshall, L., Torres, K., Beblo, C., Janzen, C., *et al.* (2005).

Nitrogen changes and domain Bacteria ribotype diversity in soils overlaying the Centralia,

Pennsylvania underground coal mine fire. *Soil Sci.*, 170.

Tripathi, B.M., Stegen, J.C., Kim, M., Dong, K., Adams, J.M. & Lee, Y.K. (2018). Soil pH

mediates the balance between stochastic and deterministic assembly of bacteria. *ISME J.*,

12, 1072–1083.

Turner, T.R., James, E.K. & Poole, P.S. (2013). The plant microbiome. *Genome Biol.*, 14, 209.

Vellend, M. (2010). Conceptual Synthesis in Community Ecology. *Q. Rev. Biol.*, 85, 183–206.

Walters, K.E., Capocchi, J.K., Albright, M.B.N., Hao, Z., Brodie, E.L. & Martiny, J.B.H. (2022).

Routes and rates of bacterial dispersal impact surface soil microbiome composition and

functioning. *ISME J.*, 16, 2295–2304.

Wang, H., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., Wang, J. & Xu, Z. (2020). Gas Emission and Soil Chemical

Properties Associated with Underground Coal Fires, Wuda Coalfield, Inner Mongolia,

China. *Nat. Resour. Res.*, 29, 3973–3985.

Yavitt, J.B., Roco, C.A., Debenport, S.J., Barnett, S.E. & Shapleigh, J.P. (2020). Community

Organization and Metagenomics of Bacterial Assemblages Across Local Scale pH

Gradients in Northern Forest Soils. *Microb. Ecol.*, 81, 758–769.

Figure 1: In the fire-affected sites, soils cooled over time, and along with this cooling, bacterial alpha diversity increased. A) Map of collection sites in Centralia, Pennsylvania, USA. B) Tracking of soil temperature (˚C) over the seven sampling years for each site showed general soil cooling in fire-affected sites. C) The bacterial community's phylogenetic diversity (Faith's PD) decreased as soil core temperature increased. D) Active bacterial community subset phylogenetic diversity decreased as soil core temperature increased. For all plots, colored points and lines indicate site and fire classification. For plots B-D, white and black lines indicate linear mixed-effects regressions using site ID as the random effect, with solid white lines indicating statistically significant slopes (p-value < 0.05) and dashed lines indicating non-statistical significance (p-value ≥ 0.05). Full statistics are found in tables S2-S4, and phylogenetic diversity trends over time are found in Fig. S4.

635
636
Figure 2: Time-lag analysis demonstrated that variation in the whole bacterial community and
active subset structure between samplings of the same site increased as the time difference
between sampling increased. However, this trend was only statically significant for fire-affected
sites. A) Whole community time-lag analysis. B) Active community subset time-lag analysis. C)
Slopes of whole community time-lag regressions made individually for sites increased as
maximum soil temperature (proxy of disturbance intensity) increased across sites. D) Slopes of
active community subset time-lag regressions made individually for sites increased as maximum
soil temperature increased across sites. Points indicate site ID and fire classification. White and
black lines indicate linear mixed-effects regressions using site ID as the random effect (A and B)
and linear regression (C and D) with solid white lines indicating statistically significant slopes
(p-value < 0.05) and dashed lines indicating non-statistical significance (p-value ≥ 0.05). Full
statistics for the linear mixed effects regressions are provided in Table S8.
649
650
651

Figure 3: Trajectory analysis demonstrated that directionality in both whole bacterial community (A) and active subset (B) structural shifts over time were generally highest in fire-affected soils compared to recovered and reference soils. Post-hoc pairwise Dunn's test p-values < 0.05 are displayed above statistical significance indicator bars. Directionality in both whole bacterial community (C) and active subset (D) structural shifts increased as maximum soil temperature within sites increased. For both whole bacterial communities and active subsets, disturbed soil communities became more structurally similar to the undisturbed reference communities over time as soils cooled (E and F, respectively) and soils cooled (G and H respectively). Structural dissimilarity was calculated as the mean weighted UniFrac distance between fire-affected and recovered sites and the three reference sites paired within the sampling year. White lines indicate linear regressions (C and D) and linear mixed-effects regressions using site ID as the random effect (E-H), which had statistically significant slopes (p-value < 0.05). For

666 figures A-D, points indicate all samples' site ID and fire classification. For figures E-H, points
667 indicate the site ID and fire classification (fire affected or recovered) of the disturbed site with
668 error bars representing the standard error for the distance between the sample and the three
669 paired reference samples from the same year. For E-H, grey dashed horizontal lines indicate
670 community distances between the reference sites within each year.
671
672

673
674
Figure 4: For fire-affected sites, the whole bacterial community structural dissimilarity
attributable to the active subset decreases as the time between sampling time points increases. In

other words, less of the whole community dissimilarity is attributable to the active subset across
larger timescales for fire-affected sites but not for recovered or reference sites. This holds across
site pairs for OTUs active in (A) the early timepoint (*e.g.,* 2016 when comparing 2016 and 2020)
and in (B) the later timepoint (*e.g.,* 2020 when comparing 2016 and 2020). Bray-Curtis
dissimilarity within a site was used to partition OTUs active in either each pair's early or late
time points. White and black lines indicate linear mixed-effects regressions using site ID as the
random effect, with solid white lines indicating statistically significant slopes (p-value < 0.05)
and dashed lines indicating non-statistical significance (p-value ≥ 0.05).
685
686

687
688
Figure 5: UpSet plots of the top 20 (as determined by OTU count) observed activity dynamics
across years for (A) fire-affected sites and (B) combined recovered and reference sites. All sites

sampled each year were combined such that OTUs were defined as active if they had an RNA:
DNA ≥ 1 in at least one site within that year. The bottom right plots show the activity patterns of
the top 20 groups, with dots indicating activity within that year and groups ordered by OTU
membership. The top vertical bar chart shows the number of OTUs within each activity group,
colored by the top 10 phyla (class for *Proteobacteria*). The bottom left horizontal bar chart
shows the number of active OTUs within each year, colored by their membership in the top 20
activity groups. White sections of the bars indicate OTUs from the other 107 groups (see Figs.
S10 and S11 for all 127 activity groups). For the fire-affected sites (A), most of the top 20
activity groups do not have detectable activity in the first few sampled years, while most of the
top 20 activity groups for reference and recovered sites (B) have detectable activity during those
years.
702
703
704

Figure 6: The whole bacterial community assembly dynamics across sites were measured with standardized phylogenetic and structural turnover. A) βNTI is generally higher between fire-affected sites than recovered or reference sites whose site pairs almost always have a βNTI < 2, indicating homogeneous selection. Post hoc pairwise Dunn's test p-values < 0.05 are displayed above statistical significance indicator bars. B) Regardless of fire classification, βNTI tended to increase as the difference in soil pH between sites increased, indicating pH-related selection pressures in these soils. C) Regardless of fire classification, βNTI tended to increase as the difference in soil temperature between sites increased, indicating some temperature-related selection pressures in these soils. Linear regressions, including these two relationships and their interaction, are provided in Table S10. D) Percentage of site pairs within each fire classification and year for which community assembly can be attributed to the five assembly processes

718   examined with βNTI and RC_{bray}. The numbers of site pairs are indicated by white numbers at the
719   bottom of each bar. All site pairs were within each year, not across years.