The Tgf-β family member Gdf6Y determines the male sex in *Nothobranchius furzeri*

by suppressing oogenesis-inducing genes

Annekatrin Richter,[1,*] Hanna Mörl,[1] Maria Thielemann,[1,a] Markus Kleemann,[1,b] Raphael

Geißen,[1,c] Robert Schwarz,[1] Carolin Albertz,[1] Philipp Koch,[1] Andreas Petzold,[1,d] Marco Groth,[1]

Nils Hartmann,[1,e] Amaury Herpin,[2] Christoph Englert[1,3]

[1]Leibniz Institute on Aging – Fritz Lipmann Institute, Jena, Germany

[2]INRAE, LPGP, Rennes, France

[3]Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany

[a]Current address: BianoGMP GmbH, Gera, Germany

[b]Current address: Synlab Jena Oncoscreen, Jena, Germany

[c]Current address: Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA

[d]Current address: Technische Universität (TU) Dresden, Dresden, Germany

[e]Current address: Institute of Pathology, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany

*Corresponding author: Annekatrin.Richter@leibniz-fli.de

ABSTRACT

The short-lived African killifish *Nothobranchius furzeri* lives in seasonal freshwater ponds and

has evolved remarkable traits to survive in this limited environment. One of those traits is a genetic

XX/XY sex-determination system, which ensures an equal distribution of both sexes. Comparisons

of female and male genomic sequences identified the Y-chromosomal copy of the TGF-β family

member *gdf6* as the candidate male sex-determining (SD) gene, which was named *gdf6Y* in

contrast to the X-chromosomal allele *gdf6X*. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated inactivation of *gdf6Y* in *N.*

furzeri led to a complete male-to-female sex reversal in XY animals. The homozygous inactivation

of *gdf6X* on the other hand led to a detrimental phenotype post-hatching. This phenotype was

compensated by *gdf6Y*, revealing that the latter became the SD gene while retaining at least some

of its original *gdf6* function. *Gdf6Y* is expressed in testicular somatic cells already prior to hatching,

where it represses the germ cell-intrinsic feminizing gene *foxl2l*. We have identified components

of the TGF-β signaling pathway, especially the inhibitor of DNA binding genes *id1/2/3*, and the

mRNA decay activator *zfp36l2*, as Gdf6Y targets. We conclude that Gdf6Y exerts its function as

the male sex-determining gene by suppressing female-specific genes in the developing gonad of

male *N. furzeri*.

INTRODUCTION

The African turquoise killifish *Nothobranchius furzeri* is the captive vertebrate with the shortest lifespan of about 6 months reported so far (Platzer and Englert 2016). Despite its short lifespan, *N. furzeri* shows remarkable aging phenotypes and, therefore, is an upcoming model organism, especially in aging research (Kim et al. 2016; Reuter et al. 2018). The annual killifish species inhabits ephemeral ponds in the South of Zimbabwe and Mozambique. From several of these isolated wild populations, laboratory strains with varying lifespans and different tail colors were derived e. g., the yellow-tailed strain GRZ with a lifespan of 6 months from Zimbabwe (Jubb 1971) and the strains MZM0403 and MZM0410 with an around 1-year lifespan from Mozambique (Terzibasi et al. 2008; Tozzini et al. 2013). In the wild, the embryos survive the dry season by facultative developmental arrests named diapauses. In direct development without diapause, the embryos enter the pharyngula stage and continue organogenesis at about 9 days post-fertilization (dpf), which is completed at around 14 dpf (Api et al. 2018). Between three and four weeks after fertilization, the embryos are ready to hatch. This so-called hatching stage (0 days post-hatching – 0 dph) can be maintained for several weeks. After hatching the larvae quickly grow, reach sexual maturation, and develop a strong sexual dimorphism at three to four weeks post-hatching. With a 1:1 sex ratio, males were found to be the heterogametic sex, and an XY sex-determination system was suggested (Valenzano et al. 2009). Only when the species' genome was sequenced, the sex chromosome pair was identified (Reichwald et al. 2015; Valenzano et al. 2015) and the Y-chromosomal copy of the growth differentiation factor 6 gene (*gdf6*), which was therefore named *gdf6Y*, was proposed as the potential sex-determining (SD) gene (Reichwald et al. 2015).

Gdf6 is a secreted ligand-protein that belongs to the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), a group of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) superfamily. These proteins consist of an N-

terminal prodomain, which is proteolytically cleaved-off upon maturation, and the C-terminal

ligand portion, which binds as a dimer to members of the corresponding TGF-β receptor family.

This leads to the intracellular activation of receptor-regulated SMAD transcription factors (R-

SMADs) by phosphorylation and ultimately regulates gene expression. Other TGF-β ligand and

receptor family members, including orthologs of *amh*, *amhrII*, *bmprI*, and *gsdf*, have been

previously identified as SD genes in various fish species (Hattori et al. 2012; Kamiya et al. 2012;

Myosho et al. 2012; Kikuchi and Hamaguchi 2013; Li et al. 2015; Pan et al. 2019; Feron et al.

2020; Peichel et al. 2020; Herpin et al. 2021; Pan et al. 2021; Holborn et al. 2022). Therefore,

factors involved in TGF-β signaling constitute one functional group, out of which SD genes are

recruited in fishes. The other main group of SD gene candidates is transcription factors, especially

those already involved in sex determination like *Dmrt1* and *Sox* genes (Pan et al. 2021). The SD

gene of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *sdY*, is an exception, as its ancestral gene *irf9* is

an immune-related transcription factor (Yano et al. 2012).

In the sequenced *N. furzeri* strain GRZ, *gdf6Y* differs from the X chromosomal *gdf6* copy, hereafter

called *gdf6X*, in 22 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and a 9-bp deletion in the coding

sequence (CDS) of the prodomain (Fig. 1 A; Supplemental Fig. S1 A; Reichwald et al. (2015)).

While the non-synonymous SNPs and the 9-bp deletion are conserved among the laboratory *N.*

furzeri strains analyzed so far, the size of the genomic region, which accumulated differences

between the X and Y chromosome, varies greatly from 196 kb to 37 Mb in the strains MZM0403

and MZM0410, respectively, indicating the ongoing evolution of the species' sex chromosomes.

In other teleost and tetrapod species, including zebrafish, *Xenopus laevis*, mice, and humans,

GDF6 orthologs were predominantly shown to be involved in joint development and eye formation

(Settle et al. 2003; Hanel and Hensey 2006; Asai-Coakwell et al. 2007; Tassabehji et al. 2008;

Asai-Coakwell et al. 2009; Gosse and Baier 2009; den Hollander et al. 2010; Reed and Mortlock

2010; Asai-Coakwell et al. 2013), but also the involvement in vascularization (Crosier et al. 2002;

Hall et al. 2002; Krispin et al. 2018) and melanoma as well as melanocyte development were

observed (Venkatesan et al. 2018; Gramann et al. 2019).

Here, we demonstrate *gdf6Y* as the SD gene in *N. furzeri* by specifically disrupting the Y-

chromosomal allele. The respective mutants showed a complete male-to-female sex reversal. The

homozygous inactivation of the X-chromosomal allele *gdf6X* on the other hand led to a detrimental

curled tail phenotype, which abolished proper larval swimming immediately after hatching.

However, *gdf6Y* compensates for the loss of *gdf6X* and, therefore, must have additionally acquired

the SD gene function. In this role, *gdf6Y* is expressed in the male gonad by a subset of somatic

cells at 0 dph and in Sertoli cells in adulthood. At 0 dph, however, oogenesis has already begun in

the female gonads, and thereby the sex in *N. furzeri* must be determined beforehand. As suggested

by the analyses of mutant and transgenic mosaic animals, *gdf6Y* most likely determines the male

sex by inhibiting the female gonadal development, for example by suppressing the expression of

the oogenesis-initiating germ cell (GC) factor *foxl2l* (Nishimura et al. 2015; Kikuchi et al. 2019;

Kikuchi et al. 2020). This is probably facilitated by genes directly regulated in response to Gdf6Y

e.g., *id1* and *zfp36l2*, which we identified in a cell culture-based approach.

 RESULTS

The disruption of *gdf6Y* leads to full male-to-female sex reversal

Being the only annotated gene at the peak of Y-specific sequence variation in the *N. furzeri* strain

with the smallest SD region (SDR; MZM0403) and the gene with the strongest signal of positive

selection in the SDR of the hereafter used, genome-sequenced strain GRZ, *gdf6Y* was proposed as

the SD gene in *N. furzeri* (Reichwald et al. 2015). To test whether *gdf6Y* is indeed the male sex

determinant in *N. furzeri*, we employed Cas9 and two sgRNAs specifically targeting three non-

synonymous or one synonymous SNP in the 2nd exon of *gdf6Y* (Fig. 1 A). This approach led to the

highly unlikely result of 16 female and no male fish ($P = 1.53 \times 10^{-5}$; Fig. 1 B). Eight out of

these sixteen females had XY chromosomes with an average mutation rate of 97 % in *gdf6Y* only,

as determined by cloning the heterogeneous PCR products derived from the target locus and

sequencing several clones (Supplemental Fig. S1 B, C, D). In contrast, the eight animals with XX

chromosomes had no mutations in *gdf6X* that were detectable with either a T7 endonuclease I

assay or by sequencing the PCR product (Supplemental Fig. S1 B, E, F), indicating that the

inactivation was very efficient and highly specific. The thus generated, phenotypically female

animals with XY chromosomes and an impaired *gdf6Y* are hereafter called phenofemales (XY*).

The mosaic phenofemales had gonads, which were histologically indistinguishable from female

ovaries (Fig. 1 C) and were fertile. Interestingly, either different frameshift mutations or an in-

frame 9 bp deletion in the CDS of *gdf6Y*'s prodomain consistently resulted in the same phenotype

(Fig. 1 D; Supplemental Tab. S1). Furthermore, the phenofemales had significantly reduced *gdf6Y*

transcript levels compared to males and showed no difference from females in the gonadal

expression of sex-specific marker genes (Fig. 1 E, F). Taken together, the inactivation of *gdf6Y*

led to full male-to-female sex reversal, which confirms *gdf6Y* as the major male sex determinant

in *N. furzeri*.

YY* embryos show anomalies in eye and body axis development

According to Mendelian ratios, we expected animals with two Y-chromosomes (YY*) among the

offspring of phenofemales and males (Fig. 2 A). As their adult progeny consisted only of males

(XY), females (XX), and phenofemales (XY*) in equal ratio, we monitored the development of

the phenofemales' clutches and observed malformations of the eye and tail within the egg during

organogenesis in about one-quarter of the embryos (Fig. 2 A; Supplemental Fig. S2 A). Those

embryos died before hatching and were identified as the YY* animals (Supplemental Fig. S2 B).

The YY* typical malformations were characterized by a lacking tail fin development and

pigmentation as well as an incomplete closure of the optic fissure leading to a coloboma-like

phenotype (Fig. 2 A; Supplemental Fig. S2 C). A histological comparison with normally

developing animals at 0 dph also revealed a decreased thickness of retinal layers in the YY*

embryos' eyes (Supplemental Fig. S2 D).

As *GDF6* orthologs are known to be involved in eye, joint, and melanocyte formation in various

species (Settle et al. 2003; Asai-Coakwell et al. 2009; den Hollander et al. 2010; Gramann et al.

2019), we wondered whether the lack of *gdf6X* in YY* embryos contributes to their malformations.

To address this question, we employed Cas9 and two sgRNAs targeting the same positions

previously used to disrupt *gdf6Y* but on the X-chromosome (Fig. 2 B). Using the Synthego ICE

(Inference of CRISPR Editing) analysis tool (v3), we estimated an average mutation rate of 97 %

and 14 % in *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y*, respectively, indicating that the inactivation of *gdf6X* was as efficient

but not as specific as in the case of *gdf6Y* (Supplemental Fig. S2 E). Hence, we observed

phenotypes in about one-third of the mosaic males and two-thirds of the mosaic females

(Supplemental Fig. S2 F). Two out of ten mosaic males had one-sided microphthalmia, a probably

clonal phenotype that was not passed on to subsequent generations. Furthermore, six out of nine

mosaic females and one male did not straighten their body axes after hatching, which lead to a

curled tail that disabled normal swimming. This detrimental phenotype was also found at varying

degrees in all analyzed homozygous hatchlings (X*X*) of the isolated *gdf6X* frameshift mutant

line (Fig. 2 C, E; Supplemental Tab. S1) and *gdf6X*/*gdf6Y* double mutant offspring (X*Y*) of

phenofemales and mosaic *gdf6X* mutant males (Supplemental Fig. S2 G). However, this curled

phenotype was fully compensated not only in female (XX*) but also in male (X*Y) heterozygous

animals (Fig. 2 D), indicating that *gdf6Y*, aside from its SD function, can functionally compensate

gdf6X inactivation. Thus, the lack of *gdf6X* does not contribute to the phenotype of the YY*

embryos, which have one functional *gdf6Y* copy.

As the phenofemales were generated in the genome-sequenced strain GRZ, the detrimental

phenotype of the YY* embryos could be caused by defects in or a dysregulation of the 339 genes

annotated in the strain's 26.1 Mb large SDR (sgr05: 15,031,832–41,162,746; Reichwald et al.

(2015)). To investigate whether genes in the SDR have a sex chromosome-specific and copy

number-dependent regulation, previously published transcriptome data from male and female

whole embryos at 10 dpf were consulted (Reichwald et al. 2015). The expression of the most

significantly up- and downregulated genes between males and females within the SDR was

analyzed in phenofemales and YY* embryos at 11 dpf (Supplemental Fig. S2 H). We found that

the most significantly upregulated gene in females, *rnf19a*, indeed showed an X chromosome-

dependent expression, while the most downregulated gene, *pctp*, showed an increased expression

only in the presence of a Y chromosome. Thus, the sequence changes accumulating on the Y

chromosome can lead to both a locally enhanced and reduced gene expression, potentially

interfering with the development of YY* embryos.

Given that *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y*, apart from SD, seem to be functionally equivalent, we assessed the

signaling activities of the respective proteins using a heterologous cellular reporter system (Herpin

et al. 2021). To this end, medaka cells harboring different Gal4-Smad fusion proteins (Smad1, 2,

3, 5, and 9) and a responsive luciferase reporter were transfected with expression plasmids for

gdf6X and *gdf6Y* as well as two *gdf6Y* mutants as controls. Compared to the two *gdf6Y* mutant

variants both *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* showed similar signaling properties by significantly inducing the

activation of Smad2, 3, and 9 (Fig. 2 F). Notably, Smad2 activation by Gdf6Y led to significantly

higher luciferase activity than activation by Gdf6X. Therefore, Gdf6Y's SD function did probably

not evolve by an entire switch of the involved TGF-β signaling partners but could have been

promoted by enhanced Gdf6Y signaling capabilities.

A subset of the testicular somatic supporting cells expresses *gdf6Y*

As *gdf6Y* covers the functions of *gdf6X* and additionally determines the male sex in *N. furzeri*, we

were interested in how it governs sexual development. It was previously shown that *gdf6Y* is

expressed in adult testes while *gdf6X* is not expressed in adult gonads (Reichwald et al. (2015);

Supplemental Fig. S3 A). To address the cellular localization of *gdf6Y*'s testicular expression, we

used RNAscope as a very sensitive and specific *in situ* hybridization technique. Applying a *gdf6Y*-

specific probe together with probes for the GC marker *ddx4* and one of the somatic cell markers

amh, *dmrt1*, or *wt1a* (Kobayashi et al. 2004; Kluver et al. 2007; Kluver et al. 2009), we found that

gdf6Y is co-expressed with all three somatic cell markers in proximity to the spermatogonia of the

adult testes (Fig. 3 A; Supplemental Fig. S3 B, C). Next, we analyzed the gonadal expression of

gdf6Y at 0 dph. Marking the GCs with *ddx4* and the somatic cells with *amh*, *dmrt1*, or *wt1a*, we

observed that *gdf6Y* is expressed in a subset of the latter in the still largely undifferentiated male

gonads (Fig. 3 B; Supplemental Fig. S3 D, E). In contrast to this, the female gonads at 0 dph, where

gdf6Y is not expressed, are larger and more differentiated due to a greater cell number and already

developing oocytes, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S3 F). Taken together, *gdf6Y* expression in

both the developing as well as the adult testes was observed in somatic supporting cells.

In contrast to *gdf6Y* and *gdf6X*, their upstream neighbor *sybu* is expressed in oocytes of the

developing and adult ovaries (Supplemental Fig. S3 G, H), where its mRNA localizes to the vegetal

pole contributing to dorsal determination of the embryo (Nojima et al. 2010; Colozza and De

Robertis 2014; Oh and Houston 2017). To analyze DNA methylation as a potential cause of the

differential expression of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* as well as *sybu* in adult gonads, bisulfite sequencing of

the region between *gdf6X/Y* and *sybu* was performed on gonadal tissues from males, females, and

phenofemales (Supplemental Fig. S4 A, B). While the identified DNA methylation patterns were

highly symmetrical on both DNA strands, we found that neither the promoter of *gdf6X* nor *gdf6Y*

was methylated in any of the analyzed sexes (Supplemental Fig. S4 A, B). Conversely, the *sybu*

promoter was hypomethylated on the X but hypermethylated on the Y chromosome, which

translated to a strictly X-chromosomal expression in testes (Supplemental Fig. S4 B, C). In

phenofemales however, the methylation of the *sybu* promoter on the Y chromosome decreased

compared to males (Supplemental Fig. S4 B). Therefore, *sybu* transcripts from the Y chromosome

were also sequence verified in phenofemale ovaries (Supplemental Fig. S4 C). An explanation for

the altered Y-chromosomal methylation in phenofemales could be demethylation in the maternal

germline and the adoption of the methylation pattern from the paternal X chromosome, as passive

maternal demethylation and a take-over of the paternal methylome was shown in zebrafish (Jiang

et al. (2013); reviewed in Ortega-Recalde and Hore (2019)). This implies that the silenced genes

of the Y chromosome are reactivated in phenofemales. The intergenic region between *sybu* and

gdf6X or *gdf6Y*, which contains two Y-specific transposable elements (TEs; Supplemental Fig. S4

A) was strongly methylated at all analyzed positions, suggesting a transcriptional insulation of the

two promoters. Notably, we found that *sybu* transcript variants are sex-specific in *N. furzeri* gonads

with the shortest one (NCBI Reference Sequence: XM_015949384.2), which has an alternative

transcription start site, being ovary-specific (Supplemental Fig. S4 C). In conclusion, upstream

methylation does not seem to control the differential testicular expression of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y*.

Gdf6Y suppresses the onset of female development prior to hatching

To understand the ongoing molecular processes in the developing gonads, we analyzed previously

published transcriptome data (Reichwald et al. 2015). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

between males and females were identified at three different developmental stages, namely the

pharyngula stage during organogenesis at 10 dpf, the hatching stage (0 dph), and the larval stage

at 3 dph. In the whole embryo at 10 dpf, 3 transcripts were already noticeably upregulated in males

and continued to do so in all stages (Supplemental Fig. S5 A). In phenofemales, those transcripts

were expressed like in males and, therefore, are not involved in *gdf6Y*-driven sex determination in

N. furzeri (Supplemental Fig. S5 B). In male trunk parts, 147 and 133 genes were upregulated at 0

and 3 dph, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S5 A). In contrast, the number of upregulated genes in

female trunks was higher and increased from 322 to 1079 from 0 to 3 dph, respectively

(Supplemental Fig. S5 A). From the identified DEGs at 0 dph 35.4 % of the female-specific and

10.9 % of the male-specific transcripts were recalled at 3 dph (Supplemental Fig. S5 C). We

performed an overrepresentation analysis (ORA) to obtain enriched KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia

of Genes and Genomes) pathways for the upregulated genes in males and females at 0 and 3 dph.

In males, the most enriched pathway was steroid biosynthesis at 0 dph (Supplemental Fig. S5 D).

Expectedly, no significantly enriched pathways were identified at 3 dph given the little overlap of

male-specific transcripts between the two time points (Supplemental Fig. S5 C). In females,

pathways related to cell cycle, DNA repair, meiosis, and oocyte maturation were enriched at 0 and

3 dph despite the incomplete overlap of DEGs between both time points (Supplemental Fig. S5 C,

E, F). This result validates our observation of an already occurring oogenesis in female gonads at

0 dph from the *in situ* hybridization experiments (Fig. 3 B; Supplemental Fig. S3 F). Therefore,

the animals' sex is already determined at 0 dph, while the maintenance of *gdf6Y* expression until

adulthood suggests that it might be reversible.

In medaka, *foxl2l* is a GC-intrinsic factor that promotes oogenesis by inducing *rec8a* and

suppressing spermatogenesis (Nishimura et al. 2015; Kikuchi et al. 2019; Kikuchi et al. 2020). At

0 and 3 dph, the *N. furzeri* ortholog of *foxl2l* and its putative target gene *rec8* were among the

significant DEGs (Supplemental Fig. S5 A). In contrast to the female somatic cell marker *foxl2*

and like in medaka, *foxl2l* is upregulated in females at 0 and 3 dph but not in adult gonads (Fig. 1

F; Fig. 4 A; Supplemental Fig. S6 A). Analyzing trunk parts at 0 dph and adult gonads, we showed

that *foxl2l* is regulated the same way in phenofemales as it is in females, which places the gene

downstream of *gdf6Y* (Fig. 4 B). Furthermore, RNAscope analyses confirmed a *foxl2l* expression

in ovarian GCs at 0 dph by co-localization with *ddx4* (Fig. 4 C). We also observed *foxl2l* expression

in testicular GCs in proximity to *gdf6Y* expressing somatic cells in one out of six analyzed male

individuals at 0 dph (Fig. 4 D). This observation suggests that Gdf6Y could affect the expression

in GCs, probably leading to *foxl2l* suppression. Searching for *foxl2l* expression foci in adult

gonads, we found a single undifferentiated *foxl2l* positive GC in an ovary and none in testes

(Supplemental Fig. S6 B). To verify that *foxl2l* influences SD in *N. furzeri* like in medaka, we

employed Cas9 and two sgRNAs simultaneously targeting the single exon of *foxl2l* in males,

females, and phenofemales. This approach led to various indels disrupting the gene with an

efficiency of 63 % on average (Supplemental Fig. S6 C, D). Next, we analyzed the expression of

sex-linked genes in the trunk parts of the mosaic *foxl2l* mutants at 0 dph. While neither *gdf6X* nor

gdf6Y expression was changed in the mutants of all sexes, we observed a significant decrease of

marker genes for cell division (*tacc3*) and oocyte development (*zp3/4*, *sybu*) to nearly male levels

in the mutant females and phenofemales (Fig. 4 E; Supplemental Fig. S6 E, F). The aromatase

gene *cyp19a1a* and the meiosis marker *rec8*, a putative target of *foxl2l*, decreased only in some

but not all mutant females and phenofemales (Supplemental Fig. S6 F). Taking the mosaic nature

of the mutants into account, this data supports that *foxl2l* promotes oogenesis in *N. furzeri* and acts

downstream of *gdf6Y*.

To investigate, if the presence of *gdf6Y* is sufficient to suppress oogenesis in *N. furzeri*

development, we used Tol2 transgenesis to drive *gdf6Y* expression under the control of a

ubiquitous *actb2* promoter from *D. rerio* (Supplemental Fig. S6 G; Hartmann and Englert (2012)).

Analyzing the trunk parts of transgene-positive mosaic animals at 0 dph, we found that the

expression of the female-specific genes *rec8*, *zp3/4*, and *sybu* was significantly decreased in

transgenic XX animals compared to non-transgenic females (Supplemental Fig. S6 H). The

aromatase gene *cyp19a1a* decreased only in some but not all transgenic females (Supplemental

Fig. S6 H). Furthermore, the *gdf6Y* expression of both XX and XY transgenic animals was much

higher than in the non-transgenic males due to the ubiquitous expression (Supplemental Fig. S6 I).

Hatching the mosaic *gdf6Y* transgenic animals, we observed that the hatchlings failed to straighten

their body axes and were not able to swim normally (Supplemental Fig. S6 J). This detrimental

phenotype was reminiscent of the homozygous loss of function (Fig. 2 E; Supplemental Fig. S2

H), suggesting that tight spatiotemporal regulation of the *gdf6* alleles is necessary for proper

development in *N. furzeri*.

287   Gdf6Y-responsive genes are differentially expressed between female and male *N. furzeri*

To identify Gdf6Y target genes, we transfected cell lines with a *gdf6Y* expression plasmid or one

out of two control plasmids and analyzed their transcriptomes after 24 h. As a model for the

somatic cells, which express *gdf6Y* in the killifish's testes, we used murine Sertoli-like TM4 cells

(Mather 1980). The transcriptome of TM4 cells transfected with the *gdf6Y* expression plasmid was

compared with the transcriptome of TM4 cells either expressing the *gdf6Y* mutant variant *gdf6Y^{del9}*

or carrying an empty vector (EV). Overlaps of the DEGs from both comparisons resulted in 20 up-

and 22 downregulated genes in the presence of *gdf6Y* (Fig. 5 A; Supplemental Fig. S7 A). An

overrepresentation analysis revealed TGF-β signaling as the most enriched pathway among those

42 DEGs (Fig. 5 B). Out of the 7 genes assigned to this pathway, only *Tgfbr2* was downregulated,

while *Id1*, *Id2*, *Id3*, *Smad6*, *Smad7*, and *Smad9* were upregulated (Fig. 5 A). With *Id1*, *Id2*, and

Id3 being known downstream effectors of BMPs (Hollnagel et al. 1999; Yang et al. 2013), this

suggests that these genes are regulated as an immediate response to Gdf6Y-signaling. To verify

the Gdf6Y-responsive genes that we had identified, we performed the same experiment in human

HeLa cells (Fig. 5 C; Supplemental Fig. S7 B). Here, 6 commonly upregulated orthologous genes

were identified, namely *Lxn*, *Id1*, *Id2*, *Id3*, and the inhibitory (I-) Smad-genes *Smad6* and *Smad7*,

of which the latter 5 were previously assigned to the TGF-β signaling pathway (Fig. 5 A). The

single commonly downregulated orthologous gene was *Zfp36l2*, which encodes a zinc finger

protein that binds to AU-rich elements (AREs) in the 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNAs

promoting their degradation (Hudson et al. 2004). Expression analysis in accordingly treated

human HEK293 cells confirmed the regulation of all these orthologous genes but *ID2* in the

presence of *gdf6Y*, verifying them as general Gdf6Y-response genes (Supplemental Fig. S7 C).

Next, we assessed their potential role in *N. furzeri* sex determination by examining the sex-specific

expression of their orthologs in different tissues and developmental stages utilizing the previously

published transcriptome data from Reichwald et al. (2015). While no reads had been mapped for

the orthologs of *Id2* and *Lxn*, we found that *id1* was upregulated in male embryos at 10 dpf and

zfp36l2 was downregulated in testes of 3-month-old animals, corresponding to the *gdf6Y*-

dependent regulation observed in the cell culture-based approach (Fig 5 D; Supplemental Fig. S7

D). Furthermore, the male-specific downregulation of *zfp36l2* in the gonad was reversed in

phenofemales carrying either the *gdf6Y* mutant variant *gdf6Y^{del9}* (Fig 5 E) or the frameshift

mutation *gdf6Y^{del113}* (Supplemental Fig. S7 E). These data suggest that the identified Gdf6Y-

response genes, especially *id1*, and *zfp36l2*, are involved in *N. furzeri* sex determination.

DISCUSSION

Employing CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene inactivation and overexpression obtaining full XY male-

to-female and partial XX female-to-male sex reversals, respectively, we demonstrate that *gdf6Y* is

necessary and sufficient for sex determination in *N. furzeri*. Among the identified *gdf6Y* mutations

causing a male-to-female sex reversal, we found a 9 bp in-frame deletion within the prodomain

(*gdf6Y^{del9}*), which leads to the loss of amino acids 199 to 201. These amino acids are highly

conserved among vertebrate *gdf6* orthologs and a heterozygous point mutation altering one of them

leads to microphthalmia in humans (Asai-Coakwell et al. 2009). While not directly affecting the

mature ligand, these residues might be important for prodomain folding and the release of the

ligand from the proprotein complex, which is required for signaling. All other isolated *gdf6Y*

mutants carried frameshift mutations leading to premature termination in the latter half of the

prodomain. Similar frameshift mutations in *gdf6b* also led to male-to-female sex reversal in the

Pachón cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus* (Imarazene et al. 2021), which harbors two *gdf6* paralogs,

gdf6a, and *gdf6b*. While the SD gene in *A. mexicanus* arose from an additional *gdf6b* duplicate on

a supernumerary B chromosome, *gdf6Y* and conversely *gdf6X* emerged through allelic

diversification from an ancient *gdf6a* as no *gdf6b* ortholog is present in the available *N. furzeri*

genome sequences (Reichwald et al. 2015; Valenzano et al. 2015).

While *gdf6Y* compensates for the loss of *gdf6X*, it also adopted the SD function in *N. furzeri* and

possibly its sister species *N. kadleci,* which shares *N. furzeri*'s sex chromosomes (Stundlova et al.

2022). Three possible scenarios of how *gdf6Y*'s SD function evolved are functional alterations to

the amino acid sequence, changes in the spatiotemporal gene expression, or a combination of both.

The positive selection of *gdf6Y* in the SDR and the conservation of non-synonymous SNPs among

strains support the theory of an allelic neofunctionalization (Reichwald et al. 2015). Structural

modeling suggested that the four most C-terminal amino acid substitutions in Gdf6Y affect

dimerization or ligand-receptor binding (Reichwald et al. 2015). We found that Smad2 activation

by Gdf6Y was significantly increased compared to Gdf6X. Interestingly, a substitution of a highly

conserved tyrosine for asparagine in human GDF6 was reported to decrease its sensitivity for the

inhibitor Noggin (Wang et al. 2016). In *N. furzeri*, this residue is surrounded by the last two

substitutions between Gdf6X and Gdf6Y, suggesting decreased inhibitor sensitivity as an

explanation for Gdf6Y's enhanced signaling capability. On the other hand, we confirmed the

expression of *gdf6Y* but not *gdf6X* in adult *N. furzeri* testes, implying a differential regulation of

the genes. We investigated DNA methylation as a potential cause of the differential expression of

N. furzeri's *gdf6* alleles in testes. While X-chromosomal hypermethylation of the SDR is crucial

for sex determination in the channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* (Wang et al. 2022), the promoters

of both *gdf6Y* and *gdf6X* are hypomethylated in gonads. The hypermethylation of the *sybu*

promoter on the Y chromosome on the other hand indicates transcriptional silencing of *N. furzeri*'s

Y-chromosome outside of the SD locus, suggesting an explanation for the lack of *rnf19a*

expression in YY* embryos. The intergenic region between *gdf6X/gdf6Y* and *sybu* was highly

methylated on both sex chromosomes. Therefore, the two Y-exclusive TEs in this region might

not harbor *gdf6Y*-specific upstream enhancers, as enhancer activity would require a low or at most

intermediate DNA methylation (Sharifi-Zarchi et al. 2017). In other fish species, TEs contribute

sex-specific positive and negative regulatory elements that are crucial to SD gene function (Herpin

et al. 2010; Herpin et al. 2021). Given that TEs accumulate on fish sex chromosomes during their

early evolution (Chalopin et al. 2015) and contribute to the suppression of meiotic recombination

(reviewed in Kent et al. (2017)), they could also cause an aberrant expression of non-SD genes in

the SDR e.g., the Y-dependent expression of *pctp* in *N. furzeri* embryos. However, the Y-

chromosomal effects on the expression of *rnf19a* and *pctp* might be GRZ-specific, as SDRs of

other strains differ in size with the smallest containing only one gene, which is *gdf6Y* (Reichwald

et al. 2015). Even allelic SNPs in *sybu* are not sex-linked in all strains (Reichwald et al. 2015).

Therefore, the severe phenotype of YY* embryos would likely not occur in strains with smaller

SDRs. Conversely, regulatory elements, causing the testis-specific expression of *gdf6Y*, should be

located within the minimal SDR, which also contains a gene desert downstream of the gene itself.

This well-conserved gene desert harbors most cis-regulatory elements controlling *GDF6*

expression in other vertebrates (Mortlock et al. 2003; Portnoy et al. 2005; Pregizer and Mortlock

2009; Reed and Mortlock 2010; Indjeian et al. 2016; Bademci et al. 2020; Mortlock et al. 2022).

Therefore, changes in or the acquisition of new Y-chromosomal enhancers downstream of *gdf6Y*

are potential causes of its sex-specific expression. Whether protein or expression changes evolved

first and which event constituted *gdf6Y* as the SD gene are open questions, but both probably

played a role in its fixation.

Vertebrate sex determination is believed to be initiated by the sexual fate decision of the somatic

cells of the bipotential gonadal primordium, which in turn control female or male gametogenesis.

In a trade-off between male and female regulatory networks involving *Dmrt1* on the male and

Foxl2 on the female side, the equilibrium tips to one side by varying SD factors in different species

(Herpin and Schartl 2015). In *N. furzeri*, *gdf6Y* is the male SD gene and is expressed in testicular

somatic cells. In a cell culture-based approach murine Sertoli-like cells were used to identify

Gdf6Y targets. In a complementary approach, Wang et al. (2022) analyzed gene expression in

response to BMP signaling inhibition in human testis cells from 7-week-old fetuses, which is one

to two weeks past the arrival of the migrating GCs in the genital ridge and, thereby, shortly after

sex determination. As in our data, *ID1/2/3*, *SMAD6/7/9*, and *UNC5B* were upregulated in a BMP-

dependent manner. However, only *UNC5B* was Sertoli cell-specific, while the other genes were

regulated in all somatic and GC populations, showing the omnipresence of BMP signaling in the

developing testis. This is consistent with our observation that *Id1/2/3* and *Smad6/7* are expressed

in response to Gdf6Y, independent of cell type. *Arapaima gigas* provided evidence for the

involvement of *ID* genes in sex determination in teleost species with a Y-chromosomal *id2b*

duplicate being its SD gene (Adolfi et al. 2021). In *N. furzeri*, *id3* is positively selected like *gdf6Y*,

suggesting a potential co-evolution (Reichwald et al. 2015). However, we found *id1* upregulated

in male *N. furzeri* embryos at 10 dpf, indicating a role of Gdf6Y in paracrine signaling to the GCs

during sex determination or sexual development. In mammals, *N. furzeri*, medaka, and zebrafish,

female GCs enter meiosis during early gonadal development, while male GCs do not until puberty.

Regarding the determination of the GCs' sexual fate, *foxl2l* was identified as a GC-intrinsic

feminizing factor in medaka, where the gene's inactivation leads to a GC-specific female-to-male

sex reversal and the development of sperm in ovaries that failed to initiate oogenesis at the regular

developmental time point (Nishimura et al. 2015). While *foxl2l* inactivation in tilapia

(*Oreochromis niloticus*) leads to a similar phenotype, it leads to a full female-to-male sex reversal

in zebrafish (Dai et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2022). In *N. furzeri*, *foxl2l* is also involved in female GC

sex determination, as shown by the masculinized expression of marker genes in mosaic *foxl2l*

inactivated females and phenofemales at 0 dph. In medaka, male and female GCs initially express

foxl2l at the onset of sexual differentiation of the gonads. While its expression is lost in male GCs,

the mitotically active subpopulation of female GCs expresses *foxl2l* until entering meiosis

throughout life (Nishimura et al. 2015; Nishimura and Tanaka 2016; Tanaka 2016). The role of

foxl2l-expressing cells as pre-meiotic oocyte progenitors was confirmed in the zebrafish ovary

(Liu et al. 2022). In *N. furzeri*, we also detected *foxl2l* expression in female GCs but not oocytes

during ovarian development at 0 dph and in adulthood. In contrast, we rarely found *foxl2l*

expressing GCs in developing testes at 0 dph and never in adult testes, supporting that its female

GC SD function is conserved in *N. furzeri*. As the gonadal somatic cells direct GC fate during sex

determination, Gdf6Y might be the somatic cell signal that initiates male GC fate and suppresses

foxl2l expression. Therefore, *foxl2l* should be directly or indirectly downregulated by Gdf6Y-

responsive genes like *id1*, which shows a male-specific expression at 10 dpf. In human fetal

gonads, expression of TGF-β signaling pathway genes including *ID1/2/3* is enriched in both female

and male GCs (Li et al. 2017). This observed TGF-β signaling was attributed to AMH from Sertoli

cells in males and BMP2 from granulosa cells in females (Li et al. 2017). We detected *amh*

expression in both types of somatic supporting cells in male and female *N. furzeri*. While AMH

signals via the phosphorylation of the typical BMP-activated Smads 1, 5, and 9 (di Clemente et al.

2003; Orvis et al. 2008), Gdf6Y led to the phosphorylation of Smad9, Smad3, and Smad2 in an

enhanced manner compared to Gdf6X. Interestingly, Smad2 is important in male GC sex

determination in mice, where it is probably activated by the TGF-β family members activin and

nodal (Wu et al. 2015). In *N. furzeri*, Gdf6Y could replace or interfere with this signaling. We also

identified one downregulated gene in response to Gdf6Y: *zfp36l2*. It encodes an mRNA decay

activator and is expressed in developing oocytes, where it is involved in meiotic cell cycle

progression and oocyte maturation (Belloc and Mendez 2008; Ball et al. 2014; Ball et al. 2017;

Sha et al. 2018), explaining its expression in *N. furzeri* female and phenofemale ovaries compared

to testes. Zfp36l2 also controls epigenetic changes in the oocyte by regulating transcripts of histone

and DNA demethylases (Chousal et al. 2018). While this takes place after sex determination,

zfp36l2 is female GC-specific and could influence gene expression on the transcriptional or

indirectly the epigenetic level during GC sex determination. Overall, our data suggest that *gdf6Y*

determines the male sex by suppressing female ovarian development. A similar mechanism was

shown for the transcription factor SdY, which directly interacts with Foxl2 to suppress female

differentiation in the gonadal somatic cells of *O. mykiss* (Bertho et al. 2018). However, while

Gdf6Y could signal to both germ and somatic cells, our data suggests that it suppresses female

differentiation of GCs instead of somatic cell.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The RNA and bisulfite sequencing data discussed in this publication and applied in-house scripts are available on request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, A.R., C.E.; methodology, A.R.; software, R.S., P.K.; Formal Analysis, A.R., R.G., P.K., A.P.; investigation, A.R., H.M., M.T., M.K., R.G., C.A., N.H., A.H.; data curation, A.R., H.M., M.T., M.K., R.G., C.A., P.K., M.G., A.P.; writing – original draft, A.R.; writing – review & editing, A.R., C.E.; visualization, A.R.; supervision, C.E.; funding acquisition, C.E.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Christina Ebert, Sabine Matz, Sabine Landmann-Weinsheimer, Silke Foerste, Peter Singer, Joseph Kölbel, and Gabriele Günther for their excellent technical support, and Hanna Reuter for her bioinformatical expertise. We are very grateful to members of the Core Facilities Next Generation Sequencing (Ivonne Goerlich, Cornelia Luge, and Martin Bens), Life Science Computing, and Imaging for their contributions. We also thank the members of FLI's fish facility, most notably Simone Dunkel, Martin Neumann, Johannes Wilfert, Marcus Schmidt, Uta Naumann, and Beate Hoppe. This project was supported by a grant (EN 280/15–1) from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to CE. The FLI is a member of the Leibniz Association and is financially supported by the Federal Government of Germany and the State of Thuringia.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental Models

Fish experiments

All animals were maintained in the fish facility of the Leibniz Institute on Aging – Fritz Lipmann Institute Jena according to the German Animal Welfare Law. All experiments were covered by animal experiment licenses 03-005/12, 03-044/16, and FLI-18-020 approved by the Thuringian authorities (Thüringer Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz).

All experiments were performed in the *N. furzeri* wild-type strain GRZ (Jubb 1971) or genetically modified lines in this strain background (Supplemental Tab. S1) except for the *in situ* hybridization in Supplemental Fig. S3 G, where MZM0403 was used instead (Terzibasi et al. 2008). Fish were single-housed at 26 °C in a light:dark cycle of 12 h each. Adult fish were once daily fed *ad libitum* with red mosquito larvae. Juveniles up to 5 weeks post-hatching were fed with artemia twice daily. To obtain fertilized GRZ oocytes for injections, breeding groups of five (one male, four females) to ten fish (two males, eight females) were set up in 20 to 40 l tanks, respectively. To obtain oocytes from phenofemales, breeding groups of one male and up to four phenofemales were set up in 8.5 l tanks. Sandboxes for egg deposition were removed for two days and placed back into the tank 2 h before injection. Eggs were collected with a sieve and used for microinjections. For line maintenance, eggs were collected weekly, put on coconut coir plates, and incubated at 29 °C to

develop until 0 dph. For egg storage up to 1 year, eggs were incubated at 25°C to enter diapause

and moved to 29 °C for 1 to 2 weeks before hatching.

Dechorionation of embryos and animals at 0 dph was performed as described previously (Richter

et al. 2022). Phenotypes of embryos and larvae up to 2 dph were documented using reflective or

transmission light with the Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V8 equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc and

a Volpi dual gooseneck pole mount light or the Zeiss Axio Zoom.V16 equipped with a Zeiss

AxioCam HRc and an HXP 200 C light source, respectively. Phenotypes of adult animals were

documented with a Canon IXUS 160.

Cell culture

TM4, HeLa, and HEK293 cells were cultivated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM;

TM4, HeLa: Gibco™ #41966 – high glucose, pyruvate; HEK293: Gibco™ #31966 – high glucose,

GlutaMAX™ Supplement, pyruvate) with 10 % FBS at 37 °C and 5 % CO_2. For transfections, 0.5

x 10^6 HeLa and TM4 cells or 4 x 10^5 HEK293 cells per well were seeded into 6- or 12-Well plates,

respectively. 24 h later, the cells were transfected with 2.5 µg or 1 µg of plasmid DNA (pcDgdf6Y,

pcDgdf6Ydel9, or pcDNA3.1-HA) per well of a 6- or 12-Well plate, respectively, using

Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and 5 % CO_2

before RNA isolation.

Medaka fibroblast cells were cultured at 28°C and 5% CO2 in DMEM supplemented with high

glucose (4.5 g/L), 4 mM glutamine, and 15% FBS as previously described (Thoma et al. 2011).

For transfection, cells were grown to 80% confluency in six-well plates and subsequently

transfected using either Lipofectamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or FuGENE (Roche) reagents

as described by the manufacturers.

Method Details

Tol2 transgenesis and CRISPR/Cas9 mutant generation

The plasmid pDTactb2:gdf6Y, which contains the *D. rerio actb2*-promoter driven *gdf6Y*

expression cassette, was generated by combining the Tol2kit (Kwan et al. 2007) vectors #395

(pDestTol2CG2) and #299 (p5E-*bactin2*) with pMEgdf6Y and p3Egdf6YpA in a Gateway LR

Clonase II (Thermo Fisher Scientific) reaction according to the manufacturer's instructions. To

generate plasmids pMEgdf6Y and p3Egdf6YpA, the *gdf6Y* genomic sequence from start- to stop-

codon (including the intron) or the 965 bp sequence immediately downstream of *gdf6Y*,

respectively, were amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. The applied oligonucleotides added the respective

att-sites to recombine the obtained amplicons into pDONR221 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or

pDONRP2R-P3 (Tol2kit #220) for the generation of pMEgdf6Y or p3Egdf6YpA, respectively,

using Gateway BP Clonase II (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. *Tol2-* and *EGFP*-mRNA were *in vitro* transcribed as described previously (Hartmann

and Englert 2012) and quality-controlled by RNA agarose gel electrophoresis. The injection

solution contained 20 ng/µl of pDTactb2:gdf6Y, 10 ng/µl of *Tol2*-mRNA, 30 ng/µl of *GFP*-

mRNA, and 0.1 % phenol red.

To generate mutants with CRISPR/Cas9, sgRNAs were designed based on previously published

X and Y chromosomal sequences (*gdf6Y-* and *gdf6X*-inactivation; Reichwald et al. (2015)) or by

utilizing CHOPCHOP v3 (*foxl2l*-inactivation; Labun et al. (2019)). Templates for the *in vitro*

transcription of sgRNAs were generated either by subcloning annealed oligonucleotides

containing the target sequences into pDR274 (Addgene, plasmid #42250) via *BsaI* as described

previously (*gdf6Y*-inactivation; Krug et al. (2023)) or by PCR (*gdf6X*- and *foxl2l*-inactivation). For

the PCR, complementary oligonucleotides containing the T7 promoter, the 20 bp sgRNA target

sequence, and the sgRNA backbone were applied as template-DNA at a concentration of 0.002

µM each and amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity Polymerase in 1X HF-Buffer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) with an additional primer pair (T7F and pDRrvs) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The respective oligonucleotides are listed in Supplemental Tab. S2. The

approximately 300 bp *DraI* restriction fragments (*gdf6Y*-inactivation) or the 124 bp amplicons

(*gdf6X*- and *foxl2l*-inactivation) were purified from an agarose gel or the PCR, respectively, using

the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up Mini kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Up to 1 µg purified DNA was *in vitro* transcribed overnight at 37°C

with the MAXIscript T7 Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The synthesized sgRNAs

were precipitated from the reaction using Ammonium acetate Stop Solution as described for the

mMessage mMachine Kit protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). *Cas9*-mRNA was *in vitro*

transcribed overnight at 20°C from 400-500 ng *XbaI*-linearized pT3TS-nCas9n (Addgene, plasmid

#46757) using the mMessage mMachine T3 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and purified with the

MegaClear Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All RNAs

were quality-controlled by RNA agarose gel electrophoresis. Injection solutions contained 30

ng/µl of each sgRNA, 300 ng/µl of *Cas9*-mRNA, 30 ng/µl of *GFP*-mRNA, and 0.1 % phenol red.

Microinjections into *N. furzeri* oocytes were performed as described previously by Hartmann and

Englert (2012) (*gdf6Y*-inactivation) or Krug et al. (2023) (*gdf6Y*-transgenesis, *gdf6X*- and *foxl2l*-

inactivation) applying the injection solutions described above.

Molecular sexing and genotyping of animals

Genomic DNA samples were derived from caudal fin biopsies of adult animals or tail tips from

embryos and larvae as described previously by Krug et al. (2023) and Richter et al. (2022),

respectively. For sexing and genotyping PCRs, DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions with an annealing temperature

of 60°C, if not indicated otherwise. Sex chromosomes were determined by either a *gdf6Y*-specific

PCR using oligonucleotides Nf_Gdf6m_fw4: 5'-GAGAAAGAGGAGCTGGTCGGG-3' and

Nf_Gdf6Y_rv4: 5'-GCGCTGCTGATGATGATGCC-3' (Gonosome PCR in Supplemental Fig.

S1 B) or as described previously (see Supplemental Fig. S2 B; Richter et al. (2022)). For the *gdf6Y*-

specific PCR, an annealing temperature of 64°C was applied. As the *gdf6Y*-specific PCR flanks

the target sites of sgRNA Y1 and Y2, it was also used for genotyping of *gdf6Y*$^{del6, del8}$, and *gdf6Y*^{del9}

phenofemales yielding a 205 or 210 bp fragment, respectively, compared to a 219 bp fragment in

males. *Gdf6Y*^{del113} and *gdf6X*^{del113} mutations were identified by applying oligonucleotides gdf6-

gtF2: 5'- GGCCTCTGTGGGAGAATGTG-3' and gdf6-gtR1: 5'- ACCGACCTCCGCGACTTG-

3' (Target locus PCR in Supplemental Fig. S1 B, C) indicating the mutant allele by a smaller

fragment.   Mutations   in   *foxl2l*   were   analyzed   using   oligonucleotides

foxl2lt2F: 5'-GAGGTATGGATGCGGATAAGAA-3'   and   foxl2lt3R: 5'-

CTGATGCAGGTAGTAGTCGGTG-3' flanking the sgRNA target sites. *Gdf6Y*-transgenic

hatchlings and larvae were identified by the detection of EGFP in the heart by fluorescence

microscopy and a transgene-specific 735 bp fragment by PCR applying oligonucleotides

gdf6YinsituF1: 5'-CGGAGGGTAACCTGCTGC-3' and M13F: 5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAG-

3' at an annealing temperature of 55°C.

To determine mutation rates in *gdf6X/Y* in mosaic XY* animals, the *gdf6X/Y* target locus PCR was

either performed as described above or using Phusion High-Fidelity Polymerase (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The obtained DreamTaq and Phusion

amplified fragments were cloned into pCRII-TOPO using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions or the pUC57-derivative pGGC

(Geissler et al. 2011) via *SmaI* cut-ligation, respectively. Individual clones were Sanger sequenced

with M13F in-house by the FLI Core Facility Next Generation Sequencing or externally by

Eurofins Genomics TubeSeq Service. *Gdf6X/Y* target locus PCR amplicons from XX animals,

injected with the *gdf6Y* sgRNAs Y1 and Y2, were purified from the reaction with NucleoSpin Gel

and PCR Clean-up Mini kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer's instructions and

subjected to a T7 Endonuclease assay (T7EI) and Sanger sequencing with gdf6-gtF2 by Eurofins

Genomics TubeSeq Service. For the T7EI assay, 200 ng of purified PCR product and 1 µl of NEB

2 Buffer (New England Biolabs) in 9.5 µl total volume were first denatured for 5 min at 95°C and

then rehybridized by cooling the sample at a rate of 2°C/s to 85°C and a rate of 0.1°C to 25°C to

obtain potential heteroduplex DNA. To detect potential heteroduplex DNA by cleavage, 0.5 µl of

T7EI (New England Biolabs) were applied for 15 min at 37°C and the reaction was analyzed by

agarose gel electrophoresis. Sanger sequences from individual clones and PCR products were

analyzed with Geneious Prime 2019.2.3.

To determine *gdf6X/Y* mutation rates in mosaic animals, injected with the *gdf6X* sgRNAs X1 and

X2, the *gdf6Y*-specific and the *gdf6X/Y* target locus PCR were performed on X*Y or X*Y and

X*X* individuals, respectively. PCR products were Sanger sequenced with the forward primer of

the respective PCR by Eurofins Genomics TubeSeq Service. Sequences of the *gdf6Y*-specific and

the *gdf6X/Y* target locus PCR on X*X* animals were analyzed with Synthego Performance

Analysis, ICE Analysis. 2019. v3.0. Synthego; [accessed between 03/05/2021 and 03/19/2021] by

supplying the sgRNA Y2 or both *gdf6X*-sgRNA sequences, respectively. Sequences of the *gdf6X/Y*

target locus PCR on X*Y animals were analyzed with Synthego Performance Analysis, ICE

Analysis. 2019. v3.0. Synthego; [accessed between 03/05/2021 and 03/19/2021] by supplying both

gdf6X-sgRNA sequences and the *gdf6Y*-sequence as a donor sequence to exclude the *gdf6Y* wild-

type sequence from analysis of the *gdf6X* mutation rate. The result was evaluated by calculating

the mutation rate as the percentage proportion of predicted indel sequences from the sum of indel

and wild-type sequences.

PCR products from individual *foxl2l*-mosaic mutants were first examined for large indel mutations.

Those samples without obvious indels were subjected to a T7EI assay as described above. T7EI-

resistant samples were declared as not mutated, while PCR products from cleaved samples were

Sanger sequenced with foxl2lt2F by Eurofins Genomics TubeSeq Service. Sequences were

analyzed with Synthego Performance Analysis, ICE Analysis. 2019. v3.0. Synthego; [accessed on

11/17/2021 and 11/23/2021] by supplying the two *foxl2l*-sgRNA sequences and evaluated as

described above.

Immunohistochemistry and RNAscope *in situ* hybridization

Dissected gonads and embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight at 4°C, washed

in phosphate-buffered saline, and paraffin-embedded. 5 μm sections were prepared with the

Epredia HM 340 E electronic rotation microtome (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transferred onto

Superfrost Plus Slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The prepared sections were stained with

Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) or used for RNAscope experiments. H&E stainings were imaged

and processed with the AxioScan (Zeiss) and ZEN 2.6 (Blue Edition, Zeiss), respectively.

For RNAscope experiments, if not stated otherwise, buffers and equipment as well as probes were

provided by Advanced Cell Diagnostics (Supplemental Tab. S3). The experiments were performed

according to the manufacturer's protocol (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Document number:

323100-USM) except for the following changes: 60°C drying steps at the end of deparaffinization

and target retrieval were prolonged from 5 to 30 min. Target retrieval and RNAscope Protease

Plus treatment were performed for 15 and 30 min, respectively. After creating a barrier with the

ImmEdge hydrophobic barrier pen, specimens were photobleached at 350-425 nm for 5 min with

Axio Zoom.V16 or the SteREO Discovery.V8 (Zeiss) to reduce autofluorescence. All washing

steps during hybridization and development were carried out three times for 5 min. The

counterstaining with DAPI was either performed according to the manufacturer's protocol or by

applying and mounting the slides with ProLong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). For RNAscope samples, Z-stacks were recorded with a 63 x oil objective at the Axio

Imager.Z1 with ApoTome.2 (Zeiss). Images were processed in ZEN 2.6 (Blue Edition, Zeiss) by

combining all sections of Z-stack into a maximum-intensity projection.

Whole mount *in situ* hybridization

MZM0403 hatchlings at 0 dph were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS and processed for whole

mount *in situ* hybridization as described previously (Hauptmann and Gerster 1994). The

digoxigenin-labeled antisense and sense *sybu* riboprobes were synthesized from a *SacII*- or *SacI*-

linearized pGEM-T easy (Promega), which contained an 823 bp cDNA-fragment from *sybu*-

mRNA (NCBI Reference Sequence: XM_015949383.2) amplified with oligonucleotides

Nf_sybu_fw2: 5'-AGAGGACCATCAGCACCAAC-3' and Nf_sybu_rv6: 5'-

CAGGGACTCCAACTTCCT-3', using the plasmids SP6 or T7 promoter, respectively. Prior

probe hybridization, samples were bleached in 6 % hydrogen peroxide/PBST for 1 h at room

temperature, followed by a 30 min Proteinase K-treatment. After probe detection, hatchlings were

again fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS, taken through a methanol series, equilibrated, and

oriented for imaging in a clearing solution (1/3 benzyl benzoate, 2/3 benzyl alcohol). Images were

acquired using the Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V8 equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc. Images

were processed in ZEN 2.6 (Blue Edition, Zeiss). Sex was determined subsequently by sequencing

amplicons generated with oligonucleotides scaffold795_4r: 5'-AAATCCTCTCCTGGCCTACC-

3' and scaffold795_3f: 5'-GGCTGGCGAGAGTCTTTCTA-3' at genomic DNA isolated from the

individual samples.

Bisulfite sequencing

Genomic DNA from testes or ovaries was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN)

according to the protocol of the manufacturer. The deamination was performed with the EZ DNA

Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After

deamination, samples were stored at -20°C. PCRs were performed to amplify the region of interest.

The reaction mix was composed of 10 µl MyTaq HS Red Mix (Meridian Bioscience), 2 µl

deaminated DNA and the respective oligonucleotides at a concentration of 0.5 µM each in 20 µl

total volume, and amplified using the following program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min,

30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30s and elongation at 72°C for 1

min, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. Amplicons were quality-controlled by

agarose gel electrophoresis and distributed in equal amounts into 8 pools. The amplicons per pool

(7 pools of 34 amplicons each, 1 pool of 27 amplicons) were individually tagged by one out of

four 3 bp-tags (ATG, GCA, CAT, TGC), which were added to the oligonucleotides used for the

amplification. The pools of amplicons were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads

(Beckman Coulter) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Amplicons pools were quality

checked using the 2100 Bioanalyzer instrument in combination with the DNA 7500 assay (both

Agilent Technologies). For each pool, an individually tagged library was prepared from 125 ng of

input material using the TruSeq DNA PCR-Free kit (Illumina). Since pools of amplicons do not

need to be fragmented, the protocol was started with the "Repair Ends" step. The quantification

and quality check of libraries were done as described above. Libraries were pooled and sequenced

on the MiSeq system. It ran in 151 cycle/paired-end mode (MiSeq reagent kit v2 - 300 cycles).

Sequence information was converted to FASTQ format using bcl2fastq v2.20.0.422.

RNA isolation

To isolate RNA from *N. furzeri* gonads and transfected cells, the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit was

used. Killifish tissue was lysed in RLT buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol using the QIAGEN

TissueLyzer II according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were lysed directly in the wells

using RLT buffer containing ß-mercaptoethanol. Cell samples were further homogenized using

the QIAshredder Kit (QIAGEN). DNase digestion was performed on-column using the RNase-

Free DNase Set (QIAGEN) as suggested in the RNeasy Mini Kit protocol. The RNA was eluted

in 50 µl DEPC-treated H_2O.

Total RNA from embryo and hatchling trunks was extracted by adding TRIzol reagent (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and homogenization with the QIAGEN TissueLyser II. For phase separation, the

lysate was incubated for 5 min at room temperature followed by the addition of 0.2 volumes of

chloroform relative to the starting amount of TRIzol reagent. The solution was shaken vigorously

for at least 15 s, incubated for 3 min at room temperature, and centrifuged for 20 min at 12000 x

g at 4°C. The aqueous phase was transferred into a fresh Tube and 1 volume of chloroform was

added. Shaking, incubation at room temperature, centrifugation, and transfer of the aqueous phase

into a fresh Tube were repeated. Alternatively, the lysate was transferred into Phasemaker tubes

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the phase separation was performed in a single step according to

the manufacturer's instructions. For RNA precipitation, 0.5 µl GlycoBlue Coprecipitant (Thermo

Scientific Scientific), 1.1 volumes of isopropanol, and 0.16 volumes of 2 M sodium acetate (pH

4.0) were added. After mixing and incubation for 10 min at room temperature, samples were

centrifuged for 20 min at 12000 x g and 4°C. The supernatant was removed and 500 µl of 80 %

ethanol were added to wash the pellet by vortexing and centrifugation at 7500 x g for 10 min at

4°C. The washing step was repeated. The pellet was air-dried for 10-15 min and dissolved in 15

to 30 µl of DEPC-treated H_2O. For optimal dissolving of the pellet, the sample was incubated at

65°C for 5 min. For RT-qPCR of *foxl2l*, samples were cleaned-up and DNase digested with the

QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit and the RNase-Free DNase Set (QIAGEN) according to the

manufacturer's instructions.

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

For cDNA Synthesis up to 1 µg of total RNA was used. The reverse transcription was performed using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. RT-qPCR was performed in 384 well plates using the CFX384 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad). Each 10 µl reaction contained 5 µl SYBR GreenER™ qPCR SuperMix Universal (Thermo Fisher Scientific), forward and reverse primer at a concentration of 200 nM each, and up to 5 ng/µl cDNA and was cycled according to the manufacturer's instruction. Annealing temperatures were 60°C or 63°C for *N. furzeri* or human cell assays, respectively. Oligonucleotides and their applications are listed in Supplemental Tab. S4.

RNA sequencing

Sequencing of RNA samples was performed using Illumina's next-generation sequencing methodology (Bentley et al. 2008). In detail, DNase digested total RNA from TM4, and HeLa cells was quantified, and quality checked using the Tapestation 4200 instrument in combination with an RNA ScreenTape (both Agilent Technologies). Libraries were prepared from 300 ng total RNA using NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Preparation Kit in combination with NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module and NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (Unique Dual Index UMI Adaptors RNA) following the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs). Deviating from the instructions, qPCR was performed for each library prior to final amplification to determine the optimal number of cycles (exponential phase) to avoid over-amplification of the libraries in the final amplification step. The quantification and quality check of libraries was done using the Bioanalyzer 2100 instrument and the D5000 kit (Agilent

725 Technologies). Libraries were pooled and sequenced on a NovaSeq6000. The system ran in 101

726 cycle/single-end/standard loading workflow mode (S1 100 cycle kit v1.5). Sequence information

727 was converted to FASTQ format using bcl2fastq v2.20.0.422.

729 Smad-activation luciferase reporter assay

The luciferase reporter assay to analyze Smad-activation mediated by the different *N. furzeri gdf6*

alleles and *gdf6Y* mutant variants was performed as reported previously (Herpin et al. 2021). In

short, medaka fibroblast cells in six-well plates were co-transfected with 400 ng of one out of four

gdf6-expression plasmids (pcDgdf6X, pcDgdf6Y, pcDgdf6Ydel9, or pcDgdf6Yins25), 300 ng of

one out of five expression plasmids for fusion proteins consisting of Smad activation and Gal4

DNA binding domains (Smad1-AD-GAL4-DBD, Smad2-ADGAL4-DBD, Smad3-AD-GAL4-

DBD, Smad5-AD-GAL4-DBD, or Smad8-AD-GAL4-DBD), 300 ng of a firefly luciferase

reporter plasmid under the control of a UAS sequence containing minimal promoter (UAS-luc),

and 5 ng of a *Gaussia* luciferase expression plasmid for normalization (pCMV-Gluc) per well.

After 24 h, cells were washed with 2× phosphate-buffered saline and lysed with 75 µL of passive

lysis buffer (Promega), and then subjected to luciferase assay. Firefly luciferase activity was

quantified using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) and normalized against

Gaussia luciferase activity.

Quantification and statistical analysis

RT-qPCR quantification

RT-qPCR results were evaluated using the determined threshold cycles (Ct) and primer

efficiencies (E) either by calculating the relative expression (R) of a gene of interest (goi) to a

reference gene (ref) with the formula:

$$749 \qquad R = \frac{E_{ref}^{Ct_{ref}}}{E_{goi}^{Ct_{goi}}}$$

750 (Supplemental Fig. S3 A and S4 C) or the fold change (FC) of a goi to one or two reference genes

751 (ref1, ref2) with the formula:

$$752 \qquad FC = \frac{E_{goi}^{\Delta Ct_{goi}}}{\sqrt[2]{E_{ref1}^{\Delta Ct_{ref1}} \cdot E_{ref2}^{\Delta Ct_{ref2}}}}$$

based on Hellemans et al. (2007) (all other RT-qPCR data).

Statistical analyses

Graphical presentation and statistical analyses of RT-qPCR data, retina layer measurements,

mutation rates, luciferase assay results and comparisons of individual genes' RPKM from the *N.*

furzeri RNA-Seq data were realized using GraphPad Prism 9 and the indicated statistical test

depending on the scientific question and data structure of the respective assay.

Bisulfite sequencing data analyses

For data analyses, two operating systems were used. All Python-based software pool_separator.py,

methi.py) was controlled with a SunOS 5.10 system. To analyze their output, R studio was used

on a Windows 10 operating system. Merging of the paired-end reads per amplicon pool was done

using clc_overlap_reads (CLC-Workbench, QIAGEN). The Python in-house script

pool_separator.py was used to separate the amplicons stored in the joined .fastq-files. To supply

the script with the necessary information, a .csv-file including the semicolon-separated information

(pool; 3 bp-tag; forward primer; reverse primer; sample name) for each line-separated amplicon

was generated for each pool. The command to run the Python script is shown below with pool 1

as an example:

python3 pool_separator.py Ampliconpool1_jointed_test.fq pool1comp.csv

The script creates a folder for each amplicon, which contains all .fastq-files of each individual

sample. The in-house script methi.py was used to calculate the CpG methylation rates. This script

separates X and Y chromosomal reads and detects the chromosome-specific methylation rates for

all CpGs of each X and Y separated amplicon. The analysis of each amplicon by the script requires

the forward and reverse PCR oligonucleotide sequences, the X- and Y-specific amplicon length,

the tag length, the position of all CpGs within the amplicon (comma-separated), a location of a

non-CpG cytosine as well as 9 to 10 bp X (p1) and Y (p2) signature sequences (9 – 10 bp). The

information is stored in a .txt-file as shown below:

forward_primer:

reverse_primer:

expected_length_p1:

expected_length_p2:

tag_length:3

cpg_pos_p1:

cpg_pos_p2:

cx_pos_p1:

cx_pos_p2:

p1:

p2:

The command to run the software methyi.py is shown below:

python3 software/methi.py -d fastq_files/ -i sample_name.txt -s

The cytosine, which is not in a CpG context, is needed used by methyi.py to estimate the

conversion rate of the deamination process and to correct the methylation rate. The program uses

the frequency (H) of the non-CpG (CpX, X: cytosine or thymine) to calculate the conversion rate

(c) as follows:

798
$$c = \frac{H^{CpX}}{H^{XpX}}$$

799   The median (c_{all}) over all CpG methylation rates of the amplicons from all samples was

800   calculated in R Studio as follows:

801
$$c_{all} = \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{\frac{n}{2}} + c_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right)$$

802
$$c_{all} = 0.004112382$$

803   Subsequently, the c_{all} was used to calculate the corrected methylation rate (CpG_{corr}) with a value

804   from 0 to 1 for each CpG:

805
$$CpG_{corr} = \frac{CpG - c_{all}}{1 - c_{all}}$$

Alternatively, the methylation rate of a CpG was determined sample-wise with Geneious Prime

2019.2.3 by assembling the pool-separated reads to a bisulfite-conversed reference sequence of

the respective amplicon and measuring the proportion of cytosines at the given position. The

obtained methylation rate was corrected with the formula above.

The corrected methylation rates of all analyzed CpGs and samples were visualized using Morpheus

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus).

RNA-Seq data analyses

The published RNA-Seq data (ENA: ERR879038 - ERR879056) from male and female *N. furzeri*

at different developmental stages (Reichwald et al. 2015) was filtered with sga (parameters:

preprocess -q 30 -m 50 --dust; https://github.com/jts/sga). Quality-passed reads were mapped to

the *N. furzeri* reference genome using STAR STAR (parameters: --alignIntronMax 100000; Dobin

et al. (2013)). DEGs (adjusted p-value < 0.05, Log_2(Fold Change) > 1) between females and males

of each individual developmental stage were derived using DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014). DEGs were

visualized in volcano plots generated with VolcaNoseR (Goedhart and Luijsterburg (2020);

https://huygens.science.uva.nl/VolcaNoseR2/).

Analysis of RNA-Seq data of murine TM4 and human HeLa cells. The names of the RNA-Seq

reads were extended by their unique molecular identifier (UMI) with UMI-tools' extract command

version 1.1.1 (Smith et al. 2017). These modified reads were aligned with STAR 2.7.10a

(parameters: --alignIntronMax 100000, --outSJfilterReads Unique, --outSAMmultNmax 1, --

outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.04) (Dobin et al. 2013) to the *M. musculus* (GRCm39) and *H.*

sapiens (GRCh38) reference genomes, respectively, with the Ensembl genome annotation release

107. PCR duplicates were removed from the alignment results using UMI-tools's dedup command.

For each gene, reads that map uniquely to one genomic position were counted with FeatureCounts

2.0.3 (multi-mapping or multi-overlapping reads were discarded, stranded mode was set to "–s 2")

(Liao et al. 2014). The pairwise comparisons of *gdf6Y*-expressing samples with either *gdf6Y^{del9}*-

expressing or empty vector samples were analyzed for differential gene expression. Therefore,

DEGs were determined with R 4.1.3 using the package DESeq2 1.34.0 (Love et al. 2014). Only

genes that have at least one read count in any of the analyzed samples of a particular comparison

were subjected to DESeq2. For each gene, the p-value was calculated using the Wald significance

test. The resulting p-values were adjusted for multiple testing with the Benjamini & Hochberg

correction (FDR). The log2 fold change (log2FC) values were shrunk with the DESeq2 function

lfcShrink(type="apeglm") to control for variance of log2FC estimates for genes with low read

counts (Zhu et al. 2019). Genes with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 are considered DEGs. Selected

DEGs were visualized by calculating Z-scores (formula: $z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$, x = individual value, μ =

arithmetic mean of all x per gene, σ = standard deviation of all x per gene) from DESeq2-

normalized counts and creating a heatmap of those using Morpheus

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). The overlap analysis of the up- or downregulated

DEGs identified in TM4 cells with those identified in the same way in human HeLa cells was

based on gene symbols and followed by an ortholog verification in Ensembl release 109.

Overrepresentation analyses of KEGG pathways were performed using WebGestalt (WEB-based

GEne SeT AnaLysis Toolkit; Liao et al. (2019); https://www.webgestalt.org/). For the *N. furzeri*

RNA-Seq data, gene symbols from *D. rerio* orthologs assigned to the genebuild_v1.150922 *N.*

furzeri annotation (Reichwald et al. 2015; Kelmer Sacramento et al. 2020) were used to search for

enriched KEGG pathways in a *D. rerio* organismal background. Therefore, female or male DEGs

at the different developmental stages were compared to a reference list of all genes identified in

the whole data set. For the TM4 RNA-Seq data, gene symbols of the 42 selected DEGs were

compared against the *M. musculus* genome to identify enriched KEGG pathways in that genetic

background. Parameters for the enrichment analyses were a minimum number of 5 IDs in a

category, a maximum number of 2000 IDs in a category and the Benjamini & Hochberg correction

for multiple testing.

REFERENCES

Adolfi MC, Du K, Kneitz S, Cabau C, Zahm M, Klopp C, Feron R, Paixao RV, Varela ES, de
Almeida FL et al. 2021. A duplicated copy of id2b is an unusual sex-determining candidate
gene on the Y chromosome of arapaima (Arapaima gigas). *Sci Rep* **11**: 21544.
Api M, Biondi P, Olivotto I, Terzibasi E, Cellerino A, Carnevali O. 2018. Effects of Parental Aging
During Embryo Development and Adult Life: The Case of Nothobranchius furzeri.
Zebrafish **15**: 112-123.
Asai-Coakwell M, French CR, Berry KM, Ye M, Koss R, Somerville M, Mueller R, van
Heyningen V, Waskiewicz AJ, Lehmann OJ. 2007. GDF6, a novel locus for a spectrum of
ocular developmental anomalies. *American journal of human genetics* **80**: 306-315.
Asai-Coakwell M, French CR, Ye M, Garcha K, Bigot K, Perera AG, Staehling-Hampton K,
Mema SC, Chanda B, Mushegian A et al. 2009. Incomplete penetrance and phenotypic
variability characterize Gdf6-attributable oculo-skeletal phenotypes. *Human molecular*
genetics **18**: 1110-1121.
Asai-Coakwell M, March L, Dai XH, Duval M, Lopez I, French CR, Famulski J, De Baere E,
Francis PJ, Sundaresan P et al. 2013. Contribution of growth differentiation factor 6-
dependent cell survival to early-onset retinal dystrophies. *Human molecular genetics* **22**:
1432-1442.
Bademci G, Abad C, Cengiz FB, Seyhan S, Incesulu A, Guo S, Fitoz S, Atli EI, Gosstola NC,
Demir S et al. 2020. Long-range cis-regulatory elements controlling GDF6 expression are
essential for ear development. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **130**: 4213-4217.
Ball CB, Rodriguez KF, Stumpo DJ, Ribeiro-Neto F, Korach KS, Blackshear PJ, Birnbaumer L,
Ramos SB. 2014. The RNA-binding protein, ZFP36L2, influences ovulation and oocyte
maturation. *PloS one* **9**: e97324.
Ball CB, Solem AC, Meganck RM, Laederach A, Ramos SBV. 2017. Impact of RNA structure on
ZFP36L2 interaction with luteinizing hormone receptor mRNA. *RNA* **23**: 1209-1223.

Belloc E, Mendez R. 2008. A deadenylation negative feedback mechanism governs meiotic
metaphase arrest. *Nature* **452**: 1017-1021.
Bentley DR Balasubramanian S Swerdlow HP Smith GP Milton J Brown CG Hall KP Evers DJ
Barnes CL Bignell HR et al. 2008. Accurate whole human genome sequencing using
reversible terminator chemistry. *Nature* **456**: 53-59.
Bertho S, Herpin A, Branthonne A, Jouanno E, Yano A, Nicol B, Muller T, Pannetier M, Pailhoux
E, Miwa M et al. 2018. The unusual rainbow trout sex determination gene hijacked the
canonical vertebrate gonadal differentiation pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**:
12781-12786.
Chalopin D, Volff JN, Galiana D, Anderson JL, Schartl M. 2015. Transposable elements and early
evolution of sex chromosomes in fish. *Chromosome Res* **23**: 545-560.
Chousal J, Cho K, Ramaiah M, Skarbrevik D, Mora-Castilla S, Stumpo DJ, Lykke-Andersen J,
Laurent LC, Blackshear PJ, Wilkinson MF et al. 2018. Chromatin Modification and Global
Transcriptional Silencing in the Oocyte Mediated by the mRNA Decay Activator
ZFP36L2. *Dev Cell* **44**: 392-402 e397.
Colozza G, De Robertis EM. 2014. Maternal syntabulin is required for dorsal axis formation and
is a germ plasm component in Xenopus. *Differentiation* **88**: 17-26.
Crosier PS, Kalev-Zylinska ML, Hall CJ, Flores MV, Horsfield JA, Crosier KE. 2002. Pathways
in blood and vessel development revealed through zebrafish genetics. *The International*
journal of developmental biology **46**: 493-502.
Dai S, Qi S, Wei X, Liu X, Li Y, Zhou X, Xiao H, Lu B, Wang D, Li M. 2021. Germline sexual
fate is determined by the antagonistic action of dmrt1 and foxl3/foxl2 in tilapia.
Development (Cambridge, England) **148**.
den Hollander AI, Biyanwila J, Kovach P, Bardakjian T, Traboulsi EI, Ragge NK, Schneider A,
Malicki J. 2010. Genetic defects of GDF6 in the zebrafish out of sight mutant and in human
eye developmental anomalies. *BMC genetics* **11**: 102.
di Clemente N, Josso N, Gouedard L, Belville C. 2003. Components of the anti-Mullerian hormone
signaling pathway in gonads. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology* **211**: 9-14.
Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras
TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.
Feron R, Zahm M, Cabau C, Klopp C, Roques C, Bouchez O, Eche C, Valiere S, Donnadieu C,
Haffray P et al. 2020. Characterization of a Y-specific duplication/insertion of the anti-
Mullerian hormone type II receptor gene based on a chromosome-scale genome assembly
of yellow perch, Perca flavescens. *Mol Ecol Resour* **20**: 531-543.
Geissler R, Scholze H, Hahn S, Streubel J, Bonas U, Behrens SE, Boch J. 2011. Transcriptional
activators of human genes with programmable DNA-specificity. *PloS one* **6**: e19509.
Goedhart J, Luijsterburg MS. 2020. VolcaNoseR is a web app for creating, exploring, labeling and
sharing volcano plots. *Sci Rep* **10**: 20560.
Gosse NJ, Baier H. 2009. An essential role for Radar (Gdf6a) in inducing dorsal fate in the
zebrafish retina. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**: 2236-2241.
Gramann AK, Venkatesan AM, Guerin M, Ceol CJ. 2019. Regulation of zebrafish melanocyte
development by ligand-dependent BMP signaling. *eLife* **8**.
Hall CJ, Flores MV, Davidson AJ, Crosier KE, Crosier PS. 2002. Radar is required for the
establishment of vascular integrity in the zebrafish. *Developmental biology* **251**: 105-117.
Hanel ML, Hensey C. 2006. Eye and neural defects associated with loss of GDF6. *BMC*
developmental biology **6**: 43.

Hartmann N, Englert C. 2012. A microinjection protocol for the generation of transgenic killifish
931   (Species: Nothobranchius furzeri). *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the*
932   *American Association of Anatomists* **241**: 1133-1141.
933 Hattori RS, Murai Y, Oura M, Masuda S, Majhi SK, Sakamoto T, Fernandino JI, Somoza GM,
934   Yokota M, Strussmann CA. 2012. A Y-linked anti-Mullerian hormone duplication takes
935   over a critical role in sex determination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**: 2955-2959.
936 Hauptmann G, Gerster T. 1994. Two-color whole-mount in situ hybridization to vertebrate and
937   Drosophila embryos. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **10**: 266.
938 Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. 2007. qBase relative
939   quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-
940   time quantitative PCR data. *Genome biology* **8**: R19.
941 Herpin A, Braasch I, Kraeussling M, Schmidt C, Thoma EC, Nakamura S, Tanaka M, Schartl M.
942   2010. Transcriptional rewiring of the sex determining dmrt1 gene duplicate by transposable
943   elements. *PLoS genetics* **6**: e1000844.
944 Herpin A, Schartl M. 2015. Plasticity of gene-regulatory networks controlling sex determination:
945   of masters, slaves, usual suspects, newcomers, and usurpators. *EMBO reports* **16**: 1260-
946   1274.
947 Herpin A, Schartl M, Depince A, Guiguen Y, Bobe J, Hua-Van A, Hayman ES, Octavera A,
948   Yoshizaki G, Nichols KM et al. 2021. Allelic diversification after transposable element
949   exaptation promoted gsdf as the master sex determining gene of sablefish. *Genome*
950   *research* **31**: 1366-1380.
951 Holborn MK, Einfeldt AL, Kess T, Duffy SJ, Messmer AM, Langille BL, Brachmann MK,
952   Gauthier J, Bentzen P, Knutsen TM et al. 2022. Reference genome of lumpfish Cyclopterus
953   lumpus Linnaeus provides evidence of male heterogametic sex determination through the
954   AMH pathway. *Mol Ecol Resour* **22**: 1427-1439.
955 Hollnagel A, Oehlmann V, Heymer J, Ruther U, Nordheim A. 1999. Id genes are direct targets of
956   bone morphogenetic protein induction in embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* **274**: 19838-
957   19845.
958 Hudson BP, Martinez-Yamout MA, Dyson HJ, Wright PE. 2004. Recognition of the mRNA AU-
959   rich element by the zinc finger domain of TIS11d. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **11**: 257-264.
960 Imarazene B, Du K, Beille S, Jouanno E, Feron R, Pan Q, Torres-Paz J, Lopez-Roques C, Castinel
961   A, Gil L et al. 2021. A supernumerary "B-sex" chromosome drives male sex determination
962   in the Pachon cavefish, Astyanax mexicanus. *Current biology : CB* **31**: 4800-4809 e4809.
963 Indjeian VB, Kingman GA, Jones FC, Guenther CA, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Myers RM,
964   Kingsley DM. 2016. Evolving New Skeletal Traits by cis-Regulatory Changes in Bone
965   Morphogenetic Proteins. *Cell* **164**: 45-56.
966 Jiang L, Zhang J, Wang JJ, Wang L, Zhang L, Li G, Yang X, Ma X, Sun X, Cai J et al. 2013.
967   Sperm, but not oocyte, DNA methylome is inherited by zebrafish early embryos. *Cell* **153**:
968   773-784.
969 Jubb R. 1971. A new Nothobranchius (Pisces, Cyprinodontidae) from Southeastern Rhodesia.
970   *Journal of the American Killifish Association* **8**: 12-19.
971 Kamiya T, Kai W, Tasumi S, Oka A, Matsunaga T, Mizuno N, Fujita M, Suetake H, Suzuki S,
972   Hosoya S et al. 2012. A trans-species missense SNP in Amhr2 is associated with sex
973   determination in the tiger pufferfish, Takifugu rubripes (fugu). *PLoS genetics* **8**: e1002798.
974 Kelmer Sacramento E, Kirkpatrick JM, Mazzetto M, Baumgart M, Bartolome A, Di Sanzo S,
975   Caterino C, Sanguanini M, Papaevgeniou N, Lefaki M et al. 2020. Reduced proteasome

activity in the aging brain results in ribosome stoichiometry loss and aggregation. *Mol Syst Biol* **16**: e9596.

Kent TV, Uzunovic J, Wright SI. 2017. Coevolution between transposable elements and recombination. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences* **372**: 20160458.

Kikuchi K, Hamaguchi S. 2013. Novel sex-determining genes in fish and sex chromosome evolution. *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists* **242**: 339-353.

Kikuchi M, Nishimura T, Ishishita S, Matsuda Y, Tanaka M. 2020. foxl3, a sexual switch in germ cells, initiates two independent molecular pathways for commitment to oogenesis in medaka. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**: 12174-12181.

Kikuchi M, Nishimura T, Saito D, Shigenobu S, Takada R, Gutierrez-Triana JA, Cerdan JLM, Takada S, Wittbrodt J, Suyama M et al. 2019. Novel components of germline sex determination acting downstream of foxl3 in medaka. *Developmental biology* **445**: 80-89.

Kim Y, Nam HG, Valenzano DR. 2016. The short-lived African turquoise killifish: an emerging experimental model for ageing. *Dis Model Mech* **9**: 115-129.

Kluver N, Herpin A, Braasch I, Driessle J, Schartl M. 2009. Regulatory back-up circuit of medaka Wt1 co-orthologs ensures PGC maintenance. *Developmental biology* **325**: 179-188.

Kluver N, Pfennig F, Pala I, Storch K, Schlieder M, Froschauer A, Gutzeit HO, Schartl M. 2007. Differential expression of anti-Mullerian hormone (amh) and anti-Mullerian hormone receptor type II (amhrII) in the teleost medaka. *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists* **236**: 271-281.

Kobayashi T, Matsuda M, Kajiura-Kobayashi H, Suzuki A, Saito N, Nakamoto M, Shibata N, Nagahama Y. 2004. Two DM domain genes, DMY and DMRT1, involved in testicular differentiation and development in the medaka, Oryzias latipes. *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists* **231**: 518-526.

Krispin S, Stratman AN, Melick CH, Stan RV, Malinverno M, Gleklen J, Castranova D, Dejana E, Weinstein BM. 2018. Growth Differentiation Factor 6 Promotes Vascular Stability by Restraining Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Signaling. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology* **38**: 353-362.

Krug J, Perner B, Albertz C, Morl H, Hopfenmuller VL, Englert C. 2023. Generation of a transparent killifish line through multiplex CRISPR/Cas9mediated gene inactivation. *eLife* **12**: e81549.

Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, Parant JM, Yost HJ, Kanki JP, Chien CB. 2007. The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists* **236**: 3088-3099.

Labun K, Montague TG, Krause M, Torres Cleuren YN, Tjeldnes H, Valen E. 2019. CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: W171-W174.

Li L, Dong J, Yan L, Yong J, Liu X, Hu Y, Fan X, Wu X, Guo H, Wang X et al. 2017. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis Maps Development of Human Germline Cells and Gonadal Niche Interactions. *Cell Stem Cell* **20**: 858-873 e854.

Li M, Sun Y, Zhao J, Shi H, Zeng S, Ye K, Jiang D, Zhou L, Sun L, Tao W et al. 2015. A Tandem Duplicate of Anti-Mullerian Hormone with a Missense SNP on the Y Chromosome Is

Essential for Male Sex Determination in Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus. *PLoS genetics* **11**: e1005678.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 923-930.

Liao Y, Wang J, Jaehnig EJ, Shi Z, Zhang B. 2019. WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: W199-W205.

Liu Y, Kossack ME, McFaul ME, Christensen LN, Siebert S, Wyatt SR, Kamei CN, Horst S, Arroyo N, Drummond IA et al. 2022. Single-cell transcriptome reveals insights into the development and function of the zebrafish ovary. *eLife* **11**: e76014.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome biology* **15**: 550.

Mather JP. 1980. Establishment and characterization of two distinct mouse testicular epithelial cell lines. *Biol Reprod* **23**: 243-252.

Mortlock DP, Fang ZM, Chandler KJ, Hou Y, Bickford LR, de Bock CE, Eapen V, Clarke RA. 2022. Transcriptional Interference Regulates the Evolutionary Development of Speech. *Genes* **13**: 1195.

Mortlock DP, Guenther C, Kingsley DM. 2003. A general approach for identifying distant regulatory elements applied to the Gdf6 gene. *Genome research* **13**: 2069-2081.

Myosho T, Otake H, Masuyama H, Matsuda M, Kuroki Y, Fujiyama A, Naruse K, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M. 2012. Tracing the emergence of a novel sex-determining gene in medaka, Oryzias luzonensis. *Genetics* **191**: 163-170.

Nishimura T, Sato T, Yamamoto Y, Watakabe I, Ohkawa Y, Suyama M, Kobayashi S, Tanaka M. 2015. Sex determination. foxl3 is a germ cell-intrinsic factor involved in sperm-egg fate decision in medaka. *Science (New York, NY)* **349**: 328-331.

Nishimura T, Tanaka M. 2016. The Mechanism of Germline Sex Determination in Vertebrates. *Biol Reprod* **95**: 30.

Nojima H, Rothhamel S, Shimizu T, Kim CH, Yonemura S, Marlow FL, Hibi M. 2010. Syntabulin, a motor protein linker, controls dorsal determination. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **137**: 923-933.

Oh D, Houston DW. 2017. Role of maternal Xenopus syntabulin in germ plasm aggregation and primordial germ cell specification. *Developmental biology* **432**: 237-247.

Ortega-Recalde O, Hore TA. 2019. DNA methylation in the vertebrate germline: balancing memory and erasure. *Essays in biochemistry* **63**: 649-661.

Orvis GD, Jamin SP, Kwan KM, Mishina Y, Kaartinen VM, Huang S, Roberts AB, Umans L, Huylebroeck D, Zwijsen A et al. 2008. Functional redundancy of TGF-beta family type I receptors and receptor-Smads in mediating anti-Mullerian hormone-induced Mullerian duct regression in the mouse. *Biol Reprod* **78**: 994-1001.

Pan Q, Feron R, Yano A, Guyomard R, Jouanno E, Vigouroux E, Wen M, Busnel JM, Bobe J, Concordet JP et al. 2019. Identification of the master sex determining gene in Northern pike (Esox lucius) reveals restricted sex chromosome differentiation. *PLoS genetics* **15**: e1008013.

Pan Q, Kay T, Depince A, Adolfi M, Schartl M, Guiguen Y, Herpin A. 2021. Evolution of master sex determiners: TGF-beta signalling pathways at regulatory crossroads. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences* **376**: 20200091.

Peichel CL, McCann SR, Ross JA, Naftaly AFS, Urton JR, Cech JN, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Myers RM, Kingsley DM et al. 2020. Assembly of the threespine stickleback Y

chromosome reveals convergent signatures of sex chromosome evolution. *Genome biology*
21: 177.
Platzer M, Englert C. 2016. Nothobranchius furzeri: A Model for Aging Research and More.
Trends in genetics : TIG **32**: 543-552.
Portnoy ME, McDermott KJ, Antonellis A, Margulies EH, Prasad AB, Program NCS, Kingsley
DM, Green ED, Mortlock DP. 2005. Detection of potential GDF6 regulatory elements by
multispecies sequence comparisons and identification of a skeletal joint enhancer.
Genomics **86**: 295-305.
Pregizer S, Mortlock DP. 2009. Control of BMP gene expression by long-range regulatory
elements. *Cytokine & growth factor reviews* **20**: 509-515.
Rácz GA, Nagy N, Tóvári J, Apáti Á, Vértessy BG. 2021. Identification of new reference genes
with stable expression patterns for gene expression studies using human cancer and normal
cell lines. *Scientific Reports* **11**: 19459.
Reed NP, Mortlock DP. 2010. Identification of a distant cis-regulatory element controlling
pharyngeal arch-specific expression of zebrafish gdf6a/radar. *Developmental dynamics :*
an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists **239**: 1047-1060.
Reichwald K, Petzold A, Koch P, Downie BR, Hartmann N, Pietsch S, Baumgart M, Chalopin D,
Felder M, Bens M et al. 2015. Insights into Sex Chromosome Evolution and Aging from
the Genome of a Short-Lived Fish. *Cell* **163**: 1527-1538.
Reuter H, Krug J, Singer P, Englert C. 2018. The African turquoise killifish Nothobranchius furzeri
as a model for aging research. *Drug Discovery Today: Disease Models* **27**: 15-22.
Richter A, Krug J, Englert C. 2022. Molecular Sexing of Nothobranchius furzeri Embryos and
Larvae. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* **2022**: 630-640.
Settle SH, Jr., Rountree RB, Sinha A, Thacker A, Higgins K, Kingsley DM. 2003. Multiple joint
and skeletal patterning defects caused by single and double mutations in the mouse Gdf6
and Gdf5 genes. *Developmental biology* **254**: 116-130.
Sha QQ, Yu JL, Guo JX, Dai XX, Jiang JC, Zhang YL, Yu C, Ji SY, Jiang Y, Zhang SY et al.
2018. CNOT6L couples the selective degradation of maternal transcripts to meiotic cell
cycle progression in mouse oocyte. *EMBO J* **37**.
Sharifi-Zarchi A, Gerovska D, Adachi K, Totonchi M, Pezeshk H, Taft RJ, Scholer HR, Chitsaz
H, Sadeghi M, Baharvand H et al. 2017. DNA methylation regulates discrimination of
enhancers from promoters through a H3K4me1-H3K4me3 seesaw mechanism. *BMC*
Genomics **18**: 964.
Smith T, Heger A, Sudbery I. 2017. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique Molecular
Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome research* **27**: 491-499.
Stundlova J, Hospodarska M, Luksikova K, Volenikova A, Pavlica T, Altmanova M, Richter A,
Reichard M, Dalikova M, Pelikanova S et al. 2022. Sex chromosome differentiation via
changes in the Y chromosome repeat landscape in African annual killifishes
Nothobranchius furzeri and N. kadleci. *Chromosome Res* **30**: 309-333.
Tanaka M. 2016. Germline stem cells are critical for sexual fate decision of germ cells. *Bioessays*
38: 1227-1233.
Tassabehji M, Fang ZM, Hilton EN, McGaughran J, Zhao Z, de Bock CE, Howard E, Malass M,
Donnai D, Diwan A et al. 2008. Mutations in GDF6 are associated with vertebral
segmentation defects in Klippel-Feil syndrome. *Human mutation* **29**: 1017-1027.

Terzibasi E, Valenzano DR, Benedetti M, Roncaglia P, Cattaneo A, Domenici L, Cellerino A.
2008. Large differences in aging phenotype between strains of the short-lived annual fish
Nothobranchius furzeri. *PloS one* **3**: e3866.
Thoma EC, Wagner TU, Weber IP, Herpin A, Fischer A, Schartl M. 2011. Ectopic expression of
single transcription factors directs differentiation of a medaka spermatogonial cell line.
Stem Cells Dev **20**: 1425-1438.
Tozzini ET, Dorn A, Ng'oma E, Polacik M, Blazek R, Reichwald K, Petzold A, Watters B,
Reichard M, Cellerino A. 2013. Parallel evolution of senescence in annual fishes in
response to extrinsic mortality. *BMC Evol Biol* **13**: 77.
Valenzano DR, Benayoun BA, Singh PP, Zhang E, Etter PD, Hu CK, Clément-Ziza M, Willemsen
D, Cui R, Harel I et al. 2015. The African Turquoise Killifish Genome Provides Insights
into Evolution and Genetic Architecture of Lifespan. *Cell* **163**: 1539-1554.
Valenzano DR, Kirschner J, Kamber RA, Zhang E, Weber D, Cellerino A, Englert C, Platzer M,
Reichwald K, Brunet A. 2009. Mapping loci associated with tail color and sex
determination in the short-lived fish Nothobranchius furzeri. *Genetics* **183**: 1385-1395.
Venkatesan AM, Vyas R, Gramann AK, Dresser K, Gujja S, Bhatnagar S, Chhangawala S, Gomes
CBF, Xi HS, Lian CG et al. 2018. Ligand-activated BMP signaling inhibits cell
differentiation and death to promote melanoma. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **128**:
294-308.
Wang J, Yu T, Wang Z, Ohte S, Yao RE, Zheng Z, Geng J, Cai H, Ge Y, Li Y et al. 2016. A New
Subtype of Multiple Synostoses Syndrome Is Caused by a Mutation in GDF6 That
Decreases Its Sensitivity to Noggin and Enhances Its Potency as a BMP Signal. *Journal of*
bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and
Mineral Research **31**: 882-889.
Wang R, Liu X, Li L, Yang M, Yong J, Zhai F, Wen L, Yan L, Qiao J, Tang F. 2022. Dissecting
Human Gonadal Cell Lineage Specification and Sex Determination Using A Single-cell
RNA-seq Approach. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **20**: 223-245.
Wu Q, Fukuda K, Weinstein M, Graff JM, Saga Y. 2015. SMAD2 and p38 signaling pathways act
in concert to determine XY primordial germ cell fate in mice. *Development (Cambridge,*
England) **142**: 575-586.
Yang J, Li X, Li Y, Southwood M, Ye L, Long L, Al-Lamki RS, Morrell NW. 2013. Id proteins
are critical downstream effectors of BMP signaling in human pulmonary arterial smooth
muscle cells. *American journal of physiology Lung cellular and molecular physiology* **305**:
L312-321.
Yano A, Guyomard R, Nicol B, Jouanno E, Quillet E, Klopp C, Cabau C, Bouchez O, Fostier A,
Guiguen Y. 2012. An immune-related gene evolved into the master sex-determining gene
in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Current biology : CB* **22**: 1423-1428.
Zhu A, Ibrahim JG, Love MI. 2019. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count data:
removing the noise and preserving large differences. *Bioinformatics* **35**: 2084-2092.

1150

FIGURE 1

Figure 1. The inactivation of *gdf6Y* leads to full male-to-female sex reversal in *Nothobranchius furzeri*.

(A) Schematic of the Gdf6X protein domains (SP – signal peptide), the exonal distribution and comparison of the coding sequences of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* as well as the mutation strategy with two *gdf6Y*-specific sgRNAs that facilitate DNA double-strand breaks at a distance of 109 bp. Vertical lines in the *gdf6Y* exons indicate coding sequence differences between *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y*. SNPs: Dark gray – non-synonymous, light gray – synonymous. White – 9 bp deletion. *(B)* The phenotype of mosaic *gdf6Y⁻* animals (XY*, phenofemales) compared to an unaltered female (XX) and a wild-type male (XY) at about 3 months of age. Scale bar: 10 mm. *(C)* H&E staining of XX, XY*, and XY gonads at about 5 months of age. Oocytes: P – previtellogenic, V – vitellogenic, M – mature. SC – spermatocytes, ST – spermatids, SZ – spermatozoa. Scale bar: 100 µm. *(D)* Gdf6Y* variants that lead to full male-to-female sex reversal contain either a frameshift at one sgRNA position, a deletion between both sgRNAs, or a 9 bp deletion at the sgRNA Y2 site. *(E, F)* Expression of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* together and *gdf6Y* separately *(E)* as well as male and female marker genes *(F)* on mRNA-level in gonads of animals of the 1ˢᵗ filial generation at about 2.4 months of age. XY* – phenofemales with frameshift mutations. Statistical testing by either a two-tailed, unpaired *t*-test (*gdf6Y*) or a 1-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test (others), (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, (***) $P<0.001$, (****) $P<0.0001$.

Figure 2. Gdf6Y can functionally cover for Gdf6X.

(A) One quarter of the offspring from phenofemales (XY*) and males (XY) carries two Y-chromosomes (YY*), does not survive until hatching, and shows severe malformations within the egg compared to normally developed animals (here: XX at 0 dph). Shown are eggs from two different *gdf6Y*-mutants (left – *gdf6Y$^{del6, del8}$*, right – *gdf6Y^{del9}*). Arrows: Black – eye, white – tail. RL – reflected light microscopy. *(B)* Schematic of the *gdf6X* inactivation and *(C)* the obtained open reading frame disruption. *(D)* The phenotype of heterozygous *gdf6X$^-$* females (XX*) and males (X*Y). 1 m – 1 month. *(E)* Homozygous *gdf6X$^-$* (*gdf6X^{del113}*) hatchlings (X*X*; n = 15) have a detrimental curled phenotype (two manifestations shown), which prevents regular swimming behavior, while siblings (X*X, X*Y, XY; n = 34) are normal. X*Y shown for comparison. *(A, E)* Pictures of pre- or post-hatchlings acquired by brightfield microscopy if not indicated otherwise. *(A, C, E)* Scale bars: Black – 500 µm, white – 10 mm. *(F)* Luciferase reporter assay to test the differential activation of Smad1, 2, 3, 5, and 9 upon expression of *gdf6X* or *gdf6Y* compared to *gdf6Y* mutant variants (*gdf6Y^{del9}* and *gdf6Y^{ins25}*). Gdf6Y^{del9} has an in-frame 9 bp deletion in the prodomain's CDS, while *gdf6Y^{ins25}* harbors a 25 bp insertion at the same position leading to a frameshift and early Stop-codon. Statistical testing by a 2-way ANOVA and Holm-Šídák's multiple comparisons tests, (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, (****) $P<0.0001$.

Figure 3. Localization of the gonadal *gdf6Y* expression in XY animals.

Transcripts of *gdf6Y* (red) and the GC marker *ddx4* (green) as well as the *(A)* Sertoli or *(B)* somatic supporting cell marker *amh* (orange) were detected at *(A)* adult and *(B)* 0 dph stage. *(A, B)* White arrows – cells co-expressing *gdf6Y* and *amh*.

Figure 4. The *foxl2l* gene contributes to the female sex differentiation in *Nothobranchius furzeri* and is potentially repressed by *gdf6Y*.

(A) Expression analysis of *foxl2l* by RNA-Seq in the whole embryo at 10 dpf, the trunk at 0 or 3 dph, and the gonad at 3 months (m) of age in XX and XY animals. RPKM – Reads per kb of transcript per Million mapped reads. 2-way ANOVA and Šidák's post hoc test. *(B)* Expression of *foxl2l* on mRNA-level in trunks at 0 dph and adult gonads (2.4 m) of females (XX), phenofemales (XY*), and males (XY). *(C, D)* XX and XY gonadal expression at 0 dph of *foxl2l* (orange), the GC marker *ddx4* (green), and *(D) gdf6Y* (red). *(C, D)* Scale bar: 20 µm. *(E)* Expression of female marker genes on mRNA-level in trunks of females (XX), phenofemales (XY*), and males (XY) and their mosaic *foxl2l⁻* counterparts at 0 dph. *(B, E)* 1-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. *(A, B, E)* (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, (***) $P<0.001$, (****) $P<0.0001$.

A DEGs in murine Sertoli-like TM4 cells (n=42)

B ORA: KEGG pathways (FDR ≤ 0.05)

C UP-regulated genes   DOWN-regulated genes

D *id1*

E *zfp36l2*

Figure 5. Gdf6Y leads to the specific regulation of certain genes independent of the targeted cell's identity.

(A) Heatmap of DEGs between murine Sertoli-like TM4 cells transfected with a *gdf6Y* expression plasmid and TM4 cells transfected with either a *gdf6Y* mutant variant (*gdf6Y^{del9}*) expression plasmid or an empty vector (EV). *(B)* Overrepresentation analysis (ORA) of KEGG pathways within the 42 DEGs identified in TM4 cells. Genes of the "TGF-beta signaling pathway" are boxed and highlighted in bold letters in *(A)*. FDR – false discovery rate. *(C)* Overlap of the up- or downregulated DEGs identified in TM4 cells with those identified in human HeLa cells. The common genes are highlighted in red (upregulated genes) or blue (the downregulated gene) in *(A)*. *(D)* The expression of *id1* derived from RNA-Seq data in whole embryos at 10 dpf, embryo trunks at 0 or 3 dph, and gonads at 3 months of age in female and male *N. furzeri*. 2-way ANOVA and Šídák's multiple comparisons test between females and males. (**) $P<0.01$. *(E)* RT-qPCR analysis of the *zfp36l2* expression in *N. furzeri* gonads of 3 months old females, males, and phenofemales carrying the *gdf6Y^{del9}* mutation. 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with males, (****) $P<0.0001$.

Supplemental Figure S1. Comparison of Gdf6X and Gdf6Y and analysis of mosaic *gdf6Y* mutants.

(A) Alignment of 1. Gdf6X and 2. Gdf6Y amino acid sequences (one-letter code). Sequence differences are highlighted in gray for similar and black for dissimilar amino acids. *(B)* Schematic of *gdf6Y* mutant analysis (Created with BioRender.com). T7EI – T7 endonuclease I. *(C)* Ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel picture of the sgRNA Y1 and Y2 target locus PCR on fin tissue lysates from the 16 phenotypically female CRISPR/Cas9-mutated animals. The sex chromosomes of each individual, as derived from the gonosome PCR, are indicated below the samples. *(D)* Result of the clonal sequence analysis of the 8 CRISPR/Cas9-mutated XY animals. Left: Average mutation rates in *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* with SEM and individual values. Right: *Gdf6Y* mutation rates in the individual animal samples are separated into the different observed mutation types. Apart from insertion and deletion (indel) events at either or both sgRNA target sites, partial gene conversion between *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* was observed probably due to homology-directed repair of DNA double-strand breaks. *(E)* Ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel picture of the T7EI assay on target locus PCR products from the 8 CRISPR/Cas9-mutated XX animals. No mutations were detected compared to the CRISPR/Cas9-mutated XY animal sample. *(F)* Partial sequence alignments with chromatograms of target locus PCR products from the 8 CRISPR/Cas9-mutated XX animals. No double peaks or decreased sequence qualities (light blue columns) were detected in chromatograms at the putative Cas9 cleavage sites mediated by sgRNA Y1 and Y2 (dashed lines).

Supplemental Figure S2. YY* embryo and *gdf6X* mutant phenotypes.

(A) One quarter of a phenofemale's (*gdf6Y^del9^*) offspring develops malformations. *(B)* Ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel picture of a molecular sexing assay utilizing an amplicon length polymorphism between the X and Y chromosomes. In malformed embryos, only the Y-chromosomal fragment was detected indicating their identity as YY* embryos (*gdf6Y^del6, del8^*). *(C)* Dechorionated YY* embryos (*gdf6Y^del113^*) have unpigmented and malformed tails as well as smaller eyes than normally developing e.g., XX, animals at 0 dph. *(D)* Left: H&E staining of normally developed (XX) and underdeveloped YY* (*gdf6Y^del6, del8^*) eyes at 0 dph or 19-26 dpf, respectively. Right: Quantification of diameters (median with interquartile range) of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) and the inner plexiform layer (IPL) of the retinas of normally developed and YY* (*gdf6Y^del6, del8^*) animals. The ONL consists of the photoreceptor cell bodies and the IPL contains the synapses between the bipolar cell axons and the dendrites of the ganglion and amacrine cells. Layer diameter was measured at three different positions per sample using ZEN 2.6 (Blue Edition, Zeiss) and averaged. Statistical testing by a two-tailed, unpaired *t*-test. *(E)* Result of the sequence analysis with the Synthego ICE tool (v3.0) of the 19 *gdf6X*-mosaic animals created with CRISPR/Cas9. Statistical testing by a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test corrected for multiple testing with the Holm-Šídák method. *(F)* Distribution according to gonosomes and representation of the phenotypes of *gdf6X*-mosaic animals. Predominantly females (X*X*) had a detrimental curled phenotype, while one-sided microphthalmia was present only in two males (X*Y). *(G) Gdf6X/gdf6Y* double mutant hatchlings (X*Y*; n = 30) have a detrimental curled phenotype (two manifestations shown), which prevents regular swimming behavior, while siblings (XX*, XY; n = 59) are normal in this regard. XX* shown for comparison. *(C, F, G)* Scale bars: 500 μm. *(H)* Schematic of the chromosomal location and expression of *pctp* and *rnf19a* in trunks

of XX, XY*, XY, and YY* embryos at 11 dpf. SDR – sex-determining region. Sgr05 – synteny group 5. Statistical testing by a 1-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. *(D, E, H)* (**) *P<0.01,* (***) *P<0.001,* (****) *P<0.0001.*

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE S3

Supplemental Figure S3. *Gdf6Y* **expression in** *N. furzeri.*

(A) Expression of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* together and separately relative to *rpl13a* in gonads of adult females (XX) and males (XY). *(B, C, D, E, F)* Transcripts of *gdf6Y* (red) and the GC marker *ddx4* (green) as well as one somatic cell marker were detected. Scale bars: 20 µm. The somatic cell markers *dmrt1 (B, D)* and *wt1a (C, E)* were detected in the testes of adult *(B, C)* and 0 dph animals *(D, E). (F)* The somatic cell marker *amh* (orange) was detected in a 0 dph ovary. *(G)* Whole mount *in situ* hybridization of MZM0403 hatchlings with *sybu*-mRNA antisense (females: n = 5, males: n = 3) and sense probes (n = 8; definitive females: n = 3, definitive males: n = 3). *(H)* Expression analysis of *sybu* by RNA-Seq in the whole embryo at 10 dpf, the trunk at 0 or 3 dph, and the gonad at 3 months (m) of age in XX and XY animals. RPKM – Reads per kb of transcript per Million mapped reads. *(A, H)* Statistical testing by a 2-way ANOVA and Šidák's post hoc test between XX and XY, (**) $P<0.01$, (****) $P<0.0001$.

Supplemental Figure S4. DNA methylation of the genomic region between *sybu* and *gdf6X/Y* on the sex chromosomes in gonads.

(A) Schematic of the analyzed region of the X and Y chromosome containing Y exclusive TEs (*gdf6Y*-distal TE: NfRep007207 of unknown identity; *gdf6Y*-proximal TE: partial retrotransposon NfRep000041 of LINE/RTE-BovB type; source: https://nfingb.leibniz-fli.de). Insets show the CpG positions of the analyzed subregions. *(B)* Heatmaps of CpG methylation rates on the X and Y chromosomes in the gonads of the analyzed individuals (n=5). For some locations, the *sybu* sense strand (bottom panels) was analyzed in addition to the *gdf6X/Y* sense strand (upper panels). *(C)* Schematic and sex-specific expression of three different *sybu* transcripts in the gonads of females (XX), phenofemales (XY*), and males (XY). Exons and transcript-specific primer pairs are indicated with triangles (black – transcript variants 1-3, gray – variant 3, white – variant 1 and 2). *Sybu* cDNA sequences indicate an X-exclusive transcription in males, while expression from the Y chromosome is reactivated in phenofemales. Statistical testing by a 2-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test, (***) $P<0.001$, (****) $P<0.0001$.

Supplemental Figure S5. RNA-Seq results show that ovarian development starts before 0 dph in *N. furzeri.*

(A) Volcano plots of DEGs between XX and XY animals in the whole embryo at 10 dpf and in trunk parts at 0 and 3 dph as derived from a respective previously published data set (XX at 0 dph n=2, others n=3; Reichwald et al. 2015). *(B)* Expression of the three top DEGs at 10 dpf in trunks of XX, XY*, and XY animals at 0 dph. Statistical testing by a 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with XX animals, (*) $P<0.05$, (****) $P<0.0001$. *(C)* Overlap of female and male DEGs between 0 and 3 dph. *(D, E, F)* Overrepresentation analysis (ORA) of KEGG pathways within the male- *(D)* and female-specific *(E)* DEGs at 0 dph as well as the female-specific *(F)* DEGs at 3 dph.

Supplemental Figure S6. Expression of oogenesis-associated genes in mosaic *foxl2l*-mutants and *gdf6Y*-transgenic animals.

(A) Expression analysis of *foxl2* by RNA-Seq in the whole embryo at 10 dpf, the trunk at 0 or 3 dph, and the gonad at 3 months (m) of age in XX and XY animals. 2-way ANOVA and Šidák's post hoc test. *(B)* Ovarian expression at 5 weeks of age of *foxl2* (yellow) and the GC marker *ddx4* (green). Nuclei are stained with 4′,6-Diamidin-2-phenylindol (DAPI, blue). Scale bar: 20 μm. *(C)* Frameshifts induced by one or both *foxl2l* sgRNAs lead to truncated Foxl2l-proteins (Foxl2l⁻). *(D)* Result of sequence analyses with the Synthego ICE tool (v3.0) of the 9 *foxl2l*-mosaic animals created with CRISPR/Cas9. *(E)* Expression of *gdf6X* and *gdf6Y* in trunks of females (XX), phenofemales (XY*), and males (XY) and their mosaic *foxl2l⁻* counterparts at 0 dph. Statistical testing by a 1-way ANOVA and Holm-Šídák's multiple comparisons tests. *(F)* Expression of female marker genes in trunks of females, phenofemales, and males and their mosaic *foxl2l⁻* counterparts at 0 dph. *(G)* Schematic of Tol2-transgene to drive ubiquitous *gdf6Y*-expression with a *D. rerio* (*D. r.*) *actb2* promoter in *N. furzeri* (*N. f.*). Heart-specific *EGFP expression* by a *D. r. myl7* promoter is used as a selection marker. *(H)* Expression of female marker genes in trunks of females, males, and their mosaic *gdf6Y*-transgenic (tg(*gdf6Y*)) counterparts at 0 dph. *(F, H)* Statistical testing by a 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with XX animals. *(I)* Expression of *gdf6Y* in trunks of females, males, and their mosaic *gdf6Y*-transgenic counterparts at 0 dph. Statistical testing by a 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with XY animals. *(E, F, H, I)* (*) $P<0.05$, (**) $P<0.01$, (***) $P<0.001$, (****) $P<0.0001$. *(J)* Curled *gdf6Y*-transgenic XY-larva with heart-specific EGFP expression (green).

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE S7

A DEGs in murine Sertoli-like TM4 cells

UP-regulated genes

DOWN-regulated genes

gdf6Y vs. EV · *gdf6Y* vs. *gdf6Y^{del9}*

497 | 20 | 27

gdf6Y vs. EV · *gdf6Y* vs. *gdf6Y^{del9}*

723 | 22 | 12

B DEGs in human HeLa cells

UP-regulated genes

DOWN-regulated genes

gdf6Y vs. EV · *gdf6Y* vs. *gdf6Y^{del9}*

1694 | 805 | 515

gdf6Y vs. EV · *gdf6Y* vs. *gdf6Y^{del9}*

1521 | 492 | 532

C HEK293 cells

ID1, ID2, ID3, LXN, SMAD6, SMAD7, ZFP36L2

EV / *gdf6Y^{del9}* / *gdf6Y*

n = 6

D *N. furzeri*

id3, smad6a, smad7, smad6b, zfp36l2

female / male

E zfp36l2

female / phenofemale / male

Supplemental Figure S7. Gdf6Y-responsive genes in different cell lines and *N. furzeri*.

(A) Overlap of the up- or downregulated DEGs between murine Sertoli-like TM4 cells transfected with either a *gdf6Y* or a *gdf6Y* mutant variant (*gdf6Y^{del9}*) expression plasmid and TM4 cells transfected with either a *gdf6Y* expression plasmid or an empty vector (EV). *(B)* Overlap of the up- or downregulated DEGs between human HeLa cells transfected with either a *gdf6Y* or a *gdf6Y* mutant variant (*gdf6Y^{del9}*) expression plasmid and TM4 cells transfected with either a *gdf6Y* expression plasmid or an empty vector (EV). *(C)* RT-qPCR analysis of the genes commonly up- or downregulated in TM4 and HeLa cells in human HEK293 cells transfected with an expression plasmid for *gdf6Y* or a *gdf6Y* mutant variant (*gdf6Y^{del9}*) or an empty vector (EV). 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with the *gdf6Y* transfected cells. *(D)* The expression of *id3*, *smad6a*, *smad6b*, *smad7*, and *zfp36l2* derived from RNA-Seq data in whole embryos at 10 dpf, embryo trunks at 0 or 3 dph and gonads at 3 months of age in female and male *N. furzeri*. 2-way ANOVA and Šídák's multiple comparisons test between females and males. *(E)* RT-qPCR analysis of the *zfp36l2* expression in *N. furzeri* gonads of 3 months old females, males, and phenofemales carrying the frameshift mutation *gdf6Y^{del113}*. 1-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test with males. *(C, D, E)* (*) *P<0.05*, (***) *P<0.001*, (****) *P<0.0001*.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Supplemental Table S1. Mutations of *gdf6Y* and *gdf6X* used in this work. (*) Artificial mutation used in *in vitro* analysis.

Name	Sequence at Cas9 cleavage site (|) with		Figure
	sgRNA X/Y 1	sgRNA X/Y 2	
gdf6Y	**AAAGG|TCGGGG**	**GGTTCTGGACG|** **TATGGGACGCG**	**wild-type**
gdf6Y^{del4, ins3}	AAAGG|----GG	GGTTCTGGACG| CGTTATGGGACGCG	1E, F; 4B 2.4 m; S4C
gdf6Y^{del4, ins13}	AAAGG|----GG	GGTTCTGGACG| CGTTAAGTCTGATTATGGGACGCG	
gdf6Y^{del4}	AAAGG|TCGGGG	GGATATGG---| -ATGGGACGCG	
gdf6Y^{del6, del8}	AA---|---GGG	GGT--------| TATGGGACGCG	2A; S2B, D
gdf6Y^{del9}	AAAGG|TCGGGG	GGTTCTGGACG| ---------CG	2A, F; 4E; 5A, C, E; S2A, G, H; S5B; S6C-F; S7A-C
gdf6Y^{del113}	AAAGG|------	----------| ----GGACGCG	4B 0 dph; S2C; S4B; S7E
(*) *gdf6Y^{ins25}*	AAAGG|TCGGGG	GGTTCTGGACG|CGTTTAAAGCACATCGGCTGGGAGGTTCTGGACGCG	2F
gdf6X	**AAAAG|TCGGGG**	**GGTTCTGGATG|** **TATGGGACGCG**	**wild-type**
gdf6X^{del113}	AAA--|------	----------| --TGGGACGCG	2D, E

Supplemental Table S2. Oligonucleotides used for the synthesis of sgRNA *in vitro* transcription templates.

Name	Sequence (sgRNA target bold)	Application
sgRNA_Gdf6_2_fw	TAGG**AAGGGACTGTGCAAAGGTCG**	Cloning of sgRNA Y1
sgRNA_Gdf6_2_rv	AAAC**CGACCTTTGCACAGTCCCTT**	
sgRNA_Gdf6_4_fw	TAGG**CGGCTGGGAGGTTCTGGACGTAT**	Cloning of sgRNA Y2
sgRNA_Gdf6_4_rv	AAAC**ATACGTCCAGAACCTCCCAGCCG**	
T7sgRgdf6X1F	GAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGG**AAGGGAATGCGCAAAAGTCG** GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAG	Amplification of sgRNA X1
T7sgRgdf6X2F	GAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGG**CGGCTGGGAGGTTCTGGATGTAT** GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAG	Amplification of sgRNA X2
T7sgRfoxl2l2F	GAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGG**GAAGCCCCCTATTCCTACG** GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAG	Template for *foxl2l*-sgRNA1
T7sgRfoxl2l3F	GAAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGG**AAGGTGCCCCGAGAGCGCGG** GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAG	Template for *foxl2l*-sgRNA2
sgRNAR	AAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGC CTTATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAC	Template for sgRNAs
T7F	GAAATTAATACGACTCAC	Amplification sgRNAs
pDRrvs	AAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGC	

Supplemental Table S3. RNAscope probes purchased from Advanced Cell Diagnostics.

Name	Targeted transcript (NCBI Reference Sequence)	Catalogue-No.
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-ddx4	XM_015957842.1 (*ddx4*)	563361
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-amh-C2	XM_015977279.1 (*amh*)	830891-C2
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-LOC107386104-C2	XM_015960292.1 (*dmrt1*)	830901-C2
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-LOC107389222-C2	XM_015965254.1 (*wt1a*)	830871-C2
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-LOC107390636-C2	XM_015967458.1 (*foxl2l*)	831201-C2
RNAscope® Probe - Nf-gdf6y-C3	*gdf6Y*-transcript (Reichwald et al. 2015)	563381-C3

Supplemental Table S4. Oligonucleotides used for RT-qPCR.

Name	Sequence	Usage
qRPL13a_ex4/5_fw	ACTGTCAGAGGCATGCTTCC	Quantification of *rpl13a* as universal *N. furzeri* reference gene
qRPL13a_ex6_rv	GGCGCACAATTTTCAGAGCA	
eef1a1l1F	GAAGGAAGCCGCCGAGATG	Quantification of *eef1a1l1* as 2ⁿᵈ *N. furzeri* reference gene (Fig. 4E *tacc3*; S6F *cyp19a1a*, *rec8*; S6H, I)
eef1a1l1R	CAATGATGGTCACGTAGTACTTG	
cyp19a1aqF1	TTGGGATGCATGAGAGAGGC	Quantification of *cyp19a1a* in adult *N. furzeri* gonads
cyp19a1aqR1	GAGTCTGTGTGGAGGAGATGC	
cyp19a1aF2	GCAGATCTCATATTTGCACAAAACC	Quantification of *cyp19a1a* in *N. furzeri* trunks at 0 dph
cyp19a1aR2	CGTTCTGAAGCTCTTCTCCTAC	
dmrt1ex3qF1	CTGTGGAAGGACGTTCCAGC	Quantification of *dmrt1* in *N. furzeri*
dmrt1ex4qR1	GTCTCCAGCAGCAGGTCAG	
foxl2-qRTF	GGTCCAGGAGAAAGTCACGG	Quantification of *foxl2* in *N. furzeri*
foxl2-qRTR	GCTGTTCTGCCATCCCTTCT	
foxl3-qRTF1	GACCGGAAAAGCAACTTCTG	Quantification of *foxl2l* in *N. furzeri* trunks at 0 dph
foxl3-qRTR1	CGGTCATGTAAGGCACACTG	
foxl3-qRTF2	GATCCCGCATTTGAAGACAT	Quantification of *foxl2l* in adult *N. furzeri* gonads
foxl3-qRTR2	GGAGCCGCTCACATACTGAT	
Nf_Gdf6a_fw1	TTCCGCTCCTCTAAATCTGC	Quantification of *gdf6X* & *gdf6Y* in *N. furzeri* (Fig. 1E)
Nf_Gdf6a_rv1	TTCCTGAAAATCCGCAGTTC	
gdf6ex1qF1	CCATAACGAGTTTTGTGGACAGAGG	Quantification of *gdf6X* & *gdf6Y* in *N. furzeri* (Fig. S3A)
gdf6ex2qR1	CTGAAAATCCGCAGTTCCGC	
gdf6ex1qF1	CCATAACGAGTTTTGTGGACAGAGG	Quantification of *gdf6X* in *N. furzeri* (Fig. S3A; S6E)
Nf_Gdf6_rv4	GCGCTGCTGCTGATGATAGT	
gdf6ex1qF1	CCATAACGAGTTTTGTGGACAGAGG	Quantification of *gdf6Y* in *N. furzeri* (Fig. S3A; S6E)
Nf_Gdf6Y_rv4	GCGCTGCTGATGATGATGCC	
gdf6Y_3UTR_F1	ACTGAACGATTAATCCACCAGAC	Quantification of *gdf6Y* in *N. furzeri* (Fig. 1E)
gdf6/Y_3UTR_R11	ATAATAATGAACAGCAGTGCATTC	
KDM6B-F3	CAGACCACGCTACGGAAAAG	Quantification of Nfu_g_1_015428 in *N. furzeri*
KDM6B-R23	CCTCCGCATCTGCCTCC	
LOC100572914-F6	AAGGACCATAGTGGCTGTCG	Quantification of Nfu_g_1_014802 in *N. furzeri*
LOC100572914-R5	CGAGGTCTTCACAGCACGTTT	
PCTPfw3	GGTAAACTGGGCAGCAAAGAG	Quantification of *pctp* in *N. furzeri*
PCTPrv3	GGTGGACACTTTCCCATCAG	
rec8F2	TTACCACCAGAGGCAAACCG	Quantification of *rec8* in *N. furzeri*
rec8R2	GATGTCTCCAAACGGCTCATG	
rnf19a_fwd1	TGGTGCCCATCTCTCTGTGT	Quantification of *rnf19a* in *N. furzeri*

rnf19a_rev1	TCTGCTACTGATGTCATGTCGG	
Sybu_fw1	CAACCCAGAGCAGTACCTGA	Quantification of all *sybu* transcript variants in *N. furzeri*
Sybu_rv1	TCTTCCCTCATTCTGCCAAG	
Sybu_fw7	AAGCTGCTGTGTGAAGCAGA	Quantification of *sybu* transcript variant 1 & 2 in *N. furzeri*
Sybu_rv2	CCTCTTCACTTTGGCTTTGC	
Sybu_fw9	GGAAGATCACTGAGTTTTGACC	Quantification of *sybu* transcript variant 3 in *N. furzeri*
Sybu_rv3	CAGGTACTGCTCTGGGTTGG	
TACC3_F2	ACCAACAGCTGAGCCCAAC	Quantification of *tacc3* in *N. furzeri*
TACC3_R2	TAGCTGCTGATCGGGCTG	
FIZ1-F3	CAGGACGATCACTCAGAACAAG	Quantification of *zfp2* in *N. furzeri*
FIZ1-R13	GCTCACTCTGCTCCTCTTC	
zfp36l2qRTF2	CGTCCAAACCGTGCTTCTTG	Quantification of *zfp36l2* in *N. furzeri*
zfp36l2qRTR2	TCTTTAGCGCACAGCTGGAA	
ZPC-F2	GACTGACCCCCGATCACAG	Quantification of *zp3* in *N. furzeri*
ZPC-R2	CTCATCTCCAGTAACCAGTTTCC	
ZPB_F	GGACCAAGAGGATCCATCAC	Quantification of *zp4* in *N. furzeri*
ZPB_R	CCCTGCAGCCGCCAC	
HsPUM1qRTF	TGCGGGAGATTGCTGGACAT	Quantification of *PUM1* as universal human reference gene (Rácz et al. 2021)
HsPUM1qRTR	GTGTGGCACGCTCCAGTTTC	
HsID1qRTF1	AGAACCGCAAGGTGAGCAAGG	Quantification of human *ID1*
HsID1qRTR1	AGGAACGCATGCCGCCTCG	
HsID2qRTF	CCTGCATCACCAGAGACCCG	Quantification of human *ID2*
HsID2qRTR	CAGAAGGGAATTCAGAAGCCTGC	
HsID3qRTF	CCTGACACCTCCAGAACGCA	Quantification of human *ID3*
HsID3qRTR	GAAGTTGGGGCCCATCCCTG	
HsLXNqRTF	GCCTGGGTTGCCTGTGGTTA	Quantification of human *LXN*
HsLXNqRTF	TGCCAGAGAACTTGCATTTGCC	
HsSMAD6qRTF	CGCGACGAGTACAAGCCACT	Quantification of human *SMAD6*
HsSMAD6qRTR	CGGAGACATGCTGGCGTCTG	
HsSMAD7qRTF	TGCAACCCCCATCACCTTAGC	Quantification of human *SMAD7*
HsSMAD7qRTR	CCCCCTGTTTCAGCGGAGGA	
HsZFP36L2qRTF	CAAGGGCTCCTCCCGACCTC	Quantification of human *ZFP36L2*
HsZFP36L2qRTR	CCAGGGATTTCTCTGTCTTGCAC	