Complexes of vertebrate TMC1/2 and CIB2/3 proteins form hair-cell

mechanotransduction cation channels

Arnaud P. J. Giese[1,#,*], Wei-Hsiang Weng[2,3,*], Katie S. Kindt[4], Hui Ho Vanessa Chang[5],

Jonathan S. Montgomery[2,6], Evan M. Ratzan[7], Alisha J. Beirl[4], Roberto Aponte Rivera[4], Jeffrey

M. Lotthammer[2], Sanket Walujkar[2], Mark P. Foster[2,3,6], Omid A. Zobeiri[8], Jeffrey R. Holt[7],

Saima Riazuddin[1], Kathleen E. Cullen[9], Marcos Sotomayor[2,3,6] , Zubair M. Ahmed[1,10,11]

[1]Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery, University of Maryland School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[2]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.

[3]Biophysics Graduate Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.

[4]Section on Sensory Cell Development and Function, National Institute on Deafness and Other

Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

[5]Department of Physiology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.

[6]Ohio State Biochemistry Program, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.

[7]Departments of Otolaryngology and Neurology, F.M. Kirby Neurobiology Center, Boston

Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

[8]Department of Biomedical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.

[9]Departments of Biomedical Engineering, Neuroscience, and Otolaryngology and Head and

Neck Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[10]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Maryland School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[11]Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Maryland School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[#]Current address: SENSORION SA, Montpellier, France.

*Contributed equally.

Correspondance:

Emails: sotomayor@uchicago.edu; zmahmed@som.umaryland.edu

Keywords: CIB2, CIB3, mechanotransduction, TMC1, TMC2, Vestibular hair cells

Abstract

Calcium and integrin-binding protein 2 (CIB2) and CIB3 bind to transmembrane channel-

like 1 (TMC1) and TMC2, the pore-forming subunits of the inner-ear mechano-electrical

transduction (MET) apparatus. These interactions have been proposed to be functionally relevant

across mechanosensory organs and vertebrate species. Here we show that both CIB2 and CIB3 can

form heteromeric complexes with TMC1 and TMC2 and are integral for MET function in mouse

cochlea and vestibular end organs as well as in zebrafish inner ear and lateral line. Our AlphaFold

2 models suggest that vertebrate CIB proteins can simultaneously interact with at least two

cytoplasmic domains of TMC1 and TMC2 as validated using nuclear magnetic resonance

spectroscopy of TMC1 fragments interacting with CIB2 and CIB3. Molecular dynamics

simulations of TMC1/2 complexes with CIB2/3 predict that TMCs are structurally stabilized by

CIB proteins to form cation channels. Overall, our work demonstrates that intact CIB2/3 and

TMC1/2 complexes are integral to hair-cell MET function in vertebrate mechanosensory epithelia.

Introduction

The vertebrate senses of hearing and balance depend on the process of mechano-electrical transduction (MET) in inner-ear hair cells. This process is initiated when mechanical forces from sound waves and head movements deflect specialized mechanosensory projections at the apical surface of hair cells, known as stereocilia (reviewed in Fettiplace, 2017; Frolenkov et al., 2004; Gillespie and Müller, 2009). Deflections of stereocilia result in tensioning of the extracellular tip links that convey mechanical force to the MET channels. Mature tip links are heterotetrameric filaments composed of cadherin 23 (CDH23) and protocadherin 15 (PCDH15) (Ahmed et al., 2006; Kazmierczak et al., 2007; Siemens et al., 2004; Söllner et al., 2004; Sotomayor et al., 2012). In mammalian auditory hair cells, MET channels are localized at the PCDH15 end of the tip link (Beurg et al., 2009). Significant progress in our understanding of MET has been recently made by identifying the transmembrane channel-like proteins 1 and 2 (TMC1 and TMC2) as the pore-forming subunits of the MET channel (Kawashima et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2018). In hair cells, two other transmembrane proteins, LHFPL5 and TMIE, are essential for sustained hearing. LHFPL5 binds to PCDH15 and may regulate force transmission to TMC1 and TMC2 (Qiu et al., 2023; Xiong et al., 2012). TMIE also interacts with TMC1/2 and regulates unitary conductance and ion selectivity of the MET channels (Cunningham et al., 2020; Naz et al., 2002). Interestingly, MET currents can still be recorded from hair cells lacking LHFPL5 or TMIE, suggesting that neither are essential for channel function (Fettiplace et al., 2022), yet all are needed for sustained hair-cell transduction and normal hearing. In zebrafish, Tmcs, Lhfpl5, Tmie, and Pcdh15 are also essential for sensory transduction, suggesting that these molecules form the core MET complex in all vertebrate hair cells (Chen et al., 2020; Erickson et al., 2019, 2017; Ernest et al., 2000; Gleason et al., 2009; Gopal et al., 2015; Maeda et al., 2017, 2014; Pacentine and Nicolson, 2019; Phillips et

al., 2011; Seiler et al., 2004; Söllner et al., 2004).

We previously showed that calcium (Ca^{2+}) and integrin-binding protein 2 (CIB2), and the

closely related CIB3, interact with TMC1/2 (Giese et al., 2017). Furthermore, we also

demonstrated that CIB2 is essential for MET function in auditory hair cells (Giese et al., 2017).

Recessive variants of *CIB2* predominantly cause nonsyndromic DFNB48 hearing loss in humans

(Booth et al., 2018; Michel et al., 2017; Riazuddin et al., 2012; Seco et al., 2016; Wang et al.,

2017). Similarly, recessive alleles of *Cib2* cause hearing loss in mice but no vestibular deficits

(Giese et al., 2017; Michel et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). In zebrafish, knockdown of *Cib2*

diminishes both the acoustic startle response and mechanosensitive responses of lateral-line hair

cells (Riazuddin et al., 2012). CIB2 belongs to a family of four closely related proteins (CIB1-4)

that have partial functional redundancy and similar structural domains, with at least two

Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}-binding EF-hand motifs that are highly conserved for CIB2/3 (Huang et al., 2012).

Wang and co-authors investigated the function of *Cib1* in cochlear hair cells through generation

of a knockout strain and concluded that although *Cib1* is expressed in the mouse cochlear hair

cells, loss of CIB1 protein did not affect auditory function (Wang et al., 2017). A recent study

demonstrated that while CIB2 is essential for proper localization of TMC1/2 at the tips of auditory

hair cell stereocilia, CIB3 can restore MET currents in cochlear hair cells lacking CIB2 (Liang et

al., 2021). The same study reported a crystal structure of a TMC1 intracellular linker in complex

with CIB3, which suggests that CIB2/3 proteins are likely to be auxiliary subunits of hair cell MET

channels (Liang et al., 2021).

Previous work on CIB proteins primarily focused on auditory hair cells, leaving open the

question of whether they play a role in vestibular function. This question was addressed in part by

another recent study that reported vestibular deficits in mice lacking both CIB2 and CIB3 (Wang

et al., 2023). However, additional evidence showing that CIB2/3 can function and interact with

TMC1/2 proteins across sensory organs, hair-cell types, and species is still needed. Here we

provide further evidence that CIB2 and CIB3 are indeed evolutionary conserved and functionally

redundant components of the vertebrate transduction apparatus necessary for MET channel activity

in mouse vestibular hair cells, as well as in zebrafish mechanosensory epithelia. Moreover, based

on our structural analyses and simulations, we propose that CIB2 and CIB3 are required for

stabilization and channel function of the MET proteins TMC1 and TMC2.

Results

CIB2 and CIB3 are expressed in mouse vestibular hair cells

We and others previously demonstrated that CIB2 is essential for MET in auditory hair

cells (Giese et al., 2017; Michel et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). In contrast to auditory hair cells,

we found that the vestibular hair cells in $Cib2^{KO/KO}$ mice apparently have MET. We assessed MET

via uptake of FM 1-43 (Figure 1A), a styryl dye that mostly permeates into hair cells through

functional MET channels (Meyers et al., 2003), indicating that there may be another CIB protein

playing a functionally redundant role. Bulk RNA sequencing revealed that all four members of the

Cib family are expressed in mouse inner ear tissues (Figure 1B). However, when assessed by single

cell RNA sequencing analysis, only *Cib2* and *Cib3* are expressed in immature hair cells in the

mouse utricle (Figure 1C), a vestibular sensory organ required to detect linear acceleration

(McInturff et al., 2018). Further, we find that the expression of *Cib2* and *Cib3* is maintained in

mature type 1 and type 2 utricular hair cells (Figure 1C). We also examined the expression of *Tmc1*

and *Tmc2* in utricular hair cells and found that in immature hair cells *Tmc1* is highly expressed,

while *Tmc2* expression is low (Figure 1C). *Tmc2* expression increases during development but

remains below *Tmc1* levels in both type 1 and type 2 hair cells upon maturation (Figure 1C). CIB2

and CIB3 have been reported to interact with TMC1/2, and CIB2 is essential for auditory hair cell

MET (Giese et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021). The co-expression of *Cib2* and *Cib3* in developing

and mature vestibular hair bundles, and the ability of CIB2/3 to interact with TMC1 and TMC2,

all make them attractive candidates to regulate MET function in vestibular hair cells.

CIB3 is not required for hearing function in mice

To evaluate whether CIB3 regulates MET function both in auditory and vestibular hair

cells, we generated *Cib3* knockout (*Cib3^{KO}*) mice using CRISPR/Cas9 technology (Figure 1D).

An indel in exon 4 of *Cib3* was introduced leading to a nonsense mutation (p.Asp54*), thus causing

a loss of allele function (Figure 1E-F). We first characterized hearing function in *Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* and

control littermate mice at P16 by measuring auditory-evoked brainstem responses (ABRs). Normal

ABR waveforms and thresholds were observed in *Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* indicating normal hearing. Next, we

investigated if there was a functional redundancy between CIB2 and CIB3 in auditory hair cells.

For this analysis, the *Cib3^{KO}* allele was introduced into the *Cib2^{KO}* background. Similar to what

has been previously reported in *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$* mice (Giese et al., 2017; Michel et al., 2017; Wang et

al., 2017), the *Cib2:Cib3* double knockout (*Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*) mice were also profoundly deaf.

The *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice did not respond to click or strong tone burst stimuli at 100 dB sound

pressure level (SPL) (Figure 2A-B). As reported previously for *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$* mice, we observed that

auditory hair cells in *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice did not accumulate FM 1-43 dye (Figure 2C).

Also, similar to *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$* mice (Giese et al., 2017; Michel et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017), we

observed progressive degeneration of auditory hair cells in *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice (Figure 2D).

Taken together with previous studies, our results confirm that CIB2 is indispensable for hearing

and MET function in the auditory hair cells.

CIB2 and CIB3 are required for vestibular function in mice

After we assessed auditory function, we turned our analyses toward vestibular function.

Similar to *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$* mice (Giese et al., 2017), *Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice did not display any obvious

indications of vestibular dysfunction, such as circling, hyperactivity or head bobbing, performed

well when exploratory behavior was tested, and had normal vestibulo-ocular reflexes (Figure 3).

In contrast, visual observation of the *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice indicated altered exploratory

behavior in an open-field test, suggesting an impairment of the vestibular system (Figure 3A-B).

To assess the implications of the *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice on vestibular function, we next

performed a series of tests, including: the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR), performance in a rotating

rod, and head movement analysis (Figure 3C-H). The VOR is mediated by a direct three neuron

pathway linking the vestibular nerve to the extraocular muscles and produces compensatory eye

movements in the direction opposite of the head movement to provide stable gaze (reviewed in

Cullen, 2019). The dynamic response properties (i.e., gain and phase) of the VOR can be precisely

quantified in response to rotations applied at different frequencies in darkness (VORd). In response

to VORd testing, *Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice generated robust compensatory eye movements (Figure

3C, black trace). In contrast, *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice generated negligible eye movements

(Figure 3C, red trace). Consistent with this observation, quantification of the VORd across

frequencies (Figure 3D) revealed gains near zero in the $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice that were

significantly reduced ($p<0.05$) compared to those measured in $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice.

Interestingly, the VORd gains of $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice also showed attenuation with a

significant decrease at 2 Hz (p<0.01) compared to $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice. On the other hand, the

response phase of VORd eye movements were comparable for $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{+/+};$

$Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice at all frequencies ($p>0.05$) (Figure 3D).

As a control for possible changes in visual system and/or ocular motor pathway, we also

quantified the optokinetic reflex (OKR), which generates eye movements in responses to a moving

visual scene and in light. The OKR normally works together with the VOR to stabilize the visual

field on the retina. Both $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ showed robust OKN responses

which similarly decreased as a function of frequency (Figure 3E; $p>0.05$). Likewise, OKR gain

values in $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ were comparable with the single exception of a significant gain

decrease at 0.2 Hz ($p<0.05$). Analysis of OKN similarly revealed comparable response phase for

all 3 groups of mice at all frequencies ($p>0.05$) with the exception that the $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$

mice demonstrated less lag at 0.8 and 2 Hz (Figure 3E-F). Overall, the OKR responses of mutant

and control mice were generally comparable.

In addition to generating compensatory VOR eye movements to stabilize gaze, the

vestibular system also plays a key role in the maintenance of posture and control of balance. Thus,

we also tested all 3 groups of mice on several vestibular tasks that assessed balance and postural

defects. First, quantification of open-field testing experiments confirmed that mice exhibited

circling – a behavior that is commonly associated with loss of vestibular function in mice (Figure

3B; p<0.002). We also completed rotarod testing in which performance was quantified by

measuring the amount of time the mouse remained on the rotating rod as its acceleration increased.

While $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice exhibited similar performance,

$Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice exhibited significantly poorer performance across all time points from

day 1 through day 4 (Figure 3G, p<0.002). Finally, it was also possible to distinguish

$Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice from the other two groups in their home cages since they commonly

displayed head bobbing, a trait also generally associated with vestibular dysfunction in mice. To

quantify head movement in our mice, we attached a 6D MEMS module consisting of three

gyroscopes and three linear accelerometers to the mouse's head implant (see Methods). Analysis

of power spectra of head motion revealed significantly higher power for $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice

in all six dimensions of motion, as compared to $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice

(Figure 3H, compare red vs. blue and black). Further, there were no significant differences in the

head movements power spectra of $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice (Figure 3H,

blue vs. black). Taken together our findings indicate that $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice show marked

deficits in vestibulomotor function when compared to both $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and

$Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice.

CIB2 and CIB3 are functional subunits of the MET apparatus in mouse vestibular hair cells

To understand the root cause of vestibulomotor function deficits described above for

$Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice, we first analyzed vestibular hair bundle morphology using confocal

microscopy. High-resolution confocal imaging did not reveal any obvious vestibular hair cell loss

in $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice (Figure 4A). Next, we visualized MET activity in vestibular hair cells

of saccular and utricular end organs of $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice using the styryl dye FM 1-43.

These vestibular end organs are divided into two main physically and functionally distinct

domains, a central striolar domain and a peripheral extrastriolar domain. At P5, FM 1-43 labeling

of $Cib2^{KO/KO}$; $Cib3^{KO/KO}$ tissue failed to label vestibular hair cells in appreciable numbers (Figure

4B). Similarly, fixable FM 1-43FX intraperitoneal injections at P60 also showed no dye uptake in

$Cib2^{KO/KO}$; $Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice (Figure 4C). $Cib2^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib3^{KO/KO}$ single mutant mice had dye

uptake both in striolar and extrastriolar hair cells, although a subtle reduction in FM 1-43FX was

observed in extrastriolar hair cells of both single mutants at P60 (Figure 4C). Taken together, these

results support compulsory but functionally redundant roles for CIB2 and CIB3 in the vestibular

hair cell MET complex.

Cib2 and Cib3 function is evolutionary conserved in zebrafish

Numerous studies have shown that the same proteins are required for hair cell MET in

zebrafish and mammals (Chen et al., 2020; Erickson et al., 2017; Ernest et al., 2000; Gleason et

al., 2009; Gopal et al., 2015; Maeda et al., 2017, 2014; Phillips et al., 2011; Seiler et al., 2004;

Söllner et al., 2004). Unlike mammals, the zebrafish inner ear does not have a cochlea. Instead,

zebrafish rely on their saccule for hearing and utricle for balance. Zebrafish also utilize hair cells

in a specialized sensory system, the lateral line, to detect local water movement. The hair cells

within both the zebrafish inner ear and lateral line share many morphologically similarities to

mammalian vestibular hair cells (Nicolson, 2017). Zebrafish scRNAseq data show that both *cib2*

and *cib3* are expressed in inner ear while primarily *cib2* is expressed in lateral-line hair cells (Baek

et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2023). Given the zebrafish reliance on the saccule for hearing and the

similarities between zebrafish hair cells to mammalian vestibular hair cells, we tested whether

Cib2 and/or Cib3 were required for hair cell MET in the zebrafish inner ear or lateral line.

To examine the impact of Cib2 and Cib3 loss in zebrafish, we created mutants using

CRISPR-Cas9 based methods to target each homologue (Varshney et al., 2016). Both mutants lead

to a stop codon in the last EF-hand domain (Figure 5-figure supplement 1). We first examined

auditory function by testing the acoustic startle reflex in *cib2* and *cib3* mutants. For our

experiments we used a Zantiks behavioral system to quantify the probability of generating a startle

in response to a vibrational tap stimulus. This response is thought to rely primarily on hair cells in

the zebrafish saccule and lateral-line system. We found that, compared to controls, the probability

of a startle response was significantly reduced in *cib2* mutants (Figure 5A, control: 0.71 ± 0.04;

cib2: 0.28 ± 0.06, $p = 0.005$). In contrast, *cib3* mutants startle with a similar frequency compared

to controls (Figure 5A, $p = 0.36$). Interestingly, contrary to mammals, where CIB2 is crucial for

hearing, acoustic startle responses were not completely eliminated in *cib2* mutants. One possibility

for this partial loss of acoustic startle is that Cib3 may be able to compensate for Cib2 in the

zebrafish. Therefore, we examined acoustic startle responses in *cib2;cib3* mutants. In *cib2;cib3*

mutant animals we observed a complete absence of startle responses (Figure 5A). Furthermore,

we observed that *cib2;cib3* mutants but not *cib2* or *cib3* mutants exhibited spontaneous circling

behavior, an additional indicator of inner ear dysfunction in zebrafish (Nicolson et al., 1998).

Together our behavioral results indicate that Cib2 and Cib3 are both required to ensure normal

acoustic startle responses in zebrafish. Furthermore, just loss of Cib2, but not Cib3 can impair

auditory function in zebrafish.

Cib2 and Cib3 are required for MET in the zebrafish lateral line

Our results demonstrate that both Cib2 and Cib3 are required for proper auditory function

in zebrafish. This raises the question of whether, similar to mice, these proteins are critical for

MET function in zebrafish hair cells. Therefore, we next turned our analysis to hair cells in

neuromast organs of the lateral line where methods to assess MET are well-documented and

straightforward (Lukasz and Kindt, 2018; Seiler et al., 2004).

First, to assess whether there were gross alterations to MET in lateral-line hair cells we

examined uptake of the FM 1-43. We found that, compared to controls, the percent of hair cells

per neuromast labeling with FM 1-43 was significantly reduced in *cib2* mutants (Figure 5B,D,E,

control: 97.6 ± 1.2; *cib2*: 37.5 ± 6.9, $p < 0.0001$). In contrast, a similar percent of hair cells per

neuromast labeled with FM 1-43 in *cib3* mutants, compared to controls (Figure 5B,D,F, *cib3*: 95.7

± 1.9, $p = 1.0$). We also quantified the average intensity of the FM 1-43 in hair cells (Figure 5C).

We found that, compared to controls, the average FM 1-43 label was reduced in *cib2* but not *cib3*

mutants (Figure 5C). In *cib2* mutants we did observe residual hair cells that labeled with FM 1-43.

We hypothesized that in lateral-line hair cells, similar to its role in zebrafish auditory function,

Cib3 may be able to substitute for Cib2 in MET. To assess whether Cib3 can substitute for Cib2,

we examined FM 1-43 labeling in *cib2;cib3* mutants. In *cib2;cib3* mutant animals we observed a

complete absence of FM 1-43 labeling in lateral-line hair cells (Figure 5B,D,G). Thus, taken

together the results of our FM 1-43 labeling analysis are consistent with a requirement for both

Cib2 and Cib3 to ensure normal MET in all lateral-line hair cells.

To obtain a more quantitative assessment of MET we used Ca^{2+} imaging to perform evoked

measurements of hair cell function. For this assessment, we used a transgenic line that expresses a

membrane-localized GCaMP6s (memGCaMP6s) specifically in hair cells (Figure 5H-I'). This

transgenic line has previously been used to measure Ca^{2+} influx into apical hair bundles while

stimulating lateral-line hair cells with a fluid-jet (Figure 5H-I') (Lukasz and Kindt, 2018). Using

this approach, we were able to stimulate and monitor stimulus-evoked mechanosensitive Ca^{2+}

responses in hair bundles within neuromast organs of each genotype (Representative responses:

Figure 5I-L). We quantified the number of hair bundles per neuromast with mechanosensitive Ca^{2+}

responses, and found that compared to controls, significantly fewer cells were mechanosensitive

in *cib2* and *cib2*;*cib3* mutants (Figure 5-figure supplement 2A, control: 92.2 ± 2.5; *cib2*: 49.9 ±

5.8, *cib2;cib3:* 19.0 ± 6.6, p > 0.0001). In contrast, in *cib3* mutants, the percent of

mechanosensitive cells per neuromast was similar compared to controls (Figure 5-figure

supplement 2A, *cib3*: 94.5 ± 1.8, p = 1.0). We also quantified the magnitude of the

mechanosensitive Ca^{2+} signal in the hair bundles, focusing on only the responsive hair cells for

each genotype. This quantification revealed that, while *cib3* mechanosensitive responses were not

different compared to controls (Figure 5-figure supplement 2B-C, GCaMP6s % ΔF/F control: 70.6

± 5.9; *cib3*: 71.6 ± 5.2, p > 1.0), the magnitude of responses in *cib2* and *cib2*;*cib3* hair bundles was

significantly reduced (Figure 5-figure supplement 2B-C, GCaMP6s % ΔF/F control: 70.6 ± 5.9;

cib2: 33.0 ± 5.8, *cib2;cib3:* 14.7 ± 1.9, p < 0.0001). Overall, our *in vivo* FM 1-43 and Ca^{2+}-imaging

analyses revealed that loss of Cib2 alone, but not Cib3 can impair mechanosensory function in the

majority of zebrafish lateral-line hair cells. Furthermore, Cib2 and Cib3 are both required to ensure

normal MET function in all lateral-line hair cells.

Cib2 is required in specific subsets of hair cells in the zebrafish lateral line and inner ear

Previous work has shown that within the zebrafish inner ear and lateral line there are

distinct hair cell subtypes that rely on different complements of the mechanosensitive ion channel

Tmc: Tmc1, 2a and 2b. In the primary posterior lateral line (pLL) hair cells are oriented to respond

to either anterior or posterior fluid flow. The subset of hair cells that detects posterior flow relies

on Tmc2b while the subset that detects anterior flow relies on both Tmc2b and Tmc2a (Figure 6A)

(Chou et al., 2017). Further, work with the crista of the zebrafish inner ear has shown that there

are two morphologically distinct types of hair cells: short, teardrop-shaped cells with taller hair

bundles and tall, gourd-shaped cells with shorter hair bundles (Smith et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021).

Short cells rely on Tmc2a, while tall cells rely on either Tmc1 or Tmc2b for mechanosensitive

function (Figure 6E) (Smith et al., 2020). Based on this work, we hypothesized that Cib2 or Cib3

could have specific roles in zebrafish hair cell sensory organs linked to hair cell orientation in the

lateral line or morphology type in the crista of the inner ear.

Our FM 1-43 labeling in neuromasts revealed no defect in *cib3* mutants, suggesting that

Cib2 can compensate for Cib3 in all pLL hair cells. In contrast to *cib3* mutants, only a subset of

hair cells per neuromast were labeled with FM 1-43 in *cib2* mutants (Figure 5E). Previous work

has shown that in the pLL of *tmc2b* mutants, a subset of hair cells that respond to anterior flow

label with FM 1-43 (Chou et al., 2017). This residual FM 1-43 label is dependent on Tmc2a.

Therefore, we examined whether the remaining, FM 1-43 positive hair cells in *cib2* mutants have

a specific hair cell orientation. For this analysis, we used a fixable form of FM 1-43, FM 1-43FX

to label hair cells. After FM 1-43FX labeling, we fixed the larvae and labeled the apical hair

bundles with phalloidin to reveal hair cell orientation. This analysis revealed that in sibling

controls, a similar percentage of FM 1-43FX positive hair cells are oriented to respond to anterior

and posterior flow (Figure 6B,B',D). In contrast, when we examined *cib2* mutants, we found that

significantly more of the FM 1-43 positive hair cells were oriented to respond to posterior flow

(Figure 6C-D). This indicates that in *cib2* mutants, the residual Cib3 present in pLL hair cells

preferentially functions in hair cells that express primarily Tmc2b and are oriented to respond to

posterior flow (Figure 6A). On the other hand, Cib2 can function with hair cells that express Tmc2b

(respond to posterior flow) as well as hair cells that express Tmc2b and Tmc2a (respond to anterior

flow).

Next, we examined whether different hair-cell subtypes (short versus tall morphology) in

the zebrafish inner ear preferentially rely on Cib2 or Cib3 for mechanosensitive function. To

examine MET function, we injected FM 4-64 into the zebrafish ear in a transgenic background

that labels the hair cell membrane (memGCaMP6s). FM 4-64 is a redshifted version of FM 1-43

and provides a similar readout of MET (Erickson et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2020). After injection,

we imaged FM 4-64 label in the medial cristae, an inner ear sensory organ that allows for excellent

delineation of short and tall cell types (Figure 6F-F'). We found that similar to our FM 1-43 results

in the pLL, no hair cells in the medial cristae were FM 4-64 positive in *cib2;cib3* double mutants

(Figure 6I-I'). In addition, similar to the pLL we observed no defects in FM 4-64 labeling in the

crista of *cib3* mutants compared to controls (Figure 6G-G'). Interestingly we found that short hair

cells in the crista of *cib2* mutants failed to label with FM 4-64 (Figure 6H-H'). Previous work has

shown that short hair cells rely on Tmc2a for mechanosensitive function (Smith et al., 2020).

Overall, our functional assessment of MET based on hair cell subtypes within the zebrafish pLL

and inner ear suggests that Cib2 is required for mechanosensitive function in hair cells that express

Tmc2a.

CIB proteins simultaneously interact with at least two cytoplasmic domains of TMC1

To gain molecular insight into the role played by CIB proteins in hair-cell MET, we

generated AlphaFold 2 (AF2) (Jumper et al., 2021; Tunyasuvunakool et al., 2021) models of the

human TMC1 dimer, alone and in complex with human CIB2/3 (Figure 7A-B; Figure 7-figure

supplement 1; Figure 7-video 1). The AF2 model of TMC1 alone resembles previous

TMEM16/OSCA-based models of TMC1 and is also consistent with a recent cryo-EM structure

of the worm TMC-1 protein (Ballesteros et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2022; Pan et al., 2018; Walujkar

et al., 2021). In these models, TMC1 has ten transmembrane domains (α1 to α10; Figure 7-figure

supplements 1-2) and a putative pore is formed by transmembrane helices α4, α5, α6, and α7 at

the periphery of each monomer (Pan et al., 2018; Walujkar et al., 2021), away from the dimeric

interface involving α10. Our new models feature an additional amphipathic helix, which we denote

α0, extending almost parallel to the expected plane of the membrane bilayer without crossing

towards the extracellular side (as observed for a mostly hydrophobic α0 in OSCA channels and

labeled as H3 in the worm TMC-1 structure) (Jeong et al., 2022; Jojoa-Cruz et al., 2018; Liu et al.,

2018; M. Zhang et al., 2018). Extracellular and intracellular domains linking transmembrane

helices, which were either omitted or poorly predicted in previous work (Ballesteros et al., 2018;

Pan et al., 2018; Walujkar et al., 2021), have well-defined secondary structure and a high

confidence score (pLDDT > 70 for most regions; Figure 7-figure supplement 1A). The intracellular

domain linking helices α2 and α3, denoted here as IL1, adopts a helix-loop-helix with the two

helices running parallel to each other and differing in length (Figure 7-figure supplements 1-5).

This is the same fold observed in its crystal structure in complex with CIB3 (Liang et al., 2021),

which validated the modeling approach.

355   Interestingly, AF2 models of TMC1 in complex with CIB2 suggest that the N-terminus

(NT) of TMC1 also interacts with CIB2 (Figure 7A-B). In our model, CIB2 is clamped between

TMC1-NT and TMC1-IL1 while the first helix of TMC1-IL1 runs parallel to the membrane plane

interacting with the base of α1 and the intracellular linkers between α4 and α5, α6 and α7, and

α8 and α9. Notably, the predicted interaction between TMC1-NT and CIB2 is consistent with

previous biochemical data (Giese et al., 2017), while the overall model resolves seemingly

contradictory results indicating that either the NT or IL1 of TMC1 were responsible for

interactions with CIB proteins (Giese et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021). Additional AF2 models of

TMC1 with CIB3 and of TMC2 with CIB2/3 (Figure 7-figure supplement 1) predicted the same

architecture for the TMC1/2 + CIB2/3 complexes where CIB proteins are clamped between the

IL1 and NT domains of TMCs. Predictions for fish complexes also show the same general

architecture (Figure 7-figure supplement 1A).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments were used to validate the clamp model

for *Homo sapiens* (*hs*) TMC + CIB interactions. We produced uniformly ^{15}N labeled *hs* CIB2 (22.7

kDa) and CIB3 (22.9 kDa) proteins ([U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2/3), alone or co-refolded with unlabeled *hs*

TMC1-IL1 (6.3 kDa). In parallel, we produced an unlabeled soluble TMC1-NT fragment (6.9 kDa)

and used these CIB and TMC1 samples to test protein-protein interactions by monitoring their

effects on 2D ^{1}H-^{15}N-correlation spectra of the labeled CIB2 and CIB3 proteins. All protein

fragments were purified using size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (Figure 7-figure supplement

6), revealing a single monodisperse peak for [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 (69.2 mL elution volume in S75

16/600 column) and a slightly displaced peak for [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 + *hs* TMC1-IL1 (68.5 mL). In

contrast, SEC experiments revealed two peaks for [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB3 alone (potential dimer at 62.8

mL and potential monomer at 73.1 mL) and a single peak for [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB3 + *hs* TMC1-IL1

(71.3 mL). ^{1}H-^{15}N-correlation spectra of [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 alone were consistent with prior data

indicating that many, but not all potential peaks are resolved (Figure 7C, Figure 7-figure

supplement 7A) (Huang et al., 2012). Spectra for the [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 + *hs* TMC1-IL1 complex

exhibit widespread perturbations, consistent with extensive remodeling of the CIB2 structure.

Moreover, addition of *hs* TMC1-NT induced additional chemical shift perturbations in many, but

not all peaks, demonstrating that the TMC1-IL1 and TMC1-NT ligands bind simultaneously to

[U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 (Figure 7C, Figure 7-figure supplement 7B). We observed similar results when

comparing spectra for [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB3 alone, co-refolded with *hs* TMC1-IL1, and upon addition

of *hs* TMC1-NT (Figure 7-figure supplement 7C-D). A control experiment with the co-refolded

mix of *hs* CIB3 + *hs* TMC1-IL1 and [U-^{15}N]-*hs* CIB2 (Figure 7-figure supplement 7E) revealed

no change in chemical shifts indicating that direct heteromeric interactions between CIB2 and

CIB3 are unlikely. Thus, taken together, the NMR data strongly support a model in which human

CIB2/3 proteins are clamped between TMC1-IL1 and TMC1-NT as suggested by AF2 and

consistent with prior biochemical data revealing interactions with either TMC1-NT or TMC1-IL1

(Giese et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021).

Simulations reveal CIB proteins stabilize TMC1 and TMC2

Our dimeric AF2 models of TMC1/2 alone and in complex with CIB2/3 are consistent with

previous experimental data that validated the location of the putative pore in similar

TMEM16/OSCA-based homology models of TMC1 (Pan et al., 2018). Our models are also

consistent with our NMR data supporting the clamp model for the TMC + CIB complex (Figure

7C). To improve the AF2 predictions and to gain mechanistic insights into TMC and CIB function

we turned to all-atom equilibrium molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (Karplus and Petsko,

1990). We built ten different models that included dimeric TMC1 or TMC2 alone, in complex with

CIB2 or CIB3 with and without bound Ca^{2+}. These models were embedded in either pure POPC

or stereocilia-like mixed composition bilayers and solvated (150 mM KCl) to generate a total of

twenty systems that were equilibrated for 100 ns each (Figure 8A, Figure 8-table supplement 1).

Equilibrium simulations of TMC1 and TMC2 alone revealed stable conformations for the

transmembrane domains of these proteins, but a highly mobile NT domain (Figure 8B, Figure 8-

figure supplement 1). In simulations where CIB2 or CIB3 were present, with or without bound

Ca^{2+}, we observed more stable conformations of N-termini along with stable salt bridges (Figure

8C). Buried surface area (BSA) for the TMC + CIB complexes (Figure 8-figure supplement

2A,C,E, Figure 8-table supplement 2) revealed a possible trend in which TMC1 and TMC2 have

more contacts with CIB3 than with CIB2. While additional sampling and longer simulations might

be needed for a robust prediction, these results suggest variable affinities for TMC + CIB protein

complexes that might be modulated by Ca^{2+} (Figure 8-figure supplement 2F).

Additional analysis of the putative pore in our simulations of TMC1 and TMC2 revealed

hydration as observed in previous simulations of TMEM16/OSCA-based TMC1 models, as well

as movement of lipids that lined up the predicted permeation pathway for cations suggesting a

membrane-based gating mechanism (Pan et al., 2018; Sukharev and Corey, 2004; Walujkar et al.,

2021). Longer, Anton 2, 960-ns long equilibrium trajectories of TMC1 with CIB2 and bound Ca^{2+}

in a mixed bilayer and TMC1 with CIB2 and (un)bound Ca^{2+} in a POPC bilayer revealed how

parts of the amphipathic α0 helices spontaneously partitioned at the membrane interface with their

hydrophobic sides pointing toward the lipid bilayer core (Figure 8D). The N-terminal cytoplasmic

domain of LHFPL5 has been shown to interact with this TMC1 amphipathic helix, which might

be essential for channel function in mice (Qiu et al., 2023). The BSA for the dimeric TMC1 +

CIB2 complex in these longer simulations (Figure 8-figure supplement 2E) decreased as contacts

between TMC1-α0 and CIB2 were lost for one of the monomers in the mixed bilayer system. In

the monomer in which contacts were maintained, a single cholesterol was situated in the middle

of the putative pore. Similarly, TMC1-α0 and CIB2 contacts were lost for both monomers in the

POPC bilayer systems. Regardless, in all Anton 2 equilibrium trajectories contacts between CIB2

and the TMC1-IL1 and -NT remained, thus confirming the stability of the complex over a

microsecond timescale.

TMC1 and TMC2 complexes with CIB2 and CIB3 are predicted to be cation channels

To further explore the properties of our models of TMC/CIB complexes we took

equilibrated TMC1 systems and applied a -0.5 V transmembrane voltage while monitoring ion

permeation through the putative pore during 100-ns long trajectories (Figure 8-figure supplements

3-4, Figure 8-table supplement 2, Figure 8-video 2). In systems with pure POPC bilayers we

observed permeation of K^+ in either one or both pores of the TMC1 dimer, with or without CIB2

or CIB3 and with or without bound Ca^{2+}, despite the presence of Cl^- (150 mM KCl). Similar results

were obtained for four TMC1 systems simulated at -0.25 V. Variability of the conductance state

was attributed to partial blockage by lipids and poor sampling, but in all cases in which a clear

conductive state was monitored we observed almost exclusive passage of K^+ with conductance

values that reached up to ~138 pS for a single pore. While simulations tend to overestimate bulk

KCl conductivity and in some cases predictions need to be scaled down (by a factor of ~0.6)

(Walujkar et al., 2021), the conductance values monitored here are consistent with previous

simulations and with the expected conductance of the inner-ear transduction channel (Beurg et al.,

2021; Walujkar et al., 2021). In contrast, we observed smaller conductance values for TMC1

systems with mixed lipid bilayers (at most ~22 pS for a single pore), regardless of the presence of

CIB2 or CIB3, with or without bound Ca^{2+} (Figure 8-figure supplement 4B). Additional Anton 2

simulations for one of these TMC1 + CIB2 + Ca^{2+} systems with a mixed membrane, equilibrated

for 240 ns and then subjected to -0.43 V for 480 ns, revealed a low conductance value of ~6.2 pS.

The reduced conductance values observed for mixed-membrane systems were attributed in part to

pore blockage by cholesterol and lipids in the initial setup. Interestingly, Anton 2 simulations of

TMC1, TMC1 + CIB2, and TMC1 + CIB2 + Ca^{2+} in pure POPC membranes subjected to -0.5 V,

-0.25 V, and -0.125 V for 480 ns, 480 ns, and 960 ns, respectively, revealed a trend in which TMC1

exhibits higher conductance with CIB2 bound (Figure 8E, Figure 8-table supplement 2). This may

begin to explain why CIB2 is essential for normal TMC1 function.

Simulations of TMC2 with an applied transmembrane voltage of -0.5 V revealed a more

closed state when compared to our TMC1 model, regardless of membrane composition and

presence or absence of CIB2, CIB3 and bound Ca^{2+} (Figure 8-figure supplement 4). Conduction

of K^+ was observed in most cases, but the maximum conductance did not reach above ~42 pS,

suggesting that the conformation simulated is less open than the ones explored in simulations of

TMC1. We also speculate that this is due to TMC2 having an intrinsic lower single-channel

conductance than TMC1, as has been suggested by some experiments (Kim et al., 2013), but not

others (Pan et al., 2013). It is also possible that our TMC2 model is not in a fully open

conformation, which can only be reached upon mechanical stimulation. Regardless, our

simulations unequivocally indicate that TMC1 and TMC2 are cation channels and that these

proteins can form stable complexes with CIB2 and CIB3.

Discussion

Previous work on CIB proteins had primarily focused on mammalian auditory hair cells,

leaving open the question of whether they play a functional role in vestibular function and across

vertebrate species. Recently, Wang et al. have suggested that CIB2 and CIB3 are important for

stereocilia maintenance and MET in mouse vestibular hair cells (Wang et al., 2023). This work

demonstrated that similar to *Tmc1* and *Tmc2*, *Cib2* is highly expressed in the striolar region while

Cib3 is expressed in the extrastriolar regions, and mice lacking both *Cib2* and *Cib3* had no MET

and deficits in vestibular hair cell bundle structure (Wang et al., 2023). Taking a step forward, here

we establish that CIB2 and CIB3 are highly conserved regulators of MET in both mouse vestibular

hair cells and zebrafish hair cells. We demonstrate that vestibular hair cells in mice and zebrafish

lacking CIB2 and CIB3 are not degenerated but have no detectable MET, assessed via FM 1-43

dye uptake, at time points when MET function is well developed in wild-type hair cells. Similar

structural-function phenotype has been reported in auditory hair cells in *Tmc1/Tmc2* double mutant

mice (Kawashima et al., 2015). Besides the known *in vitro* interactions (Giese et al., 2017; Liang

et al., 2021), our study provides strong genetic evidence for the direct functional relationship

between CIB and TMC proteins in regulating MET both in auditory and vestibular hair cells.

Previous studies have shown that the loss of CIB2 leads to profound hearing loss from birth

in both human and mice (Giese et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2021; Seco et al., 2016). This suggests

that the endogenous levels of CIB3 present in auditory hair cells are not sufficient to compensate

for CIB2 in hearing. Prior work has also shown that over-expression of CIB3 in mouse auditory

outer hair cells can only partially compensate for the loss of CIB2 in MET (Liang et al., 2021).

However, whether overexpression of CIB3 can rescue impaired hearing thresholds in *Cib2* mutant

mice is not known. While CIB2 is also present in vestibular hair cells, compared to auditory hair

cells, the expression of CIB3 is several folds higher in vestibular end organs. Indeed, our current

data demonstrate that, unlike auditory hair cells, endogenous levels of CIB3 can fully compensate

for loss of CIB2 in vestibular hair cells in mice. Together our work on the vestibular system in

mice highlights that both CIB2 and CIB3 can play overlapping roles in MET function.

Interestingly, our corresponding work in zebrafish indicates that Cib3 can also compensate

for Cib2, but only in specific subsets of hair cells. Recent genetic, morphology and scRNAseq data

have revealed that within the zebrafish inner ear, each sensory epithelia (utricle, saccule, cristae)

has distinct groups of hair cells (Shi et al., 2023; Smith et al., 2020). In crista of the zebrafish inner

ear, which respond to angular acceleration, there are two main groups of hair cells that can be

delineated by distinct morphological and genetic features. Morphologically these two group are

defined as either short or tall hair cells (Figure 6A,E). Genetic studies have revealed that these two

types of hair cells rely on different Tmc combinations for MET–short cells rely on Tmc2a while

tall cells rely on Tmc2b and Tmc1 (Smith et al., 2020). More recent mRNA *in situ* studies have

validated this *Tmc* requirement and shown that *tmc2a, tmc2b, tmc1* are expressed in just tall cells,

while *tmc2a* is only expressed in short cells (Smith et al., 2023). Interestingly, this work also

demonstrated that *cib2* is expressed broadly in tall and short hair cells, while *cib3* showed more

restricted expression in tall hair cells. Our work on MET in the zebrafish cristae indicates that Cib2

is required in both short and tall cells, while Cib3 is required in just tall cells. This expression data

set is supported by absence of FM 4-64 labeling from short hair cells when Cib2 is lost (Figure

6H). In contrast, loss of Cib3 alone does not impact FM 4-64 labeling in the zebrafish crista,

indicating that Cib2 can compensate for loss of Cib3 in both short and tall cells (Figure 6G).

Currently it is not clear what role different types of hair cells play in zebrafish inner ear epithelia.

Our present findings show that zebrafish *cib2* mutants have a severely diminished acoustic startle

response, a behavior mediated primarily by the saccule (Figure 5A). These behavioral results

suggest that Cib2 plays an important role in hair cells that detect acoustic stimuli.

In addition to the inner ear, we likewise found that both Cib2 and Cib3 play an important

role in the zebrafish lateral line. Similar to the zebrafish inner ear and mouse vestibular system,

we show that in lateral-line hair cells, Cib2 can compensate for MET in all hair cells when Cib3 is

lost (Figure 5B,C,F,K, Figure 5-figure supplement 2A-C). However, like in the zebrafish inner ear,

when Cib2 is lost, MET is lost from a specific population of lateral-line hair cells. In the lateral

line, each neuromast has two cell populations that detect flow in opposing directions (López-Schier

and Hudspeth, 2006; Pujol-Martí and López-Schier, 2013). Work in the posterior lateral line has

demonstrated that cells that detect anterior flow rely on Tmc2a/2b for MET, while cells that detect

posterior flow rely on just Tmc2b (Chou et al., 2017). In our work we find that cells that detect

anterior flow primarily rely on Cib2 for MET (Figure 6C-D). Further, this work indicates that Cib3

cannot compensate for loss of Cib2 in these cells. Interestingly, our functional assessment in the

zebrafish lateral line and crista suggests that Cib2 is specifically required for mechanosensitive

function in populations of hair cells that express Tmc2a. Whether Cib3 is unable to pair with

Tmc2a or is simply not expressed along with Tmc2a remains an unanswered question. The

significance of this pairing is also unclear. Recent work has shown that the addition of Tmc2a to

lateral-line cells that detect anterior flow significantly augments the mechanosensitive responses

of these cells (Kindig et al., 2023). Whether Cib2 is required along with Tmc2a for these

augmented responses remains unclear.

Our *in vivo* studies of mouse TMC + CIB and zebrafish Tmc + Cib complexes suggest a

conserved functional relationship between these two protein families across vertebrates. Structural

predictions using AF2 show conserved folds for human and zebrafish proteins, as well as

conserved architecture for their protein complexes. Predictions are consistent with previous

experimentally validated models for the TMC1 pore (Ballesteros et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2018),

with the structure of human CIB3 coupled to mouse TMC1-IL1 (Liang et al., 2021), and with our

NMR data validating the interaction between human TMC1 and CIB2/3 proteins. Remarkably, the

AF2 models are also consistent with the architecture of the nematode TMC-1 and CALM-1

complex (Jeong et al., 2022), despite low sequence identity (36% between human TMC1 and worm

TMC-1 and 51% between human CIB2 and worm CALM-1). This suggests that the TMC + CIB

functional relationship may extend beyond vertebrates.

Taken together, the modeling predictions, NMR experiments, and simulations presented

here provide a structural framework to interpret and understand seemingly contradictory results.

In a previous study (Giese et al., 2017), we showed that the N-termini of TMC1 and TMC2 interact

with CIB2, and subsequent work (Liang et al., 2021) presented biochemical data validating the

interaction between the TMC1-NT with CIB2. However, X-ray crystallography data demonstrated

that the main interaction between TMC1 and CIB3 involved IL1, leaving the role played by CIB2-

TMC-NT interactions unaddressed (Liang et al., 2021). Here we show using NMR that both

TMC1-NT and TMC1-IL1 bind to CIB2 and CIB3 non-competitively. These interactions are

consistent with AF2 models for TMC + CIB complexes where CIB proteins are clamped between

TMC1-NT and IL1. Simulations of these models indicate that there is some potential preferential

binding of TMC1 and TMC2 to CIB3 over CIB2 (predicted from BSA) and that TMC + CIB

interactions are stable and last for microseconds, with biochemical and NMR experiments showing

that these interactions are stable at even longer timescales.

Interestingly, the behavior of CIB2 and CIB3 in solution (SEC experiments using 3 mM

CaCl$_2$) is different in the absence of TMC1-IL1. While CIB2 appears as a single peak, CIB3 shows

two clear peaks indicating the presence of monomeric and dimeric states, consistent with the

crystal structure of an apparent CIB3 dimer (Liang et al., 2021). In the presence of TMC1-IL1, the

CIB3 dimeric peak is absent, and the potentially monomeric peak is slightly shifted accounting for

the added TMC1-IL1, as observed for CIB2. This result suggests that CIB2, both in the presence

and in the absence of TMC1-IL1, is monomeric in solution (Figure 7-figure supplement 6). This

proposal is consistent with prior conclusions that CIB2 is a monomer (Dal Cortivo et al., 2019),

but is in disagreement with other reports indicating that CIB2 is a dimer in solution (Vallone et al.,

2018) and our own prior pull-down assays indicating that CIB2 co-immunoprecipitated with

differentially tagged CIB2 or CIB3 (Giese et al., 2017; Riazuddin et al., 2012). Moreover, our

NMR data (obtained using 3 mM $CaCl_2$) indicates that TMC1-IL1 + CIB2 is unlikely to directly

interact with CIB3. The simplest explanation for these seemingly contradictory results comes from

the TMC1 and TMC2 dimeric states (Pan et al., 2018) and our modeling indicating that each TMC

monomer interacts with one CIB protein (Figure 7-figure supplement 1). Pull-down assays might

simply be reflecting the oligomeric state of TMC proteins. In such scenario, and considering that

TMC proteins have been suggested to form hetero-oligomers (Pan et al., 2018), there are up to ten

different combinations of TMC1/2 and CIB2/3 proteins (Figure 8-figure supplement 5) that might

have distinct properties. Such variety of complexes with potentially different conductance values

might explain tonotopic changes of conductance along the cochlea, although other explanations

exist, including a variable number of TMC proteins around the tip link (Beurg et al., 2018) and the

direct influence of membrane composition on conductance (Effertz et al., 2017; Walujkar et al.,

2021).

How TMC + CIB interactions depend on Ca^{2+} concentration may have important functional

implications for adaptation and hair cell mechanotransduction. Structures of CIB3 and worm

CALM-1, a CIB2 homologue, both bind divalent ions via EF-hand motifs proximal to their C-

termini (Jeong et al., 2022; Liang et al., 2021). Reports on CIB2 affinities for Ca^{2+} are inconsistent,

with K_D values that range from 14 µM to 0.5 mM (Blazejczyk et al., 2009; Vallone et al., 2018).

Although qualitative pull-down assays done in the presence or the absence of 5 mM $CaCl_2$ suggest

that the TMC1 and CIB2 interactions are Ca^{2+}-independent (Liang et al., 2021), strength and

details of the CIB-TMC-IL1 and CIB-TMC-NT contacts might be Ca^{2+}-dependent, especially

considering that Ca^{2+} induces changes that lead to exposure of hydrophobic residues involved in

binding (Blazejczyk et al., 2009).

Our simulations in the presence of a biasing potential unequivocally demonstrate that both

TMC1 and TMC2, in the presence or the absence of CIB proteins, with or without bound Ca^{2+},

and in two types of membranes, are cation channels with predicted single-channel conductance

values that can reach values that are consistent with those of the transduction channel. Our models

emphasize again the role played by the membrane in lining the pore of TMC proteins (Pan et al.,

2018; Walujkar et al., 2021), and also indicate that CIB proteins are ideally positioned to connect

various parts of cytosolic inter-helix linkers with the helices that form the pore, specially α3 and

α4, recently implicated in gating (Akyuz et al., 2022; Walujkar et al., 2021). Last, our modeling

also positions the myristoylated N-terminus of CIB2 and CIB3 close enough to the membrane

bilayer, which might be yet another way to sense membrane stretch and influence gating. Further

studies should help provide a comprehensive view into CIB function in channel assembly,

activation, and potentially hair-cell adaption.

Overall, the biochemically supported atomic-resolution structural models and simulations

presented here along with our results obtained using animal models strongly suggest that TMC1/2

proteins must function with CIB2/3 in normal hair-cell MET across sensory organs, hair-cell types,

and species. This is supported by structural data indicating multiple contact points between TMC

and CIB proteins, simulation data predicting that CIB proteins stabilize TMC cytosolic domains

and may enhance cation conduction, and *in vitro* and *in vivo* functional data indicating that both

TMC1/2 and CIB2/3 proteins are needed for hair-cell MET.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-11031; RRID: AB_144696
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A32723
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A-11034; RRID: AB_2576217
Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 568	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A-11011
Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG M2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#F3165; RRID: AB_259529
Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG M2-HRP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A8592; RRID: AB_439702
Mouse monoclonal anti-V5	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# R960-25; RRID: AB_2556564
Mouse monoclonal anti-V5-HRP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#R961-25; RRID: AB_2556565
Mouse monoclonal GAPDH	Santa Cruz	sc-32233
Rabbit polyclonal anti-CIB3	Sigma	SAB2103524
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP	Invitrogen	A-11122
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP-HRP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#A10260; RRID: AB_2534022
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Myosin VIIa	Proteus Biosciences	#25-6790
Rabbit polyclonal anti-V5	Abcam	Cat#ab9116; RRID: AB_307024
Chemicals		
FM™ 1-43FX	Thermo Fisher Scientific	F35355
FM™ 1-43	Thermo Fisher Scientific	T3163
FM™ 4-64	Thermo Fisher Scientific	T13320
Alexa Fluor™ 488 Phalloidin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A12379

Alexa Fluor™ 633 Phalloidin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A22284
16% Paraformaldehyde	Electron Microscopy Sciences	50-980-487
Triton X-100	Polysciences	04605-250
DAPI (4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride)	Invitrogen	D1306
Protease inhibitor mixture	Roche	11697498001
Protein A–Sepharose CL-4	Thermo Fisher Scientific	101042
Polyethylenimine	Polysciences	23966
Critical Commercial Assays		
4–20% Tris-Glycine gel	Novex	XV04205PK20
SMARTScribe Reverse Transcriptase kit	Clontech	639537
SYBRgreen technology	Qiagen	330503
Amersham™ ECL™ Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent	GE Healthcare	RPN2236
QIAshredder	Qiagen	Cat#79656
Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer System	Bio-Rad	Cat#1704156
Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Midi PVDF Transfer Packs	Bio-Rad	Cat#1704157
4–20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX Stain-Free™ Protein Gels	Bio-Rad	Cat#4568094
4–20% Criterion™ TGX Stain-Free™ Protein Gel	Bio-Rad	Cat#5678094
GFP-Trap Magnetic Agarose	Chromotek	Cat#gtma-20
Anti-v5 agarose affinity gel	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A7345
Anti-FLAG® M2 Magnetic Beads	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#M8823
Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ chambered coverglass	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#155411PK
Lipofectamine™ 3000 Transfection Reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#L3000015
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
$Cib2^{tm1a}$	EUCOMM	
$Cib3^{KO}$	This study	N/A
$cib2^{idc21}$ zebrafish	This study	N/A
$cib3^{idc22}$ zebrafish	This study	N/A
Tg(myo6b:GCaMP6s-CAAX)^{idc1} zebrafish	(Sheets et al., 2017)	ZDB-ALT-170113-3

<u>Mouse strains and husbandry</u>

Cib2^{KO} mice: *Cib2^{tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi}* mice were obtained from the EUCOMM repository and

maintained on C57BL/6 N background. A gene trap cassette containing lacZ and neomycin

resistance genes flanked by FRT sites was inserted downstream of exon 3, with exon 4 flanked by

loxP sites.

Cib3^{KO} mice: Cib3 knockout mice were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. An

indel in exon 4 of *Cib3* was introduced leading to a nonsense mutation and a premature stop at the

protein level (p.Asp54*). Primers used to genotype Cib3 mutant mice were F:

GTAGGGGCATGGATAACATCTG and R: GTTGAATGCTTGTCTCCCCAGG. *Cib3^{KO}* mice

were backcrossed using C57Bl6/JN *Cib2^{KO}* mice for several generations. *Cib3* allele was validated

at the genomic, RNA messenger, and protein level.

Animals were group-housed with their littermates on a 12:12 h light: dark cycle at 20°C

with ad libitum access to food and water. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUCs) at University of Maryland (protocol #0420002) and

at Harvard Medical School (protocol #00001240).

<u>Western blot and qPCR in mice</u>

Mouse heart tissues were homogenized in CHAPS buffer containing 1X protease inhibitors, 1 mM

Na orthovanadate, 10 mM Na glycerophosphate, and 10 mM NaF. Equivalent protein

concentrations were fractionated on a 4–20% Bis–Tris gel (Invitrogen) and transferred to

polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked and then probed

with the rabbit anti-CIB2 (1:500), CIB3 (1:500), and GAPDH (1:500) overnight at 4°C, followed

by three washes of 30 min each in 1X TBST. After washing, blots were incubated with horseradish

peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:1000, Sigma NA934V, Lot # 17640116) for 2 h at

room temperature, followed by detection using the ECL Prime Western Blotting System (Thermo

Fisher Scientific 32,106).

RNAs from brain were extracted from *Cib2;Cib3* mutant and control mice using the

Ribopure kit (Ambion). SMARTScribe Reverse Transcriptase kit (Clontech) was used to generate

cDNAs, and SYBRgreen technology (Qiagen) was used to perform the qPCR. The following

primers were used to amplify *Cib2* and *Cib3*: Cib2_exon4_F: CTCTGTGCTCTGCGAATCAG,

Cib2_exon5_R: GGCCAGCGTCATCTCTAAGT,   Cib3_exon3_F:

TGGTGCCTCTTGACTACACG,   Cib3_exon5_R: CAGCACCTTCTCACAGACCA.   *hprt*

amplification   was   used   to   normalize   the   samples: hprt_exon8_F:

TGTTGTTGGATATGCCCTTG, hprt_exon9_R: GGCTTTGTATTTGGCTTTTCC.

<u>Quantification of VOR and OKR in mice</u>

Surgical techniques and experimental setup have been previously described (Beraneck and Cullen,

2007). Eye movement data were collected using an infrared video system (ETL-200, ISCAN

system). The rotational velocity of the turntable (head velocity) was measured using a MEMS

sensor (MPU-9250, SparkFun Electronics). Eye movements during OKR were evoked by

sinusoidal rotations of a visual surround (vertical black and white stripes, visual angle width of 5°)

placed around the turntable at frequencies 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1, and 2 Hz with peak velocities of ±16∘/s.

To record VOR responses, the turntable was rotated at sinusoidal frequencies 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1, and

2 Hz with peak velocities of ±16∘/s in both light and dark. In the light condition, the visual surround

remained stationary, whereas in the dark condition, both the visual surround and turntable rotated

in phase. Head and eye movement signals were low-passed filtered at 125 Hz and sampled at 1

kHz. Eye position data were differentiated to obtain velocity traces. Cycles of data with quick

phases were excluded from the analysis. Least-square optimization determined the VOR and OKN

gains and phases (Beraneck et al., 2008) plotted as mean ± standard deviation (SD) against all

frequencies for all mice. $Cib2^{+/+}$; $Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 4$), $Cib2^{KO/+}$; $Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 5$), and $Cib2^{KO/KO}$;

$Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 4$) mice were tested for all frequencies. Two-way ANOVAs and Bonferroni post

hoc tests were used to test statistical significance.

Mouse rotarod test

$Cib2^{+/+}$;$Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 6$), $Cib2^{KO/+}$;$Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 6$), and $Cib2^{KO/KO}$;$Cib3^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 6$) mice were

used. Motorized rotating rod (Rotarod, IITC Life Science) was programmed to accelerate from 4

rpm to 40 rpm with a ramp of 300 s for each test trial. The time taken for a mouse to fall off the

rotarod was recorded. Three trials were performed each day for 5 consecutive days. First day (day

0) was considered the training day.

Quantification of head motion in mice

Head motion was recorded in mice via a miniature motion sensor (MPU-9250, TDK Corporation)

attached to the top of the skull. The motion sensor recorded 3-axis linear acceleration (i.e., fore-

aft, lateral, and vertical) and 3-axis angular velocity (roll, pitch, and yaw) at 100 Hz sampling rate.

The power spectra of the motion signals in all six dimensions were computed using Welch's

averaged periodogram with nfft = 512 and a Bartlett window (pwelch function, MATLAB,

MathWorks).

Circling behavior in mice

Mice were placed in a cylindrical-shape container (27 cm in diameter, 30 cm in height) and their

behavior were recorded using a cell-phone camera. The number of rotations made during 120-

second recording were counted offline by watching the recorded videos. A rotation is defined as a

completed 360-degree rotation of the mouse's heading.

Immunostaining in mice

The cochlear and vestibular sensory epithelia were isolated, fine dissected and permeabilized in

0.25% Triton X-100 for 1 h and blocked with 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 1 h. The tissue

samples were probed with primary antibody overnight and after three washes were incubated with

the secondary antibody for 45 min at room temperature. Rhodamine phalloidin or Alexa fluor

phalloidin 488 were used at a 1:250 dilution for F-actin labeling. Nuclei were stained with DAPI

(Molecular Probes). Images were acquired using either LSM 700 laser scanning confocal

microscope (Zeiss, Germany) with a 63× 1.4 NA or 100× 1.4 NA oil immersion objectives or

Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope with a 100× 1.44 NA objective lens. Stacks of

confocal images were acquired with a Z step of 0.05-0.5 μm and processed using ImageJ software

(National Institutes of Health). Experiments were repeated at least 3 times, using at least three

different animals.

FM 1-43 dye uptake experiments in mice

In the Ahmed lab, cochlear explants from *Cib2* and *Cib3* mutant mice were dissected at postnatal

day 5 (P5) and cultured in a glass-bottom petri dish (MatTek, Ashland, MA). They were

maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life

Technologies) for 2 days at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. Explants were incubated for 10 s with 3 μM FM

1-43, washed three times with Hank's balanced salt solution, and imaged live using a Zeiss LSM

700 scanning confocal microscope.

In the Holt Lab, P60 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 5 mg/g mouse weight of FM

1-43FX fixable form as previously described (Meyers et al., 2003). Tissue was collected after ~24

h and fixed for 1 h at 25 °C in 4%PFA/1X PBS protected from light. Tissue was then transferred

into 120 mM EDTA pH 7.4 for 48-72 h protected from light. Then tissue was transferred to

1XPBS, dissected, incubated in 1:1000 dilution of Phalloidin (Invitrogen), washed three times in

1XPBS and mounted under glass coverslips for microscopy. Imaging was completed using a 20×

objective on a Zeiss 800 confocal microscope with laser intensity, detector gain, and post

processing set equally for each sample.

ABR measurements in mice

Hearing thresholds of *Cib2* and *Cib3* mutant mice ($n = 5–10$ each genotype) were evaluated by

recording ABRs. All ABR recordings, including broadband clicks and tone-burst stimuli at three

frequencies (8, 16, and 32 kHz), were performed using an auditory-evoked potential RZ6-based

auditory workstation (Tucker-Davis Technologies) with high frequency transducer RA4PA

Medusa PreAmps. Maximum sound intensity tested was 100 dB SPL. TDT system III hardware

and BioSigRZ software (Tucker Davis Technology) were used for stimulus presentation and

response averaging.

Zebrafish strains and husbandry

Zebrafish (*Danio* rerio) were grown at 28°C using standard methods. Zebrafish were maintained

in a Tubingen or TAB background. Larvae were raised in E3 embryo medium (5 mM NaCl,

0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM $CaCl_2$, and 0.33 mM $MgSO_4$, buffered in HEPES, pH 7.2). Zebrafish work

at the National Institute of Health (NIH) was approved by the Animal Use Committee at the NIH

under animal study protocol #1362-13. All experiments were performed on larvae at 5-6 days post

fertilization (dpf). At this age sex determination is not possible. Neuromasts (L1-L5) in the primary

posterior lateral line were used for our analyses. For Ca^{2+} imaging the following transgenic line

was used: *Tg(myo6b:memGCaMP6s)[idc1]* (Jiang et al., 2017).

Cib2[idc21] and *cib3[idc22]* mutants were generated in-house using CRISPR-Cas9 technology as

previously described (Varshney et al., 2016). Exon 3 and exon 6 were targeted for *cib2* and *cib3*

respectively. Guides for *cib2* and *cib3* are as follows: 5'-GGAGGAGCTTACACCAG(AGG)-3'

and 5'-GGAAATCCTCCAGAGAAAGG(CGG)-3'. Founder fish were identified using fragment

analysis of fluorescent PCR products (Varshney et al., 2016). Founder fish containing a 10 bp

insertion in *cib2* 5'-GCTTACACCA(<u>CCTTTGGTAG</u>)GAGGAGGTTA-3' resulting in a stop

codon in the third EF-hand domain, at amino acid 148, was selected for analysis (Figure 5-figure

supplement 1). In addition, a founder fish containing a 4 bp deletion in *cib3* 5'-

TGGCCGCCT(TTCT)CTGGAGG-3' resulting in a stop codon in the third EF-hand domain, at

amino acid 159, was selected for analysis (Figure 5-figure supplement 1).   After behavior or

imaging analyses, genotypes were confirmed using standard PCR and sequencing. The following

primers were used for genotyping: *cib2*_FWD 5'- ACTGAAGCAACTCCTTTGTGG-3' and

*cib2*_REV   5'-GAACCATGCATTTTTACAGC-3'   and   *cib3*_FWD   5'-

ATAGTCTCTCCATCTATAGACT-3' and *cib3*_REV 5'-TTTTAGGGTGAAATAAAACAGA-

3'. Crosses of *cib2^{+/-};cib3^{-/-}* X *cib2^{+/-};cib3^{+/-}* or *cib2^{-/-};cib3^{+/-}* X *cib2^{+/-};cib3^{+/-}* adults were used

to propagate larvae for our analyses. All controls or siblings are double heterozygous animals.

Larvae were chosen at random, except for *cib2;cib3* homozygous mutants which, similar to other

zebrafish mutants with hearing and balance defects, had no swim bladder and no acoustic startle

response.

Labeling zebrafish hair cells with FM 1-43, FM 4-64, and FM 1-43FX

To label lateral-line hair cells with FM 1-43, larvae were immersed in 1 µM FM 1-43

(ThermoFisher, T3163) in E3 for 30 s, and then washed 3 times in E3. After washing, larvae were

mounted in 1% low melt agarose containing an anesthetic, 0.02% tricaine methanesulfonate

(Syndel, ANADA 200-226). FM 1-43 labeled hair cells were then imaged on an A1 Nikon upright

laser-scanning confocal microscope with a 60× 1.0 NA water objective. FIJI was used to process

image Z-stacks. To estimate the number of hair cells per neuromast, laser scanning DIC images

were used to count the number of hair bundles per neuromast. To quantify FM 1-43 label, a 5 µm

circular region of interest (ROI) was placed on the soma of each hair cell with a hair bundle in the

DIC image. These ROIs were used to quantify the mean FM 1-43 intensity per hair cell. These

intensity values were averaged to calculate the average FM 1-43 intensity per neuromast.

FM 4-64 (ThermoFisher, T13320) was used to label hair cells in the crista within the

zebrafish inner ear. For this labeling, *Tg(myo6b:memGCaMP6s)*[idc1] larvae were mounted on their

side on Sylgard chamber in E3 embryo media containing 0.02% MESAB. Approximately 2-3 nL

of 10 µM FM 4-64 in 0.1 M KCl were injected into the otic capsule as previously described (Smith

et al., 2020). FM 4-64 labeled hair cells of the medial crista were then imaged on an A1 Nikon

upright laser-scanning confocal microscope with a 25× 1.10 NA or 60× 1.0 NA water objective.

FIJI was used to process image Z-stacks. To assess tall versus short hair cells in each crista

memGCaMP6s label was used.

To correlate hair bundle orientation in lateral line hair cells with intact

mechanotransduction, larvae were immersed in 3 µM FM 1-43FX (ThermoFisher, F25255) in E3

for 30 s, and then washed 3 times in E3. After washing, larvae were fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4°C overnight. After fixation, larvae were washed 4 × 5 min in 0.1%

Tween in PBS (PBST). Larvae were then placed in blocking solution (2% goat serum, 1% bovine

serum albumin, 2% fish skin gelatin in PBS + 0.3% triton) for 2 h at room temperature. After block

Alexa Fluor 633 Phalloidin (ThermoFisher, A22284) was added at 1:100 in PBST. Larvae were

incubated for 4 h at room temperature and then washed 4 × 5 min in PBST. Larvae were mounted

on glass slides with Prolong Gold (ThermoFisher Scientific) using No. 1.5 coverslips. To image

hair bundle orientation and FM 1-43FX label in lateral-line hair cells, a Zeiss LSM780 confocal

microscope with Airyscan was used. Hair cells were imaged using a 63× 1.3 NA oil objective and

processed with an Airyscan processing factor of 7.0. For analysis, Z-stacks were max-projected in

FIJI. Images were background subtracted using a rolling ball correction. Hair cells with a FM 1-

43FX intensity more than twice the background intensity were scored as FM 1-43FX positive.

Zebrafish Ca^{2+} imaging and behavior

For imaging of mechanosensitive Ca^{2+} signals in neuromast hair bundles,

Tg(myo6b:memGCaMP6s) animals were mounted on their side on Sylgard chamber in E3 embryo

media containing 0.2% MESAB (Lukasz and Kindt, 2018). Larvae were then pinned and a solution

containing α-bungarotoxin (125 µM, Tocris) was injected into the heart cavity for paralysis during

imaging. After paralysis, larvae were immersed in extracellular imaging solution (in mM: 140

NaCl, 2 KCl, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$ and 10 HEPES, pH 7.3, OSM 310 +/- 10). To image Ca^{2+} signals

in the hair bundles we used a Bruker Swept-Field confocal system equipped with a Rolera EM-C2

CCD camera (QImaging, Surrey, Canada) and a Nikon CFI Fluor 60×1.0 NA water immersion

objective as previously described (Q. Zhang et al., 2018). The system includes a band-pass 488/561

nm filter set (59904-ET, Chroma) and is controlled using Prairie View software (Bruker

Corporation). A piezoelectric motor (PICMA P-882.11-888.11 series, PI Instruments) attached to

the objective and used to acquire 5-plane Z-stacks every 0.5 μm using a 35 μm slit at a 50-Hz

frame rate for a 10-Hz volume rate. A fluid-jet composed of a glass capillary attached to a pressure

clamp system (HSPC-2-SB, ALA) was used to deliver a 500-ms anterior and posterior step

stimulation to deflect hair bundles along their axis of sensitivity.

To quantify GCaMP6s fluorescent intensity changes during stimulation, we registered the

Z-stacks and then average projected the Z-stacks into a single plane. We then loaded the projected

images into FIJI and used the Time Series Analyzer V3 plugin to create circular ROIs with a ~1.7

μm diameter. ROIs were placed on the center of each individual bundle. We then measured and

plotted change in the mean intensity ($\Delta F/F_0$) within the region during the recording period. The

mean intensity within each ROI was computed for each hair bundle. A hair bundle with a signal

magnitude (peak value of intensity change upon stimulation) above 10% $\Delta F/F_0$ was considered

mechanosensitive. To create the AVG hair bundle GCaMP6s ($\Delta F/F_0$) per neuromast plot in Figure

5-figure supplement 2C, only traces from mechanosensitive cells were averaged.

A Zantiks MWP behavioral system was used to examine startle responses. The Zantiks

system tracked and monitored behavioral responses via a built-in infrared camera at 30 frames per

second. A 12-well plate was used to house larvae during behavioral analysis. Each well was filled

with E3 and 1 larva. All fish were acclimated in the plate within the Zantiks chamber in dark for

15 min before each test. To induce startle, an integrated stepper motor was used to drive a

vibration-induced startle response. A vibrational stimulus that triggered a maximal % of animals

startling in controls without any tracking artifacts (due to the vibration) was used for our analyses.

Each larva was presented with the vibrational stimulus 5 times with 100 s between trials. During

the tracking and stimulation, a Cisco router connected to the Zantiks system was used to relay x, y

coordinates of each larva every frame. To qualify as a startle response, a distance above 4 pixels

or ~1.9 mm was required within 2 frames after stimulus onset. Animals were excluded from our

analysis if no tracking data was recorded for the animal.

<u>Zebrafish Statistics</u>

All data shown are mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). All experiments were performed on

at least 4 animals and 8 neuromasts and 4 cristae. Behavior analysis and Ca^{2+} imaging was repeated

at least twice on two independent days. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad

Prism 8.0. A D'Agostino and Pearson test was used to test data for normality. A one-way ANOVA

with a Dunnett's correction for multiple comparisons was used to compare differences between

siblings and controls regarding the % of mechanosensitive hair cells, number of hair cells, % of

FM 1-43 positive hair cells, average FM 1-43 label. For proportion of animals startling and

comparisons for FM 1-43FX label, a Kruskal-Wallis test with a Dunnett's correction for multiple

comparisons was used.

<u>Expression and purification of bacterially expressed *hs* CIB2, CIB3, TMC1-IL1, and TMC1-</u>

<u>NT</u>

DNA sequences encoding for *hs* CIB2, CIB3, TMC1-IL1, and TMC1-NT were subcloned into

NdeI and *XhoI* sites of the pET21a vector. All DNA constructs were sequence verified. Protein

fragments were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen) cells, which were

cultured in LB or TB media, induced at OD_{600} ~0.4-0.6 with 1 mM IPTG and grown at 20°C or

30°C for ~16 h. Protein fragments for NMR were produced using the same cells cultured in M9

minimal media supplemented with MEM vitamin solution (Gibco) and 1g/L $^{15}NH_4Cl$ (Cambridge

Isotopes) to produce uniformly labeled [U-^{15}N] protein. Cells were lysed by sonication in

denaturing buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, and 20

mM imidazole) or non-denaturing buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, and 20 mM imidazole for *hs*

TMC1-NT). The cleared lysates were loaded onto Ni-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) and eluted with

denaturing buffer or non-denaturing buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazole. Denatured

proteins were refolded using MWCO 2,000 membranes (Spectra/Por 7) in dialysis buffer (400 mM

Arg-HCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM DTT) for

~16 hr. Before starting refolding reactions (when applicable), elution solutions were diluted to

~0.5 mg/mL using the denaturing buffer, and then reduced by using 2 mM DTT in the diluted

sample. Refolded and soluble proteins were concentrated using Vivaspin 20 or Amicon 15

centrifugal concentrators (10 kDa, 5 kDa, or 3 kDa molecular weight cutoff) and further purified

on Superdex S75 columns (GE Healthcare) in SEC buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 126 mM KCl,

10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $CaCl_2$, 5 mM DTT). Proteins were concentrated to ~0.5 mL for nuclear

magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments.

<u>NMR</u>

Purified NMR samples were at concentrations of ~1.4 mM, ~0.2 mM, ~0.8 mM, and ~0.2 mM for

CIB2, CIB3, CIB2 + TMC1-IL1, and CIB3 + TMC1-IL1, respectively, in SEC buffer (50 mM

HEPES, pH 7.5, 126 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $CaCl_2$, 5 mM DTT), supplemented with 10%

(v/v) D_2O and 0.2 mM 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulphonate (DSS) for field-frequency locking

and chemical shift referencing. Two-dimensional ^1H-^{15}N TROSY-HSQC spectra (Nietlispach,

2005) were recorded for each sample at 25°C on a Bruker Avance III HD 800 MHz spectrometer

equipped with a 5 mm TXI cryoprobe with z-gradients. Data were collected using 20 to 24 scans,

2,048 x 280 datapoints, and spectral widths of 17482.518 x 4054.298 Hz in the ^1H and ^{15}N

dimensions, respectively. Data were processed and visualized using NMRFx (Norris et al., 2016).

<u>Simulated Systems</u>

Models for monomeric *hs* TMC1 (residues 84 to 760; NCBI ID: NP_619636.2) and *hs* TMC2 (148

to 863; NCBI ID: NP_542789.2) in complex with full-length *hs* CIB2 (NCBI ID: NP_006374.1)

and *hs* CIB3 (NCBI ID: NP_473454.1), as well as truncated dimeric models of *hs* TMC1 (residues

260 to 760; NCBI ID: NP_619636.2) and *hs* TMC2 (residues 324 to 863; NCBI ID: NP_542789.2)

were generated using AF2 (Evans et al., 2021; Jumper et al., 2021). The TMC2 C-terminal end

(residues 818 to 863) was truncated to match the C-terminal end of TMC1. Using VMD

(Humphrey et al., 1996), a model of dimeric *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB3-Ca^{2+} was constructed by aligning

the monomeric *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB3 complex to the transmembrane region of the truncated dimeric

hs TMC1 model. Coordinates for Ca^{2+} ions were obtained by aligning the crystal structure of Mg^{2+}-

bound *hs* CIB3 in complex with a *hs* TMC1-IL1 peptide (PDB code: 6WUD) (Liang et al., 2021)

to the AF2 model of monomeric *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB3, then manually changing Mg^{2+} for Ca^{2+}. This

model was minimized for 1,000 steps in vacuum using NAMD (Phillips et al., 2005) and the

CHARMM36 force field (Best et al., 2012; Huang and MacKerell, 2014; Vanommeslaeghe and

MacKerell, 2015) and served as a reference model for aligning other combinations of *hs* TMC1 or

hs TMC2 with *hs* CIB2 or *hs* CIB3. All models were either embedded into pure POPC or mixed

membrane lipid bilayers using CHARMM-GUI (Jo et al., 2009, 2008, 2007; Lee et al., 2019) as

summarized in Table supplement 1. The mixed membrane mimicked stereocilia membrane

composition (Zhao et al., 2012). The protein-lipid system was then solvated using TIP3P explicit

water and neutralized with 0.150 M KCl, representative of endolymph ionic concentration (Bosher

and Warren, 1978).

Simulations using NAMD

All-atom MD simulations were performed and analyzed using NAMD 2.13 (Phillips et al., 2005)

and the CHARMM36 force field with CMAP corrections (Best et al., 2012; Huang and MacKerell,

2014; Vanommeslaeghe and MacKerell, 2015). For all systems, equilibrium simulations consisted

of 2,000 steps of minimization, 0.5 ns of dynamics with everything excluding lipid tails

fixed/constrained, 0.5 ns of dynamics with harmonic constraints applied to the protein (1 kcal mol^{-1}

^{1} Å^{-2}), 1 ns of free dynamics in the *NpT* ensemble (γ = 1 ps^{-1}), and up to 100 ns of free dynamics

in the *NpT* ensemble (γ = 0.1 ps^{-1}) prior to non-equilibrium production runs. A piston period of

200 fs and a damping timescale of 50 fs was used for pressure control and atomic coordinates were

saved every 5 ps. All simulations were performed at 310 K and 1 atmosphere of pressure by using

the Langevin thermostat and Nosé-Hoover Langevin pressure control. A 12-Å cutoff distance was

employed with a force-based switching function starting at 10 Å. Periodic boundary conditions

and the PME method were used to calculate long-range electrostatic interactions with a grid

density greater than 1 Å^{-3}. An integration timestep of 2 fs with electrostatic interactions computed

every other timestep was utilized for every simulation in tandem with the SHAKE algorithm for

the constraint of hydrogen atoms. A constant electric field was applied to all atoms in non-

equilibrium simulations with transmembrane potential (Gumbart et al., 2012).

<u>Simulations using Anton 2</u>

We used Anton 2, a massively parallel special purpose machine for MD simulations (Shaw et al.,

2014), to run simulations of *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2-Ca^{2+} in POPC (simulations S1d-g; Table

supplement 1), *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2 in POPC (simulations S2d-2g; Table supplement 1), *hs* TMC1

in POPC (simulations S3d-g; Table supplement 1), and *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2 in a mixed membrane

(simulations S4c-d; Table supplement 1). Coordinates of the pre-equilibrated systems were

converted to an Anton 2 compatible dms file format using the NAMDtoDMS.py script provided

by the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center. Simulations used CHARMM36 forcefields and were

performed in the *NpT* ensemble (310 K, 1 atm) using the multigrator integration framework

(Lippert et al., 2013) with a 2.5 fs integration timestep and saved every 120 ps. The MTK barostat

and Nosé-Hoover thermostat was updated every 480 and 24 steps, respectively. Semi-isotropic

pressure coupling was used. Long range electrostatic interactions were calculated using u-series

electrostatics with a $64 \times 64 \times 64$ grid. Van der Waals interactions were calculated with a cutoff

of 12 Å. Transmembrane voltages were generated by applying a constant electric field to all atoms

(Gumbart et al., 2012).

<u>Simulation analysis procedures and tools</u>

BSA was computed in VMD by measuring SASA for both TMC and CIB monomers, then

subtracting SASA for the complex. BSA for interacting molecules A and B were computed as

$BSA_{AB} = 1/2(SASA_A + SASA_B - SASA_{AB})$. RMSD values were computed in VMD using Cα

atoms and initial models as references, with alignments carried out using the corresponding

subdomains when indicated. VMD was used to analyze trajectories, render molecular images, and

create videos. Xmgrace and gnuplot were used to generate plots. Sequences for CIB and TMC

isoforms from various species were obtained from the NCBI protein database. Sequences were

aligned utilizing the MUSCLE algorithm within Geneious (Kearse et al., 2012). Aligned sequences

were loaded into JalView (Waterhouse et al., 2009) and colored based on a sequence identity

threshold of 45%. Ionic currents were computed by counting the number of crossing, with

conductance values estimated as in Walujkar et al., 2021.

<u>Resource Availability</u>

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the Lead

Contacts, Drs. Marcos Sotomayor (sotomayor@uchicago.edu) and Zubair M. Ahmed

(zmahmed@som.umaryland.edu).

Materials availability

Materials generated in this study, including strains, plasmids and clones, are freely available from

the Lead Contact upon request.

Data and code availability

This study did not generate any unique datasets or code.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Sakina Rehman for assistance with animal work, Neal Taliwal for assistance with molecular cloning, Chunhua Yuan for assistance with initial nuclear magnetic resonance experiments, and Collin R. Nisler and Harsha Mandayam Bharathi for assistance with simulations analyses. Simulations were carried out at the Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC grants PAS1037 and PAA0217) and the Pittsburgh Supercomputer Center (PSC Anton 2 grant MCB150024P). Nuclear magnetic resonance data were acquired at the Ohio State University Campus Chemical Instrument Center. This study was supported by NIH/NIDCD grants R01DC015271 to M.S. and R01DC012564 to Z.M.A. and G.I.F., an Intramural Research Program Grant 1ZIADC000085-01 to K.S.K., and NIH grants UF1NS111695, R01DC018304, R01DC002390, R01DC018061 to K.E.C.

Additional information

Author contributions

Z.M.A., K.S.K., and M.S. conceived the studies. A.P.J.G. carried out all audiometric recordings in mice, immunolocalization studies, cochlear and vestibular explant cultures, FM 1-43 uptake experiment on mouse tissues, RT-qPCRs, exploratory testing, *Cib2* and *Cib3* mouse phenotyping and genotyping, cloning of CIB2 and CIB3 in pET21a vectors. K.S.K. conducted zebrafish FM 1-43, FM 4-64, FM 1-43FX labeling, Ca^{2+} imaging and immunostaining. A.J.B. created the *cib2* and *cib3* zebrafish mutants. R.A.R. did the zebrafish behavioral studies. W-H.W. did the cloning and mutagenesis of CIB and TMC constructs, protein expression and purification for NMR experiments, as well as model building and molecular dynamics simulations. J.S.M. did NMR experiments and NMR data processing. E.M.R. performed FM 1-43 uptake experiments in mice.

J.M.L. and S.W. did model building. M.P.F. supervised NMR experiments and project. M.S.

supervised project and assisted with cloning, strategies for protein refolding, model building, and

simulations. H.H.V.C. and O.A.Z. performed VOR, OKN measurements and vestibular function

evaluation of mice. J.R.H., analyzed the mouse FM 1-43 experiments data, provided supervision

and resources. S.R., and Z.M.A., supervised the project, reviewed and interpreted the results,

provided resources. All authors contributed to data analysis. A.P.J.G., W-H. W., K.S.K., K.C.,

M.S. and Z.M.A. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript and approved for

submission.

References

References

Ahmed ZM, Goodyear R, Riazuddin Saima, Lagziel A, Legan PK, Behra M, Burgess SM, Lilley

KS, Wilcox ER, Riazuddin Sheikh, Griffith AJ, Frolenkov GI, Belyantseva IA, Richardson

GP, Friedman TB. 2006. The tip-link antigen, a protein associated with the transduction

complex of sensory hair cells, is protocadherin-15. *J Neurosci* **26**:7022–7034.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1163-06.2006

Akyuz N, Karavitaki KD, Pan B, Tamvakologos PI, Brock KP, Li Y, Marks DS, Corey DP.

2022. Mechanical gating of the auditory transduction channel TMC1 involves the fourth

and sixth transmembrane helices. *Sci Adv* **8**:eabo1126. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abo1126

Baek S, Tran NTT, Diaz DC, Tsai Y-Y, Acedo JN, Lush ME, Piotrowski T. 2022. Single-cell

transcriptome analysis reveals three sequential phases of gene expression during zebrafish

sensory hair cell regeneration. *Dev Cell* **57**:799-819.e6.

doi:10.1016/J.DEVCEL.2022.03.001

Ballesteros A, Fenollar-Ferrer C, Swartz KJ. 2018. Structural relationship between the putative

hair cell mechanotransduction channel TMC1 and TMEM16 proteins. *Elife* **7**.

doi:10.7554/eLife.38433

Beraneck M, Cullen KE. 2007. Activity of vestibular nuclei neurons during vestibular and

optokinetic stimulation in the alert mouse. *J Neurophysiol* **98**:1549–1565.

doi:10.1152/jn.00590.2007

Beraneck M, McKee JL, Aleisa M, Cullen KE. 2008. Asymmetric recovery in cerebellar-

deficient mice following unilateral labyrinthectomy. *J Neurophysiol* **100**:945–958.

doi:10.1152/jn.90319.2008

Best RB, Zhu X, Shim J, Lopes PE, Mittal J, Feig M, Mackerell Jr. AD. 2012. Optimization of

the additive CHARMM all-atom protein force field targeting improved sampling of the

backbone phi, psi and side-chain chi(1) and chi(2) dihedral angles. *J Chem Theory Comput*

8:3257–3273. doi:10.1021/ct300400x

Beurg M, Cui R, Goldring AC, Ebrahim S, Fettiplace R, Kachar B. 2018. Variable number of

TMC1-dependent mechanotransducer channels underlie tonotopic conductance gradients in

the cochlea. *Nat Commun* **9**:2185. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04589-8

Beurg M, Fettiplace R, Nam J-H, Ricci AJ. 2009. Localization of inner hair cell

mechanotransducer channels using high-speed calcium imaging. *Nat Neurosci* **12**:553–558.

doi:10.1038/nn.2295

Beurg M, Nam J-H, Fettiplace R. 2021. The speed of the hair cell mechanotransducer channel

revealed by fluctuation analysis. *J Gen Physiol* **153**. doi:10.1085/jgp.202112959

Blazejczyk M, Sobczak A, Debowska K, Wisniewska MB, Kirilenko A, Pikula S, Jaworski J,

Kuznicki J, Wojda U. 2009. Biochemical characterization and expression analysis of a

novel EF-hand Ca2+ binding protein calmyrin2 (Cib2) in brain indicates its function in

NMDA receptor mediated Ca2+ signaling. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **487**:66–78.

doi:10.1016/j.abb.2009.05.002

Booth KT, Kahrizi K, Babanejad M, Daghagh H, Bademci G, Arzhangi S, Zareabdollahi D,

Duman D, El-Amraoui A, Tekin M, Najmabadi H, Azaiez H, Smith RJ. 2018. Variants in

CIB2 cause DFNB48 and not USH1J. *Clin Genet* **93**:812–821. doi:10.1111/cge.13170

Bosher SK, Warren RL. 1978. Very low calcium content of cochlear endolymph, an extracellular

fluid. *Nature* **273**:377–378. doi:10.1038/273377a0

Chen Z, Zhu S, Kindig K, Wang S, Chou SW, Davis RW, Dercoli MR, Weaver H, Stepanyan R,

McDermott BM. 2020. Tmc proteins are essential for zebrafish hearing where Tmc1 is not

obligatory. *Hum Mol Genet* **29**:2004–2021. doi:10.1093/HMG/DDAA045

Chou SW, Chen Z, Zhu S, Davis RW, Hu J, Liu L, Fernando CA, Kindig K, Brown WC,

Stepanyan R, McDermott BM. 2017. A molecular basis for water motion detection by the

mechanosensory lateral line of zebrafish. *Nat Commun* **8**. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01604-2

Cullen KE. 2019. Vestibular processing during natural self-motion: implications for perception

and action. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **20**:346–363. doi:10.1038/s41583-019-0153-1

Cunningham CL, Qiu X, Wu Z, Zhao B, Peng G, Kim YH, Lauer A, Müller U. 2020. TMIE

Defines Pore and Gating Properties of the Mechanotransduction Channel of Mammalian

Cochlear Hair Cells. *Neuron* **107**:126-143.e8. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.033

Dal Cortivo G, Marino V, Iacobucci C, Vallone R, Arlt C, Rehkamp A, Sinz A, Dell'Orco D.

2019. Oligomeric state, hydrodynamic properties and target recognition of human Calcium

and Integrin Binding protein 2 (CIB2). *Sci Rep* **9**. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51573-3

Effertz T, Becker L, Peng AW, Ricci AJ. 2017. Phosphoinositol-4,5-bisphosphate regulates

auditory hair-cell mechanotransduction-channel pore properties and fast adaptation. *J*

Neurosci **37**:11632–11646. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1351-17.2017

Erickson T, Morgan CP, Olt J, Hardy K, Busch-Nentwich E, Maeda R, Clemens R, Krey JF,

Nechiporuk A, Barr-Gillespie PG, Marcotti W, Nicolson T. 2017. Integration of Tmc1/2

into the mechanotransduction complex in zebrafish hair cells is regulated by

Transmembrane O-methyltransferase (Tomt). *Elife* **6**. doi:10.7554/eLife.28474

Erickson T, Pacentine I V, Venuto A, Clemens R, Nicolson T. 2019. The lhfpl5 Ohnologs

lhfpl5a and lhfpl5b Are Required for Mechanotransduction in Distinct Populations of

Sensory Hair Cells in Zebrafish. *Front Mol Neurosci* **12**:320.

doi:10.3389/fnmol.2019.00320

Ernest S, Rauch GJ, Haffter P, Geisler R, Petit C, Nicolson T. 2000. Mariner is defective in

myosin VIIA: A zebrafish model for human hereditary deafness. *Hum Mol Genet* **9**:2189–

2196. doi:10.1093/hmg/9.14.2189

Evans R, O'Neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R, Blackwell S,

Yim J, Ronneberger O, Bodenstein S, Zielinski M, Bridgland A, Potapenko A, Cowie A,

Tunyasuvunakool K, Jain R, Clancy E, Kohli P, Jumper J, Hassabis D. 2021. Protein

complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv* 2021.10.04.463034.

doi:10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

Fettiplace R. 2017. Hair cell transduction, tuning, and synaptic transmission in the mammalian

cochlea. *Compr Physiol* **7**:1197–1227. doi:10.1002/cphy.c160049

Fettiplace R, Furness DN, Beurg M. 2022. The conductance and organization of the TMC1-

containing mechanotransducer channel complex in auditory hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*

S A **119**:e2210849119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2210849119

Frolenkov GI, Belyantseva IA, Friedman TB, Griffith AJ. 2004. Genetic insights into the

morphogenesis of inner ear hair cells. *Nat Rev Genet* **5**:489–498. doi:10.1038/nrg1377

Giese APJ, Tang YQ, Sinha GP, Bowl MR, Goldring AC, Parker A, Freeman MJ, Brown SDM,

Riazuddin S, Fettiplace R, Schafer WR, Frolenkov GI, Ahmed ZM. 2017. CIB2 interacts

with TMC1 and TMC2 and is essential for mechanotransduction in auditory hair cells. *Nat*

1072 *Commun* **8**. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00061-1

1073 Gillespie PG, Müller U. 2009. Mechanotransduction by hair cells: models, molecules, and

1074  mechanisms. *Cell* **139**:33–44. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.010

1075 Gleason MR, Nagiel A, Jamet S, Vologodskaia M, López-Schier H, Hudspeth AJ. 2009. The

1076  transmembrane inner ear (Tmie) protein is essential for normal hearing and balance in the

1077  zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**:21347–52. doi:10.1073/pnas.0911632106

1078 Gopal SR, Chen DHC, Chou SW, Zang J, Neuhauss SCF, Stepanyan R, McDermott BM,

1079  Alagramam KN. 2015. Zebrafish models for the mechanosensory hair cell dysfunction in

1080  usher syndrome 3 reveal that Clarin-1 is an essential hair bundle protein. *J Neurosci*

1081  **35**:10188–10201. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1096-15.2015

1082 Gumbart J, Khalili-Araghi F, Sotomayor M, Roux B. 2012. Constant electric field simulations of

1083  the membrane potential illustrated with simple systems. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Biomembr*

1084  **1818**:294–302. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2011.09.030

1085 Huang H, Bogstie JN, Vogel HJ. 2012. Biophysical and structural studies of the human calcium-

1086  and integrin-binding protein family: Understanding their functional similarities and

1087  differences. *Biochem Cell Biol* **90**:646–656. doi:10.1139/o2012-021

1088 Huang J, MacKerell ADJ. 2014. CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: Validation

1089  based on comparison to NMR data. *J Comput Chem* **34**:2135–2145.

1090  doi:10.1002/jcc.23354.CHARMM36

1091 Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. 1996. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph*

1092  **14**:33–38. doi:10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5

1093 Jeong H, Clark S, Goehring A, Dehghani-Ghahnaviyeh S, Rasouli A, Tajkhorshid E, Gouaux E.

1094  2022. Structures of the TMC-1 complex illuminate mechanosensory transduction. *Nature*

1095  **610**:796–803. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05314-8

1096 Jiang T, Kindt K, Wu DK. 2017. Transcription factor emx2 controls stereociliary bundle

1097  orientation of sensory hair cells. *Elife* **6**:1–26. doi:10.7554/eLife.23661

1098 Jo S, Kim T, Im W. 2007. Automated builder and database of protein/membrane complexes for

1099  molecular dynamics simulations. *PLoS One* **2**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000880

1100 Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based graphical user interface for

1101  CHARMM. *J Comput Chem* **29**:1859–1865. doi:10.1002/jcc.20945

1102 Jo S, Lim JB, Klauda JB, Im W. 2009. CHARMM-GUI membrane builder for mixed bilayers

1103  and its application to yeast membranes. *Biophys J* **97**:50–58. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2009.04.013

1104 Jojoa-Cruz S, Saotome K, Murthy SE, Tsui CCA, Sansom MS, Patapoutian A, Ward AB. 2018.

1105  Cryo-EM structure of the mechanically activated ion channel OSCA1.2. *Elife* **7**.

1106  doi:10.7554/eLife.41845

1107 Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates

1108  R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A,

1109  Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E,

1110  Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals

1111  O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein

1112  structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

1113 Karplus M, Petsko GA. 1990. Molecular dynamics simulations in biology. *Nature* **347**:631–9.

doi:10.1038/347631a0

Kawashima Y, Géléoc GSG, Kurima K, Labay V, Lelli A, Asai Y, Makishima T, Wu DK, Della

Santina CC, Holt JR, Griffith AJ. 2011. Mechanotransduction in mouse inner ear hair cells

requires transmembrane channel-like genes. *J Clin Invest* **121**:4796–809.

doi:10.1172/JCI60405

Kawashima Y, Kurima K, Pan B, Griffith AJ, Holt JR. 2015. Transmembrane channel-like

(TMC) genes are required for auditory and vestibular mechanosensation. *Pflügers Arch -*

Eur J Physiol **467**:85–94. doi:10.1007/s00424-014-1582-3

Kazmierczak P, Sakaguchi H, Tokita J, Wilson-Kubalek EM, Milligan RA, Müller U, Kachar B.

2007. Cadherin 23 and protocadherin 15 interact to form tip-link filaments in sensory hair

cells. *Nature* **449**:87–91. doi:10.1038/nature06091

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,

Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious

Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and

analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**:1647–9. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

Kim KX, Beurg M, Hackney CM, Furness DN, Mahendrasingam S, Fettiplace R. 2013. The role

of transmembrane channel–like proteins in the operation of hair cell mechanotransducer

channels. *J Gen Physiol* **142**:493–505. doi:10.1085/jgp.201311068

Kindig K, Stepanyan R, Kindt KS, McDermott BM. 2023. Asymmetric mechanotransduction by

hair cells of the zebrafish lateral line. *Curr Biol* **33**:1295-1307.e3.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2023.02.033

Lee Jumin, Patel DS, Ståhle J, Park SJ, Kern NR, Kim S, Lee Joonseong, Cheng X, Valvano

MA, Holst O, Knirel YA, Qi Y, Jo S, Klauda JB, Widmalm G, Im W. 2019. CHARMM-

GUI Membrane Builder for Complex Biological Membrane Simulations with Glycolipids

and Lipoglycans. *J Chem Theory Comput* **15**:775–786. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01066

Liang X, Qiu X, Dionne G, Cunningham CL, Pucak ML, Peng G, Kim YH, Lauer A, Shapiro L,

Müller U. 2021. CIB2 and CIB3 are auxiliary subunits of the mechanotransduction channel

of hair cells. *Neuron*. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.05.007

Lippert R a, Predescu C, Ierardi DJ, Mackenzie KM, Eastwood MP, Dror RO, Shaw DE. 2013.

Accurate and efficient integration for molecular dynamics simulations at constant

temperature and pressure. *J Chem Phys* **139**:164106. doi:10.1063/1.4825247

Liu X, Wang J, Sun L. 2018. Structure of the hyperosmolality-gated calcium-permeable channel

OSCA1.2. *Nat Commun* **9**:5060. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07564-5

López-Schier H, Hudspeth AJ. 2006. A two-step mechanism underlies the planar polarization of

regenerating sensory hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**:18615–18620.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0608536103

Lukasz D, Kindt KS. 2018. In vivo calcium imaging of lateral-line hair cells in larval zebrafish. *J

Vis Exp* **2018**:1–14. doi:10.3791/58794

Maeda R, Kindt KS, Mo W, Morgan CP, Erickson T, Zhao H, Clemens-Grisham R, Barr-

Gillespie PG, Nicolson T. 2014. Tip-link protein protocadherin 15 interacts with

transmembrane channel-like proteins TMC1 and TMC2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

111:12907–12. doi:10.1073/pnas.1402152111

Maeda R, Pacentine I V., Erickson T, Nicolson T. 2017. Functional analysis of the

transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of Pcdh15a in zebrafish hair cells. *J Neurosci*

2216–16. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2216-16.2017

McInturff S, Burns JC, Kelley MW. 2018. Characterization of spatial and temporal development

of Type I and Type II hair cells in the mouse utricle using new cell-type-specific markers.

Biol Open **7**:1–13. doi:10.1242/bio.038083

Meyers JR, MacDonald RB, Duggan A, Lenzi D, Standaert DG, Corwin JT, Corey DP. 2003.

Lighting up the Senses: FM1-43 Loading of Sensory Cells through Nonselective Ion

Channels. *J Neurosci* **23**:4054–4065. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-10-04054.2003

Michel V, Booth KT, Patni P, Cortese M, Azaiez H, Bahloul A, Kahrizi K, Labbé M, Emptoz A,

Lelli A, Dégardin J, Dupont T, Aghaie A, Oficjalska-Pham D, Picaud S, Najmabadi H,

Smith RJ, Bowl MR, Brown SD, Avan P, Petit C, El-Amraoui A. 2017. CIB2, defective in

isolated deafness, is key for auditory hair cell mechanotransduction and survival. *EMBO*

Mol Med **9**:1711–1731. doi:10.15252/emmm.201708087

Naz S, Giguere CM, Kohrman DC, Mitchem KL, Riazuddin Saima, Morell RJ, Ramesh A,

Srisailpathy S, Deshmukh D, Riazuddin Sheikh, Griffith AJ, Friedman TB, Smith RJH,

Wilcox ER. 2002. Mutations in a novel gene, TMIE, are associated with hearing loss linked

to the DFNB6 locus. *Am J Hum Genet* **71**:632–636. doi:10.1086/342193

Nicolson T. 2017. The genetics of hair-cell function in zebrafish. *J Neurogenet*.

doi:10.1080/01677063.2017.1342246

Nicolson T, Rüsch A, Friedrich RW, Granato M, Ruppersberg JP, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 1998.

Genetic analysis of vertebrate sensory hair cell mechanosensation: The zebrafish circler

mutants. *Neuron* **20**:271–283. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80455-9

Nietlispach D. 2005. Suppression of anti-TROSY lines in a sensitivity enhanced gradient

selection TROSY scheme. *J Biomol NMR* **31**:161–6. doi:10.1007/s10858-004-8195-7

Norris M, Fetler B, Marchant J, Johnson BA. 2016. NMRFx Processor: a cross-platform NMR

data processing program. *J Biomol NMR* **65**:205–216. doi:10.1007/s10858-016-0049-6

Pacentine I V, Nicolson T. 2019. Subunits of the mechano-electrical transduction channel,

Tmc1/2b, require Tmie to localize in zebrafish sensory hair cells. *PLoS Genet* **15**:e1007635.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007635

Pan B, Akyuz N, Liu X-P, Asai Y, Nist-Lund C, Kurima K, Derfler BH, György B, Limapichat

W, Walujkar S, Wimalasena LN, Sotomayor M, Corey DP, Holt JR. 2018. TMC1 Forms

the Pore of Mechanosensory Transduction Channels in Vertebrate Inner Ear Hair Cells.

Neuron **99**:736-753.e6. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.033

Pan B, Géléoc GS, Asai Y, Horwitz GC, Kurima K, Ishikawa K, Kawashima Y, Griffith AJ, Holt

JR. 2013. TMC1 and TMC2 are components of the mechanotransduction channel in hair

cells of the mammalian inner ear. *Neuron* **79**:504–15. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.019

Phillips JB, Blanco-Sanchez B, Lentz JJ, Tallafuss A, Khanobdee K, Sampath S, Jacobs ZG, Han

PF, Mishra M, Titus TA, Williams DS, Keats BJ, Washbourne P, Westerfield M. 2011.

Harmonin (Ush1c) is required in zebrafish Müller glial cells for photoreceptor synaptic

development and function. *DMM Dis Model Mech* **4**:786–800. doi:10.1242/dmm.006429

Phillips JC, Braun R, Wang W, Gumbart J, Tajkhorshid E, Villa E, Chipot C, Skeel RD, Kalé L,

Schulten K. 2005. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J Comput Chem* **26**:1781–

1802. doi:10.1002/jcc.20289

Pujol-Martí J, López-Schier H. 2013. Developmental and architectural principles of the lateral-
line neural map. *Front Neural Circuits* **7**:1–9. doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00047

Qiu X, Liang X, Llongueras JP, Cunningham C, Müller U. 2023. The tetraspan LHFPL5 is
critical to establish maximal force sensitivity of the mechanotransduction channel of
cochlear hair cells. *Cell Rep* **42**:112245. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112245

Riazuddin Saima, Belyantseva IA, Giese APJ, Lee K, Indzhykulian AA, Nandamuri SP, Yousaf
R, Sinha GP, Lee S, Terrell D, Hegde RS, Ali RA, Anwar S, Andrade-Elizondo PB, Sirmaci
A, Parise L V., Basit S, Wali A, Ayub M, Ansar M, Ahmad W, Khan SN, Akram J, Tekin
M, Riazuddin Sheikh, Cook T, Buschbeck EK, Frolenkov GI, Leal SM, Friedman TB,
Ahmed ZM. 2012. Alterations of the CIB2 calcium-and integrin-binding protein cause
Usher syndrome type 1J and nonsyndromic deafness DFNB48. *Nat Genet* **44**:1265–1271.
doi:10.1038/ng.2426

Seco CZ, Giese AP, Shafique S, Schraders M, Oonk AMM, Grossheim M, Oostrik J, Strom T,
Hegde R, Van Wijk E, Frolenkov GI, Azam M, Yntema HG, Free RH, Riazuddin S, Verheij
JBGM, Admiraal RJ, Qamar R, Ahmed ZM, Kremer H. 2016. Novel and recurrent CIB2
variants, associated with nonsyndromic deafness, do not affect calcium buffering and
localization in hair cells. *Eur J Hum Genet* **24**:542–549. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2015.157

Seiler C, Ben-David O, Sidi S, Hendrich O, Rusch A, Burnside B, Avraham KB, Nicolson T.
2004. Myosin VI is required for structural integrity of the apical surface of sensory hair
cells in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **272**:328–338. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.05.004

Shaw DE, Grossman JP, Bank JA, Batson B, Butts JA, Chao JC, Deneroff MM, Dror RO, Even

A, Fenton CH, Forte A, Gagliardo J, Gill G, Greskamp B, Ho CR, Ierardi DJ, Iserovich L,

Kuskin JS, Larson RH, Layman T, Lee L-S, Lerer AK, Li C, Killebrew D, Mackenzie KM,

Mok SY-H, Moraes MA, Mueller R, Nociolo LJ, Peticolas JL, Quan T, Ramot D, Salmon

JK, Scarpazza DP, Schafer U Ben, Siddique N, Snyder CW, Spengler J, Tang PTP,

Theobald M, Toma H, Towles B, Vitale B, Wang SC, Young C. 2014. Anton 2: Raising the

Bar for Performance and Programmability in a Special-Purpose Molecular Dynamics

SupercomputerSC14: International Conference for High Performance Computing,

Networking, Storage and Analysis. IEEE. pp. 41–53. doi:10.1109/SC.2014.9

Sheets L, He XJ, Olt J, Schreck M, Petralia RS, Wang YX, Zhang Q, Beirl A, Nicolson T,

Marcotti W, Trapani JG, Kindt KS. 2017. Enlargement of ribbons in zebrafish hair cells

increases calcium currents but disrupts afferent spontaneous activity and timing of stimulus

onset. *J Neurosci* **37**:6299–6313. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2878-16.2017

Shi T, Beaulieu MO, Saunders LM, Fabian P, Trapnell C, Segil N, Crump JG, Raible DW. 2023.

Single-cell transcriptomic profiling of the zebrafish inner ear reveals molecularly distinct

hair cell and supporting cell subtypes. *Elife* **12**:1–24. doi:10.7554/eLife.82978

Siemens J, Lillo C, Dumont R a, Reynolds A, Williams DS, Gillespie PG, Müller U. 2004.

Cadherin 23 is a component of the tip link in hair-cell stereocilia. *Nature* **428**:950–955.

doi:10.1038/nature02483

Smith ET, Pacentine I, Shipman A, Hill M, Nicolson T. 2020. Disruption of tmc1/2a/2b Genes in

Zebrafish Reveals Subunit Requirements in Subtypes of Inner Ear Hair Cells. *J Neurosci*

40:4457–4468. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0163-20.2020

Smith ET, Sun P, Yu SK, Raible DW, Nicolson T. 2023. Differential expression of

mechanotransduction complex genes in auditory/vestibular hair cells in zebrafish. *Front*

Mol Neurosci **16**:1274822. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2023.1274822

Söllner C, Rauch G-J, Siemens J, Geisler R, Schuster SC, the Tübingen 2000 Screen

Consortium, Müller U, Nicolson T. 2004. Mutations in cadherin 23 affect tip links in

zebrafish sensory hair cells. *Nature* **428**:955–959. doi:10.1038/nature02484

Sotomayor M, Weihofen WA, Gaudet R, Corey DP. 2012. Structure of a force-conveying

cadherin bond essential for inner-ear mechanotransduction. *Nature* **492**:128–32.

doi:10.1038/nature11590

Sukharev S, Corey DP. 2004. Mechanosensitive channels: multiplicity of families and gating

paradigms. *Sci STKE* **2004**:re4. doi:10.1126/stke.2192004re4

Tunyasuvunakool K, Adler J, Wu Z, Green T, Zielinski M, Žídek A, Bridgland A, Cowie A,

Meyer C, Laydon A, Velankar S, Kleywegt GJ, Bateman A, Evans R, Pritzel A, Figurnov

M, Ronneberger O, Bates R, Kohl SAA, Potapenko A, Ballard AJ, Romera-Paredes B,

Nikolov S, Jain R, Clancy E, Reiman D, Petersen S, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Birney E,

Kohli P, Jumper J, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the

human proteome. *Nature* 1–9. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03828-1

Vallone R, Dal Cortivo G, D'Onofrio M, Dell'Orco D. 2018. Preferential Binding of Mg2+ Over

Ca2+ to CIB2 Triggers an Allosteric Switch Impaired in Usher Syndrome Type 1J. *Front*

Mol Neurosci **11**. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00274

Vanommeslaeghe K, MacKerell AD. 2015. CHARMM additive and polarizable force fields for

biophysics and computer-aided drug design. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1850**:861–871.

doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.08.004

Varshney GK, Carrington B, Pei W, Bishop K, Chen Z, Fan C, Xu L, Jones M, LaFave MC,
Ledin J, Sood R, Burgess SM. 2016. A high-throughput functional genomics workflow
based on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in zebrafish. *Nat Protoc* **11**:2357–
2375. doi:10.1038/nprot.2016.141

Walujkar S, Lotthammer JM, Nisler CR, Sudar JC, Ballesteros A, Sotomayor M. 2021. In Silico
Electrophysiology of Inner-Ear Mechanotransduction Channel TMC1 Models. *bioRxiv*
2021.09.17.460860. doi:10.1101/2021.09.17.460860

Wang X, Liu S, Cheng Q, Qu C, Ren R, Du H, Li N, Yan K, Wang Y, Xiong W, Xu Z. 2023.
CIB2 and CIB3 regulate stereocilia maintenance and mechanoelectrical transduction in
mouse vestibular hair cells. *J Neurosci*. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1807-22.2023

Wang Y, Li J, Yao X, Li W, Du H, Tang M, Xiong W, Chai R, Xu Z. 2017. Loss of CIB2 causes
profound hearing loss and abolishes mechanoelectrical transduction in mice. *Front Mol*
Neurosci **10**. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2017.00401

Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--a
multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**:1189–1191.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033

Xiong W, Grillet N, Elledge HM, Wagner TFJ, Zhao B, Johnson KR, Kazmierczak P, Müller U.
2012. TMHS is an integral component of the mechanotransduction machinery of cochlear
hair cells. *Cell* **151**:1283–95. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.041

Zhang M, Wang D, Kang Y, Wu J-X, Yao F, Pan C, Yan Z, Song C, Chen L. 2018. Structure of
the mechanosensitive OSCA channels. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **25**:850–858.
doi:10.1038/s41594-018-0117-6

Zhang Q, Li S, Wong HTC, He XJ, Beirl A, Petralia RS, Wang YX, Kindt KS. 2018.

Synaptically silent sensory hair cells in zebrafish are recruited after damage. *Nat Commun*

9:1–16. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03806-8

Zhao H, Williams DE, Shin J-B, Brügger B, Gillespie PG. 2012. Large membrane domains in

hair bundles specify spatially constricted radixin activation. *J Neurosci* **32**:4600–9.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6184-11.2012

Zhu S, Chen Z, Wang H, McDermott BM. 2021. Tmc Reliance Is Biased by the Hair Cell

Subtype and Position Within the Ear. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **8**:570486.

doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.570486

1296

1297

Figures and figure legends

1299

Figure 1. Expression of *Cib* genes in the mouse inner ear and generation of *Cib3* knockout

mice. (**A**) Maximum intensity projections of Z-stacks of confocal fluorescent images and

corresponding DIC images of *Cib2^(KO/+)* and *Cib2^(KO/KO)* cultured vestibular end organ explants

imaged after exposure to 3 μM of FM 1-43 for 10 s. The samples were dissected at P5 and kept 2

days *in vitro* (P5 + 2div). Scale bar: 20 μm. (**B**) Real-time quantitative RT–PCR analysis of mRNA

levels in the cochlear and vestibular sensory epithelia at P12 revealed different expression of *Cib1*,

Cib2, *Cib3,* and *Cib4* in the auditory and vestibular end organs. (**C**) Violin plots generated by

gEAR portal showing the expression levels of *Cib2*, *Cib3*, *Tmc1,* and *Tmc2* by scRNA-seq in

immature, type 1, and type 2 utricular vestibular hair cells. TPM: transcript per million. (**D**) Gene

structure of the wild-type and *Cib3^{KO}* alleles. Exons are shown in yellow. Indel in exon 4 leads to

a nonsense mutation and a premature stop at the protein level (p. Asp54*) (**E**) Real-time

quantitative RT–PCR analysis using cDNA from brain tissue of *Cib2;Cib3* mutant mice was used

to analyze the expression of *Cib2* and *Cib3*. Contrary to *Cib2* mRNA, *Cib3* mRNA appears to be

stable in the *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice and does not go through nonsense mediated decay process.

The relative expression of *Cib2* and *Cib3* members were normalized against *hprt*. Asterisks

indicate statistical significance: ***, $p<0.001$ (Student's t-test). n.s.: not significant. Error bars

represent SEM. (**F**) Western Blot analysis on *Cib2;Cib3* mouse heart tissue was performed

using CIB3 antibody. No CIB3 protein was detected in the *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mice, validating

our mouse model. GAPDH detection was used as a loading control.

1319

1320

1321

Figure 2. CIB2/3 double mutant mice have profound hearing loss. (**A**) ABR thresholds to

broadband clicks and tone-pips with frequencies of 8 kHz, 16 kHz, 24 kHz and 32 kHz in

$Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ (black; n = 5) and $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ (red, n = 5) mice at P16. The same

animals were tested with clicks and tone pips. (**B**) ABR pure tone traces of P32 $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$

(black) and $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ (red) mice measured at 32 kHz. Hearing thresholds are in

Decibels (dB SPL). (**C**) Maximum intensity projections of Z-stacks of confocal fluorescent images

(right) and corresponding DIC images (left) of $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{+/+}$, $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{+/+}$,

$Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ cultured organ of Corti explants imaged after

exposure to 3 μM of FM 1-43 for 10 s. The samples were dissected at P5 and kept 2 days in vitro

(P5 + 2div). Scale bar: 20 μm. (**D**) Maximum intensity projections of confocal Z-stacks of apical,

medial and basal turns of organ of Corti of control and $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mice immunostained

with an anti-myosin VIIa antibody (green) and counterstained with phalloidin (red) at P18.

Asterisks indicate hair cell loss. Scale bar: 10 μm.

1335

1336

1337

Figure 3. CIB2/3 double mutant mice have vestibular dysfunction. (**A**) Traces showing the

open-field exploratory behavior of P60 *Cib2*^{+/+}*;Cib3*^{+/+}, *Cib2*[KO/+]*;Cib3*^{+/+}, *Cib2*^{+/+}*;Cib3*[KO/KO],

Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ and *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mutant mice. (**B**) Quantification of the number of

rotations in 120 seconds (mean ± SEM), showing that, unlike *Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*, and

Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ mice, *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* mutant mice display a circling behavior and a

vestibular defect (*t*-test) ($n = 4$ for each genotype). (**C**) Examples of head-velocity (grey) and

resultant eye-velocity traces evoked during VORd testing. Note, the eye movement is

compensatory, and the trace has been inverted to facilitate comparison with head velocity. (**D**)

VORd Gain and phase (mean ± SD) plotted as a function of frequency for *Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n =$

4), *Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 5$), *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 4$). (**E**) OKN gain and phase (mean ±

SD) plotted as a function of frequency for *Cib2$^{+/+}$; Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 4$), *Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 5$),

Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ ($n = 4$). (**F**) VORl gain and phase (mean ± SD) plotted as a function of

frequency for *Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 4$), *Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 5$), *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 4$).

Comparisons made with two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test for (A-D); *p <

0.05. (**G**) Quantification of the time mice remained on the rotating rod with increasing acceleration

(mean ± SEM). Comparisons made with two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc test

for (F-K); *P<0.002. (*Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 6$), *Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* ($n = 6$), *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*

($n = 6$)). (**H**) Comparison of power spectra density of head movements in translational axes (top)

and rotational axes (bottom) between wild type (*Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*, black), heterozygous mutants

(*Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*, blue), and homozygous mutants (*Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*, red). The double

knockout *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* exhibit significantly higher power than *Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$* and

Cib2$^{KO/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ across all frequencies (0–30 Hz), in all six translational and rotational axes.

1360

1361

Figure 4. Vestibular hair cells do not have functional MET channels at rest in CIB2/3 double

mutant mice. (**A**) Maximum intensity projections of confocal Z-stacks of the vestibular end

organs of P18 $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and $Cib2^{KO/KO};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ mutant mice immunostained with

myosin VIIa antibody (green) and counterstained with phalloidin (red) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar

= 50 μm. (**B**) Adult vestibular end organs of $Cib2^{+/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$, $Cib2^{KO/+};Cib3^{KO/KO}$ and

Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ mutant mice imaged after exposure to 3 μM of FM 1-43 for 10 s. Vestibular

hair cell bodies (green doted lines), and stereocilia bundles (red arrows) are shown. Scale bar:

100 μm. (**C**) FM 1-43FX (fixable form) labeling of saccules and utricles by IP injection at P60.

Obvious reduction in signal in *Cib2$^{KO/KO}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$*, and subtle extrastriolar reduction in

Cib2$^{+/+}$;Cib3$^{KO/KO}$ mice was observed. Extrastriolar regions are delimited with white lines. Scale

bar = 100 μm.

1373

1374

1375

Figure 5. Both Cib2 and Cib3 are required in zebrafish for acoustic startle and MET function. (A) Compared to controls (siblings) *cib3* mutants have a normal acoustic startle response. *Cib2;cib3* double mutants completely lack an acoustic startle response, while *cib2*

mutants have a reduced probability to startle compared to controls ($n = 43$ sibling, 20 *cib2*, 19 *cib3*

and 7 *cib2;cib3* animals). (**B**) Compared to controls *cib3* mutants have a normal % of hair cells

that label with FM 1-43 per neuromast. In *cib2;cib3* mutants no hair cells label with FM 1-43, and

in *cib2* mutants a significantly reduced % of hair cells label with FM 1-43 per neuromast. (**C**) The

average intensity of FM 1-43 labeling in *cib3* mutant hair cells is similar to controls. In contrast,

in *cib2* mutants, hair cells that label with FM 1-43, have a significantly reduced intensity compared

to controls ($n = 8$ neuromasts per genotype in B and C). (**D-G**) Representative neuromasts labeled

with FM 1-43 for each genotype. FM 1-43 label is overlaid onto laser scanning DIC images. (**H**)

Schematic showing the localization of membrane-localized GCaMP6s (memGCaMP6s); the

indicator used to measure Ca^{2+} influx into lateral-line hair bundles. The plane used for Ca^{2+}

imaging and to determine if a hair cell is mechanosensitive is indicated by the dashed line. (**I-I'**)

Representative example of a hair bundle imaging plane (I), along with the resulting Ca^{2+} responses

(I') from hair bundles from a control neuromast. The ROIs used to measure Ca^{2+} signals in each

hair bundle are indicated in (I). (**J-L**) Representative examples of Ca^{2+} responses from neuromasts

in *cib2* (J), *cib3* (K) and *cib2;cib3* mutants (L). FM 1-43 imaging and behavior were performed at

5 dpf. Ca^{2+} imaging was performed at 5 or 6 dpf. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used in A; A one-way

ANOVA was used in B and C. The scale bar in D and I = 5 µm. The online version of this article

includes source data and the following figure supplement(s) for Figure 5.

1397

1398 The online version of this article includes source data and the following figure supplement(s) for

1399 Figure 5.

1400 **Figure supplement 1.** Summary of zebrafish *cib2* and *cib3* alleles used in this study.

1401 **Figure supplement 2.** Summary of mechanosensitive Ca^{2+} responses in zebrafish.

1402

Figure 6. Cib2 is required in specific subsets of hair cells in the zebrafish pLL and inner ear.

(**A**) Overview of Tmc requirements in pLL hair cells. Hair cells that sense posterior flow (orange)

rely on Tmc2b, while hair cells that sense anterior flow (blue) can rely on Tmc2b and/or Tmc2a

for mechanosensitive function. (**B-C'**) FM 1-43FX labeling in pLL neuromasts from sibling

control (B) and *cib2* mutants (C). The residual cells in *cib2* mutants rely on Cib3 for

mechanosensitive function. Phalloidin label can be used to link FM 1-43FX label to the orientation

of pLL hair cells (C,C'; see colored asterisks). In B', orange and blue arrows rest above hair cells

that are oriented to respond to anterior and posterior flow, respectively. (**D**) Quantification reveals

that a similar percentage of anterior and posterior responsive pLL hair cells label with FM 1-43FX

in sibling controls (posterior flow: 49.7 %, anterior flow: 49.2 %, $n = 9$ neuromasts). In contrast,

in *cib2* mutants, a significantly higher percentage of posterior responsive pLL hair cells label with

FM 1-43FX in *cib2* mutants (posterior flow: 60.5 %, anterior flow: 39.5 %, $n = 26$ neuromasts).

(**E**) Overview of Tmc and Cib requirements in the hair cells of zebrafish cristae. Short teardrop-

shaped cells rely primarily on Tmc2a, while tall gourd-shaped cells rely primarily on Tmc2b and

Tmc1. (**F-I'**) Medial cristae for each genotype. MemGCaMP6s labels all hair cells, while FM 4-

64 labels hair cells with intact mechanosensitive function. In siblings and *cib3* mutants, both

teardrop and gourd cells label with FM 4-64 (F-F', G-G'). In *cib2;cib3* double mutants, no hair

cells label with FM 4-64 (asterisks) (I-I'). In *cib2* mutants many short cells fail to label with FM

4-64 (asterisks) (H-H'), ($n = 13$ double het sibling, 7 *cib3*, 14 *cib2* and 4 double mutant crista). All

images were acquired at 5 dpf. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used in D; $P > 0.01$. The scale bars in

B, B', and F' = 5 μm.

1424

1425

1426

Figure 7. AF2 predictions and NMR data support a clamp-like model for the human TMC1

and CIB2 complex. (**A**) AF2 model of *hs* TMC1 in complex with *hs* CIB2. Side view of

monomeric *hs* TMC1 (light purple) in complex with *hs* CIB2 (light sea green). N-terminal residues

of TMC1 known to interact with CIB2 are in red. (**B**) Top left panel shows residues at the *hs*

TMC1-NT fragment (115-117) shown to weaken interaction with CIB2 upon mutation (Liang et

al., 2021) at the interface with CIB2. Additional panels show details of contacts between TMC1

and CIB2 with formation of K124:D14 and K112:E80 salt bridges, as well as hydrophobic

interactions. (**C**) Overlay of ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY-HSQC spectra of *hs* [U-^{15}N]-CIB2 (black) alone and

bound to either only *hs* TMC1-IL (red) or both *hs* TMC1-IL and *hs* TMC1-NT (blue). NMR data

were obtained in the presence of 3 mM CaCl$_2$. These data are also shown in Figure 7-figure

supplement 7.

1438

The online version of this article includes source data and the following figure supplement(s) for

Figure 7.

Figure supplement 1. Overview of TMC1/2 and CIB2/3 AF2 models.

Figure supplement 2. TMC sequence alignment.

Figure supplement 3. CIB2 sequence alignment.

Figure supplement 4. CIB3 sequence alignment.

Figure supplement 5. CIB sequence alignment.

Figure supplement 6. SEC of *hs* CIB2 and *hs* CIB3 either refolded alone or co-refolded with *hs* TMC1-

IL1, and *hs* TMC1-NT.

Figure supplement 7. ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY-HSQC spectra.

Figure 7–video 1. Overview of *hs* TMC1 (light and dark purple) in complex with *hs* CIB2 (light
sea green)-Ca^{2+} (green spheres). K$^+$ ions (pink) were shown to be permeating the pore region
between α4 and α6. Water molecules, lipids, and protein side chains were omitted for clarity.
1452

Figure 8. Simulations of AF2 predictions show that human TMC1 and CIB2 complexes form

cation channels.

(**A**) *Left:* AF2 model of the dimeric *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2 complex. *Right:* A complete simulation

system of *hs* TMC1 in complex with *hs* CIB2-Ca^{2+} is shown in surface representation. *hs* TMC1

is shown in light (monomer A) and dark (monomer B) purple, *hs* CIB2 in light sea green, water in

transparent light blue, lipid membrane in gray lines, and K$^+$ and Cl$^-$ ions are shown as pink and

green spheres, respectively. (**B**) The *hs* TMC1-NT domain explores diverse conformational space

in the absence of CIB during equilibrium simulations (S3a). (**C**) Salt bridges K124:D14 and

K112:E80 remain throughout equilibrium simulations. (**D**) Snapshot of amphipathic helix α0

inserting into the lipid bilayer (S4c). (**E**) Number of K$^+$ crossings as a function of time for pores

A and B of *hs* TMC1, *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2 + Ca^{2+}, and *hs* TMC1 + *hs* CIB2 in a POPC bilayer at

-0.5 V, -0.25 V, and -0.125 V. Insets show top views of pore region with TMC1 (dark purple) and

lipids (light gray) in surface representation (protein and lipids partially excluded for clarity).

Representative images of K$^+$ permeating the pore region between α4 and α6 of *hs* TMC1 are shown

with protein in surface representation and ions as pink spheres. Conductance values calculated for

K$^+$ crossings.

1470

The online version of this article includes source data and the following figure supplement(s) for

Figure 8.

Figure supplement 1. Stability of TMC/CIB complexes, monomers, and subdomains.

Figure supplement 2. BSA in *hs* TMC1/2 and *hs* CIB2/3 complexes.

Figure supplement 3. Number of K$^+$ crossings as a function of time for pores A and B of *hs* TMC1 and

hs TMC2.

Figure supplement 4. Conduction events in long timescale simulations and summary of predicted

conductance values.

Figure supplement 5. Possible combinations of TMC1, TMC2, CIB2, and CIB3 complexes.

Figure 8–video 1. Side view of the *hs* TMC1 channel pore during a trajectory at -0.5 V (monomer
B). K^+ (pink) are seen permeating the pore from one side (S1b); *hs* TMC1 (monomer B) is shown
in dark purple and *hs* CIB2 is shown in light sea green. Water molecules, lipids, *hs* TMC1
(monomer A), and protein side chains were omitted for clarity.
1484
1485   **Figure 8–table supplement 1.** Summary of simulations.
1486
1487   **Figure 8–table supplement 2.** Ion conduction.
1488

1489