Adaptor protein complex 2 in the orbitofrontal cortex predicts alcohol use disorder

Patrick J. Mulholland, PhD[1,2,6]*, Stefano Berto, PhD [1], Phillip A. Wilmarth, PhD [3], Christopher McMahan, PhD [4], Lauren E. Ball, PhD [5], and John J. Woodward, PhD [1,2]

[1]Department of Neuroscience and [2]Charleston Alcohol Research Center, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston SC, 29425

[3]Proteomics Shared Resource, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, OR, 97239

[4]School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Clemson-MUSC Artificial Intelligence Hub, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-0975

[5]Cell and Molecular Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston SC, 29425

[6]Lead Contact

*Corresponding Author: Patrick J. Mulholland, PhD
Professor
Department of Neuroscience
Charleston Alcohol Research Center
Medical University of South Carolina
30 Courtenay Drive, BM629C
Charleston, SC 29425-8610
Phone: 843-792-1229
Fax: 843-792-7353
Email: mulholl@musc.edu

ABSTRACT

Alcohol use disorder (AUD) is a life-threatening disease characterized by compulsive drinking, cognitive deficits, and social impairment that continue despite negative consequences. The inability of individuals with AUD to regulate drinking may involve functional deficits in cortical areas that normally balance actions that have aspects of both reward and risk. Among these, the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) is critically involved in goal-directed behavior and is thought to maintain a representation of reward value that guides decision making. In the present study, we analyzed post-mortem OFC brain samples collected from age- and gender-matched control subjects and those with AUD using proteomics, bioinformatics, machine learning, and reverse genetics approaches. Of the 4,500+ total unique proteins identified in the proteomics screen, there were 47 proteins that differed significantly by gender that were enriched in processes regulating extracellular matrix and axonal structure. Gene ontology enrichment analysis revealed that proteins differentially expressed in AUD cases were involved in synaptic and mitochondrial function, as well as transmembrane transporter activity. Alcohol-sensitive OFC proteins also mapped to abnormal social behaviors and social interactions. Machine learning analysis of the post-mortem OFC proteome revealed dysregulation of presynaptic (e.g., AP2A1) and mitochondrial proteins that predicted the occurrence and severity of AUD. Using a reverse genetics approach to validate a target protein, we found that prefrontal *Ap2a1* expression significantly correlated with voluntary alcohol drinking in male and female genetically diverse mouse strains. Moreover, recombinant inbred strains that inherited the C57BL/6J allele at the *Ap2a1* interval consumed higher amounts of alcohol than those that inherited the DBA/2J allele. Together, these findings highlight the impact of excessive alcohol consumption on the human OFC proteome and identify important cross-species cortical mechanisms and proteins that control drinking in individuals with AUD.

Introduction

The global burden of alcohol (i.e., ethanol) misuse is rising at an alarming rate. In 2019, alcohol use accounted for nearly 2.5 million deaths globally[1], and the total number of alcohol-related deaths between 2019 and 2021 dramatically increased by 38% in the US[2]. Given the widespread misuse of alcohol throughout the world, it is not surprising that the prevalence of alcohol use disorder (AUD) among adults is fairly high. For example, lifetime prevalence of AUD among male and female adults is approximately 14% in the US and 23% in Australia with rates considerably higher among males (US: ~21%; AUS: ~33%)[3]. Long-term consumption of alcohol can lead to an inability to regulate drinking, tolerance to the acute intoxicating effects of alcohol, and physiological and emotional symptoms that appear during withdrawal. The number and severity of these symptoms is used to diagnose individuals with AUD[4]. Characteristics that increase the risk of developing AUD are many and include environmental, cultural, and genetic factors that, in individuals with AUD, each contribute to the loss of control over drinking that often continues even in the face of adverse outcomes. This maladaptive behavior is thought to result from alcohol-induced changes in neural circuits that underlie flexible decision making and risk-reward assessment and includes areas such as prefrontal cortex, ventral and dorsal striatum, and central and basolateral amygdala[5].

Recent studies from our group and others have focused on the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) as a critical sub-region of the prefrontal cortex that is impacted by both acute and chronic exposure to alcohol[6-8]. The OFC is well recognized for its role in goal-directed behavior via its connections with striatal and amygdalar regions and the inputs it receives from sensory stimuli especially that of olfaction and taste that are highly associated with alcohol drinking[9,10]. The OFC encodes alcohol preference[11], and deficits in OFC-dependent behaviors such as reversal learning are observed in humans diagnosed with AUD[10,12], as well as in rodent and non-human primate models of alcohol dependence[10,13-17]. In humans, these effects are associated with changes in OFC neural excitability under baseline conditions[18] and during presentations of alcohol related cues[19,20]; while mice and cynomolgus monkeys with a history of alcohol exposure show alterations in OFC firing and tolerance to the acute inhibitory effects of alcohol[7,21,22]. Synaptomic and functional analysis of monkey OFC revealed changes in excitatory glutamatergic synaptic transmission without changes in GABAergic signaling proteins[22].

We extend our previous findings from rodents and non-human primates by comparing the proteome landscape of the human OFC between age- and gender-matched control cases and individuals with AUD. Because DSM-5 clinical diagnoses were available for AUD cases, we used a supervised machine learning approach to interrogate the relationship between the OFC proteome and diagnosis of AUD and AUD severity. A candidate protein was then validated using bioinformatics and reverse genetics approaches. The results revealed distinct differences in expression of proteins involved in presynaptic glutamatergic activity and mitochondrial metabolism in patient samples that predicted AUD severity and voluntary drinking in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

In this study, we analyzed postmortem samples of the lateral OFC (**Fig. 1A**) from 14 pairs of age- and gender-matched samples from controls who abstained or were social drinkers of alcohol (~5 drinks/week) and those with AUD (**Fig. 1B**), diagnosed according to DSM-5. The lateral OFC was identified[23] and collected by qualified pathologists under full ethical clearance with informed, written consent from next of kin. Samples were frozen and stored at −80°C until processing. Samples and the demographic information (**Table 1**) used in this study were provided by the New South Wales Brain Tissue Resource Centre (NSW BTRC [Ethnics Committee Approval Number: X11-0107]) at the University of Sydney.

TMT labeling and fractionation of peptides

Tissue sample were solubilized in 9M Urea (Sequanal grade, Thermo Fisher), 50mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 100units/mL universal nuclease (Thermo Fisher) with brief sonication. Homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 minutes at room temperature to remove debris and the concentration of protein in the supernatant determined by Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Fisher). Protein (180µg/sample) was reduced in 5mM dithiothreitol

FIGURE 1

Fig 1. Processing pipeline for proteomics analysis of postmortem samples from the lateral orbitofrontal cortex. A Coronal section of human cortex showing Brodmann's Areas (BA) including the lateral OFC (Orbital Prefrontal (OP) 13) that was acquired for proteomics analysis. **B** Twenty-eight samples from age- and gender-matched controls and AUD cases were digested and labeled using two 16-plex TMT kits before protein identification and quantitation (n = 7 condition/gender). Data were then normalized to control for batch effects across different mass spectrometry runs, and differential expression, gene ontology (GO) enrichment, and machine learning (ML) analyses were completed.

for 30 minutes at 37°C and cysteines alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide for 45 minutes at 25°C in the dark. The concentration of urea was diluted to less than 2 M with 50 mM HEPES (pH 8.0). Lys-C endoprotease (Waco) digestion was performed at an enzyme:protein ratio of 1:100 for 2 hours at 25°C followed by trypsin (Sigma) digestion at an enzyme:protein ratio of 1:50 overnight at 37°C. The digestion reactions were quenched by acidifying with 5% (v/v) formic acid. Digested peptides were desalted using 1 cc (50 mg) Sep-Pak Vac tC18 solid phase extraction cartridges (Waters) and the concentration determined using the Pierce quantitative colorimetric peptide assay (Thermo Fisher). Peptides were dried by vacuum centrifugation.

To accommodate 28 samples, two tandem mass tag (TMT) kits each with 16 reagents (16-Plex TMTpro, Thermo Fisher) were used for isobaric labeling[24]. Digested peptides (100 µg per TMT channel) were resuspended in 100 µL of 200 mM HEPES (pH 8.5). TMT reagents were dissolved in 20 µL of anhydrous acetonitrile and added to the peptide samples. The reactions were incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in the dark and stored at -80°C while confirming the labeling efficiency. For normalization and batch correction across the two TMT experiments, a pooled reference standard comprised of 27 µg of protein from each sample was labeled with the 126 or 134N reagent. To check for sufficient labeling and accurate mixing, 2 µL from each reaction were quenched, combined, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS as described below. Mass spectrometric data were searched against a UniProt reviewed human protein database (20,354 entries downloaded 02/04/2020) using the SequestHT algorithm in Proteome Discoverer 2.5. TMTpro tags on the peptide N-termini and lysines were included as variable modifications. The percentage of peptide spectral matches (PSM) with labeled N-termini and/or lysine as compared to the total number of PSMs identified was >95%. To assess the accuracy in combining the samples at equal amounts, the data were searched with the TMTpro labels as fixed modifications and the sum of reporter ion intensities for each reagent channel were compared. After confirming efficient labeling and equal mixing ratios, the TMT reactions were quenched with 5% hydroxylamine, combined, and dried by vacuum centrifugation. Labeled peptides were desalted using 3 cc (200 mg) Sep-Pak Vac tC18 cartridges (Waters). A 100-µg aliquot of the labeled, combined, desalted peptides was separated into 9 fractions with the high pH reversed-phase peptide fractionation kit (Thermo Fisher). Fractions with increasing percentages of acetonitrile (ACN) in 0.1% triethylamine as well as the flow through were collected. The elution solutions contained 5%, 7.5%, 10%, 12.5%, 15%, 17.5%, 20%, and 50% ACN. Eluted peptides were dried and desalted using Stage tips.

LC-MS/MS data acquisition

Multiplexed, fractionated, TMT labeled peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using an EASY nLC 1200 in-line with the Orbitrap Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). Peptides were pressure loaded at a maximum of 1,000 bar, and separated on a C18 reversed phase column (Acclaim PepMap RSLC, 75 µm x 50 cm (C18, 2 µm, 100 Å)), Thermo Fisher)) with a gradient of 5% to 35% solvent B over 180 min (Solvent A: 2%

ACN, 0.1% formic acid; Solvent B: 80% ACN, 0.1% formic acid) at 300 nL/min. The column was thermostated at 45°C. To reduce the impact of co-isolated ions on reporter ion intensities, data were acquired using synchronous precursor selection (SPS-MS3)[25]. MS1 scans were detected in the Orbitrap analyzer at a resolution of 120K. For MS2 scans, precursors were isolated with a 0.7 Da window, an AGC target of 1×10^4, and automatic maximum injection time. Precursors were fragmented by collision induced dissociation with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 30% and analyzed in the ion trap. Ions within a 10-ppm window of a previously analyzed precursor were dynamically excluded for 15 sec. SPS-MS3 scans were acquired on the top 10 most intense fragment ions from the MS2 scan. MS3 precursors were isolated using a 2 Da window and fragmented by high energy collisional dissociation at a NCE of 55% with an AGC of 1×10^5 and maximum injection time of 120 ms. MS3 fragment ions were analyzed in the Orbitrap at 60K resolution within a mass range of 110-500 m/z.

Database searching, peptide identification, quantitation, and functional annotation

The Comet search engine (v2016.03)[26] was used: 1.25 Da monoisotopic peptide mass tolerance, 1.0005 Da monoisotopic fragment ion tolerance, fully tryptic cleavage with up to two missed cleavages, variable oxidation of methionine residues, static alkylation of cysteines, and static modifications for TMTpro labels (at peptide N-termini and at lysine residues). The protein sequence collection searched was canonical human Swiss-Prot FASTA sequences downloaded 11/30/2021 from www.UniProt.org. Common contaminants (175 sequences) were added and sequence-reversed entries were concatenated for a final protein FASTA file of 41,100 sequences. Each TMT plex had 9 fractions for a total of 18 instrument files that were processed with the PAW pipeline[27]. Binary files were converted to text files using MSConvert[28]. Python scripts extracted TMTpro reporter ion peak heights and fragment ion spectra in MS2 format[29].

Top-scoring PSMs were filtered to a 1% FDR using an interactive GUI application to set thresholds in delta-mass histograms and conditional Peptide-prophet-like linear discriminant function[30] score histograms where incorrect delta-mass and score histogram distributions were estimated using the target/decoy method[31]. The filtered PSMs were assembled into protein lists using basic and extended parsimony principles and required two distinct peptides per protein per plex. The final list of identified proteins, protein groups (indistinguishable peptide sets), and protein families (highly homologous peptide sets) were used to define unique and shared peptides for quantitative use. Total (summed) reporter ion intensities were computed from PSMs associated with all unique peptides (final grouped protein context) for each protein. The intensities of duplicate pooled internal standards for each protein were averaged in each TMT plex to create local protein intensity scales. Two local scales were adjusted to a common scale (the geometric mean of the local scales) and scaling factors applied to TMT intensities of the 14 biological samples in each plex. This internal reference scaling[32] puts all 28 biological sample protein intensities on a common scale. Mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository[33] with the dataset identifier PXD041727.

Machine learning for AUD separation

To determine if there proteins can separate control and AUD cases, as well as identify proteins that can separate the severity of AUD diagnosis (mild, moderate, or severe AUD), we applied sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA) to the proteomics data set, which was implemented using the mixOmics package in R. sPLS-DA is a supervised machine learning algorithm that performs variable selection and classification in one operation and uses a sparsity assumption to identify a small number of important variables for separation[34]. Five-fold repeated cross validation with 50 repetitions was applied to the model. Separation of control and AUD cases was determined by component 1 and 2 projections, and the loading coefficients for top weighted variables were reported.

Bioinformatics and reverse genetics

Two additional open-source integrative bioinformatics analyses were performed following our previous methods[22,35-39]. We queried the GeneWeaver software system, a database containing major curated repositories and functional genomics results obtained from experiments across 9 species[40], to retrieve data that implicate the gene that encodes AP2α1 in alcohol-related phenomena. Next, we performed targeted analyses using existing

genetic and phenotypic data in GeneNetwork[41,42]. We correlated prefrontal *Ap2a1* expression (probe set: ENSMUSG00000060279) in male and female alcohol-naïve BXD recombinant inbred strains of mice (GeneNetwork data set: DOD_BXD_PFC_GWI_CTL_RNA-Seq_ComB_(Dec19)_TPM_Log2) with published phenotypic drinking data from control and CIE-exposed BXD strains[43]. Next, BXD strains were genotyped at the *Ap2a1* interval to assess the contribution of the *B* or *D* allele in alcohol drinking behavior.

Statistics

The protein intensity values for each biological sample were compared for differential protein expression using two factor [gender x condition] analysis. Differential protein intensity analysis was performed in R using a linear model as following: *lm(protein intensity ~ Condition + Gender + SVs + Condition*Gender)*. Surrogates Variables (SVs) were calculated using *SVA* (v3.42) in R based on a "2-step" method with 100 iterations[44]. A total of two SVs were included in the analysis. Post-hoc analysis was performed in R using *emmeans* package (v1.8.4). Resultant p-values were corrected by Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. FDR < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. Functional annotation of the differentially expressed proteins was performed using ToppGene[45] using the full list of identified proteins as the background set. Four-factor linear mixed models were calculated in MATLAB (R2022a) with α set to 0.05.

RESULTS

Drinking characteristics

As shown in **Table 1**, estimated total drinking years were similar between control and AUD cases (~28.5-33 years), but the number of drinks per week were markedly different. In control cases, alcohol drinks/week ranged from 3.2 – 7.1 (5.3 – 10.3 grams of alcohol/day), whereas AUD individuals consumed 96.0 – 146.9 alcohol drinks each week (199.1 – 256.4 grams of alcohol/day; **Fig. 2A**). Of the individuals with AUD, 5 met criteria for mild AUD, 2 had moderate AUD, and the remaining 7 individuals met criteria for severe AUD, according to DSM-5 diagnostic criteria.

Proteomics analysis of human lateral OFC

Age- and gender-matched pairs of lateral OFC (**Fig. 1A**) samples were pseudo-randomly divided across two 16-plex TMTpro experiments (**Fig. 1B**). Following peptide identification and quantification for the individual kits, batch effects from separate MS runs were removed using IRS normalization[32] (**Supplemental Fig. 1**). Then, differential expression, Gene Ontology enrichment, and supervised machine learning analyses were performed (**Fig. 1C**). There were 754,217 acquired MS2/MS3 scans with 266,029 scans passing the 1% FDR filtering. The PSMs mapped to 4,957 protein groups, with 4,851 identified protein groups after excluding decoy and common contaminant matches. The final number of quantifiable proteins observed in both TMT plexes was 4,503, and data were analyzed using two-factor analysis [gender x condition]. Volcano plots for each factor and the interaction between gender and condition are shown in **Fig. 2B**. Analyses revealed 43 proteins that were differentially expressed between males and females (main effect of gender) and 39 proteins that met criteria for a significant gender x condition interaction. Analyses also revealed major differences in the OFC proteome of individuals with AUD (main effect of condition). Because there were proteins with significant interactions, we performed post-hoc analyses to determine if AUD differentially affected these proteins in males and females. Of the 39 proteins with significant interactions, posthoc tests reached statistical significance for 19 proteins (**Fig. 2C**). Four proteins were significantly different between male and female controls (ISOC1, MTIF2, SLC4A3, and VCP), 3 were significantly different between male controls and males with AUD (ISOC1, MEGF8, and MTIF2), and 15 proteins were significantly different between female controls and females with AUD.

FIGURE 2

Fig 2. Proteomic adaptations in the OFC across gender and in AUD cases. A Drinks per week in controls and individuals with AUD. n = 7 condition/gender. **B** Volcano plots showing differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex for each factor (gender, condition) and the interaction between gender and condition among controls and AUD cases. **C** Post-hoc analysis of proteins with significant gender by condition interactions in male and female control and AUD cases. *p < 0.05. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; n = 7 condition/gender.

Gene ontology analysis

Next, we completed Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis to determine processes and functions that were disrupted by gender and AUD. We first performed GO enrichment analysis on the complete list of 4503 unique proteins comparing them with the full human transcriptome (**Fig. 3A**). Overall, the full list of proteins identified in our screen were enriched in 'cadherin,' 'cell adhesion', and 'cytoskeletal protein binding'. These proteins showed enriched expression in 'glutamatergic synapses' (pre- and post-synaptic compartments) and functions that 'regulate intracellular' and 'membrane protein transport'. Because the differential expression analysis revealed that AUD differentially affects proteins in males and females (see **Fig. 2C** for interactions), we performed separate GO enrichment analysis for each gender comparing the differentially expressed proteins to all proteins identified in our screen. Comparing the 47 proteins that differed between males and females, differentially expressed proteins were enriched in 'extracellular matrix' (ECM), 'basement membrane', and 'integrin signaling', as well as 'processes regulating axon guidance' and 'axonogenesis' (**Fig. 3B**). Although there were minor differences, GO enrichment analysis on AUD-dependent protein changes was similar for control vs AUD in males (**Fig. 3C**) and females (**Fig. 3D**), which showed proteins involved in 'disruption of mitochondrial functioning' and 'transmembrane transporter activity'. Additional analysis revealed that down-regulated proteins were enriched in catabolic and metabolic processes within mitochondria, whereas up-regulated proteins were enriched at glutamatergic synapses and regulate synaptic vesicle cycling and release. Finally, GO analysis indicated that differentially expressed proteins in males and females with AUD were enriched in phenotypes related to general categories for nonverbal communication and social behaviors (**Fig. 3E**). Differentially expressed proteins in individuals with AUD were also enriched in phenotypes for 'seizure' and 'abnormal cerebral morphology', all of which were not identified when analyzing the full list of identified proteins.

FIGURE 3

Fig 3. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis – molecular function, biological process, and cellular component – of the OFC proteome in AUD. **A** GO enrichment analysis of all 4503 identified proteins compared with the full human transcriptome. **B** GO analysis of the DEPs when comparing gender. **C** Enrichment analysis of DEPs in male control and AUD cases. **D** GO enrichment analysis of DEPs in female control and AUD cases. **E** Phenotype analysis of gene ontology enrichment in all identified proteins and DEPs in male and female control and AUD cases.

Alcohol use disorder prediction

As a final analysis of the proteomics data set, we applied a supervised machine learning approach (i.e., sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis, sPLS-DA) to identify proteins that best distinguish between controls and AUD samples and proteins that predict AUD severity (mild, moderate, and severe AUD diagnosis). There was clear discrimination between control and AUD samples (**Fig. 4A**), and the proteins with the top 50 loading coefficients (weights) for the first component of the model are shown in **Fig. 4B**. Interestingly, proteins with positive loadings in the discrimination model had different molecular and biological functions and localized to distinct compartments of cells compared with proteins with negative loadings (**Fig. 4C**). Whereas proteins with positive loadings were generally involved in metabolic processes within mitochondria, proteins with negative loadings regulate transmembrane transporter activity and vesicle endocytosis (e.g., AP2A1 encoding the adaptor protein complex 2 subunit α1) and release within glutamatergic synapses. Similarly, sPLS-DA separated samples based on the severity of AUD diagnosis (**Supplemental Fig. 2A**). Control samples were distinguished from those obtained from both mild and severe AUD cases. The two samples from moderate AUD cases clustered with the severe AUD samples. The loadings coefficients for severity discrimination analysis are shown in **Supplemental Fig. 2B**. GO enrichment analysis revealed that the 10 proteins in the first component of the model are involved in metabolic and catabolic processes and are largely expressed within mitochondria (**Supplemental Fig. 2C**).

Fig 4. Proteins that separate control and AUD cases. A Separation of control and AUD cases. **B** Top 50 loading coefficients for the first component of the sPLS-DA model. Bar length indicated importance of each protein to the model. **C** Gene ontology enrichment analysis for positive and negative loading coefficients of proteins that separate control and AUD cases. **D** Bioinformatics analysis linking AP2A1 to alcohol-related behaviors in mouse, monkey, and human. **E** Cortical expression of *Ap2a1* correlated with alcohol drinking in 23 control and alcohol dependent BXD strains. **F** The *B* allele at *Ap2a1* increased alcohol drinking in 44 BXD strains.

Cross-species validation of AP2A1

In addition to showing differential expression and separating control from AUD cases in this study, machine learning analysis also revealed that AP-2 α1 separated low and heavy alcohol drinkers in our non-human primate study[22]. In addition, we previously reported that expression of AP-2 α1 was reduced in the accumbens of alcohol dependent mice[46]. Because of these relationships, we expanded our analysis on *AP2A1* and alcohol-related behaviors across species. Using a bioinformatics approach, we found that *Ap2a1* was present in QTLs for alcohol preference, conditioned taste aversion, and hypothermia in mice, and brain *AP2A1* transcript expression was altered in individuals with AUD and after acute and chronic alcohol exposure in mice (**Fig. 5A**). A single nucleotide polymorphism (rs1001281) was identified in *AP2A1* that associated with 'MaxDrinks'[47], a heritable trait and risk factor for developing AUD[48-50]. Next, we experimentally tested the association between *Ap2a1* and voluntary alcohol drinking in control and alcohol dependent BXD recombinant inbred strains of mice. BXDs are generated by crossing alcohol-avoiding DBA/2J (*D*) and alcohol-preferring C57BL/6J (*B*) mice and are a valuable tool for identifying genetics drivers of variation in alcohol-related behaviors[41], including drinking[22,35-39]. In prefrontal cortex, we found that *Ap2a1* expression significantly correlated with voluntary alcohol drinking in control and chronic intermittent ethanol (CIE) exposed BXDs (Main effect of genotype: $F_{1,40.274} = 24.655$, p = 0.000013, *n* = 19 strains; **Fig. 5B**). Using a reverse genetics approach[22,51], we compared alcohol intake directly linked to the *Ap2a1* interval in BXDs that inherited the *D* or *B* allele. As shown in **Fig. 5C**, the strains that inherited the *B* allele at *Ap2a1* consumed significantly more alcohol than strains that inherited the *D* allele (Main effect of genotype: $F_{1,99.867} = 5.65$, *p* = 0.0193; *n* = 44 strains). Together, these results demonstrate that variation in cortical AP2A1 expression regulates alcohol drinking across mice, monkeys, and humans.

FIGURE 5

Fig 5. Adaptor protein complex 2 α1 and alcohol-related phenotypes across species. A Bioinformatics analysis linking *AP2A1* to alcohol-related behaviors in mouse, monkey, and human. CeA, central nucleus of the amygdala; CTA, conditioned taste aversion; Diff. Exp., differential expression; GS, GeneWeaver.org gene set number; HICs, handling induced convulsions; QTL, quantitative trait locus. **B** Cortical expression of *Ap2a1* correlated with alcohol drinking in 23 control and alcohol dependent BXD recombinant inbred strains. **C** The *B* allele at *Ap2a1* increased alcohol drinking in 44 BXD strains (*p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

The present study extended our findings in mouse and non-human primate models for the study of AUD by interrogating the human OFC proteome in deceased healthy controls and individuals with AUD in male and female genders. First, we found sexual dimorphism in OFC proteins that regulate axons, brain ECM structure, and ECM-cell and ECM-ECM signaling. Our results also provide evidence of mitochondrial, ion channel, and synaptic adaptations in AUD cases that were largely similar in males and females. Using a supervised machine learning analysis, we identified mitochondrial metabolic and presynaptic proteins as predictors of AUD, as well as predictors of AUD severity. In addition, a subset of the differently expression proteins mapped to abnormal social behaviors and social interactions. Finally, we validated an intriguing target using bioinformatics and reverse genetics approaches to demonstrate cross-species control of cortical AP2A1 over alcohol drinking.

Alcohol-sensitive OFC proteins

Comparison of the OFC proteome in males and females with AUD identified alcohol-sensitive proteins that are expressed in two major cellular compartments – mitochondria and glutamatergic synapses – and regulate transmembrane transporter activity. Mitochondrial proteins differentially expressed in AUD cases are key components of respiratory chain supercomplexes I, III, and IV that comprise the respirasome, which controls oxidative phosphorylation and generates the mitochondrial electrochemical gradient[52]. The finding of alcohol-responsive mitochondrial proteins in this study replicated previous human cortex postmortem[53-56] and

preclinical[57-59] studies, which reported changes in mitochondrial metabolic genes and mitochondrial function. The current results demonstrating mitochondrial dysregulation in human OFC are consistent with our proteomic study in the OFC of alcohol drinking macaques[22], but extended the findings to demonstrate that AUD affects the entire respirasome supercomplex. Individuals with AUD exhibit degeneration of the OFC[60,61] and deficits in cognitive control, reward-based decision-making, and behavioral flexibility, all of which depend on an intact OFC[9,12]. Since the brain requires high energy demands to maintain proper neural function and mitochondria can regulate firing rate set points[62,63], mitochondrial deficits in AUD cases may have profound effects on overall OFC function that could contribute to oxidative damage, cellular pathology, and impairments in OFC-dependent cognitive, behavioral, and social functioning.

A major finding was the identification of alcohol-sensitive proteins that regulate cellular firing, passive and active biophysical membrane properties, and synaptic function. The dysregulated proteins that regulate biophysical membrane properties include subunits of the Na^+/K^+-ATPase responsible for maintaining the electrochemical gradient (ATP1B1, ATP1B3, and ATP2B1) and voltage-gated Na^+ (SCN1A, SCN1B, and SCN2B) and K^+ channels (KCNAB1, KCNAB2, KCNA2, KCNA3, and KCNH1) that control action potential firing patterns and intrinsic excitability. In addition, subunits for glutamate (GRIA2, GRIA3, GRID1, GRIK1, and GRIN1) and GABA (GABRA3 and GABRB2) receptors also differed in expression between control and AUD cases. Together, these findings suggest that AUD shifts intrinsic excitability and excitatory/inhibitory balance in OFC neurons, which is supported by preclinical evidence from alcohol dependent mice and heavy drinking monkeys that identified robust changes in cellular firing, synaptic plasticity, spine morphology, and pre- and post-synaptic glutamatergic function[10]. While we did not find evidence for proteomic changes in GABAergic signaling in the drinking macaques (life span of 25-30 years)[22], their history with alcohol drinking was relatively short (6 months) compared with 30+ years of drinking in the AUD cases used in the current manuscript. In comparison, adaptations in presynaptic signaling proteins that regulate glutamatergic release were identified in both human AUD cases and heavy drinking cynomolgus macaques[22], which is congruent with functional evidence showing increased frequency (and amplitude) of spontaneous postsynaptic currents in the alcohol drinking monkeys. Thus, the results from the current proteomics screen identified possible mechanisms underlying cross-species adaptations in cell firing and synaptic function of OFC neurons and demonstrated similar adaptations in both males and females with AUD.

Predicting AUD

Using supervised machine learning for classification and prediction of AUD, we identified distinct functional classes of proteins that separate control from AUD cases. The first class of predictor proteins is known to regulate presynaptic vesicle cycling and exocytosis and includes SV2A, RIMS1, RAB3A, CADPS, and STXBP5. Eighteen of the predictor proteins are expressed in vesicular membranes, 9 of which are present in clathrin-coated membranes. This set of proteins that regulate clathrin-mediated endocytosis, including AP2A1 and AP2S1, which are large and small chains within the heterotetrameric AP-2 adaptor complex, respectively. Interestingly, these two proteins were also predictors of heavy alcohol drinking in our monkey OFC proteomics study[22], and a polymorphism in *AP2A1* showed suggestive association with 'MaxDrinks'[47], a heritable trait and risk factor for developing AUD[48-50]. Bioinformatics also revealed that *AP2A1* is altered in postmortem brain in AUD[64] and after alcohol exposure in mice[41,46,65]. *Ap2a1* is also present in QTLs for alcohol-related phenotypes (e.g., alcohol preference) and its expression correlated with voluntary alcohol consumption in BXDs. Furthermore, inbred strains that inherited the *Ap2a1 B* allele consumed high amounts of alcohol, supporting a cross-species role for AP2A1 in regulating excessive alcohol drinking.

A second major class of proteins that separate control from AUD cases regulate catabolic and metabolic processes within mitochondria. Additionally, mitochondria proteins involved in catabolic and metabolic processes also separated cases based on the severity of AUD. As chronic alcohol is known to cause alterations in presynaptic excitation-secretion coupling[66], our results suggest that presynaptic adaptations in the OFC may be a core feature of AUD, whereas mitochondrial dysfunction could be present in individuals with a severe diagnosis of AUD. While some mechanisms of alcohol effects on presynapses are beginning to emerge, future work must determine if presynaptic proteins, such as those within the AP-2 complex, control excessive alcohol drinking or if pharmacological targeting of presynaptic proteins can reduce consumption and high rates of relapse in

individuals with AUD. Likewise, further investigations into restoration of mitochondrial function are necessary to determine the potential as adjunct pharmacotherapy to improve cognitive control and treat relapse.

AUD and social behaviors

Another intriguing finding from the current study was that differentially expressed OFC proteins are associated with control of social behaviors, including social interactions and nonverbal communicative behavior. While the OFC is not widely studied in the context of social behaviors, there is cross-species evidence supporting a role of the OFC in regulating social interactions. Patients with OFC lesions show inappropriate social behavior and difficulty using facial expressions to guide social decisions[67,68]. Studies in non-human primates reported that OFC neurons encode value of rewards obtained in social contexts and track social preferences and rank[69,70]. In rodents, social interaction activates OFC neurons and 5-HT release[71], and optogenetic manipulation of OFC projections to the basolateral amygdala alters social behavior[72,73]. There is strong evidence that individuals with AUD have difficulties with social cognition, social decision-making, and emotion processing[67,74], which is proposed to contribute to the development and maintenance of excessive alcohol drinking and the increased risk for relapse[67]. While it is unclear if the differentially expressed proteins represent innate biological differences or are a consequence of heavy alcohol drinking, clinical studies suggest that severity of deficits in facial emotion recognition tasks are related to greater lifetime drinking and longer durations of excessive drinking episodes, as well as poorer treatment outcome[75-77]. In comparison, there are contradictory findings on social cognitive impairments in individuals with a family history of AUD[78-80]. Of the alcohol-sensitive proteins linked to social behaviors, *SYT1*, *TSC1*, *SLC6A1*, *UPF3B*, *GRIA3*, *TSC2*, and *SCN1A* are high-risk genes for neurodevelopmental disorders with altered social skills, such as syndromic autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Moreover, *GPHN*, *GRIN1*, *OPHN1*, and *PC* are genes associated with high risk for developing non-syndromic ASD. Consistent with a change in expression due to excessive drinking, our machine learning analysis identified two alcohol-sensitive proteins (GRIN1 and AP3B2) involved in social behaviors that separated AUD cases based on severity of DSM-5 diagnosis. Thus, by identifying novel targets in the human OFC, these results highlight the need to determine a mechanistic role of the alcohol-sensitive proteins in controlling excessive alcohol drinking and deficits in social cognition in an effort to reduce relapse rates.

OFC sexual dimorphism

Corroborating known sexual dimorphism in human brain structure[81,82], the current study identified differences in OFC proteins that regulate ECM structure and signaling, as well as axonogenesis, between male and female genders. There were 9 ECM structural proteins that differed by gender, including COL4A1, COL4A2, HSPG2, LAMA2, LAMB2, and LAMC1, which are key components of basement membranes. This finding is consistent with a study using mouse cortex that reported sex differences in laminin and collagen ECM genes *Lama4*, *Lama5*, and *Col4a1*[83]. While LAMA5 protein expression did not differ in our study (and LAMA4 was not detected), COL4A1 expression was reduced in male brains in both species. Comparing males to females, we also observed reduced expression of laminin α, β, and γ, which are necessary for the assembly of the cruciform structure of heterotrimeric laminins that support ECM structure. Human OFC gray matter volume and cortical ribbon complexity are different in males and females[81,84], as is the cingulum axonal bundle that contains OFC afferents[85]. Brain viscoelasticity, which depends on ECM and axonal structure[86], also shows gender/sex differences in human[87-89] and mouse[83] cortex. In both species, male brains were softer than female brains. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence to identify molecular differences in ECM and axonal proteins that could explain sexual dimorphism in the structure of both white and gray matter in the human OFC.

Conclusion

Findings from our unbiased analysis of the OFC proteome in AUD cases identified adaptations in synaptic and mitochondrial proteins that predicted AUD and its severity. The results are consistent with functional adaptations reported in the OFC of alcohol dependent mice and heavy drinking non-human primates, demonstrating convergence of alcohol effects in mice, monkeys, and humans. Moreover, we also identified alcohol-sensitive OFC proteins that could drive alcohol drinking and social dysfunction in AUD and proteins that support ECM structure that explain sex-specific neuroanatomical differences in the OFC.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 1

Before IRS Normalization

After IRS Normalization

Supplemental Figure 1. IRS normalization of two TMT plexes. IRS normalizes batch effects across two 16-plex TMT kits processed in separate MS/MS runs. *Left panel*: Multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) plot demonstrating batch-like effects of reporter ion intensities. In the MDS plot, all samples from TMT kit 1 (orange font) localize to opposite dimensions compared with samples in TMT kit 2 (blue font) prior to IRS normalization. *Right panel*: IRS removes batch effects from different MS/MS runs. The pooled reference channels are shown in black font, and the pooled samples for the individual kits locate to opposite dimensions before IRS normalization. As expected, all four pooled samples localize to near-exact dimensions in the MDS plot following IRS normalization.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 2

Supplemental Figure 2. Identification of proteins that separate control and severity of AUD cases (mild, moderate, and severe AUD). A sPLS-DA performed on all proteins separated cases from controls and AUD based on severity of diagnosis. **B** Loading coefficients for the first component of the sPLS-DA model. Bar length indicated importance of each protein to the model. **C** Gene ontology enrichment analysis for the 10 proteins that separate control and the severity of AUD diagnosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Tissues were received from the New South Wales Brain Tissue Resource Centre at the University of Sydney which is supported by the University of Sydney. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R28AA012725 The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. NIH grants to PJM (R01 AA023288) and Charleston Alcohol Research Center to PJM and JJW (P50 AA010761) supported this work. Data were acquired by LEB (MUSC Mass Spectrometry Facility) with support from NIH grants (S10 OD025126 and P30GM140964). Proteomic data analysis was performed by PW (OHSU Proteomics Shared Resource) with partial support from NIH core grants P30EY010572 and P30CA069533.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.J.M. and J.J.W. conceived and coordinated the project. L.E.B. designed and conducted the TMTpro experiment. P.A.W. processed the proteomics data. S.B. and P.J.M. analyzed the data. P.J.M. performed the bioinformatics and reverse genetics experiments. C.M. performed the machine learning analysis. P.J.M. wrote the manuscript. P.J.M, J.J.W., L.E.B., S.B., P.A.W., and C.M. revised and edited the manuscript. P.J.M. supervised the overall project and provided funding and resources.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY

We support inclusive, diverse, and equitable conduct of research.

Table 1. Summary of the demographics of control subjects and subjects with Alcohol Use Disorder.
Data are reported as mean and standard deviation. *Denotes estimated value where an age of onset was recorded as 25 years of age in unknown cases (see[90]). RIN, RNA integrity number; PMI, postmortem interval; BMI, body mass index.

Gender	DSM-5 Diagnosis	Age	Brain Weight (in grams)	Brain Volume (in cm^3)	PMI	Brain pH	RIN	Age of Drinking Onset*	Total Drinking Years*	Smoking (Packs/ year)	BMI
Female (n = 7)	Control	54.9 ± 6.04	1268.9 ± 86.48	1268.9 ± 103.91	31.8 ± 10.42	6.69 ± 0.30	7.38 ± 0.45	19.4 ± 9.21	28.5 ± 11.27	26.9 ± 15.09	32.6 ± 7.61
Male (n = 7)	Control	55.0 ± 6.0	1531.7 ± 95.47	1492.0 ± 93.38	32.9 ± 8.05	6.81 ± 0.01	7.96 ± 0.62	19.8 ± 4.87	29.8 ± 15.25	40.3 ± 18.50	29.4 ± 4.88
Female (n = 7)	AUD	55.1 ± 6.15	1224.2 ± 118.90	1219.2 ± 124.67	32.9 ± 11.25	6.69 ± 0.17	7.86 ± 0.76	24.0 ± 2.65	30.7 ± 5.53	49.5 ± 20.46	21.2 ± 3.01
Male (n = 7)	AUD	55.0 ± 6.27	1497.1 ± 92.62	1463.8 ± 90.07	28.1 ± 9.10	6.51 ± 0.30	7.76 ± 0.46	20.7 ± 4.39	33.4 ± 6.21	31.3 ± 19.45	24.6 ± 2.82

References

Collaborators, G. B. D. R. F. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* **396**, 1223-1249, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30752-2 (2020).

Spectrum, N. Vol. 15   (National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2023).

Glantz, M. D. *et al.* The epidemiology of alcohol use disorders cross-nationally: Findings from the World Mental Health Surveys. *Addict Behav* **102**, 106128, doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106128 (2020).

DSM-5. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-5-TR*. 5th ed. Text Revision DSM-5-TR edn, (American Psychiatric Association, 2022).

Koob, G. F. Anhedonia, Hyperkatifeia, and Negative Reinforcement in Substance Use Disorders. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*, doi:10.1007/7854_2021_288 (2022).

Badanich, K., Mulholland, P., Beckley, J., Trantham-Davidson, H. & Woodward, J. Ethanol reduces neuronal excitability of lateral orbitofrontal cortex neurons via a glycine receptor dependent mechanism. *Neuropsycopharmacology* **38**, 1176-1188 (2013).

Nimitvilai, S., Lopez, M. F., Mulholland, P. J. & Woodward, J. J. Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure Enhances the Excitability and Synaptic Plasticity of Lateral Orbitofrontal Cortex Neurons and Induces a Tolerance to the Acute Inhibitory Actions of Ethanol. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 1112-1127, doi:10.1038/npp.2015.250 (2016).

Cannady, R., Nimitvilai-Roberts, S., Jennings, S. D., Woodward, J. J. & Mulholland, P. J. Distinct Region- and Time-Dependent Functional Cortical Adaptations in C57BL/6J Mice after Short and Prolonged Alcohol Drinking. *eNeuro* **7**, doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0077-20.2020 (2020).

Moorman, D. E. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in alcohol use, abuse, and dependence. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **87**, 85-107, doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2018.01.010 (2018).

Shields, C. N. & Gremel, C. M. Review of Orbitofrontal Cortex in Alcohol Dependence: A Disrupted Cognitive Map? *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **44**, 1952-1964, doi:10.1111/acer.14441 (2020).

Hernandez, J. S. & Moorman, D. E. Orbitofrontal Cortex Encodes Preference for Alcohol. *eNeuro* **7**, doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0402-19.2020 (2020).

Jokisch, D., Roser, P., Juckel, G., Daum, I. & Bellebaum, C. Impairments in learning by monetary rewards and alcohol-associated rewards in detoxified alcoholic patients. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **38**, 1947-1954, doi:10.1111/acer.12460 (2014).

Badanich, K. A. *et al.* Reversal learning and experimenter-administered chronic intermittent ethanol exposure in male rats. *Psychopharmacology*, doi:10.1007/s00213-016-4395-6 (2016).

Badanich, K., Becker, H. & Woodward, J. Effects of chronic intermittent ethanol exposure on orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortex-dependent behaviors in mice. *Behavioral neuroscience* **125**, 879-891 (2011).

McMurray, M. S., Amodeo, L. R. & Roitman, J. D. Effects of voluntary alcohol intake on risk preference and behavioral flexibility during rat adolescence. *PLoS One* **9**, e100697, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100697 (2014).

Jedema, H. P. *et al.* The acute impact of ethanol on cognitive performance in rhesus macaques. *Cerebral cortex* **21**, 1783-1791, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhq244 (2011).

Renteria, R., Baltz, E. T. & Gremel, C. M. Chronic alcohol exposure disrupts top-down control over basal ganglia action selection to produce habits. *Nat Commun* **9**, 211, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02615-9 (2018).

Volkow, N. D. *et al.* Regional brain metabolic response to lorazepam in alcoholics during early and late alcohol detoxification. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **21**, 1278-1284, doi:00000374-199710000-00018 [pii] (1997).

Myrick, H. *et al.* Differential brain activity in alcoholics and social drinkers to alcohol cues: relationship to craving. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **29**, 393-402 (2004).

Schacht, J. P. *et al.* Effects of pharmacological and genetic regulation of COMT activity in alcohol use disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of tolcapone. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, doi:10.1038/s41386-022-01335-z (2022).

Gioia, D. A. & Woodward, J. J. Altered Activity of Lateral Orbitofrontal Cortex Neurons in Mice following Chronic Intermittent Ethanol Exposure. *eNeuro* **8**, doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0503-20.2021 (2021).

Nimitvilai, S. *et al.* Orbitofrontal Neuroadaptations and Cross-Species Synaptic Biomarkers in Heavy-Drinking Macaques. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **37**, 3646-3660, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0133-17.2017 (2017).

Ongur, D., Ferry, A. T. & Price, J. L. Architectonic subdivision of the human orbital and medial prefrontal cortex. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **460**, 425-449, doi:10.1002/cne.10609 (2003).

Li, J. *et al.* TMTpro reagents: a set of isobaric labeling mass tags enables simultaneous proteome-wide measurements across 16 samples. *Nature methods* **17**, 399-404, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0781-4 (2020).

McAlister, G. C. *et al.* MultiNotch MS3 enables accurate, sensitive, and multiplexed detection of differential expression across cancer cell line proteomes. *Analytical chemistry* **86**, 7150-7158, doi:10.1021/ac502040v (2014).

Eng, J. K., Jahan, T. A. & Hoopmann, M. R. Comet: an open-source MS/MS sequence database search tool. *Proteomics* **13**, 22-24, doi:10.1002/pmic.201200439 (2013).

Wilmarth, P. A., Riviere, M. A. & David, L. L. Techniques for accurate protein identification in shotgun proteomic studies of human, mouse, bovine, and chicken lenses. *J Ocul Biol Dis Infor* **2**, 223-234, doi:10.1007/s12177-009-9042-6 (2009).

Chambers, M. C. *et al.* A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 918-920, doi:10.1038/nbt.2377 (2012).

McDonald, W. H. *et al.* MS1, MS2, and SQT-three unified, compact, and easily parsed file formats for the storage of shotgun proteomic spectra and identifications. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* **18**, 2162-2168, doi:10.1002/rcm.1603 (2004).

Keller, A., Nesvizhskii, A. I., Kolker, E. & Aebersold, R. Empirical statistical model to estimate the accuracy of peptide identifications made by MS/MS and database search. *Analytical chemistry* **74**, 5383-5392, doi:10.1021/ac025747h (2002).

Elias, J. E. & Gygi, S. P. Target-decoy search strategy for increased confidence in large-scale protein identifications by mass spectrometry. *Nature methods* **4**, 207-214, doi:10.1038/nmeth1019 (2007).

Plubell, D. L. *et al.* Extended Multiplexing of Tandem Mass Tags (TMT) Labeling Reveals Age and High Fat Diet Specific Proteome Changes in Mouse Epididymal Adipose Tissue. *Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP* **16**, 873-890, doi:10.1074/mcp.M116.065524 (2017).

Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D543-D552, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1038 (2022).

Le Cao, K. A., Boitard, S. & Besse, P. Sparse PLS discriminant analysis: biologically relevant feature selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 253, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-253 (2011).

McGuier, N. S. *et al.* Identification and validation of midbrain Kcnq4 regulation of heavy alcohol consumption in rodents. *Neuropharmacology* **138**, 10-19, doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.05.020 (2018).

Padula, A. E. *et al.* KCNN Genes that Encode Small-Conductance Ca2+-Activated K+ Channels Influence Alcohol and Drug Addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 1928-1939, doi:10.1038/npp.2015.42 (2015).

Padula, A. E. *et al.* Bioinformatics identification and pharmacological validation of Kcnn3/K(Ca)2 channels as a mediator of negative affective behaviors and excessive alcohol drinking in mice. *Translational psychiatry* **10**, 414, doi:10.1038/s41398-020-01099-4 (2020).

Rinker, J. A. *et al.* Differential potassium channel gene regulation in BXD mice reveals novel targets for pharmacogenetic therapies to reduce heavy alcohol drinking. *Alcohol* **58**, 33-45, doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2016.05.007 (2017).

McGuier, N. S. *et al.* Kv7 channels in the nucleus accumbens are altered by chronic drinking and are targets for reducing alcohol consumption. *Addiction biology*, doi:10.1111/adb.12279 (2015).

Baker, E. J., Jay, J. J., Bubier, J. A., Langston, M. A. & Chesler, E. J. GeneWeaver: a web-based system for integrative functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, D1067-1076, doi:10.1093/nar/gkr968 (2012).

Philip, V. M. *et al.* High-throughput behavioral phenotyping in the expanded panel of BXD recombinant inbred strains. *Genes Brain Behav* **9**, 129-159, doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2009.00540.x (2010).

Dickson, P. E. *et al.* Systems genetics of intravenous cocaine self-administration in the BXD recombinant inbred mouse panel. *Psychopharmacology* **233**, 701-714, doi:10.1007/s00213-015-4147-z (2016).

Lopez, M. F., Miles, M. F., Williams, R. W. & Becker, H. C. Variable effects of chronic intermittent ethanol exposure on ethanol drinking in a genetically diverse mouse cohort. *Alcohol* **58**, 73-82, doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2016.09.003 (2017).

Leek, J. T., Johnson, W. E., Parker, H. S., Jaffe, A. E. & Storey, J. D. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 882-883, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034 (2012).

Chen, J., Bardes, E. E., Aronow, B. J. & Jegga, A. G. ToppGene Suite for gene list enrichment analysis and candidate gene prioritization. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, W305-311, doi:10.1093/nar/gkp427 (2009).

Uys, J. D. *et al.* Chronic intermittent ethanol exposure and withdrawal leads to adaptations in nucleus accumbens core postsynaptic density proteome and dendritic spines. *Addiction biology* **21**, 560-574, doi:10.1111/adb.12238 (2016).

Kapoor, M. *et al.* A meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies to identify novel loci for maximum number of alcoholic drinks. *Hum Genet* **132**, 1141-1151, doi:10.1007/s00439-013-1318-z (2013).

Grant, J. D. *et al.* Alcohol consumption indices of genetic risk for alcohol dependence. *Biological psychiatry* **66**, 795-800, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.05.018 (2009).

Saccone, N. L. *et al.* A genome screen of maximum number of drinks as an alcoholism phenotype. *Am J Med Genet* **96**, 632-637, doi:10.1002/1096-8628(20001009)96:5<632::aid-ajmg8>3.0.co;2-# (2000).

Schuckit, M. A. *et al.* Predictors of subgroups based on maximum drinks per occasion over six years for 833 adolescents and young adults in COGA. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* **75**, 24-34, doi:10.15288/jsad.2014.75.24 (2014).

Wang, X. *et al.* Joint mouse-human phenome-wide association to test gene function and disease risk. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10464, doi:10.1038/ncomms10464 (2016).

Caruana, N. J. & Stroud, D. A. The road to the structure of the mitochondrial respiratory chain supercomplex. *Biochem Soc Trans* **48**, 621-629, doi:10.1042/BST20190930 (2020).

Qin, L., Vetreno, R. P. & Crews, F. T. NADPH oxidase and endoplasmic reticulum stress is associated with neuronal degeneration in orbitofrontal cortex of individuals with alcohol use disorder. *Addiction biology* **28**, e13262, doi:10.1111/adb.13262 (2023).

Flatscher-Bader, T. *et al.* Alcohol-responsive genes in the frontal cortex and nucleus accumbens of human alcoholics. *Journal of neurochemistry* **93**, 359-370, doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.03021.x (2005).

Sokolov, B. P., Jiang, L., Trivedi, N. S. & Aston, C. Transcription profiling reveals mitochondrial, ubiquitin and signaling systems abnormalities in postmortem brains from subjects with a history of alcohol abuse or dependence. *J Neurosci Res* **72**, 756-767, doi:10.1002/jnr.10631 (2003).

Liu, J. *et al.* Gene expression profiling of individual cases reveals consistent transcriptional changes in alcoholic human brain. *Journal of neurochemistry* **90**, 1050-1058, doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02570.x (2004).

Shang, P. *et al.* Chronic Alcohol Exposure Induces Aberrant Mitochondrial Morphology and Inhibits Respiratory Capacity in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex of Mice. *Front Neurosci* **14**, 561173, doi:10.3389/fnins.2020.561173 (2020).

Jung, M. E. & Metzger, D. B. Aberrant histone acetylation promotes mitochondrial respiratory suppression in the brain of alcoholic rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **352**, 258-266, doi:10.1124/jpet.114.219311 (2015).

Reddy, V. D., Padmavathi, P., Kavitha, G., Saradamma, B. & Varadacharyulu, N. Alcohol-induced oxidative/nitrosative stress alters brain mitochondrial membrane properties. *Mol Cell Biochem* **375**, 39-47, doi:10.1007/s11010-012-1526-1 (2013).

Vetreno, R. P., Qin, L., Coleman, L. G., Jr. & Crews, F. T. Increased Toll-like Receptor-MyD88-NFkappaB-Proinflammatory neuroimmune signaling in the orbitofrontal cortex of humans with alcohol use disorder. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **45**, 1747-1761, doi:10.1111/acer.14669 (2021).

Miguel-Hidalgo, J. J., Overholser, J. C., Meltzer, H. Y., Stockmeier, C. A. & Rajkowska, G. Reduced glial and neuronal packing density in the orbitofrontal cortex in alcohol dependence and its relationship with suicide and duration of alcohol dependence. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **30**, 1845-1855, doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00221.x (2006).

Ruggiero, A., Katsenelson, M. & Slutsky, I. Mitochondria: new players in homeostatic regulation of firing rate set points. *Trends Neurosci* **44**, 605-618, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2021.03.002 (2021).

Styr, B. *et al.* Mitochondrial Regulation of the Hippocampal Firing Rate Set Point and Seizure Susceptibility. *Neuron* **102**, 1009-1024 e1008, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.03.045 (2019).

Ponomarev, I., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Harris, R. A. & Mayfield, R. D. Gene coexpression networks in human brain identify epigenetic modifications in alcohol dependence. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **32**, 1884-1897, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3136-11.2012 (2012).

Wolen, A. R. *et al.* Genetic dissection of acute ethanol responsive gene networks in prefrontal cortex: functional and mechanistic implications. *PLoS One* **7**, e33575, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033575 (2012).

Lovinger, D. M. Presynaptic Ethanol Actions: Potential Roles in Ethanol Seeking. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* **248**, 29-54, doi:10.1007/164_2017_76 (2018).

Le Berre, A. P. Emotional processing and social cognition in alcohol use disorder. *Neuropsychology* **33**, 808-821, doi:10.1037/neu0000572 (2019).

Willis, M. L., Palermo, R., Burke, D., McGrillen, K. & Miller, L. Orbitofrontal cortex lesions result in abnormal social judgements to emotional faces. *Neuropsychologia* **48**, 2182-2187, doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.04.010 (2010).

Watson, K. K. & Platt, M. L. Social signals in primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Curr Biol* **22**, 2268-2273, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.10.016 (2012).

Azzi, J. C., Sirigu, A. & Duhamel, J. R. Modulation of value representation by social context in the primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 2126-2131, doi:10.1073/pnas.1111715109 (2012).

Unger, E. K. *et al.* Directed Evolution of a Selective and Sensitive Serotonin Sensor via Machine Learning. *Cell* **183**, 1986-2002 e1926, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.040 (2020).

Kuniishi, H., Nakatake, Y., Sekiguchi, M. & Yamada, M. Adolescent social isolation induces distinct changes in the medial and lateral OFC-BLA synapse and social and emotional alterations in adult mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **47**, 1597-1607, doi:10.1038/s41386-022-01358-6 (2022).

Jennings, J. H. *et al.* Interacting neural ensembles in orbitofrontal cortex for social and feeding behaviour. *Nature* **565**, 645-649, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0866-8 (2019).

Gautier, M., Pabst, A. & Maurage, P. Social decision making in severe alcohol use disorder: Scoping review and experimental perspectives. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **45**, 1548-1559, doi:10.1111/acer.14664 (2021).

Charlet, K. *et al.* Neural activation during processing of aversive faces predicts treatment outcome in alcoholism. *Addiction biology* **19**, 439-451, doi:10.1111/adb.12045 (2014).

Bora, E. & Zorlu, N. Social cognition in alcohol use disorder: a meta-analysis. *Addiction* **112**, 40-48, doi:10.1111/add.13486 (2017).

Valmas, M. M., Mosher Ruiz, S., Gansler, D. A., Sawyer, K. S. & Oscar-Berman, M. Social cognition deficits and associations with drinking history in alcoholic men and women. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **38**, 2998-3007, doi:10.1111/acer.12566 (2014).

Schmidt, T. *et al.* Cortical thickness and trait empathy in patients and people at high risk for alcohol use disorders. *Psychopharmacology* **234**, 3521-3533, doi:10.1007/s00213-017-4741-3 (2017).

Hulvershorn, L. A. *et al.* Cortical activation deficits during facial emotion processing in youth at high risk for the development of substance use disorders. *Drug Alcohol Depend* **131**, 230-237, doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.05.015 (2013).

Hill, S. Y. *et al.* fMRI BOLD response to the eyes task in offspring from multiplex alcohol dependence families. *Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research* **31**, 2028-2035, doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00535.x (2007).

Xin, J., Zhang, Y., Tang, Y. & Yang, Y. Brain Differences Between Men and Women: Evidence From Deep Learning. *Front Neurosci* **13**, 185, doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.00185 (2019).

Ritchie, S. J. *et al.* Sex Differences in the Adult Human Brain: Evidence from 5216 UK Biobank Participants. *Cerebral cortex* **28**, 2959-2975, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy109 (2018).

Batzdorf, C. S. *et al.* Sexual Dimorphism in Extracellular Matrix Composition and Viscoelasticity of the Healthy and Inflamed Mouse Brain. *Biology (Basel)* **11**, doi:10.3390/biology11020230 (2022).

Nazlee, N., Waiter, G. D. & Sandu, A. L. Age-associated sex and asymmetry differentiation in hemispheric and lobar cortical ribbon complexity across adulthood: A UK Biobank imaging study. *Hum Brain Mapp* **44**, 49-65, doi:10.1002/hbm.26076 (2022).

Heilbronner, S. R. & Haber, S. N. Frontal cortical and subcortical projections provide a basis for segmenting the cingulum bundle: implications for neuroimaging and psychiatric disorders. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 10041-10054, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5459-13.2014 (2014).

Guo, J. *et al.* Brain maturation is associated with increasing tissue stiffness and decreasing tissue fluidity. *Acta Biomater* **99**, 433-442, doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.08.036 (2019).

Arani, A. *et al.* Measuring the effects of aging and sex on regional brain stiffness with MR elastography in healthy older adults. *Neuroimage* **111**, 59-64, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.016 (2015).

Sack, I. *et al.* The impact of aging and gender on brain viscoelasticity. *Neuroimage* **46**, 652-657, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.02.040 (2009).

Wuerfel, J. *et al.* MR-elastography reveals degradation of tissue integrity in multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage* **49**, 2520-2525, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.018 (2010).

Sheedy, D. *et al.* An Australian Brain Bank: a critical investment with a high return! *Cell and tissue banking* **9**, 205-216, doi:10.1007/s10561-008-9076-1 (2008).