1

Unveiling Liquid-Crystalline Lipids in the Urothelial

Membrane through Cryo-EM

Authors: Haruaki Yanagisawa[1], Yoshihiro Kita[2,3], Toshiyuki Oda[4*], and Masahide Kikkawa[1*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of

Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan,

[2] Life Sciences Core Facility, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1

Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan,

[3] Department of Lipidomics, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1

Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

[4] Department of Anatomy and Structural Biology, Graduate School of Medicine, University

of Yamanashi, 1110 Shimokato, Chuo, Yamanashi, 409-3898, Japan.

*Correspondence to: toda@yamanashi.ac.jp, mkikkawa@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

The urothelium, a distinct epithelial tissue lining the urinary tract, serves as an essential component in preserving urinary tract integrity and thwarting infections. The asymmetric unit membrane (AUM), primarily composed of the uroplakin complex, constitutes a critical permeability barrier in fulfilling this role. However, the molecular architectures of both the AUM and the uroplakin complex have remained enigmatic due to the paucity of high-resolution structural data. In this study, we utilized cryo-electron microscopy to elucidate the three-dimensional structure of the uroplakin complex within the porcine AUM. While the global resolution achieved was 3.5 Å, we acknowledge that due to orientation bias, the resolution in the vertical direction was determined to be 6.3 Å. Our findings unveiled that the uroplakin complexes are situated within hexagonally arranged crystalline lipid membrane domains, rich in hexosylceramides. Moreover, our research rectifies a misconception in a previous model by confirming the existence of a domain initially believed to be absent, and pinpointing the accurate location of a crucial *Escherichia coli* binding site implicated in urinary tract infections. These discoveries offer valuable insights into the molecular underpinnings governing the permeability barrier function of the urothelium and the orchestrated lipid phase formation within the plasma membrane.

Introduction

The urothelium, which lines the urinary tract, performs a dual function: it serves as a permeability barrier, effectively preventing the leakage of urine components into surrounding tissue while undergoing morphological changes to adapt to the distention and contraction that occurs during the micturition cycle (Deng et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2009; Apodaca, 2004; Khandelwal et al., 2009). The apical surface of the urothelium is covered by numerous structurally rigid membrane plaques, known as asymmetric unit membranes (AUM), as observed by electron microscopy (Hicks, 1965; Hu et al., 2000; Jenkins and Woolf, 2007). The AUMs are composed of hexagonally arranged 16-nm uroplakin complexes containing four major proteins, UPIa, UPIb, UPII, and UPIIIa (Lee, 2011). UPIa and UPIb have four transmembrane domains and belong to the tetraspanin family (Yu et al., 1994). Meanwhile, UPII and UPIIIa each possess a single transmembrane domain and form Ia/II and Ib/IIIa heterodimers within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in conjunction with UPIa and UPIb, respectively (Hu et al., 2005; Tu et al., 2002). In the post-Golgi compartment, UP heterodimers are assembled into paracrystalline arrays (Liang et al., 2001). The AUM's quasi-crystalline symmetry has been leveraged in the structural investigation of the uroplakin complex, as documented by several studies (Min et al., 2006; Min et al., 2003; Min et al., 2002; Walz et al., 1995; Zhou et al., 2001). While cryo-electron microscopy of tannic-acid-stained AUM has enabled the identification of the orientation of transmembrane helices in tetraspanin pairs (Min et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009), the resolution of the resulting electron density map remains insufficient for a comprehensive study of the secondary structures of the extracellular domains or for the localization of individual UP subunits.

The uroplakin complex also plays a crucial role in the development of urinary tract infections, as it facilitates *Escherichia coli's* attachment to the urothelium (Hultgren et al., 1993; Hooton and Stamm, 1997). This attachment is facilitated by the interaction between the N-glycosylated

UPIa's mannose residues and the FimH lectin located at the tip of the bacterium's pili (Zhou et al., 2001). The binding of FimH to UPIa triggers phosphorylation of UPIIIa and subsequent apoptosis of the urothelial cells (Thumbikat et al., 2009). Furthermore, it has been postulated that this FimH-UPIa interaction induces conformational alterations in the transmembrane helix bundles (Wang et al., 2009). However, it is unclear whether FimH's binding to a flexible carbohydrate chain can change the conformation of a rigid uroplakin complex. In addition, the positioning of the heterodimer has been inferred by comparing the uroplakin structure with and without bound FimH (Min et al., 2002). Nevertheless, the low resolution of the electron density map hinders the validity of these conclusions.

In this study, we reconstructed the 3D structure of the uroplakin complex by observing tilted AUMs using cryo-electron microscopy. By combining random conical tilt and single particle analysis (Sorzano et al., 2015; Frank et al., 1978; Righetto et al., 2019; Radermacher, 1988), we were able to build an accurate model of the uroplakin hexameric complex.

Results

Cryo-Electron Microscopy of AUM

To determine the high-resolution structure of the uroplakin complex, we isolated the AUM from porcine urinary bladders, leveraging its resistance to sarkosyl (Liang et al., 1999), and employed cryo-electron microscopy techniques. Initially, we used cryo-electron tomography to observe the AUM; however, the obtained structure was of low resolution as a result of the irradiation-induced distortion of the specimen (Oda et al., 2022; Dutka et al., 2023). Subsequently, we performed single particle analysis on AUM samples tilted up to 55° (Figures 1A and 1B), resulting in a global resolution of 3.5 Å, with 3.2 Å and 6.3 Å resolutions in the transverse and vertical directions, respectively (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1B). These resolutions

allowed us to construct a model of the main chains and some side chains, based on AlphaFold predictions, with the exceptions of the 90-101 loop of UPII and the cytoplasmic loop of UPIIIa due to their flexibility (Jumper et al., 2021) (Figure 2).

To our surprise, we found that the hexagonally arranged liquid-crystalline lipids were not only within the central pore but also in the inter-complex regions, spanning the entire outer leaflet of the AUM (Figure 1D, 2A, Movie S1). The crystalline lipids were tightly packed, with a distance of ~4.8 Å between them (Zhao et al., 2021; Ghysels et al., 2019). Further local refinement focusing on the extracellular domain revealed the additional outermost part of the lipid densities, suggesting a structural heterogeneity in the association between the lipids and the uroplakin complex (Figure S1A). Although the head groups of most lipids were unresolved due to the insufficient vertical resolution and the random orientations, densities of some lipids were continuous with those of the side chains, suggesting possible interactions (Figure 2C). Some lipids appeared to be stabilized by vertically-aligned bulky side chains (Figure 3) (Ulmschneider and Sansom, 2001). Although the majority of ordered sphingolipids are typically found in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane due to the asymmetric localization of cholesterol (Simons and Ehehalt, 2002; Kusumi et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2017), weak signals of inner leaflet lipids were detected between the UPIa and Ib transmembrane domains (Figure S2A). The presence of hexagonally-aligned lipids in the inner leaflet possibly contributes to the AUM's exceptional rigidity. These crystalline lipids in the AUM are likely to play an essential role in the barrier function of the urothelium (see Discussions).

Subunit arrangement of the uroplakin complex

The cryoEM structure of the uroplakin complex reveals that the extracellular domains of both UPII and UPIIIa exhibit distinctive beta-sheet structures (Figure 2A, B, D), which could have functional implications in protein binding or adhesion of pathogens. With these extracellular

domains of UPII and UPIIIa, uroplakin heterotetramer overall adopts a Y-shaped conformation, which creates a substantial groove that may also provide a binding interface for other proteins (Figure S2A, white arrow). Adjacent heterotetramers are connected through arches formed by the UPII and UPIIIa extracellular domains. Interestingly, beneath these arches, a channel is present, which is continuous with the central cavity space (Figure S2A, red arrows). The biochemical robustness of the uroplakin hexameric complex, despite its abundance of inner cavities, can likely be attributed to the reinforcement provided by the lipid domains.

The prevailing hypothesis concerning the subunit arrangement of the uroplakin complex suggests that Ia/II and Ib/IIIa heterodimers form the inner and outer subdomains, as inferred from electron microscopy studies of FimH-bound AUM (Zhou et al., 2001; Min et al., 2002). However, our high-resolution reconstruction revealed that Ia/II and Ib/IIIa heterodimers constitute the outer and inner subdomains, respectively (Figure 2A). Additionally, it has been reported that the cleavage of UPII at Arg84 by furin results in the removal of the N-terminal pro-sequence (amino acids 25-84) from the uroplakin complex (Lin et al., 1994; Hu et al., 2005). However, our findings suggest that the prosequence forms the external surface of the complex through the formation of several beta sheets (Figure S2B). Moreover, our reconstruction uncovered the presence of carbohydrate chains on six N-glycosylated residues, namely UPIa Asn169, UPIb Asn131, UPII Asn28, Asn57, UPIIIa Asn139, and Asn170 in accordance with previous studies (Figure S3) (Hu et al., 2005; Kanik-Prastowska et al., 2014; Wu et al., 1995). Previous electron microscopy studies have suggested that UPIa Asn169, functioning as the binding site for the bacterial FimH protein, is located at the apex of the inner subdomain (Min et al., 2002; Xie et al., 2006). However, our reconstruction placed this glycosylated residue on the external surface of the uroplakin complex. Our structural analysis of the FimH-bound uroplakin complex also supports this assignment, as it demonstrates a weak additional density in the vicinity of Asn169 of UPIa (Figure 7B, arrowheads). Although a

previous cryo-electron microscopy study of tannic-acid-stained uroplakin complexes reported
alterations in the transmembrane helices (Wang et al., 2009), our analysis of the FimH-bound
uroplakin structure did not detect any discernible conformational changes (Figure 7) (see
Discussion).

Inter-subunit interactions within the uroplakin complex

Our map and model suggest that the hexameric complex is assembled through three inter-subunit interfaces: the Ia-Ib, II-IIIa, and IIIa-IIIa interfaces. The Ia-Ib interface appears similar
to that reported in the PRPH2-ROM1 tetraspanin complex (Figure 4A) (El and Gros, 2022).
The interface is stabilized by various interactions, including a hydrophobic interaction between
Phe196 of UPIa and Leu155 of UPIb (Figure 4B), and a hydrogen bond between Asn195 of
UPIa and Gln130 of UPIb (Figures 4C and 4D). The resolution of the II-IIIa interface,
particularly near the flexible loop 90-101 of UPII, is of lower quality. However, it appears to
be stabilized by a hydrophobic cluster of a disulfide bond between Cys51-80 and Pro79 of UPII,
a disulfide bond between Cys47-Cys110, and Leu113 of UPIIIa (Figure 5A).

Finally, the UPIIIa extends its loop 137-161 into the groove of an adjacent IIIa, forming an
interface stabilized by a hydrophobic cluster composed of Pro146 of IIIa, Leu66, and Trp182
of the adjacent IIIa (Figures 5B). Additionally, a hydrophobic cluster between the
transmembrane helices of UPIa and Ib appears to stabilize the heterotetramer (Figure 5C).
These multiple interactions are thought to contribute to the highly rigid nature of the uroplakin
complex and the AUM.

Lipidomic analysis of the AUM

A lipidomic analysis was conducted to discern the lipid species comprising the characteristic
paracrystalline array of the AUM. The lipid composition of the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction,

primarily consisting of the AUM, was compared to that of the total urothelial membrane

homogenates (Figure 6 and Supplemental spread sheet 1). The results, as depicted by the LC-

MS profile, revealed the enrichment of hexosylceramides in the AUM, in accordance with the

previous studies (Hicks et al., 1974; Stubbs et al., 1979; Watanabe et al., 2022). We also

observed enrichment of ceramides, which is likely to be fragmentation products of ionization.

These results suggest that the hexagonally-arranged lipid domain is composed of

hexosylceramides.

Structure of FimH-bound uroplakin complex

We have solved the structure of the uroplakin complex in association with FimH (Figure 7,

Wang et al., 2009). Binding of FimH to the AUM was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and

fluorescence microscopy (Figure S4A and B). Initially, the FimH-bound map displayed a lower

resolution and weaker lipid densities compared to the FimH-unbound map (Figure S4C, pre-

3D classification). To enhance resolution and clarify the lipid array, we employed 3D

classification, resulting in a higher resolution map (Fig. 7A and S4C, class #1). However, no

significant structural differences were discernible between the FimH-bound and unbound maps

(Figure 7A and B). In the lower resolution class #2 and #3 maps, lacking the hexagonal

crystalline lipid array, we identified additional densities adjacent to UPIa Asn169 (Figure 7B,

arrowheads). These are hypothesized to represent bound FimH components and/or FimH-

stabilized carbohydrate chains. Our findings suggest that FimH binding may modify the

uroplakin-lipid array arrangement without affecting the uroplakin complex conformation.

Discussion

The structures of the AUM and the uroplakin have been extensively analyzed utilizing electron

microscopy (Min et al., 2006; Min et al., 2003; Min et al., 2002; Walz et al., 1995; Zhou et al.,

2001). One of the studies achieved a resolution of approximately 6 Å in the membrane plane, yet the vertical resolution was limited to 12.5 Å, thus failing to uncover the residue-level information (Min et al., 2006). This limited structural information led to incorrect subunit assignment of the uroplakin (Min et al., 2002). The Ia/II heterodimer has long been believed to form the inner subdomain of the uroplakin hexameric complex based on the observation of additional densities in the difference map between the uroplakin structures with and without bound FimH, which interacts with glycosylated UPIa (Zhou et al., 2001). However, our reconstruction revealed that these "additional densities" are likely artifacts of the low-resolution EM maps, as the Ia/II heterodimer actually constitutes the outer subdomain of the uroplakin (Figure 2A and S2B).

Reports indicate that the binding of FimH to UPIa instigates conformational alterations within the transmembrane domains of uroplakin (Wang et al., 2009). Contrarily, our analysis of the FimH-bound uroplakin structure did not reveal any significant conformational modifications (Figure 7). The inherent flexibility of the carbohydrate chain coupled with the rapid on/off kinetics of FimH-mannose binding pose substantial challenges in visualizing the attached FimH and any resultant conformational changes (Lee et al., 2015; Prestegard, 2021; Sauer et al., 2016). The observed degradation in resolution within FimH-bound maps hints at potential alterations in the configuration of the uroplakin complex and/or lipid crystalline array. Variations in the fluidity or organization of the crystalline lipid membrane have been known to trigger signal transduction (Desai and Miller, 2018; Los and Murata, 2004). Given the apparent accommodation of FimH molecules within the interstitial space between two adjacent uroplakin complexes (Figure S4D), the ensuing molecular packing or crowding of FimH-bound uroplakin complexes could exert tension on the AUM, thereby eliciting cellular responses (Balogh et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2021). However, the precise mechanism through which the

attachment of FimH to the flexible carbohydrate chain induces intracellular signaling remains

an open question for further exploration (Thumbikat et al., 2009).

Intriguingly, the prosequence of UPII persists within the mature uroplakin complex (Figure

S2C). The precursor form of UPII, which has a molecular weight of 29 kDa, is cleaved by the

enzyme furin in the trans-Golgi network. This results in the separation of the N-terminal

glycosylated prosequence and the "mature" UPII, which lacks glycosylation and has a

molecular weight of 15 kDa (Lin et al., 1994; Hu et al., 2005; Kanik-Prastowska et al., 2014).

However, it has been documented that the S2' fragment of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein remains

associated with the molecule after cleavage by furin (Ord et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2021).

This observation holds true for the UPII prosequence. The question arises as to why only a

single UPII band was observed in the electrophoresis of the AUM. One potential explanation

is that the estimated molecular weight of the glycosylated UPII prosequence is roughly 14 kDa,

and thus it may align with the 15 kDa band. Another possibility is that the heterogeneous nature

of the glycosylation chains, which make up over half of the prosequence's mass, may not

produce a distinguishable band in the electrophoresis.

The epithelial lining of the bladder demonstrates a distinct impermeability to water, protons,

and urea, effectively preventing the infiltration of urinary substances into the surrounding tissue

(Negrete et al., 1996; Lavelle et al., 1998). Depletion of uroplakin complexes from the

urothelial apical membrane by UPIII knockout leads to a two-fold increase in water

permeability. Yet, the urothelial barrier maintains a substantial degree of resistance to water

and urea permeation (Hu et al., 2002). These observations imply that the lipids and the

uroplakin exert complementary influences on the barrier properties of the urothelial apical

membrane. Our lipidomic analysis revealed an enrichment of hexosylceramide in the AUM

(Figure 6) (Stubbs et al., 1979). Previous studies have established that ceramides tend to

associate with each other, and an accumulation of these molecules can form a liquid crystalline

phase (Huang et al., 1996; Ghysels et al., 2019). The formation of ceramide-enriched

crystalline lipid domains has also been linked to the clustering of CD95 (Grassme et al., 2001;

Grassme et al., 2001). These findings suggest that the accumulation of hexosylceramides in the

AUM may play a role in the formation of a hexagonal lattice structure of uroplakin (Min et al.,

2003).

Molecular dynamics simulations have predicted that sphingolipids form hexagonally arranged

liquid-ordered phases (Sodt et al., 2015; Ghysels et al., 2019). The yeast plasma membrane

H+-ATPase, Pma1 hexamer, has been demonstrated to encircle a liquid-crystalline membrane

microdomain (Zhao et al., 2021). Our findings represent the first direct visualization of

hexagonally organized liquid crystalline membrane domains in mammalian cells. Although the

liquid-ordered phase of the lipid domain is believed to be essentially impermeable to water and

ions, small molecules can traverse the membrane at the boundary between ordered and

disordered domains (Ghysels et al., 2019; Gensure et al., 2006). It is noteworthy that the

uroplakin-free hinge regions that connect the neighboring AUM plaques also exhibit resistance

to sarkosyl and alkali treatments, indicating highly specialized structures (Liang et al., 1999).

These hinge regions may serve to prevent the penetration of small molecules at the boundary

between the plaques.

While our team was successful in constructing a model of the uroplakin complex, we

encountered a limitation in the resolution of the vertical dimension, which hindered our ability

to confidently determine the precise positioning of the side chains. To overcome this challenge,

we propose the utilization of high-resolution subtomogram averaging, as it has the capability

to bypass the overlap of molecules in the high-tilt views. However, to effectively implement

this technique, it is imperative to devise an advanced algorithm that can accurately rectify the

significant deformation of the AUM caused by irradiation.

Methods

Isolation of porcine AUM

Fresh porcine bladders were obtained from a local slaughterhouse. The AUM was isolated

according to the previous study with modifications (Liang et al., 1999). The urothelium was

scraped from the luminal surface with a medicine scoop and suspended in ice-cold PBS. After

centrifugation at 1,500 ×g at 4 ℃ for 5 minutes, the pellets were homogenized with a Dounce

glass homogenizer in buffer A (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor

cocktail). After centrifugation at 2,500 × g at 4 ℃ for 10 minutes, the pellets were resuspended

in buffer A, loaded onto a 1.6 M sucrose cushion, and centrifuged at 46,000 ×g at 4 ℃ for 30

minutes. The membrane fraction concentrated at the interface was collected, loaded onto a 1.6

M sucrose cushion, and centrifuged once again. The collected membrane fraction was

resuspended in buffer A plus 2% sarkosyl and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes.

Sarkosyl-insoluble membranes were collected after centrifugation at 18,000 ×g at 4 ℃ for 30

minutes. The pellets were further washed by resuspension in 25 mM NaOH, and centrifuged at

18,000 ×g at 4 ℃ for 10 minutes. The pellets of the AUM were washed twice with buffer A

and proceeded to electron microscopy.

Purification of FimH-FimC complex

The cDNA of *fimH* and its chaperone *fimC* genes were cloned from *E. coli* DH5a into

pACYCDuet-1 bicistronic plasmid (Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA), and the resulting co-

expression plasmid was introduced to *E coli.* BL21 (DE3) (Pellecchia et al., 1999). The bacteria

were grown at 37℃ in LB medium containing chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml). At an OD600 of

0.7, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. The cells were further grown for 18

hours at 15°C, harvested by centrifugation, washed by PBS, and disrupted by sonication. After

removing cell debris by centrifugation, the supernatant was applied to Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen,

Germantown, MD) and eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.3 M imidazole. Fractions

containing FimH-FimC were pooled and loaded onto a gel filtration column (ProteinArk,

Rotherham, UK) equilibrated with 20 mM Hepes-NaOH pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl. Fractions

containing FimH-FimC were dialyzed against buffer A and were concentrated using Vivaspin

2 (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). For cryo-EM, two times molar-excess FimH-FimC was

mixed with the AUM (0.05 mg/ml) and incubated for 1 hour at 4°C before plunge-freezing.

Cryo-electron microscopy of the AUM

The AUM was suspended in buffer A at a concentration of 0.05 mg/ml. 3 µl of the sample was

applied to freshly glow-discharged holey carbon grids, Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Cu/Rh 200 mesh

(Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH, Großlöbichau, Germany), blotted from both sides for 3

seconds at 4 °C under 99% humidity and plunge frozen in liquid ethane using Vitrobot Mark

IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Images were recorded using a Titan Krios G4

microscope at the University of Tokyo (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 300 keV, a Gatan

Quantum-LS Energy Filter (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) with a slit width of 20 eV, and a Gatan K3

BioQuantum direct electron detector in the electron counting mode. The nominal magnification

was set to 64,000 × with a physical pixel size of 1.35 Å/pixel. Movies were acquired using the

SerialEM software (Mastronarde, 2005), and the target defocus was set to 2.5-4.5 µm for

tomography and 1-3 µm for single particle analysis (SPA). For tomography, each movie was

recorded for 0.18 seconds with a total dose of 1.26 electrons/ $Å^2$ and subdivided into 10 frames.

The angular range of the tilt series was from –60° to 60° with 3.0° increments using the dose-

symmetric scheme (Hagen et al., 2017) or the continuous scheme. The total dose for one tilt

series acquisition is thus 50 electrons $Å^2$. For SPA, each movie was recorded for 6.7 seconds

with a total dose of 50 electrons/ $Å^2$ and subdivided into 50 frames. Specimens were tilted at

0, 30, 45, and 55°. The ratio of tilted images was 1:1:2:2 for 0, 30, 45, and 55°, respectively.

Fluorescence microscopy of FimH-bound AUM

The AUM suspension (1 mg/ml) was incubated with 0.1 mg/ml FITC-isothiocyanate (Dojindo

Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) at room temperature for an hour, followed by four buffer A

washes via centrifugation to obtain FITC-labeled AUM. Concurrently, FimH-FimC and BSA

(2 mg/ml) were incubated with 0.2 mg/ml ATTO 590-NHS-ester (ATTO-TEC GmbH, Siegen,

Germany) for an hour at room temperature. Unbound dye was removed using a PD-10 desalting

column (cytiva, Marlborough, MA), and the proteins were concentrated using Vivaspin 2. The

labeled AUM was then combined with FimH-FimC/BSA and incubated as described above.

Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Cu/Rh 200 mesh grids were prepared by glow-discharging and blocking

with 1 mg/ml BSA in buffer A for 3 minutes. A 3µl sample was applied to each grid and plunge-

frozen as described above. Post-freezing, the grids were retrieved from the liquid ethane,

thawed, and washed four times with buffer A. Finally, the grids were immersed in mounting

buffer (buffer A supplemented with 50% glycerol and 0.1M dithiothreitol) and visualized using

a BX53 fluorescence microscope (Olympus/Evident, Tokyo, Japan).

Data processing for tomography

Movies were subjected to beam-induced motion correction, image alignment, CTF correction,

and reconstruction by back-projection using the IMOD software package (Kremer et al., 1996).

Tomograms were 8 × binned and the centers of each uroplakin particle were manually selected

using 3dmod tool. Volumes with 30-pixel^3 dimensions were extracted from 8 × binned

tomograms and were averaged using the PEET software (Nicastro et al., 2006). A randomly

selected subtomogram was used for the initial reference. Alignments were repeated twice for 8×binned and once for 4×binned tomograms with 60-pixel^3 dimensions. The averaged subtomogram was used for the reference in the subsequent SPA.

Data processing for SPA

Image analysis was executed utilizing CryoSPARC v4.2.1 (Punjani et al., 2017), as depicted in Figure S1A. Images, 4× binned at 128 pixels^2, were selected and extracted from motion-corrected and CTF-corrected micrographs, leveraging the projections of the mean subtomogram as templates. The particle stack underwent rigorous purification through five iterations of 2D classification and three cycles of heterogeneous refinement. Post local motion correction and CTF refinement, a homogenous refinement of the unbinned 512 pixels^2 particles produced a global resolution of 5 Å. Notably, at this juncture, the crystalline lipids remained undetected. To enhance the resolution, six uroplakin particles encircling the central particle were removed. The ensuing local refinement achieved a global resolution of 3.5 Å, revealing the crystalline lipids. The AUM's peripheries frequently exhibited folding, influencing the orientation distribution. Yet, the superimposed molecules affected the accuracy of the lateral view alignment. Resolutions in both transverse and vertical directions were ascertained using CryoSPARC's 3DFSC function (refer to Fig. S1B). The Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) between dual models was determined via UCSF Chimera X. Regarding the FimH-bound structure, our analysis mirrored the methodology applied to the unbound structure, extending to particle subtraction and local refinement (see Figure S4C). To augment resolution, we adopted a reference-free and alignment-free 3D classification using CryoSPARC. Class #1 displayed the hexagonal crystalline lipid array, whereas Class #2 and #3 presented lipids proximate to the uroplakin complex. Class #3 distinctly exhibited additional densities adjacent to UPIa's Asn169. Efforts to amplify the resolution of these supplementary densities through

targeted 3D classification did not result in any signal intensity enhancement.

Model building

The initial model was generated using AlphaFold-multimer (Jumper et al., 2021; Mirdita et al.,

2022; Evans et al., 2022) and the *Sus scrofa* UPIa, UPIb, UPII, and UPIIIa sequences (Kwon

et al., 2011; Visnjar et al., 2017). The AlphaFold-predicted model was refined using PHENIX

(v.1.19.2-4158) real-space refinement tool with the secondary structure restraints and

Ramachandran restraints on (Liebschner et al., 2019; Afonine et al., 2018). Carbohydrates were

added to the Asn residues at the N-Glycosylation sites using Coot (v. 9.8.7) (Emsley et al.,

2010; Emsley and Crispin, 2018). The models were further refined using UCSF Chimera,

ChimeraX, and ISOLDE (Pettersen et al., 2004; Pettersen et al., 2021; Goddard et al., 2018;

Croll, 2018). The refined model was validated using the comprehensive validation tool on

PHENIX. Models of ceramide (CCD ID: 16C) and sphingosine (CCD ID: SPH) were fitted

manually and refined using ISOLDE.

Lipidomic analysis

The lipid composition of the isolated AUM was analyzed using LC-MS. The total urothelium

membrane homogenate was used as a control. Lipids were extracted by adding 2-propanol

(50:1 by volume to control homogenate or 500 μL to AUM preparation). After centrifugation

(10,000 ×g, 10 min, 4C), the supernatant was further diluted (1:10) by 2-propanol, and 3 μL

was analyzed by LC-MS. The LC-MS system consisted of an Acquity UPLC system (Waters,

Milford, MA), connected to an Exactive mass spectrometer equipped with a HESI-II ion source

(Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). An Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column (1.0×100 mm,

Waters) was used for reversed-phase chromatography with binary gradient elution with mobile

phase A (10 mM ammonium formate in acetonitrile/water/formic acid (60/40/0.1)) and B (10

mM ammonium formate in 2-propanol/acetonitrile/water/formic acid (90/9.5/0.5/0.1)) at a

flowrate of 100 μL/min. Following time program was used [time (%B)]: 0 min (30%) – 12 min

(55%) – 18 min (70%) – 20 min (80%) – 22 min (99%) – 27 min (99%) – 27.1 min (30%) –

28.5 min (30%). MS was operated in following conditions to perform data-independent, all-

ions fragmentation (AIF) MS/MS acquisition: ionization polarity, positive and negative; scan

range, 100-2,000 m/z; mass resolution, 25,000; maximum injection time, 500 ms; AGC target,

balanced (1×10^6); in-source CID voltage, 0 V (off) and 35 V. MS-DIAL 4.9 software (Tsugawa

et al., 2020). was used for peak detection, MS/MS deconvolution, alignment, integration, and

lipid identification, of multiple samples. Lipid identification was based on searching lipid

database provided with the software, with accurate mass tolerance of 0.005Da and 0.01Da for

MS and MS/MS, respectively. For multiple candidates, those with highest score were adopted.

No manual curation was performed for individual identifications, as the results were only used

to estimate lipid classes abundant in AUM preparation. Peak intensities were normalized using

built-in function of MS-DIAL, based on total signal for identified lipids (mTIC). R version 4.2

software was used for differential analysis using normalized peak intensity data exported from

MS-DIAL. Fold enrichment values were calculated as a ratio for AUM versus control using

triplicate, independently prepared samples.

Data availability

The map and the model are available on the EMDB under the following accession numbers:

EMD-36340 , and PDB 8JJ5.

Ethics & Inclusions statement

This research strictly followed the ethical guidelines and standards established by our

institutions, University of Yamanashi and the University of Tokyo, located in Japan. The entire

research process, from study design and implementation to data ownership and the authorship

of publications, was carried out exclusively by the authors listed, all of whom are researchers at the aforementioned institutions. As this research was conducted in Japan, by Japanese researchers, it is both locally relevant and compliant with local standards and regulations. The roles and responsibilities of each researcher were established and agreed upon at the outset of the research process. We did not undertake capacity-building plans for external researchers, as our team had all the necessary capabilities to conduct this research. The research has not been restricted or prohibited within our local context. Therefore, there was no need for any special exceptions or permissions to be sought from local stakeholders. Our research does not involve any elements that could lead to stigmatization, incrimination, discrimination, or personal risk to participants. It also does not pose any health, safety, security, or other risk to the researchers involved. The research did not involve the transfer of any biological materials, cultural artefacts, or associated traditional knowledge out of Japan. Hence, no benefit sharing measures were discussed or required. In the formulation of our research and the analysis of our results, we have considered and cited local and regional research relevant to our study.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mrs. Natsuko Maruyama (University of Yamanashi) for technical assistance. This research is partially supported by Platform Project for Supporting Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant Numbers JP22ama121002 and JP23ama121002 (support number 2639). This work was supported by the Takeda Science Foundation (to T.O.), the Daiichi Sankyo Foundation of Life Science (to T.O.), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (KAKENHI Grant numbers JP21H02654, JP22H05538 (T.O.), JP21H05248 (M.K.)), and the Naito Foundation (to T.O.). Molecular graphics and analyses performed with UCSF ChimeraX, developed by the Resource

Figures and Legends

Figure 1

449 **Figure 1: Cryo-electron microscopy of the AUM.** (A) Representative micrographs of the

450 AUM. Tilt angles are indicated. (B) 2D class averages of the particles. (C) Reconstructed

451 hexagonal array of the uroplakin complex. (D) Local refinement of the central uroplakin

452 complex. Top and side views are shown. Hexagonally-aligned crystalline lipids are visualized.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Models of the uroplakin complex and the crystalline lipids. (A, B) Models of the hexameric complex (A) and single heterotetramer (B) are shown. An initial model was predicted using AlphaFold and real-space refined using PHENIX and ISOLDE. Lipid models (C16 ceramide or sphingosine) were manually fitted and refined using ISOLDE. Note that the models of sphingolipids and ceramides are placed tentatively. The precise identities of these lipids within the structure remain uncertain. Purple: UPIa; cyan: UPIb; pink: UPII; green: UPIIIa; and yellow: lipids. (B) Residues magnified in C are indicated. (C) Possible interactions between the side chains and the lipid head groups were indicated. (D) Beta-sheet of UPII with discernible side-chains.

Figure 3

465 **Figure 3: Interactions between the UPIa/Ib transmembrane helices and the lipids.**

466 Vertically-aligned bulky side chains appear to be incorporated into the crystalline lipids. Top

467 (left) and side (right) views are shown.

Figure 4

469 **Figure 4: UPIa-Ib interface.** (A) The interface between UPIa and Ib is characterized by two

470 opposing helices (broken circles). Top views (A, C, and D, right) are shown. (B) Hydrophobic

interaction between UPIa F196 and Ib L155 (arrowhead). (C and D) Hydrogen bonds between

UPIa D150 - Ib K197, Ia R147 - Ib D157, and Ia N195 - Ib Q130 are indicated (arrowheads).

The bond between Ia R147 - Ib D157 is less reliable due to its weak densities. (D) The electron

densities of the hydrogen bonds are shown in mesh. Distances are indicated.

Figure 5

Figure 5: **UPII-IIIa, IIIa-IIIa, and the intra-membrane interfaces.** (A) Hydrophobic

interaction between UPII and IIIa. Disulfide bonds between Cys51-Cys80 of UPII and between

Cys47-Cys110 of IIIa are facing each other, and the interface appears to be supported by Pro79

of UPII and Leu113 of IIIa. (B) IIIa-IIIa interface. Pro164 at the apex of the loop 137-161 of

IIIa (arrows) inserts into the groove of Leu66 and Trp182 of the adjacent IIIa. (C) The interface

between the transmembrane helices of Ia and Ib. At the pseudo-symmetric center of Ia and Ib

(broken circle, right), a cluster of hydrophobic side chains mediates the Ia-Ib interaction.

Figure 6

Figure 6: **Lipidomic analysis of the AUM.** The chart displays the fold-enrichment of each

lipid species detected in the sarkosyl-insoluble AUM fraction relative to the whole bladder

scrape. Lipids were extracted from both sample types and analyzed using liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The peak heights were normalized by dividing

them by the total signal for all identified lipids for each experiment. The fold-enrichment values

indicate the difference in relative abundance of each lipid species in the sarkosyl-insoluble

AUM fraction compared to the whole bladder scrape, with higher values suggesting an

enrichment in the AUM fraction. The result for positive ion mode is shown. Data represent the

mean fold-enrichment and standard deviation from three independent experiments (n = 3).

Figure 7

Figure 7: **FimH-bound structure of the uroplakin complex.** (A) Map and fitted models of

FimH-bound structure (class #1). (C) Comparison between models of FimH-bound (blue) and

unbound (gray). RMSD between the two models was 0.962 Å. (D) Slab sections of FimH-bound maps (classes #1-3) superimposed to FimH-unbound map. Blue mesh: FimH-bound; gray surface: FimH-unbound. Red rectangles of the upper-left inset indicate the positions of the slabs. (D, top) Slabs at the height of UPIa N169 show extra densities (arrowheads). (D, bottom) Slabs at the height of the transmembrane helices show no structural differences.

References

Hicks, R.M. 1965. The fine structure of the transitional epithelium of rat ureter. *J. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 25-48.

Hicks, R.M., Ketterer, B., and Warren, R.C. 1974. The ultrastructure and chemistry of the luminal plasma membrane of the mammalian urinary bladder: a structure with low permeability to water and ions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **268**, 23-38.

Frank, J., Goldfarb, W., Eisenberg, D., and Baker, T.S. 1978. Reconstruction of glutamine synthetase using computer averaging. *Ultramicroscopy.* **3**, 283-290.

Stubbs, C.D., Ketterer, B., and Hicks, R.M. 1979. The isolation and analysis of the luminal plasma membrane of calf urinary bladder epithelium. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **558**, 58-72.

Radermacher, M. 1988. Three-dimensional reconstruction of single particles from random and nonrandom tilt series. *J. Electron. Microsc. Tech.* **9**, 359-394.

Hultgren, S.J., Abraham, S., Caparon, M., Falk, P., St, Geme JW 3rd, and Normark, S. 1993. Pilus and nonpilus bacterial adhesins: assembly and function in cell recognition. *Cell.* **73**, 887-901.

Lin, J.H., Wu, X.R., Kreibich, G., and Sun, T.T. 1994. Precursor sequence, processing, and urothelium-specific expression of a major 15-kDa protein subunit of asymmetric unit membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 1775-1784.

Yu, J., Lin, J.H., Wu, X.R., and Sun, T.T. 1994. Uroplakins Ia and Ib, two major differentiation products of bladder epithelium, belong to a family of four transmembrane domain (4TM) proteins. *J. Cell. Biol.* **125**, 171-182.

Walz, T., Häner, M., Wu, X.R., Henn, C., Engel, A., Sun, T.T., and Aebi, U. 1995. Towards the molecular architecture of the asymmetric unit membrane of the mammalian urinary bladder epithelium: a closed "twisted ribbon" structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* **248**, 887-900.

Wu, X.R., Medina, J.J., and Sun, T.T. 1995. Selective interactions of UPIa and UPIb, two

members of the transmembrane 4 superfamily, with distinct single transmembrane-domained

proteins in differentiated urothelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 29752-29759.

Huang, H.W., Goldberg, E.M., and Zidovetzki, R. 1996. Ceramide induces structural defects

into phosphatidylcholine bilayers and activates phospholipase A2. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*

Commun. **220**, 834-838.

Kremer, J.R., Mastronarde, D.N., and McIntosh, J.R. 1996. Computer visualization of three-

dimensional image data using IMOD. *J. Struct. Biol.* **116**, 71-76.

Negrete, H.O., Lavelle, J.P., Berg, J., Lewis, S.A., and Zeidel, M.L. 1996. Permeability

properties of the intact mammalian bladder epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol.* **271**, F886-894.

Hooton, T.M., and Stamm, W.E. 1997. Diagnosis and treatment of uncomplicated urinary

tract infection. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North. Am.* **11**, 551-581.

Lavelle, J.P., Apodaca, G., Meyers, S.A., Ruiz, W.G., and Zeidel, M.L. 1998. Disruption of

guinea pig urinary bladder permeability barrier in noninfectious cystitis. *Am. J. Physiol.* **274**,

F205-214.

Liang, F., Kachar, B., Ding, M., Zhai, Z., Wu, X.R., and Sun, T.T. 1999. Urothelial hinge as

a highly specialized membrane: detergent-insolubility, urohingin association, and in vitro

formation. *Differentiation.* **65**, 59-69.

Pellecchia, M., Sebbel, P., Hermanns, U., Wüthrich, K., and Glockshuber, R. 1999. Pilus

chaperone FimC-adhesin FimH interactions mapped by TROSY-NMR. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **6**,

336-339.

Hu, P., Deng, F.M., Liang, F.X., Hu, C.M., Auerbach, A.B., Shapiro, E., Wu, X.R., Kachar,

B., and Sun, T.T. 2000. Ablation of uroplakin III gene results in small urothelial plaques,

urothelial leakage, and vesicoureteral reflux. *J. Cell. Biol.* **151**, 961-972.

Deng, F.M., Ding, M., Lavker, R.M., and Sun, T.T. 2001. Urothelial function reconsidered: a

new role in urinary protein secretion. *Urology.* **57**, 117.

Grassme, H., Jekle, A., Riehle, A., Schwarz, H., Berger, J., Sandhoff, K., Kolesnick, R., and

Gulbins, E. 2001. CD95 signaling via ceramide-rich membrane rafts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**,

20589-20596.

Grassme, H., Schwarz, H., and Gulbins, E. 2001. Molecular mechanisms of ceramide-

mediated CD95 clustering. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **284**, 1016-1030.

Liang, F.X., Riedel, I., Deng, F.M., Zhou, G., Xu, C., Wu, X.R., Kong, X.P., Moll, R., and

Sun, T.T. 2001. Organization of uroplakin subunits: transmembrane topology, pair formation

and plaque composition. *Biochem. J.* **355**, 13-18.

Ulmschneider, M.B., and Sansom, M.S. 2001. Amino acid distributions in integral membrane

protein structures. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1512**, 1-14.

Zhou, G., Mo, W.J., Sebbel, P., Min, G., Neubert, T.A., Glockshuber, R., Wu, X.R., Sun,

T.T., and Kong, X.P. 2001. Uroplakin Ia is the urothelial receptor for uropathogenic

Escherichia coli: evidence from in vitro FimH binding. *J. Cell. Sci.* **114**, 4095-4103.

Hu, P., Meyers, S., Liang, F.X., Deng, F.M., Kachar, B., Zeidel, M.L., and Sun, T.T. 2002.

Role of membrane proteins in permeability barrier function: uroplakin ablation elevates

urothelial permeability. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal. Physiol.* **283**, F1200-1207.

Min, G., Stolz, M., Zhou, G., Liang, F., Sebbel, P., Stoffler, D., Glockshuber, R., Sun, T.T.,

Aebi, U., and Kong, X.P. 2002. Localization of uroplakin Ia, the urothelial receptor for

bacterial adhesin FimH, on the six inner domains of the 16 nm urothelial plaque particle. *J.*

Mol. Biol. **317**, 697-706.

Simons, K., and Ehehalt, R. 2002. Cholesterol, lipid rafts, and disease. *J. Clin. Invest.* **110**,

597-603.

Tu, L., Sun, T.T., and Kreibich, G. 2002. Specific heterodimer formation is a prerequisite for

uroplakins to exit from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **13**, 4221-4230.

Min, G., Zhou, G., Schapira, M., Sun, T.T., and Kong, X.P. 2003. Structural basis of

urothelial permeability barrier function as revealed by Cryo-EM studies of the 16 nm

uroplakin particle. *J. Cell. Sci.* **116**, 4087-4094.

Apodaca, G. 2004. The uroepithelium: not just a passive barrier. *Traffic.* **5**, 117-128.

Los, D.A., and Murata, N. 2004. Membrane fluidity and its roles in the perception of

environmental signals. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1666**, 142-157.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and

Ferrin, T.E. 2004. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605-1612.

Balogh, G., Horváth, I., Nagy, E., Hoyk, Z., Benkö, S., Bensaude, O., and Vígh, L. 2005. The

hyperfluidization of mammalian cell membranes acts as a signal to initiate the heat shock

protein response. *FEBS. J.* **272**, 6077-6086.

Hu, C.C., Liang, F.X., Zhou, G., Tu, L., Tang, C.H., Zhou, J., Kreibich, G., and Sun, T.T.

2005. Assembly of urothelial plaques: tetraspanin function in membrane protein trafficking.

Mol. Biol. Cell. **16**, 3937-3950.

Mastronarde, D.N. 2005. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction

of specimen movements. *J. Struct. Biol.* **152**, 36-51.

Gensure, R.H., Zeidel, M.L., and Hill, W.G. 2006. Lipid raft components cholesterol and

sphingomyelin increase H+/OH- permeability of phosphatidylcholine membranes. *Biochem.*

J. **398**, 485-495.

Min, G., Wang, H., Sun, T.T., and Kong, X.P. 2006. Structural basis for tetraspanin functions

as revealed by the cryo-EM structure of uroplakin complexes at 6-A resolution. *J. Cell. Biol.*

173, 975-983.

Nicastro, D., Schwartz, C., Pierson, J., Gaudette, R., Porter, M.E., and McIntosh, J.R. 2006.

The molecular architecture of axonemes revealed by cryoelectron tomography. *Science.* **313**,

944-948.

Xie, B., Zhou, G., Chan, S.Y., Shapiro, E., Kong, X.P., Wu, X.R., Sun, T.T., and Costello,

C.E. 2006. Distinct glycan structures of uroplakins Ia and Ib: structural basis for the selective

binding of FimH adhesin to uroplakin Ia. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 14644-14653.

Jenkins, D., and Woolf, A.S. 2007. Uroplakins: new molecular players in the biology of

urinary tract malformations. *Kidney. Int.* **71**, 195-200.

Khandelwal, P., Abraham, S.N., and Apodaca, G. 2009. Cell biology and physiology of the

uroepithelium. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal. Physiol.* **297**, F1477-1501.

Thumbikat, P., Berry, R.E., Zhou, G., Billips, B.K., Yaggie, R.E., Zaichuk, T., Sun, T.T.,

Schaeffer, A.J., and Klumpp, D.J. 2009. Bacteria-induced uroplakin signaling mediates

bladder response to infection. *PLoS. Pathog.* **5**, e1000415.

Wang, H., Min, G., Glockshuber, R., Sun, T.T., and Kong, X.P. 2009. Uropathogenic E. coli

adhesin-induced host cell receptor conformational changes: implications in transmembrane

signaling transduction. *J. Mol. Biol.* **392**, 352-361.

Wu, X.R., Kong, X.P., Pellicer, A., Kreibich, G., and Sun, T.T. 2009. Uroplakins in urothelial

biology, function, and disease. *Kidney. Int.* **75**, 1153-1165.

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. 2010. Features and development of

Coot. *Acta. Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486-501.

Kwon, D.N., Park, M.R., Park, J.Y., Cho, S.G., Park, C., Oh, J.W., Song, H., Kim, J.H., and

Kim, J.H. 2011. Characterization of a putative cis-regulatory element that controls

transcriptional activity of the pig uroplakin II gene promoter. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*

Commun. **410**, 264-269.

Lee, G. 2011. Uroplakins in the lower urinary tract. *Int. Neurourol. J.* **15**, 4-12.

Kanik-Prastowska, I., Lis, J., and Matejuk, A. 2014. Glycosylation of uroplakins.

Implications for bladder physiopathology. *Glycoconj. J.* **31**, 623-636.

Lee, J.H., de, Val N., Lyumkis, D., and Ward, A.B. 2015. Model Building and Refinement of

a Natively Glycosylated HIV-1 Env Protein by High-Resolution Cryoelectron Microscopy.

Structure. **23**, 1943-1951.

Liu, S.L., Sheng, R., Jung, J.H., Wang, L., Stec, E., O'Connor, M.J., Song, S., Bikkavilli,

R.K., Winn, R.A., Lee, D. et al. 2017. Orthogonal lipid sensors identify transbilayer

asymmetry of plasma membrane cholesterol. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **13**, 268-274.

Min, G., Stolz, M., Zhou, G., Liang, F., Sebbel, P., Stoffler, D., Glockshuber, R., Sun, T.T.,

Aebi, U., and Kong, X.P. 2002. Localization of uroplakin Ia, the urothelial receptor for

bacterial adhesin FimH, on the six inner domains of the 16 nm urothelial plaque particle. *J.*

Mol. Biol. **317**, 697-706.

Sodt, A.J., Pastor, R.W., and Lyman, E. 2015. Hexagonal Substructure and Hydrogen

Bonding in Liquid-Ordered Phases Containing Palmitoyl Sphingomyelin. *Biophys. J.* **109**,

948-955.

Sorzano, C.O., Alcorlo, M., de, la Rosa- Trevín, J.M., Melero, R., Foche, I., Zaldívar-Peraza,

A., del, Cano L., Vargas, J., Abrishami, V., Otón, J. et al. 2015. Cryo-EM and the elucidation

of new macromolecular structures: Random Conical Tilt revisited. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14290.

Sauer, M.M., Jakob, R.P., Eras, J., Baday, S., Eriş, D, Navarra, G., Bernèche, S., Ernst, B.,

Maier, T., and Glockshuber, R. 2016. Catch-bond mechanism of the bacterial adhesin FimH.

Nat. Commun. **7**, 10738.

Hagen, W.J.H., Wan, W., and Briggs, J.A.G. 2017. Implementation of a cryo-electron

tomography tilt-scheme optimized for high resolution subtomogram averaging. *J. Struct.*

Biol. **197**, 191-198.

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. 2017. cryoSPARC: algorithms

for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods.* **14**, 290-296.

Visnjar, T., Chesi, G., Iacobacci, S., Polishchuk, E., Resnik, N., Robenek, H., Kreft, M.,

Romih, R., Polishchuk, R., and Kreft, M.E. 2017. Uroplakin traffic through the Golgi

apparatus induces its fragmentation: new insights from novel in vitro models. *Sci. Rep.* **7**,

12842.

Afonine, P.V., Poon, B.K., Read, R.J., Sobolev, O.V., Terwilliger, T.C., Urzhumtsev, A., and

Adams, P.D. 2018. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography.

Acta. Crystallogr. D. Struct. Biol. **74**, 531-544.

Croll, T.I. 2018. ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-

resolution electron-density maps. *Acta. Crystallogr. D. Struct. Biol.* **74**, 519-530.

Desai, A.J., and Miller, L.J. 2018. Changes in the plasma membrane in metabolic disease:

impact of the membrane environment on G protein-coupled receptor structure and function.

Br. J. Pharmacol. **175**, 4009-4025.

Emsley, P., and Crispin, M. 2018. Structural analysis of glycoproteins: building N-linked

glycans with Coot. *Acta. Crystallogr. D. Struct. Biol.* **74**, 256-263.

Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Morris, J.H., and

Ferrin, T.E. 2018. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and

analysis. *Protein. Sci.* **27**, 14-25.

Ghysels, A., Krämer, A., Venable, R.M., Teague, W.E. Jr, Lyman, E., Gawrisch, K., and

Pastor, R.W. 2019. Permeability of membranes in the liquid ordered and liquid disordered

phases. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5616.

Liebschner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B.,

Hung, L.W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J. et al. 2019. Macromolecular structure determination using

X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta. Crystallogr. D. Struct.*

Biol. **75**, 861-877.

Righetto, R.D., Biyani, N., Kowal, J., Chami, M., and Stahlberg, H. 2019. Retrieving high-

resolution information from disordered 2D crystals by single-particle cryo-EM. *Nat.*

Commun. **10**, 1722.

Kusumi, A., Fujiwara, T.K., Tsunoyama, T.A., Kasai, R.S., Liu, A.A., Hirosawa, K.M.,

Kinoshita, M., Matsumori, N., Komura, N., Ando, H. et al. 2020. Defining raft domains in the

plasma membrane. *Traffic.* **21**, 106-137.

Ord, M., Faustova, I., and Loog, M. 2020. The sequence at Spike S1/S2 site enables cleavage

by furin and phospho-regulation in SARS-CoV2 but not in SARS-CoV1 or MERS-CoV. *Sci.*

Rep. **10**, 16944.

Tsugawa, H., Ikeda, K., Takahashi, M., Satoh, A., Mori, Y., Uchino, H., Okahashi, N.,

Yamada, Y., Tada, I., Bonini, P. et al. 2020. A lipidome atlas in MS-DIAL 4. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **38**, 1159-1163.

Johnson, B.A., Xie, X., Bailey, A.L., Kalveram, B., Lokugamage, K.G., Muruato, A., Zou, J.,

Zhang, X., Juelich, T., Smith, J.K. et al. 2021. Loss of furin cleavage site attenuates SARS-

CoV-2 pathogenesis. *Nature.* **591**, 293-299.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O.,

Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A. et al. 2021. Highly accurate

protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature.* **596**, 583-589.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Couch, G.S., Croll, T.I., Morris,

J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers,

educators, and developers. *Protein. Sci.* **30**, 70-82.

Prestegard, J.H. 2021. A perspective on the PDB's impact on the field of glycobiology. *J.*

Biol. Chem. **296**, 100556.

Zhang, T., Hu, W., and Chen, W. 2021. Plasma Membrane Integrates Biophysical and

Biochemical Regulation to Trigger Immune Receptor Functions. *Front. Immunol.* **12**,

613185.

Zhao, P., Zhao, C., Chen, D., Yun, C., Li, H., and Bai, L. 2021. Structure and activation

mechanism of the hexameric plasma membrane H(+)-ATPase. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6439.

El, Mazouni D., and Gros, P. 2022. Cryo-EM structures of peripherin-2 and ROM1 suggest

multiple roles in photoreceptor membrane morphogenesis. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eadd3677.

Evans, R., O'Neill, M., Pritzel, A., Antropova, N., Senior, A., Green, T., Zidek, A., Bates, R.,

Blackwell, S., Yim, J. et al. 2022. Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer.

bioRxiv. **DOI**, 10.1101/2021.10.04.463034.

Mirdita, M., Schütze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger, M. 2022.

ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods.* **19**, 679-682.

Oda, T., Yanagisawa, H., Shinmori, H., Ogawa, Y., and Kawamura, T. 2022. Cryo-electron

tomography of Birbeck granules reveals the molecular mechanism of langerin lattice

formation. *Elife.* **11***:* e79990.

Watanabe, T., Suzuki, A., Ohira, S., Go, S., Ishizuka, Y., Moriya, T., Miyaji, Y., Nakatsuka,

T., Hirata, K., Nagai, A. et al. 2022. The Urinary Bladder is Rich in Glycosphingolipids

Composed of Phytoceramides. *J. Lipid. Res.* **63**, 100303.

Dutka, P., Metskas, L.A., Hurt, R.C., Chou, T., Shapiro, M.G., and Jensen, G.J. 2023.

Structure of Anabaena flos-aquae gas vesicles revealed by cryo-ET. *Biophys. J.* **122**, 40A.