1

Common evolutionary origins of the bacterial glycyl tRNA synthetase and alanyl tRNA synthetase

Claudia Alvarez-Carreño [1,2]; Marcelino Arciniega[3]; Lluis Ribas de Pouplana[4,5]; Anton S. Petrov[1,2]; Adriana

Hernández-González[3]; Marco Igor Valencia-Sánchez[3]*; Loren Dean Williams[1,2,]*; and Alfredo Torres-Larios[3]

[1]NASA Center for the Origin of Life, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0400, USA

[2]School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, 901 Atlantic Drive, Atlanta, GA
30332, USA

[3]Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Estructural, Instituto de Fisiología Celular, Universidad Nacional

Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, Apartado postal 70-243, Mexico City,

04510, México.

[4]Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB Barcelona), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology,
Parc Científic de Barcelona, C/ Baldiri Reixac 10, 08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.

[5]Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies, Barcelona, Catalonia 08010, Spain and 6
University Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Catalonia 08003, Spain.

* Corresponding authors:
Marco Igor Valencia-Sánchez
Email: igorval@comunidad.unam.mx
Loren Dean Williams
Email: loren.williams@chemistry.gatech.edu

Abstract

Glycyl tRNA aminoacylation is catalyzed by two different enzymes in different lineages of the Tree

of Life. We have investigated the complex evolutionary history of one of these enzymes, the

bacterial glycyl tRNA synthetase (bacGlyRS). Component domains of bacGlyRS show common

ancestry with 1) the catalytic domain of class II tRNA synthetases; 2) the HD domain of the

bacterial RNase Y; 3) the body and tail domains of the archaeal CCA-adding enzyme; 4) the anti-

codon binding domain of the arginyl tRNA synthetase; and 5) a previously unrecognized domain

that we call ATL (Ancient tRNA latch). The emergence of bacGlyRS in its extant form would have

required the recruitment of domains from a pool of existing homologs, possibly at different

times, by a process of molecular bricolage. A domain-by-domain analysis reveals that the

catalytic and ATL domains in bacGlyRS are more closely related to domains in the universal alanyl

tRNA synthetase (uniAlaRS) than to any other aminoacyl tRNA synthetase. The data suggest that

bacGlyRS was present at the last bacterial common ancestor. Similarities between component

domains of bacGlyRS and archaeal homologs, including the archaeal CCA-adding enzyme, suggest

a complex evolutionary history. Here we explore a scenario in which bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS

emerged from a shared core before the last universal common ancestor by a stepwise

accumulation of protein domains during the dawn of biology.

Keywords

Translation, GlyRS, tRNA

Abbreviations and symbols

aaRS: aminoacyl tRNA synthetase
ABD: anti-codon binding domain
arcGlyRS: glycyl aminoacyl tRNA synthetase of archaea and eukarya, and some bacteria
ATL: Ancient tRNA latch domain
bacGlyRS: bacterial glycyl aminoacyl tRNA synthetase
CPR: Candidate Phyla Radiation
GlyRS: glycyl aminoacyl tRNA synthetase
LysRS: Lysyl aminoacyl tRNA synthetase
mRNA: messenger RNA

MSA: multiple-sequence alignment
RNase Y: ribonuclease Y
tRNA: transfer RNA
uniAlaRS: universal alanyl tRNA synthetase
uniArgRS: universal arginyl-tRNA synthetase
62

Introduction

Translation of mRNAs into coded polypeptides is universal to life (1). mRNA decoding
depends on the ribosome and a group of enzymes called aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) (2-
5). aaRSs determine the genetic code by recognizing specific tRNAs and linking them to their
cognate amino acids. aaRSs fall into two classes. The catalytic domain of Class I aaRSs is a
Rossmann fold while the catalytic domain of Class II aaRSs is an α/β three-layered sandwich. Class
I and Class II catalytic domains appear to have independent origins in the deep evolutionary past
(6; 7; 4). The early evolution of aaRSs has been linked to the origins of the genetic code and to
the emergence of the first proteins (8-12).

Throughout the phylogenetic tree, a given tRNA is typically aminoacylated by a single type
of aaRS. However, there are exceptions to this rule. There are two distinct Lysyl aaRSs (LysRSs)
(13). The class II LysRS is more abundant in bacteria, while class I LysRS is found predominantly
in archaea (14).

There are also two distinct GlyRSs; a GlyRS is found in archaea and eukarya, and in some
bacteria, called here arcGlyRS, and a bacterial GlyRS (bacGlyRS) found only in bacteria (15-17).
The two versions of GlyRS are globally different except for their catalytic domains (18). bacGlyRS
and arcGlyRS use different strategies to recognize tRNA^{Gly}. Here we ask why are there two GlyRSs.
How did they originate? Which GlyRS appeared first? Why is the distribution of the two GlyRSs
over the phylogenetic tree so patchy?

The bricolage hypothesis suggests that complex proteins can arise and evolve through
exaptation of pre-existing domains or modules, which can be combined to produce new functions
(19). In this hypothesis, domains can be thought of as evolutionary building blocks that provide
information on ancestry. arcGlyRS is relatively small, with a catalytic domain and a tRNA
recognition domain. bacGlyRS is larger and more complex. We and others (20; 17) have
hypothesized that the component domains of bacGlyRS were serially exapted through bricolage.

If the bricolage hypothesis is correct, homologies of individual domains can be used to
help reconstruct the complex histories of multidomain proteins such as bacGlyRS and can help
us understand ancestral relationships. Accurate histories require accurate domain delineations.

A domain is an independent and stable three-dimensional structure (21-23) and is a common unit

of evolution (24; 25). Domains are encoded by genes. Duplicated genes, called paralogs (26), can

combine to form a variety of distinct multi-domain proteins.

bacGlyRS contains α and β subunits. The combined data are interpreted here to indicate

that there are six component domains in the β subunit of bacGlyRS rather than five domains as

proposed previously (27). The domain of bacGlyRS that escaped detection in previous work is

extremely informative about bacGlyRS ancestry and shows homology to a domain found in the

universal alanyl tRNA synthetase (uniAlaRS), a class II aaRS. Sequence and structural comparisons

suggest that bacGlyRS is more closely related to uniAlaRS than to arcGlyRS (28; 29; 20).

Here, we show that bacGlyRS domains are homologous to universal, bacteria-specific or

archaea-specific proteins. The results suggest that bacGlyRS evolved by a stepwise bricolage. Six

component domains of bacGlyRS show common ancestry with six domains of four proteins that

interact with RNAs other than tRNA^{Gly}. Component domains of bacGlyRS show sequence and

structural similarities with 1) the catalytic and ATL domains (see below) of uniAlaRS; 2) the anti-

codon binding domain (ABD) domain of the universal arginyl-tRNA synthetase (uniArgRS); 3) the

body and tail domains of the archaeal CCA-adding enzyme; and 4) the HD domain of the bacterial

RNase Y (in agreement with previous observations of structural similarity (27)). This conservation

of RNA as substrate throughout a series of exaptation steps suggests an accessible mechanism

by which RNA-associated proteins are repurposed for new RNA-associated functions.

Results

bacGlyRS has a complex evolutionary history.

The phylogenetic distribution of bacGlyRS appears irregular and discontinuous ('patchy')

over the extant twigs of the bacterial tree. We examined a broad range of archaeal and bacterial

proteomes, some of which are only partial (Figure 1). Bacterial species that do not have bacGlyRS

have arcGlyRS. Bacteria containing both bacGlyRS and arcGlyRS are extremely rare. Of the 542

sampled proteomes, arcGlyRS (GenBank: PSL06957.1) and bacGlyRS (GenBank: PSL02860.1) are

found together only once, in the bacterium *Haloactinopolyspora alba* (NCBI RefSeq assembly:

GCA_003014555.1) isolated from the Dead Sea sediment. Many bacterial phyla contain multiple

branches containing either bacGlyRS or arcGlyRS. Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi,

Proteobacteria and DST, PVC, and FCB groups each contain species with bacGlyRS and species

with arcGlyRS. Candidate Phyla Radiation (CPR) uniformly contains arcGlyRS; Cyanobacteria

uniformly contains bacGlyRS. We currently lack a compelling mechanistic model that explains the

global or local distribution of bacGlyRS and arcRNA over the bacterial tree.

bacGlyRS sequences are present in early diverging bacteria

The phylogenetic distribution of bacGlyRS suggests that it was present at the Last

Bacterial Common Ancestor (LBCA). bacGlyRS is found in early diverging bacterial phyla (30) such

as Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Proteobacteria (Figure 1). bacGlyRS is not found in the

Candidate Phyla Radiation phylum. The presence of arcGlyRS in CPR proteomes may be due to

horizontal gene transfer and not vertical inheritance. The CPR phylum contains putative

symbiotic and parasitic bacteria with reduced genomes, large gene losses and extensive lateral

gene transfer (31).

Figure 1. Phylogenetic distribution of the two versions of Glycyl tRNA ligase in Bacteria. The presence of bacGlyRS and arcGlyRS is mapped into a phylogeny of bacterial species. Pink dotted lines: bacGlyRS with naturally linked α and β subunits form heterodimers instead of heterotetramers. The topology of the tree was obtained from (32). DST: Deinococcus-Thermus, Synergistetes, Thermotogae, Caldiserica, Coprothermobacterota; CPR: Candidatus Phyla Radiation; PVC: Planctomycetes, Verrucomicrobia, Chlamydiae, Kiritimatiellaeota, Lentisphaerae, Candidatus Desantisbacteria, Candidatus Omnitrophica; FCB: Fibrobacteres, Chlorobi, Bacteroidetes, Gemmatimonadetes, Candidatus Cloacimonetes, Candidatus Fermentibacteria, Candidatus Glassbacteria; F. Fusobacteria.

Protein domains in bacGlyRS are related to RNA-modifying enzymes

Each domain of bacGlyRS has an independent evolutionary history. Six domains in bacGlyRS display strong sequence similarity to domains of other proteins (Figure 2). We determined which proteins are the closest sequence matches to each of the six domains of bacGlyRS (Figure 2D). The catalytic domain shows sequence similarity to the catalytic domain of uniAlaRS (e-value $1.1{\times}10^{-07}$). The body and tail domains show sequence similarity to domains of the archaeal CCA-adding enzyme (e-value $1.4{\times}10^{-08}$). The HD domain shows sequence similarity to a domain of ribonuclease Y (RNase Y) (e-value $5.7{\times}10^{-08}$), and the ABD shows sequence similarity to a domain of uniArgRS (e-value $2.9{\times}10^{-17}$).

We identified a previously unrecognized domain in bacGlyRS that we call Ancient tRNA
latch (ATL) domain. Our determiniation that ATL is a distinct domain follows previous
identification of this domain in uniAlaRS (33). If ATL is a distinct domain in uniAlaRS, then it is also
a domain in bacGlyRS. The ATL domains of uniAlaRS and bacGlyRS are similar to each other in
sequence and structure (Figure 3A). Previously, the ATL domain was described incorrectly as a
dependent element of the HD domain (27; 17; 34); we infer here from sequence and structural
considerations that the ATL is independent of other elements of bacGlyRS. The ATL domain of
uniAlaRS contains a C-terminal α-helical decoration that is absent from the ATL domain of
bacGlyRS.

In addition, we observe that the function of the ATL domain has been mischaracterized.
The ATL domain in uniAlaRS is called the "putative anticodon-binding domain" in some
classification databases (SCOPe ID a.203.1.1, (35), and ECOD ID 613.1.1.1 (36)). However, neither
the ATL domain of uniAlaRS nor the ATL domain of bacGlyRS contacts the anti-codon stem-loop
of tRNA (37; 38). Both interact extensively with the amino acid acceptor stem. This
mischaracterization of the ATL domain structure and function has obscured its evolutionary
origins and conserved molecular function.

Figure 2. Domain organization of bacGlyRS. Structure of bacGlyRS of *Thermoanaerotrix daxensis* with naturally linked α and β-subunits (PDB: 7LU4). (A) Surface representation of bacGlyRS indicating the region that corresponds to the α- (light purple) and β- (light blue) subunits in other bacteria. (B) A ribbon representation of bacGlyRS shows structural units in different colors: dark blue, catalytic domain; light purple, unknown domain 1; dark orange, body domain; light orange, tail domain; light blue, unknown domain 2; yellow, HD domain; green, ATL domain; and dark purple, ABD domain. (C) Domains and structural units of bacGlyRS. (D) Proteins containing domains with high-scoring sequence similarity by bidirectional BLAST.

bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS homology is observed in key functional domains

The primary functions of aaRSs are to recognize tRNAs and amino acids and to covalently

join them together. The catalytic and ATL domains, which carry out these essential functions are

homologous between bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS.

The ATL domains of uniAlaRS and bacGlyRS recognize tRNAs by variations of a common

mechanism. tRNA^{Gly} and tRNA^{Ala} are recognized by uniAlaRS and bacGlyRS by interactions of ATL

with the acceptor stem (27; 17; 34). The tRNA acceptor stem is the first seven base pairs of tRNA,

the unpaired nucleotide at position 73, and the 3'-CCA tail. In species containing bacGlyRS, the

acceptor stems of tRNA^{Gly} and tRNA^{Ala} differ in three pairs and in position 73. These three base

pairs and position 73 are signatures (39), meaning that they are highly conserved within each

group, tRNA^{Gly} or tRNA^{Ala}, but differ between the two groups. The specific signatures of tRNA^{Gly}

are base pairs C2:G71, G3:C70, G4:C69, and U73. The signatures of tRNA^{Ala} are G2:C71, G3:U70,

C4:G69, and A73. In uniAlaRS, the signatures include the wobble base pair G3:U70, which is the

main identity determinant for tRNA^{Ala} aminoacylation by uniAlaRS (40; 33; 37).

The ATL domain has adapted to distinguish between features of the amino acid acceptor

stems of tRNA^{Gly} and tRNA^{Ala} (Figure 3). We have identified four distinct ATL adaptations, allowing

a common fold to recognize different tRNAs. To discriminate between the two different tRNAs,

the ATL domain (i) slightly shifts global position relative to the tRNA, (ii) shifts local position of

the secondary structural elements by conformational change, and (iii) acquires α-helical

decorations.

The ATL domain undergoes modest global and local changes in position. After direct

superimpositions of tRNA^{Gly} in complex with bacGlyRS (PDB 7YSE) and tRNA^{Ala} in complex with

uniAlaRS (PDB 3WQY), the distance between the centers of mass of ^{bacGly}ATL and ^{Ala}ATL indicates

changes in the global pose of the ATL domains and reveals a global shift of 4.1 Å (Figure 3A). After

direct superimpositions of ^{bacGly}ATL and ^{Ala}ATL, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) between

the superimposed backbone atoms quantitates local changes in conformation and is 3.1 Å (Figure

3A). To describe local positional shifts, we have reindexed the ATL residues so that a common

index (subscripted here) indicates the same column in the sequence alignment. ^{bacGly}ATL$_{10}$

indicates the amino acid at the 10th alignment position of ATL domain of bacGlyRS while ^{Ala}ATL$_{10}$

indicates the amino acid of the ATL domain of uniAlaRS at the same alignment position (Figure

3F). Differences in local positioning of amino acids within ATL are seen by differences in ATL

indexes of a common interaction. For example, local positional shifts are seen in ^{bacGly}ATL$_{29}$ and

^{Ala}ATL$_{28}$ interaction with the G1 base and the discriminator positions of the tRNAs (U73 in tRNA^{Gly}

and A73 in tRNA^{Ala}) and by ^{bacGly}ATL$_{35}$ and ^{Ala}ATL$_{34}$ interaction with C72 and the discriminator.

Base pair G1:C72 is conserved in both tRNA^{Gly} and tRNA^{Ala}. By contrast, there is no shift at index

26. Both ^{bacGly}ATL$_{26}$ and ^{Ala}ATL$_{26}$ interact with position 70, which is a C in tRNA^{Gly} and a U in tRNA^{Ala}.

The ATL domain interacts with the base of the discriminator position (U73 in tRNA^{Gly}, and A73 in

tRNA^{Ala}).

The ^{bacGly}ATL interaction with tRNA appears intrinsically less stringent than that of the

^{Ala}ATL domain. The ^{bacGly}ATL domain contacts nucleotides of only one strand in the region of C68,

C69 and C70. It does not contact the opposing strand (G3, G4 or G5, Figure 3D). By contrast, ^{Ala}ATL

targets both strands of tRNA^{Ala}, interacting with both bases of base-pairs G3:U70 and C4:G69 in

part by using the ^{Ala}ATL-specific C-terminal decoration (Figure 3E).

The catalytic domain undergoes a radical change in global position. In uniAlaRS, the

catalytic and ATL domains are directly adjacent in sequence and structure. By contrast, in

bacGlyRS, the HD and BT domains are inserted between the catalytic and ATL domains. The HD

domain shifts and rotates, by 130°, the catalytic domain of bacGlyRS compared to that of

uniAlaRS (Figure 3B). To accommodate the change in the position of the catalytic domain of

bacGlyRS, the conformation of the four unpaired nucleotides of the acceptor arm of tRNA^{Gly}

bends (Figure 3C), such that the position of the terminal adenosine is shifted by ~ 26 Å in the

bacGlyRS complex compared to in the uniAlaRS complex.

The conformation of the CCA tail and the position of the terminal adenosine appear to be

unique in bacGlyRS compared to other aaRSs. The CCA tail of tRNA^{Gly} bends away from the anti-

codon in the complex with bacGlyRS (34), shifting the terminal A toward the minor groove of the

tRNA. All other class II aaRSs bend the CCA tail in the opposite direction, towards the anticodon

(Figure S1) or, in the case of the uniAlaRS-tRNA complex (Figures 3A and 3C), maintain the relaxed

linear structure (41). The position of the terminal adenosine is shifted by ~ 30 Å in the tRNA

complex of bacGlyRS compared to in PheRS (Figure S1).

Figure 3. Structures of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS showing regions of homology. (A) Comparison of the relative position of the catalytic and ATL domains of bacGlyRS (PDB: 7YSE) and uniAlaRS (PDB: 3WQY) with respect to their cognate tRNA molecules. Insets: Structure superimposition of the catalytic and ATL domains of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS. (B) bacGlyRS of *Escherichia coli* in complex with tRNA. (C) uniAlaRS of *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* in complex with tRNA. (E) Hydrogen bonds between the ATL domain of bacGlyRS and its cognate tRNA. (F) Hydrogen bonds between the ATL domain of uniAlaRS and its cognate tRNA. bacGlyRS of *Escherichia coli* in complex with tRNA.

The catalytic and ATL domains of bacGlyRS resemble archaeal uniAlaRS homologs

We formulated a tentative model in which bacGlyRS originated in bacteria via duplication

of a two-domain catalytic-ATL uniAlaRS module. This tentative model predicts that bacGlyRS

component domains would be most similar to bacterial uniAlaRS homologs. Therefore, we

investigated the similarity of bacGlyRS domains to archaeal and bacterial sequences.

The sequences of the catalytic and ALF domains in bacterial uniAlaRS and archaeal

uniAlaRS are distinctive. A composite multiple-sequence alignment (MSA) containing both

bacterial and archaeal uniAlaRS sequences reveals lineage-specific signature positions and

insertions (Figure S2). Signature positions correspond to columns in a composite MSA that are

conserved within groups but are different between groups (39). Thus, differences between

bacterial and archaeal uniAlaRS sequences are not due to a simple compositional bias. This type

of pattern in a composite MSA is called a block structure and is observed in many universal aaRS

and other proteins of the translation system (3; 42).

Figure 4. Sequence analysis of bacGlyRS protein domain homologs. Cluster map based on pairwise sequence similarities. Nodes represent sequences; connections between nodes indicate matches between pairs of sequences with a *P*-value lower than the threshold. (A) Pairwise sequence similarity between the catalytic domains of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS. (B) Pairwise sequence similarity between the ATL domains of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS. (C) Maximum-likelihood tree of catalytic and ATL domains including bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS sequences rooted at the midpoint. small-AlaRS are sequences from an anomalous subgroup of uniAaRS found in some archaea and bacteria.

The catalytic and ATL domains of bacGlyRS resemble archaeal homologs more closely

than bacterial homologs (Figures 4A and B). We compared the sequences of the catalytic and ATL

domains of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS using the program CLANS (43; 44) and their profiles using the

program HH-align (45). Profiles are calculated from multiple-sequence alignments and reflect

position-specific sequence variability. A cluster map of sequences from the catalytic domains of

bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS at a P-value lower than 10^{-12} shows connections between bacGlyRSs and

archaeal uniAlaRSs. At this P-value threshold, the cluster map lacks connections between

bacGlyRSs and bacterial uniAlaRSs (Figure 4A). Similarly, a cluster map of sequences from the ATL

domains of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS at a P-value threshold of 10^{-11} shows connections between

bacGlyRSs and archaeal uniAlaRSs. This cluster map lacks connections between bacGlyRSs and

bacterial uniAlaRSs (Figure 4B). Thus, the catalytic and ATL domains of bacGlyRS resemble

archaeal homologs and not bacterial homologs. Therefore, our tentative model in which

bacGlyRS originated by duplication of a bacterial catalytic-ATL uniAlaRS module is not supported

by the data (Figure 5D).

The catalytic and ATL domains represent an evolutionary and functional core

Canonical uniAlaRS has five component domains; (i) the catalytic domain, which

aminoacylates the tRNA, (ii) the ATL domain, which recognizes tRNA^{Ala}, (iii) a cradle loop barrel

domain, (iv) an editing domain and (v) a C-terminal domain (C-Ala) that binds to the tRNA elbow

formed by the D- and T- loops (46; 33) (Figures 3C and S1). We identified a subgroup of bacterial

and archaeal uniAlaRS sequences that have only three domains: catalytic, ATL, and editing

(Figures 4 and S1). uniAlaRSs in this subgroup lack the cradle loop barrel domain and the C-Ala

domain of canonical uniAlaRSs (Figure S2). We call this anomalous subgroup small-AlaRS. We

identified small-AlaRS in the proteomes of CPR bacteria, *Candidatus Thermoplasmatota*, and in

DPANN, and Asgard groups. small-AlaRS proteins have bacteria-specific insertions, suggesting

that sequences were transferred to some archaea via horizontal gene transfer. The maximum

likelihood tree of catalytic and ATL domain sequences supports a horizontal transfer hypothesis

of small-AlaRS to some archaea (Figure 4C and S3). Small-AlaRS sequences and recently

discovered viral mini-AlaRS sequences (47), which lack the cradle loop barrel, the editing and the

C-Ala domains of canonical cellular uniAlaRSs, support the hypothesis that a core composed of

the catalytic and ATL domains can be functional.

The combined data support a model in which bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS emerged before

LUCA from shared ancestors composed of independent catalytic and ATL domains (Figure 5A and

B). Other possibilities put the emergence of the bacGlyRS core after the divergence of LUCA. A

late emergence of bacGlyRS appears less parsimonious because it suggests that some bacteria

lost the ancestral GlyRS and replaced it with a protein that has no homologs in other bacteria.

Possible scenarios are 1) formation of the proto-bacGlyRS in the bacterial lineage independently

from the uniAlaRS catalytic-ATL core (Figure 5C); 2) formation of the proto-bacGlyRS by partial or

complete duplication of the uniAlaRS gene in the archaeal lineage, followed by a lateral gene

transfer of the proto-bacGlyRS from archaea to the bacterial lineage; and 3) formation of the

proto-bacGlyRS by partial or complete duplication of the uniAlaRS gene in the bacterial lineage

(Figure 5D). This last scenario is not supported by the data.

Figure 5. Emergence of bacGlyRS through molecular bricolage. (A) Comparative diagram of the multidomain arrangements of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS. (B) Emergence of the common ancestor of bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS before the divergence of LUCA. Duplication of the common ancestor gives rise to the proto-uniAlaRS and proto-bacGlyRS. The ancestor of bacGlyRS is lost in archaea. (C) Emergence and duplication of the catalytic and ATL domains at LUCA. Before the divergence between archaea and bacteria, one copy of the catalytic domain and one copy of the ATL domain form the uniAlaRS core, the paralogs of the catalytic and ATL domains continue as single-domain proteins. Secondary loss of the copy of the catalytic and ATL domains in archaea. Formation of the bacGlyRS core in bacteria. (D and E) Evolution of the core of uniAlaRS before the divergence of LUCA. (D) The catalytic and ATL domains are horizontally transferred from the archaeal to the bacterial lineage and formation of the bacGlyRS core. (E) Duplication of the catalytic and ATL domains from uniAlaRS in bacteria and formation of the bacGlyRS core.

Discussion

The presence of bacGlyRS in a wide range of deeply rooted bacterial species suggests that

it existed in the LBCA. The presence of bacGlyRS in Terrabacteria and Gracilicutes, the two major

bacterial groups (Figure 1) supports this early origin. However, the distribution of bacGlyRS and

arcGlyRS in the bacterial tree appears patchy and irregular. Currently, we do not have a model

that fully explains the discontinuous distribution over evolutionary history. The dominance of

arcGlyRS in CPR proteomes suggests that bacteria with reduced genomes prefer a smaller

enzyme.

bacGlyRS is one of the largest aaRSs and is usually composed of two subunits, with a
catalytic domain in the α subunit and several recognition domains in the β subunit. In most
bacteria (Figure 1) bacGlyRS is a heterotetramer (4). In some bacteria, the α and β subunits are
linked in a single polypeptide chain (Figure 1); bacGlyRS with linked α and β subunits form
homodimers (Figure 2A).

A domain-by-domain analysis reveals evolutionary relationships between bacGlyRS
recognition domains and those of four different RNA-modifying proteins (Figure 2). The catalytic
domain was exapted (48) by bacGlyRS to perform aminoacylation. The ATL domain was exapted
to recognize the acceptor-stem of the tRNA^{Gly}. These two domains are closely related to domains
in the universal uniAlaRS. The HD domain was exapted apparently to interact with the acceptor
stem of tRNA^{Gly} (17) and its presence influences the interaction of the catalalytic domain of
bacGlyRS and the CCA tail of tRNA^{Gly} (discussed below). The HD domain in bacGlyRS is closely
related to a domain in the bacterial RNase Y. The body-tail domains were exapted by bacGlyRS
for interacting with the tRNA acceptor stem, these two domains perform an equivalent function
in the archaeal CCA-adding enzyme (49; 50). The ABD domain was exapted by bacGlyRS to
interact with the anti-codon loop (34) and is homologous to a domain in the class I uniArgRS.

Here we provide evidence that ATL is a distinct, independent domain of bacGlyRS rather
than a dependent component of the HD domain as previously proposed. This discovery sheds
light on the deep evolutionary history of aaRSs. Homology between the catalytic and ATL domains
of bacGlyRS and the corresponding domains of uniAlaRS suggests deep ancestry of their core
functions. It is likely that the two ancestral domains joined, and the resulting two-domain aaRS
gave rise to two separate enzymes, one for glycine and another for alanine. This model is
consistent with sequence and structural similarities between the core domains of bacGlyRS and
uniAlaRS.

The conservation of the pose of ATL domain in uniAlaRS and bacGlyRS, but not the pose
of the catalytic domain, is consistent with a model in which the ATL domain is ancestral to both
uniAlaRS and bacGlyRS (Fig. 5B). We have identified four adaptations of ATL, allowing a common
fold in a common pose to recognize different tRNAs. The ATL domain (i) slightly shifts global

position relative to the acceptor stem of the tRNA, (ii) shifts local positions of amino acid

backbone and sidechains by conformation change, (iii) changes sequence, and (iv) acquires a C-

terminal decoration in the uniAlaRS that is absent from the bacGlyRS.

bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS use different strategies to achieve final recognition of their

cognate tRNAs (Figure 3). ATL domains of both enzymes have similar interactions with the

discriminator base and the G1:C72 base pair which is conserved in tRNA^{Gly} and tRNA^{Ala}. uniAlaRS

uses the ATL domain to interact intensively with the acceptor stem, employing the C-terminal

decoration to assist in targeting both strands of the acceptor step. The ATL domain in bacGlyRS

lacks C-terminal decoration and interacts less intensively with the acceptor stem. In the bacGlyRS

complex, the ATL domain only contacts nucleotides of one strand of the acceptor stem. To

compensate, bacGlyRS interacts with other regions of the tRNA. bacGlyRS but not uniAlaRS

exapted additional recognition domains through a process of bricolage.

The conformation of the CCA tail and the position of the terminal adenosine appear to be

unique in bacGlyRS compared to other aaRSs. In uniAlaRS, the catalytic and ATL domains are

directly adjacent in sequence and structure. tRNA^{Ala} interacts with the CCA tail of uniAlaRS in a

relaxed state, which is reasonably similar to the structure in the free tRNA (51). In bacGlyRS,

insertion of the HD domain caused a translation and rotation of the catalytic domain relative to

the ATL domain (Figure 3B). To accommodate this translation/rotation, the acceptor-arm of

tRNA^{Gly} in the bacGlyRS complex bends acutely in the direction of the minor groove (Figure 3C).

The sequences of bacGlyRS and archaeal uniAlaRS have distinctive features. The catalytic

and ATL domains of bacGlyRS more closely resemble archaeal homologs than bacterial homologs

(Figures 4A and B). The combined results suggest that bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS share a common

ancestor composed of the catalytic and ATL domains. The catalytic and ATL domains represent

an evolutionary and functional core. A model in which bacGlyRS originated by duplication of a

bacterial catalytic-ATL uniAlaRS module is not supported by the data (Figure 5D).

Here we propose a simple model, in which insertion of the body-tail and HD domains (or

possibly just the HD domain) into a gene closely related to the proto-AlaRS formed a novel

enzyme, a proto-bacGlyRS (Figure 5A). In this model, the interaction between ATL domain and

the tRNA remained relatively unchanged as the catalytic domain was displaced and the CCA tail

bends towards the minor groove. The proto-bacGlyRS enzyme underwent adaptations that

conferred a new amino acid specificity (Gly) and a new tRNA identity (tRNA[Gly]). It is possible that

the new tRNA was better suited to the CCA tail orientation imposed by the HD insertion.

Our observations here reflect deep evolutionary history. Glycine and alanine appear to

be ancient amino acids, both prebiotically available and biologically important. They are among

the most abundant amino acids produced in spark discharge experiments (52) and are found in

chondrite asteroids (53). We propose that bacGlyRS and uniAlaRS emerged from a shared core

before LUCA (Figure 5A and B), from catalytic and ATL domains that had separate and distinct

origins. These prebiotic amino acids share the first and third codon positions, and the proteins

that aminoacylate tRNA[Gly] and tRNA[Ala] share sequence and structure relationships. It appears we

are seeing evidence of an ancestral protein with non-specific catalytic activity that may have been

able to link either glycine or alanine to an RNA, presumably an ancestor of tRNA. The deep

relationship between alanine and glycine is seen in their shared chemistry and biology.

Methods

Sequence similarity search

A multiple sequence alignment (MSAs) of bacGlyRS sequences was calculated for a

representative set of archaea and bacteria. The MSA was trimmed to the domain boundaries as

defined by the structure analysis. The MSAs of the domains were converted to profile Hidden

Markov Models using the HHsuite version 3.3.0 (31). A profile-profile similarity search on the

Evolutionary Classification of Domains (ECOD) database was performed with HHsearch using the

profiles of bacGlyRS domains as queries. Target domains in ECOD yielding HHsearch probabilities

greater than 60% over more than 50 aligned columns were retrieved to serve as queries of a

second search on a sequence database containing the proteomes of the representative set of

bacterial and archaeal species. The second search was performed using jackhmmer from the

HMMER suite version 3.3.1 (31), with default parameters (five iterations). The ECOD database

only contains domains that are directly annotated on proteins with experimentally determined

structures. The second search aims to identify sequence similarity in proteins without

experimentally determined structures. Sequences passing the threshold values (E-value < 1×10^{-3},

query coverage 80%) were retrieved and trimmed to the region of sequence similarity.

We broadly describe the phylogenetic distribution of the best hits according to the

number of sampled proteomes containing homologous sequences as follows: universal (presence

in > 50% of archaea and >50% of bacteria); archaea-specific (presence in >50% of archaea and

<10% of bacteria); bacteria-specific (presence in < 10% of archaea and <50% of bacteria); lineage-

specific within archaea (presence in <50% of archaea and <50% of bacteria); lineage-specific

within bacteria (presence in <10% of archaea and <50% of bacteria sampled); or of complex

distribution (presence in 10-50% of archaea and 10-50% of bacteria).

Cluster analysis

The query MSAs and trimmed target sequences retrieved from the second sequence

similarity search were clustered with CLANS (44) based on pairwise sequence similarities. To find

the best sequence match of each query domain, the cut-offs of the clustering were adjusted to

find the P-value for which the group of query sequences shows sequence relationships with only

one other group of orthologs. The subset of best matches was further clustered to reveal possible

differences between archaeal and bacterial sequences. Bacterial and archaeal uniAlaRS

sequences displayed differential similarity to bacGlyRS sequences on the cluster analysis. To

corroborate the clustering analysis results, additional analyses were performed at the level of

profiles.

Pairwise profile analysis: HHalign

An MSA containing orthologous sequences of uniAlaRS was further divided to include only

archaeal sequences or only bacterial sequences. The MSAs of archaeal and bacterial sequences

of uniAlaRS and the MSA of bacGlyRS were trimmed to the catalytic and ATL domains. The MSA

of the catalytic domain of bacGlyRS was aligned to the MSAs of the catalytic domains of archaeal

and bacterial uniAlaRS sequences using HHalign. The HHalign scores of these MSA-MSA

comparisons agree with the differential pairwise similarities observed in CLANS between

archaeal and bacterial sequences.

ML tree

The composite MSA of the catalytic domain containing sequences from bacGlyRS and

archaeal sequences from uniAlaRS was concatenated to the composite MSA of the ATL domain.

The concatenated MSA was trimmed with trimAl (54). A Maximum Likelihood tree was

calculated using the trimmed MSA using PhyML (55) and SMS model selection tool (56) on the

Montpellier Bioinformatics Platform. Branch support corresponds to a Bayesian-like

transformation of aLRT (aBayes) (57).

Data Availability

Sequence alignments and CLANS input files associated with this manuscript have been

deposited in the FigShare repository DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.23269007.

Acknowledgements

The authors dedicate this work to the memory of Professor ATL who passed away on September

9th, 2021. Professor ATL worked on the structural determination of the bacterial glycyl tRNA

synthetase and performed the initial structural analysis. The authors thank Jessica Bowman, Eric

Smith and Arturo Becerra for discussions. This work was funded by the National Aeronautics and

Space Administration grant 80NSSC18K1139. CAC was sponsored by the National Aeronautics

and Space Administration (NASA) through a contract with ORAU.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, ATL, MIVS and CAC. Methodology, CAC. Formal analysis

CAC, MA, AHG, ASP, MIVS and LDW. Writing – Original Draft, CAC and LDW. Writing – Review &

Editing, ASP, LRP and MIVS.

References

1. Bowman JC, Petrov AS, Frenkel-Pinter M, Penev PI, Williams LD (2020) Root of the Tree: The
Significance, Evolution, and Origins of the Ribosome. Chem Rev 120:4848-4878.
2. Burbaum JJ, Schimmel P (1991) Structural relationships and the classification of aminoacyl-
tRNA synthetases. J Biol Chem 266:16965-16968.
3. Woese CR, Olsen GJ, Ibba M, Söll D (2000) Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, the genetic code,
and the evolutionary process. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 64:202-236.
4. Gomez MAR, Ibba M (2020) Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. RNA 26:910-936.

5. Giegé R, Eriani G (2023) The tRNA identity landscape for aminoacylation and beyond. Nucleic
Acids Res 51:1528-1570.
6. Eriani G, Delarue M, Poch O, Gangloff J, Moras D (1990) Partition of tRNA synthetases into
two classes based on mutually exclusive sets of sequence motifs. Nature 347:203-206.
7. Moras D (1992) Structural and functional relationships between aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases.
Trends Biochem Sci 17:159-164.
8. Hartman H (1995) Speculations on the evolution of the genetic code IV the evolution of the
aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Orig Life Evol Biosph 25:265-269.
9. Ribas de Pouplana L, Schimmel P (2001) Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: potential markers of
genetic code development. Trends Biochem Sci 26:591-596.
10. Fournier GP, Andam CP, Alm EJ, Gogarten JP (2011) Molecular evolution of aminoacyl tRNA
synthetase proteins in the early history of life. Orig Life Evol Biosph 41:621-632.
11. Koonin EV, Novozhilov AS (2017) Origin and evolution of the universal genetic code. Annu
Rev Genet 51:45-62.
12. Ribas de Pouplana L. The evolution of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases: From dawn to LUCA.
(2020) The Enzymes. Elsevier, pp. 11-37.
13. Terada T, Nureki O, Ishitani R, Ambrogelly A, Ibba M, Söll D, Yokoyama S (2002) Functional
convergence of two lysyl-tRNA synthetases with unrelated topologies. Nat Struct Biol
9:257-262.
14. Tumbula D, Vothknecht UC, Kim H-s, Ibba M, Min B, Li T, Pelaschier J, Stathopoulos C,
Becker H, Söll D (1999) Archaeal aminoacyl-tRNA synthesis: diversity replaces dogma.
Genetics 152:1269-1276.
15. Ostrem DL, Berg P (1974) Glycyl transfer ribonucleic acid synthetase from Escherichia coli:
purification, properties, and substrate binding. Biochemistry 13:1338-1348.
16. Tang SN, Huang JF (2005) Evolution of different oligomeric glycyl-tRNA synthetases. FEBS
Lett 579:1441-1445.
17. Han L, Luo Z, Ju Y, Chen B, Zou T, Wang J, Xu J, Gu Q, Yang X-L, Schimmel P (2023) The
binding mode of orphan glycyl-tRNA synthetase with tRNA supports the synthetase
classification and reveals large domain movements. Science Advances 9:eadf1027.
18. Logan D, Mazauric M, Kern D, Moras D (1995) Crystal structure of glycyl-tRNA synthetase
from Thermus thermophilus. EMBO J 14:4156-4167.
19. Jacob F (1977) Evolution and tinkering. Science 196:1161-1166.
20. Dimas-Torres J, Rodríguez-Hernández A, Valencia-Sánchez M, Campos-Chávez E, Godínez-
López V, Rodríguez-Chamorro D, Grøtli M, Fleming C, Hernández-González A, Arciniega
M (2021) Bacterial glycyl tRNA synthetase offers glimpses of ancestral protein
topologies.
21. Rossman MG, Liljas A (1974) Letter: Recognition of structural domains in globular proteins. J
Mol Biol 85:177-181.
22. Levitt M, Chothia C (1976) Structural patterns in globular proteins. Nature 261:552-558.
23. Porter LL, Rose GD (2012) A thermodynamic definition of protein domains. Proc Natl Acad
Sci USA 109:9420-9425.
24. Ponting CP, Schultz J, Copley RR, Andrade MA, Bork P (2000) Evolution of domain families.
Advances in protein chemistry 54:185-244.
25. Ohno S. 2013. Evolution by gene duplication, Springer Science & Business Media.

26. Fitch WM (1970) Distinguishing homologous from analogous proteins. Syst Zool 19:99-113.

27. Ju Y, Han L, Chen B, Luo Z, Gu Q, Xu J, Yang XL, Schimmel P, Zhou H (2021) X-shaped
structure of bacterial heterotetrameric tRNA synthetase suggests cryptic prokaryote
functions and a rationale for synthetase classifications. Nucleic Acids Res 49:10106-
10119.

28. Smith TF, Hartman H (2015) The evolution of Class II Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases and the
first code. FEBS Lett 589:3499-3507.

29. Valencia-Sánchez MI, Rodríguez-Hernández A, Ferreira R, Santamaría-Suárez HA, Arciniega
M, Dock-Bregeon A-C, Moras D, Beinsteiner B, Mertens H, Svergun D, Brieba LG, Grøtli
M, Torres-Larios A (2016) Structural Insights into the Polyphyletic Origins of Glycyl tRNA
Synthetases. J Biol Chem 291:14430-14446.

30. Moody ERR, Mahendrarajah TA, Dombrowski N, Clark JW, Petitjean C, Offre P, Szöllősi GJ,
Spang A, Williams TA (2022) An estimate of the deepest branches of the tree of life from
ancient vertically evolving genes. eLife 11.

31. Achsel T, Stark H, Lührmann R (2001) The Sm domain is an ancient RNA-binding motif with
oligo(U) specificity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:3685-3689.

32. Moody ER, Mahendrarajah TA, Dombrowski N, Clark JW, Petitjean C, Offre P, Szöllősi GJ,
Spang A, Williams TA (2022) An estimate of the deepest branches of the tree of life from
ancient vertically evolving genes. eLife 11:e66695.

33. Naganuma M, Sekine S, Fukunaga R, Yokoyama S (2009) Unique protein architecture of
alanyl-tRNA synthetase for aminoacylation, editing, and dimerization. Proc Natl Acad Sci
USA 106:8489-8494.

34. Yu Z, Wu Z, Li Y, Hao Q, Cao X, Blaha GM, Lin J, Lu G (2023) Structural basis of a two-step
tRNA recognition mechanism for plastid glycyl-tRNA synthetase. Nucleic Acids Res
51:4000-4011.

35. Chandonia JM, Fox NK, Brenner SE (2017) SCOPe: Manual Curation and Artifact Removal in
the Structural Classification of Proteins - extended Database. J Mol Biol 429:348-355.

36. Schaeffer RD, Liao Y, Cheng H, Grishin NV (2017) ECOD: new developments in the
evolutionary classification of domains. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D296-d302.

37. Naganuma M, Sekine S, Chong YE, Guo M, Yang XL, Gamper H, Hou YM, Schimmel P,
Yokoyama S (2014) The selective tRNA aminoacylation mechanism based on a single
G•U pair. Nature 510:507-511.

38. Kumar A, Åqvist J, Satpati P (2019) Principles of tRNA(Ala) Selection by Alanyl-tRNA
Synthetase Based on the Critical G3·U70 Base Pair. ACS Omega 4:15539-15548.

39. Penev PI, Alvarez-Carreño C, Smith E, Petrov AS, Williams LD (2021) TwinCons: Conservation
score for uncovering deep sequence similarity and divergence. PLoS Comput Biol
17:e1009541.

40. McClain WH, Foss K, Jenkins R, Schneider J (1991) Four sites in the acceptor helix and one
site in the variable pocket of tRNA (Ala) determine the molecule's acceptor identity.
Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:9272-9276.

41. Mohan S, Hsiao C, VanDeusen H, Gallagher R, Krohn E, Kalahar B, Wartell RM, Williams LD
(2009) Mechanism of RNA double helix-propagation at atomic resolution. J Phys Chem B
113:2614-2623.

42. Vishwanath P, Favaretto P, Hartman H, Mohr SC, Smith TF (2004) Ribosomal protein-
sequence block structure suggests complex prokaryotic evolution with implications for
the origin of eukaryotes. Mol Phylogenet Evol 33:615-625.
43. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search
tool. J Mol Biol 215:403-410.
44. Frickey T, Lupas A (2004) CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families based on
pairwise similarity. Bioinformatics 20:3702-3704.
45. Steinegger M, Meier M, Mirdita M, Vöhringer H, Haunsberger SJ, Söding J (2019) HH-suite3
for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. BMC Bioinformatics
20:473.
46. Swairjo MA, Otero FJ, Yang X-L, Lovato MA, Skene RJ, McRee DE, de Pouplana LR, Schimmel
P (2004) Alanyl-tRNA synthetase crystal structure and design for acceptor-stem
recognition. Mol Cell 13:829-841.
47. Antika TR, Chrestella DJ, Tseng YK, Yeh YH, Hsiao CD, Wang CC (2023) A naturally occurring
mini-alanyl-tRNA synthetase. Commun Biol 6:314.
48. Frenkel-Pinter M, Petrov AS, Matange K, Travisano M, Glass JB, Williams LD (2022)
Adaptation and Exaptation: From Small Molecules to Feathers. J Mol Evol 90:166-175.
49. Schimmel P, Yang XL (2004) Two classes give lessons about CCA. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11:807-
808.
50. Tomita K, Fukai S, Ishitani R, Ueda T, Takeuchi N, Vassylyev DG, Nureki O (2004) Structural
basis for template-independent RNA polymerization. Nature 430:700-704.
51. Westhof E, Dumas P, Moras D (1988) Restrained refinement of two crystalline forms of
yeast aspartic acid and phenylalanine transfer RNA crystals. Acta Crystallogr A 44 (Pt
2):112-123.
52. Parker ET, Cleaves HJ, Dworkin JP, Glavin DP, Callahan M, Aubrey A, Lazcano A, Bada JL
(2011) Primordial synthesis of amines and amino acids in a 1958 Miller H2S-rich spark
discharge experiment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:5526-5531.
53. Botta O, Glavin DP, Kminek G, Bada JL (2002) Relative amino acid concentrations as a
signature for parent body processes of carbonaceous chondrites. Orig Life Evol Biosph
32:143-163.
54. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T (2009) trimAl: a tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25:1972-1973.
55. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New algorithms
and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance
of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307-321.
56. Lefort V, Longueville JE, Gascuel O (2017) SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. Mol Biol
Evol 34:2422-2424.
57. Anisimova M, Gil M, Dufayard JF, Dessimoz C, Gascuel O (2011) Survey of branch support
methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based
approximation schemes. Syst Biol 60:685-699.