Effect of gedunin on glioblastoma proliferation and invasiveness: *In vitro* and *in vivo* approaches

Thadeu Estevam Moreira Maramaldo Costa[a,b], Tatiana Almeida Pádua[b], Jonathas Xavier Pereira[c], Vinicius Gonçalves Rodrigues[c], Leonardo Noboru Seito[b], Erika Marques da Cunha[b], Claudio C. Filgueiras[d], Yael Abreu-Villaça[d], Alex C. Manhães[d], Clarissa Menezes Maya-Monteiro[e], Maria das Graças Henriques[b,f], Thomas Eichenberg Krahe[d,g], Carmen Penido[a,b,*]

[a] Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico em Saúde, Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Inovação em Doenças Negligenciadas (INCT-IDN), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[b] Laboratório de Farmacologia Aplicada, Instituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos (Farmanguinhos), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[c] Instituto de Patologia Tropical e Saúde Pública (IPTSP), Universidade Federal de Goiás (UFG), Goiás, Goiânia, Brasil.

[d] Laboratório de Neurofisiologia, Departamento de Ciências Fisiológicas, Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcantara Gomes, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[e] Laboratório de Imunofarmacologia, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (IOC), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[f] Laboratório de Farmacologia Celular e Molecular, Departamento de Biologia Celular; Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcântara Gomes, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[g] Departamento de Psicologia, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

*Address correspondence to**: Dr. Carmen Penido, Laboratório de Farmacologia Aplicada, Departamento de Farmacologia Aplicada, Farmanguinhos, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rua Sizenando Nabuco 100, Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 21041-250, Brazil, e-mail: cpenido@gmail.com; carmen.penido@fiocruz.br.

Graphical Abstract

Highlights

- Gedunin reduces GL261 cell proliferation, migration and invasion *in vitro*.

- Gedunin induces GL261 cell apoptosis and ERK activation *in vitro*.

- Gedunin reduces the presence of tumoral vascular structures *in vivo*.

Abstract

Glioblastoma is the most invasive and malignant brain tumor, for which no effective treatment is currently available. Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) is a potential target for the treatment of different types of cancer. Herein, we investigated the effect of gedunin, an HSP90 inhibitor, in murine GL261 glioblastoma *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Gedunin treatment decreased GL261 cell proliferation and triggered apoptosis in a concentration-dependent manner. Gedunin also reduced GL261 cell migration and metalloproteinase-2 activity, suggesting that it impairs glioblastoma cell invasion. Despite the reduction of total protein content in gedunin-treated cells, the phosphorylation of STAT3 and ERK1/2 pathways was enhanced within 24 h. *In situ* treatment with gedunin did not significantly

63 reduce tumor volume but reduced the presence of vascular structures in xenograft
64 glioblastoma in C57BL/6 mice, a clinical feature associated with morbidity and mortality.
65 Our results demonstrate that gedunin is a promising compound for the treatment of
66 glioblastoma.

68 **Keywords:** Brain tumor, GL261, limonoids, natural products.

69
70

1. Introduction

Glioblastoma is a highly invasive and malignant tumor defined by the World Health Organization as grade IV, based on histological, immunohistochemical, and molecular features [1]. Patients bearing glioblastoma have a poor prognosis, with estimated median survival rates of eight months and a maximum five-year survival rate of only 5% [2,3].

The current standard protocol for glioblastoma treatment relies on total tumor excision followed by radiotherapy with concurrent and adjuvant chemotherapy with temozolomide [4]. The most common adverse events related to temozolomide treatment are myelosuppression and hepatotoxicity [5–7]. Another limiting factor of glioblastoma treatment is that most orally and intravenously administered alkylating agents induce resistance and have poor blood-brain barrier permeability [8,9]. An alternative strategy is the usage of intracranial wafer implants containing the alkylating agent carmustine after tumor removal surgery, which, in combination with radiochemotherapy, has been shown to significantly improve patient survival [10,11]. However, carmustine wafers induce severe side effects, such as cerebral edema and hematological toxicity [5,12,13]. Glioblastoma is still considered incurable [14]; however, treatments targeting multiple signaling pathways are a promising strategy [15].

Modulation of the chaperone heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) is considered a potential antitumor therapy [16]. HSP90 activity is implicated on several hallmarks of cancer, such as proliferation, invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis, and resistance to apoptosis [17]. Increased expression of HSP90 correlates with tumor malignancy and poor prognosis in different types of cancer, including breast, colon, and melanoma [18–21]. Indeed, HSP90 chaperones several proteins involved in oncogenic processes, including the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu; ErbB2), tyrosine-protein kinase Src (Src), protein kinase B (AKT/PKB), breakpoint cluster region/Abelson murine leukemia virus (BCR/ABL) and tumor protein 53 (p53) [22–24]. In line with this, encouraging pre-clinical data have been obtained with HSP90 inhibitors in different types of tumor cells and animal models, including lymphoma, melanoma, breast, and lung cancer [25–29]. Currently, in the NIH´s Clinical Trials database ClinicalTrials.gov, there are more than a hundred registered trials (11 currently active) evaluating HSP90 inhibitors (alone or in combination with other drugs) for the treatment of different types of cancer [30]. Worthy

of note, off-target toxicity, including ocular toxicity and hepatotoxicity, is a limiting
factor for the use of these substances [31,32].

Over the past two decades, our group and others have been studying the antitumoral and
anti-inflammatory effects of gedunin, a limonoid produced by vegetal species from the
Meliaceae family [33] or by semi-synthetic routes [34]. Gedunin negatively modulates
HSP90 activity by binding to the HSP90 co-chaperones p23 and cdc37 and, thus,
impairing the formation of the active HSP90 complex [35,36]. This limonoid has potent
antitumoral effects demonstrated against an array of tumor types *in vivo* and *in vitro*
[35,37–40]. However, gedunin anti-tumoral effects have not been evaluated in
glioblastoma.

Considering the urgent need for an effective therapy against glioblastoma and the high
antitumor activity of the HSP90 inhibitor gedunin, here we investigate the antitumoral
effect of this compound using the murine GL261 model both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Reagents and antibodies

Gedunin (Gaia Chemicals, USA) and the specific HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG (17-N-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin, Tanespimycin, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were dissolved in DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide, Sigma-Aldrich) and frozen at -86 °C until use. MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide), Dulbecco´s modified Eagle´s medium (DMEM), phosphate buffer saline (PBS) tablet and trypsin-EDTA (ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid) solution were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Cultilab (Brazil). Propidium iodide (PI) solution was obtained from Biolegend (USA). Vybrant™ CFDA-SE (carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester) Cell Tracer Kit and gentamicin were obtained from Thermo Fischer Scientific (USA). Annexin V-FITC (fluorescein isothiocyanate) conjugated was purchased from BD Pharmingen (USA). IgG anti-mouse STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, rabbit, C-20), anti-mouse-IgG-HRP (horseradish peroxidase), and anti-rabbit-IgG-HRP secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (USA). Antibodies against mouse p44/42 MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase, Thr202/Tyr204, D13.14.4E), ERK1/2 (extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2, rabbit), AKT (protein kinase B, PKB, rabbit, pan, 40D4), phospho-AKT [rabbit, Ser473, (D9E)XP] and GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenasemouse, D4C6R) were purchased from Cell Signaling (USA). Antibodies against human PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen, rabbit) were purchased from Sino Biological (China). DNase I recombinant RNase free was purchased from Roche Life Sciences (Germany).

2.2 GL261 cell culture and treatment

Murine glioblastoma cell line (GL261, Cell Bank from Federal University of Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ, Brazil) was cultured in DMEM (Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 10 % FBS (Cultilab) and 50 μg/mL gentamicin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in humidified atmosphere (5 % CO_2 at 37 °C) until confluence. Cells were digested with 0.25 % trypsin at the 5th passage and washed with 1x PBS. Cell viability was determined by trypan blue (Sigma Aldrich) staining, under a light microscope, before further assays. GL261 cells were incubated with 6.25-100 μM of gedunin for 24-72 h at 37 °C, 5 % CO_2.

2.3 Animals

Adult male C57BL/6 mice (20-25 g) provided by Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz) breeding unit (Institute for Biomodels Science and Technology, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) were kept in standard autoclavable polypropylene boxes, with free access to food and fresh water in environmentally controlled room temperature (ranging from 22 to 24 °C) on a light/dark cycle at Farmanguinhos (Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and at the Laboratory of Neurophysiology (State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) experimental animal facilities. Animal procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Brazilian National Council for the Control of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA) and reported following the ARRIVE guidelines [41]. Experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Commission on Animal Use of Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (license #LW-35/16) and the Ethics Commission on Animal Use of the State University of Rio de Janeiro (license #025/2017).

2.4 Primary mixed glial cell culture

Under sterile conditions, mouse brain cortex tissue was rapidly dissected from euthanized (150 mg/kg sodium thiopental, i.p.) young adult (4-week-old) C57BL/6 male mice and transferred to ice-cold DMEM/F12 media (Sigma Aldrich) in 6 well plates. After removal of the meninges, brain tissue was cut into small pieces, and washed three times with 1x sterile PBS (Sigma Aldrich). Trypsin-DNAse solution (0.25 %-250 µg/mL) was added to the wells and the plate was incubated for 1 h in an orbital shaker (Excella E24, New Brunswick Scientific, USA) at 37 °C and 150 rpm. Tissue samples were vigorously triturated and dissociated with a 1 mL pipette tip. Cells were washed with FBS-DNAse solution (10 %-250 µg/mL), added to a 20 % percoll gradient media, and centrifuged at $800 \times g$ for 30 min at 25 °C. Recovered cells were washed ($400 \times g$, 10 min at 4 °C), distributed in T-25 bottle flasks, and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO_2. DMEM/F12 media was changed every 3-4 days until use. Data were collected from two independent experiments (n=5 mice per group; license #LW-35/16).

2.5 Proliferation assay

GL261 cells (4×10^7/mL) were incubated with 5 μM CFSE solution (Invitrogen

Molecular Probes, USA) diluted in PBS for 15 min at 37 °C, 5 % CO_2. Cells were washed

with 10 % PBS/FBS solution, seeded in 24 well plates (2×10^5 cells per well), incubated

overnight, and treated with gedunin (6.25-100 μM) for 72 h. Cell proliferation was

evaluated by analyzing CFSE fluorescence intensity (FL1) upon cell divisions, by flow

cytometry (FACScalibur, Becton Dickinson, USA). Results were analyzed using FlowJo

X Software (Tree Star, Becton Dickinson).

2.6 MTT assay

GL261 or primary mixed glial cells were seeded in a 96 flat bottom well plate (2×10^4

cells/well) and cultured in DMEM for 24 h (CO_2 5 % at 37 °C) for adhesion. Adherent

cells were cultured with different concentrations of gedunin (6.25-100 μM), 17-AAG (1

μM), or temozolomide (100 μM) for 20 or 68 h, when MTT solution (5 mg/mL; 22.5

μL/well) was added to the culture and incubated for 4 h. The analyzes were performed at

24 and 72 h time points. Plates were centrifuged for 3 min ($720 \times g$ at 4 °C), the

supernatant was discharged and DMSO (150 μL/well) was added for formazan crystals

solubilization. The absorbance was read in a microplate reader (SpectraMax M5,

Molecular Devices, USA) at 540 nm.

2.7 Determination of apoptosis

Apoptosis was evaluated using annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) staining.

GL261 cells were seeded in 24 well cell culture plates (2×10^5 cells/well) and left to

adhere overnight. GL261 cells were then incubated with gedunin (6.25 - 100 μM) for 24

or 72 h, followed by trypsinization, resuspension in annexin V Binding Buffer (HEPES

10 mM pH 7.4, NaCl 140 mM, $CaCl_2$ 2.5 mM) and labeling with annexin V-FITC and PI

for 15 min at room temperature. Cells incubated with medium were used as the negative

control, whereas 17-AAG-incubated cells were used as the positive control. Staining was

analyzed by cytometry using FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson) and FlowJo Software

(Tree Star, Becton Dickinson). At least 10^4 cells were acquired per sample. Data are

presented in dot plots in a log scale of increasing FL-1 (annexin V-FITC) and FL-3 (PI)

intensity.

2.8 Scratch assay

GL261 cell monolayers, seeded into 6 well plates, were scratched at the center of the well, and then the migration path was assessed at the leading edge of the scratch by phase-contrast optical microscopy (100 ×, Olympus IX70, Japan) at indicated time points. Analyses were performed by Image J software (National Institute of Health, USA). The rate of migration was determined by quantifying the total distance that cells moved from the edge of the scratch toward the center of the scratch, as previously described [42].

2.9 Chemotaxis assay

GL261 cell migration was assayed in a 48-well micro-chemotaxis Boyden chamber (Neuro Probe Inc., USA). The bottom wells of the chamber were filled with DMEM 10 % FBS (29 μL) or serum-free DMEM media as the negative control. Polycarbonate membrane filters with 8 μm pore size (Neuro Probe) were placed between the lower and upper chambers. GL261 cells were pre-treated with different concentrations of gedunin (6.25-25 μM) for 1 h in serum-free DMEM and then loaded into the upper wells of Boyden chamber (2×10^4 cells/50 μL/well). The chamber was incubated in a humidified atmosphere with 5 % CO_2 at 37 °C for 24 h. The membranes were removed and stained using a Diff-quick (Laborclin, Brazil). The bottom side of membranes was visualized by light microscopy for counting GL261 migrating cells (1000 ×, Olympus IX70). GL261 chemotaxis was calculated and expressed as the mean number of migrated cells in ten random high-power fields (HPFs) per well (in quadruplicate).

2.10 Zymography

GL261 cells were plated (1×10^6/well) in a 6-well plate in DMEM. After 24 h, supernatant was removed, and cells were washed 3 times with pure DMEM to eliminate any FBS trace. Then, cells received gedunin (6.25-50 μM) or 17-AAG (1 μM) treatment in serum-free DMEM. After 48 h, the supernatant was collected and stored at -70 °C until lyophilization. Samples were dehydrated in a Gamma 2-16 LSCplus (Christ, Germany) ice condenser and, resuspended with 200 μL of zymography extraction buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM pH 7.5, NaCl 0.2 M, Triton X-100 0.1 %, $CaCl_2$ 10 mM, protease inhibitor cocktail). Lowry method was used for protein quantitation. Enzymatic activity of matrix

242   metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 was determined on a 10 % SDS-PAGE containing 0.1 %

243   gelatin from porcine skin (Sigma Aldrich).

2.11 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

246   Levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) were evaluated by sandwich

247   enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in GL261 cell supernatants using

248   matched antibody pairs from R&D Systems (USA), according to the manufacturer's

249   instructions. Absorbance was determined by Spectramax M5 microplate reader

250   (Molecular Devices) at 490 nm.

2.12 Western blot

GL261 cells (1×10^5 cells) treated with gedunin (6.25-100 μM) or 17-AAG (1 μM) for

24 h were lysed in a buffer containing protease inhibitors. Protein extract (20 μg) was

resolved by SDS-PAGE, proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF)

membranes (GE Healthcare, USA), and then probed with primary antibodies. Antibodies

were diluted in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.5 % Tween 20 and 5 % non-fat milk or

bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma -Aldrich, USA), and incubated overnight at 4 °C.

The membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated

secondary IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at room temperature. The blots were

developed in a Hyperfilm with the use of a chemiluminescent substrate (ECL; Amersham

Biosciences, USA). The band intensity was quantified using ImageJ (NIH, USA), and

expressed in arbitrary units (a.u.). The raw intensity values of total proteins were

normalized using the values of the control protein (PCNA) and of the control group

(untreated cells) to allow comparison between different experiments. The phospho-

protein values were normalized by the specific total-protein content. Western blot

membranes were stripped using harsh stripping buffer (SDS 10% Tris HCl, pH 6.8, 0.5

M; 0.8 % ß-mercaptoethanol), at 50 °C for up to 45 min under agitation in an orbital

shaker (Excella E24, New Brunswick Scientific, USA). Stripping was performed between

the phospho and total proteins, and between AKT and ERK antibody incubations.

2.13 Orthotopic glioblastoma model and osmotic mini-pump implantation

Glioblastoma was induced in adult male C57BL/6 by stereotaxic injections of GL261
cells at the Laboratory of Neurophysiology experimental animal facility (UERJ, Rio de
Janeiro, Brazil). Mice were intraperitoneally anesthetized with 100 mg/kg ketamine
(Syntec, Brazil) and 10 mg/kg xylazine (Sedalex™ Venco, Brazil). Under sterile
conditions, a midline incision was made in the scalp, the skull was exposed to identify
bregma and lambda, and a small burr hole was drilled over the right hemisphere. GL261
cells ($5 \times 10^4/\mu L$; final volume of 2 μL) were stereotaxically injected into the caudate
putamen with a 10 μL Hamilton syringe at the rate of 0.5 μL/min. The needle was slowly
removed 4 min after the injection was finished. The following coordinates (in mm) were
used for stereotaxic injections (anterior-posterior; medial-lateral; dorsal-ventral): 0.1
AP; 2.32 ML; 2.35 DV. Coordinates were based on the Cha et al., 2003 [43] descriptions
and confirmed on Paxinos and Franklin mouse brain atlas [44]. Fourteen days after
GL261 injections, animals were implanted with Alzet osmotic minipumps (model 2004,
0.25 μL/h; Durect Corporation, USA) filled with gedunin (250 μM, n=8) or DMSO (2.5
%, n=8). Cannulas (1-2 mm long) were placed into the site of the tumor following similar
surgical procedures described above. Treatment lasted between 14 and 17 days. Mice
were monitored every day for clinical signs of pain and distress, such as ruffled fur,
hunched back, trembling, convulsions, paralysis, and permanent recumbency [45]. If
signs of pain and distress were observed, the animal was excluded from the study and
euthanized. Data were collected from two independent experiments (n=8 randomized
mice per group). Mice were euthanized 28 days after GL261 injection with sodium
thiopental (150 mg/kg, i.p.).
295

2.14 Mouse Brain Computed Tomography Images
After 14-day treatment, anesthetized mice (100 mg/kg ketamine/10 mg/kg xylazine, i.p.)
were intravenously (i.v.) injected with 300 mg/mL of Omnipaque iohexol contrast (GE
Healthcare). Brains were scanned 3-6 min after contrast administration in a GE Highspeed
CT/E image system (Pedro Ernesto University Hospital, UERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil),
according to the following protocol: 120 kV tube voltage, 55 mA, 1 mm slice thickness.
Images were reconstructed in a 256 x 256-pixel matrix using InVesalius 3.1.1 software
(developed by Centro de Tecnologia da Informação Renato Archer, CNPq, and Ministry

of Health, Brazil). Tumor volumetry was determined by selecting contrast-enhanced

regions of interest in coronal sections.

2.15 Histology of Brain Tissue

Euthanized mice (150 mg/kg sodium thiopental, i.p.) were perfused with 4%

formaldehyde. Mouse brains were collected and transferred into a 4 % formaldehyde

solution for 2 days for tissue fixation. Brains were then sectioned and embedded in

paraffin. Coronal sections of brain tissue (5 μm) were made using a Shandon Finesse 325

microtome (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Brain slices were stained with hematoxylin

(Merck, USA) and eosin (Sigma Aldrich, USA). Lesion size, liquefactive necrosis area,

vascular structures, intratumoral hemorrhage, and mitosis were blindly analyzed in the

central slice tumor area. Lesion size area was defined by the percentage of tumor area in

relation to the size of the brain area in the slice. The liquefactive necrosis area was

determined by the percentage of necrosis area in relation to the lesion size. Vascular

structures that had their full composition were counted in intratumoral and peritumoral

regions. Intratumoral hemorrhage was described in a semi-quantitative form regarding

the intensity at the slice, with the following definitions: discrete (+), moderate (++), and

intense (+++). Mitosis was characterized by estimating the average amount of cells per

analyzed field, and thus: >50% (+), 50-75% (++), and >75% (+++). Multipoint brain slice

images were composed in NIS-Elements AR (Nikon Corporation). Each brain slice was

analyzed by an observer blind to the experimental groups.

2.16 Statistical Analysis

All analyzes were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, USA).

Western blot analyzes were performed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test

followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons. Histologic analyzes were performed by one-

way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's post-test for multiple comparisons. All

other comparisons were carried out using one-way ANOVA followed by Student-

Newman-Keuls test. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM (unless otherwise mentioned).

Significance was assumed either at the level of $p \leq 0.05$, $p \leq 0.01$, or $p \leq 0.001$, as

specified.

3. Results

3.1 Gedunin impairs GL261 cell growth and viability in vitro

To verify the effect of gedunin on GL261 cell growth, a 72 h CFSE proliferation assay was performed, in which staining decreases as cells proliferate. Incubation of CFDA-SE-stained GL261 cells with gedunin decreased cell proliferation in a concentration-dependent manner (**Fig. 1A**). Gedunin (25-100 μM) significantly inhibited GL261 cell growth, similar to what was observed with the selective HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG (reference control). **Figure 1B** shows representative histograms of CFSE fluorescence intensity (FL-1) of GL261 cells depicted in **Figure 1A**.

The effect of gedunin on cell viability was evaluated by the MTT assay. The incubation of GL261 cells with gedunin decreased mitochondrial function in a concentration-dependent manner within 24 and 72 h (**Fig. 1C**). MTT assay was also performed on primary mixed glial cells to evaluate gedunin toxicity to non-tumoral cells. Gedunin effect on primary mixed glial cells was less toxic, and higher concentrations were required to induce cell death when compared to GL261 cells (**Fig. 1C**). The half-maximal inhibitory concentration [IC50] of gedunin on GL261 cells was 2.3 times lower than the IC_{50} observed on primary glial cells (**Fig. 1D**). Temozolomide, the first-line treatment for glioblastoma, also reduced GL261 viability within 72 h in a concentration-dependent manner (**Suppl. Fig. 1**). Considering the antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects of gedunin on GL261 cells, we further examined whether this limonoid induced apoptosis.

Figure 1. *Gedunin impairs GL261 cell proliferation.* (A) Effect of gedunin (6.25-100 μM) and 17-AAG (1 μM) on GL261 cell proliferation evaluated by CFSE staining and analyzed by FACScalibur (BD Biosciences) 72 h after incubation. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM of median fluorescence intensity (MFI) from triplicate wells from three independent experiments. (B) Representative histogram shows CFSE fluorescence intensity (FL-1) from one experiment. (C) Effect of gedunin (6.25-100 μM) and 17-AAG (1 μM) on GL261 cell viability evaluated by MTT reduction assay (SpectraMax M5, Molecular Devices) 24 and 72 h after incubation. (D) IC50 values of gedunin treatment on GL261 and glial mixed cells 72 h after incubation. SI: selectivity index.

3.2 Gedunin induces GL261 cell apoptosis in vitro

Apoptosis is regulated by a series of signaling events that include disruption of the mitochondrial membrane and phosphatidylserine externalization [46,47]. Membrane

phosphatidylserine staining by annexin V-FITC revealed that gedunin induced apoptosis

of GL261 cells in a concentration- and time-dependent manner (**Fig. 2A**). Apoptosis was

detected 24 h after treatment, and surpassed 90 % within 72 h, at the highest

concentrations. Representative dot plots of PI^+ and annexin V^+ cells are shown in **Figure**

2B. Apoptotic cells are characterized by a reduced ability to scatter light either in forward

or right angle directions [48]. Indeed, GL261 cells incubated with gedunin diminished

FSC-H and increased SSC-H intensities, when compared to non-treated cells (**Fig. 2C**).

Representative dot-plots of the effect of 17-AAG (1 µM) on GL261 apoptosis (24 h and

72 h) is available on **Supplemental Figure 2**.

Figure 2. *Gedunin induces time- and concentration-dependent GL261 apoptosis.* (A)
Effect of gedunin (6.25-100 μM) on GL261 cell (2 × 10⁴/well) apoptosis evaluated by
propidium iodide and annexin V-FITC staining MTT (FacsCalibur – BD Biosciences) 24
h and 72 h after incubation. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM of viability
percentage from triplicates wells from three independent experiments (24 well plates). *
indicates statistically significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) between treated and untreated
cells. (B) Representative dot plots of flow cytometry analysis of gedunin-treated GL261
cells labeled with annexin V-FITC and PI after 24 and 72 h incubation. (C) Representative

391   contour plots of SSC-H and FSC-H of gedunin-treated (6.25 - 100 µM) GL261 cells after
392   72 h.
393

3.3 Gedunin impairs GL261 cell migration and invasiveness in vitro

Tumor cell migration is an earlier step in cancer dissemination and invasion [49,50].
Scratch assay shows that gedunin (12.5 and 25 µM) reduced GL261 cell migration when
compared to the untreated group within 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h (**Fig. 3A, B**). Confirming
results obtained in the scratch assay, reduction of chemotaxis was also observed in the
Boyden chamber migration assay, in which gedunin treatment (25 µM) impaired cell
migration within 48 h (**Fig. 3C**).

Extracellular matrix remodeling by the proteolytic activity of tumor cell matrix
metalloproteinases (MMPs) is a crucial step for tumor cell invasion in the surrounding
tissue [51]. Gedunin also reduced the activity of MMP-2 (**Fig. 3D**), suggesting that this
limonoid impairs different mechanisms associated with tumor cell invasion. In addition,
we observed that gedunin treatment increased VEGF levels in the supernatant of GL261
cells (**Fig. 3E**), a phenomenon that does not contribute to its antitumoral effect since pro-
angiogenic mediators contribute to tumor cell metastasis [52,53].
408

Figure 3. *Gedunin impairs in vitro GL261 cell migration and invasiveness.* (A) Microscopic views of *in vitro* scratch assay. GL261 migration into the scratched area 24-72 h after gedunin treatment (6.25-25 μM). (B) Percentages of GL261 cell migration calculated as the ratio of uncovered area (24-72 h) by the initial scratched area (0 h). Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM from two independent experiments (6 well plates). (C) Boyden chamber chemotaxis assay demonstrating the number of gedunin-treated or untreated cells per 15 high power fields (HPF) after 24 h migration. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM from quadruplicate wells. (D) MMP-2 activity of GL261 cell supernatant assessed by zymography assay 48 h after gedunin treatment (6.25-50 μM). Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM from two independent experiments. (E) VEGF quantification (ng/mL) in cell supernatant by ELISA assay 24 and 72 h after gedunin treatment (6.25-100 μM). * indicates statistically significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) between treated and untreated cells, whereas # indicates statistically significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) between stimulated and unstimulated cells. FBS: fetal bovine serum.

3.4 Gedunin modulates signaling proteins in GL261 cells

Genomic characterization of glioblastoma frequently shows amplification and phosphorylation of RTK (receptor tyrosine kinase), which ultimately leads to its autoactivation and downstream signaling pathways, resulting in constitutive cell proliferation and resistance to apoptosis [54]. PI3K/AKT and Ras/ERK are the main pro-survival pathways triggered by RTK activation in glioblastoma cells [55–57]. **Figure 4A-E** illustrates the effect of gedunin in these pathways in *in vitro*-treated GL261 cells.

Gedunin treatment reduced the amount of total cell protein within 24 h, as shown in representative immunoblots of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) content from 8 independent experiments (**Fig. 4A**; replicates in **Suppl. Fig. 3**). Immunostaining of total proteins also decreased in gedunin-treated cells (**Fig. 4A**), which may be explained by the induction of apoptosis and cell death.

When the measurements were normalized by the constitutive protein, PCNA, no specific reduction of total STAT3 or total ERK 1/2 was observed after gedunin treatment (**Fig. 4B, C**). Total AKT protein content was reduced in GL261 cells treated with gedunin (50 μM), when compared to untreated cell values (**Fig. 4D**).

Phosphorylation of STAT3 (**Fig. 4E**) and ERK 1/2 (**Fig. 4F**) was induced by gedunin. These results suggest that apoptosis driven by gedunin is followed by a compensatory

 activation/phosphorylation of these survival pathways. The treatment with 17-AAG also
reduced total protein content but did not induce this putative compensatory activation of
STAT3 and ERK pathways within the 24 h incubation. Even though the mean of
phosphorylated AKT (pAKT) increased in gedunin-treated cells (**Fig. 4C**), it was not
significantly different from untreated cells (**Fig. 4G**).

Figure 4. *Gedunin activates PI3K/AKT and STAT3/ERK 1/2 pathways.* Western blot
analysis of the effect of gedunin (6.25 – 100 μM) on intracellular signaling proteins, 24 h
after treatment. (A) Representative immunoblots of one experiment out of 8 independent
experiments with a similar trend. Box plots of (B) STAT3/PCNA, (C) ERK/PCNA, (D)
AKT/PCNA, (E) pSTAT3/STAT3, (F) pERK/ERK, (G) pAKT/AKT intracellular
signaling pathways on GL261 cells. 17-AAG was used as the reference control of HSP90
activity inhibition. PCNA was used as the loading control. Results are expressed as the
mean ± SEM of protein expression from eight independent experiments. * indicates
statistically significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) between treated and untreated cells, whereas

# indicates statistically significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) between treated and untreated cells. Please find the uncropped blots at the supplemental figure 3.

3.5 Gedunin effect on glioblastoma in vivo

The orthotopic C57BL/6 mouse glioblastoma model used in the present study has been established as a standard procedure to reproduce human molecular and histological features of glioblastoma [58,59]. Biodistribution data obtained by our group show that ^{99m}Tc-labeled-gedunin did not reach substantial levels in the brain tissue when intravenously injected in mice (Costa et al., *manuscript in preparation*). We, therefore, assessed whether gedunin *in vivo* treatment was effective by using osmotic pumps placed *in situ.*

Computed tomography (CT) scans revealed solid tumor growth that occupied an extensive area of the brain tissue of mice from all groups (white mass, top panels in black background of **Fig. 5A,** indicated by an asterisk in the upper left image scan). Three-dimensional reconstructions of CT images are shown in **Figure 5** bottom panels, in which tumor mass is represented by white over gray (brain) areas. Even though tumor volume median values (mm^3) of gedunin-treated mice were smaller than those for untreated or DMSO-injected mice, variability within groups was high (lowest and highest tumor volume values for each group were: untreated, 21.7 - 75.6; DMSO, 16.8 - 83.6; GED, 11.7 - 67.4). No significant differences were observed between groups (ANOVA, p = 0.106; **Fig. 5B, C**). **Supplemental Figure 4** shows CT of mouse brains with and without tumors, for a better illustration of the tumor mass. Body mass (g) was measured before GL261 injection and 28 days later and no alterations were observed (data not shown).

Figure 5. *Brain CT analysis of gedunin-treated mice.* (A) Representative sequential coronal CT-images and respective 3D brain reconstructions (dorsal view) from untreated, DMSO-injected and gedunin (250 µM)-treated mice. Lighter regions in 3D reconstructions represent brain tumors. Images were acquired after 14-day *in situ* treatment (Alzet® osmotic minipumps) in GL261 cell-injected mice (1×10^5 cells/brain/2 µL). Boxplot graphs show the ratio between tumor and mouse brain volume values (B) and tumor volume values (C) from 6-8 mice per group out of 2 independent experiments. Tumor volumetry was determined by selecting contrast-enhanced regions of interest in coronal sections using InVesalius 3.1.1 software. CT: computed tomography. *White area corresponds to glioblastoma observed in all CT scans. Dashed line: coronal slice cut point.

Histological examination of coronal brain sections of untreated, DMSO- and gedunin-treated groups revealed that even though gedunin-treated mice had larger tumor-induced lesion areas, they displayed reduced vascular structures (**Table 1**). Areas of liquefactive necrosis, intratumoral hemorrhage, and mitosis were not statistically different between groups. **Figure 6** depicts representative images of GL261-injected mouse coronal brain slices stained with hematoxylin and eosin 28 days after injections. **Figure 6 (A-C)** insets represent 400× magnification of intratumoral perinecrotic regions, in which liquefactive necrosis can be observed by white spaces within the glioblastoma tissue, resulting in the loss of tissue architecture. **Figure 6 (D-F)** displays 1000 × magnification of representative images of tumor tissue showing mitosis, characterized by tumor cell proliferation, and areas of hemorrhage, defined by the presence of red blood cells.

Table 1. **Glioblastoma histology features after gedunin *in vivo* treatment**

	Untreated	DMSO	Gedunin	p
Lesion size	27%	45%	45.2%	NS
Vascular Structures	13	32	8	P< 0.05 *
Liquefactive Necrosis	9.6%	10.6%	8.8%	NS
Intratumoral Hemorrhage	+	++	++	NS
Mitosis	+	++	+	NS

Table 1. *Glioblastoma histology features after gedunin in vivo treatment.* Table shows absolute and semi-quantitative description of the histological analyses of brain slices and p-values of gedunin-treated vs DMSO-treated mice, analyzed by Tukey's post-test. NS= non-significant; += discrete; ++= moderate.

Figure 6. *Histological analysis of gedunin-treated mice.* Representative images of coronal brain slices from stained with hematoxylin and eosin 28 days after GL261 cell implantation of untreated (A,D), DMSO- (B,E), and gedunin-treated (C,F) mice (n=8 per group). Upper panels (A-C) depict low magnification images (40 ×) of the extent of the tumor area for each experimental group. Corresponding high-magnification insets (400 ×) of intratumoral perinecrotic regions show liquefactive necrosis (white regions). Lower panels (D-F), high magnification images (1000 ×) from the same animals in (A-C), show mitosis (black arrows) and areas of hemorrhage (asterisks) in intratumoral regions.

4. Discussion

Uncontrolled proliferation and apoptosis resistance are central features of tumor development, being major targets for cancer treatment [17]. HSP90 is a key factor for tumor growth, since it chaperones several essential proteins involved in oncogenic processes. In the present study, we demonstrate that gedunin, a natural occurring HSP90 inhibitor, impairs glioblastoma cell growth *in vitro*, by arresting proliferation and inducing cell death in non-toxic concentrations to primary brain cells. Gedunin also reduced glioblastoma cell migration, tumor-related signaling pathways, and extracellular metalloproteinase MMP-2 activity, features involved in glioblastoma growth. GL261-orthotopically injected C57BL/6 mice treated with gedunin showed reduced presence of vascular structures, even though no changes were observed in tumor-size.

Data shown in **Supplemental Figure 5** supports previous knowledge that both gedunin and other HSP90-binding agents induce the expression of HSP70 in cancer cells due to HSP90 inhibition [60–62]. The antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects of gedunin on GL261 cells are also in accordance with previous *in vitro* results showing similar antitumoral effects of gedunin against different cell lines [35,37–40]. Worthy of note, gedunin toxicity against GL261 cells was achieved at a concentration lower than half the one required to affect primary glial cells, with a selectivity index of 2.3 [63]. This might be explained by the increased affinity of HSP90 inhibitors to HSP90 machinery expressed by tumor cells [16], or by biological and metabolic differences between primary and glioblastoma cells [64]. Gedunin-triggered apoptosis, detected by phosphatidylserine exposure on the cell surface, was confirmed by flow cytometric analysis of cell physical criteria that showed the reduced size and increased granularity of apoptotic cells, due to the formation of apoptotic bodies and plasma membrane blebbing [48]. Our results clearly show that, as apoptosis progresses, GL261 cell FSC becomes progressively lower, likely due to cell dehydration and shrinkage. On the other hand, enhancement of SSC occurs due to cytoplasm and nuclear condensation [48,65].

Glioblastoma is a highly invasive and diffuse tumor that requires cell migration and extracellular matrix degradation to spread to adjacent tissue [66,67]. Scratch and chemotaxis assays showed that gedunin diminished GL261 migration, in concentrations and time points lower than the ones that induced cytotoxicity. Another way by which

gedunin controls tumor invasiveness is by inhibiting MMP-2 activity, with a higher effect
than 17-AAG. Indeed, it has been shown that HSP90 stabilizes and promotes the
activation of extracellular MMP-2, being crucial for GL261 and HT-1080 tumor cell
invasion [68,69].

Our results show that gedunin induced a reduction of total protein in GL261 cells, which
is compatible with the HSP90 inhibition. PI3K/AKT and STAT3 are signaling pathways
that function as pro-survival factors in a wide range of primary tumors, including
glioblastoma [55,70]. Reduced expression of total PI3K and AKT by gedunin suggests
that this limonoid impairs either PI3K/AKT network or an upstream protein, such as
epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR). In accordance, it has been shown that gedunin
also impaired the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in *in vivo* models of hamster oral carcinoma
[40] and murine pancreatic cancer [37]. Even though PI3K/AKT is the preferential
signaling pathway in glioblastoma, it has been reported that glioblastoma cells are not
exclusively dependent on this pathway and that PI3K/AKT/mTOR-specific inhibitors
might not be effective against this brain tumor [55]. Hence, we evaluated the effect of
gedunin in AKT, ERK 1/2, and STAT3 signaling, which are also altered in glioblastoma
cells and are involved in the cell cycle [71,72]. It is important to note that even though
gedunin treatment reduced total ERK content, it did not inhibit ERK1/2 phosphorylation.
On the contrary, ERK was strongly phosphorylated/activated in cells treated with
gedunin. Indeed, Duron et al. showed that HSP90 inhibition can also prompt the
phosphorylation/activation of ERK [73,74]. There might be a compensatory survival
mechanism in GL261 cells that activate ERK when HSP90 is inhibited. This result must
be further explored and points to the perspective of combining gedunin with ERK
inhibitor anti-tumoral drugs.

It has been previously reported in glioblastoma that constitutive activation of STAT3
results from the fusion of the RTK epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) with the
GTP-binding protein Septin 14 (SEPT14) [57]. STAT3 signaling promotes glioblastoma
cell survival, and suppresses apoptosis [57]. Abnormal STAT3 activation in glioblastoma
is correlated with the control of a number of events involved in tumor growth [70]. The
late (24 h) activation of STAT3 by gedunin might also correspond to survival feedback
following the induction of apoptosis. Therefore, gedunin may inhibit GL261 cell
proliferation by acting on different pathways that induce apoptosis. Activation of STAT3

is required for VEGF production [75,76]. Even though we observed that gedunin impaired

signaling pathways (which was expected to impair both VEGF synthesis and signaling

pathway), this phenomenon did not inhibit VEGF release by GL261 *in vitro*.

As previously mentioned in the results section, even though our findings indicate that

gedunin fits the predicted ADMET criteria for druggability and BBB permeation,

systemic (i.v.) administration of ^{99m}TC-labeled gedunin failed to reach the brain tissue at

substantial levels (Costa *et al.*, manuscript in preparation). Hence, we used gedunin-filled

Alzet® minipumps to perform *in situ* treatment (resembling the FDA-approved Gliadel®

[carmustine] implants) to ensure that gedunin would be available at the tumor site. It is

important to note that the residual volume of gedunin inside the minipumps was measured

at the end of treatment to ensure that gedunin was released *in situ*, (values shown in

Suppl. Table 1). We observed that the number of mice bearing reduced-volume tumors

was higher in gedunin-treated group when compared to DMSO-treated group, even

though this treatment did not significantly reduce tumor volumes detected by CT imaging.

Regarding our CT imaging results, it is important to emphasize the limitation of the

technique, since the limited spatial resolution of clinical CT scanners might not be precise

to analyze such a small brain [77,78]. While our findings reinforce the feasibility of using

clinical CT scanners for *in vivo* brain tumor imaging in mice, their use for detecting

treatment responses in tumor volume requires further investigation, since clinical CT

scans are made for imaging humans that have brain volumes roughly 3,000 times greater

than mice [79–81]. Another limitation is that mouse tumor sizes were not evaluated over

the days, since CT scan was only feasible in mice with no minipump implants, due to the

stainless steel of brain infusion cannulas.

As expected, GL261-injections triggered the development of mouse glioblastoma in

orthotopically-injected C57BL/6 mouse with liquefactive necrosis, hemorrhage, mitosis

and increased vascular structures [59]. *In situ* treatment with gedunin reduced the

presence of vascular structures, which might decrease tumor nutritional supply.

Considering that gedunin increased the production of VEGF *in vitro*, this finding might

suggest that gedunin impairs angiogenesis in GL261 tumors by a mechanism

independent of VEGF *in vivo* as previously described [82]. Despite the reduction of

vascular structures, there was no evidence of reduction in tumor size. Moreover, the

impact of the reduction in vascular structures on the survival rate of orthotopically-

injected C57BL/6 mice was not evaluated. Therefore, whether gedunin *in vivo* treatment
impairs mouse glioblastoma growth needs to be further investigated, including *in situ*
measurement of gedunin release from minipumps and VEGF contents. Noteworthy, both
DMSO- and gedunin-treated mice showed increased lesion areas when compared to
untreated mice, which might be a consequence of the surgical procedure required for
minipump implantation. It is possible that an optimized treatment protocol including a
postoperative treatment (as generally performed in glioblastoma patients) and/or
association with other drugs result in a better outcome.

The severity and the poor prognosis of patients bearing glioblastoma urge for new
therapeutical targets and drugs. Data presented in this paper sheds light on alternative
treatments for this type of tumor by revealing GL261 dependency of HSP90 and gedunin
as a potential lead compound.

Author contributions
CP, TEMMC, and TEK conceived and designed the work. TEMMC, CP, TEK, ACM,
and MGH designed experiments. TEMMC, TAP, LNS, EMC, CCF, CMMM, JXP, VGR,
and TEK performed the experiments, acquired, and/or analyzed data. CP, TEK, ACM,
YAV, JXC, and MGH contributed with funding and resources. CP supervised the work.
CP and TEMMC wrote the original draft. CMMM and TEK wrote and revised the
manuscript. All authors revised and edited the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. André Luis Peixoto Candéa, D.Sc. Erika Tatiana
Muñoz, Dr. Samara Cristina Ferreira Machado, Edson Santos Silva, Filipe Leal Portilho,
Ubirajara Ribeiro, and Maria Heloisa Oliveira for technical support. We also thank the
Institute for Biomodels Science and Technology (Fiocruz) for providing mice, and the
Center for Cancer Control (CUCC, UERJ) for the help with CT imaging acquisition.

Funding
This work was supported by the Carlos Chagas Filho Research Support Foundation
(FAPERJ), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel

(CAPES), the Brazilian agency National Council for Scientific and Technological
Development (CNPq), and the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz). CP, MGMO, and
ACM hold fellowships from CNPq. TEMMC was a PhD student from the Graduate
Program in Cell and Molecular Biology (IOC/Fiocruz).

Conflict of interest
659 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

660
661 *References*
662

[1] D.N. Louis, A. Perry, G. Reifenberger, A. von Deimling, D. Figarella-Branger,
W.K. Cavenee, H. Ohgaki, O.D. Wiestler, P. Kleihues, D.W. Ellison, The 2016
World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous
System: a summary, Acta Neuropathol. 131 (2016) 803–820.
doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1.

[2] Q.T. Ostrom, M. Price, C. Neff, G. Cioffi, K.A. Waite, C. Kruchko, J.S.
Barnholtz-Sloan, CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central
Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2015–2019, Neuro.
Oncol. 24 (2022) v1–v95. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noac202.

[3] P.D. Delgado-López, E.M. Corrales-García, Survival in glioblastoma: a review
on the impact of treatment modalities, Clin Transl Oncol. 18 (2016) 1062–1071.
doi:10.1007/s12094-016-1497-x.

[4] R. Stupp, W.P. Mason, M.J. van den Bent, M. Weller, B. Fisher, M.J. Taphoorn,
K. Belanger, A.A. Brandes, C. Marosi, U. Bogdahn, J. Curschmann, R.C. Janzer,
S.K. Ludwin, T. Gorlia, A. Allgeier, D. Lacombe, J.G. Cairncross, E. Eisenhauer,
R.O. Mirimanoff, E.O. for R. Groups, T. of Cancer Brain Tumor, Radiotherapy,
N.C.I. of C.C.T. Group, Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant
temozolomide for glioblastoma, N Engl J Med. 352 (2005) 987–996.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043330.

[5] M. Niewald, C. Berdel, J. Fleckenstein, N. Licht, R. Ketter, C. Rübe, Toxicity
after radiochemotherapy for glioblastoma using temozolomide - a retrospective
evaluation, Radiat. Oncol. 6 (2011) 141. doi:10.1186/1748-717X-6-141.

[6] J. Dinnes, C. Cave, S. Huang, R. Milne, A rapid and systematic review of the

effectiveness of temozolomide for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma,

Br. J. Cancer. 86 (2002) 501–505. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6600135.

[7] F. Attarian, F. Taghizadeh-Hesary, A. Fanipakdel, S.A. Javadinia, P. Porouhan,

B. PeyroShabany, D. Fazilat-Panah, A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on

the Number of Adjuvant Temozolomide Cycles in Newly Diagnosed

Glioblastoma, Front. Oncol. 11 (2021) 1–6. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.779491.

[8] E. Lechapt-Zalcman, G. Levallet, A.E. Dugué, A. Vital, M.-D. Diebold, P.

Menei, P. Colin, P. Peruzzy, E. Emery, M. Bernaudin, F. Chapon, J.-S. Guillamo,

O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation and

low MGMT-encoded protein expression as prognostic markers in glioblastoma

patients treated with biodegradable carmustine wafer implants after initial

surgery followed by radiotherapy w, Cancer. 118 (2012) 4545–4554.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.27441.

[9] L. Jena, E. McErlean, H. McCarthy, Delivery across the blood-brain barrier:

nanomedicine for glioblastoma multiforme, Drug Deliv. Transl. Res. 10 (2020)

304–318. doi:10.1007/s13346-019-00679-2.

[10] M.J. McGirt, K.D. Than, J.D. Weingart, K.L. Chaichana, F.J. Attenello, A. Olivi,

J. Laterra, L.R. Kleinberg, S.A. Grossman, H. Brem, A. Quiñones-Hinojosa,

Gliadel (BCNU) wafer plus concomitant temozolomide therapy after primary

resection of glioblastoma multiforme, J. Neurosurg. JNS. 110 (2009) 583–588.

doi:10.3171/2008.5.17557.

[11] Z. Qi, W. Xing, C. Shao, C. Yang, Z. Wang, The role of Gliadel wafers in the

treatment of newly diagnosed GBM: a meta-analysis, Drug Des.

Devel. Ther. 9 (2015) 3341. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S85943.

[12] T. Reithmeier, E. Graf, T. Piroth, M. Trippel, M.O. Pinsker, G. Nikkhah, BCNU

for recurrent glioblastoma multiforme: efficacy, toxicity and prognostic factors,

BMC Cancer. 10 (2010) 30. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-30.

[13] A. Bregy, A.H. Shah, M. V Diaz, H.E. Pierce, P.L. Ames, D. Diaz, R.J. Komotar,

The role of Gliadel wafers in the treatment of high-grade gliomas, Expert Rev.

Anticancer Ther. 13 (2013) 1453–1461. doi:10.1586/14737140.2013.840090.

[14] O. Alexandru, S. Purcaru, L. Tataranu, L. Lucan, J. Castro, C. Folcuţi, S.-A.

Artene, C. Tuţă, A. Dricu, The Influence of EGFR Inactivation on the Radiation

Response in High Grade Glioma, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (2018) 229.

doi:10.3390/ijms19010229.

[15] S. Tanaka, D.N. Louis, W.T. Curry, T.T. Batchelor, J. Dietrich, Diagnostic and

therapeutic avenues for glioblastoma: no longer a dead end?, Nat. Rev. Clin.

Oncol. 10 (2013) 14–26. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2012.204.

[16] J. Trepel, M. Mollapour, G. Giaccone, L. Neckers, Targeting the dynamic HSP90

complex in cancer, Nat Rev Cancer. 10 (2010) 537–549. doi:10.1038/nrc2887.

[17] D. Hanahan, R.A. Weinberg, Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation, Cell. 144

(2011) 646–674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013.

[18] Q. Cheng, J.T. Chang, J. Geradts, L.M. Neckers, T. Haystead, N.L. Spector, H.K.

Lyerly, Amplification and high-level expression of heat shock protein 90 marks

aggressive phenotypes of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 negative

breast cancer, Breast Cancer Res. 14 (2012) R62. doi:10.1186/bcr3168.

[19] H. Kubota, S. Yamamoto, E. Itoh, Y. Abe, A. Nakamura, Y. Izumi, H. Okada, M.

Iida, H. Nanjo, H. Itoh, Y. Yamamoto, Increased expression of co-chaperone

HOP with HSP90 and HSC70 and complex formation in human colonic

carcinoma, Cell Stress Chaperones. 15 (2010) 1003–1011. doi:10.1007/s12192-

010-0211-0.

[20] M.M. McCarthy, E. Pick, Y. Kluger, B. Gould-Rothberg, R. Lazova, R.L. Camp,

D.L. Rimm, H.M. Kluger, HSP90 as a marker of progression in melanoma, Ann

Oncol. 19 (2008) 590–594. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdm545.

[21] E. Pick, Y. Kluger, J.M. Giltnane, C. Moeder, R.L. Camp, D.L. Rimm, H.M.

Kluger, High HSP90 expression is associated with decreased survival in breast

cancer, Cancer Res. 67 (2007) 2932–2937. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-

4511.

[22] F.H. Schopf, M.M. Biebl, J. Buchner, The HSP90 chaperone machinery, Nat Rev

Mol Cell Biol. 18 (2017) 345–360. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.20.

[23] Y. Fukuyo, C.R. Hunt, N. Horikoshi, Geldanamycin and its anti-cancer activities,

Cancer Lett. 290 (2010) 24–35. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2009.07.010.

[24] M. V Blagosklonny, Hsp-90-associated oncoproteins: multiple targets of

geldanamycin and its analogs, Leukemia. 16 (2002) 455–462.

doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2402415.

[25]   N. Gaspar, S.Y. Sharp, S.A. Eccles, S. Gowan, S. Popov, C. Jones, A. Pearson,

G. Vassal, P. Workman, Mechanistic evaluation of the novel HSP90 inhibitor

NVP-AUY922 in adult and pediatric glioblastoma, Mol. Cancer Ther. 9 (2010)

1219–1233. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0683.

[26]   B. Newman, Y. Liu, H.F. Lee, D. Sun, Y. Wang, HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG

selectively eradicates lymphoma stem cells, Cancer Res. 72 (2012) 4551–4561.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3600.

[27]   S.A. Eccles, A. Massey, F.I. Raynaud, S.Y. Sharp, G. Box, M. Valenti, L.

Patterson, A. de Haven Brandon, S. Gowan, F. Boxall, W. Aherne, M. Rowlands,

A. Hayes, V. Martins, F. Urban, K. Boxall, C. Prodromou, L. Pearl, K. James,

T.P. Matthews, K.M. Cheung, A. Kalusa, K. Jones, E. McDonald, X. Barril, P.A.

Brough, J.E. Cansfield, B. Dymock, M.J. Drysdale, H. Finch, R. Howes, R.E.

Hubbard, A. Surgenor, P. Webb, M. Wood, L. Wright, P. Workman, NVP-

AUY922: a novel heat shock protein 90 inhibitor active against xenograft tumor

growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis, Cancer Res. 68 (2008) 2850–2860.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5256.

[28]   H.B. Kim, S.H. Lee, J.H. Um, W.K. Oh, D.W. Kim, C.D. Kang, S.H. Kim,

Sensitization of multidrug-resistant human cancer cells to Hsp90 inhibitors by

down-regulation of SIRT1, Oncotarget. 6 (2015) 36202–36218.

doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5343.

[29]   T. Shimamura, S.A. Perera, K.P. Foley, J. Sang, S.J. Rodig, T. Inoue, L. Chen, D.

Li, J. Carretero, Y.C. Li, P. Sinha, C.D. Carey, C.L. Borgman, J.P. Jimenez, M.

Meyerson, W. Ying, J. Barsoum, K.K. Wong, G.I. Shapiro, I. Geoffrey,

Ganetespib (STA-9090), a nongeldanamycin HSP90 inhibitor, has potent

antitumor activity in in vitro and in vivo models of non-small cell lung cancer,

Clin Cancer Res. 18 (2012) 4973–4985. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2967.

[30]   Clinical Trials, (2020).

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=Cancer&term=HSP90+inhibitor&cntry

=&state=&city=&dist=.

[31]   D.B. Solit, S.P. Ivy, C. Kopil, R. Sikorski, M.J. Morris, S.F. Slovin, W.K. Kelly,

A. Delacruz, T. Curley, G. Heller, S. Larson, L. Schwartz, M.J. Egorin, N.

Rosen, H.I. Scher, Cancer Therapy : Clinical Phase I T rial of 17-Allylamino-17-

Demethoxygeldanamycin in Patients with Advanced Cancer, 13 (2007) 1775–

1782. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1863.

[32] D. Zhou, Y. Liu, J. Ye, W. Ying, L.S. Ogawa, T. Inoue, N. Tatsuta, Y. Wada, K.

Koya, Q. Huang, R.C. Bates, A.J. Sonderfan, A rat retinal damage model predicts

for potential clinical visual disturbances induced by Hsp90 inhibitors, Toxicol

Appl Pharmacol. 273 (2013) 401–409. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2013.09.018.

[33] G. Hallur, A. Sivramakrishnan, S. V Bhat, Three New Tetranortriterpenoids from

Neem Seed Oil, J. Nat. Prod. 65 (2002) 1177–1179. doi:10.1021/np0105174.

[34] G.E. Brandt, M.D. Schmidt, T.E. Prisinzano, B.S. Blagg, Gedunin, a novel hsp90

inhibitor: semisynthesis of derivatives and preliminary structure-activity

relationships, J Med Chem. 51 (2008) 6495–6502. doi:10.1021/jm8007486.

[35] C.A. Patwardhan, A. Fauq, L.B. Peterson, C. Miller, B.S. Blagg, A. Chadli,

Gedunin inactivates the co-chaperone p23 protein causing cancer cell death by

apoptosis, J Biol Chem. 288 (2013) 7313–7325. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.427328.

[36] R.L. Matts, G.E. Brandt, Y. Lu, A. Dixit, M. Mollapour, S. Wang, A.C.

Donnelly, L. Neckers, G. Verkhivker, B.S. Blagg, A systematic protocol for the

characterization of Hsp90 modulators, Bioorg Med Chem. 19 (2011) 684–692.

doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2010.10.029.

[37] R. Subramani, E. Gonzalez, S.B. Nandy, A. Arumugam, F. Camacho, J. Medel,

D. Alabi, R. Lakshmanaswamy, Gedunin inhibits pancreatic cancer by altering

sonic hedgehog signaling pathway, Oncotarget. 8 (2017) 10891–10904.

doi:10.18632/oncotarget.8055.

[38] S.G. Kamath, N. Chen, Y. Xiong, R. Wenham, S. Apte, M. Humphrey, J.

Cragun, J.M. Lancaster, Gedunin, a novel natural substance, inhibits ovarian

cancer cell proliferation, Int J Gynecol Cancer. 19 (2009) 1564–1569.

doi:10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181a83135.

[39] S.J. Uddin, L. Nahar, J.A. Shilpi, M. Shoeb, T. Borkowski, S. Gibbons, M.

Middleton, M. Byres, S.D. Sarker, Gedunin, a limonoid from Xylocarpus

granatum, inhibits the growth of CaCo-2 colon cancer cell line in vitro, Phytother

Res. 21 (2007) 757–761. doi:10.1002/ptr.2159.

[40] K.K. Kishore T, R. Ganugula, D.R. Gade, G.B. Reddy, S. Nagini, Gedunin

abrogates aldose reductase, PI3K/Akt/mToR, and NF-κB signaling pathways to

inhibit angiogenesis in a hamster model of oral carcinogenesis, Tumour Biol. 37

(2015) 2083–2093. doi:10.1007/s13277-015-4003-0.

[41] C. Kilkenny, W.J. Browne, I.C. Cuthill, M. Emerson, D.G. Altman, Improving

bioscience research reporting: the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal

research, Osteoarthr. Cartil. 20 (2012) 256–260.

doi:10.1016/J.JOCA.2012.02.010.

[42] C.-C. Liang, A.Y. Park, J.-L. Guan, In vitro scratch assay: a convenient and

inexpensive method for analysis of cell migration in vitro, Nat. Protoc. 2 (2007)

329–333. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.30.

[43] S. Cha, G. Johnson, Y.Z. Wadghiri, O. Jin, J. Babb, D. Zagzag, D.H. Turnbull,

Dynamic , Contrast-Enhanced Perfusion MRI in Mouse Gliomas : Correlation

With Histopathology, 855 (2003) 848–855. doi:10.1002/mrm.10446.

[44] G. Paxinos, K.B.J. Franklin, The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates: hard

cover edition., Acad. Press. 2nd Editio (2001) 360.

https://www.elsevier.com/books/paxinos-and-franklins-the-mouse-brain-in-

stereotaxic-coordinates-compact/franklin/978-0-12-816159-3 (accessed August

14, 2021).

[45] CCAC GUIDELINES, Can. Vet. J. 44 (2003) 631.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC340226/.

[46] I. Vermes, C. Haanen, H. Steffens-Nakken, C. Reutellingsperger, A novel assay

for apoptosis Flow cytometric detection of phosphatidylserine expression on

early apoptotic cells using fluorescein labelled Annexin V, J. Immunol. Methods.

184 (1995) 39–51. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(95)00072-I.

[47] G. Barroso, S. Taylor, M. Morshedi, F. Manzur, F. Gaviño, S. Oehninger,

Mitochondrial membrane potential integrity and plasma membrane translocation

of phosphatidylserine as early apoptotic markers: a comparison of two different

sperm subpopulations, Fertil. Steril. 85 (2006) 149–154.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005.06.046.

[48] J. Skommer, D. Wlodkowic, W. Telford, J. Skommer, Z. Darzynkiewicz,

Apoptosis and beyond: cytometry in studies of programmed cell death, Methods

Cell Biol. 103 (2011) 55–98. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385493-3.00004-8.

[49] A. Wells, J. Grahovac, S. Wheeler, B. Ma, D. Lauffenburger, Targeting tumor

cell motility as a strategy against invasion and metastasis, Trends Pharmacol. Sci.

34 (2013) 283–289. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2013.03.001.

[50]   R.J. Eddy, M.D. Weidmann, V.P. Sharma, J.S. Condeelis, Tumor Cell

Invadopodia: Invasive Protrusions that Orchestrate Metastasis, Trends Cell Biol.

27 (2017) 595–607. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2017.03.003.

[51]   J. Winkler, A. Abisoye-Ogunniyan, K.J. Metcalf, Z. Werb, Concepts of

extracellular matrix remodelling in tumour progression and metastasis, Nat.

Commun. 11 (2020) 5120. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18794-x.

[52]   J. Folkman, Angiogenesis in cancer, vascular, rheumatoid and other disease, Nat.

Med. 1 (1995) 27–30. doi:10.1038/nm0195-27.

[53]   J. Folkman, Angiogenesis: an organizing principle for drug discovery?, 6 (2007)

273–286.

[54]   T.C.G.A. TCGA, Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human

glioblastoma genes and core pathways, Nature. 455 (2008) 1061–1068.

doi:10.1038/nature07385.

[55]   J. Langhans, L. Schneele, N. Trenkler, H. von Bandemer, L. Nonnenmacher, G.

Karpel-Massler, M.D. Siegelin, S. Zhou, M.-E. Halatsch, K.-M. Debatin, M.-A.

Westhoff, The effects of PI3K-mediated signalling on glioblastoma cell

behaviour, Oncogenesis. 6 (2017) 398. doi:10.1038/s41389-017-0004-8.

[56]   D. Chen, D. Zuo, C. Luan, M. Liu, M. Na, L. Ran, Y. Sun, A. Persson, E.

Englund, L.G. Salford, E. Renström, X. Fan, E. Zhang, Glioma cell proliferation

controlled by ERK activity-dependent surface expression of PDGFRA, PLoS

One. 9 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087281.

[57]   V. Frattini, V. Trifonov, J.M. Chan, A. Castano, M. Lia, F. Abate, S.T. Keir,

A.X. Ji, P. Zoppoli, F. Niola, C. Danussi, I. Dolgalev, P. Porrati, S. Pellegatta, A.

Heguy, G. Gupta, D.J. Pisapia, P. Canoll, J.N. Bruce, R.E. McLendon, H. Yan,

K. Aldape, G. Finocchiaro, T. Mikkelsen, G.G. Privé, D.D. Bigner, A. Lasorella,

R. Rabadan, A. Iavarone, The integrated landscape of driver genomic alterations

in glioblastoma, Nat. Genet. 45 (2013) 1141–1149. doi:10.1038/ng.2734.

[58]   M. Miyai, H. Tomita, A. Soeda, H. Yano, T. Iwama, A. Hara, Current trends in

mouse models of glioblastoma, J. Neurooncol. 135 (2017) 423–432.

doi:10.1007/s11060-017-2626-2.

[59]   E.W. Newcomb, D. Zagzag, CNS Cancer, CNS Cancer. (2009) 227–241.
879   doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-553-8.

880   [60]   V.A. Kudryavtsev, A. V. Khokhlova, V.A. Mosina, E.I. Selivanova, A.E.
881   Kabakov, Induction of Hsp70 in tumor cells treated with inhibitors of the Hsp90
882   activity: A predictive marker and promising target for radiosensitization, PLoS
883   One. 12 (2017) 1–26. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173640.

884   [61]   R. Bagatell, G.D. Paine-Murrieta, C.W. Taylor, E.J. Pulcini, S. Akinaga, I.J.
885   Benjamin, L. Whitesell, Induction of a heat shock factor 1-dependent stress
886   response alters the cytotoxic activity of Hsp90-binding agents, Clin. Cancer Res.
887   6 (2000) 3312–3318.

888   [62]   L.R. Kelland, S.Y. Sharp, P.M. Rogers, T.G. Myers, P. Workman, DT-
889   Diaphorase expression and tumor cell sensitivity to 17-allylamino, 17-
890   demethoxygeldanamycin, an inhibitor of heat shock protein 90, J Natl Cancer
891   Inst. 91 (1999) 1940–1949. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10564678.

892   [63]   R.B. BADISA, S.F. DARLING-REED, P. JOSEPH, J.S. COOPERWOOD, L.M.
893   LATINWO, C.B. GOODMAN, Selective Cytotoxic Activities of Two Novel
894   Synthetic Drugs on Human Breast Carcinoma MCF-7 Cells, Anticancer Res. 29
895   (2009) 2993 LP – 2996. http://ar.iiarjournals.org/content/29/8/2993.abstract.

896   [64]   W. Zhou, D.R. Wahl, Metabolic Abnormalities in Glioblastoma and Metabolic
897   Strategies to Overcome Treatment Resistance, Cancers (Basel). 11 (2019) 1231.
898   doi:10.3390/cancers11091231.

899   [65]   M.G. Ormerod, F. Paul, M. Cheetham, X. -M Sun, Discrimination of apoptotic
900   thymocytes by forward light scatter, Cytometry. 21 (1995) 300–304.
901   doi:10.1002/cyto.990210311.

902   [66]   S.M. Faisal, A. Comba, M.L. Varela, A.E. Argento, E. Brumley, C. Abel, M.G.
903   Castro, P.R. Lowenstein, The complex interactions between the cellular and non-
904   cellular components of the brain tumor microenvironmental landscape and their
905   therapeutic implications , Front. Oncol. . 12 (2022).
906   https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.1005069.

907   [67]   F. Seker-Polat, N. Pinarbasi Degirmenci, I. Solaroglu, T. Bagci-Onder, Tumor
908   Cell Infiltration into the Brain in Glioblastoma: From Mechanisms to Clinical
909   Perspectives., Cancers (Basel). 14 (2022). doi:10.3390/cancers14020443.

910   [68]   E.W. Newcomb, Y. Lukyanov, T. Schnee, M. Esencay, I. Fischer, D. Hong, Y.
911   Shao, D. Zagzag, The geldanamycin analogue 17-allylamino-17-
912   demethoxygeldanamycin inhibits the growth of GL261 glioma cells in vitro and
913   in vivo, Anticancer. Drugs. 18 (2007) 875–882.
914   doi:10.1097/CAD.0b013e3281430df8.

915   [69]   B.K. Eustace, T. Sakurai, J.K. Stewart, D. Yimlamai, C. Unger, C. Zehetmeier,
916   B. Lain, C. Torella, S.W. Henning, G. Beste, B.T. Scroggins, L. Neckers, L.L.
917   Ilag, D.G. Jay, Functional proteomic screens reveal an essential extracellular role
918   for hsp90 alpha in cancer cell invasiveness, Nat Cell Biol. 6 (2004) 507–514.
919   doi:10.1038/ncb1131.

920   [70]   E.C. Brantley, E.N. Benveniste, Signal Transducer and Activator of
921   Transcription-3: A Molecular Hub for Signaling Pathways in Gliomas, Mol.
922   Cancer Res. 6 (2008) 675. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-07-2180.

923   [71]   F. Azuaje, K. Tiemann, S.P. Niclou, Therapeutic control and resistance of the
924   EGFR-driven signaling network in glioblastoma, Cell Commun. Signal. 13
925   (2015) 23. doi:10.1186/s12964-015-0098-6.

926   [72]   G.L. Johnson, R. Lapadat, Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Pathways Mediated
927   by ERK, JNK, and p38 Protein Kinases, Science (80-.). 298 (2002) 1911.
928   doi:10.1126/science.1072682.

929   [73]   D.I. Duron, W. Lei, N.K. Barker, C. Stine, S. Mishra, B.S.J. Blagg, P.R.
930   Langlais, J.M. Streicher, Inhibition of Hsp90 in the spinal cord enhances the
931   antinociceptive effects of morphine by activating an ERK-RSK pathway., Sci.
932   Signal. 13 (2020). doi:10.1126/scisignal.aaz1854.

933   [74]   J.M. Streicher, The Role of Heat Shock Proteins in Regulating Receptor Signal
934   Transduction, Mol. Pharmacol. 95 (2019) 468 LP – 474.
935   doi:10.1124/mol.118.114652.

936   [75]   G. Niu, K.L. Wright, M. Huang, L. Song, E. Haura, J. Turkson, S. Zhang, T.
937   Wang, D. Sinibaldi, D. Coppola, R. Heller, L.M. Ellis, J. Karras, J. Bromberg, D.
938   Pardoll, R. Jove, Constitutive Stat3 activity up-regulates VEGF expression and
939   tumor angiogenesis, (2008) 2000–2008. doi:10.1038/sj/onc/1205260.

940   [76]   Q. Xu, J. Briggs, S. Park, G. Niu, M. Kortylewski, S. Zhang, T. Gritsko, J.
941   Turkson, H. Kay, G.L. Semenza, J.Q. Cheng, R. Jove, H. Yu, Targeting Stat3

blocks both HIF-1 and VEGF expression induced by multiple oncogenic growth

signaling pathways, Oncogene. (2005). doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1208719.

[77]   K. Palmowski, O. Winz, A. Rix, J. Bzyl, F.F. Behrendt, F.A. Verburg, F.M.

Mottaghy, M. Palmowski, Accuracy of a clinical PET/CT vs. a preclinical μPET

system for monitoring treatment effects in tumour xenografts., Eur. J. Radiol. 82

(2013) 1318–1324. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.01.028.

[78]   S. Kirschner, B. Mürle, M. Felix, A. Arns, C. Groden, F. Wenz, A. Hug, G.

Glatting, M. Kramer, F.A. Giordano, M.A. Brockmann, Imaging of Orthotopic

Glioblastoma Xenografts in Mice Using a Clinical CT Scanner: Comparison with

Micro-CT and Histology, PLoS One. 11 (2016) e0165994.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165994.

[79]   M.A. Hofman, Size and Shape of the Cerebral Cortex in Mammals, Brain.

Behav. Evol. 32 (1988) 17–26. doi:10.1159/000116529.

[80]   M. Hofman, Evolution of the human brain: when bigger is better , Front.

Neuroanat. . 8 (2014) 15.

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnana.2014.00015.

[81]   A. Welch, M. Mingarelli, G. Riedel, B. Platt, Mapping changes in mouse brain

metabolism with PET/CT., J. Nucl. Med. 54 (2013) 1946–1953.

doi:10.2967/jnumed.113.121509.

[82]   N. Ferrara, Pathways mediating VEGF-independent tumor angiogenesis,

Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 21 (2010) 21–26.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2009.11.003.