A lipid transfer protein knockout library reveals ORP9-ORP11 dimer mediating PS/PI(4)P exchange at the ER-*trans* Golgi contact site to promote sphingomyelin synthesis

Authors:

Birol Cabukusta[1,§], Shalom Borst Pauwels[1], Jimmy J.L.L. Akkermans[1], Niek Blomberg[2], Aat A. Mulder[3], Roman I. Koning[3], Martin Giera[2] and Jacques Neefjes[1]

[1]*Cell and Chemical Biology, Oncode Institute, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands*
[2] *Centre for Proteomics and Metabolomics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands*
[3] *Electron Microscopy Facility, Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands*

[§]*Correspondence to b.cabukusta@lumc.nl*

Abstract

Numerous lipids are heterogeneously distributed among organelles. Most lipid trafficking between

organelles is achieved by a group of lipid transfer proteins (LTPs) that carry lipids using their hydrophobic

cavities. The human genome encodes many intracellular LTPs responsible for lipid trafficking and the

function of many LTPs in defining cellular lipid levels and distributions is unclear. Here, we created a gene

knockout library targeting 90 intracellular LTPs and performed whole-cell lipidomics analysis. This analysis

confirmed known and identified new lipid disturbances caused by loss of LTPs. Among these, we found

major sphingolipid imbalances in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells, two proteins of unknown function in

sphingolipid metabolism. ORP9 and ORP11 form a heterodimer to localize at the ER-*trans* Golgi membrane

contact sites, where the dimer exchanges phosphatidylserine (PS) for phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate

(PI(4)P) between the two organelles. Consequently, loss of either protein causes phospholipid imbalances

in the Golgi apparatus that results in lowered sphingomyelin synthesis at this organelle. Overall, our LTP

knockout library toolbox identifies various proteins in control of cellular lipid levels including the ORP9-

ORP11 heterodimer exchanging PS and PI(4)P at the ER-Golgi membrane contact site as a critical step in

sphingomyelin synthesis in the Golgi apparatus.

Introduction

Many types of lipids are heterogeneously distributed among various organelles of the cell[1]. Due to the

hydrophobic nature of lipids, their trafficking needs to be facilitated. While vesicular trafficking contributes

only to a small portion of lipid trafficking, the majority of lipid trafficking is achieved by a group of lipid transfer

proteins (LTPs) that carry lipids using their hydrophobic cavities to stabilize lipids in the aqueous

intracellular environment[2]. LTPs often contain targeting domains, motifs, transmembrane regions,

amphipathic helices or surface charge to define their donor and acceptor organelles[3]. Many LTPs localize

at two organelles simultaneously at membrane contact sites, where these organelles come closer to

exchange information and material, including lipids[4,5].

The human genome encodes over 150 LTPs; new LTPs and LTP families are being characterized every

so often[2,6–13]. About 50 of these proteins are secreted and are involved in carrying lipids, metals,

lipopolysaccharides, and other small molecules in blood plasma[2]. The remaining intracellular LTPs are

mainly responsible for intracellular lipid trafficking among organelles. Since many lipid-modifying enzymes

are localized to different organelles, LTPs also feed metabolic lipid fluxes, eventually defining cellular lipid

levels[14]. For example, LTP-mediated trafficking of ceramide from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the

trans Golgi is needed for sphingomyelin synthesis[15]. While achieving a considerable understanding of some

LTPs in the last years, the function of many LTPs in defining cellular levels and distributions remains

unclear.

To study LTPs systematically, we designed and created an arrayed gene knockout library targeting 90

intracellular LTPs based on the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Lipidomics analysis of the library-generated LTP

knockout cells confirmed known and identified novel lipid disturbances emerging from loss of LTPs. These

included CERT, GLTP, NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells with altered sphingolipid levels. Furthermore, we

identified major sphingolipid imbalances in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells, two proteins of unknown

function in sphingolipid metabolism. ORP9 and ORP11 form a heterodimer to localize at the ER-*trans* Golgi

membrane contact sites. At this contact site, the ORP9-ORP11 dimer transfers phosphatidylserine (PS)

from the ER to the Golgi and phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (PI(4)P) in the opposite direction.

Consequently, loss of either protein causes phospholipid imbalances in the Golgi apparatus that results in

lowered sphingomyelin synthesis capacity at this organelle. Collectively, our LTP knockout library toolbox

identified various proteins controlling cellular lipid levels. Among these, we found the ORP9-ORP11

heterodimer defining phospholipid composition of the *trans* Golgi as a critical step in sphingomyelin

synthesis. These findings highlighted how phospholipid and sphingolipid gradients along the secretory

pathway are linked at the ER-Golgi membrane contact sites.

Results

1) A CRISPR knockout library targeting lipid transfer proteins

To understand the function of LTPs in defining cellular levels and distributions, we created an arrayed

CRISPR/Cas9 knockout library targeting LTPs. We targeted only the intracellular LTPs in the library and

excluded extracellular proteins, such as LIPOCALIN and LBP-BPI-CETP families[2]. In this library, 90 wells

of a 96-well plate were used for targeting LTPs and 6 for non-targeting (NT) controls (Fig. 1A). For optimal

gene disruption, we used a lentiviral gene delivery system and 3 guide RNAs per gene – a method also

used by others to increase the frequency of gene disruption[16]. We applied the LTP knockout library to

MelJuSo, a human melanoma cell line. The efficiency of the knockout strategy was confirmed by western

blotting of selected wells (Fig. 1B).

Next, we performed whole-cell lipidomics analysis of the LTP knockout cells. To this end, library-generated

MelJuSo cells were grown in lipid-depleted serum and analysed using a lipidomics platform detecting 17

different lipid classes thrice on average (Fig. 1A). One of the detected lipid classes, hexosylceramide, can

correspond to glucosylceramide and galactosylceramide – two isomeric lipids in mammalian cells. By

metabolic chasing of a fluorescent ceramide analogue in cells silenced for glucosylceramide synthase or

galactosylceramide synthase; or treated with the glucosylceramide synthase inhibitor PDMP, we validated

that glucosylceramide is the major hexosylceramide in MelJuSo cells (Fig. S1). This further substantiated

the previous reports of galactosylceramide being present mainly in oligodendrocytes[17].

We next calculated the z-scores within each lipid class based on their relative abundance per measurement

and plotted their average for LTP knockout cell lines. The analysis revealed many LTP knockout cells with

lipid imbalances (Fig. 1C, Fig. S2A). Meanwhile, no z-score above the absolute value of 2 was observed

for NT control cells (Fig. S2B). Overall, the lipidomics analysis of the LTP knockout library uncovered

numerous candidate regulators of cellular lipid levels.

2) NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells accumulate sphingomyelin in lysosomes and the plasma

membrane

Lipidomics analysis of the LTP knockout library revealed various anticipated imbalances. Among these

candidate regulators of cellular lipid levels, those related to sphingolipid metabolism are notable. This is

likely due to many enzymatic steps of sphingolipid metabolism being more linear and lacking the elasticity

of phospholipids[1,14]. In the analysis, we identified GLTP knockout cells with lowered glucosylceramide

levels (Fig. 2A, Fig. S3A-C). Loss of GLTP is recently reported to block ER-to-Golgi anterograde vesicular

trafficking that results in lowered glucosylceramide synthesis[18].

In addition, we observed NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells with elevated sphingolipid levels (Fig. 1B).

Mutations in *NPC1* and *NPC2* genes are the cause of Niemann-Pick disease, type C that leads to lysosomal

accumulation of cholesterol[19]. Cells lacking NPC1 or NPC2 function also accumulate sphingolipids[20]. Our

observation of elevated sphingolipid levels in NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells was present in all sphingolipid

classes (Fig. 2B, C). Meanwhile phospholipid levels were not altered dramatically in these cells (Fig. S3D).

To confirm whether this increase is associated with lysosomal accumulation of sphingolipids, we used a

sphingomyelin-binding biosensor based on the equinatoxin secreted by the beadlet anemone *Actinia*

equina (Fig. S3E)[21,22]. Immunofluorescence staining of NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells using the biosensor

showed lysosomal accumulation of sphingomyelin (Fig. 2D). Flow cytometry analysis using the

sphingomyelin biosensor and the GM1 glycosphingolipid biosensor, the B subunit of cholera toxin,

demonstrated that sphingolipid accumulation is also reflected on the cell surface of NPC1 and NPC2

knockouts (Fig. 2E, F). Meanwhile, the surface protein levels were not altered as detected by staining for

the tetraspanin protein CD63. Collectively, our results corroborate the sphingolipid accumulation in NPC1

and NPC2 deficient cells and illustrate that the LTP knockout library is a viable tool to study LTPs and their

role in regulating cellular lipid levels.

3) CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells demonstrate reduced sphingomyelin levels

Sphingomyelin is the major sphingolipid in mammalian cells, and it is mainly synthesized in the *trans* Golgi

from ceramide delivered from the ER. The non-vesicular trafficking of ceramide from the ER to the *trans*

Golgi is mediated by the ceramide transfer protein CERT[15,23] (Fig. 3B). Our analysis identified CERT

knockouts with the lowest sphingomyelin levels (Fig. 1C, 3A). These cells also demonstrate increased

glucosylceramide levels, likely as a compensation mechanism (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, we found decreased

sphingomyelin and increased glucosylceramide levels in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells – two proteins

of unknown role in sphingolipid metabolism (Fig. 1C, 3A). The sphingolipid imbalances in all three knockout

cells –CERT, ORP9, and ORP11– were also represented in many sphingolipid subspecies (Fig. 3C, Fig.

S4B). Lowered sphingomyelin levels of the three knockout cells were further confirmed by their sensitivity

towards methyl-β-cyclodextrin treatment (Fig. 3D). Meanwhile, none of the three knockout cells

demonstrated phospholipid imbalances to a compelling degree (Fig. S4A).

The observation that ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells mimic CERT knockouts implied a role for ORP9

and ORP11 in *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis, whereas loss of neither ORP9 nor ORP11 reduced CERT

protein levels (Fig. 3E). ORP9 and ORP11 each contain a PH domain mediating localization at the *trans*

Golgi, the site of *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis (Fig.3F, G). As loss of either ORP9 or ORP11 did not

alter the Golgi ultrastructure as detected by light or electron microscopy (Fig. S5), it further suggested that

ORP9 and ORP11 affect sphingomyelin levels by a previously unknown mechanism. Next, we investigated

the possible mechanism by which ORP9 and ORP11 regulate cellular sphingolipid levels.

4) ORP9 and ORP11 dimerization is critical for their localization to the ER-Golgi contact sites

ORP9 and ORP11 interact with each other via their regions between their PH and ORD domains[24]. As no

other domain is found in this region, we speculated some secondary structures must facilitate the

dimerization. AlphaFold and PCOILS coiled coils prediction tool suggested that ORP9 and ORP11 contain

two alpha helices each in this region – hereafter referred as "*coils*" (Fig. 4A, S6A, B). AlphaFold-Multimer

suggested that the coils of ORP9 and ORP11 interact with each other (Fig. 4B, S6C-D). Identical analysis

of the full-length proteins predicted that the coils drive dimerization of the two proteins (Fig. 4C, D, S6E).

This was tested by targeting the coils of ORP9 to the mitochondrial outer membrane using the *N*-terminal

sequence of TOM70. In cells expressing mitochondria-targeted ORP9 coils, the coils of ORP11 located to

this organelle (Fig. 4C, D). The absence of either coil prevented the colocalization, suggesting that the coils

of ORP9 and ORP11 are sufficient for their dimerization. This finding was validated by co-

immunoprecipitations (Fig. 4E).

ORP9, but not ORP11, contains a FFAT, two phenylalanines in an acidic tract, motif that drives ER

localization by interacting with the ER-resident VAPA and VAPB[25]. Despite not containing a FFAT motif,

ORP11 could interact with VAPA and VAPB by its dimerization with ORP9. We tested this by co-

immunoprecipitations, where ORP11 could be co-isolated with VAPA from cells expressing VAPA, ORP9

and ORP11 (Fig. 4J). This interaction was diminished significantly when ORP9 was not co-expressed.

Furthermore, a VAPA mutant unable to interact with FFAT motifs failed to interact with either ORP9 or

ORP11, showing that ORP11 interacts with VAPA indirectly via the ORP9-FFAT motif.

We and others previously showed that in addition to VAPA and VAPB, the human proteome contains three

other proteins interacting with FFAT and related short linear motifs: MOSPD1, MOSPD2 and MOSPD3[26,27].

In our earlier efforts to identify interaction partners of VAPA, VAPB and other motif-binding proteins, we

performed BioID, proximity biotinylation followed by proteomics, identification of membrane contact sites

proteins[27]. In this analysis, ORP9 and ORP11 were found in proximity with VAPA and VAPB but not with

other motif-binding proteins (Fig. S6F). This further confirmed that despite lacking a FFAT motif, ORP11

together with ORP9 is part of VAPA- and VAPB-mediated membrane contact sites.

The Golgi localization of ORP9 and ORP11 is mediated by their PH domain interacting with

phosphatidylinositol phosphates[24]. We observed that the PH domains of ORP9 and ORP11 localized only

partially to the Golgi, unlike the PH domains of OSBP and CERT that show exclusive localization to this

organelle[28,29] (Fig. 4H, S7D). We hypothesized that the dimerization of ORP9 and ORP11 is a mechanism

to increase their avidity towards *trans* Golgi membranes. To test this, we created a chimera containing

ORP9- and ORP11-PH domains that localized to the Golgi more efficiently than the individual PH domains

(Fig. 4H, I). This also implied that loss of either protein should reduce the other's localization to the *trans*

Golgi. Immunofluorescence staining of ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells for the same proteins confirmed

that both ORP9 and ORP11 are required for the Golgi localization of the other protein (Fig. 5). Meanwhile,

loss of either protein did not influence the levels of the other (Fig. 3E). Our results collectively show that the

dimerization of ORP9 and ORP11 via their coils is required for the localization of ORP9-ORP11 dimer to

the ER and the *trans* Golgi simultaneously.

5) ORP9 and ORP11 are essential for PS and PI(4)P levels in the Golgi apparatus.

ORP9 and ORP11 belong to the OSBP-related protein (ORP) family that transfers sterols or PS while transferring phosphatidylinositol phosphates in the opposite direction[30–33] (Fig. 6A, S7B). ORP9 and ORP11 carry the conserved PS binding site and are recently shown to relocate to the site of lysosomal damage to supply PS for membrane repair[34]. Using an *in vitro* lipid transfer assay, we confirmed that the lipid transfer domains (ORD) of ORP9 and ORP11 are sufficient to traffic PS *in vitro* (Fig. 6B, S7C). Moreover, similar to ORP5 and ORP8, the PS-trafficking activity of ORP9- and ORP11-ORDs was improved when acceptor liposomes were decorated with PI(4)P[35,36] (Fig. 6C). This indicated that ORDs of ORP9 and ORP11 transport PI(4)P in the opposite direction of PS trafficking.

PS is synthesized in the ER and its concentration increases along the secretory pathway as it is enriched on the cytosolic leaflet of the plasma membrane[14]. PI(4)P, on the other hand, is abundant in the *trans* Golgi, where it recruits many proteins to this membrane[37]. Another LTP localized at the ER-*trans* Golgi membrane contact sites, OSBP transfers cholesterol from the ER to the *trans* Golgi, while counter transporting PI(4)P to the ER for its hydrolysis by the ER-resident PI(4)P phosphatase SAC1 (Fig. 8). Homologous to OSBP, the ORP9-ORP11 dimer resides in the ideal intracellular interface to traffic PS in anterograde and PI(4)P in retrograde direction between the ER and *trans* Golgi (Fig. 8). This also suggested that the loss of either protein would cause PS and PI(4)P imbalances between the ER and *trans* Golgi. We tested this idea by using PI(4)P- and PS-binding biosensors[38,39] (Fig. S7D). Golgi localization of these sensors indicated PI(4)P accumulation in the Golgi of ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells (Fig. 6D, E). Also, PS levels were decreased in the Golgi of these cells (Fig. 6F, G). Both phenotypes were pronounced more strongly in ORP9 knockout cells compared to ORP11 knockouts. Furthermore, both phenotypes, lowered PS and increased PI(4)P levels, could be rescued by reconstitution of the missing protein. Overall, these findings suggested that the ORP9-ORP11 dimer is required for maintaining PS and reducing PI(4)P levels in the Golgi apparatus.

6) ORP9 and ORP11 are needed for *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis in the Golgi apparatus

Next, we set out to investigate the possible role of ORP9 and ORP11 in regulating cellular sphingomyelin levels. By performing a sphingomyelin synthase activity assay that uses the fluorescent ceramide analogue NBD-ceramide as a substrate[40], we found that ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells do not have reduced sphingomyelin synthesis capacity (Fig. 7A, B). The same was also found in CERT knockout cells. Whereas all three knockouts demonstrated increased glucosylceramide synthesis capacity, supporting the lipidomics analysis (Fig. 3A). Unaltered sphingomyelin synthesis capacity was further validated by the unreduced protein and mRNA levels of both human sphingomyelin synthases, SMS1 and SMS2 (Fig. S8A, B).

SMS1 is localized at the *trans* Golgi, while SMS2 shows dual localization at the plasma membrane but is also found at the *trans* Golgi[41]. As the enzymatic activity assay in lysates reports on the global sphingomyelin synthesis capacity, we next investigated *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis that occurs at the *trans* Golgi, where CERT as well as the ORP9-ORP11 dimer localize. For this purpose, we chased the metabolic fate of palmitic acid alkyne (Fig. 7C). Palmitic acid is the precursor of sphinganine and all sphingolipids (Fig. 3B, 7C). To enter the sphingolipid pathway, palmitic acid first needs to travel to the ER and later to the Golgi for conversion to sphingomyelin, unlike ceramide analogues, such as NBD-ceramide,

that can be converted to sphingomyelin by the plasma membrane-resident SMS2. Furthermore, the palmitic

acid alkyne does not contain a bulky fluorescent group and the terminal alkyne allows visualization by click

chemistry (Fig. 7C). As this method enabled us to monitor *de novo* sphingomyelin production in intact cells,

we confirmed the reduced *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis in CERT knockout cells using this method (Fig.

7D, E, S8C). In addition, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts also showed decreased conversion of palmitic acid

to sphingomyelin, suggesting a similar defect as CERT knockouts.

The observations that loss of ORP9 or ORP11 does not affect CERT protein (Fig. 3E) or reduce CERT

localization to the Golgi (Fig. S9) implied that CERT-mediated transfer routes are not affected in ORP9 or

ORP11 knockouts. Lipidomics analysis showing accumulation of ceramide and glucosylceramide in

ORP9/ORP11 knockouts further suggested the conversion of ER-bound ceramides to sphingomyelin in the

Golgi is hampered.

To distinguish between two possibilities that may result in lowered sphingomyelin levels – a ceramide

delivery defect to the *trans* Golgi or an inability to convert ceramide to sphingomyelin in the Golgi, we

established an immunoisolation protocol for *trans* Golgi membranes using a monoclonal antibody against

the *trans* Golgi marker Golgin-97 (Fig. 7F). These isolates were enriched for the *trans* Golgi marker Golgin-

97 and were devoid of other organelle markers, such as the ER, mitochondria, and lysosomes (Fig. 7G).

We confirmed the membrane integrity of Golgin-97-enriched fractions by their sphingomyelin synthase

activity, as these multi-pass transmembrane proteins require intact membranes for activity[41] (Fig. S10A).

Lipidomics analysis of these fractions validated that the loss of ORP11 and especially that of ORP9 results

in lowered PS levels in the *trans* Golgi (Fig. 7H, S10B-D). The *trans* Golgi fractions also showed reduced

sphingomyelin levels, confirming the limited *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis (Fig. 7D, E, I S10E).

Moreover, the same fractions showed elevated ceramide levels in these fractions (Fig. 7J, S10F), revealing

that the knockouts do not have a ceramide delivery defect, but instead a lowered capacity to convert

ceramide to sphingomyelin in their *trans* Golgi membranes. We tested this notion by performing a

sphingomyelin synthase activity assay in the isolated Golgi membranes, where supplying excess substrate

to these membranes bypasses the ceramide delivery routes (Fig. 7K, L). This assay further substantiated

that the Golgi of ORP11 and especially of ORP9 knockout cells have a lowered sphingomyelin synthesis

capacity. Meanwhile, localization of both sphingomyelin synthases was not reduced in the Golgi, as

detected by three different antibodies (Fig. S11). Collectively, our results indicate that PS-PI(4)P exchange

between the ER and *trans* Golgi mediated by the ORP9-ORP11 LTP complex is critical for *de novo*

sphingomyelin synthesis in *trans* Golgi.

Discussion

In this study, we describe a gene knockout library for systematic characterization of intracellular LTPs. The

arrayed nature of the library enables high and low throughput analysis. As new LTPs are identified in regular

basis, the arrayed feature of the library allows expansion to include newly identified LTPs, e.g. ATG2A,

SHIP164, KIAA0100 (Hobbit in *Drosophila*), KIAA1109 (Csf1 in yeast) and RMDN3 are new human LTPs

that are identified through the course of this study[8–10,12,13].

Lipidomics analysis of the library demonstrated many lipid imbalances. We further validated loss of NPC1,

NPC2, CERT, and GLTP with sphingolipid imbalances[15,18,20] (Fig. 1C). In addition to sphingolipids

imbalances, we found STARD7 knockout cells with increased levels of phosphatidylglycerol (PG), a lipid

class exclusive to mitochondria in mammals (Fig. 1C). STARD7 is a phosphatidylcholine (PC) transfer

protein that localizes to the mitochondrial intermembrane space to supply PC to the mitochondrial inner

membrane[42–44]. Consequently, loss of STARD7 reduces PC levels in this membrane as well as decreasing

cardiolipin levels and respiratory capacity[43,44]. While PC in the inner membrane is not a precursor of

cardiolipin, it is possible the reduced PC levels in this membrane impair the activity of the cardiolipin

synthase that uses PG as its substrate, suggesting an explanation for the PG accumulation in STARD7

knockout cells.

LTPs often localize at membrane contact sites to facilitate lipid exchanges between organelles. We found

ORP9 and ORP11 localizing at the ER-*trans* Golgi contact sites to exchange PS and PI(4)P. We show that

ORP9-ORP11 dimerization is critical for their contact site localization, and consequently, loss of either

protein is sufficient to disturb PS and PI(4)P levels in the Golgi. In return, this phospholipid imbalance

reduces sphingomyelin synthesis capacity of the Golgi. The contact site localization of ORP9-ORP11 dimer

is homologous to that of ORP9-ORP10 dimer localizing at the ER-endosome contact sites. ORP10 also

lacks a FFAT motif and interacts with ORP9[45]. In both LTP complexes, ORP9 provides the FFAT motif

required for ER contact. Compared to ORP11 knockout cells, ORP9 knockout cells provide more

pronounced phenotypes of cellular sphingolipid imbalances (sphingomyelin and glucosylceramide),

phospholipid imbalances in the Golgi apparatus (PS and PI(4)P), as well as reduced capacity of

sphingomyelin synthesis in *trans* Golgi membranes. Furthermore, loss of ORP9 led to a more dramatic

effect on the Golgi localization of ORP11 than vice versa. This is most likely due to ORP9 providing a critical

factor, i.e. the FFAT motif, for contact site localization, whereas it is possible the loss of ORP11 can be

partially compensated by ORP10. ORP10 can also deliver PS to Golgi membranes[46], however, our

lipidomics analysis of ORP10 knockout cells did not demonstrate any sphingolipid imbalances. In response

to lysosomal membrane damage, ORP9, ORP10 and ORP11, together with OSBP and ORP1L localize at

the lysosomal membrane to provide PS and other lipids for the repair of the damaged membrane. This

localization is driven by a burst of PI(4)P generation as a result of membrane damage, highlighting the role

of PI(4)P in spatiotemporal control of lipid trafficking and membrane contact site formation[34,47].

An intriguing observation is that the loss of ORP9 causes more accumulation of ceramide than CERT

knockouts, despite loss of either protein leading to a comparable reduction of sphingomyelin levels. As the

loss of CERT would lead to a ceramide accumulation in the ER, it is possible that such an accumulation in

the ER is sensed to reduce ceramide production in this organelle. An ER-resident candidate ceramide

sensor for this purpose was suggested previously[48]. Meanwhile, our findings show a ceramide

accumulation in the *trans* Golgi of ORP9 knockouts. Accordingly, ceramide accumulation in the Golgi

caused by the loss of ORP9 would fail to "*turn on*" an ER-localized ceramide sensing machinery, thus

leading to further ceramide accumulation compared to CERT knockout cells. This notion also supports our

finding that the loss of the ORP9-ORP11 dimer causes ceramide accumulation primarily in the Golgi.

Asymmetric distribution of lipids between two bilayer leaflets is a characteristic feature of the plasma

membrane. This is owed to the build-up of sphingomyelin and cholesterol on the outer leaflet and PS on

the inner leaflet[14]. The transition of the thin, symmetrical ER membrane to a thicker, rigid and asymmetrical

one takes place in the Golgi (Fig. 8)[14]. Sphingolipid, cholesterol and PS concentrations also increase along

the secretory pathway. Various mechanisms are described to drive the sphingolipid and cholesterol

trafficking against the concentration gradient, including thermodynamic trapping of cholesterol due to

complex formation with sphingomyelin or energy release from the retrograde trafficking of PI(4)P followed

by its hydrolysis in the ER[14,31,49]. The same PI(4)P gradient between the ER and Golgi could also power

the anterograde trafficking of PS, which, unlike sphingomyelin or cholesterol, is still exposed on the cytosolic

leaflet of the Golgi where it has a higher concentration than the ER – thus, maintaining lipid trafficking

against the gradient requires energy. In brief, our finding that the ORP9-ORP11 dimer mediated

phospholipid exchange promoting the sphingomyelin synthesis reveal further intertwining of lipid gradients

along the secretory pathway at the ER-*trans* Golgi membrane contact sites.

Conflict of Interest:

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements:

Authors thank Ruud Wijdeven, Robbert Kim, Carolina Jost and the members of the Neefjes lab for their

technical and critical input.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

MelJuSo and HEK293T (ATCC CRL-3216) cells were cultured in IMDM (Gibco #21980) and DMEM (Gibco
#41966) supplemented with 8% fetal calf serum (Biowest #S1810), respectively. MelJuSo cell line
authentication was performed by Eurofins Genomics (19-ZE-000487).

Library design, generation and transduction

Targeting and non-targeting guide sequences (Supplementary Table 1) were obtained from the Brunello
Human CRISPR Knockout Pooled Library[50] and cloned into lentiCRISPR v2 plasmid[51] as described
previously[52]. Cloning of guide RNAs were individually confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

For library transduction, HEK cells were seeded on a 96-well plate on the day before transfection and
transfected with library plasmids and lentiviral packaging plasmids pRSVrev, pHCMV-G VSV-G, and
pMDLg/pRRE using polyethyleneimine (Polysciences #23966). A day after replacing the medium, virus was
harvested and MelJuSo cells were transduced in the presence of 4µg/ml polybrene (EMD Milipore #TR-
1003-G). Transduced cells were subjected to selection using 2µg/ml puromycin.

Lipid extractions and lipidomics analysis

For lipidomics analysis, library-generated cells were expanded to 15-cm dishes and cultured in IMDM
supplemented with lipid-depleted serum (Pel-Freez #37217-5) for 3-4 days. Next, cells were scraped in 2%
NaCl solution and lipids were extracted following the Bligh-Dyer protocol. In brief, harvested cells were
resuspended in 200µL 2% (w/v) NaCl followed by addition of 500µL methanol and 250µL chloroform.
Samples were vortexed for 5 min. Following phase separation by adding 250µL chloroform and 250µL
0.45% (w/v) NaCl, samples were centrifuged for 5min at 15,000xg. Next, bottom fractions were collected
and dried under continuous nitrogen stream.

Comprehensive, quantitative shotgun lipidomics was carried out as described in detail elsewhere[53,54].
Briefly, dried lipid extracts were spiked with 54 deuterated internal standards and dissolved in
methanol:chloroform 1:1 containing 10 mM ammonium acetate. Lipids are then analysed with a flow
injection method at a flow rate of 8 µL/min applying differential ion mobility for lipid class separation and
subsequent multiple reaction monitoring in positive and negative electrospray ionization mode. Using the
Shotgun Lipidomics Assistant (SLA) software individual lipid concentrations are calculated after correction
for their respective internal standards.

Data analysis & statistics

Data analyses, including lipidomics data analysis, were performed using R (4.1.0 "*Camp Pontanezen*") and
RStudio (2022.12.0+353) with following packages: *ggplot2*, *dplyr*, *readr*, *ggrepel*, *ggcorrplot2*, *reshape2*,
ggbeeswarm, *ggsignif*, *purr*, *tidyr*, and *tibble*. For Z-score calculations, first the percentages of each lipid
class within individual measurements were calculated, followed by calculating Z-scores for individual data
points using the following formula: "Z = $(x - \mu)/\sigma$", where μ is the mean percentage of a lipid class and σ is
the standard deviation. Next, average Z-scores for LTP knockout cell lines were calculated. For POPC
normalized data analysis for the analysis of acyl chain distributions and Golgi lipidomics, each lipid
subspecies normalized to palmitoyl-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC) levels. For Golgi lipidomics, POPC-
normalized values were normalized again to the mean of POPC-normalized values for MJS and gNT
samples. Raw lipidomics data available at Supplementary Table 2.

Mean values are denoted in all graphs except for boxplots. When present, error bars indicate standard
deviations. In boxplots, middle line denotes median, box boundaries denote the first (Q1) and third (Q3)
quartiles, and the lower and upper whiskers denote "*Q1-1.5*IQR*" and "*Q3+1.5*IQR*", respectively. IQR:
inter-quartile range. For statistical analysis, student's t-test is used, unless stated otherwise. $*p<0.05$,
$**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$.

Co-immunoprecipitation, SDS-PAGE and Western blotting

Co-immunoprecipitation from HEK293T cells, SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed as
described previously[27].

Immunofluorescence staining and quantifications

Immunofluorescence staining was performed as described previously[27]. For equinatoxin staining, cells were
fixed with 4%PFA:PBS (v/v) and permeabilized with 10μg/ml digitonin in PBS for 15min at RT. Further
stainings were performed in PBS. All microscopy images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 900 with
Airyscan. Images were analysed and quantified using ImageJ/FIJI software. To quantify the Golgi
localization of lipid biosensors (OSBP-PH and LactC2), first a mask was created using Golgin-97 counter
staining and this mask was used to quantify signal from *trans* Golgi as well as the cytoplasm. Golgi
localization of immunofluorescence staining of endogenous proteins (ORP9, ORP11, CERT) was
performed first by creating a mask using Golgin-97 and later using this mask to quantify signal of interest
relative to signal of Golgin-97. Manders' coefficient for colocalization was calculated using the Jacop plugin
for ImageJ/FIJI.

Flow cytometry analysis

MelJuSo cells were brought to suspension by trypsinization and stained with the indicated probes on ice
prior to analysis using a BD LSR-II equipped with 488 and 561nm lasers. Data was analysed using FlowJo
v.10 software.

Electron microscopy

Cells cultured in 6-cm dishes were fixed for an hour at room temperature by adding double concentrated
fixative to the medium (final concentration: 1.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate buffer). After three

times rinsing with 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, the cells were postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide and 1.5%

uranyl acetate. Cells are dehydrated with a series of ethanol, followed by a series of mixtures of ethanol

and EPON (LX112, Leadd) and at the end pure EPON. BEEM capsules filed with EPON were placed on

the dishes with the open face down. After EPON polymerization at 40°C the first night and 70°C the second

night, the BEEM capsules were snapped off. Ultrathin sections 80 nm were made parallel to the surface of

the BEEM capsules containing the cultured cells. The sections were contrasted with uranyl acetate and

lead hydroxide and examined with a Tecnai Twin transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher,

Eindhoven, Netherlands). Overlapping images were automatically collected and stitched together into a

composite image as previously described[55].

Recombinant protein purification

A codon optimized version of cDNA encoding the non-toxic version of equinatoxin[22] was ordered from IDT

technologies, GFP sequence was obtained from meGFP-C1 vector and a cDNA encoding the fusion protein

was synthesized using Gibson Assembly. cDNAs encoding ORD domains of ORP9 and ORP11, and EQT-

GFP fusion protein were cloned into a pNKI1.8/GST-expression vector.

E. coli BL21/Rosetta were grown in 2xYT medium and expression was induced when OD_{600} was at 1 for

overnight at 18ºC. Bacterial pellets were resuspended in GST purification buffer (50mM Tris pH 8.0, 250mM

NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1mM DTT) and lysed by tip sonication. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at

12,000xg for 30 min. Proteins were purified using Glutathione Sepharose™ 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare

#17-5132-03) and cleaved using 3C protease protein prior to reverse purification using glutathione beads.

Methyl-β-cyclodextrin and MTT viability assay

411   Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and were cultured in OptiMEM (ThermoFisher #31985047) for 3 days.

412   Cells were treated with 10mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma #C4555) and cell viability was tested using

413   MTT viability assay (Cayman #21795). Absorbance at 560nm was measured using a BMG ClarioStar plate

414   reader.

Liposome preparation and lipid transfer assay

Donor liposomes composed of 2% NBD-PS (Avanti #810194), 2% Rhodamine-PE (Avanti #810150), 10%

DOPE (Avanti #850725), 86% DOPC (Avanti #850375) and acceptor liposomes composed of DOPC with

or without 5% Brain PI(4)P (Avanti #840045) were prepared as follows: Lipids were mixed in a glass

container and dried under a constant nitrogen flow to create a film. Lipids were freeze-dried at least for two

hours under vacuum. Next, lipid films were rehydrated in HKM (50mM HEPES pH 7.2; 120mM potassium

acetate; 1mM $MgCl_2$) buffer for 30 minutes followed by 5 cycles of freeze thaw. Lipids were extruded using

Avanti MiniExtruder using a 100nm filter. Uniformity and the concentration of lipids were confirmed using a

Wyatt Nanostar Dynamic Light Scattering. For lipid transfer assays, final concentrations of 80µM of each

donor and acceptor vesicles were used in 100µL volume and the indicated amounts of protein of interest

was added in 5µL. NBD fluorescence in time was measured using a BMG ClarioStar plate reader.

AlphaFold & AlphaFold-multimer predictions

429 Structure predictions were performed using AlphaFold, AlphaFold-Multimer and ColabFold prediction tools,
430 as described[56–58].

Golgi isolation and quantification of Golgi lipid levels

Cells were washed twice with 0.25M Sucrose and scraped in IM buffer (0.25M Mannitol, 0.5mM EGTA,
5mM HEPES pH 7.4). Samples were passed through a 26xG needle for lysis. Lysates were cleared from
nuclei by centrifugation twice at 600xg for 5min. Post-nuclear supernatant was subjected to protein
determination using BCA protein determination kit. Equal amounts of protein were supplemented with
150mM NaCl and incubated with Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (ThermoFisher #88802) preloaded either or
not with Golgin-97 antibody. Next, samples were washed 3 times with IM buffer supplemented with 150mM
NaCl before lipid extraction for lipidomics analysis (described above) or enzymatic activity assay (described
below). Amounts of Golgi fractions isolated from different knockouts were confirmed by western blotting.

siRNA transfections and metabolic chasing of BODIPY-C5-ceramide in intact cells

siRNA transfections were carried out as described previously[27]. siRNA for UGCG (M-006441-02-0005)
and UGT8 (M-010270-02-0005) were obtained from Horizon Discovery. MelJuSo cells treated with siRNAs
or 10µM PDMP (Cayman #62595) were washed twice with PBS and incubated with serum-free medium
supplemented with 0.25µM BODIPY-C5-Ceramide complexed to BSA (Thermo Fisher #B22650). After 3
hours, cells were subjected to lipid extraction and thin layer chromatography as described below. The
following lipids were used as standards: TopFlour-C11-galactosylceramide (Avanti Polar Lipids #810266),
TopFlour-C11-glucosylceramide (Avanti Polar Lipid #810267) and BODIPY- C5-Lactosylceramide (Thermo
Fisher #B34402).

Metabolic chasing of de novo sphingomyelin synthesis

Cells were incubated with 20µM ethanolic palmitic acid alkyne (Cayman Chemical #13266) in serum-free
medium for 6 hours. Next, cells were washed twice in PBS and scraped in 2%NaCl solution. Lysates were
subjected to protein determination using Pierce BCA Protein Kit (Thermo #23225) and equal amounts of
proteins were used for lipid extractions as above. For alkaline hydrolysis, dried lipids were resuspended in
200µL methanolic sodium methoxide and incubated at room temperature for 1h. Samples were added 30µL
acetic acid/water (1:4, v/v), 120µL 2% NaCl, and 400µL chloroform to re-extract lipids. Dried lipids were
resuspended in 15µL chloroform and 65µL of click mix containing 400µM 3-Azido-7-hydroxycoumarin
(Baseclick #BCFA-047-1) and 900µM tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) tetrafluoroborate (Sigma #677892) in
acetonitrile/ethanol (7:3, v/v) was added and incubated 3h at 45ºC prior to TLC analysis.

Quantitative RT-PCR

RT-PCR was performed as described previously[27] using the following primer sets:
CERT_1: ATGTCGGATAATCAGAGCTGGA / ATCCTGCCACCCATGAATGTA,
CERT_2: TCCATCTGTCTTAGCAAGGCT / GCTGTTCAATGGCATCTATCCA,
SMS1_1: TGTGCCGAGTCTCCTCTGA / CCGTTCTTGTGTGCTTCCAAA,
SMS1_2: CAGCATCAAGATTAAACCCAACG / TGGTGAGAACGAAACAGGAAAG,

SMS2_1: TCCTACGAACACTTATGCAAGAC / CCGGGTACTTTTTGGTGCCT,

SMS2_2: CAAATTGCTATGCCCACTGAATC / GTTGTCAAGACGAGGTTGAAAAC

Enzymatic activity assay in lysates and isolated Golgi fractions

For enzymatic activity measurements in lysates, cells were washed twice in 0.25M Sucrose and scraped in

IM buffer (0.25M Mannitol, 0.5mM EGTA, 5mM HEPES pH 7.4). Samples were passed through a 26xG

needle for lysis. Lysates were cleared from nuclei by centrifugation twice at 600xg for 5min. Post-nuclear

supernatant was subjected to protein determination using BCA protein determination kit. Equal amounts of

protein in a 50µL volume were mixed with 50µL IM buffer supplemented with 5µM NBD-C6-ceramide

(Avanti Polar Lipids #8102109) from an ethanolic solution. Reactions were incubated 1h at 37ºC in dark

with constant shaking. Next, samples were subjected to lipid extractions and thin-layer chromatography as

described. For the enzymatic activity assays in Golgi, isolated Golgi fractions were resuspended in 50µL

IM buffer and incubated with NBD-C6-ceramide as above.

Thin-layer chromatography

484 Dried lipids were spotted on a thin-layer chromatography (TLC) Silica gel 60 (Merck #1.05554.0001) plate

485 and developed in chloroform:methanol:acetone:acetic acid:water (50:10:20:10:5, v/v/v/v/v). Fluorescent

486 images were acquired using a Typhoon FLA9500 equipped with a 488nm laser and a BPB1 filter

487 (530DF20).

Plasmids

Plasmid	Reference	cDNA	Backbone
GST-EQT-GFP	This study		pNKI1.8
Scarlet-ORP9 iso.2	This study	Mouse Osbpl9 isoform 2 cDNA was ordered from Horizon Discovery. BC023759. IMAGE:5344071	mScarlet-C1
Scarlet-ORP11		Human OSBPL11 cDNA was ordered from Horizon Discovery. IMAGE:3916115. BC065213	mScarlet-C1
GFP-OSBP-PH	addgene #49571, ref.[39]		
RFP-LactC2	addgene#74061, ref.[38]		
GFP-CC11	This study		
Mito-tagRFP	This study	cDNA encoding the first 70 amino acids of TOM70 was ordered from IDT technologies.	tagRFP-N1
Mito-CC9-tagRFP	This study		tagRFP-N1
GFP-PH9	This study		meGFP-C1
GFP-PH11	This study		meGFP-C1
GFP-PH9-PH11	This study		meGFP-C1
FLAG-ORP11	This study		FLAG-C1
GST-ORD9	This study		pNKI1.8
GST-ORD11	This study		pNKI1.8
FLAG-VAPA	Ref.[27]		
meGFP-C1	Ref.[27]		

mScarlet-C1	Ref.[27]		
GFP-VAPA	Ref.[27]		
GFP-VAPA**	Ref.[27]		
Scarlet-CERT	Ref.[27]		

491 **Antibodies**

Target	Supplier	Catalog #	Host
OSBP	Proteintech	11096-1-AP	Rabbit
ORP1L	PMID: 12631712		Rabbit
CERT	Bethyl	A300-669A	Rabbit
NPC1	Novus	NB400-148	Rabbit
NPC2	Proteintech	19888-1-AP	Rabbit
GLTP	Proteintech	10850-1-AP	Rabbit
PITPNB	Proteintech	13110-1-AP	Rabbit
ORP9	Proteintech	11879-1-AP	Rabbit
STARD7	Proteintech	15689-1-AP	Rabbit
SEC14L1	Proteintech	25541-1-AP	Rabbit
PITNM1	Proteintech	26983-1-AP	Rabbit
SEC14L2	Origene	TA503723	Mouse
TEX2	Bethyl	A304-705A	Rabbit
FAPP2	Proteintech	15410-1-AP	Rabbit
ORP9	Santa Cruz	sc-398961	Mouse
CD63-V450	BD Horizon	561984	Mouse
CTB-Alexa568	Invitrogen	C34777	
Actin	Sigma-Aldrich	A5441	Mouse
GM130	BD Transduction	610823	Mouse
FLAG-HRP	Sigma-Aldrich	A8592	Mouse
GFP	Ref.[27]		Rabbit
Scarlet	ChromoTek	6G6-100	Mouse
tagRFP	Evrogen	AB232	Rabbit
Golgin-97	Invitrogen	A-21270	Mouse
Golgin-97	Cell Signaling	13192S	Rabbit
Calnexin (C5C9)	Cell Signaling	2679	Rabbit
R-PDI	Cell Signaling	3501	Rabbit
TOM20	Proteintech	11802-1-AP	Rabbit
Actin*HRP	Santa Cruz	sc-47778	Mouse
SMS1	Sigma/Aldrich	HPA045191	Rabbit
SMS2	Santa Cruz	sc-293384	Mouse
CERT	Proteintech	15191-1-AP	Rabbit
SMS1	Proteintech	19050-1-AP	Rabbit
ORP11	Proteintech	11318-1-AP	Rabbit
Anti-mouse Alexa 488	Thermo Fisher	A21202	Donkey
Anti-mouse Alexa 568	Thermo Fisher	A10037	Donkey
Anti-mouse Alexa 647	Thermo Fisher	A21236	Goat
Anti-rabbit Alexa 488	Thermo Fisher	A21206	Donkey
Anti-rabbit Alexa 568	Thermo Fisher	A10042	Donkey
Anti-rabbit Alexa 647	Thermo Fisher	A31573	Donkey
Anti-Mouse IgG, HRP	Thermo Fisher	G21040	Goat
Anti-Rabbit, IgG, HRP	Thermo Fisher	G21234	Goat

493
494

References:

1. van Meer, G. & de Kroon, A. I. P. M. Lipid map of the mammalian cell. *J. Cell Sci.* **124**, 5–8 (2011).

2. Wong, L. H., Gatta, A. T. & Levine, T. P. Lipid transfer proteins: the lipid commute via shuttles, bridges and tubes. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* vol. 20 85–101 (2019).

3. Chiapparino, A., Maeda, K., Turei, D., Saez-Rodriguez, J. & Gavin, A. C. The orchestra of lipid-transfer proteins at the crossroads between metabolism and signaling. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **61**, 30–39 (2016).

4. Scorrano, L. *et al.* Coming together to define membrane contact sites. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1–11 (2019).

5. Prinz, W. A., Toulmay, A. & Balla, T. The functional universe of membrane contact sites. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **21**, (2019).

6. Gatta, A. T. *et al.* A new family of StART domain proteins at membrane contact sites has a role in ER-PM sterol transport. *Elife* **4**, 1–46 (2015).

7. Sandhu, J. *et al.* Aster Proteins Facilitate Nonvesicular Plasma Membrane to ER Cholesterol Transport in Mammalian Cells. *Cell* **175**, 514-529.e20 (2018).

8. Valverde, D. P. *et al.* ATG2 transports lipids to promote autophagosome biogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* **218**, 1787–1798 (2019).

9. Osawa, T. *et al.* Atg2 mediates direct lipid transfer between membranes for autophagosome formation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **26**, 281–288 (2019).

10. Yeo, H. K. *et al.* Phospholipid transfer function of PTPIP51 at mitochondria-associated ER membranes. *EMBO Rep.* **22**, (2021).

11. Kumar, N. *et al.* VPS13A and VPS13C are lipid transport proteins differentially localized at ER contact sites. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**, 3625–3639 (2018).

12. Castro, I. G. *et al.* Systematic analysis of membrane contact sites in Saccharomyces cerevisiae uncovers modulators of cellular lipid distribution. *Elife* **11**, (2022).

13. Neuman, S. D., Levine, T. P. & Bashirullah, A. A novel superfamily of bridge-like lipid transfer proteins. *Trends Cell Biol.* **32**, 962–974 (2022).

14. Holthuis, J. C. M. & Menon, A. K. Lipid landscapes and pipelines in membrane homeostasis. *Nature* **510**, 48–57 (2014).

15. Hanada, K. *et al.* Molecular machinery for non-vesicular trafficking of ceramide. *Nature* **426**, 803–809 (2003).

16. Wong, C. H. *et al.* Genome-scale requirements for dynein-based trafficking revealed by a high-content arrayed CRISPR screen. *bioRxiv* 2023.03.01.530592 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.03.01.530592.

17. Reza, S., Ugorski, M. & Suchański, J. Glucosylceramide and galactosylceramide, small glycosphingolipids with significant impact on health and disease. *Glycobiology* vol. 31 1416–1434 (2021).

18. Nurmi, H. *et al.* Glycolipid transfer protein knockout disrupts vesicle trafficking to the plasma membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* **299**, 104607 (2023).

19. Platt, F. M. Sphingolipid lysosomal storage disorders. *Nature* **510**, 68–75 (2014).

20. Newton, J., Milstien, S. & Spiegel, S. Niemann-Pick type C disease: The atypical sphingolipidosis. *Adv. Biol. Regul.* **70**, 82–88 (2018).

21. Sokoya, T. *et al.* Pathogenic variants of sphingomyelin synthase SMS2 disrupt lipid landscapes in the secretory pathway. *Elife* **11**, (2022).

22. Deng, Y., Rivera-Molina, F. E., Toomre, D. K. & Burd, C. G. Sphingomyelin is sorted at the trans Golgi network into a distinct class of secretory vesicle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 6677–6682 (2016).

23. Kumagai, K. & Hanada, K. Structure, functions and regulation of CERT, a lipid-transfer protein for the delivery of ceramide at the ER–Golgi membrane contact sites. *FEBS Lett.* **593**, 2366–2377 (2019).

24. Zhou, Y. *et al.* OSBP-related protein 11 (ORP11) dimerizes with ORP9 and localizes at the Golgi-late endosome interface. *Exp. Cell Res.* **316**, 3304–3316 (2010).

25. Neefjes, J. & Cabukusta, B. What the VAP: The Expanded VAP Family of Proteins Interacting With FFAT and FFAT-Related Motifs for Interorganellar Contact. *Contact* **4**, 251525642110122 (2021).

26. Di Mattia, T. *et al.* Identification of MOSPD2, a novel scaffold for endoplasmic reticulum membrane contact sites. *EMBO Rep.* **19**, 1–22 (2018).

27. Cabukusta, B. *et al.* Human VAPome Analysis Reveals MOSPD1 and MOSPD3 as Membrane Contact Site Proteins Interacting with FFAT-Related FFNT Motifs. *Cell Rep.* **33**, 108475 (2020).

28. Levine, T. P. & Munro, S. The pleckstrin homology domain of oxysterol-binding protein recognises a determinant specific to Golgi membranes. *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 729–739 (1998).

29. Levine, T. P. & Munro, S. Targeting of Golgi-Specific Pleckstrin Homology Domains Involves Both PtdIns 4-Kinase-Dependent and -Independent Components. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 695–704 (2002).

30. Wang, H. *et al.* ORP2 Delivers Cholesterol to the Plasma Membrane in Exchange for

Phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-Bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P 2). *Mol. Cell* **73**, 458-473.e7 (2019).

31. Mesmin, B. *et al.* A four-step cycle driven by PI(4)P hydrolysis directs sterol/PI(4)P exchange by the ER-Golgi Tether OSBP. *Cell* **155**, 830–843 (2013).

32. de Saint-Jean, M. *et al.* Osh4p exchanges sterols for phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate between lipid bilayers. *J. Cell Biol.* **195**, 965–978 (2011).

33. Maeda, K. *et al.* Interactome map uncovers phosphatidylserine transport by oxysterol-binding proteins. *Nature* **501**, 257–261 (2013).

34. Tan, J. X. & Finkel, T. A phosphoinositide signalling pathway mediates rapid lysosomal repair. *Nature* **609**, 815–821 (2022).

35. Chung, J. *et al.* PI4P/phosphatidylserine countertransport at ORP5- and ORP8-mediated ER - Plasma membrane contacts. *Science (80-.).* **349**, 428–432 (2015).

36. Von Filseck, J. M. *et al.* Phosphatidylserine transport by ORP/Osh proteins is driven by phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate. *Science (80-.).* **349**, 432–436 (2015).

37. Posor, Y., Jang, W. & Haucke, V. Phosphoinositides as membrane organizers. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **23**, 797–816 (2022).

38. Yeung, T. *et al.* Membrane phosphatidylserine regulates surface charge and protein localization. *Science* **319**, 210–213 (2008).

39. Moorhead, A. M., Jung, J. Y., Smirnov, A., Kaufer, S. & Scidmore, M. A. Multiple host proteins that function in phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate metabolism are recruited to the chlamydial inclusion. *Infect. Immun.* **78**, 1990–2007 (2010).

40. Kol, M. *et al.* Switching head group selectivity in mammalian sphingolipid biosynthesis by active-site-engineering of sphingomyelin synthases. *J. Lipid Res.* **58**, 962–973 (2017).

41. Tafesse, F. G., Ternes, P. & Holthuis, J. C. M. The multigenic sphingomyelin synthase family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 29421–29425 (2006).

42. Horibata, Y. & Sugimoto, H. StarD7 mediates the intracellular trafficking of phosphatidylcholine to mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 7358–7365 (2010).

43. Saita, S. *et al.* PARL partitions the lipid transfer protein STARD7 between the cytosol and mitochondria. *EMBO J.* **37**, 1–18 (2018).

44. Horibata, Y. *et al.* StarD7 protein deficiency adversely affects the phosphatidylcholine composition, respiratory activity, and cristae structure of mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 24880–24891 (2016).

45. Kawasaki, A. *et al.* PI4P/PS countertransport by ORP10 at ER–endosome membrane contact sites regulates endosome fission. *J. Cell Biol.* **221**, (2021).

46. Venditti, R. *et al.* Molecular determinants of ER-Golgi contacts identified through a new FRET-FLIM system. *J. Cell Biol.* **218**, 1055–1065 (2019).

47. Radulovic, M. *et al.* Cholesterol transfer via endoplasmic reticulum contacts mediates lysosome damage repair. *EMBO J.* **41**, (2022).

48. Vacaru, A. M. *et al.* Sphingomyelin synthase-related protein SMSr controls ceramide homeostasis in the ER. *J. Cell Biol.* **185**, 1013–1027 (2009).

49. Mesmin, B. *et al.* Sterol transfer, PI 4P consumption, and control of membrane lipid order by endogenous OSBP . *EMBO J.* **36**, 3156–3174 (2017).

50. Doench, J. G. *et al.* Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 184–191 (2016).

51. Sanjana, N. E., Shalem, O. & Zhang, F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 783–784 (2014).

52. Wijdeven, R. H. *et al.* CRISPR Activation Screening Identifies VGLL3-TEAD1-RUNX1/3 as a Transcriptional Complex for PD-L1 Expression. *J. Immunol.* (2022) doi:10.4049/JIMMUNOL.2100917.

53. Ghorasaini, M. *et al.* Cross-Laboratory Standardization of Preclinical Lipidomics Using Differential Mobility Spectrometry and Multiple Reaction Monitoring. *Anal. Chem.* **93**, 16369–16378 (2021).

54. Su, B. *et al.* A DMS Shotgun Lipidomics Workflow Application to Facilitate High-Throughput, Comprehensive Lipidomics. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **32**, 2655–2663 (2021).

55. Faas, F. G. A. *et al.* Virtual nanoscopy: generation of ultra-large high resolution electron microscopy maps. *J. Cell Biol.* **198**, 457–469 (2012).

56. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).

57. Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 679–682 (2022).

58. Evans, R. *et al.* Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv* 2021.10.04.463034 (2022) doi:10.1101/2021.10.04.463034.

A lipid transfer protein knockout library reveals ORP9-ORP11 dimer mediating PS/PI(4)P exchange at the ER-*trans* Golgi contact site to promote sphingomyelin synthesis

Authors:

Birol Cabukusta[1,§], Shalom Borst Pauwels[1], Jimmy J.L.L. Akkermans[1], Niek Blomberg[2], Aat A.Mulder[3], Roman I. Koning[3], Martin Giera[2] and Jacques Neefjes[1]

[1]Cell and Chemical Biology, Oncode Institute, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands
[2] Centre for Proteomics and Metabolomics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands
[3] Electron Microscopy Facility, Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands

[§]Correspondence to b.cabukusta@lumc.nl

Figures

Figure 1: Lipidomics analysis of a LTP knockout library revealed known and candidate controllers of cellular lipid levels.

(A) Overview of the arrayed gene knockout library targeting LTPs. NT: non-targeting. (B) Western blotting of the selected wells showing the efficiency of the LTP knockout library on MelJuSo cells. gNT: Non-targeting, control cells. (C) Summary plot of the lipidomics analysis: Z-scores calculated for 17 lipid classes are plotted. Each data point represents the mean Z-score of an LTP knockout cell line; size and color of data points represent the standard deviation of Z-scores for 3 experiments on average. PC: phosphatidylcholine, LPC: lyso-phosphatidylcholine, PE: phosphatidylethanolamine, LPE: lyso-phosphatidylethanolamine, PS: phosphatidylserine, PI: phosphatidylinositol, PA: phosphatidic acid, PG: phosphatidylglycerol, DCer: dihydroxyceramide, Cer: ceramide, SM: sphingomyelin, HexCer: hexosylceramide, LacCer: lactosylceramide, DG: diacylglycerol, TG: triacylglycerol, CE: cholesterol ester, FFA: free fatty acids.

Figure 2: NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells accumulate sphingomyelin in lysosomes.
(A) GLTP knockouts show decreased glucosylceramide levels compared to control cells. GlcCer: glucosylceramide (B) NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells have increased sphingolipids levels compared to control cells. (C) Increased sphingolipid levels in NPC1 and NPC2 knockouts are represented in all sphingolipid classes. (D) Immunofluorescence images of NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells permeabilized and stained with recombinant GFP-EQT show the accumulation of sphingomyelin in the lysosomes compared to the parental MelJuSo (MJS) cells. Pixel intensities of the images were adjusted evenly. Scale bar 10μm. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of cells stained with EQT-GFP, CTB-Alexa568 and CD63-V450 on the cell surface. Analysis demonstrates the accumulation of sphingomyelin (EQT-GFP) and the glycosphingolipid GM1 (CTB-Alexa568) on the cell surface without affecting the surface protein levels. (F) Mean fluorescence intensities for each staining normalized to control cells from 3 experiments. Red lines and error bars correspond to mean and standard deviations from 3 experiments, respectively. Approximately 2000 cells were analyzed per experiment.

Figure 3: CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells demonstrate reduced sphingomyelin levels.
(A) CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts demonstrate decreased sphingomyelin and increased glucosylceramide levels. (B) Schematic representation of *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis pathway of mammalian cells. (C) Decreased sphingomyelin and increased glucosylceramide levels observed in knockout cells are present in various sphingolipid subspecies. (D) Similar to CERT knockouts, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts are sensitive to methyl-β-cyclodextrin treatment. Red lines correspond to mean values from 4 experiments. (E) Western blot of knockout cells showing that the loss of ORP9 or ORP11 does not affect CERT protein levels. (F) ORP9 and ORP11 localize at the Golgi apparatus. Scale bar 10μm. (G) Domain architecture of CERT, ORP9 and ORP11. All proteins contain PH domains for Golgi localization. CERT and ORP9, but not ORP11, contain a FFAT motif for interacting with VAP proteins.

Figure 4: ORP9 dimerization is critical for ER localization of ORP11.
(A) AlphaFold revealing coiled coils in ORP9 and ORP11. (B) AlphaFold-Multimer shows that coiled coils of ORP9 and ORP11 interacting with each other. (C) ColabFold protein complex prediction for full length ORP9 and ORP11 shows the dimerization via coiled coils (arrow). (D) Position aligned error for panel C. Note that the interaction of coils has a lower score (yellow arrows). (E) Coiled coils of ORP9 and ORP11 is sufficient to define their localization as ORP11 coiled coils colocalizes with the mitochondria-targeted ORP9 coiled coils at mitochondria. Scale bar: 10µm. (F) Colocalization analysis of immunofluorescent images corresponding to panel E. Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells. (G) Co-immunoprecipitation confirming that the coils of ORP9 and ORP11 interact with each other. (H) Immunofluorescence images of PH domain localizations. Compared to individual PH domains of ORP9 and ORP11, ORP9-ORP11 chimera demonstrates better affinity towards the Golgi. Scale bar: 10µm. (I) Colocalization analysis of immunofluorescent images corresponding to panel H. Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells. (J) Co-immunoprecipitation analysis of VAPA, ORP9 and ORP11 from over-expressing cells. Despite lacking a FFAT motif, ORP11 interacts with the ER-resident

VAPA protein. This interaction is facilitated by the FFAT motif of ORP9 as a VAPA mutant unable to interact with FFAT motifs (VAPA**) was unable to co-precipitate ORP9 or ORP11.

Figure 5: ORP9 and ORP11 dimerization is critical for their Golgi localization.
(A) Immunofluorescence images of cells stained for ORP9. ORP9 fails to localize at the Golgi as efficiently in ORP11 knockout cells. (B) Colocalization analysis of immunofluorescent images corresponding to panel A. Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells. (C) Immunofluorescence images of cells stained using an ORP11 antibody. Similar to ORP9, ORP11 fails to localize at the Golgi as efficiently in ORP9 knockout cells. Note that the effect of ORP9 loss on ORP11 localization is more dramatic than vice versa. (D) Colocalization analysis of immunofluorescent images from panel C. Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells. All scale bars are 10μm.

Figure 6: ORP9 and ORP11 are essential for PS and PI(4)P levels in the Golgi apparatus.

(A) Phylogenetic tree of human OSBP-related domains showing that ORP9 and ORP11 belong to the PS transporter branch. (B) Schematic representation of FRET-based lipid transfer assay. Rhodamine in the donor vesicles quenches NBD fluorescence unless NBD-labeled lipid is transferred to acceptor liposomes. (C) PS transfer activity of ORP9-ORD and ORP11-ORD (ORD9 and ORD11, respectively) is promoted by addition of PI(4)P to acceptor liposomes, suggesting counter transfer of PI(4)P. (D) The PI(4)P sensor OSBP-PH localizes more prominently to the Golgi area in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells. (E) Golgi quantification of the PI(4)P sensor confirms the accumulation of PI(4)P at this organelle. This phenotype was rescued by reconstitution of the missing protein in knockout cells (shown with a dagger). Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells. (F) The PS sensor Lact-C2 localizes less prominently to the Golgi in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells. (G) Golgi quantification of the PS sensor RFP-LactC2 indicates reduced PS levels in this organelle. This phenotype could be rescued by reconstitution of the missing protein in knockout cells (shown with a dagger). Red lines correspond to mean values from 3 experiments; n is number of analyzed cells.

Figure 7: ORP9 and ORP11 are needed for *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis in the Golgi apparatus.
(A) Thin-layer chromatography readout of the enzymatic activity assay performed in lysates reveals the unreduced sphingomyelin synthesis capacity of knockout cells. (B) Quantification of the enzymatic activity assays corresponding to panel A. Note the increased GlcCer production capacity in the knockout cells. Red lines and error bars correspond to mean and standard deviation from 5 experiments, respectively. (C) Graphic representation of experiments using palmitic acid alkyne for monitoring *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis. Alkyne modified sphingolipids are "clicked" with azido-coumarin before thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis. (D) TLC readout of *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis assay in intact cells using palmitic acid alkyne. Knockout cells demonstrate reduced conversion of palmitic acid to sphingomyelin. FA: fatty acid, SM: sphingomyelin, LPE: lyso-O-phosphatidylethanolamine (E) Quantification of *de novo* sphingomyelin synthesis assay from panel C. Red lines and error bars correspond to mean and standard deviation from 5 experiments, respectively. (F) Simplified representation of Golgi-targeted lipidomics and enzymatic activity assays. (G)

Western blot confirming the immunomagnetic isolation of *trans* Golgi membranes. Cross-reactivity to Protein G and/or Golgin-97 IgG were labeled with asterisks. (H-J) Lipidomics analysis of Golgi isolates show lowered PS levels in the Golgi of ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells. Same Golgi fractions have reduced sphingomyelin but increased ceramide levels. Each colored line corresponds to a lipid species. Data points and the error bars correspond to mean and standard deviations from 4 experiments. (K) TLC readout of enzymatic activity assay performed in isolated Golgi from knockout cells. Golgi of ORP11 and especially that of ORP9 demonstrate lowered capacity to synthesize sphingomyelin. (L) Quantification of sphingomyelin synthesis activity assay performed in Golgi isolates corresponding to panel I.

Figure 8: Model of ORP9-ORP11 mediated promotion of sphingomyelin synthesis at the ER-trans Golgi contact site.

ORP9 and ORP11 require dimerization with each other to localize at ER-*trans* Golgi membrane contact sites, where they exchange PS for PI(4)P. Consequently loss of either protein causes PS and PI(4)P imbalances in the Golgi apparatus. Since only ORP9 contains a FFAT motif, its loss leads to a more pronounced effect on PS, PI(4)P and sphingolipid levels. The same contact site also accommodates OSBP and CERT for the anterograde trafficking of cholesterol and ceramide, the latter is used for sphingomyelin production. Cholesterol, sphingomyelin and PS are trafficked to the plasma membrane by vesicular means to be asymmetrically distributed between the leaflets of the plasma membrane.

Supplementary Figure 1: Glucosylceramide is the main hexosylceramide in MelJuSo cells.
(A) MelJuSo cells silenced for glucosylceramide synthase (siUGCG) or galactosylceramide synthase (siUGT8) or treated with the glucosylceramide synthase inhibitor (PDMP) were fed with the fluorescent ceramide analog BODIPY-C5-ceramide. Lipids were isolated and separated by thin-layer chromatography. Note that the fluorescent band corresponding to monohexosylceramide is affected only when glucosylceramide synthesis is perturbed. (B) Quantifications corresponding to panel A. Bars and error bars correspond to mean and standard deviations from 4 experiments, respectively.

Supplementary Figure 2: Overview of lipidomics analysis performed for LTP knockout library.
(A) Percentage distribution of 17 lipid classes in LTP knockout cells; each data point represents the mean percentage of an LTP knockout cell line. (B) Box plots of Z-scores of NT control cells. Note that Z-scores for NT control cells do not exceed the absolute value of 2. PC: phosphatidylcholine, LPC: lysophosphatidylcholine, PE: phosphatidylethanolamine, LPE: lysophosphatidylethanolamine, PS: phosphatidylserine, PA: phosphatidic acid, PG: phosphatidylglycerol, PI: phosphatidylinositol, DCer: dihydroceramide, Cer: ceramide, SM: sphingomyelin, HexCer: hexosyl-ceramide, LacCer: lactosylceramide, DG: diacylglycerol, TG: triacylglycerol, CE: cholesterol ester, and FFA: free fatty acid.

Supplementary Figure 3: GLTP, NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells do not display major phospholipid imbalances.

(A, B) Lipidomics analysis of GLTP knockouts show lowered glucosylceramide levels that is represented in various acyl chain species. (C) GLTP knockouts do not present altered phospholipid levels. (D) Lipidomics analysis of NPC1 and NPC2 knockout cells do not display major changes in their phospholipid repertoire. (E) Coomassie Blue staining of recombinant EQT-GFP.

Supplementary Figure 4: Sphingolipid imbalances in CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts are represented in multiple acyl chain species.
(A) CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts do not display major phospholipid imbalances. (B) Reduced sphingomyelin and increased glucosylceramide levels in CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells is observed in multiple acyl chain species. Lipid levels are normalized to the POPC (16:0/18:1) levels.

Supplementary Figure 5: CERT, ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts do not display defects in Golgi morphology.

(A) Immunofluorescence staining for *cis* and *trans* Golgi markers, GM130 and Golgin-97 respectively, do not show any changes in ORP9, ORP11 and CERT knockouts. Scale bar 10µm. (B) Electron microscopy images for ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts do not display any changes in Golgi morphology. Scale bar 250nm.

F

Uniprot	Untr	BirA	VAPA	VAPB	MOSPD1	MOSPD2	MOSPD3
OSBL9_HUMAN	0,0,0	0,0,4	33,35,50	33,26,38	0,0,3	0,2,3	0,0,2
OSB11_HUMAN	0,0,0	4,0,10	57,35,55	44,25,47	3,2,6	0,0,4	0,0,6
OSBP1_HUMAN	0,0,0	0,0,0	15,14,16	15,11,7	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0

Supplementary Figure 6: ORP9 and ORP11 interact with each other via their coiled coils.
(A) AlphaFold prediction of ORP9 and ORP11 reveals secondary structures between their PH and ORD domains. (B) PCOILS predicts coiled coils in the same of both proteins. Residues corresponding to coiled coils are highlighted. (C) AlphaFold-multimer / ColabFold prediction for ORP9-ORP11 coils dimerization. (D) Predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) and position aligned error plots for panel C. (E) Predicted local distance difference test for figure 4C. (F) Unique peptide counts from BioID experiments performed with VAPA, VAPB, MOSPD1, MOSPD2, and MOSPD3 from Cabukusta *et al.* 2020. Both ORP9 and ORP11, despite latter lacking a FFAT motif, detected in BioID experiments with VAPA and VAPB.

Supplementary Figure 7: ORP9 and ORP11 are needed for maintaining phospholipid levels in the Golgi.
(A) Coomassie Blue staining of recombinant OSBP-related domains of ORP9 and ORP11. (B) ORP9 and ORP11 contain the critical residues for PS transfer. (C) NBD/Rhodamine FRET-based lipid transfer assay using the OSBP-related domains of ORP9 and ORP11, ORD9 and ORD11 respectively, demonstrate the PS trafficking ability between vesicles *in vitro*. (D) Intracellular localization of GFP-OSBP-PH and RFP-Lact-C2 in MelJuSo cells.

Supplementary Figure 8: Loss of ORP9 or ORP11 does not affect sphingomyelin synthase levels.
(A) Western blot analysis showing that the protein two human sphingomyelin synthases, SMS1 and SMS2, are not affected in ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts. (B) qRT-PCR showing that mRNA levels of SMS1 and SMS2 are not affected dramatically in knockout cells. Two primer sets per protein each was used (shown with roman numbers). Red lines correspond to mean values. (C) Thin-layer chromatography analysis visualizing the conversion of palmitic acid alkyne to sphingomyelin. To define the band corresponding to sphingomyelin, cells were treated with PDMP or with a cocktail of fumonisin B1 and myriocin prior to alkaline hydrolysis.

Supplementary Figure 9: CERT is not less present in the Golgi of ORP9 and ORP11 knockouts.
(A) Immunofluorescent staining of ORP9, ORP11 and CERT knockout cells using two antibodies against CERT. Note that antibodies fail to stain the Golgi in CERT knockouts. (B) Quantifications corresponding to panel A. Loss of ORP9 or ORP11 do not reduce CERT localization at the Golgi. Note that loss of ORP11 increases CERT localization at the Golgi, shown by two antibodies. Red lines correspond to mean from 3 experiments.

Supplementary Figure 10: Immunomagnetic isolation yields to intact Golgi membranes.
(A) Sphingomyelin synthase enzymatic activity assay performed on immunomagnetic Golgi isolates shows that the isolated membranes are intact and enzymatically active. Sphingomyelin synthases contain six transmembrane helices and require intact membrane for activity (Tafesse et al. 2006 "The Multigenic Sphingomyelin Synthase Family" J Biol Chem Volume 281, Issue 40). (B) Western blotting of immunomagnetic Golgi isolations from knockout cells using Golgin-97 antibody. (C) Amount of lipids isolated from samples in

the absence or presence of the *trans* Golgi specific antibody Golgin-97. The plot is based on 16 data points obtained from n=4 experiments. Data points and error bars correspond to mean and standard deviations, respectively. (D) Distribution of PS species. (E) Distribution of sphingomyelin species. (F) Distribution of ceramide species.

Supplementary Figure 11: Golgi localization of sphingomyelin synthases is not reduced in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells.

(A) Immunofluorescence images showing localization of sphingomyelin synthases in ORP9 and ORP11 knockout cells. (B) Quantification of images corresponding to panel A. Note that the localization of SMS1 or SMS2 is not reduced in the knockout cells. An increased localization for SMS1 in the Golgi apparatus in ORP9 knockout cells is detected by two antibodies. Red lines correspond to mean from 3 experiments.