Single-component multilayered self-assembling protein nanoparticles displaying extracellular domains of matrix protein 2 as a pan-influenza A vaccine

Keegan Braz Gomes[1][#], Yi-Nan Zhang[1][#], Yi-Zong Lee[1], Mor Eldad[1], Alexander Lim[1], Garrett Ward[1], Sarah Auclair[1], Linling He[1], and Jiang Zhu[1,2]*

[1] Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

[2] Department of Immunology and Microbiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to:

JZ: Phone: (858) 784-8157; Email: jiang@scripps.edu

ABSTRACT (150 words)

The development of a cross-protective pan-influenza A vaccine remains a significant challenge.

Here, we designed and characterized single-component, self-assembling protein nanoparticles (1c-

SApNPs) presenting the conserved extracellular domain of matrix protein 2 (M2e) from influenza

A viruses of human and other hosts. Vaccination with tandem repeats of M2e (M2ex3) displayed

on 1c-SApNPs demonstrated higher survival and lower weight loss compared to the soluble M2ex3

antigen against lethal challenges of H1N1 and H3N2 in mice. The mechanism of vaccine-induced

adaptive immunity was also investigated in mice. Compared with the soluble M2ex3 antigen, the

M2ex3 I3-01v9a 1c-SApNP formulated with a squalene-based adjuvant showed 672 times longer

follicular retention, 31 times greater exposure within follicular dendritic cell networks, and up to

2.5 times stronger germinal center reactions in lymph nodes. By inducing robust and durable M2e-

specific functional antibody and T cell responses, the M2ex3-presenting I3-01v9a 1c-SApNP

provides a promising pan-influenza A vaccine candidate.

ONE-SENTENCE SUMMARY (125 characters)

Protein nanoparticles displaying tandem M2e elicit robust and durable immunity that may protect

against influenza A viruses of diverse origins.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza (flu) is a respiratory disease caused by influenza viruses of the Orthomyxoviridae family (*1-5*). Influenza viruses are enveloped negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses (*6*) that can be classified as type A, B, C, or D, with influenza A and B viruses (IAVs and IBVs) posing a major threat to human health. The most abundant surface glycoprotein, hemagglutinin (HA), binds the host cell receptors and facilitates cell entry (*7, 8*). Under the host's immune selection pressure, HA can acquire amino acid substitutions that lead to escape mutants (*8*). Another surface glycoprotein, neuraminidase (NA), aids in the release of viral particles through cleavage of residues on the host cell's surface (*9, 10*). Matrix protein 1 (M1) is involved in virus budding, while matrix protein 2 (M2) functions as a proton channel to facilitate the maintenance of pH during viral entry and viral replication in host cells (*11*). IAVs can be classified into subtypes based on the antigenic properties of HA and NA (*4*), with H1N1 and H3N2 being responsible for most human infections (*8*). IBVs have a single HA/NA subtype, which can be classified into two lineages, Victoria and Yamagata (*1, 2*). IAVs can infect many hosts, whereas IBVs are restricted to humans (*12*).

Seasonal flu vaccines have been used as a cost-effective public health tool since the 1940s (*13-15*). Current flu vaccines are typically quadrivalent, covering two IAV subtypes (H1N1 and H3N2) and two IBV lineages (Victoria and Yamagata), and are produced in chicken eggs (*16*). As a result, current flu vaccines mainly generate strain-specific neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) and may not protect against mismatched seasonal strains or more distinct strains generated through "antigenic drift", during which HA and NA accummulate small mutations over time. Occasionally, IAVs have the potential to cause global pandemics through "antigenic shift", in which HAs and NAs from different host species recombine to form novel IAV strains against which the human population lacks pre-existing immunity (*17*). Viral reassortment resulting in highly pathogenic

avian influenza (HPAI) acquiring animal-to-human transmissibility has been on the rise in recent

years. As of 2021, there have been 863 cases of HPAI H5N1 and 66 cases of H5N6 in humans,

with a > 50% fatality rate (*18*). Therefore, there is an urgent need for cross-protective flu vaccines

(*16*), especially for potential pandemic strains originating from diverse animal reservoirs (*19*).

Various antigen and vaccine strategies have been explored to develop a universal influenza

vaccine (*20-27*). One strategy targets conserved internal proteins, such as nucleoprotein and M1,

to induce influenza-specific T cell responses (*28*). A second strategy aims to generate broadly

neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) to the conserved regions of HA, such as the stem and parts within

the head domain (*29-32*), and of NA (*33*). Notably, the highly conserved ectodomain of the M2

protein (M2e) presents an attractive target for universal IAV vaccine development (*30, 34-37*)

because of the sequence conservation across IAVs and functional importance of the M2 proton

channel to virus fitness and replication. Although M2e is small (~23 aa) and poorly immunogenic,

it can be conjugated to large carriers to elicit antibody responses that effectively reduce viral

replication (*38*). Unlike HA and NA, M2e-specific antibodies protect via FcγR-dependent

mechanisms, such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP),

rather than direct neutralization (*37, 39-41*). Various carriers have been used to increase the

immunogenicity of M2e vaccines, including hepatitis B core protein (HBc) (*39*), tobacco mosaic

virus (TMV) coat protein (*42*), keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) (*38*), rotavirus NSP4 (*43*),

GCN4 (*44*), bacterial flagellin (*45*), and liposomes (*46*). Early human trials confirmed the

immunogenicity and tolerance of M2e vaccines but also revealed several weaknesses. While an

adjuvanted M2e-HBc fusion protein (*39*) induced a short-lived anti-M2e antibody response, an

M2e-flagellin fusion vaccine (*45*) caused undesirable side effects at higher doses. A vaccine

combining M2e with cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) epitopes (*47*) induced strong cellular

immunity, but the response was narrow and slow, making it unsuitable for effectively mitigating

a future influenza pandemic. These clinical trials highlight the challenges facing M2e-based

vaccine development (*35, 48*), as well as the importance of vaccine carriers, adjuvants, balanced

antibody and T cell responses, and durability.

In recent studies, we have demonstrated a rational vaccine strategy that combines antigen

optimization and nanoparticle (NP) display. This strategy was inspired by the success of virus-like

particles (VLPs), which can be used as vaccines against cognate viruses or as carriers to improve

the immunogenicity of subdominant antigens (*49-56*). Due to their inherent complexity, VLPs can

be challenging to produce with yield, purity, and quality acceptable for clinical use. However,

protein NPs can be constructed to mimic the size and antigen display of VLPs, with the capability

of displaying diverse antigens. We have previously designed multilayered single-component self-

assembling protein nanoparticles (1c-SApNP) based on bacterial proteins E2p and I3-01 that can

self-assemble into 60-mers of 22-25 nm (*57-62*). Genetic fusion of an antigen to the N-terminus

and a locking domain (LD) and pan-reactive T cell epitope (PADRE) to the C-terminus of an NP

subunit creates a "vaccine plasmid" encoding a single polypeptide, which will form a protein shell

with an array of antigens on the outside, a stabilizing inner LD layer, and a hydrophobic PADRE

core. These 1c-SApNPs can be expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells with high yield

and purity, and have been applied successfully to vaccines targeting HIV-1 (*57, 61, 62*), HCV (*60*),

Ebola virus (EBOV) (*58*), and SARS-CoV-1/2 (*59, 63*). Notably, these 1c-SApNPs can remain in

lymph nodes for weeks to interact with immune cells and generate robust germinal center (GC)

reactions, whereas individual soluble antigens are cleared within a few hours.

In this study, we rationally designed M2e-presenting 1c-SApNPs as cross-protective, pan-

influenza A vaccine candidates and characterized them both in vitro and in vivo. We first designed

I3-01v9a, a new version of the I3-01v9 1c-SApNP, for optimal presentation of monomeric antigens.

We then displayed human M2e (hM2e) on ferritin (FR), E2p, and I3-01v9a 1c-SApNPs, along

with a trimeric scaffold, for initial assessment. Following detailed in vitro characterization, these

hM2e immunogens were tested in mice, which were sequentially challenged with mouse-adapted

H1N1 and H3N2 after a two-dose vaccination. Based on the results, we next displayed tandem

copies of M2e (human, avian and swine), termed M2ex3, on the same carriers and characterized

these immunogens following a similar protocol. M2ex3 presented on 1c-SApNPs elicited

significantly higher M2e-specific antibody and T cell responses in immunized mice compared with

the soluble M2ex3 antigen. As a result, mice immunized with M2ex3-presenting 1c-SApNPs

showed higher survival against lethal heterosubtypic challenges. In the mechanistic analysis, the

M2ex3 1c-SApNPs exhibited prolonged retention (8 weeks) in lymph node follicles and generated

robust GC reactions, which may explain the vaccine-induced immunity and protection. Therefore,

the tandem M2e presented on an optimized I3-01v9a 1c-SApNP may provide an effective vaccine

candidate for durable cross-protection against seasonal and pandemic influenza A viruses.

RESULTS

Rational design of an I3-01v9a NP scaffold for presenting monomeric antigens

In our early studies, we utilized 24-mer ferritin (FR) and two 60-mers, E2p and I3-01, to display

HIV-1 and HCV antigens (*57, 60*). Locking domains (LDs) and a CD4 T-helper epitope (PADRE)

were later incorporated into E2p and I3-01 (and its variant I3-01v9) to generate "multilayered" NP

carriers, which were successfully used to display stabilized EBOV glycoprotein (GP) trimers (*58*),

SARS-CoV-1/2 spikes (*59, 63*), and HIV-1 envelope (Env) trimers (*57*) for vaccine development.

Notably, the I3-01 NP scaffold appeared to be particularly amendable to structural modification,

with multiple design variants tested in our previous studies (*57-61*). In this study, we rationally

optimized the I3-01v9 NP scaffold to improve the surface display of monomeric protein antigens

(**fig. S1**). The N-termini of I3-01v9 forms a wide triangle of 50.5 Å, making it more suitable than

E2p for displaying monomeric antigens. However, the first amino acid (the antigen anchoring site)

is below the NP surface, and as a result, a long flexible peptide linker must be used to connect the

antigen to the I3-01v9 N-terminus, leading to the increased structural instability of the antigen-NP

fusion constructs. Here, we hypothesized that extending the I3-01v9 N-terminal helix would allow

its first residue to reach the NP surface, and consequently, a short peptide linker between the

antigen and NP backbone would be sufficient. A computational procedure was devised to facilitate

rational design (**fig. S1**). Briefly, the backbone of a helix (residues 953-982) from a c-MYC

transcription factor protein (PDB ID: 6G6L) was grafted onto an I3-01v9 subunit by using residues

Glu2 and Glu3 of I3-01v9 for structural fitting. The extended N-terminal helix was then truncated

to 11 residues so that its first residue would be just above the NP surface. Then, a protein structure

sampling program, CONCOORD (*64*), was used to generate 1,000 slightly perturbed

conformations for the modified I3-01v9 subunit. Next, an ensemble-based protein design program

that was previously used to optimize HIV-1 Env trimers (*57*) and HCV E2 cores (*60*) was

employed to predict the sequence for the first 9 residues of the 11-residue segment using C_α and

C_β-based RAPDF scoring functions (*65*). The final design, termed I3-01v9a, was determined by

combining results from predictions using both scoring functions (**fig. S1**).

Human M2e (hM2e) on multilayered 1c-SApNPs as human influenza A vaccines

The M2 protein from IAVs is a highly conserved proton channel, with a small ectodomain of 24

amino acids in length (M2e) (*35*). Anti-human M2e (hM2e) antibodies have been shown to reduce

viral replication, thus decreasing clinical symptoms and severity of the disease. In the immunogen

design, M2e is hereafter defined as residues 2-24 excluding the first amino acid (M1).

Previously, we rationally redesigned viral antigens and engineered antigen-presenting

SApNPs for in vitro and in vivo characterization (*57-62*). Following a similar strategy, we

designed an hM2e scaffold and three SApNP constructs. The crystal structures of hM2e in complex

with antibodies mAb65 and mAb148 (*66, 67*) indicate that hM2e is flexible and can adopt different

conformations upon antibody binding. MAb65 recognizes a short turn of Pro10 to Asn13, whereas

mAb148 binds to an N-terminal epitope (Ser2-Glu8). We first utilized a capsid-stabilizing protein

of lambdoid phage 21, SHP (PDB ID: 1TD0), as a trimeric scaffold to present hM2e. With a 5GS

linker, two hM2e epitopes would span ~9.1 nm (measured at Pro10) when all three 1TD0-attached

hM2e segments were in a fully open conformation (**Fig. 1A**). We then displayed hM2e on FR 24-

mer and reengineered E2p and I3-01v9a 60-mers, all with a 5GS linker (**Fig. 1A**). Molecular

modeling revealed well-spaced hM2e peptides on the particle surface, with diameters of 20.9 nm,

29.1 nm, and 32.4 nm for FR, E2p, and I3-01v9, respectively (**Fig. 1A**). Following a similar

terminology, the "multilayered" E2p and I3-01v9a are named E2p-LD4-PADRE (or simply E2p-

L4P) and I3-01v9a-LD7-PADRE (or simply I3-01v9a-L7P), respectively. One hM2e scaffold and

three hM2e-presenting SApNPs were subjected to in vitro characterization.

All four hM2e constructs (**fig. S2A**) were transiently expressed in 25 ml ExpiCHO cells,

purified by immunoaffinity chromatography (*68*) using mAb65 or mAb148 columns, and analyzed

by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (**Fig. 1B**). The SEC profiles indicated high yield for

hM2e-5GS-1TD0 and hM2e-5GS-FR and, in contrast, a notably lower yield for hM2e-5GS-I3-

01v9a-L7P, as shown by the ultraviolet absorbance at 280 nm (UV$_{280}$). Among the four constructs,

hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P had the lowest yield. Of note, all three SApNPs showed two SEC peaks at 8-

9 ml and 13-14 ml, corresponding to different NP species. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide

gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) under reducing conditions showed bands for hM2e-5GS-1TD0

(13.9 kDa), FR (21.0 kDa), E2p-L4P (38.9 kDa) and I3-01v9a-L7P (33.3 kDa) that were consistent

with their calculated molecular weights (MW) (**Fig. 1C**, left). Blue native-polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) confirmed the high purity of SApNP samples after IAC using an

mAb148 column, displaying a single high-MW band for each SApNP with no sign of unassembled

species (**Fig. 1C**, right). The structural integrity of IAC-purified SApNPs was validated by

negative-stain electron microscopy (nsEM), which showed distinct morphologies for three hM2e-

presenting SApNPs (**Fig. 1D**). Notably, hM2e-presenting SApNPs appeared to form "clusters" in

solution, which likely correspond to the high-MW peak (8-9 ml) in their SEC profiles (**Fig. 1B**).

Analysis of mAb148-purified SApNPs by dynamic light scattering (DLS) revealed larger-than-

expected "particle" size for FR (57.2 nm), E2p-L4p (69.6 nm), and I3-01v9a-L7P (46.1 nm) (**Fig.**

1E), consistent with the nsEM results. Interestingly, DLS analysis of the SEC fraction (13-14 ml)

of an mAb148-purified hM2e-5GS-FR sample still showed three particle size populations,

suggesting that cluster formation is an intrinsic feature of hM2e-presenting SApNPs (**fig. S2B**).

Differential screening calorimetry (*39*) was used to quantify the thermostability of these hM2e

constructs. Thermograms were obtained for hM2e-5GS-1TD0 and hM2e-5GS-FR, which showed

a melting temperature (T_m) of 72.5-72.8°C and a similar T_{onset} of 57.9-58.7°C (Fig. 1F). For the

two large 60-mers, heating, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and nsEM were

combined to estimate thermostability. Briefly, SApNP samples were heated to 50°C, 60°C, and

70°C for 15 min prior to ELISA analysis against mAb148 and mAb65 (**Fig. 1G**, **fig. S2C**) and

nsEM (**fig. S2D**). While antibody binding, measured by half maximal effective concentration

(EC_{50}), largely remained comparable within the temperature range of 4-70°C, nsEM images

showed signs of irregular particle shapes at 70°C, suggesting that the melting points for hM2e-

5GS-E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P may be between 60 and 70°C. Lastly, we performed bio-layer

interferometry (BLI) to quantify antibody binding kinetics for the four hM2e immunogens.

Although the three SApNPs outperformed the trimeric hM2e scaffold regardless of the antibody

tested (**Fig. 1H**, **fig. S2E**), mAb148 and mAb65 showed different profiles with stronger binding

observed between mAb65 and the two large 60-meric SApNPs (**fig. S2E**).

In summary, hM2e can be successfully displayed on all three SApNPs, consistent with our

previous studies where stabilized HIV-1 (*57, 61, 62*), HCV (*60*), EBOV (*58*), and SARS-CoV-1/2

(*59, 63*) antigens were displayed on the NP surface. Extensive biochemical, biophysical, structural,

and antigenic characterizations provided detailed in vitro profiles of hM2e-presenting 1c-SApNPs,

thus allowing evaluation of these vaccine immunogens in vivo.

In vivo evaluation of a scaffolded hM2e trimer and hM2e-presenting 1c-SApNPs in mice

The immunogenicity and protective efficacy of hM2e trimer and hM2e-presenting SApNPs were

evaluated in BALB/c mice. First, mouse-adapted A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) H1N1 and A/Hong

Kong/1/1968 (HK/68) H3N2 were grown in MDCK cells, and various dilutions of the propagated

viruses were used to challenge mice to establish a 50% lethal dose in mice (LD$_{50}$) (**Fig. 2A**).

Plaque-forming units (PFU) of the virus were measured via plaque assay. Using survival rates of

mice at various viral dilutions, the Reed-Muench and Spearman-Karber methods (*69, 70*) were

used to calculate the 50% endpoint titers for survival. For a stock of 3.8×10^5 PFU/ml PR8 (H1N1),

the LD$_{50}$ was determined to be 12 PFU/ml, with the LD$_{50} \times 10$ calculated to be 120 PFU/ml. For a

stock of 5.5×10^4 PFU/mL of HK/68 (H3N2), the LD$_{50}$ was determined to be 1.2×10^4 PFU/ml,

with the LD$_{50} \times 10$ determined to be 1.2×10^5 PFU/ml.

Groups of BALB/c mice were immunized at weeks 0 and 3 with hM2e vaccines adjuvanted

with aluminum phosphate (AP) and challenged at weeks 6 and 10 with mouse-adapted H1N1 and

H3N2, respectively (**Fig. 2B**). Survival and weight loss were measured after sequential challenges

with $LD_{50} \times 10$ of PR8 (H1N1) and HK/68 (H3N2) (**Fig. 2C**). For the PR8 H1N1 challenge, all naïve mice succumbed to the challenge by day 8. In the hM2e-5GS-1TD0 (trimer) group, 80% of mice died by day 9, with 20% of mice surviving the challenge. In contrast, 100% of mice survived in the FR, E2p, and I3-01v9a SApNP groups. Similar trends were observed in the average peak weight loss, with naïve mice losing the most weight ($22.1 \pm 1.3\%$). Similarly, 1TD0 mice lost 19.1 $\pm 3.3\%$ of their total body weight on average. Among the hM2e SApNP groups, FR mice lost 12.1 $\pm 8.4\%$ of their total body weight on average, E2p mice lost $16.4 \pm 3.9\%$, and I3-01 lost the least weight with an average of $10.6 \pm 4.5\%$. In the positive control group, mice immunized with inactivated PR8 H1N1 lost the least weight in the strain-matched challenge, with an average loss of $6.5 \pm 3.8\%$. For the second challenge with HK/68 H3N2, the lowest survival was observed for the inactivated PR8 group, with only 56% of mice surviving the heterologous challenge. The two surviving 1TD0 mice from the previous challenge and all SApNP mice survived the HK/68 H3N2 challenge. Following a similar trend, the highest body weight loss was observed for the inactivated PR8 group with an average peak weight loss of $16.9 \pm 4.9\%$. All hM2e vaccine groups showed lower peak weight loss with $10.8 \pm 2.4\%$, $4.4 \pm 4.0\%$, $6.2 \pm 3.4\%$, and $7.9 \pm 2.8\%$ for 1TD0, FR, E2p, and I3-01v9a, respectively. The hM2e-binding antibody response in mouse serum was assessed by ELISA using an hM2e-5GS-foldon trimer (**Fig. 2D**, **fig. S3A**). The hM2e SApNP groups demonstrated superior serum binding, measured by EC_{50} titers, at all the time points tested, with the greatest fold increase observed at week 5 for FR (31.2), E2p (52.3), and I3-01v9a (42.8) compared to the 1TD0 group (**Fig. 2D**). The hM2e vaccine groups showed the highest antibody EC_{50} titers 4 weeks after the H3N2 challenge at week 14.

In summary, hM2e SApNPs significantly outperformed the soluble hM2e trimer in the vaccination/viral challenge experiment, showing higher survival and lower weight loss that were

well-correlated with the heightened M2e-specific serum antibody titers. These hM2e SApNPs also

demonstrated cross-protection against H1N1 and H3N2 challenges, whereas the inactivated PR8

H1N1 vaccine only protected against the strain-matched challenge.

Tandem M2e (M2e×3) on multilayered 1c-SApNPs as pan-influenza A vaccines

The effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccines range between 10 and 60% as estimated by the

U.S. Flu Vaccine Effectiveness Network (*15*). In addition to antigenic drift in circulating human

influenza virus strains, the unanticipated emergence of novel strains from swine and avian hosts

often causes outbreaks with increased mortality and morbidity. Thus, a broadly protective M2e-

based influenza vaccine strategy must incorporate M2e from diverse species.

Following the same strategy for hM2e, we designed a trimeric scaffold and three SApNPs

to present tandem M2e repeats as vaccine immunogens. Briefly, the hM2e, avian/swine M2e, and

human/swine M2e sequences were linked in tandem with short G4 linkers. A structural model of

M2ex3 was generated from the crystal structure of hM2e in complex with mAb65 (PDB ID: 4N8C)

(**Fig. 3A**, left). Although this compact structural model may not represent M2ex3 conformations

in solution, it may facilitate rational design of the M2ex3 orientation on various carrier scaffolds.

For the M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 trimer, the two outmost hM2e epitopes would span ~9.4 nm (measured

at Pro10 of hM2e) when the scaffolded M2ex3 segments adopt an extended conformation (**Fig.**

3A, middle). For the three SApNPs, molecular modeling yielded diameters of 23.2 nm, 32.4 nm,

and 36.2 nm for M2ex3-5GS-FR, E2p-L4P, and I3-01v9a-L7P, respectively, measured at Pro10

of hM2e (**Fig. 3A**, right three). The display of tandem M2ex3 increased not only the particle size

but also the number of M2e epitopes, from 60 to 180, for enhanced immune recognition.

The four M2ex3 constructs (**fig. S4A**) were expressed and purified using the same strategy

as for their hM2e counterparts (**Fig. 3B**). Overall, M2ex3 immunogens showed a similar pattern

of expression yield in ExpiCHO cells, with the ranking of 1TD0 > FR > I3-01v9-L7P >> E2p-L4P.

Of note, the SEC profiles showed a less pronounced peak at 9 ml for FR and I3-01v9-L7P,

suggesting a reduced tendency to form NP clusters. Reducing SDS-PAGE showed bands on the

gel consistent with MW calculated for M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 (19.3 kDa), FR (26.3 kDa), E2p-L4P

(44.4 kDa), and I3-01v9a-L7P (38.7 kDa) (**Fig. 3C**, left). However, a second band was observed

on the gel for M2ex3-5GS-1TD0, FR, and E2p-L4P under reducing conditions. While the extra

bands for M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 and E2p-L4P may indicate higher-MW species that are resistant to

the reducing agents, the lower band noted for M2ex3-5GS-FR likely suggests degradation during

processing. Nonetheless, BN-PAGE confirmed particle assembly and purity for three M2ex3

SApNPs **(Fig. 3C**, right). Similarly, nsEM micrographs demonstrated well-formed, homogeneous

particles for all three M2ex3 SApNP samples following mAb148 purification (**Fig. 3D**). In the

DLS profiles (**Fig. 3E**), M2ex3-5GS-FR exhibited a two-peak distribution with the majority peak

showing single particles, indicated by an average size of 28.3 nm. Similarly, M2ex3-5GS-I3-

01v9a-L7P yielded a homogenous distribution consistent with single particles. In contrast, M2ex3-

5GS-E2p-L4P formed clusters, as seen in nsEM images and indicated by the DLS-derived particle

size distribution. The tandem design appeared to cause a reduction in thermostability for M2ex3-

5GS-FR, with lower T_m (60.9°C) and T_{onset} (45.7°C) values. The melting point was estimated for

the two 60-mer SApNPs using the alternative approach devised for hM2e SApNPs. Similar

antibody binding affinity, as indicated by the EC_{50} value, was observed for M2ex3 scaffolds and

SApNPs across the whole temperature range (4-70°C) (**Fig. 3G**, **fig. S4B**), although irregular

particle shapes were noted at 70°C in EM micrographs (**fig. S4C**). The interactions of M2ex3

immunogens with two human antibodies (mAb65 and mAb148) were assessed by BLI (**Fig. 3H**,

fig. S4D). The advantage of particulate display was exemplified by the higher binding signals,

similar to the case of hM2e SApNPs. Interestingly, the binding profiles (both on-rate and signals)

notably improved for M2ex3-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P (**Fig. 3H**, rightmost), suggesting that antigenicity

may be affected by both the epitope number and spacing on a particular NP scaffold. In summary,

tandem M2ex3 SApNPs exhibit greater homogeneity and antigenicity than hM2e SApNPs, while

sharing similar yield, structure, and thermostability.

Protection against influenza A virus challenge by tandem M2ex3 vaccines in mice

BALB/c mice were immunized with tandem M2ex3 vaccines adjuvanted with AP or AddaVax (a

squalene-based nanoemulsion adjuvant; AV) at weeks 0 and 3 and thereafter challenged at weeks

6 and 10 with H1N1 and H3N2, respectively (**Fig. 4A**). Survival and weight loss were recorded

for both challenges (**Fig. 4B**). After an $LD_{50} \times 10$ challenge with PR8 (H1N1), all naïve mice died

by day 8. In mice immunized with AP-adjuvanted tandem M2ex3 vaccines, 50% of 1TD0 (trimer)

mice died by Day 9. Paired with the same AP adjuvant, M2ex3 SApNPs demonstrated higher

survival rates: 88% of FR, 100% of E2p, and 100% of I3-01v9a mice survived the H1N1 challenge.

In terms of peak weight loss, naïve mice lost the most weight with an average of $21.7 \pm 2.9\%$, and

1TD0 (trimer) mice lost the second highest amount of weight ($19.4 \pm 7.3\%$), as expected for the

small soluble M2e antigen. In general, M2ex3 SApNP groups showed lower peak weight loss: FR

($15.5 \pm 8.1\%$), E2P ($11.2. \pm 3.8\%$), and I3-01v9a ($15.5 \pm 5.3\%$). Several AddaVax-adjuvanted

M2ex3 vaccine groups outperformed their AP counterparts, demonstrating both higher survival

and lower peak weight loss. The AddaVax-adjuvanted M2ex3 trimer group showed a slightly

higher survival rate at 63% compared to its AP-adjuvanted counterpart (50%). All AddaVax-

adjuvanted M2ex3 SApNPs achieved 100% survival, with an improvement noted for the M2ex3

FR group (12% higher survival compared to its AP counterpart) and no difference in the E2p and

I3-01v9a groups between the two adjuvants (all 100% survival). For peak weight loss, amongst

AddaVax-adjuvanted groups, the M2ex3 trimer showed the highest weight loss with $18.9 \pm 5.9\%$. Most M2ex3 SApNPs adjuvanted with AddaVax showed lower peak weight loss compared with their AP-adjuvanted counterparts: $13.1 \pm 7.2\%$ (FR), $12.5. \pm 7.7\%$ (E2p), and $10.0 \pm 4.2\%$ (I3-01v9a). Inactivated PR8 mice lost the least weight against the strain-matched challenge, with an average of $4.4 \pm 5.3\%$. Next, protection against the second challenge with HK/68 H3N2 was assessed (**Fig. 4B**). While all mice in the naïve group died by day 5, inactivated PR8 showed limited protection with a survival rate of 63% against the non-strain-matched challenge. All M2ex3-immunized mice that survived the prior PR8 challenge, adjuvanted with AP or AddaVax, survived the HK/68 challenge. Similar to the survival rates, the highest peak weight loss was seen in the naïve group with $19.5 \pm 2.9\%$, followed by the inactivated PR8 group with $15.7 \pm 4.9\%$. M2ex3 trimer and FR, E2p, and I3-01v9a SApNPs adjuvanted with AP showed lower weight loss with $8.9 \pm 5.2\%$, $4.7 \pm 3.2\%$, $6.5 \pm 1.9\%$, and $4.4 \pm 3.0\%$, respectively. Similarly, M2ex3 trimer and SApNP groups adjuvanted with AddaVax showed minimal weight loss: trimer with 4.3 ± 2.1, FR with $4.3 \pm 1.0\%$, E2p with $5.9 \pm 3.4\%$, and I3-01v9a with $4.9 \pm 3.3\%$. Amongst all M2ex3 vaccine formulations, M2ex3 I3-01v9a/AddaVax demonstrated the most effective protection against sequential H1N1 and H3N2 challenges in a mouse model.

The viral load in lungs of mice at day 5 post-PR8 challenge was used as another metric to evaluate the effectiveness of vaccine protection (**Fig. 4C**). Mice were immunized and challenged as described above and sacrificed at day 5 post-infection. Lungs were collected, mechanically disaggregated, and centrifuged to pellet cells. PFUs were measured in the supernatants via plaque assay. Overall, naïve mice had the highest virus load, $6.0 \times 10^5 \pm 3.3 \times 10^5$ PFU/ml. The M2ex3 trimer, FR, E2p, and I3-01v9a groups showed significantly lower viral titers, with 2.9, 7.5, 24.3, and 18.1-fold-lower virus load than the naïve group, respectively. Compared to M2ex3 trimer, FR,

E2p, and I3-01v9a showed 2.5, 8.3, and 6.3-fold-lower virus in lungs, although this difference was

not statistically significant. The mice immunized with inactivated PR8 adjuvanted with AddaVax

(positive control) did not show any detectable viral loads in lungs. Based on the criteria of survival,

weight loss, and viral load in lungs, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP/AddaVax appeared to be the most

effective vaccine among all the NP/adjuvant formulations tested.

Evaluation of M2e×3 vaccine-induced antibody responses

Both E2p and I3-01v9a SApNP groups demonstrated superior serum binding to an M2ex3-5GS-

foldon probe at all time points compared to the trimer group **(Fig. 5A**, **fig. S5A)**. The greatest fold

difference between SApNP and trimer groups was obtained at week 2, suggesting a rapid onset of

anti-M2ex3 antibody response elicited by SApNPs. When paired with AP, E2p and I3-01v9a

SApNPs yielded 31.6-fold and 83.8-fold higher EC_{50} titers than the 1TD0 trimer, whereas an even

greater fold difference, 47.6 and 102.4 respectively, was noted when these two SApNPs were

adjuvanted with AddaVax. The highest EC_{50} titers were observed for the SApNP groups at week

5, where E2p and I3-01v9a showed 6.7/5.7-fold and 4.9/4.7-fold higher EC_{50} values than the

M2ex3 trimer, respectively, when adjuvanted with AP/AddaVax. Interestingly, FR paired with

either adjuvant significantly underperformed E2p and I3-01v9a after the second dose, and trimer

at the later time points. Notably, AddaVax groups always outperformed AP groups with higher

EC_{50} titers, highlighting the importance of a potent adjuvant for eliciting M2e-specific antibody

responses. Importantly, we also confirmed that the incorporation of two non-human M2e epitopes

into the hM2e constructs does not reduce hM2e-specific EC_{50} titers in the M2ex3-immune sera

compared to the hM2e-immune sera, as indicated by the hM2e-5GS-foldon probe (**Fig. S5B)**. In

fact, mouse sera induced by the M2ex3 trimer and I3-01v9a SApNP formulated with AddaVax

showed similar or higher EC_{50} titers compared to their AP-adjuvanted hM2e counterparts.

The recognition of homotetrameric M2e, which represents the "native" M2e conformation

during IAV infection, by M2ex3-immune sera was assessed for the AddaVax-adjuvanted 1TD0,

FR, and I3-01v9 groups (Fig. 5B, fig. S6). In this experiment, ELISA was performed to test serum

binding to M2e presented on MDCK cells infected with pandemic or seasonal H1N1 and H3N2

strains. The I3-01v9a group demonstrated significantly higher serum binding to M2e expressed on

MDCK cells infected with challenge strain A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1) and challenge/pandemic

strain A/Hong Kong/1/1968 (H3N2). Additionally, the I3-01v9a group also showed higher serum

binding to pandemic A/California/04/2009 (H1N1) and other H1N1 and H3N2 strains: A/Solomon

Islands/2/2006 (H1N1), A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2), and A/Aichi/2/1968 (H3N2). As a negative

control, serum binding was also assessed against two IBV strains, which express an M2e that is

shorter than and antigenically distinct from IAV M2e. As expected, the 1TD0, FR, and I3-01v9a

groups showed minimal serum binding to IBV strains B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Victoria Lineage) and

B/Florida/4/2006 (Yamagata Lineage). A human M2e antibody in the immunoglobulin form (*71*),

termed mAb148 (*66*), was used as a positive control in serum ELISA against IAVs. Based on the

in vitro and in vivo evaluation, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP adjuvanted with AddaVax was selected

as the lead M2ex3 vaccine candidate for further analysis.

Distribution, trafficking, and retention of M2ex3 trimers and SApNPs in lymph nodes

Following a similar protocol that was used to analyze HIV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 SApNP vaccines

(*57, 63*), we studied the in vivo behavior of the M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and two SApNPs (FR and I3-

01v9a) to achieve a better understanding of their interaction with immune cells in lymph nodes

and their ability to induce adaptive immune responses. To mount an effective humoral response,

these vaccines must be transported through lymphatics and accumulate in lymph node follicles.

The immunogens will then be presented to B cells to generate a robust antibody response through

crosslinking of B cell receptors (BCRs) (*72-75*). We first studied the transport and distribution of

M2ex3-presenting I3-01v9a SApNPs in lymph nodes. We injected a single dose of the immunogen

intradermally through the footpads (4 footpads, 10 μg/footpad) and isolated the sentinel brachial

and popliteal lymph nodes from both sides of the mouse's body at 48 h post-immunization.

Immunostaining of the excised lymph node sections was carried out using mAb148 and mAb65

(*66, 67*) to detect M2ex3 presented on I3-01v9a SApNPs (**Fig. 6A**). Immunohistological images

obtained after staining with both antibodies demonstrated a similar distribution of M2ex3 I3-01v9a

SApNPs in the center of lymph node follicles (**Fig. 6A**, images on the left; **Fig. 6B**, schematics on

the right). This intra-lymph node distribution pattern is consistent with the results obtained from

previous studies assessing SARS-CoV-2 spike SApNPs (*63*), HIV-1 Env SApNPs (*57*) and

ovalbumin-conjugated gold NPs (*76, 77*). Due to the better signal-to-noise ratio, mAb148 was used

hereafter to examine the trafficking of three M2ex3 immunogens in lymph nodes.

We next studied the trafficking and retention patterns of the M2ex3 trimer and two SApNPs

in lymph node follicles up to 8 weeks after a single-dose injection (4 footpads, 10 μg/footpad)

(**Fig. 6C**). The histological images showed that all M2ex3 immunogens were transported into

lymph nodes and accumulated in the subcapsular sinus within 2 h (**Fig. 6C**). The M2ex3 trimer

was trafficked into follicles within 2 h and completely cleared by 12 h. In contrast, the M2ex3 FR

SApNP began to be present in follicles at 12 h, reached peak accumulation at 1 week, and remained

detectable up to 5 weeks. The M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP demonstrated the most superior follicular

retention with a period of 8 weeks. The mAb148-stained area was quantified in a time-dependent

manner, showing a ~672-times longer retention for the I3-01v9 SApNP compared to the M2ex3

trimer (**Fig. 6C-D**). The area under the curve (*78*) suggested that the exposure of M2ex3 presented

on SApNPs in follicles would be 14-31 times higher than the soluble M2ex3 trimer (**Fig. 6E**).

Additionally, the FR and I3-01v9a SApNPs also showed 45-86 times greater accumulation

compared with the M2ex3 trimer at 1 week (**Fig. 6F**). These results are consistent with our previous

findings (*57, 63, 76*), in which small particles (< 15 nm) can be cleared from lymph node follicles

within 48 h, whereas large particles (30-100 nm) remain for weeks. Importantly, I3-01v9a SApNPs

displaying M2ex3 antigens or BG505 trimers showed significantly longer follicular retention than

those presenting SARS-CoV-2 spikes (~8 weeks vs. ~2 weeks), suggesting a correlation between

antigen retention and antigen thermostability (a T_m value of 65°C or greater for M2ex3 and BG505

Env vs. 48°C for SARS-CoV-2 spike). Surprisingly, the M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP with a low

glycan content exhibited identical trafficking and retention patterns to the highly glycosylated

BG505 Env SApNP (*57*), suggesting a minimal impact of glycan content on vaccine transport and

retention in lymph node follicles. Next, we studied the accumulation and retention patterns of these

three M2ex3 immunogens at 2 and 5 weeks using a prime-boost regimen (injected into 4 footpads

at weeks 0 and 3, 10 μg/footpad) (**Fig. 6G**). A similar pattern to the single-dose injection was

observed. Interestingly, M2ex3 trimers were detected in follicles at 5 weeks after the boost and

showed improved retention compared to the single dose, consistent with our previous SARS-CoV-

2 study (*63*). Improvement in accumulation and retention after boost were also observed for the

FR and I3-01v9a SApNPs. Overall, displaying tandem M2ex3 on the I3-01v9a SApNP showed 8-

fold greater accumulation in follicles compared to the soluble trimer 2 weeks after the boost (**Fig.**

6H). Prolonged retention of the M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP vaccine in lymph node follicles may

suggest improved longevity of vaccine-induced immunity.

Follicular dendritic cells (FDCs) located in the center of lymph node follicles are essential

for retention and presentation of the native-like antigens to stimulate B cell responses (*72, 74, 75*).

FDCs can collect and align soluble antigens, large particles such as immune complexes, viruses,

and bacteria on their surfaces or dendrites through a complement receptor-dependent mechanism

to generate and maintain GC reactions (*73-76, 79, 80*). Our previous studies of ovalbumin-

conjugated gold NPs (*76*), and SARS-CoV-2 and HIV-1 antigen-presenting SApNPs (*57, 63*)

suggest that FDC networks may be the key cellular component to retain M2ex3 SApNPs. To test

this possibility, we collected lymph nodes at the peak of SApNP accumulation (1 week) and other

timepoints (48 h to 8 weeks) following a single-dose injection (**Fig. 6I**, **fig. S7A-D**). Lymph node

tissue was stained with mAb148 (white) for M2ex3, anti-CD21 antibodies (green) for FDCs, and

anti-CD169 antibodies for subcapsular sinus macrophages. The signals from both M2ex3 FR and

I3-01v9 SApNPs (anti-M2e mAb148) showed colocalization with FDCs (CD21$^+$) at 1 week (**Fig.**

6I), confirming the retention of M2ex3 SApNPs in FDC networks.

Characterization of GC reactions induced by M2ex3 trimers and SApNPs

In GCs, B cells undergo somatic hypermutation, selection, affinity maturation, and class switching,

eventually becoming memory or antibody-secreting plasma cells (*73, 81-84*). FDC networks and

T follicular helper (T$_{fh}$) cells support GC formation and maintenance (*85, 86*). Here, we

hypothesized that M2ex3 SApNPs retained by FDC networks could induce more robust and long-

lived GC reactions in lymph node follicles compared to the soluble M2ex3 trimer. First, we

examined whether M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNPs can induce strong GC reactions after single-dose

injections (4 footpads, 10 μg/footpad). Vaccine-induced GC B cells (GL7$^+$, red) and T$_{fh}$ cells

(CD4$^+$Bcl6$^+$, co-labeled with cyan and red) were characterized by immunohistology. We observed

large GCs attached to FDC networks (CD21$^+$, green) with organized dark zone (DZ) and light zone

(LZ) compartments in follicles (B220$^+$, blue) (**Fig. 7A**, left). In addition to antigen retention and

presentation by FDC networks, T$_{fh}$ cells appear in the LZ of GCs to support B cell stimulation

(**Fig. 7A**, right). Next, we performed immunohistological analysis on the M2ex3 trimer and two

SApNPs at 2, 5, and 8 weeks after a single-dose injection (**Fig. 7B**, **fig. S8A-C**) and at 2 and 5

weeks after the boost (**Fig. 7C**, **fig. S8D-E**). Following a previously established protocol (*57, 63*),

we quantified GC reactions using two metrics: GC/FDC ratio (the frequency of GC formation

associated with FDC networks) and size of GCs. The M2ex3 trimer and both SApNPs induced

robust GCs, with the I3-01v9a SApNP showing the largest GCs at 2 weeks after a single-dose

injection (**Fig. 7B**, **fig. S8A**). As expected, the GC/FDC ratio and GC size declined over time in

all groups. Notably, while the GC/FDC ratio for the M2ex3 trimer group decreased to ~50%, the

I3-01v9a SApNP generated strong and durable GC reactions that lasted for 8 weeks (**Fig. 7B** and

7D, **fig. S8C**). GCs were restored for all vaccine groups after the boost, but the GC/FDC ratio for

the trimer group decreased again significantly at 5 weeks after the boost. Overall, the M2ex3 I3-

01v9a SApNP generated GCs 2.5 times the size after one dose (**Fig. 7B** and **7D**) and 1.7 times the

size after the boost (**Fig. 7C** and **7E**) of GCs elicited by the soluble trimer at 8 weeks.

The GCs were further analyzed by flow cytometry. We collected sentinel lymph nodes at

2, 5, and 8 weeks after a single-dose injection (**Fig. 7F**, and **fig. S9**), and at 2 and 5 weeks after the

boost (**Fig. 7G**) (4 footpads, 10 µg/injection) following the prime-boost regimen. The lymph node

tissues were disaggregated into a single cell suspension and stained with an antibody cocktail. The

percentage and number of GC B cells and T_{fh} cells were used to quantify the GC reactions, which

correlate with the immunohistological results (**Fig. 7A-E**). Flow cytometry indicated that M2ex3

I3-01v9 SApNP elicited the largest GC B cell and T_{fh} cell populations after a single-dose injection

(**Fig. 7F**). GC reactions peaked at 2 weeks for all tested groups and declined over time. While the

M2ex3 trimer failed to maintain the GC reactions, the M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP induced durable

GC reactions lasting for 8 weeks (**Fig. 7F**). Both the frequency and number of GC B cells and T_{fh}

cells could be improved by a boost injection (**Fig. 7G**). Interestingly, a significant expansion of

T$_{\text{fh}}$ cells was noted for the FR and I3-01v9a SApNPs 2 weeks after the boost. Overall, the M2ex3

I3-01v9a SApNP elicited 5.7/1.1 times more GC B cells and 7.0/1.3 times more T$_{\text{fh}}$ cells compared

with the soluble trimers at 8 weeks after the single-dose/prime-boost injections, respectively (**Fig.**

7F and **7G**). In summary, our immunological analysis suggests that M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNPs can

generate long-lived GC reactions in lymph nodes more effectively than individual M2ex3 trimers,

resulting in potent and long-lasting M2ex3-specific humoral immunity.

Antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity and functional T cell responses

The non-neutralizing M2e-specific antibody responses were evaluated for functional activity using

a surrogate antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) assay (see Methods). The M2ex3 I3-

01v9a-immune sera elicited significantly higher relative light units (RLUs) in Jurkat effector cells

compared with the naïve, M2ex3 trimer, and M2ex3 FR groups, indicating detection of M2e

antibodies by mouse Fcγ receptor IV (mFcγRIV) expressed on Jurkat cells (**Fig. 8A**). The largest

difference in ADCC activity between the I3-01v9a SApNP and other groups was observed at the

lowest serum dilution tested, 20×, with I3-01v9a SApNP showing 7.2-fold, 5.9-fold, and 2.1-fold

higher RLU than naïve, trimer, and FR groups, respectively. Thus, this assay confirmed that

M2ex3 immune sera binding to homotetrameric M2e on influenza-infected cells has the potential

to activate ADCC pathways, which is an important mechanism for M2e-mediated protection.

While antibody-mediated neutralization plays a key role in blocking virus infection, T cell-

mediated cellular immunity effectively reduces disease severity, hospitalization, and death rate (*87,*

88). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) analysis demonstrated that the M2ex3 I3-

01v9a SApNP group produced significantly higher spot formation in bulk IFN-γ-secreting

splenocytes stimulated with the M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe compared to the naïve (> 28-

fold), M2ex3 trimer (7-fold), and M2ex3 FR (2.5-fold) groups per 8×10^5 splenocytes (**Fig. 8B**).

Similarly, the M2ex3 I3-01v9a group also produced, on average, more spots in IL-4-secreting

splenocytes compared to the naïve (21.8-fold), M2ex3 trimer (1.7-fold), and M2ex3 FR (1.7-fold)

groups, although the findings were not statistically significant.

For analysis of specific T cell subsets, T cells from splenic tissue were divided into several

subsets, including CD4$^+$ helper cells, which have multiple central roles in orchestrating adaptive

immune responses, and CD8$^+$ cytotoxic T cells, which control virus infection by killing virus-

infected cells and producing effector cytokines (*89-92*). Here, we designed a 13-color panel to

analyze functional T cell responses by measuring activation induced marker (AIM) and

intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) using flow cytometry. We compared various M2ex3 vaccine

constructs for the induction of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ responses specific to the vaccine antigen at 5 days

after the PR8 (H1N1) challenge following a two-dose immunization regimen (**Fig. 4A**). Mouse

splenocytes from the M2ex3 trimer, FR, and I3-01v9a SApNP groups were stimulated with the

M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe prior to analysis. All three M2ex3 constructs generated balanced

Th1 and Th2 responses and relatively lower Th17 responses (**Fig. 8C**, **fig. S10**). Among the three

vaccines, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP was most effective in terms of the frequency and number of

intracellular cytokine (IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2, IL-17 and IL4)-producing CD4$^+$ T cells, CD40L$^+$CD4$^+$

T cells, and CD40L$^+$CD4$^+$ T cells that produce intracellular cytokines, as well as T$_{fh}$ cells.

Importantly, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP induced significantly more IFN-γ-producing functional

CD4$^+$ T cells, which contained 2.7 times more activated (CD40L$^+$) T cells compared with the

M2ex3 trimer, resulting in a Th1-skewed response. Similarly, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP elicited

more intracellular cytokine (IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-2)-producing CD8$^+$ T cells, CD69$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells,

and CD69$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells that produce IFN-γ than naïve, M2ex3 trimer, and M2ex3 FR groups (**Fig.**

8D). Overall, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP induced stronger functional CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell

responses than M2ex3 trimer and FR SApNP, consistent with the higher survival, lower weight

loss and viral loads (**Fig. 4**), and greater M2e-specific antibody responses (**Fig. 5**).

DISCUSSION

Since the 1918 Spanish flu, influenza has caused millions of deaths and hospitalizations worldwide

and remains a serious public health threat. From 2010 to 2017, seasonal flu caused 9.2 to 35.6

million reported cases of influenza and 140,000 to 710,000 hospitalizations. Each year, seasonal

flu causes an estimated 3-5 million cases of severe illness worldwide (*1*). Conventional influenza

vaccines, such as inactivated viruses produced in eggs, have been shown to significantly reduce

disease burden. However, these vaccines mainly induce NAbs to viral epitopes that are prone to

antigenic drift, allowing viruses to evade vaccine-induced immune responses (*17*). As a result,

annual updates are necessary for seasonal flu vaccines, yet they still may not offer sufficient

protection. Additionally, recent evidence has revealed the negative impact of repeated antigen

exposure on vaccine efficacy (*93*). Therefore, it is important to develop a vaccine that can provide

broad and durable protection against diverse influenza viruses.

An effective M2e vaccine covering all IAVs and eliciting durable M2e antibody responses

may address these two goals for developing a universal pan-influenza A vaccine. M2e antibodies

are non-neutralizing but can engage alveolar macrophages and natural killer cells to promote viral

clearance via ADCC (*94, 95*). In principle, a successful M2e vaccine could act as a standalone

pan-influenza A vaccine, reducing the severity of disease caused by pandemic strains originating

from various animal reservoirs that contain novel HA subtypes against which the majority of the

human population lacks pre-existing immunity (*17*). Alternatively, M2e can be used to

complement seasonal influenza vaccines, significantly boosting the breadth of these strain-specific

inactivated virus vaccines (*96*). However, to date, an approved M2e vaccine remains elusive. Here,

we approached M2e vaccine development with a rational strategy, in which newly developed 1c-SApNPs were used as multivalent carriers to display 60 copies of an M2e antigen to overcome the intrinsically poor immunogenicity associated with soluble M2e and increase durability of M2e-specific immunity. Notably, we have previously developed vaccine candidates for HIV-1 (*57, 62*), HCV (*60*), SARS-Cov-1/2 (*59*), and EBOV (*58*) based on the 1c-SApNP platform. In this study, we first tested this strategy using hM2e and, based on the results, presented a tandem M2e antigen, derived from human, avian, and swine IAVs, on FR and two multilayered 1c-SApNPs, E2p and I3-01v9a. All the M2e vaccine constructs were evaluated in vitro and in vivo.

Our approach addresses the two limitations of previous M2e-based vaccine candidates in clinical trials: 1) poor immunogenicity and 2) poor durability. First, the presentation of M2e on the surface of 60-meric 1c-SApNPs significantly improves the immunogenicity of M2e. The large size and high thermostability of the M2ex3-presenting I3-01v9a SApNP allows for long retention in the lymph nodes follicles (8 weeks or longer), resulting in robust and prolonged GC reactions compared to those elicited by the scaffolded M2e trimer. Combining the M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP with commonly used adjuvants such as AP or AddaVax resulted in potent M2e-specific antibody and functional T cell responses that likely conferred protection against sequential H1N1 and H3N2 challenges. In contrast, the inactivated PR8 (H1N1) virus vaccine and a strain-matched challenge offered minimal protection against a follow-up heterologous challenge from a different subtype (H3N2). Overall, our result suggest that the adjuvanted M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP can be developed into a potent, durable, and cross-protective influenza vaccine that may overcome the limitations of current marketed influenza vaccines, including the lack of protection against antigenically drifted seasonal or novel pandemic strains (*97*). Additionally, this vaccine may have advantages over previous M2e vaccine candidates in terms of effectiveness and durability.

Future studies will focus on several fronts. First, the lead candidate identified in this study,

M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP, may be formulated with more potent adjuvants to improve vaccine

efficacy. In a recent study, we tested the effect of various adjuvants on a SARS-CoV-2 spike 1c-

SApNP vaccine and found that toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) and stimulator of interferon genes

(STING) agonists significantly increased NAb titers compared to other adjuvants, including AP

and AddaVax (63). Second, an M2e/inactivated virus combination vaccine concept may warrant

further evaluation. While M2ex3 1c-SApNP can be used as a standalone vaccine, it may also be

combined with a seasonal flu vaccine to boost protection against non-vaccine-matched circulating

strains and potential pandemic strains. Third, an M2ex3 1c-SApNP vaccine may protect against

highly pathogenic H5N1 and H7N9 influenza strains. Lastly, less commonly explored influenza B

M2e (in tandem) can be incorporated onto influenza A M2ex3 1c-SApNP to potentially yield a

truly universal, single component influenza vaccine against both influenza A and B viruses. Given

the sequence conservation of M2e across HA subtypes, the M2e vaccines developed in this study

may protect against diverse IAVs, which account for ~70% of all influenza cases annually, thereby

significantly reducing the deaths and hospitalizations associated with influenza worldwide.

METHODS

Computational design of I3-01v9a for optimal nanoparticle display of monomeric antigens

We redesigned the N-terminus of I3-01v9 for optimal surface display of monomeric antigens, such

as M2e, without using long linkers. Because I3-01v9 and I3-01 share nearly identical NP structures

(57), the I3-01 structure (PDB ID: 5KP9) was used here for all modeling purposes. We manually

extended the I3-01 N-terminal helix as an initial model. Briefly, an α-helix (residues 953-982) of

the transcription factor protein c-MYC (PDB ID: 6G6L) was grafted onto an I3-01 subunit by

using Glu2 and Glu3 of I3-01 for fitting. The grafted N-terminal helix was truncated to 11 residues

so that the first residue would be just above the surface after particle assembly. The CONCOORD

suite (*64*) was used to sample 1000 structures for the modified I3-01 subunit to facilitate ensemble-

based protein design. Using default OPLS-UA parameters and a *damp* parameter of 0.1, geometric

constraints were generated by the program dist as input for the program disco to generate slightly

perturbed conformations (*64*). An ensemble-based design method used in our previous studies (*57,*

60) was employed to predict the first 9 of the 11 residues in the extended N-terminal helix using

C_α and C_β-based RAPDF scoring functions (*65*). Given a scoring function, Monte Carlo simulated

annealing minimization (*57, 60*) was performed to predict the amino acid composition for each of

the 1000 CONCOORD-derived conformations. For each position of the 9-residue helical segment,

the frequency of each amino acid type was calculated from the entire ensemble. The final design,

I3-01v9a, was determined manually by combining results from both scoring functions.

Expression and purification of various M2e immunogens

Rationally designed hM2e and tandem M2ex3 scaffolds and SApNPs were characterized in vitro

and in vivo. Scaffolded trimers and SApNPs were transiently expressed in ExpiCHO™ cells

(Thermo Fisher) using a previously described protocol (*57, 58*). Briefly, ExpiCHO™ cells were

thawed and incubated with ExpiCHO™ Expression Medium (Thermo Fisher) in a shaker

incubator at 37°C, 135 rpm, and 8% CO_2. When cells reached a density of 10×10^6 cell/ml,

ExpiCHO™ Expression Medium was added to reduce cell density to 6×10^6 cell/ml for

transfection. ExpiFectamine™ CHO/plasmid DNA complexes were prepared for 100-ml

transfections in ExpiCHO cells following the manufacturer's instructions. For all constructs tested

in this study, 100 μg of antigen plasmid and 320 μl of ExpiFectamine™ CHO reagent were mixed

in 7.7 ml of cold OptiPRO™ medium (Thermo Fisher). After the first feed on day 1, ExpiCHO

cells were cultured in a shaker incubator at 32°C, 120 rpm, and 8% CO_2 following the Max Titer

protocol with an additional feed on day 5 (Thermo Fisher). Culture supernatants were harvested

13-14 days after transfection, clarified by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 20 min, and filtered using

a 0.45 µm filter (Thermo Fisher). For all constructs, the M2e trimer or SApNP was extracted from

the culture supernatants by using mAb148 or mAb65 antibody columns. The bound protein was

eluted three times by 5 ml of glycine buffer (0.2M glycine, pH2.2) and neutralized by adding 0.375

ml Tris-base Buffer (2M Tris, pH9.0). Elutions were pooled and buffer exchanged via ultra-

centrifugal filtration to phosphate buffer saline (PBS). The size of trimers and SApNPs was

analyzed by size exclusion chromatography using AKTA pure 25 (Cytiva). Trimer was purified

on a Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare), whereas SApNPs were

characterized on a Superose 6 10/300 GL column. Protein concentration was determined using

UV_{280} absorbance with theoretical extinction coefficients.

SDS-PAGE and BN-PAGE

The trimer and SApNPs were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and blue native-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE).

The proteins were mixed with loading dye and added to either a 10% Tris-Glycine Gel (Bio-Rad)

or a 4-12% Bis-Tris NativePAGE^{TM} gel (Life Technologies). For SDS-PAGE under reducing

conditions, the proteins were first treated with dithiothreitol (DTT, 25 mM) and boiled for 5 min

at 100°C. SDS-PAGE gels were loaded with 1 µg of the sample and BN-PAGE gels were loaded

with 4 µg of the sample. SDS-PAGE gels were run for 20 min at 250 V using SDS running buffer

(Bio-Rad), and BN-PAGE gels were run for 2-2.5 h at 150 V using NativePAGE^{TM} running buffer

(Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. SDS-PAGE gels were stained

using InstantBlue (Abcam) and BN-PAGE gels were stained using Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-

250 (Bio-Rad) and de-stained using a solution of 6% ethanol and 3% glacial acetic acid.

Differential scanning calorimetry

Thermal melting curves of trimer and SApNPs following mAb148 or mAb65 purification were obtained from a MicroCal PEAQ-DSC Man instrument (Malvern). Briefly, the purified SApNP protein was buffer exchanged into 1×PBS buffer and concentrated to 0.5-3 μM prior to the analysis. Melting was probed at a scan rate of $60°C \cdot h^{-1}$ from 20°C to 100°C. Data processing, including buffer correction, normalization, and baseline subtraction, was conducted using MicroCal PEAQ-DSC software. Gaussian fitting was performed using Origin 9.0 software.

Dynamic light scattering (DLS)

Particle size distributions of hM2e and M2ex3 based on three NP platforms (FR, E2p-L4P, and I3-01v9a-L7P) were obtained from a Zetasizer Ultra instrument (Malvern). MAb148/SEC-purified NPs from ExpiCHO cells were diluted to 0.2 mg/ml using 1×PBS buffer, and 30 μl of the prepared NP sample was added to a quartz batch cuvette (Malvern, catalog no. ZEN2112). Particle size was measured at 25 °C in back scattering mode. Data processing was performed on the Zetasizer, and the particle size distribution was plotted using Origin 9.0 software.

Negative stain EM analysis

The initial evaluation of various SApNP samples was performed by the Core Microscopy Facility at The Scripps Research Institute. All SApNPs samples were prepared at the concentration of 0.005-0.02 mg/ml. Carbon-coated copper grids (400 mesh) were glow-discharged and 8 μl of each sample was adsorbed for 2 min. Excess sample was wicked away and grids were negatively stained with 2% uranyl formate for 2 min. Excess stain was wicked away and the grids were allowed to dry. Samples were analyzed at 120 kV with a Talos L120C transmission electron microscope

(Thermo Fisher) and images were acquired with a CETA 16M CMOS camera. All SApNP samples

purified by mAb148 were validated under 52,000 × magnification before further use.

Bio-layer interferometry (BLI)

The kinetics profiles of both hM2e and M2ex3 trimers and SApNPs were measured using an Octet

RED96 instrument (FortéBio, Pall Life Sciences) against mAb148 and mAb65 antibody. All

assays were performed with agitation set to 1000 rpm in FortéBio 1× kinetic buffer. The final

volume for all solutions was 200 µl per well. Assays were performed at 30°C in solid black 96-

well plates (Geiger Bio-One). For all trimers and SApNPs, 5 µg/ml antibody in 1× kinetic buffer

was loaded onto the surface of anti-human Fc Capture Biosensors (AHC) for 300 s. A 60 s

biosensor baseline step was applied prior to the analysis of the association of the antibody on the

biosensor to the antigen in solution for 200 s. A two-fold concentration gradient of antigen, starting

at 25 nM for the hM2e trimer/SApNPs and 22 nM for the M2ex3 trimer/SApNPs, was used in a

titration series of six. The dissociation of the interaction was followed for 300 s. The correction of

baseline drift was performed by subtracting the mean value of shifts recorded for a sensor loaded

with antibody but not incubated with antigen, and for a sensor without antibody but incubated with

antigen. Octet data were processed by FortéBio's data acquisition software v.8.1. Peak signals at

the highest antigen concentration were summarized in a matrix to facilitate comparisons between

different vaccine platforms.

Propagation of influenza viruses

For challenge in mice, the following reagents were obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH:

Influenza A Virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/1934-Mouse Adapted (H1N1), NR-28652 and Influenza A

Virus, A/Hong Kong/1/1968-1 Mouse-Adapted 12 (H3N2), NR-28621. In brief, 4.4×10^6 Madin-

Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells (CCL-34™; ATCC®) were plated overnight in 100 mm cell

culture dishes. The next day, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with a multiplicity of

infection (MOI) of 1 for PR8 or HK/68 in serum free media for 1 hour. Next, cells were washed

and 10 ml of serum-free DMEM containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; VWR

international) and 1 μg/ml L-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-trypsin

(Sigma Aldrich) was added to the dishes. Cells were incubated for 65 h after which the supernatant

was collected, centrifuged at 4000 RPM for 10 min, aliquoted, and frozen at -80°C until use.

Immunoplaque assay to quantify influenza viruses

Virus PFU/ml of grown viruses was quantified using an immunoplaque assay. In brief, MDCK

cells were plated in 96-well plates at 25,000 cells/well. The cells were then washed with PBS and

infected with 10-fold serially diluted virus stocks. The inoculum was then removed, and cells were

overlayed with 0.7% low-melt agarose (Axygen) in serum-free DMEM containing 0.2% w/v BSA

and 1 μg/ml TPCK-trypsin. Twenty hours later, cells were fixed with 100 μl of 3.7% wt

formaldehyde for 1 h. Cells were then permeabilized with 50 μl ice-cold methanol for 20 min.

Fixed cells were then washed with deionized water and incubated with 50 μl of FluA-20 (non-

pandemic H1N1 strains), 2D1 (CA 09 H1N1), or F045-092 (pandemic or seasonal H3N2 strains)

IgG (10 μg/ml) for 1 h. Plates were washed and 50 μl of 1:5000 diluted HRP-goat anti-human IgG

was added to the wells. Plates were then placed on a shaker at 225 rpm for 1 h. Cells were then

washed and 50 μl of TrueBlue™ Peroxidase Substrate (SeraCare) was added to wells and

incubated for 10-15 min for the development of plaques. Lastly, plates were washed with deionized

water and left to dry overnight. Plaques were quantified using a Bioreader® 7000 (BIOSYS

Scientific®).

Mouse immunization and challenge

Six-to-eight-week-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and

housed in ventilated cages in environmentally controlled rooms at The Scripps Research Institute,

in compliance with an approved IACUC protocol and Association for Assessment and

Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) international guidelines. Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines were followed for all mice studies. Mice

were immunized at weeks 0 and 3, with 80 µl of antigen/adjuvant mix containing 10 µg of hM2e

or M2ex3 antigen in 40 µl PBS and 40 µl of adjuvant: aluminum phosphate (alum phosphate) or

AddaVax (InvivoGen). For the hM2e study, alum phosphate was used. For the M2ex3 study, both

alum phosphate and AddaVax were evaluated. The following reagent was obtained through BEI

Resources, NIAID, NIH: Influenza A Virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), BPL-Inactivated, NR-

19325, and used as a positive control for the first strain-matched challenge (3 µg/mouse without

adjuvant). Each immunization dose was split amongst 4 footpads (20 µl/each). To assess the

protectivity and efficacy of the hM2e and M2ex3 constructs, immunized mice were sequentially

challenged with $LD_{50} \times 10$ of mouse-adapted A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) H1N1 and A/Hong

Kong/1/1968 (HK/68) H3N2 at weeks 6 and 10, respectively. To establish the lethal dose of 50%

(LD_{50}) in mice for PR8 H1N1 and HK/68 H3N2, various dilutions of grown virus stock were

administered to mice (25 µl/nostril) after light anesthetization with isoflurane. Survival, weight

loss, and morbidity were monitored for 14 days. Mice that exhibited > 25% weight loss or showed

visible signs of distress were euthanized. Next, Reed-Muench and Spearman-Karber methods were

used to determine the 50% endpoint titer for both PR8 H1N1 and HK/68 H3N2 in mice (69, 70).

For first challenge at week 6, mice immunized with hM2e or M2ex3 constructs were lightly

anesthetized with isoflurane and $LD_{50} \times 10$ of PR8 H1N1 (50 µl) was administered to each mouse

(25 µl/nostril). Five mice from M2ex3 + AddaVax groups were sacrificed at Day 5 to assess the

viral load in lungs. In brief, mice were euthanized, and lungs were isolated. The lung tissue in PBS

was then crushed and spun down at 1200 rpm for 10 min. The lung supernatant was then aliquoted

and frozen at -80°C for future analysis. Viral loads were evaluated in lung supernatant using the

immunoplaque assay mentioned previously. Remaining mice were monitored for survival and

weight loss for 14 days post-challenge. At week 10, surviving mice were lightly anesthetized and

challenged with $LD_{50} \times 10$ HK/68 H3N2. Mice that exhibited > 25% weight loss or showed visible

signs of distress were euthanized. Blood of immunized mice was collected 2 weeks after each

immunization or challenge (weeks 2, 5, 10, and 14). All bleeds were performed through the facial

vein. Blood was spun down at 14,000 rpm for 10 min to separate serum from the rest of the whole

blood. The serum was then heat-inactivated at 56°C for 30 min and spun down at 8,000 rpm for

10 min to remove precipitates.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

For assessing hM2e-specific binding of hM2e-immune sera, 50 μl hM2e-5GS-foldon trimer probe

was coated on the surface of half-well 96-well high-binding polystyrene plates at a concentration

of 0.1 μg/well. Plates were kept at 4°C overnight. The next day, plates were washed 5× with PBS

containing 0.05% v/v Tween 20® (PBST). Plates were then blocked with 150 μl of 4% w/v nonfat

milk (Bio-Rad) for 1 h. Plates were then washed and 50 μl of hM2e-immune sera was added to

each well for 1 h. Serum was diluted 20× in 4% nonfat milk followed by seven 10-fold dilutions.

M2e antibodies mAb148 and mAb65 were used as positive controls. Next, plates were washed and

50 μl of 1:3000 dilution horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG in PBST

was added to the wells and incubated for 1 h. Plates were then washed and 50 ul of 1-Step™

3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB; Thermo Fisher) substrate was added to each well and

incubated for 3 min. Plates were washed 6× and 50 μl of 2.0 N sulfuric acid (Aqua Solutions, Inc.)

was added to each well. Plates were then immediately read on a plate reader (BioTek Synergy)

using a wavelength of 450 nm. An identical ELISA method was used for M2ex3-specific binding

of M2ex3-immune sera, except for the use of M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe.

Cell-based ELISA

For cell-based ELISA, the following 8 reagents were obtained through BEI Resources, NAID,

NIH: 1) Influenza A Virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1; NR-348), 2) Influenza A Virus,

A/California/04/2009 (H1N1; NR-136583), 3) Influenza A Virus, A/Solomon Islands/3/2006

(H1N1; NR-41798), 4) Influenza A Virus, A/Hong Kong/1/1968 (H3N2) (mother clone), ,NR-

28620, 5) Influenza A virus, A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2; NR-12283, 6) Influenza A virus,

A/Aichi/2/1968 (H3N2; NR-3177), 7) Influenza B Virus, B/Florida/4/2006 (Yamagata Lineage;

NR-41795), and 8) Influenza B Virus, B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Victoria Lineage; NR-42005). The

viruses were grown in MDCK cells using the same method mentioned previously for propagating

challenge strains. For cell-based ELISA, MDCK cells were plated overnight in 96-well cell culture

plates at a density of 18,000 cells/well. The next day the cells were washed and infected with 100

μl of 1 of the 8 viruses at a MOI of 1. Twenty hours later, the virus was removed, and cells were

washed before being fixed with 100 μl of 3.7% wt formaldehyde. Cells were then washed, and the

previous ELISA protocol was used except with an incubation step with TMB for 5 min.

Histology, immunostaining, and imaging

To study vaccine distribution, trafficking, retention, cellular interaction, and GC reactions in

lymph nodes, M2ex3 trimer and FR and I3-01v9a SApNP immunogens formulated with AddaVax

adjuvant were injected intradermally into four mouse footpads using 29-gauge insulin needles.

Mice were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane in oxygen during immunization. Similar protocols of

mouse injection, lymph node collection and tissue analysis were utilized from our previous study

(*57, 63*). The injection dose was 80 µl of antigen/adjuvant mix containing 40 µg of vaccine

immunogen per mouse or 10 µg per footpad. Mice were euthanized at 2 h to 8 weeks after a single-

dose injection or 2 and 5 weeks after the boost, which occurred at 3 weeks after the first dose.

Brachial and popliteal sentinel lymph nodes were collected for immunohistological study. Fresh

lymph nodes were isolated and merged into frozen section compound (VWR International, catalog

no. 95057-838) in a plastic cryomold (Tissue-Tek at VWR, catalog no. 4565). Tissue samples were

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C before shipping to The Centre for Phenogenomics in

Canada for tissue processing, immunostaining, and imaging. Lymph node tissue sections were

sliced 8 µm thick on a cryostat (Cryostar NX70) and placed on a charge slide. Next, tissue slides

were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and permeabilized in PBS buffer that contained 0.5%

Triton X-100 before immunostaining. The slides were blocked with protein Block (Agilent) to

prevent nonspecific antibody binding. Primary antibodies were applied on tissue slides and

incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing with tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST),

secondary antibodies that we conjugated with either biotin or a fluorophore were utilized and

incubated at 25°C for 1 hour. Lymph node tissues were stained with anti-human Ab148 or Ab65

(1:200), and biotinylated goat anti-human secondary antibody (Abcam, catalog no. ab7152, 1:300),

followed by streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) reagent (Vectastain Elite ABC-HRP Kit,

Vector, catalog no. PK-6100) and diaminobenzidine (ImmPACT DAB, Vector, catalog no. SK-

4105).

To study cellular interactions between M2ex3 trimer immunogens and cell components in

lymph nodes, FDCs were labelled using anti-CD21 primary antibody (Abcam, catalog no.

ab75985, 1:1800), followed by anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 555

(Thermo Fisher, catalog no. A21428, 1:200). Subcapsular sinus macrophages were labeled using

anti-sialoadhesin (CD169) antibody (Abcam, catalog no. ab53443, 1:600), followed by anti-rat

secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Abcam, catalog no. ab150165, 1:200). B

cells were labeled using anti-B220 antibody (eBioscience, catalog no. 14-0452-82, 1:100),

followed by anti-rat secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher, catalog

no. A21247, 1:200). GC reactions induced by M2ex3 trimers and SApNPs were studied by

immunostaining. GC B cells were labeled using rat anti-GL7 antibody (FITC; BioLegend, catalog

no. 144604, 1:250). T_{fh} cells were labeled using anti-CD4 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no.

100402, 1:100), followed by anti-rat secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488

(Abcam, catalog no. ab150165, 1:1000). GC forming cells were stained using Bcl6 antibody

(Abcam, catalog no. ab220092, 1:300), followed by anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated

with Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermo Fisher, catalog no. A21428, 1:1000). Nuclei were labeled using

4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. D9542, 100 ng/ml). The

immunostained lymph node tissues were scanned using an Olympus VS-120 slide scanner with a

Hamamatsu ORCA-R2 C10600 digital camera. The vaccine transport and induced GC reactions

in lymph nodes were quantified through bright-field and fluorescent images using ImageJ

software.

Lymph node disaggregation, cell staining, and flow cytometry

GC reactions in terms of frequency and numbers of GC B cells (GL7$^+$B220$^+$) and T_{fh} cells

(CD3$^+$CD4$^+$CXCR5$^+$PD-1$^+$) were characterized using flow cytometry (**fig. S9**). Mice were

euthanized at 2, 5, and 8 weeks after a single-dose injection or 2 and 5 weeks after the boost,

occurring at 3 weeks after the first dose (4 footpads, 10 μg/footpad). Fresh axillary, brachial, and

popliteal sentinel lymph nodes were collected for GC study. Lymph node tissues were

disaggregated mechanically and merged in enzyme digestion solution in an Eppendorf tube with

958 μl of Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. 14185052), 40 μl of 10 mg/ml collagenase IV (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog no. C5138), and 2 μl of 10 mg/ml DNase (Roche, catalog no. 10104159001) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The lymph node tissue was then filtered through a 70 μm cell strainer to obtain a single cell suspension. Cell samples were spun down at $400 \times g$ for 10 min and the cell pellets were resuspended in HBSS blocking buffer containing 0.5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin and 2 mM EDTA. Anti-CD16/32 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 101302) was added into the Eppendorf tube to block the nonspecific binding on Fc receptors. Cells were kept on ice for 30 min and transferred to 96-well V-shaped-bottom microplates with pre-prepared cocktail antibodies, including Zombie NIR live/dead stain (BioLegend, catalog no. 423106), Brilliant Violet 510 anti-mouse/human CD45R/B220 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 103247), FITC anti-mouse CD3 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 100204), Alexa Fluor 700 anti-mouse CD4 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 100536), PE anti-mouse/human GL7 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 144608), Brilliant Violet 605 anti-mouse CD95 (Fas) antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 152612), Brilliant Violet 421 anti-mouse CD185 (CXCR5) antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 145511), and PE/Cyanine7 anti-mouse CD279 (PD-1) antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 135216). Cells were mixed with antibody cocktail and placed on ice for 30 min. Cell samples were spun down at $400 \times g$ for 10 min and the cell pellets were resuspended in HBSS blocking solution for washing one more time. Cells were then fixed with 1.6% paraformaldehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. 28906) in HBSS and placed on ice for 30 min. Cells were spun down at $400 \times g$ for 10 min and placed in HBSS blocking buffer at 4°C before test. Sample events were acquired using a 5-laser AZE5 flow cytometer (Yeti, Bio-Rad) with Everest software at the Core Facility of The Scripps Research Institute. The data were analyzed using FlowJo 10 software.

ADCC (antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity) surrogate assay

The potential for M2e-specific antibodies to induce antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC) was evaluated using a mouse FcγRIV ADCC Reporter kit (Promega). In brief, MDCK cells were plated in white 96-well plates overnight at 18,000 cells/well. The next day, cells were washed with PBS and infected with PR8 H1N1 at a MOI of 1. Twenty hours later, the cells were washed and 25 µl of M2ex3-immune sera was added to the wells for 1 h (sera was diluted 20× followed by 10-fold dilutions). Next, as per the kit's instructions (Promega), 75,000 mouse FCγRIV Jurkat cells were added to each well. The plates were incubated for 6 h at 37°C. Lastly, 75 µl of Bio-Glo™ Reagent were added to the well. Relative light units were measured using a plate reader after a 5-min incubation of each plate.

Splenocyte isolation

At week 14, mice were anesthetized using isoflurane and euthanized using cervical dislocation. Spleens were harvested from mice and kept in PBS on ice. Next, spleens were crushed with the back of a syringe and resuspended in 20 ml of PBS. Cells were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 10 min. Next, supernatant was discarded, and 3 ml of ACK lysis buffer (Lonza) was added to the cells and incubated for 5 min. Next 12 ml of PBS was added to the tubes, which were then centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min. Supernatant was then discarded, and cells were resuspended in 1 ml PBS. Cells were passed through a 70-µm cell strainer. Cells were then centrifuged for 5 min. Lastly, cells were resuspended in 10% DMSO in FBS, transferred into a -80°C freezer overnight, and then stored in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen until analysis.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assay

For analyzing IFN-γ and IL-4-secreting splenocytes of mice immunized with M2ex3, ELISpot was used. First, Multiscreen® filter plates (Millipore Sigma) were coated with capture IFN-γ or IL-4

(BD Biosciences) at a 1:200 dilution. The plates were incubated at 4 °C overnight. The next day,

plates were washed and blocked with 200 μl of complete RPMI 1640 (10% FBS, 1% Penn-Strep,

1% L-glutamine; Gibco) medium. Next, the M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe was prepared at 50

μg/ml in complete RPMI. Concanavalin A (10 μg/ml; BD Biosciences) was prepared as a positive

control. Next, spleen samples were thawed, resuspended in RPMI and centrifuged at 1200 RPM

for 10 min. Cells were then counted using an automated cell counter (Countess II; Thermo Fisher)

and suspended to reach a concentration of 1.6×10^7 cells/ml. Next, RPMI was discarded from the

filter plates and 50 μl of antigen was added to the well. Next, 50 μl of cell suspension was added

to each well, producing a final cell concentration of 8×10^5 splenocytes/well. After addition of

cells, the final concentrations of the M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe and Concanavalin A were

2.5 μg/well and 0.5 μg/well, respectively. Cells without antigen were used as a negative control.

Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. Next, cells were washed 2× with deionized water followed

by 3 washes with PBST. Next, 50 μl detection IFN-γ or IL-4 antibodies (BD Biosciences) diluted

1:250 in dilution buffer (10% FBS in PBS) were added to corresponding wells. Plates were then

incubated for 2 h at room temperature (RT). Next, plates were washed 3× with PBST and 50 μl

Streptavidin-HRP (BD Biosciences) diluted 1:100 in dilution buffer was added to the well and

incubated for 1 h. Plates were then washed 4× with PBST and 2× with PBS. AEC Final Substrate

(BD Biosciences) was then added to the wells for 15-20 min for the development of spots. Plates

were kept in the dark overnight and read using a Bioreader® 7000.

T cell culture and stimulation

Functional M2e-specific T cells responses were characterized by measuring activation induced

marker (AIM) and intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) using flow cytometry (**fig. S10**). Mouse

splenocytes were isolated from naïve or vaccinated mice at 5 days after prime-boost immunizations

and followed by a H1N1 virus challenge. Cryopreserved splenocytes were thawed by diluting cells

in 10 ml pre-warmed complete RPMI media with 10% deactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and

1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S). Cells were spun down at $400 \times g$ for 10 min and cell pellets were

resuspended in RPMI media. The numbers of splenocytes were counted and adjusted to 10 million

cells/ml. One million splenocytes for each mouse were placed into 96-well U-shaped-bottom

microplates. Cells were cultured in the presence of the M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe (1 μg per

well) at 37°C for a total of 24 h. After 20 h, Brefeldin A Solution (BioLegend, catalog no. 420601)

was added in the culture to enhance intracellular cytokine staining signals by inhibiting the protein

transport processes in the rough endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus. After 4 h, cells were

then spun down at $400 \times g$ for 10 min and cell pellets were resuspended in HBSS blocking buffer.

Anti-CD16/32 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 101302) was added for 30 min on ice to block

nonspecific binding to Fc receptors. Cells were then transferred to 96-well V-shaped-bottom

microplates with pre-prepared cocktail antibodies for surface marker staining, including

LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. L34962),

FITC anti-mouse CD3 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 100204), Alexa Fluor 700 anti-mouse

CD4 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 100536), BUV737 Anti-Mouse CD8a antibody (BD

Bioscience, catalog no. 612759), APC anti-mouse CD154 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no.

106510), Brilliant Violet 421 anti-mouse CD69 Antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 104527),

APC/Fire 810 anti-mouse CD279 (PD-1) antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 135251), and Brilliant

Violet 605 anti-mouse CD185 (CXCR5) Antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 145513). Splenocytes

were mixed with antibody cocktail and placed on ice for 30 min. Cells were spun down at $400 \times g$

for 10 min and the cell pellets were resuspended in HBSS blocking solution, then washed once

more. Cells were fixed with 1.6% paraformaldehyde (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. 28906)

in HBSS and placed on ice for 30 min. Cell were then washed two times with intracellular staining

permeabilization wash buffer (BioLegend, catalog no. 421002) and stained with previously

prepared antibody cocktail for intracellular staining, including PE anti-mouse IFN-γ antibody

(BioLegend, catalog no. 505808), Brilliant Violet 785 anti-mouse TNF-α antibody (BioLegend,

catalog no. 506341), PE/Cyanine5 anti-mouse IL-2 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 503824),

PE/Cyanine7 anti-mouse IL-4 antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 504118), and Brilliant Violet 711

anti-mouse IL-17A Antibody (BioLegend, catalog no. 506941). Cells were mixed with

intracellular antibodies and placed on ice for 30 min. Cells were then washed again with

intracellular staining permeabilization wash buffer. Cells were stored in HBSS blocking buffer at

4°C prior to analysis. Sample events were acquired using a Cytek Aurora spectral analytical flow

cytometer with SpectroFlo software at the Flow Cytometry Core Facility of The Scripps Research

Institute. The data were analyzed using FlowJo 10 software.

Statistical analysis

Data was collected from 8-13 mice per group in the immunization studies, challenge experiments,

and sera binding assays. For the vaccine transport and GC study in lymph nodes and T cells in

spleens, 5 mice per group with different vaccine constructs were compared using one-way

ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison *post hoc* test. Statistical significance is

indicated as the following in the figures: ns (not significant), $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$,

$****p < 0.0001$. The graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 9.3.1 software.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at XXX.

Supplementary Figures 1-10.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Y.-N.Z. thanks support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

(NSERC) for the postdoctoral fellowship. We acknowledge G. Ossetchkine, K. Duffin, M.

Ganguly, and V. Bradaschia at The Centre for Phenogenomics for their expertise and technical

support in immunohistology. We acknowledge A. Saluk, B. Seegers, and B. Monteverde of the

Flow Cytometry Core Facility at The Scripps Research Institute for their technical support in flow

cytometry. We thank V. Tong for proofreading the manuscript. **Funding:** This work was

supported by Ufovax/SFP-2018-1013 (J.Z.). **Author contributions:** Project design by K.B.G.,

Y.-N.Z., Y.-Z.L., L.H. and J.Z.; immunogen expression and purification by M.E., A.L., G.W.,

and L.H.; negative stain EM by Y.-Z.L. and L.H.; mouse immunization and sample collection by

K.B.G. and Y.-N.Z.; virus challenge and serum binding analysis by K.B.G.; lymph node

isolation, immunohistology, and flow cytometry by Y.-N.Z.; manuscript written by K.B.G., Y.-

N.Z., Y.-Z.L., S.A., L.H. and J.Z. All authors were asked to comment on the manuscript. The

Scripps Research Institute manuscript number is 30235. **Competing interests:** The authors declare

that they have no competing interests. **Data and material availability:** All data to understand and

assess the conclusions of this research are available in the main text and Supplementary Materials.

References

1.   F. Krammer *et al.*, Influenza. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **4**, 3 (2018).
2.   C. Paules, K. Subbarao, Influenza. *Lancet* **390**, 697-708 (2017).
3.   N. M. Bouvier, P. Palese, The biology of influenza viruses. *Vaccine* **26**, D49-D53 (2008).
4.   D. Dou, R. Revol, H. Ostbye, H. Wang, R. Daniels, Influenza A virus cell entry,
replication, virion assembly and movement. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, (2018).
5.   D. A. Steinhauer, J. J. Skehel, Genetics of influenza viruses. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **36**, 305-
332 (2002).
6.   Y. Y. Chou *et al.*, One influenza virus particle packages eight unique viral RNAs as
shown by FISH analysis. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**, 9101-9106 (2012).
7.   C. J. Russell, M. Hu, F. A. Okda, Influenza hemagglutinin protein stability, activation,
and pandemic risk. *Trends Microbiol.* **26**, 841-853 (2018).
8.   J. E. Hernandez-Davies *et al.*, Administration of multivalent influenza virus recombinant
hemagglutinin vaccine in combination-adjuvant elicits broad reactivity beyond the
vaccine components. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, (2021).

9.   I. Kosik, J. W. Yewdell, Influenza hemagglutinin and neuraminidase: yin-yang proteins
coevolving to thwart immunity. *Viruses* **11**, (2019).

10.   R. K. Du, Q. H. Cui, L. J. Rong, Competitive cooperation of hemagglutinin and
neuraminidase during influenza A virus entry. *Viruses* **11**, (2019).

11.   J. To, J. Torres, Viroporins in the influenza virus. *Cells-Basel* **8**, (2019).

12.   M. C. Zambon, The pathogenesis of influenza in humans. *Rev. Med. Virol.* **11**, 227-241
(2001).

13.   F. Krammer, P. Palese, Advances in the development of influenza virus vaccines. *Nat.*
Rev. Drug Discov. **14**, (2015).

14.   S. Yamayoshi, Y. Kawaoka, Current and future influenza vaccines. *Nat. Med.* **25**, 212-
220 (2019).

15.   C. J. Wei *et al.*, Next-generation influenza vaccines: opportunities and challenges. *Nat.*
Rev. Drug Discov. **19**, 239-252 (2020).

16.   F. B. Scorza, V. Tsyetnitsky, J. J. Donnelly, Universal influenza vaccines: shifting to
better vaccines. *Vaccine* **34**, 2926-2933 (2016).

17.   H. Kim, R. G. Webster, R. J. Webby, Influenza virus: dealing with a drifting and shifting
pathogen. *Viral Immunol.* **31**, 174-183 (2018).

18.   W. F. Zhu *et al.*, Epidemiologic, clinical, and genetic characteristics of human infections
with influenza A(H5N6) viruses, China. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **28**, 1332-1344 (2022).

19.   W. N. Harrington, C. M. Kackos, R. J. Webby, The evolution and future of influenza
pandemic preparedness. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **53**, 737-749 (2021).

20.   C. L. D. McMillan, P. R. Young, D. Watterson, K. J. Chappell, The next generation of
influenza vaccines: towards a universal solution. *Vaccines* **9**, (2021).

21.   R. K. Du, Q. H. Cui, L. J. Rong, Flu universal vaccines: new tricks on an old virus. *Virol.*
Sin. **36**, 13-24 (2021).

22.   L. D. Estrada, S. Schultz-Cherry, Development of a universal influenza vaccine. *J.*
Immun. **202**, 392-398 (2019).

23.   G. A. Sautto, G. A. Kirchenbaum, T. M. Ross, Towards a universal influenza vaccine:
different approaches for one goal. *Virol. J.* **15**, (2018).

24.   S. L. Epstein, Universal influenza vaccines: progress in achieving broad cross-protection
in vivo. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **187**, 2603-2614 (2018).

25.   L. Coughlan, P. Palese, Overcoming barriers in the path to a universal influenza virus
vaccine. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 18-24 (2018).

26.   R. Nachbagauer, F. Krammer, Universal influenza virus vaccines and therapeutic
antibodies. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **23**, 222-228 (2017).

27.   F. Krammer, Novel universal influenza virus vaccine approaches. *Curr Opin Virol* **17**,
95-103 (2016).

28.   S. Jegaskanda, P. C. Reading, S. J. Kent, Influenza-specific antibody-dependent cellular
cytotoxicity: toward a universal influenza vaccine. *J. Immun.* **193**, 469-475 (2014).

29.   B. L. Bullard, E. A. Weaver, Strategies targeting hemagglutinin as a universal influenza
vaccine. *Vaccines* **9**, (2021).

30.   F. Kostolansky, K. Tomcikova, K. Briestenska, M. Mikusova, E. Vareckova, Universal
anti-influenza vaccines based on viral HA2 and M2e antigens. *Acta Virol.* **64**, 417-426
(2020).

31.   S. C. Wang, H. Y. Liao, J. Y. Zhang, T. J. R. Cheng, C. H. Wong, Development of a
universal influenza vaccine using hemagglutinin stem protein produced from Pichia
pastoris. *Virology* **526**, 125-137 (2019).

32.   F. Krammer, P. Palese, Universal influenza virus vaccines that target the conserved
hemagglutinin stalk and conserved sites in the head domain. *J. Infect. Dis.* **219**, S62-S67
(2019).

33.   A. L. Skarlupka, A. G. Bebin-Blackwell, S. F. Sumner, T. M. Ross, Universal influenza
virus neuraminidase vaccine elicits protective immune responses against human seasonal
and pre-pandemic strains. *J. Virol.* **95**, (2021).

34.   L. Deng, K. Cho, W. Fiers, X. Saelens, M2e-based universal influenza A vaccines.
Vaccines **3**, 105-136 (2015).

35.   D. Mezhenskaya, I. Isakova-Sivak, L. Rudenko, M2e-based universal influenza vaccines:
a historical overview and new approaches to development. *J. Biomed. Sci.* **26**, (2019).

36.   X. Saelens, The role of matrix protein 2 ectodomain in the development of universal
influenza vaccines. *J. Infect. Dis.* **219**, S68-S74 (2019).

37.   M. Schotsaert, M. De Filette, W. Fiers, X. Saelens, Universal M2 ectodomain-based
influenza A vaccines: preclinical and clinical developments. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **8**, 499-
508 (2009).

38.   J. A. Fan *et al.*, Preclinical study of influenza virus A M2 peptide conjugate vaccines in
mice, ferrets, and rhesus monkeys. *Vaccine* **22**, 2993-3003 (2004).

39.   S. Neirynck *et al.*, A universal influenza A vaccine based on the extracellular domain of
the M2 protein. *Nat. Med.* **5**, 1157-1163 (1999).

40.   T. A. Von Holle, M. A. Moody, Influenza and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity.
Front. Immunol. **10**, (2019).

41.   B. Schepens, D. De Vlieger, X. Saelens, Vaccine options for influenza: thinking small.
Curr. Opin. Immunol. **53**, 22-29 (2018).

42.   N. V. Petukhova *et al.*, Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of candidate universal
influenza A nanovaccines produced in plants by tobacco mosaic virus-based vectors.
Curr. Pharm. Des. **19**, 5587-5600 (2013).

43.   A. M. C. Andersson *et al.*, Increased immunogenicity and protective efficacy of influenza
M2e fused to a tetramerizing protein. *PLoS One* **7**, (2012).

44.   M. De Filette *et al.*, An influenza A vaccine based on tetrameric ectodomain of matrix
protein 2. *J. Biol. Chem* **283**, 11382-11387 (2008).

45.   J. W. Huleatt *et al.*, Potent immunogenicity and efficacy of a universal influenza vaccine
candidate comprising a recombinant fusion protein linking influenza M2e to the TLR5
ligand flagellin. *Vaccine* **26**, 201-214 (2008).

46.   J. P. Adler-Moore *et al.*, Monomeric M2e antigen in VesiVax® liposomes stimulates
protection against type a strains of influenza comparable to liposomes with multimeric
forms of M2e. *J. Liposome Res.* **27**, 210-220 (2017).

47.   G. A. Stoloff, W. Caparros-Wanderley, Synthetic multi-epitope peptides identified in
silico induce protective immunity against multiple influenza serotypes. *Eur. J. Immunol.*
37, 2441-2449 (2007).

48.   A. Kolpe, B. Schepens, W. Fiers, X. Saelens, M2-based influenza vaccines: recent
advances and clinical potential. *Expert Rev Vaccines* **16**, 123-136 (2017).

49.   L. H. L. Lua *et al.*, Bioengineering virus-like particles as vaccines. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*
111, 425-440 (2014).

50. Q. Zhao, S. Li, H. Yu, N. Xia, Y. Modis, Virus-like particle-based human vaccines:
quality assessment based on structural and functional properties. *Trends Biotechnol.* **31**,
654-663 (2013).

51. W. A. Rodriguez-Limas, K. Sekar, K. E. J. Tyo, Virus-like particles: the future of
microbial factories and cell-free systems as platforms for vaccine development. *Curr.*
Opin. Biotechnol. **24**, 1089-1093 (2013).

52. P. Pushko, P. Pumpens, E. Grens, Development of virus-like particle technology from
small highly symmetric to large complex virus-like particle structures. *Intervirology* **56**,
141-165 (2013).

53. N. Kushnir, S. J. Streatfield, V. Yusibov, Virus-like particles as a highly efficient vaccine
platform: diversity of targets and production systems and advances in clinical
development. *Vaccine* **31**, 58-83 (2012).

54. G. T. Jennings, M. F. Bachmann, Coming of age of virus-like particle vaccines. *J. Biol.*
Chem. **389**, 521-536 (2008).

55. C. Ludwig, R. Wagner, Virus-like particles - universal molecular toolboxes. *Curr. Opin.*
Biotechnol. **18**, 537-545 (2007).

56. E. V. L. Grgacic, D. A. Anderson, Virus-like particles: passport to immune recognition.
Methods **40**, 60-65 (2006).

57. Y.-N. Zhang *et al.*, Single-component multilayered self-assembling protein nanoparticles
presenting glycan-trimmed uncleaved prefusion optimized envelope trimers as HIV-1
vaccine candidates. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1985 (2023).

58. L. He *et al.*, Single-component multilayered self-assembling nanoparticles presenting
rationally designed glycoprotein trimers as Ebola virus vaccines. *Nat. Commun.* **12**,
(2021).

59. L. He *et al.*, Single-component, self-assembling, protein nanoparticles presenting the
receptor binding domain and stabilized spike as SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidates. *Sci.*
Adv. **7**, eabf1591 (2021).

60. L. He *et al.*, Proof of concept for rational design of hepatitis C virus E2 core nanoparticle
vaccines. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaaz6225 (2020).

61. L. He *et al.*, HIV-1 vaccine design through minimizing envelope metastability. *Sci. Adv.*
4, aau6769 (2018).

62. L. He *et al.*, Presenting native-like trimeric HIV-1 antigens with self-assembling
nanoparticles. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12041 (2016).

63. Y.-N. Zhang *et al.*, Mechanism of a COVID-19 nanoparticle vaccine candidate that elicits
a broadly neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabj3107
(2021).

64. B. L. deGroot *et al.*, Prediction of protein conformational freedom from distance
constraints. *Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet.* **29**, 240-251 (1997).

65. J. Zhu, H. Fan, X. Periole, B. Honig, A. E. Mark, Refining homology models by
combining replica-exchange molecular dynamics and statistical potentials. *Proteins:*
Struct. Funct. Genet. **72**, 1171-1188 (2008).

66. K. J. Cho *et al.*, Crystal structure of the conserved amino terminus of the extracellular
domain of matrix protein 2 of influenza A virus gripped by an antibody. *J. Virol.* **90**, 611-
615 (2016).

67. K. J. Cho *et al.*, Structure of the extracellular domain of matrix protein 2 of influenza A
virus in complex with a protective monoclonal antibody. *J. Virol.* **89**, 3700-3711 (2015).

68.   S. Afkhami *et al.*, Respiratory mucosal delivery of next-generation COVID-19 vaccine
provides robust protection against both ancestral and variant strains of SARS-CoV-2.
Cell **185**, 896-915.e819 (2022).

69.   M. A. Ramakrishnan, Determination of 50% endpoint titer using a simple formula. *World*
J. Virol. **5**, 85-86 (2016).

70.   C. Lei, J. Yang, J. Hu, X. Sun, On the calculation of TCID(50) for quantitation of virus
infectivity. *Virol. Sin.* **36**, 141-144 (2021).

71.   C. D. Higgins, V. N. Malashkevich, S. C. Almo, J. R. Lai, Influence of a heptad repeat
stutter on the pH-dependent conformational behavior of the central coiled-coil fro
influenza he agglutinin HA2. *Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet.* **82**, 2220-2228 (2014).

72.   R. Rappuoli, Glycoconjugate vaccines: principles and mechanisms. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **10**,
(2018).

73.   G. D. Victora, M. C. Nussenzweig, Germinal centers. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **30**, 429-457
(2012).

74.   J. G. Cyster, B cell follicles and antigen encounters of the third kind. *Nat. Immunol.* **11**,
989-996 (2010).

75.   B. A. Heesters, R. C. Myers, M. C. Carroll, Follicular dendritic cells: dynamic antigen
libraries. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **14**, 495-504 (2014).

76.   Y.-N. Zhang *et al.*, Nanoparticle size influences antigen retention and presentation in
lymph node follicles for humoral immunity. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 7226-7235 (2019).

77.   Y.-N. Zhang, W. Poon, E. Sefton, W. C. W. Chan, Suppressing subcapsular sinus
macrophages enhances transport of nanovaccines to lymph node follicles for robust
humoral immunity. *ACS Nano* **14**, 9478-9490 (2020).

78.   E. J. Erbelding *et al.*, A universal influenza vaccine: the strategic plan for the national
institute of allergy and infectious diseases. *J. Infect. Dis.* **218**, 347-354 (2018).

79.   T. Tokatlian *et al.*, Innate immune recognition of glycans targets HIV nanoparticle
immunogens to germinal centers. *Science* **363**, 649-654 (2019).

80.   M. C. Carroll, The role of complement and complement receptors in induction and
regulation of immunity. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **16**, 545-568 (1998).

81.   C. Viant *et al.*, Antibody affinity shapes the choice between memory and germinal center
B cell fates. *Cell* **183**, 1298-1311.e1211 (2020).

82.   C. D. Allen, T. Okada, J. G. Cyster, Germinal-center organization and cellular dynamics.
Immunity **27**, 190-202 (2007).

83.   J. G. Cyster, C. D. C. Allen, B cell responses: cell interaction dynamics and decisions.
Cell **177**, 524-540 (2019).

84.   B. A. Heesters, C. E. van der Poel, A. Das, M. C. Carroll, Antigen presentation to B cells.
Trends Immunol. **37**, 844-854 (2016).

85.   Z. Shulman *et al.*, T follicular helper cell dynamics in germinal centers. *Science* **341**,
673-677 (2013).

86.   S. Crotty, T follicular helper cell differentiation, function, and roles in disease. *Immunity*
41, 529-542 (2014).

87.   M. M. Hufford, T. S. Kim, J. Sun, T. J. Braciale, The effector T cell response to influenza
infection. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **386**, 423-455 (2015).

88.   Y. Janssens *et al.*, The role of cell-mediated immunity against influenza and its
implications for vaccine evaluation. *Front. Immunol.* **13**, 959379 (2022).

89.   S. L. Swain, K. K. McKinstry, T. M. Strutt, Expanding roles for CD4+ T cells in
immunity to viruses. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **12**, 136-148 (2012).
90.   A. J. Sant, A. T. DiPiazza, J. L. Nayak, A. Rattan, K. A. Richards, CD4 T cells in
protection from influenza virus: viral antigen specificity and functional potential.
Immunol. Rev. **284**, 91-105 (2018).
91.   M. Koutsakos *et al.*, Human CD8+ T cell cross-reactivity across influenza A, B and
viruses. *Nat. Immunol.* **20**, 613-625 (2019).
92.   E. A. Hemann, S. M. Kang, K. L. Legge, Protective CD8 T cell-mediated immunity
against influenza A virus infection following influenza virus-like particle vaccination. *J.*
Immun. **191**, 2486-2494 (2013).
93.   N. Saito *et al.*, Negative impact of prior influenza vaccination on current influenza
vaccination among people infected and not infected in prior season: a test-negative case-
control study in Japan. *Vaccine* **35**, 687-693 (2017).
94.   Y. N. Lee, M. C. Kim, Y. T. Lee, Y. J. Kim, S. M. Kang, Mechanisms of cross-protection
by influenza virus M2-based vaccines. *Immune Netw.* **15**, 213-221 (2015).
95.   K. Frank, S. Paust, Dynamic natural killer cell and T cell responses to influenza infection.
Front Cell Infect Mi **10**, 425 (2020).
96.   N. Pica, P. Palese, Toward a universal influenza virus vaccine: prospects and challenges.
Annu. Rev. Med. **64**, 189-202 (2013).
97.   W. C. Wang, E. E. Sayedahmed, S. Sambhara, S. K. Mittal, Progress towards the
development of a universal influenza vaccine. *Viruses* **14**, (2022).
98.   C. C. D. Lee *et al.*, A cross-neutralizing antibody between HIV-1 and influenza virus.
PLOS Pathog. **17**, (2021).

1164
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Design and in vitro characterization of hM2e immunogens. (**A**) Structural models of

human M2e (hM2e), hM2e-5GS-1TD0 trimer, and three hM2e-presenting nanoparticles (NPs).

Left: Amino acid sequence and ribbons/surface model of hM2e (from PDB ID 4N8C). Middle:

Ribbons/surface model of hM2e-5GS-1TD0 trimer, in which a trimeric viral capsid protein SHP

(PDB ID: 1TD0) is used to display hM2e. Right: Surface models of hM2e on 24-meric ferritin

(FR) and 60-meric E2p-L4P and I3-01-L7P 1c-SApNPs. The SApNP size is indicated by diameter

(in nm). (**B**) SEC profiles of hM2e-5GS-1TD0 trimer and hM2e-presenting FR, E2p-L4P and I3-

01-L7P SApNPs. The hM2e trimer was processed on a Superdex 75 10/300 increase GL column,

while three SApNPs were processed on a Superose 6 increase 10/300 GL column. (**C**) SDS-PAGE

under reducing conditions (left) and BN-PAGE (*98*) of hM2e-presenting FR, E2p-L4P and I3-

01v9a-L7P SApNPs. Notably, hM2e-5GS-1TD0 is included on the SDS gel for comparison. (**D**)

Negative-stain EM micrographs of mAb148-purified FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P SApNPs.

(**E**) DLS profiles of mAb148-purified FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P SApNPs. Average particle

size derived from DLS are labeled. (**F**) Thermostability of the hM2e-5GS-1TD0 trimer and hM2e-

5GS-FR SApNP with Tm, $\Delta T_{1/2}$ and T_{on} measured by DSC. (**G**) ELISA analysis of the hM2e trimer

and SApNPs (FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P) binding to mAb65 (left) and mAb148 (*98*) after

heating to 50 °C, 60 °C and 70 °C for 15 minutes. (**H**) Antigenic profiles of the hM2e trimer and

SApNPs (FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P) to mAb148 using BLI.

Figure 2. Assessment of hM2e scaffolds and nanoparticles in a mouse challenge model.

(**A**) Benchmark challenge studies assessing survival and weight loss to establish the 50% lethal

intranasal challenge dose in mice for mouse-adapted A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8) H1N1 and

A/Hong Kong/1/1968 (HK/68) H3N2; N = 10 mice/group. Mice were monitored for survival,

weight loss, and morbidities for 14 days. (**B**) Schematic representation of mouse immunization

regimen for hM2e constructs, sequential challenges of $LD_{50} \times 10$ of PR8 and HK/68, blood

collection, and sacrifice; N = 10 mice/group. (**C**) Survival and weight loss of mice challenged with

$LD_{50} \times 10$ of PR8 (H1N1) followed by an $LD_{50} \times 10$ of HK/68 (H3N2). Mice were monitored for

survival, weight loss, and morbidities for 14 days. (**D**) ELISA curves showing hM2e-immune sera

binding to the hM2e-5GS-foldon trimer probe and calculated 50% effective concentration (EC_{50})

values for weeks 2, 5, 10, and 14. The assay was performed in duplicate with a starting serum

dilution of 20× followed by seven 10-fold titrations. Images of mouse immunization, virus

challenge, and blood and organ collection created with BioRender.com.

Figure 3. Design and characterization of tandem M2ex3 immunogens. (**A**) Structural models

of tandem M2ex3, M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 trimer, and three M2ex3-presenting SApNPs. Left: Amino

acid sequences of human, avian/swine, and human/swine M2e and ribbons/surface model of

tandem M2ex3 (based on hM2e from PDB ID 4N8C). The G4 linker is shown as a dotted line.

Middle: Ribbons/surface model of M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 trimer, in which 1TD0 is a trimeric viral

capsid protein. Right: Surface models of M2ex3 on 24-meric ferritin (FR) and 60-meric E2p-L4P

and I3-01-L7P 1c-SApNPs. The SApNP size is indicated by diameter (in nm). (**B**) SEC profiles of

M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 trimer and M2ex3-presenting FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01-L7P SApNPs. The

tandem M2ex3 trimer and three SApNPs were processed on a Superdex 75 10/300 increase GL

column and a Superose 6 increase 10/300 GL column, respectively. (**C**) SDS-PAGE (left) under

reducing conditions and BN-PAGE (*98*) of tandem M2ex3-presenting FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-

L7P SApNPs. Notably, M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 is included on the SDS gel for comparison. (**D**)

Negative-stain EM micrographs of mAb148-purified FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P SApNPs.

(**E**) DLS profiles of mAb148-purified FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P SApNPs. Average particle

size derived from DLS are labeled. (**F**) Thermostability of the M2ex3-5GS-1TD0 trimer and

M2ex3-5GS-FR SApNP with Tm, $\Delta T_{1/2}$ and T_{on} measured by DSC. (**G**) ELISA analysis of the

M2ex3 trimer and SApNPs (FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P) binding to mAb65 (left) and

mAb148 (*98*) after heating to 50 °C, 60 °C and 70 °C for 15 minutes. (**H**) Antigenic profiles of the

M2ex3 trimer and SApNPs (FR, E2p-L4P and I3-01v9a-L7P) to mAb148 using BLI.

Figure 4. Survival and weight loss assessment of tandem M2ex3 scaffold and nanoparticles

in a mouse challenge model. (**A**) Schematic representation of mouse immunization regimen for

M2ex3 constructs, sequential intranasal challenges of $LD_{50} \times 10$ of mouse-adapted PR8 (H1N1)

and HK/68 (H3N2), blood collection, and sacrifice. Groups were as follows: M2ex3 groups

adjuvanted with alum phosphate (n = 8), M2ex3 groups adjuvanted with AddaVax (n = 13), and

Inactivated PR8 (n = 8). Inactivated PR8 + AddaVax (n = 13) was used as a positive control for

lung viral titers for the PR8 challenge. (**B**) Survival and weight loss of mice challenged with LD_{50}

$\times$ 10 of PR8 (H1N1) followed by an $LD_{50} \times$ 10 of HK/68 (H3N2). Mice were monitored for

survival, weight loss, and morbidities for 14 days. (**C**) Lung viral titers in M2ex3-immunized mice

at Day 5 post-PR8 H1N1 challenge (n = 5). Visual representation of plaques formed from the lung

supernatants of various M2ex3-immunized mice. The highest countable plaques were observed in

naïve mice. The lowest number of plaques were observed in the lung supernatants of E2p- and I3-

01v9a NP-immunized mice. The assay was performed in duplicate starting at a lung supernatant

dilution of 1x followed by 10-fold titrations. Statistical analysis shows significance between

M2ex3 groups compared to naïve mice using One-way ANOVA. The error bars indicate

mean $\pm$ standard deviation; **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, and ****$p < 0.0001$. Images of mouse

immunization, virus challenge, and blood and organ collection created with BioRender.com.

Figure 5. M2ex3-immune sera binding to scaffolded M2ex3 and homotetrameric M2e. (**A**)

ELISA curves showing M2ex3-immune sera (adjuvanted with alum phosphate or AddaVax)

binding to the M2ex3-5GS-foldon trimer probe and calculated 50% effective concentration (EC_{50})

values for weeks 2, 5, 10, and 14. N = 8 or 13 at weeks 2 and 5. N = variable based on surviving

mice/group post-challenge for weeks 10 and 14. The assay was performed in duplicate with a

starting serum dilution of 20× followed by seven 10-fold titrations. (**B**) Serum binding to M2e on

the surface of MDCK cells infected with various influenza A strains. Strains: A/Puerto

Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), A/California/04/2009 (H1N1)pdm09, A Solomon Islands/2/2006 (H1N1),

A/Hong Kong/1/1968 (H3N2), A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2), A/Aichi/2/1968 (H3N2),

B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Flu B, Victoria Lineage B/Florida/4/2006), and (Flu B, Yamagata Lineage).

MAb148 (M2e antibody) was used as a positive control. The assay was performed in duplicate

with a starting serum dilution of 50x followed by five 10-fold titrations. Statistical analysis shows

significance between trimer and NP groups and positive control using Two-way ANOVA. The

error bars indicate mean ± standard deviation; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and

****p < 0.0001.

Fig. 6. Prolonged retention of M2ex3-presenting SApNPs in lymph node follicles. (**A**)

Distribution of I3-01v9a SApNPs displayed M2ex3 trimers in a lymph node at 48 h after a single-

dose injection (10 μg/injection, 40 μg/mouse). Anti-M2e Ab148 and Ab65 were used to stain the

lymph node tissues. (**B**) schematics of M2ex3 trimer presenting SApNP accumulation in lymph

node tissues. (**C**) Trafficking and retention of the M3ex3 trimer and FR and I3-01v9a SApNPs in

lymph node follicles at 2 h to 8 weeks after a single-dose injection. Scale bar = 50 μm for each

image. (**D**) Time-dependent curve and (**E**) Area under the curve (*78*) of the Ab148-stained area in

immunohistological images of M3ex3 immunogen retention in lymph node follicles up to 8 weeks.

(**F**) Quantification of M3ex3 vaccine accumulation in lymph node follicles at 1 week after a single-

dose injection. (**G**) Histological images of the M2ex3 trimer and two SApNP vaccine accumulation

and retention in lymph node follicles at 2 weeks and 5 weeks after the boost, which occurred at 3

weeks after the first dose. (**H**) Quantification of vaccine accumulation in lymph node follicles at 1

week after the boost. In mouse injection, all vaccine immunogens were mixed with AddaVax

adjuvant. Data were collected from more than 10 lymph node follicles (n = 3-5 mice/group). (I)

Interaction of M2ex3 trimer presenting SApNPs with FDC networks in lymph nodes at 1 week

after a single-dose injection. Both FR and I3-01v9a SApNP immunogens were colocalized with

FDC networks. Immunofluorescent images are pseudo-color-coded (CD21^{+}, green; CD169^{+}, red;

Ab148, white). Scale bars = 500 and 100 μm for a complete lymph node and enlarged image of a

follicle, respectively. The data points are expressed as mean ± SEM for (D) and SD for (E, F and

H). The data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison

post hoc test. ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$.

Fig. 7. Induction of robust and long-lived germinal center reactions by M2ex3-presenting

SApNPs. (**A**) Top image: Immunofluorescent images of M2ex3 trimer presenting I3-01v9a

SApNP vaccine candidate induced germinal centers (GCs) at 2 weeks after a single-dose injection

(10 μg/injection, 40 μg/mouse). Bottom image: robust GC reaction with organized light zone (LZ)

and dark zone (DZ) compartments in lymph node follicles. GC B cells (GL7$^+$, red) attached to

FDCs (CD21$^+$, green) and T_{fh} cells located in LZ of GCs. Scale bars = 500 and 100 μm for a

complete lymph node and the enlarged image of a follicle, respectively. (**B**) and (**C**) quantification

of GCs in terms of the GC/FDC ratio and the size of GCs induced by the M2ex3 trimer, and FR

and I3-01v9a SApNP vaccines using immunohistological images at 2, 5, and 8 weeks after a

single-dose injection or at 2 and 5 weeks after the boost, which occurred at 3 weeks after the first

dose (n = 5 mice/group). (**D**) and (**E**) representative GC images induced by three M2ex3 vaccine

constructs at 8 weeks using a single-dose or prime-boost regimen. Scale bar = 50 μm for the image

of an enlarged lymph node follicle. (**F**) and (**G**) Quantification of GC reactions in terms of the

percentage and number of GC B cells and T_{fh} cells using flow cytometry after a single-dose or

prime-boost immunizations. In mouse immunization, all vaccine constructs were formulated with

AddaVax adjuvant. The data points are shown as mean ± SD. The data were analyzed using one-

way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc test for each timepoint. *$p <$

0.05, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$.

Figure 8. Innate and T cell responses of M2ex3 scaffolds and M2ex3-presenting SApNPs

(**A**) ADCC activity measured by RLU of FcγRIV-expressing Jurkat effector cells binding to

M2ex3-immune sera bound to M2e on PR8 (H1N1)-infected MDCK cells. The data is presented

as mean ± SEM (**B**) Spot formation of IFN-γ and IL-4-secreting splenoctyes from M2ex3-

immunized mice at Day 5 post-PR8 H1N1 challenge. Mouse splenocytes were isolated from

immunized mice with M2ex3 trimer and FR and I3-01v9a SApNP vaccine constructs at 5 days

after prime-boost immunization followed by H1N1 virus challenge (n = 5 mice/group).

Splenocytes of naïve mice without immunization but with a H1N1 virus challenge were included

as control samples. Quantification of the percentage and number of vaccine-induced functional (**C**)

CD4$^+$ and (**D**) CD8$^+$ T cell responses using flow cytometry. In mouse immunization, all vaccine

immunogens were coupled with AddaVax adjuvant. The data points are shown as mean ± SD. The

data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc

test for each timepoint. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$.

SUPPLEMENTAL LEGENDS

fig. S1. Schematic of computational design for I3-01v9a.

fig. S2. Construct and in vitro characterization of hM2e-presenting trimer and SApNPs.

fig. S3. Serum binding of individual mice immunized with hM2e 1TD0 trimer and SApNPs.

fig. S4. Construct and in vitro characterization of M2ex3-presenting trimer and SApNPs.

fig. S5. Serum binding of individual mice immunized with M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNPs.

fig. S6. M2ex3 serum binding of individual mice to cell-surface homotetrameric M2e.

fig. S7. Immunohistological images of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNPs in lymph nodes.

fig. S8. Immunohistological analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced GCs.

fig. S9. Flow cytometry analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced GCs.

fig. S10. Flow cytometry analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced T cell responses.

Fig. 1

A

>hM2e
SLLTEVET`PIRN`EWGCRCNDSSD

hM2e
PDB ID: 4N8C

hM2e-5GS-1TD0

9.1 nm

hM2e-5GS-FR
20.9 nm

hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
29.1 nm

hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P
32.4 nm

B

hM2e-5GS-1TD0
hM2e-5GS-FR
hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P

mAb148
mAb65

UV_{280}

Volume (ml)

C

kDa.
75 — hM2e-5GS-1TD0
— hM2e-5GS-FR
50 — hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
37 — hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P
25
20
15

kDa.
— hM2e-5GS-FR
— hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P
669 — hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
440

D

hM2e-5GS-FR
hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P

50 nm

E

hM2e-5GS-FR
57.27 nm

hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P
69.62 nm

hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P
46.19 nm

Intensity (%)
Diameter (nm)

F

hM2e-5GS-1TD0 (mAb148)
T_m = 72.8
T_{onset} = 57.9
$T_{1/2}$ = 7.04

hM2e-5GS-FR (mAb148)
T_{m1} = 72.5
T_{m2} = 91.3
T_{onset} = 58.7

Cp (kcal mol^{-1} °C^{-1})
Temperature (°C)

G

hM2e (Binding to mAb65)
hM2e (Binding to mAb148)

EC_{50} (µg/ml)

4°C
50°C
60°C
70°C

hM2e-5GS-1TD0 (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-FR (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P (mAb148)

H

hM2e-5GS-1TD0 (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-FR (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-E2p-L4P (mAb148)
hM2e-5GS-I3-01v9a-L7P (mAb148)

nm
Time (s)

A

PR8 H1N1 Benchmark Challenge (Survival)

PR8 H1N1 Benchmark Challenge (Weight Loss)

HK/68 H3N2 Benchmark Challenge (Survival)

HK/68 H3N2 Benchmark Challenge (Weight Loss)

B

Prime — W0 — Boost — W2 — W3 — PR8 (H1N1) Challenge — W5 — W6 — HK/68 (H3N2) Challenge — W10 — Sacrifice — W14

C

PR8 H1N1 Survival (Challenge #1)

PR8 H1N1 Weight Loss (Challenge #1)

HK/68 H3N2 Survival (Challenge #2)

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

D

Week 2

Week 5

Week 10

Week 14

ID50 Serum Dilution

hM2e + AP	1TD0	FR	E2p	I3-01v9a
Week 2	N/A	21.82	15.72	13.04
Week 5	31.99	997.2	1678	1369
Week 10	263.4	1925	1249	1878
Week 14	1252	6940	5451	7510

Fig. 3

A

SLLTEVETPIRNEWGCRCNDSSD Human M2e
SLLTEVETPTRNGWESKSSDSSD Avian/Swine M2e
SLLTEVETPTRSEWESRSSGSSD Human/Swine M2e

Fig. 4

A

Prime — W0
Boost — W2, W3
PR8 (H1N1) Challenge — W5, W6
HK/68 (H3N2) Challenge — W10
Sacrifice — W14

B

PR8 H1N1 Survival (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AP

PR8 H1N1 Weight Loss (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AP

Group (n = 8)	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive	0	21.7 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	100	4.4 ± 5.3
M2ex3 iTD0	50	19.4 ± 7.3
M2ex3 FR SApNP	88	15.5 ± 8.1
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	100	11.2 ± 3.8
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	100	15.5 ± 5.3

PR8 H1N1 Survival (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

PR8 H1N1 Weight Loss (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

Group (n = 13 up to day 5; n = 8 up to Day 14)	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive	0	21.7 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	100	4.4 ± 5.3
M2ex3 iTD0	63	18.9 ± 5.9
M2ex3 FR SApNP	100	13.1 ± 7.2
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	100	12.5. ± 7.7
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	100	10.0 ± 4.2

HK/68 H3N2 Survival (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AP

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AP

Group	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive (n = 8)	0	19.5 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1 (n = 8)	63	15.7 ± 4.9
M2e 1TD0 (n = 4)	100	8.9 ± 5.2
M2ex3 FR SApNP (n = 7)	100	4.7 ± 3.22
M2ex3 E2p SApNP (n = 8)	100	6.5 ± 1.9
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.4 ± 3.0

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

Group	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive (n = 8)	0	19.5 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1 (n = 8)	63	15.7 ± 4.9
M2ex3 1TD0 (n = 5)	100	4.3 ± 2.1
M2ex3 FR SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.3 ± 1.0
M2ex3 E2p SApNP (n = 8)	100	5.9 ± 3.4
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.9 ± 3.3

C

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0
M2ex3 FR
M2ex3 E2p
M2ex3 I3-01v9a

Lung Viral Titers (PR8 H1N1)

Naive
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

Log_{10} Lung PFU/ml

Group; n = 5 at Day 5	Decrease in PFU vs. Naive	Decrease in PFU vs. M2ex3 1TD0
M2ex3 1TD0	2.9-fold	–
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	> 24.3-fold	> 8.3-fold
M2ex3 FR SApNP	7.5-fold	2.5-fold
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	24.3-fold	8.3-fold
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	18.1-fold	6.3-fold

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

A

fig. S1. Schematic representation of computational design for I3-01v9a. Top left: The molecular surface model (gray) of I3-01v9 NP and a zoomed-in view of the ribbon model of an I3-01v9 trimer (chains A, B, and C colored in sky blue, plum, and light green, respectively), with the N-termini forming a triangle of 50.5 Å. Also shown is the ribbon model of a c-MYC transcription factor protein (PDB ID: 6G6L), from which the backbone of a helix (residues 953-982, orange) was grafted onto an I3-01v9 subunit by using residues E2 and E3 (yellow) of I3-01v9 for fitting. Top right: A side view of the ribbon model of an I3-01v9 trimer with the fitted full helix backbone (30 residues) and truncated helix backbone (11 residues). Bottom right: 1,000 slightly perturbed backbone conformations of the modified I3-01v9 subunit with an extended N-terminal helix generated using CONCOORD, a protein structure sampling program. Bottom middle: Predicted amino acid (frequency, %) for each position of the first 9 residues using Cα and Cβ-based RAPDF scoring functions. Bottom left: The final sequence design, termed I3-01v9a, with the predicted residues of the N-terminal helical extension colored in orange. The anchoring residues E2 and E3 are colored in yellow, and the last remaining turn of the N-terminal helix is colored in red.

fig. S2. Construct and in vitro characterization of hM2e-presenting trimer and SApNPs. (A) Construct sequences of hM2e-presenting FR, E2p-L4P, and I3-01v9-L7P SApNPs, with the gene fragments of leader sequence, restriction site, human Matrix protein 2 extra-virion domain (residue: 2-24), flexible linker, NP-forming subunit, locking domain (LD), and PADRE highlighted in yellow, green, gray, light magenta, cyan, olive green, and red shades, respectively. (B) negative stain EM image for hM2e-presenting SApNPs. (C) ELISA curves of for hM2e-presenting SApNPs binding to mAb148 and mAb65 antibody. (D) Dynamic Light Scatter results for hM2e-FR after SEC purification. (E) Antigenic evaluation of hM2e-presenting SApNPs using BLI for mAb65 antibody. A two-fold concentration gradient of antigen, starting at 5.0 uM for hM2e 1TD0 trimer, 80.0 nM for FR-SApNP, and 20.0 nM for E2p and I3-01v9a SApNPs, was used in a titration series of six.

fig. S3. Serum binding of individual mice immunized with hM2e 1TD0 trimer and SApNPs. ELISA curves showing hM2e-immune sera (adjuvanted with aluminum phosphate) binding to the hM2e-5GS-foldon trimer probe and calculated 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}) values for weeks 2 and 5 (N = 10). N = variable at weeks 10 and 14 based on surviving mice/group post-H1N1 and H3N2 challenges at weeks 6 and 10, respectively. Serum was tested was from mice immunized with hM2e 1TD0 trimer, and FR, E2p, and I3-01v9a SApNP at weeks 0 and 3. The assay was performed in duplicate with a starting serum dilution of 20× followed by seven 10-fold titrations.

 (**A**) Construct sequences of tandem M2e-presenting FR, E2p-L4P, and I3-01v9-L7P NPs, with the gene fragments of leader sequence, restriction site, tandem Matrix protein 2 extra-virion domain (including human, avian/swine and human/swine), flexible linker, NP-forming subunit, locking domain (LD), and PADRE highlighted in yellow, green, gray, light magenta, cyan, olive green, and red shades, respectively. (**B**) negative stain EM image for M2ex3-presenting SApNPs. (**C**) ELISA curves of for M2ex3-presenting SApNPs binding to mAb148 and mAb65 antibody. (**D**) Antigenic evaluation of M2eX3-presenting SApNPs using BLI for mAb65 antibody. A two-fold concentration gradient of antigen, starting at 5 uM for M2ex3 1TD0 trimer, 80 nM for FR SApNP, and 20 nM for E2p and I3-01v9a SApNPs, was used in a titration series of six.

A

fig. S6. M2ex3 serum binding of individual mice to cell-surface homotetrameric M2e. Week 5 serum from mice immunized with M2ex3 1TD0, FR SApNP, and I3-01v9a SApNPs adjuvanted with AddaVax (n = 13) were tested against homotetrameric M2e on various influenza strains: A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1), A/California/04/2009 (H1N1)pdm09, A Solomon Islands/2/2006 (H1N1), A/Hong Kong/1/1968 (H3N2), A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2), A/Aichi/2/1968 (H3N2), B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Flu B, Victoria Lineage), and B/Florida/4/2006 (Flu B, Yamagata Lineage). MAb148 (M2e antibody) was used as a IAV positive control. The assay was performed in duplicate with a starting serum dilution of 50x followed by five 10-fold titrations.

fig. S7. Immunohistological images of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNPs in lymph nodes. Immunostaining images of M2ex3 1TD0 and M2ex3-presenting FR and I3-01v9a SApNP interaction with FDC networks in lymph node follicles at (**A**) 48 hours, (**B**) 2 weeks, (**C**) 5 weeks, and (**D**) 8 weeks after a single-dose injection (10 µg per injection, totaling 40 µg per mouse). Immunofluorescent images are pseudo color coded (CD21+, green; CD169+, red; anti-M2ex3 mAb148, white). Scale bars = 500 and 100 µm for complete lymph node and enlarged image of a follicle, respectively. Data were collected from more than 10 lymph node follicles (n = 3-5 mice/group).

A   **Single-dose - 2 w**

M2ex3
1TD0

M2ex3
FR
SApNP

M2ex3
I3-01v9a
SApNP

B   **Single-dose - 5 w**

M2ex3
1TD0

M2ex3
FR
SApNP

M2ex3
I3-01v9a
SApNP

C **Single-dose - 8 w**

M2ex3
1TD0

M2ex3
FR
SApNP

M2ex3
I3-01v9a
SApNP

D **Prime-boost - 3 w + 2 w**

M2ex3
1TD0

M2ex3
FR
SApNP

M2ex3
I3-01v9a
SApNP

E   **Prime-boost - 3 w + 5 w**

M2ex3
1TD0

M2ex3
FR
SApNP

M2ex3
I3-01v9a
SApNP

fig. S8. Immunohistological analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced GCs. Immunohistological images of GCs at (**A**) 2, (**B**) 5, and (**C**) 8 weeks after a single-dose injection of M2ex3 1TD0 and M2ex3-presenting FR, and I3-01v9a SApNP vaccines (10 µg per injection, totaling 40 µg per mouse), with a scale bar of 500 µm for each image. Images of GCs at (**D**) 2 and (**E**) 5 weeks after the boost, which occurred at 3 weeks after the first dose (n = 5 mice/group).

fig. S9. Flow cytometry analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced GCs. Gating strategy for analyzing GCs (GC B cells and T follicular helper cells) using flow cytometry (n = 5 mice/group).

fig. S10. Flow cytometry analysis of M2ex3 1TD0 trimer and SApNP-induced T cell responses. Gating strategy for analyzing CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses against the M2ex3-5GS-foldon probe using flow cytometry at 5 days after prime-boost immunization and virus challenge (n = 5 mice/group).

| ID$_{50}$ Serum Dilution | | | |
hM2e + AP	1TD0	FR	E2p	I3-01v9a
Week 2	N/A	21.82	15.72	13.04
Week 5	31.99	997.2	1678	1369
Week 10	263.4	1925	1249	1878
Week 14	1252	6940	5451	7510

Fig. 4

A

Prime (W0) — Boost (W2) / W3 — PR8 (H1N1) Challenge (W5) / W6 — HK/68 (H3N2) Challenge (W10) — Sacrifice (W14)

B

PR8 H1N1 Survival (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AP

PR8 H1N1 Weight Loss (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AP

Group (n = 8)	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive	0	21.7 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	100	4.4 ± 5.3
M2ex3 iTD0	50	19.4 ± 7.3
M2ex3 FR SApNP	88	15.5 ± 8.1
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	100	11.2 ± 3.8
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	100	15.5 ± 5.3

PR8 H1N1 Survival (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

PR8 H1N1 Weight Loss (Challenge #1)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AddaVax

Group (n = 13 up to day 5; n = 8 up to Day 14)	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive	0	21.7 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	100	4.4 ± 5.3
M2ex3 iTD0	63	18.9 ± 5.9
M2ex3 FR SApNP	100	13.1 ± 7.2
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	100	12.5. ± 7.7
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	100	10.0 ± 4.2

HK/68 H3N2 Survival (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AP

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AP
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AP
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AP
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AP

Group	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive (n = 8)	0	19.5 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1 (n = 8)	63	15.7 ± 4.9
M2e 1TD0 (n = 4)	100	8.9 ± 5.2
M2ex3 FR SApNP (n = 7)	100	4.7 ± 3.22
M2ex3 E2p SApNP (n = 8)	100	6.5 ± 1.9
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.4 ± 3.0

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

HK/68 H3N2 Weight Loss (Challenge #2)

Naive
Inactivated PR8 H1N1
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9 SApNP + AddaVax

Group	Survival (%)	Peak Weight Loss (%)
Naive (n = 8)	0	19.5 ± 2.9
Inactivated PR8 H1N1 (n = 8)	63	15.7 ± 4.9
M2ex3 1TD0 (n = 5)	100	4.3 ± 2.1
M2ex3 FR SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.3 ± 1.0
M2ex3 E2p SApNP (n = 8)	100	5.9 ± 3.4
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP (n = 8)	100	4.9 ± 3.3

C

Naive — Inactivated PR8 H1N1 — M2ex3 1TD0
M2ex3 FR — M2ex3 E2p — M2ex3 I3-01v9a

Lung Viral Titers (PR8 H1N1)

Naive
M2ex3 1TD0 + AddaVax
M2ex3 FR SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 E2p SApNP + AddaVax
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP + AddaVax

Log_{10} Lung PFU/ml

*** **** *** **

Group; n = 5 at Day 5	Decrease in PFU vs. Naive	Decrease in PFU vs. M2ex3 1TD0
M2ex3 1TD0	2.9-fold	–
Inactivated PR8 H1N1	> 24.3-fold	> 8.3-fold
M2ex3 FR SApNP	7.5-fold	2.5-fold
M2ex3 E2p SApNP	24.3-fold	8.3-fold
M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP	18.1-fold	6.3-fold

Fig. 6

A mAb148   mAb65

B Subcapsular sinus / Follicle / Trabeculae / Medullary cord / Paracortex / Follicle / Vaccine accumulation

C Single-dose — 2 hours, 12 hours, 48 hours, 1 week, 2 weeks, 5 weeks, 8 weeks
M2ex3 1TD0 / M2ex3 FR SApNP / M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP

G Prime-boost — 3 + 2 weeks, 3 + 5 weeks

D Single-dose: Time-dependent curve
Anti-M2ex3 Ab stained area (µm^2) vs Time (h)
M2ex3 1TD0 / M2ex3 FR SApNP / M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP

E Area under the curve (AUC)
Area under the curve (AUC)
M2ex3 1TD0, M2ex3 FR SApNP, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP
15x, 32x

F Single-dose — 1 week
Anti-M2ex3 Ab stained area (µm^2)
M2ex3 1TD0, M2ex3 FR SApNP, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP
****, ***

H Prime-boost — 3 + 2 weeks
Anti-M2ex3 Ab stained area (µm^2)
M2ex3 1TD0, M2ex3 FR SApNP, M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP
****, ****

I Single-dose — 1 week
M2ex3 1TD0 / M2ex3 FR SApNP / M2ex3 I3-01v9a SApNP / CD169/CD21 / M2ex3 (anti-M2ex3 mAb148)

Fig. 7