Filament structure and subcellular organization of the bacterial intermediate filament-like protein crescentin

Yue Liu[1, a], Fusinita van den Ent[1], Jan Löwe[1, *]

AFFILIATIONS:

[1]MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK

[a] Present address: Department of Microbiology and State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases of the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, China.

* Correspondence: Jan Löwe, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK, email: jyl@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

KEYWORDS: CreS, cell shape, filamentous proteins, bacterial cytoskeleton, cryo-EM, tomography

CLASSIFICATION: Biological Sciences, Biophysics and Computational Biology

ABSTRACT

The coiled coil protein crescentin is required for the crescent shape of the freshwater bacterium

Caulobacter crescentus (*vibrioides*). Crescentin forms a filamentous structure on the inner,

concave side of the curved cells. It shares features with eukaryotic intermediate filament (IF)

proteins, such as its ability to form filaments *in vitro*, the protein's length, sequence comparisons

and the presence of a coiled coil discontinuity called the "stutter". Here, we have used electron

cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM) to determine the structure of the full-length protein and its filament,

exploiting a crescentin-specific nanobody. The filament is formed by two strands, related by two-

fold symmetry that each consist of two dimers, resulting in an octameric assembly. Crescentin

subunits form longitudinal contacts head-to-head and tail-to-tail, making the entire filament non-

polar. Using *in vivo* site-directed cysteine crosslinking we demonstrated that contacts observed in

the *in vitro* filament structure exist in cells. Electron cryotomography (cryo-ET) of cells expressing

crescentin showed filaments on the concave side of the curved cells, close to the inner membrane,

where they form a band. Comparison of our crescentin filament structure with current models of IF

proteins and their filaments revealed similar coiled coil dimer formation as well as an absence of

overall polarity. IF proteins form head-to-tail longitudinal contacts in contrast to crescentin and

hence several inter-dimer contacts in IFs have no equivalents in crescentin filaments. Our work

supports the idea that intermediate filament-like proteins achieve their shared polymerization and

mechanical properties through a variety of filament architectures.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Crescentin is a coiled coil protein that is required for the crescent cell shape of bacteria such as

Caulobacter crescentus. Crescentin shares biochemical and cytoskeletal properties with

intermediate filament (IF) proteins, which form the third major class of cytoskeletal proteins in

eukaryotes. To better understand the relationship between crescentin and IF proteins, and the

filaments they form, we have determined the three-dimensional structure of crescentin filaments

by cryo-EM. This revealed the full-length structure of the parallel coiled coil dimer of crescentin

and how dimers come together laterally and longitudinally, to form a non-polar, octameric filament.

Differences in filament architecture highlight the versatility of intermediate filament-like proteins

across the tree of life.

INTRODUCTION

Fitness of bacteria in the environment is often dependent on their shape. It is therefore that most bacteria tightly control their shape, producing spheres (cocci), rods (bacilli), helices, filaments and irregular, appended as well as crescent shapes [1]. In many cases, shape is dependent on the stress-bearing cell wall. Hence shape determination is dependent on the ability to regulate cell wall synthesis and remodelling during vegetative growth, as well as during cell division [2].

Caulobacter crescentus (*vibrioides*) is crescent shaped. The Gram-negative freshwater bacterium has been studied extensively, and not only because its cells undergo a developmental transition from swarmer to stalked cells. The larger stalked cells are able to attach to surfaces via the stalk, while the swarmer cells are flagellated and motile. Only stalked cells replicate DNA and divide. Their cell division is asymmetric and leads to one swarmer cell and one stalked cell, closing the cell cycle [3]. Both cell types are crescent-shaped. It is thought that the crescent shape is advantageous for cell mobility in their aqueous environment, but it has recently been suggested that the crescent shape enables pili on the swarmer cells to attach to surfaces for biofilm formation [4].

Whatever the biological reason for its crescent shape, in *Caulobacter* it is dependent on the function of the protein crescentin (CreS) [5]. Immunofluorescence and fluorescent protein-tagging using a merodiploid strain (because CreS-GFP fusions were non-functional) showed crescentin to form a single filamentous structure on the inner (concave) surface of the cell crescent [5-7]. The proximity of the crescentin structure to the cell membrane was lost during treatment with the MreB inhibitor A22 or the cell wall inhibitors mecillinam and phosphomycin [6]. Based on the cellular localization, the cytoskeletal properties of crescentin and modelling it has been proposed that its mode of action to create cell shape is mechanical [6]. The finding that MreB is involved [7] might indicate that crescentin filaments reduce cell wall synthesis on one side of the cell, leading to the crescent shape. In line with these ideas, it was found that the heterologous expression of crescentin in *E. coli* leads to curved cells [6], suggesting a conserved or very simple mechanism of cell wall synthesis inhibition.

Crescentin levels in cells do not vary much during the cell cycle [7]. Crescentin filaments in cells are not dynamic and free molecules are added to the filamentous cellular structure rapidly, indicating strong cooperativity of assembly [7, 8]. Initial assembly starts with a cell-long thin structure that thickens as the cell progresses through the cell cycle. Re-arrangements of the crescentin structure occur during cell division, although it is not known how those are regulated or facilitated [7].

The discovery of crescentin as a regulator of cell shape attracted a lot of attention also because of a number of similarities to eukaryotic intermediate filament proteins (IFs) [5, 9]. IFs are the third major class of intracellular filaments in eukaryotes, in addition to microfilaments (actin) and microtubules (tubulin). IFs can be categorized into five major families and include two types of

cytoplasmic keratins, vimentin and neurofilament, as well as nuclear lamins [10]. IFs have a well-
defined domain architecture, with a central α-helical "rod" domain driving the formation of parallel
coiled coil dimers. The rod domain, which has been sub-divided into three coiled coil segments
(coil 1A, 1B and 2) and two inter-connecting linkers (L1 and L12), is complemented primarily by
disordered head and tail domains (in contrast, nuclear lamins and invertebrate cytoplasmic IFs
have folded Ig-fold tail domains). Cytoplasmic IFs have rod domains of about 308 residues, nuclear
lamins of about 350 residues. All IF sequences have a "stutter" in the third rod segment that
deviates from the regular coiled coil heptad repeat pattern. Despite many years of research there
is still no complete structural description of any IF filament [11]. They are known to form tetramers
through inter-dimer interactions mediated by their N-terminal regions of the rod domain ("A11"
inter-dimer contact). Filaments are thought to contain tetramers as building blocks, at least for the
cytoplasmic IFs, and three other types of inter-dimer contacts ("A22", "A12", and "ACN") then lead
to higher-order structures such as the prototypical 10 nm-thick mature fibres of many IF proteins
[12].

Crescentin contains the heptad repeats needed to form an extended coiled coil dimer, and also a
stutter in the C-terminal half. The rod domain of crescentin is longer than IF proteins, and it was
demonstrated early on that crescentin forms very stable filaments *in vitro* [5]. Filament formation
in vitro and *in vivo* showed that the domain organization of crescentin is important for its
polymerization and cell shape maintenance functions [9]. In particular, membrane attachment was
shown to depend on the first 27 amino acids [6, 9]. Removal of the stutter also interfered with
crescentin's ability to curve cells [9].

Given the enigmatic relationship between crescentin and IFs, our lack of understanding how
crescentin filaments form in cells and how they control cell shape, we set out to understand the
crescentin filament structure. We employed electron cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM) on crescentin
filaments bound by a newly developed megabody [13] to determine their structure, which revealed
an "octameric" twisted and double-stranded filament without polarity. We used *in vivo* site-specific
cysteine crosslinking to demonstrate that many, if not all features of the *in vitro* filament structure
exist in crescentin filaments in cells. Electron cryotomography (cryo-ET) of *Caulobacter* cells
expressing crescentin showed the filaments on the inner, concave side of cells, close to the inner
membrane where they form a single, wide band. Comparison with current models of IF proteins
revealed significant differences between their filament architectures.

RESULTS

Development of a megabody for CreS structure determination

In line with previously reported assembly properties of crescentin (CreS) and of many eukaryotic
IF proteins [5, 9, 12, 14-16], polymerization of purified, un-tagged *Caulobacter crescentus*
(vibrioides) CreS upon a decrease of pH *in vitro* led to CreS aggregates, bundles, or irregular
filaments that exhibited structural polymorphism. In some cases, cryo-EM micrographs of wild-type
(wt) CreS, namely CreS$_{wt,}$ polymerized at pH 7.0 showed unbundled filaments with a width of ~9
nm (**Fig. S1A**). Two-dimensional (2D) class averages revealed two intertwined strands with a
regular spacing of ~57 nm (distance between helical crossovers) (**Fig. S1B**). Nevertheless, the
smooth appearance of these filaments prevented determination of the register of individual
subunits along the filament axis.

To facilitate structure determination of CreS, we raised nanobodies (NBs) against purified CreS$_{wt}$
and selected NBs that bind to CreS$_{wt}$ polymers formed at low pH conditions (**Materials and**
Methods). Coiled coil prediction [17] and three-dimensional (3D) structure prediction by AlphaFold
2 (AF2) [18] **(Fig. S1C)** indicated that CreS contains a central, coiled coil "rod" domain (residues
80-444), flanked by an N-terminal segment (residues 1-79, although somewhat shorter in AF2
predictions, **Fig. S1C**) and a C-terminal segment (residues 445-457) (**Fig. 1A**). Given the
elongated nature of the CreS coiled coil dimer, we engineered an NB derivative, megabody (MB),
to act as a bulky and rigid marker bound to CreS. For each NB investigated, a MB was generated
by grafting the NB onto a circular permutant of the scaffold protein *E. coli* YgjK, as previously
described [13]. Among the MBs, megabody 13 (MB13), a derivative of nanobody 13 (NB13) binds
to both CreS$_{wt}$ and CreS$_{sat}$ at pH 8. CreS$_{sat}$ contains a stretch of three amino acids (Ser, Ala and
Thr; SAT), inserted before residue 406, to remove the "stutter" that locally disrupts the continuity
of the heptad-repeat coiled coil [9, 19] (**Figs. 1B-C and S1D-E**). Cryo-EM analysis revealed that
for both versions of CreS, purified CreS-MB13 complex at pH 8 polymerizes into regular,
unbundled filaments upon lowering the pH to 6.5 in the presence of detergents, such as CHAPS
(**Fig. 1D**). These filaments showed a regular spacing of ~57 nm between neighbouring "nodes",
where a node is a segment of the CreS filament decorated with multiple MB13 molecules (**Figs.**
1E and S3A).

For cryo-EM structure determination of CreS, we treated nodes as single particles, using a box
size of ~420 Å (henceforth referred to as "small box"), to obtain well-resolved reconstructions of
CreS bound with NB13 molecules (**Materials and Methods, Table S3, Fig. S2**). We next extended
the box size to ~960 Å (referred to as "large box") for the visualization of a more complete CreS
structure, which included regions that are distant from the NB13 binding site, which was mapped
to a segment near the C-terminus of CreS (**Materials and Methods, Fig. 2A, Table S3, Fig. S2**).
The best small box and large box reconstructions were of CreS$_{sat}$ complexed with NB13,
determined at nominal resolutions of 3.34 Å (3.79 Å against the fitted atomic model) and 4.11 Å

(4.75 Å against the fitted atomic model), respectively (**Fig. S3B-E, Table S3**). The register of

individual amino acids on CreS$_{sat}$ was assigned based on the binding site of NB13 on CreS and

the observed patterns of well-resolved side chain densities (**Materials and Methods, Figs. S3B**).

The resulting atomic models of CreS$_{sat}$ and NB13 allowed for interpretation of CreS$_{wt}$ maps,

determined at lower resolutions (4.36 Å -5.78 Å) (**Fig. S4, Table S3**).

Architecture of CreS filaments

In the presence of MB13, CreS$_{wt}$ and CreS$_{sat}$ similarly assemble into a "supertwist"-like filament,

where two intertwined strands, I and II, are related by a two-fold axis perpendicular to the filament

axis (**Fig. 2B-C**). When looking at the map down this two-fold axis, each strand consists of four

partial CreS dimers (dimers A, B, C, and D for strand I, and symmetry related dimers A', B', C' and

D' for strand II) within a viewing window of ~960 Å wide. Each dimer is a parallel, polar coiled coil

of CreS molecules. Strands I and II are held together via interactions between CreS dimers. Taking

strand I as an example, inter-dimer interactions are found between a pair of dimers near their N-

termini (A-C pair) or their C-termini (B-D pair) in the longitudinal direction (i.e., along the filament

axis) (**Fig. 2D**). Hence, the two-stranded CreS filament presented here lacks polarity, as is the

case for the individual strands on their own. This is reminiscent of eukaryotic intermediate filaments

(IFs), which are also known to be non-polar [20-23]. Furthermore, each pair of longitudinally

associated dimers are structurally similar to each other, especially at the regions close to the inter-

dimer interface near the N-termini or C-termini. Thus, every pair of longitudinally associated dimers

are related by a local pseudo two-fold axis perpendicular to the inter-strand two-fold axis mentioned

above (**Fig. 2D**). Each pair of laterally interacting dimers (A-B pair or C-D pair) alternate at a

crossover due to them twisting around each other, giving rise to a crossover distance of ~480 Å

along the strand (**Fig. 2D**).

Assembling a nearly complete CreS dimer (residues 31-446 for CreS$_{sat}$ or 31-443 for CreS$_{wt}$),

based on the observed partial dimers A and B, resulted in an expanded atomic model of the strand

(**Fig. 2E**). The partial dimer C is superimposable with the equivalent part of the expanded dimer B

upon a shift of 564 Å and a rotation of 78° (**Fig. 2F**). Likewise, the partial dimer D is superimposable

with the equivalent region of the expanded dimer A upon a shift of 559 Å and a rotation of 53° (**Fig.**

2G). These observations indicate that every strand has a regular spacing of ~560 Å, which

corresponds to the length of a CreS molecule in a coiled coil. As a result, these transformations

enable each strand and the two-stranded filament to propagate in a roughly linear fashion.

Assembly of CreS filaments

A detailed structural analysis revealed five different types of dimer-dimer interactions that result in

the observed CreS filament (**Fig. 3A**). Within each strand, there are both lateral and longitudinal

interactions between CreS dimers. Types 1 and 2 involve longitudinal inter-dimer interactions near

the C-termini (pairs B-D and B'-D', residues ~443-457, most of which are disordered in our cryo-

EM maps) and near the N-termini (pairs A-C and A'-C', residues ~31-83), respectively (**Fig. 3B**).

Type 3 represents lateral inter-dimer interactions (pairs A-B, C-D, A'-B', C'-D') (**Fig. 3B**). Dimer-
dimer interactions between the two strands are primarily along the lateral direction, including type
4 (pair B-B', antiparallel) and type 5 (pairs B-D' and B'-D, parallel) (**Fig. 3B**). Whereas relatively
short stretches of amino acids are responsible for longitudinal interaction types, all lateral
interaction types cover long inter-dimer binding interfaces.

As mentioned in the **Introduction**, the central rod domains of eukaryotic IF proteins are generally
~308 amino acids long, with the exceptions of nuclear lamins and invertebrate IF proteins (which
are ~350 amino acids long). It has been proposed to be divided into three coiled coil segments
with conserved lengths, 1A, 1B, and 2 [12], which are inter-connected by short linkers L1 and L12
(**Fig. S5A**). In contrast, CreS possesses a longer coiled coil rod domain (~364 amino acids), which
does not show equivalent linkers that disrupt the overall α-helical structure, based on our cryo-EM
maps (**Fig. S5A**). Both N-terminal and C-terminal segments of CreS are relatively short and do not
contain complex domains as seen in many eukaryotic IF proteins [24]. Furthermore, structural
comparisons of CreS filamentous assemblies with those of eukaryotic IF proteins are hindered by
a lack of atomic-level structural information concerning the assembly of eukaryotic IFs, despite
decades of research [21, 25-28]. Nevertheless, it has been established that the coiled coil dimer
of IF proteins functions as the basic subunit for IF assembly [12]. Four types of inter-dimer contacts,
A11, A12, A22, and ACN, have been proposed based on information gained primarily from X-ray
crystallographic studies of IF protein fragments and cross-linking mass spectrometry analyses of
IF protein oligomers [29-33] [20-23] [34]. These four contact types are shown in comparison to
those in CreS filaments in **Fig. S5B,** and their general lack of correspondence is elaborated on in
the **Discussion**. In particular, no counterparts of the ACN type (head-to-tail) are observed in our
in vitro CreS filament (**Fig. S5B)**. However, for eukaryotic IF proteins such as vimentin, it has been
proposed that four dimers laterally assemble into an octameric protofibril, of which multiple copies
come together in a symmetric way to produce the mature IF, which is often 10 nm wide [12, 26,
28, 35, 36]. The two-stranded CreS filament described here consists of four laterally associated
CreS dimers that arrange in an octameric manner very loosely reminiscent of that in the proposed
eukaryotic IF protofibril [26, 35] (**Fig. S5B, bottom**).

***In vivo* crosslinking confirms crescentin filament architecture**

To address the *in vivo* relevance of the CreS filament structure determined *in vitro*, we probed
residue-residue contacts observed in the cryo-EM structure in *C. crescentus* cells using cysteine
cross-linking with a thiol-specific and cell permeable chemical cross-linker, bismaleimidoethane
(BMOE, spacer length ~8 Å). Guided by the CreS filament structure described above, we
introduced codons of pairs of cysteine residues into *creS*, which was expressed from a low-copy-
number plasmid under its native promoter in *C. crescentus* cells, in a *creS* deletion background
(**Materials and Methods**). This yielded a protein level of CreS similar to the endogenous level in
wt strain CB15N (**Fig. S6A**). Cells expressing wt *creS* or a *creS* mutant this way had a cell

curvature comparable to wt strain, with two exceptions that will be discussed below (**Fig. S6B**).

We focused on residue pairs with a Cβ-Cβ distance of 6-12 Å at multiple inter-dimer interfaces in

both the CreS$_{sat}$ and CreS$_{wt}$ filament structures (**Table S4**).

For lateral dimer-dimer interactions (type 3, **Fig. 3**), we identified BMOE-dependent crosslinking

products for at least two residue pairs in each of three regions that are well separated along the

elongated inter-dimer interface (**Figs. 4 and 5**). In the absence of double-cysteine mutants, we

detected no signal or only weak background signal for wt CreS and single cysteine CreS mutants,

confirming that cross-linking was largely specific (**Fig. 4C**). The three lateral interaction regions

probed include "crossover" (A282C/D221C, K296C/A207C, and K296C/Q204C), "middle"

(T120C/R384C, A134C/K369C, and T131C/K369C), and "NC" (S34C/R419C and A37C/R419C),

where NC denotes interactions between the N-terminus and C-terminus proximal regions (**Figs. 4**

and 5).

For longitudinal interactions, we observed BMOE-dependent crosslinks between residue pairs

near the N-termini (E43C/S74C and E57C/E63C, region NN, type 2) and C-termini (M443C, region

CC, type 1), respectively (**Fig. 5**). Since all these inter-dimer interaction regions are most likely

important for the assembly of individual strands, these results indicate that the single-stranded

architecture observed *in vitro* likely acts as a structural unit for producing CreS assemblies *in vivo*.

Subcellular organization of CreS filaments

The ultrastructure of endogenous CreS assemblies at normal levels in *C. crescentus* has

previously been challenging to visualize [5-8, 37, 38]. We therefore imaged wt *C. crescentus* cells

where wt *creS* was moderately overexpressed using electron cryotomography (cryo-ET).

Tomographic reconstructions of these cells revealed an ~4 nm thick and on average 30-40 nm

wide (minimum ~15 nm, maximum ~60 nm wide) structure that lines the inner cell membrane at a

distance of ~ 5 nm and that spans a major portion of the cell's length on the concave side of the

cell (**Fig. 6A**). Consistent with this structure being CreS or CreS-containing filaments, over-

production of *creS$_{ΔN27}$*, where the first 27 amino acids were truncated, in a *creS* deletion

background led to prominent bundles that detach from the inner cell membrane (**Fig. 6B**). This N-

terminal region is positively charged and had previously been shown to be required for attachment

of CreS to the inner cell membrane [6, 38]. Additionally, similar filamentous densities were not

detected in cryo-ET reconstructions of Δ*creS* cells (**Fig. 6C**). Furthermore, CTP synthase (CtpS)

is another filament-forming protein that localizes to the inner cell curvature in *C. crescentus* [39].

CtpS is known to interact with CreS and acts as a regulator of cell curvature [39]. Over-production

of wt *creS* in Δ*creS* cells where *ctpS* expression was suppressed showed a similarly extended

structure lining the inner cell curvature (**Fig. 6D**). Thus, CreS can form filamentous structures along

the inner cell curvature in *Caulobacter* cells. This is in agreement with the subcellular localization

and low-resolution views of CreS as revealed by diffraction-limited fluorescence microscopy [5-7].

Functional requirements

Having determined the assembly and subcellular organization of CreS, we next addressed the
molecular determinants of CreS-dependent cell curvature generation. Ectopic expression of wt
creS in a heterologous system, *E. coli* strain C41, produced curved cells that are normally straight,
as evidenced by phase contrast light microscopy and cryo-EM (**Fig. S7A, E, I and L**) [6].
Consistent with a role of N-terminal and C-terminal segments in CreS filament assembly, purified
CreS$_{rod}$ that contains only the rod domain failed to assemble into long filaments *in vitro*, and
overexpression of *creS$_{rod}$* did not curve *E. coli* cells (**Fig. S7B, F, J and L**). Further supporting this
idea is that two double-cysteine mutations, E43C/S74C and E57C/E63C, in the aforementioned
NN region (longitudinal inter-dimer interaction type 2) caused cell straightening in *C. crescentus*
(**Fig. S6B**). Moreover, previous mutational analyses showed that deleting each of four important
regions for CreS assembly observed in our structure resulted in altered assembly properties as
well as partial or nearly complete loss of cell curvature in *C. crescentus* [9]. These include the rod
domain (inter-dimer interaction types 3, 4, 5), the NN region (type 2), residues 121-143 (intended
to correspond to the L1 linker region in eukaryotic IF proteins, types 3 and 5), and the C-terminal
segment (type 1).

Nevertheless, correct CreS assembly is not the only functional requirement. Similar to previous
findings using *C. crescentus* [6], deleting the first 27 amino acids, which are disordered in our cryo-
EM maps, or mutating all negatively charged arginine residues to alanine residues in this region
(N27RtoA) yielded straight *E. coli* cells without disrupting CreS filament assembly *in vitro* (**Fig.
S7C, D, G, H, K, and L**). Thus, the faithful assembly and subcellular organization of CreS on the
inner membrane are both indispensable for its function in bacterial cell shape determination.

DISCUSSION

We have determined the complete structure of crescentin and its filament (**Figs. 1-3**). To our

knowledge, this has so far not been achieved for any other IF or IF-like protein [11, 27]. Coiled

coil-containing filaments are often refractory to structure determination by X-ray crystallography

and also cryo-EM because of their elongated nature, the smoothness of the filaments, and

heterogeneity of the filaments formed. AlphaFold 2 predictions on coiled coil proteins are not as

powerful as for globular proteins [18], which is also the case for crescentin (**Fig. S1C**). To

circumvent these problems, we obtained a crescentin-binding nanobody that we converted into a

megabody for cryo-EM structural studies. Because the megabody bound close to the C-terminus

of crescentin and all N- and C-terminal ends in the crescentin filament are located in close

proximity, this enabled us to obtain a reliable structure of that part at close to 3.3 Å resolution.

Further along the filament, atomic modelling was less certain, but the maps indicated that the rod

domain of crescentin most likely forms a continuous coiled coil, without flexible linkers. Because

we worried about the effect of the conditions used to obtain the filaments, including the use of the

megabody, we used site-directed *in vivo* cysteine crosslinking to verify the obtained structure

(**Figs. 4-5**). Given that we could not find any major discrepancies, we are confident that the

obtained structure is a good representation of the structures crescentin forms in cells. Further

supporting this notion is that mutations in a number of structurally important regions for CreS

assembly identified here lead to an impaired or abolished cellular function of CreS, based on our

and previous results (**Fig. S7**) [9].

Cryo-ET of cells overexpressing crescentin revealed filamentous structures on the concave sides

of cells, close to the inner membrane (**Fig. 6**). Because of earlier misunderstandings [39], we

demonstrated that the observed structures are not CTP synthase (CtpS). From these findings the

question arises how the slowly twisting *in vitro* filaments correspond to the band-like appearance

of crescentin in cells. While it is difficult to answer this without further and higher-resolution insights

into the structure of the *in vivo* crescentin assemblies, it is important to note that it has been

reported that when crescentin filamentous structures are released from the membrane through the

inhibition of MreB or cell wall synthesis, the filaments form helical structures [6]. It seems plausible

that membrane attachment removes some of the twisting that our *in vitro* structure revealed. The

cryo-ET data shows crescentin to be close to the membrane (~5 nm in distance). We propose that

this distance could slow down or even stop elongasomes moving past as they circle the cells along

their short axes to make cell wall [40-42].

We confirmed earlier results that the first 27 residues of crescentin are required for its membrane

localization [6] (**Fig. 6**). Despite trying, we have been unable to demonstrate convincingly direct

membrane binding of crescentin filaments to lipid membranes or liposomes *in vitro*. Given the

above mentioned finding that the inhibition of cell wall synthesis or MreB removes crescentin from

the membrane [6], it seems likely that membrane attachment, involving the first 27 residues of

crescentin, is mediated by another cellular component. One such component could be MreB [7],

although AlphaFold 2 is not able to predict a complex between crescentin and MreB in our hands.

Possibly also speaking against this idea is the finding that crescentin over-expression in *E. coli*

leads to curved cells [6]. If another component is needed to localize crescentin to the membrane,

then it must be present (and functional) in *E. coli* as well.

Our structure of crescentin and its filament enables us to compare it to what is known about

eukaryotic IF proteins and their filaments. It is important to point out again that there is currently

no complete atomic structure of any IF protein and in particular of an IF filament [11, 26]. Given

the formidable technical difficulties with these proteins, considerable efforts have been spent using

a "divide and conquer" approach combining X-ray crystallography and crosslinking, to obtain

pseudo-atomic models of IF proteins and filaments. Comparing our structure with these models

reveals several important similarities and differences (**Fig. 3, Fig. S5**). Crescentin and most IFs

form long parallel coiled coil dimers with disordered domains at each end. Vimentin and other

cytoplasmic IFs have been shown to form tetramers, two dimers coming together via their coil1

domains (A11 contact) [20-23]. No such contact exists in the structure of the crescentin filament.

In fact, apart from IF's A12 contact there does not seem to be much similarity in the way dimers

pack into larger fibres between IFs and crescentin. Furthermore, the most important difference

between them is the longitudinal contacts. In crescentin, they are exclusively N-N (head-to-head)

and C-C (tail-to-tail), whereas all the current IF models assume ACN contacts that link dimers

head-to-tail. It is, however, important to point out that the experimental evidence for ACN contacts

is sparse. Their existence seems to be derived from nuclear lamins that form chains of head-to-

tail dimers instead of tetramers [34] and from the fact that it is difficult to build larger IF filament

models from A11 tetramers without ACN head-to-tail arrangements.

All IF proteins contain a stutter that inserts into the coiled coil-forming heptad repeat four extra

residues. This is thought to not interrupt the coiled coil *per se*, but to change the superhelical

arrangement such that the two helices run more parallel for a short distance. Crescentin also

contains a stutter (residues 406-409) and we have investigated the consequences of removing it

by reverting the repeat pattern back to regular heptad repeats by inserting three residues, Ser, Ala

and Thr (SAT), before position 406 [9]. While it has been reported that such a modification

abolishes crescentin's ability to curve cells [9], we have found little evidence that it changes its

behaviour *in vitro*. The structures of the WT and SAT versions are very similar, except that the

SAT version is slightly longer because the helices contain one almost complete extra helical turn.

It will need further work to investigate this in more detail. For example, the stutter might be needed

to form higher-order assemblies such as the ones seen in cells (**Fig. 6**).

Going forward it will be important to figure out if crescentin filaments locally modify and reduce cell

wall synthesis via the elongasome as proposed and how crescentin filaments localize to the inner

membrane since we could not reconstitute membrane binding *in vitro*. Because crescentin

filaments are thin and smooth, cellular tomography is unlikely to deliver directly how this is done.

Genetic and *in vitro* approaches will have to be pushed further to provide answers.

There are a number of other IF-like proteins known in bacteria, such as Scy and Filp in

Streptomyces [43]. Beyond these, other bacterial coiled coil rich proteins (CCRPs), whose

evolutionary relationships to IFs are even more enigmatic, such as CrvA and CrvB in *V. cholerae*

and CcfM in *M. gryphiswaldense,* share a similar cellular function with CreS in maintaining curved

bacterial cell shapes [44, 45]. It will be important to investigate what structures these proteins form.

Revealing the evolutionary relationships between IF and their bacterial counterparts will also

require more certainty about the structures of IF filaments, an area of eukaryotic structural cell

biology that needs urgent attention, perhaps using similar nanobody and cryo-EM approaches as

pioneered here. But even with the dissimilarities unearthed, between the crescentin filament

structure and the current model of IF filaments, it is intriguing that non-polar octameric filaments

made out of long parallel coiled coil dimers characterize both sets of proteins. A picture emerges

in which long, extended parallel coiled coil proteins have evolved to produce a variety of filament

architectures to generate mechanical and polymerization properties that are required for a

multitude of cellular functions, across the tree of life.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***Caulobacter crescentus* and *Escherichia coli* strains.** *C. crescentus* cells were grown in

peptone yeast extract (PYE) media at 30°C [46]. Antibiotics were used when required in liquid

(solid) media at the following concentrations: 1 (1) μg/mL and 1 (2) μg/mL for chloramphenicol and

oxytetracycline, respectively. For ectopic expression of wildtype (wt) *creS* and its variants in *C.*

crescentus, a parental strain as indicated was transformed with an appropriate plasmid, based on

either the low-copy-number pCT133 or medium-copy-number pCT155 vector (**Table S1**). To

generate these plasmids, the sequences of *creS* and their native promoter were amplified from

strain CB15N and then assembled into the pCT133 or pCT155 backbone using Gibson assembly

(New England Biolabs). For protein expression in *E. coli*, an appropriate expression plasmid (**Table**

S1) was electroporated into C41 (DE3) cells unless stated otherwise. Ampicillin and kanamycin

were used at 100 μg/mL and 50 μg/mL, respectively, when required. *E. coli* growth and induction

were both at 37°C unless stated otherwise.

For imaging, *C. crescentus* strains were grown overnight in PYE medium with the appropriate

antibiotics, sub-cultured, and grown to mid-log phase. Glucose was used at a concentration of

0.2 % (w/v) to suppress protein expression driven by the leaky, xylose-inducible promoter. *E. coli*

strains over-expressing CreS constructs were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) media with

ampicillin to stationary phase, sub-cultured, grown to $OD_{600\ nm}$ ~0.2, and induced by 0.05 mM

IPTG for ~2.5 h.

Crescentin expression and production. The amino acid sequences of the proteins used in this

study are listed in **Table S2**. Non-tagged wt crescentin (CreS$_{wt}$, GenBank accession identifier:

ACL97278.1) was produced in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells carrying a pET29a-based plasmid for

CreS$_{wt}$ expression (strain CJW1659, C. Jacobs-Wagner, Stanford University, USA) [9]. To produce

a "stutter mutant", CreS$_{sat}$, that has a three amino acid insertion (Ser, Ala and Thr, SAT) before

residue 406 to remove the stutter, strain CJW2045 (C. Jacobs-Wagner) was used [9]. Other non-

tagged CreS constructs included CreS$_{rod}$ (amino acids 80-444), CreS$_{ΔN27}$ (amino acids 28-457),

and CreS$_{N274RtoA}$ (all Arg mutated to Ala in amino acids 1-27). These were constructed using

Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs) and employing pFE127 as a parental plasmid, which is

a pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS-His, full length CreS followed by GSHHHHHH. The

expression and purification of all non-tagged CreS proteins followed the same procedures below.

All purification steps were carried out at 4°C. *E. coli* cells were grown in 2×TY media with

kanamycin or ampicillin to reach an $OD_{600\ nm}$ of ~0.6 before 1 mM IPTG was added to induce

protein expression for 4 h. Cells were then spun down, and pellets were lysed by sonication in 50

mM sodium phosphate buffer, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.2, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, supplemented with

lysozyme, DNase, RNase, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). After centrifugation at 45,000

×g for 40 min, the supernatant was incubated with 30 g/L of Avicel PH-10 cellulose microspheres

(Sigma-Aldrich) overnight [47]. The microspheres were washed with 50 mM sodium phosphate

buffer, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.2, followed by elution with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 40% (w/v) glucose. The eluate was dialyzed against 50 mM CHES, pH 10, and concentrated before being injected onto a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column (Cytiva) for gel filtration in 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8. Peak fractions corresponding to CreS were pooled and concentrated using an Amicron Ultra-15 centrifugal filter (30 kDa molecular weight cutoff [MWCO], Millipore) to a final concentration of ~9 g/L.

To purify CreS-His, cells were lysed by sonication in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), pH 8 (buffer A), supplemented with lysozyme, DNase, RNase, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 75,000 ×g for 1 h and filtered before being loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (Cytiva). After washing with increased concentrations (0, 20, 50, and 80 mM) of imidazole in buffer A in a stepwise manner, proteins were eluted with 500 mM imidazole in buffer A. Upon concentration, the eluate was further purified via size exclusion chromatography as above, and protein fractions were treated in the same way as mentioned above.

Expression and production of NB13 and MB13. Camelid nanobodies (NBs) were raised and selected against purified CreS$_{wt}$ (in 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8) through the commercial service provided by the VIB Nanobody Core, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium. Twenty five of 97 NB clones delivered were chosen based on their sequence diversity and antigen binding capacity for another round of selection against CreS filaments at both pH 7 and pH 6.5, using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). To identify suitable NB clones for biochemical and structural studies, purified NB and megabodies (MB, a large nanobody derivative described below [13]) were produced for each of the top eight clones selected based on the ELISA data. The binding properties of each protein to purified CreS were examined using size exclusion chromatography (pH 8) and negative staining electron microscopy (pH 7 and pH 6.5). The final selection was clone No. 13, whose megabody, MB13, together with CreS, forms a stable complex at pH 8 that assembles into single, uniform filaments *in vitro* upon acidification.

To produce the nanobody version of clone No. 13, namely NB13, the non-suppressor *E. coli* strain WK6 [48] was transformed with a phagemid vector pMECS containing the PelB leader sequence, followed by the NB13 sequence and followed by an HA tag and a 6×His tag. For periplasmic expression of the megabody of NB13, MB13, with a C-terminal 6×His tag, a pET-22b-based plasmid was generated using Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs). In this construct, NB13 was grafted onto a circular permutant of the scaffold protein YgjK (*E coli* K12 glucosidase, 86 kDa) as previously described [13]. The resultant plasmid was electroporated into C41 (DE3) cells. For both NB13 and MB13, cells were grown in Terrific Broth with ampicillin, 2 mM MgCl$_2$ and 0.1% (w/v) glucose until OD$_{600 nm}$ ~0.6. Protein expression was induced at 25°C for ~19 h with 1 mM IPTG. All purification steps were carried out at 4°C unless stated otherwise. Cell pellets were treated with 0.2 M Tris pH 8, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 M sucrose (TES) on ice for 1 h, and four times

diluted TES on ice for another 1.5 h. After clearance by centrifugation at 50,000 ×g for 30 min, the

resultant periplasmic extract was loaded onto a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (Cytiva). The column

was washed sequentially with a) 20 mM Tris pH 8, 900 mM NaCl, b) 20 mM imidazole in buffer B

(20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, pH 8), c) 50 mM imidazole in buffer B, and eluted with 500 mM

imidazole in buffer B. For NB13, the eluate was sufficiently pure and concentrated using an

Amicron Ultra-15 centrifugal filter (3 kDa MWCO, Millipore) to a final concentration of ~14 g/L. For

MB13, the eluate was concentrated, and further purified via gel filtration using a Superdex 200

Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva), equilibrated in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 20 mM NaCl, pH 8. Fractions

corresponding to MB13 were pooled and concentrated using a Vivaspin Turbo 15 centrifugal filter

(50 kDa MWCO) to a final concentration of ~36 g/L. Purified proteins were flash frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Electron microscopy of negatively stained samples. Purified CreS proteins were diluted into

25 mM PIPES, pH 6.5 to reach a concentration of ~0.2 g/L, and incubated at room temperature

(RT) for 15 min to allow polymerization. The resultant sample was applied onto 400-mesh

continuous carbon film copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences), which were then stained with

2% (w/v) uranyl acetate. Grids were imaged using a Tecnai 12 electron microscope (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, TFS) equipped with an Orius CCD camera and operated at 120 kV.

Single particle cryo-EM sample preparation. Purified $CreS_{wt}$ or $CreS_{sat}$ were incubated with

MB13 at a molar ratio of 1:4 in 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 at 4°C overnight. The resultant mixture was

applied onto a Superose 6 Increase 3.2/300 gel filtration column (Cytiva) in 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.

Fractions B9 to B5, corresponding to the CreS-MB13 complex (**Fig. 1, Fig. S1**), were pooled and

concentrated using a Vivaspin 500 centrifugal filter (100 kDa MWCO). The sample was diluted into

25 mM PIPES pH 6.5 to allow for polymerization at RT for 15 min, and then treated with CHAPS

through the addition of a 1% (w/v) CHAPS stock solution to reach a final concentration of 0.05%

(w/v) at RT for 5 min. This procedure consistently yielded uniform, single CreS filaments in complex

with MB13.

For cryo-EM grid preparation, sample aliquots of 3 μL were applied onto UltrAuFoil R2/2 grids (300

mesh, Quantifoil). Grids were blotted for 1 s at 10°C with 100% relative humidity and immediately

plunge frozen into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV system (TFS). Movies of MB13-decorated

CreS filaments embedded in vitreous ice were collected at liquid nitrogen temperature using a

Titan Krios transmission electron microscope, operated at 300 kV and using the programme EPU

(TFS). For dataset $CreS_{sat}$, movies were collected at a nominal magnification of 81,000× in super

resolution mode using a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan), resulting in a super resolution pixel

size of 0.53 Å/pixel on the specimen level. The total exposure was 53 $e^-/Å^2$ in 2.4 s with a dose

rate of 25 e^-/pixel/s, a frame rate of 60 ms, and a defocus range of 0.4-2.6 μm. For dataset $Cres_{wt}$,

movies were collected at a nominal magnification of 75,000× using a Falcon 4 camera (TFS),

giving a physical pixel size of 1.08 Å/pixel on the specimen level. The total exposure was 34 $e^-/Å^2$

in 10 s with a dose rate of 4 e⁻/pixel/s, a frame rate of 4 ms (EER - electron event representation),

and a defocus range of 0.4-4.0 μm. Data collection statistics have been summarized in **Table S3**.

Image processing. The image processing procedures for datasets CreS$_{wt}$ and CreS$_{sat}$ were

essentially the same except for slight differences in pixel size and box size (see **Table S3** for

details). The following description focuses on dataset CreS$_{sat}$. Movies were corrected for inter-

frame motions using MotionCor2 [49]. Aligned frames were summed and down-sampled to

produce individual micrographs (1.06 Å/pixel) that were used for estimation of contrast transfer

function (CTF) parameters using CTFFIND4 [50]. Nearly every single filament on the micrographs

(e.g., **Fig. 1B**) showed a regular spacing of ~60 nm between neighbouring "nodes", of which each

represents a segment of the CreS filament decorated with MB13 molecules. About 870,000

particles centred on individual nodes were picked from all micrographs that had been denoised for

picking purposes using a neural network model pre-trained in Topaz [51, 52].

The following steps involved the alternate use of Relion 3.1 [53] and cryoSPARC [54]. Particles

were first extracted with a box size of 100 pixels and 4.24 Å pixel size (Fourier cropping throughout

the processing). About 690,000 particles were retained after reference-free 2D classification where

low-quality particles were identified and removed. Six *ab initio* 3D references were then generated,

and the best resolved reconstruction was used as a starting reference for global particle alignments.

Particles were subsequently re-extracted with a box size of 200 pixels and 2.12 Å pixel size.

Heterogenous refinement in 3D with four classes resulted in one class of particles that showed

partial occupancy of MB13 molecules and those were discarded. Visual inspection of the map

reconstructed using the remaining ~600,000 particles suggested the presence of a two-fold

symmetry axis perpendicular to the filament axis. Thus, the poses of these particles were then

refined where C2 symmetry was imposed. Next, to produce high resolution reconstructions,

particles were re-centred and re-extracted with 1.325 Å pixel size and with a box size of 320 pixels,

hereafter referred to as "small box" (SB). In parallel, given that a box size of 400 Å is not sufficient

to reveal the structure of a complete CreS molecule, another set of particle images were extracted

with 1.59 Å pixel size and a box size of 600 pixels, hereafter referred to as "large box" (LB). The

following procedures were applied independently to both sets of particle images. After 3D

refinement and Bayesian polishing [55], the densities of scaffold proteins (i.e., YgjK) were

subtracted from original particle images. The resultant particle images, which essentially represent

nanobody-bound CreS filament segments were subjected to local refinement with C2 symmetry,

yielding the reconstruction SAT-SB-C2 for set SB (SAT-LB-C2 for set LB, see **Table S3**). To

improve map resolutions, particles were expanded by the C2 symmetry [56], and the densities

corresponding to one of two symmetry-related copies were subtracted from images. Subsequent

local refinement with C1 symmetry led to a higher resolution reconstruction, SAT-SB-C1 for set

SB (SAT-LB-C1 for set LB). The resolutions of reconstructions were estimated based on two

methods. One was the Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) between two independently calculated half

maps (Gold Standard FSC) using an FSC cut-off of 0.143 [57]. The other was a model-map FSC

between the final EM map and a map computed based on an atomic model (described below),

built into and refined against the EM map, using an FSC cut-off of 0.5 [58]. All maps were

sharpened using a deep learning-based programme, DeepEMhancer [59]. Local resolution was

assessed using Relion [53].

Atomic model building and refinement. The reconstructions SAT-SB-C1 and SAT-LB-C1

showed a structure consisting of four partial CreS coiled coil dimers (i.e., two pairs of longitudinally

or laterally associated partial dimers) (**Fig. 2**). The two partial dimers within each longitudinal pair

are related by pseudo two-fold symmetry and are held together by interactions between the C-

termini or between the N-termini. Thus, each lateral pair is formed by two segments, the N- and C-

segments, with their N-terminus and C-terminus oriented towards the pseudo two-fold symmetry

axis, respectively. For each segment, we computationally determined the amino acid register by

aligning the observed map density with the amino acid sequence of $CreS_{sat}$ using a previously

described approach [60]. In this process, we focused on a fragment of ~20 amino acids that

showed the most prominent side chain densities in SAT-SB-C1. Briefly, every amino acid position

in the fragment was assigned a number among 0-6 based on the estimated size of the side chain

density by visual inspection. The resultant sequence of numbers was searched against that of the

entire amino acid sequence of $CreS_{sat}$, according to a scoring matrix, where a mismatch of a small

residue in the sequence with the observed large side chain density at a given position was

penalized. The amino acid register determined *in silico* this way was further supported by several

lines of evidence. First, the resultant amino acid sequence fitted well into the observed side chain

density (e.g., **Fig. S3**), as judged by visual inspection. Second, the binding region of NB13 on CreS

was mapped to residues 413-433 (**Fig. 2A**), consistent with the assigned amino acid register for

the C segment. Third, whole cell cysteine crosslinking revealed residue-residue contacts that were

consistent with the atomic model of the single-stranded structure (**Figs. 4-5**). In particular, laterally

associated inter-dimer residue-residue contacts supported the assigned amino acid register for the

N segment (**Fig. 4**).

To interpret the highest resolution reconstruction SAT-SB-C1, we predicted the coiled coil dimeric

structures for the N segment and C segment based on their estimated length using CCFold [61].

A homology model of NB13 was generated using SWISS-MODEL [62] with the structure of a

nanobody (NB-ALFA) against the ALFA-tag (PDB entry 6I2G) as a template. These starting atomic

models were fitted into the cryo-EM map in Chimera [63], followed by manual model re-building in

Coot [64]. In this process, the orientation of NB13 was initially determined based on the β-strands

identified in the map and was similar to that of NB-ALFA when complexed with the ALFA-tag that

forms an α-helix. The atomic coordinates were subsequently refined against the map in real space

using Phenix [65, 66], where secondary structure restraints were applied. Multiple cycles of manual

model rebuilding and real space refinement improved the fitting of the model into the density map

and model geometry. To interpret the reconstruction SAT-LB-C1, we expanded the atomic model

for SAT-SB-C1 by fitting predicted structures of the remaining regions of $CreS_{sat}$. In local regions

where the map resolution was not sufficient for an unambiguous secondary structural assignment
between a helix and a loop, we assumed that it was helical according to coiled coil and secondary
structure predictions. The expanded atomic model was rebuilt and refined using the same
procedures described above. For each of the C2 reconstructions, SAT-SB-C2 and SAT-LB-C2,
the atomic model for their C1 counterpart and the C2 symmetry related copy were fitted separately
into the map as rigid bodies, and then combined into a single atomic model. Per-atom real space
refinement of the resultant atomic model was not performed due to the low-resolution nature of
these reconstructions compared with their respective C1 counterparts.

The omission of three-amino acids (SAT) in $CreS_{wt}$ with respect to $CreS_{sat}$ produces a stutter that
locally disrupts the continuity of the dimeric heptad-repeat coiled coil [67]. Thus, it is reasonable to
assume that the preceding and later segments along the coiled coil have little structural changes
upon introduction of the stutter. In line with this, we found that the overall arrangement of $CreS_{wt}$
dimers in the filament is similar to that observed for $CreS_{sat}$ (**Fig. 2**). We determined the amino acid
register for the C segment using NB13 molecules as a spatial reference and for the N segment by
assuming that $CreS_{wt}$ and $CreS_{sat}$ share the same lateral, inter-dimer interactions between the N-
proximal region and C-proximal region. To interpret the reconstruction WT-SB-C1, we used the
$CreS_{sat}$ structure as a starting atomic model and replaced the segment corresponding to the stutter
region in $CreS_{wt}$ with a sequence adjusted, stutter-containing fragment in vimentin (PDB entry
1GK4). The resultant atomic model was subjected to multiple rounds of model rebuilding and real
space refinement against the map, as mentioned above. For the reconstruction WT-LB-C1, the
atomic model for WT-SB-C1 was expanded with appropriate segments from the $CreS_{sat}$ structure,
followed by model rebuilding and refinement. The atomic model for each of the two C2
reconstructions, WT-SB-C2 and WT-LB-C2, was generated using the aforementioned rigid body
fitting approach.

The final atomic models were geometrically validated based on the criteria of MolProbity [68].
Model statistics have been summarized in **Table S3**. All figures were generated using Pymol
(https://pymol.org/) or Chimera [63].

Electron cryotomography (cryo-ET). *C. crescentus* cells were pelleted at 8,000 ×g for 2 min and
resuspended in PYE media to reach an $OD_{600\,nm}$ of ~5. The resuspension was mixed with protein
A-conjugated 10 nm gold fiducials (BBI Solutions). Aliquots of 2.5 µL sample were applied onto
freshly glow-discharged Quantifoil R 3.5/1 Cu/Rh (200 mesh) grids, followed by blotting for 2-3 s
and plunge freezing into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV system (TFS). Specimens were
imaged using a Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (TFS), operated at 300 kV and
equipped with a BioQuantum imaging filter (Gatan) and a K3 camera (Gatan). Tilt series were
collected from -60° to 60° in 2° increments at a nominal magnification of 19,500× with a pixel size
of 3.84 Å /pixel using SerialEM [69]. The total exposure was ~180 $e^-/Å^2$ with a defocus of 8-10 µm.

Tilt series were aligned automatically using Batchruntomo in IMOD [70, 71], and tomograms were

reconstructed using the SIRT algorithm in TOMO3D [72].

Mapping of the NB13 binding site on crescentin. To map the binding site of NB13 on CreS,

CreS-His variants were constructed for ectopic expression in C41 (DE3) cells by introducing either

a truncation or a substitution into the parental pFE127 plasmid via site-directed PCR-based

mutagenesis (KLD enzyme mix, New England Biolabs). Protein expression was induced for 4 h at

37°C by adding 1 mM IPTG when cell cultures reached $OD_{600 nm}$ ~0.5 in LB with ampicillin. Cell

pellets were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed using CelLytic Express (Sigma-Aldrich) with

protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) at RT for 20 min. Purified CreS controls and equivalent OD_{600}

$_{nm}$ units of cleared cell lysates by centrifugation were resolved on a 4-20% SDS-polyacrylamide

gel (Bio-Rad). In this step, each biological sample was split into two halves, and the two halves

were loaded separately on the same gel. For Western blotting analysis, one half was

immunoblotted with NB13 (7 µg/mL) followed by washing and probing with α-HA-peroxidase

(1:1000, Roche), whereas the other half was probed with α-His-peroxidase only (1:4000, TFS).

Whole cell cysteine crosslinking. *C. crescentus* strains harbouring a low-copy-number plasmid

for expressing CreS or its mutants were grown overnight in PYE with oxytetracycline, sub-cultured,

and grown to $OD_{600 nm}$ 0.5-0.6. Control strains, CB15N and CB15N Δ*creS* were grown in the same

way without antibiotics. About 1.2 $OD_{600 nm}$ units of cells were spun down at 8,000 ×g for 3 min,

and then kept on ice for the following steps. Pellets were washed with cold PBS and resuspended

in 80 µL of cold PBS, followed by a 10 min reaction upon addition of 2 µL DMSO or

bis(maleimido)ethane (BMOE, final concentration 0.5 mM, ~8 Å arm length, TFS) in DMSO. The

reaction was quenched by adding 1 µL of β-mercaptoethanol (BME, stock ~2.3 mM). Cells were

then lysed using CelLytic Express (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail

(Roche) at RT for 15 min. The suspension was incubated at 70°C for 5 min in the presence of LDS

loading buffer supplemented with 4% (v/v) BME. Samples equivalent to 0.1 $OD_{600 nm}$ units of cells

were resolved on a 4-20% SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad), and immunoblotted with purified

NB13 (16 µg/mL), followed by washing and then probing with α-HA-peroxidase (1:1000, Roche).

Light microscopy Cells grown in conditions described above were imaged upon immobilization

on 1% agarose pads. Images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope equipped with

a Neo sCMOS camera (Andor) and a Nikon Plan APO DIC objective (100×, numerical aperture

1.40). Cell segmentation and cell curvature analysis were performed using MicrobeJ [73] as a

plugin in ImageJ [74]. The number of cells analysed was between 114 and 519 for *C. crescentus*

strains, and between 140 and 293 for *E. coli* strains. For each strain, mean cell curvature (μm^{-1})

and standard deviation (μm^{-1}) were computed and presented.

DATA AVAILABILITY: The atomic coordinates derived using reconstructions SAT-SB-C2, SAT-

SB-C1, SAT-LB-C2, SAT-LB-C1, WT-SB-C2, WT-SB-C1, WT-LB-C2, and WT-LB-C1 have been

deposited with the Protein Data Bank (accession numbers 8AFH, 8AFE, 8AJB, 8AHL, 8AFM,

8AFL, 8AIX, 8AIA). The cryo-EM maps of SAT-SB-C2, SAT-SB-C1, SAT-LB-C2, SAT-LB-C1, WT-

SB-C2, WT-SB-C1, WT-LB-C2, and WT-LB-C1 have been deposited with the Electron Microscopy

Data Bank (accession numbers EMD-15398, EMD-15395, EMD-15476, EMD-15446, EMD-15402,

EMD-15401, EMD-15473, EMD-15465). Table S3 summarises details.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: Y.L. and J.L. designed research; Y.L performed most research,

F.v.d.E made CreS constructs; Y.L. and J.L. analysed data; Y.L. and J.L. wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDING SOURCES: We thank all members of the MRC

Laboratory of Molecular Biology (Cambridge, LMB) electron microscopy facility for excellent EM

support, all members of the LMB light microscopy facility for technical support, Diamond Light

Source (Harwell, UK) for access to, and support at the cryo-EM facilities at the UK's National

Electron Bio-imaging Centre (eBIC), funded by the Wellcome Trust, MRC and BBSRC, and Toby

Darling and Jake Grimmett (LMB Scientific Computing) for support. We are grateful to Lucy

Shapiro (Stanford University, USA), Christine Jacobs-Wagner (Stanford University, USA), Zemer

Gitai (Princeton University, USA), and Michael Laub (MIT, USA) for sharing *C. crescentus* and *E.*

coli strains and plasmids used in this work. We thank all members of the Löwe group for helpful

discussions. This work was funded by the Medical Research Council, UK (U105184326 to J.L.)

and the Wellcome Trust (202754/Z/16/Z to J.L.).

REFERENCES

1.   Young KD (2006) The selective value of bacterial shape. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 70(3):660-
703.
2.   Surovtsev IV & Jacobs-Wagner C (2018) Subcellular Organization: A Critical Feature of
Bacterial Cell Replication. *Cell* 172(6):1271-1293.
3.   Skerker JM & Laub MT (2004) Cell-cycle progression and the generation of asymmetry in
Caulobacter crescentus. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2(4):325-337.
4.   Persat A, Stone HA & Gitai Z (2014) The curved shape of *Caulobacter crescentus* enhances
surface colonization in flow. *Nat Commun* 5:3824.
5.   Ausmees N, Kuhn JR & Jacobs-Wagner C (2003) The bacterial cytoskeleton: an intermediate
filament-like function in cell shape. *Cell* 115(6):705-713.
6.   Cabeen MT, Charbon G, Vollmer W, *et al.* (2009) Bacterial cell curvature through mechanical
control of cell growth. *EMBO J* 28(9):1208-1219.
7.   Charbon G, Cabeen MT & Jacobs-Wagner C (2009) Bacterial intermediate filaments: *in vivo*
assembly, organization, and dynamics of crescentin. *Genes Dev* 23(9):1131-1144.
8.   Esue O, Rupprecht L, Sun SX, & Wirtz D (2010) Dynamics of the bacterial intermediate
filament crescentin *in vitro* and i*n vivo*. *PLoS One* 5(1):e8855.
9.   Cabeen MT, Herrmann H & Jacobs-Wagner C (2011) The domain organization of the bacterial
intermediate filament-like protein crescentin is important for assembly and function.
Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 68(4):205-219.
10. Herrmann H & Aebi U (2000) Intermediate filaments and their associates: multi-talented
structural elements specifying cytoarchitecture and cytodynamics. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*
12(1):79-90.
11. Vermeire PJ, Stalmans G, Lilina AV, *et al.* (2021) Molecular Interactions Driving Intermediate
Filament Assembly. *Cells* 10(9).
12. Herrmann H & Aebi U (2016) Intermediate Filaments: Structure and Assembly. *Cold Spring
Harb Perspect Biol* 8(11).
13. Uchanski T, Masiulis S, Fischer B, *et al.* (2021) Megabodies expand the nanobody toolkit for
protein structure determination by single-particle cryo-EM. *Nat Methods* 18(1):60-68.
14. Gilbert DS & Newby BJ (1975) Neurofilament disguise, destruction and discipline. *Nature*
256(5518):586-589.
15. Steinert PM, Idler WW & Zimmerman SB (1976) Self-assembly of bovine epidermal keratin
filaments *in vitro*. *J Mol Biol* 108(3):547-567.
16. Renner W, Franke WW, Schmid E, *et al.* (1981) Reconstitution of intermediate-sized filaments
from denatured monomeric vimentin. *J Mol Biol* 149(2):285-306.
17. Lupas A, Van Dyke M & Stock J (1991) Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences.
Science 252(5009):1162-1164.
18. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, *et al.* (2021) Highly accurate protein structure prediction with
AlphaFold. *Nature* 596(7873):583-589.
19. Herrmann H, Haner M, Brettel M, Ku NO, & Aebi U (1999) Characterization of distinct early
assembly units of different intermediate filament proteins. *J Mol Biol* 286(5):1403-1420.
20. Aziz A, Hess JF, Budamagunta MS, *et al.* (2012) The structure of vimentin linker 1 and rod
1B domains characterized by site-directed spin-labeling electron paramagnetic resonance
(SDSL-EPR) and X-ray crystallography. *J Biol Chem* 287(34):28349-28361.
21. Chernyatina AA, Nicolet S, Aebi U, Herrmann H, & Strelkov SV (2012) Atomic structure of the
vimentin central alpha-helical domain and its implications for intermediate filament assembly.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109(34):13620-13625.
22. Ahn J, Jo I, Kang SM, *et al.* (2019) Structural basis for lamin assembly at the molecular level.
Nat Commun 10(1):3757.
23. Eldirany SA, Ho M, Hinbest AJ, Lomakin IB, & Bunick CG (2019) Human keratin 1/10-1B
tetramer structures reveal a knob-pocket mechanism in intermediate filament assembly.
EMBO J 38(11).
24. Herrmann H & Aebi U (2004) Intermediate filaments: molecular structure, assembly
mechanism, and integration into functionally distinct intracellular Scaffolds. *Annu Rev
Biochem* 73:749-789.

25. Guzenko D, Chernyatina AA & Strelkov SV (2017) Crystallographic Studies of Intermediate
Filament Proteins. *Subcell Biochem* 82:151-170.
26. Eibauer M, Weber MS, Turgay Y*, et al.* (2021) The molecular architecture of vimentin
filaments. *BioRxiv*.
27. Eldirany SA, Lomakin IB, Ho M, & Bunick CG (2021) Recent insight into intermediate filament
structure. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 68:132-143.
28. Weber MS, Eibauer M, Sivagurunathan S*, et al.* (2021) Structural heterogeneity of cellular
K5/K14 filaments as revealed by cryo-electron microscopy. *Elife* 10.
29. Steinert PM, Marekov LN, Fraser RD, & Parry DA (1993) Keratin intermediate filament
structure. Crosslinking studies yield quantitative information on molecular dimensions and
mechanism of assembly. *J Mol Biol* 230(2):436-452.
30. Steinert PM, Marekov LN & Parry DA (1993) Diversity of intermediate filament structure.
Evidence that the alignment of coiled-coil molecules in vimentin is different from that in keratin
intermediate filaments. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 268(33):24916-24925.
31. Steinert PM, Marekov LN & Parry DA (1993) Conservation of the structure of keratin
intermediate filaments: molecular mechanism by which different keratin molecules integrate
into preexisting keratin intermediate filaments during differentiation. *Biochemistry*
32(38):10046-10056.
32. Parry DA (1995) Hard alpha-keratin IF: a structural model lacking a head-to-tail molecular
overlap but having hybrid features characteristic of both epidermal keratin and vimentin IF.
Proteins 22(3):267-272.
33. Mucke N, Wedig T, Burer A*, et al.* (2004) Molecular and biophysical characterization of
assembly-starter units of human vimentin. *J Mol Biol* 340(1):97-114.
34. Heitlinger E, Peter M, Haner M*, et al.* (1991) Expression of chicken lamin B2 in *Escherichia
coli*: characterization of its structure, assembly, and molecular interactions. *J Cell Biol*
113(3):485-495.
35. Parry DAD, Marekov LN & Steiner PM (2001) Subfilamentous Protofibril Structures in Fibrous
Proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276(42):39253-39258.
36. Goldie KN, Wedig T, Mitra AK*, et al.* (2007) Dissecting the 3-D structure of vimentin
intermediate filaments by cryo-electron tomography. *J Struct Biol* 158(3):378-385.
37. Lew MD, Lee SF, Ptacin JL*, et al.* (2011) Three-dimensional superresolution colocalization of
intracellular protein superstructures and the cell surface in live *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108(46):E1102-1110.
38. Cabeen MT, Murolo MA, Briegel A*, et al.* (2010) Mutations in the Lipopolysaccharide
biosynthesis pathway interfere with crescentin-mediated cell curvature in *Caulobacter
crescentus*. *J Bacteriol* 192(13):3368-3378.
39. Ingerson-Mahar M, Briegel A, Werner JN, Jensen GJ, & Gitai Z (2010) The metabolic enzyme
CTP synthase forms cytoskeletal filaments. *Nat Cell Biol* 12(8):739-746.
40. Dominguez-Escobar J, Chastanet A, Crevenna AH*, et al.* (2011) Processive movement of
MreB-associated cell wall biosynthetic complexes in bacteria. *Science* 333(6039):225-228.
41. Garner EC, Bernard R, Wang W*, et al.* (2011) Coupled, circumferential motions of the cell wall
synthesis machinery and MreB filaments in *B. subtilis*. *Science* 333(6039):222-225.
42. van Teeffelen S, Wang S, Furchtgott L*, et al.* (2011) The bacterial actin MreB rotates, and
rotation depends on cell-wall assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108(38):15822-15827.
43. Kelemen GH (2017) Intermediate Filaments Supporting Cell Shape and Growth in Bacteria.
Subcell Biochem 84:161-211.
44. Pfeiffer D, Toro-Nahuelpan M, Awal RP*, et al.* (2020) A bacterial cytolinker couples positioning
of magnetic organelles to cell shape control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117(50):32086-32097.
45. Martin NR, Blackman E, Bratton BP*, et al.* (2021) CrvA and CrvB form a curvature-inducing
module sufficient to induce cell-shape complexity in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nat Microbiol*
6(7):910-920.
46. Ely B (1991) Genetics of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Methods Enzymol* 204:372-384.
47. Soderholm N, Javadi A, Flores IS, Flardh K, & Sandblad L (2018) Affinity to cellulose is a
shared property among coiled-coil domains of intermediate filaments and prokaryotic
intermediate filament-like proteins. *Sci Rep* 8(1):16524.
48. Zell R & Fritz HJ (1987) DNA mismatch-repair in *Escherichia coli* counteracting the hydrolytic
deamination of 5-methyl-cytosine residues. *EMBO J* 6(6):1809-1815.

49.   Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache JP*, et al.* (2017) MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-
induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 14(4):331-332.
50.   Rohou A & Grigorieff N (2015) CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron
micrographs. *J Struct Biol* 192(2):216-221.
51.   Bepler T, Kelley K, Noble AJ, & Berger B (2020) Topaz-Denoise: general deep denoising
models for cryoEM and cryoET. *Nat Commun* 11(1):5208.
52.   Bepler T, Morin A, Rapp M*, et al.* (2019) Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for
particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. *Nat Methods* 16(11):1153-1160.
53.   Scheres SH (2012) RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
determination. *J. Struct. Biol.* 180(3):519-530.
54.   Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, & Brubaker MA (2017) cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* 14(3):290-296.
55.   Zivanov J, Nakane T & Scheres SHW (2019) A Bayesian approach to beam-induced motion
correction in cryo-EM single-particle analysis. *IUCrJ* 6(Pt 1):5-17.
56.   Li Y, Zhou M, Hu Q*, et al.* (2017) Mechanistic insights into caspase-9 activation by the
structure of the apoptosome holoenzyme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(7):1542-1547.
57.   Scheres SH & Chen S (2012) Prevention of overfitting in cryo-EM structure determination.
Nat. Methods 9(9):853-854.
58.   Rosenthal PB & Henderson R (2003) Optimal determination of particle orientation, absolute
hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 333(4):721-
745.
59.   Sanchez-Garcia R, Gomez-Blanco J, Cuervo A*, et al.* (2021) DeepEMhancer: a deep learning
solution for cryo-EM volume post-processing. *Commun Biol* 4(1):874.
60.   Fang Q, Zhu D, Agarkova I*, et al.* (2019) Near-atomic structure of a giant virus. *Nat Commun*
10(1):388.
61.   Guzenko D & Strelkov SV (2018) CCFold: rapid and accurate prediction of coiled-coil
structures and application to modelling intermediate filaments. *Bioinformatics* 34(2):215-222.
62.   Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S*, et al.* (2018) SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of
protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46(W1):W296-W303.
63.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC*, et al.* (2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system
for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25(13):1605-1612.
64.   Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, & Cowtan K (2010) Features and development of Coot.
Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66(Pt 4):486-501.
65.   Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G*, et al.* (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based
system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66(Pt
2):213-221.
66.   Afonine PV, Poon BK, Read RJ*, et al.* (2018) Real-space refinement in Phenix for cryo-EM
and crystallography. *BioRxiv*:249607.
67.   Brown JH, Cohen C & Parry DA (1996) Heptad breaks in alpha-helical coiled coils: stutters
and stammers. *Proteins* 26(2):134-145.
68.   Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ*, et al.* (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation
for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66(Pt 1):12-21.
69.   Mastronarde DN (2005) Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction
of specimen movements. *J Struct Biol* 152(1):36-51.
70.   Mastronarde DN & Held SR (2017) Automated tilt series alignment and tomographic
reconstruction in IMOD. *J Struct Biol* 197(2):102-113.
71.   Kremer JR, Mastronarde DN & McIntosh JR (1996) Computer visualization of three-
dimensional image data using IMOD. *J Struct Biol* 116(1):71-76.
72.   Agulleiro JI & Fernandez JJ (2015) Tomo3D 2.0--exploitation of advanced vector extensions
(AVX) for 3D reconstruction. *J Struct Biol* 189(2):147-152.
73.   Ducret A, Quardokus EM & Brun YV (2016) MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell
detection and quantitative analysis. *Nat Microbiol* 1(7):16077.
74.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E*, et al.* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9(7):676-682.
826

FIGURES

Figure 1. Production and characterization of a CreS-specific megabody (MB) for structure

determination of CreS filaments. (A) Domain organization of full length CreS. The rod domain

was defined based on coiled coil prediction [17] and structure prediction using AlphaFold 2 [18]

(see **Fig. S1C**). (**B**) Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) profile of CreS$_{sat}$ (a mutant version that

has a three amino acid insertion [Ser, Ala and Thr, SAT] before position 406), preincubated with

MB13 at 1:4 molar ratio at pH 8. See **Fig. S1D-E** for SEC profile of CreS$_{wt}$ mixed with MB13. (**C**)

SDS-PAGE analysis of SEC fractions. Fractions B9 to B5 were pooled and used for EM studies.

(**D**) A typical cryo-EM image showing single CreS filaments in complex with MB13 that are formed

at pH 6.5. (**D**) Reference-free 2D classification of these filaments reveals a regular spacing of ~60

nm between neighbouring "nodes" along the filament. The nodes are caused by MB binding.

Figure 2. Architecture and assembly of CreS filaments. (**A**) Mapping of the CreS-specific nanobody (NB13) binding region on CreS *in vitro*. All samples except for purified proteins as indicated were cell lysates. The samples were immunoblotted with α-His for analysis of protein expression and with NB13 followed by α-HA for analysis of NB13 binding to CreS. Cryo-EM shows that CreS$_{wt}$ (**B**) and CreS$_{sat}$ (**C**) filaments share a common architecture formed by two strands (shown in cyan and blue) that twist around each other. (**D**) Each strand, with roughly the length of an asymmetric unit shown, consists of two CreS parallel coiled coil dimers that are held together via inter-dimer interactions. (**E**) The expanded atomic model reveals the structure of nearly complete CreS molecules, mostly missing N-terminal residues before Q31 that are presumably disordered. (**F-G**) Transformation of individual CreS dimers along the filament axis in a roughly linear fashion produces the observed single-stranded filamentous assembly. Panels **B-G** are drawn to scale (black scale bar: 200 nm). The positions of pseudo two-fold symmetry axes are indicated by an orange oval. All CreS atomic models shown are of CreS$_{sat}$ throughout all figure panels unless otherwise stated. In these cases, residues are numbered based on CreS$_{sat}$, whereas residue numbers in parentheses are according to CreS$_{wt}$.

Figure 3. Contacts between CreS coiled coil dimers. (**A**) CreS coiled coil dimers assemble into the two-stranded filament structure via a multitude of longitudinal and lateral inter-dimer interactions that can be classified into five types. (**B**) Schematic representation of the five types of observed dimer-dimer interactions. (**C**) Schematic of the octameric CreS filament, highlighting significant differences to current intermediate filament (IF) models (see also **Fig. S5**).

**Figure 4. Structure-guided probing of *in vivo* CreS assembly*,* with a focus on lateral
interactions. (A)** The single-stranded filament structure of CreS. Dashed rectangles outline the
regions in which cysteine substitutions were introduced for *in vivo* crosslinking. (**B-C**) BMOE-
dependent cysteine crosslinking of Δ*creS C. crescentus* cells carrying a low-copy-number plasmid
expressing *creS* or its cysteine mutants. Reaction products were subjected to Western blotting
analysis with a CreS-specific nanobody, NB13. (**D-E**) A close-up view of the regions Crossover
(CR, **D**) and Middle (**E**). Cα atoms are shown as spheres. Dashed lines indicate residue-residue
pairs probed in **B** and **C**.

Figure 5. Structure-guided probing of *in vivo* CreS assembly*,* with a focus on longitudinal interactions. (A) The single-stranded structure of CreS. Dashed rectangles outline the regions where cysteine substitutions were introduced for *in vivo* crosslinking. (**B**) BMOE-mediated cysteine crosslinking of Δ*creS C. crescentus* cells carrying a low-copy-number plasmid expressing *creS* or its cysteine mutants. Reaction products were analysed as described in **Fig. 4B**. (**C-E**) A close-up view of the regions CC (**C**), NN (**D**), and NC (**E**). Cα atoms are shown as spheres. Dashed lines indicate residue-residue pairs probed in **B**. As in **Fig. 1**, residues in **C** and **E** are numbered based on CreS$_{sat,}$ whereas residue numbers in parentheses are according to CreS$_{wt}$.

Figure 6. Subcellular organization of CreS filaments in cells. Tomographic slices through wild-
type (wt) *C. crescentus* cells with wt *creS* overexpression (**A**, strain CJW1430), Δ*creS* cells with
ΔN27 *creS* overexpression (**B**, strain YL006), Δ*creS* cells (**C**, strain LS2813), and Δ*creS* cells with
both CtpS depletion and wt *creS* overexpression (**D**, strain YL062). Scale bar: 100 nm. The insets
(scale bar: 200 nm) in **A** and **D** show a close-up view of the area outlined by the dashed rectangle.
IM: inner cell membrane; CA: chemosensory array; OM: outer membrane.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S1. CreS$_{wt}$ assembles into two-stranded filaments *in vitro*. (**A**) Cryo-EM micrograph of
single filaments of CreS$_{wt}$ formed at pH 7. (**B**) Two-dimensional (2D) class averages show the
presence of two intertwined strands. Scale bar: 100 nm (**A**) and 50 nm (**B**). Megabody MB13 forms
a complex with CreS$_{wt}$. (**C**) Left: annotated AlphaFold 2 model of CreS dimer. Right: AlphaFold 2
model of CreS tetramer. (**D**) Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) profile of CreS$_{wt}$, preincubated
with an excess of MB13 (1:4 molar ratio) at pH 8. (**E**) SDS-PAGE analysis of SEC fractions.
Fractions B9 to B5 were pooled and used for EM analysis. MB13 top, CreS$_{wt}$ bottom bands.

Figure S2. Cryo-EM structure determination of CreS. Image processing workflow used for CreS$_{sat}$ (**A**) and CreS$_{wt}$ (**B**).

Figure S3. Cryo-EM analysis of CreS$_{sat}$ filaments in complex with megabody MB13. Related to **Figure 2**. (**A**) 2D class averages of individual nodes formed by MB13 and CreS$_{sat}$ in different orientations. (**B**) Typical cryo-EM map densities for CreS$_{sat}$ and MB13, with the fitted atomic model superimposed. (**C**) Local resolution of the symmetry-expanded map reconstructed with a box size of 424 Å. FSC-based resolution estimation for maps reconstructed using a box size of 424 Å (**D**, small box) and 960 Å (**E**, large box).

Figure S4. Cryo-EM analysis of CreS$_{wt}$ filaments in complex with megabody MB13. Related to
Fig. 2. Resolution estimation for maps reconstructed using a box size of 432 Å (**A**, small box) and
972 Å (**B**, large box) based on FSC curves.

Figure S5. Structural comparison of CreS with eukaryotic IF proteins. (**A**) To-scale comparison of
the atomic model of a CreS dimer (upper) with the composite atomic model of a vimentin dimer
(lower). The IF structure shown is a hybrid model assembled from crystal structures of vimentin
fragments (PDB entries 1GK4, 3S4R, 3TRT, 3UF1, and 1GK6), similar to what was reported [21].
(**B**) Comparison of crescentin filaments (upper box, same as **Fig. 3C**) with current models of
cytoplasmic IF protein filaments (lower box). IF proteins also form parallel and extended coiled coil
dimers. Many IF proteins have been shown to form staggered and antiparallel "A11" tetramers, in
which two dimers come together via their coil1 rod segments. IF polymers have also been shown,
mostly by cross-linking studies, to contain staggered A22 and flush A12 contacts. End-to-end
contacts are believed to be via N- and C-terminal ends coming together, head-to-tail ACN contacts.
There is little experimental evidence for ACN contacts in cytoplasmic IF filaments, but it is difficult
to build gap-free models using the other contacts, without ACN contacts. The current model of an
IF "octameric" protofilament is shown at the bottom [11]. The most striking difference to the
structure of crescentin filaments discovered here is that all longitudinal contacts are head-to-tail
(N-C) in IFs (ACN), and not N-N and C-C head-to-head and head-to-tail, as in crescentin. Both

filaments lack overall polarity and one inter-dimer contact is similar, A12 and interaction type 3

(Fig. 3A & C), whereas the others are different. For example, there is no parallel inter-dimer

contact in the IF model, as in crescentin's interaction type 5 **(Fig. 3A & C)**. Furthermore, A11 and

A22 in IF filaments are more substantial than the somewhat related contact types 2 (N-N) and 4

(C-C overlap) in crescentin, respectively **(Fig. 3A & C)**. While somewhat related in overall

architecture, the way the dimers come together to form filaments can be described as significantly

different.

Figure S6. Characterization of *C. crescentus* cells carrying a *creS*-expressing plasmid. (**A**) Western blotting analysis of CreS protein levels in *C. crescentus* strains. Production of CreS from a low-copy-number plasmid under its native promoter in a *creS* deletion background yields a similar protein level as that in the wt strain. (**B**) Quantification of cell curvature of *C. crescentus* strains used for cysteine crosslinking experiments (as in **Figs 4-5**). The mean curvature values are shown, and error bars represent standard deviations. The number of cells analysed for each strain was between 114 and 591.

Figure S7. The N-terminal residues 1-27 of CreS are required for its cell shape function in
E. coli. (**A-D**) EM micrographs of negatively stained filaments formed *in vitro* using purified CreS$_{wt}$
(**A**), CreS$_{rod}$ (**B**), CreS$_{N27RtoA}$, where all Arg residues were substituted with an Ala in the N-terminal
27 amino acids (**C**), and CreS$_{\Delta N27}$ (**D**). Scale bar: 100 nm. (**E-J**) Typical phase contrast images of
E. coli cells overexpressing CreS$_{wt}$ (**E**), CreS$_{rod}$ (**F**), CreS$_{N27RtoA}$ (**G**), and CreS$_{\Delta N27}$ (**H**). Scale bar:
5 µm. (**I-K**) Typical cryo-EM images of *E. coli* cells overexpressing CreS$_{wt}$ (**I**), CreS$_{rod}$ (**J**), and
CreS$_{N27RtoA}$ (**K**). Scale bar: 1 µm. (**L**) Quantification of cell curvature based on phase contrast
images (corresponding to panels **E-J**). Shown are the mean curvature values with error bars
representing standard deviations. The numbers of cells analysed for each strain were between
140 and 293. Protein expression was induced by 0.05 mM IPTG for ~2.5 h.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1. Strains and plasmids

Name	Description/Genotype	Source
Strains		
E. coli		
BL21 (DE3)	F– *ompT hsdSB (rB- mB-) gal dcm* (DE3)	NEB
C41 (DE3)	F– *ompT hsdSB (rB- mB-) gal dcm* (DE3)	Sigma-Aldrich
WK6	F' *lacIq* Δ(*lacZ*)M15 *proA+B+* Δ(*lac-proAB*) *galE rpsL*	VIB
CJW1659	BL21 (DE3) expressing CreS$_{wt}$ (non-tagged)	[1]
CJW1661	C41 (DE3) expressing CreS$_{wt}$ (non-tagged)	[1]
CJW2045	BL21 (DE3) expressing CreS$_{sat}$ (insertion of three amino acids (Ser, Ala, SAT, at position 406)	[1]
C. crescentus (vibrioides)		
CB15N	Synchronizable strain of CB15	[2]
LS2813	CB15N Δ*creS*	[3]
ZG216	CB15N Δ*creS ctpS*1-400 P$_{xylX}$-*ctpS* (*ctpS* truncated to first 400 bp and another *ctpS* under a xylose inducible promoter on chromosome)	[4]
CJW1430	CB15N + pJS14-based medium-copy-number plasmid for expressing CreS under its native promoter	[5]
YL010	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{wt}$	This study
YL006	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{ΔN27}$	This study
YL012	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{M443C}$	This study
YL017	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{E43C+S74C}$	This study
YL018	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{E57C+E63C}$	This study
YL019	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R419C+S34C}$	This study
YL020	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R419C+A37C}$	This study
YL022	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C+T131C}$	This study
YL023	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C+A134C}$	This study
YL024	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R384C+T120C}$	This study
YL025	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C+Q204C}$	This study
YL026	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C+A207C}$	This study
YL027	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{A282C+D221C}$	This study
YL031	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{Q204C}$	This study
YL032	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C}$	This study
YL033	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{T131C}$	This study
YL034	CB15N Δ*creS* + pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C}$	This study
YL062	ZG216 + pCT155-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{WT}$	This study
Plasmids		
pCT155-P$_{van}$-*3xM2-didA*	pCT155-based parental plasmid for cloning (medium copy number, pJS14-based, chlor^R)	[6]
pCT133-P$_{van}$-*3xM2-didA*	pCT133-based parental plasmid for cloning (low copy number, pMR20-based, tet^R)	[6]
pHis17-CreSΔN27	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{ΔN27}$ (residues 28-457)	This study
pHis17-CreSN274RtoA	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{N27RtoA}$ (all Arg mutated to Ala in residues 1-27)	This study
pHis17-CreSRod	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{Rod}$ (residues 80-444)	This study
pFE127	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS-His (CreS$_{wt}$ followed by GSHHHHHH)	This study

pHis17-CreSΔ392-412-His	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{\Delta392-412}$-His (residues 392-412 deleted)	This study
pHis17-CreSΔ413-433-His	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{\Delta413-433}$-His (residues 413-433 deleted)	This study
pHis17-CreS413-433Vim-His	pHis17-based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{413-433Vim}$-His (residues 413-433 replaced by the homologous region in human vimentin)	This study
pMECS-NB13-HA-His	pMECS-based phagemid for expressing NB13-HA-His, a CreS-specific nanobody followed an HA tag and a 6x His tag	This study (provided by VIB)
pET-22b-MB13-His	pET-22b based plasmid for expressing MB13-His, a CreS-specific megabody with a C-terminal a 6x His tag	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{wt}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{wt}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{\Delta N27}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{\Delta N27}$ (aa 1-27 deleted) under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{M443C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{M443C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{E43C+S74C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{E43C+S74C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{E57C+E63C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{E57C+E63C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R419C+S34C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{R419C+S34C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R419C+A37C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{R419C+A37C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C+T131C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K369C+T131C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C+A134C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K369C+A134C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{R384C+T120C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{R384C+T120C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C+Q204C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K296C+Q204C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C+A207C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K296C+A207C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{A282C+D221C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{A282C+D221C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{Q204C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{Q204C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K296C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K296C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{T131C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{T131C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT133-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{K369C}$	pCT133 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{K369C}$ under its native promoter	This study
pCT155-P$_{cres}$-CreS$_{WT}$	pCT155 based plasmid for expressing CreS$_{wt}$ under its native promoter	This study

972 **Table S2. Amino acid sequences of proteins used in this study**

973 **CreS *Caulobacter crescentus* crescentin** (GenBank accession identifier: ACL97278.1)
974
MRLLSKNSRETKNGKPTVLGDEARAEAMQHQIESTQAIGQRYETIHGGLDSIGRVMEHLKAIEPLIAEIRG
PVSQEFEARRAEHAELIAVRANLDQAQRQIALIQAEEREVSARLAAAETALGESDARRQTQDAALEDNALE
IDRLRNALLQSDLKVSSLDASLRDATARIEHLVQDVEGLRVQAQDIDARRGDAEAALARANQDNALLGEEA
ATLKKRVDQAGLDLARLSRIETDLEAQLAAERARVQAVENALAAHQADSGRTIRGLESQVEANRAEISALQ
TRLETATGRADKLEEMNGQISARLADSSAQQKAVERRAGDLNVALERALDRIRALEEEADGLRQRHAGVDT
ARATAIERADQLAKSAVAQEKALKRAEERAQQLRARLDAMQEAQDQVRRDHEAKIAELQATIERLTSEAAL
AEGALEAARRDRSRLQMALLGASDGDVAASA

CreS stutter mutant (SAT insertion before 406)
983
MRLLSKNSRETKNGKPTVLGDEARAEAMQHQIESTQAIGQRYETIHGGLDSIGRVMEHLKAIEPLIAEIRG
PVSQEFEARRAEHAELIAVRANLDQAQRQIALIQAEEREVSARLAAAETALGESDARRQTQDAALEDNALE
IDRLRNALLQSDLKVSSLDASLRDATARIEHLVQDVEGLRVQAQDIDARRGDAEAALARANQDNALLGEEA
ATLKKRVDQAGLDLARLSRIETDLEAQLAAERARVQAVENALAAHQADSGRTIRGLESQVEANRAEISALQ
TRLETATGRADKLEEMNGQISARLADSSAQQKAVERRAGDLNVALERALDRIRALEEEADGLRQRHAGVDT
ARATAIERADQLAKSAVAQEKALKRAEERAQQLRARLDAMQEAQDQVRRDSATHEAKIAELQATIERLTSE
AALAEGALEAARRDRSRLQMALLGASDGDVAASA

Nanobody NB13 (HA-tag, 6×His-tag)

QVQLQESGGGLVQTGGSLRLSCASSRSIDGINIMRWYRQAPGKQRGMVAVVTGWGSTNYVDSVKGRFIISR
DSAKDTVYLQMNNLKPEDTAVYSCNAIYRGSEYWGQGTQVTVSSAAAYPYDVPDYGSHHHHHH

Megabody MB13 (NB13, YgjK, 10×His-tag)

EVQLQESGGGLVYKEETQSGLNNYARVVEKGQYDSLEIPAQVAASWESGRDDAAVFGFIDKEQLDKYVANG
GKRSDWTVKFAENRSQDGTLLGYSLLQESVDQASYMYSDNHYLAEMATILGKPEEAKRYRQLAQQLADYIN
TCMFDPTTQFYYDVRIEDKPLANGCAGKPIVERGKGPEGWSPLFNGAATQANADAVVKVMLDPKEFNTFVP
LGTAALTNPAFGADIYWRGRVWVDQFWFGLKGMERYGYRDDALKLADTFFRHAKGLTADGPIQENYNPLTG
AQQGAPNFSWSAAHLYMLYNDFFRKQASGGGSGGGGSGGGGSNADNYKNVINRTGAPQYMKDYDYDDHQR
FNPFFDLGAWHGHLLPDGPNTMGGFPGVALLTEEYINFMASNFDRLTVWQDGKKVDFTLEAYSIPGALVQK
LTAKDVQVEMTLRFATPRTSLLETKITSNKPLDLVWDGELLEKLEAKEGKPLSDKTIAGEYPDYQRKISAT
RDGLKVTFGKVRATWDLLTSGESEYQVHKSLPVQTEINGNRFTSKAHINGSTTLYTTYSHLLTAQEVSKEQ
MQIRDILARPAFYLTASQQRWEEYLKKGLTNPDATPEQTRVAVKAIETLNGNWRSPGGAVKFNTVTPSVTG
RWFSGNQTWPWDTWKQAFAMAHFNPDIAKENIRAVFSWQIQPGDSVRPQDVGFVPDLIAWNLSPERGGDGG
NWNERNTKPSLAAWSVMEVYNVTQDKTWVAEMYPKLVAYHDWWLRNRDHNGNGVPEYGATRDKAHNTESGE
MLFTVKKDSLRLSCASSRSIDGINIMRWYRQAPGKQRGMVAVVTGWGSTNYVDSVKGRFIISRDSAKDTVY
LQMNNLKPEDTAVYSCNAIYRGSEYWGQGTQVTVSSGENLYFQGSHHHHHHHHHH

Table S3. Cryo-EM data collection and processing statistics

	CreS$_{sat}$				CreS$_{wt}$			
	Small box		Large box		Small box		Large box	
	SAT-SB-C2	SAT-SB-C1	SAT-LB-C2	SAT-LB-C1	WT-SB-C2	WT-SB-C1	WT-LB-C2	WT-LB-C1
EMDB ID	EMD-15398	EMD-15395	EMD-15476	EMD-15446	EMD-15402	EMD-15401	EMD-15473	EMD-15465
PDB ID	8AFH	8AFE	8AJB	8AHL	8AFM	8AFL	8AIX	8AIA
Data collection and processing								
Microscope	Titan Krios IV (eBIC)				Titan Krios II (MRC LMB)			
Accelerating voltage (kV)	300				300			
Camera	Gatan K3				Falcon IV			
Micrographs (collected/selected)	13330 / 10925				13557 / 9553			
Nominal magnification	81000x				75000x			
Pixel size (Å/pixel)	0.53[a]				1.08			
Dose rate (e$^-$/pixel/s)	25				4			
Total exposure (e$^-$/Å^2)	53				34			
Frame rate (ms)	60				4 (EER - electron event representation)			
No. of frames	40				2410			
Defocus (µm)	0.4-2.6				0.4-4.0			
No. of particels (extraction)	869812				1211745			
No. of particels (reconstruction)	599993	1199985	585252	1170504	550575	1101149	550574	1101148
Map resolution (half-map)[b] (Å)	3.85	3.34	4.26	4.11	4.8	4.36	5.78	5.14
Map resolution (model-map)[c] (Å)	4.11	3.79	4.78	4.75	5.27	5.01	7.07	6.34
Pixel size (reconstruction, Å/px)	1.325	1.325	1.59	1.59	1.728	1.728	1.944	1.944
Box size (reconstruction, px)	320	320	600	600	250	250	500	500
Point group symmetry	C2	C1	C2	C1	C2	C1	C2	C1
Model Statistics								
Model-map correlation coeffcient[d] - CC$_{volume}$/CC$_{mask}$	0.70/0.66	0.70/0.685	0.64/0.55	0.70/0.64	0.64/0.60	0.66/0.63	0.69/0.67	0.71/0.67
No. of atoms								
Protein	13578	6789	30112	15056	7846	3923	21930	10965

R.m.s deviations[e]								
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.007	0.007
Bond angles (°)	0.981	0.677	1.251	1.019	0.995	0.723	1.265	1.072
Ramachadran plot[e]								
Favored (%)	95.1	95.1	95.2	95.2	91.6	91.6	89.2	89.2
Allowed (%)	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	8.4	8.4	10.8	10.8
Outliers (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rotamer outliers[e]	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.25	0.25	0.09	0.09
Clash score[e]	14.81	13.85	29.63	29.56	17.91	18.17	48.71	48.51
Molprobity score[e]	2.02	1.99	2.29	2.29	2.25	2.26	2.74	2.73
Cβ outliers[e]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

1009

1010   [a] Super resolution pixel size. The physical pixel size is 1.06 Å/pixel.

1011

1012   [b] Based on FSC between two independently calculated half maps (FSC cutoff 0.143) [7, 8].

1013

1014   [c] Based on model-map FSC between the cryo-EM map and a map calculated based on the atomic model specifying the cryo-EM map resolution (FSC
1015   cutoff 0.5) [7].

1016

1017   [d] Atomic coordinates were refined against the cryo-EM map in real space using Phenix [9]. CC_{mask} and CC_{volume} are defined as in [10].

1018

1019   [e] Based on the criteria of MolProbity [11].

1020   **Table S4. Comparison of residue-residue contacts between CreS$_{sat}$ and CreS$_{wt}$.**

Region	Type	Residue A[a]	Residue B[a]	CreS$_{wt}$[b] (Å)	CreS$_{sat}$[b] (Å)
Middle	Inter-dimer, lateral	K369	T131	8 (9)	8 (9)
Middle	Intra-dimer	K369	K369	17 (15)	16 (14)
Middle	Intra-dimer	T131	T131	16 (15)	15 (14)
Middle	Inter-dimer, lateral	K369	A134	7 (10)	8 (10)
Middle	Inter-dimer, lateral	R384	T120	8 (10)	8 (9)
Crossover	Inter-dimer, lateral	K296	Q204	11 (11)	10 (11)
Crossover	Intra-dimer	K296	K296	N/A[c]	16 (14)
Crossover	Intra-dimer	Q204	Q204	17 (15)	15 (14)
Crossover	Inter-dimer, lateral	K296	A207	8 (10)	7 (9)
Crossover	Inter-dimer, lateral	A282	D221	N/A[c]	6 (6)
NN	Inter-dimer, longitudinal	E43	S74	12 (13)	7 (7)
NN	Inter-dimer, longitudinal	E57	E63	12 (14)	8 (9)
NN	Intra-monomer	E57	E63	11 (10)	12 (11)
CC	Inter-dimer, longitudinal	M443 (446)	M443 (446)	N/A[c]	12 (10)
CC	Intra-dimer	M443 (446)	M443 (446)	18 (16)	18 (17)
NC	Inter-dimer, longitudinal	R419 (422)	S34	N/A[c]	12 (12)
NC	Inter-dimer, longitudinal	R419 (422)	A37	N/A[c]	10 (9)

1021

1022   [a] Based on CreS$_{wt}$ numbering. Values in parentheses represent residue number based on CreS$_{sat}$
1023   numbering.

1024

1025   [b] Cβ-Cβ distance between two residues. Values in parentheses represent the Cα-Cα distance. For
1026   a given pair, the distance shown is the shortest among all equivalent pairs related by pseudo
1027   symmetry.

1028

1029   [c] At least one of the two residues is absent in the atomic model due to disorder or an ambiguity in
1030   model building.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

1.   Cabeen MT, Herrmann H & Jacobs-Wagner C (2011) The domain organization of the bacterial intermediate filament-like protein crescentin is important for assembly and function. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)* 68(4):205-219.

2.   Evinger M & Agabian N (1977) Envelope-associated nucleoid from *Caulobacter crescentus* stalked and swarmer cells. *J Bacteriol* 132(1):294-301.

3.   Gitai Z, Dye N & Shapiro L (2004) An actin-like gene can determine cell polarity in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101(23):8643-8648.

4.   Ingerson-Mahar M, Briegel A, Werner JN, Jensen GJ, & Gitai Z (2010) The metabolic enzyme CTP synthase forms cytoskeletal filaments. *Nat Cell Biol* 12(8):739-746.

5.   Cabeen MT, Charbon G, Vollmer W*, et al.* (2009) Bacterial cell curvature through mechanical control of cell growth. *EMBO J* 28(9):1208-1219.

6.   Modell JW, Kambara TK, Perchuk BS, & Laub MT (2014) A DNA damage-induced, SOS-independent checkpoint regulates cell division in *Caulobacter crescentus. PLoS Biol* 12(10):e1001977.

7.   Rosenthal PB & Henderson R (2003) Optimal determination of particle orientation, absolute hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* 333(4):721-745.

8.   Scheres SH & Chen S (2012) Prevention of overfitting in cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* 9(9):853-854.

9.   Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G*, et al.* (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66(Pt 2):213-221.

10. Afonine PV, Klaholz BP, Moriarty NW*, et al.* (2018) New tools for the analysis and validation of cryo-EM maps and atomic models. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* 74(Pt 9):814-840.

11. Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ*, et al.* (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66(Pt 1):12-21.