**Clustering temporal step-counting patterns for 24 hours with machine learning revealed potential heterogeneity in the categorization by a traditional tertile procedure.**

Saida Salima Nawrin[1,¶], Hitoshi Inada[1¶*,#a] , Haruki Momma[2], and Ryoichi nagatomi[1*, 2]

[1] Laboratory of Health and Sports Sciences, Tohoku University Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

[2] Department of Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

[#a] Current address: Department of Biochemistry & Cellular Biology, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan

*Corresponding author
E-mail: hinada@med.tohoku.ac.jp (HI)
E-mail: nagatomi@med.tohoku.ac.jp (RN)

[¶]These authors contributed equally to this work.

# Abstract

Physical activity is a crucial aspect of health benefits in the public society. Although studies on the temporal physical activity patterns might lead to the protocol for efficient intervention/program, a standardized procedure to determine and analyze the temporal physical activity patterns remains to be developed. Here, we attempted to develop a procedure to cluster 24-hour patterns of physical activity as step counts measured with an accelerometer-based wearable sensor. The step-counting data from forty-two healthy adults were analyzed using unsupervised machine learning. We could identify six 24-hour step-counting patterns and five daily step-behavioral clusters. When the amount of physical activity was categorized into tertile groups reflecting highly active, moderately active, and low active, each tertile group consisted of different proportions of six 24-hour step-counting patterns. Our procedure would be reliable for finding and clustering physical activity patterns/behaviors and reveal heterogeneity in the categorization by a traditional tertile procedure using total step amount.



**Keywords:** Physical activity, step-counting patterns, daily step behaviors, unsupervised machine learning,

# Introduction

Physical activity is a crucial aspect of maintaining good health and well-being. World Health Organization (WHO) defines "physical activity as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure" and recommends at least 75-150/150-300 minutes of vigorous/moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity throughout the week [1]. WHO also mentioned that physical inactivity is one of the leading risk factors for global mortality, causing an estimated 3.2 million deaths per year [2]. Many observational and intervention studies suggested that regular physical activity has been linked to numerous physical and mental health benefits, including reducing the risk of chronic diseases, improving cardiovascular health, maintaining a healthy weight, improving mental health, and enhancing the overall quality of life [3-7]. However, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials indicated that interventions to maintain physical activity behavior was effective in short periods (at least three months) but provided a small impact on the long-term maintenance of physical activity [5]. Therefore, it is critical to figure out efficient ways integrated into daily life to promote and maintain physical activity for the improvement of the health and well-being of the public.

A historical topic in public health is what kind, how much, and how intense physical activity is required for health benefits [8]. One of the simplest ways to increase and maintain physical activity is to walk/move more and longer [9-11] as shown in the 10,000-steps campaign [12-14]. A recent meta-analysis reported that taking more steps per day was associated with lower mortality risk, where the risk plateaued at approximately 6,000–8,000 steps or 8,000–10,000 steps per day for older (≥60 years) or

younger adults (<60 years), respectively [15]. Most of the previous research on physical activity has concentrated on how the total amount of activity based on its intensity and duration is linked to health [16-18]. However, analyzing only the total amount of physical activity might overlook a complete understanding of the activity behavior since the metrics of the total amount of physical activity tends to exclude or underestimate the effect of temporal variations of physical activity.

Recently, several studies focused on the 24-hour temporal physical activity patterns using a narrower criterion for physical activity, such as steps or body movements objectively measured with an accelerometer [19-23]. An earlier study that analyzed the relationship between activity rhythm disturbances and depression identified eight sub-clusters in older adults (≥65 years) [21]. Late or combined early/compressed/dampened activity rhythms may independently contribute to depression symptom development [21]. Another recent report using activity counts for seven days in US adults indicated that, although the lowest physical activity counts cluster showed a higher obesity index including body mass index and waist circumference than the other clusters showing higher activity counts, the clusters also expressed different temporal patterns of physical activity [19]. Moreover, an increase in cardiovascular disease risk was observed in the inactive cluster than the other clusters (evening active, moderately active, and very active) [20] from the data of The Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 study [24]. The latest report combining activity timing/period and pattern robustness identified sub-groups with progressive depression symptoms and impaired cognitive performance in aging [23]. Therefore, understanding temporal physical activity patterns would help extract informative health outcomes.

In the previous studies above, participants were first clustered by several selected features indicating physical activity patterns, and the physical activity patterns in 24 hours were analyzed. However, those procedures have difficulty analyzing temporal physical activity patterns directly because of their methodological restrictions, in which participants were first clustered by extracted individual features of the physical activity patterns and then analyzed. In this study, we propose a novel procedure for the direct clustering of physical activity based on the temporal step-counting patterns with unsupervised machine learning irrespective of the total volume of physical activity. Subsequently, we could identify five step-behavioral clusters of participants, based on the proportion of each step-counting pattern. Furthermore, we analyzed the proportion of the temporal step-counting patterns and step-behavioral clusters of groups categorized by a traditional tertile procedure The results revealed potential heterogeneity in categorizing by the tertile procedure using total step amount. Our approach could provide a useful procedure to analyze temporal patterns of physical activity in a direct manner.

# Materials and Methods

## Participants and data collection

The data set was collected from forty-two healthy participants between August and September 2008 in Sendai Oroshisho center. The Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol of the Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (Approval number: 2019-1-394). Participants were provided and agreed with informed consent for their data to be analyzed in the study published previously [31].

Step count data was continuously collected by a hip-worn triaxial accelerometer (S1 Fig, Nipro Welsupport, model no wat3663, Japan) for up to 25 days. Data from participants with less than three days was excluded for statistical reasons [32]. The demography of the forty-two participants (35 males and 7 females). Each participant has 19 ± 4 days of data. The data sampling rate was 1 Hz. Eight types of activities are recorded as below: 0, Resting; 1, Moving while standing; 2, Going down using a vehicle; 3, Going up using vehicle; 4, Going down using stairs; 5, Walking on the ground level; 6, Going up using stairs; 7, Running; 8, Lying down. Numbers of indices 5-7 were counted as steps. The other indices were counted as zero.

## Software for data processing and visualization

MATLAB (2021a, MathWorks, MA) and Python (3.11.0) were used for data processing and visualization.

### Twenty-four-hour step-counting pattern

The activity counting data at 1 Hz sampling rate was first converted hourly for each 24-hour. A total of 815 days of hourly data was used to cluster the 24-hour step-counting patterns by unsupervised machine learning using tslearn, a Python package for time-series analysis [33]. The kernel k-means algorithm was used for clustering with the global alignment kernel (GAK). The cluster number was determined by elbow plot using distortion values. Six clusters of 24-hour physical activity patterns were obtained.

### Dominant daily step behavior

First, the probability of each 24-hour step-counting pattern is calculated for each participant based on the formula below.

$$Probability\ of\ each\ 24-hour\ step-counting\ pattern = \frac{Number\ of\ a\ paticular\ pattern}{Total\ number\ of\ days}$$

Vectors of the probability of each 24-hour step-counting pattern (with six values) were used to cluster the daily step behaviors by unsupervised machine learning using a spectral clustering algorithm with MATLAB. Five clusters of daily step-behavior were obtained.

## Tertile clustering of daily physical activity based on the daily step amount

The daily step amount for 815 days was divided into the tertile group (low, medium, and high). Each group is defined as:

Low: Daily step amount <= 4127

Medium: 4127 < Daily step amount < 6946

High: Daily step amount >= 6946

## Statistical analysis

Pearson chi-squared test was performed with (JMP pro 16.0.0) for all statistical analyses where P<.05 is considered significant.

# Results

The data set was collected from forty-two healthy participants (35 males and 7 females) from August to September 2008 in Miyagi prefecture, Japan. The demography of the participants is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Demographical information**

| Variables | Mean ± SD |
|---|---|
| Age (Years) | 45.59 ± 10.96 |
| Weight (kg) | 55.83 ± 10.69 |
| Body fat (%) | 29.37 ± 6.29 |
| BMI | 22.10 ± 3.42 |
| Waist (cm) | 78.04 ± 9.72 |

## Twenty-four-hour step-counting pattern

Step count data obtained with a triaxial accelerometer was first converted hourly for each 24-hour and then applied for the clustering with unsupervised machine learning (See Methods). Fig 1 shows six 24-hour step-counting patterns identified: all-day (AD), bi-phasic (BP), morning (M), evening (E), irregular morning (IM), and irregular night (IN). AD showed a continuous high step count from 9:00 to 19:00. BP showed increased

step counts from 8:00 to 21:00 but had two peak periods at 9:00 and 19:00. Step counts between 10:00-16:00 was slightly low in BP. M started actively around 6:00, kept on high step counts until 14:00, and gradually decreased in activity after 15:00. By contrast, E started active afternoon and showed peak step counts between 16:00-18:00. IM showed high step counts mainly in the morning from 5:00 to 13:00 and decreases in activity after 15:00. IN showed high step-counts from 19:00 to midnight. Table 2 summarizes the proportion of each step-counting pattern. Among 815 days, AD was the most dominant pattern (330/815, 40.5 %), and the BP pattern followed (146/815, 17.9%). M and E patterns were 15.8 % and 13.0%, respectively. IM and IN were minor patterns, less than 10% (7.9% and 4.9 %, respectively). The proportion of each pattern showed significant differences except for a difference between IM and IN (S1 Table).

**Fig 1. Six step-counting patterns for 24 hours.** Temporal step-counting pattern for 24 hours identified using unsupervised machine learning. (A) All traces of step-counting activity in each pattern. (B) Averaged traces (mean ± SD) of step-counting activity in each pattern. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

**Table 2. Proportion of each step-counting pattern**

| Pattern | Days | % of days |
|---|---|---|
| All-Day (AD) | 330 | 40.5 |
| Bi-phasic (BP) | 146 | 17.9 |
| Morning (M) | 129 | 15.8 |
| Evening (E) | 106 | 13.0 |
| Irregular morning (IM) | 64 | 7.9 |
| Irregular night (IN) | 40 | 4.9 |

Each step-counting pattern showed a different step-count amount (Table 3). The AD showed the highest step-count amount per day (7020.0 ± 3271.4 counts). The M and BP patterns were similar, and the E pattern followed. The IN and IM patterns showed lower step count amounts. AD, BP, and M patterns differed significantly from E, IM, and IN (S2 Table). Among E, IM, and IN patterns, a significant difference was observed between E and IM patterns. Regarding duration, the BP pattern showed the longest duration of activity per day (14.54 ± 2.61 hours). The AD and M followed. Generally, the AD and BP patterns shared 58.4 % of total days and showed higher/longer step count amounts per day. The M pattern was more active than the E pattern. The IM and IN shared a minor proportion in days (12.8 %) and showed the lowest step-count amount. All the pairs show a difference ($p < 0.05$) except BP-AD (S3 Table).

**Table 3. Step count amount and duration for each pattern**

| Pattern | Step count amount mean ± SD | Activity duration (hours) mean ± SD |
|---|---|---|
| All-Day (AD) | 7020.0 ± 3271.4 | 14.29 ± 2.28 |
| Bi-phasic (BP) | 6178.2 ± 3102.1 | 14.54 ± 2.61 |
| Morning (M) | 6473.7 ± 4220.1 | 12.49 ± 3.97 |
| Evening (E) | 4871.0 ± 3091.9 | 10.91 ± 4.30 |
| Irregular morning (IM) | 2597.6 ± 3481.4 | 5.67 ± 5.39 |
| Irregular night (IN) | 3977.2 ± 5540.0 | 7.82 ± 6.48 |

## Clustering dominant daily step-behaviors

According to the six 24-hour step-counting patterns, we further clustered participants based on the probability of each pattern. We found five daily step-behavioral clusters; AD dominant, AD + BP, BP dominant, AD + E, and M dominant (Fig 2). Half

of the participants (21) belong to the AD-dominant (S4 Table), consistent with the result that AD was the most frequent step-counting pattern. The AD+BP was the following frequent behavior. The other daily step behaviors shared minor proportions. There were no IM dominant or IN dominant step behaviors due to their minor proportions in the 24-hour step-counting patterns. Interestingly, mean values of step count amounts for each step behavior were similar (S4 Table).

**Fig 2. Five step behaviors.** Step behavior clusters were identified using unsupervised machine learning. Upper panels: All traces of proportion of step-counting patterns in each behavior. Lower panels: Averaged traces (mean) of the proportion of step-counting patterns in each behavior. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

Table 4 represents the probabilities of six step-counting patterns in each daily step-behavioral cluster. The AD dominant step-behavior accounts for most of the AD pattern (0.5515 ± 0.1492). AD dominant step behavior also consists of a significant portion with the M pattern (0.1227 ± 0.0888). Other step-counting patterns are less. AD+BP step-behavior is characterized by a significant share of AD and BP step-counting patterns (0.4031 ± 0.1021 and 0.2964 ± 0.0586, respectively). AD+BP step-behavior also contains a significant amount with the M step-counting pattern (0.1587±0.0748), while other step-counting patterns are less frequent. BP dominant step-behavior shares a significant proportion of the BP step-counting pattern (0.3984 ± 0.1167). This step behavior also contains a minor amount with the IN and E step-counting patterns (0.1482

± 0.1694 and 0.2045 ± 0.1356, respectively). AD+E step-behavior consists of a similar amount with the AD and E step-counting patterns (0.4195 ± 0.0168 and 0.3815 ± 0.0771, respectively), while other step-counting patterns are less. M dominant behavior is characterized by most of the M step-counting pattern (0.6235 ± 0.1509) and also consists of less frequency of the AD and IM step-counting patterns (0.1267 ± 0.1378 and 0.1105 ± 0.0301, respectively). Though IM and IN step-counting patterns share the least number of days and do not show any dominant step-behavioral clusters, these step-counting patterns show some prevalence in all step-behavioral clusters, especially in BP dominant and M dominant step-behavioral clusters.

**Table 4. Probability of 24-hour step-counting patterns in each daily step-behavior**

| Behavior | Mean (SD) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | IN | AD | IM | E | BP | M |
| AD dominant | 0.0486 (0.0610) | 0.5515 (0.1492) | 0.0886 (0.1190) | 0.0998 (0.0702) | 0.0887 (0.0606) | 0.1227 (0.0888) |
| AD+BP | 0.0117 (0.0237) | 0.4031 (0.1021) | 0.0398 (0.0362) | 0.0850 (0.0800) | 0.2964 (0.0586) | 0.1587 (0.0748) |
| BP dominant | 0.1482 (0.1694) | 0.0740 (0.0468) | 0.0915 (0.0752) | 0.2045 (0.1356) | 0.3984 (0.1167) | 0.0833 (0.0701) |
| AD+E | 0.0147 (0.0294) | 0.4195 (0.0168) | 0.0156 (0.0313) | 0.3815 (0.0771) | 0.0916 (0.0422) | 0.0770 (0.0938) |
| M dominant | 0.0314 (0.0278) | 0.1267 (0.1378) | 0.1105 (0.0301) | 0.0175 (0.0304) | 0.0903 (0.0604) | 0.6235 (0.1509) |

## Scale effect evaluation by simulations

We then investigated the scale effects of day and participant numbers on cluster identification (Fig 3 and S2-5 Figs). First, the number of days was randomly selected

from 3 to 18 days per participant, and our procedure was applied. When 3 days of data per participant were used, only 4 step-counting patterns (Fig 3A and S2A Fig) and 3 step behaviors (Fig 3B and S3A Fig) were identified. By increasing the number of days, the number of step-counting patterns and step behaviors increased and reached a plateau over 9 days of data per participant (Fig 3A and 3B). The step-counting patterns identified from 3-18 days of data were consistent with those from the full data set (Fig 1 and S2 Fig), although step behaviors were slightly different from those of the full data set (Fig 2 and S3 Fig). When 15 days per participant (540 days from 36 participants) were selected, step behaviors became consistent with those from the full data set were detected set (S3B Fig).

We then examined the scale effect of participant number on the step behavior clustering by our procedure. When 10 participants were randomly selected, 5 step-counting patterns were identified (Figs 3C and S4), while only 2 step behaviors were detected (Fig 3D and S5 Fig). When 20 participants, step-counting patterns increased to 6 but the number of step behaviors was four (Fig 3C and 3D). Analysis using 30 participant data set (572 days) showed a similar result to the full data set (Fig 2 and S5 Fig). These results suggest that at least 15 days per participant (42 participants) or data set from 30 participants (572 days) are required to obtain robust results.

**Fig 3. Scale effect evaluation by simulations.** The effects of day and participant numbers on cluster identification were investigated. The effects of different numbers of days on identifying the numbers of step-counting patterns (A) and step behaviors (B).

The effects of different numbers of participants on identifying the numbers of step-counting patterns (C) and step behaviors (D).

## Categorization by a traditional tertile procedure shows heterogeneity in step-counting patterns.

We identified six 24-hour step-counting patterns and five daily step behavior clusters using unsupervised machine-learning approaches. Then, we compare the step amounts from these step-counting patterns to those from traditional grouping approaches such as the tertile procedure.

Table 5 summarized the composition of step-counting patterns in each tertile group. AD is the most dominant step-counting pattern in the high and mid groups (52.7% and 46.6%, respectively). However, the composition of BP, M, and E step-counting patterns differed between the high and mid groups. On the other hand, the low tertile group consists of a relatively similar proportion for each step-counting pattern. The proportion of tertile groups in each step-counting pattern was consistent with the result above (Table 6). In the AD pattern, the high tertile group is dominant (44.2%) and followed by the mid (37.9%) and low groups (17.9%). In of BP pattern, mid tertile group is the most dominant (50.7%) and followed by the high (26.0%) and low (23.3%) tertile groups. The low tertile and high tertile groups were similar (39.5%) and (38.0%) of days in the M pattern. In the E pattern, the low tertile group is the dominant (42.2%), followed by mid (31.1%) and high (21.7%). IM and IN patterns consist of low tertile groups

(76.6% and 67.5%, respectively. Each group showed significantly different compositions (S5 – S8 Table).

**Table 5. Proportion and number of days of 24-hour step-counting pattern in each tertile group**

| Activity | AD | BP | M | E | IM | IN | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| High | 52.7%<br>146 | 13.7%<br>38 | 17.7%<br>49 | 8.3%<br>23 | 4.0%<br>11 | 3.6%<br>10 | 277 |
| Mid | 46.6%<br>125 | 27.6%<br>74 | 10.8%<br>29 | 12.3%<br>33 | 1.5%<br>4 | 1.1%<br>3 | 268 |
| Low | 21.9%<br>59 | 12.6%<br>34 | 18.9%<br>51 | 18.5%<br>50 | 18.1%<br>49 | 10.0%<br>27 | 270 |

**Table 6. Proportion and number of days of tertile groups for each 24-hour step-counting pattern**

| Pattern | High | Mid | Low | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AD | 44.2 %<br>146 | 37.9 %<br>125 | 17.9 %<br>59 | 330 |
| BP | 26.0 %<br>38 | 50.7 %<br>74 | 23.3 %<br>34 | 146 |
| M | 38.0 %<br>49 | 22.5 %<br>29 | 39.5 %<br>51 | 129 |
| E | 21.7 %<br>23 | 31.1 %<br>33 | 42.2 %<br>50 | 106 |
| IM | 17.2 %<br>11 | 6.3 %<br>4 | 76.6 %<br>49 | 64 |
| IN | 25.0 %<br>10 | 7.5 %<br>3 | 67.5 %<br>27 | 40 |

# Discussion

In this study, we attempted to develop a procedure to cluster 24-hour step-counting patterns, as physical activity patterns, using unsupervised machine learning. We identified six step-counting patterns and five daily step behavior clusters. Comparing traditional tertile procedure (high, medium, and low) using daily step amounts, a different proportion of six 24-hour step-counting patterns were observed in each tertile group, suggesting heterogeneity in the categorization by the traditional procedure.

The "temporal patterns of physical activity" in the general term have been paid more attention in recent decades [19, 25-27]. However, the situation is confusing since this general term includes different "activity" aspects, such as simple body movements or steps [19], active/sedentary behaviors [25], social behaviors [26], or those combinations [27]. Only several studies focused on the 24-hour temporal physical activity patterns, such as body movements or steps in a narrower criterion [19-23]. For clustering, some previous studies extracted and used several features, such as rhythm height, timing and robustness of physical activity [21], several parameters affecting phase shape and length of rest-activity rhythm [22, 23], or timing, intensity, and duration of activity [19]. Another study used 7-day-continuous data of physical activity counts for clustering and then analyzed intensity and temporal patterns of physical activity for 24 hours [20]. These studies adopted a two-step strategy, that is, 1) clustering by extracted features and 2) analyzing 24-hour patterns of physical activity. By contrast, our procedure first directly identified the 24-hour patterns of physical activity from bulk data of daily step-counting records using time-series clustering analysis (Fig 1) and further clustered participants depending on the probability of each pattern (Fig 2). Therefore, our strategy appears more straightforward, direct, and intuitive than previous studies.

The scale effect analyses by simulation using different numbers of randomly selected data sets indicated the limitation of our procedure when applying for a smaller number of data (Fig 3 and S2-5 Figs). The analyses indicated that at least 15 days per participant (540 days from 36 participants) or data set from 30 participants (572 days) are required to obtain robust results, suggesting that over 500 days of data set could be essential. The relationship between the number of days per participant and participants remains to be investigated using a larger data set.

Conventional quantile procedure has been employed on the total amount of activity to categorize data into some clusters because of its simple application. Recently, however, quantile procedure has been pointed for a few potential problems; (1) reduction of detection power, (2) multiple comparison testing, (3) assuming the homogeneity of risk within the group, and (4) difficulty in comparing results within studies [28, 29]. Unfortunately, these problems remain to be unsolved to date as discussed in a recent review [30]. Our procedure described in this study might provide a complementary strategy to compensate for the heterogeneity in the quantile-based clustering. Our study showed an association between step-counting patterns and step amounts and heterogeneity in step-counting patterns among tertile groups based on the step amount, producing a typical example of the second problem (Tables 6 and 7). Our approach may be helpful for understanding and handling the heterogeneity derived from different physical activity patterns between/within the groups, considering it as a confounding factor.

A significant limitation of the current study is that our dataset was small and collected from office workers in the Miyagi area in the Tohoku region, Japan. As daily activity depends on job type and the geographical area where participants live, it is still

challenging to mention how our procedure can be generalized for different populations. A more extensive and diverse dataset needs to be analyzed to confirm our procedure's reliability, robustness, and reproducibility. Our approach using unsupervised machine learning to daily activity behavior and patterns may help to understand people’s prominent behaviors considering heterogeneity. Although the relationship between our results and health outcome is beyond the goal of this study, our procedure might be reliable for identifying specific patterns of physical activity and activity behavior associated with positive health outcomes. It could help the development of targeted interventions aimed at promoting healthy behavior.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants and staff who have contributed to the experiment. A pioneering research support grant from Tohoku University partially supported this project. The funding body has no role in the study design, data analysis, or manuscript submission.

## References

1. Organization WH. Physical activity 2022 [cited 2023 05/11]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity.
2. Organization WH. Physical inactivity [cited 2023 05/11]. Available from: https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3416.
3. Chekroud SR, Gueorguieva R, Zheutlin AB, Paulus M, Krumholz HM, Krystal JH, et al. Association between physical exercise and mental health in 1.2 million individuals in the USA between 2011 and 2015: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(9):739-46. Epub 20180808. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30227-X. PubMed PMID: 30099000.
4. Kraus WE, Powell KE, Haskell WL, Janz KF, Campbell WW, Jakicic JM, et al. Physical Activity, All-Cause and Cardiovascular Mortality, and Cardiovascular Disease. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51(6):1270-81. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001939. PubMed PMID: 31095084; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6527136.

5. Madigan CD, Fong M, Howick J, Kettle V, Rouse P, Hamilton L, et al. Effectiveness of interventions to maintain physical activity behavior (device-measured): Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obes Rev. 2021;22(10):e13304. Epub 20210615. doi: 10.1111/obr.13304. PubMed PMID: 34129276.

6. Pearce M, Garcia L, Abbas A, Strain T, Schuch FB, Golubic R, et al. Association Between Physical Activity and Risk of Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2022;79(6):550-9. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0609. PubMed PMID: 35416941; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9008579.

7. Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, et al. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2020-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.14854. PubMed PMID: 30418471; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9582631.

8. Powell KE, Paluch AE, Blair SN. Physical activity for health: What kind? How much? How intense? On top of what? Annu Rev Public Health. 2011;32:349-65. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031210-101151. PubMed PMID: 21128761.

9. Morris JN, Hardman AE. Walking to health. Sports Med. 1997;23(5):306-32. doi: 10.2165/00007256-199723050-00004. PubMed PMID: 9181668.

10. Ogilvie D, Foster CE, Rothnie H, Cavill N, Hamilton V, Fitzsimons CF, et al. Interventions to promote walking: systematic review. BMJ. 2007;334(7605):1204. Epub 20070531. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39198.722720.BE. PubMed PMID: 17540909; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1889976.

11. National Heart L, and Blood Institute. Tips for Getting Active 2013 [cited 2023 05/11]. Available from: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/wecan/get-active/getting-active.htm.

12. HATANO Y. Use of the pedometer for promoting daily walking exercise. ICHPER J. 1993;29:4-8.

13. Eyler AA, Brownson RC, Bacak SJ, Housemann RA. The epidemiology of walking for physical activity in the United States. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003;35(9):1529-36. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000084622.39122.0C. PubMed PMID: 12972873.

14. Tudor-Locke C, Bassett DR, Jr. How many steps/day are enough? Preliminary pedometer indices for public health. Sports Med. 2004;34(1):1-8. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200434010-00001. PubMed PMID: 14715035.

15. Paluch AE, Bajpai S, Bassett DR, Carnethon MR, Ekelund U, Evenson KR, et al. Daily steps and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis of 15 international cohorts. Lancet Public Health. 2022;7(3):e219-e28. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00302-9. PubMed PMID: 35247352; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9289978.

16. Amagasa S, Machida M, Fukushima N, Kikuchi H, Takamiya T, Odagiri Y, et al. Is objectively measured light-intensity physical activity associated with health outcomes after adjustment for moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in adults? A systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):65. Epub 20180709. doi: 10.1186/s12966-018-0695-z. PubMed PMID: 29986718; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6038338.

17. Brailey G, Metcalf B, Lear R, Price L, Cumming S, Stiles V. A comparison of the associations between bone health and three different intensities of accelerometer-

derived habitual physical activity in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Osteoporos Int. 2022;33(6):1191-222. Epub 20220128. doi: 10.1007/s00198-021-06218-5. PubMed PMID: 35089364; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9106641.

18. Lu Y, Wiltshire HD, Baker JS, Wang Q, Ying S, Li J, et al. Objectively determined physical activity and adiposity measures in adult women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Physiol. 2022;13:935892. Epub 20220823. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.935892. PubMed PMID: 36082217; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9445154.

19. Aqeel M, Guo J, Lin L, Gelfand S, Delp E, Bhadra A, et al. Temporal physical activity patterns are associated with obesity in U.S. adults. Prev Med. 2021;148:106538. Epub 20210330. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106538. PubMed PMID: 33798532; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8489165.

20. Niemela M, Kangas M, Farrahi V, Kiviniemi A, Leinonen AM, Ahola R, et al. Intensity and temporal patterns of physical activity and cardiovascular disease risk in midlife. Prev Med. 2019;124:33-41. Epub 20190430. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.04.023. PubMed PMID: 31051183.

21. Smagula SF, Boudreau RM, Stone K, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Bromberger JT, Ancoli-Israel S, et al. Latent activity rhythm disturbance sub-groups and longitudinal change in depression symptoms among older men. Chronobiol Int. 2015;32(10):1427-37. Epub 20151123. doi: 10.3109/07420528.2015.1102925. PubMed PMID: 26594893; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4729211.

22. Smagula SF, Krafty RT, Thayer JF, Buysse DJ, Hall MH. Rest-activity rhythm profiles associated with manic-hypomanic and depressive symptoms. J Psychiatr

Res. 2018;102:238-44. Epub 20180421. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.04.015. PubMed PMID: 29705489; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6005763.

23. Smagula SF, Zhang G, Gujral S, Covassin N, Li J, Taylor WD, et al. Association of 24-Hour Activity Pattern Phenotypes With Depression Symptoms and Cognitive Performance in Aging. JAMA Psychiatry. 2022;79(10):1023-31. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.2573. PubMed PMID: 36044201; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9434485.

24. Northern Finland Birth Cohorts 1996,1986 [cited 2023 05/11]. Available from: https://www.oulu.fi/en/university/faculties-and-units/faculty-medicine/northern-finland-birth-cohorts-and-arctic-biobank.

25. Creasy SA, Hibbing PR, Cotton E, Lyden K, Ostendorf DM, Willis EA, et al. Temporal patterns of physical activity in successful weight loss maintainers. Int J Obes (Lond). 2021;45(9):2074-82. Epub 20210614. doi: 10.1038/s41366-021-00877-4. PubMed PMID: 34127805; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8388061.

26. De Baere S, Lefevre J, De Martelaer K, Philippaerts R, Seghers J. Temporal patterns of physical activity and sedentary behavior in 10-14 year-old children on weekdays. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:791. Epub 20150819. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-2093-7. PubMed PMID: 26285826; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4545696.

27. Hallman DM, Mathiassen SE, Gupta N, Korshoj M, Holtermann A. Differences between work and leisure in temporal patterns of objectively measured physical activity among blue-collar workers. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:976. Epub

20150928. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-2339-4. PubMed PMID: 26415931; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4587719.

28. Greenland S. Avoiding power loss associated with categorization and ordinal scores in dose-response and trend analysis. Epidemiology. 1995;6(4):450-4. doi: 10.1097/00001648-199507000-00025. PubMed PMID: 7548361.

29. Bennette C, Vickers A. Against quantiles: categorization of continuous variables in epidemiologic research, and its discontents. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2012;12:21. Epub 20120229. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-12-21. PubMed PMID: 22375553; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3353173.

30. Jones PR, Ekelund U. Physical Activity in the Prevention of Weight Gain: the Impact of Measurement and Interpretation of Associations. Curr Obes Rep. 2019;8(2):66-76. doi: 10.1007/s13679-019-00337-1. PubMed PMID: 30905041.

31. Guo H, Niu K, Monma H, Kobayashi Y, Guan L, Sato M, et al. Association of Japanese dietary pattern with serum adiponectin concentration in Japanese adult men. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2012;22(3):277-84. Epub 20100928. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2010.06.006. PubMed PMID: 20880683.

32. Hart TL, Swartz AM, Cashin SE, Strath SJ. How many days of monitoring predict physical activity and sedentary behaviour in older adults? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2011;8:62. Epub 20110616. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-8-62. PubMed PMID: 21679426; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3130631.

33. Tavenard R, Faouzi J, Vandewiele G, Divo F, Androz G, Holtz C, et al. Tslearn, A Machine Learning Toolkit for Time Series Data. J Mach Learn Res. 2020;21. PubMed PMID: WOS:000546631900001.

# Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Image of the hip-worn triaxial accelerometer.**

**S2 Fig. Scale effect simulation for step-counting patterns on the number of days.** Temporal step-counting pattern for 24 hours in data with a different number of days. (A) Simulation for 3 to 9 days. (B) Simulation for 12 to 18 days. Upper panels: All traces of step-counting activity in each pattern. Lower panels: Averaged traces (mean ± SD) of step-counting activity in each pattern. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

**S3 Fig. Scale effect simulation for step-counting patterns on the number of participants.** Temporal step-counting pattern for 24 hours in data with different numbers of participants. Upper panels: All traces of step-counting activity in each pattern. Lower panels: Averaged traces (mean ± SD) of step-counting activity in each pattern. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

**S4 Fig. Scale effect simulation for step behaviors on the number of days.** Step behavior clusters from data with different numbers of days. (A) Simulation for 3 to 9 days. (B) Simulation for 12 to 18 days. Upper panels: All traces of step-counting activity in each pattern. Lower panels: Averaged traces (mean) of step-counting activity in each pattern. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

**S5 Fig. Scale effect simulation for step behaviors on the number of participants.** Step behavior clusters from data with different numbers of participants. Upper panels: All traces of step-counting activity in each pattern. Lower panels: Averaged traces (mean) of

step-counting activity in each pattern. AD, all-day; BP, bi-phasic; M, morning; E, evening; IM, irregular morning; IN, irregular night.

**S1 Table. P values for difference of proportion between step-counting patterns (Pearson Chi-square test).**

**S2 Table. P values for difference of step count amount between step-counting patterns (Tukey HSD).**

**S3 Table. P values for difference of duration between step-counting patterns (Tukey HSD).**

**S4 Table. Number of participants and step count amount for each daily step behaviors.**

**S5 Table. P values for difference of poroportion of step-conuting patterns in high activity group (Pearson Chi-square test).**

**S6 Table. S6 Table. P values for difference of proportion of step-counting patterns in medium activity group (Pearson Chi-square test).**

**S7 Table. P values for difference of proportion of step-counting patterns in low activity group (Pearson Chi-square test).**

**S8 Table. P values for difference of proportion of step-counting patterns between tertile groups (Pearson Chi-square test).**


A
AD
BP
M
E
IM
IN
Normalized step
Hour of day
B
AD
BP
M
E
IM
IN
Normalized step
Hour of day

Figure 1


A
AD dominant
AD+BP
BP dominant
AD+E
M dominant
AD
BP
M
E
IM
IN
B
AD dominant
AD+BP
BP dominant
AD+E
M dominant
AD
BP
M
E
IM
IN

Figure 2


A
Step-counting patterns
6
5
4
3
2
3
6
9
12
15
18
Days
B
Step behaviors
6
5
4
3
2
3
6
9
12
15
18
Days
C
Step-counting patterns
6
5
4
3
2
10
20
30
Participants
D
Step behaviors
6
5
4
3
2
10
20
30
Participants

Figure 3