1

Early acquisition of S-specific Tfh clonotypes after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination is associated with the longevity of anti-S antibodies

Xiuyuan Lu[1, ¶], Hiroki Hayashi[2, ¶], Eri Ishikawa[1, 3, ¶], Yukiko Takeuchi[1], Julian Vincent

Tabora Dychiao[1], Hironori Nakagami[2, *] and Sho Yamasaki[1, 3, 4, *]

[1] Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Immunology Frontier Research Center, Osaka

University, Suita, Osaka, Japan

[2] Department of Health Development and Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of

Medicine, Suita, Osaka, Japan

[3] Department of Molecular Immunology, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka

University, Suita, Osaka, Japan

[4] Center for Infectious Disease Education and Research (CiDER), Osaka University, Suita,

Osaka, Japan

¶These three authors contributed equally to this work.

* Co-corresponding authors

Sho Yamasaki: yamasaki@biken.osaka-u.ac.jp

Hironori Nakagami: nakagami@gts.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have been used worldwide to combat COVID-19 pandemic. To elucidate the factors that determine the longevity of spike (S)-specific antibodies, we traced the characteristics of S-specific T cell clonotypes together with their epitopes and anti-S antibody titers before and after BNT162b2 vaccination over time. T cell receptor (TCR) $\alpha\beta$ sequences and mRNA expression of the S-responded T cells were investigated using single-cell TCR- and RNA-sequencing. Highly expanded 199 TCR clonotypes upon stimulation with S peptide pools were reconstituted into a reporter T cell line for the determination of epitopes and restricting HLAs. Among them, we could determine 78 S epitopes, most of which were conserved in variants of concern (VOCs). After the 2nd vaccination, T cell clonotypes highly responsive to recall S stimulation were polarized to follicular helper T (Tfh)-like cells in donors exhibiting sustained anti-S antibody titers (designated as "sustainers"), but not in "decliners". Even before vaccination, S-reactive CD4$^+$ T cell clonotypes did exist, most of which cross-reacted with environmental or symbiotic bacteria. However, these clonotypes contracted after vaccination. Conversely, S-reactive clonotypes dominated after vaccination were undetectable in pre-vaccinated T cell pool, suggesting that highly-responding S-reactive T cells were established by vaccination from rare clonotypes. These results suggest that *de novo* acquisition of memory Tfh-like cells upon vaccination may contribute to the longevity of anti-S antibody titers.

Introduction

The pandemic COVID-19, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has expanded worldwide [1]. Many types of vaccines have been developed or in basic and clinical phases to combat infection and deterioration of COVID-19 [2,3]. Among them, messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) vaccines, BNT162b2/Comirnaty and mRNA-1273/Spikevax, have been approved with over 90% efficacy at 2 months post-2nd dose vaccination [4,5], and widely used. Pathogen-specific antibodies are one of the most efficient components to prevent infection. Yet, mRNA vaccine-induced serum antibody titer is known to be waning over 6 months [6,7]. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the vaccines decreases over time, and thus multiple doses and repeated boosters are necessary [8].

The production and sustainability of spike (S)-specific antibody could be related to multiple factors, especially in the case of humans [7,9]. Among them, the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells are critically involved in the affinity and longevity of the antibodies [10–12]. Elucidation of the key factors of T cell responses that contribute to the durable immune responses induced by vaccination would provide valuable information for the vaccine development in the future. However, the relationship between antibody sustainability and the types of antigen-specific T cells has not been investigated in a clonotype resolution.

Recent studies reported that S-reactive T cells pre-existed before exposure to SARS-CoV-2 [13–17]. Common cold human coronaviruses (HCoVs) including strains 229E, NL63, OC43, and HKU1 are considered major cross-reactive antigens that primed these pre-existing T cells [15,18–20], while bacterial cross-reactive antigens were also reported [21,22]. However, the functional relevance of cross-reactive T cells during infection or vaccination is still in debate.

In this study, both humoral and cellular immune responses were evaluated at 3, 6 and 24 weeks after BNT162b2/Comirnaty vaccination. S-specific T cells before and after

67   vaccination were analyzed on clonotype level using single cell-based T cell receptor (TCR)

68   and RNA sequencing to determine their characteristics and epitopes in antibody sustainers and

69   decliners. These analyses suggest the importance of early acquisition of S-specific Tfh cells in

70   the longevity of antibodies.

Results

SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine elicits transient humoral immunity

Blood samples were collected from a total of 43 individuals (Table 1) who had no SARS-CoV-2 infection history when they received two doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2. Samples were taken before and after the vaccination (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the previous report [4], most participants exhibited more severe side effects after 2nd dose of vaccination than 1st dose locally (Table 2) and systemically (Table 3). At 3 weeks, anti-S IgG antibody titer increased in most participants. At 6 weeks, anti-S antibody titer was at its peak. S antibody titer gradually decreased over 24 weeks (Fig. 1B). The antibody titer was reduced by 56.8% on average. Donors of different genders or age groups showed no significant difference in anti-S antibody titer (Fig. S1). The neutralization activity of the post-vaccinated sera showed similar tendency with the anti-S antibody titer during the study period (Fig. 1C). The above results indicate that the mRNA vaccine effectively activated humoral immune responses in healthy individuals, but decreased by 24 weeks over time as reported [6,7].

Antibody sustainers had highly expanded S-reactive Tfh clonotypes

To address the role of T cells in maintaining the antibody titer, we analyzed the S-responsive T cells in the post-vaccination samples from 8 donors, among whom 4 donors showed relatively sustained anti-S antibody titer during 6 weeks to 24 weeks (reduction < 30%) (sustainers, donors #8, #25, #27 and #28), while the other 4 donors showed largely declined anti-S antibody titer (reduction > 80%) (decliners, donors #4, #13, #15 and #17) (Fig. 2A and Fig. S2A). The possibility of SARS-CoV-2 infection of sustainers was ruled out by analyzing anti-nucleocapsid protein (N) antibody titer in the sera samples at 24 weeks (Fig. S2B). Antibody sustainability did not correlate with bulk T cell responses to S protein, such as IFNγ production (Fig. S2C).

To enrich the S-reactive T cells, we labeled the peripheral blood mononuclear cells

(PBMCs) with a cell proliferation tracer and stimulated the PBMCs with an S peptide pool for

10 days. Proliferated T cells were sorted and analyzed by single-cell TCR- and RNA-

sequencing (scTCR/RNA-seq). Clustering analysis was done with pooled samples of 3 time

points from 8 donors, and various T cell subtypes were identified (Fig. 2B). We found that,

overall, the S-reactive T cells did not skew to any particular T cell subset (Fig. 2B). However,

by grouping the cells from decliners and sustainers separately, we found difference in the

frequency of the cells within the circled population (Fig. 2C), and overall, the sustainer

individuals had more cells in this region (Fig. S3). These cells showed high Tfh signature scores

and expressed characteristic genes of Tfh cells (Fig. 2D). This tendency became more

pronounced when we selected highly expanded (top 16) clonotypes in each donor (Fig. 2E). In

sustainers, S-specific Tfh clusters appeared from 6 weeks (Fig. 2F), suggesting that vaccine-

induced Tfh-like cells that have potency of deriving to Tfh cells were established immediately

after 2nd vaccination.

Identification of dominant S epitopes recognized by vaccine-induced T cell clonotypes

To elucidate the epitopes of the highly expanded clonotypes, we reconstituted their

TCRs into a T cell hybridoma lacking endogenous TCRs and having an NFAT-GFP reporter

gene. These cell lines were stimulated with S peptides using transformed autologous B cells as

antigen-presenting cells (APCs). The epitopes of 53 out of 128 reconstituted clonotypes were

successfully determined (Fig. 3, Table 4, Figs. S4A–S4D). Epitopes of expanded Tfh cells were

not limited in any particular region of S protein (Fig. 3). About 72% of these epitopes conserved

in Delta and Omicron variants (Tables 4 and 5). Within the rest of 28% of epitopes which were

mutated in variants of concern (VOCs), although some mutated epitopes located in the

receptor-binding domain (RBD) of VOCs lost antigenicity, recognition of most epitopes

outside the RBD region was maintained or rather increased in the variants (Table 5 and Figs.

S4E and S4F). These results suggest that the majority of S-reactive clonotypes after vaccination

can respond to antibody-escaping VOCs.

Identification of S epitopes and cross-reactive antigens of pre-existing T cell clonotypes

Before the pandemic, T cells cross-reacting to S antigen were present in the peripheral

blood [13–17]. To characterize these pre-existing S-reactive cells, we analyzed the PBMCs

collected from donors who consented to blood sample donation before vaccination (#4, #8, #13,

#15, and #17). PBMCs were stimulated with the S peptide pool for 10 days, and proliferated T

cells were sorted and analyzed by scTCR/RNA-seq. Similar to vaccine-induced S-reactive T

cells (Fig. 2B), characteristics of pre-existing S-reactive T cells were diverse (Fig. 4A). To

track the dynamics of cross-reactive clones after vaccination, we combined the single-cell

sequencing data of pre- and post-vaccinated PBMCs and analyzed the clonotypes that have

more than 50 cells in total (Fig. 4B). We did find some cross-reactive clonotypes that were

further expanded by vaccination, and most of these clonotypes had cytotoxic features, being

CD8$^+$ effector memory T cells (Tem) or minor CD4$^+$ cytotoxic T cells (CTLs). In contrast,

most of the cross-reactive CD4$^+$ T cells became minor clonotypes after vaccination.

We also explored the epitopes of the top 16 expanded clonotypes in each pre-vaccinated

donor by reconstituting the TCRs into reporter cell lines. We identified 18 epitopes from S

protein and determined some possible cross-reactive antigens (Fig. 5, Table 6, Fig. S5). Most

of these cross-reactive antigens originated from environmental or symbiotic microbes (Table

6). Furthermore, majority of the reactive T clonotypes showed regulatory T cell (Treg)

signatures (Fig. 5). Six of these 80 analyzed clonotypes could also be frequently detected in

the public TCR database Adaptive [23,24]. Notably, most of these clonotypes, except for one

case, showed comparable frequencies between pre-pandemic healthy donors and COVID-19

convalescent patients (Fig. 6), suggesting that these clonotypes did not expand upon SARS-

CoV-2 infection, despite they were present before the pandemic. Thus, it is unlikely that these

cross-reactive T clonotypes contribute to the establishment of S-reactive T cell pools during

either vaccination or infection.

Discussion

Previous studies showed that Tfh function and germinal center development were

impaired in deceased COVID-19 patients [25] and Tfh cell number correlated with neutralizing

antibody [26–28]. Consistent with the above studies, we found that the donors having sustained

antibody titers between 6 to 24 weeks post-vaccination had more S antigen-responsive Tfh-

like clonotypes maintained in the periphery as a memory pool. As circulating Tfh clonotypes

can reflect the population of germinal center Tfh cells [29], it is possible that these maintained

S-responsive Tfh cells contribute to the prolonged production of anti-S antibodies. These

results imply that Tfh polarization of S-reactive T cells in the blood after 2nd vaccination can

be a marker for the longevity of serum anti-S antibodies. Although monitoring of S-specific

Tfh cells in germinal center is ideal [30], it is currently difficult for outpatients in clinics.

Since the antigen used for BNT162b2 is a full-length S protein from the Wuhan-Hu-1

strain, it is important to estimate whether vaccine-induced Wuhan S-reactive T cells recognize

neutralizing antibody-evading VOCs, such as Omicron variants. To investigate the dominant

T cell epitopes of vaccine-developed T cells, we utilized a proliferation-based sorting strategy

to enrich the S-responsive T cells. The limitation of this strategy is that a 10-day stimulation

would change the transcriptional profile and repertoire of T cells. However, this strategy

allowed us to select the T cell clonotypes that vigorously responded to the S antigen stimulation,

while weakly responsive cells and anergic cells will be less considered, which is exactly in line

with our purpose. Consistent with previous reports [31–33], most of the epitopes determined

in the current study were conserved in Delta and Omicron (BA.1, BA.2 and BA.4/5) strains,

suggesting that vaccine-induced T cells are able to recognize the mutated S proteins from these

variants, despite the B epitopes being largely mutated in these VOCs [31,32].

SARS-CoV-2-recognizing T cells existed prior to exposure to the S antigens [13–17],

which is consistent with our observation with PBMCs from donors who were uninfected and

pre-vaccinated. Among these pre-existing S-reactive clonotypes, CD8$^+$ cytotoxic T clonotypes

were expanded by the vaccination, whereas most CD4$^+$ T clonotypes became less dominant

after vaccination (Fig. 4B). Currently, the reason for the opposite tendency is unclear. In the

present study, we showed that pre-existing T clonotypes cross-reacting to S protein are unlikely

to contribute to vaccine-driven T cell immunity. This could be due to the fact that cross-reactive

T cells had relatively low avidity to S protein [34]. Alternatively, but not mutually exclusively,

considering that most of these cross-reactive T clonotypes have Treg signature (Fig. 5), they

could be developed to tolerate symbiotic or environmental antigens, and might be ineffective

to the defense against SARS-CoV-2 and thus replaced by the other effective T clonotypes

induced by vaccination. One exceptional pre-existing clonotype was #15-Pre_2, as they

vigorously expanded in COVID-19 patients (Fig. 6). This clonotype was clustered within a

CD4$^+$ Tem population and cross-reactive to environmental bacteria, *Myxococcales bacterium*

(Table 6). Thus, in some particular settings, clonotypes primed by common bacterial antigens

might potentially contribute during infection.

Common cold human coronavirus (HCoV)-derived S proteins are reported as potential

cross-reactive antigens for pre-existing SARS-CoV-2 S-reactive T cells [15,18–20]. However,

the highly responding SARS-CoV-2 S-reactive clonotypes in pre-vaccinated donors did not

react with HCoV S proteins in the present study (Fig. S6), which might be partly due to the

difference of cohorts or ethnicities. Instead, most of those T cells cross-reacted with

environmental or symbiotic bacteria. These observations suggest that these cross-reactive T

cells might have been developed to establish tolerance against less harmful microbes, and thus

unlikely to efficiently contribute to the protective viral immunity. Vaccination may induce

opposite tendencies on T cell clonotypes that recognize the same antigen [35], which is hardly

detected by the bulk T cell analyses. The current study highlights the necessity of dynamic

tracing of T cell responses in an epitope-specific clonotype resolution for the evaluation of

vaccine-induced immunity.

The limitation of this study is the number of individuals we analyzed. However,

chronological and clonological analysis of antigen-specific T cells in characteristic groups

followed by epitope determination has not been performed before. This study suggests that

mRNA vaccine is potent enough to prime rare T cell clonotypes that become dominant

afterwards. Furthermore, we propose that the types of $CD4^+$ T clonotypes developed shortly

after two doses of vaccination could be an indication of the longevity of antibodies in the

following months. Tfh-inducing adjuvants or Tfh-skewing epitope would be a promising

"directional" booster in the post-vaccine era when most people worldwide were exposed to the

same antigen in multiple doses within a short period. Furthermore, in addition to SARS-CoV-

2, this strategy can also be applicable for the prevention of other infectious diseases of which

neutralizing antibody titers are effective for protection.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement and sample collection

This project was approved by Osaka University Institutional Review Board (IRB) (reference No. 21487). 43 volunteers were enrolled in this project. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the first blood sampling. Samples (serum, whole blood, and PBMCs) were collected four times at 0–7 days before 1st dose vaccination as pre-vaccination, at 14–21 days after 1st dose vaccination as 3 weeks sample, at 35–49 days after 1st dose vaccination as 6 weeks sample, and at 154–182 days after 1st dose of vaccination as 24 weeks sample. At the same time of blood sampling, adverse event information was also collected from all participants. PBMCs were isolated using BD vacutainer® CPT™ cell separation tube (Beckton Dickinson), according to manufacturers' instructions. Isolated PBMCs were stored in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen until use.

Antibody titer determination by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Serum antibody titer was measured using ELISA. Briefly, recombinant ancestral S protein (S1+S2, Cell Signaling Technology; 1 µg/ml) or recombinant nucleocapsid protein (Acrobiosystems; 1 µg/ml) was coated on 96-well plate at 4 °C overnight. On the second day, wells were blocked with blocking buffer (PBS-T (0.05% tween®20) containing 5% skim milk) for 2 h at room temperature. The sera were diluted from 10 to 31,250 folds in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The next day, wells were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (GE Healthcare) for 3 h at room temperature. After being washed with PBS-T, wells were incubated with the peroxidase chromogenic substrate 3,3'-5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at room temperature, then the reaction was stopped by 0.5 N sulfuric acid (Sigma Aldrich). The absorbance of wells was immediately measured at 450 nm with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad).

The value of the half-maximal antibody titer of each sample was calculated from the highest

absorbance in the dilution range by using Prism 8 software. The calculated antibody titer was

converted to BAU/ml by using WHO International Standard 20/136 (NIBSC) for ancestral S-

specific antibody titer.

Whole blood interferon-gamma release immune assay (IGRA) for SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell

responses using QuantiFERON

SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell immune responses were evaluated by QuantiFERON SARS-

CoV-2 (Qiagen) [36], according to manufacturer's instructions, in which $CD4^+$ T cells were

activated by epitopes coated on Ag1 tube, and $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells were activated by

epitopes coated on Ag2 tube. Briefly, 1 ml of whole blood sample with heparin is added into

each of Nil (negative control), Mito (positive control), Ag1, and Ag2 tubes, and incubated at

37 °C for 22–24 h. Tubes were then centrifuged at $3{,}000 \times g$ for 15 min for collecting plasma

samples. IFNγ derived from activated T cells was measured with enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Qiangen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. IFNγ

concentration (IU/ml) was calculated with background (Nil tube) subtracted from values of

Ag1 or Ag2 tubes.

Pseudo-typed virus neutralization assay

The neutralizing activity of serum antibodies was analyzed with pseudo-typed VSVs as

previously described [37]. Briefly, Vero E6 cells stably expressing TMPRSS2 were seeded on

96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Pseudoviruses were incubated with a series of

dilutions of inactivated serum for 1 h at 37 °C, then added to Vero E6 cells. At 24 h after

infection, cells were lysed with cell culture lysis reagent (Promega), and luciferase activity was

measured by Centro XS^3 LB 960 (Berthold).

In vitro stimulation of PBMCs

Cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed and washed with warm RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 5% human AB serum (GeminiBio), Penicillin (Sigma), streptomycin (MP Biomedicals), and 2-mercaptoethanol (Nacalai Tesque). PBMCs were labeled with Cell Proliferation Kit (CellTrace™ Violet, ThermoFisher) following the manufacturer's protocol and were stimulated in the same medium with S peptide pool (1 μg/ml per peptide, JPT) for 10 days, with human recombinant IL-2 (1 ng/ml, Peprotech), IL-7 (5 ng/ml, BioLegend) and IL-15 (5 ng/ml, Peprotech) supplemented on day 2, day 5 and day 8 of the culture. On day 10 cells were washed and stained with anti-human CD3 and TotalSeq-C Hashtags antibodies. Proliferated T cells (CD3$^+$CTV^{low}) were sorted by cell sorter SH800S (SONY) and used for single-cell TCR and RNA sequencing analyses.

Single cell-based transcriptome and TCR repertoire analysis

Single cell library was prepared using the reagents from 10x Genomics following the manufacturer's instructions. After reverse transcription, cDNA was amplified for 14 cycles, and up to 50 ng of cDNA was used for construction of gene expression and TCR libraries. Libraries were sequenced in paired-end mode, and the raw reads were processed by Cell Ranger 6.0.0 (10x Genomics). Distribution of the mitochondrial gene percentage, n_counts and n_genes were fitted with a one-variable, two-component mixed Gaussian model using the Python package scikit-learn [38] and divided into two distributions corresponding to high and low levels, respectively. The cutting threshold values were the middle value of the means of the two fitted Gaussian distributions. A package call Scrublet was also applied [39], and the events whose main hashtag reads are less than 95% of the total hashtag reads were gated out before the UMAP plots were exported using BBrowser [40]. Tfh signature score was generated

using canonical Tfh marker genes (*IL21*, *ICOS*, *CD200*, *PDCD1*, *POU2AF1*, *BTLA*, *CXCR5,*

and *CXCL13*). Other cell populations were annotated using the following markers: Treg,

CD4$^+$FOXP3$^+$; CD4T, *CD3E$^+$CD4$^+$*; CD8T, *CD3E$^+$CD8A$^+$*; central memory (cm) cells,

SELL(CD62L)^{hi} cells although sometimes *CCR7* expression is vague; effector memory (em)

cells, *SELL$^{low/-}$CCR7$^-$* and *IFNG*-expressing cells containing populations; naïve cells,

CCR7$^+$TCF7$^+$; cycling cells, *MKI67^{hi}*; γδT, *TRDC$^+$*; B cells, *CD19$^+$*; Monocyte, *CD14$^+$*; MAIT,

CD3E$^+$KLRB1$^+$IL18R1$^+$; Tfr, *FOXP3$^+$NRN1$^+$* in cells with high Tfh score; CD4-CTL, *GZMB$^+$*

in CD4T cells [14,41–43].

Reporter cell establishment and stimulation

TCRα and β chain cDNA sequences were introduced into a mouse T cell hybridoma lacking

TCR and having a nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT)-green fluorescent protein (GFP)

reporter gene [44] using retroviral vectors. TCR-reconstituted cells were co-cultured with 1

μg/ml of peptides in the presence of antigen-presenting cells (APCs). After 20 h, cell activation

was assessed by GFP and CD69 expression.

Antigen-presenting cells

Transformed B cells and HLA-transfected HEK293T cells used as APCs were generated as

described [21]. For transformed B cells, 3×10^5 PBMCs were incubated with the recombinant

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) suspension [45] for 1 h at 37°C with mild shaking every 15 min. The

infected cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum

(FBS, CAPRICORN SCIENTIFIC GmbH) containing cyclosporine A (CsA, 0.1 μg/ml,

Cayman Chemical). Immortalized B lymphoblastoid cell lines were obtained after 3 weeks of

culture and used as APCs. For HLA-transfected HEK293T cells, plasmids encoding HLA class

I/II alleles [46] were transfected in HEK293T cells with PEI MAX (Polysciences).

Determination of epitopes and restricting HLA

15-mer peptides with 11 amino acids overlap that cover the full length of S protein of SARS-CoV-2 were synthesized (GenScript). Peptides were dissolved in DMSO at 12 mg/ml and 12-15 peptides were mixed to create 26 different semi-pools. TCR-reconstituted reporter cells were stimulated with 1 µg/ml of S peptide pool (1 µg/ml per peptide, JPT), then 36-peptide pools that consist of 3 semi-pools each, then semi-pools, and then 12 individual peptides in the presence of autologous B cells to identify epitope peptides. To determine the restricting HLA, HLAs were narrowed down by co-culturing reporter cells with autologous and various heterologous B cells in the presence of 1 µg/ml of the epitope peptide. HLAs shared by activatable B cells were transduced in HEK239T cells and used for further co-culture to identify the restricting HLA.

Statistics

All values with error bars are presented as the mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Turkey's post hoc multiple comparison test was used to assess significant differences in each experiment using Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software). Differences were considered to be significant when P value was less than 0.05. P values in Fig. 6 were calculated with t-test using the "stat_compare_means" function in R.

331 **Data availability**

332 Single cell-based transcriptome data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

333 datasets (accession number GSE246535). Other data needed to support the conclusion of this

334 manuscript are included in the main text and Supplemental information.

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Iwai, Y. Sakai, C. Günther, J. Sun, and Y. Yanagida for experimental support, D. Motooka, D. Okuzaki, and YC. Liu for bioinformatic data analysis and C. Schutt and Y. Yamagishi for discussion. This research was supported by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (JP223fa627002, JP223fa727001, JP23ym0126049 (SY), JP21ym0126049 (HN)) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (JP20H00505, JP22H05182, JP22H05183 (SY)). The Department of Health Development and Medicine is an endowed department supported by AnGes, Daicel, and FunPep.

References

343
344
345   1.   Hu B, Guo H, Zhou P, Shi ZL. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19.
346   Nature Reviews Microbiology 2020 19:3. 2021;19: 141–154. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-
347   00459-7
348   2.   Krammer F. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in development. Nature. 2020;586: 516–527.
349   doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2798-3
350   3.   Creech CB, Walker SC, Samuels RJ. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. JAMA. 2021;325:
351   1318–1320. doi:10.1001/JAMA.2021.3199
352   4.   Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and
353   Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. New England Journal of
354   Medicine. 2020;383: 2603–2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA2034577
355   5.   Baden LR, el Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and
356   Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine.
357   2021;384: 403–416. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2035389
358   6.   Pegu A, O'Connell SE, Schmidt SD, O'Dell S, Talana CA, Lai L, et al. Durability of
359   mRNA-1273 vaccine-induced antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 variants. Science
360   (1979). 2021;373: 1372–1377. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.ABJ4176
361   7.   Levin EG, Lustig Y, Cohen C, Fluss R, Indenbaum V, Amit S, et al. Waning Immune
362   Humoral Response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine over 6 Months. New England
363   Journal of Medicine. 2021;385: e84. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA2114583
364   8.   Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Covid-19
365   Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant. New England Journal
366   of Medicine. 2022;386: 1532–1546. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2119451
367   9.   Collier DA, de Marco A, Ferreira IATM, Meng B, Datir RP, Walls AC, et al.
368   Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies. Gabriela
369   Barcenas-Morales. 2021;593: 136. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03412-7
370   10.   Nelson RW, Chen Y, Venezia OL, Majerus RM, Shin DS, Carrington MN, et al.
371   SARS-CoV-2 epitope–specific CD4+ memory T cell responses across COVID-19
372   disease severity and antibody durability. Sci Immunol. 2022;7: 9464.
373   doi:10.1126/SCIIMMUNOL.ABL9464
374   11.   Terahara K, Sato T, Adachi Y, Tonouchi K, Onodera T, Moriyama S, et al. SARS-
375   CoV-2-specific CD4+ T cell longevity correlates with Th17-like phenotype. iScience.
376   2022;25: 104959. doi:10.1016/J.ISCI.2022.104959
377   12.   Crotty S. T Follicular Helper Cell Biology: A Decade of Discovery and Diseases.
378   Immunity. 2019;50: 1132–1148. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.04.011
379   13.   Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, Mateus J, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, et al.
380   Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19
381   Disease and Unexposed Individuals. Cell. 2020;181: 1489-1501.e15.
382   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.015
383   14.   Meckiff BJ, Ramírez-Suástegui C, Fajardo V, Chee SJ, Kusnadi A, Simon H, et al.
384   Imbalance of Regulatory and Cytotoxic SARS-CoV-2-Reactive CD4+ T Cells in
385   COVID-19. Cell. 2020; 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.001
386   15.   Mateus J, Grifoni A, Tarke A, Sidney J, Ramirez SI, Dan JM, et al. Selective and
387   cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. Science (1979).
388   2020;370: 89–94. doi:10.1126/science.abd3871
389   16.   Sekine T, Perez-Potti A, Rivera-Ballesteros O, Strålin K, Gorin JB, Olsson A, et al.
390   Robust T Cell Immunity in Convalescent Individuals with Asymptomatic or Mild
391   COVID-19. Cell. 2020;183: 158-168.e14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.017

17. le Bert N, Tan AT, Kunasegaran K, Tham CYL, Hafezi M, Chia A, et al. SARS-CoV-
2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls.
Nature. 2020;584: 457–462. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2550-z

18. Loyal L, Braun J, Henze L, Kruse B, Dingeldey M, Reimer U, et al. Cross-reactive
CD4+ T cells enhance SARS-CoV-2 immune responses upon infection and
vaccination. Science (1979). 2021;374. doi:10.1126/science.abh1823

19. Low JS, Vaqueirinho D, Mele F, Foglierini M, Jerak J, Perotti M, et al. Clonal analysis
of immunodominance and crossreactivity of the CD4 T cell response to SARS-CoV-2.
Science (1979). 2021;372: 1336–1341. doi:10.1126/science.abg8985

20. Becerra-Artiles A, Calvo-Calle JM, Co MD, Nanaware PP, Cruz J, Weaver GC, et al.
Broadly recognized, cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 CD4 T cell epitopes are highly
conserved across human coronaviruses and presented by common HLA alleles. Cell
Rep. 2022;39: 110952. doi:10.1016/J.CELREP.2022.110952

21. Lu X, Hosono Y, Nagae M, Ishizuka S, Ishikawa E, Motooka D, et al. Identification of
conserved SARS-CoV-2 spike epitopes that expand public cTfh clonotypes in mild
COVID-19 patients. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2021;218.
doi:10.1084/jem.20211327

22. Bartolo L, Afroz S, Pan Y-G, Xu R, Williams L, Lin C-F, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific
T cells in unexposed adults display broad trafficking potential and cross-react with
commensal antigens. Sci Immunol. 2022;7. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abn3127

23. Emerson RO, DeWitt WS, Vignali M, Gravley J, Hu JK, Osborne EJ, et al.
Immunosequencing identifies signatures of cytomegalovirus exposure history and
HLA-mediated effects on the T cell repertoire. Nat Genet. 2017;49: 659–665.
doi:10.1038/ng.3822

24. Nolan S, Vignali M, Klinger M, Dines JN, Kaplan IM, Craft T, et al. A large-scale
database of T-cell receptor beta (TCR β) sequences and binding associations from
natural and synthetic exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Res Sq. 2020; 10.21203/RS.3.RS-
51964/V1. doi:10.21203/RS.3.RS-51964/V1

25. Kaneko N, Kuo HH, Boucau J, Farmer JR, Allard-Chamard H, Mahajan VS, et al.
Loss of Bcl-6-Expressing T Follicular Helper Cells and Germinal Centers in COVID-
19. Cell. 2020;183: 143-157.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.025

26. Gong F, Dai Y, Zheng T, Cheng L, Zhao D, Wang H, et al. Peripheral CD4+ T cell
subsets and antibody response in COVID-19 convalescent individuals. Journal of
Clinical Investigation. 2020;130: 6588–6599. doi:10.1172/JCI141054

27. Juno JA, Tan HX, Lee WS, Reynaldi A, Kelly HG, Wragg K, et al. Humoral and
circulating follicular helper T cell responses in recovered patients with COVID-19.
Nat Med. 2020;26: 1428–1434. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0995-0

28. Zhang J, Wu Q, Liu Z, Wang Q, Wu J, Hu Y, et al. Spike-specific circulating T
follicular helper cell and cross-neutralizing antibody responses in COVID-19-
convalescent individuals. Nature Microbiology 2020 6:1. 2021;6: 51–58.
doi:10.1038/s41564-020-00824-5

29. Brenna E, Davydov AN, Ladell K, McLaren JE, Bonaiuti P, Metsger M, et al. CD4 +
T Follicular Helper Cells in Human Tonsils and Blood Are Clonally Convergent but
Divergent from Non-Tfh CD4 + Cells. Cell Rep. 2020;30: 137-152.e5.
doi:10.1016/J.CELREP.2019.12.016

30. Mudd PA, Minervina AA, Pogorelyy M v., Turner JS, Kim W, Kalaidina E, et al.
SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination elicits a robust and persistent T follicular helper cell
response in humans. Cell. 2022;185: 603-613.e15. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2021.12.026

31. Tarke A, Coelho CH, Zhang Z, Dan JM, Yu ED, Methot N, et al. SARS-CoV-2
vaccination induces immunological T cell memory able to cross-recognize variants

from Alpha to Omicron. Cell. 2022;185: 847-859.e11.
doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2022.01.015

32. GeurtsvanKessel CH, Geers D, Schmitz KS, Mykytyn AZ, Lamers MM, Bogers S, et
al. Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Omicron–reactive T and B cell responses in COVID-19
vaccine recipients. Sci Immunol. 2022;7: eabo2202. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abo2202

33. Keeton R, Tincho MB, Ngomti A, Baguma R, Benede N, Suzuki A, et al. T cell
responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike cross-recognize Omicron. Nature. 2022;603: 488–
492. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04460-3

34. Bacher P, Rosati E, Esser D, Martini GR, Saggau C, Schiminsky E, et al. Low-Avidity
CD4+ T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 in Unexposed Individuals and Humans with
Severe COVID-19. Immunity. 2020;53: 1258-1271.e5.
doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.016

35. Aoki H, Kitabatake M, Abe H, Shichino S, Hara A, Ouji-Sageshima N, et al. T cell
responses induced by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination are associated with clonal
replacement. bioRxiv. 2022; 2022.08.27.504955. doi:10.1101/2022.08.27.504955

36. Jaganathan S, Stieber F, Rao SN, Nikolayevskyy V, Manissero D, Allen N, et al.
Preliminary Evaluation of QuantiFERON SARS-CoV-2 and QIAreach Anti-SARS-
CoV-2 Total Test in Recently Vaccinated Individuals. Infect Dis Ther. 2021;10: 2765–
2776. doi:10.1007/S40121-021-00521-8

37. Yoshida S, Ono C, Hayashi H, Fukumoto S, Shiraishi S, Tomono K, et al. SARS-
CoV-2-induced humoral immunity through B cell epitope analysis in COVID-19
infected individuals. Scientific Reports 2021 11:1. 2021;11: 1–13.
doi:10.1038/s41598-021-85202-9

38. Pedregosa FABIANPEDREGOSA F, Michel V, Grisel OLIVIERGRISEL O, Blondel
M, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, et al. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python Gaël
Varoquaux Bertrand Thirion Vincent Dubourg Alexandre Passos PEDREGOSA,
VAROQUAUX, GRAMFORT ET AL. Matthieu Perrot. Journal of Machine Learning
Research. 2011;12: 2825–2830. Available: http://scikit-learn.sourceforge.net.

39. Wolock SL, Lopez R, Klein AM. Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell
Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. Cell Syst. 2019;8: 281-291.e9.
doi:10.1016/J.CELS.2018.11.005

40. Le T, Phan T, Pham M, Tran D, Lam L, Nguyen T, et al. BBrowser: Making single-
cell data easily accessible. bioRxiv. 2020; 2020.12.11.414136.
doi:10.1101/2020.12.11.414136

41. Kaech SM, Wherry EJ, Ahmed R. Effector and memory T-cell differentiation:
implications for vaccine development. Nature Reviews Immunology 2002 2:4. 2002;2:
251–262. doi:10.1038/nri778

42. Sallusto F, Geginat J, Lanzavecchia A. Central Memory and Effector Memory T Cell
Subsets: Function, Generation, and Maintenance. Annu Rev Immunol. 2004;22: 745–
763. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV.IMMUNOL.22.012703.104702

43. Wang Y, Chen Z, Wang T, Guo H, Liu Y, Dang N, et al. A novel CD4+ CTL subtype
characterized by chemotaxis and inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of
Graves' orbitopathy. Cellular & Molecular Immunology 2021 18:3. 2021;18: 735–745.
doi:10.1038/s41423-020-00615-2

44. Matsumoto Y, Kishida K, Matsumoto M, Matsuoka S, Kohyama M, Suenaga T, et al.
A TCR-like antibody against a proinsulin-containing fusion peptide ameliorates type 1
diabetes in NOD mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2021;534: 680–686.
doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.11.019

45.   Kanda T, Miyata M, Kano M, Kondo S, Yoshizaki T, Iizasa H. Clustered MicroRNAs
of the Epstein-Barr Virus Cooperatively Downregulate an Epithelial Cell-Specific
Metastasis Suppressor. J Virol. 2015;89: 2684–2697. doi:10.1128/jvi.03189-14
46.   Jiang Y, Arase N, Kohyama M, Hirayasu K, Suenaga T, Jin H, et al. Transport of
misfolded endoplasmic reticulum proteins to the cell surface by MHC class II
molecules. Int Immunol. 2013;25: 235–246. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxs155

Tables

Table 1. Demographic data of the participants.

	Percentage (number)
Total number	100% (43)
Age group	
20-39	39.5% (17)
40-49	30.2% (13)
50-59	25.6% (11)
60-69	4.7% (2)
Gender	
Male	60.5% (26)
Female	39.5% (17)

Table 2. Demographic data of the reported clinical adverse effects (at injection site)

	Percentage (number)
Swelling (injection site)	
After 1st dose	27.9% (12)
After 2nd dose	51.2% (22)
Sore/pain (injection site)	
After 1st dose	88.4% (38)
After 2nd dose	86.0% (37)
Warmth (injection site)	
After 1st dose	32.6% (14)
After 2nd dose	41.9% (18)

Table 3. Demographic data of the reported clinical adverse effects (systemic symptoms)

	Percentage (number)
Fever	
After 1st dose	
Mild (37.5°C ≥)	2.3% (1)
Severe (≥ 38.0°C)	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild (37.5°C ≥)	25.6% (11)
Severe (≥ 38.0°C)	23.3% (10)
Fatigue	
After 1st dose	
Mild	18.6% (8)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	67.4% (29)
Severe	18.6% (8)
Headache	
After 1st dose	
Mild	7.0% (3)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	32.6% (14)
Severe	7.0% (3)
Chill	
After 1st dose	
Mild	4.7% (2)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	23.3% (10)
Severe	9.3% (4)
Nausea	
After 1st dose	
Mild	0% (0)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	4.7% (2)
Severe	0% (0)
Diarrhea	
After 1st dose	
Mild	0% (0)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	0% (0)
Severe	0% (0)
Muscle pain	
After 1st dose	
Mild	48.8% (21)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	55.8% (24)
Severe	4.7% (2)
Joint pain	
After 1st dose	
Mild	4.7% (2)
Severe	0% (0)
After 2nd dose	
Mild	25.6% (11)
Severe	4.7% (2)

Table 4. TCR clonotypes expanded in post-vaccinated samples and their TCR usages, epitopes and restricting HLAs.

Donor	Clonotype	TRBV	CDR3β	TRBJ	TRAV	CDR3α	TRAJ	S epitope[a]	Restricting HLA
#8	Post_4	11-2	CASSPTGTNEKLFF	1-4	13-1	CAGGADGLTF	45	SFSTFKCYGVSPTKL$_{373-387}$[b]	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_5	19	CASSGRPEGPQHF	1-5	20	CAVLNQAGTALIF	15	FKIYSKHTPIN$_{201-211}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_6	11-2	CASSLEGTEAFF	1-1	5	CAESRYMGRRALTF	5	FQFCNDPFLGVYYHK$_{133-147}$	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*04:02
	Post_7	2	CAGLAGVDTGELFF	2-2	5	CAERVGRRALTF	5	YSVLYNSASFSTFKC$_{365-379}$	A*24:02
	Post_8	20-1	CSATRDRRSYNEQFF	2-1	12-2	CAVLTNTGNQFYF	49	LLQYGSFCTQLNRAL$_{753-767}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_9	7-9	CASSLLGEQYF	2-7	22	CAGAGGTSYGKLTF	52	KRFDNPVLPFN$_{77-87}$	DPA1*02:02-DPB1*05:01
	Post_10	6-1	CASSEGASNQPQHF	1-5	12-1	CVVNKGSSASKIIF	3	LLQYGSFCTQL$_{753-763}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_12	20-1	CSAYSIYNEQFF	2-1	9-2	CALSMNTGFQKLVF	8	PPAYTNSFTRGVYYP$_{25-39}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_14	19	CASRPNRGDNSPLHF	1-6	12-1	CVVSIGFGNVLHC	35	CSNLLLQYGSFCTQL$_{749-763}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_15	28	CASSLMGGAYGYTF	1-2	8-6	CAVRRGGSGGSNYKLTF	53	SKRSFIEDLLFNKVT$_{813-827}$	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*04:02
#25	Post_7	7-9	CAPSNANTGELFF	2-2	12-1	CVVNEADKLIF	34	YLQPRTFLLK$_{269-278}$	A*02:01
	Post_12	20-1	CSARDVEVGSGYTF	1-2	4	CLVGPYNQGGKLIF	23	TGVLTESNKKFLPFQ$_{549-563}$	DRA-DRB1*14:54
	Post_15	3-1	CASSPLSGSSYEQYF	2-7	12-1	CVVGTDSWGKLQF	24	TNGTKRFDNPVLPFN$_{73-87}$	DPA1*02:02-DPB1*05:01/ DPA1*01:03-DPB1*05:01
#27	Post_1	20-1	CSAIAGDADTQYF	2-3	9-2	CALTSAAGNKLTF	17	NQFNSAIGKIQ$_{925-935}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_2	30	CAWNLGGGNQPQHF	1-5	8-2	CVVSERASSYKLIF	12	SKRSFIEDLLFNKVT$_{813-827}$	DPA1*02:02-DPB1*04:02
	Post_3	5-4	CASSQGQGSYGYTF	1-2	4	CLVGDSDTGRRALTF	5	NFTISVTTEIL$_{717-727}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_5	7-2	CASGTGSYNEQFF	2-1	12-2	CAVKRGNQGGKLIF	23	STEIYQAGSTPCNGV$_{469-483}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
	Post_7	6-6	CASRLPGNRAQPQHF	1-5	36/DV7	CAVESGSSNTGKLIF	37	KSNIIRGWIFGTTLD$_{97-111}$	DRA-DRB4*01:03
	Post_8	6-5	CASSYSGGTVTGELFF	2-2	41	CAVGIRGNEKLTF	48	KVFRSSVLHST$_{41-51}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
	Post_9	20-1	CSARDGQTATNEKLFF	1-4	17	CATNAGGTSYGKLTF	52	EIRASANLAAT$_{1017-1027}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
	Post_11	30	CAWSVKGFPSQHF	1-5	6	CALGSTSNTGKLIF	37	EIRASANLAAT$_{1017-1027}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
	Post_13	5-6	CASSSRTGYNSPLHF	1-6	27	CAGAKGSGTYKYIF	40	STEIYQAGSTPCNGV$_{469-483}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
	Post_15	5-5	CASSSDRNYGYTF	1-2	12-1	CVVNMVTGGYNKLIF	4	NFTISVTTEILPVSM$_{717-731}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_16	7-9	CASSSQPGLAGVKIGNEQFF	2-1	5	CAEIPPPSNTGKLIF	37	ISGINASVVNIQKEI$_{1169-1183}$	DRA-DRB1*04:03
#28	Post_5	3-1	CASSQGGSEKLFF	1-4	1-1	CAVGGNTDKLIF	34	LVKNKCVNFNF$_{533-543}$	DRA-DRB3*03:01
	Post_10	12-3	CASSSGRTGFGYTF	1-2	30	CGTEFGSEKLVF	57	VIRGDEVRQIA$_{401-411}$	DRA-DRB3*03:01
	Post_11	5-8	CASSLQKTTGPSYGYTF	1-2	8-6	CAVSPYTGRRALTF	5	SVYAWNRKRIS$_{349-359}$	DRA-DRB1*13:02
	Post_12	18	CASSASVDPTEAFF	1-1	1-1	CASFTGGGNKLTF	10	KSTNLVKNKCVNFNF$_{529-543}$	DRA-DRB3*03:01
	Post_14	7-6	CASSLSGTGGTGELFF	2-2	4	CLVGDMRSGGGADGLTF	45	PFGEVFNATRFASVY$_{337-351}$	B*40:01
	Post_15	6-2	CASSYPPSGGRTGFGEAFF	1-1	14/DV4	CAMRDIGFGNVLHC	35	WNRKRISNCVADYSV$_{353-367}$	DRA-DRB4*01:03

[a]Overlapped epitope sequence is shown when a clonotype recognized two or three sequential peptides.
[b]Number ranges indicate the location of peptides in the proteins.

Table 4. Continued.

Donor	Clonotype	TRBV	CDR3β	TRBJ	TRAV	CDR3α	TRAJ	S epitope	Restricting HLA
#4	Post_2	25-1	CASTGDNYGYTF	1-2	21	CAINTGNQFYF	49	YYVGYLQPR265–273	A*33:03
	Post_10	7-9	CASRPSGTSREQYF	2-7	29	CAGNNAGNMLTF	39	FIKQYGDCLGDIAAR833–847	A*33:03
	Post_11	7-9	CASSTRTSGGGLSYEQYF	2-7	3	CAVNKAAGNKLTF	17	YSVLYNSASFSTFKC365–379	A*24:02
	Post_13	20-1	CSASIEQGDLGYTF	1-2	23/DV6	CAASIPNSGYALNF	41	FIKQYGDCLGDIAAR833–847	DQA1*01:02-DQB1*05:03
	Post_14	5-6	CASSPGQGILEQYF	2-7	24	CAFVPLSDGQKLLF	16	YIKWPWYIWL1209–1218	A*24:02
	Post_15	7-3	CASGIHTGELFF	2-2	26-1	CIVNNAGNMLTF	39	TDNTFVSGNCDVVIG1117–1131	DQA1*01:02-DQB1*06:04
	Post_16	7-6	CASSPGPSEADTQYF	2-3	1-1	CAVRDGDDKIIF	30	KSTNLVKNKCVNFNF529–543	DRA-DRB3*03:01
#13	Post_13	7-2	CASSVGQSKGKSAETQYF	2-5	22	CAVNEYSGAGSYQLTF	28	SKRSFIEDLLFNKVT813–827	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*02:01
	Post_15	20-1	CSAGDTASTYGYTF	1-2	9-2	CALSDGAGNKLTF	17	NQFNSAIGKIQ925–935	DRA-DRB1*09:01
	Post_16	30	CAWSLQGQRPQHF	1-5	38-1	CAFMKQRGGSEKLVF	57	FIEDLLFNKVTLADA817–831	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*02:01
#15	Post_1	12-4	CASSSHRDRGVEAFF	1-1	12-1	CVVNFDRGSTLGRLYF	18	TRGVYYPDKVF33–43	B*15:01
	Post_6	3-1	CASSQQLNTGELFF	2-2	38-2/DV8	CAYRKTSGTYKYIF	40	WRVYSTGSNVF633–643	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_7	28	CASSFPDRYYSNQPQHF	1-5	1-2	CAVRAVGGNKLVF	47	TRGVYYPDKVF33–43	B*15:01
	Post_9	27	CASSPGHEQYF	2-7	14/DV4	CAMSPIRTYKYIF	40	RSVASQSIIAY685–695	B*15:01
	Post_11	3-1	CASSRELISEQYF	2-7	38-2/DV8	CAYKRTSGTYKYIF	40	WRVYSTGSNVF633–643	DRA-DRB1*15:02
	Post_12	28	CASSSYGTSGGRAEQFF	2-1	16	CALSGGLTGGGNKLTF	10	LGDIAARDLICAQKF841–855	DRA-DRB1*08:02
	Post_13	30	CAWRTGQGITSPLHF	1-6	8-2	CVVNNAGNMLTF	39	VFKNIDGYFKIYSKH193–207	DPA1*02:02-DPB1*05:01
	Post_14	6-1	CASSEAGGSGANVLTF	2-6	9-2	CALSGTGTYKYIF	40	KKFLPFQQFGR557–567	DPA1*02:02-DPB1*05:01
	Post_16	27	CASSLGTINTGELFF	2-2	17	CATAPAGGTSYGKLTF	52	IDGYFKIYSKHTPIN197–211	DRA-DRB1*08:02
#17	Post_4	6-2	CASTSTARGSYNEQFF	2-1	27	CAGHSNTGNQFYF	49	TRFASVYAWNRKRIS345–359	DRA-DRB1*08:02
	Post_10	9	CASSKTSGAYNEQFF	2-1	9-2	CALDNARLMF	31	FIKQYGD833–839	DRA-DRB1*15:01
	Post_11	20-1	CSARPPGGGNNEQFF	2-1	26-2	CILRDGTGANNLFF	36	QALNTLVKQLSSNFG957–971	DRA-DRB1*08:02
	Post_15	7-9	CASSLARGNSPLHF	1-6	38-2/DV8	CAFVGSQGNLIF	42	AARDLICAQKFNGLT845–859	DRA-DRB1*08:02

Table 5. Reactivity of each clonotype to mutated epitopes in SARS-CoV-2 VOCs.

Donor	Clonotype	Mutated epitopes in VOCs		Domain	Response
#8	Post_4	Omicron BA.1 Omicron BA.2, 4/5	PFFTFKCYGVSPTKL[a] PFFAFKCYGVSPTKL	RBD	↓ ↓
#8	Post_5	Omicron BA.1	FKIYSKHTPII	non-RBD	↑
#8	Post_6	Delta, Omicron BA.2, 4/5 Omicron BA.1	FQFCNDPFLDVYYHK FQFCNDPFLD---HK	non-RBD	↓ ↓
#8	Post_7	Omicron BA.1 Omicron BA.2, 4/5	YSVLYNLAPFFTFKC YSVLYNFAPFFAFKC	RBD	↓ ↓
#8	Post_8	Omicron BA1, 2, 4/5	LLQYGSFCTQLKRAL	non-RBD	↑
#8	Post_10	Omicron BA1, 2, 4/5	LLQYGSFCTQLKRAL	non-RBD	↑
#27	Post_5	Delta Omicron BA.1, 2, 4/5	STEIYQAGSKPCNGV STEIYQAGNKPCNGV	RBD	↓ ↓
#27	Post_13	Delta Omicron BA.1, 2, 4/5	STEIYQAGSKPCNGV STEIYQAGNKPCNGV	RBD	↓ ↓
#28	Post_5	Omicron BA.1	LVKNKCVNFNFNGLK	non-RBD	↑
#28	Post_10	Omicron BA.2, 4/5	VIRGNEVSQIA	RBD	↓
#28	Post_14	Omicron BA.1, 2, 4/5	PFDEVFNATRFASVY	RBD	↓
#4	Post_11	Omicron BA.1 Omicron BA.2, 4/5	YSVLYNLAPFFTFKC YSVLYNFAPFFAFKC	RBD	↓ ↓
#15	Post_9	Delta Omicron BA.1, 2, 4/5	RRRARSVASQSIIAY HRRARSVASQSIIAY	non-RBD	↑ ↑
#15	Post_16	Omicron BA.1	IDGYFKIYSKHTPII	non-RBD	→
#17	Post_11	Omicron BA.1, 2, 4/5	QALNTLVKQLSSKFG	non-RBD	↓
#17	Post_15	Omicron BA.1	AARDLICAQKFKGLT	non-RBD	↓

[a]Amino acids colored red indicate mismatches compared with corresponding S epitopes of Wuhan strain.

Table 6. S-cross-reactive TCR clonotypes expanded in pre-vaccinated samples and their TCR usages, epitopes, restricting HLAs and cross-reactive epitopes in microbes other than SARS-CoV-2.

Donor	Clonotype	TRBV	CDR3β	TRBJ	TRAV	CDR3α	TRAJ	S epitope	Restricting HLA	Cross-reactive antigen [species]	Cross-reactive peptide	Post-vaccinated expansion
#4	Pre_5	6-6	CASSYPGGGGSETQYF	2-5	35	CAGVAVQGAQKLVF	54	LLALHRSYLTP$_{241-251}$[a]	DRA-DRB1*14:54	Phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine cyclo-ligase [*Firmicutes bacterium*]	VAEALLAVHRSYLTP$_{220-234}$[b]	No
#4	Pre_7	6-6	CASSYPGGSGGELFF	2-2	21	CAVENSGNTPLVF	29	LLALHRSYLTP$_{241-251}$	DQA1*01:04-DQB1*05:03	Phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine cyclo-ligase [*Firmicutes bacterium*]	VAEALLAVHRSYLTP$_{220-234}$	No
#8	Pre_1	6-2	CASRPNRGRFRGNQPQHF	1-5	23/DV6	CAGEEKETSGSRLTF	58	NCTFEYVSQPFLMDL$_{165-179}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	Fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase family protein [*Alcaligenes faecalis*] Hypothetical protein [*Planctomycetales bacterium*]	ASLIEYVSQPFLLEP$_{225-239}$ AAGFEYVSQPFSLPL$_{533-547}$	No
#8	Pre_2	6-1	CASIRDRVADTQYF	2-3	30	CGTETTDSWGKLQF	24	RFNGIGVTQNV$_{905-915}$	DQA1*03:02-DQB1*03:03	SEL1-like repeat protein [*Bacteroidaceae bacterium*][c]	LGVYYFNGIGVTQDQ$_{236-250}$	No
#8	Pre_3	27	CATKGEANYGYTF	1-2	12-3	CAMSEMGTGFQKLVF	8	SIVRFPNITNL$_{325-335}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	LTA synthase family protein [*Dechloromonas denitrificans*]	LPGKSVVRWPNITNL$_{330-344}$	No
#8	Pre_5	5-1	CASSLRTGELFF	2-2	8-1	CAVNGRNTGFQKLVF	8	NFTISVTTEILPVSM$_{717-731}$	DRA-DRB1*09:01	Major capsid protein [*Human papillomavirus 145*] Periplasmic trehalase [*Chlamdiia bacterium*]	NFTISVTTDAGDINE$_{350-364}$ LSTIVTTEILPVDL$_{288-301}$	No
#8	Pre_9	7-2	CASAAGGTGGETQYF	2-5	5	CAETPFLSGTYKYIF	40	YIKWPWYIWLGFIAG$_{1209-1223}$	DRA-DRB5*01:02	Spike glycoprotein [*Human coronavirus HKU1*]	VKWPWYVWLLISFSF$_{1297-1311}$	No
#8	Pre_10	6-6	CASSLGQGIHEQYF	2-7	26-1	CIVERGGSNYKLTF	53	SKRSFIEDLLFNKVT$_{813-827}$	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*04:02	Hypothetical protein, partial [*Acinetobacter baumannii*] Spike protein [*Feline coronavirus*] Spike protein [*Canine coronavirus*]	GKRSAVEDLLFNKVV$_{204-218}$ GKRSAVEDLLFNKVV$_{980-994}$ GKRSAVEDLLFNKVV$_{977-991}$	No
#8	Pre_14	4-3	CASSQRQGAGDTQYF	2-3	19	CALSEAGIQGAQKLVF	54	IDRLITGRLQSLQTY$_{993-1007}$	DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01	Excinuclease ABC subunit UvrA [*Lentisphaeria bacterium*]	VDRLITGRLESSRLN$_{208-222}$	No
#8	Pre_15	20-1	CSAKDRIYGYTF	1-2	26-1	CIVRSPSGSARQLTF	22	MIAQYTSALLA$_{869-879}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	MATE family efflux transporter [*Selenomonas noxia*]	ATIIAQYTSALLALR$_{242-256}$	No
#13	Pre_5	4-3	CASSQVSTGTGITGANVLTF	2-6	5	CARRSSSASKIIF	3	QNVLYENQKLI$_{913-923}$	DRA-DRB5*01:01	Hypothetical protein [*Neobacillus vireti*]	TNVLYENQKLFLNLF$_{169-183}$	No
#13	Pre_8	18	CASSPRAPPYEQYF	2-7	21	CAVRPAGGTGNQFYF	49	DKYFKNHTSPDVDLG$_{1153-1167}$	DRA-DRB1*15:01	Type VI secretion system contractile sheath large subunit [*Salmonella enterica*]	DYYFDHTSPDVDLLG$_{167-181}$	No
#13	Pre_12	4-2	CASSQEGNTEAFF	1-1	20	CGCRGGTSYGKLTF	52	NVTWFHAIHVSGTNG$_{61-75}$	DQA1*01:02-DQB1*06:02	Dihydrofolate synthase [*Actinobaculum sp. 313*]	PQRSFHAIHVTGTNG$_{61-75}$	No
#15	Pre_1	20-1	CSARDLTASAHGYTF	1-2	17	CATDAGQGGKLIF	23	SVTTEILPVSM$_{721-731}$	DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01	Hypothetical protein [*Myxococcales bacterium*]	PVTTEILPVSDDPPG$_{525-539}$	No
#15	Pre_2	24-1	CATSDLDQPQHF	1-5	16	CALSGYGSGYSTLTF	11	SVTTEILPVSM$_{721-731}$	DQA1*01:03-DQB1*06:01	Hypothetical protein [*Myxococcales bacterium*]	PVTTEILPVSDDPPG$_{525-539}$	No
#15	Pre_3	6-1	CASDPKNGGEQYF	2-7	29/DV5	CAASVGFGNVLHC	35	FKIYSKHTPIN$_{201-211}$	DRA-DRB5*01:02	Uncharacterized protein APUU_31289S [*Aspergillus puulaauensis*]	CRAAFKLYSKHTPVE$_{123-137}$	No
#15	Pre_4	19	CASGLAGGNTGELFF	2-2	10	CVPSSGGYNKLIF	4	QALNTLVKQLS$_{957-967}$	DRA-DRB1*08:02	4-hydroxybenzoate octaprenyltransferase [*Pseudoduganella dura*]	IQPLNTLVKQLSVAA$_{112-126}$	No
#15	Pre_5	6-5	CASSAGLAGGGNTQYF	2-3	5	CAVISGSARQLTF	22	QALNTLVKQLS$_{957-967}$	DRA-DRB1*08:02	4-hydroxybenzoate octaprenyltransferase [*Pseudoduganella dura*]	IQPLNTLVKQLSVAA$_{112-126}$	No
#15	Pre_6	2	CASVGGNEQFF	2-1	9-2	CALTRFVGGATNKLIF	32	RTFLLKYNENGTITD$_{273-287}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	Unnamed protein product [*Mytilus edulis*]	NKKLLKYNENGTFIT$_{277-291}$	No
#15	Pre_7	4-1	CASSHDGTPPDTQYF	2-3	29/DV5	CAAYSNYQLIW	33	FKIYSKHTPIN$_{201-211}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	Uncharacterized protein APUU_31289S [*Aspergillus puulaauensis*]	CRAAFKLYSKHTPVE$_{123-137}$	No
#15	Pre_15	2	CASSETGRGTDTQYF	2-3	9-2	CALYRGTYKYIF	40	LQSLQTYVTQQLIRA$_{1001-1015}$	DRA-DRB1*15:02	Dyp-type peroxidase [*Acinetobacter sp.*]	CTVLQTYVTQQLESV$_{134-148}$	No
#17	Pre_7	6-1	CASSLRGAFGYTF	1-2	35	CAGHLYGGSQGNLIF	42	NCTFEYVSQPFLMDL$_{165-179}$	DPA1*01:03-DPB1*04:02	Fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase family protein [*Alcaligenes faecalis*] Hypothetical protein [*Planctomycetales bacterium*]	ASLIEYVSQPFLLEP$_{225-239}$ AAGFEYVSQPFSLPL$_{533-547}$	No
#17	Pre_8	5-1	CASSLNSGANVLTF	2-6	13-1	CAASIVQDQKLVF	8	LTPTWRVYSTGSNVF$_{629-643}$	DRA-DRB1*08:02	Hypothetical protein [*Novosphingobium chloroacetimidivorans*]	APGTPTWRVYSTART$_{277-291}$	No
#17	Pre_14	5-1	CASSLGAGLYNEQFF	2-1	38-1	CAFINNNAGNMLTF	39	QALNTLVKQLS$_{957-967}$	DRA-DRB1*08:02	4-hydroxybenzoate octaprenyltransferase [*Pseudoduganella dura*]	IQPLNTLVKQLSVAA$_{112-126}$	No
#17	Pre_15	7-2	CASSRTSGGTYEQYF	2-7	25	CAGQNTDKLIF	34	SIVRFPNITNL$_{325-335}$	DRA-DRB1*15:01	LTA synthase family protein [*Dechloromonas denitrificans*]	LPGKSVVRWPNITNL$_{330-344}$	Yes

[a]Number ranges indicate the location of peptides in the proteins.
[b]Amino acids colored red indicate mismatches compared with corresponding S epitopes of Wuhan strain.
[c]Antigen names and peptide sequences in light gray indicate inactive antigens of the corresponding T clonotypes.

Fig. 1

Fig. 1. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine elicits transient humoral immunity.

(**A**) Vaccination and sampling timeline of blood donors in this study. (**B**) Anti-S IgG titer of serum samples was determined by ELISA. Mean ± SEM (left) and individual data (right) are shown. *, $P < 0.05$ vs. Pre, 3 wks, 24 wks, respectively. (**C**) Neutralization activity (ID50) of serum samples was determined by pseudo-virus assay. Mean ± SEM (left) and individual data (right) are shown. *, $P < 0.05$ vs. 3 wks, 24 wks, respectively. Wks, weeks.

A

Decliner

Sustainer

B

Tfh
Treg
Naive CD4T
Cycling CD4T
CD4 Tcm
CD4 Tem
CD4 Tem
CD4 Tcm
Cycling CD8T
Naive CD8T
CD8 Tcm
CD8 Tem
γδT
Monocyte
B cell

Decliner
4_3wks
4_6wks
4_24wks
13_3wks
13_6wks
13_24wks
15_3wks
15_6wks
15_24wks
17_3wks
17_6wks
17_24wks

Sustainer
8_3wks
8_6wks
8_24wks
25_3wks
25_6wks
25_24wks
27_3wks
27_6wks
27_24wks
28_3wks
28_6wks
28_24wks

D

Tfh signature score

IL21   *ICOS*

PDCD1   *CD200*

Normalized value
Low   High

C

Decliner   Sustainer

E

Decliner   Sustainer

F

Decliner   Sustainer

3 wks

6 wks

24 wks

Fig. 2. Antibody sustainers had highly expanded S-reactive Tfh clonotypes.

(**A**) Anti-S IgG titer of serum samples from sustainers and decliners is shown individually. (**B, C, E,** and **F**) UMAP projection of T cells in single-cell analysis of post-vaccinated samples collected from all donors. Each dot corresponds to a single cell and is colored according to the samples from different time points of donors. All samples together with annotated cell types (B), samples grouped by donor type (decliners and sustainers) (C), top 16 expanded clonotypes (16 clonotypes that had the most cell numbers from each donor) grouped by donor type (E), and top 16 expanded clonotypes grouped by time point and donor type (F) are shown. Tcm, central memory T cells; Tem, effector memory T cells; Treg, regulatory T cells; γδT, γδ T cells. (**D**) Tfh signature score and expression levels of the canonical Tfh cell markers, *IL21*, *ICOS*, *PDCD1* and *CD200*, are shown as heat maps in the UMAP plot.

Fig. 3. The location of S epitopes recognized by top expanded T clonotypes from post-vaccination samples.

T cell S epitopes recognized by top expanded TCR clonotypes in post-vaccinated samples from sustainers and decliners are mapped by their locations in S protein. Each short bar indicates a 15-mer peptide that activated the TCRs. Epitopes are shown in different colors according to the subsets of the T cells they activated. Relative frequencies of the T cell subsets are shown in pie charts. Numbers of identified epitopes recognized by a dominant T subset in sustainers (Tfh) are shown in blue bars. NTD, N-terminal domain; RBD, receptor-binding domain; FP, fusion peptide; HR1, heptad repeat 1; CH, central helix; CD, connector domain; HR2, heptad repeat 2; TM, transmembrane domain.

Fig. 4

Fig. 4. Characteristics and dynamics of S-cross-reactive clonotypes.
(**A**) UMAP projection of T cells in single-cell analysis of pre-vaccinated T cells from donors #4, #13, #15, #17, and #8. Each dot corresponds to a single cell and is colored according to the samples from different donors. Annotated cell types are shown. (**B**) Donor, name of reconstituted clonotypes, cell type, clonotype fraction in T cells from each time points, and expansion ratio of clonotypes that were found in pre-vaccinated samples and had more than 50 cells in the combined pre- and post-vaccinated sample set. For clonotypes that showed more than one type, the major type is listed in the front. The expansion ratio was calculated using the maximum cell fraction at post-vaccination points divided by the cell fraction at the pre-vaccination point of each clonotype. Clonotypes that have an expansion ratio larger than 1 are considered as expanded post-vaccination. Cell fractions at individual time points are shown as heat map. Tfr, follicular regulatory T cells; MAIT, mucosal-associated invariant T cells.

Fig. 5

Fig. 5. The location of S epitopes of pre-existing S-reactive T cells.

S epitopes recognized by top expanded TCR clonotypes in pre-vaccinated samples are mapped by their locations in S protein. Each short bar indicates a 15-mer peptide that activated the TCRs. Epitopes are shown in different colors according to the subtypes of the T cells they activated. Relative frequencies of the T cell subtypes from all five donors are shown in the pie chart. Numbers of identified epitopes recognized by a dominant T subset of pre-existing clonotypes (Treg) from all donors are shown in green bars.

Fig. 6. Frequencies of pre-existing S-reactive clonotypes in the public database of uninfected and infected cohorts.

TCRβ sequences of the top expanded clonotypes in pre-vaccinated samples were investigated in the Adaptive database. Frequencies of detected clonotypes are shown in box plot. Healthy, dataset from 786 healthy donors. COVID, dataset from 1487 COVID-19 patients.

Fig. S1

Fig. S1. Humoral immune response of BNT162b2 vaccinees.

(**A** and **B**) Anti-S IgG titer from all donors at 6 weeks after vaccination was compared between male and female vaccinees (A) or among different age groups (20–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69) (B).

Fig. S2

A

Donor	Age (years)	Gender	Anti-S IgG titer reduction (6 - 24 wks)
Sustainers	**(49 ± 5.2)**		
#8	55	male	18.8%
#25	47	male	-108.7%
#27	51	male	21.6%
#28	43	male	7.7%
Decliner	**(48.5 ± 10.8)**		
#4	51	male	81.8%
#13	58	male	90.7%
#15	52	male	89.6%
#17	33	male	83.4%

B

Sample	Anti-N IgG titer (OD$_{50}$)
#8	0.0
#25	1.2
#27	0.0
#28	1.3
Convalescent 1	187.6
Convalescent 2	68.5
WHO STD 20/136	225.8

C

Fig. S2. Humoral and cellular immune responses of sustainers and decliners.

(**A**) Demographic data and magnitude of anti-S IgG titer reduction of the sustainers and decliners. Anti-S IgG titer reduction is calculated as the titers at (6 wks – 24 wks) /6 wks. (**B**) Anti-N IgG titer of serum samples from sustainers at 24 weeks after vaccination. (**C**) S-specific IFNγ release from bulk CD4+ T cells (left) or CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (right) of sustainers (red) and decliners (blue) was measured using QuantiFERON.

Fig. S3

A

B

Fig. S3. Sustainer individuals had more cells in the circled region than decliner individuals.

(**A**) UMAP projection of single-cell analysis of post-vaccinated samples shown in individuals.

(**B**) The percentage of circled cells in (A) in CD4$^+$ T cells of each individual is shown. *P* value was calculated using t-test.

Fig. S4

Fig. S4. Determination of S epitopes, restricting HLAs and mutated epitope antigenicity for post-vaccinated T cell clonotypes expanded in sustainers and decliners.

Reporter cells expressing TCRs were stimulated with 1 μg/ml of indicated S protein peptide in the presence of transformed B cells or HEK 293T cells expressing indicated HLAs for overnight, and analyzed for GFP and CD69 expression. (**A** and **B**) Determination of S epitopes of TCR clonotypes expanded in sustainers (A) and decliners (B). (**C** and **D**) Determination of restricting HLAs of TCR clonotypes expanded in sustainers (C) and decliners (D). (**E** and **F**) Determination of antigenicity of mutated epitopes of TCR clonotypes expanded in sustainers (E) and decliners (F).

Fig. S5. Determination of S epitopes, restricting HLAs and cross-reactive epitopes for pre-existing T cell clonotypes expanded by S stimulation.

Reporter cells expressing TCRs were stimulated with 1 μg/ml of indicated S peptides in the presence of transformed B cells or HEK 293T cells expressing indicated HLAs for overnight, and analyzed for GFP and CD69 expression. (**A**) Determination of S epitopes of T cell clonotypes. (**B**) Determination of restricting HLAs of T cell clonotypes. (**C**) Determination of cross-reactive epitopes of T cell clonotypes. Sequences of cross-reactive peptides are in Table 6.

Fig. S6

Fig. S6. The pre-existing S-reactive T cell clonotypes did not recognize HCoV epitopes.
The only two clonotypes whose epitope sequences were relatively conserved in HCoV strains, donor #8-pre_9 and pre_10, were tested for their reactivity to the similar HCoV epitope counterparts. Reporter cell lines of these clonotypes were co-cultured with indicated peptides as well as APCs, and analyzed for GFP and CD69 expression.