Importin α as a molecular marker for investigating the microenvironment of micronuclei

Yoichi Miyamoto[1,‡,*], Reo Kisanuki[2,‡], Rieko Oshima[1], Chiaki Hata[3], Taro Tachibana[3,4], Masahiro Oka[1], and Hisato Saitoh[2,5,*]

[1]Laboratory of Nuclear Transport Dynamics, Center for Drug Design Research, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition (NIBIOHN), Osaka, Japan.

[2]Department of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan.

[3]Cell Engineering Corporation, Osaka, Japan.

[4]Department of Bioengineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, Japan.

[5]Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology (FAST), Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

[*]Correspondence:

Y. Miyamoto, E-mail: ymiyamoto@nibiohn.go.jp; *Laboratory of Nuclear Transport Dynamics, Center for Drug Design Research, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition (NIBIOHN), 7-6-8 Saito-Asagi, Ibaraki-shi, Osaka 567-0085, Japan*

H. Saitoh, E-mail: hisa@kumamoto-u.ac.jp; *Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology (FAST), Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan*

Running title: Importin α in micronuclei.

Keywords: Cancer development, Chromatin, DNA damage, Genome instability, Micronucleus,

Nuclear transport

29 **Summary statement:** Accumulation of importin α in micronuclei, followed by alteration of their

30 microenvironment, suggests the non-canonical function of importin α in genome instability and

31 cancer development.

ABSTRACT

Micronuclei (MN) are nuclear membrane-enclosed cellular structures that contain DNA/chromatin fibers that can lead to genomic instability. Here, we report that importin α, known as the nuclear transport factor, is highly concentrated in some of the MN in cultured human cancer cells. We observed that importin α1 accumulated in the MN together with other subtypes, indicating that this represented a conserved feature of the importin α family. Indirect immunofluorescence analysis revealed that the MN localization of importin α1 was regulated independently of canonical nuclear transport-related factors such as importin β1, CAS/CSE1L, nucleoporins, and lamins. In contrast, importin α1 signals merged with histone H3 modified by trimethylation at lysine 4 in MN, indicating that importin α1 was associated with euchromatin in MN. Furthermore, we found a mutually exclusive relationship between importin α1 and RAD51, a homologous recombination repair protein, in relation to MN accumulation in cells exposed to etoposide, a DNA damaging reagent. Our findings suggest that importin α is a previously overlooked molecular marker for assessing the microenvironment and quality control of MN in human cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

Micronuclei (MN) are cytoplasmic structures embedded within the nuclear envelope and are spatially separated from the primary nucleus. MN contain DNA/chromatin fibers, which are frequently observed in cancerous cells during senescence and after genotoxic stress (Hintzsche et al., 2017). This indicates a link between MN and genome instability, including chromosome rearrangements, mutagenesis, and chromothripsis (Krupina et al., 2021; Kwon et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2015). In particular, the study of chromothripsis in many aggressive types of cancer has been rapidly expanding and advancing, suggesting that MN actively participates in chromothripsis during cancer development, progression, and genome evolution (Forment et al., 2012; Ly and Cleveland, 2017; Storchová and Kloosterman, 2016). Moreover, it was reported that the exposure of DNA/chromatin fibers within MN to cytoplasmic components served as a potent molecular pattern signal, by activating sterile inflammatory responses and inflammation in the absence of pathogenic infection, which are associated with many chronic age-associated conditions (Miller et al., 2021).

Importin α, also known as karyopherin α (KPNA), is a classical nuclear localization signal (cNLS) receptor that plays a crucial role in nuclear-cytoplasmic transport through nuclear pore complexes [NPCs; (Liu and Hetzer, 2022; Walde and Kehlenbach, 2010)] present in the nuclear envelope (Goldfarb et al., 2004). It acts as an adaptor molecule between a cNLS-bearing cargo and the carrier molecule importin β1 in the cytoplasm. The trimeric complex migrates into the nucleus depending on the Ras-like small GTPase Ran (Poon and Jans, 2005; Wente and Rout, 2010). After the complex dissociates in the nucleus by the binding of importin β1 and RanGTP, importin α is exported to the cytoplasm by cellular apoptosis susceptibility gene product CAS, also known as chromosome segregation 1-like [CSE1L; (Behrens et al., 2003; Stewart, 2007)]. Seven importin α subtypes have been identified in humans, including importin α1 (KPNA2), importin α3 (KPNA4),

importin α4 (KPNA3), importin α5 (KPNA1), importin α6 (KPNA5), importin α7 (KPNA6), and

importin α8 (KPNA7), which exhibit distinct cNLS-binding specificities and selective expression

in various tissues (Goldfarb et al., 2004; Pumroy and Cingolani, 2015).

In addition to their role in the nuclear-cytoplasmic transport regulation, importin αs also

have non-transport roles such as regulation of spindle assembly, lamin polymerization, nuclear

envelope formation, protein degradation, stress response, gene expression, cell surface response,

and mRNA metabolism (Miyamoto et al., 2016; Oka and Yoneda, 2018), emphasizing their multi-

functionality. Importin αs were observed to accumulate in the nucleus under special occasions and

environments such as in stress responses, wherein they bind to a DNase-sensitive nuclear

component, indicating their potential to associate with DNA/chromatin (Furuta et al., 2004; Kodiha

et al., 2008; Miyamoto et al., 2004). Indeed, we have previously demonstrated that the nuclear-

localized importin α could associate with chromatin and regulate its gene expression (Yasuda et al.,

2012). In addition, a recent report implied that the importin β binding (IBB) domain was

responsible for the DNA binding of importin α (Jibiki et al., 2021). These findings have suggested

that the nuclear-localized importin α can function as a regulator for nuclear homeostasis through

its intrinsic activity to bind to DNA/chromatin.

Evidence reveals that importin αs are highly expressed in various cancers (Christiansen and

Dyrskjot, 2013; Stelma et al., 2016). Among these, importin α1/KPNA2 has been well investigated,

and its high expression levels were associated with poor prognoses in cancer cells (Han and Wang,

2020; Sun et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2012). Notably, strong nuclear staining of importin α1 was

observed in breast cancer using immunohistochemical analysis and was extensively associated

with a higher tumor stage (Alshareeda et al., 2015; Dahl et al., 2006; Dankof et al., 2007).

In this study, we investigated the dynamics and functions of importin α in several human

cancer cell lines, including HeLa, MCF7, and MDA-MB-231. We discovered a considerable

concentration of importin αs, although not all, in MN. Our findings indicate that the MN-

localization of importin α does not fully align with its conventional role as a nuclear transport factor.

We also identified and characterized several potential candidate nuclear/chromatin proteins linked

to importin α1 function, providing not only a new molecular marker of MN but also hints to

elucidate the MN structure and quality control in cancerous cells.

RESULTS

Visualization of importin α accumulation in micronuclei

Previous studies have reported that importin α was highly expressed and localized in the nucleus

of various cancer cells, suggesting a potential association between higher tumor stage and patient

survival (Christiansen and Dyrskjot, 2013; Han and Wang, 2020). To clarify the enhanced

expression of importin α subfamilies in cancerous cell lines, we performed a western blot assay

using breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, MDA-MB-231, and SK-BR-3), along with a control cell

line (MCF10A). Our data indicated that importin αs, particularly importin α1 (KPNA2), were

expressed at higher levels in cancer cell lines (Fig. S1).

110   To further investigate the localization of importin α, we performed indirect

immunofluorescence (IF) analyses using antibodies against importin α1 in human cancer cell lines

(MCF7 and HeLa cells). We found that a substantial number of micronuclei (MN) exhibited

stronger signals than the primary nuclei (PN), although not all MN showed this pattern (Fig. 1A,

yellow arrowhead). The fluorescence intensity of PN was considerably lower than that of MN,

suggesting an increased efficiency of importin α1 transport and/or maintenance in a subset of MN

compared with PN (Fig. 1B). We categorized the signal patterns in MN into three groups, based

on the IF analyses using the anti-importin α1 antibody: high intensity (yellow arrowhead), low

intensity (white arrowhead), or no intensity (white arrow) (Fig. 1C). The three patterns of importin

α1 accumulation in MN were also observed in HeLa cells treated with reversine, a mitotic aurora

kinase inhibitor that efficiently induces MN [Fig. S2; (Miyazaki et al., 2020)]. Among the MN

observed in HeLa cells, approximately 30% exhibited high intensity and 10% exhibited low

intensity, indicating that up to 40% of MN showed importin α1 accumulation under our standard

culture conditions as well as reversine treatment (Fig. 1D). We also investigated MN localization

of other importin α subtypes using specific antibodies. IF analyses revealed that importin α3

(KPNA4) and importin α5 (KPNA1), which are categorized into subfamilies other than importin

α1 (Miyamoto et al., 2016), were also present in MN (Fig. 1E). In addition, importin α3 and

importin α5 appeared to colocalize with importin α1 in the same MN (Fig. S3A), indicating that

molecular accumulation in the MN is a common feature of the importin α subtypes. We found

that when HeLa cells were transfected with enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP)-fused

importin α1 followed by reversine treatment, the EGFP signals were in some, but not all, MN, as

well as in PN (Fig. S3B), confirming the ability of importin α to localize in the MN. Overall, our

results reveal a previously unacknowledged feature of importin α family proteins, which are

targeted and concentrated in the MN of human cancer-derived cell lines.

Association of importin α1 with canonical nuclear transport factors and the nuclear

envelope in MN

To investigate the concentration of canonical nuclear transport factors within the MN, we

examined the localization of importin β1 and CAS/CSE1L, which are known to be associated

with importin α, in the Ran GTPase-dependent nuclear transport system. Using IF analysis with

antibodies against importin β1, we observed two distinct staining patterns. In the first pattern,

importin β1 signals appeared at the rim of the MN, with negligible overlap with importin α1

signals in the MN (Fig. 2A upper panels: Cell #1). In the second pattern, both importin α1 and β1

signals were detected within MN (Fig. 2A lower panels: Cell #2). Notably, the ratio of MN with

importin β1 signals was less than 17% of the total MN, which was considerably lower than the

ratio of MN with importin α1 signals (Fig. 2B), and most of the importin β1 signals represented

the rim-localized type. In contrast, CAS/CSE1L signals were detected in the majority (up to 88%)

of MN in HeLa cells (Fig. 2B,C). These results indicate an inconsistency in the localization of

importin α1, importin β1, and CAS/CSE1L within MN, suggesting that the accumulation of

importin α1 in MN is regulated independently of the canonical nuclear transport factors.

Next, we examined the association between importin α1 and the nuclear envelope (NE)

proteins, such as nucleoporins (NUPs) and lamin A/C or lamin B. As MN formation is frequently

linked to NE defects that can perturb nuclear trafficking (Guo et al., 2020; Hatch et al., 2013;

Maciejowski and Hatch, 2020), we investigated whether MN accumulation of importin α1 was

related to NE defects. Using the mAb414 antibody that detects NUPs, we found that the

accumulation of importin α1 was not consistently detected in MN associated with NPC deficiency

(Fig. 2D); that is, both importin α1-positive MN with NPC signals (yellow arrowhead in Cell #1

and #2; upper and lower panels) and importin α1-negative MN with NPC signals (white arrow in

Cell #2; lower panel) were detected. Additionally, neither lamin A/C nor lamin B showed a strong

correlation with importin α1 localization in MN [Fig. 2E,F; upper panels; i.e., both importin α1-

positive MN with lamin signals (yellow arrowhead in Cell #1) and importin α1-negative MN with

lamin signals (white arrow in Cell #1)]. However, it should be mentioned that we observed a

tendency for importin α1 to be enriched in the lamin-deficient area of MN (Fig. 2E,F; lower panels,

Cell #2). These results indicate an inconsistency between NE defects and importin α accumulation

in MN, suggesting that NE deficiency is not necessarily involved in importin α enrichment in MN,

although a substantial amount of importin α1 accumulation was observed in MN with NE defects.

Epigenetic chromatin status and importin α distribution in MN

In a previous study, we demonstrated that importin α is involved in the regulation of gene

expression through its association with DNase-sensitive chromatin in response to several stress

exposures (Yasuda et al., 2012). Given its role in facilitating the accessibility of nuclear proteins

to DNA, we expected importin α to localize predominantly in euchromatin rather than in

condensed heterochromatin/silent chromatin regions. To investigate the relationship between

importin α1 accumulation and DNA/chromatin status in MN, we performed IF using two different

antibodies against histone H3 modifications. One was an antibody against histone H3 modified

by tri-methylation at lysine 4 (H3K4me3), which was used as a marker of euchromatin areas, and

the other was an antibody against tri-methylation at lysine 9 (H3K9me3), which was used as a

marker of heterochromatin regions. We observed positive correlations between signals derived

from the H3K4me3 antibody and those derived from the importin α1 antibody in HeLa cells and

MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 3A,C). In contrast, H3K9me3 antibody signals showed a negative

correlation with importin α1 signals, with H3K9me3 signals concentrated in regions where

importin α1 signals were reduced (Fig. 3B,D). The regions where importin α1 signals were

detected to a lesser extent were frequently associated with the peripheral area of the MN near the

NE; DNA/chromatin fibers were generally condensed around these regions due to the

spatial/structural constraints of the NE. Taken together, our data suggested a preferential

distribution of importin α1 in euchromatic areas rather than in the heterochromatic regions of MN.

This finding implied that importin α was involved in regulating DNA/chromatin remodeling

activities, such as gene expression and DNA replication/repair, rather than maintaining silenced

chromatin in MN.

Search for importin α1-interacting proteins in MN

Given that importin α1 was found to be concentrated in euchromatin areas and was expected to

be involved in DNA/chromatin remodeling activities, we aimed to identify potential importin α1-

interacting partners that may also participate in MN. To achieve this, we first performed rapid

immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry of endogenous proteins (RIME) using MCF7 cells, as

RIME is a method for the comprehensive identification of molecules with low affinity or

molecules that bind transiently in cells (Mohammed et al., 2016). Using two independent samples

from MCF7 cells, we identified 106 proteins as importin α1 interactors and obtained a list of 103

candidates by removing overlapping proteins (Fig. 4A and Table S1). Gene ontology analysis

using the PANTHER Pathways database (Mi et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2022) revealed that many

of these proteins were involved in DNA replication and p53 pathways related to the DNA damage

response (Fig. 4B). To narrow down the candidates involved in MN regulation, we further

screened the proteins based on their localization using the online database Human Protein Atlas

(HPA) (Pontén et al., 2008; Thul et al., 2017). The HPA database provided IF images for each

protein and allowed us to examine the localization of 103 candidates in the PN and/or MN. After

reviewing the photographic data, we selected eight proteins out of over 60 candidates:

CCAR2/DBC1, TOP2B, SUPT16, PARP1, GTF2I, HDAC1, HDAC2, and DNAJB1 as potential

interactors that may cooperate with importin α1 for MN regulation (Fig. 4C). Notably, the signals

of CCAR2/DBC1, TOP2B, and SUPT16H were highly merged with importin α1 signals in the

MN, based on IF analysis (Table S2). Although their MN localization patterns were not fully

consistent with importin α1 enrichment, the identification of these potential importin α1-binding

proteins provided additional molecular probes for investigating the quality and regulation of MN

in cells.

Mutually exclusive MN localization of importin α1 and RAD51

We also investigated chromatin regulators associated with DNA replication and the DNA damage

response, in addition to the molecules suggested in RIME. Among the proteins tested, we

discovered that RAD51, a homologous recombination (HR) repair protein involved in DNA

damage response, accumulated in the MN when HeLa cells were exposed to etoposide, a DNA

damage inducer (Fig. 5A). Up to 43% of MN exhibited RAD51-positive signals (Fig. 5B). Most

RAD51-positive MN displayed low-intensity signals (Fig. 5C, upper panels: Cell #1, white

arrowhead), while a small number of MN showed remarkably stronger fluorescence intensity,

albeit very rarely (Fig. 5C, lower panels: Cell #2, yellow arrowhead). Interestingly, we found that

the localization of importin α1 and RAD51 in the MN was mutually exclusive. The MN with low

RAD51 signal intensity exhibited strong importin α1 signal intensity (Fig. 5D, upper panels: Cell

#1, yellow arrowhead), whereas MN with strong RAD51 signal intensity showed less importin

α1 signal (Fig. 5D, lower panels: Cell #2, white arrowhead). Furthermore, we observed the

compartmentalization of these molecules in MN within a single cell (Fig. 5E, Cells #1 and #2:

yellow arrowhead; importin α1-positive and RAD51-negative MN; white arrowhead; importin

α1-negative and RAD51-positive MN). This observation suggested that RAD51, in contrast to

importin α1, served as another probe characterizing the microenvironment of MN.

Possible mechanism for RAD51 exclusion from importin α1-enriched MN

We investigated the mechanisms underlying the exclusion of RAD51 from importin α1-enriched

MN. To examine the effect of protein expression on subcellular localization, we co-overexpressed

mCherry-RAD51 and EGFP-importin α1 in HeLa cells. Intriguingly, cells overexpressing EGFP-

importin α1 showed the altered nuclear distribution of mCherry-RAD51 to the cytoplasm,

implying a mutual exclusion effect between the two proteins (Fig. S4A,B). We also observed a

similar effect on RAD51 localization when FLAG-importin α1 was expressed at high levels and

localized to the nucleus (Fig. S4C, upper panel; Cell #1). In contrast, when FLAG-importin α1

was expressed at low levels and localized in the cytoplasm, mCherry-RAD51 remained

exclusively in the nucleus (Fig. S4C; lower panel; Cell #2). Additionally, we investigated whether

endogenous RAD51 was diminished in cells overexpressing EGFP-importin α1 and then clarified

the mutual exclusion effect (Fig. S4D,E). Based on these observations, we proposed that the

subcellular localization of RAD51 was influenced by the relative expression levels and/or

concentrations of importin α1 within the limited area in cells. Finally, we examined the

applicability of the mutual exclusion mechanism of importin α1 and RAD51 in the MN

microenvironment. IF analysis revealed a marked reduction in endogenous RAD51 signals in the

MN of cells overexpressing EGFP-importin α1 (Fig. 5F,G), supporting the mutual exclusion of

importin α1 and RAD51 in MN.

DISCUSSION

The molecular mechanisms underlying the emergence, quality, and fate of MN remain largely unknown. In this study, we found that the importin αs, particularly importin α1 (KPNA2), were expressed at higher levels in the human cancer cell lines tested. Moreover, we demonstrated that importin α1 was enriched in up to 40% of the MN generated in HeLa cells. Remarkably, a similar phenomenon was observed in other human cancer cell lines, such as MCF7 and MDA-MB-231, suggesting that importin α1 accumulation in MN was a common feature of multiple cultured cancer cells. Furthermore, we observed the presence of other importin α subtypes, importin α3 and importin α5, in the MN, which overlapped with importin α1 in the same MN. These findings revealed a previously unrecognized feature of the importin α family proteins, indicating their role in controlling MN structure and function.

It is intriguing that importin α localizes to some but not all MN. The precise targeting mechanism responsible for the localization of importin α to a subset of MN, as well as its implication for the quality and outcome of MN, remains unanswered. In this study, we examined the cellular distribution of canonical nuclear transport factors, such as importin β1 and CAS/CSE1L, along with NE protein deficiency. However, these factors did not fully align with the behavior of importin α accumulation in MN. This suggested that the localization of importin α to MN was regulated independently of the Ran-dependent nuclear transport machinery and was not solely a consequence of NE defects in MN. Notably, importin α has been reported to have non-transport functions during mitotic spindle formation and/or microtubule nucleation (Gruss et al., 2001; Schatz et al., 2003). Importin α binds to spindle assembly factors (SAFs), such as TPX2 and NuMA, and inhibits the accessibility of SAFs to microtubules, thereby controlling the higher-order assembly of microtubules (Chang and Hsia, 2021; Forbes et al., 2015). Considering that MN formation resulted from asymmetric chromosome segregation caused by mitotic errors

during cell division, which leads to lagging chromosomes and chromosome fragments (Kwon et

al., 2020; Terradas et al., 2016), it is plausible that the aberrant dissociation of SAFs from importin

α during mitosis could result in chromosome lagging or fragmentation. This, in turn, may affect

MN formation and ultimately lead to importin α accumulation in the MN, independent of the

canonical nuclear transport function. Further investigations are required to comprehensively

understand the mechanism by which importin α targets MN.

Our data on the distribution of importin α1 and histone modification markers revealed that

importin α1 distribution coincided with H3K4me3-rich chromatin regions in MN. However, the

mechanism by which importin α1 recognizes and is maintained in open chromatin remains unclear.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that nuclear-localized importin α could associate with

DNase-sensitive chromatin components and become involved in gene regulation (Yasuda et al.,

2012). Additionally, another group reported the DNA-binding property of importin α (Jibiki et al.,

2021). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that the ability of importin α to associate with

DNA/chromatin fibers may be attributed to its intrinsic DNA/chromatin binding capacity. This

suggests that importin α may contribute to the establishment and/or maintenance of open

chromatin in MN, potentially through the cooperation of importin α with other chromatin

regulators such as gene expression/silencing factors and DNA replication/repair proteins. It was

interesting to speculate that the eight proteins identified as importin α1-binding partners through

RIME analysis were suitable candidates for consideration as such collaborators. Specifically, our

interest lay in CCAR2/DBC1, TOP2B, and SUPT16H as importin α-collaborators, with an

overlapped ratio of 95%, 93%, and 92% respectively, with the importin α1 signals (Table S2).

CCAR2/DBC1 regulates cell survival and death in response to genotoxic and metabolic stresses

(Magni et al., 2015). TOP2B is a well-known enzyme that decoils chromatin and releases torsional

force into the DNA (Gonzalez-Buendia et al., 2021). Its association with chromatin sites marked

by H3K4me3 (Tiwari et al., 2012) and MN (Muciño-Hernández et al., 2023) has also been reported. SUPT16H is a component of the facilitates chromatin transcription (FACT) complex, involved in multiple processes such as mRNA elongation, DNA replication, and DNA damage repair (Oliveira et al., 2014). Considering the importance of these proteins in DNA/chromatin quality control, we are currently investigating the biological consequences of their interaction with importin α on DNA/chromatin fibers in the MN microenvironment.

The role of importin α in the outcome of MN remains unclear. We provided evidence for the molecular connection between importin α1 and RAD51, which revealed a novel function of importin α1 in regulating the subcellular localization of RAD51 when importin α1 is highly expressed. Although RAD51 was not initially identified as a binding partner in RIME analysis, a previous study identified importin α1 (described as importin α2) as a component of the RAD51 complex with CAS/CSE1L (Okimoto et al., 2015), suggesting an interrelationship between importin α1 and RAD51, particularly in subcellular distribution. RAD51 plays a crucial role in DNA repair via homologous recombination, which is vital for maintaining genomic stability and preventing mutation accumulation (Wassing and Esashi, 2021). Increased RAD51 expression promotes recombination events and genome instability in tumor cells (Klein, 2008; Richardson et al., 2004). Importantly, both importin α1 and RAD51 have been implicated in tumorigenesis and patient survival (Christiansen and Dyrskjot, 2013; Han and Wang, 2020; Liao et al., 2022). Therefore, the enrichment of importin α1 in MN, along with its interaction with DNA/chromatin, may constitute a system that safeguards the genome from RAD51 activity. This notion was supported by the observation that breast cancer tissues with high expression of importin α1 exhibit the cytoplasmic localization of RAD51 (Alshareeda et al., 2015). Further investigations into the subcellular distribution dynamics of importin α1 and RAD51 are imperative for comprehending cancer progression and malignancy.

323   Although the mechanism by which importin α targets MN and interacts with

324   DNA/chromatin regulators in MN while excluding RAD51 from MN remains unclear, our results

325   demonstrate that importin α served as a previously undescribed molecular marker that can be used

326   to characterize the MN microenvironment. These findings will be beneficial for researchers

327   interested in studying the heterogeneity, quality control, and fate of MN in cancer cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and culture conditions

Human cervical cancer cell line HeLa cells were obtained from the RIKEN cell bank (Tsukuba, Japan). Human breast cancer cell line MCF7 was obtained from NIBIOHN (JCRB 0134), and MDA-MB-231, SK-BR-3, and human breast epithelial cell line MCF10A were kindly gifted from Satoshi Fujii (National Cancer Center, Japan). HeLa cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (D-MEM) /Ham's F-12 nutrient mixture containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). MCF7 cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) Alpha no phenol red (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10 % FBS, 0.1 mM MEM Non-essential amino acids (NEAA; Life Technologies), 1 mM Sodium pyruvate (Life Technologies), and 10 µg/mL Insulin (Wako, Osaka, Japan). MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in the RPMI1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10 % FBS and 2 mM L-Glutamine (Life Technologies). SK-BR-3 cells were maintained in DMEM with 10 % FBS. MCF10A cells were cultured in MEG mammary epithelial cell growth medium BulletKit added to SingleQuote (CC-3150) and 10 % FBS. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in a 5% CO_2 incubator. Reversine (Cayman Chemical, MI, USA) and etoposide (Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI) Co., LTD., Tokyo, Japan) were used as described in Fig. S2 and Fig. 5.

Animal care and use

Experimental procedures in production of monoclonal antibodies were approved by the CEC Animal Care and Use Committee and performed according to CEC Animal Experimentation Regulations.

Antibodies

Primary antibodies used in the experiments are listed in Table S3. A new mouse monoclonal

antibody that specifically recognized the importin α6 (KPNA5) protein was generated and named

Clone number 1E3-2D6. As an antigen for immunization, the bacterially-produced recombinant

importin α6 was purified as described previously (Miyamoto and Oka, 2016). An 8-week-old

female C57BL/6 mouse (SLC, Shizuoka, Japan) was injected into the tail base with 100 μL of

emulsions containing the recombinant importin α6 protein and Freund's complete adjuvant.

Briefly, 17 days after the first immunization, an additional immunization of the importin α6 protein

was performed without an adjuvant into the tail base of the mouse. Four days after the additional

immunization, cells from the iliac lymph nodes of the immunized mouse were fused with mouse

myeloma Sp2/0-Ag14 cells at a ratio of 5:1 in 50% polyethylene glycol. The resulting hybridoma

cells were plated onto 96-well plates and cultured in a HAT selection medium. The importin α6-

specific antibody was screened by ELISA, western blotting, and immunostaining using hybridoma

supernatants. Finally, a hybridoma clone-producing monoclonal antibody named 1E3-2D6 was

selected. The Mab 1E3-2D6 was found to be an IgG 2a (k) subtype using a mouse isotyping kit.

The secondary antibodies used were: Alexa Fluor Plus 488 (A32723, A32790, A32731, and

A32814; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Rockford, IL, USA), and Alexa Fluor 488 (A11055), Alexa

Fluor 594 (A-21203, A32754, and A11032) for an indirect immunofluorescence and horseradish

peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-goat, anti-rabbit, or anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Jackson

ImmunoResearch Inc West Grove, PA, USA) for western blotting.

Immunofluorescence analysis

MCF7, MDA-MB-231, and HeLa cell lines were cultured on 18 × 18 mm coverslips (Menzel-

Glaser, Braunschweig, Germany) in a 35 mm dish, and immunofluorescence analysis was

performed as follows. Cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS) for 15 min, followed by treatment with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. After blocking

with 3% skim milk in PBS for 30 min at 25°C, the cells on the coverslips were labeled with

primary antibodies overnight and following by reacted with secondary antibodies accordingly for

1 h at 25°C. To visualize DNA, the slides were incubated with DAPI (1:5000 in PBS, Dojindo)

for 20 min. The fluorescence images were observed using a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP8

II; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Plasmid construction for mammalian expression

Human importin α1 (KPNA2) cDNA subcloned into the pGEX6P2 plasmid was gifted from Akira

Tsujii (NIBIOHN) and then cloned into pEGFPC1 vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA).

pEGFPC1-mouse importin α2 (KPNA2) was constructed as described previously (Yasuda et al.,

2012). The pcDNA5/FRT/3xFLAG-importin α1 (KPNA2) was constructed as previously

described (Kimoto et al., 2015). The cDNA encoding RAD51 was amplified from HeLa cells by

PCR using the following primers: forward: 5'-

GTCCGGACTCAGATCTATGGCAATGCAGATGCAGCT-3' and reverse: 5'-

CCGCGGTACCGTCGACTCAGTCTTTGGCATCTCCCACT-3'. The cDNAs were cloned into

the pmCherryC1 vector using an In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Transfection and siRNAs

HeLa cells were plated onto 18 × 18 mm coverslips (Menzel-Glaser) in a 35-mm dish 2 days prior

to transfection. The transfections for mammalian expression plasmids were performed using

Lipofectamine 2000 DNA Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the

manufacturer's instructions.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as previously described (Miyamoto et al., 2022). The membranes

were incubated with primary antibodies (dilutions ranging from 1:2000) diluted in Can Get Signal

Immunoreaction Enhancer Solution 1 (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan) overnight at 4 °C. The used

HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (dilutions ranging from 1:8000 to 1:10,000) were diluted

in Can Get Signal Immunoreaction Enhancer Solution 2 (TOYOBO) at 25 °C for 1 h. The signals

were detected with ATTO EzWest lumi plus (WSE-7120S, ATTO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Rapid immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry of endogenous proteins (RIME)

MCF-7 cells (1.5×10^6) were cultured in 100-mm dishes (IWAKI, Tokyo, Japan) in 10 mL of

MEM medium with 10% FBS for 5 days. To fix the cells, 1/10 volume (1 mL) of freshly prepared

Formaldehyde Solutions [FS: 11% Methanol free formaldehyde, 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and

50 mM Hepes (pH7.9)] was directly added to the medium and incubated for 8 min at 25°C. After

the addition of 0.55 mL 2.5 M glycine solution to the 11 mL of the medium (final conc. 0.1 M)

to quench crosslinking for 5 min, cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS). After removal of the supernatants, cells were collected at 800 x *g* for 10 min at 4°C, and

then, the cell pellets were resuspended with 10 mL of ice-cold PBS with 0.5% Igepal CA-630 (#I-

8896, Sigma-Aldrich) and then centrifuged again. The cell pellets were suspended with 10 mL of

ice-cold PBS with 0.5% Igepal CA-630 and 100 µL of 100 mM PMSF in EtOH (final conc. 1

mM). The cell suspension was centrifuged thrice to pellet the cells, and then, the cell pellets were

snap-frozen in liquid N2 and stored at -80 °C. RIME analysis was performed by Active Motif,

CA., according to published protocols (Mohammed et al., 2016). RIME was carried out using an

antibody against KPNA2 (Abcam, Cat. # ab84440, Table S3) and 150 µg of chromatin from

MCF7 cells to identify proteins that interact with KPNA2 using mass spectrometry. Mass

spectrometry of the immunoprecipitated protein complexes resulted in 57–60% coverage of

importin α1.

Statistical analysis

Cells and MN were counted optically under a microscope using the appropriate fluorescence field.

Unless stated otherwise, all data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (s.d.). Within

individual experiments, data points were based on a minimum of triplicate representative samples.

Data were analyzed with Prism 9.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

Acknowledgments

We thank Akira Tsujii (NIBIOHN) for kindly gifting the pGEX6P2-human importin α1 plasmid

and Satoshi Fujii (National Cancer Center, Japan) for kindly gifting the human breast epithelial

cells. We also thank all the members of the Oka and the Saitoh Laboratory for their helpful

discussion and technical support.

Funding

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI grant

numbers JP20K06455 and JP22KK0111 to Y.M., JP17H01878 to H.S., and The Naito Foundation

(Japan) to H.S.

Competing interests

No competing interests declared.

Author contributions

YM and HS conceived originally the project. YM, RK, MO, and HS designed the project and

experiments. YM, RK, RO, CH, and TT performed the experiments. YM, RK, and HS analyzed

the data and designed figures. YM and HS wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Alshareeda, A. T., Negm, O. H., Green, A. R., Nolan, C. C., Tighe, P., Albarakati, N., Sultana,
R., Madhusudan, S., Ellis, I. O. and Rakha, E. A. (2015). KPNA2 is a nuclear export
protein that contributes to aberrant localisation of key proteins and poor prognosis of
breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* **112**, 1929-1937.

Behrens, P., Brinkmann, U. and Wellmann, A. (2003). CSE1L/CAS: its role in proliferation
and apoptosis. *Apoptosis* **8**, 39-44.

Chang, C. C. and Hsia, K. C. (2021). More than a zip code: global modulation of cellular
function by nuclear localization signals. *FEBS J* **288**, 5569-5585.

Christiansen, A. and Dyrskjot, L. (2013). The functional role of the novel biomarker
karyopherin α2 (KPNA2) in cancer. *Cancer Lett* **331**, 18-23.

Dahl, E., Kristiansen, G., Gottlob, K., Klaman, I., Ebner, E., Hinzmann, B., Hermann, K.,
Pilarsky, C., Durst, M., Klinkhammer-Schalke, M., et al. (2006). Molecular profiling
of laser-microdissected matched tumor and normal breast tissue identifies karyopherin
alpha2 as a potential novel prognostic marker in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **12**, 3950-
3960.

Dankof, A., Fritzsche, F. R., Dahl, E., Pahl, S., Wild, P., Dietel, M., Hartmann, A. and
Kristiansen, G. (2007). KPNA2 protein expression in invasive breast carcinoma and
matched peritumoral ductal carcinoma in situ. *Virchows Arch* **451**, 877-881.

Forbes, D. J., Travesa, A., Nord, M. S. and Bernis, C. (2015). Nuclear transport factors: global
regulation of mitosis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **35**, 78-90.

Forment, J. V., Kaidi, A. and Jackson, S. P. (2012). Chromothripsis and cancer: causes and
consequences of chromosome shattering. *Nat Rev Cancer* **12**, 663-670.

Furuta, M., Kose, S., Koike, M., Shimi, T., Hiraoka, Y., Yoneda, Y., Haraguchi, T. and
Imamoto, N. (2004). Heat-shock induced nuclear retention and recycling inhibition of
importin α. *Genes Cells* **9**, 429-441.

Goldfarb, D. S., Corbett, A. H., Mason, D. A., Harreman, M. T. and Adam, S. A. (2004).
Importin α: a multipurpose nuclear-transport receptor. *Trends Cell Biol* **14**, 505-514.

Gonzalez-Buendia, E., Zhao, J., Wang, L., Mukherjee, S., Zhang, D., Arrieta, V. A., Feldstein,
E., Kane, J. R., Kang, S. J., Lee-Chang, C., et al. (2021). TOP2B Enzymatic Activity
on Promoters and Introns Modulates Multiple Oncogenes in Human Gliomas. *Clin*
Cancer Res **27**, 5669-5680.

Gruss, O. J., Carazo-Salas, R. E., Schatz, C. A., Guarguaglini, G., Kast, J., Wilm, M., Le
Bot, N., Vernos, I., Karsenti, E. and Mattaj, I. W. (2001). Ran induces spindle assembly
by reversing the inhibitory effect of importin α on TPX2 activity. *Cell* **104**, 83-93.

Guo, X., Dai, X., Wu, X., Zhou, T., Ni, J., Xue, J. and Wang, X. (2020). Understanding the

birth of rupture-prone and irreparable micronuclei. *Chromosoma* **129**, 181-200.

Han, Y. and Wang, X. (2020). The emerging roles of KPNA2 in cancer. *Life Sci* **241**, 117140.

Hatch, E. M., Fischer, A. H., Deerinck, T. J. and Hetzer, M. W. (2013). Catastrophic nuclear
envelope collapse in cancer cell micronuclei. *Cell* **154**, 47-60.

Hintzsche, H., Hemmann, U., Poth, A., Utesch, D., Lott, J. and Stopper, H. (2017). Fate of
micronuclei and micronucleated cells. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res* **771**, 85-98.

Jibiki, K., Kodama, T. S., Suenaga, A., Kawase, Y., Shibazaki, N., Nomoto, S., Nagasawa, S.,
Nagashima, M., Shimodan, S., Kikuchi, R., et al. (2021). Importin α2 association with
chromatin: Direct DNA binding via a novel DNA-binding domain. *Genes Cells* **26**, 945-
966.

Kimoto, C., Moriyama, T., Tsujii, A., Igarashi, Y., Obuse, C., Miyamoto, Y., Oka, M. and
Yoneda, Y. (2015). Functional characterization of importin α8 as a classical nuclear
localization signal receptor. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1853**, 2676-2683.

Klein, H. L. (2008). The consequences of Rad51 overexpression for normal and tumor cells. *DNA*
Repair (Amst) **7**, 686-693.

Kodiha, M., Banski, P., Ho-Wo-Cheong, D. and Stochaj, U. (2008). Dissection of the molecular
mechanisms that control the nuclear accumulation of transport factors importin-α and
CAS in stressed cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **65**, 1756-1767.

Krupina, K., Goginashvili, A. and Cleveland, D. W. (2021). Causes and consequences of
micronuclei. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **70**, 91-99.

Kwon, M., Leibowitz, M. L. and Lee, J. H. (2020). Small but mighty: the causes and
consequences of micronucleus rupture. *Exp Mol Med* **52**, 1777-1786.

Liao, C., Talluri, S., Zhao, J., Mu, S., Kumar, S., Shi, J., Buon, L., Munshi, N. C. and
Shammas, M. A. (2022). RAD51 Is Implicated in DNA Damage, Chemoresistance and
Immune Dysregulation in Solid Tumors. *Cancers (Basel)* **14**.

Liu, J. and Hetzer, M. W. (2022). Nuclear pore complex maintenance and implications for age-
related diseases. *Trends Cell Biol* **32**, 216-227.

Ly, P. and Cleveland, D. W. (2017). Rebuilding Chromosomes After Catastrophe: Emerging
Mechanisms of Chromothripsis. *Trends Cell Biol* **27**, 917-930.

Maciejowski, J. and Hatch, E. M. (2020). Nuclear Membrane Rupture and Its Consequences.
Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol **36**, 85-114.

Magni, M., Ruscica, V., Restelli, M., Fontanella, E., Buscemi, G. and Zannini, L. (2015).
CCAR2/DBC1 is required for Chk2-dependent KAP1 phosphorylation and repair of
DNA damage. *Oncotarget* **6**, 17817-17831.

Mi, H., Muruganujan, A. and Thomas, P. D. (2013). PANTHER in 2013: modeling the
evolution of gene function, and other gene attributes, in the context of phylogenetic trees.

Nucleic Acids Res **41**, D377-386.

Miller, K. N., Victorelli, S. G., Salmonowicz, H., Dasgupta, N., Liu, T., Passos, J. F. and
Adams, P. D. (2021). Cytoplasmic DNA: sources, sensing, and role in aging and disease.
Cell **184**, 5506-5526.

Miyamoto, Y., Itoh, Y., Suzuki, T., Tanaka, T., Sakai, Y., Koido, M., Hata, C., Wang, C. X.,
Otani, M., Moriishi, K., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 ORF6 disrupts nucleocytoplasmic
trafficking to advance viral replication. *Commun Biol* **5**, 483.

Miyamoto, Y. and Oka, M. (2016). Data on dimer formation between importin α subtypes. *Data*
Brief. **7**, 1248-1253

Miyamoto, Y., Saiwaki, T., Yamashita, J., Yasuda, Y., Kotera, I., Shibata, S., Shigeta, M.,
Hiraoka, Y., Haraguchi, T. and Yoneda, Y. (2004). Cellular stresses induce the nuclear
accumulation of importin α and cause a conventional nuclear import block. *J Cell Biol*
165, 617-623.

Miyamoto, Y., Yamada, K. and Yoneda, Y. (2016). Importin α: a key molecule in nuclear
transport and non-transport functions. *J Biochem* **160**, 69-75.

Miyazaki, K., Ichikawa, Y., Saitoh, N. and Saitoh, H. (2020). Three Types of Nuclear Envelope
Assemblies Associated with Micronuclei. *CellBio* **9**, 14-28.

Mohammed, H., Taylor, C., Brown, G. D., Papachristou, E. K., Carroll, J. S. and D'Santos,
C. S. (2016). Rapid immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry of endogenous proteins
(RIME) for analysis of chromatin complexes. *Nat Protoc* **11**, 316-326.

Muciño-Hernández, G., Acevo-Rodríguez, P. S., Cabrera-Benitez, S., Guerrero, A. O.,
Merchant-Larios, H. and Castro-Obregón, S. (2023). Nucleophagy contributes to
genome stability through degradation of type II topoisomerases A and B and nucleolar
components. *J Cell Sci* **136**.

Oka, M. and Yoneda, Y. (2018). Importin α: functions as a nuclear transport factor and beyond.
Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci **94**, 259-274.

Okimoto, S., Sun, J., Fukuto, A., Horikoshi, Y., Matsuda, S., Matsuda, T., Ikura, M., Ikura,
T., Machida, S., Kurumizaka, H., et al. (2015). hCAS/CSE1L regulates RAD51
distribution and focus formation for homologous recombinational repair. *Genes Cells* **20**,
681-694.

Oliveira, D. V., Kato, A., Nakamura, K., Ikura, T., Okada, M., Kobayashi, J., Yanagihara,
H., Saito, Y., Tauchi, H. and Komatsu, K. (2014). Histone chaperone FACT regulates
homologous recombination by chromatin remodeling through interaction with RNF20. *J*
Cell Sci **127**, 763-772.

Pontén, F., Jirström, K. and Uhlen, M. (2008). The Human Protein Atlas--a tool for pathology.
J Pathol **216**, 387-393.

Poon, I. K. and Jans, D. A. (2005). Regulation of nuclear transport: central role in development
and transformation? *Traffic* **6**, 173-186.

Pumroy, R. A. and Cingolani, G. (2015). Diversification of importin-α isoforms in cellular
trafficking and disease states. *Biochem J* **466**, 13-28.

Richardson, C., Stark, J. M., Ommundsen, M. and Jasin, M. (2004). Rad51 overexpression
promotes alternative double-strand break repair pathways and genome instability.
Oncogene **23**, 546-553.

Schatz, C. A., Santarella, R., Hoenger, A., Karsenti, E., Mattaj, I. W., Gruss, O. J. and
Carazo-Salas, R. E. (2003). Importin alpha-regulated nucleation of microtubules by
TPX2. *Embo J* **22**, 2060-2070.

Stelma, T., Chi, A., van der Watt, P. J., Verrico, A., Lavia, P. and Leaner, V. D. (2016).
Targeting nuclear transporters in cancer: Diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic potential.
IUBMB Life **68**, 268-280.

Stewart, M. (2007). Molecular mechanism of the nuclear protein import cycle. *Nat Rev Mol Cell*
Biol **8**, 195-208.

Storchová, Z. and Kloosterman, W. P. (2016). The genomic characteristics and cellular origin
of chromothripsis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **40**, 106-113.

Sun, Y., Li, W., Li, X., Zheng, H., Qiu, Y. and Yang, H. (2021). Oncogenic role of karyopherin
α2 (KPNA2) in human tumors: A pan-cancer analysis. *Comput Biol Med* **139**, 104955.

Terradas, M., Martín, M. and Genescà, A. (2016). Impaired nuclear functions in micronuclei
results in genome instability and chromothripsis. *Arch Toxicol* **90**, 2657-2667.

Thomas, P. D., Ebert, D., Muruganujan, A., Mushayahama, T., Albou, L. P. and Mi, H.
(2022). PANTHER: Making genome-scale phylogenetics accessible to all. *Protein Sci* **31**,
8-22.

Thul, P. J., Åkesson, L., Wiking, M., Mahdessian, D., Geladaki, A., Ait Blal, H., Alm, T.,
Asplund, A., Björk, L., Breckels, L. M., et al. (2017). A subcellular map of the human
proteome. *Science* **356**.

Tiwari, V. K., Burger, L., Nikoletopoulou, V., Deogracias, R., Thakurela, S., Wirbelauer, C.,
Kaut, J., Terranova, R., Hoerner, L., Mielke, C., et al. (2012). Target genes of
Topoisomerase IIβ regulate neuronal survival and are defined by their chromatin state.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**, E934-943.

Walde, S. and Kehlenbach, R. H. (2010). The Part and the Whole: functions of nucleoporins in
nucleocytoplasmic transport. *Trends Cell Biol* **20**, 461-469.

Wang, C. I., Chien, K. Y., Wang, C. L., Liu, H. P., Cheng, C. C., Chang, Y. S., Yu, J. S. and
Yu, C. J. (2012). Quantitative proteomics reveals regulation of karyopherin subunit
alpha-2 (KPNA2) and its potential novel cargo proteins in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Mol*

595   *Cell Proteomics* **11**, 1105-1122.

596   **Wassing, I. E. and Esashi, F.** (2021). RAD51: Beyond the break. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **113**, 38-

597   46.

598   **Wente, S. R. and Rout, M. P.** (2010). The nuclear pore complex and nuclear transport. *Cold*

599   *Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**, a000562.

600   **Yasuda, Y., Miyamoto, Y., Yamashiro, T., Asally, M., Masui, A., Wong, C., Loveland, K. L.**

601   **and Yoneda, Y.** (2012). Nuclear retention of importin α coordinates cell fate through

602   changes in gene expression. *EMBO J* **31**, 83-94.

603   **Zhang, C. Z., Spektor, A., Cornils, H., Francis, J. M., Jackson, E. K., Liu, S., Meyerson, M.**

604   **and Pellman, D.** (2015). Chromothripsis from DNA damage in micronuclei. *Nature* **522**,

605   179-184.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Accumulation of importin α proteins in MN. (A) Exponentially growing MCF7 (upper

panels) and HeLa (lower panels) cells are subjected to indirect IF analysis using an anti-importin

α1 antibody (Imp-α1, left columns). White dotted lines indicate cellular boundaries. DNA is

stained with DAPI (middle columns). Merged images are shown on the right. Bar = 20 μm. The

yellow line described with X and Y is for fluorescence analysis in B. (B) Fluorescent intensities

of importin α1 (green) and DAPI (blue) are measured along with the X-Y line in the lower right

panel (HeLa cells) represented in A. PN: primary nuclei, MN: micronuclei. (C) HeLa cells are

subjected to IF using an anti-importin α1 antibody. The pattern of the signals is categorized into

three groups: MN with high intensity (yellow arrowhead), low intensity (white arrowhead), or no

intensity (white arrow). Bar = 20 μm. (D) The ratio of the importin α1 localized-MN against the

whole number of MN in HeLa cells which are treated with 400 nM reversine for 20 h. The number

of DAPI-stained MN is counted and the ratio of the importin α1 positive MN is calculated (150

cells in total). Ten to fifteen cells per an observed area are counted to derive the percentage of

importin α1 positive MN for eight different areas. The graph represents the category of the MN

types exhibited in C. "All" (blue), "High" (red), and "Low" (green) mean either all numbers of

MN with importin α1 signals, MN with the high signal intensity of importin α1, or MN with the

low signal intensity of importin α1, respectively. (E) MCF7 cells are subjected to IF analysis using

anti-importin α3 (upper panels) and anti-importin α5 antibodies (lower panels). The yellow

arrowheads, white arrowheads, and white arrows indicate MN with either high, low or no intensity

of importin αs, respectively. Bar = 20 μm.

Fig. 2. Localization of importin β1, CAS/CSE1L, and nuclear membrane proteins in

importin α1-enriched MN.

(A) IF analysis of importin α1 and importin β1 in reversine-treated HeLa cells. Two images of

independent cells are shown in the upper (Cell #1) and lower panels (Cell #2). DNA is stained

with DAPI. Merged images are shown on the right side. White dotted lines indicate cellular

boundaries. Yellow arrowhead: high intensity of importin α1 signals. Bar = 10 μm. (B) Ratio of

importin β1- or CAS/CSE1L-localized-MN against the total number of MN in reversine-treated

HeLa cells. The number of DAPI-stained MN (100 cells in total) is counted for independent

experiments (n=4) and the ratio of importin β1- or CAS/CSE1L-positive MN is calculated. (C)

MN localization of importin α1 and CAS/CSE1L in the reversine-treated HeLa cells. Yellow

arrowhead: high intensity of importin α1 signals, white arrowhead: low intensity of importin α1

signals. Bar = 10 μm. (D–F) IF analysis for importin α1 and either nucleoporin (D, is shown as

NPC), Lamin A/C (E), or Lamin B1 (F) in reversine-treated HeLa cells. Yellow arrowhead, high

intensity of importin α1 signals; white arrow, no importin α1 signals. Bar = 10 μm. (E,F) Two

images of an independent cell are shown in the upper panel (Cell #1), and the lower panels show

an enlarged MN image (Cell #2). The fluorescence intensities of importin α1 (green), Lamin A/C

or Lamin B1 (red), and DAPI (blue) are measured along with the X-Y line in the lower panel (Cell

#2).

Fig. 3. Co-localization of importin α1 signals with H3K4me3 signals in MN.

(A–D) MN localization of importin α1 with H3K4me3 (A,C) or H3K9me3 (B,D) in reversine

treated HeLa cells (A,B) or normally cultured MDA-MB-231 (C,D). The upper enlarged images

are extracted from the area enclosed by the red dotted line in the lower image. DNA is stained

with DAPI, and merged images are shown on the right side. Fluorescent intensities of importin

α1 (green), modified histones (red: H3K4me3 or H3K9me3), and DAPI (blue) in MN are

measured along with the X-Y line and represented in the left low. Bar = 10 μm.

Fig. 4. Exploration of importin α1-binding proteins through the RIME analysis.

(A) Venn diagram grouping candidate importin α1-binding proteins by RIME. Two independent

experiments are performed. MCF7-R1 and MCF7-R2 are proteins precipitated by anti-importin

α1 antibodies, and IgG Control-R1 and -R2 are proteins precipitated by normal IgG antibodies.

One hundred and six proteins are candidate binding partners of importin α1. (B) Gene Ontology

analysis for identified binding proteins. All gene ontology terms are identified as a result of

querying the PANTHER Pathways database for the importin α1-interacting proteins identified by

RIME. Terms are ranked by p-value. (C) IF analysis for MN localization of importin α1 and

candidate molecules identified by RIME in HeLa cells. Cells are treated with 400 nM reversine

for 20 h, and then, the molecules described are detected by the specific antibodies. White dotted

lines indicate cellular boundaries. DNA is stained with DAPI. Merged images are shown on the

right side. The yellow arrowheads, white arrowheads, and white arrows indicate MN with either

high intensity, low intensity, or no intensity of importin αs, respectively. Bar = 20 μm.

Fig. 5. Distribution of importin α1 and RAD51 in cells exposed to etoposide.

(A) Schematic representation for reversine treatment followed by etoposide treatment to HeLa

cells. After 20 h treatment of 400 nM reversine, the cells are incubated with 10 μM etoposide for

6 h. (B) The ratio of the RAD51-positive MN against the DAPI-stained MN with or without

etoposide treatment. Signal intensities of 200 different cells in total from eight independent

experiments. **$P < 0.01$, two-tailed Student's t-test. error bars represent s.d. (C) IF analysis for

RAD51 in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine for 20 h followed by etoposide for 6 h. Two

images with independent cells are represented: the upper panels show MN with a low signal

intensity of RAD51 (Cell #1, white arrowhead), and the lower panels show MN with a high signal

intensity of RAD51 (Cell #2, yellow arrowhead). Bar = 10 µm. (D) IF analysis for importin α1

and RAD51 in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine and followed by etoposide. Two images

with an independent cell are represented: the upper panels (Cell #1) show MN with the high signal

intensity of importin α1 (green) and low intensity of RAD51 (red, yellow arrowhead), and the

lower panels (Cell #2) show MN with the low signal intensity of importin α1 and high signal

intensity of RAD51 (white arrowhead). Bar = 10 µm. (E) IF analysis for importin α1 and RAD51

in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine and followed by etoposide. In the upper panels (Cell

#1), the single cell that is indicated with a white dotted line has two MN which indicate high

signal intensity of importin α1 (green, yellow arrowhead) and high signal intensity of RAD51

(red, white arrowhead). The middle panels (Cell #2) show two MN in one cell. The below panels

show enlarged images that are extracted from the area enclosed by the red dotted line. The yellow

arrowheads indicate MN with a high signal intensity of importin α1 and low signal intensity of

RAD51, and the white arrowheads indicate MN with low intensity of importin α1 and high

intensity of RAD51. Bar = 10 µm. (F) IF analysis for RAD51 in HeLa cells are overexpressed

EGFP-importin α1 (EGFP-Imp-α1). HeLa cells are transfected with EGFP-Imp-α1 and followed

by treatment with reversine and etoposide. Two images with an independent cell are represented

as Cell #1 and #2. DNA is stained with DAPI. Yellow arrowheads indicate EGFP-Imp-α1

localized MN. The yellow line is described with X and Y in the lower panels (Cell #2) that is for

the fluorescence analysis in G. Bar = 10 µm. (G) Fluorescence intensities of EGFP-Imp-α1 (green),

RAD51 (red), and DAPI (blue) in MN are measured along with the X-Y line in F.

Fig. 1. Accumulation of importin α proteins in MN. (A) Exponentially growing MCF7 (upper panels) and HeLa (lower panels) cells are subjected to indirect IF analysis using an anti-importin α1 antibody (Imp-α1, left columns). White dotted lines indicate cellular boundaries. DNA is stained with DAPI (middle columns). Merged images are shown on the right. Bar = 20 μm. The yellow line described with X and Y is for fluorescence analysis in B. (B) Fluorescent intensities of importin α1 (green) and DAPI (blue) are measured along with the X-Y line in the lower right panel (HeLa cells) represented in A. PN: primary nuclei, MN: micronuclei. (C) HeLa cells are subjected to IF using an anti-importin α1 antibody. The pattern of the signals is categorized into three groups: MN with high intensity (yellow arrowhead), low intensity (white arrowhead), or no intensity (white arrow). Bar = 20 μm. (D) The ratio of the importin α1 localized-MN against the whole number of MN in HeLa cells which are treated with 400 nM reversine for 20 h. The number of DAPI-stained MN is counted and the ratio of the importin α1 positive MN is calculated (150 cells in total). Ten to fifteen cells per an observed area are counted to derive the percentage of importin α1 positive MN for eight different areas. The graph represents the category of the MN types exhibited in C. "All" (blue), "High" (red), and "Low" (green) mean either all numbers of MN with importin α1 signals, MN with the high signal intensity of importin α1, or MN with the low signal intensity of importin α1, respectively. (E) MCF7 cells are subjected to IF analysis using anti-importin α3 (upper panels) and anti-importin α5 antibodies (lower panels). The yellow arrowheads, white arrowheads, and white arrows indicate MN with either high, low or no intensity of importin αs, respectively. Bar = 20 μm.

Fig. 2. Localization of importin β1, CAS/CSE1L, and nuclear membrane proteins in importin α1-enriched MN.

(A) IF analysis of importin α1 and importin β1 in reversine-treated HeLa cells. Two images of independent cells are shown in the upper (Cell #1) and lower panels (Cell #2). DNA is stained with DAPI. Merged images are shown on the right side. White dotted lines indicate cellular boundaries. Yellow arrowhead: high intensity of importin α1 signals. Bar = 10 μm. (B) Ratio of importin β1- or CAS/CSE1L-localized-MN against the total number of MN in reversine-treated HeLa cells. The number of DAPI-stained MN (100 cells in total) is counted for independent experiments (n=4) and the ratio of importin β1- or CAS/CSE1L-positive MN is calculated. (C) MN localization of importin α1 and CAS/CSE1L in the reversine-treated HeLa cells. Yellow arrowhead: high intensity of importin α1 signals, white arrowhead: low intensity of importin α1 signals. Bar = 10 μm. (D–F) IF analysis for importin α1 and either nucleoporin (D, is shown as NPC), Lamin A/C (E), or Lamin B1 (F) in reversine-treated HeLa cells. Yellow arrowhead, high intensity of importin α1 signals; white arrow, no importin α1 signals. Bar = 10 μm. (E,F) Two images of an independent cell are shown in the upper panel (Cell #1), and the lower panels show an enlarged MN image (Cell #2). The fluorescence intensities of importin α1 (green), Lamin A/C or Lamin B1 (red), and DAPI (blue) are measured along with the X-Y line in the lower panel (Cell #2).

Fig. 3. Co-localization of importin α1 signals with H3K4me3 signals in MN.
(A–D) MN localization of importin α1 with H3K4me3 (A,C) or H3K9me3 (B,D) in reversine treated HeLa cells (A,B) or normally cultured MDA-MB-231 (C,D). The upper enlarged images are extracted from the area enclosed by the red dotted line in the lower image. DNA is stained with DAPI, and merged images are shown on the right side. Fluorescent intensities of importin α1 (green), modified histones (red: H3K4me3 or H3K9me3), and DAPI (blue) in MN are measured along with the X-Y line and represented in the left low. Bar = 10 μm.

Fig. 4. Exploration of importin α1-binding proteins through the RIME analysis.
(A) Venn diagram grouping candidate importin α1-binding proteins by RIME. Two independent experiments are performed. MCF7-R1 and MCF7-R2 are proteins precipitated by anti-importin α1 antibodies, and IgG Control-R1 and -R2 are proteins precipitated by normal IgG antibodies. One hundred and six proteins are candidate binding partners of importin α1. (B) Gene Ontology analysis for identified binding proteins. All gene ontology terms are identified as a result of querying the PANTHER Pathways database for the importin α1-interacting proteins identified by RIME. Terms are ranked by p-value. (C) IF analysis for MN localization of importin α1 and candidate molecules identified by RIME in HeLa cells. Cells are treated with 400 nM reversine for 20 h, and then, the molecules described are detected by the specific antibodies. White dotted lines indicate cellular boundaries. DNA is stained with DAPI. Merged images are shown on the right side. The yellow arrowheads, white arrowheads, and white arrows indicate MN with either high intensity, low intensity, or no intensity of importin αs, respectively. Bar = 20 μm.

Fig. 5. Distribution of importin α1 and RAD51 in cells exposed to etoposide.

(A) Schematic representation for reversine treatment followed by etoposide treatment to HeLa cells. After 20 h treatment of 400 nM reversine, the cells are incubated with 10 µM etoposide for 6 h. (B) The ratio of the RAD51-positive MN against the DAPI-stained MN with or without etoposide treatment. Signal intensities of 200 different cells in total from eight independent experiments. **P < 0.01, two-tailed Student's t-test. error bars represent s.d. (C) IF analysis for RAD51 in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine for 20 h followed by etoposide for 6 h. Two images with independent cells are represented: the upper panels show MN with a low signal intensity of RAD51 (Cell #1, white arrowhead), and the lower panels show MN with a high signal intensity of RAD51 (Cell #2, yellow arrowhead). Bar = 10 µm. (D) IF analysis for importin α1 and RAD51 in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine and followed by etoposide. Two images with an independent cell are represented: the upper panels (Cell #1) show MN with the high signal intensity of importin α1 (green) and low intensity of RAD51 (red, yellow arrowhead), and the lower panels (Cell #2) show MN with the low signal intensity of importin α1 and high signal intensity of RAD51 (white arrowhead). Bar = 10 µm. (E) IF analysis for importin α1 and RAD51 in HeLa cells that are treated with reversine and followed by etoposide. In the upper panels (Cell #1), the single cell that is indicated with a white dotted line has two MN which indicate high signal intensity of importin α1 (green, yellow arrowhead) and high signal intensity of RAD51 (red, white arrowhead). The middle panels (Cell #2) show two MN in one cell. The below panels show enlarged images that are extracted from the area enclosed by the red dotted line. The yellow arrowheads indicate MN with a high signal intensity of importin α1 and low signal intensity of RAD51, and the white arrowheads indicate MN with low intensity of importin α1 and high intensity of RAD51. Bar = 10 µm. (F) IF analysis for RAD51 in HeLa cells are overexpressed EGFP-importin α1 (EGFP-Imp-α1). HeLa cells are transfected with EGFP-Imp-α1 and followed by treatment with reversine and etoposide. Two images with an independent cell are represented as Cell #1 and #2. DNA is stained with DAPI. Yellow arrowheads indicate EGFP-Imp-α1 localized MN. The yellow line is described with X and Y in the lower panels (Cell #2) that is for the fluorescence analysis in G. Bar = 10 µm. (G) Fluorescence intensities of EGFP-Imp-α1 (green), RAD51 (red), and DAPI (blue) in MN are measured along with the X-Y line in F.