Direct Relationship between Protein Expression and Progeny Yield of Herpes

Simplex Virus 1 Unveils a Rate-limiting Step for Virus Production

Moeka Nobe[1]†, Yuhei Maruzuru[1,2,3]†, Kosuke Takeshima[1,2,3], Fumio Maeda[4],

Hideo Kusano[4,5], Raiki Yoshimura[6], Takara Nishiyama[6], Hyeongki Park[6],

Yoshitaka Kozaki[6], Shingo Iwami[6], Naoto Koyanagi[1,2,3], Akihisa Kato[1,2,3], Tohru

Natsume[4], Shungo Adachi[4,5], and Yasushi Kawaguchi[1,2,3,7]*

[1]Division of Molecular Virology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The

Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

[2]Department of Infectious Disease Control, International Research Center for Infectious

Diseases, The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Minato-ku, Tokyo

108-8639, Japan

[3]Research Center for Asian Infectious Diseases, The Institute of Medical Science, The

University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

[4]Molecular Profiling Research Center for Drug Discovery (molprof), National Institute

of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tokyo, 135-0064, Japan.

[5]Department of Proteomics, National Cancer Center Research institute, Tokyo, Japan

[6]Interdisciplinary Biology Laboratory (iBLab), Division of Biological Science,

Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

[7]The University of Tokyo, Pandemic Preparedness, Infection and Advanced Research

Center, Tokyo, Japan

Short title: A Rate-limiting Step for HSV-1 Production

*Address correspondence to:

Dr. Yasushi Kawaguchi

Division of Molecular Virology

Department of Microbiology and Immunology

The Institute of Medical Science

The University of Tokyo

4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8639, Japan

Phone: 81-3-6409-2070

Fax: 81-3-6409-2072

E-mail: ykawagu@ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Although viral protein expression and progeny virus production were independently

shown to be highly heterogenous in individual cells, their direct relationship, analyzed by

considering their heterogeneities, has not been investigated to date. This study established

a system to fractionate cells infected with a herpesvirus based on the levels of the global

expression of viral late proteins, which are largely virion structural proteins, and to titrate

virus yields in these fractions. This system demonstrated a direct relationship and

indicated there was a threshold for the levels of viral late protein expression for progeny

virus production and suggested that viral DNA cleavage/packaging was a rate-limiting

step for progeny virus production. These findings, which were masked in previous studies

performed at the entire population level, have uncovered a sophisticated viral strategy for

efficient progeny virus production and shed new light on an effective target for the

development of anti-viral drugs.

INTRODUCTION

The state of viral gene expression has long been thought to be one of the critical determinants for virus progeny production [1]. Viral infection is usually studied at the entire population level by averaging the outcomes of infection from each of large numbers of individual cells. In these previous studies, a relationship between viral gene expression and virus progeny production has necessarily been investigated based on classical time course experiments in which levels of viral gene expression and progeny virus titers were compared at various times after infection. Such studies demonstrated that the levels of viral gene expression, including the expressions of viral mRNA and protein, correlated well with progeny virus yields [2-6]. However, accumulating evidence has indicated that viral infection at the single-cell or subpopulation level is highly heterogeneous, which has been masked in studies performed at the entire population level. Thus, it was reported that the progeny virus yield from individual cells spanned several orders of magnitude [7-14]. Classical fluorescence microscopy and recent advances in single-cell RNA-sequencing have enabled the investigation of the state of viral gene expression at the single-cell level, revealing high heterogeneity in individual cells and identifying new subpopulations of infected cells with similar viral gene expression profiles [15-17]. However, there is a lack of information on viral protein expression and virus progeny production in the same

individual cell or subpopulation. Therefore, the direct relationship between viral protein

expression and progeny virus production, analyzed by considering their heterogeneities

at single cell or subpopulation levels, remains to be elucidated. These observations raised

a fundamental question as to whether the well-established correlation between viral

protein expression and progeny virus production detected at the entire population level

by classical time-course experiments [4,6] does reflect a direct relationship between them.

Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1), an extensively studied DNA virus, is a

ubiquitous human pathogen, causing a variety of diseases including encephalitis, keratitis,

and mucocutaneous and skin diseases including herpes labialis, genital herpes and

herpetic whitlow [18]. HSV-1 encodes more than 100 different proteins [19-21] and HSV-1

genes fall into three major classes: immediate-early (IE), early (E) and late (L), whose

expressions are coordinately regulated and sequentially ordered in a cascade during lytic

infection [19]. Although there are some exceptions, virion structural proteins are largely

encoded by L genes [19]. Replication of the HSV-1 genome and packaging of the replicated

viral genomes into nascent capsids occurs in the nucleus [19]. Nascent nucleocapsids are

exported to the cytoplasm through the perinuclear space between the inner nuclear

membrane and outer nuclear membrane by a nuclear pore-independent and sequential

envelopment/de-envelopment process [19]. In the cytoplasm, capsids acquire a final

envelope by budding into cytoplasmic vesicles and become infectious [19].

In this study, we constructed a reporter HSV-1 to monitor the global expression

of viral L proteins by analyzing direct and quantitative relationship between L protein

expression levels and virus progeny yields by sorting infected cells into subpopulations

based on reporter gene expression levels and by determining virus titers in the

subpopulations. The clarified relationship indicated a threshold in the levels of HSV-1 L

protein expression for progeny virus production, suggesting viral DNA

cleavage/packaging is a rate-limiting step for progeny virus production.

RESULTS

Construction and characterization of a reporter HSV-1 to analyze viral L protein

expression and virus progeny yields at the subpopulation level. We constructed a

recombinant virus rICP47/vUs11 expressing IE protein ICP47 and L protein Us11 fused

to monomeric fluorescent proteins, TagRFP and VenusA206K (TagRFP-ICP47 and

Venus-Us11), respectively (Fig. 1A and B). The growth of rICP47/vUs11 was similar to

wild-type HSV-1(F) in HeLa cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 and reached a

plateau 24 h after infection (Fig. 1C). Flow cytometric analyses showed 92% of HeLa

cells inoculated with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 were TagRFP positive 24 h after

inoculation, indicating that in these experimental settings, most HeLa cells were infected

with rICP47/vUs11 and HSV-1 gene expression was initiated in these infected cells (Fig.

1D and E). Frequencies of cells positive for Venus and TagRFP, or those positive for

TagRFP and negative for Venus, were 67% and 25%, respectively (Fig. 1E). Cells

positive for Venus and negative for TagRFP were barely detectable (Fig. 1D and E).

Notably, the coefficient of variation (CV) for Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensities in each

infected cell was significantly higher than for TagRFP-ICP47 fluorescence (Fig. 1F).

To examine whether levels of Venus-Us11 fluorescence in rICP47/vUs11-

infected cells were related to those of the global expression of HSV-1 L proteins, HeLa

cells infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 for 24 h were analyzed by flow

cytometry and sorted into six subpopulations (f1 to f6) based on the levels of Venus-Us11

fluorescence intensities—Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensities increased in

subpopulations from f1–f6 (S-Fig. 1A). The subpopulations were then subjected to LC-

MS/MS to quantitate peptides of global HSV-1 L proteins (Fig. 2A and S-Fig. 1B).

Among the HSV-1 L proteins detected (48 L proteins), there was a strong correlation

between the levels of Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensity and the relative abundance of

most HSV-1 L proteins including Venus-Us11 (33 L proteins, $r>0.90$, $P<0.001$; 10 L

proteins, $r>0.70$, $P<0.01$; 4 L proteins, $r>0.58$, $P<0.05$) but not Us8.5 ($r=0.54$, $P=0.07$).

These results suggested that Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensities reflected expression

levels of global HSV-1 L proteins in rICP47/vUs11-infected cells.

Specific Venus-Us11 protein expression levels are linked to progeny virus

production. To analyze a relationship between expression levels of HSV-1 L proteins

and progeny virus yields directly and quantitatively, HeLa cells were infected with

rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5, harvested at 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 h after infection,

analyzed by flow cytometry and sorted into entire population (FSC singlet) or six

subpopulations (f1 to f6) according to the levels of Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensity

(S-Fig. 2). Virus titers in the entire population and each of the subpopulations were

determined and virus titers per 10^4 cells were estimated.

In agreement with previous reports [4,6], the kinetics of Venus-Us11 fluorescence

intensity were similar to those of progeny virus titers (Fig. 3A) and Venus-Us11

fluorescence intensities had a high correlation with progeny virus titers at the entire

population level (r=0.95) (Fig. 3B). We also calculated virtual titers per 10^4 cells at each

timepoint by summating virus titers in subpopulations, obtained by multiplying the

estimated virus titer of each subpopulation of 10^4 cells by the ratio of number of cells in

the subpopulation to that in the entire population. A viral growth curve based on the

virtual titers was almost identical to that based on actual titers in the entire population

(Fig. 3C).

At the entire population level, virus titers were decreased 6 h after infection due

to an eclipse phase of infection and viral replication entered the productive phase between

6 and 8 h post-infection (Fig. 3A and C). These results indicated that infectious progeny

virus production was detectable 8 h after infection in these experiments. The f4

subpopulation emerged at this timepoint (Fig. 3D, F and G, and S-Table 2). Although

4.1% of total cells were in the f4 subpopulation 8 h after infection (Fig. 3D, and S-Table

2), 55% of progeny infectious virus yields were produced by this subpopulation (Fig. 3E,

and S-Table 2). The f5 and f6 subpopulations emerged 10 and 12 h after infection,

respectively, when the growth rate had slowed (Fig. 3D, F and G, and S-Table 2). At 10

h after infection, 18% of total cells were in the f4 and f5 subpopulations (Fig. 3D, and S-

Table 2) and these subpopulations produced 87% of progeny infectious virus yields (Fig.

3E, and S-Table 2). At 12 and 24 h post-infection, 33% and 53% of total cells were in the

f4 to f6 subpopulations, respectively (Fig. 3D, and S-Table 2), and these subpopulations

produced 95% of progeny infectious virus yields (Fig. 3E, and S-Table 2). Similarly, most

progeny infectious virus yields were produced by the f4 to f6 subpopulations in HeLa

cells using MOIs of 1 and 2.5 at 24 h post-infection and in other cells (Vero, U2Os and

HaCaT cells, and human fetal foreskin fibroblasts (HFFF-2)) at MOIs 1 or 2.5 at 12 h

post-infection, although the proportion of each subpopulation varied by different MOI

and cell type (S-Figs. 3A to D and 4A to D, F to I, K to N and P to S). Notably, the viral

growth curve from 8 h post-infection based on the virtual titers using only the data of the

f4 to f6 subpopulations was almost identical to that based on the virtual titers using the

data of the f1 to f6 populations or actual titers of the entire population (Fig. 4A and B).

Similarly, virtual titers using only the data of the f4 to f6 subpopulations in HeLa cells at

MOIs of 1 and 2.5 and in Vero, U2OS, and HaCaT cells, and HFFF-2 were also similar

to virtual titers using the data of f1 to f6 populations or actual titers in the entire population

(S-Figs. 3E to G, 4E, 4J, 4O, and 4T). These results indicated that subpopulations f4 to

f6 have a predominant role in yielding progeny infectious viruses, whereas

subpopulations f1 to f3 barely produced infectious virions. To obtain evidence to further

support this conclusion, we analyzed virion morphogenesis by quantitating the number

of virus particles at different morphogenetic stages in HSV-1-infected HeLa cells at an

MOI of 5 for 8 (S-Fig. 5) or 24 h (Fig. 5) in each subpopulation f2 to f4 or f5, respectively,

by electron microscopy. All virion types were barely detectable in infected cells from

subpopulation f2 (Fig. 5 and S-Fig. 5). In subpopulation f3, although nuclear virions were

obvious, cytoplasmic virions, and especially enveloped virions in the cytoplasm that were

considered infectious, were barely detectable (Fig. 5 and S-Fig. 5). In contrast, enveloped

virions were detected in the cytoplasm of 100% of infected cells in the f4 subpopulation

at 8 h post-infection (S-Fig. 5) and these virions were detected in the cytoplasm of 70%

and 100% of infected cells in the f4 and f5 subpopulations, respectively, at 24 h post-

infection (Fig. 5). The proliferative profiles of enveloped virions in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5

and S-Fig. 5) were similar to those of infectious virus titers (S-Fig. 6A).

We noted that, even at 4 and 6 h post-infection, the virus titers in f1 to f3

subpopulations were detectable at a maximum of 2.8×10^2 PFU/10^4 cells (Fig. 3G and S-

Table 2). Taken together with the series of observations above (Figs. 3D and E, 4A and

B, and 5, and S-Figs. 3, 4, 5, and 6A) indicating that infected cells in subpopulations f1

to f3 barely produced infectious virions, it was not likely that infectious virus titers in

subpopulations f1 to f3 detected ranging from 2.6×10^1 to 9.3×10^2 PFU/10^4 cells (Fig.

3G and S-Table 2) represented progeny virus yields of infected cells in f1 to f3

subpopulations. Cells in the f1 to f3 subpopulations were likely to be aborted infected

cells and their frequencies 24 h after infection were similar to those reported previously

in HeLa cells [13,22].

Collectively, these results together with the observation that Venus-Us11

fluorescence intensities reflected the expression levels of global HSV-1 L proteins

indicated that certain levels of L protein expression were required for progeny virus

production and a threshold of progeny virus production existed between Venus-Us11

protein expression levels in subpopulations f3 and f4. Notably, Venus-Us11 fluorescence

intensities in subpopulations above the threshold (f4 to f6) correlated highly with progeny

virus titers (r=0.87) (Fig. 4C). In contrast, a much lower correlation of coefficient (r=0.46)

was observed in subpopulations below the threshold (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, virus titers

in subpopulations f4 to f6 remained unchanged during HSV-1 infection (Fig. 4D and S-

Fig. 6B) indicating L protein expression levels in subpopulations above the threshold

were tightly correlated with virus titers independent of the timepoint after infection. Thus,

infectious progeny virus production in the entire population over time depended on the

proportion of these subpopulations in the entire population.

Virion morphogenesis with a defect in the cleavage/packaging of HSV-1

DNA genome occurs in the subpopulation below the threshold for progeny virus

production. The threshold for progeny virus production detected between Venus-Us11

protein expression levels in subpopulations f3 and f4 suggested a rate-limiting viral step

for progeny virus production. Conceivably, this defect(s) might be observed in

subpopulations below the threshold (f1 to f3) but not in subpopulations above the

threshold (f4 to f6). To clarify this rate-limiting viral step, we compared levels of HSV-1

DNA genome replication and global viral mRNA expression in subpopulations f1 to f6

by quantitative PCR and RNA sequencing, respectively. Amounts of HSV-1 genome

DNA increased in the f1 subpopulation and almost reached a plateau between

subpopulations f2 and f3 (S-Fig. 7A). Similar proliferative profiles were observed for

expressions of each of the 73 HSV-1 mRNAs tested (S-Fig. 7B). Thus, we did not detect

any rate-limiting effects of HSV-1 genome replication or viral mRNA expression

associated with the threshold for progeny virus production.

Next, we visualized virion morphogenesis by electron microscopy focusing on

the A, B and C capsids in the nucleus (Fig. 6 and S-Fig. 8). The A and B capsids are

incomplete structures resulting from problems in viral DNA genome retention in the

capsids and packaging into the capsids, respectively [23-25]. C capsids are mature capsids

(nucleocapsids) containing viral DNA genomes reported to be selectively exported to the

cytoplasm [26-29]. B capsids accumulated aberrantly in subpopulation f3 and most (90.1%)

nuclear capsids in this subpopulation were B capsids (Fig. 6 and S-Fig. 8). The frequency

of B capsids in subpopulation f3 was significantly higher than in subpopulations f4 and

f5 (Fig. 6B). In contrast, the frequency of C capsids in subpopulation f3 was significantly

lower than in subpopulations f4 and f5 (Fig. 6B). In agreement with this and previous

reports that C capsids are selectively exported to the cytoplasm [26-29], the frequency of

virions in the perinuclear space and cytoplasm in subpopulation f3 was significantly lower

than in subpopulations f4 and f5 (Fig. 6C). The frequency of A capsids in subpopulation

f3 was comparable to those in subpopulations f4 and f5 (Fig. 6B). The frequencies of each

type of nuclear capsid in subpopulations f4 and f5 were similar to those reported

previously in wild-type HSV-1-infected HeLa cells [30]. Furthermore, the aberrant

accumulation of B capsids and lack of nuclear C capsids and virions in the perinuclear

space and cytoplasm in subpopulation f3 were also observed at 8h post-infection (S-Figs.

5 and 9). These features of subpopulation f3 were similar to the phenotypes of HSV-

mutants with defective viral DNA genome cleavage/packaging including those lacking

the portal protein UL6, a terminase subunit (UL15, UL33 or UL28) or a minor capsid

protein (UL17 or UL32) [31-37].

Collectively, these results suggested that the cleavage/packaging of HSV-1 DNA

genome was specifically down-regulated in subpopulations below the threshold and that

this viral step was a rate-limiting step for progeny virus production.

A subset of HSV-1 L proteins including components of viral terminase have

unique protein expression profiles. We compared global HSV-1 L protein abundance

in each subpopulation by LC-MS/MS, and there was a strong correlation between the

Venus-Us11 fluorescence intensities and relative abundance of most HSV-1 L proteins

detected (Fig. 2B). Therefore, we focused on the abundance profiles of the bottom 10

HSV-1 L proteins with a relatively low correlation (Fig. 7A). The abundance profiles of

several of these HSV-1 L proteins including UL25, UL3, UL4, UL33, Us10, and UL15

(Fig. 7A) differed from those of other HSV-1 L proteins whose abundance continuously

increased in subpopulations f1 to f6 (S-Fig. 1B). The abundance of these L proteins in

subpopulations f1 to f3 were very low and remained relatively constant, but were

increased in subpopulations f4 to f6.

Next, to quantitatively evaluate the delayed increase in the abundance of L

protein in the subpopulations, a four-parameter logistic regression was used for curve

fitting of these profiles 24 h after infection (S-Fig. 10). The x-axis value at which the y-

axis value increased by $\log_{10}2$ (2-fold increase in linear scale) from the starting point

(x=0) for each curve was defined as the threshold value (TV) (S-Fig. 10 and Fig. 7B). Fig.

7C shows the top 10 proteins with the highest TV identified in this analysis. Of particular

interest, these included all the subunits of HSV-1 terminase including UL15, UL33, UL28

and the HSV-1 portal protein UL6, all of which were reported to be required for viral

DNA genome cleavage/packaging[31-34,36]. These results indicated that the expressions of

a fraction of L proteins including all the subunits of HSV-1 terminase and the viral portal

protein lacked in subpopulations f1 to f3, unlike that of other L proteins, suggesting the

expressions of the L proteins are somehow downregulated in subpopulations below the

threshold.

DISCUSSION

Single-cell analyses have identified new subpopulations of virus-infected cells with

similar viral gene expression profiles [15-17]. However, the use of single-cell analyses to

investigate both viral gene expression and progeny virus production is limited. Thus,

single-cell analyses for both viral RNA synthesis and progeny virus production have been

reported for some RNA viruses [10,12,14]; a recent study reported that influenza virus

transcription and progeny virus production were poorly correlated at the single cell level

[14], and other studies did not focus on the relationship between viral transcription and virus

progeny production at the single cell level [10,12]. Furthermore, protein expression and virus

progeny production at the single-cell or subpopulation level have not been investigated

to date. This study established a system to fractionate HSV-1-infected cells based on

global HSV-1 L protein expression levels and to titrate progeny virus yields in these

fractions. This established system enabled us to clarify, for the first time, the direct and

quantitative relationship between viral protein expression and progeny virus yields, and

the clarified relationship indicated a threshold for HSV-1 L protein expression levels for

progeny virus production. Such a threshold has not been reported by classical time course

studies of most viruses at the entire population level by analyzing the relationship between

viral gene expression and progeny virus yield. In contrast, once the levels of HSV-1 L

protein expression exceeded the threshold, they were highly correlated with infectious

progeny virus yields as reported by our (Fig. 3B) and other previous studies at the entire

population level [4,6].

The threshold clarified in this study led us to identify the HSV-1 genome DNA

cleavage/packaging step was a rate-limiting step for progeny virus production.

Supporting this, MS analysis of the global expression of HSV-1 L proteins at the

subpopulation level showed the expression of a subset of HSV-1 L proteins including all

components of viral terminase and portal protein lacked in subpopulations below the

threshold. Conceivably, an anti-viral strategy targeting this bottleneck step for HSV-1

production might block progeny virus production effectively. HSV-1 genome DNA

cleavage/packaging, which is largely mediated by a specific viral enzyme HSV-1

terminase, is essential for viral replication [31,33,34,36], and most targets of antiviral drugs

successfully developed to date are viral specific enzymes [38]. Our study at the

subpopulation level using this newly established system revealed an ideal target for the

development of anti-viral drugs. Notably, the newly established system is simple and

widely applicable for studies of the direct relationship between viral gene expression and

progeny virus yield of many other DNA and RNA viruses, which might provide specific

and conserved insights into mechanisms of progeny virus production in these viruses. It

will be particularly interesting to determine whether the rate-limiting step identified in

the progeny virus production of HSV-1 is conserved in adenoviruses, which seem to

utilize similar DNA genome packaging systems [39].

It has long been recognized that much fewer virions are present in the cytoplasm

of HSV-1-infected cells compared with the nucleus [40-42]. Our conclusion that the HSV-1

genome DNA cleavage/packaging step is a rate-limiting step for progeny virus production

is in agreement with these observations as well as earlier reports demonstrating C capsids

are selectively exported to the cytoplasm [26-29]. The ate-limiting step identified in this study

also suggests a sophisticated strategy of efficient progeny virus production by HSV-1

without accumulating progeny nucleocapsids in the cytoplasm, which enables evasion

from cytosolic sensors related to innate immune responses. In the cytoplasm, HSV-1

nucleocapsids are degraded by proteasomes [43], and viral DNAs are likely to be sensed by

cytosolic sensors including cGAS, AIM2, DAI, and RNA polymerase III, which promote

innate immune responses [44-49]. Here we showed that, in subpopulation f3, which was

likely slightly below the threshold, HSV-1 genome DNA replication had already reached

a plateau and B capsid formation was easily observed although C capsid formation was

barely detectable. In contrast, in subpopulation f4, which was likely slightly over the

threshold, C capsids and all types of virions in the perinuclear space and the cytoplasm

were evident. These observations suggested that downregulating the HSV-1 DNA

cleavage/packaging might promote the accumulation of nucleocapsid components

including capsids and viral genome DNAs in the nucleus as well as other viral proteins

required for virion maturation at the NMs and in the cytoplasm at a level sufficient for

efficient progeny virus production. Once the viral genome is packaged into a capsid, the

following steps for virion maturation might immediately proceed without accumulating

any excess nucleocapsids in the cytoplasm, decreasing the chance for HSV-1 to be sensed

by innate immune responses in the cytoplasm. IFI16 and hnRNPA2B1 sense HSV-1

genome DNA and promote innate immune responses in the nucleus [50-52]. However,

replicated HSV-1 genome DNAs and nucleocapsids appear to accumulate in the nucleus

of HSV-1-infected cells [19,53,54], suggesting this virus has evolved more effective evasion

mechanisms against innate immune responses in the nucleus such that ICP0 counteracts

IFI16 [50] and probably, against capsid degradation than those in the cytoplasm.

We noted that overall viral mRNA levels increased from the f1 subpopulation

and almost reached a plateau between subpopulations f2 and f3 (S-Fig. 4B). In contrast,

viral L protein levels continued to increase from the f1 to f6 subpopulations (S-Fig. 1B).

Thus, there was a lack of correlation between viral L mRNA levels and viral L protein

levels especially in fractions f4 to f6. In agreement with these observations, previous

systematic studies quantifying mRNA and protein levels at the genomic scale reported

the importance of multiple processes beyond the mRNA concentration that contributed to

establishing the level of a protein [55]. These processes include translation rates, translation

rate modulation, modulation of a protein's stability, protein synthesis delay, and protein

transport [55]. Interestingly, it was reported that HSV-1 VP22 promoted global viral protein

expression [56,57], potentially by reducing the dependence of protein synthesis upon cellular

ribosomal proteins to support translation during infection [58], and by promoting the

translocation of viral L mRNAs to the cytoplasm [59]. HSV-1 might evolve VP22 to

promote global viral translation efficiency in specific circumstances such as in

subfractions f4 to f6, in which amounts of viral mRNAs are saturated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Viruses. HeLa, U2OS, HFFF-2, HaCaT, and Vero cells were described

previously [20,60]. Wild-type HSV-1(F) was described previously [61].

Generation of a recombinant virus. Recombinant virus YK410

(rICP47/vUs11) in which ICP47 and Us11 were tagged with TagRFP [62] and VenusA206K

[63], respectively, were generated by two rounds of two-step Red-mediated mutagenesis

using *Escherichia coli* GS1783 containing pYEbac102Cre [61,64], a full-length infectious

HSV-1(F) clone, pBS-Venus-KanS [20], pFlag-TagRFP-KanS, and the primers listed in S-

Table 1. The viruses used in this study were propagated and titrated in Vero cells.

Plasmids. pFlag-TagRFP was constructed by amplifying the TagRFP open

reading frame (ORF) to introduce a *Kpn*I site without changing the amino acid sequence

by PCR from pTagRFP-N1 [65] and cloning it into pFlag-CMV2 (Sigma). pFlag-TagRFP-

KanS, used in the two-step Red-mediated mutagenesis procedure, was constructed by

amplifying the domain of pEP-KanS [66] carrying the I-*Sce*I site and the kanamycin

resistance gene by PCR from pEP-KanS using the primers 5'-

GCGGTACCGTGAACAACCACCACTTCAAAGGATGACGACGATAAGTAGGG-

3' and 5'-

GCGGTACCCTCCATGTACAGCTTCATGTCAACCAATTAACCAATTCTGATTA

G-3' and cloning it into the *Kpn*I site of pFlag-TagRFP. pGEX-ICP47 was constructed

by amplifying the ICP47 ORF by PCR from the HSV-1(F) genome and cloning it into

pGEX-4T-1.

Antibodies. Commercial antibodies used in this study included mouse

monoclonal antibody to α-tubulin (DM1A; Sigma), and rabbit monoclonal antibodies to

GFP (598; MBL) and TagRFP (AB233; Evrogen). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies to US11

were described previously [41]. To generate a rabbit polyclonal antibody to ICP47, a rabbit

was immunized, according to the standard protocol at MBL, with GST-ICP47 expressed

in *E. coli* and purified as described previously [20]. Serum from the immunized rabbit was

used as the anti-ICP47 polyclonal antibody.

Immunoblotting. Immunoblotting was performed as described previously [67].

Flow cytometry. HeLa cells infected with HSV-1(F) or YK410 (rICP47/vUs11)

were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and detached with 0.25%

trypsin/EDTA solution (Wako). Then, the cells were suspended in PBS containing 2%

FCS, filtered through a 35-μm pore-cell strainer (#352235, Corning) and subjected to

FACS analysis with BD FACS Melody (Becton Dickinson). The data were analyzed with

BD FACSChorus (Becton Dickinson) software or FlowJo 10.8.1 software (Becton

Dickinson).

388   **Determination of viral titer in cells fractionated by cell sorting.** HeLa cells

389 were infected with YK410 (rICP47/vUs11) at an MOI of 5. At the indicated times after

390 infection, cells were detached and suspended as described above. Then, 1.5×10^4 to $3 \times$

391 10^4 cells in the f1 to f6 subpopulations, and the entire cell population (FSC-singlet), were

392 sorted into medium 199 containing 1% FCS by FACS Melody (Becton Dickinson). The

393 sorted cells were freeze-thawed once, sonicated, and virus titers were determined by

394 plaque assay using Vero cells. The average virus titer per single cell was obtained by

395 dividing the obtained virus titer by the number of sorted cells. Data are presented as the

396 PFU per 10^4 cells.

397   **Calculation of the proportion of virus titers in each subpopulation and the**

398 **virtual titer.** PFU_{fi}, which represents the viral titer of each subpopulation fi (i = 1 to 6),

399 was obtained using the following equation:

400 $PFU_{fi} = PFU_{fi/cell} \times 10^4 \times PR_{Fi} / 100$

401 where $PFU_{fi/cell}$ is the average viral titer per single cell belonging to the fi subpopulation

402 (from Fig. 3F and G) and PR_{fi} is the proportion (%) of cells in the fi fraction in the entire

403 population (from Fig. 3D). The virtual titer (VT), which represents the sum of PFU_{fi} was

404 obtained as follows:

405 $VT = PFU_{f1} + PFU_{f2} + PFU_{f3} + PFU_{f4} + PFU_{f5} + PFU_{f6}$

% of PFU$_{Pi}$, which represents the proportion of viral titers in the fi subpopulation

accounted for in the entire population (Fig. 3E) was obtained using the following

equation:

% of PFU$_{fi}$ = PFU$_{fi}$ / VT × 100

LC-MS/MS analysis of cells fractionated by cell sorting. HeLa cells were

infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 for 24 h. Then, cells were detached and

suspended as described above. Next, 10^5 cells in the f1 to f6 subpopulation were sorted

into PBS by BD FACS Melody (Becton Dickinson), pelleted by centrifugation, and lysed

with lysis buffer (0.1 M of Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1% SDS). To remove SDS from samples,

we used the methanol-chloroform protein precipitation method. Briefly, we added 4

volumes of methanol, 1 volume of chloroform, and 3 volumes of water to the eluted

sample and mixed thoroughly. The samples were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 10 min,

the water phase was carefully removed, and then 4 volumes of methanol were added to

the samples. The samples were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant

was removed. The pellet was washed once with 100% ice-cold acetone. The precipitated

protein was re-dissolved in guanidine hydrochloride, reduced with TCEP, alkylated with

iodoacetamide, and digested with lysyl endopeptidase and trypsin. The resulting digested

peptides were analyzed using an Evosep One LC system (EVOSEP) connected to a Q-

Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo) with a Dream spray tip (AMR) and a 15 cm

× 150 μm column packed with 1.9-μm C18-beads (Evosep). The mobile phases were

comprised of 0.1% FA as solution A and 0.1% FA/99.9% ACN as solution B. The

analysis was performed in the data-dependent acquisition mode, where the top 25

recorded mass spectrometry spectra between 380 and 1500 m/z were selected. Survey

scans were acquired at a resolution of 60,000 at m/z 200, and the tandem mass

spectrometry (MS/MS) resolution was set to 15,000 at m/z 200. All MS/MS spectra were

searched against the protein sequences of the HSV protein database and human Swiss-

Prot database using Proteome Discoverer 2.2 (Thermo) with the SEQUEST search engine,

and the result of HSV proteins was extracted. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to

1% on peptide spectrum match (PSM).

Data processing of LC-MS/MS data. Normalization was performed on

quantitative values using the method built into the Discoverer 2.2 software. Briefly, the

Total Peptide Amount mode was used to normalize between samples, and the On All

Average mode was used to normalize between identified proteins. The subsequent

analysis was performed using only the extracted data for HSV proteins. The

normalization method in each mode was as follows. The Total Peptide Amount mode

sums the peptide group abundances for each sample and determines the maximum sum

for all files. The normalization factor is the factor of the sum of the samples and the

maximum sum in all files. The On All Average mode aggregates all the abundance or

normalized abundance values per sample and scales the abundance values of each sample

so that the average of all samples is 100. When a peptide was not detected in four technical

replicates from one sample of each subpopulation, the protein was considered

undetectable in that subpopulation. When a peptide was not detected in a replicate of each

subpopulation, the missing value was complemented with the mean value of abundances

in technical replicates where the peptide was detected. The mean value of abundances

from four technical replicates were used as the value of a single experiment. The relative

abundance of each HSV-1 L protein was calculated relative to the subpopulation with the

lowest mean abundance for two biologically independent experiments as 1.

RNA-sequencing in cells fractionated by cell sorting. HeLa cells were

infected with YK410 (rICP47/vUs11) at an MOI of 5 for 24 h. Then, cells were detached

and suspended as described above. Next, 5×10^5 cells in the f1 to f6 subpopulations were

sorted into PBS by BD FACS Melody (Becton Dickinson). After centrifugation, total

RNA from fractionated cells was isolated with a High Pure RNA Isolation kit (Roche).

(i) Each cDNA was generated using a Clontech SMART-Seq HT Kit (Takara Clontech,

Mountain View, CA, USA), and each library was prepared using a Nextera XT DNA

Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA). Sequencing was performed on the

DNBSEQ-G400 platform in the 100+100-base paired-end mode. (ii) Generated reads

were a mixture of human reads and HSV-1 reads. For human data, generated reads were

mapped to the human (hg19) reference genome using TopHat v2.1.1 combined with

Bowtie2 ver. 2.2.8 and SAMtools ver. 0.1.18. For HSV-1, generated reads were mapped

to the HSV-1 (GenBank: GU734771.1) reference genome using HISAT2 v2.1.0. (iii) The

quantification to obtain the read counts of each gene from HSV-1 was performed using

featureCounts of subread-2.0.0 with option -M --fraction. With the length data of each

gene (another output of the featureCounts), fragments per kilobase of exon per million

mapped fragments (FPKMs) were calculated according to the definition. The FPKM of

HSV-1 genes was multiplied by (total number of mapped reads of the HSV-1

genome)/{(total number of mapped reads of the human genome) + (total number of

mapped reads of the HSV-1 genome)}, normalized by the amount relative to the value of

the f1 fraction.

Quantification of HSV-1 DNA in cells fractionated by cell sorting. HeLa cells

were infected with YK410 (rICP47/vUs11) at an MOI of 5 for 24 h. Then, cells were

detached and suspended as described above. Next, 5×10^4 cells in the f1 to f6

subpopulations were sorted into PBS by BD FACS Melody (Becton Dickinson). After

centrifugation, total DNA from fractionated cells was isolated with NucleoSpin Tissue

XS (TAKARA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The amount of HSV-1

DNA was quantified using the Universal Probe Library (Roche) with TaqMan Master

(Roche) and the LightCycler 96 System (Roche) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Primers and probes were designed using probe finder software within the

region encoding ICP27 in the HSV-1 genome, and within the region encoding 18S rRNA

in the human genome. The primer and probe sequences for ICP27 (for HSV-1 DNA) were

5′-TCCGACAGCGATCTGGAC-3′, 5′-TCCGACGAGGAACACTCC-3′, and Universal

ProbeLibrary probe 56, and for 18S rRNA (for human DNA) the sequences were 5′-

GCAATTATTCCCCATGAACG-3′,   5′-GGGACTTAATCAACGCAAGC-3′,   and

Universal ProbeLibrary probe 48. The ΔCt was calculated by subtracting the Ct value of

HSV-1 DNA by the Ct value of human DNA. The relative amount of HSV-1 DNA to

human DNA ($2^{-\Delta Ct}$) was normalized relative to the value of the f1 subpopulation.

Electron microscopic analysis of cells fractionated by cell sorting. HeLa cells

were infected with YK410 (rICP47/vUs11) at an MOI of 5 for 8 h or 24 h. Then, cells

were detached and suspended as described above. Next, 5×10^5 to 1×10^6 cells in the f2

to f4 (8 h) or f2 to f5 (24 h) subpopulations were sorted by BD FACS Melody (Becton

Dickinson). After centrifugation, the pelleted cells were examined by ultrathin-section

electron microscopy as described previously [68] except using JEM-1400 Flash microscope

(JEOL).

Statistical analysis. The unpaired Student's *t*-test was used to compare two

groups. One-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey multiple comparisons test, or Mann-

Whitney *U*-test followed by Bonferroni correction were used for multiple comparisons.

A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For the statistical comparison

of viral titers, data were converted to common logarithms ($\log_{10}$). Pearson correlation

coefficients (r) and p-values were calculated on $\log_{10}$ transformed data. GraphPad Prism

10 (GraphPad Software) was used to perform statistical analyses.

Classification of HSV-1 genes. HSV-1 genes were classified into IE, E, and L

genes as described previously [19].

Curve fitting. To describe the abundance profiles of HSV-1 L proteins, we

mainly used the following mathematical model developed by dose response modeling [69].

509
$$f(x) = c + \frac{d - c}{1 + \exp\big(b(x - a)\big)} \qquad (1)$$

The variable $f(x)$ is the abundance profiles of HSV-1 L proteins. The parameter a is

the inflection point of the curve for this model, that is, the point where a change in

acceleration in the curve occurs. The parameter b is a slope parameter and the

parameters c and d are the lower and upper horizontal asymptotes or limits,

respectively.

Fitting was performed by drc package in R, which estimates the parameters with

nonlinear least squares under the assumption of normally distributed response values [70].

REFERENCES

1. Whelan, S. (2013). Viral Replication Strategies. In Fields virology, D.M. Knipe, P.M. Howley, J.I. Cohen, D.E. Griffin, R.A. Lamb, M.A. Martin, V.R. Racaniello, and B. Roizman, eds. (Lippincott-Williams & Wilkins), pp. 105-126.

2. Brown, D.M., Kauder, S.E., Cornell, C.T., Jang, G.M., Racaniello, V.R., and Semler, B.L. (2004). Cell-dependent role for the poliovirus 3' noncoding region in positive-strand RNA synthesis. J Virol *78*, 1344-1351. 10.1128/jvi.78.3.1344-1351.2004.

3. Kim, D., Kim, S., Park, J., Chang, H.R., Chang, J., Ahn, J., Park, H., Park, J., Son, N., Kang, G., et al. (2021). A high-resolution temporal atlas of the SARS-CoV-2 translatome and transcriptome. Nat Commun *12*, 5120. 10.1038/s41467-021-25361-5.

4. Lloyd, M.G., Yee, M.B., Flot, J.S., Liu, D., Geiler, B.W., Kinchington, P.R., and Moffat, J.F. (2022). Development of Robust Varicella Zoster Virus Luciferase Reporter Viruses for In Vivo Monitoring of Virus Growth and Its Antiviral Inhibition in Culture, Skin, and Humanized Mice. Viruses *14*. 10.3390/v14040826.

5. Ouwendijk, W.J.D., Dekker, L.J.M., van den Ham, H.J., Lenac Rovis, T., Haefner, E.S., Jonjic, S., Haas, J., Luider, T.M., and Verjans, G. (2020). Analysis of Virus and Host Proteomes During Productive HSV-1 and VZV Infection in Human Epithelial Cells. Front Microbiol *11*, 1179. 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01179.

6. Tamura, T., Fukuhara, T., Uchida, T., Ono, C., Mori, H., Sato, A., Fauzyah, Y., Okamoto, T., Kurosu, T., Setoh, Y.X., et al. (2018). Characterization of Recombinant

Flaviviridae Viruses Possessing a Small Reporter Tag. J Virol *92*. 10.1128/JVI.01582-

17.

7.   Delbruck, M. (1945). The burst size distribution in the growth of bacterial viruses

(bacteriophages). J Bacteriol *50*, 131-135. 10.1128/JB.50.2.131-135.1945.

8.   Zhu, Y., Yongky, A., and Yin, J. (2009). Growth of an RNA virus in single cells reveals

a broad fitness distribution. Virology *385*, 39-46. 10.1016/j.virol.2008.10.031.

9.   Timm, A., and Yin, J. (2012). Kinetics of virus production from single cells. Virology

424, 11-17. 10.1016/j.virol.2011.12.005.

10.   Schulte, M.B., and Andino, R. (2014). Single-cell analysis uncovers extensive

biological noise in poliovirus replication. J Virol *88*, 6205-6212. 10.1128/JVI.03539-

13.

11.   Combe, M., Garijo, R., Geller, R., Cuevas, J.M., and Sanjuan, R. (2015). Single-Cell

Analysis of RNA Virus Infection Identifies Multiple Genetically Diverse Viral

Genomes within Single Infectious Units. Cell Host Microbe *18*, 424-432.

10.1016/j.chom.2015.09.009.

12.   Heldt, F.S., Kupke, S.Y., Dorl, S., Reichl, U., and Frensing, T. (2015). Single-cell

analysis and stochastic modelling unveil large cell-to-cell variability in influenza A

virus infection. Nat Commun *6*, 8938. 10.1038/ncomms9938.

13.   Wildy, P., Stoker, M.G.P., and Ross, R.W. (1959). Release of Herpes Virus from

Solitary HeLa Cells. Journal of General Microbiology *20*, 105-112. 10.1099/00221287-

20-1-105.

14. Bacsik, D.J., Dadonaite, B., Butler, A., Greaney, A.J., Heaton, N.S., and Bloom, J.D.

(2023). Influenza virus transcription and progeny production are poorly correlated in

single cells. Elife *12*. 10.7554/eLife.86852.

15. Drayman, N., Patel, P., Vistain, L., and Tay, S. (2019). HSV-1 single-cell analysis

reveals the activation of anti-viral and developmental programs in distinct sub-

populations. Elife *8*. 10.7554/eLife.46339.

16. Ku, C., Sheyn, U., Sebe-Pedros, A., Ben-Dor, S., Schatz, D., Tanay, A., Rosenwasser,

S., and Vardi, A. (2020). A single-cell view on alga-virus interactions reveals

sequential transcriptional programs and infection states. Sci Adv *6*, eaba4137.

10.1126/sciadv.aba4137.

17. Suomalainen, M., and Greber, U.F. (2021). Virus Infection Variability by Single-Cell

Profiling. Viruses *13*. 10.3390/v13081568.

18. Knipe, D.M., Heldwein, E.E., Mohr, I.J., Sodroski, C.N., Whitley, R.J., and Johnston,

C. (2022). Herpes Simplex Viruses: Pathogenesis and Clinical Insights. In Fields

virology, P.M. Howley, D.M. Knipe, B. Damania, J.I. Cohen, S.P.J. Whelan, E.O.

Freed, and L. Enquist, eds. (Lippincott-Williams & Wilkins), pp. 297-323.

19. Roizman, B., Knipe, D.M., and Whitley, R.J. (2013). Herpes simplex viruses. In Fields

virology, D.M. Knipe, P.M. Howley, J.I. Cohen, D.E. Griffin, R.A. Lamb, M.A. Martin,

V.R. Racaniello, and B. Roizman, eds. (Lippincott-Williams & Wilkins), pp. 1823-

1897.

20. Kato, A., Adachi, S., Kawano, S., Takeshima, K., Watanabe, M., Kitazume, S., Sato,

R., Kusano, H., Koyanagi, N., Maruzuru, Y., et al. (2020). Identification of a herpes

simplex virus 1 gene encoding neurovirulence factor by chemical proteomics. Nat

Commun *11*, 4894. 10.1038/s41467-020-18718-9.

21.   Whisnant, A.W., Jurges, C.S., Hennig, T., Wyler, E., Prusty, B., Rutkowski, A.J.,

L'Hernault, A., Djakovic, L., Gobel, M., Doring, K., et al. (2020). Integrative

functional genomics decodes herpes simplex virus 1. Nat Commun *11*, 2038.

10.1038/s41467-020-15992-5.

22.   Cohen, E.M., Avital, N., Shamay, M., and Kobiler, O. (2020). Abortive herpes simplex

virus infection of nonneuronal cells results in quiescent viral genomes that can

reactivate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 635-640. 10.1073/pnas.1910537117.

23.   Cardone, G., Heymann, J.B., Cheng, N., Trus, B.L., and Steven, A.C. (2012).

Procapsid assembly, maturation, nuclear exit: dynamic steps in the production of

infectious herpesvirions. Adv Exp Med Biol *726*, 423-439. 10.1007/978-1-4614-0980-

9_19.

24.   Homa, F.L. (1997). Capsid assembly and DNA packaging in herpes simplex virus.

Reviews in medical virology *7*, 107-122.

25.   Baines, J.D. (2011). Herpes simplex virus capsid assembly and DNA packaging: a

present and future antiviral drug target. Trends Microbiol *19*, 606-613.

10.1016/j.tim.2011.09.001.

26.   Takeshima, K., Arii, J., Maruzuru, Y., Koyanagi, N., Kato, A., and Kawaguchi, Y.

(2019). Identification of the Capsid Binding Site in the Herpes Simplex Virus 1

Nuclear Egress Complex and Its Role in Viral Primary Envelopment and Replication.

J Virol *93*. 10.1128/JVI.01290-19.

27.   Yang, K., and Baines, J.D. (2011). Selection of HSV capsids for envelopment involves

605   interaction between capsid surface components pUL31, pUL17, and pUL25. Proc

606   Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 14276-14281. 10.1073/pnas.1108564108.

607   28.   Yang, K., Wills, E., Lim, H.Y., Zhou, Z.H., and Baines, J.D. (2014). Association of

608   herpes simplex virus pUL31 with capsid vertices and components of the capsid

609   vertex-specific complex. J Virol *88*, 3815-3825. 10.1128/JVI.03175-13.

610   29.   Johnson, D.C., and Baines, J.D. (2011). Herpesviruses remodel host membranes for

611   virus egress. Nat Rev Microbiol *9*, 382-394. 10.1038/nrmicro2559.

612   30.   Khadivjam, B., Bonneil, E., Thibault, P., and Lippe, R. (2023). RNA helicase DDX3X

613   modulates herpes simplex virus 1 nuclear egress. Commun Biol *6*, 134.

614   10.1038/s42003-023-04522-w.

615   31.   Heming, J.D., Conway, J.F., and Homa, F.L. (2017). Herpesvirus Capsid Assembly

616   and DNA Packaging. Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol *223*, 119-142. 10.1007/978-3-319-

617   53168-7_6.

618   32.   Patel, A.H., Rixon, F.J., Cunningham, C., and Davison, A.J. (1996). Isolation and

619   characterization of herpes simplex virus type 1 mutants defective in the UL6 gene.

620   Virology *217*, 111-123. 10.1006/viro.1996.0098.

621   33.   Baines, J.D., Cunningham, C., Nalwanga, D., and Davison, A. (1997). The U(L)15

622   gene of herpes simplex virus type 1 contains within its second exon a novel open

623   reading frame that is translated in frame with the U(L)15 gene product. J Virol *71*,

624   2666-2673. 10.1128/JVI.71.4.2666-2673.1997.

625   34.   Yang, K., Dang, X., and Baines, J.D. (2017). A Domain of Herpes Simplex Virus

626   pU(L)33 Required To Release Monomeric Viral Genomes from Cleaved Concatemeric

627   DNA. J Virol *91*. 10.1128/JVI.00854-17.

628   35.   Salmon, B., Cunningham, C., Davison, A.J., Harris, W.J., and Baines, J.D. (1998).

629   The herpes simplex virus type 1 U(L)17 gene encodes virion tegument proteins that

630   are required for cleavage and packaging of viral DNA. J Virol *72*, 3779-3788.

631   10.1128/JVI.72.5.3779-3788.1998.

632   36.   Tengelsen, L.A., Pederson, N.E., Shaver, P.R., Wathen, M.W., and Homa, F.L. (1993).

633   Herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA cleavage and encapsidation require the product of

634   the UL28 gene: isolation and characterization of two UL28 deletion mutants. J Virol

635   *67*, 3470-3480. 10.1128/JVI.67.6.3470-3480.1993.

636   37.   Lamberti, C., and Weller, S.K. (1998). The herpes simplex virus type 1

637   cleavage/packaging protein, UL32, is involved in efficient localization of capsids to

638   replication compartments. J Virol *72*, 2463-2473. 10.1128/JVI.72.3.2463-2473.1998.

639   38.   Tompa, D.R., Immanuel, A., Srikanth, S., and Kadhirvel, S. (2021). Trends and

640   strategies to combat viral infections: A review on FDA approved antiviral drugs. Int

641   J Biol Macromol *172*, 524-541. 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.01.076.

642   39.   Ahi, Y.S., and Mittal, S.K. (2016). Components of Adenovirus Genome Packaging.

643   Front Microbiol *7*, 1503. 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01503.

644   40.   Lymberopoulos, M.H., Bourget, A., Ben Abdeljelil, N., and Pearson, A. (2011).

645   Involvement of the UL24 protein in herpes simplex virus 1-induced dispersal of B23

646   and in nuclear egress. Virology *412*, 341-348. 10.1016/j.virol.2011.01.016.

41. Takeshima, K., Maruzuru, Y., Koyanagi, N., Kato, A., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2022).

Redundant and Specific Roles of A-Type Lamins and Lamin B Receptor in Herpes

Simplex Virus 1 Infection. J Virol *96*, e0142922. 10.1128/jvi.01429-22.

42. Hutchinson, L., and Johnson, D.C. (1995). Herpes simplex virus glycoprotein K

promotes egress of virus particles. J Virol *69*, 5401-5413. 10.1128/JVI.69.9.5401-

5413.1995.

43. Horan, K.A., Hansen, K., Jakobsen, M.R., Holm, C.K., Soby, S., Unterholzner, L.,

Thompson, M., West, J.A., Iversen, M.B., Rasmussen, S.B., et al. (2013). Proteasomal

degradation of herpes simplex virus capsids in macrophages releases DNA to the

cytosol for recognition by DNA sensors. J Immunol *190*, 2311-2319.

10.4049/jimmunol.1202749.

44. Chiu, Y.H., Macmillan, J.B., and Chen, Z.J. (2009). RNA polymerase III detects

cytosolic DNA and induces type I interferons through the RIG-I pathway. Cell *138*,

576-591. 10.1016/j.cell.2009.06.015.

45. Takaoka, A., Wang, Z., Choi, M.K., Yanai, H., Negishi, H., Ban, T., Lu, Y., Miyagishi,

M., Kodama, T., Honda, K., et al. (2007). DAI (DLM-1/ZBP1) is a cytosolic DNA sensor

and an activator of innate immune response. Nature *448*, 501-505.

10.1038/nature06013.

46. Sun, L., Wu, J., Du, F., Chen, X., and Chen, Z.J. (2013). Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase

is a cytosolic DNA sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. Science *339*,

786-791. 10.1126/science.1232458.

47.   Li, X.D., Wu, J., Gao, D., Wang, H., Sun, L., and Chen, Z.J. (2013). Pivotal roles of

669   cGAS-cGAMP signaling in antiviral defense and immune adjuvant effects. Science

670   *341*, 1390-1394. 10.1126/science.1244040.

671   48.   Maruzuru, Y., Ichinohe, T., Sato, R., Miyake, K., Okano, T., Suzuki, T., Koshiba, T.,

672   Koyanagi, N., Tsuda, S., Watanabe, M., et al. (2018). Herpes Simplex Virus 1 VP22

673   Inhibits AIM2-Dependent Inflammasome Activation to Enable Efficient Viral

674   Replication. Cell Host Microbe *23*, 254-265 e257. 10.1016/j.chom.2017.12.014.

675   49.   Lee, S., Karki, R., Wang, Y., Nguyen, L.N., Kalathur, R.C., and Kanneganti, T.D.

676   (2021). AIM2 forms a complex with pyrin and ZBP1 to drive PANoptosis and host

677   defence. Nature *597*, 415-419. 10.1038/s41586-021-03875-8.

678   50.   Orzalli, M.H., DeLuca, N.A., and Knipe, D.M. (2012). Nuclear IFI16 induction of IRF-

679   3 signaling during herpesviral infection and degradation of IFI16 by the viral ICP0

680   protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *109*, E3008-3017. 10.1073/pnas.1211302109.

681   51.   Li, T., Diner, B.A., Chen, J., and Cristea, I.M. (2012). Acetylation modulates cellular

682   distribution and DNA sensing ability of interferon-inducible protein IFI16. Proc Natl

683   Acad Sci U S A *109*, 10558-10563. 10.1073/pnas.1203447109.

684   52.   Wang, L., Wen, M., and Cao, X. (2019). Nuclear hnRNPA2B1 initiates and amplifies

685   the innate immune response to DNA viruses. Science *365*. 10.1126/science.aav0758.

686   53.   Morgan, C., Ellison, S.A., Rose, H.M., and Moore, D.H. (1954). Structure and

687   development of viruses as observed in the electron microscope. I. Herpes simplex

688   virus. J Exp Med *100*, 195-202. 10.1084/jem.100.2.195.

689   54.   de Bruyn Kops, A., and Knipe, D.M. (1988). Formation of DNA replication structures

690   in herpes virus-infected cells requires a viral DNA binding protein. Cell *55*, 857-868.

691   10.1016/0092-8674(88)90141-9.

692   55.   Liu, Y., Beyer, A., and Aebersold, R. (2016). On the Dependency of Cellular Protein

693   Levels on mRNA Abundance. Cell *165*, 535-550. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.014.

694   56.   Duffy, C., Mbong, E.F., and Baines, J.D. (2009). VP22 of herpes simplex virus 1

695   promotes protein synthesis at late times in infection and accumulation of a subset of

696   viral mRNAs at early times in infection. J Virol *83*, 1009-1017. 10.1128/JVI.02245-

697   07.

698   57.   Tanaka, M., Kato, A., Satoh, Y., Ide, T., Sagou, K., Kimura, K., Hasegawa, H., and

699   Kawaguchi, Y. (2012). Herpes simplex virus 1 VP22 regulates translocation of

700   multiple viral and cellular proteins and promotes neurovirulence. J Virol *86*, 5264-

701   5277. 10.1128/JVI.06913-11.

702   58.   Vink, E.I., Andrews, J., Duffy, C., and Mohr, I. (2021). Preventing translational

703   inhibition from ribosomal protein insufficiency by a herpes simplex virus-encoded

704   ribosome-associated protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *118*. 10.1073/pnas.2025546118.

705   59.   Pheasant, K., Moller-Levet, C.S., Jones, J., Depledge, D., Breuer, J., and Elliott, G.

706   (2018). Nuclear-cytoplasmic compartmentalization of the herpes simplex virus 1

707   infected cell transcriptome is co-ordinated by the viral endoribonuclease vhs and

708   cofactors to facilitate the translation of late proteins. PLoS Pathog *14*, e1007331.

709   10.1371/journal.ppat.1007331.

60.   Sato, Y., Kato, A., Maruzuru, Y., Oyama, M., Kozuka-Hata, H., Arii, J., and

Kawaguchi, Y. (2016). Cellular Transcriptional Coactivator RanBP10 and Herpes

Simplex Virus 1 ICP0 Interact and Synergistically Promote Viral Gene Expression

and Replication. J Virol *90*, 3173-3186. 10.1128/JVI.03043-15.

61.   Tanaka, M., Kagawa, H., Yamanashi, Y., Sata, T., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2003).

Construction of an excisable bacterial artificial chromosome containing a full-length

infectious clone of herpes simplex virus type 1: viruses reconstituted from the clone

exhibit wild-type properties in vitro and in vivo. J Virol *77*, 1382-1391.

10.1128/jvi.77.2.1382-1391.2003.

62.   Merzlyak, E.M., Goedhart, J., Shcherbo, D., Bulina, M.E., Shcheglov, A.S., Fradkov,

A.F., Gaintzeva, A., Lukyanov, K.A., Lukyanov, S., Gadella, T.W., and Chudakov,

D.M. (2007). Bright monomeric red fluorescent protein with an extended fluorescence

lifetime. Nat Methods *4*, 555-557. 10.1038/nmeth1062.

63.   Kremers, G.J., Goedhart, J., van Munster, E.B., and Gadella, T.W., Jr. (2006). Cyan

and yellow super fluorescent proteins with improved brightness, protein folding, and

FRET Forster radius. Biochemistry *45*, 6570-6580. 10.1021/bi0516273.

64.   Kato, A., Oda, S., Watanabe, M., Oyama, M., Kozuka-Hata, H., Koyanagi, N.,

Maruzuru, Y., Arii, J., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2018). Roles of the Phosphorylation of

Herpes Simplex Virus 1 UL51 at a Specific Site in Viral Replication and

Pathogenicity. J Virol *92*. 10.1128/JVI.01035-18.

65.   Arii, J., Takeshima, K., Maruzuru, Y., Koyanagi, N., Nakayama, Y., Kato, A., Mori,

Y., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2022). Role of the Arginine Cluster in the Disordered Domain

of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 UL34 for the Recruitment of ESCRT-III for Viral Primary

Envelopment. J Virol *96*, e0170421. 10.1128/JVI.01704-21.

66.   Tischer, B.K., von Einem, J., Kaufer, B., and Osterrieder, N. (2006). Two-step red-

mediated recombination for versatile high-efficiency markerless DNA manipulation

in Escherichia coli. Biotechniques *40*, 191-197. 10.2144/000112096.

67.   Kawaguchi, Y., Van Sant, C., and Roizman, B. (1997). Herpes simplex virus 1 alpha

regulatory protein ICP0 interacts with and stabilizes the cell cycle regulator cyclin

D3. J Virol *71*, 7328-7336. 10.1128/JVI.71.10.7328-7336.1997.

68.   Sagou, K., Imai, T., Sagara, H., Uema, M., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2009). Regulation of

the catalytic activity of herpes simplex virus 1 protein kinase Us3 by

autophosphorylation and its role in pathogenesis. J Virol *83*, 5773-5783.

10.1128/JVI.00103-09.

69.   Ritz, C. (2010). Toward a unified approach to dose-response modeling in ecotoxicology.

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry *29*, 220-229. 10.1002/etc.7.

70.   Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J.C., and Gerhard, D. (2015). Dose-Response Analysis

Using R. PLOS ONE *10*, e0146021. 10.1371/journal.pone.0146021.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Risa Abe, Tohru Ikegami and Yui Muto for their excellent technical assistance.

We acknowledge the NGS core facility of the Genome Information Research Center at

the Research Institute for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University for the support in RNA

sequencing and data analysis.

Funding: This study was supported by Grants for Scientific Research and Grant-in-Aid

for Scientific Research (S) (20H05692) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of

Science (JSPS), grants for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (21H00338,

21H00417, 22H04803) and a grant for Transformative Research Areas (22H05584) from

the Ministry of Education, Culture, Science, Sports and Technology of Japan, PRESTO

(JPMJPR22R5), SPRING (JPMJSP2018), MIRAI (JPMJMI22G1) and Moonshot R&D

(JPMJM2021, JPMJMS2025) from Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), grants

(JP20wm0125002, JP22fk0108640, JP22gm1610008, JP223fa627001, JP23wm0225031,

JP23wm0225035) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development

(AMED), grants from the International Joint Research Project of the Institute of Medical

Science, the University of Tokyo, and grants from the Takeda Science Foundation, the

Uehara Memorial Foundation and the Mitsubishi Foundation.

Author contributions: Conceptualization: MN, YM, YK; Methodology: MN, YM, YK;

Investigation: MN, YM, KT, FM, HK, TN, SA; Resources: MN, YM, KT, NK, AK;

Formal analysis: MN, YM, RY, TN, HP, YK, SI; Visualization: MN, YM, RY, TN, HP,

YK, SI; Funding acquisition: YM, SI, AK, YK; Writing – original draft: MN, YM, YK;

Supervision: YK

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper

are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The mass spectrometry data

were deposited in the Japan ProteOme STandard Repository (jPOST) under the ID

JPST002328. RNA-seq data have been deposited to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

with accession number GSE240449.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Characterization of the reporter HSV-1 rICP47/vUs11 generated in this study.

(A) Schematic diagram of the genome structure of wild-type HSV-1(F) and

rICP47/vUs11. Line 1, wild-type HSV-1(F) genome; line 2, domains of the Us11 and

ICP47 coding regions. The positions of insertion of TagRFP and Venus are indicated. (B)

HeLa cells mock-infected or infected for 24 h with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11

at an MOI of 5 were lysed and analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

(C) HeLa cells were infected at an MOI of 5 with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11.

Each cell culture supernatant plus the infected cells were harvested at the indicated times

post infection, and progeny viruses were assayed on Vero cells. (D) HeLa cells infected

for 24 h with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 were analyzed by

flow cytometry. (E) Quantitative bar graph of the proportion of cells in the

TagRFP/Venus (+/+), TagRFP/Venus (+/-), TagRFP/Venus (-/+), and TagRFP/Venus (-

/-) subpopulations shown in (D). (F) CV values for TagRFP-ICP47 and Venus-Us11

shown in (D). The data are representative of three independent experiments (B and D).

Each value is the mean ± standard error of the results of four (C) or three (E and F)

independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test.

ns, not significant (C and F).

Fig. 2. Abundance of HSV-1 L proteins in each subpopulation of rICP47/vUs11-

infected cells. (A) HeLa cells were infected for 24 h with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5

and sorted into six subpopulations (f1 to f6) by cell sorting as shown in S-Fig. 1A and

each subpopulation was analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The heatmap of $\log_{10}$ (relative

abundance) of 48 HSV-1 L proteins in each subpopulation is shown. Data are the mean

values from two biologically independent experiments. (B) Heatmap of the Pearson

correlation coefficient between $\log_{10}$ (MFI of Venus) of each subpopulation and $\log_{10}$

(relative abundance) of each HSV-1 L protein. P-values for the correlation coefficient of

all HSV-1 L proteins except Us8.5 shown in (B) were < 0.05.

Fig. 3. Quantitative analysis of a relationship between the expression levels of HSV-

1 L proteins and progeny virus yields. (A to G) HeLa cells were infected with

rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted as shown in S-Fig. 2. Sorted cells were sonicated

and virus titers were determined by plaque assay using Vero cells. (A) Kinetics of mean

fluorescent intensity (MFI) of Venus and progeny virus titers of the entire population. (B)

Scatter plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) from panel A. (C)

Kinetics of progeny virus titers of the entire population from panel A (actual) and sum of

the virus titers produced by f1 to f6 subpopulation (virtual_f1-f6). The calculation method

for virtual titers is described in the Materials and methods. (D) Proportion of cells in the

indicated subpopulation account for the entire cell population at the indicated times after

infection. (E) Proportion of virus titers produced by the f1 to f6 subpopulations account

for virus titers produced by the entire population at the indicated times after infection. (F)

Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells). (G) Scatter plots of

$\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) of the indicated subpopulations

separated by time after infection from panel F. Each value is the mean ± standard error

(A, C, D, E, F, and G) or individual value (B) of the results of three independent

experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test. ns, not

significant (C). Solid and dashed lines indicate 1 PFU/cell and 0.1 PFU/cell, respectively

(F and G).

Fig. 4. Cells expressing higher than specific levels of HSV-1 L proteins have a

predominant role in yielding progeny infectious viruses. (A) Kinetics of the sum of

the virus titers produced by f1 to f6 (virtual_f1-f6) or f4 to f6 (virtual_f4-f6)

subpopulations. (B) Kinetics of progeny virus titers of the entire population (actual) and

sum of the virus titers produced by f4 to f6 subpopulations (virtual_f4-f6). (C) Scatter

plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) of subpopulations. (D)

Progeny virus titers of the f4 to f6 subpopulations at the indicated times after infection.

Each value is the mean ± standard error (A, B and D) or individual value (C) of the results

of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired

Student's *t*-test. ns, not significant. All data are obtained from the experiment shown in

Fig. 3.

Fig. 5. Electron microscopic analysis of cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations. (A and

B) HeLa cells were infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted into four

subpopulations (f2 to f5) by cell sorting 24 h after infection. Sorted cells were fixed,

embedded, sectioned, stained, and examined by electron microscopy. (A) A transmission

electron microscopy image of cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations. n, nucleus; c, cytoplasm.

Scale bars = 500 nm. (B) The numbers of nuclear virions, enveloped virions in the

perinuclear space, naked capsids in the cytoplasm, and enveloped virions in the cytoplasm

of 11 cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars indicate

the means. Virions are marked in yellow.

Fig. 6. Frequencies of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of cells in the f2 to f5

subpopulations. (A) The numbers of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of 11 cells

analyzed in Fig. 4 in the f2 to f5 subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars

indicate the means. Proportions of A, B, and C capsids to nuclear capsids (B), or virions

in the perinuclear space and cytoplasm (C) of cells with more than two nuclear capsids

(f3, n = 9; f4, n = 11; f5, n = 11). Data are presented as the median ± interquartile range

(IQR). Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test, and P-values

were adjusted by Bonferroni correction. ns, not significant.

Fig. 7. Unique protein expression profiles of a subset of HSV-1 L proteins. (A) Scatter

plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(relative abundance) of L proteins (from S-

Fig. 1B) of the bottom 10 HSV-1 L proteins with relatively low correlation coefficients

between fluorescence intensity of Venus and their abundance (from Fig. 2B). Plots of

terminase subunits are marked with magenta squares. (B) Threshold value (TV) of 48 L

proteins (from S-Fig. 10). (C) Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs

$\log_{10}$(relative abundance) (from S-Fig. 1B) of the top 10 HSV-1 L proteins with highest

TV (from S-Fig. 10). Data of terminase subunits are shown in magenta.

Supplementary Fig. 1. Abundance of HSV-1 L proteins in each subpopulation of rICP47/vUs11 infected cells. (A) Gating strategy for the LC-MS/MS analysis of HeLa cells infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 for 24 h. (B) Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(relative abundances) of the 5 indicated HSV-1 IE proteins, 13 indicated E proteins, and 48 indicated L proteins. Each value is the mean of two biologically independent experiments. Individual value from two independent experiments is plotted as a gray circle.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Gating strategy for the experiments shown in Fig. 3. HeLa cells were infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5, analyzed by flow cytometry, and sorted into an entire cell population (FSC singlet) or f1 to f6 subpopulations by cell sorting at the indicated times after infection. Sorted cells were analyzed as shown in Fig 3.

Supplementary Fig. 3. Quantitative analysis of the relationship between the expression levels of HSV-1 L proteins and progeny virus yields at different MOIs. (A to G) HeLa cells infected with rICP47/vUs11 at the indicated MOI for 24 h, were sorted into f1 to f6 subpopulations (A), sonicated and virus titers were determined by plaque assay using Vero cells. (B) Scatter plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells). (C) Proportion of cells in the indicated subpopulations account for the entire cell population at the indicated MOIs. (D) Proportion of virus titers produced by the f1 to f6 subpopulations account for virus titers produced by the entire population

at the indicated times after infection. (E to G) Progeny virus titers of the entire population

(actual) and sum of the virus titers produced by f1 to f6 (virtual_f1-f6) or f4 to f6

(virtual_f4-f6) subpopulations at the indicated MOIs. The data are representative of five

independent experiments (A). Each value is the mean ± standard error of the results of

five independent experiments (B to G). Statistical analysis was performed by one-way

ANOVA followed by the Tukey test (E to G). n.s., not significant

Supplementary Fig. 4. Quantitative analysis of the relationship between the

expression levels of HSV-1 L proteins and progeny virus yields using different cell

lines. Vero (A to E), U2OS (F to J), HaCaT (K to O), or HFFF-2 (P to T) cells infected

with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 1 (A to E, and K to T) or 2.5 (F to J) for 12 h, were

sorted into f1 to f6 subpopulations (A, F, K, and P), sonicated, and virus titers were

determined by plaque assay using Vero cells. (B, G, L, and Q) Scatter plots of

$\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) of each subpopulation. (C, H, M,

and R) Proportion of cells in the f1 to f6 subpopulations account for the entire cell

population. (D, I, N, and S) Proportion of virus titers produced by each subpopulation

account for the virus titers produced by the entire cell population. (E, J, O, and T) Progeny

virus titers of the entire population (actual) and sum of the virus titers produced by f1 to

f6 or f4 to f6 subpopulations. The data are representative of three (F) or four (A, K, and

P) independent experiments. Each value is the mean ± standard error of the results of

three (G to J) or four (B to E, L to O, and Q to T) independent experiments. Solid and

dashed lines indicate 1 PFU/cell and 0.1 PFU/cell, respectively (B, G, L and Q). Statistical

analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test. n.s., not

significant (E, J, O, and T).

Supplementary Fig. 5. Electron microscopic analysis of cells in the f2 to f4

subpopulations at 8 h after infection. (A and B) HeLa cells were infected with

rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted into three subpopulations (f2 to f4) by cell

sorting 8 h after infection. Sorted cells were fixed, embedded, sectioned, stained, and

examined by electron microscopy. (A) A transmission electron microscopy image of cells

in the f2 to f4 subpopulations. n, nucleus; c, cytoplasm. Scale bar = 500 nm. (B) The

numbers of nuclear virions, enveloped virions in the perinuclear space, naked capsids in

the cytoplasm, and enveloped virions in the cytoplasm of 11 cells in the f2 to f4

subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars indicate the means. Enveloped

virions and naked capsids are marked in yellow.

Supplementary Fig. 6. f4 to f6 subpopulations have a predominant role in yielding

progeny infectious viruses. (A) Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs PFU/10^4

cells of the indicated subpopulation separated by time after infection from Fig. 3 panel G.

Scatter plots under the same conditions of electron microscopic analysis performed in Fig.

5 and S-Fig. 5 are shown in magenta. (B) Progeny virus titers of the f4 to f6

subpopulations at the indicated times after infection from Fig. 4 panel B. Statistical

analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test. n.s., not

significant.

Supplementary Fig. 7. Amount of HSV-1 DNA and mRNA in the f1 to f6

subpopulations. (A and B) HeLa cells were infected for 24 h with rICP47/vUs11 at an

MOI of 5 and sorted into six subpopulations (f1 to f6) by cell sorting. Relative amounts

of HSV-1 DNA (A) and mRNA (B) of selected genes in each subpopulation were

analyzed by quantitative PCR or RNA-seq, respectively. Scatter plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI

of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(relative amount of HSV-1 DNA) (A), or scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative

MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(relative FPKM) of the indicated HSV-1 genes (B) are shown.

Each value is the mean ± standard error of the results of three biologically independent

samples.

Supplementary Fig. 8. Frequency of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of cells in f2

to f5 subpopulations. A transmission electron microscopy image of cells in the f2 to f5

subpopulations. n, nucleus; c, cytoplasm from the experiment in Fig. 6. Type A capsids

are marked in green, B capsids in blue, and C capsids in red. Scale bars = 500 nm.

Supplementary Fig. 9. Frequencies of B and C capsids in the nucleus of cells in the

f2 to f4 subpopulations at 8 h after infection. (A) A transmission electron microscopy

image of cells in the f2 to f4 subpopulations. n, nucleus; c, cytoplasm from the experiment

in S-Fig. 6. Type A capsids are marked in green, B capsids in blue, and C capsids in red.

Scale bar = 500 nm. (B) The numbers of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of 11 cells

analyzed in S-Fig. 6 in the f2 to f4 subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars

indicate the means. Proportions of B and C capsids to nuclear capsids (C), or virions in

the perinuclear space and cytoplasm (D) of cells with more than two nuclear capsids (f3,

n = 11; f4, n = 11). Data are presented as the median ± interquartile range (IQR).

Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test.

Supplementary Fig. 10. Curve fitting to abundance profiles of HSV-1 L proteins.

Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(relative abundances) of the 48

indicated HSV-1 L proteins (from S-Fig. 1B) were fitted to a four-parameter logistic

regression curve. TVs are the x-axis value at which the y-axis value increases by $\log_{10}2$

(2-fold increase in linear scale) from the starting point (x = 0) for each curve. The grey

regions correspond to 95% confidence intervals. The average value of two biologically

independent experiments was used for curve fitting.

Fig. 1. Characterization of the reporter HSV-1 rICP47/vUs11 generated in this study. (A) Schematic diagram of the genome structure of wild-type HSV-1(F) and rICP47/vUs11. Line 1, wild-type HSV-1(F) genome; line 2, domains of the Us11 and ICP47 coding regions. The positions of insertion of TagRFP and Venus are indicated. (B) HeLa cells mock-infected or infected for 24 h with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 were lysed and analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. (C) HeLa cells were infected at an MOI of 5 with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11. Each cell culture supernatant plus the infected cells were harvested at the indicated times post infection, and progeny viruses were assayed on Vero cells. (D) HeLa cells infected for 24 h with wild-type HSV-1(F) or rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 were analyzed by flow cytometry. (E) Quantitative bar graph of the proportion of cells in the TagRFP/Venus (+/+), TagRFP/Venus (+/-), TagRFP/Venus (-/+), and TagRFP/Venus (-/-) subpopulations shown in (D). (F) CV values for TagRFP-ICP47 and Venus-Us11 shown in (D). The data are representative of three independent experiments (B and D). Each value is the mean ± standard error of the results of four (C) or three (E and F) independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's t-test. ns, not significant (C and F).

A

B

(Legend on next page)

Fig. 2 M. Nobe and Y. Maruzuru et al.

Fig. 2. Abundance of HSV-1 L proteins in each subpopulation of rICP47/vUs11-infected cells. (A) HeLa cells were infected for 24 h with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted into six subpopulations (f1 to f6) by cell sorting as shown in S-Fig. 1A and each subpopulation was analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The heatmap of log10 (relative abundance) of 48 HSV-1 L proteins in each subpopulation is shown. Data are the mean values from two biologically independent experiments. (B) Heatmap of the Pearson correlation coefficient between $\log_{10}$ (MFI of Venus) of each subpopulation and $\log_{10}$ (relative abundance) of each HSV-1 L protein. P-values for the correlation coefficient of all HSV-1 L proteins except Us8.5 shown in (B) were < 0.05.

Fig. 3. Quantitative analysis of a relationship between the expression levels of HSV-1 L proteins and progeny virus yields. (A to G) HeLa cells were infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted as shown in S-Fig. 2. Sorted cells were sonicated and virus titers were determined by plaque assay using Vero cells. (A) Kinetics of mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of Venus and progeny virus titers of the entire population. (B) Scatter plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) from panel A. (C) Kinetics of progeny virus titers of the entire population from panel A (actual) and sum of the virus titers produced by f1 to f6 subpopulation (virtual_f1-f6). The calculation method for virtual titers is described in the Materials and methods. (D) Proportion of cells in the indicated subpopulation account for the entire cell population at the indicated times after infection. (E) Proportion of virus titers produced by the f1 to f6 subpopulations account for virus titers produced by the entire population at the indicated times after infection. (F) Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells). (G) Scatter plots of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) of the indicated subpopulations separated by time after infection from panel F. Each value is the mean ± standard error (A, C, D, E, F, and G) or individual value (B) of the results of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test. ns, not significant (C). Solid and dashed lines indicate 1 PFU/cell and 0.1 PFU/cell, respectively (F and G).

Fig. 3 M. Nobe and Y. Maruzuru et al.

Fig. 4. Cells expressing higher than specific levels of HSV-1 L proteins have a predominant role in yielding progeny infectious viruses. (A) Kinetics of the sum of the virus titers produced by f1 to f6 (virtual_f1-f6) or f4 to f6 (virtual_f4-f6) subpopulations. (B) Kinetics of progeny virus titers of the entire population (actual) and sum of the virus titers produced by f4 to f6 subpopulations (virtual_f4-f6). (C) Scatter plot of $\log_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs $\log_{10}$(PFU/10^4 cells) of subpopulations. (D) Progeny virus titers of the f4 to f6 subpopulations at the indicated times after infection. Each value is the mean ± standard error (A, B and D) or individual value (C) of the results of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's *t*-test. ns, not significant. All data are obtained from the experiment shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 4 M. Nobe and Y. Maruzuru et al.

Fig. 5. Electron microscopic analysis of cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations. (A and B) HeLa cells were infected with rICP47/vUs11 at an MOI of 5 and sorted into four subpopulations (f2 to f5) by cell sorting 24 h after infection. Sorted cells were fixed, embedded, sectioned, stained, and examined by electron microscopy. (A) A transmission electron microscopy image of cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations. n, nucleus; c, cytoplasm. Scale bars = 500 nm. (B) The numbers of nuclear virions, enveloped virions in the perinuclear space, naked capsids in the cytoplasm, and enveloped virions in the cytoplasm of 11 cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars indicate the means. Virions are marked in yellow.

Fig. 5 M. Nobe and Y. Maruzuru et al.

Fig. 6. Frequencies of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of cells in the f2 to f5 subpopulations. (A) The numbers of A, B, and C capsids in the nucleus of 11 cells analyzed in Fig. 4 in the f2 to f5 subpopulations were quantitated. The horizontal bars indicate the means. Proportions of A, B, and C capsids to nuclear capsids (B), or virions in the perinuclear space and cytoplasm (C) of cells with more than two nuclear capsids (f3, n = 9; f4, n = 11; f5, n = 11). Data are presented as the median ± interquartile range (IQR). Statistical analysis was performed by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test, and P-values were adjusted by Bonferroni correction. ns, not significant.

Fig. 7. Unique protein expression profiles of a subset of HSV-1 L proteins. (A) Scatter plots of log$_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs log$_{10}$(relative abundance) of L proteins (from S-Fig. 1B) of the bottom 10 HSV-1 L proteins with relatively low correlation coefficients between fluorescence intensity of Venus and their abundance (from Fig. 2B). Plots of terminase subunits are marked with magenta squares. (B) Threshold value (TV) of 48 L proteins (from S-Fig. 10). (C) Scatter plots of log$_{10}$(relative MFI of Venus) vs log$_{10}$(relative abundance) (from S-Fig. 1B) of the top 10 HSV-1 L proteins with highest TV (from S-Fig. 10). Data of terminase subunits are shown in magenta.

Fig. 7 M. Nobe and Y. Maruzuru et al.