Alternative splicing of a potato disease resistance gene maintains homeostasis between development and immunity

Biying Sun[1], Jie Huang[1,5], Liang Kong[1], Chuyun Gao[1], Fei Zhao[1], Jiayong Shen[1], Tian Wang[1], Kangping Li[1], Luyao Wang[1,4], Yuanchao Wang[1], Dennis A. Halterman[2,3,*], Suomeng Dong[1,*]

[1]Sanya Institute of Nanjing Agricultural University, Department of Plant Pathology, The Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests, Ministry of Education, the Key Laboratory of Plant Immunity, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China.

[2]Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America.

[3]U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, Vegetable Crops Research Unit, Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America

[4]Shenzhen Branch, Guangdong Laboratory of Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518120, China.

[5]Plant Chemetics Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

*Address correspondence to: smdong@njau.edu.cn, dennis.halterman@usda.gov

Short title:

Resistance gene alternative splicing regulates development and immunity homeostasis

One-sentence summary:

Potato resistance gene *RB* balances plant growth and immunity through AS (alternative splicing), while pathogen-secreted effector IPI-O1 mediates AS of *RB* by targeting the conserved splicing factor StCWC15, further increasing the *RB_CDS* expression level to activate immunity.

Abstract

Plants possess a robust and sophisticated innate immune system against pathogens. The intracellular receptors with nucleotide-binding, leucine-rich repeat (NLR) motifs recognize pathogen-derived effector proteins to trigger the immune response. To balance plant growth and rapid pathogen detection, NLR expression is precisely controlled in multifaceted ways. The alternative splicing (AS) of introns in response to infection is recurrently observed but poorly understood. Here we report that the potato NLR gene *RB* undergoes AS of its intron, resulting in two transcriptional isoforms, which coordinately regulate plant immunity and growth homeostasis. During normal growth, *RB* predominantly exists as intron-retained isoform *RB_IR*, encoding a truncated protein containing only the N-terminus of the NLR. Upon late blight infection, the pathogen induces intron splicing of *RB*, increasing the abundance of *RB_CDS*, which encodes a full-length and active R protein. By deploying the *RB* splicing isoforms fused with a *luciferase* reporter system, we identified IPI-O1 (also known as Avrblb1), the RB cognate effector, as a facilitator of *RB* AS. IPI-O1 directly interacts with potato splicing factor StCWC15, resulting in altered localization of StCWC15

from the nucleoplasm to the nucleolus and nuclear speckles. Mutations in IPI-O1 that

eliminate StCWC15 binding also disrupt StCWC15 re-localization and *RB* intron splicing.

Thus, our study reveals that StCWC15 serves as a surveillance facilitator sensing the

pathogen-secreted effector, and regulates the trade-off between *RB*-mediated plant immunity

and growth, expanding our understanding of molecular plant-microbe interactions.

Keywords: Potato late blight; resistance gene; RB; IPI-O1; CWC15

Introduction

Upon pathogen infection, plants have evolved two major mechanisms for recognition of

pathogen effectors and elicitation of plant defense (Jones and Dangl, 2006). One utilizes

plasma membrane-localized pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) to perceive pathogen-

associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), leading to PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) (Couto

and Zipfel, 2016; Yu et al., 2017; Zhou and Zhang, 2020). The other uses intracellular NLR

immune receptors to detect cytoplasmic effectors introduced by the pathogen, thereby

activating effector-triggered immunity (ETI; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Dodds and Rathjen,

2010; Cui et al., 2015). During ETI, NLRs oligomerize to form "resistosome" structures

(Wang et al., 2019; Ofir et al., 2021) and the response culminates in programmed cell death,

known as the hypersensitive response (HR), to prevent pathogen spread. The enormous

diversity of *NLR* genes in plants demonstrates their important role in plant immunity (Li et al.,

2015; Van de Weyer et al., 2019).

Late blight, caused by oomycete *Phytophthora infestans*, is the most devastating disease

in potato production (Haverkort et al., 2009; Kamoun et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2022).

Deployment of host resistance (*R*) genes is a crucial strategy for controlling the disease and

many potato cultivars have been bred to introduce resistance genes against *P. infestans* (*Rpi*

genes) that originate from potato wild relatives (Kamoun and Smart, 2005; Karki et al., 2021).

More than 70 *Rpi* genes have been identified and mapped in 32 *Solanum* species

(Paluchowska et al., 2022). Among these *R* genes, *RB* was cloned from the wild diploid

potato species *Solanum bulbocastanum*, which is known for its durable late blight resistance

(Song et al., 2003; van der Vossen et al., 2003). Like most plant *R* genes, *RB* encodes an NLR

receptor which recognizes *P. infestans* effector IPI-O1 (also known as Avrblb1) to activate

immune responses (Vleeshouwers et al., 2008; Champouret et al., 2009). Previous studies

hypothesized that IPI-O1 might change the conformation of RB to facilitate the formation a

functional multimeric complex, thereby activating *RB*-mediated disease resistance (Chen et

al., 2012; Zhao and Song, 2021). Consequently, for *RB* with a durable-resistance properties,

the functions of *RB*-mediated plant immunity need to be further determined. Despite their

usefulness in activating resistance, constitutive expression of *NLR* genes causes auto-

immunity, leading to a negative effect on plant growth and development (Cheng et al., 2011;

Deng et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2020). Therefore, the expression of *NLR* genes needs to be

precisely regulated to maintain homeostasis between growth and immunity. The regulatory

mechanisms for the expression of NLRs are mainly via transcription regulation, RNA

processing, post-translational modification and proteasome-mediated degradation (Li et al.,

2015). Intron splicing is common in post-transcriptional RNA processing and is executed by a

supramolecular ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex known as the spliceosome (Reddy, 2007).

Intron retention during RNA processing is a form of alternative splicing (AS) and can lead to

changes in the translated protein. AS contributes to transcriptome and proteome diversity in

animals and plants and it has been shown to play an important role in regulating plant

immunity (Reddy et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016; Bazin et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). Several

NLR genes have been demonstrated to undergo AS, suggesting that this may be an important

mechanism for regulation of this important class of immunity-related genes (Dinesh-Kumar

and Baker, 2000; Halterman et al., 2003; Halterman and Wise, 2006; Xu et al., 2012;

Sanchez-Martin et al., 2021). However, the molecular mechanisms that control *NLR* gene AS

and the significance of AS in maintaining plant growth and immunity homeostasis is

incompletely understood.

In this work, we report that the potato resistance gene *RB* undergoes alternative splicing,

resulting in generation of two transcripts *RB_IR* and *RB_CDS*. Removal of the intron results

in intact RB protein (RB_CDS) that confers resistance to *P. infestans*, while intron retention

results in a truncated RB protein (RB_IR) that cannot protect against the pathogen. Upon late

blight infection, *P. infestans* is able to modulate the AS of *RB*, increasing the abundance of

RB_CDS to activate *RB*-mediated immunity. Interaction of *P. infestans* effector IPI-O1 with

potato splicing factor CWC15 in the nucleus leads to an increase of *RB* intron splicing during

infection. This process balances plant growth and defense and provides a unique molecular

basis for NLR recognition of pathogen effectors.

Results

The AS of *RB* is specifically modulated by *P. infestans* infection

The *RB* gene encompasses a single 679-nucleotide (nt) intron initiating 428 nt

downstream of the translation start codon and terminating at 1107 nt (Fig. 1A). We performed

rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5' RACE) using total RNA from non-inoculated *RB*

transgenic potato cv. Desiree expressing a single genomic copy of *RB* with its native

promoter (Zhu et al., 2015), and the transcriptional isoforms were identified that correspond

to the transcripts with the intron spliced (*RB_CDS*) or retained (*RB-IR*) (Fig. 1A). The

presence of these two isoforms was validated using RT-PCR of *RB* transgenic potato and

Nicotiana benthamiana. Sequencing of the amplified products confirmed the presence of

RB_IR and *RB_CDS* transcripts. (Fig. 1B and Supplemental Fig. S1A). Furthermore, the two

transcripts caused by alternative intron splicing were also detected in RNA from the diploid

potato species *Solanum bulbocastanum* clone PT29, where *RB* was identified (Fig. 1B) (Song

et al., 2003). *Rpi-sto1*, an *RB*-homologous gene from *S. stoloniferum*, also showed the same

two transcripts detected by RT-PCR in *Rpi-sto1* transgenic potato (Fig. 1B) (van der Vossen

et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2008). Splicing of introns in pre-mRNA is directed by spliceosome

targeting of particular sequences at the intron/exon junctions called splice sites (Wan et al.,

2020), which are commonly found as a conserved GT dinucleotide at the 5' splice site and a

conserved AG dinucleotide at the 3' splice site (Sheth et al., 2006). We generated *RB* splicing

mutant *RB_IVS* (IVS=intervening sequence), with synonymously mutated splice sites in *RB*

from GT to GA (5') and from AG to CG (3') (Fig. 1A), and transformed it into potato and *N.*

benthamiana. We found that *RB_IVS* is unable to undergo intron splicing in both transgenic

potato and *N. benthamiana* (Fig. 1B). Among the two transcripts of *RB*, *RB_CDS* is predicted

to encode the entire NLR protein, while *RB_IR* encodes a truncated protein containing only

the N-terminus of the NLR protein, due to a premature termination codon (PTC) in the intron

(Fig. 1A). As expected, immunoblot analysis showed that RB fused with green florescent

protein at the N-terminus (GFP-RB) produced two bands corresponding to RB_CDS and

RB_IR, respectively (Fig. 1C). Together, the data support that *RB* undergoes AS to produce

two different transcriptional isoforms that each encode different size proteins.

To investigate the dynamic change of *RB* splicing during pathogen infection, we

inoculated *RB* transgenic potato with *P. infestans* strain JH19 and measured the expression

levels of different *RB* isoforms compared to levels following water (mock) inoculation.

Quantitative RT-PCR data demonstrated that the splicing ratio (transcript level of the spliced

isoform divided by the transcript level of the unspliced isoform) of *RB (RB_CDS/RB_IR)*

significantly increased to 1.66 at 1 dpi and 2.78 at 2 dpi following *P. infestans* inoculation,

indicating an increase in *RB_CDS* expression and a decrease of *RB_IR* expression during *P.*

infestans infection (Fig. 1D and Supplemental Fig. S1B). Conversely, inoculation of *RB*

transgenic potato with zoospores of *Phytophthora capsici* isolate LT1534 had no significant

impact on *RB* splicing ratio alteration (Fig. 1D and Supplemental Fig. S1B). Following these

experiments, the total gene expression of *RB* did not change significantly in either *P.*

infestans-, *P. capsici*-, or mock-inoculated plants (Supplemental Fig. S1C). Previously, our

results revealed that both the intron-spliced *RB_CDS* and intron-retained *RB_IR* can encode

proteins when transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Fig. 1C). A change in abundance of

the two proteins was also observed during *P. infestans* infection. The abundance of the

RB_CDS protein increased during *P. infestans* infection compared to mock treatment,

whereas the accumulation of the truncated RB_IR decreased. However, no considerable

change in protein expression level was noted during *P. capsici* infection (Supplemental Fig.

S1D). These results indicate that the *RB* gene produces more RB_CDS due to increased

intron splicing subsequent to *P. infestans* inoculation. To further verify the effect of *P.*

infestans on *RB* AS, we tested multiple *P. infestans* genotypes. Genotype T30-4 and HB1501

had been sequenced and the presence of IPI-O1 had been reported (Thilliez et al., 2019;

Zhang et al., 2021). Primers specific for IPI-O1 had been used for gene amplification in

genotype JH19 and results in validation of functional IPI-O1. The RT-PCR results show that

the relative expression intensity of the *RB_IR* isoform was reduced at 1 and 2 dpi, whereas

the relative expression intensity of the *RB_CDS* isoform increased during the same timeframe,

regardless of the pathogen genotype (Fig. 1E). The expression intensity remained unchanged

in *P. capsici* inoculated plants and mock treatment (Fig. 1E). Collectively, these data

supplemented that the *RB* gene produces more *RB_CDS* transcript due to increased intron

splicing during *P. infestans* infection.

Given that *P. infestans* affects the expression level of *RB_CDS* via modulation of *RB* AS

(Fig. 1D), we hypothesized that impeding the splicing process would impact *RB_CDS*

expression. To test this, we assessed the *RB* splicing ratio change in splicing mutant *RB_IVS*

transgenic potato during *P. infestans* infection. We found that the splicing ratio in this

transgenic plant remained low and did not change significantly during infection, indicating

that *RB* is maintained with the intron present (Fig. 1F and Supplemental Fig. S1E). In

eukaryotes, the splicing process is executed by the spliceosome, a conserved

ribonucleoprotein complex dynamically composed of various proteins (Chen and Manley,

2009). Among these components, U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle 70k (U1-70k)

is crucial for binding the 5'splice site in pre-mRNA (Cho et al., 2011), and splicing factor 3b

subunit 1(SF3b1) as the core component of the U2 snRNP, plays a pivotal role in branch site

recognition (Will and Lührmann, 2011). Thus, we silenced these targets to verify the effect on

splicing of *RB* transcripts. Additionally, as a control, we silenced the RNA-binding protein

GRP7, known to regulate RNA processing, thereby affecting the PTI response and

specifically influencing NLR protein Gpa2/Rx1-mediated immunity (Wang et al., 2020;

Sukarta et al., 2022). The quantitative PCR results indicated that silencing of *U1-70k* and

SF3B1 both notably suppressed up-regulation of the *RB* splicing ratio following *P. infestans*

infection compared to non-inoculated samples, whereas *GRP7* silencing did not affect the

induction of *RB* splicing following infection (Fig. 1G and Supplemental Fig. S1, F and G).

We also conducted a chemical splicing inhibitor assay using Pladienolide B (PB), a splicing

modulator that targets SF3B1 and disrupts its proper recognition of the intron (Kotake et al.,

2007; Cretu et al., 2018). The transcript expression analysis indicated that 30 μM PB

effectively suppressed the splicing ratio increase during infection (Fig. 1H and Supplemental

Fig. S1H). In conclusion, our results adequately demonstrated that the *RB_CDS* transcript

was most prevalent following *P. infestans* infection, which is the consequence of an increase

in intron splicing.

Intron splicing of *RB* is necessary for the activation of resistance and functions

independent of ETI

In light of the observed change in the *RB* splicing ratio during *P. infestans* infection, we

aimed to characterize the function of different transcripts of *RB* in *RB*-mediated resistance.

We transformed *RB_CDS*, *RB_IR*, and the splicing mutant *RB_IVS* into potato cultivar

Favorita. The wild-type *RB* gene was also transformed into Favorita as a control. Three

independent transgenic potato lines expressing intron-spliced transcript *RB_CDS* displayed

resistance to *P. infestans*, similar to the three wild-type *RB* transgenic potato lines. In contrast,

three independent lines expressing the intron-retained transcript *RB_IR* or three lines

expressing splicing mutant *RB_IVS* all exhibited severe disease symptoms (Fig. 2A). The

results of detached leaf assays of the transgenic potatoes were consistent with the whole plant

phenotypes (Fig. 2, B and C). Additionally, we generated *RB*, *RB_CDS*, *RB_IR*, and *RB_IVS*

transgenic *N. benthamiana* for our assays. Notably, only *RB_CDS* limited *P. infestans* lesion

development (Supplemental Fig. S2, A to C). Furthermore, *RB_CDS*, neither *RB_IR* nor

RB_IVS, induced HR in *N. benthamiana* in presence of its cognate effector IPI-O1

(Supplemental Fig. S2, D and E), further supporting that *RB_CDS* is required for *RB*-

mediated immune responses. Of note, overexpression of RB_CDS and RB_IR did not induce

HR in *N. benthamiana* (Supplemental Fig. S2, F to H).

Given that only the protein encoded by the intron spliced transcript *RB_CDS* can activate

immunity, we investigated whether interdiction of the splicing process might affect *RB*-

mediated resistance to *P. infestans*. Silencing of *U1-70k, SF3B1*, and *GRP7* in *RB* transgenic

N. benthamiana rendered *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* susceptible to *P. infestans* compared

to the EV control, while silencing *GRP7* did not affect *RB*-mediated resistance (Fig. 2, D to

F). Moreover, treatment with 30 μM PB significantly reduced *RB*-mediated resistance in *RB*

transgenic *N. benthamiana*, but this treatment did not affect the resistance activation

conferred by RB_CDS (Fig. 2, G to I). These results could adequately explain that the

modulation of *RB* pre-mRNA intron splicing is essential for initiating *RB* resistance activation

against *P. infestans*.

Most *R* genes function by recognizing their corresponding effectors and triggering a HR.

To test whether disruption of *RB* splicing also interfered with elicitation of the HR, we co-

expressed IPI-O1 and *RB* in *N. benthamiana* in which spliceosome components were silenced.

Additionally, we introduced the intron-containing *R* gene *Rpi-blb2* (van der Vossen et al.,

2005) and the intron-lacking *R* gene *R3a* (Huang et al., 2005) with their corresponding

effector genes for HR testing. Following the silencing of *U1-70k* and *SF3B1*, co-infiltration

of the intron-containing potato *NLR* genes *RB* or *Rpi-blb2* with their corresponding effectors

IPI-O1 and *Avrblb2*, respectively, resulted in a significantly weakened HR (Supplemental Fig.

S3, A and B). Conversely, co-infiltration of the intronless *NLR* genes *RB_CDS* or *R3a* with

the corresponding effectors *IPI-O1* and *Avr3a* induced a robust HR following the silencing of

U1-70k and *SF3B1* (Supplemental Fig. S3, A and B). Silencing of *GRP7* had no effect on

suppression the HR following co-infiltration of any tested NLRs with their corresponding

effectors (Supplemental Fig. S3, A and B). Furthermore, treatment with 30 μM PB

significantly reduced the incidence of HR upon IPI-O1 infiltration in *RB* transgenic *N.*

benthamiana. However, this treatment did not affect the HR incidence conferred by RB_CDS

(Supplemental Fig. S3C). These results suggest that the splicing plays a vital role in the

activity of intron containing NLRs, including *RB* and *Rpi-blb2*.

IPI-O1 mediates *RB* AS during the infection process

To determine the proteins secreted by *P. infestans* that regulate *RB* intron splicing, we

designed an *RB* alternative splicing reporter system using the AS region of *RB* genomic

sequences (from 1 nt to 1987 nt) fused with the *luciferase* (*LUC*) reporter gene (*35S::RB-*

LUC) (Fig. 3A). This reporter system allows the detection of intron splicing modulation

without ETI activation. The reporter system is predicted to produce two transcripts: the first

is *RB_IR-LUC*, which retains the intron, introduces a premature termination codon, and does

not produce a LUC signal; the second is *RB_CDS-LUC*, in which the intron is spliced and

translates a complete RB CC-NB protein and functional LUC protein (Fig. 3A). The reporter

gene *β-glucuronidase* (*GUS*) driven by *35S* promoter (*35S::GUS*) was used as an internal

control (Fig. 3A). To verify intron splicing changes via the *RB* AS reporter system following

P. infestans inoculation, we expressed *RB-LUC/GUS* via agrobacterium in *N. benthamiana*

and subsequently inoculated with *P. infestans* JH19. Relative luciferase activity of *RB-LUC*

was measured at 1 dpi and showed a significant increase following *P. infestans* treatment

compared to the water-inoculated (mock). The relative luciferase activity of *RB_CDS-LUC*

and *LUC* constructs remained unaltered following *P. infestans* inoculation (Supplemental Fig.

S4). This verified the modulation of *RB* intron splicing by *P. infestans* using the *RB* AS

reporter system, demonstrating the feasibility of this system. This supported the use of the *RB*

AS reporter system in screening for *P. infestans* effectors that facilitate *RB* splicing. Indeed,

in a previous study, we identified several splicing regulatory effectors (SRE) using another

splicing reporter system (Huang et al., 2020). To assess the impact of SRE proteins on gene

RB AS, we co-expressed several SRE proteins with the *RB* AS reporter system in *N.*

benthamiana. Screening results show that IPI-O1 (SRE8) significantly induced *RB* intron

splicing compared to the mock-infiltrated control (Fig. 3, B to D). Infiltration of SRE proteins

with *RB_CDS-LUC*, which lacks the intron, did not affect LUC activity (Fig. 3, B to D).

Classified within the *ipiO* locus in *P. infestans*, IPI-O1 is one of 16 variants in this

family. Among them, IPI-O2 is highly similar to IPI-O1 and also induces HR with *RB*. The

most divergent variant IPI-O4, differs from IPI-O1 by 20 amino acids and evades *RB*

recognition (Champouret et al., 2009; Halterman et al., 2010). To test the ability of other IPI-

O family members to modulate *RB* AS, we overexpressed these proteins in *RB* transgenic *N.*

benthamiana. The followed RT-PCR of *RB* illustrated that, like IPI-O1, IPI-O2 induced *RB*

intron splicing, but IPI-O4 does not (Fig. 3, E and F). Consistent with screening results by the

RB AS reporter system, RT-PCR also revealed overexpression of other SRE proteins had no

effect on *RB* splicing changes (Supplemental Fig. S5). Overall, it appears that IPI-O1 and its

closely related family members can promote *RB* intron splicing, and this modulation

correlates with *RB*-mediated immunity induction.

Interaction between IPI-O1 and CWC15 is important for *RB* AS modulation

To identify the potential splicing regulators of *RB*, we performed an ULTImate

(Hybrigenics) yeast-two hybrid (Y2H) screen to identify IPI-O1-interacting proteins within a

N. benthamiana cDNA library. A total of 81.6 million interactions were analyzed and 254

clones were processed by sequencing of the prey inserts. Of these 254 clones, 28 contained

an insert with homology to the *CWC15* gene from *N. tomentosiformis* (LOC104090615).

Y2H assays have further verified the interaction of two full-length CWC15 homologs in *N.*

benthamiana with IPI-O1 (Fig. 4A). Analysis of *CWC15* in potato revealed two *CWC15*-

related gene sequences in the double-monoploid potato DM-1-3: *Soltu.DM.10G000900.1*

(*StCWC15a*) and *Soltu.DM.07G027590.1* (*StCWC15b*), encoding 231 aa (amino acid) and

228 aa proteins respectively. StCWC15a and StCWC15b share 93% similarity at the amino

acid levels (Supplemental Fig. S6A). Further analysis of CWC15 sequence variation in

multiple wild and cultivated potatoes determined that CWC15 is well conserved

(Supplemental Fig. S6B). CWC15 is a core component of the Nineteen complex within the

spliceosome (Will and Lührmann, 2011), and is localized to the nucleus to modulate AS in

Arabidopsis (Slane et al., 2020). To further test the interaction between IPI-O1 and StCWC15,

we designed an IPI-O1 mutant based on a predicted NLS motif on the C terminal effector

domain of IPI-O1 (Supplemental Fig. S7A). This mutant IPI-O1^{M1} contains a total of seven

amino acids changes in a predicted NLS motif, where K (lysine) and R (arginine) were

mutated to A (alanine) (Supplemental Fig. S7A). We performed co-immunoprecipitation in

planta to test the interaction between IPI-O1 and mutant IPI-O1^{M1} with StCWC15a/b. The

results showed that both StCWC15a and StCWC15b interacted with IPI-O1, but the mutant

IPI-O1^{M1} failed to interact with either protein (Fig. 4B). As a control, we selected Pi04314,

another RxLR effector that interacts with potato phosphatase PP1C and re-localizes the target

from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm (Boevink et al., 2016). No interaction was observed

between StCWC15 and Pi04314. The direct interaction between StCWC15 and IPI-O1 was

also verified *in vitro* by GST-pull down and Y2H. (Fig. 4C and Supplemental Fig. S7C).

To test the biological significance of StCWC15b and IPI-O1interaction, we expressed IPI-

O1 and IPI-O1^{M1} in the *RB-LUC* reporter system to test AS modulation. The data showed that

IPI-O1, but not IPI-O1^{M1}, significantly increased the *RB* intron splicing (Fig. 4D and

Supplemental Fig. S7D). Further evaluation of *RB* splicing ratio alterations using RT-qPCR

demonstrated that IPI-O1 increased the *RB_CDS*/*RB_IR* splicing ratio, indicating elevated

RB_CDS expression level and reduced *RB_IR* expression. Conversely, overexpression of IPI-

O1^{M1} did not elicit a change in splicing ratio (Fig. 4E and Supplemental Fig. S7E). To detect

the IPI-O1 and IPI-O1^{M1} modulation of RB protein expression levels, we co-expressed IPI-

O1 and IPI-O1^{M1} separately with GFP-RB in *N. benthamiana* for immuno-blot analysis. The

data demonstrated an increase in RB_CDS protein and a decrease in RB_IR protein only in

the presence of IPI-O1, and not IPI-O1^{M1}, when compared to GFP-RB expressed alone (Fig.

4F). These results confirmed that the IPI-O1 mutant IPI-O1^{M1} has lost the ability to modulate

RB AS changes.

Using confocal microscopy, we found that mutant IPI-O1^{M1} failed to accumulate in the

nucleoplasm and nucleolus, and localized primarily in the cytoplasm (Supplemental Fig. S7,

F and G). which may also lead its inability to modulate *RB* AS. Thus, to rule out the

possibility that this dysfunction is simply caused by a localization change, we fused a

canonical NLS motif to the mutant IPI-O1^{M1}, and fused a nuclear exporting signal (NES) as a

control (Supplemental Fig. S7, F and G). The results show that overexpression of neither IPI-

O1^{M1}-NLS nor NES-IPI-O1^{M1} can promote *RB* intron splicing or increase the abundance of

RB_CDS like IPI-O1^{M1} using *RB* AS reporter system (Fig. 4G). The results of interaction tests

between NES-IPI-O1^{M1} and IPI-O1^{M1}-NLS with StCWC15 indicated that neither mutant

interacted with StCWC15 in vivo or in vitro (Fig. 4H and Supplemental Fig. S7H). Taken

together, these results support that binding between CWC15 and IPI-O1 is important for its

modulation of *RB* AS.

We have demonstrated that regulation of *RB* intron splicing is critical for resistance

activation. To assess the ability of splicing mutant IPI-O1^{M1} to induce HR with RB, we

expressed IPI-O1 in transgenic *N. benthamiana* expressing *RB* variants. The results showed

that IPI-O1 induced the HR in both *RB* and *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana*, but not in

RB_IR plants (Supplemental Fig. S7, I and J). However, IPI-O1^{M1}, IPI-O1^{M1}-NLS, and NES-

IPI-O1^{M1} were unable to induce the HR in *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana*. Nevertheless, they

were still able to elicit the HR in *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana* (Supplemental Fig. S7,

I and J). These findings collectively suggest that the interaction between IPI-O1 and splicing

factor CWC15 is critical for modulating *RB* intron splicing, which is necessary for RB

activation.

IPI-O1 facilitates re-localization of StCWC15 into the nucleolus and speckles.

To investigate the subcellular localization of CWC15 proteins in potato, we fused red

fluorescent protein (RFP) to the C-terminus of StCWC15a and StCWC15b. While expression

of fusion proteins in *N. benthamiana* demonstrated that StCWC15a primarily localized to the

nucleoplasm (91%), the protein was occasionally found in the nucleolus (5%) and nuclear

speckles (4%). StCWC15b was distributed similarly and was localized in the nucleoplasm

(90%), nucleolus (5%), and nuclear speckles (5%). The IPI-O1 and StCWC15 homologs

displayed similar localization expression alone (Fig. 5A). To investigate the subcellular

localization of the interaction between IPI-O1 and StCWC15, we performed bimolecular

fluorescence complementation (BiFC). The results demonstrated that IPI-O1 interacted with

StCWC15 proteins in nuclear speckles and the nucleolus (Fig. 5B). We did not observe

fluorescence when testing the interaction between StCWC15 proteins and IPI-O1^{M1} or

Pi04314 (Fig. 5B). It is noteworthy that both the nucleolus and nuclear speckles are cellular

structures highly correlated with RNA splicing (Liao and Regev, 2021). To investigate

potential changes in StCWC15 subcellular localization influenced by IPI-O1, we co-

expressed StCWC15a/b-RFP fusion proteins with GFP-IPI-O1 in *N. benthamiana*, with

mutant GFP-IPI-O1^{M1} and GFP protein alone as controls. The results showed that StCWC15a

protein was re-localized into speckles (63%) or the nucleolus (26%), and that StCWC15b

protein was re-localized into speckles (60%) or the nucleolus (25%) when co-expressed with

IPI-O1, but not with IPI-O1^{M1} or GFP (Fig. 5C and Supplemental Fig. S8A). To further

investigate the re-localization of StCWC15 during *P. infestans* infection, *N. benthamiana*

expressing GFP–CWC15a/b was inoculated with zoospores of transgenic *P. infestans* isolate

88069 expressing the fluorescent protein RFP. The number of cells with speckle-localized

CWC15 near the infected hyphae increased more than in cells distant from the infected

hyphae (Supplemental Fig. S8, B and C). This effect was not observed in cells expressing the

GFP control during *P. infestans* infection (Supplemental Fig. S8, B and C). These findings

suggest that IPI-O1 interacts with StCWC15 proteins in the nucleus and facilitates their re-

localization from the nucleoplasm into speckles and the nucleolus.

CWC15 positively regulates *RB*-mediated HR and plant resistance against *P. infestans*

infection

To determine the effect of CWC15 on *RB*-mediated immunity, we transiently silenced

CWC15, transcription-related factor transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 12b-like

(*PPS7*) (Katou et al., 2005), splicing-related genes serine/Arginine-rich protein 30 (*SR30*),

and arginine/serine-rich zinc knuckle-containing protein 32 (*RS2Z32*) (Reddy, 2004) in *RB*

transgenic *N. benthamiana* followed by transient expression of IPI-O1. Infiltrated areas were

assayed for HR elicitation and we found that silencing of *CWC15* resulted in a reduced

incidence of HR compared to the EV control, while *PPS7*, *SR30*, or *RS2Z32* silencing had no

effect on *RB* recognition of IPI-O1 (Supplemental Fig. S9A). *SGT1* silencing, known to be

required for *RB* function (Bhaskar et al., 2008), also reduced HR incidence compared to the

EV control (Supplemental Fig. S9A). The effect of CWC15 on *R* gene function appeared to

be specific for *RB*, as silencing of *CWC15* had no effect on the elicitation of HR following

co-infiltration of *R1*, *Rpiblb2*, or *R3a* with their corresponding effectors, or following

infiltration of elicitor *INF* (Supplemental Fig. S9B).

Based on the transient screening results, we further verified the function of *CWC15* by

virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS). The TRV-*NbCWC15* silenced plant exhibited small and

short morphology compared to wildtype (Supplemental Fig. S10, A and B). Similar to the

transiently silenced results, TRV-*NbCWC15* silenced plants disturbed the recognition of IPI-

O1 by *RB* (Supplemental Fig. S10, C-E). Together, these indicated CWC15 as an interactor of

IPI-O1 involved in *RB* activation. To verify the function of potato *CWC15* in *RB*-mediated

resistance, we stably silenced *StCWC15* by introducing a hairpin-silencing construct into *RB*-

transgenic potato by transformation. We obtained three independent transgenic lines in which

StCWC15 transcription was reduced and a susceptible phenotype was exhibited following

inoculation with *P. infestans* when compared to the *RB* transgenic parent potato (Fig. 6, A to

D). The morphology of *StCWC15* RNAi transgenic potato was similar to the observation in *N.*

benthamiana, with a reduction in plant height and leaf size compared to *RB* transgenic potato

(Supplemental Fig. S10F). CWC15 is able to regulate mRNA alternative splicing in

Arabidopsis (Slane et al., 2020). To test whether StCWC15 could play a role in *P. infestans*-

induced AS of *RB*, we detected *RB* transcript levels by RT-qPCR in the highest silencing

efficiency line *RB-StCWC15* RNAi#2 following inoculation with *P. infestans* isolate JH19.

We found that the *RB_CDS/RB_IR* splicing ratio remained constant in the *StCWC15*

knockdown plant, while the ratio increased in the *RB* transgenic control plant (Fig. 6E).

These data suggest that CWC15 can modulate *RB* splicing, thereby influencing *RB*-mediated

immunity.

To detect the possibility of CWC15 involvement in the recognition of IPI-O1 by RB_CDS

as a tradition guardee protein. We assayed for *P. infestans* resistance and HR induction

following silencing *CWC15* in *RB*, *RB_CDS* and *Rpi-blb2* transgenic *N. benthamiana*.

Silencing of *CWC15* significantly impaired IPI-O1 induced HR and resistance to *P. infestans*

in the *RB* line, but had no effect on the resistance in *RB_CDS* and *Rpi-blb2* transgenic lines

(Fig. 6, F to H). Additionally, *CWC15* silencing had no effect on the HR induced by

RB_CDS/IPI-O1, Avr3a/R3a or INF1 (Supplemental Fig. S11, A and B). This effect does not

appear to be due to interaction between CWC15 and RB, as no interaction was found with

RB_CDS or RB_IR proteins (Supplemental Fig. S11C). These data highlight that splicing

factor CWC15 is specifically involved in regulating *RB* splicing changes during *P. infestans*

infection, effecting *RB*-mediated immunity in potatoes, but does not affect *RB* function once

the intron is removed. In further experiments, we complemented *StCWC15* in the TRV-

NbCWC15 silenced *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* using silencing-resistant *CWC15* synthetic

constructs to test CWC15 function. These synthetic genes were constructed with shuffled

synonymous codons and encode full-length StCWC15a/b (Supplemental Fig. S12A).

Expression of StCWC15a^{syn} and StCWC15b^{syn} rescued the impaired HR and *RB* resistance in

TRV silenced-*CWC15* transgenic plants (Fig. 6, I to K; Supplemental Fig. S12B).

Immunoblot analysis revealed that expression of StCWC15a^{syn} and StCWC15b^{syn} recovered

the expression of CWC15 in silenced *N. benthamiana* (Supplemental Fig. S12, C and D).

Collectively, our results indicate that IPI-O1 interacts with the splicing factor CWC15 to

mediate the AS of *RB*, leading to increased expression of resistant transcript *RB_CDS* and

activation of *RB*-mediated immunity.

Regulation of *RB* intron splicing is critical for balancing plant growth and immunity

Our prior findings indicated that the *RB* intron is primarily unspliced in the absence of *P.*

infestans, leading to the production of mainly truncated protein. The presence of *P. infestans*

effector IPI-O1 in the nucleus leads to increased intron splicing and increased translation of

RB_CDS protein. This discovery suggests that the plant maintains resistance gene *RB* in a

non-spliced   state   during   normal   growth   and   may   avoid   overexpression   RB_CDS

inappropriate activation of immunity responses that could impact growth and development.

To test this, we generated *RB*, *RB_CDS*, and *RB_IR* transgenic potatoes with a CaMV 35S

promoter to assay their growth characteristics. Firstly, we screened the positive transgenic

lines that successfully inserted the target gene from multiple differentiated clustered buds

using PCR verification. The expression level of *RB_CDS* differs in multiple *35S::RB_CDS*

transgenic lines compared to the *RB* transgene driven by the native promoter (Supplemental

Fig. S13A). Three lines with a single-copy of the transgene (#8, #11, #15) were identified

among 34 *RB_CDS* transformants and showed a 15-20 fold increase of *RB_CDS* expression

compared to the native promoter *RB* (Supplemental Fig. S13A). To ensure consistency of

gene copy number and expression level in the various *RB* transgenic potatoes, we identified

three single-copy transgene lines each from 67 *RB*-transformed seedlings and 29 *RB_IR*-

transformed seedlings with similar gene transcription levels (Supplemental Fig. S13B and

Supplemental Data Set S5). *RB_CDS* transgenic lines exhibited shorter stem height and root

length than non-transformed Favorita in the seedling stage, while *RB* and *RB_IR* transgenic

lines were not significantly different (Fig. 7, A-C). Furthermore, *RB_CDS* transgenic potato

also displayed slower growth and dwarf phenotypes compared to the wild-type *RB* transgenic

potatoes when grown in pots (Supplemental Fig. S13, C and D). The total tuber quality per

plant and the individual tuber quality were significantly reduced in plants overexpressing

RB_CDS compared with wildtype, while total tuber quality and individual tuber quality in *RB*

and *RB_IR* transgenic potatoes were not significantly altered (Fig. 7, D and E, Supplemental

Fig. S13E). Studies have shown that accumulation of salicylic acid (SA) in response to biotic

stress affects the growth of plants (Ning et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2021a; Gao et al., 2021b). We

compared SA concentrations in *RB* and *RB_CDS* transgenic potatoes with non-transformed

wild-type potatoes and found that SA accumulation in the *RB_CDS* transgenic line was

higher than in wild-type and *RB* transgenic potatoes (Fig. 7F). Additionally, the transcription

of *SAR Deficient 1* (*SARD1*), a key regulator of SA synthesis and plant immunity (Zhang et

al., 2010), and the SA-regulated gene *pathogenesis-related gene 1* (*PR1*) were up-regulated in

RB_CDS transgenic potatoes compared to wild-type Favorita and *RB* transgenic potatoes (Fig.

7G and H). These results indicate that the potatoes sustained overexpression of *RB_CDS*

leads to continuous activation of SA-mediated defense responses, which likely affects plant

growth and tuber production.

Previous reports have shown that truncated NLR proteins are expressed and have a

potential role in plant immunity (Nishimura et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2019). Especially the C-

terminally truncated protein, which are encoded CC or TIR of NLR, their self-association is

critical for their activation of downstream immunity (Rairdan et al., 2008; Gutierrez et al.,

2010; Maekawa et al., 2011). In this work, we identified RB encoded a truncated NLR

protein RB_IR during normal growth stage, which encompassed the N-terminal of RB but

cannot activate immunity (Fig. 2A). To further explore the interplay of truncated and full-

length RB proteins, we tested the effect of RB_IR on RB_CDS-mediated immunity. We

overexpressed *RB_IR* in *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana* followed by inoculation with *P.*

infestans. The results show that overexpression of RB_IR compromised RB_CDS resistance

compared to the GFP control, whereas overexpression of R2-CC, which encodes the N-

terminus of R2, had no effect on RB_CDS resistance (Fig.8, A to C). Overexpression of

RB_IR also disrupted the HR induced by IPI-O1 in *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana*

(Supplemental Fig. S14), indicating that RB_IR is likely to disturb the RB-mediated

resistance. The N terminal CC domain of RB displayed self-interaction *in vivo* and *in vitro*,

and is vital for immunity activation (Chen et al., 2012; Zhao and Song, 2021). To detect the

interaction relationship between RB_IR and RB_CDS, we transiently co-expressed them in *N.*

benthamiana and performed co-IP The result showed that RB_IR can interact with full-length

RB_CDS, as well as the N terminal CC domain of RB (Fig. 8D). Together, these results

suggest that truncated protein RB_IR can interact with the CC domain of RB_CDS, and that

its overexpression affects RB_CDS mediated resistance. This is likely due to the disrupt of

RB-CC self-association caused by an elevated amount of RB_IR, as we observed that

overexpression of RB_IR could affect the self-association of RB-CC through competitive

binding to RB_CC protein (Fig. 8E). The same concentration of another N-terminal protein,

R2-CC, had no effect on either RB_CDS immunity activation or RB-CC self-association (Fig.

8E). Together, these findings suggest that the overexpression of RB_IR could potentially

compete with N-terminal self-association of full-length RB protein, consequently disrupting

immunity activation.

Discussion

Earlier studies indicate interaction between IPI-O1 and the RB CC domain in vivo

(Chen et al., 2012). Further evidence indicates that IPI-O1 accumulates in the nucleus and it

induces the HR with RB protein in the cytoplasm (Wang et al., 2018; Zhao and Song, 2021).

Our current work builds on previous data and uncovers a new model of NLR mediated plant

immunity responses: a pathogen effector (IPI-O1) targets a component of the spliceosome

(CWC15) in the nucleus, modulating the intron splicing of a plant NLR gene (*RB*). This

results in increased expression of the functional transcript *RB_CDS* that ultimately leads to

resistance activation. In addition, this study implicates the spliceosome as a regulatory

complex in the plant that can surveil pathogen effector activity and activate plant immunity

through proper splicing of an NLR. Meanwhile, alternative intron splicing of the *NLR*

regulates expression to prevent inappropriate stress-induced responses during normal growth

while maintaining the ability to rapidly activate plant immunity upon detection of the

pathogen (Fig. 9).

Pathogens and plants are eternally entrenched in molecular warfare, utilizing an arsenal

of microbial effector proteins that manipulate the host to cause disease and an equally diverse

set of host protein receptors that recognize the presence of pathogen effectors to activate

immune responses. Given the complexity of possible interactions between host and pathogen

molecules, it is no surprise that multiple mechanisms exist to mediate effector recognition

and activate resistance. Classical activation models of NLR proteins and effectors include

direct recognition, indirect recognition, integrated decoy, and activation traps (Duxbury et al.,

2021). The simplest mechanism is direct interaction between NLR proteins and their

corresponding effector, leading to changes in protein structure or location that results in

resistance activation (Dodds et al., 2006). The indirect recognition mechanisms are divided

into the guardee and the decoy models. In the guardee model, the effectors target a defense-

related protein, either through direct binding or protein modification, that is monitored by an

NLR protein. When changes in the guarded protein are recognized, this leads to activation of

the NLR protein and elicitation of immune responses (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Axtell and

Staskawicz, 2003). The decoy model is derived from the guardee model, but decoy proteins

have no function in host defense or susceptibility in the absence of the NLR protein (van der

Hoorn and Kamoun, 2008; Zhou and Chai, 2008). Our results demonstrate an additional

mechanism of effector function to modulate *NLR* expression in plants. The proposed model

involves the spliceosome component CWC15 protein, which is translocated to the nucleolus

and nuclear speckles in the presence of IPI-O1, leading to splicing of the intron within the *RB*

gene. The outcome of this model is similar to TAL effector activation traps that result in

increased transcription of multiple executor *R* genes (Bogdanove et al., 2010). However, in

the case of IPI-O1, we found that total *RB* transcription is not significantly induced during

infection. This is inconsistent with the results of previous studies that demonstrated an

increase in *RB* transcription during *P. infestans* infection in potato (Kramer et al., 2009), but

is consistent with reports that *RB* is transcribed at a constitutive level (Bradeen et al., 2009).

These contrasting observations are most likely due to differences in host and pathogen

isolates with different genotypes used in our experiments, which could affect IPI-O1

expression and *RB* transcript accumulation. The primers and methods used for detection of

RB transcripts was also different between these studies. Regardless, our results indicate that

RB gene expression is likely regulated at the co-transcriptional or post-transcriptional level,

and dose not conform to a transcription activation model through promoter binding as

reported in other pathosystems such as with TAL effectors.

IPI-O1 is one of multiple *P. infestans* effectors capable of reprogramming genome-wide

alternative intron splicing of the host (Wang et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2020; Wang et al.,

2023). Consistent with previous results, we observed that IPI-O1 was localized in the nucleus

and further highlighted the function of IPI-O1 in the nucleus. We clearly revealed that IPI-O1

can interact with the splicing factor CWC15 in speckles and the nucleolus, which are known

to be sites for pre-mRNA splicing processing (Han et al., 2011; Liao and Regev, 2021). The

interaction is important for IPI-O1 modulation of *RB* AS, which is crucial for activating

resistance. Although we have focused on AS of *RB*, it seems unlikely that *RB* is the specific

target of IPI-O1. More likely, IPI-O1 interaction with the spliceosome affects AS at a global

level. This is already supported by previous data showing that IPI-O1 regulate AS of

immunity-related gene *RLPK* (Huang et al., 2020). The impact of IPI-O1/CWC15 complex

on other genes AS regulation will be a focus of future research. The other study showed that

IPI-O1 is localized to both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, this discrepancy in localization of

IPI-O1 may be due to GFP-tag fusion on the different positions of IPI-O1, which could affect

the protein structure. In Zhao et al.'s work, the cytoplasmic localization of IPI-O1, was found

to be important for recognition by the RB (Zhao and Song, 2021). We believe that, like many

effectors, both subcellular localizations in the nucleus and cytoplasm are necessary for

resistance activation (Lüdke et al., 2022).

NLR proteins are the intracellular immune receptors and activators in plants. The

transition between autoinhibited and activated states of NLRs is fine-tuned by intra- and

inter-molecular interactions. Over-accumulation of R proteins could lead to autoimmunity

(Dinh et al., 2022), whereas insufficient NLR expression could lead to pathogen susceptibility.

The expression of *NLR* genes is regulated at different levels, including transcriptional level,

post-transcriptional level and protein level (Li et al., 2015). AS serves as a key player in gene

regulation at the RNA level and has been recognized for its role in regulating plant immunity

(Reddy, 2007; Huang et al., 2017; Reddy et al., 2020). Apart from global role of AS in

regulating plant disease resistance responses, it also directly affecting the expression of *NLR*

genes. *Arabidopsis snc1* mutants constitutively activate defense responses and have increased

resistance to pathogens (Zhang and Li, 2005). A screen for suppressors of *snc1* revealed two

MOS (modifier of *snc1*) genes, *MOS4* and *MOS14*, that are involved in mRNA splicing

(Palma et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2011). The MOS14 protein is similar to transportin-SR, which

is necessary for nuclear import of serine-arginine (SR) rich proteins. The *mos14-1* in

Arabidopsis affects splicing of *NLR* genes *SNC1* and *RPS4* and compromises RPS4-

dependent immunity and basal resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000 (Xu et al., 2011).

In *Arabidopsis*, *P. syringae* introduces small RNAs that silence *SWP73A*, a chromatin-

remodeling gene that regulates expression of several *NLRs* through promoter binding and

alternative splicing (Huang et al., 2021). *CDC5* has been reported to regulate alternative

splicing of *RPS4*, which is important for RPS4 activity (Palma et al., 2007; Zhang and

Gassmann, 2007). In this work, the IPI-O1 effector interacts with CWC15 to regulate splicing

of the *RB* gene. It seems unlikely that *RB* is only regulated by IPI-O1. More likely, the

CWC15 and RB intron can be regulated by more proteins, especially effectors. The impact of

other effectors on regulation of *RB*-mediated plant defense responses will be a focus of future

research.

The prevalence of alternative splicing (AS) in plants not only contributes significantly to

transcriptome, but also have function on proteome diversity. It is estimated that between 42%

and 61% of all gene transcripts are alternatively spliced (Filichkin et al., 2010; Lu et al.,

2010b; Marquez et al., 2012; Reddy et al., 2013; Klepikova et al., 2016). Several NLR genes

undergo AS in the coding sequence or untranslated regions (UTRs; Yang et al., 2014; Lai and

Eulgem, 2018). Our data indicate that the *RB* gene produces two different transcripts that

encode either a full-length NLR protein that can confer resistance to *P. infestans*, or a

truncated protein only containing the N-terminally domain of NLR. Premature termination

codon (PTC)-containing transcripts that arise as a result of AS are often subjected to a

nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) process that is intended to prevent their translation

(Brogna and Wen, 2009). An analysis of transcripts produced during bacterial infection in

Arabidopsis showed that the majority of CC (coiled coil)-NLR (65.1%) and TIR (Toll-like,

Interleukin 1)-NLR (81.2%) transcripts exhibit evidence of NMD (Jung et al., 2020).

However, transcripts containing PTCs can be used to express the truncated protein or have

functional relevance (Liu et al., 2017; Nishimura et al., 2017). Our results indicate that the *RB*

transcripts are still detectable by RT-PCR and the truncated RB_IR protein is detectable in

plants (Fig. 1C), suggesting that the short transcripts are not immediately degraded. We

further demonstrated the truncated protein RB_IR have an impact on immunity activation

through the interaction with full-length RB protein. Previous evidence indicates that the CC

domain of RB can self-oligomerize and this oligomerization is important in activation of

immunity (Chen and Halterman, 2017; Zhao and Song, 2021). In this paper, we preliminarily

demonstrated that overexpression of truncated RB_IR can disrupt the self-association of RB.

The impact of this interaction on formation of a resistosome structure is therefore likely, but

requires further confirmation. Such an investigation would help elucidate whether an RB_IR

and RB_CDS hybrid structure is formed to prevent autoactivation due to RB_CDS self-

oligomerization, thus avoiding inappropriate immune responses during regular plant growth.

For plants, homeostasis between growth and defense is vital for survival and

reproduction in nature (He et al., 2022). Research on the role of *NLR* expression in regulating

growth versus defense trade-offs in plants has been reported previously (Li et al., 2012;

Tsuchiya and Eulgem, 2013; Cui et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2021; He et al., 2022). We found

that the intron within the sequence of *RB* is alternatively spliced, this is a strategy to reduce

elicitation of immune responses in the absence of an invading pathogen by regulating

expression of the RB_CDS protein in the plant. Our findings suggest a strategy to reduce

elicitation of immune responses in the absence of an invading pathogen by regulating

expression of the functional plant *R* gene.

Materials and methods

RT-PCR, RT-qPCR analysis of *RB* splicing ratio and gene expression

Total RNA was extracted using E.Z.N.A.® Plant RNA Kit (R6827-01, OMEGA)

following the manufacturer's instructions and treated with RNase-Free DNase (M6101,

Promega) to remove genomic DNA contamination. Approximately 700 ng RNA was used for

reverse transcription with HiScript III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (R312-01, Vazyme).

RT-PCR analysis was performed with cDNA prepared from different potato or *N.*

benthamiana leaf samples with gene specific primers (Supplemental Data Set S2). An equal

amount of cDNA template in each reaction was verified by amplifying gene *EF1 α*. The

intensities of PCR products were quantified by ImageJ software. RT-qPCR was performed

with 2μL of 3-fold-diluted cDNA in a total reaction volume of 20μL in technical duplicates

using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Q711-02, Vazyme Biotech) with an ABI

7500 Fast Real-time PCR system according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR

conditions were as follows: 95°C for the 30s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for

34 s, and then 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, 95°C for 30 s, 60□ for 15s for the melt curve.

Relative transcript amount differences were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method in ABI 7500

System Sequence Detection Software. Target-specific amplification efficiencies are given in

Supplemental Data Set S4. Three biological replicates were performed and the results showed

similar trends.

Gene silencing and complementation in *N. benthamiana*

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was performed in *N. benthamiana* as previously described (Stam et al., 1997). For VIGS target design, the specific DNA fragments with 300 base pairs (bp) from GFP, *NbCWC15* (Niben101Scf04847g02012.1/Niben101Scf00693g01009.1) predicted by VIGS tool (https://vigs.solgenomics.net/) were cloned into Tobacco Rattle Virus (TRV) construct. The sequences of the targets are listed in Supplemental Data Set S1. The constructs were introduced into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by electroporation. Suspensions of *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 harboring TRV1 and TRV2 constructs were mixed in a 1:1 ratio in infiltration buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ pH 5.6, and 150 uM acetosyringone) to a final OD_{600} of 0.4, and then left at room temperature for 2h. TRV2-EV was used as a negative control. Two-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* for VIGS assays, and their upper leaves were used 2-3 weeks later. The silencing efficiency was confirmed by RT-qPCR. For the complementation assays, the shuffled synonymous synthetic *StCWC15* sequences were generated by Sangon Biotech and cloned into expression constructs pK7WGF2 with a C-terminal GFP tag. Synthetic proteins were detected by western blot.

The 300-bp fragment of *NbPPS7a/b* (*Niben101Scf07798g01001.1/Niben101Scf01573g01003.1*), *NbSR30* (*Niben101Scf05298g04002.1*), *NbRS2Z32* (*Niben101Scf07227g00011.1*), *NbSGT1* (*Niben101Scf03241g00015.1*), *NbU1-70ka/b* (*NbS00011208g0006.1/ NbS00015731g0004.1*), *NbSF3b1a/b* (Niben101Scf06716g01016.1/ Niben101Scf06128g00004.1), *NbGRP7*

(Niben101Scf00215g03004.1) were cloned and ligated into pK7GWIWG2D(II) to generate a

hairpin silencing construct. The sequences of the targets are listed in Supplemental Data Set

S1. The pK7GWIWG2D(II) empty vector was used as a control. Six- to seven-week *N.*

benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with GV3101 *A. tumefaciens* strains containing either the

silencing construct or empty vector control diluted to an $OD_{600}=0.5$.

Growth of plant material and microbial strains

Solanum tuberosum cv. Favorita and transgenic plants were grown on Murashige and

Skoog (MS) medium in a growth chamber under 16 h light/8 h dark conditions at a constant

temperature of 24 °C and light intensity of 100 μM m^{-2} s^{-1}. Plants in soil were growth with

12 h light/12 h dark conditions at a constant temperature of 22°C and light intensity of 153

μM m^{-2} s^{-1}.

N. benthamiana plants were grown and maintained in the greenhouse with 16 h light at

25°C and 8 h dark at 20°C throughout the experiments. *P. infestans* strains JH19 (Howard et

al., 2013), and 88069[td], expressing the red fluorescent marker tandem dimer RFP (tdTomato)

(Dagdas et al., 2016) were grown on rye sucrose agar (RSA) at 18°C. *P. capsici* strain

LT1534 was grown on V8 medium at 25□. *Escherichia coli* strains DH5α, JM109, and BL21

and *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 were grown on Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37 °C and

30 °C, respectively.

S. bulbocastanum accession PT29 (PI 243510) was originally obtained from the United

States Potato Genebank (NRSP-6) in Sturgeon Bay, WI. The accession sto389-4 containing

native promoter *Rpi-sto1*, blb8005 containing native promoter *RB* were kindly provided by

Prof. Jack H. Vossen. Binary plasmids pBINPLUS: *Rpi-sto1*, pBINPLUS: *RB* were

constructed in house and transformed into cv Desiree using Agrobacterium mediated

transformation (Zhu et al., 2015).

Plasmid construction

All primers and plasmids used for cloning and experiments are listed in Supplemental

Data Set S2. All the templates used for PCR amplification come from genomic DNA (gDNA)

or complementary DNA (cDNA) of *P. infestans* (strain T30-4), *N. benthamiana*, and *Solanum*

tuberosum cv. Favorita using 2 × Phanta Max Master Mix (P515-01, Vazyme Biotech).

FastPure Plasmid Mini Kit, FastPure Gel DNA Extraction Mini Kit and ClonExpress Ultra

One Step Cloning Kit (Cat No. DC201-01, DC301-01 and C115-01) were used for nucleic

acid purification, digestion, and ligation.

Co-immunoprecipitation assays and GST pull-down

The *IPI-O1* gene without the signal peptide was inserted into pK7WGF2 with N-terminal

RFP tag using ClonExpress Ultra One Step Cloning Kit (C115-01, Vazyme Biotech), and

StCWC15a/b were inserted into pK7WGF2 with C-terminal GFP for expression in *N.*

benthamiana. Leaves of 6-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were co-infiltrated with RFP-

tagged *IPI-O1*, GFP-tagged *StCWC15a/b*, and the P19 silencing suppressor. Two days after

agro-infiltration, the leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to powder using a

mortar and pestle. Proteins were extracted with extraction buffer (10% [vol/vol] glycerol,

25mM Tris pH=7.5, 1mM EDTA, 150mM NaCl, 2% [wt/vol] PVPP, 10mM DTT, 1×Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% Tween 20). The samples were centrifuged at 4°C

for 20 min at 12,000 × g, and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. For GFP-IP, 1

mL of supernatant was incubated at 4°C for 2 h with GFP-Trap_A beads (Chromotek,

Planegg-Martinsried, Germany). The beads were collected by centrifugation at 800 × g and

washed four times in 1 mL washing buffer (25 mM Tris pH=7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 1mM

EDTA, 10% [vol/vol] glycerol, 0.1% Tween 20). The beads were boiled for 10 min at 95°C.

SDS-PAGE was performed with the supernatant, and co-IP of StCWC15a/b with IPI-O1 was

detected using anti-GFP or anti-RFP (Chromotek, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany).

For *in vitro* GST pull-down assays, GST-StCWC15a/b and His-IPI-O1 were expressed in

E. coli strain BL21. Co-precipitation of StCWC15a/b with IPI-O1 was examined by protein

blotting before (input) and after affinity purification (pull-down) using glutathione agarose

beads (GE Healthcare). Interactions were detected using anti-GST and anti-His (Abmart).

***P. infestans* and *P. capsici* infection assays**

P. infestans strains were grown on RSA medium plate at 18°C for 14 d. The sporangia

were scraped into cold, distilled water and incubated at 4°C for 1-2 h until zoospore release.

The zoospore suspension was adjusted to 100 zoospores/μL before inoculation. Ten

microliter drops of *P. infestans* zoospores were placed on the abaxial side of detached leaves.

The inoculated leaves were kept at 18°C for 4-7 days. The whole potato infection assays used

a zoospore suspension sprayed on the plant followed by incubation in a controlled

environment chamber at 20°C for 6 days. The infected *N. benthamiana* leaves were stained

with trypan blue. Leaves were soaked in trypan blue solution (10 mL 85% lactic acid aqueous,

10 mL water-saturated phenol, 10 mL 98% glycerol, 10 mL distilled water, 15 mg trypan blue)

overnight. Stained leaves were then transferred into ethanol and incubated at 37°C for 24 h

before observation. *P. capsici* strains were grown on V8 medium plate at 25□ for 3 d. Ten

pieces of mycelium with a size of about 2 mm^2 were cultured in V8 liquid medium for 4 days.

The culture medium was discarded, the mycelium was washed with distilled water three

times, and distilled water was added with just enough to cover the mycelium. The culture was

incubated at 25□ for 12 hours until sporangia were observed, then was placed at 4°C for 20

minutes to stimulate zoospores release.

Agroinfiltrations

Construct plasmids were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and grown

overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) culture medium with the antibiotic kanamycin and rifampicin

at 30°C. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 5000g for 4 min and then resuspended

and diluted in an agroinfiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl$_2$, 150 mM acetosyringone, 10 mM

MES) to an optical density of OD$_{600}$ = 0.01-1.0 depending on the experiments. After 2-4 h of

incubation at room temperature, they were infiltrated with a needleless syringe into the

abaxial side of leaves from 4–5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants. For the co-expression of

multiple constructs, suspensions carrying each construct were thoroughly mixed before

infiltration.

Confocal microscopy and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays

Confocal microscopy was performed on *N. benthamiana* leaf patches post infiltration by

LSM 980 laser-scanning microscope with 20×/0.8 or 60×/0.95 objective lens (ZEISS LSM

980 with Airyscan2). Parameters that are used for fluorescence detection are GFP/YFP,

(excitation 488 nm, emission 505–540 nm), RFP (excitation 514 nm, emission 518–582 nm),

DAPI (excitation 405 nm, emission 430–480 nm). Leaves were stained with 2 μg/mL of 4', 6-

diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min to indicate nuclei. ZEISS ZEN Microscope

Software was used to process the images.

pGTQL1221YC and pGTQL1211YN (nYFP/cYFP) vectors were used for bimolecular

fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays (Lu et al., 2010a). Coding regions of *IPI-O1*

and *IPI-O1^{nls1}* without a signal peptide and *StCWC15a/b* were amplified with primers

containing attB tails and BP-cloned into pDONR207 (Invitrogen). To generate BiFC

constructs, constructs were integrated into destination vectors pGTQL1221YC or

pGTQL1211YN by LR recombination using Gateway LR clonase II (Invitrogen). The

constructs were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. Two days after

agroinfiltration, the Zeiss 980 laser scanning confocal microscope was used for YFP

fluorescence detection.

Transformation and RNAi of potato and *N. benthamiana*

For generating *RB* transgenic potato and transgenic *N. benthamiana*, the entire *RB* gene

sequence (3592 bp), *RB_CDS* transcript (2913 bp), *RB_IR* transcript (507 bp) and *RB_IVS*

mutant were cloned into pBinGFP2 binary vector containing the *CaMV35S* promoter. The

constructs were transformed into the *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. The copy number of

transgenic potato lines is calculated as reported (Yang et al., 2005). To generate stable

transgenic potato plants, stems were used for transformation. Stem segments of *Solanum*

tuberosum cv. Favorita were incubated in MS-medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962).

Agrobacterium containing each plasmid was grown and diluted to a cell concentration of

OD_{600}=0.5. Stem segments were added and incubated in the dark for 45 mins. After co-

incubation, stem segments were transferred to MS solid medium supplemented with 2.5 mg/L

zeantinribose, 0.2 mg/L NAA, 0.02 mg/L GA_3 for 48 hours. After that, stems were washed

with 300mg/L Timentin and transferred to MS solid medium supplemented with 2.5 mg/L

zeantinribose, 0.2 mg/L NAA, 0.02 mg/L GA_3 and 200 mg/L Timentin for 2 weeks. For callus

induction, stems segments were kept on MS solid medium with 2 mg/L zeantinribose, 0.02

mg/L NAA, 0.02 mg/L GA_3, 300 mg/L Timentin and 50 mg/L kanamycin. Every 14 days,

stem segments or developing calli were placed onto new medium. After bud initiation

formation (following 4-5 transfers), shoots were cut off from the callus and transferred to

MS-medium containing 300 mg/L Timentin and 50 mg/L kanamycin. The *N. benthamiana*

stable transformation was conducted according to a previously established protocol

(Davarpanah et al., 2009).

For hairpin RNA interference (RNAi), the chalcone synthase (CHSA) intron was

amplified from plasmid pFGC5941. The PCR-amplified 3′StCWC15 cDNA fragments

overlapped the 5′ and 3′ end of the CHSA intron from two directions. The overlapped

fragment was inserted in the p1300 vector and transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain

GV3101 before potato transformation. Transformation is the same as potato stable

overexpression transformation. *StCWC15s* silencing transgenic lines were confirmed by RT-

qPCR in buds using the primers indicated in Supplemental Data Set S2.

5' RACE

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5' RACE) was used to determine the transcripts of the *RB* gene using the SMARTer RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech) according to the protocol. For synthesis of first-strand cDNA, we designed the *RB* specific primer (Supplemental Data Set S2). Next, we used 10 μM *RB* specific primer and the provided UPM primer in the kit for RACE PCR. Amplification was performed using a touchdown PCR protocol with five cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 72°C for 4 min, then five cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 70°C for 30s, 72°C for 4 min, final 25 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 68°C for 30 s, 72°C for 4 min. The obtained 5'RACE products were gel excised, introduced into the pUC19 vector using in-fusion cloning, and sequenced by Sanger sequencing to detect the transcripts.

LUC/GUS reporter assays

For LUC/GUS reporter system assays in *N. benthamiana* leaves, a 1986-bp *RB* fragment including the intron structure was amplified from *RB* genomic DNA and fused with the *LUC* gene, followed by ligattion into pCAMBIA1300 vector behind the CaMV 35S promoter. The *GUS* gene was ligated into pCAMBIA1300 vector behind the CaMV 35S promoter. Four- or five-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves were co-infiltrated with Agrobacterium strain GV3101 harboring the RB-LUC and GUS constructs resuspended in infiltration buffer. At 48 h after infiltration, two samples from each infiltrated area were collected. One of the samples was sprayed with 1 mM D-luciferin substrate and incubated for 5 min in the dark. For quantification of LUC activity, *N. benthamiana* leaf discs were collected at 48 h after

infiltration (hpi) and incubated with 1 mM D-luciferin in a 96-well plate, and LUC activity

was quantified with the Promega GloMax Navigator microplate luminometer (Promega,

USA). The other sample was used for extracting GUS protein. For quantification of GUS

activity, extracted protein was incubated with 1mM MUG (4-methylumbelliferyl β-D-

glucuronide) (Sigma)substrate for 30 min at 37□. The GUS fluorescence intensity was

quantified with the Promega GloMax Navigator microplate luminometer (Promega, USA).

The pCAMBIA1300-GUS was used as the internal control. The LUC images were

photographed using a Tanon-5200 Multi Chemiluminescent Imaging System (Tanon, China).

Yeast two-hybrid screening

To identify interacting partners with the *P. infestans* effector IPI-O1, a yeast two-hybrid

interaction screen was performed by Hybrigenics Services (Évry-Courouronnes, France)

using IPI-O1 as a bait in an ULTImate Y2H library constructed from *N. benthamiana*. IPI-O1,

encoding amino acids 2-132, was cloned into the inducible pB35 vector as a fusion with

GAL4 at the amino terminus. The prey library was prepared in the proprietary pP6

Hybrigenics vector. A total of 81.6 million interactions were analyzed and 254 clones were

processed by sequencing of the prey inserts. These prey fragments were fused out-of-frame

with the Gal4 activation domain (AD) in pP6. However, *Saccharaomyces cerevisiae* are able

to translate a percentage of the correct fusion proteins using ribosomal frameshift (Fromont-

Racine et al., 1997; Vidal and Legrain, 1999). For this reason, it is possible to identify true

interacting proteins from out-of-frame clones.

Hypersensitive response phenotype and ion leakage

Hypersensitive cell death during the ETI process was scored at 4 dpi and was quantified using an ion leakage assay (Mittler et al., 1999). Membrane ion leakage was determined by measuring electrolytes leaked from leaf discs. For each measurement, five 9 mm leaf discs were immersed in 5 mL distilled water at room temperature (22-25°C) for 3h. After which, the initial conductivity was measured with Multi-parameter tester (Mettler Toledo S400-K), referred to as value A. Total conductivity was determined after boiling at 95°C for 25 min and cooling to room temperature; this is referred to as value B. The ion leakage was expressed as the percentage of the initial conductivity versus the total conductivity (value A/value B) ×100%.

Salicylic acid quantification

For SA quantification, three replicates of each genotype transgenic potato were collected. Approximately 100 mg (fresh weight) of leaf tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground and extracted with 1 mL 80% methanol (Anaqua™ Chemicals Supply, CAS: 67-56-1) containing 1% formic acid (Anaqua™ Chemicals Supply, CAS: 64-18-6) mixture. The resulting solution was then vortexed and placed in a shaker at 4□ for 24 h. Samples were centrifuged 10 min at 15,000 rpm, and supernatant was transferred to new tubes. Add 1 mL mixture and 0.3 g PVPP in the precipitate to shaker at 4□ for 24 h again. Mix two times supernatant and drying most of the liquid with a vacuum pump. Using 200 μL of 80% methanol for redissolve. Supernatants were filtered through 0.2 mm PTFE membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA) and transferred to auto sampler vials. Quantification was

859 performed by HPLC with the ACQUITY UPLC HSS C18 column.

Inhibitor assay

862 Pladienolide B (CAS:445493-23-2) was purchased from Adooq. PB, dissolved in DMSO,

863 was infiltrated into *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana*. The exact concentration of DMSO was

864 used as control infiltrated in *N. benthamiana*.

865 Lanthanum (III) chloride (LaCl$_3$) (Sigma, CAS: 10099-58-8) was dissolved in water and

866 infiltrated at a concentration of 2 mM.

Protein sequence alignments

869 Peptide sequences of CWC15 homologs were extracted from published potato pan-genome

870 repositories (Tang et al., 2022). All selected protein sequences were aligned by R-msa

871 packages (Version 1.33.0) using ClustalW, and visualized

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

We thank Sebastian Schornack (University of Cambridge), Jiamu Du, Zhe Wu (Southern

University of Science and Technology), Jiming Jiang (Michigan State University), Yan Wang

(Nanjing Agriculture University) for helpful discussions. The author responsible for

distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with

the policy described in the Instructions for Authors

(https://academic.oup.com/plcell/pages/General-Instructions) is: Suomeng Dong

(smdong@njau.edu.cn). This work was supported by the Chinese National Science Funds

Grants (32130088, 32102234) and the China Agriculture Research System-potato (CARS-

potato, CARS-09-P20). This research was supported in part by the United States Department

of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service (ARS). Funding was provided through

USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) Plant-Associated Microbes and

Plant-Microbe Interactions award number 2014-67013-21593. The findings and conclusions

in this publication are those of the author(s) and should not be construed to represent any

official USDA or United States Government determination or policy.

References

Axtell, M.J., and Staskawicz, B.J. (2003). Initiation of RPS2-Specified Disease Resistance in Arabidopsis Is Coupled to the AvrRpt2-Directed Elimination of RIN4. CELL **112,** 369–377.

Bazin, J., Mariappan, K., Jiang, Y., Blein, T., Voelz, R., Crespi, M., and Hirt, H. (2020). Role of MPK4 in pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered alternative splicing in Arabidopsis. PLoS Pathog **16,** e1008401.

Bhaskar, P.B., Raasch, J.A., Kramer, L.C., Neumann, P., Wielgus, S.M., Austin-Phillips, S., and Jiang, J. (2008). Sgt1, but not Rar1, is essential for the RB-mediated broad-spectrum resistance to potato late blight. BMC Plant Biol **8,** 8.

Boevink, P.C., Wang, X., McLellan, H., He, Q., Naqvi, S., Armstrong, M.R., Zhang, W., Hein, I., Gilroy, E.M., Tian, Z., and Birch, P.R.J. (2016). A Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector targets plant PP1c isoforms that promote late blight disease. Nat Commun **7,** 10311.

Bogdanove, A.J., Schornack, S., and Lahaye, T. (2010). TAL effectors: finding plant genes for disease and defense. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **13,** 394-401.

Bradeen, J.M., Iorizzo, M., Mollov, D.S., Raasch, J., Kramer, L.C., Millett, B.P., Austin-Phillips, S., Jiang, J., and Carputo, D. (2009). Higher copy numbers of the potato *RB* transgene correspond to enhanced transcript and late blight resistance levels. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **22,** 437-446.

Brogna, S., and Wen, J. (2009). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) mechanisms. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology **16,** 107-113.

Champouret, N., Bouwmeester, K., Rietman, H., Lee, T.v.d., Maliepaard, C., Heupink, A., Vondervoort, P.J.I.v.d., Jacobsen, E., Visser, R.G.F., Vossen, E.A.G.v.d., Govers, F., and Vleeshouwers, V.G.A.A. (2009). *Phytophthora infestans* isolates lacking class I *ipiO* variants are virulent on *Rpi-blb1* potato. MPMI **22,** 1535-1545.

Chen, M., and Manley, J.L. (2009). Mechanisms of alternative splicing regulation: insights from molecular and genomics approaches. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **10,** 741-754.

Chen, Y., and Halterman, D.A. (2017). Phytophthora infestans Effectors IPI-O1 and IPI-O4 Each Contribute to Pathogen Virulence. Phytopathology **107,** 600-606.

Chen, Y., Liu, Z., and Halterman, D.A. (2012). Molecular determinants of resistance activation and suppression by Phytophthora infestans effector IPI-O. PLoS Pathogen **8,** e1002595.

Cheng, Y.T., Li, Y., Huang, S., Huang, Y., Dong, X., Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2011). Stability of plant immune-receptor resistance proteins is controlled by SKP1-CULLIN1-F-box (SCF)-mediated protein degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **108,** 14694-14699.

Cho, S., Hoang, A., Sinha, R., Zhong, X.-Y., Fu, X.-D., Krainer, A.R., and Ghosh, G. (2011). Interaction between the RNA binding domains of Ser-Arg splicing factor 1 and U1-70K snRNP protein determines early spliceosome assembly. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108,** 8233-8238.

Couto, D., and Zipfel, C. (2016). Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in

933   plants. Nat Rev Immunol **16,** 537-552.

934 **Cretu, C., Agrawal, A.A., Cook, A., Will, C.L., Fekkes, P., Smith, P.G., Luhrmann, R.,**
935   **Larsen, N., Buonamici, S., and Pena, V.** (2018). Structural Basis of Splicing
936   Modulation by Antitumor Macrolide Compounds. Mol Cell **70,** 265-273 e268.

937 **Cui, C., Wang, J.-J., Zhao, J.-H., Fang, Y.-Y., He, X.-F., Guo, H.-S., and Duan, C.-G.**
938   (2020). A Brassica miRNA Regulates Plant Growth and Immunity through Distinct
939   Modes of Action. Mol. Plant **13,** 231-245.

940 **Cui, H., Tsuda, K., and Parker, J.E.** (2015). Effector-Triggered Immunity: From Pathogen
941   Perception to Robust Defense. Annual Review of Plant Biology **66,** 487-511.

942 **Dagdas, Y.F., Belhaj, K., Maqbool, A., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Pandey, P., Petre, B.,**
943   **Tabassum, N., Cruz-Mireles, N., Hughes, R.K., Sklenar, J., Win, J., Menke, F.,**
944   **Findlay, K., Banfield, M.J., Kamoun, S., and Bozkurt, T.O.** (2016). An effector of
945   the Irish potato famine pathogen antagonizes a host autophagy cargo receptor. Elife **5**.

946 **Dangl, J.L., and Jones, J.D.G.** (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to
947   infection. Nature **411,** 826-833.

948 **Davarpanah, S.J., Jung, S.H., Kim, Y.J., Park, Y.-I., Min, S.R., Liu, J.R., and Jeong, W.J.**
949   (2009). Stable Plastid Transformation in Nicotiana benthamiana. Journal of Plant
950   Biology **52,** 244-250.

951 **Deng, Y., Zhai, K., Xie, Z., Yang, D., Zhu, X., Liu, J., Wang, X., Qin, P., Yang, Y., Zhang,**
952   **G., Li, Q., Zhang, J., Wu, S., Milazzo, J., Mao, B., Wang, E., Xie, H., Tharreau,**
953   **D., and He, Z.** (2019). Epigenetic regulation of antagonistic receptors confers rice
954   blast resistance with yield balance. Science **355,** 962-965.

955 **Dinesh-Kumar, S.P., and Baker, B.J.** (2000). Alternatively spliced N resistance gene
956   transcripts: Their possible role in tobacco mosaic virus resistance. Proc. Natl Acad.
957   Sci. USA **97,** 1908–1913.

958 **Dinh, H.X., Singh, D., Gomez de la Cruz, D., Hensel, G., Kumlehn, J., Mascher, M.,**
959   **Stein, N., Perovic, D., Ayliffe, M., Moscou, M.J., Park, R.F., and Pourkheirandish,**
960   **M.** (2022). The barley leaf rust resistance gene *Rph3* encodes a predicted membrane
961   protein and is induced upon infection by avirulent pathotypes of *Puccinia hordei*.
962   Nature Communications **13,** 2386.

963 **Dodds, P.N., and Rathjen, J.P.** (2010). Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-
964   pathogen interactions. Nature reviews. Genetics **11,** 539-548.

965 **Dodds, P.N., Lawrence, G.J., Catanzariti, A.-M., Teh, T., Wang, C.-I.A., Ayliffe, M.A.,**
966   **Kobe, B., and Ellis, J.G.** (2006). Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene
967   specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes.
968   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **103,** 8888-8893.

969 **Duxbury, Z., Wu, C.H., and Ding, P.** (2021). A Comparative Overview of the Intracellular
970   Guardians of Plants and Animals: NLRs in Innate Immunity and Beyond. Annu Rev
971   Plant Biol **72,** 155-184.

972 **Filichkin, S.A., Priest, H.D., Givan, S.A., Shen, R., Bryant, D.W., Fox, S.E., Wong, W.-K.,**
973   **and Mockler, T.C.** (2010). Genome-wide mapping of alternative splicing in
974   Arabidopsis thaliana. Genome Research **20,** 45-58.

975 **Fromont-Racine, M., Rain, J.-C., and Legrain, P.** (1997). Toward a functional analysis of
976   the yeast genome through exhaustive two-hybrid screens. Nature Genetics **16,** 277-

282.

Gao, M., He, Y., Yin, X., Zhong, X., Yan, B., Wu, Y., Chen, J., Li, X., Zhai, K., Huang, Y., Gong, X., Chang, H., Xie, S., Liu, J., Yue, J., Xu, J., Zhang, G., Deng, Y., Wang, E., Tharreau, D., Wang, G.L., Yang, W., and He, Z. (2021a). Ca(2+) sensor-mediated ROS scavenging suppresses rice immunity and is exploited by a fungal effector. Cell **184,** 5391-5404 e5317.

Gao, W., Liu, Y., Huang, J., Chen, Y., Chen, C., Lu, L., Zhao, H., Men, S., and Zhang, X. (2021b). MES7 Modulates Seed Germination via Regulating Salicylic Acid Content in Arabidopsis. Plants (Basel) **10**.

Gutierrez, J.R., Balmuth, A.L., Ntoukakis, V., Mucyn, T.S., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Jones, A.M.E., and Rathjen, J.P. (2010). Prf immune complexes of tomato are oligomeric and contain multiple Pto-like kinases that diversify effector recognition. The Plant Journal **61,** 507-518.

Halterman, D., Wei, F., and Wise, R. (2003). Powdery mildew induced *Mla* mRNAs are alternatively spliced and contain multiple upstream open reading frames. Plant Physiol **131,** 558-567.

Halterman, D.A., and Wise, R.P. (2006). Upstream open reading frames of the barley Mla13 powdery mildew resistance gene function co-operatively to down-regulate translation. Molecular Plant Pathology **7,** 167-176.

Halterman, D.A., Chen, Y., Sopee, J., Berduo-Sandoval, J., and Sanchez-Perez, A. (2010). Competition between Phytophthora infestans effectors leads to increased aggressiveness on plants containing broad-spectrum late blight resistance. PLoS One **5,** e10536.

Han, J., Xiong, J., Wang, D., and Fu, X.D. (2011). Pre-mRNA splicing: where and when in the nucleus. Trends Cell Biol **21,** 336-343.

Haverkort, A.J., Struik, P.C., Visser, R.G.F., and Jacobsen, E. (2009). Applied biotechnology to combat late blight in potato caused by *Phytophthora infestans*. Potato Research **52,** 249-264.

He, Z., Webster, S., and He, S.Y. (2022). Growth-defense trade-offs in plants. Curr Biol **32,** R634-R639.

Howard, B.E., Hu, Q., Babaoglu, A.C., Chandra, M., Borghi, M., Tan, X., He, L., Winter-Sederoff, H., Gassmann, W., Veronese, P., and Heber, S. (2013). High-Throughput RNA Sequencing of Pseudomonas-Infected Arabidopsis Reveals Hidden Transcriptome Complexity and Novel Splice Variants. Plos One **8**.

Huang, C.Y., Rangel, D.S., Qin, X., Bui, C., Li, R., Jia, Z., Cui, X., and Jin, H. (2021). The chromatin-remodeling protein BAF60/SWP73A regulates the plant immune receptor NLRs. Cell Host Microbe **29,** 425-434 e424.

Huang, J., Lu, X., Wu, H., Xie, Y., Peng, Q., Gu, L., Wu, J., Wang, Y., Reddy, A.S.N., and Dong, S. (2020). Phytophthora Effectors Modulate Genome-wide Alternative Splicing of Host mRNAs to Reprogram Plant Immunity. Mol Plant.

Huang, J., Gu, L., Zhang, Y., Yan, T., Kong, G., Kong, L., Guo, B., Qiu, M., Wang, Y., Jing, M., Xing, W., Ye, W., Wu, Z., Zhang, Z., Zheng, X., Gijzen, M., Wang, Y., and Dong, S. (2017). An oomycete plant pathogen reprograms host pre-mRNA splicing to subvert immunity. Nature Communications **8**.

Huang, S., van der Vossen, E.A.G., Kuang, H., Vleeshouwers, V.G.A.A., Zhang, N., Borm, T.J.A., van Eck, H.J., Baker, B., Jacobsen, E., and Visser, R.G.F. (2005). Comparative genomics enabled the isolation of the *R3a* late blight resistance gene in potato. The Plant Journal **42,** 251-261.

Jones, J.D., and Dangl, J.L. (2006). The plant immune system. Nature **444,** 323-329.

Jung, H.W., Panigrahi, G.K., Jung, G.Y., Lee, Y.J., Shin, K.H., Sahoo, A., Choi, E.S., Lee, E., Man Kim, K., Yang, S.H., Jeon, J.S., Lee, S.C., and Kim, S.H. (2020). Pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity involves proteolytic degradation of core nonsense-mediated mRNA decay factors during the early defense response. Plant Cell **32,** 1081-1101.

Kamoun, S., and Smart, C.D. (2005). Late Blight of Potato and Tomato in the Genomics Era. Plant Disease **89,** 692-699.

Kamoun, S., Furzer, O., Jones, J.D.G., Judelson, H.S., Ali, G.S., Dalio, R.J.D., Roy, S.G., Schena, L., Zambounis, A., Panabieres, F., Cahill, D., Ruocco, M., Figueiredo, A., Chen, X.R., Hulvey, J., Stam, R., Lamour, K., Gijzen, M., Tyler, B.M., Grunwald, N.J., Mukhtar, M.S., Tome, D.F.A., Tor, M., Van den Ackerveken, G., McDowell, J., Daayf, F., Fry, W.E., Lindqvist-Kreuze, H., Meijer, H.J.G., Petre, B., Ristaino, J., Yoshida, K., Birch, P.R.J., and Govers, F. (2015). The Top 10 oomycete pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Molecular Plant Pathology **16,** 413-434.

Karki, H.S., Jansky, S.H., and Halterman, D.A. (2021). Screening of Wild Potatoes Identifies New Sources of Late Blight Resistance. Plant Disease **105,** 368-376.

Katou, S., Yoshioka, H., Kawakita, K., Rowland, O., Jones, J.D.G., Mori, H., and Doke, N. (2005). Involvement of PPS3 Phosphorylated by Elicitor-Responsive Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases in the Regulation of Plant Cell Death. Plant Physiology **139,** 1914-1926.

Klepikova, A.V., Kasianov, A.S., Gerasimov, E.S., Logacheva, M.D., and Penin, A.A. (2016). A high resolution map of the Arabidopsis thaliana developmental transcriptome based on RNA-seq profiling. The Plant Journal **88,** 1058-1070.

Kotake, Y., Sagane, K., Owa, T., Mimori-Kiyosue, Y., Shimizu, H., Uesugi, M., Ishihama, Y., Iwata, M., and Mizui, Y. (2007). Splicing factor SF3b as a target of the antitumor natural product pladienolide. Nat Chem Biol **3,** 570-575.

Kramer, L.C., Choudoir, M.J., Wielgus, S.M., Bhaskar, P.B., and Jiang, J. (2009). Correlation between transcript abundance of the *RB* Gene and the level of the *RB*-Mediated late blight resistance in potato. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **22,** 447-455.

Lai, Y., and Eulgem, T. (2018). Transcript-level expression control of plant NLR genes. Mol Plant Pathol **19,** 1267-1281.

Li, F., Pignatta, D., Bendix, C., Brunkard, J.O., Cohn, M.M., Tung, J., Sun, H., Kumar, P., and Baker, B. (2012). MicroRNA regulation of plant innate immune receptors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **109,** 1790-1795.

Li, X., Kapos, P., and Zhang, Y. (2015). NLRs in plants. Curr Opin Immunol **32,** 114-121.

Liang, W., van Wersch, S., Tong, M., and Li, X. (2019). TIR-NB-LRR immune receptor SOC3 pairs with truncated TIR-NB protein CHS1 or TN2 to monitor the homeostasis of E3 ligase SAUL1. New Phytologist **221,** 2054-2066.

Liao, S.E., and Regev, O. (2021). Splicing at the phase-separated nuclear speckle interface: a model. Nucleic Acids Res **49,** 636-645.

Liu, N., Hake, K., Wang, W., Zhao, T., Romeis, T., and Tang, D. (2017). CALCIUM-DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE5 Associates with the Truncated NLR Protein TIR-NBS2 to Contribute to exo70B1-Mediated Immunity. Plant Cell **29,** 746-759.

Lu, Q., Tang, X., Tian, G., Wang, F., Liu, K., Nguyen, V., Kohalmi, S.E., Keller, W.A., Tsang, E.W.T., Harada, J.J., Rothstein, S.J., and Cui, Y. (2010a). Arabidopsis homolog of the yeast TREX-2 mRNA export complex: components and anchoring nucleoporin. The Plant Journal **61,** 259-270.

Lu, T., Lu, G., Fan, D., Zhu, C., Li, W., Zhao, Q., Feng, Q., Zhao, Y., Guo, Y., Li, W., Huang, X., and Han, B. (2010b). Function annotation of the rice transcriptome at single-nucleotide resolution by RNA-seq. Genome Research **20,** 1238-1249.

Lüdke, D., Yan, Q., Rohmann, P.F.W., and Wiermer, M. (2022). NLR we there yet? Nucleocytoplasmic coordination of NLR-mediated immunity. New Phytologist **236,** 24-42.

Maekawa, T., Cheng, W., Spiridon, L.N., Toller, A., Lukasik, E., Saijo, Y., Liu, P., Shen, Q.H., Micluta, M.A., Somssich, I.E., Takken, F.L.W., Petrescu, A.J., Chai, J., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2011). Coiled-coil domain-dependent homodimerization of intracellular barley immune receptors defines a minimal functional module for triggering cell death. Cell Host Microbe **9,** 187-199.

Marquez, Y., Brown, J.W.S., Simpson, C., Barta, A., and Kalyna, M. (2012). Transcriptome survey reveals increased complexity of the alternative splicing landscape in Arabidopsis. Genome Research **22,** 1184-1195.

Mittler, R., Herr, E.H., Orvar, B.L., van Camp, W., Willekens, H., Inzé, D., and Ellis, B.E. (1999). Transgenic tobacco plants with reduced capability to detoxify reactive oxygen intermediates are hyperresponsive to pathogen infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **96,** 14165-14170.

Ning, Y., Liu, W., and Wang, G.L. (2017). Balancing Immunity and Yield in Crop Plants. Trends Plant Sci **22,** 1069-1079.

Nishimura, M.T., Anderson, R.G., Cherkis, K.A., Law, T.F., Liu, Q.L., Machius, M., Nimchuk, Z.L., Yang, L., Chung, E.H., El Kasmi, F., Hyunh, M., Osborne Nishimura, E., Sondek, J.E., and Dangl, J.L. (2017). TIR-only protein RBA1 recognizes a pathogen effector to regulate cell death in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **114,** E2053-E2062.

Ofir, G., Herbst, E., Baroz, M., Cohen, D., Millman, A., Doron, S., Tal, N., Malheiro, D.B.A., Malitsky, S., Amitai, G., and Sorek, R. (2021). Antiviral activity of bacterial TIR domains via immune signalling molecules. Nature **600,** 116-120.

Palma, K., Zhao, Q., Cheng, Y.T., Bi, D., Monaghan, J., Cheng, W., Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2007). Regulation of plant innate immunity by three proteins in a complex conserved across the plant and animal kingdoms. Genes & Development **21,** 1484-1493.

Paluchowska, P., Sliwka, J., and Yin, Z. (2022). Late blight resistance genes in potato breeding. Planta **255,** 127.

Rairdan, G.J., Collier, S.M., Sacco, M.A., Baldwin, T.T., Boettrich, T., and Moffett, P. (2008). The Coiled-Coil and Nucleotide Binding Domains of the Potato Rx Disease

Resistance Protein Function in Pathogen Recognition and Signaling The Plant Cell **20,** 739-751.

Reddy, A.S. (2007). Alternative splicing of pre-messenger RNAs in plants in the genomic era. Annu Rev Plant Biol **58,** 267-294.

Reddy, A.S.N. (2004). Plant serine/arginine-rich proteins and their role in pre-mRNA splicing. Trends in Plant Science **9,** 541-547.

Reddy, A.S.N., Marquez, Y., Kalyna, M., and Barta, A. (2013). Complexity of the Alternative Splicing Landscape in Plants. The Plant Cell **25,** 3657-3683.

Reddy, A.S.N., Huang, J., Syed, N.H., Ben-Hur, A., Dong, S., and Gu, L. (2020). Decoding co-/post-transcriptional complexities of plant transcriptomes and epitranscriptome using next-generation sequencing technologies. Biochemical Society Transactions **48,** 2399-2414.

Sanchez-Martin, J., Widrig, V., Herren, G., Wicker, T., Zbinden, H., Gronnier, J., Sporri, L., Praz, C.R., Heuberger, M., Kolodziej, M.C., Isaksson, J., Steuernagel, B., Karafiatova, M., Dolezel, J., Zipfel, C., and Keller, B. (2021). Wheat Pm4 resistance to powdery mildew is controlled by alternative splice variants encoding chimeric proteins. Nat Plants **7,** 327-341.

Sheth, N., Roca, X., Hastings, M.L., Roeder, T., Krainer, A.R., and Sachidanandam, R. (2006). Comprehensive splice-site analysis using comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res **34,** 3955-3967.

Slane, D., Lee, C.H., Kolb, M., Dent, C., Miao, Y., Franz-Wachtel, M., Lau, S., Macek, B., Balasubramanian, S., Bayer, M., and Jurgens, G. (2020). The integral spliceosomal component CWC15 is required for development in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep **10,** 13336.

Song, J., Bradeen, J.M., Naess, S.K., Raasch, J.A., Wielgus, S.M., Haberlach, G.T., Liu, J., Kuang, H., Austin-Phillips, S., Buell, C.R., Helgeson, J.P., and Jiang, J. (2003). Gene RB cloned from Solanum bulbocastanum confers broad spectrum resistance to potato late blight. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **100,** 9128-9133.

Stam, M., Mol, J.N.M., and Kooter, J.M. (1997). The silence of genes in transgenic plants. Annals of Botany **79,** 3-12.

Sukarta, O.C.A., Zheng, Q., Slootweg, E.J., Mekken, M., Mendel, M., Putker, V., Bertran, A., Brand, A., Overmars, H., Pomp, R., Roosien, J., Boeren, S., Smant, G., and Goverse, A. (2022). GLYCINE-RICH RNA-BINDING PROTEIN 7 potentiates effector-triggered immunity through an RNA recognition motif. Plant Physiol **189,** 972-987.

Sun, H., Jiao, W.B., Krause, K., Campoy, J.A., Goel, M., Folz-Donahue, K., Kukat, C., Huettel, B., and Schneeberger, K. (2022). Chromosome-scale and haplotype-resolved genome assembly of a tetraploid potato cultivar. Nat Genet **54,** 342-348.

Tang, D., Jia, Y., Zhang, J., Li, H., Cheng, L., Wang, P., Bao, Z., Liu, Z., Feng, S., Zhu, X., Li, D., Zhu, G., Wang, H., Zhou, Y., Zhou, Y., Bryan, G.J., Buell, C.R., Zhang, C., and Huang, S. (2022). Genome evolution and diversity of wild and cultivated potatoes. Nature **606,** 535-541.

Thilliez, G.J.A., Armstrong, M.R., Lim, T.-Y., Baker, K., Jouet, A., Ward, B., van Oosterhout, C., Jones, J.D.G., Huitema, E., Birch, P.R.J., and Hein, I. (2019).

1153   Pathogen enrichment sequencing (PenSeq) enables population genomic studies in
1154   oomycetes. New Phytologist **221,** 1634-1648.

1155 **Tsuchiya, T., and Eulgem, T.** (2013). An alternative polyadenylation mechanism coopted to
1156   the Arabidopsis RPP7 gene through intronic retrotransposon domestication.
1157   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **110,** E3535-3543.

1158 **Van de Weyer, A.L., Monteiro, F., Furzer, O.J., Nishimura, M.T., Cevik, V., Witek, K.,**
1159   **Jones, J.D.G., Dangl, J.L., Weigel, D., and Bemm, F.** (2019). A Species-Wide
1160   Inventory of NLR Genes and Alleles in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell **178,** 1260-1272
1161   e1214.

1162 **van der Hoorn, R.A., and Kamoun, S.** (2008). From Guard to Decoy: a new model for
1163   perception of plant pathogen effectors. Plant Cell **20,** 2009-2017.

1164 **van der Vossen, E., Sikkema, A., Hekkert, B., Gros, J., Stevens, P., Muskens, M.,**
1165   **Wouters, D., Pereira, A., Stiekema, W., and Allefs, S.** (2003). An ancient R gene
1166   from the wild potato species Solanum bulbocastanum confers broad-spectrum
1167   resistance to Phytophthora infestans in cultivated potato and tomato. Plant J **36,** 867-
1168   882.

1169 **van der Vossen, E.A., Gros, J., Sikkema, A., Muskens, M., Wouters, D., Wolters, P.,**
1170   **Pereira, A., and Allefs, S.** (2005). The Rpi-blb2 gene from Solanum bulbocastanum
1171   is an Mi-1 gene homolog conferring broad-spectrum late blight resistance in potato.
1172   Plant J **44,** 208-222.

1173 **Vidal, M., and Legrain, P.** (1999). Yeast forward and reverse 'n'-hybrid systems. Nucleic
1174   Acids Research **27,** 919-929.

1175 **Vleeshouwers, V.G., Rietman, H., Krenek, P., Champouret, N., Young, C., Oh, S.K.,**
1176   **Wang, M., Bouwmeester, K., Vosman, B., Visser, R.G., Jacobsen, E., Govers, F.,**
1177   **Kamoun, S., and Van der Vossen, E.A.** (2008). Effector genomics accelerates
1178   discovery and functional profiling of potato disease resistance and *Phytophthora*
1179   *infestans* avirulence genes. PLoS ONE **3,** e2875.

1180 **Wan, R., Bai, R., Zhan, X., and Shi, Y.** (2020). How is precursor messenger RNA spliced
1181   by the spliceosome? Annu Rev Biochem **89,** 333-358.

1182 **Wang, H., Wang, S., Wang, W., Xu, L., Welsh, L.R.J., Gierlinski, M., Whisson, S.C.,**
1183   **Hemsley, P.A., Boevink, P.C., and Birch, P.R.J.** (2023). Uptake of oomycete RXLR
1184   effectors into host cells by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The Plant Cell **35,** 2504-
1185   2526.

1186 **Wang, J., Hu, M., Wang, J., Qi, J., Han, Z., Wang, G., Qi, Y., Wang, H.W., Zhou, J.M.,**
1187   **and Chai, J.** (2019). Reconstitution and structure of a plant NLR resistosome
1188   conferring immunity. Science **364**.

1189 **Wang, L., Yang, T., Wang, B., Lin, Q., and Yu, F.** (2020). RALF1-FERONIA complex
1190   affects splicing dynamics to modulate stress responses and growth in plants. Science
1191   Advances **6,** eaaz1622.

1192 **Wang, M., Allefs, S., van den Berg, R.G., Vleeshouwers, V.G., van der Vossen, E.A., and**
1193   **Vosman, B.** (2008). Allele mining in Solanum: conserved homologues of Rpi-blb1
1194   are identified in Solanum stoloniferum. Theor Appl Genet **116,** 933-943.

1195 **Wang, S., McLellan, H., Bukharova, T., He, Q., Murphy, F., Shi, J., Sun, S., van**
1196   **Weymers, P., Ren, Y., Thilliez, G., Wang, H., Chen, X., Engelhardt, S.,**

Vleeshouwers, V., Gilroy, E.M., Whisson, S.C., Hein, I., Wang, X., Tian, Z., Birch, P.R.J., and Boevink, P.C. (2018). Phytophthora infestans RXLR effectors act in concert at diverse subcellular locations to enhance host colonization. Journal of Experimental Botany **70,** 343-356.

Will, C.L., and Lührmann, R. (2011). Spliceosome structure and function. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol **3**.

Wu, Z., Zhu, D., Lin, X., Miao, J., Gu, L., Deng, X., Yang, Q., Sun, K., Zhu, D., Cao, X., Tsuge, T., Dean, C., Aoyama, T., Gu, H., and Qu, L.J. (2016). RNA Binding Proteins RZ-1B and RZ-1C Play Critical Roles in Regulating Pre-mRNA Splicing and Gene Expression during Development in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **28,** 55-73.

Xu, F., Xu, S., Wiermer, M., Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2012). The cyclin L homolog MOS12 and the MOS4-associated complex are required for the proper splicing of plant resistance genes. The plant jouranl **70,** 916-928.

Xu, S., Zhang, Z., Jing, B., Gannon, P., Ding, J., Xu, F., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2011). Transportin-SR is required for proper splicing of resistance genes and plant immunity. PLOS Genetics **7,** e1002159.

Yang, L., Ding, J., Zhang, C., Jia, J., Weng, H., Liu, W., and Zhang, D. (2005). Estimating the copy number of transgenes in transformed rice by real-time quantitative PCR. Plant Cell Reports **23,** 759-763.

Yang, S., Tang, F., and Zhu, H. (2014). Alternative splicing in plant immunity. International journal of molecular sciences **15,** 10424-10445.

Yu, X., Feng, B., He, P., and Shan, L. (2017). From Chaos to Harmony: Responses and Signaling upon Microbial Pattern Recognition. Annual Review of Phytopathology **55,** 109-137.

Zhang, F., Fang, H., Wang, M., He, F., Tao, H., Wang, R., Long, J., Wang, J., Wang, G.L., and Ning, Y. (2022). APIP5 functions as a transcription factor and an RNA-binding protein to modulate cell death and immunity in rice. Nucleic Acids Res **50,** 5064-5079.

Zhang, F., Chen, H., Zhang, X., Gao, C., Huang, J., Lü, L., Shen, D., Wang, L., Huang, C., Ye, W., Zheng, X., Wang, Y., Vossen, J.H., and Dong, S. (2021). Genome Analysis of Two Newly Emerged Potato Late Blight Isolates Sheds Light on Pathogen Adaptation and Provides Tools for Disease Management. Phytopathology® **111,** 96-107.

Zhang, X.-C., and Gassmann, W. (2007). Alternative splicing and mRNA levels of the disease resistance gene *RPS4* are induced during defense responses. Plant Physiology **145,** 1577-1587.

Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2005). A putative nucleoporin 96 is required for both basal defense and constitutive resistance responses mediated by *suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1*. The Plant Cell **17,** 1306-1316.

Zhang, Y., Xu, S., Ding, P., Wang, D., Cheng, Y.T., He, J., Gao, M., Xu, F., Li, Y., Zhu, Z., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Control of salicylic acid synthesis and systemic acquired resistance by two members of a plant-specific family of transcription factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **107,** 18220-18225.

Zhao, J., and Song, J. (2021). NLR immune receptor RB is differentially targeted by two homologous but functionally distinct effector proteins. Plant Communications **2**.

1241   **Zhou, J.-M., and Chai, J.** (2008). Plant pathogenic bacterial type III effectors subdue host
1242   responses. Current Opinion in Microbiology **11,** 179-185.
1243   **Zhou, J.-M., and Zhang, Y.** (2020). Plant Immunity: Danger Perception and Signaling. Cell
1244   **181,** 978-989.
1245   **Zhu, S., Vossen, J.H., Bergervoet, M., Nijenhuis, M., Kodde, L., Kessel, G.J.T.,**
1246   **Vleeshouwers, V., Visser, R.G.F., and Jacobsen, E.** (2015). An updated
1247   conventional- and a novel GM potato late blight R gene differential set for virulence
1248   monitoring of Phytophthora infestans. Euphytica **202,** 219-234.

1249

1250

Figure 1. The AS of *RB* is specifically modulated by *P. infestans* infection.

(A) Gene model of *RB* and splicing mutant *RB_IVS*. Red letters in *RB_IVS* denote mutations to
change the intron splicing recognition sites. On the top is schematic of two transcripts of *RB*
amplified using 5′-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′-RACE). UPM primer is Universal Primer
A, GSP primer is a gene specific primer of *RB*. The white vertical lines represent single nucleotide
polymorphisms that appeared in the sequencing results. The primers for *RB_CDS* transcript that
cross exon-exon junctions are shown with dashed lines over the intron. Arrows denote the primers
for alternatively spliced transcript *RB_IR* located on the intron sequence. The RT-PCR primers F
and R are located on the two sides of the intron. The red arrows indicate the primers that are used to
measure relative gene expression in Fig. S1B. The asterisk shows the location of a premature
termination codon. On the right are diagrams showing the predicted proteins encoded by RB
variants with domains indicated color. **(B)** RT-PCR analysis of *RB_IR* and *RB_CDS* in wildtype
(WT), *RB* and *RB_IVS* transgenic *S. tuberosum* and *N. benthamiana*, PT29 (*S. bulbocastanum*); and
AS events of *Rpi-sto1* in *Rpi-sto1* transgenic potato (*S. tuberosum*). *RB_IR* is 995 bp, and *RB_CDS*
is 316 bp. *StEF1α* and *NbEF1α* were used as internal controls. **(C)** Expression of N terminal GFP-
tagged fusions of RB, RB_CDS, and RB_IR in agroinfiltrated *N. benthamiana* was confirmed by

protein blot using an anti-GFP antibody. The asterisks indicate the predicted sizes of RB_CDS and RB_IR. Equal protein loading is visualized by Ponceau S staining. **(D)** Splicing ratio of *RB* in transgenic potato following inoculation with water (mock), *P. infestans* JH19, or *P. capsici* LT1534. Transcript levels were detected by quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) and normalized to *RB_CDS* following mock treatment at 0 dpi. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. **(E)** RT-PCR of *RB_IR* or *RB_CDS* variants in *RB* transgenic potato following inoculation with different *P. infestans* strains (JH19, HB1501, T30-4), water (mock), or *P. capsici* strain LT1534. *StEF1α* was used as the internal control. The intensities under the PCR bands of each product were quantified by ImageJ software. **(F)** Splicing ratio of *RB* isoforms in *RB_IVS* transgenic potato following inoculation with *P. infestans* zoospores. RNA was extracted 0, 1, and 2 days post inoculation. Splicing ratio levels were detected by RT-qPCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD in three independent replicates. ns = no significant difference using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(G)** Splicing ratio of *RB* in *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* following agroinfiltration of RNAi-hairpin constructs then inoculation with *P. infestans* zoospores 2 days later. RNA was extracted at 0 and 2 days after pathogen inoculation. Splicing ratio levels were detected by RT-qPCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD in three independent replicates. **(H)** Splicing ratio of *RB* in *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* following treatment with DMSO (control), 1 µM PB, or 30 µM PB followed by inoculation with *P. infestans* zoospores 6 hours later. RNA was extracted at 0 and 2 days after pathogen inoculation. Splicing ratio levels were detected by RT-qPCR and bars represent the mean ± SD in three independent replicates. For graphs (D), (G), (H), ns, no significant difference, one asterisk denotes a significant difference at P<0.05, two asterisk denotes a significant difference at P<0.01 and three asterisks denotes a significant difference at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. The above experiments were repeated 3 times with similar results.

Figure 2. Intron retention in *RB* results in susceptibility to *P. infestans* infection.

(**A**)A zoospore suspension of *P. infestans* strain JH19 was used to inoculate *RB*, *RB_CDS*, *RB_IR*, and *RB_IVS* transgenic potato plants at a concentration of 15,000 zoospores/mL. Plants were photographed at 5 dpi. For every transgenic potato, each line has nine repeats (n=9). The numbers represent the number of resistant plants compared to all inoculated plants. Bar=5 cm. (**B**) A zoospore suspension of *P. infestans* strain JH19 was drop-inoculated on detached leaves of *RB* transgenic potato expressing the indicated gene variants. The inoculated leaves were photographed at 4 dpi. (**C**) Infected areas (%) of inoculated leaves in (B). The infected area was measured in ImageJ. The data represent the mean ± SD from 12 replicates. Different letters denote a significant difference at P<0.001 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. (**D**) Trypan blue staining of *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* following agroinfiltration of RNAi-hairpin constructs and inoculation with *P. infestans* zoospores. *EV* = empty vector control. Leaves were photographed at 5 dpi. (**E**) Lesion areas (cm^2) of inoculated leaves in (D). Data represent the mean ± SD from 8 replicates. (**F**) Relative biomass of *P. infestans* was determined by quantitative PCR of *P. infestans* genomic DNA normalized to *N. benthamiana* genomic DNA at 5 days post inoculation (dpi). Bars

represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. Different letters above bars denote a
significant difference at P<0.001 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(G)** Zoospores of
P. infestans strain JH19 were inoculated on *RB* or *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana* leaves
pretreated with DMSO or 30 μM pladienolide B (PB). Leaves were stained with trypan blue and
photographed at 5 dpi. **(H)** Lesion areas (cm^2) of inoculated leaves in (D). Data represent the mean
± SD from 14 replicates. ns = no significant difference and three asterisks denotes a statistical
difference at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(I)** Relative biomass of *P.
infestans* was determined by quantitative PCR of *P. infestans* genomic DNA normalized to *N.
benthamiana* genomic DNA at 5 days post inoculation (dpi). Bars represent the mean ± SD from
three independent replicates. ns = no significant difference, one asterisk denotes a significant
difference at P<0.05 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. The above experiments were
repeated 3 times with similar results.
71

Figure 3. IPI-O1 induces *RB* intron splicing.

(A) Schematic diagram of the *RB* alternative splicing reporter system. The genomic sequence of *RB*
was fused with the *luciferase* (*LUC*) gene to construct *35S*::*RB-LUC*. Intron retention introduces a
premature termination codon (PTC) and no production of the luciferase reporter. Intron splicing
leads to an entire RB-LUC protein with luciferase activity. The *35S::GUS* construct was used as an
internal control to normalize the activity of luciferase. The *35S*::*RB_CDS-LUC* construct with the
intron removed produces a full-length RB-LUC protein with luciferase activity regardless of intron
splicing activity. **(B)** Results of co-expression of the reporters with multiple splicing regulatory
effectors (SRE) identified previously. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with Agrobacterium
carrying the indicated SRE protein. LUC activity was determined at 48 hpi using a
chemiluminescent imaging system. **(C)** GFP-tagged SRE fusion protein expression in *N.*
benthamiana was confirmed by protein blotting using an anti-GFP antibody. Equal protein loading
was visualized by Ponceau S staining. **(D)** The LUC/GUS activity of infiltrated samples was
calculated at 48 hpi. The relative LUC/GUS activities were relative to the activity of the construct
with mock treatment. Data represent the mean ± SD from 24 replicates. Different letters above each

bar represent a significant difference at P<0.001 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(E)** Transcript levels of *RB_IR* and *RB_CDS* were determined by RT-PCR following agroinfiltration of IPI-O1, IPI-O2 and IPI-O4 into leaves of *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana*. *NbEF1α* was used as the internal control. **(F)** Bars represent relative intensity of RT-PCR products in (D) and normalized with internal control *NbEF1α*. Intensities of the bands were quantified by ImageJ software, and the relative abundances of *RB* isoforms are relative to *RB_CDS* at 0 dpi. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. ns = no significant difference, one asterisk denotes a significant difference at P<0.05, two asterisks denotes a significant difference at P<0.01 and three asterisks denotes a significant difference at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. The above experiments were repeated 3 times with similar results.

Figure 4. Interaction between IPI-O1 and StCWC15 is important for *RB* AS modulation.
(A) Yeast-two hybrid interaction between IPI-O1 and CWC15 homologs from *N. benthamiana*.
Yeast transformants were spotted as 10-fold serial dilutions on SD medium without leucine and
tryptophan (SD–2), or without histidine, leucine, adenine, and tryptophan (SD–4). Yeast cells were
photographed 2-3 days after plating. Empty BD and AD plasmids (EV) were used as controls.
Experiments were performed at least three times with similar results. **(B)** Immunoprecipitation (IP)
of StCWC15a/b-GFP with RFP-IPI-O1, RFP-IPI-O1^M1, or RFP-Pi04314 following agroinfiltration

in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Expression of constructs in the leaves is indicated by +. Markers are indicated on the right, and the Ponceau S staining was used to show levels of protein loading. **(C)** *In vitro* immunoprecipitation (IP) using the GST-tagged StCWC15a/b and IPI-O1 or IPI-O1^{M1}. Input and GST-pull-down proteins were visualized by protein blotting using anti-GST and anti-His antibodies. Asterisks (*) indicate the protein bands that correspond to the predicted size of GST-CWC15a/b. **(D)** *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with Agrobacterium carrying the indicated constructs; relative LUC activity was measured at 48 hpi using a chemiluminescent imaging system. **(E)** Splicing ratio of *RB* in *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana* following agroinfiltration of IPI-O1 and IPI-O1^{M1} at 0, 1 and 2 days by RT-qPCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD of three independent replicates. ns = no significant difference and three asterisks denote a statistical difference at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(F)** The image on the left shows protein blot analysis of the protein accumulation of RB_CDS and RB_IR following transient expression of the indicated genes in *N. benthamiana* leaves. On the right, RFP tag fusions with IPI-O1 and IPI-O1^{M1} were confirmed by protein blotting using an anti-RFP antibody. Equal protein loading is visualized by Ponceau S staining. The intensities under the signal bands of each protein were quantified by ImageJ software. The above experiments were repeated 3 times with similar results. **(G)** The relative LUC/GUS activity of RB-LUC or RB_CDS-LUC with IPI-O1 related mutants was quantified at 2 dpi. The LUC/GUS activity of infiltrated samples was calculated by normalizing LUC activity to GUS activity. The LUC/GUS activities were relative to the activity of mock treatment. Data represent the mean ± SD from 19 replicates. Different letters above each bar represent a significant difference at P<0.001 using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(H)** IPI-O1^{M1} fused NLS and NES motif cannot interact with StCWC15 in vivo by co-IP. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of StCWC15a-GFP with RFP-IPI-O1, RFP-IPI-O1^{M1}, RFP-IPI-O1^{M1}-NES and RFP-IPI-O1^{M1}-NLS following agroinfiltration in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Expression of constructs in the leaves is indicated by +. Markers are indicated on the right, and the Ponceau S staining was used to show levels of protein loading.

Figure 5. IPI-O1 re-localizes the StCWC15a/b from the nucleoplasm into speckles and nucleolus

(**A**) StCWC15a/b-RFP or GFP-IPI-O1 were expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves for 48 h before imaging. Numbers represent the frequency of subcellular localization in indicated cellular structure compared to the total fluorescent cells in the 25mm^2 range. Bar = 5 μm. (**B**) IPI-O1 interacts with StCWC15 in the nucleolus and nuclear speckles. Split-YFP/BiFC of IPI-O1 or IPI-O1^{M1} and Pi04314 with StCWC15a and b using fluorescence imaging. Samples were stained with 2 μg /mL DAPI to indicate the nucleus. Numbers represent the frequency of cells showing YFP fluorescence compared to the total number of cells in the 25 mm^2 discs from three biological replicates. Bar = 5 μm. (**C**) IPI-O1 co-localizes with StCWC15a/b in nuclear speckles and the nucleolus. GFP-IPI-O1, GFP-IPI-O1^{M1}, and GFP alone were transiently co-expressed with StCWC15a/b-RFP by

146   agroinfiltration in *N. benthamiana*. The left image is from the GFP channel, the middle image is
147   from the RFP channel, and the right image is the overlay of the GFP and RFP channels. Numbers
148   represent the frequency of cells showing indicated StCWC15 subcellular localization compared to
149   the total StCWC15-positive cells in $25mm^2$ discs from three biological replicates. Graphs show the
150   fluorescence intensity profiles across the arrows in the merged images. Bar = 5μm.
151

Figure 6. Silencing *StCWC15* disrupts *RB*-mediated resistance.
(**A**) Whole plants of *RB* and *RB-StCWC15* RNAi transgenic were spray-inoculated with zoospores of *P. infestans* strain JH19 at a concentration of 15,000 zoospores/mL. Plants were photographed at 5 dpi. Inoculation of each transgenic potato line was repeated nine times (n=9). Bar = 3 cm. The numbers represent resistant plants compared to all inoculated plants. (**B**) Zoospore suspension of *P. infestans* strain JH19 was drop-inoculated on detached leaves of *RB* and *RB-StCWC15* RNAi transgenic potato lines. The infected leaves were photographed at 4 dpi. The numbers represent the

number of resistant leaves compared to all inoculated leaves. **(C)** Relative expression of *StCWC15a/b* in three RNAi transformed lines compared to *RB* transgenic potato. Bars represent the mean ± SD of three independent replicates. Three asterisks designate a statistical difference from the *RB* control at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(D)** Infected areas (%) of inoculated leaves in (B). The infected area was measured in ImageJ. The data represent the mean ± SD from 10 replicates. Different letters denote a significant difference at P<0.001 using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(E)** Splicing ratio of *RB* in *RB-StCWC15* RNAi transgenic potato line#2 following inoculation with *P. infestans* zoospores at 2 dpi by RT-qPCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. ns=no significant difference and three asterisks denote a statistical difference at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(F)** *NbCWC15* was silenced by VIGS in *RB*, *RB_CDS*, and *Rpi_blb2* transgenic *N. benthamiana* and inoculated with *P. infestans* JH19 on detached leaves. Leaves were stained with trypan blue and photographed at 5 dpi. **(G)** Lesion areas(cm^2) of inoculated leaves in (F) were calculated using ImageJ. Data represent the mean ± SD from 18 replicates. **(H)** Relative biomass of *P. infestans* was determined by qPCR of *P. infestans* genomic DNA normalized to *N. benthamiana* genomic DNA at 5 days post inoculation (dpi). Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. Three asterisks designate a statistical difference from the control at P<0.001 using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. **(I)** Complementation of *RB* function caused by *CWC15* silencing in *N. benthamiana* via synthetic StCWC15 constructs (StCWC15a/b^{syn}). Agrobacterium expressing StCWC15a/b^{syn} constructs were infiltrated into *NbCWC15* silenced *RB* transgenic *N. benthamiana*, then inoculated with *P. infestans* JH19 zoospores. Leaves were stained with trypan blue and photographed at 5 dpi. **(J)** Lesion areas(cm^2) of inoculated leaves in (I) were calculated using ImageJ. Data represent the mean ± SD from 8 replicates. **(K)** Relative biomass of *P. infestans* was determined by qPCR of *P. infestans* genomic DNA normalized to *N. benthamiana* genomic DNA at 5 days post inoculation (dpi). Bars represent the mean± SD from three independent replicates. Different letters denote a significance difference at P<0.001 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test.

Figure 7. Alternative intron splicing of *RB* balances plant growth and immunity.
(**A**) Photos of 21-day-old wild-type (WT) Favorita and *RB*, *RB_CDS*, and *RB_IR* transgenic tissue culture plants. Bar = 1 cm. (**B**) Average stem height and (**C**) root length of Favorita (WT) and 21-day-old transgenic potato tissue culture plants. Bars represent the mean ± SD from 5 tissue culture plants of 3 independent lines for each genotype. Different letters represent a statistical difference at P<0.01 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. (**D**) Total tuber mass and (**E**) individual tuber mass of tubers from greenhouse grown plants. Measurements were taken at 8 to 10 weeks after tissue culture plants were transplanted. Bars represent the mean ± SD from 5 replicates of 3 independent lines for each genotype. Different letters represent a statistical difference at P<0.01 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. (**F**) Total salicylic acid (SA) content in Favorita (WT) and transgenic *RB* and *RB_CDS* lines without pathogen inoculation. FW, fresh weight. Bars

199   represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. Different letters represent a statistical
200   difference at $P<0.05$ using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(G-H)** Relative expression of
201   *SARD1* (G) and *PR1* (H) in the indicated transgenic potato as determined by RT-qPCR. Bars
202   represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. Different letters represent a statistical
203   difference at $P<0.01$ using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test.

Figure 8. Overexpression of RB_IR disrupts RB_CDS N-terminal self-association and immunity activation.

(A) Zoospores of *P. infestans* strain JH19 were inoculated on *RB_CDS* transgenic *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing the indicated proteins by agroinfiltration. Leaves were stained with trypan blue and photographed at 5 dpi. **(B)** Lesion areas (cm^2) of inoculated leaves in (B) were calculated using ImageJ. Data represent the mean ± SD from 10 replicates from three independent experiments. **(C)** Relative biomass of *P. infestans* was determined by qPCR of *P. infestans* genomic DNA normalized to *N. benthamiana* genomic DNA at 5 dpi. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent replicates. For B and C graphs, different letters represent a statistical difference at P<0.001 using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. **(D)** Immunoprecipitation (IP) of RB_CDS-GFP and RB-CC-GFP with RB_IR-RFP, R2-CC-RFP following agroinfiltration in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Expression of constructs in the leaves is indicated by + Ponceau S staining was used to show levels of protein loading. **(E)** Agrobacterium carrying RB-CC-GFP and RB-CC-RFP (OD$_{600}$ = 0.3) were co-expressed in *N. benthamiana* in the presence of EV-10×Myc empty vector (EV-10*Myc represents pBIN empty vector fused with 10×Myc tag), RB_IR-10×Myc, or R2-CC-10×Myc (OD$_{600}$ = 0.6) by agroinfiltration. Total protein was incubated with GFP-Trap beads for co-IP assays, and immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed using anti-GFP, anti-RFP, or anti-Myc antibodies. Ponceau S staining was used to show levels of protein loading.

239   These experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

Fig. 9 Schematic model of the P. infestans host pathosystem related to resistance gene RB and effector IPI-O1.

During normal growth (left), CWC15 proteins (grey triangles) are maintained in the nucleoplasm and *RB* transcripts exist primarily with the intron retained (*RB_IR*). This results in the production of a truncated, non-functional RB protein that supports growth over immunity. Following *P. infestans* infection, effector IPI-O1 is introduced into the plant cell and translocated to the nucleus, leading to a re-localization of CWC15 from the nucleoplasm to the nucleolus and speckles. This results in increased splicing of the *RB* intron (*RB_CDS*), resulting in production of a full-length RB protein that can elicit immunity.