Genome-wide association studies reveal a rapidly evolving candidate avirulence effector

in the Cercospora leaf spot pathogen *Cercospora beticola*

Chen Chen, Harald Keunecke, Felix Bemm, Gabor Gyetvai, Enzo Neu, Friedrich J. Kopisch-

Obuch, Bruce A. McDonald, Jessica Stapley.

Plant Pathology Group, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

KWS SAAT SE & Co. KGaA, Grimsehlstr. 31, 37574 Einbeck, Germany

Corresponding author: Jessica Stapley, Jessica.stapley@usys.ethz.ch

Abstract

The major resistance gene *BvCR4* recently bred into sugar beets provides a high level of

resistance to Cercospora leaf spot caused by the fungal pathogen *Cercospora beticola*. The

occurrence of pathogen strains virulent to *BvCR4* was studied using field trials in Switzerland

and Germany. Virulence of a subset of these strains was tested in a field trial conducted

under elevated artificial disease pressure. We created a new *C. beticola* reference genome

and mapped whole genome sequences of 256 field-collected isolates and combined this

with the virulence phenotypes to conduct three separate GWAS to identify candidate

avirulence genes. GWAS analyses identified a locus associated with avirulence containing a

single candidate avirulence effector gene named *AvrCR4*. All virulent isolates either lacked

AvrCR4 or had non-synonymous mutations within the gene. *AvrCR4* was present in all 74

unique isolates obtained from non-*BvCR4* hybrids, whereas 33 of 89 unique isolates

obtained from *BvCR4* hybrids carried this deletion. We also mapped genomic data from 190

publicly available isolates from the U.S to our new reference genome. The *AvrCR4* deletion

was found in only one of 95 unique isolates from non-*BvCR4* hybrids in the U.S. *AvrCR4*

presents a unique example of an avirulence effector in which virulent alleles have only

recently emerged. Most likely these were selected out of the standing genetic variation

after deployment of a new major resistance gene. Identification of *AvrCR4* will enable real-

time screening of *C. beticola* populations for the emergence and spread of virulent isolates

as well as long-term studies of effector evolution.

Keywords: avirulence effector, GWAS, pathogen evolution, R-gene durability

Introduction

Plant pathogens pose significant risks to crop production and food security around the world (Savary et al., 2019). Disease resistance genes (R-genes) provide economical and ecologically-friendly approaches to disease control and make important contributions to production of many important crops. Plant breeders often select for R-genes with major effects that form a critical part of the plant's immune system. Major R-genes typically encode immune receptors, e.g. receptor-like kinases (RLKs) or nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat proteins (NB-LRRs) that detect pathogen proteins and trigger an immune response (Deng et al., 2020; Petit-Houdenot and Fudal, 2017). Thus, R-genes play a major role in disease control. In sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris ssp. vulgaris*), R-genes have provided the only effective control for beet cyst nematode (*Heterodera schachtii*) (Stevanato et al., 2015) and rhizomania (Beet necrotic yellow vein virus) (Capistrano-Gossmann et al., 2017).

The effectiveness of R-genes can be reduced when virulent strains of the pathogen evolve. This evolution of virulent strains can happen over various periods of time, with well-documented cases including 2-7 years for *Leptosphaeria maculans* on oilseed rape (Rouxel and Balesdent, 2017; Van De Wouw et al., 2010); 3 years for *Zymoseptoria tritici* on wheat (Cowger et al., 2000), and 2-3 years for *Pyricularia oryzae* on rice (Kiyosawa, 1982). However, even when the population contains virulent strains, major genes can still prove useful, e.g. the *Rlm7* gene encoding resistance to *L. maculans* remains useful more than 15 years after commercial introduction despite the identification of virulent isolates (Alnajar et al., 2022; Winter and Koopmann, 2016). Understanding the mechanisms governing the emergence of virulence in fungal pathogens can be useful for developing more effective strategies to mitigate the impact of pathogens in agro-ecosystems.

Plant-pathogen coevolution in natural ecosystems created the reservoir of R-genes that can be extracted from wild host populations and integrated into domesticated crops via plant breeding programs. These R-genes typically recognise pathogen molecules, often called effectors and they function to increase susceptibility of the host to pathogen infection (Kamoun, 2007). If the recognition of an effector by an R-protein leads to a major immune response that severely limits pathogen infection, it is called an avirulence effector. The genes encoding avirulence effectors typically encode small, secreted, cysteine-rich proteins

with limited homology to other characterized proteins (Rouxel and Balesdent, 2017; Sonah

et al., 2016). Many avirulence effector genes have now been identified and cloned in several

important plant pathosystems, including *L. maculans* on oilseed rape (Rouxel and Balesdent,

2017), *P. oryzae* on rice (Fernandez, 2023), and *Z. tritici* on wheat (Meile et al., 2018; Zhong

et al., 2017).

Following deployment of a major R-gene, the corresponding avirulence effector gene is

under strong directional selection and typically evolve rapidly to evade host recognition,

creating virulent pathogen strains that can infect plants carrying the major R-gene. This

evolution can involve gene deletions, non-synonymous mutations, and alterations in gene

transcription that enable evasion of the R-gene recognition. The genes encoding fungal

effectors are often located in dynamic, TE-rich regions of the genome that are thought to

facilitate an accelerated evolution for these genes (Lo Presti et al., 2015). After years of

selection imposed by a widely deployed R-gene, the gene encoding the corresponding

avirulence effector typically displays very high levels of genetic diversity, while the original

wild-type sequence of the effector gene may have disappeared entirely or be present at

only very low frequencies (Brunner et al., 2007; Brunner and McDonald, 2018).

Sugar beet is an important crop that provides around one-fifth of the global sucrose

production every year(Rangel et al., 2020). Cercospora leaf spot (CLS), caused by the fungus

Cercospora beticola, is the most common and destructive disease of sugar beet. Sugar beet

cultivars with improved resistance to CLS have been under development for thirty years

(Rangel et al., 2020) . Wild sea beet (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. *maritima*) is the most important

source of resistant genes and at least four quantitative trait loci (QTL) were identified for

resistance to CLS . The CLS-resistant cultivars generally produce lower yields compared with

susceptible cultivars, and it has proved difficult to combine high resistance and high yield

into the same cultivar (Setiawan et al., 2000; Taguchi et al., 2011). To date, the most widely

planted sugar beet cultivars combine high yield and moderate CLS resistance (Windels et al.,

1998), relying mainly on fungicides to limit the losses associated with CLS. Recently, Törjék

et al (2022; 2020) identified an NBS-LRR resistance gene named *BvCR4* that provides new

opportunities in CLS resistance breeding. This gene showed a high level of CLS resistance

when bred into sugar beets, enabling a unique combination of high CLS resistance and high

yield in the same variety. However, *BvCR4* might be vulnerable to the evolution of virulent

C. beticola strains if it were deployed as a monogenic resistance source without any

supporting quantitative background resistance (Pilet-Nayel et al., 2017) and without

supplemental integrated plant protection measures.

The evolutionary potential of *C. beticola* is high because it has a mixed reproductive system,

exhibits extensive gene flow, and undergoes several cycles of reproduction during each

sugar beet growing season. Field populations contain high levels of genetic diversity as

expected for pathogen populations that maintain a high effective population size (Vaghefi et

al., 2017). *C. beticola* has already shown the capacity to rapidly evolve resistance to several

fungicides representing different modes of action, including triazole, benzimidazole,

difenoconazole, organotin, sterol demethylation inhibitor (DMI), and quinone outside

inhibitor (QoI) (Bolton et al., 2012; Karaoglanidis et al., 2000). This evolution of fungicide

resistance underlines the need to make more effective use of CLS resistance, but also

illustrates the potential for pathogen adaptation following the deployment of major R-

genes.

We conducted a series of field, greenhouse, and lab experiments to assess the risks

associated with deployment of *BvCR4* in sugar beets. We monitored CLS epidemics in

experimental hybrids carrying *BvCR4* and isolated putative virulent strains of *C. beticola*

from infected leaves. We confirmed the virulence of many of these strains on *BvCR4* hybrids

growing under field conditions. We created a new reference genome sequence for *C.*

beticola, analysed genome sequences for 256 isolates and conducted three GWAS analyses

to search for candidate avirulence effectors. Each GWAS identified the same candidate

avirulence effector (*AvrCR4*) on chromosome 1. The *AvrCR4* gene contained several

different mutations encoding virulence and was deleted in many isolates coming from

BvCR4 hybrids. We discuss strategies that should be considered to extend the life

expectancy of *BvCR4* in sugar beet agriculture.

Methods

Collections of leaves infected by CLS

In 2019 a field in Switzerland (47°45'15.4"N, 9°03'70.6"E) was planted with two

experimental sugar beet hybrids; Hybrid_R1 carrying *BvCR4* in a genetic background with

low quantitative resistance, and Hybrid_S1 conferring only a low level of quantitative

resistance. Both hybrids were examined in 2019 for evidence of CLS infections. Infected

leaves were collected from clusters of plants exhibiting CLS symptoms and stored at ETH

until isolations were made as described below.

In 2020, four sugar beet experimental hybrids with different levels of resistance to CLS were

planted at two field sites in Switzerland separated by 60 km (Rudolfingen, Trüllikon

47°38'18.6"N, 8°40'46.1"E and Hendschiken, Othmarsingen 47°23'40.4"N, 8°13'41.1"E);

Hybrid_R2 with *BvCr4* embedded in a background with low quantitative resistance,

Hybrid_R3 with *BvCr4* embedded in a background with moderate quantitative resistance,

Hybrid_S2 with only low quantitative resistance and Hybrid_S3 with moderate quantitative

resistance. In Hendschiken, 12 rows of each hybrid were planted in adjacent plots and in

Rudolfingen, 18 rows of each hybrid were planted in adjacent plots (Figure S1). Sugar beets

were sown in March and harvested in early November. A similar experimental plot was

planted at two field sites in Germany. The same four experimental hybrids were planted in

fields located near Tabertshausen (48°43'22.42"N, 12°52'25.25"E) and Friesendorf

(48°48'1.02"N, 12°46'52.48"E). No fungicides were applied at any of the field sites.

We used two sampling strategies, one temporal (Swiss sites only) and the other spatial

(Swiss and German sites), to obtain leaves infected by *C. beticola* (Figure S1). The temporal

sampling strategy was designed to identify the infected plants in each plot and determine

how a CLS infection spreads to neighboring plants to form a cluster of CLS-infected plants.

We identified every newly infected plant in each plot at weekly intervals from July 21st to

September 24th 2020. We stopped sampling in a plot when it was no longer possible to find

new solitary infected plants because the clusters of infected plants began to overlap. Every

infected plant was given an alphanumeric code and its position was marked with a labeled

flag to avoid resampling. One young leaf with obvious CLS lesions was taken from each

infected plant. The excised leaves were transported back to the laboratory for *C. beticola*

isolation (details below). In the early stages of the epidemic we often observed single newly

infected plants surrounded by healthy plants; we refer to this pattern as a solitary infection.

We observed that the disease often spread from a solitary infected plant to neighboring

plants to form a cluster of infected plants, referred to hereafter as a hotspot. While

sampling, we determined whether a newly infected plant was a solitary infection or a part

of a hotspot. A GPS location was recorded for the first infected plant in each hotspot. A

maximum of five plants was sampled from each hotspot.

Transect sampling was conducted in all plots in Switzerland and Germany at the end of the

2020 season (13th and 15th October in Switzerland and on September 17th in Germany). Two

transect sites were selected within each hybrid field plot. Each transect area was at least

two rows away from another hybrid and at least 3 m from the plot edge. An individual

transect ran perpendicular to the direction of the planting rows, and a diseased leaf was

collected every third row, for a total of 3 leaves per transect line. A total of 7 transect lines

each 3 m apart were made for each transect site, giving a total of 21 leaves per transect site

(See Figure S1). Two transect sites were sampled for each hybrid, yielding 42 leaf samples

total for each hybrid in each plot at both sites in Switzerland and Germany.

In the field, the sampled leaves were stored flat and transported in an ice box back to the

lab for isolation. Each flattened leaf was placed on a labeled piece of paper with a dry paper

towel placed on top. Multiple leaves were stacked together and then pressed between rigid

cardboard sheets using strong rubber bands before being placed in an ice box filled with ice.

After transport to the lab, the leaves were air dried at room temperature in a drying

cupboard. Dried leaves were then stacked and placed into sealed plastic bags with

anhydrous silica gel and stored at 4°C until pathogen isolation.

Pathogen isolation and DNA extraction

Leaf pieces bearing CLS lesions were cut from each leaf and surface-sterilized by placing

them in a 2% sodium hypochlorite solution for 3 min and then rinsing them twice in sterile

water. After removing excess water, the leaf pieces were placed in glass petri dishes on a

sterile plastic screen laid on top of rubber bands on a piece of moist filter paper. The petri

dishes were sealed with Parafilm and incubated at 24°C for 48-72 hours to induce

sporulation. Lesions were checked under a dissecting microscope (Olympus Schweiz AG) and

spores from single sporulating lesions were gathered using hand-made fine glass needles

and transferred to fresh potato dextrose agar (PDA, Difco). The plates were cultured under

constant darkness at 24°C for 7-10 days. When a characteristic *C. beticola* colony had

formed, a 5 mm agar plug was excised from the edge of each colony and transferred to a

new PDA plate for 14 days to form a pure culture. Any plates contaminated by other fungi

were discarded. Long term storage of each isolate was made by cutting 4-6 agar plugs from

the edges of 2-week-old pure cultures and putting the plugs into cryotubes in duplicate -

with and without anhydrous silica gel, and then stored at 4°C.

To obtain fresh mycelia for DNA extraction, we transferred hyphae from pure culture plates

to liquid culture, by scraping small pieces of hyphae from the edge of each pure culture and

placing it into 100 mL flasks with 50 mL potato dextrose broth (PDB, Difco). Two methods

were used to extract DNA. A Kingfisher Flex robot (Thermo Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.) was

used for 103 samples following the recommended protocol for automated DNA extraction

from fungal samples (Fungus Sbeadex, LGC Genomics GmbH). For the remaining 153

isolates, DNeasy Plant Mini Kits (Qiagen) were used with a modified protocol where 3.5 μL

of proteinase K was added to each sample at the lysis step to enhance protein degradation.

DNA was quantified using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Themo Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.) with a

Qubit dsDNA HS Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.) and Nanodrop (ThermoFisher

Scientific, U.S.A.). Species identity was confirmed using PCR (Knight and Pethybridge, 2020).

For the reference genome, *C. beticola* strain "Hevensen" was grown in liquid media by

transferring mycelium from a V8 agar petri dish (500 ml of V8 juice, 500 ml distilled water, 3

g of $CaCO_3$ and 15 g of agar) to 225 ml of CB medium (1 g/l casein, 1 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l

D-Glucose, 10 mM NaCl, 0.02 mM $FeCl_3x6H_2O$, 0.1 mM $ZnSO_4x7H_2O$, 7.9 μM $CuSO_4x5H_2O$,

0.4 mM $MnSO_4xH_2O$, 0.9 mM H_3BO_3, 4.2 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2x4H_2O$, 1.5 mM KH_2PO_4, 1 mM

$MgSO_4x7H_2O$). The culture was incubated for 6 to 8 days at 24°C at 100 rpm. Mycelium was

harvested in a sieve, dried on paper towels and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen.

DNA was extracted using the method described by (Huang et al., 2018) with minor

modifications. DNA was repaired and end-prepped using NEBNext FFPE DNA repair mix

(NEB) and the NEBNext Ultra II End Repair/dA-Tailing Module (NEB), respectively. Small DNA

fragments were removed from each sample using a Short Read Eliminator reagent

(Circulomics).

Genome data acquisition and assembly

For the Hevensen reference isolate, Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) sequencing

libraries were prepared using the SQK-LSK109 library kit (ONT). Each library was loaded onto

three FLO-FLG001 (R9.4.1 pore) flongle cells (ONT) and run for 24 hours on MinION or

GridIONx5 instruments (ONT). Raw data was base called using Guppy (version 4.0.15,

default parameters) and assembled with miniasm (version r179; default parameters). The

resulting draft assembly was polished with Racon (version 1.4.13, input long reads, default

parameters), Medaka (version 1.2.0, input long reads, default parameters) and Pilon

(version 1.23, input short reads, default parameters). Polished sequences were size-ordered

and named in consecutive order as chromosomes 1 through 11. Two short contigs were

annotated via Uniprot Blast and contained rDNA (CB_CbHevensen-1_hq-v2.rDNA) and

mtDNA (CB_CbHevensen-1_hq-v2.mitochondrial). Final sequences were structurally

annotated with Funannotate (version 1.8.3, RNA-seq evidence – NCBI PRJNA294383, protein

evidence - NCBI RefSeq Fungi 2021-05-06, otherwise default fungal parameters) and

CodingQuarry-PM (run_CQ-PM_mine.sh, version 2.0).

DNA from the 256 field-collected isolates was sequenced using Illumina paired end (150 bp)

sequencing (sequence provider: Novogene). In addition, raw reads from 199 isolates were

downloaded from the SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) using SRAtoolkit (v2.0) for

downstream analyses. We performed multiple analyses to check the quality of the isolate

sequence data (see below for details) and removed a total of 33 isolates (24 sequenced by

us, 9 from SRA). After mapping, quality checking and removal of sequence duplicates, we

retained 422 isolate genomes (232 sequenced by us, 190 from SRA) for further analyses. See

Table S1 for information about each isolate, including why they were removed from further

analyses as described below, noting that samples could be removed for multiple reasons.

We used k-mer based approaches to identify potential contaminants or mixed cultures. To

verify that isolations made in the lab were composed of a single clone, we first counted k-

mers with KMC (https://github.com/refresh-bio/KMC) (Kokot et al., 2017) and then

estimated heterozygosity and sequence coverage using Smudgeplot

(https://github.com/KamilSJaron/smudgeplot). Four isolates had high heterozygosity (>0.1)

and five had a k-mer based genome coverage lower than the expected coverage based on

the number of reads (number of reads * read length)/haploid genome size < 0.5). Next, we

investigated genetic similarity to identify isolates that were genetically distant, representing

potentially different species, subspecies or contaminated cultures. We used the program

mashtree (Katz et al., 2019) to draw a k-mer-based neighbor joining tree. Thirteen isolates

that were highly divergent were excluded from further analyses.

Sequence data processing and variant discovery are described in detail at

https://github.com/jessstapley/CercosporaGWAS. In brief, reads were trimmed of adapters

and low-quality sequence using trimmomatic (v0.35). The reads were mapped to the

Hevensen reference genome using bwa-mem (v0.7.17). A total of 13 samples did not map

well to the reference genome (< 80% properly paired reads).

Variant calling was done using the GATK (v4.2.4.1) Germline Short Variant Discovery

pipeline, following their Best Practices recommendations

(https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/hc/en-us/sections/360007226651-Best-Practices-

Workflows). GATK default filters are: MQ=20.0, ReadPosRankSum_lower=-2.0,

ReadPosRankSum_upper=2.0, MQRankSum_lower=-2.0, MQRankSum_upper=2.0,

BaseQRankSum_lower=-2.0, BaseQRankSum_upper=2.0. In addition to the GATK default

filters we excluded rDNA and mtDNA. We also removed indels and loci with >50% missing

genotypes, as well as loci that did not meet the following criteria: depth <20000, mapping

quality (MQ) >50, quality depth (QD) >2.0, Symmetric Odds Ratio (SOR) <3, and Phred-

scaled p-value using Fisher's exact test to detect strand bias (FS) <60. For the GWAS analyses

we used only biallelic SNPS and we removed markers with minor allele frequency <0.05.

Principal component analysis was performed on LD-pruned SNP datasets (see

https://github.com/jessstapley/CercosporaGWAS for details). The SNP error rate based on

isolates sequenced twice (sequence duplicates, n=29) was 0.00125.

Clones were identified using an IBS distance matrix from LD pruned data (13,696 SNPS). To

determine the appropriate genetic similarity threshold, we used SNP data from the known

sequence replicates. We calculated the genetic similarity of SNP data known to come from

the same genotype and found it ranged between 0.9968-0.9990. We considered three

thresholds (0.990, 0.995, 0.999) to identify clones. A threshold of 0.999 was too strict, with

only one pair of known sequence replicates correctly recorded as having the same

genotype. The thresholds of 0.990 and 0.995 gave identical results and correctly assigned all

sequence replicates as the same genotype. Thus, isolates with a genetic similarity >0.990

were considered a single genotype or clone. Following clone correction, we retained 163

unique isolates from Switzerland and Germany, and 95 unique isolates from the publicly

available genomes of isolates coming from the USA.

Field phenotyping of virulence for strains used in the GWAS

Fifty-three isolates phenotyped for virulence were unique genotypes originating from CLS

hotspots found in Swiss plots planted to *BvCR4* hybrids (20 isolates from Hybrid_R2, 33

isolates from Hybrid_R3). Three isolates were from the same field but collected on the

susceptible hybrids (2 from Hybrid_S3, 1 from Hybrid_S4). One putatively virulent clone that

was widespread in one of the experimental fields was replicated five times, hence only 52

unique genotypes were included in the field phenotyping for virulence. Four additional

reference inocula were used as controls with known virulence properties, but were not

sequenced nor included in the GWAS analyses.

Inoculum of the *C. beticola* strains was multiplied at KWS in Einbeck, Germany between

March and June 2022. The strains were cultured on Petri plates containing V8 agar. A 5 mm

plug of mycelium for each isolate was transferred onto a petri plate and incubated at 20°C

under 12-hour darkness:12 hour fluorescent light until the plates were at least 50%

colonized, requiring approx. two weeks. Mycelium plugs from these starter plates were then

transferred onto fresh V8 plates (3 plates per isolate) and incubated as described above for

another 2 weeks. Fully colonized plates were blended in 50 ml of distilled water to make a

suspension. Approximately 5 ml of this suspension was poured into 25 new V8 agar plates,

which were then rotated to evenly spread the liquid on the agar surface. The plates were

then placed into a cardboard box and incubated in the dark at 20°C for 2 weeks. Inoculum

consisting of mycelial fragments and spores was harvested by adding 5 ml of distilled water

per plate followed by gently scraping off the fungal cells growing on the agar surface. The

resulting fungal mass, containing both hyphal fragments and spores, was filtered through

cheesecloth and then adjusted to a concentration of 25,000 hyphal fragments/spores per

ml. The suspension from each isolate was amended with 0.1% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich)

prior to inoculation.

Hybrid_R2, an experimental hybrid shown in earlier experiments to be susceptible to *C.*

beticola under greenhouse conditions, was planted in trays filled with peat soil mix

(HAWITA). After 2 weeks, seedlings were transplanted into new trays and kept at 16°C for 6

weeks under diffuse light. Plants were then transferred into plastic pots (11x11x10 cm) filled

with peat soil mix and arranged in groups of 30 plants in a greenhouse chamber set at 20°C

with 16h fluorescent light per day for four weeks. Plants were fertilized weekly with 0.8%

Kamasol green (10N-4P-7K). The distance between each group of 30 plants was 0.5 m to

minimize the potential for cross-contamination of the inoculum produced for each strain.

Each group of thirty 12-week-old plants was inoculated with one of the 56 isolates used in

the GWAS. Suspensions of spores and hyphal fragments were sprayed onto each group of

plants until runoff with a hand-held atomizer. Inoculated plants were then covered with

plastic sheets draped over metal frames and incubated for 5 days without supplemental

lighting at 25°C and kept at 100% RH to facilitate infection. After the incubation, plants were

kept under a 16 h photoperiod at 25°C/20°C (day/night) with RH maintained between 60-

80%. The first symptoms were observed at around 14 dpi for most isolates. Inoculum for

each isolate was harvested between 28 and 42 dpi by removing leaves that were fully

covered with CLS lesions. The removed leaves were placed in paper envelopes and dried at

35°C for 3 days. The dried leaves were then milled in a kitchen blender for 30 sec,

thoroughly mixed with semolina flour (40 g of milled leaf inoculum added to 200 g of flour)

and then transferred into labelled plastic boxes.

Virulence assessments were conducted in 2022 on a field site near Northeim, Germany

(51°40'52.1"N, 9°57'09.2"E). To minimize the risk of infection by local strains of *C. beticola*,

the field had not been planted to sugar beet for about 20 years (corn-wheat rotation), the

nearest sugar beet field was 800 m away, and the experimental field site was surrounded by

a 50 m border of maize. Seeds treated with the fungicide Tachigaren 70WP (Hymexazole)

and the insecticide Force 20CS (Tefluthrine) from four sugar beet hybrids with varying levels

of CLS resistance (Table 1) were planted on April 19th, 2022 in a randomized block design

with 6-row plots that were 0.45 m wide and 5 m long. Each isolate was inoculated onto a

block of plots that contained all 4 hybrids. Weeds were controlled with three herbicide

applications and aphids were controlled with two insecticide applications during the

growing season.

Plots were inoculated after row closure on July 27th, 2022. A total of 56 isolates and 4

control inoculants were inoculated separately onto blocks of plots containing all four

hybrids. Inoculation was performed by manually spreading 60 g of leaf inoculum mix (10 g

milled leaf inoculum + 50 g semolina flour) onto one row (3rd or 4th row, 5 m length, approx.

25 plants) of each 6-row plot. Inoculation rows were sprayed prior to inoculation with water

containing an adjuvant (Silwet 0.05%) so that most of the inoculum mix would stick to the

leaf canopy. Following the inoculation, all plots were watered twice a week for 6 weeks to

facilitate infection and disease progress. Approximately 4 l water/m^2 was applied each week

with a tractor-mounted crop protection sprayer. Disease severity data were collected from

the inoculated rows across five time points in August and September at 32, 40, 47, 56 and

64 days post inoculation (dpi), using the KWS rating scale (Table S3). We investigated how

disease rating changed at different time points using linear models and we used least

significant differences to make post hoc comparisons (Bonferroni adjusted) between mean

rating at each time point. Disease rating was bimodal at 40 dpi on the resistant cultivar

Hybrid_R2 (Figure S2), providing a clear distinction between virulent (rating >4 on KWS

rating scale) and avirulent (rating ≤ 4) strains and enabling us to code isolates as either

virulent or avirulent for some of the GWAS analyses.

GWAS analyses

SNP-based GWAS were performed using GAPIT (http://zzlab.net/GAPIT). We performed two

GWAS analyses using different phenotype data. The first GWAS was based on the 52 unique

isolates that were included in the phenotyping field trial, using the quantitative virulence

rating on the resistant hybrid (Hybrid_R2) at 40 dpi. This analysis is hereafter referred to as

the 'quantitative GWAS'. The second GWAS was done by coding the phenotype data as

either virulent (Hybrid_R2 rating at 40 dpi > 4) or avirulent (Hybrid_R2 rating at 40 dpi ≤ 4)

and included 38 additional isolates that were sampled from the susceptible hybrid

Hybrid_S2 and scored as avirulent. This created an expanded dataset with improved

statistical power and is referred to hereafter as 'binary GWAS'. We used a Mixed Linear

Model (MLM), which accounts for relatedness of individuals, and we included three

principal components into the model as covariates (random effects). The model fit was

assessed by inspecting the Q-Q plots (Figure S3). We repeated the analysis using

quantitative virulence ratings at other time points (Figure S4). A quantitative GWAS using

the Hybrid_R2 virulence rating at 47 dpi identified the same peak that was found using the

rating at 40 dpi.

We conducted a k-mer GWAS as these can provide more power to detect associations (see

https://github.com/jessstapley/CercosporaGWAS for details) as illustrated in a recent

analysis conducted in *Z. tritici* (Dutta et al., 2021). We used recommendations and scripts

from https://github.com/voichek/kmersGWAS, but used GAPIT to run the GWAS. In brief,

KMC was used to count k-mers with a length of 31 bp. The k-mer plink output file (.bed) was

imported into plink (v1.9, https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/) and converted to a vcf file

(--recode vcf). The vcf file was split into 99 smaller files (containing 20,000 k-mers each),

then converted to a hapmap file using R::vcfR (https://github.com/knausb/vcfR). GAPIT

GWAS was then run on each of these smaller files. The k-mers were mapped to the

reference genome using bowtie2 (v2.3) and the results were concatenated into

chromosome files for plotting. The k-mer GWAS was performed using only 47 of the

phenotyped strains because we could not count k-mers in all 52 strains.

Annotation of the GWAS interval

Genes within the GWAS interval were identified using the reference genome annotation file

(gff3). The function of the putative effector was confirmed using EffectorP (v3.0)

(https://effectorp.csiro.au/ (Sperschneider and Dodds, 2022)). Additional annotation of the

GWAS interval was performed to identify possible transposable elements (TEs) using Repeat

Masker (v4.0.6) and One Code to Find them all (Bailly-Bechet et al., 2014). Signatures of RIP

in the GWAS interval were investigated using RIPPER (van Wyk et al., 2019).

SNP genotypes across the GWAS interval were obtained for every sequenced isolate using

bcftools (samtools v1.9). Individuals with missing genotype calls spanning the entire

candidate gene were recorded as 'gene deleted' for the candidate gene. Individuals with

SNP genotype calls within the gene were recorded as 'gene present'. We compared the

frequency of gene presence or absence between resistant and susceptible hybrids in

Germany and Switzerland using a binomial exact test.

Results

Swiss field plots planted to the resistant hybrids carrying *BvCR4* showed a later onset of CLS

symptoms and a slower epidemic progress compared to plots planted to the susceptible

sugar beet hybrids (unpublished data). The total levels of CLS infection in the resistant

hybrids never reached the levels found in the susceptible hybrids. Leaf death and

subsequent regrowth was evident across the whole plot in the susceptible hybrids, but was

less prevalent in the resistant hybrids carrying *BvCR4*. From field isolates we chose 52

unique isolates for phenotyping. In choosing strains for the field phenotyping, we aimed to

maximize genotypic diversity among a sample of putatively virulent isolates sampled mainly

from hotspots of infected plants in plots planted to resistant hybrids carrying *BvCR4*.

Interestingly, one of those putatively virulent genotypes was more frequent and widely

distributed at the Rudolfingen field site. We included 5 separate isolations of this genotype

in the field phenotyping conducted in 2022 in Northeim, Germany to test reproducibility of

the phenotypes.

In this validation trial, the disease ratings increased over the growing season, peaking at 56

dpi (Figure 1). The disease ratings at 32-56 dpi were highly correlated while the rating at 64

dpi was less correlated with the other time points (Figure S5). Using the 40-dpi rating on

Hybrid_R2, isolates were scored as virulent (>4) or avirulent (≤4), resulting in 25 of the 52

unique field-collected isolates scored as virulent and 27 scored as avirulent. The three

isolates collected from susceptible hybrids were all avirulent.

We considered how dpi, hybrid and virulence score (0/1) explained variation in the disease

rating and found significant pairwise interactions but no three-way interaction (all statistics

are provided in Table S4). Disease ratings increased over time on all hybrids, but different

hybrids showing different patterns of disease development (Figure 1). When isolates were

separated into two categories (virulent or avirulent) based on a threshold rating of 4 on

hybrid_R2 at 40 dpi, isolates scored as virulent caused more disease at all timepoints on

Hybrid_R3 carrying *BvCR4* compared to the avirulent isolates (it was not statistically

appropriate to do this analysis on Hybrid_R2 because this was used to define

virulent/avirulent). When tested on hybrids without *BvCR4* (hybrid_S3 and hybrid_S4),

virulent and avirulent isolates caused similar levels of disease.

Genome-wide association scans (GWAS) identified a single effector gene candidate

A high-quality reference genome was created using Nanopore long read sequencing. The

genome was assembled into 11 continuous sequences (hereafter called chromosomes). The

genome showed a completeness of 98.4% according to the BUSCO benchmark against

Ascomycota single copy orthologs. Structural annotation resulted in 13,869 genes being

present.

The quantitative GWAS included 52 phenotyped individuals genotyped at 358,365 SNPs. The

resulting Manhattan plots showed a single distinctive, but not statistically significant, LOD

peak on chromosome 1 (Figure 2a). The binary GWAS was performed on 90 individuals

genotyped at 358,365 SNPS. A significant LOD peak was observed on chromosome 1, with

the significant interval spanning 6730 bp (4087634-4094364 bp) (Figure 2b). This peak was

in the same position as the peak observed in the quantitative GWAS analysis. The GWAS

interval contained a single gene (ID=Hevensen_hq-v2.G01679) that is 340 bp long (Figure 3).

The gene is predicted to encode an 89 amino acid (aa) apoplastic/cytoplasmic effector

according to EffectorP (v3.0) that includes a 21 aa signal peptide (predicted by TOPCONS,

Tsirigos et al., 2015). We did not find a significant match using a BLAST search against the

publicly available reference genome, but a partial hit was found on Chr 7. A copy of the gene

with 88% identity was found in the *Cercospora sojina* (strain RACE15) chromosome XII:

4346561-4346898.

The k-mer GWAS was performed using 47 of the phenotyped individuals. Several significant

peaks were identified. A peak on Chromosome 1 was found, with the significant k-mers

located within the SNP-based GWAS interval identified in the other two GWAS analyses.

Other significant associations containing more than one k-mer were found on Chromosomes

2 and 7. In both cases the k-mers with significant associations were not tightly clustered,

unlike the peak on Chr 1. So, we consider these to be most likely false positives. Significant

singleton k-mers found on Chromosomes 1 (1644617bp) and 8 (2223033bp) were also

considered to be false positives.

The effector gene candidate was located in a gene-sparse, repeat-rich region of the genome

that exhibits signatures of Repeat Induced Point mutations (RIP) (Figure 3). Among the 258

unique sequenced genotypes, 34 had the candidate effector deletion. When considering

isolates collected from Switzerland and Germany, the gene was absent in over 40% of the

isolates collected from the resistant hybrids carrying *BvCR4* (mean = 43.5%) and was present

in all of the isolates collected from the susceptible hybrids (Table 2). In the publicly available

genome sequences from the USA, the gene deletion was observed only once out of 95

unique genotypes.

Sequence variation in the candidate effector gene

Among the 224 isolates with the candidate effector gene present, 195 (87%) had no

variation in the gene (158 from susceptible hybrids, 37 from resistant hybrids). Among the

remaining 29 isolates, we identified 24 SNPs within the gene. No SNPs were found within

the intron. Haplotype network analysis revealed higher diversity in the isolates collected on

the resistant hybrids, compared to the susceptible hybrids (Figure 4a). The sequence

diversity (pi) was 10X higher in the isolates originating from the resistant hybrids (pi=0.0037)

compared to isolates coming from susceptible hybrids (pi = 0.00030) in Switzerland.

Translation of the two exons identified 12 different amino acid sequences, with most of the

variation in the amino acid sequence resulting in virulence at 40 dpi on Hybrid_R2 (Figure

4b).

To assess the potential for a fitness cost associated with loss of function of the putative

effector, we tested if the loss or inactivation of the effector influenced the virulence of an

isolate infecting resistant and susceptible hybrids. We grouped isolates into two categories:

those encoding the reference wild type isoform of the putative effector, and those encoding

either deletions or alternative effector isoforms. We found no difference in disease rating

between isolates with the deleted isoform or with alternative isoforms (Table S5). Across

phenotyped isolates, we found that dpi, hybrid and effector gene isoform explained

variation in disease rating, with significant interactions between all pairs of factors (dpi and

hybrid, hybrid and isoform, and dpi and isoform) but no three-way interaction (Table S6).

Considering each hybrid and dpi separately, we found that the effector isoform had little

effect on an isolate's virulence rating when infecting the susceptible cultivars, but had a

significant effect on an isolate's virulence when infecting the resistant cultivars carrying

BvCR4 (Figure 5). Thus, there was no evidence for a reduction in fitness in strains lacking

AvrCR4 or encoding alternative isoforms of AvrCR4. Rather it appears that strains carrying

deletions or alternative isoforms exhibit higher virulence on the susceptible cultivars (Figure

5, p-values ranging from 0.02-0.09, See Table S6).

Discussion

The identification and use of *BvCR4* represents an important advance in breeding for

resistance to CLS, as it provides a high level of resistance to CLS without a corresponding

reduction in sugar yield. Given the long history of boom-and-bust cycles associated with the

release of major-effect R-genes, there was concern that *C. beticola* would be able to evolve

virulence against resistant hybrids carrying *BvCR4*, the first reported major R-gene deployed

against this pathogen.

The first evidence that virulent isolates could evolve was manifested by the emergence of

hotspots of CLS disease in experimental fields planted with experimental *BvCR4* hybrids in

Switzerland. These hotspots did not emerge until late in the growing season, but their

occurrence suggested that hotspots could reflect the selection and local spread of *C.*

beticola clones that were virulent to *BvCR4*. Isolations of *C. beticola* made from these

hotspots in Switzerland in 2019 provided the first strains that were shown in 2021 to be

virulent on sugar beet hybrids carrying *BvCR4*. The discovery of these infection hotspots

triggered field experiments designed to assess the emergence and spread of virulent strains,

including a search for evidence of fitness costs associated with a gain in virulence.

We used a GWAS approach to identify genes associated with higher virulence on *BvCR4*

hybrids, with collections of *C. beticola* made from plots planted with resistant *BvCR4* hybrids

and susceptible hybrids lacking *BvCR4* growing in the same field. *C. beticola* isolates coming

from hotspots identified in plots planted with *BvCR4* hybrids were phenotyped under field

conditions to test their degree of virulence. Only 51% of these isolates were confirmed to be

virulent on *BvCR4*, illustrating that *BvCR4* hybrids can be colonized by *C. beticola* strains that

are not specifically adapted to *BvCR4*. Though we did not yet conduct experiments to

determine how the avirulent strains were able to infect plants carrying *BvCR4*, we speculate

that abiotic or biotic stresses other than CLS could play a role. We observed several

instances of plants infected by *Rhizoctonia solani* in Rudolfingen in 2020 that might have

compromised CLS resistance. Furthermore, experiments with mixed infections in *Z. tritici*

showed that virulent strains were able to suppress the immune system of plants carrying

the *Stb6* R-gene, enabling avirulent strains to infect the resistant plants (Bernasconi et al.,

2023). We hypothesize that similar mechanisms could be operating in the CLS pathosystem.

A quantitative GWAS that included only the 52 isolates with field-based phenotypes

identified a region on Chromosome 1 associated with virulence, but the LOD scores did not

exceed the significance threshold. To increase the power to detect genes associated with

virulence on *BvCR4*, we assumed that any allelic variants encoding virulence to *BvCR4* would

be present at a very low frequency (e.g., at mutation-drift equilibrium) prior to the

deployment of hybrids carrying *BvCR4*. Hence, we scored 38 *C. beticola* isolates sampled

from susceptible hybrids growing in the same field as avirulent, even though we lacked

phenotypes for most of these strains. None of the phenotyped isolates sampled from

susceptible hybrids showed the virulent phenotype. This binary phenotype approach

identified the same Chromosome 1 region, but with a significant LOD score of 9.3. A third

GWAS based on k-mers but including only the phenotyped strains also identified the same

Chromosome 1 region, with a very high LOD score of 21.3, as well as small intervals on

Chromosomes 2 (LOD = 14.5) and 7 (LOD = 11.4).

A single predicted gene was found within the Chromosome 1 interval that was identified in

all three GWAS analyses. This gene shares many of the properties that are found in

avirulence effectors that have been functionally validated in other plant pathogenic fungi,

including: a) it encodes a small, secreted, cysteine-rich protein; b) it displays a presence-

absence polymorphism in natural populations, with the absence allele associated with a

gain in virulence occurring at a much higher frequency on *BvCR4* hybrids compared to

susceptible hybrids; c) its exons encode non-synonymous substitutions that can be

associated with a gain in virulence, but nucleotide diversity is lower in the introns and the

signal peptide sequence; d) it is located in a genomic region that is rich in transposable

elements and associated with RIP. Based on these properties, we named this candidate

avirulence effector gene *AvrCR4*.

The main difference between *AvrCR4* and other cloned fungal avirulence effectors is that

the overall level of DNA and amino acid diversity found in this study is lower than what has

been reported for other avirulence effectors (Brunner et al., 2007; Brunner and McDonald,

2018; Schürch et al., 2004). This lower diversity in *AvrCR4* may reflect the very short time

period over which selection has been operating on this gene. In Germany, the first

commercial cultivation of *BvCR4* sugar beet hybrids occurred in 2021 and in Switzerland in

2022. Our interpretation is that *AvrCR4* has not yet been placed under strong diversifying

selection that would favor mutations that enable it to avoid recognition encoded by the

newly deployed *BvCR4* R-gene. It can be expected that *AvrCR4* will begin evolving in all

regions planted to *BvCR4* sugar beets. The rate of evolution may be moderated if the *BvCR4*

resistance is embedded in genetic backgrounds providing at least moderate quantitative

resistance and if fields planted to *BvCR4* sugar beets are supported by other agronomic

measures to reduce selection pressure as discussed below. Combining *BvCR4* with an

adequate level of quantitative resistance is the current breeding approach used to mitigate

the risk that *C. beticola* will evolve to overcome the overall CLS resistance.

Our current data support the hypothesis that the *AvrCR4* mutations creating virulent

phenotypes were selected from already existing, standing genetic variation in *C. beticola*

populations. As recently described for the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* (McDonald

et al., 2022), populations of fungal plant pathogens can generate and maintain vast

reservoirs of functional genetic diversity that enable them to adapt quickly to changes in

their local environments, including applications of fungicides and deployments of resistant

cultivars. *C. beticola* shares many properties with *Z. tritici*, including a mixed reproduction

system and high gene flow (Rangel et al., 2020; Vaghefi et al., 2017), suggesting that its

populations will also maintain high levels of standing genetic variation.

We believe that *AvrCR4* is the first avirulence effector to be discovered at the onset of its

evolution that will be driven by deployment of a novel major R-gene. Hence *AvrCR4*

provides a unique opportunity to monitor in real time the changes in effector amino acid

sequence that enable an escape from recognition by the cognate NBS-LRR protein. We

expect that the most fit AvrCR4 protein isoforms will rapidly increase in frequency in each

region where the *BvCR4* R-gene is deployed. The diversity found in other cloned avirulence

genes is thought to have developed over decades of selection imposed by their

corresponding R-genes. Support for the hypothesis that *AvrCR4* has just begun to evolve is

as follows: a) the same wild-type *AvrCR4* sequence is found at a high frequency on both

resistant and susceptible sugar beets growing on different continents (Figure 4a); b) *AvrCR4*

is found in nearly all *C. beticola* isolates sampled from locations where *BvCR4* has not yet

been deployed, but is often missing in isolates sampled from hybrids carrying *BvCR4*; c)

there is little nucleotide diversity in *AvrCR4* in *C. beticola* isolates sampled from locations

where *BvCR4* has not yet been deployed; d) a relatively small number of non-synonymous

mutations are found in the *AvrCR4* alleles associated with virulence coming from isolates

sampled from *BvCR4* hybrids. As a reference comparison, we consider diversity for the

AvrStb6 gene found in the wheat pathogen *Z. tritici*. The *Stb6* R-gene has been deployed in

European wheat cultivars for at least 100 years (Dutta et al., 2021). The *AvrStb6* gene found

in every *Z. tritici* isolate analyzed to date (no deletions have been detected) encodes a

protein containing 81 or 82 amino acids. In a global sample of 142 *Z. tritici* strains, there was

no predominant wild-type allele. Instead, 71 polymorphic sites produced 41 distinct DNA

haplotypes encoding 30 AvrStb6 protein isoforms, with significant positive diversifying

selection operating on nine of the 82 codons (Brunner and McDonald, 2018) and with

several polymorphisms found in both the introns and the signal peptide. In our clone-

corrected sample of 258 European and North American genomes of *C. beticola*, 34 isolates

were missing the gene (almost all originating from a resistant hybrid carrying *BvCR4*) and

only 29 strains carried *AvrCR4* alleles that differed from the predominating wild type

sequence found in the reference strain. Among those 29 strains, we identified 11 distinct

DNA haplotypes carrying 24 polymorphic sites encoding 13 AvrCR4 protein isoforms.

Monitoring changes in the sequence of *AvrCR4* over the next decade will allow us to

determine which mutations enable evasion of *BvCR4* resistance. We also consider this to be

a unique opportunity to search for compensatory mutations that could ameliorate any

reductions in pathogen fitness caused by changing the function of the encoded wild-type

avirulence effector protein.

Strains carrying deletions for *AvrCR4* showed a similar disease development on sugar beet

hybrids lacking *BvCR4* compared to strains carrying the wild type *AvrCR4* allele. This lack of

an obvious fitness cost associated with the total loss of function due to deletion or mutation

of the avirulence gene has been reported before (Zhan and McDonald, 2013). While our first

experiments did not detect any fitness costs in the field environment, we are currently

conducting additional lab-based experiments to search for fitness costs associated with loss

of the *AvrCR4* function.

Outlook

The identification of *AvrCR4* will enable us to closely monitor the frequency of virulent

mutants in CLS populations. Monitoring may prove useful to support a region-specific

variety choice and to apply regional management strategies aiming to reduce both the

overall CLS population and the frequency of virulent strains. These management strategies

will likely utilize an integrated plant protection approach that combines precise timing of

fungicide applications with agronomic practices such as crop rotation and tillage that reduce

an inoculum build up in the soil (Harveson et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2008; Khan and Khan,

2010; Secor et al., 2010) . Varieties that combine *BvCR4* with other resistance sources can

provide a strong CLS background resistance that will further contribute to both a reduction

of the CLS population and the durability of *BvCR4*. This latter approach was shown to be

effective in oilseed rape, where the adaptation time of *L. maculans* to the resistance gene

Rlm6 was doubled by protecting this resistance with additional polygenic background

resistance (Brun et al., 2010; Delourme et al., 2014; Pilet-Nayel et al., 2017).

666
667

References

Alnajar, D., Von Tiedemann, A. & Koopmann, B. (2022) Efficacy of blackleg major resistance
genes in *B. napus* in Germany. *Pathogens*, 11, 461.
https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11040461.

Bailly-Bechet, M., Haudry, A. & Lerat, E. (2014) "One code to find them all": a perl tool to
conveniently parse RepeatMasker output files. *Mobile DNA*, 5, 13.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-5-13.

Bernasconi, A., Lorrain,C, Flury, P, Alassimone, J, McDonald, B A, & Sánchez-Vallet, A (2023)
Zymoseptoria tritici suppresses the host immune response and facilitates the success
of avirulent strains in mixed infections.
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.05.13.540507.

Bolton, M.D., Birla, K., Rivera-Varas, V., Rudolph, K.D. & Secor, G.A. (2012) Characterization
of *CbCyp51* from field isolates of *Cercospora beticola*. *Phytopathology*, 102, 298–305.
https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-07-11-0212.

Brun, H., Chèvre, A., Fitt, B.D., Powers, S., Besnard, A., Ermel, M., et al. (2010) Quantitative
resistance increases the durability of qualitative resistance to *Leptosphaeria*
maculans in *Brassica napus*. *New Phytologist*, 185, 285–299.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03049.x.

Brunner, P.C. & McDonald, B.A. (2018) Evolutionary analyses of the avirulence effector
AvrStb6 in global populations of *Zymoseptoria tritici* identify candidate amino acids
involved in recognition: Evolution of *AvrStb6*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 19, 1836–
1846. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12662.

Brunner, P.C., Schürch, S. & McDonald, B.A. (2007) The origin and colonization history of the
barley scald pathogen *Rhynchosporium secalis*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 20,
1311–1321. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2007.01347.x.

Capistrano-Gossmann, G.G., Ries, D., Holtgräwe, D., Minoche, A., Kraft, T., Frerichmann,
S.L.M., et al. (2017) Crop wild relative populations of *Beta vulgaris* allow direct
mapping of agronomically important genes. *Nature Communications*, 8, 15708.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15708.

Cowger, C., Hoffer, M.E. & Mundt, C.C. (2000) Specific adaptation by *Mycosphaerella*
graminicola to a resistant wheat cultivar. *Plant Pathology*, 49, 445–451.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2000.00472.x.

Delourme, R., Bousset, L., Ermel, M., Duffé, P., Besnard, A.L., Marquer, B., et al. (2014)
Quantitative resistance affects the speed of frequency increase but not the diversity
of the virulence alleles overcoming a major resistance gene to *Leptosphaeria*
maculans in oilseed rape. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 27, 490–499.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.12.019.

Deng, Y., Ning, Y., Yang, D.-L., Zhai, K., Wang, G.-L. & He, Z. (2020) Molecular basis of disease
resistance and perspectives on breeding strategies for resistance improvement in
crops. *Molecular Plant*, 13, 1402–1419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.09.018.

Dutta, A., Croll, D., McDonald, B.A. & Krattinger, S.G. (2021) Genome-wide association study
for septoria tritici blotch resistance reveals the occurrence and distribution of *Stb6* in
a historic Swiss landrace collection. *Euphytica*, 217, 108.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-021-02843-7.

Fernandez, J. (2023) The phantom menace: latest findings on effector biology in the rice
blast fungus. *aBIOTECH*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42994-023-00099-4.

Harveson, R.M., Hanson, L.E. & Hein, G.L. eds. (2009) Compendium of Beet Diseases and
Pests, Second Edition. The American Phytopathological Society.

Huang, X., Duan, N., Xu, H., Xie, T.N., Xue, Y.-R. & Liu, C.-H. (2018) CTAB-PEG DNA extraction
from fungi with high contents of polysaccharides. *Molecular Biology*, 52, 621–628.
https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026893318040088.

Kamoun, S. (2007) Groovy times: filamentous pathogen effectors revealed. *Current Opinion*
in Plant Biology, 10, 358–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2007.04.017.

Karaoglanidis, G.S., Ioannidis, P.M. & Thanassoulopoulos, C.C. (2000) Reduced sensitivity of
Cercospora beticola isolates to sterol-demethylation-inhibiting fungicides. *Plant*
Pathology, 49, 567–572. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2000.00488.x.

Katz, L., Griswold, T., Morrison, S., Caravas, J., Zhang, S., Bakker, H., et al. (2019) Mashtree: a
rapid comparison of whole genome sequence files. *Journal of Open Source Software*,
4, 1762. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01762.

Khan, J., Río, L.E. del, Nelson, R.S., Rivera-Varas, V.V., Secor, G.A. & Khan, M.F.R. (2008)
Survival, dispersal, and primary infection site for *Cercospora beticola* in sugar beet.
Plant Disease, 92 5, 741–745.

Khan, M.F.R. & Khan, J. (2010) Survival, spore trapping, dispersal, and primary infection site
for *Cercospora beticola* in sugar beet. In: Lartey, R.T., Weiland, L., and Panella, P.W.
(Eds.) Cercospora Leaf Spot of Sugar Beet and Related Species. St Paul, MN:
American Phytopathological Society, pp. 67–75.

Kiyosawa, S. (1982) Genetics and epidemiological modeling of breakdown of plant disease
resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 20, 93–117.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.20.090182.000521.

Knight, N.L. & Pethybridge, S.J. (2020) An improved PCR assay for species-specific detection
and quantification of *Cercospora beticola*. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 42,
72–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2019.1621380.

Kokot, M., Długosz, M. & Deorowicz, S. (2017) KMC 3: counting and manipulating k-mer
statistics Berger, B. (Ed.). *Bioinformatics*, 33, 2759–2761.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx304.

Lo Presti, L., Lanver, D., Schweizer, G., Tanaka, S., Liang, L., Tollot, M., et al. (2015) Fungal
effectors and plant susceptibility. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66, 513–545.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-043014-114623.

McDonald, B.A., Suffert, F., Bernasconi, A. & Mikaberidze, A. (2022) How large and diverse
are field populations of fungal plant pathogens? The case of *Zymoseptoria tritici*.
Evolutionary Applications, 15, 1360–1373. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13434.

Meile, L., Croll, D., Brunner, P.C., Plissonneau, C., Hartmann, F.E., McDonald, B.A., et al.
(2018) A fungal avirulence factor encoded in a highly plastic genomic region triggers
partial resistance to septoria tritici blotch. *New Phytologist*, 219, 1048–1061.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15180.

Petit-Houdenot, Y. & Fudal, I. (2017) Complex interactions between fungal avirulence genes
and their corresponding plant resistance genes and consequences for disease
resistance management. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1072.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01072.

Pilet-Nayel, M.-L., Moury, B., Caffier, V., Montarry, J., Kerlan, M.-C., Fournet, S., et al. (2017)
Quantitative resistance to plant pathogens in pyramiding strategies for durable crop
protection. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1838.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01838.

Rangel, L.I., Spanner, R.E., Ebert, M.K., Pethybridge, S.J., Stukenbrock, E.H., Jonge, R., et al.
(2020) *Cercospora beticola*: the intoxicating lifestyle of the leaf spot pathogen of
sugar beet. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 21, 1020–1041.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12962.

Rouxel, T. & Balesdent, M. (2017) Life, death and rebirth of avirulence effectors in a fungal
pathogen of Brassica crops, *Leptosphaeria maculans* . *New Phytologist*, 214, 526–
532. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14411.

Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S.J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N. & Nelson, A. (2019) The
global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology &*
Evolution, 3, 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y.

Schürch, S., Linde, C.C., Knogge, W., Jackson, L.F. & McDonald, B.A. (2004) Molecular
population genetic analysis differentiates two virulence mechanisms of the fungal
avirulence gene *NIP1*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 17, 1114–1125.
https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.10.1114.

Secor, G.A., Rivera, V.V., Khan, M.F.R. & Gudmestad, N.C. (2010) Monitoring fungicide
sensitivity of *Cercospora beticola* of sugar beet for disease management decisions.
Plant Disease, 94, 1272–1282. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-09-0471.

Setiawan, A., Koch, G., Barnes, S.R. & Jung, C. (2000) Mapping quantitative trait loci (QTLs)
for resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease (*Cercospora beticola* Sacc.) in sugar
beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.): *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 100, 1176–1182.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051421.

Sonah, H., Deshmukh, R.K. & Bélanger, R.R. (2016) Computational prediction of effector
proteins in fungi: opportunities and challenges. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00126.

Sperschneider, J. & Dodds, P.N. (2022) EffectorP 3.0: prediction of apoplastic and
cytoplasmic effectors in fungi and oomycetes. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*,
35, 146–156. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-08-21-0201-R.

Taguchi, K., Kubo, T., Takahashi, H. & Abe, H. (2011) Identification and precise mapping of
resistant QTLs of Cercospora leaf spot resistance in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *G3
Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, 1, 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.111.000513.

Törjék, O., Borchardt, D., Mechelke, W, Schulz, B, & Lein, J C (2022) Plant resistance gene
and means for its identification.

Törjék, O., Borchardt, D., Rekoskem, M., Mechelke, W., Schulz, B. & Lein, J.C. (2020) Gene
conferring resistance to *Cercospora beticola* in beets.

Tsirigos, K.D., Peters, C., Shu, N., Käll, L. & Elofsson, A. (2015) The TOPCONS web server for
consensus prediction of membrane protein topology and signal peptides. *Nucleic
Acids Research*, 43, W401–W407. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv485.

Vaghefi, N., Kikkert, J.R., Bolton, M.D., Hanson, L.E., Secor, G.A., Nelson, S.C., et al. (2017)
Global genotype flow in *Cercospora beticola* populations confirmed through
genotyping-by-sequencing Chiang, T.-Y. (Ed.). *PLOS ONE*, 12, e0186488.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186488.

Van De Wouw, A.P., Cozijnsen, A.J., Hane, J.K., Brunner, P.C., McDonald, B.A., Oliver, R.P., et
al. (2010) Evolution of linked avirulence effectors in *Leptosphaeria maculans* is
affected by genomic environment and exposure to resistance genes in host plants
Sil, A. (Ed.). *PLoS Pathogens*, 6, e1001180.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001180.

Windels, C.E., Lamey, H.A., Hilde, D., Widner, J. & Knudsen, T. (1998) A Cercospora leaf spot
model for sugar beet: In practice by an industry. *Plant Disease*, 82, 716–726.

Winter, M. & Koopmann, B. (2016) Race spectra of *Leptosphaeria maculans*, the causal
agent of blackleg disease of oilseed rape, in different geographic regions in northern
Germany. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 145, 629–641.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-016-0932-8.

Wyk, S. van, Harrison, C.H., Wingfield, B.D., De Vos, L., Merwe, N.A. van der & Steenkamp,
E.T. (2019) The RIPper, a web-based tool for genome-wide quantification of Repeat-
Induced Point (RIP) mutations. *PeerJ*, 7, e7447. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7447.

Zhan, J. & McDonald, B.A. (2013) Experimental measures of pathogen competition and
relative fitness. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 51, 131–153.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102302.

Zhong, Z., Marcel, T.C., Hartmann, F.E., Ma, X., Plissonneau, C., Zala, M., et al. (2017) A small
secreted protein in *Zymoseptoria tritici* is responsible for avirulence on wheat
cultivars carrying the *Stb6* resistance gene. *New Phytologist*, 214, 619–631.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14434.

Table 1: Sugar beet hybrids used for the virulence assessments.

Experimental Hybrids	*Cercospora* resistance level
Hybrid_S4	low quantitative resistance
Hybrid_S3	moderate quantitative resistance
Hybrid_R2	*BvCR4* with low quantitative background resistance
Hybrid_R3	*BvCR4* with moderate quantitative background resistance

828 **Table 2.** Frequency of unique (clone corrected) isolates carrying a deletion of the candidate
829 avirulence effector gene. Frequency is the percentage of the total number of individuals
830 analyzed. The number of individuals with deletions and the total are shown in parentheses.
831

Country	Sampling	Year	Resistant: Deletion freq. (n)	Susceptible: Deletion freq. (n)	Clone Corrected (Total)
Switzerland	Pilot	2019	25% (1/4)	NA	4 (7)
Switzerland	Temporal	2020	32% (22/68)	0% (0/42)	110 (147)
Switzerland	Transect	2020	57% (4/7)	0% (0/15)	22 (38)
Germany	Transect	2020	60% (6/10)	0% (0/17)	27 (40)
USA	Public	2016-17	NA	1.0% (1/95)	95 (190)

Figure 1. Disease rating of isolates at five different time points in the field trial. Each panel

shows the rating on one of the four different hybrids (2 susceptible and 2 resistant). Red

points are isolates scored as virulent (rating >4 on Hybrid_R2 at 40 dpi) and black are

avirulent (rating ≤4 on Hybrid_R2 at 40 dpi), lines are loess-smoothed lines. Black letters at

the top of the plots indicate least significant differences between mean rating of all isolates

at each time point. Red text indicate difference in disease rating between virulent and

avirulent for that hybrid and time point (ns=nonsignificant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***

p<0.001). No analysis was performed on Hybrid_K2 because data from this cultivar was used

to score virulence/avirulence.

Figure 2. Manhattan plots of GWAS. a) Manhattan plot from a genome-wide association

(GWAS) scan using 52 unique isolates with quantitative phenotypes at 40 dpi on Hybrid_R2.

b) Manhattan plot from GWAS using 90 unique isolates, with 52 isolates scored with binary

phenotypes (virulent or avirulent) and 38 isolates collected from Hybrid_S4 scored as

avirulent. c) Manhattan plot from a k-mer GWAS using 47 unique isolates with quantitative

phenotypes. Horizontal line is the genome-wide significance level. All SNPs and k-mers with

p-value >1.22e-01 were downsampled for plotting.

Figure 3. Manhattan plot of the significant GWAS interval (± 25 kb of flanking sequence) on

chromosome 1:4087634-4094364 bp (shaded green). Genes are shaded in blue, full length

transposable elements are shaded in grey and large RIP affected regions (LRAR) are shaded

in yellow. Inset: Gene and repeat density around the GWAS interval (including 300 kb of

flanking sequence). Gene (solid line) and repeat (dashed line) density shown in 10 kb

windows. The GWAS interval is shaded in green and the LRAR is shaded in yellow.

Figure 4. DNA and amino acid (aa) sequence variation in the candidate effector gene. a)

Haplotype networks for isolates collected in Switzerland from resistant and susceptible

hosts and in Renville USA. Ref = Identical to reference genome, 'aa' numbers correspond to

the translated effector sequence variation shown in (b). b) Shows the 13 (incl. Ref) different

amino acid sequences and the aa sequence for *Cercospora sojina*. In parentheses are the

numbers and their host or virulence status (if phenotyped): sus = collected on susceptible

cultivar, res = collected on resistant hybrid, virulence rating based on rating at 40 dpi on

Hybrid_R2 vir >4 virulent, avr ≤4 avirulent. c) Effect of amino acid sequence variation (seen

in b) and gene deletion on virulence rating (40 dpi Hybrid_R2).

Figure 5. Disease rating of isolates at five different time points in the field trial coloured

according to the effector gene copy (blue=same as reference, orange=gene absent or

sequence codes for different amino acids (inactivated)). Each panel shows the rating on one

of the four different hybrids (2 susceptible and 2 resistant). Stars at the top of the plots

indicate significant differences between the gene variants at each time point

(ns=nonsignificant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, *** p<0.001).

Figure 1. Disease rating of isolates at five different time points in the field trial. Each panel shows the rating on one of the four different hybrids (2 susceptible and 2 resistant). Red points are isolates scored as virulent (rating >4 on Hybrid_R2 at 40dpi) and black are avirulent (rating ≤4 on Hybrid_R2 at 40dpi), lines are loess-smoothed lines. Black letters at the top of the plots indicate least significant differences between mean rating of all isolates at each time point. Red text indicate difference in disease rating between virulent and avirulent for that hybrid and time point (ns=nonsignificant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, *** p<0.001). No analysis was performed on Hybrid_K2 because data from this cultivar was used to score virulence/avirulence.

Figure 2. Manhattan plots of GWAS. a) Manhattan plot from a genome-wide association (GWAS) scan using 52 unique isolates with quantitative phenotypes at 40 dpi on Hybrid_R2. b) Manhattan plot from GWAS using 90 unique isolates, with 52 isolates scored with binary phenotypes (virulent or avirulent) and 38 isolates collected from Hybrid_S4 scored as avirulent. c) Manhattan plot from a k-mer GWAS using 47 unique isolates with quantitative phenotypes. Horizontal line is the genome-wide significance level. All SNPs and k-mers with p-value >1.22e-01 were downsampled for plotting.

Figure 3. Manhattan plot of the significant GWAS interval (± 25 kb of flanking sequence) on chromosome 1:4087634-4094364 bp (shaded green). Genes are shaded in blue, full length transposable elements are shaded in grey and large RIP affected regions (LRAR) are shaded in yellow. **Inset:** Gene and repeat density around the GWAS interval (including 300 kb of flanking sequence). Gene (solid line) and repeat (dashed line) density shown in 10 kb windows. The GWAS interval is shaded in green and the LRAR is shaded in yellow.

Figure 4. DNA and amino acid (aa) sequence variation in the candidate effector gene. a) Haplotype networks for isolates collected in Switzerland from resistant and susceptible hosts and in Renville USA. Ref = Identical to reference genome, 'aa' numbers correspond to the translated effector sequence variation shown in (b). b) Shows the 13 (incl. Ref) different amino acid sequences and the aa sequence for *Cercospora sojina*. In parentheses are the numbers and their host or virulence status (if phenotyped): sus = collected on susceptible hybrid, res = collected on resistant hybrid, virulence rating based on rating at 40 dpi on Hybrid_R2 (vir >4 virulent, avr ≤4 avirulent). c) Effect of amino acid sequence variation (seen in b) and gene deletion on virulence rating (40 dpi Hybrid_R2).

Figure 5. Disease rating of isolates at five different time points in the field trial coloured according to the effector gene copy (blue=same as reference, orange=gene absent or sequence codes for different amino acids (inactivated)). Each panel shows the rating on one of the four different hybrids (2 susceptible and 2 resistant). Stars at the top of the plots indicate significant differences between the gene variants at each time point (ns=nonsignificant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, *** p<0.001).