H3.3-G34R Mutation-Mediated Epigenetic Reprogramming Leads to Enhanced Efficacy of Immune Stimulatory Gene Therapy in Pediatric High-Grade Gliomas

Maria B. Garcia-Fabiani[1,2,3,4*], Santiago Haase[1,2,3*], Kaushik Banerjee[1,2,3*], Brandon McClellan[1,2,3], Ziwen Zhu[1,2,3], Anzar Mujeeb[1,2,3], Yingxiang Li[1,2,3], Jin Yu[3,5], Padma Kadiyala[1,2,3], Ayman Taher[1,2,3], Felipe J. Núñez[1,2,3], Mahmoud S. Alghamri[1,2,3], Andrea Comba[1,2,3], Flor M. Mendez[1,2,3], Alejandro J. Nicola Candia[1,2], Brittany Salazar[1,2,6], Carl Koschmann[7], Fernando M. Nunez[1,2,3], Marta Edwards[1,2,3], Tingting Qin[8], Maureen A. Sartor[8], Pedro R. Lowenstein[1,2,3,9] and Maria G. Castro[1,2,3**].

- Department of Neurosurgery, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

- Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

- Rogel Cancer Center, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

- Current address: Leloir Institute Foundation, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

- Current address: Department of Neurosurgery, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430071, China.

- Cancer Biology Graduate Program, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

- Department of Pediatrics, Chad Carr Pediatric Brain Tumor Center, University of Michigan Medical School, MI 48109, USA.

- Department of Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

- Department of Bioengineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

* Equal first authors.

**Corresponding author: mariacas@med.umich.edu

SUMMARY

Pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGGs) are diffuse and highly aggressive CNS tumors which remain incurable, with a 5-year overall survival of less than 20%. Within glioma, mutations in the genes encoding the histones H3.1 and H3.3 have been discovered to be age-restricted and specific of pHGGs. This work focuses on the study of pHGGs harboring the H3.3-G34R mutation. H3.3-G34R tumors represent the 9-15% of pHGGs, are restricted to the cerebral hemispheres, and are found predominantly in the adolescent population (median 15.0 years). We have utilized a genetically engineered immunocompetent mouse model for this subtype of pHGG generated via the Sleeping Beauty-transposon system. The analysis of H3.3-G34R genetically engineered brain tumors by RNA-Sequencing and ChIP-Sequencing revealed alterations in the molecular landscape associated to H3.3-G34R expression. In particular, the expression of H3.3-G34R modifies the histone marks deposited at the regulatory elements of genes belonging to the JAK/STAT pathway, leading to an increased activation of this pathway. This histone G34R-mediated epigenetic modifications lead to changes in the tumor immune microenvironment of these tumors, towards an immune-permissive phenotype, making these gliomas susceptible to TK/Flt3L immune-stimulatory gene therapy. The application of this therapeutic approach increased median survival of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing animals, while stimulating the development of anti-tumor immune response and immunological memory. Our data suggests that the proposed immune-mediated gene therapy has potential for clinical translation for the treatment of patients harboring H3.3-G34R high grade gliomas.

INTRODUCTION

Central nervous system (CNS) tumors are the leading cause of cancer related deaths in people ranging from 0 to 14 years in the United States (Ostrom et al., 2019). These tumors have an incidence of 5.74 per 100,000 population, and a mortality rate of 4.42 per 100,000 population for malignant tumors (Ostrom et al., 2019). Pediatric high grade gliomas (pHGGs), which include anaplastic astrocytoma (CNS WHO grade III), glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; CNS WHO grade IV), and diffuse midline gliomas (CNS WHO grade IV), are diffuse, infiltrating, and highly aggressive tumors (Jones et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2019; Miklja et al., 2019). Currently, they remain incurable, with a 5-year overall survival of less than 20% (Miklja et al., 2019; Siegel et al., 2016). Despite these adverse statistics, the last decade has been crucial in the understanding of the molecular features of pHGGs (Mackay et al., 2017; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012; Sturm et al., 2012a). One of the breakthroughs in pediatric glioma biology was the discovery of mutations in the histone gene H3. These mutations are involved in glioma development, and their presence correlates with distinct genomic alterations, epigenetic landscapes, CNS locations and clinical features (Bjerke et al., 2013; Mackay et al., 2017; Puntonet et al., 2018; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012; Sturm et al., 2012a; Yoshimoto et al., 2017).

In this study, we focused on the subtype of pHGG harboring a mutation in the histone H3.3 gene *H3F3A*, that changes the glycine at the position 34 to an arginine (H3.3-G34R) or, less commonly, to a valine (Mackay et al., 2017; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012). This mutation is present in 9-15% of pHGGs, is restricted to the cerebral hemispheres, and is found predominantly in the adolescent population (median 15.0 years) (Mackay et al., 2017; Pathak et al., 2015; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012; Sturm et al., 2012a; Wu et al., 2012). G34-mutant pHGGs are characterized by the presence of loss of function mutations in *ATRX/DAXX* and *TP53* genes, and a DNA hypomethylated phenotype (Mackay et al., 2017; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012; Sturm et al., 2012a). The prognosis of these tumors is dismal, with patients displaying a median survival of 18.0 months, and a 2-year overall survival of 27.3% (Mackay et al., 2017).

The understanding of the mechanisms that underlie H3.3-G34R/V subgroup's disease progression in pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGGs) are not well understood (Korshunov et al., 2016; Sturm et al., 2012b), (Mackay et al., 2018). Presently, the standard of care (SOC) for non-midline pHGGs, irrespective of their H3 status, includes maximum surgical removal followed by targeted radiation. The benefit of chemotherapy in addition to radiation for G34-mutant pHGGs

is still under debate, largely because earlier cooperative group studies did not consistently test

and stratify for G34 mutations (Haase et al., 2022).

The implementation of effective immunotherapies for pHGG remains underdeveloped. Recent

studies in pediatric high-grade gliomas (HGG) have shown a complex and dynamic interaction

between the tumor cells and the immune system (Gonzalez Castro et al., 2023). pHGGs are

heterogeneous tumors with a significant portion of the tumor mass consisting of non-malignant

cells, such as macrophages, microglia, and dendritic cells, as well as myeloid-derived

suppressor cells (MDSCs), which are found extensively in the pHGG TME and have been

identified as major contributors to immunosuppression within the tumor microenvironment

(Kamran et al., 2018, Alghamri, 2021 #190). Thus, the development of immunotherapies has

been limited by several factors, notably the anti-inflammatory tumor microenvironment

frequently found in these tumors, which is influenced by many factors, including the specific

tumor subtype, the genetic aberrations found within the tumor, and the host immune system.

In recent single-cell transcriptomic studies, G34-mutant pHGGs have been shown to feature a

relatively small population of immune cells, unlike adult gliomas that are characterized by a

large count of immune cells exhibiting an immunosuppressive phenotype (Chen et al., 2020).

However, whether there is a connection between this characteristic and the immune response in

this type of pHGG is still unclear. A recent study has documented the impact of germinal G34

mutations on mouse phenotypes (Khazaei et al., 2023). The authors found that the G34-mutant

histone leads to a reduction in H3K36me2, which subsequently results in the hindered

recruitment of DNMT3A, a DNA methyltransferase, *in cis*. This, in turn, causes hypomethylation

in the DNA, particularly impacting the regulatory regions of immune-related genes. This

hypomethylation triggers an increase in the expression of these genes, leading to the activation

of proinflammatory signals in the brains of G34-mutant mice (Khazaei et al., 2023). These

findings correlated with the accumulation of microglia/astrocytes and neuronal depletion in G34-

mutant mice.

Understanding the molecular characteristics of the pHGG tumor cells and their interactions with

the TME is critical to evaluate the implementation of immunotherapies for G34-mutant pHGG. In

this study, we aimed to understand the biological and molecular features of pHGGs harboring

H3.3-G34R mutations, as well as its immunological landscape. So far, the tumor immune

microenvironment (TME) of pediatric brain tumors has been understudied, holding back the

successful development of targeted immunotherapies (Griesinger et al., 2013; Plant et al., 2018;

Wang et al., 2019). We generated genetically engineered mouse models (GEMM) of pHGGs

harboring the H3.3-G34R mutation, in combination with *ATRX* and *TP53* downregulation, which
recapitulates salient features of the human disease (Calinescu et al., 2015),(Haase et al., 2022).
A previous study from our lab using this model allowed us to identify that H3.3-G34-mutations
drive DNA repair impairment and genetic instability in pHGG, leading to the activation of the
cGAS-STING pathway and enhanced anti-tumoral immunological responses (Haase et al.,
2022). Thus, in this study, we aimed at characterizing the consequences of the G34-mutations
in shaping the immune TME.

Using ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq, we uncovered the molecular landscape of H3.3-G34R pHGG
cells, unveiling the consequences of the H3.3-G34R mutation at the epigenetic and
transcriptional levels. Our results revealed that the presence of H3.3-G34R correlates with the
epigenetic and transcriptional upregulation of pathways related to immune-activation. We show
that this correlates with the postraslational activation of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway in
G34-mutant cells. Then we studied the immune cell composition of the H3.3-G34R pHGG TME
and discovered that H3.3-G34R pHGG have a less immunosuppressive TME with respect to the
control group, harboring the wild-type H3.3. Leveraging these differences, we tested the efficacy
of Ad-TK/Ad-Flt3L immune stimulatory gene therapy (Alghamri et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2005;
Candolfi et al., 2014; Curtin et al., 2009; Kamran et al., 2017; Mendez et al., 2020). We
observed an effective anti-tumor CD8 T cell immune response, an increase in the median
survival of H3.3-G34R-pHGG bearing animals, and the onset of anti-tumor immunological
memory. Collectively, our results uncovered molecular features of H3.3-G34R pHGGs and
suggest that immune-stimulatory therapeutic approaches, such as the TK/Flt3L gene therapy,
could be considered as an attractive adjuvant treatment for H3.3-G34R pHGGs.

RESULTS

Development of a genetically engineered mouse model for H3.3-G34R pHGGs.

Using the Sleeping Beauty (SB) transposon system, we generated an immunocompetent mouse model for pHGGs harboring H3.3-G34R mutation (H3.3-G34R group) or H3.3-Wt (control group) (Haase et al., 2022). This system allows the *de novo* induction of brain tumors using non-viral, transposon-mediated integration of plasmid DNA into cells of the sub-ventricular zone of neonatal mice by the SB-transposase (Calinescu et al., 2015; Garcia-Fabiani et al., 2020b; Haase et al., 2022; Koschmann et al., 2016a; Núñez et al., 2019; Wiesner et al., 2009) (Figures 1, A and S1, A-C). The H3.3-G34R pHGG were modelled by the insertion of plasmid DNAs encoding: i) *NRASG12V* (NRAS activating mutation) (the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)–RAS–phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway is activated in a large number of pHGGs (Guerreiro Stucklin et al., 2019; Koschmann et al., 2016b; Mackay et al., 2017; Ney et al., 2020; Paugh et al., 2010; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012)); short hairpin (sh) RNAs against ii) *Atrx* and iii) *Tp53* genes; and iv) the mutated histone H3.3-G34R (H3.3-G34R group) into the mouse brain cells' genome (Figure S1, A) (Haase et al., 2022). As controls, we generated brain tumors encoding *NRASG12V*, shRNA-*Atrx* and shRNA-*Tp53* (H3.3-Wt group) (Figure S1, A-B) as previously described (Haase et al., 2022; Koschmann et al., 2016a).

Mice injected with SB plasmids as described above developed tumors, and the G34-mutant GEMM displayed a median survival (MS) of 161 days (Figure 1, A). We were able to verify the development of tumor as the expression of each genetic lesion is coupled to the expression of fluorescent proteins (Figure S1, B).

H3.3-G34R expression in the genetically engineered pHGG model was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Figure S2, A-B). These tumors were diffusely infiltrative and hypercellular (Figure S2, D-E). Also, they showed other salient characteristics of pHGG such as pseudopalisades with necrotic areas, microvascular proliferation, hemorrhagic areas, multinucleated giant cells and nuclear atypia (Gianno et al., 2018; Korshunov et al., 2016) (Figure S2, D-E). We confirmed by western blotting that primary cells generated from tumors expressed H3.3-G34R (Figure S2, C). Additionally, we observed that the oligodendrocyte marker OLIG2, a hallmark of these tumors, was downmodulated in the H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (Figure S2, C) (Gianno et al., 2018; Mackay et al., 2017; Sturm et al., 2012a).

The expression of the H3.3-G34R mutation leads to reprogramming of the molecular landscape of pHGG cells, upregulating the expression of immune-related genes.

To better understand the effects of H3.3-G34R expression on the transcriptional landscape of
glioma cells, we performed RNA-Seq on H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt cells extracted from the TME
of SB-derived pHGG. Tumor cells were separated from the immune cells (CD45+) and the
RNA-Seq analysis was conducted on tumor-enriched cell fractions (Garcia-Fabiani et al.,
2020a). Among the 22,828 genes that were measured, 353 were found to be differentially
expressed between H3.3-G34R vs H3.3-Wt tumor cells (Fold Change: 0.585, adjusted p value
<0.05) (Supplementary Table 1) (Figure 1, C). Amongst the upregulated Gene Ontologies
(GOs) in the H3.3-G34R group, we observed terms related to immune-mediated processes,
such as "Innate immune response" and "Regulation of adaptive immune response" (Figure 1, B
and E). Particularly, we found GOs related to interferon I (IFN-I) (IFNα and IFNβ) and interferon
II (IFN-II) (IFNγ) signaling, and several genes involved in these pathways were upregulated in
H3.3-G34R tumor cells versus H3.3-Wt tumor cells (Figures 1, B, E and S3, B). The
upregulation of type I IFN pathways aligns with previous work from our lab showing that DNA
damage deficiencies and genetic instability in G34-mutant pHGG lead to activation of the cGAS-
STING pathway in G34-mutant pHGG (Haase et al., 2022) (Figure 1, E). Among the
downregulated GOs in the H3.3-G34R group, we found several GOs related to CNS
development (Figure S3, A).

To validate the conservation of these findings in patients, we retrieved transcriptomic expression
data from G34R-mutant versus histone-Wt hemispherical pHGG from the patients' database
pedcbioportal and evaluated the expression of JAK/STAT signaling pathway genes. We found
that the gene ontology "Positive regulation of peptidyl-serine phosphorylation of STAT protein" is
upregulated (Q value = 0.00206) in G34R-mutant patients (Figure S3, C).

The H3.3-G34 mutations occur close to a lysine located at the position 36 (H3K36) of the H3.3
histone tail. H3K36 can be trimethylated (H3K36me3) and this is regarded as a transcriptional
activation mark (Hyun et al., 2017; Schwartzentruber et al., 2012). It has been demonstrated
that, even though the H3.3-G34 mutations do not alter the global amounts of H3K36me3, they
reduce the H3K36me2 and H3K36me3 deposition in *cis*, and affects the deposition of this
epigenetic mark throughout the genome, shaping the transcriptional signature of the cell (Bjerke
et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2013; Mackay et al., 2017). Thus, to test the epigenetic consequences
of the H3.3-G34R mutation in relation to histone mark deposition, we analyzed the differential
binding of several histone marks throughout the H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells'
chromatin (Figure 2, A). Using ChIP-Seq, we assessed the binding of H3K4me1, H3K4me3,
H3K27ac, H3K36me3 (transcriptional activation marks), and H3K27me3 (transcriptional

repressive mark) (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011), across the genome of H3.3-Wt and H3.3-
G34R pHGG cells (Figure 2, A-H). After the reads' alignment and the fulfillment of quality
controls, peak calling was performed to identify gene regions differentially enriched in specific
histone marks, between H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells. Although no differences in global
histone mark levels were found between H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells by Western blot
(Figure S4, D), GSEA of differentially enriched genes in these marks allowed the identification of
GO related to pathways or biological processes being epigenetically affected by H3.3-G34R
expression (Figures 2, B-C and S4, A-C). When exploring GOs related to the immune system
activation/regulation, we observed differentially enriched immune-related GOs associated to
H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 deposition (Figure 2, B-C). The H3K27me3 mark, which is
associated to transcriptional repression, was enriched in GOs related to the regulation of signal
transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) cascade and the activation of T cell-mediated,
NK cell-mediated, B cell-mediated and humoral responses in H3.3-Wt cells. On the other hand,
in H3.3-G34R pHGG cells, the H3K27me3 mark was enriched in GOs related to leucocyte
apoptotic processes (Figure 2, B). Moreover, the H3K36me3 mark, which is associated to
transcriptional activation, was enriched in GOs related to the negative regulation of T cell
proliferation and activation, and the development of the immune system in H3.3-Wt pHGG cells;
whereas this mark was found to be enriched in GOs related to leucocyte chemotaxis, myeloid
cytokine production and STAT pathway activation in H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (Figure 2, C).
H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K4me1 (Figure S4, A-C) were differentially bound to genes
encountered in immune-related GOs. These results indicate that H3.3-G34R affects the
deposition of different histone marks across the genome, specifically altering the epigenetic
regulation of genes related to an active immune response.

Since we found striking differences in histone mark deposition due to the expression of H3.3-
G34R (Figure S4, E), we performed an analysis to define chromatin states (CS) at different
gene regulatory regions (Figure S4, F-G). CS were determined by the combination and the
relative abundance of different histone marks at a particular locus. For example, the CS "active
enhancer" was defined by presence of H3K27Ac+H3K4me1 histone marks, or "bivalent/poised
transcription start site" by presence of H3K27me3+H3K4me3+H3K27Ac+H3K4me1 histone
marks (Bogu et al., 2016; Fiziev et al., 2017) (Figure S4, G). Given that several genes related to
IFN-I and IFN-II related pathways were upregulated in H3.3-G34R with respect to H3.3-Wt
pHGG cells in the RNA-Seq analysis (Figure 1, B, D-E), we analyzed the epigenetic regulation
and the CS changes of genes related to IFNγ signaling and STAT1 pathway activation. IFNγ is
a cytokine secreted by activated CD4 and CD8 T cells, as well as by antigen presenting cells,

and its pleiotropic function resides on its ability to modulate the expression of numerous genes
(Gocher et al., 2022; Kane and Yang, 2010; Schroder et al., 2004). IFNγ canonical signaling
pathway involves IFNγ binding to IFNGR1 and IFNGR2, the activation of JAK1 and JAK2, and
the phosphorylation of STAT1 (pSTAT1) (Schroder et al., 2004; Castro et al., 2018; Gocher et
al., 2022; Schroder et al., 2004). The homodimerization of pSTAT1 forms a complex, which
translocates to the nucleus and binds to gamma-activated sites (GAS), increasing the
transcription of target genes (Figures 3, A and S5, A) (Schroder et al., 2004).

One of these genes is the transcription factor interferon-regulatory factor 1 (*IRF1*), which binds
to interferon-stimulated response elements (ISRE) and enhances the transcription of several
secondary response genes, such as Class II transactivator (*CIITA*) and Suppressor of cytokine
signaling (*SOCS*) which negatively regulates the IFN-γ pathway by inhibiting JAKs and STAT1
phosphorylation (Figures 3A and S5, A) (Schroder et al., 2004). CIITA is the mediator of IFNγ-
inducible transcription of Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class I and II genes
(Muhlethaler-Mottet et al., 1998; Schroder et al., 2004). We found differences in the binding of
single histone marks on genes related to STAT-pathway GOs (Figures 2, B-C and S5, B-E), and
specifically in the CS of key genes involved in STAT1 signaling (Figures 2, B-E, I and S5, F).
The analysis of CS changes between H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells revealed
transcriptionally-permissive CS for genes related to STAT1 pathway and IFNγ signaling in H3.3-
G34R cells, which correlated with the transcriptional data obtained from the RNA-Seq analysis
(Figure 3, C) *i.e.*, genes that were shown to be downregulated in H3.3-Wt vs H3.3-G34R pHGG
cells by RNA-Seq, were associated to regions with CS related to transcriptional repression in
H3.3-Wt cells. For example, we found that *Stat1* (Figure 3, D-E), *Ciita* (Figure 4, A), and MHC-I
(Figure 4, C) genes, which were shown to be downregulated in the H3.3-Wt pHGG cells by
RNA-Seq (Figure 1, D), were enriched in CS related to gene silencing or repression at specific
regions in H3.3-Wt vs H3.3-G34R cells.

Overall, the presence of H3.3-G34R has profound effects on the epigenetic landscape of pHGG
cells, which is reflected in the distinctive transcriptional profile observed in these tumors by
RNA-Seq. Specifically, we observed that H3.3-G34R expression impacts pathways related to
immune system processes and IFNy signaling.

STAT1 is postranslationally activated in G34-mutant pHGG

As the RNA-seq performed on tumor cells isolated from the TME showed upregulation of STAT
genes and gamma-interferon activated pathways, we hypothesized that interferon-gamma and

other cytokines in the G34-mutant pHGG TME stimulate the JAK/STAT pathway. Thus, we evaluated STAT1- phosphorylation (Tyr-705), STAT5a phosphorylation (phospho-Ser780) and JAK2 levels in H3.3-G34-mutant pHGG and H3.3-Wt mouse pHGG cells by western blot. Our results show that the normalized levels of phospho-STAT1-Tyr-705 are increased in G34-mutant pHGG cells compared to their H3.3-Wt in basal conditions and at all the times ($p < 0.01$) after IFNγ stimulation evaluated (Figure 3, F-G). Similarly, STAT5a phosphorylation (phospho-Ser780) normalized and JAK2 levels are levels are increased in G34-mutant tumors compared to their H3.3-Wt counterparts in basal conditions and upon IFNy stimulation (Figure 3, H-J).

The JAK/STAT pathway activity is increased in G34-mutant pHGG after IFNγ stimulation

To characterize in detail the JAK/STAT pathway activity in H3.3-G34-mutant and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells, we analyzed the levels of proteins and their postraslational modifications using an antibody array with 42 antibodies related to the JAK/STAT pathway. We characterized the levels of postranslational modifications and basal proteins in pHGG cells in basal conditions and after stimulation with IFNγ. Under basal conditions, the levels of phosphorylation in proteins associated with postranslational activation of the JAK/STAT pathway are similar in G34-mutant and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells (Figures 3, K and S6, A) but after stimulation with IFNγ, these levels are increased in G34-mutant compared with H3.3-Wt cells (Figures 3, K and S6, B) (with STAT5A (Phospho-Ser780), JAK2 (Phospho-Tyr1007), STAT3(Ab-705), STAT3(Phospho-Ser727), STAT4 (Phospho-Tyr693), MEK1 (Ab-217), Raf1 (Ab-338), MEK1 (Phospho-Ser217) differentially upregulated (Q value <0.05) in IFNγ-treated H3.3-G34R vs H3.3-Wt pHGG cells) (See Supplementary Table #). This indicates that G34-mutant pHGG cells are more sensitive to IFNγ stimulation, which leads to postranslational activation of the JAK/STAT pathway.

H3.3-G34R pHGG cells are sensitive to IFNy stimulation and IFNy-mediated apoptosis

IFNγ, and IFNs in general, are known to have anti-tumor effects (Jorgovanovic et al., 2020). The anti-tumor effects of IFNγ can be either indirect or direct. Indirectly, this cytokine is a powerful mediator of innate and adaptive immune response; whereas directly, it can inhibit tumor cell proliferation and induce tumor cell apoptosis (Gocher et al., 2022; Chawla-Sarkar et al., 2003; Kane and Yang, 2010; Schroder et al., 2004). Thus, we aimed to test if H3.3-G34R pHGG cells were also more sensitive to IFNγ-induced apoptosis. We incubated H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt pHGG cells with 200 UI of mIFNγ for 48 hours and then, the proportion of live, late apoptotic, early apoptotic, and necrotic cells was assessed by AnnexinV/DAPI staining (Figure 3, L-M). After IFNγ treatment, we observed a significant reduction in the proportion of live cells in the

H3.3-G34R group (p<0.0001) (Figure 3, L-M) . Also, a significant increase (p < 0.0001) in the

proportion of early apoptotic cells was observed in the H3.3-G34R group (Figure 3, L-M) . No

significant differences were found in the proportion of late apoptotic or necrotic cells in either

treatment groups. These results demonstrate that H3.3-G34R pHGG cells are sensitive to IFNγ

stimulation and prone to IFNγ-induced apoptosis. To validate that this effect is conserved

regardless of the genetic background of the GEMM, we used a model that is independent of the

RAS pathway activation. In this model, we induced the tumors with short hairpins against *p53*

and *Atrx*, together with overexpression of a constitutively active mutant PDGFRα (D842V) in

CDKN2A KO animals. These alterations are common in G34-mutant pHGG. In this alternative

mouse CDKN2A KO/P53 KD driven model we found an increment in early apoptotic and

necrotic cells in G34R-mutant pHGG cells upon IFNγ stimulation (Figure S7). Additionally, we

assessed phosphorylated-STAT1 levels in this model in basal conditions and after IFNγ-

stimulation and found that STAT1 phosphorylation is significantly (p < 00001) increased in G34-

mutant tumors compared to their H3.3-Wt counterparts (Figure S6, C).

JAK/STAT activation leads to MHC-I expression in G34R-mutant pHGG

JAK/STAT signaling and CIITA activation were shown to activate MHC I expression, as CIITA is

a critical transcriptional regulator of the MHC class I and II complexes (Tur et al., 2021). MHC-I

expression on tumor cells can drive antitumor immunity via antigen presentation. We evaluated

CIITA and MHC-I levels in H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R pHGG mouse and human cells by western

blot. In line with ChIP-seq and RNA-seq results, we observed increased expression of these

proteins in G34-mutant mouse (Figure 4, B-D) and human (Figure S6, D-E) pHGG cells. We

confirmed these results by assessing MHC-I expression by flow cytometry in mouse and human

H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R pHGG cells, observing increased MHC-I expression in G34-mutant

pHGG cells (Figure 4, E-H). Furthermore, we compared the levels of MHC I genes in H3.3-G34-

mutant and H3.3-Wt pHGG cells by real-time PCR (qPCR). Our results indicate that the levels

of MHC class I genes are upregulated in H3.3-G34-mutant versus H3.3-Wt pHGG in our mouse

model (Figure S6, F).

H3.3-G34R expression impacts on the immune cell infiltration into the glioma
microenvironment

The molecular analysis of our H3.3-G34R GEMM indicates that there is an active crosstalk

between tumor cells and the immune cells in the TME. Thus, we analyzed the transcriptional

differences among immune cells (*i.e.*, CD45+ cells), purified from the H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt

pHGG models. At symptomatic stage, tumors were dissected from the mouse brain; a cell

suspension was prepared; and CD45+ cells were isolated from H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt pHGG

by magnetic sorting (Garcia-Fabiani et al., 2020a). Total RNA was purified from the CD45+ cells

and gene expression analysis was performed by RNA-Seq. The statistical analysis revealed 243

differentially expressed genes (adjusted p value < 0.05) in CD45+ cells purified from H3.3-G34R

tumors with respect to H3.3-Wt tumors (Figure 5, A; Supplementary Table 3). We observed that

genes related to the presence of T cells, such as *CD3g* and *CD3e* (p<0.05), and specifically to

cytotoxic CD8 T cells, such as *CD8a* and *CD8b1* (p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively), were

significantly upregulated in immune cells from H3.3-G34R pHGG (Figure 5, A). On the contrary,

we found that the *CD34* marker (p<0.01), which is related to hematopoietic progenitor and stem

cells (Dmytrus et al., 2016), and genes associated with immunosuppression, such as *Arg1*

(Grzywa et al., 2020) (p<0.05), were downregulated in CD45+ cells derived from H3.3-G34R

pHGG when compared to CD45+ cells derived from H3.3-Wt pHGG (Figure 5, A-B), implying

that the immune cells of the H3.3-G34R pHGG TME are more differentiated and less

immunosuppressive than the immune cells from the H3.3-Wt TMEs.

Then, we performed a network analysis and clustering of the upregulated genes in H3.3-G34R-

derived CD45+ cells using the Reactome Functional Interaction plugin in Cytoscape (Shannon

et al., 2003). After network building and clustering, we detected 5 clusters with highly interacting

nodes (Figure 5, C-D). The analysis of the top-30 most significant pathways associated to these

clusters displayed terms that were related to immune system activation, especially to T cell-

mediated responses (Figure 5, C-D).

In summary, the transcriptional analysis of CD45+ cells isolated from the TME of H3.3-G34R

pHGG showed the upregulation of genes related to an activated T cell response.

To assess the immune cell infiltration pattern in H3.3-G34R pHGG and uncover how the

presence of the H3.3-G34R mutation affects the TME, we implanted H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt

cells in the brain of C57BL6 mice and, at symptomatic stage, pHGG were dissected and

processed for flow cytometry analysis (Figure 5, D). The analysis of T cell infiltration in the TME

of H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R pHGG is displayed in Figure 5, D- E. A significant increase in the

proportion of CD4 and CD8 T cell infiltrates were observed in H3.3-G34R pHGG TME (p<0.001)

(Figure 5, D). To further characterize CD4 T cells, we measured the expression of regulatory T

cells (Treg) markers CD25 and FoxP3. Tregs are essential cells for preventing autoimmunity

and for maintaining peripheral tolerance. However, they could also limit the anti-tumor immune

response (Vignali et al., 2008). Even though we observed an increase in the amount of CD4

Tregs in the TME of H3.3-G34R tumors compared to the amount of Tregs in the TME of H3.3-
Wt tumors (Figure 5, E), the ratio of CD8 T cells to Tregs was significantly higher in the H3.3-
G34R pHGG TME (Figure 5, E) ($p < 0.05$). To assess the role of T cells in G34-mutant pHGG
development, we compared the survival of C57BL/6, *Rag-1* KO (devoid of T and B-cells
(Mombaerts et al., 1992)), and Cd8 KO (devoid of Cd8+ T-cells) mice, implanted with H3.3-Wt
or H3.3-G34R pHGG cells. We observed a reduced survival of *Rag-1* KO (Figure S8, A, $p <$
0.05) and *Cd8* KO (Figure S8, B, $p<0.01$) mice that were implanted with H3.3-G34R cells, in
comparison to C57BL6. In the case of mice implanted with H3.3-Wt pHGG cells, there was no
survival difference between C57BL/6 and *Rag-1* KO; and the survival difference between
C57BL/6 and *Cd8* KO mice was only of 4 days ($p < 0.05$). The marked reduction in the MS
observed in mice bearing G34-mutant pHGG demonstrates the relevance of cytotoxic T cell
mediated anti-tumor activity in this model of pHGG. Additionally, we implanted the CDKN2A KO/
p53 KD/PDGFRA D842V/ATRX KD H3.3-Wt or H3.3G34R cells in NOD scid gamma (NSG)
mice. Our results show that in this immunocompromised host there is no difference in the MS
between H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R pHGG (Figure S8, C).

The tumor microenvironment (TME) of high-grade gliomas (HGG) is predominantly
immunosuppressive (McClellan et al., 2023) where immunosuppressive immune populations
potentially hinder the development of adaptive immunity responses. In this context, myeloid-
derived suppressor cells (MDSC), by limiting the function of T-cells, contribute to this
immunosuppressive state and prompt the reprogramming of T-cells towards regulatory or
exhausted phenotypes (Alban et al., 2018; Bayik et al., 2020; Gabrilovich et al., 2012; Gielen et
al., 2016; Kamran et al., 2018; Kamran et al., 2017; Mirghorbani et al., 2013). Particularly,
circulating and intra-tumoral density of MDSC positively correlates with the glioma grade, whilst
it negatively correlates with the patient's outcome (Alban et al., 2018).

To assess MDSC infiltration in the H3.3-G34R pHGG model, we implanted H3.3-G34R or H3.3-
Wt cells in the brain of C57BL6 mice and, at symptomatic stage, tumors were dissected and
processed for flow cytometry staining (Figure 6, A). The analysis of myeloid cells in the TME of
H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt pHGG revealed a reduced proportion of CD11b+ Gr-1+ cells (MDSC
general phenotype (Bronte et al., 2016)) in the H3.3-G34R pHGG ($p<0.0001$) (Figure 6, B-C).
MDSC phenotypic characterization also requires distinction between Monocytic (M) (CD45+
CD11b+ Ly6C^{hi} Ly6G^{-}) MDSC and Polymorphonuclear (PMN) (CD45+ CD11b+ Ly6C^{lo} Ly6G^{+})
MDSC. We found similar proportions of cells displaying PMN-MDSC phenotype in the TME of
both tumors, but a significant decrease in the proportion of M-MDSCs in the TME of H3.3-G34R

pHGG (p<0.01) (Figure 6C-D). Similarly, we found less CD11b+ Gr-1+ cells in the TME of SB-derived H3.3-G34R pHGG with respect to H3.3-Wt (p<0.05) (Figure S9, A, right panel). In this model, M-MDSC and PMN-MDSC were found to be decreased in the TME of H3.3-G34R SB-derived pHGG compared to their proportion in H3.3-Wt SB-derived HGG (p<0.05) (Figure S9, A, left panel). Also, we assessed the expression of iNOS and ARG1, two proteins related to immunosuppression (Bronte et al., 2016; Kamran et al., 2017; Mirghorbani et al., 2013), and found no differences in their expression on cells with M-MDSC or PMN-MDSC phenotypes, between H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG (Figure S9,C). The analysis of MDSC content in the blood, bone marrow and spleen of H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt tumor bearing mice revealed no differences in the proportion of M-MDSC or PMN-MDSC cells between the two groups (Figure S9, D-E).

To further characterize the infiltration of myeloid cells in the TME of H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R pHGG, we assessed the content of dendritic cells (DCs) and Macrophages (Figure 6, F-I). We observed that H3.3-G34R TME was infiltrated with a higher proportion of DCs (p<0.0001) (Figure 6, F-G) and total macrophages (p<0.05) (Figure 6, H-I), than the H3.3-Wt TME. Also, we measured CD206 and MHCII expression on macrophages to classify them as anti-tumor M1 (CD45+ F4/80+ Ly6C- CD206- MHCII+) or pro-tumor M2 macrophages (CD45+ F4/80+ Ly6C- CD206+ MHCII-) (Chen et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2017). Whilst the proportion of M2 macrophages to total macrophages was similar between H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt TMEs, M1 proportion was significantly increased in H3.3-G34R TME (p<0.0001) and the M1/M2 ratio was higher in H3.3-G34R pHGG (Figure 6, J-L).

Taken together, the analysis of the TME revealed that H3.3-G34R pHGG are infiltrated with a higher proportion of immune cells associated with the onset of an anti-tumor immune response.

H3.3-G34R impacts the array of cytokines secreted by pHGG cells, rendering the TME less immunosuppressive.

Given that H3.3-G34R pHGG cells display a transcriptional landscape and a TME infiltration pattern consistent with an activated immune response, analyzed how the presence of H3.3-G34R alters the array of cytokines secreted by H3.3-G34R pHGG cells. We incubated 1×10^6 H3.3-Wt or H3.3-G34R cells in T25 flasks and 48 hours later, the supernatants were analyzed for cytokine concentration (Figure 7A). The secretion of G-CSF, VEGF, MCP-1, MCP-3, TGFβ and N-Gal was lower in H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (p<0.0001). We investigated our ChIP-Seq data to analyze the chromatin context of the genes encoding the differentially secreted cytokines. We

observed an increase in H3K27me3 (transcriptional repressive) mark deposition on the
promoter region of *CSF3* (gene that produces G-CSF) in H3.3-G34R pHGG cells with respect to
H3.3-Wt. On the contrary, H3K4me1, H3K4me and H3K27ac (transcriptional activation) marks
were found to be increased in the same region in H3.3-Wt vs H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (Figure 7,
B). These results indicate the presence of a permissive chromatin for G-CSF expression in
H3.3-Wt with respect to H3.3-G34R pHGG cells. We did not find differences in the deposition of
histone marks for the other cytokines with significantly different concentrations.

To assess the impact of the cytokines secreted by H3.3-G34R pHGG cells on T cell survival, we
performed T cell proliferation assays in the presence of conditioned media (CM) from H3.3-Wt
and H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (Figure 7, C). The addition of increasing amounts of H3.3-Wt CM
correlated with decreasing proportions of proliferating CD8 T cells (p<0.0001), whereas no
inhibition in CD8 T cell proliferation was observed in the presence of H3.3-G34R CM (Figure 7,
D-F). In accordance, IFNγ secretion decreased with the addition of increasing amounts of H3.3-
Wt CM, whereas IFNγ secretion increased with the addition of increasing amounts of H3.3-
G34R CM (Figure 7, G).

As we discovered these differences in cytokine secretion and their implications on T cell
proliferation, we analyzed the impact of H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt pHGG-derived factors in the
immunosuppressive functionality of CD11b+ Gr-1+ cells (MDCS phenotype) encountered in the
TME. To assess the immunosuppressive ability of the CD11b+ Gr-1+, we performed T cell
proliferation assay (Alghamri et al., 2020a). Immune cells with the phenotype CD11b+ Gr-1+
were sorted by flow cytometry from the TME of H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt tumors and co-cultured
with OT-I splenocytes labelled with CFSE; we added SIINFEKL; and, 96 hours later, we
measured CD8 T cell proliferation by CFSE dilution (Figure 7H). Whilst the CD11b+ Gr-1+ cells
from H3.3-Wt inhibited T cell proliferation by 50% (p<0.0001), CD11b+ Gr-1+ cells from H3.3-
G34R did not affect it (Figure 7I and 7J).

These data demonstrates that the presence of H3.3-G34R impacts the array of cytokines
secreted by tumor cells, creating a less immunosuppressive TME with respect to the H3.3-Wt
tumor cells. We observed that these secreted factors enable the proliferation of effector T cells
and do not induce the production of *bona fide* MDSC or their homing into the TME.

TK/Flt3L immune-stimulatory gene therapy enhances anti-glioma immune response in
H3.3-G34R pHGG mouse model.

As we observed an immune-permissive tumor microenvironment associated with the expression
of H3.3-G34R in the pHGG mouse model, we evaluated the efficacy of a cytotoxic immune-
stimulatory TK/Flt3L gene therapy (GT). This therapy entails injecting adenoviruses encoding
TK and Flt3L into the tumor, followed by ganciclovir (GCV) administration (Ali et al., 2005; Curtin
et al., 2009). The TK converts GCV into a competitive inhibitor of DNA synthesis, which leads to
tumor cell lysis and the concomitant release of tumor antigens (Curtin et al., 2009; Mendez et
al., 2020). Flt3L mediates DC recruitment and expansion in the TME, which uptake the tumor
antigens, traffic them to the draining lymph nodes and prime a robust anti-tumor cytotoxic and
memory T cell response, leading to tumor regression and long-term survival (Ali et al., 2005;
Curtin et al., 2009; Kamran et al., 2017; Mendez et al., 2020).

Thus, we treated H3.3-G34R pHGG-bearing animals with the TK/Flt3L GT or saline as depicted
in Figure 8, A. We observed a significant extension in the survival of animals harboring H3.3-
G34R gliomas treated with GT (MS=Not reached, $p < 0.001$), with respect to the control group
treated with saline (MS=44 DPI) (Figure 8, B-C). GT led to an effective cure rate of 60% (Figure
8, C). To assess the safety of this therapy, complete blood cell count and serum chemistry
analysis of H3.3-G34R pHGG-bearing mice treated with saline or GT was performed (Figure
S10, A). We did not find any differences in the amount of white blood cells (WBC) and red blood
cells (RBC); the percentage of hemoglobin; or in the hematocrit between the saline and GT
treated groups (Figure S10, A). Also, we observed that the levels of AST (Aspartate
transaminase) ALT (Alanine transaminase), Creatinin, and BUN (Blood urea nitrogen) were
within the normal range for mice treated with GT, indicating that the liver and the renal system
were functioning normally (Figure S10, B). The histological assessment of livers from animals
treated with saline or GT did not display any abnormalities (Figure S10, B). Additionally, IHC
analysis was performed to assess the brain anatomy on paraffin-embedded brain tissue from
saline or long-term survivors from gene therapy treated animals. Sections were stained for
Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) (myelin sheath marker, to assess neuronal structure), Glial fibrillary
acidic protein (GFAP) (Glial marker, to assess glial inflammation), Nestin (neural stem cell
marker which stains for tumors cells) and CD68 (monocytic lineage marker). The analysis
indicates that long term survivors have no signs of anatomical abnormalities or signs of tumor
growth (Figure S10, C).

As tumors remained undetectable by luminescence for more than 100 DPI in the GT-treated
long-term survivors, we rechallenged these animals in the contralateral hemisphere with H3.3-
G34R pHGG cells without further treatment to examine the development of immunological

memory. As controls, naïve animals were implanted with H3.3-G34R pHGG cells in the striatum. Most of long-term survivors from the GT experiment did not develop tumors after rechallenging at day 90 DPI, whereas those from the control group succumbed due to tumor burden (Figure 8, D). Rechallenged animals were euthanized at 90 DPI, perfused, and the brains were paraffin embedded and sectioned for histological analysis (Figure S10, C). After H&E staining, no tumor mass was detected in the rechallenged animals (Figure S10, C). Also, the histological assessment of the liver of these mice did not show any abnormalities (Figure S10, D). Additionally, serum metabolites were analyzed on the rechallenged animals, showing no alterations in any marker compared to the reference range (Figure S11), demonstrating that the gene therapy does not elicit toxic effects. These data demonstrates that TK/Flt3L GT is effective for the treatment of H3.3-G34R HGG and that it prompts the development of immunological memory.

To confirm the presence of anti-tumor specific CD8 T cells in the TME, we generated H3.3-G34R pHGG cells expressing the subrogate antigen ovalbumin (OVA). OVA antigen is a model protein for studying antigen-specific immune responses in mice (Alghamri et al., 2020b; Kamran et al., 2017; Mendez et al., 2020). H3.3-G34R-OVA cells were implanted in the striatum of C57BL/6 mice and at 14 DPI mice were treated with GT or saline, followed by treatment with GCV, twice a day for 7 days. Mice were euthanized at the end of GCV treatment and tumors were dissected to evaluate the immune response. The TME was examined to study the presence of total infiltrating T cells and anti-tumor specific CD8 T cells (Figure 8, E-G). Anti-tumor specific CD8 T cells were identified using SIINFEKL-H2K^b-Tetramer (Figure 8, G). We observed that the TME of animals treated with GT had a higher amount of CD45+ cells ($p<0.05$) (Figure 8, E) and CD3+ cells ($p<0.05$) (Figure 8, F) compared to the saline group TME. Moreover, GT-treated animals displayed an increased number of tumor-specific CD8 T cells (CD45+ CD3+ CD8+ Tetramer+) than the saline group ($p<0.01$) (Figure 8, G). In addition, we observed a decrease in exhausted T cells (CD45+ CD3+ TGIT+ TIM-3+) in the GT group compared to Saline animals ($p<0.05$) (Figure 8, H).

Collectively, these results demonstrate that the TK/Flt3L GT increases the amount of tumor-specific CD8 T cells in the TME of H3.3-G34R gliomas, enhancing the MS and contributing to the development of anti-tumor immunological memory.

DISCUSSION

The breakthroughs of the molecular characteristics associated with pediatric brain tumors have
led to the discovery that pHGG are intrinsically different from adult HGG, which could explain
why some of the therapies applied to adult brain tumors were not successful in the pediatric
population (Wang et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2014). Thus, to uncover therapeutic targets for pHGG
it is critical to understand the molecular features of these tumors.

We aimed to determine the biological features of a pHGG subtype that harbors a mutation in the
H3F3A gene, named H3.3-G34R. We used an immunocompetent genetically engineered mouse
model (GEMM) for this type of tumor that recapitulates the salient features of the human
disease (Haase et al., 2022). Previous work from our group demonstrated that G34-mutations
leads to impaired DNA damage responses and confers genomic instability (Haase et al., 2022).
We showed that DNA repair impairment leads to the activation of the cGAS/STING pathway in
G34R-mutant pHGG, activating the immune responses (Haase et al., 2022). In the present
work, we used an immunocompetent mouse model to better understand the tumor's molecular
characteristics and its immune microenvironment, and to test the effectiveness of an immune-
mediated gene therapeutic approach for H3.3-G34R pHGG.

The immune response in the brain differs from the immune response mounted in other parts of
the body due to anatomical particularities of the CNS (Engelhardt et al., 2017; Louveau et al.,
2015; Negi and Das, 2018; Ousman and Kubes, 2012; Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012).
Factors derived from neural cells promote quiescence and immunosuppression in the brain
microenvironment (Ousman and Kubes, 2012; Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012). Nevertheless,
in response to inflammatory stimuli, this quiescent immune state pivots, promoting the
proliferation of brain resident microglial cells, as well as increasing the permeability of the blood
brain barrier for the infiltration of myeloid cells and activated T cells (Mariani and Kielian, 2009;
Ousman and Kubes, 2012; Sampson et al., 2019; Weiss et al., 2016). Glioma TME has been
reported to be immunosuppressive (Antunes et al., 2020; Kamran et al., 2018; McClellan et al.,
2023; Quail and Joyce, 2017). It has been identified that myeloid cells are the major type of
immune cell in glioma's TME, with macrophages representing more than 30% of the tumor
mass (Chen et al., 2017; McClellan et al., 2023; Quail and Joyce, 2017). The TME analysis of
human samples revealed that intratumoral blood-derived macrophages display an
immunosuppressive transcriptional program and that their presence correlates with tumor
malignancy (Müller et al., 2017). Also, the hypoxic environment in glioma can induce the
infiltration of Tregs, which can suppress cytotoxic T cell activities, leading to tumor progression
(Deng, 2018; McClellan et al., 2023).

562 Whilst the majority of the studies related to glioma TME have been performed on adult patient
563 samples, the TME of pediatric brain tumors has remained understudied, hindering the
564 development of effective immune-mediated therapies. Using our immunocompetent H3.3-G34R
565 pHGG model, we were able to understand how the presence of this mutation impacts the
566 epigenomic and transcriptomic landscape of pHGG cells, affecting the tumor immune cell
567 infiltration. By RNA-Seq we observed that H3.3-G34R glioma cells had a transcriptional pattern
568 coherent with an active immune response in the TME. Specifically, we detected that H3.3-G34R
569 tumor cells were responding to IFNs, as several genes related to IFN-I (IFNα and IFNβ) and
570 IFN-II (IFNγ) signaling pathways were upregulated in H3.3-G34R pHGG cells with respect to
571 H3.3-Wt pHGG cells (Figure 1). We found the upregulation of genes that are downstream of the
572 IFNγ signaling pathway (*Irf1*, *Ciita* and genes related to MHC-I complex, (Figures 3 and 4)), in
573 H3.3-G34R pHGG cells (Schroder et al., 2004; Gocher et al., 2022; Muhlethaler-Mottet et al.,
574 1998). Moreover, we detected that several genes involved in IFNγ signaling displayed
575 transcriptionally permissive chromatin states (CS) in H3.3-G34R cells, in comparison to the
576 transcriptionally inactive or repressed CS observed for the same regions in H3.3-Wt (Figures 3
577 and 4), demonstrating that this transcriptional pattern is a consequence of the epigenetic
578 signature induced by H3.3-G34R. We also observed an overall postranslational activation of the
579 JAK/STAT signaling evidenced by phosphorylation arrays upon IFNγ-stimulation. This pathway
580 is widely conserved in mammals, and its activation impacts several biological processes,
581 including cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Rawlings et al., 2004), and aberrant
582 activation of STAT proteins, particularly STAT1 and STAT5, has been described in glioma, and
583 their activation may be related to oncogenesis (Brantley and Benveniste, 2008; Swiatek-
584 Machado and Kaminska, 2020).

585 We observed that H3.3-G34R cells were more sensitive to this IFNγ stimulation, as they
586 displayed an increased pSTAT1/STAT1 and pSTAT5a/STAT5a ratios post-IFNγ treatment
587 (Figure 3, F-G and J). We also discovered that cells with the H3.3-G34R mutation exhibit an
588 increased susceptibility to apoptosis when treated with IFNγ compared to their H3.3-Wt
589 counterparts (Figure 3, L-M). The IFNγ-induced cell death in G34-mutant pHGG could
590 potentially facilitate an immunogenic mechanism, mediated through the release of Damage-
591 Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) and cytokines.

592 The main sources of IFNγ are activated T cells, and also NK, B and antigen presenting cells
593 (Gocher et al., 2022). When we analyzed the transcriptome of tumor-infiltrating immune cells,
594 we found an upregulation of genes related to T cell presence/activation (Figure 5, A-C) and we

confirmed an increased T cell infiltration in the TME of H3.3-G34R tumors (Figure 5G). Also, H3.3-G34R tumors had an increased CD8 T cells to T regs ratio (Figure 5, E). In this regard, lower effector CD8/Treg ratios have been correlated to less favorable outcomes for cancer patients (Baras et al.; deLeeuw et al., 2012). Our results indicate that H3.3-G34R TME is infiltrated with IFNγ-secreting cells, which could be associated with the transcriptional activation of IFNγ-regulated genes observed in H3.3-G34R pHGG tumor cells (Figure 2, B-E).

We observed a lower proportion of Gr-1+ CD11b+ cells (MDSC phenotype), an increased proportion of DCs and macrophages, and an increased M1/M2 ratio in the TME of G34-mutant pHGG with respect to the H3.3-Wt pHGG TME (Figure 6). In the clinical setting, it has been observed that the quantity and activation status of MDSCs inversely correlates with patient survival and that they can be a predictor of WHO tumor grade (Alban et al., 2020). PMN- and M-MDSC can exert immunosuppression through different mechanisms and, as M-MDSC produce high amounts of NO, ARG1 and immunosuppressive cytokines, they are known to have a higher suppressive capacity than the PMN-MDSC, which produce factors with shorter half-life that require close contact with the target cell (Gabrilovich et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2016; Mirghorbani et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2016). In our pHGG GEMM, we found that the Gr-1+ CD11b+ cells isolated from H3.3-G34R TMEs were not immunosuppressive (Figure 7, H-J), which revealed that H3.3-G34R tumors are not infiltrated with *bona fide* MDSC. On the other hand, we found that H3.3-Wt TMEs had more M-MDSC (Figure 6C), which tend to be more immunosuppressive (Kumar et al., 2016), and that these were effectively inhibitory (Figure 7, H-J).

Is summary, our results showed that the TME of the H3.3-G34R pHGG is less immunosuppressive than the TME of the control H3.3-Wt tumors. One explanation to these observations could be related to the different array of cytokines secreted by H3.3-G34R or H3.3-Wt cells (Figure 7, A), which could impact the immune cell infiltration or their effector activities. One cytokine capable of inhibiting T cell proliferation, activation and effector functions is TGFβ (Dahmani and Delisle, 2018; Thomas and Massagué, 2005). Specifically, TGFβ has been shown to directly affect cytotoxic CD8 T cells' activity by inhibiting the expression of granzyme A, granzyme B, perforin, Fas ligand and IFNγ, which are necessary for anti-tumor immunity (Thomas and Massagué, 2005). Also, this cytokine induces the differentiation of Treg from peripheral CD4 T cells, by inducing the expression of FoxP3 (Ghiringhelli et al., 2005; Oh and Li, 2013) and has been detected in malignant glioma (Han et al., 2015). Thus, TGFβ secretion by tumor cells promote neoplastic progression and tumor escape from the immune surveillance.

Our data shows that H3.3-G34R CM had significantly lower amounts of TGFβ compared to the

amounts found in the CM of H3.3-Wt cells (Figure 7, A). This correlates with the CD8 T cell

proliferation inhibition observed when OT-1 splenocytes were incubated with H3.3-WT CM

(Figure 7, E), but not when incubated with H3.3-G34R CM (Figure 7, F). Another effect

associated to TGFβ secretion is the induction of MDSC expansion, specifically M-MDSC (Lee et

al., 2018).

G-CSF is another cytokine that can be aberrantly secreted by cancer cells, stimulating MDSC

production by the bone marrow and increasing MDSC tumor infiltration (Bronte et al., 2016;

Gabrilovich et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016; Mirghorbani et al., 2013). Particularly, G-CSF has been

shown to be secreted by gliomas and the increase in G-CSF levels has been observed to

correlate with MDSC accumulation in glioblastoma patients (Alghamri et al., 2021; Aliper et al.,

2014; Kast et al., 2017; Raychaudhuri et al., 2011). G-CSF levels were also higher in H3.3-WT

CM with respect to H3.3-G34R CM. Accordingly, the histone mark deposition around the G-CSF

promoter region was indicative of transcriptional activation in H3.3-Wt pHGG cells (Figure 7, B).

Thus, the higher secretion of both TGFβ and G-CSF by H3.3-Wt pHGG cells correlates with a

higher MDSC infiltration in the H3.3-Wt TME with respect to the H3.3-G34R TME.

Thus, we could reason that the expression of the H3.3-G34R in pHGG cells is reshaping the

chromatin, affecting the transcriptome, and impacting on the type of cytokines being secreted by

these tumors, which would ultimately define the crosstalk between tumor and the immune

system as well as the TME infiltration pattern.

Although the microenvironment of H3.3-G34R pediatric high-grade glioma (pHGG) mouse

model is less immunosuppressive than that in H3.3-Wt pHGG, animals with H3.3-G34R tumors

still eventually succumb to tumor burden. In response, we examined the effectiveness of the

immune-boosting TK/Flt3L gene therapy (GT) (Figure 8A), a treatment our laboratory developed

that has recently completed a phase I clinical trial for adult HGG patients

(https://clinicaltrials.gov; identifier: NCT01811992) (Umemura et al., 2022; Ali et al., 2005;

Candolfi et al., 2012; Candolfi et al., 2014; Curtin et al., 2009; Kamran et al., 2017; Mendez et

al., 2020; Mineharu et al., 2012). Mice with H3.3-G34R pHGG that were treated with this

therapy lived longer than those receiving saline, and 60% became long-term survivors (Figure 8,

A-C). Furthermore, these survivors remained tumor-free even without additional treatment

Figure 8, D and S10, C). We also verified that this therapy increased the infiltration of CD45+

and pan T cells, thereby encouraging the growth and migration of tumor-specific CD8 T cells to

the brain (Figure 8, E-G). The presence of CD8 T cells is crucial for combatting cancer as they

can kill tumor cells and establish immunological memory (Murphy and Griffith, 2016), which is
particularly critical to prevent tumor recurrence after surgery. However, these T cells can
become exhausted and less responsive when continually exposed to antigens in non-ideal
conditions, as evidenced by the expression of certain co-inhibitory receptors. In human HGG
samples, these exhaustion markers are detectable on infiltrating T cells (Woroniecka and Fecci,
2018). In this study, we noticed decreased expression of these markers in CD8 T cells from GT-
treated animals (Figure 8, H). Overall, the TK/Flt3L GT therapy showed promise in this pre-
clinical H3.3-G34R pHGG model, prolonging survival and creating anti-tumor immunological
memory, while increasing the population of tumor-specific CD8 T cells and potentially delaying
or preventing T cell exhaustion.

In conclusion, our H3.3-G34R pHGG GEMM enabled us find for the first time that H3.3-G34R
presence affects the crosstalk between the HGG and the immune system. We demonstrated
that H3.3-G34R pHGGs are infiltrated with a pattern of immune cells that is consistent with an
anti-tumor response being mounted, which was found to be further enhanced by the application
of the TK/Flt3L GT. This immune stimulatory gene therapy (GT) increased the median survival
of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing animals and prompted the development of tumor-specific immune
response. Translationally, the pre-clinical data exhibited in this work represent robust evidence
to consider the TK/Flt3L as a feasible immune-mediated GT for the treatment of H3.3-G34R
malignant glioma patients. Additionally, our results suggest that G34R-mutant pHGG might
benefit from other immunotherapies such as CAR-T or PD-L1 therapies. This highlights the
relevance of the molecular stratification in the treatment decisions. To better understand the
tumor microenvironment (TME) of pediatric patients, more research is necessary, particularly to
elucidate the disparities attributable to various molecular subgroups. Most current research
involving patient samples primarily focuses on tumor cell analysis. However, associating
biological features with a specific molecular subgroup is challenging due to the scarcity of
suitable control tumors in patients. For example, there are few histone-Wild type pediatric high-
grade gliomas (pHGGs) that are hemispherical and possess a genetic background—specifically,
P53 knockout (KO) and ATRX knockout (KO)—comparable to that of G34R-mutant pHGGs. In
our study, we demonstrate a correlation between the findings from our mouse model and
transcriptomic data from patients, specifically noting an observed upregulation of the STAT
pathways in G34-mutant pHGG patients.

In summary, this study advances our knowledge of the intricate interplay between the H3.3-
G34R mutation, its impact on epigenomic and transcriptomic alterations, and the consequent

modulation of the tumor immune microenvironment. Our findings underscore a less
immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in H3.3-G34R pHGGs, driven by the complex
interplay between molecular aberrations and ensuing immune responses. Additionally, our
investigation revealed the potential of TK/Flt3L gene therapy in increasing survival and fostering
an anti-tumor immune response, thereby providing robust preclinical evidence to consider this
approach for clinical translation in H3.3-G34R pHGG patients. As we continue to unveil the
mechanisms within pHGGs, it's clear that a better understanding of the tumor microenvironment
associated with various molecular subgroups is an area that demands further research.
Together, our findings set the stage for more personalized, effective therapeutic strategies,
highlighting the crucial role of molecular stratification in clinical decision-making for the
implementation of pHGG treatments.

Author Contributions

MBGF, SH, KB, BML, ZZ, AAM, XL, JL, PK, AT, FJN, MSA, AC, FMN, AJNC, BS, FMN and ME
performed experiments. MBGF, SH, KB, TQ, MAS, PRL, and MGC analyzed the data and
designed the figures. MBGF, SH, KB, PRL, MAS, and MGC designed the research and wrote
the manuscript. All authors were involved in editing the manuscript and provided final approval
of the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The laboratories of MGC and PRL are supported by the National Institutes of Health
(NIH)/National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NIH/NINDS) grants R37-
NS094804, R01-NS105556, R01-NS122536, R01-NS124167, and R21-NS123879-01, and
Rogel Cancer Center Scholar Award to M.G. Castro; NIH/NINDS grants R01-NS076991, R01-
NS082311, R01-NS096756, R01NS122234, and National Institutes of Health/National Cancer
Institute (NIH/NCI) R01-CA243916 to P.R. Lowenstein; the Department of Neurosurgery; and
The Pediatric Brain Tumor Foundation, Leah's Happy Hearts Foundation, Ian's Friends
Foundation (IFF), Chad Tough Foundation, Smiles for Sophie Forever Foundation to M.G.
Castro and P.R. Lowenstein; and the American Brain Tumor Association Basic Research
Fellowship ''in Memory of Bruce and Brian Jackson'' to M.B.G.F We would like to acknowledge
the University of Michigan Epigenomics Core, the Advance Genomics Core, the Flow Cytometry

REFERENCES

Alban, T. J., Alvarado, A. G., Sorensen, M. D., Bayik, D., Volovetz, J., Serbinowski, E., Mulkearns-Hubert, E. E., Sinyuk, M., Hale, J. S., and Onzi, G. R. (2018). Global immune fingerprinting in glioblastoma patient peripheral blood reveals immune-suppression signatures associated with prognosis. JCI insight *3*.

Alban, T. J., Bayik, D., Otvos, B., Rabljenovic, A., Leng, L., Jia-Shiun, L., Roversi, G., Lauko, A., Momin, A. A., and Mohammadi, A. M. (2020). Glioblastoma myeloid-derived suppressor cell subsets express differential macrophage migration inhibitory factor receptor profiles that can be targeted to reduce immune suppression. Frontiers in immunology *11*, 1191.

Alghamri, M. S., Kamran, N., Kadiyala, P., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2020a). Functional assay to assess T-cell inhibitory properties of myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) isolated from the tumor microenvironment of murine glioma models. In Methods in Enzymology, (Elsevier), pp. 215-228.

Alghamri, M. S., McClellan, B. L., Avvari, R. P., Thalla, R., Carney, S., Hartlage, C. S., Haase, S., Ventosa, M., Taher, A., Kamran, N.*, et al.* (2021). G-CSF secreted by mutant IDH1 glioma stem cells abolishes myeloid cell immunosuppression and enhances the efficacy of immunotherapy. Sci Adv *7*, eabh3243.

Alghamri, M. S., Núñez, F. J., Kamran, N., Carney, S., Altshuler, D., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2020b). Functional characterization of tumor antigen-specific T-cells isolated from the tumor microenvironment of sleeping beauty induced murine glioma models. In Methods in Enzymology, (Elsevier), pp. 91-106.

Ali, S., King, G. D., Curtin, J. F., Candolfi, M., Xiong, W., Liu, C., Puntel, M., Cheng, Q., Prieto, J., Ribas, A.*, et al.* (2005). Combined immunostimulation and conditional cytotoxic gene therapy provide long-term survival in a large glioma model. Cancer research *65*, 7194-7204.

Aliper, A. M., Frieden-Korovkina, V. P., Buzdin, A., Roumiantsev, S. A., and Zhavoronkov, A. (2014). A role for G-CSF and GM-CSF in nonmyeloid cancers. Cancer medicine *3*, 737-746.

Antunes, A. R. P., Scheyltjens, I., Duerinck, J., Neyns, B., Movahedi, K., and Van Ginderachter, J. A. (2020). Understanding the glioblastoma immune microenvironment as basis for the development of new immunotherapeutic strategies. Elife *9*, e52176.

Bannister, A. J., and Kouzarides, T. (2011). Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. Cell research *21*, 381-395.

Baras, A., Drake, C., Liu, J., Gandhi, N., Kates, M., Hoque, M., Meeker, A., Hahn, N., Taube, J., and Schoenberg, M. The ratio of CD8 to Treg tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with response to cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Oncoimmunology. 2016; 5: e1134412. In.

Bayik, D., Zhou, Y., Park, C., Hong, C., Vail, D., Silver, D. J., Lauko, A., Roversi, G., Watson, D. C., and Lo, A. (2020). Myeloid-derived suppressor cell subsets drive glioblastoma growth in a sex-specific manner. Cancer Discovery.

Bjerke, L., Mackay, A., Nandhabalan, M., Burford, A., Jury, A., Popov, S., Bax, D. A., Carvalho, D., Taylor, K. R., and Vinci, M. (2013). Histone H3. 3 mutations drive pediatric glioblastoma through upregulation of MYCN. Cancer discovery *3*, 512-519.

Bogu, G. K., Vizán, P., Stanton, L. W., Beato, M., Di Croce, L., and Marti-Renom, M. A. (2016). Chromatin and RNA maps reveal regulatory long noncoding RNAs in mouse. Molecular and cellular biology *36*, 809-819.

Brantley, E. C., and Benveniste, E. N. (2008). Signal transducer and activator of transcription-3: a molecular hub for signaling pathways in gliomas. Molecular Cancer Research *6*, 675-684.

Bronte, V., Brandau, S., Chen, S.-H., Colombo, M. P., Frey, A. B., Greten, T. F., Mandruzzato, S., Murray, P. J., Ochoa, A., and Ostrand-Rosenberg, S. (2016). Recommendations for myeloid-derived suppressor cell nomenclature and characterization standards. Nature communications *7*, 1-10.

Calinescu, A. A., Nunez, F. J., Koschmann, C., Kolb, B. L., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2015).
Transposon mediated integration of plasmid DNA into the subventricular zone of neonatal mice to
generate novel models of glioblastoma. J Vis Exp.
Candolfi, M., King, G. D., Yagiz, K., Curtin, J. F., Mineharu, Y., Muhammad, A. K., Foulad, D., Kroeger, K.
M., Barnett, N., Josien, R*., et al.* (2012). Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in the tumor microenvironment:
immune targets for glioma therapeutics. Neoplasia (New York, NY) *14*, 757-770.
Candolfi, M., Yagiz, K., Wibowo, M., Ahlzadeh, G. E., Puntel, M., Ghiasi, H., Kamran, N., Paran, C.,
Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2014). Temozolomide does not impair gene therapy-mediated
antitumor immunity in syngeneic brain tumor models. Clin Cancer Res *20*, 1555-1565.
Castro, F., Cardoso, A. P., Gonçalves, R. M., Serre, K., and Oliveira, M. J. (2018). Interferon-gamma at the
crossroads of tumor immune surveillance or evasion. Frontiers in immunology *9*, 847.
Chawla-Sarkar, M., Lindner, D. J., Liu, Y.-F., Williams, B., Sen, G. C., Silverman, R. H., and Borden, E. C.
(2003). Apoptosis and interferons: role of interferon-stimulated genes as mediators of apoptosis.
Apoptosis *8*, 237-249.
Chen, C. C. L., Deshmukh, S., Jessa, S., Hadjadj, D., Lisi, V., Andrade, A. F., Faury, D., Jawhar, W., Dali, R.,
Suzuki, H*., et al.* (2020). Histone H3.3G34-Mutant Interneuron Progenitors Co-opt PDGFRA for
Gliomagenesis. Cell *183*, 1617-1633.e1622.
Chen, Y., Song, Y., Du, W., Gong, L., Chang, H., and Zou, Z. (2019). Tumor-associated macrophages: an
accomplice in solid tumor progression. Journal of biomedical science *26*, 1-13.
Chen, Z., Feng, X., Herting, C. J., Garcia, V. A., Nie, K., Pong, W. W., Rasmussen, R., Dwivedi, B., Seby, S.,
and Wolf, S. A. (2017). Cellular and molecular identity of tumor-associated macrophages in glioblastoma.
Cancer research *77*, 2266-2278.
Curtin, J. F., Liu, N., Candolfi, M., Xiong, W., Assi, H., Yagiz, K., Edwards, M. R., Michelsen, K. S., Kroeger,
K. M., Liu, C*., et al.* (2009). HMGB1 mediates endogenous TLR2 activation and brain tumor regression.
PLoS medicine *6*, e10.
Dahmani, A., and Delisle, J.-S. (2018). TGF-β in T cell biology: implications for cancer immunotherapy.
Cancers *10*, 194.
deLeeuw, R. J., Kost, S. E., Kakal, J. A., and Nelson, B. H. (2012). The prognostic value of FoxP3+ tumor-
infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a critical review of the literature. Clinical cancer research *18*, 3022-
3029.
Deng, G. (2018). Tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells: origins and features. American Journal of Clinical
and Experimental Immunology *7*, 81.
Dmytrus, J., Matthes-Martin, S., Pichler, H., Worel, N., Geyeregger, R., Frank, N., Frech, C., and Fritsch, G.
(2016). Multi-color immune-phenotyping of CD34 subsets reveals unexpected differences between
various stem cell sources. Bone marrow transplantation *51*, 1093-1100.
Engelhardt, B., Vajkoczy, P., and Weller, R. O. (2017). The movers and shapers in immune privilege of the
CNS. Nature immunology *18*, 123.
Fiziev, P., Akdemir, K. C., Miller, J. P., Keung, E. Z., Samant, N. S., Sharma, S., Natale, C. A., Terranova, C.
J., Maitituoheti, M., and Amin, S. B. (2017). Systematic epigenomic analysis reveals chromatin states
associated with melanoma progression. Cell reports *19*, 875-889.
Gabrilovich, D. I., Ostrand-Rosenberg, S., and Bronte, V. (2012). Coordinated regulation of myeloid cells
by tumours. Nature Reviews Immunology *12*, 253-268.
Garcia-Fabiani, M. B., Comba, A., Kadiyala, P., Haase, S., Núñez, F. J., Altshuler, D., Lowenstein, P. R., and
Castro, M. G. (2020a). Isolation and characterization of immune cells from the tumor microenvironment
of genetically engineered pediatric high-grade glioma models using the sleeping beauty transposon
system. In Methods in Enzymology, (Elsevier), pp. 369-388.

Garcia-Fabiani, M. B., Kadiyala, P., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2020b). An Optimized Protocol
for In Vivo Analysis of Tumor Cell Division in a Sleeping Beauty-Mediated Mouse Glioma Model. STAR
Protocols, 100044.
Ghiringhelli, F., Puig, P. E., Roux, S., Parcellier, A., Schmitt, E., Solary, E., Kroemer, G., Martin, F.,
Chauffert, B., and Zitvogel, L. (2005). Tumor cells convert immature myeloid dendritic cells into TGF-β–
secreting cells inducing CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cell proliferation. The Journal of experimental
medicine *202*, 919-929.
Gianno, F., Antonelli, M., Ferretti, E., Massimino, M., Arcella, A., and Giangaspero, F. (2018). Pediatric
high-grade glioma: A heterogeneous group of neoplasms with different molecular drivers. Glioma *1*,
117.
Gielen, P. R., Schulte, B. M., Kers-Rebel, E. D., Verrijp, K., Bossman, S. A., Ter Laan, M., Wesseling, P., and
Adema, G. J. (2016). Elevated levels of polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells in patients
with glioblastoma highly express S100A8/9 and arginase and suppress T cell function. Neuro-oncology
18, 1253-1264.
Gocher, A. M., Workman, C. J., and Vignali, D. A. A. (2022). Interferon-γ: teammate or opponent in the
tumour microenvironment? Nat Rev Immunol *22*, 158-172.
Gonzalez Castro, L. N., Liu, I., and Filbin, M. (2023). Characterizing the biology of primary brain tumors
and their microenvironment via single-cell profiling methods. Neuro Oncol *25*, 234-247.
Griesinger, A. M., Birks, D. K., Donson, A. M., Amani, V., Hoffman, L. M., Waziri, A., Wang, M., Handler,
M. H., and Foreman, N. K. (2013). Characterization of distinct immunophenotypes across pediatric brain
tumor types. The Journal of Immunology *191*, 4880-4888.
Grzywa, T. M., Sosnowska, A., Matryba, P., Rydzynska, Z., Jasinski, M., Nowis, D., and Golab, J. (2020).
Myeloid Cell-Derived Arginase in Cancer Immune Response. Frontiers in Immunology *11*, 938.
Guerreiro Stucklin, A. S., Ryall, S., Fukuoka, K., Zapotocky, M., Lassaletta, A., Li, C., Bridge, T., Kim, B.,
Arnoldo, A., and Kowalski, P. E. (2019). Alterations in ALK/ROS1/NTRK/MET drive a group of infantile
hemispheric gliomas.
Haase, S., Banerjee, K., Mujeeb, A. A., Hartlage, C. S., Núñez, F. M., Núñez, F. J., Alghamri, M. S., Kadiyala,
P., Carney, S., Barissi, M. N.*, et al.* (2022). H3.3-G34 mutations impair DNA repair and promote
cGAS/STING-mediated immune responses in pediatric high-grade glioma models. J Clin Invest *132*.
Han, J., Alvarez-Breckenridge, C. A., Wang, Q.-E., and Yu, J. (2015). TGF-β signaling and its targeting for
glioma treatment. American journal of cancer research *5*, 945.
Hyun, K., Jeon, J., Park, K., and Kim, J. (2017). Writing, erasing and reading histone lysine methylations.
Experimental & molecular medicine *49*, e324-e324.
Jones, C., Karajannis, M. A., Jones, D. T. W., Kieran, M. W., Monje, M., Baker, S. J., Becher, O. J., Cho, Y.
J., Gupta, N., Hawkins, C.*, et al.* (2017). Pediatric high-grade glioma: biologically and clinically in need of
new thinking. Neuro Oncol *19*, 153-161.
Jorgovanovic, D., Song, M., Wang, L., and Zhang, Y. (2020). Roles of IFN-γ in tumor progression and
regression: a review. Biomarker Research *8*, 49.
Kamran, N., Chandran, M., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2018). Immature myeloid cells in the
tumor microenvironment: Implications for immunotherapy. Clinical Immunology *189*, 34-42.
Kamran, N., Kadiyala, P., Saxena, M., Candolfi, M., Li, Y., Moreno-Ayala, M. A., Raja, N., Shah, D.,
Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M. G. (2017). Immunosuppressive Myeloid Cells' Blockade in the Glioma
Microenvironment Enhances the Efficacy of Immune-Stimulatory Gene Therapy. Molecular therapy : the
journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy *25*, 232-248.
Kane, A., and Yang, I. (2010). Interferon-gamma in brain tumor immunotherapy. Neurosurgery Clinics *21*,
77-86.
Kast, R. E., Hill, Q. A., Wion, D., Mellstedt, H., Focosi, D., Karpel-Massler, G., Heiland, T., and Halatsch,
M.-E. (2017). Glioblastoma-synthesized G-CSF and GM-CSF contribute to growth and

immunosuppression: Potential therapeutic benefit from dapsone, fenofibrate, and ribavirin. Tumor
Biology *39*, 1010428317699797.
Khazaei, S., Chen, C. C. L., Andrade, A. F., Kabir, N., Azarafshar, P., Morcos, S. M., França, J. A., Lopes, M.,
Lund, P. J., Danieau, G.*, et al.* (2023). Single substitution in H3.3G34 alters DNMT3A recruitment to cause
progressive neurodegeneration. Cell *186*, 1162-1178.e1120.
Korshunov, A., Capper, D., Reuss, D., Schrimpf, D., Ryzhova, M., Hovestadt, V., Sturm, D., Meyer, J.,
Jones, C., and Zheludkova, O. (2016). Histologically distinct neuroepithelial tumors with histone 3 G34
mutation are molecularly similar and comprise a single nosologic entity. Acta neuropathologica *131*,
137-146.
Koschmann, C., Calinescu, A. A., Nunez, F. J., Mackay, A., Fazal-Salom, J., Thomas, D., Mendez, F.,
Kamran, N., Dzaman, M., Mulpuri, L.*, et al.* (2016a). ATRX loss promotes tumor growth and impairs
nonhomologous end joining DNA repair in glioma. Sci Transl Med *8*, 328ra328.
Koschmann, C., Zamler, D., MacKay, A., Robinson, D., Wu, Y.-M., Doherty, R., Marini, B., Tran, D., Garton,
H., and Muraszko, K. (2016b). Characterizing and targeting PDGFRA alterations in pediatric high-grade
glioma. Oncotarget *7*, 65696.
Kumar, V., Patel, S., Tcyganov, E., and Gabrilovich, D. I. (2016). The nature of myeloid-derived suppressor
cells in the tumor microenvironment. Trends in immunology *37*, 208-220.
Lee, C.-R., Lee, W., Cho, S. K., and Park, S.-G. (2018). Characterization of multiple cytokine combinations
and TGF-β on differentiation and functions of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. International journal of
molecular sciences *19*, 869.
Lewis, P. W., Müller, M. M., Koletsky, M. S., Cordero, F., Lin, S., Banaszynski, L. A., Garcia, B. A., Muir, T.
W., Becher, O. J., and Allis, C. D. (2013). Inhibition of PRC2 activity by a gain-of-function H3 mutation
found in pediatric glioblastoma. Science *340*, 857-861.
Li, W., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Xie, Y., Liu, J., Feng, Q., Wang, Y., Yuan, W., and Ma, J. (2016). G-CSF is a key
modulator of MDSC and could be a potential therapeutic target in colitis-associated colorectal cancers.
Protein & cell *7*, 130-140.
Lin, G. L., Wilson, K. M., Ceribelli, M., Stanton, B. Z., Woo, P. J., Kreimer, S., Qin, E. Y., Zhang, X., Lennon,
J., and Nagaraja, S. (2019). Therapeutic strategies for diffuse midline glioma from high-throughput
combination drug screening. Science translational medicine *11*.
Louveau, A., Smirnov, I., Keyes, T. J., Eccles, J. D., Rouhani, S. J., Peske, J. D., Derecki, N. C., Castle, D.,
Mandell, J. W., and Lee, K. S. (2015). Structural and functional features of central nervous system
lymphatic vessels. Nature *523*, 337-341.
Mackay, A., Burford, A., Carvalho, D., Izquierdo, E., Fazal-Salom, J., Taylor, K. R., Bjerke, L., Clarke, M.,
Vinci, M., Nandhabalan, M.*, et al.* (2017). Integrated Molecular Meta-Analysis of 1,000 Pediatric High-
Grade and Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma. Cancer Cell *32*, 520-537.e525.
Mackay, A., Burford, A., Molinari, V., Jones, D. T. W., Izquierdo, E., Brouwer-Visser, J., Giangaspero, F.,
Haberler, C., Pietsch, T., Jacques, T. S.*, et al.* (2018). Molecular, Pathological, Radiological, and Immune
Profiling of Non-brainstem Pediatric High-Grade Glioma from the HERBY Phase II Randomized Trial.
Cancer Cell *33*, 829-842.e825.
Mariani, M. M., and Kielian, T. (2009). Microglia in infectious diseases of the central nervous system.
Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology *4*, 448-461.
McClellan, B. L., Haase, S., Nunez, F. J., Alghamri, M. S., Dabaja, A. A., Lowenstein, P. R., and Castro, M.
G. (2023). Impact of epigenetic reprogramming on antitumor immune responses in glioma. The Journal
of Clinical Investigation *133*.
Mendez, F., Kadiyala, P., Nunez, F. J., Carney, S., Nunez, F. M., Gauss, J. C., Ravindran, R., Pawar, S.,
Edwards, M., and Garcia-Fabiani, M. B. (2020). Therapeutic efficacy of immune stimulatory thymidine
kinase and fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (TK/Flt3L) gene therapy in a mouse model of high grade
brainstem glioma. Clinical Cancer Research.

Miklja, Z., Pasternak, A., Stallard, S., Nicolaides, T., Kline-Nunnally, C., Cole, B., Beroukhim, R.,
Bandopadhayay, P., Chi, S., and Ramkissoon, S. H. (2019). Molecular profiling and targeted therapy in
pediatric gliomas: review and consensus recommendations. Neuro-oncology *21*, 968-980.
Mineharu, Y., Muhammad, A. K., Yagiz, K., Candolfi, M., Kroeger, K. M., Xiong, W., Puntel, M., Liu, C.,
Levy, E., Lugo, C.*, et al.* (2012). Gene therapy-mediated reprogramming tumor infiltrating T cells using IL-
2 and inhibiting NF-kappaB signaling improves the efficacy of immunotherapy in a brain cancer model.
Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics *9*, 827-
843.
Mirghorbani, M., Van Gool, S., and Rezaei, N. (2013). Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in glioma. Expert
review of neurotherapeutics *13*, 1395-1406.
Mombaerts, P., Iacomini, J., Johnson, R. S., Herrup, K., Tonegawa, S., and Papaioannou, V. E. (1992).
RAG-1-deficient mice have no mature B and T lymphocytes. Cell *68*, 869-877.
Muhlethaler-Mottet, A., Di Berardino, W., Otten, L. A., and Mach, B. (1998). Activation of the MHC class
II transactivator CIITA by interferon-γ requires cooperative interaction between Stat1 and USF-1.
Immunity *8*, 157-166.
Müller, S., Kohanbash, G., Liu, S. J., Alvarado, B., Carrera, D., Bhaduri, A., Watchmaker, P. B., Yagnik, G.,
Di Lullo, E., and Malatesta, M. (2017). Single-cell profiling of human gliomas reveals macrophage
ontogeny as a basis for regional differences in macrophage activation in the tumor microenvironment.
Genome biology *18*, 234.
Murphy, K. A., and Griffith, T. S. (2016). CD8 T Cell–Independent Antitumor Response and Its Potential
for Treatment of Malignant Gliomas. Cancers *8*, 71.
Negi, N., and Das, B. K. (2018). CNS: Not an immunoprivilaged site anymore but a virtual secondary
lymphoid organ. International reviews of immunology *37*, 57-68.
Ney, G. M., McKay, L. M., Koschmann, C., Mody, R., and Li, Q. (2020). The Emerging Role of Ras Pathway
Signaling in Pediatric Cancer. Cancer Research.
Núñez, F. J., Mendez, F. M., Kadiyala, P., Alghamri, M. S., Savelieff, M. G., Garcia-Fabiani, M. B., Haase,
S., Koschmann, C., Calinescu, A.-A., Kamran, N.*, et al.* (2019). IDH1-R132H acts as a tumor suppressor in
glioma via epigenetic up-regulation of the DNA damage response. Science translational medicine *11*,
eaaq1427.
Oh, S. A., and Li, M. O. (2013). TGF-β: guardian of T cell function. The Journal of Immunology *191*, 3973-
3979.
Ostrom, Q. T., Cioffi, G., Gittleman, H., Patil, N., Waite, K., Kruchko, C., and Barnholtz-Sloan, J. S. (2019).
CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the
United States in 2012–2016. Neuro-oncology *21*, v1-v100.
Ousman, S. S., and Kubes, P. (2012). Immune surveillance in the central nervous system. Nature
neuroscience *15*, 1096-1101.
Pathak, P., Jha, P., Purkait, S., Sharma, V., Suri, V., Sharma, M. C., Faruq, M., Suri, A., and Sarkar, C.
(2015). Altered global histone-trimethylation code and H3F3A-ATRX mutation in pediatric GBM. Journal
of neuro-oncology *121*, 489-497.
Paugh, B. S., Qu, C., Jones, C., Liu, Z., Adamowicz-Brice, M., Zhang, J., Bax, D. A., Coyle, B., Barrow, J.,
Hargrave, D.*, et al.* (2010). Integrated molecular genetic profiling of pediatric high-grade gliomas reveals
key differences with the adult disease. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American
Society of Clinical Oncology *28*, 3061-3068.
Plant, A. S., Koyama, S., Sinai, C., Solomon, I. H., Griffin, G. K., Ligon, K. L., Bandopadhayay, P., Betensky,
R., Emerson, R., and Dranoff, G. (2018). Immunophenotyping of pediatric brain tumors: correlating
immune infiltrate with histology, mutational load, and survival and assessing clonal T cell response.
Journal of neuro-oncology *137*, 269-278.

Puntonet, J., Dangouloff-Ros, V., Saffroy, R., Pagès, M., Andreiuolo, F., Grill, J., Puget, S., Boddaert, N.,
and Varlet, P. (2018). Historadiological correlations in high-grade glioma with the histone 3.3 G34R
mutation. Journal of Neuroradiology *45*, 316-322.
Quail, D. F., and Joyce, J. A. (2017). The microenvironmental landscape of brain tumors. Cancer cell *31*,
326-341.
Ransohoff, R. M., and Engelhardt, B. (2012). The anatomical and cellular basis of immune surveillance in
the central nervous system. Nature Reviews Immunology *12*, 623-635.
Rawlings, J. S., Rosler, K. M., and Harrison, D. A. (2004). The JAK/STAT signaling pathway. Journal of cell
science *117*, 1281-1283.
Raychaudhuri, B., Rayman, P., Ireland, J., Ko, J., Rini, B., Borden, E. C., Garcia, J., Vogelbaum, M. A., and
Finke, J. (2011). Myeloid-derived suppressor cell accumulation and function in patients with newly
diagnosed glioblastoma. Neuro-oncology *13*, 591-599.
Sampson, J. H., Gunn, M. D., Fecci, P. E., and Ashley, D. M. (2019). Brain immunology and
immunotherapy in brain tumours. Nature Reviews Cancer, 1-14.
Schroder, K., Hertzog, P. J., Ravasi, T., and Hume, D. A. (2004). Interferon-γ: an overview of signals,
mechanisms and functions. Journal of leukocyte biology *75*, 163-189.
Schwartzentruber, J., Korshunov, A., Liu, X.-Y., Jones, D. T., Pfaff, E., Jacob, K., Sturm, D., Fontebasso, A.
M., Quang, D.-A. K., and Tönjes, M. (2012). Driver mutations in histone H3. 3 and chromatin remodelling
genes in paediatric glioblastoma. Nature *482*, 226-231.
Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., and
Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction
networks. Genome Res *13*, 2498-2504.
Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., and Jemal, A. (2016). Cancer statistics, 2016. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians
66, 7-30.
Sturm, D., Witt, H., Hovestadt, V., Khuong-Quang, D.-A., Jones, D. T., Konermann, C., Pfaff, E., Tönjes, M.,
Sill, M., and Bender, S. (2012a). Hotspot mutations in H3F3A and IDH1 define distinct epigenetic and
biological subgroups of glioblastoma. Cancer cell *22*, 425-437.
Sturm, D., Witt, H., Hovestadt, V., Khuong-Quang, D. A., Jones, D. T., Konermann, C., Pfaff, E., Tonjes, M.,
Sill, M., Bender, S.*, et al.* (2012b). Hotspot mutations in H3F3A and IDH1 define distinct epigenetic and
biological subgroups of glioblastoma. Cancer Cell *22*, 425-437.
Swiatek-Machado, K., and Kaminska, B. (2020). STAT signaling in glioma cells. In Glioma Signaling,
(Springer), pp. 203-222.
Thomas, D. A., and Massagué, J. (2005). TGF-β directly targets cytotoxic T cell functions during tumor
evasion of immune surveillance. Cancer cell *8*, 369-380.
Tur, J., Farrera, C., Sánchez-Tilló, E., Vico, T., Guerrero-Gonzalez, P., Fernandez-Elorduy, A., Lloberas, J.,
and Celada, A. (2021). Induction of CIITA by IFN-γ in macrophages involves STAT1 activation by JAK and
JNK. Immunobiology *226*, 152114.
Umemura, Y., Orringer, D., Junck, L., Heth, J., Sagher, O., Leung, D., Mammoser, A., Hervey-Jumper, S.,
Varela, M. L., Comba, A.*, et al.* (2022). Combined Cytotoxic and Immune Therapy for Primary Adult High-
Grade Glioma. medRxiv, 2022.2011.2004.22281950.
Vignali, D. A., Collison, L. W., and Workman, C. J. (2008). How regulatory T cells work. Nature Reviews
Immunology *8*, 523-532.
Wang, S. S., Bandopadhayay, P., and Jenkins, M. R. (2019). Towards immunotherapy for pediatric brain
tumors. Trends in immunology *40*, 748-761.
Weiss, T., Weller, M., and Roth, P. (2016). Immunological effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy
against brain tumors. Expert review of anticancer therapy *16*, 1087-1094.

Wiesner, S. M., Decker, S. A., Larson, J. D., Ericson, K., Forster, C., Gallardo, J. L., Long, C., Demorest, Z. L.,
Zamora, E. A., Low, W. C.*, et al.* (2009). De novo induction of genetically engineered brain tumors in
mice using plasmid DNA. Cancer Res *69*, 431-439.
Woroniecka, K., and Fecci, P. E. (2018). T-cell exhaustion in glioblastoma. Oncotarget *9*, 35287.
Wu, G., Broniscer, A., McEachron, T. A., Lu, C., Paugh, B. S., Becksfort, J., Qu, C., Ding, L., Huether, R., and
Parker, M. (2012). Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-
brainstem glioblastomas. Nature genetics *44*, 251.
Wu, G., Diaz, A. K., Paugh, B. S., Rankin, S. L., Ju, B., Li, Y., Zhu, X., Qu, C., Chen, X., and Zhang, J. (2014).
The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade
glioma. Nature genetics *46*, 444.
Yoshimoto, K., Hatae, R., Sangatsuda, Y., Suzuki, S. O., Hata, N., Akagi, Y., Kuga, D., Hideki, M., Yamashita,
K., and Togao, O. (2017). Prevalence and clinicopathological features of H3. 3 G34-mutant high-grade
gliomas: a retrospective study of 411 consecutive glioma cases in a single institution. Brain tumor
pathology *34*, 103-112.

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

Figure 1 - Transcriptome analysis of mouse pHGG cells and patients' datasets

Figure 1. Transcriptomics analysis of mouse pHGG and patients' data. A)

Illustration of the transposable plasmids utilized to induce H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R

pHGG in mice; and Kaplan-Meier survival curve for SB-derived H3.3-G34R (n=34)

tumor bearing animals. **B)** Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showing the

upregulation of interferon-gamma and adaptive immune pathways in mouse H3.3-G34R

versus H3.3-Wt pHGG tumor cells. **C)** Volcano plot depicting the transcriptional

differences between mouse H3.3-G34R and H3.3-Wt pHGG tumor cells. **D)** Heatmap of

genes belonging to the GO "*Response to interferon gamma*" upregulated in mouse

H3.3-G34R versus H3.3-Wt pHGG tumor cells. **E)** Network of selected gene ontologies

(GO) upregulated in pathways in mouse H3.3-G34R versus H3.3-Wt pHGG tumor cells.

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

Figure 2 - Characterization of the G34R pHGG epigenome by ChIP-seq

Figure 2. Characterization of the G34R pHGG epigenome by ChIP-seq. A) Experimental outline for the ChIP-Sequencing analysis. Three biological replicates of H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R neurospheres (NS) were used to perform the ChIP-Seq. Five marks were analyzed: H3K27ac, H3K27me3, H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K36me3. **B-C)** Analysis of differentially enriched peaks for H3K27me3 **(B)** (transcriptional repression) or H3K36me3 **(C)** (transcriptional activation) histone marks revealed genes that are linked to distinct functional GO terms. GO enriched in the marks in H3.3-G34R versus H3.3-Wt pHGG are indicated with red bars and GO enriched in the marks in H3.3-Wt versus H3.3-G34R pHGG are indicated with black bars. The JAK/STAT related GO epigenetically active in H3.3-G34R cells (as indicated by enrichment of K36me3) are highlighted in red, and the same GO epigenetically downregulated in H3.3-Wt cells are highlighted in blue. **D-H)** Heat maps showing **D)** H3K27me3, **E)** H3K36me3, **F)** H3K4me1, **G)** H3K27ac and **H)** H3K4me3 differential peaks ± 2 kilo–base (Kb) pair from the gene center. Each row represents a distinct gene. The blue-to-yellow color gradient indicates high-to-low counts in the corresponding regions. The heat maps were generated from n=3 biological replicates per tumor type.

Figure 3 - Epigenetic activation of the STAT pathway in H3.3-G34 pHGG

Figure 3. Epigenetic activation of the STAT pathway in G34R pHGG A) Diagram depicting IFNγ inducing STAT1 pathway activation. GAS: interferon-gamma activated site; ISRE: interferon-stimulated response element; SXY reg: SXY regulatory module of MHC promoters; RFX: DNA-binding complex. **B)** The combination of different histone mark deposition enabled the definition and the identification of chromatin states (CS). The table shows CS changes at specific genomic regions for STAT1 pathway related genes, based on the combination of different histone mark enrichments, considering a fold-change enrichment cut-off > 2 (n=3 biological replicates). TSS=transcription start site. For each gene shown the CS number is indicated between parentheses. **C)** Heatmap illustrates RNA-Seq data of H3.3-WT (n=4) and H3.3-G34R (n=3) tumor cells from SB tumors. Heatmap shows the differential expression of some of the genes with CS changes in B). Blue=gene downregulation, Red=gene upregulation. **D-E)** Occupancy of H3K27Ac and H3K4me3 on the *Stat1* gene. The y axis of each profile represents the estimated number of immunoprecipitated fragments at each position normalized to the total number of reads in a given dataset. Reference sequence (RefSeq) gene annotations are shown in blue. **F)** Western blot of phospho-STAT1 and STAT1 at different time points after stimulation with IFN-γ, in H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG cells. **G)** Quantification of phospho-STAT1 normalized levels from the experiment described in **F**. **H)** Western blot of phospho-JAK2 and JAK2 at basal levels and upon stimulation with IFN-γ in H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG cells. **I)** Occupancy of H3K27Ac on the *Stat5a* and *Stat5b* genes. The y axis of each profile represents the estimated number of immunoprecipitated fragments at each position normalized to the total number of reads in a given dataset. Reference sequence

(RefSeq) gene annotations are shown in blue. **J)** Western blot of phospho-STAT5a and

STAT5a at basal levels and upon stimulation with IFN-γ in H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R

mouse pHGG cells. **K)** Heatmap of a protein array showing the relative normalized PTM

levels of JAK/STAT related proteins in G34R mouse pHGG cells versus H3.3-WT cells,

highlighting the main upregulated and downregulated proteins and PTMs. **L)** H3.3-

G34R or H3.3–WT neurospheres were incubated with IFNγ (200 UI) or diluent (control)

and apoptosis was measured at 48 hours by AnnexinV and DAPI staining. **M)**

Percentages of live cells, early apoptotic cells and late apoptotic cells after IFNγ-

treatment in H3.3-Wt and H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG cells. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P <

0.005$, and ****$P < 0.001$, unpaired t test.

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

Figure 4 - Upregulation of MHC-I expression in H3.3-G34R pHGG

Figure 4. Expression of MHC-I in H3.3-G34R vs H3.3-Wt pHGG A) Occupancy of

H3K4me1 on the *Ciita* gene. The y axis of each profile represents the estimated number

of immunoprecipitated fragments at each position normalized to the total number of

reads in a given dataset. Reference sequence (RefSeq) gene annotations are shown in

blue. **B)** Western blot for CIITA at basal levels and upon treatment with IFN-γ in H3.3-

Wt and H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG cells. Mouse splenocytes were included as positive

CIITA expressing cells control. C**)** Occupancy of H3K4me3 on the MHC-I genes H2-D1

and H2-K1. The y axis of each profile represents the estimated number of

immunoprecipitated fragments at each position normalized to the total number of reads

in a given dataset. Reference sequence (RefSeq) gene annotations are shown in blue.

D) Western blot for MHC-I at basal levels and upon treatment with IFN-γ in H3.3-Wt and

H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG cells. Mouse splenocytes were included as positive CIITA

expressing cells control. **E)** Expression of MHC-I in mouse pHGG cells by flow

cytometry. **F-G)** Quantitative analysis of the experiment shown in E). **H)** Expression of

MHC-I in mouse pHGG cells by flow cytometry and Quantitative analysis of the

experiment. ****P < 0.001, unpaired t test.

Figure 5 - Transcriptional analysis of H3.3-G34R pHGG TME immune cells

Figure 5. Transcriptional analysis of H3.3-G34R pHGG TME immune cells. A) Volcano plot shows differentially expressed genes (-Log(p-value), y axis) versus the magnitude of change or fold change (Log2(Fold Change), x axis) when comparing gene expression in 0.CD45 cells derived from H3.3-G34R versus CD45 from H3.3-WT SB-generated tumors. CD45 cells were magnetically sorted from H3.3-G34R and H3.3-WT SB-tumors and their RNA was purified for RNA-Seq analysis. **B)** Heatmap shows the expression level of genes related to immunosuppression in CD45+ cells obtained from H3.3-G34R and H3.3-WT SB-derived tumors. **C)** Plot showing the 30 most significant enriched pathways (x-axis) in terms of p-value (-Log(p-value), y-axis). Pathways related to T-cell activation and function are highlighted in red; and network analysis of differentially upregulated genes in H3.3-G34R CD45 cells with respect to H3.3-WT CD45 cells. Clusters of nodes of identical color represent a cluster of genes involved in similar biological pathways. Linker genes have been used to construct the network and they are depicted in red. Numbers indicate the cluster number. **D)** Illustration of experimental procedure followed for the characterization of T cells in H3.3-G34R mouse pHGG TME. Fifty thousand H3.3-WT or H3.3-G34R neurospheres were implanted in C57BL6 mice and, at symptomatic stage, the immune cell infiltration was characterized. Total pan T cells were identified as CD45+/CD3+ cells and further classified by the expression of CD8 or CD4 (CD45+/CD3+/CD8+ or CD45+/CD3+/CD4+, respectively). **E)** CD4+ T cells were sub-classified as regulatory T cells (Tregs) (CD45+/CD3+/CD4+/FoxP3+CD25+). The ratio of the percentage of CD8+ T cells to Tregs in total CD45+ subset was calculated in each sample and plotted. H3.3-G34R

1299   TME had an increased effector CD8+ T cells:Treg ratio than the H3.3-WT TME. ***

1300   p<0.001; * p<0.05; Student T test.

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

Figure 6 - Analysis of the TIME of H3.3-G34R pHGG

Figure 6. Analysis of the tumor microenvironment (TIME) of H3.3-G34R pHGG. A) Experimental outline: fifty thousand H3.3-WT or H3.3-G34R neurospheres were implanted in C57BL6 mice and, at symptomatic stage, the immune cell infiltration was characterized. **B-C)** The proportion of cells with myeloid derived suppressor cell phenotype (CD45+/Gr-1+ CD11b+) as well as their **D-E)** sub-characterization into Polymorphonuclear (CD45+/CD11b+/Ly6G+Ly6Clo) or Monocytic (CD45+/CD11b+/Ly6G-Ly6Chi) was performed on H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R TMEs. **F-G)** The total proportion of dendritic cells is shown as the percentage of CD45+/CD11c+/F4/80+MHCIIhi cells. **H-I)** Macrophage were identified as CD45+/Ly6C-F4/80+ cells and were further classified as **J-L)** M1 (CD45+/Ly6C-F4/80+/MHCII+CD206-) or M2 (CD45+/Ly6C-F4/80+/MHCII-CD206+) (left panel). The M1/M2 ratio was calculated for H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R TMEs (right panel). **** $p < 0.0001$; ***$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$ * $p < 0.05$; ns = non-significant; Student T test.

Figure 7 - Cytokines profile of G34R pHGG cells and immune properties of the conditioned media

Figure 7. Cytokines profile of G34R pHGG cells and immune properties of the conditioned media. A) To assess the concentration of different cytokines, three different clones of H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R neurospheres (1x106 cells/flask) were seeded in T25 flasks and 48 hours later, conditioned media was analyzed by ELISA. Total protein present in each flask was determined and cytokine concentration was normalized to protein quantity. ****$p<0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons test. **B)** The plots show the occupancy of H3K27me3 (transcriptional repressing), H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K4me1 (transcriptional activating) histone marks on the G-CSF (*Csf3*) promoter region (light blue dashed box). The y axis of each profile represents the estimated number of immunoprecipitated fragments at each position normalized to the total number of reads in a given dataset. Reference sequence (RefSeq) gene annotation is shown in blue. The overlay of called peaks in n=3 biological replicates of H3.3-G34R neurospheres is shown in red whereas the overlay of the called peaks from n=3 H3.3-WT neurospheres is shown in light blue. **C)** Experimental layout of the T cell proliferation assay to test the immunosuppressive capacity of conditioned media (CM) from H3.3-G34R or H3.3-WT neurospheres. Fifty thousand OT-1 splenocytes previously labeled with CFSE were incubated with 0%, 25%, 50% or 75% of CM and SIINFEKL, the specific peptide to stimulate OT-1 CD8 T cell proliferation, was added at a concentration of 100 nM. Cells were incubated for 4 days at 37 °C. T cell proliferation was measured as the reduction of CFSE staining in the CD45+/CD3+/CD8+ population with respect to the positive control of OT-1 splenocytes + SIINFEKL. **D)** Representative histograms show the CD8+ T cell proliferation in the presence of 25%, 50% or 75% CM from H3.3-G34R or –WT

neurospheres. The green triangle and the blue triangle below the histograms represent the intensity of CFSE staining and the proliferation rate interpretation, respectively. **E-F)** Bars represent the percentage of proliferating CD8+ T cells in the presence of CM from **E)** H3.3-WT or **F)** H3.3-G34R neurospheres, with respect to the positive control (0% CM). **G)** IFNγ concentration was measured in the supernatant of the cultures by ELISA. Bars represent cytokine concentration in absolute numbers. **H)** Experimental layout of the T cell proliferation assay to test the immunosuppressive capacity of Gr-1+ CD11b+ cells isolated from H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R tumor microenvironments (TMEs). Fifty thousand H3.3-WT or –G34R neurospheres were implanted in C57BL6 mice and at symptomatic stage, animals were euthanized and Gr-1+ CD11b+ cells (MDSC phenotype) were FACS-sorted from the TMEs and co-cultured 1:1 with OT-1 splenocytes previously labeled with CFSE. SIINFEKL, was added at a concentration of 100 nM and cells were incubated for 4 days at 37 °C. **I)** T cell proliferation was measured as the reduction of CFSE staining in the CD45+/CD3+/CD8+ population with respect to the positive control (OT-1 splenocytes + SIINFEKL). Upper panel: Representative histograms showing the CD8+ T cell proliferation in the presence of Gr-1+ CD11b+ cells from H3.3-WT and H3.3-G34R TMEs. The green triangle and the blue triangle below the histograms represent the intensity of CFSE staining and the proliferation rate interpretation, respectively. **J)** Bars represent the percentage of proliferating CD8+ T cells in each treatment with respect to the positive control (OT-1 splenocytes + SIINFEKL)**. I)** IFNγ concentration was measured in the supernatant of the co-cultures by ELISA. Bars represent IFNγ concentration in absolute numbers. The insert shows IFNγ secretion in the control wells (OT-1 +/- SIINFEKL). *** $p<0.001$, ***

1421   p<0.001; ns=non-significant; ANOVA and Tukey test. **** p<0.0001; ns=non-significant;

1422   ANOVA and Tukey test; mean ± SEM.

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

Figure 8 - Evaluation immunological memory in gene therapy long term survivors rechallenged with G34R-mutant pHGG cells

Figure 8. Evaluation of a GCV-inducible gene therapy for H3.3-G34R pHGG A) Diagram depicting the experimental outline for the treatment of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing mice with Ad-Flt3L + Ad-TK gene therapy (GT). Treatment of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing mice was done at 14 DPI. The day after the administration of the Ad-Flt3L + Ad-TK therapy, ganciclovir (GCV) (25 µg/gr mouse) was delivered, twice a day, for 7 consecutive days. Animals were euthanized at symptomatic stage. **B)** IVIS scan of animals bearing H3.3-G34R tumors 14 days after being treated with Saline or GT. **C)** Kaplan-Meier survival curve for the GT experiment. Median survival (MS) and number of animals used are detailed in the plot legend. *** $p < 0.001$, Mantel-Cox test **D)** Long-term survivors were implanted in the contralateral hemisphere with 50.000 H3.3-G34R cells and animals were euthanized at symptomatic stage. **E)** Percentage of CD45 infiltration in the TME of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing mice treated with Saline or GT. F) Number of T cells (CD45+ CD3+) in the TME of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing mice treated with Saline or GT, per 1 million total live cells. **G)** Number of tumor-specific CD8 T cells (CD45+ CD3+ CD8+ Tet+) in the TME of H3.3-G34R tumor bearing mice treated with Saline or GT, per 1 million total live cells. **H)** Percentage of double positive T cells for TGIT and TIM-3, two T cell exhaustion markers. * $p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$ Student T test.