Long noncoding RNAs emerge from transposon-derived antisense

sequences and may contribute to infection stage-specific

transposon regulation in a fungal phytopathogen

Jiangzhao Qian[1], Heba M. M. Ibrahim[2], Myriam Erz[1], Florian Kümmel[1,3], Ralph

Panstruga[1], Stefan Kusch[1,*]

[1] Unit of Plant Molecular Cell Biology, Institute for Biology I, RWTH Aachen University,

Worringerweg 1, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

[2] Division of Plant Biotechnics, Department of Biosystems, Faculty of Bioscience

Engineering, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[3] current address: Department of Plant-Microbe Interactions, Max Planck Institute for Plant

Breeding Research, Carl-von-Linné-Weg 10, Cologne D-50829, Germany

* Corresponding author:

Stefan Kusch, +49 241 80 26640, skusch@bio1.rwth-aachen.de

Abstract

The genome of the obligate biotrophic phytopathogenic barley powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria hordei* is inflated due to highly abundant and possibly active transposable elements (TEs). In the absence of the otherwise common repeat-induced point mutation transposon defense mechanism, noncoding RNAs could be key for regulating the activity of TEs and coding genes during the pathogenic life cycle. We performed time-course whole-transcriptome shotgun sequencing (RNA-seq) of total RNA derived from infected barley leaf epidermis at various stages of fungal pathogenesis and observed significant transcript accumulation and time point-dependent regulation of TEs in *B. hordei*. Using a manually curated consensus database of 344 TEs, we discovered phased small RNAs mapping to 104 consensus transposons, suggesting that RNA interference contributes significantly to their regulation. Further, we identified 5,127 long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) genome-wide in *B. hordei*, of which 823 originated from the antisense strand of a TE. Co-expression network analysis of lncRNAs, TEs, and coding genes throughout the asexual life cycle of *B. hordei* points at extensive positive and negative co-regulation of lncRNAs, subsets of TEs and coding genes. Our work suggests that similar to mammals and plants, fungal lncRNAs support the dynamic modulation of transcript levels, including TEs, during pivotal stages of host infection. The lncRNAs may support transcriptional diversity and plasticity amid loss of coding genes in powdery mildew fungi and may give rise to novel regulatory elements and virulence peptides, thus representing key drivers of rapid evolutionary adaptation to promote pathogenicity and overcome host defense.

Keywords: *Blumeria*; Powdery mildew; RNA interference; Long noncoding RNA (lncRNA); Transposable element; Co-expression

49 **Abbreviations**

51	cv.	Cultivar
52	GO	Gene ontology
53	hpi	Hours post inoculation
54	KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
55	LINE	Long interspersed nuclear element
56	lncRNA	Long noncoding RNA
57	LTR	Long terminal repeat
58	mRNA	Messenger-RNA
59	NMDS	Non-metric multidimensional scaling
60	PC	Principal component
61	phasiRNA	Phased secondary small interfering RNAs
62	qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
63	RIP	Repeat-induced point mutation
64	RNAi	RNA interference
65	RPKM	Reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads
66	SINE	Short interspersed nuclear element
67	sRNA	Small RNA
68	TE	Transposable element
69	TPM	Transcripts per million

Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are selfish genetic and typically repetitive elements in genomes. Autonomous TEs carry genes for self-replication, transposition, and DNA integration, allowing them to multiply and spread in host genomes, often leading to high copy numbers. They are classified into DNA transposons, spreading by excision from one and integration into another genomic location ("cut-and-paste" mechanism), and retrotransposons, which transcribe their sequence into an RNA transcript, which in turn is reverse-transcribed and then integrated as a new copy into the genome, frequently at a distant site (Wicker et al. 2007; Lanciano and Cristofari 2020). TEs can dramatically influence genome size in eukaryotes (Chénais et al. 2012), destabilize genome integrity (Chuong et al. 2017), and significantly affect genome structure and gene expression patterns (Slotkin and Martienssen 2007).

Powdery mildew fungi are ubiquitous phytopathogens of many plant species in temperate climates (Glawe 2008; Braun and Cook 2012). The genomes of powdery mildew fungi often consist to more than 50% of TEs, resulting in largely inflated genomes and highly variable genome sizes between species (Kusch, Qian, et al. 2023). The barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) powdery mildew *Blumeria hordei* possesses a genome of approximately 125 million base pairs (Mbp) in length with a TE content of more than 74% (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2018). The TE space of *B. hordei* is dominated by long interspersed nuclear element (LINE) elements, predominantly *Tad1*, and long terminal repeat (LTR) elements, especially *Ty3* (syn. "*Gypsy*") and *Copia* (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2018). While such a high TE content likely poses a stress on genome integrity in *B. hordei*, TEs can also be a source of variability and adaptation (Chuong et al. 2017) and contribute to the evolutionary invention of novel virulence factors (Nottensteiner et al. 2018; Sabelleck and Panstruga 2018). However, it is presently unknown how powdery mildew fungi regulate their TEs to prevent uncontrolled spread and, hence, genome disintegration.

Filamentous fungi evolved the repeat-induced point mutation (RIP) mechanism that serves to mutagenize repetitive sequences and helps to disable TEs (Gladyshev 2017). However, the RIP mechanism is missing in all powdery mildew fungi sequenced to date (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2018; Frantzeskakis et al. 2019; Kusch, Qian, et al. 2023), suggesting that it was lost in the course of evolution in a common ancestor of powdery mildew fungi (Frantzeskakis et al. 2019). Instead, we previously found that small RNAs (sRNAs) can originate from *B. hordei* TEs and that phased small RNAs (phasiRNAs) align to fungal TEs, suggesting frequent RNA interference (RNAi) to control TE activity (Kusch et al. 2018; Kusch, Singh, et al. 2023).

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides with no apparent coding potential (Ulitsky and Bartel 2013; Quinn and Chang 2015). Like mRNAs, lncRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II and often processed by splicing, 7-methylguanosine capping, and polyadenylation (Zhang et al. 2019). lncRNAs can be distinguished from sRNAs and microRNAs, which are smaller than 200 nucleotides (Bologna and Voinnet 2014), RNA polymerase III-generated noncoding RNAs, including ribosomal and transfer RNAs, and the RNA polymerase II-derived components of the spliceosome, i.e. small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) (Mattick et al. 2023). Compared to coding genes, lncRNAs frequently exhibit lower expression levels and sequence conservation on average (Mattick et al. 2023). lncRNAs can be classified as intergenic, intronic, sense, and antisense lncRNAs depending on their relative position to coding genes (Zhao and Lin 2015), although the majority of lncRNAs described to date reside in intergenic regions (Wang et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Choi et al. 2022). lncRNAs engage in biological processes such as transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene regulation, epigenetic regulation, regulation of translation, and regulation of protein activity (Kopp and Mendell 2018; Zhang et al. 2019). A number of lncRNAs have been functionally analyzed in mammals, such as *HOTAIR, roX1, roX2*, and *Meg3*, which form triplexes with purine-rich DNA regions to exert regulatory functions (Kalwa et al. 2016; Mattick et al. 2023), and in plants, where lncRNAs are involved in developmental processes and stress responses (Jampala et al. 2021). In fungi, lncRNAs function in regulating development, stress and starvation responses, and pathogenic traits (Till, Mach, et al. 2018; Li et al. 2021). For instance, the human-pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans* exhibits dynamic infection stress-related lncRNA expression patterns (Kalem and Panepinto 2022). The rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* expresses more than 500 lncRNAs specifically during infection (Choi et al. 2022), suggesting that lncRNAs may be important for plant-pathogenic fungi throughout host colonization. Interestingly, in maize, TEs can give rise to lncRNAs in response to abiotic stresses (Lv et al. 2019), suggestive of regulatory roles exerted on TE activity by lncRNAs. We hypothesize that lncRNAs could also occur in powdery mildew fungi, be expressed during different infection stages, and fulfill regulatory functions toward controlling TE activity.

In this work, we aimed to discover signs of co-regulation between TEs, coding genes, and lncRNAs in the barley powdery mildew fungus *B. hordei*. We generated a time-course whole-transcriptome shotgun transcriptome (RNA-seq) dataset to measure transcript levels at all relevant stages of the asexual infection cycle of *B. hordei*, which allowed us to provide manually curated high-quality genome-wide annotations of coding genes, TEs, and lncRNAs. TEs exhibited signs of time point-specific regulation and co-regulation with mRNAs and lncRNAs, and we found evidence for phasiRNAs linked to retrotransposons, suggestive of

144   RNAi-directed regulation of TEs in *B. hordei*. Importantly, we discovered 823 lncRNAs on the
145   antisense strand of TEs, which may provide precursors for RNAi with TEs.
146
147

148   ## Results

149
Stranded total RNA sequencing of *B. hordei* and barley transcripts
We hypothesize that *B. hordei* TEs are differentially regulated throughout the pathogenic life
cycle of the fungus. We inoculated the susceptible barley (*H. vulgare*) cultivar (cv.) 'Margret'
with *B. hordei* isolate K1$_{AC}$ (Barsoum et al. 2020) and procured total RNA from leaf epidermal
peelings (Li et al. 2019) in a time-course experiment. In three independent experimental
replicates per time-point, we sampled and performed stranded RNA-seq at 0, 6, 18, 24, 72,
and 120 hours post inoculation (hpi) to obtain transcriptomes of all major developmental
stages of the asexual pathogenic life cycle of *B. hordei* and its host, barley (**Figure 1A**). The
RNA sequencing (data available at NCBI/ENA/DDBJ under project accession
PRJNA835302) yielded on average 84,528,021 raw reads/sample and 81,708,016
reads/sample after quality trimming (**Supplementary Table 1**). FastQC quality control
revealed high per-base quality (per-base quality score > 30) across all samples, but also
7.7% sequence duplication on average, which originated from barley chloroplast RNA and
had no similarity to the *H. vulgare* and *B. hordei* nucleic genomes. Of the remaining reads,
0.2-1.5% and 4.6-24.8% mapped to the reference genome of *B. hordei* isolate DH14
(Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) at the early (0, 6, 18 and 24 hpi) and late (72 and 120 hpi) time
points, respectively. The relatively low fungal mapping percentage can be explained by the
B. hordei tissue only representing a small fraction of the biological sample material (leaf
epidermal peels), especially at early infection stages before 24 hpi. Further, between 65%
and 93% of the reads mapped to the *H. vulgare* cv. 'Morex' reference genome version
Morex3 (Mascher et al. 2021), while between 6.9% and 13.2% of the reads could neither be
assigned to the host nor the pathogen. Using Pearson correlation coefficient-based
hierarchical clustering, principal component (PC) analysis, and non-metric multidimensional
scaling (NMDS), we found that the sequencing samples from the same time points were
more similar to each other than to samples from the other time points (**Figure 1B-C**).
However, the principal components PC1 and PC2 did not separate *B. hordei* time points
6 hpi from 18 hpi, nor 72 hpi from 120 hpi, while hierarchical clustering and NMDS indicated
these to be different. Overall, both *B. hordei* and *H. vulgare* RNA-seq data clustered
according to infection time point, suggesting that the dataset is informative with respect to the
respective infection stage.
180

Figure 1. RNA-seq data obtained from *B. hordei*-infected barley leaf epidermal peelings exhibits time point-dependent clustering. (**A**) We sampled *B. hord*ei isolate K1$_{AC}$-infected barley abaxial leaf epidermis at time points across the asexual life cycle of the fungus. The pictograms indicate the fungal stage from conidiospore germination (0 hpi) to conidiogenesis, i.e., asexual spore formation (120 hpi). (**B**) and (**C**) Left panels: Horizontal clustering using the normalized gene expression values of *B. hordei* isolate K1$_{AC}$ (**B**) and *H. vulgare* cv. 'Margret' (**C**), respectively, was calculated with Euclidean distance and Ward.D2 clustering in R. Height indicates the Euclidean distance between samples. Middle panels: Principal components were computed using the R algorithm prcomp. The percentage of sample divergence explained by the principal components PC1 and PC2 are indicated as ratios in the axis labels. Right panels: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). Colors: Burgundy, 0 hpi; red, 6 hpi; yellow, 18 hpi; light green, 24 hpi; blue, 72 hpi; purple, 120 hpi.

TEs are transcriptionally active in a time point-dependent manner in *B. hordei*

Since the genome of *B. hordei* is largely occupied by TEs (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2018), we generated a manually curated (Goubert et al. 2022) database of non-redundant TEs for *B. hordei*. In total, we established 353 consensus repeats including a TE consensus database of 344 TE families, of which 116 were LTR-*Ty3* (aka LTR-*Gypsy*), 122 were LTR-*Copia*, 80 were LINE-*Tad1* elements, 3 were unknown LTR-type TEs, 3 were NonLTR retroposons, 2 were SINE (*Eg-R1* and *Egh24*), and 18 were DNA transposons (**Table 1**). We then updated the TE annotation of the *B. hordei* genome with a custom pipeline (**Supplementary Figure 1**). We found that 47,581,730 bp (38.22%) of the *B. hordei* isolate DH14 genome assembly were occupied by LTR retrotransposons, 29,472,397 bp (23.67%) by LINE retrotransposons, 10,208,381 bp (8.20%) by DNA transposons, 4,028,256 bp (3.24%) by SINE retrotransposons, and 2,013,553 bp (1.62%) by other or unclassified elements (**Supplementary Table 2**). Overall, we discovered 13,907 full-length (9.4%) and 133,617 truncated TEs in the *B. hordei* genome; similarly low full-length conservation was observed in the fungus *Laccaria bicolor* with 6.3% (Labbé et al. 2012). In total, according to the updated calculation, the *B. hordei* reference genome contained 93,304,317 bp (74.95%) interspersed repetitive sequence, which is close to previous estimates (Spanu et al. 2010; Frantzeskakis et al. 2018).

Next, we analyzed the expression levels of fungal consensus TEs in the course of pathogenesis. On average, both DNA and LINE and LTR retrotransposons exhibited high (>500 TPM) expression levels (**Figure 2A-B**). Further, using time-resolved analysis of RNA-seq data via TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022) and quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis for selected elements, we found that transposon families

can exhibit time point-specific enhanced transcript levels (**Figure 2C-D** and **Supplementary Figure 2**), suggestive of dynamic regulation of TE expression in the course of plant infection. Non-coding RNAs are a common means to reversibly silence gene expression (Nicolás and Ruiz-Vázquez 2013; Bologna and Voinnet 2014). Previously, we found that sRNAs often originate from TEs (Kusch et al. 2018) and that phasiRNAs preferentially align to TEs, indicative of RNAi activity on transcripts originating from TEs (Kusch, Singh, et al. 2023). We therefore used publicly available sRNA-seq datasets for *B. hordei* (Hunt et al. 2019; Kusch, Singh, et al. 2023) to assess phasing of consensus TEs via the tool unitas (Gebert et al. 2017). Overall, we detected the accumulation of phasiRNA mapping in 104 of the 344 consensus TEs (**Table 1**), of which 91 were LTR elements (51 *Ty3* and 40 *Copia* elements) and 13 were *Tad1* LINE elements (**Figure 3A**). These 104 elements corresponded to 1,333 full-length and 39,499 truncated TEs in the genome of *B. hordei*. Of these, 102 exhibited expression levels of more than 250 TPM at a minimum of one time point. We compared these apparently phased TEs with TEs showing time point-specific expression patterns (**Figure 2C**) and found 22 to be both phased and undergoing dynamic expression (12 *Ty3*, 7 *Copia*, and 3 *Tad1* elements; **Figure 3**). Thus, phasing of TE transcripts may contribute to the dynamic regulation of TEs throughout the pathogenic fungal life cycle.

Further, we observed cases of RNA-seq read-mapping to TE loci that exhibited signs of splicing, with splice sites located on the antisense strand of the TE-encoded genes (**Figure 3D**). These transcripts did not appear to encode any meaningful peptides and thus were TE-derived antisense long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs). We selected eight TE antisense lncRNAs that exhibited expression above 10 TPM at a minimum of one time point, which we successfully amplified, cloned and sequenced (Sanger technology). In two of these (*BLGHnc_000866-RA* and *BLGHnc_004496-RA*), we verified the occurrence of the predicted introns (**Figure 3E** and **Figure 3F**). Collectively, this approach independently validated the existence of, in part intron-containing, TE antisense lncRNAs in *B. hordei*.

Figure 2. TE families exhibit infection stage-specific expression patterns. (**A**) and (**B**) We mapped the reads from the time-course RNA-seq experiment to our repetitive elements database containing 344 individual non-redundant TE families (**Table 1**). The violin plots show the Log$_2$ of the average normalized expression (y-axis) of each family expressed as transcripts per million (TPM); the types of repetitive elements (blue, DNA transposons; light green, LINE; dark green,

LTR; red, NonLTR retrotransposons; magenta, SINE; grey, low complexity regions, satellites, simple repeats, and unknown repeats) are indicated on the x-axis. (**C**) We used TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022) to cluster time-course expression patterns of the 344 consensus TEs. The lines represent single consensus TEs; the color shade denotes the cluster membership by Spearman correlation (the darker the shade of green, the higher the correlation R with the respective expression cluster according to the color scheme). The x-axis denotes the time points of infection (**Figure 1A**), i.e., 0 hpi (conidiospore germination), 6 hpi (appressorium formation), 18 hpi (host cell penetration), 24 hpi (haustorium formation), 72 hpi (epiphytic colonization), and 120 hpi (conidiogenesis). The y-axis indicates the relative z-score based on reads per kb of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM). (**D**) We conducted qRT-PCR analysis of selected TE families (for the whole set of tested TE families, see **Supplementary Figure 2**). The dot plots display the relative transcript abundance (according to $\Delta\Delta^{CT}$ analysis; y-axis) of the respective TE family indicated on the top-left in *B. hordei* isolate K1$_{AC}$ at seven time points of host infection (x-axis; see also **Figure 1A**). TE transcript levels were normalized to *B. hordei GAPDH* (*BLGH_00691*); three independent replicates ($n = 3$) consisting of three technical replicates each were performed. The shades of green indicate the replicate each data point belongs to; the black bar shows the median.

Table 1. Summary of *B. hordei* (isolate DH14) non-redundant consensus repeats.

Type	Family	Number of consensus elements	Median length [bp]	Number of elements in the *B. hordei* reference genome	
				Full-length	Partial
Retrotransposon	LTR/*Ty3*[a]	116	5,686	1,709	27,763
	LTR/*Copia*	122	5,175	1,830	32,351
	LTR/unknown	3	1,599	43	2,571
	LINE/*Tad1*	80	6,057.5	1,303	41,914
	NonLTR/retroposon	3	859	823	2,510
	SINE/*Egh24*	1	884	2,129	5,005
	SINE/*Eg-R1*	1	657	1,667	625
DNA transposon	DTT/*Mariner*	3	1,728	1,295	8,322
	DH/*Helitron*	2	3,506	32	1,002
	DTM/*MULE*	7	4,996	1,336	6,853
	DTC/*CACTA*	5	1,847	1,682	4,567
	DTH/*Harbinger*	1	491	58	134
Other	Satellite	1	15,649	0	158
	Low complexity	1	2,134	7	752
	Simple repeat	1	633	0	14
	Unknown	6	2,468	26	1,709

[a] formerly known as *Gypsy*

Figure 3. Noncoding RNAs are associated with TEs in *B. hordei*. (**A**) We mapped publicly available sRNA-seq datasets obtained from *B. hordei*-infected barley plants to the TE consensus database using bowtie (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) and identified candidate phasiRNAs using unitas (Gebert et al. 2017). The donut chart shows the number of consensus TEs to which

phasiRNAs were linked (green portion of inner circle), the number of elements accounting for the

different TE families (**Table 1**; second circle), and the number of consensus TEs whose

expression peaks at specific time points (**Figure 2**; outer circle). (**B**) Example of stacked

phasiRNAs mapped to the consensus sequence of TE *Ty3-17* (6,054 bp in length). The TE self-

propagation genes are indicated on top (green arrows); these genes encode RT (reverse

transcriptase), RNase H, and DNA integrase. The scale below the black horizontal line indicates

the TE length and position in bp. Mapped sRNAs are shown in the windows below the size scale

for two examples: derived from infected leaf epidermal cells at 120 hpi (Kusch, Singh, et al. 2023)

and derived from infected total leaf material at 24 hpi (Hunt et al. 2019). Grey blocks indicate

single reads; the black boxes on top of each graph display predicted clusters of phasiRNAs.

Colored blocks indicate read mismatches with the reference sequence: blue, C; red, T; orange,

G; green, A. Data were visualized using Integrative Genomics Viewer v2.9.4 (Robinson et al.

2011). (**C**) The dot plot shows the time course expression patterns of selected consensus TEs

using the RNA-seq dataset generated in this study. The y-axis shows the normalized expression

expressed as transcripts per million (TPM); the x-axis indicates the respective time point of the

asexual life cycle of *B. hordei* (**Figure 1A**). The selected TE consensus elements are indicated on

the top-left of each plot. (**D**) Example of an antisense lncRNA occurring in the consensus TE

Copia-63 (5,317 bp in length). The upper lane indicates annotated transcripts on the TE, where

TE self-propagation genes are indicated in green (genes encode RT (reverse transcriptase), gag

polyprotein, and DNA integrase) and three detected isoforms of associated lncRNAs in orange.

The scale below the black horizontal line indicates the TE length and position in bp. The two

lower panels show RNA-seq read mappings to this TE as colored lines. Green, stranded reads

aligning to the sense strand; Orange, stranded reads aligning to the antisense strand. Grey lines

indicate reads split due to predicted splicing events. Data were visualized using Integrative

Genomics Viewer v2.9.4 (Robinson et al. 2011). (**E**) We amplified several TE antisense lncRNAs

from *B. hordei* cDNA using sequence-specific primer pairs. The agarose gel shows PCR-

amplified lncRNAs (indicated above each lane), arrows indicate the expected PCR products.

Expected PCR amplicon sizes were: *BLGHnc_000942-RA* (*Ty3-23* antisense), 2,831 bp;

BLGHnc_004556-RA (*Copia-23* antisense), 2,888 bp; *BLGHnc_000243-RA* (*Ty3-1* antisense),

1,150 bp; *BLGHnc_003729-RB* (*Ty3-9* antisense), 1,065 bp; *BLGHnc_000866-RA* (*Ty3-62*

antisense), 2,187 bp; *BLGHnc_03526-RA* (*Copia-63* antisense), 1,419 bp; *BLGHnc_003513-RB*

(intergenic), 922 bp; *BLGHnc_004496-RA* (intergenic), 2,128 bp. Bands corresponding to the

expected product size were excised from the gel and their sequence identity confirmed by

amplicon sequencing. NPC, no primer control. DNA Ladder, 1 kb plus (Invitrogen-Thermo Fisher,

Waltham, MA, USA). (**F**) The genomic transcript models of *BLGHnc_000942-RA*,

BLGHnc_004556-RA, *BLGHnc_000243-RA*, *BLGHnc_003729-RB*, *BLGHnc_000866-RA*,

BLGHnc_03526-RA, *BLGHnc_003513-RB*, and *BLGHnc_004496-RA*. Orange blocks represent

exons and grey lines spliced introns.

Genome-wide lncRNA annotation in *B. hordei*

We next identified and manually annotated all putative lncRNAs in the genome of *B. hordei*

using our extensive time-course RNA-seq dataset. We assembled 45,797 transcripts using

StringTie (Pertea et al. 2015) and designed a pipeline to identify lncRNAs (>200 bp and no

apparent coding potential) from the assembled transcripts (**Figure 4A**). We used a Web

Apollo instance (Lee et al. 2013) to manually inspect and correct coding and noncoding gene

models, resulting in 5,127 lncRNA loci across the *B. hordei* genome, accounting for 8,970

transcripts in total. Due to the extensive transcriptome data in our study, which was not

available for coding gene annotation before (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018), we further detected

43 new coding genes in the genome of *B. hordei*, removed 12 models that were located

within TEs and encoded predicted transposon replication genes, and corrected another 142

models, which changed the coding gene number from 7,118 to 7,149. The most common

functional assignments of the 43 newly annotated encoded proteins are predicted candidate

secreted effectors, additional Sgk2 kinase-like paralogs, and fungal zinc finger DNA binding

domains (**Supplementary Table 3**).

We found that lncRNAs were shorter than coding mRNAs on average (1,494.8 bp and

2,110.8 bp, respectively) and contained fewer exons (2.3 and 3.0 exons on average;

Figure 4). More than 50% of lncRNAs consisted of two exons, while lncRNAs with more than

five exons were rare (<1.9%). Overall, mRNAs exhibited 15.5-fold higher median expression

levels than lncRNAs in our dataset, and there was no significant difference in this respect

between intergenic and antisense lncRNAs. In total, 914 intergenic lncRNAs derived from

TEs, of which 823 originated from the antisense strand of the respective TE.

Furthermore, we found that 2,378 of the 5,127 lncRNA genes encoded more than one

transcript variant, suggesting alternative splicing of a substantial portion of the lncRNAs in

B. hordei (**Figure 4F**). Two lncRNAs gave rise to eleven separate isoforms, another three

lncRNAs contained up to nine isoforms, while most lncRNA genes had two (1,488; 62%) or

three (555; 23%) transcript variants (**Figure 4F**). The most common alternative splicing event

was a retained intron (2,016 events), followed by an alternative 3' splice site (1,163 events;

Figure 4G). We detected some cases in which alternative lncRNA isoforms showed

differential accumulation at different time points during fungal pathogenesis (**Figure 4H**),

suggesting that alternative splicing of lncRNAs occurs in a developmental stage-specific

manner in *B. hordei*.

Figure 4. Genome-wide identification and characterization of *B. hordei* lncRNAs. (**A**) Using

the total RNA-seq data mapped to the genome of *B. hordei* isolate DH14 (Frantzeskakis et al.

2018) with HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015), we assembled transcripts with StringTie (Pertea et al.

2015). Next, we filtered out coding genes from the transcriptome by Gffcompare (Pertea and

Pertea 2020), transcripts shorter than 200 bp using Gffread (Pertea and Pertea 2020), transcripts

with coding potential using CPC2 (Kang et al. 2017), and transcripts accounting for ribosomal

RNA, transfer RNA, small nuclear RNA, and small nucleolar RNA via CMscan search against the

Rfam database (Kalvari et al. 2018). Then, we used FEELnc (Wucher et al. 2017) for lncRNA

annotation and classification, resulting in 17,226 putative lncRNAs in the reference genome of

B. hordei. Lastly, we manually inspected the predicted lncRNA models by our Web Apollo (Lee et

al. 2013) instance, yielding in total 5,127 unique lncRNA loci in *B. hordei*. (**B**) Histogram for the

transcript length in base pairs (bp; x-axis) against the number of coding genes (mRNAs, purple)

and lncRNAs (orange; y-axis). The violin plot above shows the overall distribution of gene

lengths; data points represent individual transcripts. (**C**) Bar graph of the exon number per

transcript (x-axis) against the gene number (y-axis). Purple, mRNAs; orange, lncRNAs. (**D**) The

violin plot shows the expression in Log_2(transcripts per million (TPM)) for mRNAs (purple),

lncRNAs in sense orientation of associated genes (blue), lncRNAs in antisense orientation of TEs

(orange), intronic lncRNAs (grey), and intergenic lncRNAs (green). The number of transcripts (*n*)

contributing to the respective subset are given on the top. (**E**) The box plot shows the transcript

levels in Log_2(TPM) for lncRNAs (orange) and mRNAs (purple) depending on the transcript exon

number (x-axis). (**F**) The histogram shows the number of transcripts (x-axis) encoded by lncRNA

genes (y-axis). (**G**) The stacked bar graph displays the occurrence of alternatively spliced lncRNA

transcripts (AS, alternative splicing; orange bar) and the number and type of alternative splicing

events in *B. hordei*. The types of events are illustrated by the drawings, where the red portion of

the exon indicates the alternative event and black lines connecting exons splice events, colored

in shades of red and orange according to event. From dark red to dark orange, events are shown

in this order: retained intron, alternative 3' splice site, alternative 5' splice site, skipped exon,

alternative last exon, and alternative first exon. (**H**) The dot plots show the transcript levels in

transcripts per million (TPM) for alternatively spliced isoforms of the lncRNA *BLGHnc_000769* (y-

axis) at six time points of host infection (x-axis). Note that isoform *BLGHnc_000769-RA* was not

expressed above background levels and thus omitted from this Figure. The black bar indicates

the median of three independent replicates (*n*=3).

Gene expression patterns in *B. hordei* reflect its pathogenic development

Transcripts are differentially regulated in *B. hordei* during its pathogenic development

(**Figure 1B and C**). To gain detailed insights into (co-)expression profiles, we assigned all

reads mapping to the *B. hordei* reference genome to the annotated 7,149 coding genes and

5,127 lncRNAs (**Supplementary Table 4** for TPM values). We then clustered lncRNA and

mRNA gene expression patterns across all time points using TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022) and

identified nine distinct co-expression clusters featuring 2,264 lncRNAs and 2,762 coding

genes in total (**Figure 5A**). The clusters exhibited distinct expression patterns, with peaks of

transcript accumulation at different time points. Seven clusters showed transcript peaks at

one or two specific time points. Cluster 4, comprising transcripts whose levels peaked at

18 hpi, contained the highest number of transcripts, namely 336 mRNAs and 344 lncRNAs

(**Table 2**). We further analyzed the expression pattern of all genes encoding putative secreted proteins in *B. hordei* and found distinct sets of these genes with peaked transcript levels at 0, 6, 18-24, or 72-120 hpi, indicative of "waves" of genes coding for secreted proteins expressed during fungal pathogenesis (**Figure 5B**). Clusters 4, 5, 6, and 8 contained an elevated number of putative secreted proteins (>20% of coding transcripts each), coinciding with peaked transcript accumulation at 18, 24, or 72 hpi (**Figure 5C** and **Table 2**). By contrast, genes encoding Sgk2-like serine/threonine kinases, which are abundant in the genome of *B. hordei* (Kusch et al. 2014), almost exclusively occurred in cluster 9, which represents genes expressed at 120 hpi (**Figure 5C** and **Table 2**). We conducted gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for the coding genes found in the nine clusters described above (**Figure 5A**). While no enrichment was detected in case of 0 hpi (clusters 1 and 2), we found GO processes related to cell division, cell cycle, microtubule activity processes, oligosaccharide metabolism, response to stimulus, and DNA repair to be enriched at 6 hpi (cluster 3; **Figure 5D**; **Supplementary Table 5**). At 18 and 24 hpi, the process "ribonuclease activity" was enriched, likely reflecting an abundance of RNase-like candidate secreted effector proteins (Pedersen et al. 2012; Spanu 2017) expressed at this time point (cluster 5). During late infection (72 hpi and thereafter; clusters 7 and 8), processes related to protein, nucleotide, and fatty acid biosynthesis and gene expression were over-represented. Finally, at 120 hpi (cluster 9), processes related to protein phosphorylation and phosphorus metabolism were enriched. Protein phosphorylation was likely enriched due to the high number of Sgk2-like serine/threonine kinases ((Kusch et al. 2014); 52 in total; 19.4% of mRNAs in cluster 9; **Table 2**) expressed at 120 hpi (**Figure 5C** and **D**).

We conducted the time-course expression and GO enrichment analysis for the plant side as well (**Supplementary Table 6** and **Supplementary Figure 3**). In the host barley, we found eight co-expression clusters, with peaks at 0, 6, 18, 24, or 120 hpi, and a cluster of 646 genes exhibiting distinct down-regulation at 6 and 18 hpi (cluster 6; **Supplementary Figure 3A**). Processes related to stress or defense response, carbohydrate biosynthesis, and cell wall biogenesis were associated with early-responding genes (6 hpi to 24 hpi; clusters 2-5), but these processes were also over-represented in cluster 6, i.e., the set of 646 genes that were down-regulated at 18 hpi. At 72 hpi and 120 hpi, sulfur, nitrogen, organic acid metabolism-related, and catabolism terms were highly represented, in addition to biotic stress response (cluster 7 and 8). Overall, we found that early developmental processes and stress response genes dominated the early infection stage of *B. hordei*, while processes related to growth and metabolic activity were enriched at later stages (**Figure 5A** and **D**). Effector candidates were expressed in at least four waves (**Figure 5B**), while Sgk2-like serine/threonine kinases appeared to be associated mostly with the asexual late infection stages in *B. hordei* (**Figure 5C** and **Table 2**).

Figure 5. _B. hordei_ exhibits infection stage-dependent expression patterns of coding

genes and lncRNAs. (**A**) We used TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022) to cluster time-resolved coding

gene and lncRNA expression patterns. The lines represent single transcripts; the color shade
denotes the cluster membership by Spearman correlation (the darker the shade of orange, the
higher the correlation R with the respective expression cluster according to the color scheme).
The x-axis denotes the time points of infection (**Figure 1A**), i.e., 0 hpi (conidiospore germination),
6 hpi (appressorium formation), 18 hpi (host cell penetration), 24 hpi (haustorium formation),
72 hpi (epiphytic colonization), and 120 hpi (conidiogenesis). The y-axis indicates the relative z-
score based on reads per kb of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM). (**B**) The heat map
shows the relative median expression of genes encoding putative secreted proteins across the
time points according to the color scheme below the heat map. Purple indicates high and white
low expression. The time course cluster number (**A**) is indicated next to the dendrogram above
the heat map. (**C**) The stacked bar graph shows the number of lncRNAs (orange) and mRNAs
(purple) in each cluster, indicating mRNAs encoding putative secreted proteins (blue) or Sgk2-like
kinases (brown). The x-axis indicates the co-expression cluster (**A**) and is annotated with a dot
plot below to highlight the time point represented by each cluster. The y-axis shows the number
of transcripts. (**D**) We conducted gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for the coding genes
from each cluster (A) using ShinyGO v0.77 (Ge et al. 2020) accessed online at
http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/, and summarized GO terms with REVIGO (Supek et al.
2011). The dot plot shows the fold enrichment of functional terms compared to the full set of
genes of *B. hordei* (dot size); the fill color denotes the $-\mathrm{Log}_{10}$(FDR-adjusted enrichment p value)
according to the color scheme on the right. The summarizing GO descriptions are provided below
the GO identifiers (x-axis), the respective co-expression cluster (**A**) is indicated on the y-axis.

Table 2. Transcripts in co-expression clusters of *B. hordei*.

			mRNAs encoding			
Cluster	**LncRNAs**	**mRNAs**	Secreted protein	[%]	Sgk2 kinase	[%]
1	369	176	19	10.8%	15	8.5%
2	187	192	25	13.0%	2	0.1%
3	302	284	36	12.7%	4	0.1%
4	344	336	126	37.5%	3	0.1%
5	190	329	123	37.3%	4	0.1%
6	256	230	82	35.6%	8	3.5%
7	140	507	63	12.4%	15	0.3%
8	220	441	98	22.2%	9	0.2%
9	256	267	42	15.7%	52	19.4%

B. hordei lncRNAs may co-regulate transcripts in _cis_ and _trans_

We noted that lncRNAs, mRNAs, and TEs all exhibited time point-specific expression peaks

(**Figure 6A**). Thus, we conducted a weighted gene co-expression network analysis

(WGCNA) including all _B. hordei_ mRNAs, lncRNAs, and TEs to identify possible co-

expression networks and infer putative regulatory networks (**Supplementary Figure 4**). We

detected 14 co-expression networks consisting of at least ten transcripts each (**Figure 6B**).

Then, we determined the genomic physical distance between the genes encoding these

transcripts and calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient (PCC) R value with a cut-off

of IRI ≥ 0.7 (_cis_) or IRI ≥ 0.9 (_trans_) to discover positive and negative co-regulation of

lncRNAs with mRNAs (**Supplementary Table 7**), or a cut-off of IRI ≥ 0.7 for lncRNA co-

regulation with consensus TEs (**Supplementary Table 8**). We regarded _cis_ co-regulated

lncRNAs and mRNA if their maximal genomic distance was 10 kb, otherwise the respective

pair was regarded co-regulated in _trans_. Overall, positive co-regulation was more common

than negative co-regulation, and co-regulation was more common in _trans_ than in _cis_. In case

of lncRNA-mRNA regulons, we detected 6,018 positively and 339 negatively co-regulated

trans pairs, and 109 positively and 13 negatively co-regulated _cis_ pairs. For lncRNA-

consensus TE co-regulation, we found 6,169 positive and 334 negative interactions in _trans_.

Further, we detected seven negative co-regulation cases of TE antisense lncRNAs and their

respective TE, such as _BLGHnc_000540-RA_ located antisense of _Copia-23_ and

_BLGHnc_002596-RA_, an antisense lncRNA of _Ty3-9_ (**Figure 6C** and **Figure 6D**).

Meanwhile, six TE antisense lncRNAs were positively regulated with the respective TE, such

as _BLGHnc_004551-RA_ and _Ty3-48_ and (**Figure 6E**). Our findings suggest that some

transposon antisense lncRNAs may directly regulate the TE they derive from, although the

majority of these are co-regulated with distal TEs or mRNAs.

Figure 6. Co-expression patterns of TEs and lncRNAs in *B. hordei*. (**A**) We used TCseq (Wu

and Gu 2022) to cluster time-resolved coding gene (mRNA), lncRNA, and consensus TE

expression patterns. Each line represents a single transcript; the color shade indicates the cluster

membership according to Spearman correlation (the darker the color, the higher the correlation *R*

with the respective expression cluster; see color scheme in the bottom right corner). Shades of

purple (upper panel), mRNAs; shades of orange (middle panel), lncRNAs; shades of green

(bottom panel), consensus TEs. The x-axis indicates the time points of infection: 0 hpi (spore

germination), 6 hpi (appressorium formation), 18 hpi (early primary haustorium), 24 hpi (mature

primary haustorium), 72 hpi (host colonization), and 120 hpi (conidia formation). The y-axis

displays the relative z-score based on reads per kb of transcript per million mapped reads

(RPKM). (**B**) Co-regulation networks were discovered using WGCNA (Langfelder and Horvath

Discussion

TEs are highly abundant in the genomes of powdery mildew fungi, including *B. hordei*
(Spanu et al. 2010; Kusch, Qian, et al. 2023), but their transcript levels have not been
measured so far. High-throughput datasets using next-generation sequencing technologies
can be powerful tools to understand powdery mildew biology and evolution (Bindschedler et
al. 2016). Here, we generated a comprehensive stranded RNA-seq dataset that contains
information about all fungal and host transcripts based on random rather than oligo-dT
priming during cDNA synthesis. Our dataset covers all major stages of *B. hordei* during the
asexual infection cycle on barley, from early conidiospore germination to sporulation
(**Figure 1A**). Limitations of the dataset are that (1) the reads are derived from only one
isolate (*B. hordei* K1$_{AC}$; (Barsoum et al. 2020)) during the compatible interaction with its host
barley, (2) we did not include the sexual reproduction stages, and (3) the short reads
preclude precise assignment to individual TE members. However, our data allowed us to
provide a genome-wide curated annotation of lncRNAs and their alternatively spliced
isoforms (**Figure 4**) and to measure stage-specific transcript accumulation of coding genes,
noncoding RNAs, and TEs simultaneously (**Figure 2**, **Figure 5**, **Figure 6**). Altogether, our
findings suggest a TE regulatory network involving both (phased) sRNAs (**Figure 3**) and
lncRNAs (**Figure 6**). Despite applying a more stringent significance cut-off for *trans*-regulated
pairs, our analysis recovered >10-times more *trans*- than *cis*-regulated pairs. Examples of
trans-co-regulation of lncRNAs with other transcripts in fungi are rare (Till, Pucher, et al.
2018). The per-chance occurrence of co-regulated transcripts increases exponentially when
removing spatial constraints; thus, global co-expression networks should be interpreted
carefully.
Active TEs can dramatically change the architecture of a genome, including gene disruption
or dysregulation, gene duplication, and structural variation (Slotkin and Martienssen 2007;
Chuong et al. 2017). While they threaten genome integrity and thus survival, TE-induced

variations also increase adaptability under stressful conditions like host immunity. This high

risk-high reward relationship has been referred to as a devil's bargain between plant

pathogens and TEs (Fouché et al. 2021). Indeed, the cereal powdery mildews *B. hordei* and

B. graminis f.sp. *tritici* exhibit extensive copy number variation of effector-coding genes,

possibly as a consequence of the escape of the recognition of the respective gene products

by host resistance proteins (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2019; Saur et al. 2021).

Considering the missing RIP mechanism (Spanu et al. 2010; Kusch, Qian, et al. 2023),

powdery mildew fungi are likely to possess powerful alternative TE regulating mechanisms to

limit the risk of their activity. TEs are often regulated epigenetically or by RNAi (Slotkin and

Martienssen 2007), and we previously found signs of sRNA phasing linked to *B. hordei* TEs

(Kusch et al. 2018; Kusch, Qian, et al. 2023). Here, we confirmed that phasiRNAs are

abundant in 104 of 344 consensus TEs (**Figure 3**), suggesting that RNAi is a major

mechanism to regulate TE activity in *B. hordei*.

We found TE antisense lncRNAs, in part spliced, in *B. hordei* (**Figure 3**), which showed both

positive and negative co-regulation with the TE they derived from (**Figure 6**). TEs can give

rise to novel lncRNAs in vertebrates (Kapusta et al. 2013; Cho 2018) and plants (Lv et al.

2019; Ariel and Manavella 2021). According to the repeat insertion of domains of lncRNAs

(RIDL) hypothesis, TEs can insert into and then become functional domains of lncRNAs, thus

driving the emergence, evolution, and functional diversity of lncRNAs (Johnson and Guigó

2014; Fort et al. 2021). TEs can also give rise to lncRNAs that contribute to regulatory

networks under stressful conditions in plants (Lv et al. 2019; Blein et al. 2020). TE-derived

lncRNAs respond differently to phosphate stress between two ecotypes in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (Blein et al. 2020), while mutation of the abiotic stress-responsive TE-derived

lncRNA *lincRNA11195* impairs the response to abscisic acid and root development in

A. thaliana (Wang et al. 2017). TE-derived lncRNAs in plants may also regulate gene

expression as competing endogenous RNAs acting, for instance, as miRNA sponges, or

through interaction with chromatin remodeling complexes (Ariel and Manavella 2021). Fungi

are also able to activate TEs in response to biotic or abiotic stress (Choi et al. 2022; Kalem

and Panepinto 2022), like the Septoria leaf blotch pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* inducing

expression of distinct sets of TEs under conditions of starvation or during wheat infection

(Fouché et al. 2020). Likewise, the rice bast pathogen *M. oryzae* exhibits lncRNA expression

specifically during host infection (Choi et al. 2022), which is comparable to our observations

of infection stage-specific induction of lncRNAs in *B. hordei* throughout barley infection. Our

data lends support to two scenarios: (1) TE antisense lncRNAs arise due to active TE

expression and permit their own down-regulation, for example, by inducing RNAi, and (2)

lncRNAs are expressed independently of the activity of the TE they derive from and fulfil

distinct regulatory functions.

Appropriate transcriptional programs are key for organisms to respond effectively to
environmental cues. Transcriptional programs appear to be responsive to cues from the
respective host in broad-range plant pathogens (Kusch et al. 2022) and drive lifestyle
transitions in hemi-biotrophic plant pathogens, such as *Fusarium* and *Colletotrichum* species
(O'Connell et al. 2012; Hill et al. 2022). Likewise, obligate biotrophic and host-specialized
pathogens, such as *B. hordei*, are likely responsive to various host-derived signals to activate
suitable transcriptional programs throughout its pathogenic life cycle. Similar to previous
findings in *B. hordei* infecting the hypersusceptible non-host mutant plant *Arabidopsis*
thaliana pen2 pad4 sag101 (Hacquard et al. 2013), we observed distinct sets of effector
candidate-encoding genes to be transcriptionally induced at specific stages of barley
infection (**Figure 5B**). A previous microarray study also found one class of effectors to be
transcribed at pre-penetration but not post-penetration stages in *B. hordei* (Both, Eckert, et
al. 2005). The different pathogenic stages are likely to require different sets of effectors
conducting functions appropriate for the respective phase of infection (Stergiopoulos and De
Wit 2009; Lo Presti et al. 2015). For instance, early infection stages prior to successful host
cell penetration probably require the aggressive suppression of host immunity, while
subsequent steps also depend on reprogramming of the host plants' secretory system
(Schmidt et al. 2014; Liao et al. 2023), metabolism, and source-sink status (Djamei et al.
2011; Cai et al. 2023). Likewise, we observed that stress response genes are induced in
B. hordei during early infection, when the fungus faces the strongest resistance in the form of
the generation of reactive oxygen species and the biosynthesis and delivery of antimicrobial
compounds (**Figure 5D**). Indeed, barley showed up-regulation of defense response and cell
wall biogenesis-related genes, but also down-regulation of such genes at 18 hpi. Contrary to
previous microarray studies (Both, Csukai, et al. 2005; Both, Eckert, et al. 2005), we also
observed cell cycle, cell division, and microtubule processes at the early infection stage.
However, these processes may have been missed in the previous analysis as genome
information was lacking and, in contrast to microarrays, which are limited to known probes,
RNA-seq recovers all expressed genes in an organism. Meanwhile, genes encoding proteins
functioning in sulfur, nitrogen, and organic molecule biosynthesis showed elevated transcript
levels at 72 hpi, after successful colonization of the plant by *B. hordei* (**Supplementary**
Figure 3). In line with previous observations (Both, Csukai, et al. 2005; Both, Eckert, et al.
2005), protein and nucleic acid metabolic processes and protein biosynthesis including
translation and ribosome biogenesis were enriched after 72 hpi. At late infection stages,
processes related to vegetative growth, gene expression, and metabolism dominated in
B. hordei, suggestive of an undermined plant immune system and a supply of metabolites to
fuel biosynthetic processes.
It has not escaped our attention that TE-derived lncRNAs might give rise to novel coding
genes in the course of evolution. These genes could encode novel effectors that help

establish and maintain the biotrophic interaction with the host plant. In a similar case, the

B. hordei effector ROP-interactive peptide 1 (ROPIP1) originates from a *SINE/Eg-R1* TE and

transmits to barley host cells in the course of infection, where it interacts with barley RACB

and disrupts cortical microtubules (Nottensteiner et al. 2018). Thus, ROPIP1 promotes the

infection success of *B. hordei* on barley. The large number of TE antisense lncRNAs in

B. hordei implies that *B. hordei* and possibly fungal plant pathogens with TE-enriched

genomes in general repurpose TEs for the invention of novel genes to encode effector

proteins.

Materials and methods

Plant and pathogen cultivation

Plants of barley (*H. vulgare* cv. 'Margret') were cultivated in SoMi513 soil (Hawita, Vechta,

Germany) in a long-day cycle (16 h light period at 23 °C, 8 h dark at 20 °C) at 60-65%

relative humidity and a light intensity of 105-120 µmol s^{-1} m^{-2}. Plants were inoculated with

B. hordei strain K1$_{AC}$ at seven days after germination and then transferred to isolated growth

chambers with a long day cycle (12 h light at 20 °C, 12 h dark at 19 °C), approximately 60%

relative humidity, and 100 µmol s^{-1} m^{-2} light intensity.

RNA sampling and RNA sequencing

We collected *B. hordei*-infected barley abaxial leaf epidermis at 0, 6, 18, 24, 72, and 120 hpi

using an epidermal peeling method (Li et al. 2019) to enrich for fungal RNAs and infected

epidermal cells. The epidermal peels were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen using

mortar and pestle and RNA isolated via TRIzol (Thermo Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was removed using DNase I

(RNase-free, Thermo Scientific). RNA integrity was determined by microcapillary

electrophoresis (2100 BioAnalyzier system; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and RNA

quantity by spectrophotometry (NanoDrop; Thermo Scientific) and spectrofluorimetry (Qubit;

Thermo Scientific). We sent 18 high-quality total RNA samples (RIN > 6.0, c[RNA] >

100 ng µL^{-1}, m[RNA] > 2 µg) for stranded RNA sequencing at 150-bp paired-end reads,

random oligomer priming to recover total RNA, and plant/animal ribosomal RNA depletion,

provided by Novogene Europe, Cambridge Science Park, UK. The raw data was inspected

using FastQC v0.11.5 (Babraham Bioinformatics, UK) and overrepresented sequences

identified by nucleotide BLAST in April 2021 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Reads

were adapter- and quality-trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al. 2014) before further

usage.

Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR)

We collected barley leaf epidermal peelings (see above) at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hpi in three biological replicates. RNA isolation and quality control were done as described above. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems-Thermo Fisher, Schwerte, Germany) and stored at -20 °C. The cDNA was diluted 1:10 prior to further experiments. We conducted qRT-PCRs with the Takyon No ROX SYBR MasterMix blue dTTP Kit (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) in a LightCycler 480 II (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The PCR efficiency of all primers used in this study (**Supplementary Table 9**) was between 1.8 and 2.0 and the annealing temperature was set to 58 °C. Melting curve analysis validated in each case the synthesis of single amplicons. Expression levels of target TEs was evaluated relative to the housekeeping gene *GAPDH* (*BLGH_00691*) of *B. hordei* (Pennington et al. 2015). We calculated relative transcript abundance with $2^{-(CT(target) - CT(GAPDH))}$ according to the $\Delta\Delta^{CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

Annotation of TEs

We used a TE annotation pipeline integrating homology-based and *de novo* structural based methods. We used the EDTA pipeline (Ou et al. 2019) to annotate TE elements with the help of the *Blumeria* TE consensus sequences from RepBase v20181026. Then, CD-HIT-EST (Fu et al. 2012) was used to merge similar sequences in the *B. hordei* isolate DH14 reference genome assembly (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018). Next, we created an updated TE consensus database by multiple sequence alignment and manual curation of consensus TEs (Goubert et al. 2022), which we then used for genome-wide re-annotation of TEs with RepeatMasker (Smit et al. 2016) using the TE consensus library as database.

Detection and annotation of lncRNAs

First, we mapped the trimmed total RNA-seq read data to the *B. hordei* isolate DH14 genome (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) using HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015). We extracted mapping reads for transcriptome assembly with StringTie (Pertea et al. 2015) and then filtered out coding genes from the transcriptome via Gffcompare (Pertea and Pertea 2020), transcripts shorter than 200 bp using Gffread (Pertea and Pertea 2020), transcripts with coding potential using CPC2 (Kang et al. 2017), and transcripts accounting for ribosomal RNA, transfer RNA, small nuclear RNA, and small nucleolar RNA via CMscan search against the Rfam database (Kalvari et al. 2018). Then, we performed lncRNA annotation and classification with FEELnc (Wucher et al. 2017), predicting 17,226 putative lncRNAs in the *B. hordei* genome. We used a WebApollo instance (Lee et al. 2013) to manually inspect the predicted lncRNA models, and to annotate all possible transcript isoforms for each lncRNA gene.

Functional annotation of newly discovered gene models in *B. hordei*

Peptide sequences deriving from gene models newly discovered in the genome of *B. hordei*

DH14 (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) during annotation of lncRNAs were functionally analyzed

for signal peptides using SignalP5.0 (Almagro Armenteros et al. 2019), transmembrane

domains via TMHMM v2 (Krogh et al. 2001), sequence homology using BLASTP

(https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov accessed May 2023), and functional domains using

InterProScan v5.62-94.0 (Jones et al. 2014) and hmmscan via the HMMER web server

v2.41.2. accessed May 2023 (Eddy 2011; Potter et al. 2018).

Cloning of lncRNA candidates

We amplified lncRNAs from isolated RNA or cDNA from samples used for RNA-seq or qRT-

PCR and lncRNA-specific primer pairs (**Supplementary Table 7**). The PCR products were

purified using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt a.M.,

Germany) and subsequently ligated into the vector system pCR-Blunt II-TOPO via the Zero

Blunt™ TOPO™ PCR cloning kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The lncRNA sequences were

confirmed by Sanger chain-termination sequencing provided by eurofins Genomics

(Ebersberg, Germany).

Time-course transcript expression analysis with RNA-seq data

We mapped the trimmed total RNA-seq data to the genomes of *B. hordei* isolate DH14

(Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) or *H. vulgare* cv. 'Morex' (Mascher et al. 2021) using HISAT2

(Kim et al. 2015) with '--max-intronlen 500' and parsed output files with SAMtools v1.9 (Li et

al. 2009) and BEDtools v2.25.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Read count tables were generated

using ballgown (Frazee et al. 2015). Normalized expression was calculated as transcripts per

million (TPM) and transcripts at TPM < 1 were filtered prior to further analysis using R v4.1.2

(R Core Team 2018). We next used TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022) to cluster transcripts

(lncRNAs, mRNAs, and TEs) according to their time-course specific expression patterns. For

identifying co-expression connection networks, we further used WGCNA with a soft threshold

of 8 (Langfelder and Horvath 2008). Then, the unsigned adjacency was calculated via

Pearson correlation to assign transcripts into putative co-expression modules of at least 10

transcripts. The module-trait correlation was calculated with infection time points as traits.

Network visualization was done using Cytoscape v3.9.1 (Shannon et al. 2003). Functional

enrichment of mRNA gene sets was performed using gene ontology (GO) terms with the web

tool ShinyGO v0.77 (Ge et al. 2020); GO terms were summarized by using REVIGO (Supek

et al. 2011).

Acknowledgements

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing financial and nonfinancial interests.

Data availability

All raw RNA sequencing data generated in this study are deposited at
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra under BioProject ID PRJNA835302.

Funding

This study was funded under the Excellence Strategy of the Federal Government and the
Länder via the RWTH Aachen Exploratory Research Space (ERS) [RWTH start-up grant
StUpPD362-20 to S.K.] and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German
Research Foundation) project number 274444799 [grant 861/14-2 awarded to R.P.] in the
context of the DFG-funded priority program SPP1819 "Rapid evolutionary adaptation –
potential and constraints".

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Xinyi Liu, Marion Müller, and Ralph Hückelhoven (TUM, Munich,
Germany) for constructive feedback and collaboration on the TE consensus database. The
analysis was performed with computing resources granted by RWTH Aachen University
under project IDs rwth0146 and rwth0933.

Author contributions

S.K. conceived and designed the study; J.Q. and S.K. were responsible for experiment
conception. J.Q. and F.K. collected samples for RNA isolation and performed RNA
purification. M.E. performed qRT-PCRs of TEs; J.Q. analyzed the RNA-seq data, developed
the lncRNA identification pipeline, and cloned selected lncRNAs. H.M.M.I. conceived and
performed the alternative splicing analysis and identified lncRNA splice variants. S.K. and
J.Q. drafted the figures. R.P. provided lab space and consumables, S.K. funding for the
RNA-seq experiment. S.K. and J.Q. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, all authors
contributed to editing. All authors read the manuscript and approved its final version.

References

Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37:420–423.

Ariel FD, Manavella PA. 2021. When junk DNA turns functional: Transposon-derived non-coding RNAs in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72:4132–4143.

Barsoum M, Kusch S, Frantzeskakis L, Schaffrath U, Panstruga R. 2020. Ultraviolet mutagenesis coupled with next-generation sequencing as a method for functional interrogation of powdery mildew genomes. *Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact.* 33:1008–1021.

Bindschedler LV, Panstruga R, Spanu PD. 2016. Mildew-Omics: How global analyses aid the understanding of life and evolution of powdery mildews. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:123.

Blein T, Balzergue C, Roulé T, Gabriel M, Scalisi L, François T, Sorin C, Christ A, Godon C, Delannoy E, et al. 2020. Landscape of the noncoding transcriptome response of two Arabidopsis ecotypes to phosphate starvation. *Plant Physiol.* 183:1058–1072.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114–2120.

Bologna NG, Voinnet O. 2014. The diversity, biogenesis, and activities of endogenous silencing small RNAs in *Arabidopsis. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 65:473–503.

Both M, Csukai M, Stumpf MPH, Spanu PD. 2005. Gene expression profiles of *Blumeria graminis* indicate dynamic changes to primary metabolism during development of an obligate biotrophic pathogen. *Plant Cell* 17:2107–2122.

Both M, Eckert SE, Csukai M, Müller E, Dimopoulos G, Spanu PD. 2005. Transcript profiles of *Blumeria graminis* development during infection reveal a cluster of genes that are potential virulence determinants. *Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact.* 18:125–133.

Braun U, Cook RTA. 2012. Taxonomic Manual of the Erysiphales (Powdery Mildews). Utrecht, The Netherlands: CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre

Cai J, Jiang Y, Ritchie ES, Macho AP, Yu F, Wu D. 2023. Manipulation of plant metabolism by pathogen effectors: More than just food. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 47:fuad007.

798   Chénais B, Caruso A, Hiard S, Casse N. 2012. The impact of transposable elements
799   on eukaryotic genomes: From genome size increase to genetic adaptation to
800   stressful environments. *Gene* 509:7–15.

801   Cho J. 2018. Transposon-derived non-coding RNAs and their function in plants.
802   *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:600.

803   Choi G, Jeon J, Lee H, Zhou S, Lee Y-H. 2022. Genome-wide profiling of long non-
804   coding RNA of the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* during infection.
805   *BMC Genomics* 23:132.

806   Chuong EB, Elde NC, Feschotte C. 2017. Regulatory activities of transposable
807   elements: From conflicts to benefits. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 18:71–86.

808   Djamei A, Schipper K, Rabe F, Ghosh A, Vincon V, Kahnt J, Osorio S, Tohge T,
809   Fernie AR, Feussner I, et al. 2011. Metabolic priming by a secreted fungal
810   effector. *Nature* 478:395–398.

811   Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7:e1002195.

812   Fort V, Khelifi G, Hussein SMI. 2021. Long non-coding RNAs and transposable
813   elements: A functional relationship. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Mol. Cell*
814   *Res.* 1868:118837.

815   Fouché S, Badet T, Oggenfuss U, Plissonneau C, Francisco CS, Croll D. 2020.
816   Stress-driven transposable element de-repression dynamics and virulence
817   evolution in a fungal pathogen. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37:221–239.

818   Fouché S, Oggenfuss U, Chanclud E, Croll D. 2021. A devil's bargain with
819   transposable elements in plant pathogens. *Trends Genet.* 38:222–230.

820   Frantzeskakis L, Kracher B, Kusch S, Yoshikawa-Maekawa M, Bauer S, Pedersen C,
821   Spanu PD, Maekawa T, Schulze-Lefert P, Panstruga R. 2018. Signatures of
822   host specialization and a recent transposable element burst in the dynamic
823   one-speed genome of the fungal barley powdery mildew pathogen. *BMC*
824   *Genomics* 19:381.

825   Frantzeskakis L, Németh MZ, Barsoum M, Kusch S, Kiss L, Takamatsu S, Panstruga
826   R. 2019. The *Parauncinula polyspora* draft genome provides insights into
827   patterns of gene erosion and genome expansion in powdery mildew fungi.
828   *mBio* 10:381.

829   Frazee AC, Pertea G, Jaffe AE, Langmead B, Salzberg SL, Leek JT. 2015. Ballgown
830   bridges the gap between transcriptome assembly and expression analysis.
831   *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33:243–246.

Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. 2012. CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-
generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28:3150–3152.

Ge SX, Jung D, Yao R. 2020. ShinyGO: A graphical gene-set enrichment tool for
animals and plants. *Bioinformatics* 36:2628–2629.

Gebert D, Hewel C, Rosenkranz D. 2017. unitas: The universal tool for annotation of
small RNAs. *BMC Genomics* 18:644.

Gladyshev E. 2017. Repeat-induced point mutation and other genome defense
mechanisms in fungi. In: The Fungal Kingdom. American Society of
Microbiology. p. 687–699. Available from:
http://www.asmscience.org/content/book/10.1128/9781555819583.chap33

Glawe DA. 2008. The powdery mildews: A review of the world's most familiar (yet
poorly known) plant pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 46:27–51.

Goubert C, Craig RJ, Bilat AF, Peona V, Vogan AA, Protasio AV. 2022. A beginner's
guide to manual curation of transposable elements. *Mob. DNA* 13:7.

Hacquard S, Kracher B, Maekawa T, Vernaldi S, Schulze-Lefert P, van Themaat
EVL. 2013. Mosaic genome structure of the barley powdery mildew pathogen
and conservation of transcriptional programs in divergent hosts. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110:E2219–E2228.

Hill R, Buggs RJA, Vu DT, Gaya E. 2022. Lifestyle transitions in fusarioid fungi are
frequent and lack clear genomic signatures. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 39:msac085.

Hunt M, Banerjee S, Surana P, Liu M, Fuerst G, Mathioni S, Meyers BC, Nettleton D,
Wise RP. 2019. Small RNA discovery in the interaction between barley and
the powdery mildew pathogen. *BMC Genomics* 20:610.

Jampala P, Garhewal A, Lodha M. 2021. Functions of long non-coding RNA in
Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 16:1925440.

Johnson R, Guigó R. 2014. The RIDL hypothesis: Transposable elements as
functional domains of long noncoding RNAs. *RNA* 20:959–976.

Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J,
Mitchell A, Nuka G, et al. 2014. InterProScan 5: Genome-scale protein
function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30:1236–1240.

Kalem MC, Panepinto JC. 2022. Long non-coding RNAs in *Cryptococcus*
neoformans: Insights into fungal pathogenesis. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*
12:858317.

Kalvari I, Argasinska J, Quinones-Olvera N, Nawrocki EP, Rivas E, Eddy SR,
Bateman A, Finn RD, Petrov AI. 2018. Rfam 13.0: Shifting to a genome-centric
resource for non-coding RNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46:D335–D342.

Kalwa M, Hänzelmann S, Otto S, Kuo C-C, Franzen J, Joussen S, Fernandez-
Rebollo E, Rath B, Koch C, Hofmann A, et al. 2016. The lncRNA HOTAIR
impacts on mesenchymal stem cells *via* triple helix formation. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. 44:10631–10643.

Kang Y-J, Yang D-C, Kong L, Hou M, Meng Y-Q, Wei L, Gao G. 2017. CPC2: A fast
and accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features.
Nucleic Acids Res. 45:W12–W16.

Kapusta A, Kronenberg Z, Lynch VJ, Zhuo X, Ramsay L, Bourque G, Yandell M,
Feschotte C. 2013. Transposable elements are major contributors to the
origin, diversification, and regulation of vertebrate long noncoding RNAs. *PLoS*
Genet. 9:e1003470.

Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2015. HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12:357–360.

Kopp F, Mendell JT. 2018. Functional classification and experimental dissection of
long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* 172:393–407.

Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. 2001. Predicting
transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to
complete genomes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 305:567–580.

Kusch S, Ahmadinejad N, Panstruga R, Kuhn H. 2014. *In silico* analysis of the core
signaling proteome from the barley powdery mildew pathogen (*Blumeria*
graminis f.sp. *hordei*). *BMC Genomics* 15:843.

Kusch S, Frantzeskakis L, Thieron H, Panstruga R. 2018. Small RNAs from cereal
powdery mildew pathogens may target host plant genes. *Fungal Biol.*
122:1050–1063.

Kusch S, Larrouy J, Ibrahim HMM, Mounichetty S, Gasset N, Navaud O, Mbengue M,
Zanchetta C, Lopez-Roques C, Donnadieu C, et al. 2022. Transcriptional
response to host chemical cues underpins the expansion of host range in a
fungal plant pathogen lineage. *ISME J.* 16:138–148.

Kusch S, Qian J, Loos A, Kümmel F, Spanu PD, Panstruga R. 2023. Long-term and
rapid evolution in powdery mildew fungi. *Mol. Ecol.*:mec.16909.

Kusch S, Singh M, Thieron H, Spanu PD, Panstruga R. 2023. Site-specific analysis
reveals candidate cross-kingdom small RNAs, tRNA and rRNA fragments, and

signs of fungal RNA phasing in the barley–powdery mildew interaction. *Mol.*
Plant Pathol. 24:570–587.

Labbé J, Murat C, Morin E, Tuskan GA, Le Tacon F, Martin F. 2012. Characterization
of transposable elements in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor*. *PLoS*
ONE 7:e40197.

Lanciano S, Cristofari G. 2020. Measuring and interpreting transposable element
expression. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 21:721–736.

Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation
network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9:559.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*
Methods 9:357–359.

Lee E, Helt GA, Reese JT, Munoz-Torres MC, Childers CP, Buels RM, Stein LD,
Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE. 2013. Web Apollo: A web-based genomic
annotation editing platform. *Genome Biol.* 14:R93.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G,
Durbin R. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

Li J, Liu X, Yin Z, Hu Z, Zhang K-Q. 2021. An overview on identification and
regulatory mechanisms of long non-coding RNAs in fungi. *Front. Microbiol.*
12:638617.

Li L, Collier B, Spanu P. 2019. Isolation of powdery mildew haustoria from infected
barley. *Bio-Protoc.* 9:e3299.

Liao W, Nielsen ME, Pedersen C, Xie W, Thordal-Christensen H. 2023. Barley
endosomal MONENSIN SENSITIVITY1 is a target of the powdery mildew
effector CSEP0162 and plays a role in plant immunity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 74:118–
129.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
time quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT method. *Methods* 25:402–408.

Lo Presti L, Lanver D, Schweizer G, Tanaka S, Liang L, Tollot M, Zuccaro A,
Reissmann S, Kahmann R. 2015. Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility.
Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 66:513–545.

Lv Y, Hu F, Zhou Y, Wu F, Gaut BS. 2019. Maize transposable elements contribute
to long non-coding RNAs that are regulatory hubs for abiotic stress response.
BMC Genomics 20:864.

Mascher M, Wicker T, Jenkins J, Plott C, Lux T, Koh CS, Ens J, Gundlach H, Boston
LB, Tulpová Z, et al. 2021. Long-read sequence assembly: A technical
evaluation in barley. *Plant Cell* 33:1888–1906.

Mattick JS, Amaral PP, Carninci P, Carpenter S, Chang HY, Chen L-L, Chen R, Dean
C, Dinger ME, Fitzgerald KA, et al. 2023. Long non-coding RNAs: Definitions,
functions, challenges and recommendations. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 24:430–
447.

Müller MC, Praz CR, Sotiropoulos AG, Menardo F, Kunz L, Schudel S, Oberhänsli S,
Poretti M, Wehrli A, Bourras S, et al. 2019. A chromosome-scale genome
assembly reveals a highly dynamic effector repertoire of wheat powdery
mildew. *New Phytol.* 221:2176–2189.

Nicolás FE, Ruiz-Vázquez RM. 2013. Functional diversity of RNAi-associated sRNAs
in fungi. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14:15348–15360.

Nottensteiner M, Zechmann B, McCollum C, Hückelhoven R. 2018. A barley powdery
mildew fungus non-autonomous retrotransposon encodes a peptide that
supports penetration success on barley. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69:3745–3758.

O'Connell RJ, Thon MR, Hacquard S, Amyotte SG, Kleemann J, Torres MF, Damm
U, Buiate EA, Epstein L, Alkan N, et al. 2012. Lifestyle transitions in plant
pathogenic *Colletotrichum* fungi deciphered by genome and transcriptome
analyses. *Nat. Genet.* 44:1060–1065.

Ou S, Su W, Liao Y, Chougule K, Agda JRA, Hellinga AJ, Lugo CSB, Elliott TA, Ware
D, Peterson T, et al. 2019. Benchmarking transposable element annotation
methods for creation of a streamlined, comprehensive pipeline. *Genome Biol.*
20:275.

Pedersen C, van Themaat EVL, McGuffin LJ, Abbott JC, Burgis TA, Barton G,
Bindschedler LV, Lu X, Maekawa T, Weßling R, et al. 2012. Structure and
evolution of barley powdery mildew effector candidates. *BMC Genomics*
13:694.

Pennington HG, Li L, Spanu PD. 2015. Identification and selection of normalization
controls for quantitative transcript analysis in *Blumeria graminis. Mol. Plant*
Pathol. 17:625–633.

Pertea G, Pertea M. 2020. GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Research*
9:304.

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang T-C, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. 2015.
StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq
reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33:290–295.

Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD. 2018. HMMER web
server: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46:W200–W204.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26:841–842.

Quinn JJ, Chang HY. 2015. Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and
function. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17:47–62.

R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R*
Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna Austria [Internet]. Available from: http://www.r-
project.org/

Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G,
Mesirov JP. 2011. Integrative Genomics Viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29:24–26.

Sabelleck B, Panstruga R. 2018. Novel jack-in-the-box effector of the barley powdery
mildew pathogen? *J. Exp. Bot.* 69:3511–3514.

Saur IML, Panstruga R, Schulze-Lefert P. 2021. NOD-like receptor-mediated plant
immunity: From structure to cell death. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 21:305–318.

Schmidt SM, Kuhn H, Micali C, Liller C, Kwaaitaal M, Panstruga R. 2014. Interaction
of a *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* effector candidate with a barley ARF-GAP
suggests that host vesicle trafficking is a fungal pathogenicity target: *Blumeria*
graminis effector candidates. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 15:535–549.

Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N,
Schwikowski B, Ideker T. 2003. Cytoscape: A software environment for
integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.*
13:2498–2504.

Slotkin RK, Martienssen R. 2007. Transposable elements and the epigenetic
regulation of the genome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 8:272–285.

Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P. 2016. Masker Open-4.0. 2013-2015. Available from:
http://www.repeatmasker.org

Spanu PD. 2017. Cereal immunity against powdery mildews targets RNase-Like
Proteins associated with Haustoria (RALPH) effectors evolved from a common
ancestral gene. *New Phytol.* 213:969–971.

Spanu PD, Abbott JC, Amselem J, Burgis TA, Soanes DM, Stüber K, van Themaat
EVL, Brown JKM, Butcher SA, Gurr SJ, et al. 2010. Genome expansion and
gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal tradeoffs in extreme parasitism.
Science 330:1543–1546.

Stergiopoulos I, De Wit PJGM. 2009. Fungal effector proteins. *Annu. Rev.*
Phytopathol. 47:233–263.

Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. 2011. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes
long lists of Gene Ontology terms. *PLoS ONE* 6:e21800.

Till P, Mach RL, Mach-Aigner AR. 2018. A current view on long noncoding RNAs in
yeast and filamentous fungi. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102:7319–7331.

Till P, Pucher ME, Mach RL, Mach-Aigner AR. 2018. A long noncoding RNA
promotes cellulase expression in *Trichoderma reesei. Biotechnol. Biofuels*
11:78.

Ulitsky I, Bartel DP. 2013. lincRNAs: Genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. *Cell*
154:26–46.

Wang D, Qu Z, Yang L, Zhang Q, Liu Z, Do T, Adelson DL, Wang Z, Searle I, Zhu J.
2017. Transposable elements (TEs) contribute to stress-related long intergenic
noncoding RNAs in plants. *Plant J.* 90:133–146.

Wang Yang, Ye W, Wang Yuanchao. 2018. Genome-wide identification of long non-
coding RNAs suggests a potential association with effector gene transcription
in *Phytophthora sojae. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 19:2177–2186.

Wang Z, Jiang Y, Wu H, Xie X, Huang B. 2019. Genome-wide identification and
functional prediction of long non-coding RNAs involved in the heat stress
response in *Metarhizium robertsii. Front. Microbiol.* 10:2336.

Wicker T, Sabot F, Hua-Van A, Bennetzen JL, Capy P, Chalhoub B, Flavell A, Leroy
P, Morgante M, Panaud O, et al. 2007. A unified classification system for
eukaryotic transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 8:973–982.

Wu M, Gu L. 2022. TCseq: Time course sequencing data analysis. :R package
version 1.20.0.

Wucher V, Legeai F, Hédan B, Rizk G, Lagoutte L, Leeb T, Jagannathan V, Cadieu
E, David A, Lohi H, et al. 2017. FEELnc: A tool for long non-coding RNA
annotation and its application to the dog transcriptome. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
45:e57.

Zhang X, Wang W, Zhu W, Dong J, Cheng Y, Yin Z, Shen F. 2019. Mechanisms and
functions of long non-coding RNAs at multiple regulatory levels. *Int. J. Mol.*
Sci. 20:5573.

Zhao X-Y, Lin JD. 2015. Long noncoding RNAs: A new regulatory code in metabolic
control. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 40:586–596.

1038
1039

Supplementary Data

Supplementary Figure 1. Integrated pipeline for high-quality genome-wide TE annotation. We initially detected transposable elements (TEs) the genome assembly of *B. hordei* isolate DH14 (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) using the extensive *de novo* annotator (EDTA) pipeline (Ou et al. 2019), which enables de novo discovery of long terminal repeat (LTR), terminal inverted repeat (TIR), and DNA helitron TEs. In parallel, we queried the genome for TE elements using the *Blumeria* TE consensus sequences from RepBase v20181026; short interspersed nuclear element (SINE) TE sequences were extracted from GenBank accessions X86077.1 (*Eg-R1*) and Z21962.1 (*Egh24*). Similar TE sequences were merged with CD-HIT-EST (Fu et al. 2012). Then, we generated the consensus repeat database via multiple sequence alignment and manual curation of consensus TEs (Goubert et al. 2022). Genome-wide re-annotation of TEs in the genome assembly of *B. hordei* isolate DH14 (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018) was done with RepeatMasker (Smit et al. 2016) using the repeat consensus library as database (**Table 1**; **Supplementary Table 2**).

Supplementary Figure 2. Integrated pipeline for high-quality genome-wide TE annotation.
We performed quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis of eleven selected TE families. The dot plots show the relative transcript abundance (according to $\Delta\Delta^{CT}$ analysis; y-axis) of the respective TE family, indicated on the top of each panel, in *B. hordei* isolate K1$_{AC}$ at seven time points of host infection (hours post inoculation (hpi); x-axis). TE transcript levels were normalized to *B. hordei GAPDH* (*BLGH_00691*); we conducted three independent replicates (n = 3) consisting of three technical replicates each. The shades of green indicate the replicate each data point belongs to; the black bar shows the median.

Supplementary Figure 3. *H. vulgare* displays infection stage-dependent expression patterns of coding genes upon infection with *B. hordei*.
(**A**) We clustered time-resolved coding gene expression patterns in the host *H. vulgare* cv. 'Margret' upon infection with *B. hordei* K1$_{AC}$ using TCseq (Wu and Gu 2022). The RNA-seq data was mapped to the *H. vulgare* cv. 'Morex' reference genome (Mascher et al. 2021) using HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015) with '--max-intronlen 500' and parsed output using SAMtools v1.9 (Li et al. 2009) and BEDtools v2.25.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). The lines represent single transcripts; the color shade denotes the cluster membership by Spearman correlation (the darker the shade of blue, the higher the correlation R with the respective expression cluster according to the color scheme). The numbers at the bottom-right of each plot

indicates the number of genes that made up each of the time course clusters. The x-axis

denotes the time points of infection in hours post inoculation (hpi; **Figure 1A**), which were

0 hpi (conidiospore germination), 6 hpi (appressorium formation), 18 hpi (host cell

penetration), 24 hpi (haustorium formation), 72 hpi (epiphytic colonization), and 120 hpi

(conidiogenesis). The y-axis indicates the relative z-score based on reads per kb of transcript

per million mapped reads (RPKM). (**B**) We performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment

analysis for the *H. vulgare* coding genes from each cluster (**A**) using ShinyGO v0.77 (Ge et

al. 2020) accessed online at http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/, and summarized GO terms

with REVIGO (Supek et al. 2011). The dot plot displays the fold enrichment of functional

terms compared to the full set of genes of *H. vulgare* cv. 'Morex' (dot size); the fill color

indicates the $-Log_{10}$(FDR-adjusted enrichment *p* value) according to the color scheme on the

right. The GO term accessions are indicated on the x-axis; colored dots denote summarizing

GO descriptions indicated in the legend (top-right). The respective co-expression cluster (**A**)

is indicated on the y-axis.

1091

Supplementary Figure 4. Co-expression analysis of TEs, coding genes, and lncRNAs

in *B. hordei*. (**A**) We built co-regulation networks using WGCNA (Langfelder and Horvath

2008) using the time course expression data of *B. hordei* coding genes (mRNAs), lncRNAs,

and consensus TEs. The time points of infection were 0 hpi (spore germination), 6 hpi

(appressorium formation), 18 hpi (early primary haustorium), 24 hpi (mature primary

haustorium), 72 hpi (host colonization), and 120 hpi (conidia formation). The twenty identified

co-expression clusters are indicated on the y-axis and the time points on the x-axis. The

Pearson correlation of each cluster with the respective time point is shown on a scale from

green to red, indicating negative to positive correlation. The exact Pearson correlation value

and the *p* value are shown for each cluster and time point. (**B**) The colored circles indicate

transcripts and lines significant correlation between two transcripts. The circles are colored

according to the WGCNA-assigned cluster colors (**A**). The clusters correspond to the clusters

in **Figure 6**.

1105

1106

Supplementary Table 1. General statistics of the RNA sequencing datasets generated for

this study.

Supplementary Table 2. Transposable element composition in the *B. hordei* DH14 genome

based on our manual transposon database.

Supplementary Table 3. Functional annotations of the proteins encoded by the 43 coding

genes newly discovered in the *B. hordei* genome

Supplementary Table 4. Transcripts per million expression values for mRNAs and lncRNAs

of *B. hordei* K1$_{AC}$ during infection of *H. vulgare* cv. 'Margret'.

Supplementary Table 5. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment of *B. hordei* mRNAs induced at

specific time points of infection.

Supplementary Table 6. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment of *H. vulgare* mRNAs induced at

specific time points of infection.

Supplementary Table 7. Pearson correlation table for co-regulation of lncRNAs with mRNAs

in *B. hordei*.

Supplementary Table 8. Pearson correlation table for co-regulation of lncRNAs with

consensus TEs in *B. hordei*.

Supplementary Table 9. List of oligonucleotides used for PCR amplification and qRT-PCR.

1124