1 **Main Manuscript for**
2

3 # The Rapidly Evolving X-linked *miR-506* Family
4 # Finetunes Spermatogenesis to Enhance Sperm
5 # Competition
6

7 Zhuqing Wang[1,2], Yue Wang[1,2], Tong Zhou[1], Sheng Chen[1,2], Dayton Morris[2],
8 Rubens Daniel Miserani Magalhães[2], Musheng Li[1], Shawn Wang[1], Hetan Wang[1,2],
9 Yeming Xie[1], Hayden McSwiggin[1,2], Daniel Oliver[1], Shuiqiao Yuan[1], Huili Zheng[1,2],
10 Jaaved Mohammed[3], Eric C. Lai[3], John R. McCarrey[4], Wei Yan[1,2,5]*
11
12
[1]Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, University of Nevada, Reno School of
Medicine, Reno, NV 89557, USA.
[2]The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center,
Torrance, CA 90502, USA.
[3]Department of Developmental Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute, 1275
York Ave, Box 252, New York, NY 10065, USA.
[4]Department of Biology, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX,
USA.
[5]Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles,
CA 90095, USA.
*Corresponding author: Wei Yan   **Email:** wei.yan@lundquist.org

24
25
26

27 **Author Contributions:** Z.W. and W.Y. designed the research. Z.W., Y. W., S. C.,
28 D.M., S. W., H. W., H.M., J. R. M., and H.Z., performed bench experiments. Z.W.,
29 T.Z., R.D.M.M., M.L., Y.X., J.M., and E. C. L. performed bioinformatics analysis.
30 Z.W., and W.Y. wrote the manuscript.

32 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
33
34 **Classification:** Biological Sciences; Genetics; Physiology.
35
Keywords: *reproductive fitness; sperm competition; evolution; selective pressure;*
microRNAs; spermatogenesis; male fertility

This PDF file includes:
Main Text
Figures 1 to 6

Abstract
Despite rapid evolution across eutherian mammals, the X-linked *miR-506* family
miRNAs are located in a region flanked by two highly conserved protein-coding
genes (*Slitrk2* and *Fmr1*) on the X chromosome. Intriguingly, these miRNAs are
predominantly expressed in the testis, suggesting a potential role in
spermatogenesis and male fertility. Here, we report that the X-linked *miR-506*
family miRNAs were derived from the MER91C DNA transposons. Selective
inactivation of individual miRNAs or clusters caused no discernable defects, but
simultaneous ablation of five clusters containing nineteen members of the *miR-506*
family led to reduced male fertility in mice. Despite normal sperm counts, motility
and morphology, the KO sperm were less competitive than wild-type sperm when
subjected to a polyandrous mating scheme. Transcriptomic and bioinformatic
analyses revealed that these X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs, in addition to
targeting a set of conserved genes, have more targets that are critical for
spermatogenesis and embryonic development during evolution. Our data suggest
that the *miR-506* family miRNAs function to enhance sperm competitiveness and
reproductive fitness of the male by finetuning gene expression during
spermatogenesis.

Significance Statement
The X-linked *miR-506* family has rapidly evolved in mammals, but their
physiological significance remains elusive. Given their abundant and preferential
expression in the testis and sperm, these X-linked miRNAs likely play a functional
role in spermatogenesis and/or early embryonic development. However, the
deletion of either individual miRNA genes or all of the five miRNA clusters
encoding 38 mature miRNAs did not cause major fertility defects in mice. When
these mutant males were subjected to conditions resembling polyandrous mating,
the mutant sperm were much less competitive than the wild-type sperm, rendering
the mutant males "functionally sub-fertile". Our data suggest that the *miR-506*
family of miRNAs regulates sperm competition and the reproductive fitness of the
male.
73
74

Main Text

Introduction

Spermatogenesis is highly conserved among all vertebrates. Although it generally consists of three phases (mitotic, meiotic, and haploid), many characteristics appear to be species-specific, *e.g.,* the duration of each of the three phases, the seminiferous epithelial organization, and the shape and length of spermatozoa, likely reflecting the adaptive changes during evolution (1-3). Several cellular events are unique to the male germ cells, *e.g.,* postnatal formation of the adult male germline stem cells (i.e., spermatogonial stem cells), pubertal onset of meiosis, and haploid male germ cell differentiation (i.e., spermiogenesis) (4). Unique cellular processes are often accompanied by a more complex yet unique transcriptome, which may explain why the testis expresses more genes than any other organs in the body, with the possible exception of the brain (5). Regulation of gene expression during spermatogenesis occurs at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels (6). As a post-transcriptional regulator, miRNAs are abundantly expressed in the testis and are required for spermatogenesis (7-11). miRNAs typically function at post-transcriptional levels by binding the complementary sequences in the untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNAs – particularly in the 3'UTRs through the "seed sequence" (2nd-7th nucleotides)(12). Numerous miRNAs are subject to rapid evolution, probably in response to the accelerated rate of divergence of UTRs compared to the exonic sequences (13). Divergence of genomic sequences can be mediated by transposable elements (TEs), which are known as building blocks of the genome and mostly map to UTRs and intronic regions of protein-encoding genes (14). Each miRNA can bind numerous target mRNAs, and one mRNA can be targeted by multiple different miRNAs. This "one-to-multiple" relationship between miRNAs and mRNAs amplifies their potential to coordinate gene expression in the cell (12). Moreover, miRNA genes often exist in clusters, which are transcribed as a unit followed by nuclear and cytoplasmic cleavage events to generate individual miRNAs (12).

Multiple clusters of miRNA genes containing the same seed sequences are categorized as a miRNA family, and miRNAs within the same family likely evolved from a common ancestor sequence (15). Of great interest, many of the testis-enriched miRNA clusters map to the X chromosome (16). Sex-linked genes are generally subject to the male germline-specific phenomenon called meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI), which silences transcription during most, if not all, of meiosis (16). Indeed, prior to 2009, there were no confirmed reports of any sex-linked genes escaping the repressive effects of MSCI. Surprisingly, however, we found that many X-linked miRNA genes do escape MSCI, suggesting that they may contribute to particularly important functions during spermatogenesis (16). This notion has since been tested by the generation of knockouts (KOs) of individual miRNA genes or individual clusters of miRNA genes normally expressed during spermatogenesis. However, these KOs resulted in minimal, if any, phenotypic effects and did not appear to impede normal spermatogenesis or male fertility (15). This left the field facing multiple unanswered questions, including 1)

why do so many X-linked miRNAs express uniquely or preferentially during spermatogenesis and escape MSCI, 2) what's their origin, and 3) how and why did they evolve rapidly?

To address these questions and better understand the functional role played by these X-linked miRNAs, we investigated the evolutionary history of this unique miRNA family and also generated KOs of individual, paired, triple, quadruple, or quintuple sets of miRNA clusters within this family and tested the effects on male fertility, initially by standard monandrous mating assays. Consistent with previous efforts to inactivate miRNA genes in *C. elegans* and mice (15, 17-19), KOs of either individual members or individual clusters of the *miR-506* family induced no discernable phenotypes and did not impact male fertility. This may reflect the level of functional redundancy inherent within the members and clusters of this miRNA family. It was only when four or more clusters of the *miR-506* family were ablated that relevant phenotype became detectable, which was manifested in the form of reduced litter size despite normal sperm counts, motility, and morphology. Interestingly, the most common male fertility testing for lab rodents is based on a monandrous mating scheme, *i.e.,* one fertility-proven female mated with one male. However, there are additional aspects of male fertility in many mammalian species, particularly those that are normally litter-bearing. In the wild, litter-bearing females often mate with multiple different males such that a single litter may include pups sired by more than one male (20, 21). This polyandrous mating introduces the potential for additional aspects of male reproductive fitness to accrue, one of which involves sperm competition (20, 21). Sperm competition can occur when sperm from more than one male are present in the female reproductive tract simultaneously, such that they then compete to fertilize each oocyte (22). Sperm competition has been now recognized as a significant evolutionary force directly impacting male reproductive success (22). Using experiments that mimic polyandrous mating, we found that the quinKO male mice indeed displayed compromised sperm competition. Hence, the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs appear to function to finetune spermatogenesis to enhance sperm competition and, consequently, male reproductive fitness.

Results

X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs flanked by two highly conserved protein-coding genes *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1* rapidly evolved across species. X-linked genes are generally more divergent between species than autosomal ones, a phenomenon known as the "faster-X effect" (23). However, despite a high degree of conservation of two protein-coding genes, *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1*, on the X chromosome across species, the miRNA genes located between these two loci are divergent among clades across the eutherian mammals (15, 24). Through tracing the evolution of this genomic region, we found that *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1* mapped to chromosome 4 (syntenic with mammalian X chromosome) in zebrafish and birds, but to the X chromosome in most mammals, with divergence and multiplication of numerous miRNA genes that belong to the *miR-506* family in between (Fig. 1A and Table S1). By mapping these miRNAs of various species using the UCSC genome

browser (25), we found that all members of the *miR-506* family are located in a
region flanked by *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1* (Fig. 1A). Consistent with previous reports (15,
24), *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1* are usually on the positive strand, whereas the *miR-506*
family miRNAs are in the reverse orientation (Fig. 1A). Based on the location of
these miRNAs, we named the miRNAs proximal to *Slitrk2* (*miR-892c ~ miR-891a*
in humans) and *Fmr1* (*miR-513c ~ miR-514a3* in humans) SmiRs (*Slitrk2*-proximal
miRNAs) and FmiRs (*Fmr1*-proximal miRNAs), respectively.
To evaluate the sequence conservation of these miRNAs across species,
we adopted the Multiz Alignment and Conservation pipeline, which utilizes
PhastCons and PhyloP algorithms (25), to search miRNA datasets from 100
different species using the human genome as a reference (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1).
The mean values of phyloP and phastCons of the *Fmr1* and *Slitrk2* coding
sequences (CDS) were ~4.9 and ~0.97, respectively (Fig. 1C and D), indicating
that these regions are highly conserved. In contrast, the mean values of phyloP
and phastCons of SmiRs were ~1.0 and ~0.002, respectively, and those of FmiRs
are ~0.03 and ~0.11, respectively (Fig. 1 C and D). The phyloP and phastCons
values of the CDS, FmiRs, and SmiRs are significantly different from each other
(adjusted p-value < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test) (Fig. 1 C and D), indicating that
FmiRs and SmiRs are highly divergent, and SmiRs are more divergent than
FmiRs.
We then assessed the genomic sequence similarity among various species
using D-GENIES-based dot plot analyses (26). Although the *Slitrk2-Fmr1* genomic
regions were highly variable among different species, the sequences within some
clades shared a high degree of similarities, e.g., primates (rhesus monkeys,
chimpanzees, and humans), *cetartiodactyla* (sheep and cows), *rodentia* (e.g., mice
and rats) and *carnivora* (e.g., dogs and cats) (Fig. S2A). Although the *miR-506*
family miRNAs were highly divergent, some orthologs displayed a higher degree of
sequence conservation (Fig. S2 B and C), e.g., the SmiRs within primates were
similar (Fig. S2B); *miR-891a* and *miR-891b* in rhesus monkeys were similar to
miR-891b and *miR-891a* in humans and chimpanzees, respectively, and *miR-892b*
in chimpanzees was homologous to *miR-892c* in humans and rhesus monkeys in
terms of sequence and location (Figs. S2B). The FmiRs, including *miR-201*
(assigned as *Mir-506-P1* (paralogue 1) in MirGeneDB (27)), *miR-547* (*Mir-506-P2*),
and *miR-509* (*Mir-506-P7*) in mice and rats, are orthologues of *miR-506*, *miR-507*
and *miR-509* in humans, respectively (Fig. S2C, and Table S1). Of interest,
although the *miR-506* family miRNAs are highly divergent, the seed sequences of
some miRNAs, such as *Mir-506-P6* and *Mir-506-P7*, remain conserved (Fig. S2C),
and these miRNAs represent the dominant mature miRNAs (28). It is noteworthy
that the majority of the substitutions among the *miR-506* family are U→C and
A→G (Fig. S2 B and C). Furthermore, we analyzed the conservation of the *miR-*
506 family in modern humans using data from the 1000 Genomes Project (1kGP)
(Fig. 1 E and F) (29). We compared SmiRs, FmiRs, and all miRNAs with
pachytene piRNAs, which are known to be highly divergent in modern humans but
barely exert any biological functions (30). Of interest, the derived allele frequency
(DAF) and mean nucleotide diversity (MND) of FmiRs and all miRNAs were
significantly smaller than that of the pachytene piRNAs, whereas SmiRs were

significantly smaller than all miRNAs (Fig. 1 E and F) (adjusted p-value < 0.05,
Kruskal-Wallis test), suggesting that the *miR-506* family miRNAs are more
conserved than pachytene piRNAs in modern humans. Taken together, these data
indicate that the X-linked *miR-506* family, although rapidly evolving as a whole,
contains both divergent and conserved miRNAs, suggesting both conserved and
novel functions across species.
219
X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs are derived from MER91C DNA
transposons. To visualize the family history of these miRNAs, we built a
phylogram for the *miR-506* family (Fig. S3). The phylogram suggests that these
miRNAs shared a common ancestor and that the FmiRs emerged earlier than the
SmiRs, which is also supported by the fact that some FmiRs exist in green sea
turtles (Figs. S1 and S3). These data suggest that the *miR-506* family miRNAs
arose much earlier than previously thought (25). The two subfamilies, FmiRs and
SmiRs, despite their common ancestors, may have evolved at different paces and
thus, might be functionally divergent.
Studies have shown that transposable elements (TEs) drive evolution
through transpositions (31). CRISPR-Cas9/Cas12a genome editing can induce
irreversible small indels at the cutting sites (also called "scars")(32), which have
been used for lineage tracing (33). Inspired by this strategy, we attempted to trace
the evolution of the *miR-506* family miRNAs by searching the transposon database
for the transpositional "scars" (partial TE sequences) after transposition. To search
the potential TE sources of the *miR-506* family miRNAs, we downloaded all
transposons in the human, horse, dog, and guinea pig genomes and aligned them
to their corresponding *miR-506* family miRNAs using BLAST (Basic Local
Alignment Search Tool) (34). The nonautonomous MER91C DNA transposon
(~100-150 million years) (35, 36) was the only transposon that aligned to FmiRs of
the *miR-506* family (> 94% identical matches) in all four species (Table S2).
Given that the FmiRs (e.g., *miRs-506~509*) emerged much earlier than the
SmiRs (fig. S1 and fig. S3) and that *miR-513* (belonging to FmiRs) and SmiRs
(including *miR-891a* and *miR-891b*) share a common ancestor (Fig. S3), we
reasoned that the X-linked *miR-506* family might be derived from the *MER91C*
DNA transposon. To test this hypothesis, we first aligned the X-linked *miR-506*
family miRNAs from several species to a human MER91C DNA transposon.
Indeed, numerous FmiRs of almost all species analyzed aligned to the MER91C
DNA transposon despite few mismatches (Fig. 2A). The phylogenetic tree further
confirmed that the MER91C is the sister group of the *miR-506* family miRNAs (Fig.
2B and Fig. S4), suggesting that the MER91C DNA transposon is the likely source
of the older *miR-506* family miRNAs. Further supporting this notion, the MER91C
DNA transposons could form hairpin structures, which is a prerequisite for miRNA
biogenesis (Fig. 2C and Fig. S5A). Moreover, analyses of the testis small RNA
datasets from humans, marmosets, dogs, and horses revealed the peaks
corresponding to these miRNAs (Fig. 2D and Fig. S5A). Finally, by overexpressing
several MER91C DNA regions randomly selected from humans, dogs, and horses
in HEK293T cells, we found that these DNA regions were indeed capable of
producing miRNAs (Fig. 2 E and F, and Fig. S5 B and C). Co-expression of

MER91C DNA regions and AGO2 significantly increased the abundance of human
MER91C miRNAs, as compared with overexpression of MER91C DNA regions
alone (Fig. 2 E and F), suggesting that these miRNAs could be loaded onto and
protected by AGO2. Taken together, these results indicate that the X-linked *miR-*
506 miRNAs were originally derived from the MER91C DNA transposon.
264
X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs are predominantly expressed in
spermatogenic cells and sperm. Several previous studies have shown that the
X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs are predominantly expressed in the testis of
multiple species (15, 16, 24, 37-39). By analyzing the publicly available small RNA
sequencing (sRNA-seq) datasets from multiple species, including humans, rhesus
monkeys, mice, rats, rabbits, dogs, and cows (27, 40, 41), we further confirmed
that *miR-506* family miRNAs were indeed highly abundant in the testis, but barely
expressed in other organs (Fig. S6, and Table S3). To further determine whether
these miRNAs are expressed in male germ cells in rodent testes, we conducted
sRNA-seq using pachytene spermatocytes, round spermatids, and sperm purified
from adult mice (Fig. S7A and Table S3). Consistent with previous data (15, 16,
24), these miRNAs were abundantly expressed in spermatogenic cells in murine
testes (Fig. 3A). ~80% of these miRNAs were significantly upregulated (FDR <
0.05) when pachytene spermatocytes developed into round spermatids, and
~83.3% were significantly upregulated (FDR < 0.05) when developed into cauda
sperm (Fig. 3A). By analyzing the publicly available sRNA-seq datasets from
humans (42), marmosets (37) and horses (43), we determined the expression
patterns of the *miR-506* family miRNAs in the testes of these species (Fig. 3 B, C
and D, Table S3). The significantly increasing abundance of the SmiRs from
immature to mature testes in horses (43) supports the elevated expression in
haploid male germ cells (round, elongating/elongated spermatids, and sperm)
compared to meiotic male germ cells (spermatocytes) (Fig. 3D). More interestingly,
the SmiRs and FmiRs appear to be differentially expressed in the testes of various
species, e.g., relative levels of the SmiRs were greater than those of the FmiRs in
mice (Fig. 3 A and Table S3). Both the SmiRs and FmiRs were highly expressed in
horses (Fig. 3D and Table S3). Levels of the FmiRs in marmoset (37) and human
testes (42) were much greater than in those of the SmiRs (Fig. 3 B and C and
Table S3). Overall, the *miR-506* family miRNAs are abundant in the testis and
predominantly expressed in haploid male germ cells, *i.e.,* spermatids and
spermatozoa.
295
Ablation of X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs compromises male fertility due
to reduced sperm competitiveness. To define the physiological role of the *miR-*
506 family, we sequentially deleted these miRNA genes using CRISPR-Cas9-
based genome editing (Fig. 4A) (15). We first generated the KO mice lacking either
the *miR-883* single cluster (*miR-883* sKO) or the *miR-465* single cluster (*miR-465*
sKO) (Fig. 4A), as these two clusters are the most abundantly expressed in the
mouse testes (Fig. 3 A and Fig. S6). No discernable defects were observed, and
these KO males developed normally and were fertile (Fig. 4 B and C). On the *miR-*
883 sKO background, we further deleted the *miR-741* cluster, which we termed

double KO (dKO) (Fig. 4 A), but no discernable abnormalities were observed in the
dKO males either (Fig. S7 B-E). On the dKO background, we next deleted either
the *miR-465*, termed triple KO (tKO), or the *miR-471* and *miR-470* clusters, termed
quadruple KO (quadKO) (Fig. 4 A). Lastly, we ablated the *miR-465* cluster on the
quadKO background, named quintuple KO (quinKO) (Fig. 4 A). To reduce potential
off-target effects due to multiple rounds of CRISPR-Cas9 targeting, we also
generated a KO mouse line with only 4 guide RNAs (gRNAs) flanking the SmiR
region, named X-linked SmiR KO (XS) (Fig. 4 A). The XS mice were genetically
equivalent to the quinKO mice, and phenotypically identical to quinKOs (Fig. 4 B
and C), suggesting the phenotype observed was not due to the accumulating off-
target effects. To further exclude the potential off-target effect, all KO mouse
strains were backcrossed with WT C57BL/6J mice for at least 5 generations before
data collection. In addition, T7 endonuclease I (T7EI) assays showed no
discernable off-target effects in the quinKO mice (Fig. S7F).
While the litter size was still comparable between the tKO and WT
control mice, the quadKO, quinKO, and XS males produced significantly
smaller litters (~5 *vs.* ~8 pups/litter) (adjusted p-value < 0.05, One-Way
ANOVA) (Fig. 4 B). Of interest, no significant changes were detected in litter
interval, testis weight or histology in any of the four types of KOs, as
compared to WT controls (Fig. 4 C, D and G). Computer-assisted sperm
analyses (CASA) revealed no significant differences in sperm counts and
motility parameters among the four types of KOs (Fig. 4 E and F and Fig.
S7H). Overall, there appears to be an inverse correlation (R^2 = 0.9139, p <
0.05, F-test) between the number of miRNAs inactivated and the litter size
(Fig. S7G). Interestingly, several human studies have correlated the
dysregulated *miR-506* family miRNAs with impaired male fertility due to
maturation arrest and oligo-asthenozoospermia (Table S4)(44-48). These
data suggest that the *miR-506* family may play an important role in
spermatogenesis and male fertility.
Most of the protein-coding genes that are exclusively or preferentially
expressed in the testis with an essential role in spermatogenesis are highly
conserved across species (49). Despite their male germ cell-predominant
expression, the *miR-506* family miRNAs appear to have evolved rapidly to
diverge their sequences, suggesting that these miRNAs might control
certain "non-conserved" aspects of spermatogenesis, leading to enhanced
sperm competitiveness for male reproductive success. Supporting this
hypothesis, previous reports have documented that females of most species
throughout the animal kingdoms mate with multiple males before
pregnancy, suggesting that sperm competition may serve as a selection
mechanism to bias the birth of offspring sired by the males with more
competitive sperm (20, 21). Studies have also shown female rodents in the
wild mate with multiple males and produce litters of mixed paternity, and
that pups born to the females following such polyandrous mating display
greater survival rates than those produced from females following
monandrous mating (50). Given that CASA detected no difference in
swimming patterns between quinKO and WT sperm (Fig. S7H), we next

carried out sperm competition experiments that mimic polyandrous mating in the wild. Since the *miR-506* family miRNAs are X-linked, the Y sperm from the quinKO mice are genetically indistinguishable from those of WT controls. We, therefore, adopted the mTmG male mice (51) for sperm competition experiments because the embryos or offspring fathered by the mTmG males can be easily identified based on the constitutively expressed membrane-tagged tomato red (mT) fluorescence and/or PCR genotyping.

We first conducted sequential mating with two mating events ~6-8 hours apart. Interestingly, all of the pups born were fathered by mTmG males (n=8) when the WT females were mated first with mTmG males and subsequently with the quinKO males. In contrast, when the WT females were mated first with quinKO males and subsequently with mTmG males, ~89% of the pups born were fathered by quinKO males, and the remaining ~11% of pups were from mTmG males (n=28) (Fig. 4 H-I). It is noteworthy that in the sequential mating experiments, the two coituses occurred ~6-8 hours apart due to practical reasons, whereas in the wild, polyandrous mating may take place much faster. To better mimic polyandrous mating *in vitro*, we mixed the WT and quinKO sperm in different ratios and used the mixed sperm to perform *in vitro* fertilization (IVF). MII oocytes fertilized by mTmG, quinKO, or a mixture of two types of sperm at three ratios (mTmG: quinKO = 1:1, 4:1 and 1:4) all displayed comparable rates at which fertilized oocytes developed into blastocysts (Fig. S7I). Interestingly, when a 1:1 ratio (mTmG sperm: quinKO sperm) was used, ~73% of the resulting blastocysts were derived from mTmG sperm, whereas the remaining ~27% were from quinKO sperm (n=179) ($p < 0.0001$, Chi-squared test) (Fig. 4 J). When a 4:1 sperm ratio (mTmG: quinKO) was used, ~92% of the blastocysts were from mTmG sperm and only 8% were from quinKO sperm (n=170) ($p < 0.05$, Chi-squared test) (Fig. 4 J). In contrast, when a 1:4 sperm ratio (mTmG: quinKO) was used, blastocysts derived from mTmG and quinKO sperm represented ~28% and ~72% of the total, respectively (n=135) (Fig. 4 J). We also performed co-artificial insemination (AI) using mTmG and quinKO sperm. When a 1:1 sperm ratio (mTmG: quinKO) was used, ~62.5% of the embryos (n=96) were derived from mTmG sperm ($p< 0.05$, Chi-squared test) (Fig. 4 K). When a 1:4 ratio was used, ~35.3% of the embryos (n=17) were from the mTmG mice (Fig. 4K and Fig. S7J). Together, these results indicate that the quinKO sperm are less competitive than the control mTmG sperm both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Previous studies suggest that sperm aggregation and midpiece size might be involved in sperm competitiveness (52, 53), but no changes in these two parameters were observed in the quinKO sperm (Fig. S7 K and L). Although the blastocyst rate (out of 2-cell embryos) of quinKO was comparable to that of the mTmG mice, the 2-cell rates (out of zygotes) were significantly reduced ($p< 0.05$, paired t-test) in the quinKO mice (~39%, n=67) when compared to the mTmG mice (~88%, n=58) (Fig. S7M), implying that the quinKO sperm is indeed less efficient in fertilizing eggs and/or supporting early embryonic development, especially the first cleavage of the zygotes.

X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs mostly target the genes involved in spermatogenesis and embryonic development and compensate for each

other. To identify the target genes of these X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs, we performed RNA-seq analyses using testis samples from the five types of KOs (*miR-465* sKO, dKO, tKO, quadKO, and quinKO) (Fig. S8A and Table S5). Comparisons between the KO and WT testes revealed thousands of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (fold change ≥ 2, FDR< 0.05, Fig. S8A and Table S5). The DEGs identified were then compared with the predicted *miR-506* target genes using four different databases, including TargetScan (54), microrna.org (55), miRWalk (56) and mirDB (57), to predict the differentially expressed targets (DETs) of the *miR-506* family miRNAs (Fig. S8A and Table S5). We obtained 2,692, 2,028, 1,973, 3,405, and 1,106 DETs from *miR-465* sKO, dKO, tKO, quadKO and quinKO testes, respectively. GO terms of DETs from each KO testis revealed that the DETs were mostly involved in embryonic development, response to stimulus, centrosome cycle, epithelium morphogenesis, organelle organization, cell projection, RNA metabolic process, and DNA repair (Fig. S8B). The 431 DETs identified to be shared across all five KO testes were also enriched in similar pathways (Fig. 5 An and B). Several genes, including *Crisp1*, *Egr1*, and *Trpv4*, were selected for validation using qPCR, Western blots and luciferase-based reporter assays. Consistent with the RNA-seq data, qPCR showed that *Crisp1*, *Egr1*, and *Trpv4* were significantly downregulated in the quinKO testes (Fig. S8C). *CRISP1* is enriched in the sperm principle piece and head (Fig. S8D). Western blots also confirmed that *CRISP1* is downregulated in the quinKO testis when compared to the WT testis (Fig. S8E). Luciferase assays further confirmed that *Egr1* and *Crisp1* are targets of the *miR-506* family members (Fig. S8 F and G). *Egr1* 3'UTR luciferase activity was upregulated by *miR-465c*, while downregulated by *miR-743b* (Fig. S8F). *miR-465a*, *miR-465c*, *miR-470*, *miR-741*, and *miR-743a* upregulated *Crisp1* 3'UTR luciferase activity, while *miR-743b* exerted the opposite effect (Fig. S8G). Of interest, KO of *Crisp1* in mice or inhibition of *CRISP1* in human sperm appears to phenocopy the quinKO mice (58, 59). Specifically, sperm motility in the *Crisp1* KO mice is comparable to that in WT mice, but their ability to penetrate the eggs was reduced in the *Crisp1* KO mice (58); a similar effect was also observed in human sperm treated with anti-hCRISP1 antibody (59).

  The inverse correlation between the number of miRNAs inactivated and the severity of the phenotype strongly hints that these miRNAs compensate for each other (Fig. 4B and Fig. S7G). To test this hypothesis, we performed sRNA-seq on four KO (*miR-465* sKO, tKO, quadKO and quinKO) testes. The sRNA-seq data showed that these miRNAs were no longer expressed in the corresponding KOs, confirming the successful deletion of these miRNAs in these KOs (Fig. 5C and Table S6). Interestingly, in *miR-465* sKO testes, *miR-201, miR-547, miR-470*, *miR-471*, *miR-742, miR-871*, *miR-881, miR-883a,* and *miR-883b* were all significantly upregulated (FDR < 0.05). Similarly, *miR-201, miR-547, miR-470*, *miR-471*, *miR-871,* and *miR-883b* were all significantly upregulated in the tKO testes (FDR < 0.05); *miR-201* and *miR-547* were all significantly upregulated in the quadKO and the quinKO testes (FDR < 0.05) (Fig. 5 C and Table S6). These results support the notion that genetic compensation exists among the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs.

Rapid evolution of the *miR-506* family is not driven by the increased complexity of 3'UTRs of the conserved targets but rather adaptive to targeting more genes. To minimize false positives, the DETs in mice were selected using the following criteria: (1) dysregulated by fold change ≥ 2 and FDR < 0.05. (2) Falling within the predicted targets. (3) Intersected with at least two different KO mouse samples. Using the 3,043 DETs identified in mice as a reference, we searched the predicted targets of the *miR-506* family miRNAs in rats and humans to determine if these target genes were shared across species (Fig. 5A). While 2,098 (~69%) target genes were shared among all three species, 2,510 (~82%) were common to both humans and mice, and 2,202 (~72%) were shared between mice and rats (Fig. 6 A and Table S7). To test the accuracy of the predicted targets, we selected several genes in humans and performed luciferase assays using human *miR-506* family miRNAs and their corresponding target genes (Fig. S9 A and B). Among these targets, *Crisp1* and *Fmr1* were shared among humans, mice, and rats, and confirmed to be targeted by the *miR-506* family miRNAs in mice (Fig. S8C, S8E, S8G, and ref 11 and 15)(11, 15). Luciferase assays also confirmed that human *CRISP1* (*hCRISP1*) and *FMR1*(*hFMR1*) were targets of the *miR-506* family, and *miR-510* and *miR-513b* both could activate *hCRISP1* 3'UTR luciferase activity (Fig. S9A), whereas *miR-509-1*, *miR-509-2*, *miR-509-3*, *miR-513b*, *miR-514a*, and *miR-514b* could enhance *hFMR1* 3'UTR luciferase activity (Fig. S9B). These results confirmed the accuracy of our predicted targets in humans.

We considered two likely explanations for the paradox where the majority of their target genes were shared across species despite the rapid evolution of the *miR-506* family miRNAs: 1) The 3'UTR sequences in extant target genes became increasingly divergent during evolution such that the *miR-506* family miRNAs had to adapt to maintain their ability to bind these 3'UTRs; or 2) that the *miR-506* family miRNAs evolved rapidly in a manner that allowed them to target mRNAs encoded by additional genes involved in spermatogenesis. To distinguish the two possibilities, we first compared the extent of similarities among the 3'UTR sequences of the 2,510 shared target genes between humans and mice (Fig. 6 A and Table S7). We adopted the PhyloP scores to measure the evolutionary conservation at individual nucleotide sites in the 3'UTRs of the shared target genes. The overall conservation appeared to be greater in the regions targeted by *miR-506* family miRNAs than in the non-target regions in the 3'UTRs of the shared target genes in both mice and humans (Fig. 6 B and C) with few exceptions (Fig. 6 D and E) ($p < 0.05$, t-test). These data suggest that the regions targeted by the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs are under relatively stronger purifying, rather than adaptive, selection. We then tested the second hypothesis that the rapid evolution of the *miR-506* family resulted in more extant mRNAs being targeted by these miRNAs. We first compared the average target numbers of each *miR-506* family miRNA between humans and mice using the 2,510 shared targets between predicted targets in humans and the dysregulated targets in mice (Fig. 6 A and F). Among these shared targets, the human *miR-506* family members could target ~1,268 unique transcripts per miRNA, whereas the murine *miR-506* family

members could only target ~1,068 (p < 0.05, t-test) (Fig. 6 F), indicating that the
miR-506 family miRNAs target more genes in humans than in mice. Furthermore,
we analyzed the number of all potential targets of the *miR-506* family miRNAs
predicted by the aforementioned four algorithms among humans, mice, and rats.
The total number of targets for all the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs among
different species did not show significant enrichment in humans (Fig. S9C),
suggesting the sheer number of target genes does not increase in humans. We
then compared the number of target genes per miRNA. When comparing the
number of target genes per miRNA for all the miRNAs (baseline) between humans
and mice, we found that on a per miRNA basis, human miRNAs have more targets
than murine miRNAs (p<0.05, t-test) (Fig. S9D), consistent with higher biological
complexity in humans. This became even more obvious for the X-linked *miR-506*
family (p<0.05, t-test) (Fig. S9D). In humans, the X-linked *miR-506* family, on a per
miRNA basis, targets a significantly greater number of genes than the average of
all miRNAs combined (p<0.05, t-test) (Fig. S9D). In contrast, in mice, we observed
no significant difference in the number of targets per miRNA between X-linked
miRNAs and all of the mouse miRNAs combined (mouse baseline) (Fig. S9D).
These results suggest that although the sheer number of target genes remains the
same between humans and mice, the human X-linked *miR-506* family targets a
greater number of genes than the murine counterpart on a per miRNA basis. We
also investigated the number of *miR-506* family miRNA targeting sites within the
individual target genes in both humans and mice, but no significant differences
were found between humans and mice (Fig. 6 G). To determine whether increased
target sites in humans were due to the expansion of the MER91C DNA
transposon, we analyzed the MER91C DNA transposon-containing transcripts and
associated them with our DETs. Of interest, 28 human and 3 mouse mRNAs
possess 3'UTRs containing MER91C DNA sequences, and only 3 and 0 out of
those 28 and 3 genes belonged to DETs in humans and mice, respectively (Fig.
S9E), suggesting a minimal effect of MER91C DNA transposon expansion on the
number of target sites. Taken together, these results suggest the human X-linked
miR-506 family has been subjected to additional selective pressure, causing them
to exert additional regulatory functions by targeting more mRNAs expressed during
spermatogenesis (Fig. 6 H).
522
523
524 **Discussion**
525
Successful reproduction is pivotal for the perpetuation of species, and sperm are
constantly facing selective pressures (60). To enhance their chance to fertilize
eggs, sperm need to adapt accordingly, and miRNAs-mediated regulation of gene
expression in spermatogenesis provides a rapidly adaptable mechanism toward
this end. Although miRNAs were initially believed to be evolutionarily conserved,
the number of non-conserved miRNAs has been steadily increasing (61). Among
the non-conserved miRNAs, many are derived from TEs, suggesting that TEs may
serve as a major source of miRNA sequences (61). The delayed recognition of TE-
derived miRNAs, in part, results from the fact that repetitive sequences were

usually excluded during the computational annotation of miRNAs. In theory, TEs
can serve as a good donor of miRNA sequences for the following reasons: 1) TEs
are ubiquitous and abundant in the genome and are known to contribute to the
regulatory elements of the coding genes, e.g., UTRs (62). As one of the regulatory
factors that target mainly the 3'UTRs, TE-derived miRNAs can regulate a larger
number of mRNAs with multiple miRNA-targeting sites. 2) TEs are among the most
rapidly evolving sequences in the genome (63) and thus, can continuously produce
species/lineage-specific miRNA genes to diversify their regulatory effects.
Consistent with these notions, the present study provides evidence supporting that
the *miR-506* family miRNAs originated from the MER91C DNA transposons. Of
more interest, the *miR-506* family miRNAs, despite their rapid evolution, are all
expressed in spermatogenic cells in the testis and sperm, supporting a lineage-
specific functional diversification of TE-derived miRNAs. In fact, the *miR-506* family
miRNAs were among the first reported TE-derived miRNAs because of their
location in a small region of the X chromosome and their confined, abundant
expression in the testis (16). RNAs are much less abundant in sperm than in
somatic or spermatogenic cells (~1/100) (64). Sperm-borne small RNAs represent
a small fraction of total small RNAs expressed in their precursor spermatogenic
cells, including spermatocytes and spermatids (64). Therefore, when the same
amount of total/small RNAs are used for quantitative analyses, sperm-borne small
RNAs (e.g., *miR-506* family miRNAs) would be proportionally enriched in sperm
compared to other spermatogenic cells.
It has been demonstrated that under certain circumstances, genes that
evolve under sexual conflicts tend to move to the X chromosome, especially when
they are male-beneficial, female-deleterious, and act recessively (65, 66). The X
chromosome is enriched with genes associated with male reproduction (15, 16,
67). The rapid evolution of the X-linked *miR-506* family strongly suggests that
these miRNA genes were under selection to expand and diversify their regulatory
effects on spermatogenesis. Indeed, our data strongly suggest that targeting new
mRNAs was likely the driving force for the rapid evolution of the *miR-506* family of
miRNAs. However, expansion and sequence divergence of the X-linked *miR-506*
family may simply reflect natural drifting without functional significance, similar to
some of the pachytene piRNA clusters (30). We argue that the neutral drifting
theory may not be true to the *miR-506* family for the following reasons: 1) Despite
highly divergent overall sequences of the *miR-506* family, some miRNAs share the
same seed regions across multiple species, suggesting that these regions may
undergo strong selections. 2) The *miR-506* family miRNAs, especially the FmiRs,
are highly conserved in modern humans, implying a strong selection of these
miRNAs. 3) Knockout the *miR-506* family (either quinKO or XS) results in male
subfertility, reflecting a biological function. 4) The quinKO sperm are less
competitive than the WT sperm both in *vivo* and *in vitro*. 5) Several human studies
have linked the dysregulation of the *miR-506* family with male infertility/subfertility
(44-48). Similarly, one study in *Drosophila* also showed that the rapidly evolving
testis-restricted miRNAs underwent adaptive evolution rather than neutral drifting
(68).

Since TEs are abundant in UTRs, TE-derived miRNAs can target a much greater
number of mRNAs than those derived from distinct non-repetitive genomic loci
(61). Indeed, thousands of the dysregulated genes detected in the *miR-465* sKO,
dKO, tKO, quadKO and quinKO testes are involved in multiple pathways of
spermatogenesis. By analyzing the sequence divergence, we noticed that the
most common sequence substitutions among all of the *miR-506* miRNA sequences
were U-to-C and A-to-G, which were likely mediated by ADARs (adenosine
deaminases acting on RNA) that can change A to I (which is functionally equivalent
to G) (69). Interestingly, ~90% of the A-to-I editing appears to have occurred in Alu
elements (belonging to the SINE family), and some of the edits occurred in
miRNAs (69). Since G and U can form the so-called G-U wobble base pair (70),
those U-to-C or A-to-G substitutions can, in theory, target similar sequences and
exert regulatory functions (71), suggesting that the evolving miRNA sequences
could target not only the original sequences but also new sites with similar
sequences. Consistent with this notion, the predicted target genes of the *miR-506*
family in mice can also be found in rats and humans, suggesting the target genes
are shared across species despite the quick divergence of the miRNA sequences
across species. This is also supported by our data showing that the binding sites
for the *miR-506* family of miRNAs are more conserved than the surrounding, non-
targeting regions in the 3'UTRs of the predicted target mRNAs. Furthermore, seed
sequences among some *miR-506* family miRNAs remain the same despite the
high divergence of these miRNAs, and these conserved seed sequences appear to
be present in the dominant mature miRNAs. Thus, the seed region of these
miRNAs appears to have undergone strong selection. Supporting this notion,
previous studies have shown correlations between miRNA expression and the
evolution of miRNAs and target sites (72, 73). In general, miRNAs repress their
target gene expression. However, numerous studies have also shown that some
miRNAs, such as human *miR-369-3*, *Let-7*, and *miR-373*, mouse *miR-34/449* and
the *miR-506* family, and the synthetic miRNA *miRcxcr4*, activate gene expression
both *in vitro* (74, 75) and *in vivo* (11, 15, 76, 77). Earlier reports have shown that
these miRNAs can upregulate their target gene expression, either by recruiting
FXR1, targeting promoters, or sequestering RNA subcellular locations (11, 74, 75).
Of interest, miRNAs with the same seed sequences may exert divergent functions.
For example, the mature *miR-465a*, *miR-465b*, and *miR-465c* only have a few
mismatches outside of the seed region, but only *miR-465c* exerts functional
activation of *Egr1*. A similar effect has also been reported in the *miR-465* cluster on
the *Alkbh1* 3'UTR activity (18). Similarly, despite the same seed sequences in the
miR-465 or *miR-743* cluster, *miR-465a* and *miR-465c* have differential activating
effects on the 3'UTR of *Crisp1*, and *miR-743a* and *miR-743b* exert opposite effects
on the *Crisp1* 3'UTR , further confirming their functional divergence. Therefore, the
sequences outside of the miRNA seed region may play an important role in their
functions, which have also been observed in *C. elegans* and human HEK293 cells
(18, 78, 79). To unequivocally demonstrate the physiological role of miRNAs, it
would be ideal to delete not only the miRNAs but also their binding sites in their
target transcripts *in vivo*. A few previous studies have established the miRNA:
target relationship by deleting the miRNA-binding sites in target transcripts in *C.*

elegans, *Drosophila*, and cell lines (80-82), but similar studies have not been
reported in mice or humans. The strategy may work in mRNAs with 3'UTRs
containing only one or two miRNA-binding sites, but for more complex 3'UTRs of
mRNAs in mice and humans that often contain multiple binding sites for the same
or different miRNAs, deletion of one miRNA binding site may not cause any
discernable effects as the loss of function can easily be compensated by other
miRNAs. Nevertheless, by deleting all five highly expressed clusters of the *miR-506* family one by one, we were able to overcome the compensatory effects
among the family members/clusters and successfully revealed the physiological
role of this miRNA family. Based on small RNA-seq, some FmiRs, e.g., *miR-201*
and *miR-547*, were upregulated in the SmiRs KO mice, suggesting that this small
cluster may act in concert with the other 5 clusters and thus, worth further
investigation.

It is well-known that mice in the wild are promiscuous, and one female often
mates with multiple males sequentially, giving rise to polyandrous litters derived
from sperm from more than one sire (20, 21). Polyandrous mating establishes a
situation where sperm from multiple males co-exist in the female reproductive tract,
with the most competitive ones fertilizing eggs and producing offspring (22, 83).
Therefore, a male that may be fertile in the monandrous mating scheme may rarely
sire offspring in a polyandrous mating scenario, rendering this male functionally
"sub-fertile" or even "infertile." Therefore, sperm competitiveness reflects the
general reproductive fitness of the male (83). Although the quinKO males tend to
produce smaller litters under the monandrous mating scheme, their sperm counts,
sperm motility and morphology are indistinguishable from those of WT sperm. This
is not surprising given that miRNAs of the *miR-506* family most likely function to
control certain non-essential aspects of spermatogenesis. Sperm can be subject to
competition at multiple steps during fertilization, including their migration through
the female reproductive tract (cervix, uterine cavity and oviduct), binding the
cumulus-oocyte complexes, penetration of zona pellucida, etc. Therefore, IVF may
not be ideal for evaluating sperm competition in the real world as it bypasses
several key sites where sperm competition likely takes place. Artificial insemination
(AI) may represent a better way to assess sperm competition than IVF, but it is
probably less desirable than polyandrous mating for the following reasons: First, in
the wild, sperm from two males rarely, if not never, enter the female reproductive
tract simultaneously. We had tried to place two males into the cage with one
female, but the two males ended up fighting, and the submissive one never mated.
Second, sperm are delivered directly into the uterus or oviduct during AI (84, 85),
thus bypassing the potential sites for sperm competition (e.g., cervix and uterine
cavity). Although our breeding scheme also involves sperm competition, by
shortening the time between the two mating events in a laboratory setting, the
sequential mating method reported here may be further improved to better mimic
the natural polyandrous mating in the future. Moreover, future analyses of the
quinKO sperm may help identify biochemical or molecular biomarkers for sperm
competitiveness.

In summary, our data suggest that the *miR-506* family miRNAs are derived
from the MER91C DNA transposon. These miRNAs share many of their targets

672 and can compensate for each other's absence, and they work jointly through
673 regulating their target genes in spermatogenesis to ensure sperm competitiveness
674 and male reproductive fitness.
675
Materials and Methods

Animal care and use
All mice used in this study were on C57BL/6J background and housed in a
temperature- and humidity-controlled, specific pathogen-free facility under a light-
dark cycle (12:12 light-dark) with food and water *ad libitum*. Animal use protocol was
approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) of the
University of Nevada, Reno and The Lundquist Institute at Harbor-UCLA, and is in
accordance with the "Guide for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals"
established by the National Institutes of Health (1996, revised 2011).
685
Generation of the knockout mice
The single, double, triple, quadruple, and quintuple *miR-506* KO mice were
generated as previously described (15). Briefly, Cas9 mRNA (200 ng/µl) and gRNAs
flanking the *miR-506* family subclusters (100 ng/µl) were mixed and injected into the
cytoplasm of zygotes in the M2 medium. After injection, all embryos were cultured
for 1 h in KSOM+AA medium (cat. #MR-121-D, Millipore) at 37°C under 5% CO_2 in
the air before being transferred into 7–10-week-old female CD1 recipients. The *miR-*
883 sKO or the *miR-465* sKO mice were first generated. After two rounds of
backcrossing with C57BL/6J mice, the *miR-741* cluster was knocked out on the *miR-*
883 sKO background to generate dKO mice. After two rounds of backcrossing of the
dKO with C57BL/6J mice, the *miR-465* cluster or *miR-471* and *miR-470* clusters
were further deleted, thereby generating tKO or quadKO mice. Lastly, the *miR-465*
cluster was ablated on the quadKO background, leading to the production of quinKO
mice. The XS mice were generated by using only four gRNAs flanking the SmiR
region on the C57BL/6J background. All KO mice were backcrossed with the
C57BL/6J mice for at least five generations before collecting data.
702
Sequential polyandrous mating
Sequential polyandrous mating was carried out based on the ovulation time point
(10-13h after hCG) as previously described (84). Adult (8-12 weeks of age)
C57BL/6J females were injected (*i.p.*) with 7 IU PMSG at 8 p.m., followed by 7 IU
hCG 48h later. After hCG, the first male mouse was put into the cage of one female
from 8 p.m. to 6 a.m. the next day. The first plug was marked with a marker pen. A
second male mouse was then introduced into the cage of the plugged female, which
was checked every 30-40 min to identify a new plug (non-marked). Females that
were plugged twice were kept for producing pups for paternity analyses.
712
***In vitro* fertilization (IVF)**
Adult (8-12 weeks) C57BL/6J female mice were first treated with 7 IU pregnant mare
serum gonadotropin (PMSG, Cat.# HOR-272, Prospecbio) through intraperitoneal
(*i.p.*) injection followed by *i.p.* injection of 7 IU hCG 48h later. Oocytes were collected
from the ampulla ~14 h after the hCG (Cat.# HOR-250, Prospecbio) treatment, and

the cumulus cells surrounding oocytes were removed by treatment with bovine
testicular hyaluronidase (1.5 mg/ml; Cat.# H3506, Sigma,) in M2 (Cat.# MR-015-D,
Millipore) at 37°C for 2 min. The cumulus-free oocytes were washed and kept in
equilibrated HTF (Cat# MR-070-D, Millipore) at a density of 20-30 oocytes per 60 µl
HTF at 37°C in an incubator with air containing 5% CO_2 prior to IVF. Cauda
epididymal sperm were collected in 100 µl of equilibrated HTF medium, allowing
spermatozoa to capacitate for ~30 min at 37°C in an incubator containing 5% CO2
air. After capacitation, spermatozoa (2 µl) were diluted by 10 folds and subjected to
computer-assisted sperm analyses (CASA) using the Sperm Analyzer Mouse Traxx
(Hamilton-Thorne). Based on the sperm concentration, an aliquot of 2.5 x 10^8
spermatozoa was added into each HTF-oocytes drop (~60µl) for IVF. ~4 h later,
zygotes were washed and cultured in KSOM+AA (Cat.# MR-121-D, Millipore) until
the blastocyst stage at 37°C in an incubator with air containing 5% CO_2. The 2-cell
embryos were counted 24-26 h after IVF, and blastocysts were counted and
analyzed under a fluorescence microscope 70-72 h after IVF.
733
Artificial insemination (AI)
At least 2-month-old female CD1 or C57BL/6J mice were administrated with 2.5 IU
of PMSG (Cat.# HOR-272, Prospecbio) at 5:30 PM 3 days before artificial
insemination, followed by 2.5 IU of hCG (Cat.# HOR-250, Prospecbio) at 5:00 PM 1
day prior to AI. The next morning at 8:00 AM, ~2-month-old mTmG and quinKO male
mice were sacrificed, the cauda epididymis was dissected, and fat tissue and blood
were removed before placing the cauda epididymis into 500µl or 150µl of
EmbryoMax® Human Tubal Fluid (HTF) (1X) (Cat.# MR-070-D, Millipore Sigma)
containing 4mg/ml BSA (Cat.# 12659-250GM, EMD Millipore Corp.) (HTF-BSA)
covered with 4 ml of mineral oil (Cat.# M8410-500ML, Sigma). Three incisions were
made on the cauda to allow sperm to swim out and to get capacitated for at least 30
min. 25 µl of mTmG and 25 µl quinKO sperm suspensions were mixed, and 40µl (if
using 500 µl HTF-BSA) or 25µl (if using 150 µl HTF-BSA) of the mixed sperm were
delivered to superovulated females using C&I Device for Mice (Cat.# 60020,
Paratech) at 9:00 AM. Recipients were immediately paired with vasectomized males
overnight. The next day, the plug was checked and the female mice with plugs were
used for collecting embryonic day 10 (E10) embryos, and the ones without plugs
were used for collecting zygotes, 2-cell embryos, morulae, or blastocysts embryos.
752
Mouse genotyping
Mouse tail snips were lysed in a lysis buffer (40mM NaOH, 0.2mM EDTA, pH=12)
for 1h at 95°C, followed by neutralization with the same volume of neutralizing buffer
(40mM Tris-HCl, pH 5.0). PCR reactions were conducted using the 2×GoTaq Green
master mix (Promega, Cat. M7123). The primers used for genotyping are the same
as previously described (15). For single embryo genotyping (e.g. zygotes, 2-cell
embryos, 4-cell embryos, morulae, and blastocysts), each embryo was picked up by
mouse pipetting and transferred into a 200µl tube, and lysed in 10 µl of lysis buffer
(100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM KCl, 0.02% gelatin, 0.45% Tween 20, 60 µg/mL
yeast tRNA, and 125 µg/mL proteinase K) at 55 °C for 30min followed by inactivation
at 95 °C for 10min. 2µl of the lysis was used as the template for the first round of

PCR (30 cycles) in a 10µl reaction using the PrimeSTAR® HS DNA Polymerase
(Cat.# R010B, Takara) or 2×GoTaq Green master mix (Cat.# M7123, Promega).
Then 2µl of the first PCR was used for the second round of PCR in a 10µl reaction
using 2×GoTaq Green master mix (Cat.# M7123, Promega) for 35 cycles. Primers
used for embryo genotyping were included in Table S8.
769
openCASA
Sperm parameters were assessed using openCASA (86). After sperm capacitation
in HTF containing 4%BSA at 37 °C for 30min, the video was recorded as an AVI
format at 60 frames per second (FPS) for 2 seconds with a resolution of 768*576
pixels using UPlan FL N 4x/0.13 PhP Objective Lens (Olympus) and DMK
33UP1300 camera (The imaging source). Motility module in openCASA was set with
the following parameters: 1.21 Microns per Pixel, the cell size of 10-200 µm^2,
Progressive motility (STR>50%, VAP>50), Minimum VCL of 10 µm/s, VCL threshold
of 30-200 µm/s, 60 Frame Rate (frames/S), 10 Minimum Track Length (frames), 20
µm Maximum displacement between frames, and Window Size (frames) of 4.
780
Analysis of conservation of *miR-506* family in modern humans using the 1000
Genomes Project (1kgp)
The vcf files from the 1000 Genomes Project covering 3202 samples were
downloaded from
http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/data_collections/1000G_2504_high_cove
rage/working/20220422_3202_phased_SNV_INDEL_SV/. The miRNA annotations
were obtained from UCSC genome browser, and pachytene piRNA hg19 genome
coordinance was obtained from (30) and converted to GRCh38 genome
coordinance using LiftOver. The derived allele frequency (DAF) was retrieved from
the vcf file, and mean nucleotide diversity (MND) was calculated as 2*DAF*(1-DAF)
as previously described (30). Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical analysis,
and adjusted p < 0.05 was identified as statistically significant.
793
Overexpression of MER91C
RNA structures for MER91C were predicted using RNAfold (87). MER91C DNA
transposons from humans (*hsa-miR-513a1*), dogs (*cfa-miR-507b*), and horses (*eca-*
miR-514a) were synthesized by IDT and inserted into pCI-Neo plasmid (Cat.# E1841,
Promega) using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (Cat.# E2621L, NEB).
150ng of pCI-Neo (negative control) or pCI-Neo-Mer91c were transfected with or
without 150ng of pcDNA3.1+_FH-AGO2-WT (Plasmid # 92006, Addgene) into
HEK293T cells at ~60% confluency in 24-well plates. 24h later, cells were harvested
followed by RNA extraction using mirVana™ miRNA Isolation Kit (Cat# AM1561,
Thermo Fisher Scientific), polyadenylation by E. coli Poly(A) Polymerase (Cat.#
M0276L, NEB), reverse transcription using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase
(Cat.# 18090010, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and PCR using 2×GoTaq Green master
mix (Cat.# M7123, Promega) or qPCR by PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix
(Cat.# A25742, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primers used for RT-PCR, PCR, and
qPCR were included in Table S8.
809

Bioinformatic analyses of transposable element (TE)

Genomic regions and GFF3 files for transcript 3'UTR annotations were downloaded from the UCSC genome browser, and GTF files for transposon annotations were downloaded from https://labshare.cshl.edu/shares/mhammelllab/www-data/TEtranscripts/TE_GTF/. For the TE containing transcripts, bedtools closest was used to extract the closest TEs to transcripts. Genomes used were GRCh38 (humans), panTro6 (chimpanzees), equCab3 (horses), GRCm39 (mice), and rn7 (rats).

Phylogenetic tree analysis of the MER91C DNA transposon and the *miR-506* family miRNAs

The transposon fasta sequences from humans, dogs, horses, and guinea pigs were downloaded from the UCSC genome browser and aligned to the *miR-506* family miRNAs in their corresponding species using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (34). After retrieving the transposons that aligned to the *miR-506* family miRNAs, the *miR-506* family miRNAs and the transposons were aligned using ClustalW2 followed by phylogenetic tree building using IQ-TREE2 with default parameters (88). The final figure was generated using Geneious software.

Purification of germ cells

Pachytene spermatocytes and round spermatids were purified from adult C57BL/6J mice using the STA-PUT method. BSA gradients (2-4%) were prepared in EKRB buffer with a pH of 7.2 containing 1× Krebs-Ringer Bicarbonate Buffer (Cat.# K4002, Sigma), 1.26 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Cat.# S6761, Sigma), 1× GlutaMAX (Cat.# 35050061, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1× Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Cat.# 15240062, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1× MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (Cat.# 11140050, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1×MEM Amino Acids (Cat.# 11130051, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 100 ng/ml cycloheximide (Cat.# 01810, Sigma). After being removed and decapsulated, testes were placed into 10 ml of EKRB buffer containing 0.5 mg/ml type IV collagenase (Cat.# C5138, Sigma) and digested at 33 °C for ~12min to dissociate the seminiferous tubules. Once dissociated, the seminiferous tubules were washed three times using EKRB buffer to remove the interstitial cells and red blood cells followed by trypsin digestion by incubation at 33 °C for ~12 min with occasional pipetting in 10 ml EKRB buffer containing 0.25 mg/ml trypsin (Cat.# T9935, Sigma) and 20 µg/ml DNase I (Cat.# DN25, Sigma). 1 mL of 4% BSA-EKRB was added to the 10 mL fully dispersed testicular cells to neutralize the trypsin digestion followed by centrifuge at 800g for 5min at 4°C. Testicular cells were washed two times with EKRB buffer and resuspended in 10 mL 0.5% BSA-EKRB. The cell suspension was passed through 70 µm cell strainer (Cat.# 431751, Corning) and loaded onto the STA-PUT apparatus containing 2-4% BSA-EKRB gradients for sedimentation. After 2-3 h sedimentation at 4 °C, cell fractions were collected from the bottom of the sedimentation chamber. Fractions containing the same cell types were pooled and saved for RNA sequencing.

Library construction and RNA-seq

RNA was extracted using the mirVana™ miRNA Isolation Kit (Cat.# AM1561,
Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. Large RNA
(>200nt) and small RNA (<200nt) were isolated separately for library construction.
Small RNA libraries were constructed using NEBNext® Small RNA Library Prep Set
for Illumina® (Multiplex Compatible) (Cat.# E7330L, NEB) following the
manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using HiSeq 2500 system for single-end
50bp sequencing. Large RNA libraries were constructed using the KAPA Stranded
RNA-Seq Kit with RiboErase (Cat.# KK8483, Roche) and the adaptor from
NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® (Index Primers Set 1, Cat.# E7335L, NEB).
The indexed large RNA libraries were sequenced using Nextseq 500 with paired-
end 75bp sequencing.
866
Large and small RNA-Seq data analysis
For the large RNA-seq data, raw sequences were trimmed by Trimmomatic (89),
followed by alignment using Hisat2 (90), and assembly using StringTie (90). Reads
were summarized using featureCounts (91) and the differential gene expression was
compared using DESeq2 (92). For each KO mouse sample, the genes with a fold
change ≥ 2 and FDR < 0.05 were considered DEGs. The DEGs in each KO mouse
were then intersected with the corresponding miRNA targets predicted by four
different algorithms, including TargetScan (54), microrna.org (55), miRWalk (56),
and mirDB (57). The gene is considered a putative target (differentially expressed
target, DETs) as long as it intersects with the targets predicted by any method
mentioned above. The DETs identified in each KO mouse sample were intersected
with other KO mouse samples, and the DETs intersected at least two different KO
mouse samples were selected as the "pool" of DETs in mice. Then the DETs "pool"
in mice was intersected with *miR-506* family predicted targets in humans and rats to
determine the shared targets among humans, rats, and mice. A mRNA that any *miR-506* family member is targeting is deemed as the shared target.
For the small RNA-seq data, we applied the AASRA (93) pipeline (for mice) or
SPORTS1.0 (94) (for humans, monkeys, rats, and horses) to parse the raw
sequencing data. The clean reads were mapped against miRbase (28). The DESeq2
(92) (for mice) or edgeR (95) (for humans, monkeys, rats, and horses) algorithm was
used to compare the groupwise miRNA expression levels. The RNAs with a false
discovery rate (FDR) < 5% were deemed differentially expressed. Cohen's d was
computed by the "cohensD" function within the "lsr" package.
890
Luciferase assay
For luciferase reporter assays, the 3′ UTR of *Crisp1*, *Egr1*, *hCRISP1*, and
hFMR1 were amplified using C57BL/6J tail snips or HEK293 cells genomic DNA
template with Q5® Hot Start High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (Cat.# M0494L, NEB). The
PCR products were inserted into psiCHECK-2 vector (Cat.# C8021, Promega) via
Xho I (Cat.# R0146S, NEB) and Not I (Cat.# R3189S, NEB) restriction enzymes
cutting sites downstream of the Renilla luciferase-coding sequence using either
NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (Cat.# E2621L, NEB) or T4 DNA ligase
(Cat.# M0202L, NEB). For the miRNA overexpression plasmids, ~300bp upstream
and downstream of the precursor miRNA genomic region were amplified using

C57BL/6J tail snips or HEK293 cells genomic DNA with Q5® Hot Start High-Fidelity
2X Master Mix (Cat.# M0494L, NEB) or PrimeSTAR® HS DNA Polymerase (Cat.#
R010B, Takara), and inserted into pcDNA3.1 plasmids using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA
Assembly Master Mix (Cat.# E2621L, NEB). HEK293T cells were co-transfected
with 150ng pcDNA3.1-miRNA and 150 ng psiCHECK-2 containing the 3′ UTR of the
target gene using Lipofectamine 3000 (Cat.# L3000015, Thermofisher Scientific) in
a 24-well cell culture plate (Cat.# 3524, Corning) at ~60% confluency. After 24 h of
culture, cells were lysed and assayed with Dual Luciferase Assays (Cat.# E1910,
Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Renilla luciferase signals
were normalized to Firefly luciferase signals to adjust the transfection efficiency.
pcDNA3.1-cel-miR-67 which has a minimal sequence identity to the miRNAs in
humans, mice and rats was used as a negative control miRNA. Primers used for
generating plasmids containing miRNAs or 3'UTR of the target genes were included
in Table S8.
915
Immunofluorescence
Cauda sperm were capacitated in HTF at 37 °C for half an hour followed by
spreading onto Superfrost Plus slides (Cat.# 22-037-246, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
The slides were air-dried, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min (Cat.# J19943-
K2, Thermo Fisher Scientific), then washed twice in 0.4% Photo-Flo 200 (Cat.#
1464510, Kodak) /1×PBS (5 min/wash), followed by a 5 min wash in 0.4% Photo-
Flo 200/ddH$_2$O, and stored in -80 °C after air-dried. The slides were equilibrated to
room temperature before immunofluorescence, followed by incubation in acetone
for 20 min at 4°C and rehydration in 95% ethanol twice (5 min/wash), 70% ethanol
twice (5 min/wash) and 1×PBS for 3 times (5 min/wash) sequentially. Heat-induced
antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) with high power for 4 min
once, and three times with low power for 4 min in microwave. Slides were cooled
down to room temperature and washed in 1×PBS twice (5 min/wash). Following
permeabilization with 0.25% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat.# T8787) in 1×PBS
for 20 min at room temperature, the slides were washed with 1× PBS three times (5
min/wash), and incubated at 3% H$_2$O$_2$ solution to block endogenous peroxidase
activity. After washing in 1× PBS twice (5 min/wash), the slides were blocked with
1× blocking solution (5% normal donkey serum, 5% fetal bovine serum, and 1%
bovine serum albumin in 1×PBS) at room temperature for 1h, then incubated with
the anti-CRISP-1 antibody (Cat.# AF4675-SP, R&D systems, 1: 100 in 1× blocking
solution) at 4 °C overnight. After primary antibody incubation, the slides were
washed in 1× PBS three times (10 min/wash), followed by incubation in the Donkey
Anti-Goat IgG H&L (HRP) (Cat.# ab97110, Abcam, 1: 250 in 1× blocking solution)
at room temperature for 1 h, and 3 times washes in 1× PBS (10 min/wash). Tyramide
signal amplification was performed and stopped using reagents from Invitrogen™
Alexa Fluor™ 488 Tyramide SuperBoost™ Kit (Cat. # B40941, Thermo Fisher
Scientific), followed by mounting and counterstaining in Antifade Mounting Medium
with DAPI (Cat.# H-1800, Vector Lab). Nail polish was applied on the edge of the
coverslips after 2h of mounting to prevent further evaporation and stored at 4°C
before taking images. Images were taken using the Nikon ECLIPSE Ti2 Confocal
microscope with the NIS-Elements Software.

Western blot

Western blot
Testes from adult WT and KO mice were collected and sonicated in 2 × Laemmli
buffer (Cat.# 1610737, Bio-Rad) supplemented with 2-Mercaptoethanol (Cat.#
M6250, Sigma-Aldrich) and cOmplete™, Mini, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail
(Cat.# 11836170001, Sigma-Aldrich) followed by incubating at 100°C for 10 min.
The proteins were separated on 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ Precast Protein
Gels (Cat.# 4561094, Bio-Rad) and then transferred onto Amersham™ Protran®
Premium Western blotting membranes, nitrocellulose (Cat.# GE10600003, Sigma-
Aldrich). The membranes were then stained with Ponceau S solution (Cat.# P7170,
Sigma-Aldrich) to check the samples' loading. After taking pictures, the membrane
was de-stained with 0.1 M NaOH, and washed with water and TBS. Then the
membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk in TBST (TBS containing 0.1% (v/v)
Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with the anti-CRISP-1
antibody (Cat.# AF4675-SP, R&D systems, 1: 2000 in TBST containing 5% skim
milk) and anti-GAPDH antibody (Cat.# G9545, Sigma, 1: 6000 in TBST) overnight
at 4°C. After washing with TBST three times, the membrane was incubated with the
Donkey Anti-Goat IgG H&L (HRP) (Cat.# ab97110, Abcam) or Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG
H&L (HRP) (Cat.# ab6721, Abcam) at room temperature for 1 h. Followed by three
washes with TBST, the bands were detected using the WesternBright ECL kit (Cat.#
K-12045-D20, Advansta).

T7 endonuclease I (T7EI) assay
The potential off-target sites that may be induced by CRISPR-Cas9 were predicted
using Alt-R Custom Cas9 crRNA Design Tool (IDT) and assessed by T7
endonuclease I (Cat.# M0302L, NEB) assay. The sequences were retrieved from
the UCSC genome browser, and the primers flanking the off-target sites were
designed to cover ~600bp. Genomic DNA from WT C57BL/6J or quinKO was
amplified using Q5® Hot Start High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (Cat.# M0494L, NEB) or
PrimeSTAR® HS DNA Polymerase (Cat.# R010B, Takara) with the designed off-
target primers. 2µl of the unpurified PCR product was diluted in 1X NEBuffer 2 in a
9.5 µl volume and denatured at 95°C for 5min, followed by annealing at 95-85°C at
a -2°C/second rate, and 85-25°C at a -0.1°C/second rate. Then 0.5 µl of T7EI was
added to the 9.5 µl denatured PCR products and incubated at 37°C for 30min. The
T7EI-treated PCR products were run on 1X TBE gel and stained with SYBR™ Gold
Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Cat.# S11494, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to detect the off-
target effects. Primers used for T7EI were included in Table S8.

miRNA and 3' UTR conservation analysis
The Multiz Alignment and Conservation method was used to measure miRNA
sequence conservation with either the human or the mice genome as the reference
(25, 96). 100 and 60 species were used for the human and mouse references,
respectively. PhastCons takes the flanking sequences into consideration and
doesn't rely on fixed sliding windows; consequently, both highly conserved short
sequences and moderately conserved long sequences can yield higher scores (97).
PhastCons gives a value between 0 to 1, the higher the value is, the more conserved

the region is. By contrast, PhyloP compares the conservation of individual nucleotides among all phylogeny clades, giving positive scores once the region is conserved and *vice versa*. PhyloP and PhastCons scores of all miRNAs, *miR-506* family, *Fmr1* CDS, *Slitrk2* CDS, and the intergenic region (IGR) were retrieved from the UCSC genome browser and quantified. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical analysis, and adjusted $p < 0.05$ was identified as statistically significant. PhyloP scheme was used to measure the evolutionary conservation level at individual nucleotide sites in the 3'UTRs of the target genes. Positive PhyloP scores suggest higher conservation and stronger purifying selection, whereas negative PhyloP scores indicate accelerated evolution and potential adaptive selection. The genomic annotations and mRNA sequences were based on the hg38 (25) ('TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38.knownGene' and 'BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38') and mm10 ('TxDb.Mmusculus.UCSC.mm10.knownGene' and 'BSgenome.Mmusculus.UCSC.mm10') assemblies for human and mouse, respectively. The PhyloP scores were mapped to individual nucleotides in 3'UTRs based on the transcript coordinates (98).

Data Availability
The sRNA-seq and RNA-seq datasets have been deposited into the SRA database with accession#: PRJNA558973 and PRJNA670945. The scripts for sRNA analysis can be found on GitHub (https://github.com/biogramming/AASRA and https://github.com/junchaoshi/sports1.1).

Statistical analyses
Data are presented as mean ± SEM, and statistical differences between datasets were assessed by two samples t-test, F-test, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test as the posthoc test following one-way ANOVA, Chi-squared test, or Kruskal-Wallis test as described in the text or figure legends. Normal distribution was assessed by quantile-quantile (QQ) plot or density plot. $p < 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001 are considered as statistically significant and indicated with *, **, ***, and **** respectively.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Kevin J. Peterson, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, for his help with phylogenetic analyses of the *miR-506* miRNA genes. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (HD071736, HD085506, HD098593, HD099924, and P30GM110767 to WY), NIH/National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) UCLA CTSI (UL1TR001881-01 to ZW and WY), and the Templeton Foundation (PID: 61174 to WY). Work in the Lai lab was supported by the NIH (R01-GM083300 and R01-HD108914) and Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute Grant P30-CA008748.

References

1. E. F. Oakberg, Duration of spermatogenesis in the mouse. *Nature* **180**, 1137-1138 (1957).
2. B. Muciaccia *et al.*, Novel stage classification of human spermatogenesis based on acrosome development. *Biol Reprod* **89**, 60 (2013).
3. N. H. Gu, W. L. Zhao, G. S. Wang, F. Sun, Comparative analysis of mammalian sperm ultrastructure reveals relationships between sperm morphology, mitochondrial functions and motility. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* **17**, 66 (2019).
4. L. Hermo, R. M. Pelletier, D. G. Cyr, C. E. Smith, Surfing the wave, cycle, life history, and genes/proteins expressed by testicular germ cells. Part 1: background to spermatogenesis, spermatogonia, and spermatocytes. *Microsc Res Tech* **73**, 241-278 (2010).
5. P. Khaitovich, W. Enard, M. Lachmann, S. Paabo, Evolution of primate gene expression. *Nat Rev Genet* **7**, 693-702 (2006).
6. R. K. Idler, W. Yan, Control of messenger RNA fate by RNA-binding proteins: an emphasis on mammalian spermatogenesis. *J Androl* **33**, 309-337 (2012).
7. S. Ro, C. Park, K. M. Sanders, J. R. McCarrey, W. Yan, Cloning and expression profiling of testis-expressed microRNAs. *Dev Biol* **311**, 592-602 (2007).
8. Q. Wu *et al.*, The RNase III enzyme DROSHA is essential for microRNA production and spermatogenesis. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 25173-25190 (2012).
9. M. D. Papaioannou *et al.*, Sertoli cell Dicer is essential for spermatogenesis in mice. *Dev Biol* **326**, 250-259 (2009).
10. Y. Zhang *et al.*, MicroRNAs control mRNA fate by compartmentalization based on 3' UTR length in male germ cells. *Genome Biol* **18**, 105 (2017).
11. M. Guo *et al.*, Uncoupling transcription and translation through miRNA-dependent poly(A) length control in haploid male germ cells. *Development* **149** (2022).
12. D. P. Bartel, MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* **136**, 215-233 (2009).
13. C. Mayr, Evolution and Biological Roles of Alternative 3'UTRs. *Trends Cell Biol* **26**, 227-237 (2016).
14. P. J. Thompson, T. S. Macfarlan, M. C. Lorincz, Long Terminal Repeats: From Parasitic Elements to Building Blocks of the Transcriptional Regulatory Repertoire. *Mol Cell* **62**, 766-776 (2016).
15. Z. Wang *et al.*, X-linked miR-506 family miRNAs promote FMRP expression in mouse spermatogonia. *EMBO Rep* **21**, e49024 (2020).
16. R. Song *et al.*, Many X-linked microRNAs escape meiotic sex chromosome inactivation. *Nat Genet* **41**, 488-493 (2009).
17. J. Bao *et al.*, MicroRNA-449 and microRNA-34b/c function redundantly in murine testes by targeting E2F transcription factor-retinoblastoma protein (E2F-pRb) pathway. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 21686-21698 (2012).

18.   Z. Wang *et al.*, Ablation of the miR-465 Cluster Causes a Skewed Sex Ratio
in Mice. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **13**, 893854 (2022).
19.   E. A. Miska *et al.*, Most Caenorhabditis elegans microRNAs are individually
not essential for development or viability. *PLoS Genet* **3**, e215 (2007).
20.   M. D. Dean, K. G. Ardlie, M. W. Nachman, The frequency of multiple
paternity suggests that sperm competition is common in house mice (Mus
domesticus). *Mol Ecol* **15**, 4141-4151 (2006).
21.   R. C. Firman, L. W. Simmons, Polyandry facilitates postcopulatory
inbreeding avoidance in house mice. *Evolution* **62**, 603-611 (2008).
22.   G. A. Parker, Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the
insects. *Biological reviews* **45**, 525-567 (1970).
23.   R. P. Meisel, T. Connallon, The faster-X effect: integrating theory and data.
Trends Genet **29**, 537-544 (2013).
24.   F. Zhang *et al.*, Evolution of an X-Linked miRNA Family Predominantly
Expressed in Mammalian Male Germ Cells. *Mol Biol Evol* **36**, 663-678
(2019).
25.   J. Casper *et al.*, The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2018 update.
Nucleic Acids Res **46**, D762-D769 (2018).
26.   F. Cabanettes, C. Klopp, D-GENIES: dot plot large genomes in an
interactive, efficient and simple way. *PeerJ* **6**, e4958 (2018).
27.   B. Fromm *et al.*, MirGeneDB 2.0: the metazoan microRNA complement.
Nucleic Acids Res **48**, D1172 (2020).
28.   A. Kozomara, M. Birgaoanu, S. Griffiths-Jones, miRBase: from microRNA
sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D155-D162 (2019).
29.   M. Byrska-Bishop *et al.*, High-coverage whole-genome sequencing of the
expanded 1000 Genomes Project cohort including 602 trios. *Cell* **185**, 3426-
3440 e3419 (2022).
30.   D. M. Ozata *et al.*, Evolutionarily conserved pachytene piRNA loci are highly
divergent among modern humans. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 156-168 (2020).
31.   N. V. Fedoroff, Presidential address. Transposable elements, epigenetics,
and genome evolution. *Science* **338**, 758-767 (2012).
32.   Z. Wang *et al.*, Efficient genome editing by CRISPR-Mb3Cas12a in mice. *J*
Cell Sci **133** (2020).
33.   A. McKenna *et al.*, Whole-organism lineage tracing by combinatorial and
cumulative genome editing. *Science* **353**, aaf7907 (2016).
34.   S. F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, D. J. Lipman, Basic local
alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403-410 (1990).
35.   J. Giordano *et al.*, Evolutionary history of mammalian transposons
determined by genome-wide defragmentation. *PLoS Comput Biol* **3**, e137
(2007).
36.   J. K. Pace, 2nd, C. Feschotte, The evolutionary history of human DNA
transposons: evidence for intense activity in the primate lineage. *Genome*
Res **17**, 422-432 (2007).
37.   T. Hirano *et al.*, Small RNA profiling and characterization of piRNA clusters
in the adult testes of the common marmoset, a model primate. *RNA* **20**,
1223-1237 (2014).

38.   R. Zhang, Y. Peng, W. Wang, B. Su, Rapid evolution of an X-linked
microRNA cluster in primates. *Genome Res* **17**, 612-617 (2007).
39.   E. M. Koenig *et al.*, The beagle dog MicroRNA tissue atlas: identifying
translatable biomarkers of organ toxicity. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 649 (2016).
40.   A. Keller *et al.*, miRNATissueAtlas2: an update to the human miRNA tissue
atlas. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D211-D221 (2022).
41.   P. R. Bushel *et al.*, RATEmiRs: the rat atlas of tissue-specific and enriched
miRNAs for discerning baseline expression exclusivity of candidate
biomarkers. *RNA Biol* **17**, 630-636 (2020).
42.   I. V. Gainetdinov *et al.*, Assessment of piRNA biogenesis and function in
testicular germ cell tumors and their precursor germ cell neoplasia in situ.
BMC Cancer **18**, 20 (2018).
43.   B. Li *et al.*, Identification of piRNAs and piRNA clusters in the testes of the
Mongolian horse. *Sci Rep* **9**, 5022 (2019).
44.   M. Abu-Halima *et al.*, Altered microRNA expression profiles of human
spermatozoa in patients with different spermatogenic impairments. *Fertil*
Steril **99**, 1249-1255 e1216 (2013).
45.   Z. Heidary, M. Zaki-Dizaji, K. Saliminejad, H. R. Khorram Khorshid,
MicroRNA profiling in spermatozoa of men with unexplained
asthenozoospermia. *Andrologia* **51**, e13284 (2019).
46.   H. Tian *et al.*, Semen-specific miRNAs: Suitable for the distinction of infertile
semen in the body fluid identification? *Forensic Sci Int Genet* **33**, 161-167
(2018).
47.   X. Qing *et al.*, Dysregulation of an X-linked primate-specific epididymal
microRNA cluster in unexplained asthenozoospermia. *Oncotarget* **8**, 56839-
56849 (2017).
48.   C. Wang *et al.*, Altered profile of seminal plasma microRNAs in the
molecular diagnosis of male infertility. *Clin Chem* **57**, 1722-1731 (2011).
49.   B. Xia *et al.*, Widespread Transcriptional Scanning in the Testis Modulates
Gene Evolution Rates. *Cell* **180**, 248-262 e221 (2020).
50.   R. C. Firman, Polyandrous females benefit by producing sons that achieve
high reproductive success in a competitive environment. *Proc Biol Sci* **278**,
2823-2831 (2011).
51.   M. D. Muzumdar, B. Tasic, K. Miyamichi, L. Li, L. Luo, A global double-
fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. *Genesis* **45**, 593-605 (2007).
52.   H. S. Fisher, H. E. Hoekstra, Competition drives cooperation among closely
related sperm of deer mice. *Nature* **463**, 801-803 (2010).
53.   H. S. Fisher, E. Jacobs-Palmer, J. M. Lassance, H. E. Hoekstra, The
genetic basis and fitness consequences of sperm midpiece size in deer
mice. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13652 (2016).
54.   V. Agarwal, G. W. Bell, J. W. Nam, D. P. Bartel, Predicting effective
microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife* **4** (2015).
55.   D. Betel, A. Koppal, P. Agius, C. Sander, C. Leslie, Comprehensive
modeling of microRNA targets predicts functional non-conserved and non-
canonical sites. *Genome Biol* **11**, R90 (2010).

56. H. Dweep, N. Gretz, miRWalk2.0: a comprehensive atlas of microRNA-
target interactions. *Nat Methods* **12**, 697 (2015).
57. Y. Chen, X. Wang, miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional
microRNA targets. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, D127-D131 (2020).
58. V. G. Da Ros *et al.*, Impaired sperm fertilizing ability in mice lacking
Cysteine-RIch Secretory Protein 1 (CRISP1). *Dev Biol* **320**, 12-18 (2008).
59. J. A. Maldera *et al.*, Human fertilization: epididymal hCRISP1 mediates
sperm-zona pellucida binding through its interaction with ZP3. *Mol Hum
Reprod* **20**, 341-349 (2014).
60. E. H. Morrow, How the sperm lost its tail: the evolution of aflagellate sperm.
Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc **79**, 795-814 (2004).
61. J. Piriyapongsa, L. Marino-Ramirez, I. K. Jordan, Origin and evolution of
human microRNAs from transposable elements. *Genetics* **176**, 1323-1337
(2007).
62. I. K. Jordan, I. B. Rogozin, G. V. Glazko, E. V. Koonin, Origin of a
substantial fraction of human regulatory sequences from transposable
elements. *Trends Genet* **19**, 68-72 (2003).
63. J. Gonzalez, D. A. Petrov, Evolution of genome content: population
dynamics of transposable elements in flies and humans. *Methods Mol Biol*
855, 361-383 (2012).
64. H. Wang *et al.*, Small RNA shuffling between murine sperm and their
cytoplasmic droplets during epididymal maturation. *Dev Cell* **58**, 779-790
e774 (2023).
65. W. R. Rice, Sex Chromosomes and the Evolution of Sexual Dimorphism.
Evolution **38**, 735-742 (1984).
66. J. R. Gibson, A. K. Chippindale, W. R. Rice, The X chromosome is a hot
spot for sexually antagonistic fitness variation. *Proc Biol Sci* **269**, 499-505
(2002).
67. P. J. Wang, J. R. McCarrey, F. Yang, D. C. Page, An abundance of X-linked
genes expressed in spermatogonia. *Nat Genet* **27**, 422-426 (2001).
68. J. Mohammed *et al.*, Adaptive evolution of testis-specific, recently evolved,
clustered miRNAs in Drosophila. *RNA* **20**, 1195-1209 (2014).
69. K. Nishikura, A-to-I editing of coding and non-coding RNAs by ADARs. *Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol* **17**, 83-96 (2016).
70. G. Varani, W. H. McClain, The G x U wobble base pair. A fundamental
building block of RNA structure crucial to RNA function in diverse biological
systems. *EMBO Rep* **1**, 18-23 (2000).
71. J. G. Doench, P. A. Sharp, Specificity of microRNA target selection in
translational repression. *Genes Dev* **18**, 504-511 (2004).
72. A. Simkin, R. Geissler, A. B. R. McIntyre, A. Grimson, Evolutionary
dynamics of microRNA target sites across vertebrate evolution. *PLoS Genet*
16, e1008285 (2020).
73. J. Meunier *et al.*, Birth and expression evolution of mammalian microRNA
genes. *Genome Res* **23**, 34-45 (2013).
74. S. Vasudevan, Y. Tong, J. A. Steitz, Switching from repression to activation:
microRNAs can up-regulate translation. *Science* **318**, 1931-1934 (2007).

75.   R. F. Place, L. C. Li, D. Pookot, E. J. Noonan, R. Dahiya, MicroRNA-373
induces expression of genes with complementary promoter sequences.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **105**, 1608-1613 (2008).
76.   S. Yuan *et al.*, Motile cilia of the male reproductive system require miR-
34/miR-449 for development and function to generate luminal turbulence.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **116**, 3584-3593 (2019).
77.   S. Yuan *et al.*, Oviductal motile cilia are essential for oocyte pickup but
dispensable for sperm and embryo transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**
(2021).
78.   J. P. Broughton, M. T. Lovci, J. L. Huang, G. W. Yeo, A. E. Pasquinelli,
Pairing beyond the Seed Supports MicroRNA Targeting Specificity. *Mol Cell*
64, 320-333 (2016).
79.   A. Helwak, G. Kudla, T. Dudnakova, D. Tollervey, Mapping the human
miRNA interactome by CLASH reveals frequent noncanonical binding. *Cell*
153, 654-665 (2013).
80.   N. Pinzon *et al.*, microRNA target prediction programs predict many false
positives. *Genome Res* **27**, 234-245 (2017).
81.   M. Ecsedi, M. Rausch, H. Grosshans, The let-7 microRNA directs vulval
development through a single target. *Dev Cell* **32**, 335-344 (2015).
82.   D. L. Garaulet, B. Zhang, L. Wei, E. Li, E. C. Lai, miRNAs and Neural
Alternative Polyadenylation Specify the Virgin Behavioral State. *Dev Cell* **54**,
410-423 e414 (2020).
83.   M. Gomendio, J. Martin-Coello, C. Crespo, C. Magana, E. R. Roldan,
Sperm competition enhances functional capacity of mammalian
spermatozoa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 15113-15117 (2006).
84.   A. Nagy, M. Gertsenstein, K. Vintersten, R. Behringer, *Manipulating the*
mouse embryo: a laboratory manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press
Cold Spring Harbor, NY:, 2003), vol. 10.
85.   B. J. Stone, K. H. Steele, A. Fath-Goodin, A rapid and effective nonsurgical
artificial insemination protocol using the NSET device for sperm transfer in
mice without anesthesia. *Transgenic Res* **24**, 775-781 (2015).
86.   C. Alquezar-Baeta *et al.*, OpenCASA: A new open-source and scalable tool
for sperm quality analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol* **15**, e1006691 (2019).
87.   R. Lorenz *et al.*, ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms Mol Biol* **6**, 26 (2011).
88.   B. Q. Minh *et al.*, IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for
Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 1530-1534
(2020).
89.   A. M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).
90.   M. Pertea, D. Kim, G. M. Pertea, J. T. Leek, S. L. Salzberg, Transcript-level
expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and
Ballgown. *Nat Protoc* **11**, 1650-1667 (2016).
91.   Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose
program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*
30, 923-930 (2014).

92.   M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and
1264   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
1265   93.   C. Tang, Y. Xie, M. Guo, W. Yan, AASRA: an anchor alignment-based small
1266   RNA annotation pipelinedagger. *Biol Reprod* **105**, 267-277 (2021).
1267   94.   J. Shi, E. A. Ko, K. M. Sanders, Q. Chen, T. Zhou, SPORTS1.0: A Tool for
1268   Annotating and Profiling Non-coding RNAs Optimized for rRNA- and tRNA-
1269   derived Small RNAs. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **16**, 144-151
1270   (2018).
1271   95.   M. D. Robinson, D. J. McCarthy, G. K. Smyth, edgeR: a Bioconductor
1272   package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.
1273   *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139-140 (2010).
1274   96.   M. Blanchette *et al.*, Aligning multiple genomic sequences with the threaded
1275   blockset aligner. *Genome Res* **14**, 708-715 (2004).
1276   97.   A. Siepel *et al.*, Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect,
1277   worm, and yeast genomes. *Genome Res* **15**, 1034-1050 (2005).
1278   98.   M. Lawrence *et al.*, Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges.
1279   *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**, e1003118 (2013).
1280

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Genomic location, sequence alignment and evolution conservation of the X-linked *miR-506* family.
A. Genomic location of the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs (black bars) and the two flanking coding genes, *Slitrk2* (green blocks) and *Fmr1* (red blocks). The number of miRNAs within each cluster is indicated underneath the miRNA clusters. B. Evolution conservation of X-linked *miR-506* family based on Multiz Alignment & Conservation using the human genome as a reference. Positive PhyloP scores indicate conservation and vice versa. PhastCons has a score between 0~1, and the higher the score, the more conserved the DNA region is. C-D. Comparison of mean phyloP (C) phastCons (D) scores among CDS of *Slitrk2* and *Fmr1*, intergenic region (IGR), pachytene piRNAs, SmiR, FmiR, and all miRNAs. **, ***, **** indicate adjusted p-value < 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001, respectively. ns, not significant. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical analyses. E-F. Comparison of derived allele (E) and mean nucleotide (F) frequencies among pachytene piRNAs, SmiR, FmiR, and all miRNAs. *, ** and **** indicate adjusted p-value < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.0001, respectively. ns, not significant. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for statistical analyses.

Figure 2. Evolutionary history of the X-linked *miR-506* family.
A. Sequences alignment of FmiRs from various species using human MER91C DNA transposon as the reference. The first line is the human MER91C DNA transposon, and below are the miRNAs of various species. Mismatched nucleotides are highlighted with various colors. B. A phylogenetic tree of the MER91C DNA transposons and the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs. The MER91C DNA transposons are labeled in purple. C. RNA structure of the MER91C DNA transposon-derived miRNA (hsa-miR-513c). D. sRNA-seq reads (lower panel) of the MER91C DNA transposon-derived miRNA, hsa-miR-513c. E. Representative gel images showing expression levels of the MER91C DNA transposon-derived miRNA (hsa-miR-513a1) in HEK293T cells. The asterisk (*) indicates the expected miRNA size. U6 was used as the loading control. F. qPCR analyses of expression levels of MER91C DNA transposon-derived miRNA (hsa-miR-513a1) in HEK293T cells. *, **, **** indicate adjusted p-value < 0.05, 0.01, 0.0001, respectively. One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyses.

Figure 3. Expression profiles of X-linked *miR-506* family in mammalian testes and male germ cells.
A. Heatmaps showing the *miR-506* family expression in the testis, pachytene spermatocytes, round spermatids and sperm in mice. Biological triplicates of the testis samples (n=3) and duplicates of pachytene spermatocytes, round spermatids and sperm samples isolated from 2-4 mice were used for sRNA-seq. *, **, ***, and **** indicate FDR < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001, respectively, when comparing round spermatids to pachytene spermatocytes. #, ##, ###, and #### indicate FDR < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001, respectively, when comparing cauda

sperm to pachytene spermatocytes. ns and na indicate not significantly and not not applicable, respectively.B-C. LogCPM bar graphs showing the *miR-506* family expression in the testis of humans (B) and marmosets (C). D. LogCPM bar graph showing the *miR-506* family expression in sexually immature and mature horse testes. n=3. *, **, ***, and **** indicate FDR < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001, respectively.

Figure 4. Ablation of X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs compromised sperm competitiveness and reproductive fitness in male mice.
A. Schematics showing the strategy used to generate six lines of KO mice lacking individual or combined miRNA clusters within the *miR-506* family using CRISPR-Cas9. B-C. Litter size (B) and litter interval (C) of six *miR-506* KO lines, at least 10 litters from 3 different breeding pairs for each KO line were counted. Dunnett's multiple comparisons test as the posthoc test following one-way ANOVA was used for the statistical analysis. ns, not significant. * and **indicate adjusted p-value <0.05 and 0.01, respectively. D-F. Analyses of testis weight (D), sperm counts (E) and sperm motility (F) in four *miR-506* KO lines. n ≥ 3 and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test as the posthoc test following one-way ANOVA was used for the statistical analysis. G. Testicular histology of WT and four *miR-506* KO lines showing largely normal spermatogenesis. Scale bars = 50 μm. H. Representative genotyping results of the sequential mating experiments. I. Sequential mating of WT female mice with mTmG and quinKO males. Upper panel, an overview of the polyandrous mating scheme. "mTmG V.S. quinKO": mTmG male mice mated first; "quinKO V.S. mTmG": quinKO male mice mated first. J. Percentage of mTmG blastocysts obtained from IVF using WT MII oocytes and mixed sperm from mTmG (control) and quinKO males at different ratios. Data were based on three independent IVF experiments. The expected ratio was indicated as the blue line. Chi-squared test was used for statistical analyses. * and **** indicate p<0.05 and 0.0001, respectively. K. Percentage of mTmG embryos obtained from co-artificial insemination using different ratios of mTmG and quinKO sperm. Data were based on 9 and 3 independent AI experiments for the 1:1 and 1:4 sperm ratio (mTmG: quinKO), respectively. The expected ratio was indicated as the blue line. Chi-squared test was used for statistical analyses. *, p<0.05.

Fig. 5. Target genes and genetic compensation of the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs. A. Intersections of the DETs among different KO testes. B. GO term enrichment analyses of the 431 DETs shared among the four different miR-506 KO testes. C. MA plots showing the expression levels of the miR-506 family miRNAs in WT, sKO, tKO, quadKO, and quinKO testes. Three biological replicates (n=3) were used for sRNA-seq analyses.

Figure 6. Rapid evolution of the X-linked *miR-506* family miRNAs correlates with increased complexity of genetic networks that regulate

spermatogenesis across mammalian species. A. Overlap between the
dysregulated targets in mice and the predicted targets in humans and rats. B.
Comparison of the cumulative distribution between the *miR-506* family targeting
sites and the other regions in humans. *: p< 0.05; t-test was used for statistical
analyses. C. Comparison of the cumulative distribution between the *miR-506*
family targeting sites and the other regions in mice. *: p< 0.05; t-test was used for
statistical analyses. D. Paired comparison of the PhyloP score between the *miR-*
506 family targeting sites and the other regions in humans. E. Paired comparison
of the PhyloP score between the *miR-506* family targeting sites and the other
regions in mice. F. Comparison of the number of the targets per miRNA for the X-
linked *miR-506* family in mice and humans. *: p< 0.05; t-test was used for
statistical analyses. G. The number of target sites within individual target mRNAs
in both humans and mice. H. Schematics show that human *miR-506* family
miRNAs have more targets relative to those of mice during evolution.
1387