Title: Phage predation, disease severity and pathogen genetic

diversity in cholera patients

Authors: Naïma Madi[1,2], Emilee T. Cato[3], Md. Abu Sayeed[3], Ashton Creasy-Marrazzo[3], Aline

Cuénod[1,2], Kamrul Islam[4], Md. Imam UL. Khabir[4#], Md. Taufiqur R. Bhuiyan[4], Yasmin A.

Begum[4], Emma Freeman[3], Anirudh Vustepalli[3], Lindsey Brinkley[3], Manasi Kamat[4], Laura S.

Bailey[5], Kari B. Basso[5], Firdausi Qadri[4], Ashraful I. Khan[4*], B. Jesse Shapiro[1,2,6*], Eric J.

Nelson[3*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Microbiology & Immunology, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

[2] McGill Genome Centre, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

[3] Departments of Pediatrics and Environmental and Global Health, University of Florida,

Gainesville, FL, USA

[4] Infectious Diseases Division (IDD) & Nutrition and Clinical Services Division (NCSD),

International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Dhaka,

Bangladesh

[5] Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[6] McGill Centre for Microbiome Research, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

[*] Corresponding authors

Email: ashrafk@icddrb.org; jesse.shapiro@mcgill.ca; eric.nelson@ufl.edu

23
24 # Present address: Department of Biological Science, Alabama State University, Montgomery,
25 AL, USA
26
27

Abstract

Despite an increasingly detailed picture of the molecular mechanisms of phage-bacterial interactions, we lack an understanding of how these interactions evolve and impact disease within patients. Here we report a year-long, nation-wide study of diarrheal disease patients in Bangladesh. Among cholera patients, we quantified *Vibrio cholerae* (prey) and its virulent phages (predators) using metagenomics and quantitative PCR, while accounting for antibiotic exposure using quantitative mass spectrometry. Virulent phage (ICP1) and antibiotics suppressed *V. cholerae* to varying degrees and were inversely associated with severe dehydration depending on resistance mechanisms. In the absence of anti-phage defenses, predation was 'effective,' with a high predator:prey ratio that correlated with increased genetic diversity among the prey. In the presence of anti-phage defenses, predation was 'ineffective,' with a lower predator:prey ratio that correlated with increased genetic diversity among the predators. Phage-bacteria coevolution within patients should therefore be considered in the deployment of phage-based therapies and diagnostics.

One Sentence Summary

A survey of cholera patients in Bangladesh identifies phage predation as a biomarker of disease severity and driver of coevolution within patients.

MAIN TEXT

Introduction

Cholera is caused by the Gram negative bacterium *V. cholerae* (*Vc*) and can progress to life-

threatening hypovolemic shock in less than 12 hours (*1*). Cholera remains a major public health

problem because of inadequate sanitation and restricted access to safe drinking water. Global

estimates of the cholera burden are 1.3-4.0 million cases and 21,000-143,000 deaths annually

(*2*). In 2023, there were over 30 countries with active outbreaks necessitating the WHO to

escalate the response to its highest level (*3*). Rehydration is the primary life-saving intervention

for cholera patients. With adequate rehydration, mortality rates fall from over 20% to less than

1%. Antibiotics reduce stool volume and duration of diarrhea but are generally reserved for

patients with more severe disease to reduce selection for antibiotic resistance (*4-8*).

Nevertheless, antibiotic-resistant *Vc* have emerged globally (*6, 9, 10*). Mechanisms of

resistance are diverse and reside in the core genome, plasmids of the incompatibility type C

(*11, 12*) and on mobile genetic elements, including a ~100kb integrative conjugative element

(ICE), which can harbor resistance to sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim, ciprofloxacin (*qnr_{vc}*),

trimethoprim (*dfra31*) and streptomycin (*aph(6)*) (*13-16*). Recent work has also shown that the

ICE can encode diverse phage resistance mechanisms, with distinct hotspots of gene content

variation encoding different resistance genes (*17*).

With rising levels of antibiotic resistance, virulent bacteriophages (phages) are a

promising alternative or complementary therapy to antibiotics. Phages and bacteria coevolve,

with each partner selecting for adaptations in the other that generates genetic diversity for both

predator and prey (*18, 19*). Coevolution likely explains the extensive arsenal of resistance and

counter-resistance mechanisms among *Vc* and its phages (*17, 19-24*). Yet it remains unclear

how these interactions impact disease severity during natural infection, with and without

antibiotic exposure. Virulent phages specifically targeting *Vc* include ICP1 (*Myoviridae*), ICP2

(*Podoviridae*), and ICP3 (*Podoviridae*) (*21, 25, 26*). These common phages are found in

symptomatic and asymptomatic cholera patients during acute infection or the convalescent

period.

The first clinical trials of phage therapy occurred during the Cholera Phage Inquiry from

1927 to 1936 (*27, 28*). In a *proto* randomized controlled trial, the Inquiry found the odds of

mortality were reduced by 58% among those given phage therapy, with an absolute reduction in

mortality of approximately 10% (*29*). Despite these early findings, there is a lack of evidence in

the modern era linking phage predation with disease severity during natural infection in humans.

However, indirect studies support a link. Environmentally, virulent phages in aquatic

environments have been negatively correlated with cholera cases in Bangladesh over time,

suggesting a role for phages in influencing epidemic dynamics (*30*). Clinically, a higher

percentage of cholera patients shed virulent phages towards the end of an outbreak period (*31*),

suggesting that outbreaks may collapse because of phage predation (*8*). Theoretically, models

predict that phage predation can dampen cholera outbreaks (*32*). Experimentally, animal

studies found phage predation was inversely associated with colonization and severity (*25, 33-*

35). The key unanswered question is if and how virulent phages, antibiotics, and bacterial

evolution interact to impact infection in a meaningful manner for clinicians, public health officials,

and most importantly patients.

To address this question, we conducted a national prospective longitudinal study in the

cholera endemic setting of Bangladesh. Stool samples were collected at hospital admission

from diarrheal disease patients and screened for *Vc*, antibiotics, and cholera phages, focusing

on the obligately lytic and commonly prevalent phage ICP1. Patients in this setting routinely self-

medicate with antibiotics before arriving at the hospital, hence the need to measure antibiotics in

stool. We hypothesized that: (i) virulent phages and antibiotics would suppress *Vc* and be

inversely associated with disease severity, (ii) suppression by phage would be lifted for *Vc*

encoding anti-phage genes on ICEs, (iii) phages would select for other resistance mutations in

the absence of ICE-encoded resistance, and (iv) phages would be under selection to escape

suppression by ICEs. We provide broad support for these hypotheses, paving the way for

mechanistic experimental studies, the development of the phage:pathogen ratio as a biomarker

of disease severity, and further dissection of the longer-term consequences of phage predation

on pathogen evolution.

Results

Study overview. A total of 2574 stool samples were collected from enrolled participants

admitted for diarrheal disease at seven hospitals across Bangladesh from March to December

2018; collection continued until April 2019 at one site (icddr,b). Three groups of cholera samples

were analyzed: (i) *Vc* culture-positive (282/2574; 10.9%)*,* (ii) *Vc* culture-negative but phage

(ICP1,2,3) PCR-positive (127/2292; 5.5%; 80 included; 47 excluded for DNA < 1ng/µl), and (iii) a

random 10% of *Vc* culture-negative and phage PCR-negative samples that were *Vc* PCR-

positive (14.8%; 37/250; 27 included; 10 excluded for DNA < 1ng/µl; see **Table S1** for PCR

primers). Stool metagenomes were sequenced from 88.4% of samples (344/389, with the

remainder failing library preparation) from these three groups, 35% of which were from the

icddr,b site. Based on metagenomic read mapping to a taxonomic database, detection rates for

Vc, ICP1, ICP2, and ICP3 were 55%, 18%, 1% and 8%, respectively. These detection rates

were supported by an analysis of *Vc* phages identified in metagenomic assemblies. As

expected, the prophage encoding the cholera toxin (CTXphi) was identified in most assemblies,

with ICP1 being the most prevalent obligately virulent phage. While some additional putative

phages were detected, none were prevalent enough to merit further analysis (**Fig. S1**). For both

Vc and ICP1, relative abundances in metagenomes correlated with absolute quantification by

qPCR (**Fig. S2**). Five antibiotics (**Table S2**) were prioritized for detection in stool using liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS); of these, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, and

doxycycline were quantified.

Metagenomic correlates of disease severity and succession. At hospital admission, we

expected patients to present at different stages of disease, with an ecological succession of *Vc*

followed by the facultative anaerobe *Escherichia coli,* then by a flora of mostly anaerobic

bacteria (*36*). We hypothesized that these stages of succession would be associated with

changes in disease severity. As expected, we identified *Vc* as an indicator species of severe

dehydration. *Vc* was relatively more abundant in patients with severe dehydration, while two

Bifidobacterium species, *E. coli*, and ICP1 were indicators of mild dehydration (**Fig. 1A, Table**

S3). ICP3 was an indicator of severe dehydration despite being less frequently detected in our

study (28 samples with >0.1% ICP3 reads, compared to 61 samples with >0.1% ICP1). This

shows that different phages can have contrasting disease associations.

134
Fig. 1. Dehydration severity is inversely associated with higher ICP1:*V. cholerae* ratios in stool
metagenomes. (A) Relative abundances of phages and the seven most dominant bacterial species
identified with PCA (Fig. S5) in patients with severe, moderate, or mild dehydration; these conventions
equate to the World Health Organization (WHO) conventions of 'Severe', 'Some' and 'No' dehydration,
respectively. Significant indicator species for severe or mild dehydration are shown in red or blue bold
text, respectively (*P*<0.05 in a permutation test with 9999 iterations as implemented in the indicator
species function in R). See Table S3 for indicator species results applied to all 37 species selected in the
PCA dimensionality reduction (Fig. S5; Methods). (B) The ICP1:*Vc* ratio from metagenomics is higher in

patients with mild dehydration. *P*-values are from a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test, adjusted
for multiple tests using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) method. Only significant *P*-values (<0.05) are
shown. Only 323 out of 344 samples were included (*Vc*>0% of metagenomic reads), with 165 from
severe, 128 from moderate, and 30 from mild cases. A pseudocount of one was added to the ratio before
log transformation. For supporting analyses using qPCR data, see Fig. S4. In (A) and (B) the solid
horizontal line is the median and the boxed area is the interquartile range. (C) Redundancy analysis
(RDA) showing relationships among the seven most dominant bacterial species identified with PCA (Fig.
S5) and explanatory variables: phages (ICP1, ICP2, ICP3), patient metadata: age in years, vomiting state
(yes or no), dehydration status (severe, moderate or mild), the location where the sample was collected,
and date of sampling; and antibiotic concentration (μg/ml) from quantitative mass spectrometry for
azithromycin (AZI), ciprofloxacin (CIP) and doxycycline (DOX). Angles between variables (arrows) reflect
their correlations; arrow length is proportional to effect size. Samples (points) are colored by dehydration
severity. All displayed variables have a significant effect (*P*<0.05, permutation test) except for ICP2, ICP3,
and doxycycline (Table S4). For the RDA: R^2=0.25 and adjusted R^2=0.184, permutation test P = 0.001.
To improve readability, collection date and location are not shown (see Fig. S6 for these details). Color
code in all panels: blue: mild dehydration, orange: moderate, and red: severe.
159

We focused on ICP1 for subsequent analyses given its prevalence. The distribution of

ICP1 relative abundance was variable and less clearly associated with dehydration status than

Vc (**Fig. S3**). Deeper investigation revealed that it was not simply the presence of phage that

mattered, but the ratio of ICP1 to *Vc*. Higher ratios were inversely associated with dehydration

severity (**Fig. 1B**); the same results were obtained using qPCR rather than metagenomics to

quantify the ratio (**Fig. S4**). This simple ratio is therefore a potential biomarker of 'effective'

phage predation that could be used in clinical, diagnostic, and epidemiological applications.

As a preliminary proof of concept, we tested the hypothesis that the ICP1:*Vc* ratio could

be used to delineate the dehydration status. We used a bootstrapping method to identify an

optimal ratio to differentiate between patients with dehydration (moderate or severe) versus

patients without dehydration by WHO clinical measures (Methods). This step is clinically

important because patients with moderate and severe dehydration ('positives' in this analysis)

require rehydration treatment. The analyses yielded a threshold ratio of 0.18 (approximately 1

ICP1 to 5 *Vc*). At this threshold, the approach had a sensitivity of 85% (95%CI 80% to 89%) and

positive predictive value (PPV) of 95% (95%CI 92-96%) to identify dehydrated patients; the

specificity was 53% (95%CI 24% to 72%) and negative predictive value was 26% (95%CI 19%

to 35%). The samples were distributed as 248 true positives, 16 true negatives, 14 false

positives, and 45 false negatives. Clinically, a high sensitivity is preferred over high specificity in

order to not 'miss' dehydrated patients; the high PPV gives justification to expend resources for

a fluid resuscitation. The results demonstrate the potential utility of the phage:bacteria ratio as a

biomarker to differentiate severity status and requires an independent study for validation and

further evaluation of the low NPV.

We next sought to identify potential interactions between ICP1 and temporal stages of

disease. Previously, ICP1 was found to be associated with early, rather than late stages of

disease, peaking on the first day of infection in cholera patients sampled over time (*36*). Given

that we collected one sample per patient at hospital admission, we were unable to determine

with certainty whether ICP1 suppresses *Vc* or whether it is a non-causal marker of late-stage

disease when patients are recovering. Despite this limitation, we recorded self-reported duration

of diarrhea, providing a proxy for disease progression. We found that higher relative

abundances of ICP1 were associated with mild dehydration at early stages of disease (duration

of diarrhea <72h) but not at later stages (**Fig. S3B and D**). We therefore favor a scenario in

which ICP1 suppresses *Vc* at early disease stages in a way that reduces disease severity.

However, further time series studies will be required to establish causality.

Antibiotics in stool are inversely associated with disease severity. To visualize the

complex relationships between disease severity, bacteria, and phages in the context of

antibiotic exposure, we used redundancy analysis (RDA; **Fig. 1C; Table S4**). For simplicity, the

seven most dominant bacterial species identified by principal component analysis were included

(**Fig. S5**). As explanatory variables, we visualized clinical data with strong effects, chosen by

forward selection and starting with phages and antibiotic concentrations (**Fig. S6**). In

accordance with the indicator species analysis (**Fig. 1A, Table S3**), higher *Vc* relative

abundance was positively correlated with severe dehydration (**Fig. 1C**). ICP1 was moderately

associated with *Vc*, consistent with a phage's reliance on its host for replication, but less

associated with severe dehydration. The antibiotics azithromycin (AZI) and to a lesser extent

ciprofloxacin (CIP) were negatively correlated with *Vc* and severe dehydration, suggesting their

role in suppressing cholera infection and disease. Supporting previous reports that AZI

suppresses *Vc* (*37*), AZI was most strongly anticorrelated with *Vc* in our cohort (**Fig. 1C**). We

did not identify annotated antibiotic resistance genes associated with AZI exposure (**Fig. S7**) at

established thresholds (**Table S5**. In contrast, CIP exposure was significantly associated with

the resistance genes *dfrA* and *aph6* (**Fig. S8),** which are both associated with *Vc* in our

metagenomes (**Fig. S9**) and have previously been linked with CIP resistance in *Vc (16, 38)*.

Taken together, these results suggest CIP exposure selects for resistance genes within

patients, potentially explaining why CIP may be less effective at suppressing *Vc* than AZI.

Azithromycin suppresses predator-prey dynamics. We next asked if and how antibiotics

interact with phages to suppress *Vc* within patients. To do so, we modeled the relationships

between ICP1, *Vc* and antibiotic exposure within each patient. We fit generalized additive

models (GAMs) of *Vc* (relative abundance from metagenomics or absolute abundance from

qPCR) as a function of ICP1, antibiotic concentrations, and their interaction, including

dehydration status as a random effect. We fit GAMs with all quantified antibiotics and their

interaction with ICP1, as well as separate models for each antibiotic, alone or in combination,

and compared them based on their Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; **Tables S6, S7**). The most

parsimonious model (with the lowest AIC), using either metagenomics or qPCR data, showed a

significant negative relationship between *Vc* and AZI (**Fig. 2A, S10**). This result is consistent

with the redundancy analysis (RDA) results (**Fig. 1C**) and with known patterns of *Vc*

suppression by AZI during infection (*37*). The relationship between *Vc* and ICP1 was quadratic

in both metagenomics- and qPCR-based models: at low ICP1 abundance, the relationship was

positive but became negative at higher ICP1 abundance (**Fig. 2B, S10**). This alternation

between positive and negative correlations is consistent with predator-prey dynamics within

infected patients (*39*). However, at higher concentrations of AZI, the quadratic relationship

flattened, effectively suppressing the phage-bacteria interaction, likely because AZI kept *Vc* at

low density. In the future, these interactions could be interrogated using patient time-series and

laboratory experiments challenging *Vc* with antibiotics and phages.

Fig. 2. Interactions between *V. cholerae*, phage ICP1, and azithromycin. Generalized additive models
(GAM) results, fit with relative abundance of *Vc* as a function of antibiotic concentrations (µg/ml) and ICP1
relative abundance in 344 metagenomes. (A) *Vc* declines in relative abundance with higher abundance of
azithromycin (AZI) in µg/ml. (B) The relationship between ICP1 and *Vc* is affected by AZI concentration
(µg/ml); the illustrated AZI concentrations show regular intervals between the minimum (0 µg/ml) and
maximum (70 µg/ml) observed values. Both effects of AZI (A) and the ICP1-AZI interaction (B) are
significant (Chi-square test, *P*<0.05). For details on GAM outputs see Table S6. Relative abundances are
from metagenomics; see Fig. S10 for equivalent analyses using qPCR data.

Integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) are associated with phage suppression. The

ICE is a region of the *Vc* genome that encodes resistance to both antibiotics and phages (*13*).

ICEs have conserved 'core' genes along with variable 'hotspots' encoding different genes; for

example, hotspot 5 is a ~17kb region associated with phage resistance. At the time of our

sampling, ICEVchInd5 (abbreviated here as *ind5*) and ICEVchInd6 (*ind6*) were the two most

prevalent ICE types in Bangladesh (*17*). These ICEs differ in their anti-phage systems: *ind5*

encodes a type 1 bacteriophage exclusion (BREX) system while *ind6* encodes several other

different restriction-modification systems (*17*).

We screened for ICEs in metagenomes by mapping reads against reference sequences

for *ind5* (NCBI accession GQ463142.1) and *ind6* (accession MK165649.1). An ICE was defined

as present when 90% of its length was covered by at least one metagenomic read (**Fig. S11A**).

We found that 64% (144/224) of samples with *Vc*>0.5% or ICP phages >0.1% of metagenomic

reads contained *ind5*, 12% (26/224) contained *ind6*, and 24% (54/224) had no detectable ICE.

The lack of ICE detections was not due to the lack of *Vc* in a metagenome because ICE-

negative samples did not contain fewer *Vc* reads (**Fig. S11B**).

Resistance mechanisms on ICEs have been shown to suppress phage *in vitro (17)*, but

their relevance within human infection remains unclear. We found that metagenomes without a

detectable ICE (denoted as ICE-) were associated with higher phage:*Vc* ratios (**Fig. 3**). The

effect was strongest for ICP1, which had the largest sample size (**Fig. 3A**). This observation is

consistent with ICE-encoded mechanisms suppressing phage within patients. Higher ICP1:*Vc*

ratios, which occurred more often in ICE- patients, were also associated with mild dehydration

(**Fig. 3B**). ICP1 is more strongly suppressed by *ind5* than by *ind6* (**Fig. 3**), while ICP3 appears

to be better suppressed by *ind6* than *ind5*, albeit with borderline statistical significance **(Fig.**

S12). We next compared ratios by phage resistance genotype (*ind5, ind6* vs ICE-) and

dehydration status. For patients with mild dehydration, we observed lower ICP1:*Vc* ratios in *ind5*

compared to ICE- samples (Kruskall-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc correction, *p* = 0.022).

Despite this difference, some patients with mild disease and *ind5* still had non-zero ICP1:*Vc*

ratios (**Fig. 3B**), indicating the ICP1 is imperfectly suppressed by *ind5*. In the severe group, *ind5*

patients also had lower ICP1:*Vc* ratios than ICE- patients (Dunn's post-hoc test with BH

correction, *P*=0.0035). In the moderate group, patients carrying *ind5* had lower ICP1:*Vc* ratios

compared to patients with *ind6* (Dunn's post-hoc test with BH correction, *P*=0.048), consistent

with *ind5* more effectively suppressing ICP1. The same associations were evident using qPCR-

based quantification of phage:*Vc* ratios (**Fig. S13**). Together, these results implicate ICEs in

phage resistance during human infections, complementing and generally confirming the

predictions of earlier laboratory experiments (*17*). That said, the suppression is not complete,

and further experiments are needed to dissect the underlying causal relationships.

Fig. 3. Integrative conjugative elements (ICEs) are associated with lower ICP1:*V. cholerae* ratios in patient metagenomes. (A) Distribution of ICP1:*Vc* ratios across patients with different ICE profiles. (B) The same data as (A) binned into boxplots according to dehydration status: mild (blue), moderate (orange) and severe (red). (C) Distribution of phage:*Vc* ratios, including the sum of all phages (ICP1, ICP2, ICP3). (D) The same data as (C) binned into boxplots according to dehydration status. *P*-values are from a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc test adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) method. Only *P*-values < 0.1 are shown. Only samples with appreciable *Vc* or ICP1 were included (224 samples with *Vc*>0.5% or phages >0.1% of metagenomic reads), of which 54 samples were ICE-, 26 were *ind6*+ and 144 were *ind5*+. The Y-axes were log10 transformed after adding one to the ratios. The solid horizontal line is the median and the boxed area is the interquartile range. Relative abundances are from metagenomics; see Fig. S13 for supporting analyses using qPCR data.

Hypermutation generates V. cholerae genetic diversity. In addition to variation in gene

content in ICEs and other mobile elements, we hypothesized that resistance to phages and

antibiotics could be conferred by point mutations (single nucleotide variants; SNVs) that existed

before or emerged *de novo* during infection. Although we cannot fully exclude mixed infections

by different *Vc* strains as a source of within-patient diversity, we found no evidence for more

than one strain co-infecting a patient in our study population (**Fig. S14**). We previously found a

low level of *Vc* genetic diversity within individual cholera patients (*40*) – on the order of 0-3

detectable SNVs – with the exception of hypermutation events characterized by DNA repair

defects and dozens of SNVs in the *Vc* genome, primarily transversion mutations (*41*).

Hypermutation generates deleterious mutations, but may also rapidly confer phage resistance,

as shown experimentally with *Pseudomonas fluorescens (18)*. Here, we were able to better

quantify the frequency of hypermutators in a larger sample size, and test if within-host *Vc*

diversity is associated with phage or antibiotic exposure – both of which could potentially select

for resistance mutations to each factor.

To identify hypermutators in metagenomes, we tallied *Vc* populations with defects

(nonsynonymous mutations) in any of 65 previously defined DNA repair genes (*42*) or with a

relatively high number of SNVs (25 or more) (*41*). We used InStrain (*43*) to quantify *Vc* within-

host diversity in 133 samples passing stringent sequencing quality filters (Methods) and found

that 35% of samples (47/133) had both a high SNV count and nonsynonymous mutations in

DNA repair genes – making them likely to contain hypermutators. Higher SNV counts were

significantly associated with DNA repair defects (Fisher's exact test, $P<2.2e\text{-}16$), consistent with

these defects yielding higher mutation rates within patients. The number of SNVs was not

confounded by *Vc* genome coverage (**Fig. S15A**). Consistent with our previous study (*41*),

putative hypermutators had a distinct mutational profile enriched in transversions (**Fig. S15B,C**).

For subsequent analysis, we considered all SNVs together, regardless of whether they were

generated by hypermutation.

Phages, not antibiotics, are associated with* Vc *within-host diversity. We hypothesized that

Vc within-host diversity would be shaped by potential selective pressures, namely phages or

antibiotics within patients. To test this hypothesis, we fit generalized linear mixed models

(GLMMs) with phages and antibiotics as predictors of the number of high-frequency

nonsynonymous (NS) SNVs in the *Vc* population within a patient. We focused on higher-

frequency SNVs (>10% within a sample) as likely beneficial mutations (unlikely to rise to such

high frequency by chance if neutral or deleterious) and on NS SNVs as more likely to have

fitness effects. We fit several models with different combinations of predictors: a model with all

antibiotics and their interaction with ICP1 and separate models with each antibiotic and its

interaction with ICP1. We added *Vc* abundance as a fixed effect to the model to control for any

coverage bias in SNV calling (**Table S8**). The most parsimonious model included *Vc* abundance

and the interaction between *Vc* and ICP1 as predictors of the number of high-frequency NS

SNVs. Adding antibiotics, or their interaction with ICP1, did not improve the model (**Table S8**),

suggesting a limited role for antibiotics in selecting for *Vc* point mutations within patients.

In the model, *Vc* relative abundance and the interaction between *Vc* and ICP1 both had

significant effects (GLMM, Wald test, *P*=0.00246 and *P*=0.00494 respectively). The negative

relationship between *Vc* and the number of high-frequency NS SNVs (**Fig. 4A**) was not easily

explained by sequencing coverage, since the total number of SNVs is not associated with *Vc*

relative abundance (**Fig. S15A**). The number of high-frequency NS SNVs rose with increasing

ICP1 – but only when *Vc* abundance was relatively high (**Fig. 4A**). As a control, we ran the

same GLMM on NS SNVs without a minimum frequency cutoff and found no significant effects,

suggesting that the interaction between ICP1 and *Vc* on SNV count is specific to high-frequency

mutations, rather than low-frequency mutations that are more likely selectively neutral or

deleterious. These data support a scenario in which ICP1 selects for NS SNVs when the *Vc*

population is large enough to respond efficiently to selection – for example, at the beginning of

an infection.

If phages select for beneficial mutations, we expect these mutations to increase in

frequency at higher intensity of phage predation. We lack time-series data from individual

patients, but the relative abundance of phage provides a proxy for the combined effects of the

strength and duration of phage selection. To test this hypothesis, we fit a GAM with the average

within-sample frequency of SNVs as a function of ICP1, antibiotics, and their interactions. We

included the fixed effect of ICE (*ind5*, *ind6,* or ICE-) as another factor that could provide phage

or antibiotic resistance, as well as mutation type to differentiate among non-synonymous (NS),

synonymous (S), and intergenic (I) mutations. We fit GAMs with all antibiotics and their

interaction with ICP1, as well as models with or without each antibiotic separately (**Table S9**).

The most parsimonious model included the interaction between ICP1, ICE and mutation type,

but not antibiotics. ICP1 was a strong predictor of higher frequency NS SNVs in the absence of

a detectable ICE (**Fig. 4B**). Samples in this analysis were unambiguous in terms of their ICE

presence/absence patterns (**Fig. S16**).

Fig. 4. ICP1 selects for non-synonymous point mutations in the *V. cholerae* genome in the
absence of ICE. (A) Results of a GLMM modeling high frequency nonsynonymous SNV counts as a

function of *Vc* and ICP1 standardized relative abundances. In the bottom panel, shades of gray indicate
Vc relative abundance at the mean or +/- 1 standard deviation (SD) across samples. Both *Vc* and the
interaction between *Vc* and ICP1 have significant effects (Wald test, *P*<0.05), the model was fit using 68
samples in which InStrain identified NS mutations at frequency > 10%. (B) GAM results with the mean
mutation frequency as a function of the interaction between ICP1, ICE and mutation type (non-
synonymous; NS, synonymous; S, or intergenic; I). Significant effects are shown with a star (Chi-square
test, *P*<0.05). The model was fit using 130 samples that passed the post-InStrain filter for SNV quality
(Methods). (C) Boxplots of mutation frequency in the presence or absence of ICP1 and/or ICEs. The only
significant comparison is indicated with a star (Wilcoxon test, *P*=0.0094). Boxplots include 130 samples,
of which 32 are ICP1+ (ICP1>=0.1% of reads) and 98 are ICP- (ICP1<0.1% of reads). The solid
horizontal line is the median and the boxed area is the interquartile range. For supporting analysis using
qPCR data, see Fig. S17.
371

To confirm and visualize this model prediction, we compared the distribution of the

average frequency of NS SNVs between ICP1-positive and ICP1-negative samples. Consistent

with the model, NS SNV frequency was significantly higher in ICP1-positive samples when the

ICE was not detected (Wilcoxon test, *P*=0.0094) making this SNV category likely to contain

targets of selection by ICP1 predation (**Fig. 4C**). Qualitatively similar results were found when

ICP1 was quantified by qPCR instead of metagenomics (**Fig. S17**). Together, the results

suggest that, in the absence of detectable ICE-encoded phage resistance, ICP1 selects for

nonsynonymous point mutations instead. We identified several *Vc* genes, including some with

membrane or virulence-related functions, that were mutated at higher ICP1:*Vc* ratios (**Table**

S10); these provide candidate phage resistance mechanisms that can be explored in future

experiments. In contrast, the secreted hemolysin gene, *hlyA,* that we previously observed to be

mutated more often than expected within cholera patients (*41*) was among the genes most

frequently mutated in patients with relatively low ICP1:*Vc* ratios (**Table S11**). This suggests that

hlyA sequence evolution may be affected directly or indirectly by phage predation, through

mechanisms that remain unclear.

As *Vc* evolves as a function of ICP1, we expect ICP1 evolution to be impacted by *Vc.*

Specifically, we hypothesized that ICP1 may evolve to infect *Vc* in the presence of *ind5*,

explaining some of the variation in both ICP1:*Vc* ratios and disease severity (**Fig. 3**). Despite

the generally low genetic diversity of ICP1 (*21)*, we were able to quantify SNVs in the ICP1

genome in 45 samples. This sample size was too low to fit sophisticated models, but simple

correlations allowed us to draw tentative conclusions. First, we ruled out sequencing coverage

as source of bias in SNV calling (Spearman correlation between ICP1 relative abundance and

number of SNVs, $p > 0.1$ for all SNV categories). Next, we observed a negative correlation

between the ICP1:*Vc* ratio and the number of NS SNVs in the ICP1 genome – a correlation that

is only significant when *Vc* encodes an *ind5* ICE (**Fig. 5A, S18**). This is consistent with our

observation that *ind5* is associated with lower ICP1:*Vc* ratios in our cohort (**Fig. 3**), potentially

suppressing ICP1 and applying selection to escape suppression via NS mutations. Some of

these NS mutations may be beneficial to the phage, rising to high frequency along with ICP1 –

which is indeed what we observe: the mean frequency of NS SNVs in ICP1 increases with the

ICP1:*Vc* ratio, but only in the presence of *ind5* (**Fig. 5B, S19**). Several ICP1 genes, mostly

hypothetical proteins, repeatedly acquired NS mutations in the presence of *ind5*, providing

candidate escape mutations to test in future work (**Table S12**).

Fig. 5. ICP1 evolution in samples containing ICE *ind5*. (A) The number of nonsynonymous (NS) SNVs
detected in the ICP1 genome is negatively correlated with the ICP1:*Vc* ratio in the presence of *ind5*. (B)
The mean frequency of NS SNVs in the ICP1 genome is positively correlated with the ICP1:*Vc* ratio in the
presence of *ind5.* The X-axes were log10 transformed after adding one to the ratios. The Spearman
correlation coefficients and *p*-values are shown. See Figures S18 and S19 for equivalent plots in ICE-
and *ind6* samples, and for synonymous and intergenic SNVs.

Discussion

The tripartite interactions between pathogens, phages, and antibiotics have been studied in the laboratory, *in silico* with mathematical models, and to a lesser extent in the field, but how these factors interact during human infection remains an open question. Our objective was to characterize these interactions in the context of cholera. We analyzed more than 300 stool metagenomes from cholera patients enrolled at hospital admission across Bangladesh during an entire outbreak season. We found that high predator (ICP1) to prey (*Vc*) ratios were inversely associated with disease severity and provide a proof of concept for translational applications. We demonstrated how *Vc* and ICP1 interact within patients, with ICP1 selecting for potential phage resistance point mutations in the absence of ICE-encoded anti-phage defenses, and *Vc* selecting for point mutations in the phage genome in the presence of *ind5*. This apparent coevolution between predator and prey likely has longer-term consequences for cholera infection and transmission. Antibiotics, particularly azithromycin, also played a role in suppressing *Vc* and could mask phage-bacteria interactions. Ciprofloxacin was associated with known antibiotic resistance genes, but we found no evidence that antibiotics select, as ICP1 does, for high-frequency nonsynonymous point mutations. Thus, although resistance mechanisms to certain phages and antibiotics colocalize to the ICE (*17*), they impose distinct selective pressures that could be exploited to improve the efficacy of antibiotics by combining them with phage therapy.

Our study has several limitations. First, samples were collected at a single time point at hospital admission which allowed us to establish statistical correlations, but we cannot infer causality in the absence of time-series or interventional clinical studies. Second, our cohort allowed us to study the interaction between *Vc* and ICP1, but the sample size for ICP2 and ICP3 was insufficient for most statistical analyses. Third, we prioritized common antibiotics for mass spectrometry, but we cannot exclude a role for other unmeasured antibiotics. Fourth, due to logistical limitations, we extracted DNA from a bacterial pellet plus a small amount of

supernatant from each sample. This allowed us to capture both intracellular and cell-bound

phages, along with free phage particles, but sequencing each fraction separately could yield

further insights into distinct phage populations. From a clinical perspective, the measurement of

dehydration status was categorical and could be improved in future studies by incorporating

digital tools to quantify the degree of dehydration (*44-46*). Finally, our study lacked information

about host genetics or immunity, which also influence disease severity (*8, 47*). Future studies

combining rich patient metadata, time-series design, long-read metagenomics, and isolate

genome sequencing will complement and build upon these findings.

CONCLUSION

We propose that an index of effective phage predation, quantified as the phage:bacteria

ratio, might be used as a tool for physicians to assess disease severity, and potentially

prognosticate a disease course. We show here that this ratio is associated with cholera disease

severity, but its predictive value should be studied in larger cohorts sampled over the course of

infection. Whether this biomarker can be generalized to phages other than ICP1 and diseases

other than cholera, and whether the association with disease severity changes as predator and

prey coevolve over time, remains unclear. The potential of phage therapy and prophylaxis has

long been recognized, and a combination of ICP1, 2, and 3 prevents cholera in animal models

(*35*). However, just as hypermutators can drive the evolution of resistance to combinations of

antibiotics (*48*), they may also help pathogen populations to survive combinations of phages,

while increasing their potential to evolve resistance to future antimicrobial treatments. Phage

therapy cocktails will therefore need to be updated regularly to remain effective against currently

circulating coevolved bacteria, and creative new strategies are needed to minimize the

unwanted evolution of phage, and possibly antibiotic, resistance.

Materials and Methods Summary

Ethics Statement. The samples analyzed were collected within two previously published IRB approved clinical studies in Bangladesh: (i) The mHealth Diarrhea Management (mHDM) cluster randomized controlled trial (IEDCR IRB/2017/10; icddr,b ERC/RRC PR-17036; University of Florida IRB 201601762) (*46*). (ii) The National Cholera Surveillance (NCS) study (icddr,b ERC/RRC PR-15127) (*49*); See supplementary materials for further details.

Study Design. The study design was a prospective longitudinal study of patients presenting with diarrheal disease at five Bangladesh Ministry of Health and Family Welfare district hospitals (both mHDM and NCS sites) and two centralized NCS hospitals (BITID; icddr,b) from March 2018 to December 2018. Sites were distributed geographically nation-wide (*50*). See supplementary materials.

Sample collection. Stool samples were collected at hospital admission. Aliquots for transport and subsequent culture were stabbed into Cary-Blair transport media; aliquots for molecular analysis were preserved in RNAlater (Invitrogen). See supplementary materials.

Microbiological and molecular analysis. Culture was performed via standard methods (*51*); total nucleic acid (tNA) was extracted from the RNAlater preserved samples using standard methods. Criteria for subset subsequent shotgun metagenomic sequencing were: (i) culture positivity, (ii) phage (ICP1,2,3) detection by PCR among culture-negative samples, or (iii) *Vc* detection by PCR among a random 10% of culture-negative and phage (ICP1,2,3) negative samples. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEB Ultra II shotgun kit and sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4, pooling 96 samples per lane, yielding a mean of >30 million paired-end 150bp reads per sample. Among samples identified for metagenomic analysis, qPCR was performed to determine absolute abundances of *Vc*, ICP1, ICP2, and ICP3.

Antibiotic detection by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Those cholera samples identified for metagenomic analysis were analyzed by qualitative and

quantitative LC-MS/MS for antibiotics. Based on prior research (*16, 37*), the target list prioritized

5 common antibiotics: ciprofloxacin, doxycycline/tetracycline, and azithromycin were analyzed

quantitatively, and metronidazole and nalidixic acid were analyzed qualitatively. Standard

curves were made for each quantitative target by preparing a dilution series of the three native

and isotopic forms of the quantitative targets; clinical samples were spiked with the isotopes of

the quantitative targets as internal references. See supplementary materials.

Metagenomic data analysis. We taxonomically classified short reads using Kraken2 (*52*) and

Bracken v.2.5 (*53*). Reads were assembled using MEGAHIT v.1.2.9 (*50*) and binned with DAS

tool (*54*). We inferred probable phage contigs using geNomad v1.7.0 (*55*) and predicted their

likely bacterial hosts with iPhoP v1.3.1 (*56*). To characterize intra-patient *Vc* diversity, we used

StrainGE (*57*) and InStrain v.1.5.7 (*43*). To identify antibiotic resistance genes in metagenomes,

we used deepARG v 1.0.2 (*58*). See supplementary materials for details.

Statistical analyses. Statistics and visualizations were done in R version 3.6.3 and R studio

version 1.2.5042. See supplementary materials for details.

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability. All sequencing data are deposited in the NCBI SRA under

BioProject PRJNA976726. See supplementary materials for further information.

Code availability. Computer code needed to reproduce figures and results in this study is

available on Github at https://github.com/Naima16/Cholera-phage-antibiotics. DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.10573867 (*77*).

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Andrews *et al.*, Determinants of severe dehydration from diarrheal disease at hospital presentation: Evidence from 22 years of admissions in Bangladesh. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **11**, e0005512 (2017).

2. M. Ali, A. R. Nelson, A. L. Lopez, D. A. Sack, Updated global burden of cholera in endemic countries. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **9**, e0003832 (2015).

3. Cholera – Global situation (2023). WHO Report. (available at https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2023-DON437).

4. M. S. Islam *et al.*, Microbiological investigation of diarrhoea epidemics among Rwandan refugees in Zaire. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **89**, 506 (1995).

5. J. A. Dromigny, O. Rakoto-Alson, D. Rajaonatahina, R. Migliani, J. Ranjalahy, P. Mauclere, Emergence and rapid spread of tetracycline-resistant *Vibrio cholerae* strains, Madagascar. *Emerg Infect Dis* **8**, 336-338 (2002).

6. F. X. Weill *et al.*, Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science* **358**, 785-789 (2017).

7. E. J. Nelson, D. S. Nelson, M. A. Salam, D. A. Sack, Antibiotics for both moderate and severe cholera. *N Engl J Med* **364**, 5-7 (2011).

8. E. J. Nelson, J. B. Harris, J. G. Morris, Jr., S. B. Calderwood, A. Camilli, Cholera transmission: the host, pathogen and bacteriophage dynamic. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**, 693-702 (2009).

9. B. Das, J. Verma, P. Kumar, A. Ghosh, T. Ramamurthy, Antibiotic resistance in *Vibrio cholerae*: Understanding the ecology of resistance genes and mechanisms. *Vaccine* **38 Suppl 1**, A83-A92 (2020).

10. F. Lassalle *et al.*, Genomic epidemiology reveals multidrug resistant plasmid spread between *Vibrio cholerae* lineages in Yemen. *Nat Microbiol* **8**, 1787-1798 (2023).

11. N. Rivard, R. R. Colwell, V. Burrus, Antibiotic Resistance in *Vibrio cholerae*: Mechanistic

Insights from IncC Plasmid-Mediated Dissemination of a Novel Family of Genomic

Islands Inserted at trmE. *mSphere* **5**, (2020).

12. S. J. Ambrose, C. J. Harmer, R. M. Hall, Compatibility and entry exclusion of IncA and

IncC plasmids revisited: IncA and IncC plasmids are compatible. *Plasmid* **96-97**, 7-12

(2018).

13. M. K. Waldor, H. Tschape, J. J. Mekalanos, A new type of conjugative transposon

encodes resistance to sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, and streptomycin in *Vibrio*

cholerae O139. *J Bacteriol* **178**, 4157-4165 (1996).

14. A. Dalsgaard, A. Forslund, N. V. Tam, D. X. Vinh, P. D. Cam, Cholera in Vietnam:

changes in genotypes and emergence of class I integrons containing aminoglycoside

resistance gene cassettes in vibrio cholerae O1 strains isolated from 1979 to 1996. *J*

Clin Microbiol **37**, 734-741 (1999).

15. J. W. Beaber, B. Hochhut, M. K. Waldor, Genomic and functional analyses of SXT, an

integrating antibiotic resistance gene transfer element derived from *Vibrio cholerae*. *J*

Bacteriol **184**, 4259-4269 (2002).

16. A. Creasy-Marrazzo *et al.*, Genome-wide association studies reveal distinct genetic

correlates and increased heritability of antimicrobial resistance in Vibrio cholerae under

anaerobic conditions. *Microbial Genomics* **8**, (2022).

17. K. LeGault *et al.*, Temporal shifts in antibiotic resistance elements govern phage-

pathogen conflicts. *Science* **373**, 2020.2012.2016.423150 (2021).

18. C. Pal, M. D. Macia, A. Oliver, I. Schachar, A. Buckling, Coevolution with viruses drives

the evolution of bacterial mutation rates. *Nature* **450**, 1079-1081 (2007).

19. M. Yen, A. Camilli, Mechanisms of the evolutionary arms race between *Vibrio cholerae*

and Vibriophage clinical isolates. *Int Microbiol* **20**, 116-120 (2017).

20. K. D. Seed, S. M. Faruque, J. J. Mekalanos, S. B. Calderwood, F. Qadri, A. Camilli,

Phase variable O antigen biosynthetic genes control expression of the major protective

antigen and bacteriophage receptor in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002917

(2012).

21. C. M. Boyd, A. Angermeyer, S. G. Hays, Z. K. Barth, K. M. Patel, K. D. Seed,

Bacteriophage ICP1: A Persistent Predator of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Annu Rev Virol* **8**, 285-

304 (2021).

22. K. D. Seed, D. W. Lazinski, S. B. Calderwood, A. Camilli, A bacteriophage encodes its

own CRISPR/Cas adaptive response to evade host innate immunity. *Nature* **494**, 489-

491 (2013).

23. K. D. Seed *et al.*, Evolutionary consequences of intra-patient phage predation on

microbial populations. *Elife* **3**, e03497 (2014).

24. R. C. Molina-Quiroz, A. Camilli, C. A. Silva-Valenzuela, Role of Bacteriophages in the

Evolution of Pathogenic Vibrios and Lessons for Phage Therapy. *Adv Exp Med Biol*

1404, 149-173 (2023).

25. E. J. Nelson *et al.*, Transmission of *Vibrio cholerae* is antagonized by lytic phage and

entry into the aquatic environment. *PLoS Pathog* **4**, e1000187 (2008).

26. K. D. Seed *et al.*, Evidence of a dominant lineage of *Vibrio cholerae*-specific lytic

bacteriophages shed by cholera patients over a 10-year period in Dhaka, Bangladesh.

MBio **2**, e00334-00310 (2011).

27. W. C. Summers, Cholera and plague in India: the bacteriophage inquiry of 1927-1936. *J

Hist Med Allied Sci* **48**, 275-301 (1993).

28. F. D'Herelle, R. Malone, A preliminary report of work carried out by the cholera

bacteriophage enquiry. *Indian Medical Gazette*, 614-617 (1927).

29. C. L. Pasricha, A. J. H. de Monte, E. G. O'Flynn, Bacteriophage in the treatment of

cholera. *Ind. Med. Gaz.* **71**, 61-68 (1936).

30.   S. M. Faruque *et al.*, Seasonal epidemics of cholera inversely correlate with the

586   prevalence of environmental cholera phages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 1702-1707

587   (2005).

588   31.   S. M. Faruque *et al.*, Self-limiting nature of seasonal cholera epidemics: Role of host-

589   mediated amplification of phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 6119-6124 (2005).

590   32.   M. A. Jensen, S. M. Faruque, J. J. Mekalanos, B. R. Levin, Modeling the role of

591   bacteriophage in the control of cholera outbreaks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 4652-

592   4657 (2006).

593   33.   M. S. Zahid, S. M. Udden, A. S. Faruque, S. B. Calderwood, J. J. Mekalanos, S. M.

594   Faruque, Effect of phage on the infectivity of *Vibrio cholerae* and emergence of genetic

595   variants. *Infect Immun* **76**, 5266-5273 (2008).

596   34.   A. Jaiswal, H. Koley, A. Ghosh, A. Palit, B. Sarkar, Efficacy of cocktail phage therapy in

597   treating *Vibrio cholerae* infection in rabbit model. *Microbes Infect* **15**, 152-156 (2013).

598   35.   M. Yen, L. S. Cairns, A. Camilli, A cocktail of three virulent bacteriophages prevents

599   *Vibrio cholerae* infection in animal models. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14187 (2017).

600   36.   L. A. David *et al.*, Gut microbial succession follows acute secretory diarrhea in humans.

601   *MBio* **6**, e00381-00315 (2015).

602   37.   L. Alexandrova *et al.*, Identification of Widespread Antibiotic Exposure in Patients With

603   Cholera Correlates With Clinically Relevant Microbiota Changes. *J Infect Dis* **220**, 1655-

604   1666 (2019).

605   38.   M. M. Monir *et al.*, Genomic attributes of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 responsible for 2022

606   massive cholera outbreak in Bangladesh. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1154 (2023).

607   39.   A. Carr, C. Diener, N. S. Baliga, S. M. Gibbons, Use and abuse of correlation analyses

608   in microbial ecology. *ISME J* **13**, 2647-2655 (2019).

609   40.   I. Levade *et al.*, *Vibrio cholerae* genomic diversity within and between patients. *Microb*

610   *Genom* **3**, (2017).

41. I. Levade *et al.*, A Combination of Metagenomic and Cultivation Approaches Reveals

Hypermutator Phenotypes within *Vibrio cholerae*-Infected Patients. *mSystems* **6**,

e0088921 (2021).

42. A. Jolivet-Gougeon *et al.*, Bacterial hypermutation: clinical implications. *J Med Microbiol*

60, 563-573 (2011).

43. M. R. Olm, A. Crits-Christoph, K. Bouma-Gregson, B. A. Firek, M. J. Morowitz, J. F.

Banfield, inStrain profiles population microdiversity from metagenomic data and

sensitively detects shared microbial strains. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 727-736 (2021).

44. A. C. Levine *et al.*, A comparison of the NIRUDAK models and WHO algorithm for

dehydration assessment in older children and adults with acute diarrhoea: a prospective,

observational study. *Lancet Glob Health* **11**, e1725-e1733 (2023).

45. A. C. Levine *et al.*, External validation of the DHAKA score and comparison with the

current IMCI algorithm for the assessment of dehydration in children with diarrhoea: a

prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health* **4**, e744-751 (2016).

46. A. I. Khan *et al.*, Electronic decision support and diarrhoeal disease guideline adherence

(mHDM): a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Digit Health* **2**, e250-e258 (2020).

47. J. B. Harris *et al.*, Susceptibility to *Vibrio cholerae* Infection in a Cohort of Household

Contacts of Patients with Cholera in Bangladesh. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **2**, e221 (2008).

48. B. Seed, Purification of genomic sequences from bacteriophage libraries by

recombination and selection in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res* **11**, 2427-2445 (1983).

49. A. I. Khan, F. Qadri, Epidemiology of cholera in Bangladesh: Findings from Nationwide

Hospital-based Surveillance, 2014-2018. *CID*, (2019).

50. D. Li, C. M. Liu, R. Luo, K. Sadakane, T. W. Lam, MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node

solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.

Bioinformatics **31**, 1674-1676 (2015).

51.   *Manual of Clinical Microbiology. 8th ed.* P. Murray, E. Baron, J. Jorgensen, M. Pfaller, R.

637   Yolken, Eds., (American Society for Microbiology Press, Washington, D.C., 2003).

638   52.   D. E. Wood, J. Lu, B. Langmead, Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2.

639   *Genome Biol* **20**, 257 (2019).

640   53.   J. Lu, F. P. Breitwieser, P. Thielen, S. L. Salzberg, Bracken: estimating species

641   abundance in metagenomics data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci* **3**, (2017).

642   54.   C. M. K. Sieber *et al.*, Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication,

643   aggregation and scoring strategy. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 836-843 (2018).

644   55.   A. P. Camargo *et al.*, Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nat*

645   *Biotechnol*, (2023).

646   56.   S. Roux *et al.*, iPHoP: An integrated machine learning framework to maximize host

647   prediction for metagenome-derived viruses of archaea and bacteria. *PLoS Biol* **21**,

648   e3002083 (2023).

649   57.   L. R. van Dijk *et al.*, StrainGE: a toolkit to track and characterize low-abundance strains

650   in complex microbial communities. *Genome Biol* **23**, 74 (2022).

651   58.   G. Arango-Argoty, E. Garner, A. Pruden, L. S. Heath, P. Vikesland, L. Zhang, DeepARG:

652   a deep learning approach for predicting antibiotic resistance genes from metagenomic

653   data. *Microbiome* **6**, 23 (2018).

654   59.   J. A. Grembi, K. Mayer-Blackwell, S. P. Luby, A. M. Spormann, High-Throughput

655   Multiparallel Enteropathogen Detection via Nano-Liter qPCR. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*

656   **10**, 351 (2020).

657   60.   H. Maeda *et al.*, Quantitative real-time PCR using TaqMan and SYBR Green for

658   Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella

659   intermedia, tetQ gene and total bacteria. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **39**, 81-86

660   (2003).

61. K. E. Flaherty *et al.*, High-throughput low-cost nl-qPCR for enteropathogen detection: A

proof-of-concept among hospitalized patients in Bangladesh. *PLoS One* **16**, e0257708

(2021).

62. R. Cook *et al.*, INfrastructure for a PHAge REference Database: Identification of Large-

Scale Biases in the Current Collection of Cultured Phage Genomes. *Phage (New*

Rochelle) **2**, 214-223 (2021).

63. F. Hassan, M. Kamruzzaman, J. J. Mekalanos, S. M. Faruque, Satellite phage TLCphi

enables toxigenic conversion by CTX phage through dif site alteration. *Nature* **467**, 982-

985 (2010).

64. H. Bin Jang *et al.*, Taxonomic assignment of uncultivated prokaryotic virus genomes is

enabled by gene-sharing networks. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 632-639 (2019).

65. B. Langmead, C. Trapnell, M. Pop, S. L. Salzberg, Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* **10**, R25 (2009).

66. A. E. Minoche, J. C. Dohm, H. Himmelbauer, Evaluation of genomic high-throughput

sequencing data generated on Illumina HiSeq and genome analyzer systems. *Genome*

Biol **12**, R112 (2011).

67. J. Alneberg *et al.*, Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nat*

Methods **11**, 1144-1146 (2014).

68. D. D. Kang *et al.*, MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient

genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **7**, e7359 (2019).

69. M. R. Olm, C. T. Brown, B. Brooks, J. F. Banfield, dRep: a tool for fast and accurate

genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes

through de-replication. *ISME J* **11**, 2864-2868 (2017).

70. D. Hyatt, G. L. Chen, P. F. Locascio, M. L. Land, F. W. Larimer, L. J. Hauser, Prodigal:

prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC*

Bioinformatics **11**, 119 (2010).

71.   C. P. Cantalapiedra, A. Hernandez-Plaza, I. Letunic, P. Bork, J. Huerta-Cepas,

eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain

Prediction at the Metagenomic Scale. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**, 5825-5829 (2021).

72.   M. Dufrene, Pireere Legendre, Species Assemblages and Indicator Species: The Need

for a Flexible Asymmetrical Approach. *Ecological Monographs* **67**, 345-366 (1997).

73.   P. J., Analyse Factorielle Multiple Appliquée Aux Variables Qualitatives et Aux Données

Mixtes. *Revue de Statistique Appliquee* **4**, 5-37 (2002).

74.   M. E. Brooks *et al.*, Modeling zero-inflated count data with glmmTMB. *bioRxiv*, 132753

(2017).

75.   J. W. Hardin, J. M. Hilbe, *Generalized Linear Models and Extensions*. (Stata Press), vol.

Vol. 4th ed.

76.   B. Nandi, R. K. Nandy, S. Mukhopadhyay, G. B. Nair, T. Shimada, A. C. Ghose, Rapid

method for species-specific identification of Vibrio cholerae using primers targeted to the

gene of outer membrane protein OmpW. *J Clin Microbiol* **38**, 4145-4151 (2000).

77.   https://github.com/Naima16/Cholera-phage-antibiotics. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10573867

Acknowledgements: We thank the patients for participating in this study as well as the clinical

and laboratory teams who collected the samples. We are grateful to S. Flora and colleagues at

the Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research (IEDCR), Ministry of Health and

Family Welfare, Government of Bangladesh who collaborated on the original clinical studies in

which the samples analyzed herein were collected. We are also grateful to R. Autrey, B.

Johnson and K. Berquist for their administrative expertise at the University of Florida. AIK and

FQ were the principal investigators (PI) in Bangladesh and PIs of the ERC/RRC approvals at

the icddr,b. EJN was the PI and obtained IRB approval at the University of Florida. Associated

protocol numbers/registrations are: IEDCR IRB/2017/10; icddr,b ERC/RRC PR-17036;

University of Florida IRB 201601762; clinicaltrials.gov NCT03154229. This collective research

infrastructure and support was invaluable to the success of this study. We thank members of the

Nelson, Khan, Qadri and Shapiro labs, and Frédérique Le Roux, for discussions that improved

the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants to EJN

[R21TW010182] and KBB [S10 OD021758-01A1] and internal support from the Emerging

Pathogens Institute at the University of Florida and the Departments of Pediatrics/ Children's

Miracle Network (Florida). BJS and NM were supported by a Canadian Institutes for Health

Research Project Grant. AC was supported by a Postdoctoral Mobility Fellowship of the Swiss

National Science Foundation [P500PB_214356]. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: FW, AIK, BJS, EJN

Methodology: NM, MAS, ETC, MTRB, YB, ACM, MK, AC, EF, AV, LSB, KBS, BJS, EJN

Investigation: NM, MAS, ETC, KI, MIUK, YB, ACM, MK, AC, EF, AV, LSB, BJS, EJN

Visualization: NM, AC, BJS, EJN

Funding acquisition: KBS, FW, AIK, BJS, EJN

Project administration: MTRB, YB, KBS, AIK, BJS, EJN

Supervision: KBS, FQ, AIK, BJS, EJN

Validation: NM

Formal analysis: NM, BJS, EJN

Resources: BJS, AIK, EJN

Data Curation: NM, EJN, AIK

Writing – original draft: NM, ETC, MAS, ACM, MK, LSB, KSB, AIK, BJS, EJN

Writing – review & editing: NM, AIK, AC, BJS, EJN

Supplementary materials:

Materials and methods

Figures: S1 to S19

Tables: S1 to S12

Data Files S1 to S5

References 59-77