Convergence, plasticity, and tissue residence of regulatory T cell response via TCR repertoire prism

Nakonechnaya T.O.[1,2,*], Moltedo B.[3,*], Putintseva E.V.[2,*], Leyn S.[2], Bolotin D.A.[1,2], Britanova O.V.[1,2], Shugay M.[1,2], Rudensky A.Y.[3,4], Chudakov D.M.[1,2,5,6]

[1] Institute of Translational Medicine, Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation.
[2] Genomics of Adaptive Immunity Department, Shemyakin and Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Moscow, Russian Federation.
[3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Immunology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute and Ludwig Center at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA.
[4] Immunology and Microbial Pathogenesis Program, Weill Cornell Medicine Graduate School of Medical Sciences, New York, NY, USA.
[5] Abu Dhabi Stem Cells Center, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.
[6] Central European Institute of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic.

*These authors contributed equally.

Summary

Our understanding of regulatory T cell (Treg) functionality has shifted to acknowledge the importance of antigen-specific interactions with cognate peptide-MHCII. However, origin, plasticity, clonality, antigenic specificity, and homing of regulatory T cell subpopulations remain largely unexplored. Here we used a fixed TCRβ chain FoxP3-GFP mouse model to analyze conventional (eCD4) and regulatory (eT_{reg}) effector TCRα repertoires in response to six distinct antigenic challenges to the lung and skin. For both subsets, we observed challenge-specific clonal expansion yielding homologous TCRα clusters within and across animals and exposure sites, which were reflected in the draining lymph nodes but not systemically. Some clusters were shared across cancer challenges, suggesting response to common tumor-associated antigens. For most challenges, eCD4 and eT_{reg} clonal response did not overlap, indicating the distinct origin of the two cell subsets. At the same time, we observed such overlap at the sites of certain tumor challenges. The overlaps included dominant responding TCRα motif and characteristic iNKT TCRα, indicating the tumor-induced eCD4-eT_{reg} plasticity. TCRα repertoire analysis also demonstrated that distinct antigenic specificities are characteristic for eT_{reg} cells residing in particular lymphatic tissues, regardless of the challenge, revealing the homing-specific, antigen-specific resident Treg populations. Altogether, we report an informative picture of challenge-specific and tissue-specific Treg response.

Graphical abstract

Introduction

Regulatory T cells (T_reg), which constitute 5-10% of peripheral CD4^{+} T cells are indispensable for the maintenance of immunological self-tolerance[1,2] and regulation of ongoing immune responses to microbiotal[3-5] and foreign[6-9] antigens, contributing to tissue and metabolic homeostasis and regeneration[2]. T_regs suppress immune responses through various mechanisms, but primarily do so via antigen-specific[10] interaction with professional and non-professional antigen-presenting cells[11]. There is considerable data suggesting that T_regs employ higher-affinity T cell receptor (TCRs) and CTLA4 to compete with conventional CD4^{+} T cells in an antigen-specific manner[12].

Most T_reg cells are produced by the thymus as a functionally distinct FoxP3^{+} T cell subset. Thymic T_regs are generated based on positive selection against self-peptide/MHCII (pMHCII) complexes in the thymic medulla, and multiple other immune cell types may further contribute to this process,

including medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs), resident and immigrating dendritic cells, macrophages, and B cells[2,13-15].

Here we investigated the TCR landscape of conventional (eCD4) and regulatory (eT$_{reg}$) effector CD4$^+$ T cells in lungs, spleen, skin, and three lymph node locations after response to six distinct antigenic challenges to the lungs or skin. We used FoxP3-GFP mice with a fixed TCRβ context and analyzed the TCRα repertoire composition for eCD4 and eTreg cells.

Our analysis of the TCRα repertoire on the fixed TCRβ background revealed a highly focused response, which was distinct for each of the antigenic challenges. The response was clonotypically shared between individual mice and between different tissues and formed clear convergent[16] TCRα CDR3 sequence motifs responding to the challenges.

In conditions with such a highly convergent TCR response involving multiple independently-primed T cell clones with similar TCRα CDR3 sequences, one could expect a similar repertoire of clonotypes to dominate within the eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ subpopulations, given the assumption that both subsets may start from the same pool of antigen-inexperienced naïve T cells. However, we demonstrate that the TCR landscape of eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ responses was distinct, suggesting that these effector cell types originate from distinct T cell subsets.

The exceptions were the antigenic challenges with Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) and MMTV-PyMT-derived tumor cells (PYMT), where we observed increased overlap between the eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ repertoires at the site of challenge, but not systemically. A dominant eCD4 TCRα motif was also present in corresponding eT$_{reg}$ subsets in the LLC and PYMT challenge. Similarly, the characteristic innate natural killer T cell (iNKT) TCRα variants that were abundant within eCD4 subsets upon challenge with LLC, bleomycin, and the helminth *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* were represented in the corresponding eT$_{reg}$ subset in the LLC challenge experiments but not with the bleomycin and helminth antigens. We attribute these observations to the transient plasticity of effector CD4$^+$ T cells in the context of an immunosuppressive tumor burden.

Altogether, our results show the highly antigen-specific and distinct eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ responses to different challenges, supporting the initial thymic programming of T$_{reg}$ cells responding to newly-incoming challenges, and suggest that the fixed TCRβ chain, FoxP3-GFP mouse offers a valuable "digital" model of TCR response that benefits from highly convergent, easy-to-track TCRα CDR3 motifs.

Results

TCRα repertoire sequencing

Six distinct challenges including influenza virus, *N. brasiliensis*, bleomycin-induced injury, LLC, PYMT, and B16 melanoma were applied to the lung and skin of *Foxp3^{gfp} Tcra$^{-/+}$* mice bearing the DO11.10 TCRβ transgene[17] (3–7 mice per group, see **Supplementary Table 1** for details on each tissue and challenge experiment). After incubation, mice were sacrificed and tissue from the lungs,

spleen, skin, and three types of lymph nodes (lung-draining mediastinal, MLN, axillary, AXLN, and intraglandular, IGLN) were isolated and digested to generate single-cell suspensions. T_{eff} and T_{reg} $CD4^+$ cells were subjected to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) based on FoxP3-GFP signal. RNA-based unique molecular identifier (UMI)-labeled TCRα cDNA libraries were obtained using a previously-reported technique[18,19] with minor modifications, and then analyzed using MIGEC[20] and MiXCR[21] software. See **Graphical abstract** for the experimental scheme. Altogether, we sequenced 524 TCRα cDNA libraries, yielding 13,353 ± 1,254 UMI-labeled TCRα cDNA molecules and 38,310 ± 310 TCRα CDR3 clonotypes per sample (see **Supplementary Table 2** for details on each cloneset).

Lungs are exposed to a myriad of different insults during their lifetime and are constantly in need of a T cell response to resolve inflammatory insults. Furthermore, T_{reg}s with an effector phenotype accumulate in the inflamed lung[22] but it remains poorly understood whether there is a common antigenic denominator driving TCR specificities in the tissue or whether there are selective TCR subsets expanded upon a particular inflammatory insult. Therefore, in the following analyses, we mainly focused on TCR repertoires obtained from T cells infiltrating the lung tissue, for which we have also obtained the largest collection of samples, with several more specific cross-tissue analyses showing the similarity of systemic T cell responses.

Clonality of eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ repertoires in the lung

First, we explored how clonal the eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ response is in lungs following distinct antigenic challenges. TCRα repertoire diversity was assessed using several widely used metrics, including observed diversity (number of distinct clonotypes), normalized Shannon Wiener index (repertoire evenness and the extent of clonal expansion) and Chao 1 (estimated lower bound of total diversity based on relative representation of small clonotypes). For normalization, all of these metrics were obtained from datasets that had been down-sampled to 1,000 randomly-chosen, UMI-labeled TCRα cDNAs[18]. We observed a prominent clonal response to each of the challenges compared to the control group (**Fig. 1a–c**). The decrease in diversity metrics was comparable for the lung-infiltrating eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ cells. This indicates that the amplitude and focused nature of the effector and regulatory T cell response in lungs is generally comparable.

Responding eCD4 and eTreg repertoires are distinct

Next, we analyzed all-versus-all pairwise overlap of the amino acid TCRα CDR3 repertoires of lung-infiltrating eTreg and eCD4 cells. We used F2 similarity metrics in the VDJtools software[23], which employs a clonotype-wise sum of geometric mean frequencies that takes into account the relative size of shared clonotypes. As such, F2 metrics generally enable comparison of pairs of TCR repertoires with regard to the relative share occupied by common clonotypes. This analysis showed that the eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 repertoires are highly distinct across distinct challenges (**Fig. 1d,e**).

Figure 1. **eTreg and eCD4 subsets**. **a-c.** Clonality and diversity of lung eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 subsets in response to distinct antigenic challenges. We calculated the (**a**) Chao1 estimator, (**b**) normalized Shannon-Wiener index, and (**c**) observed diversity for each TCRα repertoire obtained from each CD4$^+$ T-cell subset from each animal ($3 < n < 7$) with different antigenic challenges. P-values shown as: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, and ****$p < 0.0001$, based on parametric t-test for each group versus control. **d**. Relative overlap between amino acid-defined lung CDR3α repertoires, visualized as a VDJtools MDS plot. Euclidean distance between points reflects the distance between repertoires. Data were normalized to the top 1,000 most frequent clonotypes and weighted by clonotype frequency (F2 metric in VDJtools). Clonotypes were matched on the basis of identical TRAV gene segments and identical CDR3α sequences. The closer two circles are, the higher the overall frequency of shared clonotypes. Node colors correspond to the challenge antigen. Filled circles are lung eT$_{reg}$s, open circles are lung eCD4 cells. **e**. The same F2 metrics as in (**d**), shown separately for each challenge and for eT$_{reg}$-eT$_{reg}$, eT$_{reg}$-eCD4, and eCD4-eCD4 repertoire overlap. For both (**d**) and (**e**), mice were analyzed in an all-versus-all fashion, irrespective of whether eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 subsets were obtained from the same or distinct mice.

eTreg response is convergent and differs for distinct challenges

We next zoomed in on the eT_{reg} repertoire in order to investigate how focused their antigen-specific response is in the lungs. Repertoire overlap analysis showed that eT_{reg} repertoires were highly similar across mice for each of the challenges, and differed between challenges (**Fig. 2a, b**). Cluster sequence analysis further revealed common dominant TCRα CDR3 motifs in response to each of the challenges (**Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 1**). It should be noted that the eT_{reg} repertoires in the three different cancer challenges (B16, LLC, and PYMT) clustered together and shared some CDR3 motifs, suggesting response to shared tumor-associated antigens. In LLC and PYMT, but not in other challenges, some of the characteristic CDR3 motifs were shared with the eCD4 subset.

eTreg repertoire upon lung challenge is reflected in the draining lymph node

It has previously been shown in a mouse model that the antigen-specific T_{reg} response to influenza in the lungs is also reflected in the lung-draining mediastinal lymph node (MLN)[24]. Our data revealed this at the repertoire level, showing that the same TCR clonotypes and CDR3 motifs distinguish antigen-specific eT_{reg} response to each of the different lung challenges in the lung and MLN (**Fig**. **2b**, **Supplementary Fig. 1**). It should be noted that each challenge produces its own specific response, wherein eT_{reg} TCRα CDR3 repertoires from the lung and MLN are located side by side. At the same time, the lung and MLN eT_{reg} repertoires of control mice obtained in the absence of any antigenic challenges were distinct, confirming that repertoire similarity is dictated by corresponding antigenic challenges (**Fig**. **2b**). In contrast, the eT_{reg} repertoires in distant AXLN and IGLN lymph nodes and the spleen were less similar to that observed in the lungs, and clustered separately (**Fig**. **2c–e**). Altogether, these results demonstrate the selective tissue localization of the antigen-focused T_{reg} response.

Repertoire focus is the same for the lung and skin tumor localization

The eT_{reg} TCR repertoire in lungs (upon lung tumor challenge) and in skin (upon corresponding tumor challenge in skin) was also highly similar (**Fig. 3**), demonstrating that the antigen-specific character of the T_{reg} response dominates over the tissue location of the challenge. TCR repertoires from eCD4 T cells in the lungs and MLN in response to distinct lung challenges, as well as repertoires of skin eCD4 T cells in response to various skin challenges also clustered together (**Fig. 3**), with a magnitude of repertoire convergence that was at the same level as for eTreg cells (**Fig. 1e**).

Figure 2. eT_reg TCR repertoire in various tissues following antigen challenge in the lung. Relative overlap between amino acid-defined eT_reg CDR3α repertoires visualized using VDJtools. Data were normalized to the top 1,000 most frequent clonotypes and weighted by clonotype frequency (F2 metric). Clonotypes were matched on the basis of identical TRAV gene segments and identical CDR3α sequences. (**a**) Dendrogram of Lung eT_reg CDR3α repertoires, where branch length shows the distance between repertoires. Heat map at right shows the distribution of selected CDR3α clusters associated with specific challenges. Corresponding CDR3α logos are shown at bottom; clusters also observed in corresponding eCD4 samples are indicated in red. (**b–e**) MDS plots comparing repertoires between pairs of tissues, where the Euclidean distance between points reflects the distance between repertoires. Overlap is shown for (**b**) lung versus MLN, (**c**) lung versus AXLN, (**d**) lung versus IGLN, and (**e**) lung versus spleen. The closer two samples are, the higher the overall frequency of shared clonotypes. Node colors correspond to different challenges. Mice were analyzed in an all-versus-all fashion, irrespective of whether tissues were obtained from the same or distinct mice. Circles: lung. Squares: MLN. Triangles: AXLN. Snowflakes: IGLN. Crosses: spleen. (**f**) Graphs show eT_reg F2 repertoire overlap between lung tissue from different animals, and between lungs and other tissues of all animals.

Figure 3. Convergence of eT_reg and eCD4 TCR response in distinct tissues. (**a**,**b**) Relative overlap between amino acid-defined lung and MLN (upon lung challenge) and skin (upon skin challenge) eT_reg CDR3α repertoires as visualized using VDJtools. Data were normalized to the top 1,000 most frequent clonotypes and weighted by clonotype frequency (F2 metric in VDJtools). Clonotypes were matched on the basis of identical TRAV gene segments and identical CDR3α sequences. (**a**) Dendrogram branch length shows the distance between repertoires. (**b**) Euclidean distance between points on the MDS plot reflects the distance between repertoires. The closer two samples are, the higher the overall frequency of shared clonotypes. Mice were analyzed in an all-versus-all fashion, irrespective of whether tissues were obtained from the same or distinct mice. Node colors correspond to different challenges. Circles: lung. Crossed squares: skin. (**c**) Relative overlap between amino acid-defined lung and MLN (upon lung challenge) and skin (upon skin challenge) eCD4 CDR3α repertoires.

eCD4 to eT_reg conversion is only observed in two cancer challenges

As can be seen in **Figure 1e**, CDR3α eT_reg and eCD4 amino acid repertoires in the lungs were more similar in the context of the LLC tumor challenge compared to other challenges, and this could reflect induced plasticity of the eCD4 subset. In order to assess possible eCD4-to-eT_reg clonal conversion due to natural helper T cell plasticity, we analyzed eT_reg versus eCD4 repertoire overlap at the level of CDR3a nucleotide clonotypes for paired eCD4-eT_reg samples obtained from the same mice. Estimated eCD4-to-eT_reg conversion was strongest in skin and in lung following LLC and PYMT challenge, but was low or absent in the aftermath of other antigenic challenges, including B16 tumor (**Fig. 4a,c**). The effect was probably local and transient, and was not observed at systemic level in the spleen (**Fig. 4b,d**). CDR3a cluster #408 (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 1**) was the major contributor to the observed conversion (**Fig. 4e**).

Foxp3+ T_reg-iNKT cells do not naturally arise during development in the thymus, but can be induced and acquire suppressive functions in the periphery under particular pathophysiological conditions[25,26]. We specifically observed an increased proportion of T_reg-iNKT cells (defined as

classic TRAV11-CVVGDRGSALGRLHF-TRAJ18 TCRα) among all eT$_{reg}$ cells upon LLC challenge in lungs (**Fig**. **4f**). This was not due to increased presence of eCD4 iNKT cells (**Fig**. **4g**), suggesting induced iNKT conversion upon LLC lung challenge. The conversion, which was similar to the general conversion shown in **Figure 4a–d**, was local and was not observed systemically (*e.g.*, in the spleen; **Fig**. **4h**).

Figure 4. **Estimated eCD4 to eT$_{reg}$ conversion**. (**a–d**) Relative overlap between eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 nucleotide-defined CDR3α repertoires upon lung (**a, b**) and skin (**c, d**) challenges. Overlaps are shown for the same organ (**a, c**) and spleen (**c, d**). Data were normalized to the 100 most frequent clonotypes and overlap was weighted by clonotype frequency (F2 metric). Clonotypes were matched on the basis of identical TRAV and TRAJ gene segments and identical TCRα CDR3 nucleotide sequences. Overlap was analyzed separately for each challenge for eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 subsets obtained from the same mice. The closer two samples are, the higher the F2 metric, which reflects the overall frequency of shared clonotypes. **e**. Distribution of TCR cluster 408 in eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 repertoires upon tumor challenge in lung and skin. (**f**) T$_{reg}$-iNKT proportion of eT$_{reg}$ cells in lung upon lung challenge. (**g**) iNKT proportion of eCD4 cells in the lung upon lung challenge. (**h**) T$_{reg}$-iNKT proportion of eT$_{reg}$ cells in spleen upon lung challenge. (**i**) iNKT proportion of eCD4 cells in the spleen upon lung challenge. P-values shown as: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001 based on parametric t-test for each group versus control.

Local lymphatic tissue-resident eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 cells

Global CDR3α cluster analysis revealed that characteristic eT$_{reg}$ TCR motifs were present in distinct lymphatic tissues, including spleen and thymus, irrespective of the applied challenge (**Supplementary Fig**. **1**). To better illustrate this phenomenon, we performed MDS analysis of CDR3α repertoires for distinct lymphatic tissues, excluding the lungs due to their otherwise dominant response to the current challenge. This analysis demonstrated close proximity of eT$_{reg}$ repertoires obtained from the same lymphatic tissues upon all lung challenges and across all animals (**Fig**. **5a**, **b**). These results indicate that distinct antigenic specificities are generally characteristic for eT$_{reg}$ cells that preferentially reside in particular lymphatic niches. Notably, the convergence of lymphatic tissue-resident TCR repertoires was less prominent for the eCD4 T cells (**Fig**. **5c**, **d**).

Figure 5. Lymphatic tissue-resident eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 cells. (**a–d**) MDS plots showing relative overlap between amino acid-defined eT$_{reg}$ CDR3α repertoires as visualized using VDJtools. Repertoire overlaps are shown for (**a**) eT$_{reg}$ in MLN, spleen, AxLN, and IgLN; (**b**) eT$_{reg}$ in MLN, spleen, AxLN, IgLN, and thymus; (**c**) eCD4 in MLN, spleen, AxLN, and IgLN; and (**d**) eCD4 in MLN, spleen, AxLN, IgLN, and thymus. Data were normalized to the top 1,000 most frequent clonotypes and weighted by clonotype frequency (F2 metric). Clonotypes were matched on the basis of identical TRAV gene segments and identical CDR3α sequences. Euclidean distance between points reflects the distance between repertoires; the closer two samples are, the higher is the overall frequency of shared clonotypes. Mice were analyzed in an all-versus-all fashion, irrespective of whether the tissues were obtained from the same or distinct mice. Node colors correspond to the different challenges. Squares: MLN. Triangles: AXLN. Snowflakes: IGLN. Crosses: spleen. Stars: thymus.

Discussion

We observed a prominent local clonal response to different antigenic challenges within the lung. Remarkably, this effect was comparable for both lung-infiltrating eCD4 and eT$_{reg}$ cells, suggesting similar amplitude for the effector and regulatory response (**Fig. 1a–c**). The TCR repertoires of the eT$_{reg}$ and eCD4 subsets were highly distinct across antigenic challenges (**Fig. 1d, e**). At the same time, eT$_{reg}$ repertoires were highly similar across mice for each challenge (**Fig. 2a, b**), and common TCRα CDR3 motifs dominated each response (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). That being said, the eT$_{reg}$ repertoires were similar for the three different cancer challenges, suggesting a response to shared tumor-associated antigens. Antigen-specific T$_{reg}$ response in lungs was reflected in the lung-draining MLN, but not in distant tissues including the AXLN, IGLN, and spleen (**Fig**. **2b**, **Supplementary Fig. 1**), showing the local character of the antigen-specific regulatory response.

For the LLC and PYMT tumors—but not other antigenic challenges—the overall repertoires and dominant CDR3 motifs were shared between the two subsets, suggesting induction of eCD4-eT$_{reg}$ plasticity. Further analysis showed that eCD4 to eT$_{reg}$ conversion was strongest in the skin and lung following LLC and PYMT tumor challenge, and was observed in the site of challenge but not systemically (**Fig**. **4a–e**). The presence of an increased proportion of T$_{reg}$-iNKT cells among the total pool of eT$_{reg}$ cells in the lung upon LLC challenge provided further support for the notion of tumor-induced plasticity (**Fig**. **4f–h**). This observation is of particular interest in the context of recent reports on innate-like T cell anti-tumor response[27].

The local eT$_{reg}$ response to a given tumor type was clonally very similar upon lung and skin challenge, showing that the antigen-specific character of the eT$_{reg}$ response dominates over the tissue location of the initial challenge (**Fig. 3**).

Strikingly, we observed commonality between eT$_{reg}$ repertoires obtained from the same lymphoid tissue in different mice irrespectively of the antigenic challenge (**Fig**. **5a**,**b**). This observation indicates that distinct antigenic specificities are characteristic for T$_{reg}$ cells with distinct tissue residence.

The eCD4 subset generally exhibited similar patterns to the eT$_{reg}$s, although the extent of convergence among eT$_{reg}$ repertoires was higher in most scenarios compared to the eCD4 subset, indicating the highly antigen-specific nature of the T$_{reg}$ response.

Altogether, our data demonstrate highly antigen-specific and distinct T$_{eff}$ and T$_{reg}$ responses to different challenges and support the use of the fixed TCRβ chain FoxP3-GFP mouse as a "digital" model of TCR response that benefits from access to highly convergent and easy-to-track TCRα CDR3 clusters.

Methods

Mice and challenges

C57BL/6J DO11.10 TCRβ transgenic mice (kindly provided by Philippa Marrack) and crossed to C57BL/6J Foxp3[eGFP] TCRa^{-/-} mice. Age-matched DO11.10 TCRβ Foxp3[eGFP] TCRa^{+/-} males were used for experiments. The animals were kept under specific pathogen-free conditions and studied at 6–9 weeks of age.

PyMT cell line was isolated previously from MMTV-PyMT tumor-bearing mice[28], Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LLC) cell line was a gift of J.Massague (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center) and B16-F10 melanoma cells were a gift from C. Ariyan (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center). All cell lines were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% l-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 10 mM Hepes. Tumor lines were trypsinized and washed with serum-free DMEM. A dose of 1x 10^5 cells in 200 uL DMEM was injected to each mouse intravenously (i.v) via the tail vein or subcutaneously (s.c) in right flank to induce lung or skin tumors, respectively. Influenza A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8) virus was grown in the cavity of 10-day embryonated chicken eggs, and a dose 100 pfu in 35 uL PBS was used to challenge mice intranasally (i.n)[8]. *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* L3 stage larvae were passaged and isolated from the feces of Wistar rats[29]. 500-700 infective L3 larvae were injected s.c to mice in 500 uL PBS. Bleomycin for injection was obtained from the pharmacy and each mouse was challenged i.n with a dose of 0.1 U in 35 uL PBS. Control mice were mock challenged with PBS. Antibodies for magnetic cell isolation and fluorescence activated cell sorting were purchased from Biolegend, Thermofisher and TONBO BIO. Mouse breeding, challenges and procedures were performed under protocol 08-10-023 approved by the Sloan Kettering Institute (SKI) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Cell isolation, sorting of T cell subsets and library preparation

To identify and isolate tissue infiltrating T cells and exclude circulating blood lymphocytes, mice from different challenge groups were injected i.v with 0.5 ug of APC anti-CD45 antibody (clone 30-F11) 3 min before euthanasia[30]. Mice bearing lung and skin tumors were euthanized 2 weeks after challenge and influenza, *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* and bleomycin experimental mice at day 9 after challenge.

Lungs from all challenge groups were flushed from excess blood via intracardiac injection with 10 mL of PBS, and each lobe was cut into small pieces and digested with Collagenase A (Roche, 1mg/mL), DNAse (30 ug/mL) in DMEM 2% FBS for 30 min at 37°C in an orbital shaker. Skin tumors were dissected, minced into small pieces and digested with Collagenase A as described above for lung samples. Single cell suspensions were spun and resuspended in sterile EDTA containing FACs buffer (PBS 2% FBS, 1mM EDTA). Spleens and individual lymph nodes were dissociated with frosted glass slides into single-cell suspensions in FACS buffer. All cell suspensions were filtered with 70 uM strainers (BD Biosciences) and kept on ice until further use.

Individual cell suspensions were enriched for CD4^{+} T cells using a custom cocktail of biotinylated antibodies (F4/80(clone BM8), anti-mouse I-A/I-E (clone M5/114.15.2), anti-mouse B220(clone RA3-6B2), anti-CD11b (clone M1/70), anti-mouse gamma delta TCR (clone GL3), anti-mouse CD8a (clone 53-6.7), anti-mouse CD11c (clone HL3), anti-mouse Ter119 (clone Ter119) , anti-mouse Ly6-G (clone 1A8) at a concentration of 10 ug/mL for each antibody) followed by negative selection with LS columns (Miltenyi). Negative fractions were stained with anti-CD4 (GK1.5, BV605), CD8b (YTS157.7.7, AF700), CD44 (IM7, EF450), CD62L (MEL-14, PE-TexasRed), CD90.2 (clone 30-H12, APC-Cy7), CD45 (clone 30-F11, BV510) and Vb8.2 (clone KJ16-133, PE) antibodies. Effector Treg cells and effector CD4^{+} T cell subsets were sorted using an Aria-II Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences). Briefly, total effector CD4^{+} T cells were gated as Vb8.2 pos CD4 pos CD44 high CD62L pos CD90 pos CD45-BV510 pos CD45 APC neg cells. Thereafter, from this gate, conventional effector CD4^{+} T cells as CD44 high CD62L neg Foxp3-GFP neg cells and effector Treg cells as CD44^{hi}/CD62L^{lo} Foxp3-EGFP pos were sorted individually into separate tubes, spun, and lysed in buffer RLT plus (Qiagen) and froze at -80C until further use. RNA purification from individual samples (RNeasy Micro Kit, Qiagen), TCR library preparation from cDNA and Library Next Generation Sequencing has been previously described[17].

TCR repertoire extraction

Raw 150+150 nt sequence data were analyzed using MIGEC software version 1.2.7. UMI sequences were extracted from demultiplexed data using the Checkout utility. Then, the data were assembled using the erroneous UMI filtering option in the Assemble utility. The minimum required number of reads per UMI was set at two for most tasks. In-frame TCRα and TCRβ repertoires were extracted using MiXCR software (version 3.0.13). The number of obtained UMI-labeled cDNA molecules per repertoire per sample ranged from 114 to 204,990, and the number of CDR3 clonotype variants at the nucleotide level per repertoire per sample ranged from 2 to 38,310. Normalization, data transformation, in-depth analyses, and statistical calculations were performed using R scripts and VDJtools software version 1.2.1[23].

TCR repertoire overlap analysis

Analyses of averaged CDR3 characteristics were weighted by the abundance of each clonotype in each sample. Basic characteristics included CDR3 length, the number of N additions, interaction strength, hydrophobicity (Kidera factor 4), volume, and surface. TCRα repertoire diversity was assessed using several widely used metrics, including observed diversity, normalized Shannon Wiener index, and Chao1. For normalization, all diversity metrics were obtained for datasets that had been downsampled to 1,000 randomly-chosen, UMI-labeled TCRα cDNA molecules. Repertoire overlap was analyzed using the weighted F2 (reflecting the proportion of shared T cells between paired repertoires) metric in VDJtools software version 1.2.1.

eCD4-to-eT_reg conversion analysis

The top 100 clonotypes were extracted from all samples. F2 (weighted on clonotype size) overlaps in terms of nucleotide CDR3 sequence plus identical V and J were calculated for matched pairs of samples as shown in **Supplementary Table 3**.

Statistical analysis

Results are shown as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed on processed datasets in R. Multiple parameter inferences were estimated using parametric t-test.

TCRα clusters

TCRα sequence homology clusters were generated using the TCRNET algorithm[31]. All TCRα CDR3 sequences were pooled, and unique sequences were used to build a graph. Edges of this graph connected CDR3s that differed by a single amino acid mismatch. CDR3s having more neighbors than expected by chance were selected, and connected components from selected CDR3 sequences were used as clusters. As a baseline, we used random mouse TCRα VDJ rearrangements. Each cluster was assigned a frequency based on the total frequency of T cells encoding corresponding CDR3s within a sample. The CDR3 cluster frequency matrix was then subjected to hierarchical clustering using the 'aheatmap' R package with default parameters.

Acknowledgments

Supported by the grant from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation 075-15-2019-1789. We are grateful to Michael Eisenstein for the helpful edits.

References

1. Lee, H.M., Bautista, J.L., Scott-Browne, J., Mohan, J.F., and Hsieh, C.S. (2012). A broad range of self-reactivity drives thymic regulatory T cell selection to limit responses to self. Immunity *37*, 475-486. 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.07.009.
2. Campbell, C., and Rudensky, A. (2020). Roles of Regulatory T Cells in Tissue Pathophysiology and Metabolism. Cell Metab *31*, 18-25. 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.09.010.
3. Xu, M., Pokrovskii, M., Ding, Y., Yi, R., Au, C., Harrison, O.J., Galan, C., Belkaid, Y., Bonneau, R., and Littman, D.R. (2018). c-MAF-dependent regulatory T cells mediate immunological tolerance to a gut pathobiont. Nature *554*, 373-377. 10.1038/nature25500.
4. Suffia, I.J., Reckling, S.K., Piccirillo, C.A., Goldszmid, R.S., and Belkaid, Y. (2006). Infected site-restricted Foxp3+ natural regulatory T cells are specific for microbial antigens. J Exp Med *203*, 777-788. 10.1084/jem.20052056.
5. Muschaweck, M., Kopplin, L., Ticconi, F., Schippers, A., Iljazovic, A., Galvez, E.J.C., Abdallah, A.T., Wagner, N., Costa, I.G., Strowig, T., and Pabst, O. (2021). Cognate recognition of microbial antigens defines constricted CD4(+) T cell receptor repertoires in the inflamed colon. Immunity. 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.08.014.
6. Bacher, P., Heinrich, F., Stervbo, U., Nienen, M., Vahldieck, M., Iwert, C., Vogt, K., Kollet, J., Babel, N., Sawitzki, B., et al. (2016). Regulatory T Cell Specificity Directs Tolerance versus Allergy against Aeroantigens in Humans. Cell *167*, 1067-1078 e1016. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.050.

7. Betts, R.J., Prabhu, N., Ho, A.W., Lew, F.C., Hutchinson, P.E., Rotzschke, O., Macary, P.A., and Kemeny, D.M. (2012). Influenza A virus infection results in a robust, antigen-responsive, and widely disseminated Foxp3+ regulatory T cell response. J Virol *86*, 2817-2825. 10.1128/JVI.05685-11.

8. Arpaia, N., Green, J.A., Moltedo, B., Arvey, A., Hemmers, S., Yuan, S., Treuting, P.M., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2015). A Distinct Function of Regulatory T Cells in Tissue Protection. Cell *162*, 1078-1089. 10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.021.

9. Popovic, B., Golemac, M., Podlech, J., Zeleznjak, J., Bilic-Zulle, L., Lukic, M.L., Cicin-Sain, L., Reddehase, M.J., Sparwasser, T., Krmpotic, A., and Jonjic, S. (2017). IL-33/ST2 pathway drives regulatory T cell dependent suppression of liver damage upon cytomegalovirus infection. PLoS pathogens *13*, e1006345. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006345.

10. Levine, A.G., Arvey, A., Jin, W., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2014). Continuous requirement for the TCR in regulatory T cell function. Nat Immunol *15*, 1070-1078. 10.1038/ni.3004.

11. Mehrfeld, C., Zenner, S., Kornek, M., and Lukacs-Kornek, V. (2018). The Contribution of Non-Professional Antigen-Presenting Cells to Immunity and Tolerance in the Liver. Frontiers in immunology *9*, 635. 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00635.

12. Tekguc, M., Wing, J.B., Osaki, M., Long, J., and Sakaguchi, S. (2021). Treg-expressed CTLA-4 depletes CD80/CD86 by trogocytosis, releasing free PD-L1 on antigen-presenting cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *118*. 10.1073/pnas.2023739118.

13. Klein, L., Kyewski, B., Allen, P.M., and Hogquist, K.A. (2014). Positive and negative selection of the T cell repertoire: what thymocytes see (and don't see). Nat Rev Immunol *14*, 377-391. 10.1038/nri3667.

14. Zegarra-Ruiz, D.F., Kim, D.V., Norwood, K., Kim, M., Wu, W.H., Saldana-Morales, F.B., Hill, A.A., Majumdar, S., Orozco, S., Bell, R., et al. (2021). Thymic development of gut-microbiota-specific T cells. Nature *594*, 413-417. 10.1038/s41586-021-03531-1.

15. Sawant, D.V., and Vignali, D.A. (2014). Once a Treg, always a Treg? Immunological reviews *259*, 173-191. 10.1111/imr.12173.

16. Venturi, V., Kedzierska, K., Price, D.A., Doherty, P.C., Douek, D.C., Turner, S.J., and Davenport, M.P. (2006). Sharing of T cell receptors in antigen-specific responses is driven by convergent recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *103*, 18691-18696. 10.1073/pnas.0608907103.

17. Feng, Y., van der Veeken, J., Shugay, M., Putintseva, E.V., Osmanbeyoglu, H.U., Dikiy, S., Hoyos, B.E., Moltedo, B., Hemmers, S., Treuting, P., et al. (2015). A mechanism for expansion of regulatory T-cell repertoire and its role in self-tolerance. Nature *528*, 132-136. 10.1038/nature16141.

18. Izraelson, M., Nakonechnaya, T.O., Moltedo, B., Egorov, E.S., Kasatskaya, S.A., Putintseva, E.V., Mamedov, I.Z., Staroverov, D.B., Shemiakina, II, Zakharova, M.Y., et al. (2018). Comparative analysis of murine T-cell receptor repertoires. Immunology *153*, 133-144. 10.1111/imm.12857.

19. Logunova, N.N., Kriukova, V.V., Shelyakin, P.V., Egorov, E.S., Pereverzeva, A., Bozhanova, N.G., Shugay, M., Shcherbinin, D.S., Pogorelyy, M.V., Merzlyak, E.M., et al. (2020). MHC-II alleles shape the CDR3 repertoires of conventional and regulatory naive CD4(+) T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 13659-13669. 10.1073/pnas.2003170117.

20. Shugay, M., Britanova, O.V., Merzlyak, E.M., Turchaninova, M.A., Mamedov, I.Z., Tuganbaev, T.R., Bolotin, D.A., Staroverov, D.B., Putintseva, E.V., Plevova, K., et al. (2014). Towards error-free profiling of immune repertoires. Nat Methods *11*, 653-655. 10.1038/nmeth.2960.

21. Bolotin, D.A., Poslavsky, S., Mitrophanov, I., Shugay, M., Mamedov, I.Z., Putintseva, E.V., and Chudakov, D.M. (2015). MiXCR: software for comprehensive adaptive immunity profiling. Nat Methods *12*, 380-381. 10.1038/nmeth.3364.

22. Fulton, R.B., Meyerholz, D.K., and Varga, S.M. (2010). Foxp3+ CD4 regulatory T cells limit pulmonary immunopathology by modulating the CD8 T cell response during respiratory syncytial virus infection. J Immunol *185*, 2382-2392. 10.4049/jimmunol.1000423.

23. Shugay, M., Bagaev, D.V., Turchaninova, M.A., Bolotin, D.A., Britanova, O.V., Putintseva, E.V., Pogorelyy, M.V., Nazarov, V.I., Zvyagin, I.V., Kirgizova, V.I., et al. (2015). VDJtools: Unifying Post-analysis of T Cell Receptor Repertoires. PLoS computational biology *11*, e1004503. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004503.

24. Brincks, E.L., Roberts, A.D., Cookenham, T., Sell, S., Kohlmeier, J.E., Blackman, M.A., and Woodland, D.L. (2013). Antigen-specific memory regulatory CD4+Foxp3+ T cells control memory responses to influenza virus infection. J Immunol *190*, 3438-3446. 10.4049/jimmunol.1203140.
25. Moreira-Teixeira, L., Resende, M., Devergne, O., Herbeuval, J.P., Hermine, O., Schneider, E., Dy, M., Cordeiro-da-Silva, A., and Leite-de-Moraes, M.C. (2012). Rapamycin combined with TGF-beta converts human invariant NKT cells into suppressive Foxp3+ regulatory cells. J Immunol *188*, 624-631. 10.4049/jimmunol.1102281.
26. Monteiro, M., Almeida, C.F., Caridade, M., Ribot, J.C., Duarte, J., Agua-Doce, A., Wollenberg, I., Silva-Santos, B., and Graca, L. (2010). Identification of regulatory Foxp3+ invariant NKT cells induced by TGF-beta. J Immunol *185*, 2157-2163. 10.4049/jimmunol.1000359.
27. Crowther, M.D., Dolton, G., Legut, M., Caillaud, M.E., Lloyd, A., Attaf, M., Galloway, S.A.E., Rius, C., Farrell, C.P., Szomolay, B., et al. (2020). Genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 screening reveals ubiquitous T cell cancer targeting via the monomorphic MHC class I-related protein MR1. Nat Immunol *21*, 178-185. 10.1038/s41590-019-0578-8.
28. Bos, P.D., Plitas, G., Rudra, D., Lee, S.Y., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2013). Transient regulatory T cell ablation deters oncogene-driven breast cancer and enhances radiotherapy. J Exp Med *210*, 2435-2466. 10.1084/jem.20130762.
29. Camberis, M., Le Gros, G., and Urban, J., Jr. (2003). Animal model of Nippostrongylus brasiliensis and Heligmosomoides polygyrus. Curr Protoc Immunol *Chapter 19*, Unit 19 12. 10.1002/0471142735.im1912s55.
30. Fan, X., Moltedo, B., Mendoza, A., Davydov, A.N., Faire, M.B., Mazutis, L., Sharma, R., Pe'er, D., Chudakov, D.M., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2018). CD49b defines functionally mature Treg cells that survey skin and vascular tissues. J Exp Med *215*, 2796-2814. 10.1084/jem.20181442.
31. Pogorelyy, M.V., and Shugay, M. (2019). A Framework for Annotation of Antigen Specificities in High-Throughput T-Cell Repertoire Sequencing Studies. Frontiers in immunology *10*, 2159. 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02159.

Supplementary Fig. 1. TCR group behavior in response to different antigenic challenges. In this TCR homology cluster expression matrix, each column corresponds to a TCR sequence homology cluster (*i.e.,* group of TCR clonotypes having similar CDR3α sequences) and each row corresponds to a particular condition (*e.g.*, tissue type, antigenic challenge, etc). The color of each cell highlights the overall (sum of frequency across all clonotypes) expression of each cluster in a given condition. Rows are sorted by cell type, challenge, organ and cancer type as highlighted by the colored bands at left; columns are sorted according to hierarchical clustering of TCR cluster expression.