POLARIS is a copper-binding peptide required for ethylene signalling control in *Arabidopsis*

Authors

Anna J. Mudge[1†], Saher Mehdi[1†‡], Will Michaels[1,2†], Beatriz Orosa-Puente[1‡‡], Weiran Shen[1], Charlie Tomlinson[2‡‡‡], Wenbin Wei[1], Claudia Hoppen[3], Buket Uzun[3], Dipan Roy[1], Flora M. Hetherington[1‡‡‡‡], Jennifer F. Topping[1], Ari Sadanandom[1], Georg Groth[3], Nigel J. Robinson[1,2*], Keith Lindsey[1*]

Affiliations

[1]*Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Durham, UK*

[2]*Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, UK*

[3]*Institute of Biochemical Plant Physiology, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, D-40204 Düsseldorf, Germany*

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

[‡]Present address: wellOwise Research and Development, IIITD Innovation & Incubation Centre, New Delhi, Delhi - 110020, India.

[‡‡]Present address: School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK; and Centro Singular de Investigación en Química Biolóxica e Materiais Moleculares (CIQUS) and Departamento de Química Orgánica, 15782, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain

[‡‡‡]Present address: Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, U.K.

[‡‡‡‡]Present address: University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, U.K.

*Joint corresponding authors: keith.lindsey@durham.ac.uk; nigel.robinson@durham.ac.uk

Running title: The POLARIS peptide regulates ethylene responses

ABSTRACT

Ethylene signalling represents one of the classic hormonal pathways in plants, with diverse roles in development and stress responses. The dimeric ethylene receptor localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and contains Cu(I) ions essential for ethylene binding and signal transduction. The final steps of Cu(I) incorporation into the ethylene receptor, and its link with receptor conformation are ill-defined. We previously discovered that mutants in the *Arabidopsis* gene *POLARIS* (*PLS*), encoding a 36 amino acid peptide, exhibit enhanced ethylene signalling responses, suggestive of reduced receptor activity. Here we report PLS binds copper as a 1:2 thiol-dependent $Cu(I):PLS_2$ complex, with an affinity of 3.79 (±1.5) $\times 10^{19}$ M^{-2}. We demonstrate that PLS localizes to endomembranes and interacts with the transmembrane domain of receptor protein ETR1, the Cu(I) chaperones ATX1 and CCH, and Cu(I)-transporting P_{1B}-type ATPase RAN1. *PLS* transcription is up-regulated by auxin and down-regulated by ethylene, and so PLS-cuproprotein interactions provide mechanisms to modulate the activity of ETR1 to regulate ethylene responses in high auxin tissues.

Keywords: Plant hormone signalling, Arabidopsis, Ethylene, Protein metallation, Receptor

INTRODUCTION

Ethylene is used by plants as a gaseous hormone to regulate many aspects of development and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Johnson and Ecker, 1998). It is perceived by a family of receptors that, in *Arabidopsis*, comprises 5 members, ETR1 (ETHYLENE RESPONSE 1), ERS1 (ETHYLENE RESPONSE SENSOR 1), ERS2, ETR2, and EIN4 (ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE 4) (Chang et al, 1993; Hua et al., 1995, 1998; Sakai et al., 1998) located on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Chen et al., 2002; Grefen et al., 2008). The receptors are related to bacterial two-component systems (Chen et al., 2002), form dimers through disulphide bonding at the N-terminal hydrophobic domains (Schaller et al., 1995; Hall et al., 2000) and contain Cu(I) ions bound to residues Cys65 and His69, essential for ethylene binding and signal transduction (Rodriguez et al., 1999; McDaniel and Binder, 2012). In the absence of ethylene these receptors activate a negative regulator CTR1 (CONSTITUTIVE TRIPLE RESPONSE 1), which is a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK), so preventing ethylene responses (Chang, 2003; Gao et al., 2003). Mechanisms by which receptor activity is regulated are not fully understood.

Introduction of copper to the ER and ethylene receptor requires the RAN1 (RESPONSIVE TO ANTAGONIST1) protein. This is a predicted copper-transporting P-type ATPase homologous to the yeast Ccc2p and to human Menkes and Wilson disease proteins (Hirayama et al., 1999). Strong loss-of-function mutants of *RAN1* in *Arabidopsis* (e.g. *ran1-3*, *ran1-4*) exhibit an enhanced ethylene signalling response (Binder et al., 2010), consistent with a loss of receptor function, and similar to higher order loss-of-function receptor mutants, which also show an ethylene hypersignalling phenotype (Qu et al., 2007). Mechanisms of copper homeostasis at ETR1 are unknown, as is true for other compartmentalized cuproproteins supplied with copper, for example via Ccc2p, Menkes or Wilson ATPases. RAN1 in Arabidopsis localizes to endomembrane systems, including *trans*-Golgi and ER compartments, and is necessary for both the biogenesis of ethylene receptors and copper homeostasis - loss of function *ran1* mutants suggest copper is required for both ethylene binding and receptor function (Binder et al., 2010). RAN1 can interact directly with ETR1 and the copper chaperones ANTIOXIDANT1 (ATX1) and COPPER CHAPERONE (CCH), suggestive of a transfer of copper between proteins to deliver it to the ethylene receptor as part of the receptor biogenesis pathway

at the ER (Hoppen et al., 2019).

Our understanding of copper homeostasis at the receptor is still incomplete,
however. For example, how is Cu(I) delivery from RAN1 to ETR1 mediated? Are there
other Cu(I)-binding components involved? Is metalation of the receptor regulated in a
tissue-specific way or in response to the hormonal environment in a tissue? Is this
process part of the crosstalk mechanism with other hormone signaling pathways? It is
well established that ethylene signaling interacts with and is impacted by other hormonal
pathways but does this influence the receptor metalation state and with developmental
consequences? In this paper we show that a peptide, POLARIS, binds copper and is
linked to both the copper trafficking pathway and ETR1-mediated ethylene signalling.
We show POLARIS is unlikely to act as a metallochaperone intermediary but may form
copper-adducts with ETR1 and/or its metalation partners. We suggest this provides cell
type control and a crosstalk regulatory mechanism for ethylene responses through a
role in its physical interaction with the ethylene receptor.

RESULTS

The POLARIS peptide is a negative regulator of ethylene responses

The *POLARIS* gene of *Arabidopsis* (AT4G39403) encodes a 36 amino acids peptide
(Figure 1A) translated from a low-abundance transcript that, in light-grown seedlings, is
most strongly expressed in the embryo and silique, seedling root tip and leaf vascular
tissues (Figure S1), a pattern reflected in promoter-reporter expression patterns
(Casson et al., 2002). We have previously shown that the loss-of-function *pls* mutant
has some phenotypic similarities to *ran1* loss-of-function alleles and to *ctr1*, exhibiting a
triple response phenotype (short hypocotyl and root, exaggerated apical hook, radial
expansion) in the dark in the absence of ethylene (Chilley et al., 2006), and a short root
in light-grown seedlings, consistent with its expression in the root meristem in light-
grown seedlings (Figure 1B). Transgenic complementation of the mutant by the *PLS*
gene suppresses the mutant phenotype (Casson et al., 2002). The *pls* mutant
phenotype is rescued by the gain-of-function ethylene resistant mutation *etr1-1* and
pharmacological inhibition of ethylene signalling by silver ions (Chilley et al., 2006).
Ethylene gas production in the *pls* mutant is at wild type levels, indicating the peptide
may play a role in ethylene signalling rather than biosynthesis (Chilley et al., 2006). *PLS*

transgenic overexpressers (PLSOx seedlings) in contrast exhibit a suppression of the
triple response phenotype when grown in the presence of the ethylene precursor ACC,
similar to the gain of function *etr1-1* mutant, but this suppression is incomplete (PLSOx
seedlings show some response to ACC; Casson et al., 2002; Chilley et al., 2006).
PLSOx also partially suppress the *ctr1* mutant phenotype, indicating that the PLS
peptide acts upstream of CTR1 (Chilley et al., 2006).

To further investigate the *pls* molecular phenotype, we carried out an RNA-seq
analysis on loss-of-function *pls* mutant and PLSOx transgenic overexpressor seedlings
to identify differentially regulated genes, with mutant and overexpressor each compared
with wild type seedlings of the same ecotype as controls. The *pls* mutant expresses no
full length PLS coding sequence due to a T-DNA insertion in the coding region of *PLS*
and disrupting function (Casson et al., 2002), while the PLSOx seedlings express
significantly higher levels of *PLS* transcript compared to wild type ($\log_2$ fold 10.8, *padj*
value = 2.02 x 10^{-33}; Tables S1, S2). 836 genes were significantly up-regulated and 292
down-regulated in the *pls* mutant compared to wild type control seedlings (padj value <
0.05) (Table S1). 1487 genes were significantly up-regulated and 1281 down-regulated
in PLSOx seedlings compared to wild type (padj value < 0.05; Table S2). Gene Ontology
(GO) analysis of genes upregulated in *pls* mutant seedlings compared to wild type
showed significant enrichment of genes associated with responses to hormone
signalling, biotic and abiotic defence responses, and cell death (Table S3; Figure S2A).
Out of 307 GO:0009723 (response to ethylene) genes, 25 were significantly up-
regulated and 7 down-regulated in the *pls* mutant compared with the wild type, with 40
up-regulated and 18 down-regulated in the PLSOx seedlings (Figure 1C; Table S3, S4,
S5 and S6; Mudge et al., 2024), indicating a requirement for control over *PLS* expression
levels for correct ethylene responses. While GO:0009723 (response to ethylene) is
significantly enriched in genes up-regulated in the *pls* mutant compared to wild type
(*FDR = 0.00062*; Table S3), a large number of up-regulated genes in *pls* are significantly
associated with immunity, response to pathogens and hypersensitive response (Table
S3 and Figure S2A). Down-regulated genes in *pls* were significantly enriched in GO
categories of hormone biosynthetic processes (GO:0042446, *FDR = 0.038*), hormone
metabolic process (GO:0042445, *FDR = 0.0096*) and regulation of hormone levels
(GO:0010817, *FDR = 0.0013*) (Table S4, Figure S2B; Mudge et al., 2024), consistent

with our previous studies that described PLS-dependent crosstalk between ethylene,

auxin and cytokinin signalling (Liu et al., 2010, 2013; Moore et al., 2015). In PLSOx

overexpressors, both up- and down-regulated genes similarly included those associated

with GO terms hormone response, stress response and immune response, and genes

associated with photosynthesis are downregulated in PLSOx seedlings, consistent with

repressed photosynthetic activity in root tips (Table S5 and S6, Figure S2C, D; Casson

et al., 2002). These data are consistent with a role for PLS in a number of ethylene

responses and other related signalling, stress and developmental processes.

To understand better the relationship between PLS peptide structure and

function between species, we carried out hydroponic feeding experiments using

synthetic versions of PLS peptide from both *Arabidopsis* and its close relative *Camelina*

sativa. *C. sativa* contains a gene with partial sequence identity to the *Arabidopsis PLS*

gene, encoding a predicted peptide sequence that is 22 amino acids long and identical

to the N-terminal 22 amino acids of the *Arabidopsis* PLS except for a phenylalanine to

serine substitution at position nine (Figure 1A). We synthesized full-length PLS peptide,

PLS(FL) and truncated versions from both *Arabidopsis* and *C. sativa* (Figure 1A) and

supplied the peptides to *Arabidopsis pls* mutant seedlings hydroponically. The full-length

peptides from both *Arabidopsis* and *C. sativa* and the N-terminal 22 amino acids

sequence of the *Arabidopsis* peptide (N1) were each able to rescue the short primary

root length of the *Arabidopsis pls* mutant (Figure 1D), similar to transgenic

overexpression and genetic complementation using the wild type *PLS* coding sequence

(Casson et al., 2002; Chilley et al., 2006). The mean primary root length of wild type

(C24) seedlings did not change across all peptide treatments, while supply of *pls*

seedlings with 50 nM PLS(FL) and N1 peptides showed a rescue of root growth (Figure

1E), and *t*-tests showed there was no significant difference between the rescue effects

of PLS(FL) and PLS(N1); $P > 0.1$, n = 25). However, neither a 9 amino acids sequence

(N2, Figure 1E) from the N-terminus, nor C-terminal sequences of 14 (C1) or 24 (C2)

amino acids from *Arabidopsis* PLS were able to rescue the mutant (Figure 1E); each of

these shorter peptides lacks one of the two Cys residues found in the functional longer

length peptides PLS(FL) and PLS(N1). A fluorescent tagged (5-carboxyfluorescein, 5-

FAM) version of the *Arabidopsis* N-terminal 22 amino acids sequence of the peptide

(N1) is taken up by the roots, and also rescues the mutant root phenotype (Figures S3A,

B).

PLS localizes to endomembranes including the endoplasmic reticulum

Since genetic studies suggest that PLS acts close to the ethylene receptor (*18*), we

hypothesized that it should localize to the same subcellular compartment as ETR1. The

ethylene receptor in *Arabidopsis* is localized predominantly to the ER (Chang, 2003),

and a *proPLS::PLS:GFP*-generated fusion protein (PLS:GFP) was used to investigate

sub-cellular localization. Of five independent transformants with a single-copy insertion

of the *proPLS::PLS:GFP* gene in a *pls* mutant background, four were found to

complement fully the *pls* mutant (Figure S4), similar to the wild type cDNA (Casson et

al., 2002), demonstrating functionality of the gene fusion. The PLS:GFP was found to

co-localize in transgenic plants with the ER marker dye ER-Tracker red^{TM}, which binds

sulphonylurea receptors of ATP-sensitive channels on the ER (Thermofisher; Figures

2A-C). It also co-localizes with an ER lumen-targeted red fluorescent protein RFP-HDEL

(Lee et al., 2013) (Figures 2G-I). These observations suggest PLS:GFP locates both on

the ER membrane and in the lumen. PLS:GFP also appears to localize to the nucleus

and cytoplasm (Figure 2). As controls, free GFP protein expressed under the control of

the *PLS* promoter is not co-localized to the ER (Figures 2D-F) and, as expected, the

Golgi marker SH:GFP does not co-localize with ER Tracker (Figures 2M-O). *Trans*-

Golgi-localized SULFOTRANSFERASE1 (ST1) mCherry (Bauer and Papenbrock,

2002) visualization shows PLS:GFP does not localize to the Golgi (Figures 2J-L). To

further clarify the side of the ER membrane on which PLS localizes, transient expression

of redox-sensitive GFP (roGFP2) fusions of PLS were carried out. The different

excitation properties of roGFP2 in an oxidizing (ER lumen) or reducing environment

(cytosol) allows discrimination of the precise location of PLS fused to RoGFP2.

Ratiometric analysis and comparison with proteins of known localization (i.e. cytosolic

RoGFP2, ER luminal RoGFP2, cytosolic v-SNARE SEC22-GFP, ER luminal RoGFP2-

SEC22; *26*) revealed that PLS as either an N- or C-terminal roGFP2 fusion resides at

the cytosolic side of the ER (as well as to other vesicular compartments; Figure 2P).

However, there may be some translocation of PLS:GFP into the ER lumen as indicated

by co-localization with RFP:HDEL.

PLS interacts with the ethylene receptor protein ETR1

We hypothesized that PLS plays a role in receptor function and investigated whether

We hypothesized that PLS plays a role in receptor function and investigated whether

this involved direct interaction with the receptor complex. Preliminary experiments using

yeast 2-hybrid analysis suggested that PLS interacts with ETR1 (Figure S5).

Confirmation of the physical interaction between PLS and ETR1 in plants came from co-

immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) analysis. *Agrobacterium* containing a plasmid encoding

PLS linked to a C-terminal GFP and ETR1 with a C-terminal HA tag was infiltrated into

Nicotiana benthamiana leaves for transient expression. After 3 d, interaction was

confirmed by western blotting after Co-IP with either anti-GFP beads (showing PLS pulls

down ETR1) or anti-HA beads (showing ETR1 pulls down PLS) (Figure 3A). GFP-only

controls did not show binding with ETR1, demonstrating the interaction is dependent on

the presence of the PLS peptide. The addition of 0.5 µM copper sulphate to the protein

extract used for Co-IP experiments stabilized the PLS-ETR1 interaction. The presence

of copper ions resulted in almost 3-fold more PLS:GFP detected upon pulldowns with

ETR1-HA, or conversely of ETR1-HA pulled down with PLS-GFP, compared to the same

assay in the presence of the metal chelator 2 mM EDTA (Figures 3A, B).

To investigate the specificity of PLS binding, synthetic full length PLS peptide

PLS(FL) was introduced into infiltrated *N. benthamiana* leaves 30 min before tissue

harvest in the presence of both copper to maximise PLS-ETR1 interaction and the

proteasome inhibitor MG-132 to prevent protein degradation. The addition of 25 nM

synthetic PLS caused a ca. 80% reduction in PLS-GFP binding to ETR1-HA (Figures

3C, D), suggesting that the synthetic PLS peptide competes for ETR1 binding, showing

the specificity of PLS for ETR1. The anti-GFP beads bound two sizes of PLS-GFP

protein (Figure 3E), both of which were larger than a GFP-only control, suggesting that

the PLS peptide undergoes cleavage, a change in conformation, post-translational

modification or incomplete reduction of Cys residues on some PLS. When using ETR1-

HA to pull down PLS-GFP, only the larger peptide was present (Figures 3E, F),

suggesting that ETR1 binds a longer version of the PLS peptide.

To pinpoint the interaction site at the receptor in more detail, *in vitro* binding

studies were performed with purified receptor variants and PLS by microscale

thermophoresis (MST; Figure 3G). Binding of PLS was observed only with receptor

variants containing the N-terminal transmembrane domain (TMD). In contrast, no

binding was detected with ETR1 lacking this domain (ETR1[306-738]). The TMD harbors

the ethylene and copper binding region (Schott-Verdugo et al., 2019).

PLS binds Cu(I) and forms protein-protein interactions with copper chaperones

ATX and CCH and with RAN1

Cysteine residues are common metal-ligand binding residues in low molecular weight

copper-handling peptides, and predictions of PLS structure suggests a single a-helix

plus unstructured region with two cysteines ($CX_{10}C$ arrangement where X is any amino

acid), with some analogy to copper-metallochaperones such as Cox17 or other CX_9C

twin proteins (Robinson and Winge, 2010). In view of both structural considerations and

the copper-dependency of ETR1 we determined whether the two cysteine residues (C6

and C17) in PLS play a functional role, by analysing both *pls* mutant complementation

and in copper binding by PLS variants. A mutated *Arabidopsis* full-length peptide in

which both cysteines were replaced with serines, PLS(FL C6S, C17S), was non-

functional in hydroponic root feeding assays, failing to rescue the short primary root

phenotype of the *pls* mutant (Figure 4A). Furthermore, as indicated above, the 9 amino

acids sequence N2 and C-terminal sequences C1 and C2 from *Arabidopsis* PLS, which

each contain only one cysteine residue, were unable to rescue the mutant (Figure 1E).

These results indicate the requirement of the two cysteine residues for biological activity,

measured as primary root growth. Interestingly, RNA-seq data showed that nineteen out

of 287 genes associated with response to metal ion (GO:0010038) were also

significantly down-regulated in the *pls* mutant (Table S4, Figure S2B, enrichment *FDR*

= 0.000057; *20*).

To determine a possible role for PLS and the cysteine residues in binding Cu(I),

synthesised PLS peptide was titrated with Cu(I) ions under strictly anaerobic conditions

and monitored for copper-dependent spectral features (Figures 4B-D). Both UV-vis

(putative metal-to-ligand charge-transfer) and fluorescence (putative tyrosine solvent

access) spectra of PLS changed as a function of Cu(I) ion concentration, consistent with

complex-formation (Figure 4C). We titrated PLS peptide against bicinchoninic acid (BCA;

Figure 4B), which is a chromophore that binds Cu(I) (Xiao et al., 2011; Figures 4D and

S6). The rationale was to determine whether PLS could compete Cu(I) from BCA,

indicative of binding by PLS. PLS peptide was titrated with Cu(I) in the presence of 87 µM

BCA. PLS peptide (44.8 µM) increased the concentration of Cu(I) required to saturate

BCA increased by ca. 20 µM (Figure 4B), and the initial gradient is shallower than in the

control of BCA and Cu(I) but lacking PLS. Thus PLS withholds Cu(I) from BCA, implying

an affinity for Cu(I) (K_{Cu} PLS) tighter than K_{Cu} of BCA, and these data also provide support

for a 2:1 stoichiometry of binding to the tightest site. Titration experiments also show that

although synthetic PLS(FL) withholds Cu(I) from BCA (Figure S7), a mutant PLS (FL C6S,

C17S) does not (Fig. S8), confirming a role for those cysteine residues in Cu(I) binding.

As an additional and complementary strategy, to eliminate potential solubility problems of

PLS in determining accurately the stoichiometry and affinity for Cu(I) (these studies

require complete solubility for precise affinity quantification), we also tested a PLS fusion

to maltose binding protein (MBP-PLS) which was found to retain solubility when titrated

with Cu(I) ions under strictly anaerobic conditions. MBP-PLS (14 µM) was found to

withhold ca. 7 µM Cu(I) from BCA, again indicative of a 2:1 PLS:Cu(I) stoichiometry, and

tight binding is cysteine-dependent (Figure 4E). A β_2 affinity of 3.79 (±1.5) x10^{19} M^{-2} was

determined by competition against an excess of BCA, and the fit significantly departs from

simulations 10x tighter or weaker (Figures 4F, S9).

Metal binding in biology is challenging to predict because the formation of metal-

protein complexes is a combined function of metal affinity for a given protein and metal

availability, which would need to be known for Cu(I) in the *Arabidopsis* cytosol in the

case of PLS (assuming the same orientation of binding residues as with the GFP tag;

Figure 2P). Cu(I) occupancy of the cytosolic copper chaperone ANTIOXIDANT

PROTEIN 1 (ATX1) tracks with fluctuations in available cytosolic Cu(I) such that its

affinity approximates to the mid-point of the range of Cu(I) availabilities within this

eukaryotic compartment (Yu et al., 2017; Morgan et al., 2019). *Arabidopsis* ATX1 was

therefore expressed and purified to determine a 1:1 ATX1:Cu(I) stoichiometry and

affinity $K_{D\ Cu(I)}$ of 5.47 (±0.6) x10^{-18} M (Figure 4G inset, Figure S10). Figure 4F reveals

that the cytosolic concentration of PLS would need to exceed (improbable) millimolar

concentrations for Cu(I)-dependent homodimers to form at this cytosolic Cu(I)

availability (mathematical models and equations shown in Supplementary text). It is thus

unlikely that the Cu(I):PLS$_2$ complex alone delivers Cu(I) to, or retrieves it from, the

interacting cuproprotein ETR1. Cu(I)-dependent PLS heterodimeric complexes are the

more likely functional species.

To investigate possible interaction between PLS and Cu(I) transporter RAN1,
PLS was titrated against fluorescently labelled RAN1 and RAN1 truncation mutants for
comparison. RAN1, NterRAN1 and CterRAN1 (DeltaRAN1 in Figure 4H) were purified
and labelled as described (Hoppen et al., 2019). NterRAN1 is a truncation containing
only the two N-terminal metal-binding-domains, whereas CterRAN1 is a construct
lacking this region. Using MST, direct interaction was observed between PLS and
RAN1. Dissociation constants indicate that PLS interacts predominantly with the N-
terminal metal-binding-domains, but only weakly with the C-terminal region (Figure 4H).
Moreover, the soluble copper chaperones ATX1 and CCH also interact with PLS.
Titration experiments were carried out with fluorescently labelled copper chaperones
ATX1, CCH and CCHΔ, a mutant lacking the plant specific C-terminal extension; though
the C-terminus on its own (DeltaN) is not soluble and can thus not be checked for
interaction with PLS. PLS interacts with these soluble copper chaperones at similar
affinity as obtained for RAN1 (Figure 4I). Of relevance here is the observation that ATX1
interacts directly with RAN1 to deliver copper at the ER (Li et al., 2017).

DISCUSSION

We present evidence of a role for the PLS peptide as a new Cu(I)-binding peptide that,
based on its physical interactions with the receptor and other Cu(I) delivery proteins,
and its ethylene signalling function as determined by genetic and physiological
experiments, acts as a regulatory component of the ethylene signalling pathway.
Peptides can act as ligands for receptor kinases to regulate signalling pathways, such
as in plant immunity (Campos et al., 2018), development (Willoughby and Nimchuk,
2021) or in response to abiotic stresses (Kim et al., 2021). We propose that the PLS
peptide is a new component of the regulatory mechanism for ethylene receptor function
linked to its copper-binding activity (Rodriguez et al., 1999). Loss of copper binding
through mutation of the two cysteines in PLS ablates its biological activity (Figures 1D,
E, S8). The *pls* mutant phenotype has some similarities (enhanced ethylene responses)
to strong *ran1* mutant alleles in which copper delivery to the receptor is compromised
(Binder et al., 2010), and shares (statistically significant) overlap in DEGs with the *ctr1*
mutant, whereby 11.9% of the *ctr1* DEGs are shared with *pls* (each compared to the
respective wild types; Figure S11).

Many plant peptides involved in signalling are processed from longer pre-
proteins (Olsson et al., 2019). In contrast, PLS is translated from an open reading frame
to produce a functional 36 amino acids peptide; the peptide appears to be cleaved or
otherwise modified in the cell (Figure 3E). It is possible that the three arginines (amino
acids 10-12) may represent a cleavage site (Duckert et al., 2004; Capraro et al., 2015)
which would produce the observed shorter GFP fusion protein (ca. 30 kD) detected in
anti-GFP co-IP blots (Fig. 3E). The size of this PLS-GFP cleavage product suggests that
this peptide fragment represents GFP (ca. 27 kDa) plus a 3 kDa fragment of the C-
terminal region of PLS. Such cleavage would likely inactivate PLS function as we
demonstrate that shorter N- and C-terminal fragments (each with only one cysteine
residue) are not biologically active (Figure 1E). While PLS:GFP localizes to several
subcellular compartments (though not the *trans*-Golgi), some localizes to the ER where
the ethylene receptor is found (Figure 2). Furthermore PLS can interact directly with the
receptor protein ETR1 (and specifically with the N-terminal copper-binding
transmembrane domain of ETR1); with the Cu-transporting P-type ATPase RAN1; and
with two Cu chaperones, ATX1 and CCH.

Previously there has been proposed a model for copper delivery into the cell via
the COPT family of transporters, which are located at the root plasma membrane (Chen
et al., 2022), to RAN1 via ATX1 and/or CCH (Li et al., 2017; Hoppen et al., 2019).
Arabidopsis has three known copper chaperones, ATX1, CCH and a copper chaperone
for superoxide dismutase CCS (Casareno et al., 1998; Chu et al., 2005; Puig et al.,
2007). These are required for copper homeostasis, and both ATX1 and CCH have been
shown to interact with RAN1 (Li et al., 2017), providing a link with ethylene signalling
(Andrés-Colás et al., 2005; Puig et al., 2007). Chaperones are required for the transport
of reactive copper to the correct compartment via these chaperones, avoiding
cytotoxicity, following import into the cell; and into the xylem from the cytosol via HMA5,
which is structurally similar to RAN1 (Williams and Mills*,* 2005; Burkhead et al., 2009).
Loss of function mutants *cch* and *atx1* have no abnormal phenotype when grown under
standard conditions, but the *atx1* and the *atx1 cch* double mutant, though not the *cch*
single mutant, are hypersensitive to exogenous copper (Shin et al., 2012). ATX1 and
CCH functions have likely diverged, a view supported by their differential transcriptional
regulation by copper and different cell type specificities (Shin et al., 2012). The *ran1*

mutant is however not copper-hypersensitive, while *hma5* is; and the *pls* mutant is, like
ran1, not copper hypersensitive (Figure S12), indicative of a role that is distinct from that
of ATX1 or CCH. The ATX1 interaction with RAN1 is indicative of its role in copper
transport from the cell surface to internal targets, including RAN1 and potentially to or
from PLS.

A lack of copper delivery in the strong *ran1-3* and *ran1-4* null alleles causes
constitutive ethylene responses (Woeste and Kieber, 2000), producing a triple response
phenotype similar to the *pls* mutant (Casson et al., 2002). These *ran1* mutants fail to
bind ethylene due to the lack of copper at the ethylene binding site (Binder et al., 2010).
The decrease in ethylene binding in the *ran1-3* and *ran1-4* mutants is not due to reduced
levels of the ETR1 protein (Binder et al., 2010), and regulation of the ethylene receptor
ETR1 is generally regarded to be independent from *ETR1* transcription or degradation
(Hua et al., 1998). Weaker *ran1-1* and *ran1-2* alleles only show an ethylene response
phenotype if they are grown in the presence of copper chelators (Binder et al., 2010).
Therefore, defective RAN1 results in defective copper delivery to wild type receptors,
generating non-functional receptors which cannot interact with CTR1, resulting in
downstream ethylene responses.

Intriguingly, the *ran1-3* and *ran1-4* mutants with constitutive ethylene responses
are distinct from the ethylene insensitive *etr1-1* mutant, which also cannot bind copper.
Therefore, both the *etr1-1* mutant receptor and the strong *ran1* mutant alleles have
reduced ethylene binding capability but the *etr1-1* mutation, which is gain-of-function,
generates seedling phenotypes that are very different from seedlings carrying the
ethylene hypersensitive wild type receptors that simply lack copper due to defective
delivery (in *ran1-3* and *ran1-4).* A receptor carrying the *etr1-1* mutation is maintained in
its 'active' state and constitutively inhibits downstream ethylene responses, whilst in the
ran1 mutants ETR1 remains in the 'inactive' state, promoting ethylene responses
(Binder et al., 2010). Those authors therefore proposed that copper has more than one
role in the regulation of ETR1 and ethylene signalling: it is crucial for binding ethylene
molecules but it is also needed for the process of signal transduction by the receptor
protein, by an unknown mechanism, to regulate downstream ethylene responses.

We propose that PLS represents a new component of this pathway, providing
some tissue-specificity to Cu(I) homeostasis at the ethylene receptor. Given the low

probability that PLS would act as a cytosolic copper chaperone directly, due to its
predicted low concentration in the cell and 2:1 stoiciometry (Figures 4F and G, S9 and
10), it more likely partners with other proteins for Cu(I) acquisition. The primary current
model for metallation of ETR1 is that Cu(I) is delivered to RAN1 by the chaperones ATX1
and/or CCH, then by RAN1 into the ER lumen; and then to ETR1 by a currently unknown
mechanism. Our data show that PLS interacts with cytosolic chaperones ATX1 and CCH,
and with RAN1 and ETR1. The RFP-HDEL and Rho-GFP co-localization experiments
indicate the presence of PLS in both the cytosol and ER lumen (as well as other
compartments; Figure 2).

Based on the evidence presented, we can consider three possible models for a
role for PLS in regulating ethylene responses based on its copper binding properties
(FIG. 5). In the first, a primary PLS function is in transferring Cu(I) from RAN1 to ETR1
in the ER lumen. In the second, PLS removes Cu(I) from the transmembrane domain of
ETR1. In the third, PLS interacts with ETR1 to modulate receptor conformation to
regulate its activity. Given that PLS interacts also with RAN1 and the chaperones, there
may exist a complex of these proteins at the ER to facilitate copper transfer and
homeostasis, but this has yet to be confirmed.

Since the *pls* mutant is rescued by the supply of silver ions (Casson et al., 2002),
and silver is likely delivered to the ethylene receptor via RAN1 (Binder et al., 2010), this
argues against Model 1 in which PLS acts downstream of RAN1 to metalate ETR1 in
the ER lumen. This would also be consistent with the data suggesting PLS is located
predominantly at the cytosolic side of the ER; but it binds to the transmembrane domain
of ETR1. It is also unlikely that PLS removes Cu(I) from the receptor (Model 2) as this
would inactivate the receptor and lead to enhanced ethylene signalling, which is the
opposite of what would be expected from the *pls* mutant phenotype. We therefore favour
Model 3 in which PLS binds ETR1 as part of the receptor biogenesis mechanism, with
the PLS-ETR1 physical interaction enhanced in the presence of copper (Fig. 3), to
regulate receptor conformation to generate the active form, in which ethylene responses
are repressed. This may be through regulation of Cu(I) homeostasis at the receptor, or
via other structural interactions - for example, PLS could physically block ethylene
binding at the Cu(I) pocket. This question can form the basis of future investigations. If
PLS is required for Cu(I) delivery, it may act redundantly with RAN1, accounting for the

relatively mild ethylene phenotype of the *pls* mutant (compared with e.g. *ctr1*).

Given that PLS is expressed in specific tissues, notably root tip and leaf vascular
tissue (Casson et al., 2002) it seems likely that PLS adds a level of regulation of receptor
function in specific tissues, with a focus in this paper on the root (though developmental
defects in the vascular patterning of the leaf are also observed in the *pls* mutant; Casson
et al., 2002). We propose that PLS acts to dampen ethylene responses at sites of high
auxin. *PLS* transcription is strongly up-regulated by auxin, and its expression profile is
similar to that of the auxin reporter DR5 (Sabatini et al., 1999; Casson et al., 2002). The
root tip, where *PLS* is most strongly expressed, is a site of high auxin concentration,
whereby an auxin maximum is formed around the stem cell niche to regulate both stem
cell identity and function (Sabatini et al., 1999). The vascular tissues are also a site of
high auxin (Bishopp et al., 2011). Auxin can induce ethylene biosynthesis by
upregulation of the ethylene biosynthetic enzyme ACC synthase (Abel et al., 1995), and
high ethylene concentrations can lead to both reduced meristem function and aberrant
division of the quiescent centre and cells within the stem cell niche and columella
(Ortega-Martinez et al., 2007). Therefore ethylene biosynthesis and signalling in the root
tip must be tightly regulated to allow growth control. *PLS* expression is transcriptionally
activated by auxin and suppressed by ethylene. We have shown in previous work that
there is crosstalk between PLS, ethylene and other signalling pathways, notably auxin,
cytokinin and ABA in the root (e.g. Liu et al., 2010, 2013; Moore et al., 2015), and the
distinctiveness of *pls* compared to other ethylene signaling mutants may be linked to its
restricted expression pattern. This network provides a mechanism to suppress growth-
inhibitory ethylene responses in the high auxin environment of the root tip, which is
dependent on the tissue-specific expression of *PLS* (Liu et al., 2010) (Figure S13) and
is mediated through its interaction with the ethylene receptor.

Future structural studies should reveal more about the PLS-ETR1-RAN1-
chaperone interaction and diverse roles of copper ions in ethylene signal transduction,
which represents a new paradigm for the regulation of signaling protein function by
metals.

METHODS

Plant Material

Seedlings of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype either C24 or Col-0, or *pls* mutants or transgenic *PLS* overexpressers, all from lab stocks, were grown on solid sterile half-strength MS10 medium on 2.5% Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich) in 90 mm Petri dishes (Sarstedt, Leicester, UK) at 21°C, under a 16 hour photoperiod as described (Casson et al., 2002; Chilley et al., 2006). *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants (lab stocks) were grown in a controlled environment (21°C, 16 h photoperiod) for transient expression studies in leaf tissue. For hydroponic feeding studies, *Arabidopsis* seedlings were cultured in liquid medium (1 ml/well) in sterile 24-well plates (Sarstedt), essentially as described (Matsuzaki et al., 2010). For hormone and peptide assays, one seedling was grown in each well, at 21°C, under a 16 h photoperiod. For peptide feeding experiments, purified freeze-dried peptide was dissolved in DMSO to create a 500 µM stock solution. Peptide stock solution was added to liquid ½ MS10 plant media containing 0.1% DMSO to make a final peptide concentration of 50 or 100 nM (or 10, 25, 50 and 100 nM for dose-dependent assays). For copper treatments, 1 mM $CuSO_4$ solution was filter-sterilized and added to autoclaved liquid ½ MS10 plant media to create final $CuSO_4$ concentrations of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45 and 50 µM. The copper chelator bathocuproine disulphonic acid (BCS) was added to liquid medium to produce final concentrations of 0, 10, 50, 100, 250 and 500 µM. Seedlings were scanned to create a digital image and root lengths of seedlings were measured using ImageJ. Statistical analysis was performed using the Real Statistics Resource Pack software (Release 3.8, www.real-statistics.com) in Excel (Microsoft).

Peptide Synthesis

Peptides were either obtained from Cambridge Research Biochemicals (Billingham, UK) or synthesized in the laboratory by Fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) on a CEM Liberty1 single-channel peptide synthesiser equipped with a Discover microwave unit. Reactions were performed in a 30 ml PTFE reaction vessel with microwave heating FW: EpiLife Media and agitation by bubbling nitrogen. Peptide synthesis was carried out using 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin (0.122 mmol g^{-1}, Novabiochem) using Fmoc-protected amino acids. The first amino acid residue at the C-terminus (histidine) was coupled

manually by mixing 76 mg (1 eq.) Fmoc-His(Trt)-OH, 0.09 ml (4 eq.) *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), 1 ml dichloromethane (DCM) and 1 ml dimethylformamide (DMF) until the amino acid powder had dissolved. The mixture was added to 0.1 mmol resin and stirred gently for 120 min at room temperature. Resin was washed with 3x DCM/MeOH/DIPEA (17:2:1), 3x DCM, 2x DMF and 2x DCM. Amino acid coupling reactions were performed using Fmoc-protected amino acids present in a 5-fold excess (2 M concentration), HOBt (0.5 M HOBt in DMF, used at the activator position) and DIC (0.8 M in DMSO, used at the activator base position). For double and triple couplings the reaction vessel was drained after each coupling cycle and fresh reagents were added. Before each coupling, a room temperature preactivation period of 1 to 2 h was used. Microwave-assisted couplings were performed for 10 min at 75°C at 25W power. Cys and His residues were coupled at low temperature (10 min at room temperature followed by 10 min at 50°C, 25W). Arg residues were double coupled, firstly by 45 minutes at room temperature plus 5 minutes at 75°C (25W), and second by the standard microwave conditions above. Fmoc group removal was carried out by two piperidine solution treatments (20% piperidine in DMF) in succession: 5 minutes then 10 minutes. Peptide cleavage from resin was carried out in 3 ml 95% TFA in dH$_2$O/TIPS (2.85 ml TFA, 0.15 ml dH$_2$O, 0.15 ml triisopropylsilane). Peptide was dissolved in water with a small volume of MeCN and lyophilized to produce a powder using a Christ ALPHA 1-2 LD$_{plus}$ freeze dryer.

Preparative High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Peptide products were analysed and purified by HPLC at 280 nm. 25-50 mg of freeze-dried peptide sample was dissolved in 1 ml 1:1 H$_2$O:MeCN and injected onto a Speck and Burke Analytical C18 Column (5.0 µm, 10.0 x 250 mm) attached to a PerkinElmer (Massachusetts, USA) Series 200 LC Pump and 785A UV/Vis Detector. Separation was achieved by gradient elution of 10-80% solvent B (solvent A = 0.08% TFA in water; solvent B = 0.08% TFA in ACN) over 60 min, followed by 80-100% B over 10 min, with a flow rate of 2 ml/min. Selected peptide fractions were lyophilized and a mass assigned using MALDI-TOF MS. Peptide sequences were identified using MALDI-TOF MS, using an Autoflex II ToF/ToF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik GmBH, Germany) equipped

with a 337 nm nitrogen laser. MS data was processed using FlexAnalysis 2.0 (Bruker

Daltonik GmBH).

Imaging

CSLM images for propidium iodide and GFP were obtained by a Leica SP5 TCS

confocal microscope as previously described (Rowe et al., 2016). *pPLS::PLS:GFP,*

pPLS::GFP and *p35S::GFP* seedlings were grown for 7 d on Phytagel ½ MS10 medium

before ca. 25 mm of the root tip was removed and mounted in dH$_2$O prior to imaging.

The ER marker *p35S::RFP:HDEL* (*24*) (provided by Dr. Pengwei Wang, Durham

University), and the trans-Golgi apparatus marker *pFGC-ST:mCherry* (from Nottingham

Arabidopsis Stock Centre, www.arabidopsis.info) were introduced into *pPLS::PLS:GFP*

plants by the floral dip method of transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998) using *A.*

tumefaciens GV3 101. ER was also localized using ER Tracker™ Red (Thermofisher).

Seven day-old seedlings were stained for 30 min in the dark in liquid ½ MS10 media

containing 1 µM ER Tracker™ Red.

RNA Isolation, RNA Sequencing, RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from 7 day-old seedlings grown on half strength MS10 medium

essentially as described (Thompson et al., 2023). The Illumina HiSeq 2500 System was

used for RNA sequencing of three biological replicate samples, with libraries prepared using the

Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero Plant Sample Preparation kit (RS-122-

2401), essentially as described (Thompson et al., 2023). Library quality control was carried out

again using a TapeStation with D1000 ScreenTape (catalog number 5067-5582). RNA-seq data

were aligned to the TAIR10 (EnsemblePlants, release 58) genome sequence with

corresponding gtf file using STAR (v 2.7.11a; Dobin et al., 2013) to obtain read count per gene.

Read count per gene was analysed using DESeq2 v 1.40.2 (Love et al., 2014) to get p values,

adjusted p values, and log2 fold changes. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified

with the criteria of adjusted p value < 0.05. Gene ontology analysis was performed using AgriGO

(Tian et al., 2017) singular enrichment analysis. Gene expression heatmaps were generated

from log2 normalized counts using pheatmap (v1.0.12, https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) with row scaling. RNA-seq data were

deposited in GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with accession number GSE256166

(Mudge et al., 2024).

For RT-qPCR, RNA was extracted from 7 day-old seedlings (3 biological

replicates, 20 mg of tissue) as described (Thompson et al., 2023). Samples were

checked for the presence of genomic DNA by PCR with *ACTIN2* primers ACT2 forward

and reverse. Primer sequences were determined using Primer-BLAST

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). Primers are listed in Table S7.

Protein-protein interaction studies

Yeast 2-hybrid

The GAL4 two-hybrid phagemid vector system was used to detect protein-protein

interactions *in vivo* in yeast, using the reporter genes β-galactosidase *(lacZ)* and

histidine (*HIS3*) in the YRG-2 yeast strain, essentially as described (Zhong et al., 2008**)**.

DNA that encodes the target (ETR1) and bait (PLS) was inserted into the pAD-GAL4-

2.1 A and pBD-GAL4 Cam phagemid vectors respectively and expressed as hybrid

protein. The hybrid proteins were then assayed for protein-protein interaction.

DNA encoding the target and bait proteins were prepared by PCR amplification

using primer designed the specifically for the DNA encoding the target (ETR1) and bait

(PLS). Each set of primer contained specific endonucleases on the ends of primer

corresponding to the endonucleases in the MCS of pAD-GAL4-2.1 A and pBD-GAL4

Cam phagemid vectors. The DNA construct of the target (ETR1) and bait (PLS) with

specific restriction sites on the ends was then transformed into the TOPO 2.1 vector to

check the sequence of the amplified DNA by sequencing with M13 forward (CTG GCC

GTC GTT TTA C) and M13 reverse (CAG GAA ACA GCT ATG AC). The two vectors,

pAD-GAL4-2.1 and pBD-GAL4 Cam were digested using specific restriction

endonucleases and dephosphorylated prior to ligating the insert DNA. The DNA

encoding the target (ETR1) and bait (PLS) was then ligated into the same reading frame

as the GAL4 AD of the pAD-GAL4-2.1 phagemid vector and the GAL4 BD of the pBD-

GAL4 Cam phagemid vector.

The following primers were used for PCR amplification:

ETR1:

Forward primer GAA TCC ATG GAA GTC TGC AAT TGT A (Eco RI on 5' end)

Reverse primer GTC GAC TTA CAT GCC CTC GTA CA (Sal I on 5'end)

PLS:

Forward primer CTG GAG ATG AAA CCC AGA CTT TGT (Xho I on 5' end)

Reverse primer GTC GAC ATG GAT TTT AAA AAG TTT (Sal I on 5' end)

The pGAL4 control plasmid was used alone to verify that induction of the *lacZ*

and *HIS3* genes has occurred and that the gene products are detectable in the assay

used. The pLamin C control plasmid was used in pairwise combination with the pAD-

WT control plasmid or with the pAD-MUT control plasmid to verify that the *lacZ* and *HIS3*

genes are not induced as the protein expressed by each of these pairs do not interact

in vivo.

Control plasmids were transformed into the YRG-2 strain prior to the initial

transformation of the bait and the target plasmids and used separately or in pairwise

combination in the transformation of the YRG-2 yeast strain. Yeast competent cells were

cotransformed with the bait and target plasmids by sequential transformation.

Gene cloning for co-immunoprecipitation

To investigate the interaction between the PLS peptide and the ethylene receptor ETR1,

two DNA constructs were created by Gateway cloning. The 105-nucleotide *PLS* gene

(without the stop codon) was inserted into the pEarlyGate103 (pEG103) destination

vector, containing the *p35S* promoter and a C-terminal GFP tag, producing a vector

containing the *p35S::PLS:GFP* DNA. The ETR1 cDNA was inserted into the

pEarlyGate301 (pEG301) vector to create a *p35S::ETR1:HA* construct, producing an

ETR1 protein with a C-terminal HA tag.

Infiltration into *Nicotiana benthamiana*

The transient expression of constructs in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (tobacco) leaves was

as previously described (Voinnet et al., 2007). Experiments were replicated up to 5

times. Competent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 cells were transformed with the

desired plasmid containing the gene of interest and each injected with a syringe. The

plants were approximately 7 to 10 weeks old; the chosen leaves were healthy and of

length 3-6 cm, and 3 to 4 leaves were infiltrated with each construct.

Protein extraction and PLS/ETR1 co-immunoprecipitation

Total protein was extracted from the infiltrated leaves of *N. benthamiana* plants 3 d after infiltration for co-immunoprecipitation experiments to investigate the interaction between PLS and ETR1 proteins, essentially as described previously (Srivastava et al., 2020). For competition assays, 5 nM or 25 nM full-length PLS peptide was also infiltrated in the presence of 50 µM MG-132 (a proteasome inhibitor) 30 min prior to tissue freezing. ChromoTek (Planegg, Germany) anti-GFP beads were used to immunoprecipitate the PLS-GFP protein, and Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) anti-HA beads for the HA-tagged ETR1.

SDS-PAGE was used to separate protein fragments. The complexed proteins from the pull-down assay were analysed on 10-12% acrylamide gels. Membranes were incubated with primary antibody for 2.5 h (GFP, Abcam, Cambridge, UK: rabbit, 1:10000; HA (Roche), rat, 1:3000; Rubisco large subunit (Agrisera), rabbit, 1:10000). Excess primary antibody was then removed by washing three times in 2x TBST (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, pH 7.4) for 2 m, 5 min and 10 min, and subsequently incubated for 1 h with the ECL peroxidase-labelled anti-rabbit or anti-rat IgG secondary antibody, diluted 1:20000 in TBST. Excess secondary antibody was removed again by washing three times in 1x TBST, as with the primary antibody. In order to visualize the probed blot, the membrane was incubated with ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagent immediately prior to imaging. The horseradish peroxidase conjugated to the secondary antibody was detected by using X-ray film.

Estimation of synthetic PLS concentration

Freeze-dried synthetic PLS peptide (Cambridge Research Biochemicals, Billingham) was dissolved in DMSO. An aliquot was added to aqueous buffer (10 mM HEPES pH7, 20 mM NaCl, 80 mM KCl) and absorbance at 280 nm was recorded. Concentration was estimated from the absorbance and the ProtParam estimated extinction coefficient of 2,980 M^{-1} cm^{-1}. Concurrent with this a sample was submitted for quantitative amino acid analysis (Abingdon Health Laboratory Services). From this analysis a conversion factor of 2.27 was generated, which was applied to concentrations determined by A280 nm.

ATX1 purification

E. coli BL21(DE3) containing pETatx1 was used to overexpress the wild type *ATX1* gene

from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (optimised for expression in *E. coli*, Novoprolabs). Harvested

cells were collected and frozen at -20 °C overnight then defrosted, resuspended in 20

mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT. Cells were sonicated

(Bandelin Sonoplus), supernatant separated by size exclusion chromatography (GE

Healthcare, HiLoad 26.600 Superdex 75 pg) metal-free buffer lacking EDTA. Fractions

containing ATX1 were incubated overnight and pooled before transfer into an anaerobic

chamber (Belle Technology) via desalting column where reductant was removed. ATX1

was quantified by combination of Bradford assay and Ellman's reagent to ensure the

fully reduced state of the protein. Samples were also analysed for metal content by ICP-

MS to ensure > 95% apo-ATX1.

MBP-PLS/mutant purification

A fusion of PLS to MBP was created using the NEBExpress MBP Fusion and Purification

System. Two complementary oligonucleotide primers encoding PLS (optimised for

expression in *E. coli*) were annealed and inserted into the pMal-c5x plasmid at XmnI

and SalI insertion sites.

The three mutants MBP-PLS(C6S), MBP-PLS(C17S) and MBP-

PLS(C6S/C17S) were created by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange II, Agilent). *E.*

coli NEB Express containing the pMal plasmid with the correct MBP-PLS mutant was

used to overexpress each protein. Harvested cells were resuspended in 20 mM Tris-

HCl (pH 7.4), 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and frozen at -20 °C overnight. Cells were

defrosted in cold H_2O, sonicated, purified by ammonium sulphate precipitation (where

MBP-PLS precipitates >60% saturation), separated on MBP-trap (GE Healthcare) and

eluted using buffer containing 10 mM maltose. MBP-PLS-containing fractions were

pooled and concentrated using centrifugal concentrator (Corning, Spin-X UF 30 KDa)

and buffer exchanged by desalting column into metal-free 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 50

mM NaCl buffer in an anaerobic chamber (Belle Technology). Mutants containing thiols

were quantified Ellman's assay and MBP-PLS(C6S/C17S), which lacks all thiols, was

quantified by Bradford assay alone. Samples were also analysed for metal content by

ICP-MS to ensure >95% apo-protein.

Anaerobic spectroscopic analysis of Cu(I) complexes

All Cu(I) titration experiments were carried out in an anaerobic chamber (Belle Technology) using metal-free CHELEX-treated, degassed buffers. For experiments titrating Cu(I), aqueous $CuSO_4$ stock was quantified in advance by ICP-MS and diluted to working concentrations. The reductant NH_2OH was included at final concentration of 1 mM to retain Cu(I) in its reduced state. Proteins were diluted in buffer to the final concentration specified in each titration in air-tight quartz cuvettes (Helma), and after addition of probe to the concentration specified, titrated with $CuSO_4$. After each addition, solutions were thoroughly mixed and absorbance spectra recorded using a Lambda 35 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer). Titration isotherm data was fitted using simulated affinity curves using DynaFit (Kuzmič, 2009).

Interaction studies of PLS with copper transporter ETR1 by Microscale Thermophoresis

Fluorescently labelled ETR1 truncation mutants were added to a dilution series of PLS in 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.015 % (wv) FosCholine 16 (pH 7.6) or 50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 0.015 % (w/v) FosCholine 16 (pH 7.6). Dissociation constants were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.

Determination of dissociation constants for the PLS-ETR1 interaction

Full-length ETR1 and truncation mutants were purified and labelled as described in (Milić et al., 2018). 94 µM PLS were diluted serially in 50 mM Tris and 300 mM NaCl (pH 7.6). Fluorescently labelled receptor was added at a final concentration of 50 nM. Thermophoretic behaviour was measured in premium capillaries at 50 % LED and 50 % MST power. In case of a binding event, data were fitted using GraphPad Prism 5.

Interaction studies of PLS with copper transporter RAN1 and copper chaperones by microscale thermophoresis

PLS was titrated to fluorescently labelled RAN1 and RAN1 truncation mutants. RAN1, NterRAN1 and CterRAN1 were purified and labelled as described (Hoppen et al., 2019). NterRAN1 is a truncation containing only of two N-terminal metal-binding-domains,

whereas CterRAN1 is a construct lacking this region. Direct interaction between PLS

and RAN1 was observed using Microscale Thermophoresis. Dissociation constants

were determined using GraphPad Prism 5. Titration experiments were also carried out

with fluorescently labelled copper chaperones ATX, CCH and CCHΔ, a mutant lacking

the plant specific C-terminal extension. Proteins were purified and labelled as described

(Hoppen et al., 2019).

Ratiometric analysis of roGFP2 fusion proteins

N- and C-terminal roGFP2 fusion proteins of PLS were generated by Gateway cloning.

Infiltration and transient expression of roGFP2 fusions and control proteins were carried

out as described (Brach et al., 2009). Image acquisition and data analysis were carried

out as described by Hoppen et al. (2019). A minimum of 10 leaf optical sections were

imaged and used for ratiometric analysis of the redox sensitive excitation properties of

roGFP2.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

All study data are included in the article and/or Supplemental Information are available

at *Molecular Plant Online*. RNA-seq and root assay data are available at GEO

accession GSE256166:

https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ncbi.nlm.ni

h.gov%2Fgeo%2Fquery%2Facc.cgi%3Facc%3DGSE256166&data=05%7C02%7Ckei

th.lindsey%40durham.ac.uk%7C9637f7022a2240cfb10608dc324244cd%7C7250d88b

4b684529be44d59a2d8a6f94%7C0%7C0%7C638440507397042151%7CUnknown%

7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWWiLCJ

XVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=U4JHCoviYbdEbF76Tws8Ridq7W%2B5

Hq2qBVN%2BFjIysgw%3D&reserved=0

FUNDING

The authors acknowledge financial support from: UK Biotechnology and Biological

Sciences Research Council BB/E006531/1, BBS/B/0773X, BB/J014516/1 (KL),

BB/V006002/1, BB/M011186/1 (NJR); Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German

Research Foundation) 267205415 – SFB 1208 project B06 (GG).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

756 KL and NJR initiated the project. KL, JFT, NJR, AS and GG designed and supervised 757 aspects of the project. AJM, SM, WM, BO-P, WS, WW, CT, DR, FMH, CH and BU 758 carried out the experimental work and prepared the Figures. KL, NJR and GG drafted 759 the early version of the manuscript, and all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

762 Authors declare no competing interests. We thank Prof. Steven Cobb (Durham 763 University Department of Chemistry) for advice on peptide synthesis, and Dr. Andrew 764 Foster (Durham University Department of Chemistry) for preliminary peptide-Cu 765 interaction analysis.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

768 The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Abel, S., Nguyen, M., Chow, W., and Theologis, A. (1995). *ASC4*, a primary 772 indoleacetic acid-responsive gene encoding 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate 773 synthase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Biol. Chem. **270**: 19093–19099.

Andrés-Colás, N., Sancenón, V., Rodríguez-Navarro, S., Mayo, S., Thiele, D.J., 775 **Ecker, J.R., Puig, S., and Peñarrubia, L**. (2006). The Arabidopsis heavy metal 776 P-type ATPase HMA5 interacts with metallochaperones and functions in copper 777 detoxification of roots. Plant J. **45**: 225–236.

Bauer, M. and Papenbrock, J. (2002). Identification and characterization of single- 779 domain thiosulfate sulfurtransferases from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. FEBS Lett. **532**: 780 427-431.

Binder, B.M., Rodriguez, F.I., and Bleecker, A.B. (2010). The copper transporter 782 RAN1 is essential for biogenesis of ethylene receptors in Arabidopsis. J. Biol. 783 Chem. **285**: 37263-37270.

Bishopp, A., Help, H., El-Showk, S., Weijers, D., Scheres, B., Friml, J., Benkova, 785 **E., Mahonen, A.P., and Helariutta, Y.** (2011). A mutually inhibitory interaction

between auxin and cytokinin specifies vascular pattern in roots. Curr. Biol. **21**: 917-
926.

Brach, T., Soyk, S Müller, C., Hinz, G., Hell, R., Brandizzi, F., and Meyer, A.J.
(2009). Non-invasive topology of membrane proteins in the secretory pathway.
Plant J. 57: 534-541.

Burkhead, J.L., Reynolds, K.A., Abdel-Ghany, S.E., Cohu, C.M., and Pilon, M.
(2009). Copper homeostasis. New Phytol. **182**: 799–816.

Campos, M. L., de Souza, C. M. de Oliviera, K.B.S., Dias, S.C., and Franco, O.L.
(2018). The role of antimicrobial peptides in plant immunity. J. Exp. Bot. **69**: 4997-
5011.

Capraro, J., Sessa, F., Magni, C., Scarafoni, A., Maffioloi, E., Tedeschi, G., Croy,
R.D.D., and Duranti, M. (2015). Proteolytic cleavage at twin arginine residues
affects structural and functional transitions of Lupin seed 11S storage globulin.
PLOS ONE **10**: e0117406.

Casareno, R.L., Waggoner, D., and Gitlin, J.D. (1998). The copper chaperone CCS
directly interacts with copper/zinc superoxide dismutase. J. Biol. Chem. **273**:
23625–23628.

Casson, S.A., Chilley, P.M., Topping, J.F., Evans, I.M., Souter, M.A., and Lindsey,
K. (2002). The *POLARIS* gene of Arabidopsis encodes a predicted peptide
required for correct root growth and leaf vascular patterning. Plant Cell **14**: 1705-
1721.

Chang, C.R. (2003). Ethylene signaling: the MAPK module has finally landed. Trends
Plant Sci. **8**: 365-368.

Chang, C., Kwok, S.F., Bleecker, A.B., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1993). Arabidopsis
ethylene-response gene *ETR1* - similarity of product to 2-component regulators.
Science **262**: 539-544.

Chen, G., Li, J., Han, H., Du, R., and Wang, X. (2022). Physiological and molecular
mechanisms of plant responses to copper stress. Int. J. Mol. Sci. **23**: 12950.

Chen, Y.F., Randlett, M.D., Findell, J.L., and Schaller, G.E. (2002). Localization of
the ethylene receptor ETR1 to the endoplasmic reticulum of Arabidopsis. J. Biol.
Chem. **277**: 19861-19866.

Chilley, P.M., Casson, S.A., Tarkowski, P., Hawkins, N., Wang, K.L., Hussey, P.J.,
Beale, M., Ecker, J.R., Sandberg, G.K., and Lindsey, K. (2006). The POLARIS
peptide of Arabidopsis regulates auxin transport and root growth via effects on
ethylene signaling. Plant Cell **18**: 3058-3072.

Chu, C.C., Lee, W.C., Guo, W.Y., Pan, S.M., Li, L.J., Chen, H.M., and Jinn, T.L.
(2005). A copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase that confers three types of
copper/zinc superoxide dismutase activity in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **139**: 425–
436.

Clough, S.J., and Bent A.F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-
mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. **6**: 735-743.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P.,
Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
aligner. Bioinformatics **29**: 15-21.

Duckert, P., Brunak, S., and Blom, N. (2004). Prediction of preprotein convertase
cleavage sites. Prot. Engin. Design Select. **17**: 107-112.

Gao, Z.Y., Chen, Y.-F., Randlett, M.D., Zhao, X.-C., Findell, J.L., Kieber, J.J., and
Schaller, G.E. (2003). Localization of the Raf-like kinase CTR1 to the endoplasmic
reticulum of Arabidopsis through participation in ethylene receptor signaling
complexes. J. Biol. Chem. **278**: 34725-34732.

Grefen, C., Staedele, K., Ruzicka, K., Obrdlik, P., Harter, K., and Horak, J. (2008).
Subcellular localization and *in vivo* interactions of the *Arabidopsis thaliana*
ethylene receptor family members. Molec. Plant **1**: 308-320.

Hall, A.E., Findell, J.L., Schaller, G.E., Sisler, E.C., and Bleecker, A.B. (2000).
Ethylene perception by the ERS1 protein in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. **123**: 1449-
1457.

Hirayama, T., Kieber, J.J., Hirayama, N., Kogan, M., Guzman, P., Nourizadek, S.,
Alonso, J.M., Dailey, W.P. Dancis, A. and Ecker, J.R. (1999). RESPONSIVE-
TO-ANTAGONIST1, a Menkes/Wilson disease-related copper transporter, is
required for ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. Cell **97**: 383-393.

Hoppen, C., Müller, L.S., Hänsch, B. Uzun, D., Milic, A.J., Meyer, Weidtkamp-
Peters, S., and Groth G., (2019). Soluble and membrane-bound protein carrier
mediate direct copper transport to the ethylene receptor family. Sci Rep. **9**: 10715.

Hua, J., Chang, C., Sun, Q., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1995). Ethylene insensitivity
conferred by Arabidopsis *ERS* gene. Science **269**: 1712-1714.

Hua, J., Sakai, H., Nourizadeh, S., Chen, Q.G., Bleecker, A.B., Ecker, J.R., and
Meyerowitz, E.M. (1998). EIN4 and ERS2 are members of the putative ethylene
receptor gene family in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **10**: 1321-1332.

Johnson, P.R., and Ecker, J.R. (1998). The ethylene gas signal transduction pathway:
A molecular perspective. Ann. Rev. Genet. **32**: 227-254.

Kim, J.S., Jeon, B.W., and Kim, J. (2021). Signaling peptides regulating abiotic
stresses in plants. Front. Plant Sci. **12**: 704490.

Kuzmič, P. (2009). DynaFit—a software package for enzymology. Meth. Enzymol. **467**:
247–280.

Lee, H., Sparkes, I., Gattolin, S., Dzimitrowicz, N., Roberts, L.M., Hawes, C., and
Frigerio, L. (2013). An Arabidopsis reticulon and the atlastin homologue *RHD3-*
like2 act together in shaping the tubular endoplasmic reticulum. New Phytol. **197**:
481-489.

Li, W., Lacey, R.F., Ye, Y., Lu, J., Yeh, K.-C., Xiao, Y., Li, L., Wen, C.-K., Binder,
B.M., and Zhao, Y. (2017). Triplin, a small molecule, reveals copper ion transport
in ethylene signaling from ATX1 to RAN1. PLOS Genet. **13**: e1006703.

Liu, J. Mehdi, S. Topping, J. Tarkowski, P. and Lindsey, K. (2010). Modelling and
experimental analysis of hormonal crosstalk in Arabidopsis. Molec. Systems Biol.
6: 373.

Liu, J. Mehdi, S. Topping, J. Friml, J. and Lindsey, K. (2013). Interaction of PLS and
PIN and hormonal crosstalk in Arabidopsis root development. Front. Plant Sci. **4**:
75.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change
and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. **15**: 550.

Matsuzaki, Y. Ogawa-Ohnishi, M. Mori, A. and Matsubayashi, Y. (2010). Secreted
peptide signals required for maintenance of root stem cell niche in Arabidopsis.
Science **329**: 1065-1067.

McDaniel, B.K., and Binder, B.M. (2012). ETHYLENE RECEPTOR 1 (ETR1) is
sufficient and has the predominant role in mediating inhibition of ethylene
responses by silver in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Biol. Chem. **287**: 26094-26103.

Milić, D. Dick, M. Mulnaes, D. Pfleger, C. Kinnen, A. Gohlke, H., and Groth, G. (2018). Recognition motif and mechanism of ripening inhibitory peptides in plant hormone receptor ETR1. Sci. Rep. **8**: 1–12.

Moore, S., Zhang, X., Mudge, A., Rowe, J.H., Topping, J.F., Liu, J., and Lindsey, K. (2015). Spatiotemporal modelling of hormonal crosstalk explains the level and patterning of hormones and gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wildtype and mutant roots. New Phytol. **207**: 1110-1122.

Morgan, M.T., Bourassa, D., Harankhedkar, S., McCallum, A.M., Zlatic, S.A., Calvo, J.S., Meloni, G., Faundez, V., and Fahrni, C.J. (2019). Ratiometric two-photon microscopy reveals attomolar copper buffering in normal and Menkes mutant cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **116**: 12167–12172.

Mudge, A.J., Mehdi, S., Michaels, W., Orosa-Puente, B., W. Shen, Wei, W., Tomlinson, C., Sadanandom, A., Hetherington, F.M., C. Hoppen, Uzun, B., Groth, G., Topping, J.F., Robinson, N.J., and Lindsey, K. (2024). Data from: A peptide that regulates metalation and function of the *Arabidopsis* ethylene receptor. GEO accession GSE256166: https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov%2Fgeo%2Fquery%2Facc.cgi%3Facc%3DGSE256166&data=05%7C02%7Ckeith.lindsey%40durham.ac.uk%7C9637f7022a2240cfb10608dc324244cd%7C7250d88b4b684529be44d59a2d8a6f94%7C0%7C0%7C638440507397042151%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=U4JHCoviYbdEbF76Tws8Ridq7W%2B5Hq2qBVN%2BFjIysgw%3D&reserved=0

Olsson, V., Joos, L., Zhu, S., Gevaert, K., Butenko, M., and De Smet, I. (2019). Look closely, the beautiful may be small: Precursor-derived peptides in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol. **70**: 153-186.

Ortega-Martinez, O., Pernas, M., Carol, R.J., and Dolan, L. (2007). Ethylene modulates stem cell division in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* root. Science **317**: 507-510.

Puig, S., Mira, H., Dorcey, E., Sancenón, V., Andrés-Colás, N., Garcia-Molina, A., Burkhead, J.L., Gogolin, K.A., Abdel-Ghany, S.E., Thiele, D.J., Ecker, J.R.,

Pilon, M., and Penarrubia, L. (2007). Higher plants possess two different types
of ATX1-like copper chaperones. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **354**: 385–
390.

Qu, X., Hall, B.P., Gao, Z.Y., and Schaller, G.E. (2007). A strong constitutive ethylene-
response phenotype conferred on Arabidopsis plants containing null mutations in
the ethylene receptors *ETR1* and *ERS1*. BMC Plant Biol. **7**: 3.

Robinson, N.J.. and Winge, D.R. (2010). Copper metallochaperones. Ann. Rev.
Biochem. **79**: 537-562.

Rodriguez, F.I., Esch, J.J., Hall, A.E., Binder, B.M., Schaller, G.E., and Bleecker,
A.B. (1999). A copper cofactor for the ethylene receptor ETR1 from Arabidopsis.
Science **283**: 996-998.

Rowe, J.H., Topping, J.F., Liu, J., and Lindsey, K. (2016). Abscisic acid regulates
root growth under osmotic stress conditions via an interacting hormonal network
with cytokinin, ethylene and auxin. New Phytol. **211**: 225-239.

Sabatini, S., Beis, D., Wolkenfelt, H., Murfett, J., Guilfoyle, T., Malamy, J., Benfey,
P., Leyser, O., Bechtold, N., Weisbeek, P., and Scheres, B. (1999). An auxin-
dependent distal organizer of pattern and polarity in the Arabidopsis root. Cell **99**:
463-472.

Sakai, H., Hua, J., Chen, Q.G., Chang, C., Medrano, L.J., Bleecker, A.B., and
Meyerowitz, E.M. (1998). *ETR2* is an *ETR1*-like gene involved in ethylene
signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95**: 5812-5817.

Schaller, G.E., Ladd, A.N., Lanahan, M.B., Spanbauer, J.M., and Bleecker, A.B.
(1995). The ethylene response mediator ETR1 from Arabidopsis forms a disulfide-
linked dimer. J. Biol. Chem. **270**: 12526-12530.

Schott-Verdugo, S. Müller, L. Classen, E. Gohlke, H., and Groth, G. (2019).
Structural model of the ETR1 ethylene receptor transmembrane sensor domain.
Sci. Rep. **9**: 8869.

Shin, L.-J., Lo, J.-C., and Yeh, K.-C. (2012). Copper chaperone antioxidant protein1 is
essential for copper homeostasis. Plant Physiol. **159**: 1099-1110.

Srivastava, M., Srivastava, A.J., Orosa-Puente, B., Campanaro, A., Zhang, C., and
Sadanandom, A. (2020). SUMO conjugaiton to BZR1 enables brassinosteroid

signaling to integrate environbmental cues to shape plant growth. Curr. Biol. **30**:

1410-1423.

Thompson, H.L., Shen, W., Matus, R., Kakkar, M., Jones, C., Dolan, D., Grellscheid,

S., Yang, X., Zhang, N., Mozaffari-Jovin, S. Chen, C. Zhang, X. Topping, J.F.

and Lindsey, K. (2023). MERISTEM-DEFECTIVE regulates the balance between

stemness and differentiation in the root meristem through RNA splicing control.

Development **150**: dev201476.

Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z., Xu, W., and Su, Z. (2017). agriGO

v2.0: a GO analysis toolkit from the agricultural community, 2017 update. Nucl.

Acids Res. **45**: W122-W129.

Yu, C.H., Yang, N., Bothe, J., Tonelli, M., Nokhrin, S., Dolgova, N.V., Braiterman,

L., Lutsenko, S., and Dmitriev, O.Y. (2017). The metal chaperone Atox1

regulates the activity of the human copper transporter ATP7B by modulating

domain dynamics. J. Biol. Chem. **292**: 18169.

Voinnet, O., Rivas, S., Mestre, P., and Baulcombe, D. (2003). An enhanced transient

expression system in plants based on suppression of gene silencing by the p19

protein of tomato bushy stunt virus. Plant J. **33**: 949-956.

Williams, L.E., and Mills, R.F. (2005). P(1B)-ATPases: an ancient family of transition

metal pumps with diverse functions in plants. Trends Plant Sci. **10**: 491–502.

Willoughby, A.C., and Nimchuk, Z.L. (2021). WOX going on: CLE peptides in plant

development. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **63**: 102056.

Xiao, Z., Brose, J., Schimo, S., Ackland, S.M., La Fontaine, S., and Wedd, A.G.

(2011). Unification of the copper(I) binding affinities of the metallo-chaperones

Atx1, Atox1, and related proteins: detection probes and affinity standards. J. Biol.

Chem. **286**: 11047-11055.

Woeste, K.E., and Kieber, J.J. (2000). A strong loss-of-function mutation in RAN1

results in constitutive activation of the ethylene response pathway as well as a

rosette-lethal phenotype. Plant Cell **12**: 443-455.

Zhong, S., Lin, Z., and Grierson, D. (2008). Tomato ethylene receptor-CTR

interactions: visualization of NEVER-RIPE interactions with multiple CTRs at the

endoplasmic reticulum. J. Exp. Bot. **59**: 965-972.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. The PLS peptide is required for ethylene control of seedling growth, is
structurally and functionally conserved, and complements the *Arabidopsis pls*
mutant.
(**A**). Amino acid sequence of the PLS peptide from *Arabidopsis thaliana* with *Camelina*
sativa PLS sequence (*C.s*), and synthetic truncations N1, N2, C1 and C2, indicated by
horizontal lines. Two cysteine residues are highlighted in bold. (**B**) Wild type (left) and
pls mutant (right); bar = 5 mm. (**C**) Expression levels of 24 ethylene-responsive genes
in *pls* and *PLS* overexpressing (PLSox) seedlings, compared to wild type levels. Data
are expressed as $\log_2$-fold changes in *pls* mutant and PLSox seedlings compared wild
type with respective significance levels (*P-adj* value) provided in Table S1. A $P < 0.05$
and $\log_2$-fold of change of ± 0.5 was chosen to identify differentially expressed genes.
(**D**) Effect of *Arabidopsis* PLS full length peptide, *A.t.* PLS(FL), and *Camelina* PLS
peptide (*C.s.* PLS) on *Arabidopsis* primary root length. Wild type (C24; blue bars) and
pls mutant seedlings (red bars) were grown hydroponically in the presence (100 nM) or
absence of peptide for 10 d. ***: $P < 0.05$, *t*-test between C24 and *pls*, n = 20-25. (**E**)
Effect of PLS full length and truncated peptides on wild type (blue bars) and *pls* mutant
(red bars) *Arabidopsis* primary root length. Seedlings were grown hydroponically in the
presence of 50 nM peptide for 10 d. C1 = C-terminal 14 amino acids, C2 = C-terminal
24 amino acids, N1 = N-terminal 22 amino acids, N2 = N-terminal 9 amino acids, full
length PLS = 36 amino acids. Error bars show ± 1 standard error, n = 25. ***: $P < 0.05$,
t-test between C24 and *pls*, n = 25.

1000

Figure 2. PLS localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum.
(**A-O**) PLS::PLS:GFP fusion protein (**A, G, J**) colocalizes with endoplasmic reticulum
markers ER Tracker (**B, C, C inset**) and RFP:HDEL (**H, I, I inset**), but free GFP does
not (**D-F**, **M-O**). PLS:GFP staining is seen also in nuclei (n). PLS::PLS:GFP (**J**) does not
co-localize with the *trans*-Golgi markers ST-mCherry SH:GFP (**K, L**). Scale bars = 25
µm (**C, I**), 10 µm (**F, I, O**). Root epidermal cells in the transition zone were imaged. (**p**)
Ratiometric analysis of roGFP2 fusion constructs transiently expressed in *N.*
benthamiana. Comparison of excitation ratios of PLS-roGFP2 and roGFP2-PLS with

control constructs (free roGFP, SEC22 fusions) reveals that PLS localizes to the

cytosolic side of the ER.

1011

Figure 3. PLS interacts with the ethylene receptor ETR1.

(**A**) Co-immunoprecipitation of PLS:GFP by ETR1:HA (upper panel) in leaves of

Nicotiana benthamiana, in the presence and absence of 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ and EDTA (to

remove Cu). Lower panels show presence of ETR1:HA in extracts using anti-HA

antibody, and protein input blots using anti-GFP and anti-Rubisco. (**B**) Densitometric

scan of immunoblot. (**C**) Competition assay showing a reduced binding between

PLS:GFP and ETR1:HA in the presence of 0, 5 nM or 25 nM PLS peptide, in the

presence of 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ and 50 µM MG-132, a proteasome inhibitor (upper panel).

Lower panel shows ETR1:HA in extracts using anti-HA antibody. (**D**) Densitometric scan

of immunoblot. (**E**) Co-immunopreciptation of ETR1:HA (upper panel) in leaves of *N.*

benthamiana, showing the effect of EDTA (to remove Cu) on interaction between

ETR1:HA and PLS:GFP. Lower panels shows presence of ETR1:HA in extracts using

anti-HA antibody, and protein input blots using anti-ETR1-HA and anti-Rubisco. (**F**)

Densitometric scan of immunoblot. α-GFP, anti-GFP antibody; α-HA, anti-HA antibody.

(**G**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of different ETR1 truncations with PLS.

Binding of PLS was observed with full-length ETR1 and all C-terminal truncations but

not with ETR1$^{306\text{-}738}$ lacking the N-terminal transmembrane part of the receptor.

1029

Figure 4. PLS binds copper and interacts with RAN1 and copper chaperones.

(**A**) C6S and C17S in PLS are required for function. Seedlings were grown

hydroponically for 10 d in the presence (100 nM) or absence of peptide (FL or C6S,

C17S). Blue bars are C24, red bars are *pls*. *: $P <0.05$, *t*-test between C24 and *pls*, n =

25. Error bars show ± 1 standard error, n = 14-22. (**B**) BCA absorbance in the presence

of synthetic PLS (44.8 µM, filled symbols) or an equivalent volume (to PLS) of DMSO

(open symbols). Experiments with PLS used 88.3 µM (circles), 84 µM (triangles) and

88.4 µM (squares) BCA. The control experiment with DMSO used 85.3 µM BCA. (**C**)

UV-vis apo subtracted difference spectra of synthetic PLS (19.8 µM) upon titration with

CuCl (top left); binding isotherm of the feature at 242 nm (top right). Fluorescence

spectra of PLS (19.8 µM) upon titration with CuCl (bottom left); binding isotherm of the

feature at 306 nm (bottom right). (**D**) Absorption of BCA (17.3 µM) titrated with Cu(I)

(representative spectrum, n = 2, full data in Fig. S6). (**E**) Binding isotherms ($A_{358\ nm}$) of

BCA (10 µM) in the presence/absence (filled/empty symbols, respectively) of 14 µM

MBP-PLS (circles) or MBP-PLS mutants (C6S, C17S and C6S/C17S: triangles,

diamonds and squares respectively) titrated with Cu(I) (n = 3, ± SD). Arrow indicates ca.

7 µM withholding of Cu(I) from BCA. (**F**) Binding isotherms ($A_{358\ nm}$) of BCA (50 µM) in

the presence/absence of 10 µM MBP-PLS (filled/empty symbols, respectively) titrated

with Cu(I). Model (solid line) describes Cu(I)-binding as a 2:1 complex, with β_2 affinity of

3.79 (± 1.5) x10^{19} M^{-2}. Dotted lines simulate 10x weaker or tighter affinity (n = 3, ± SD).

(**G**) Simulated Cu(I) occupancy (Supplementary Text) as a function of [PLS] using β_2

Cu(I) affinity of 3.79 x10^{19} M^{-2}. Inset, *Arabidopsis* ATX1 (20 µM, filled circles) withholds

one Cu(I) equivalent from 20 µM BCA (open circles, BCA-alone) (n = 3, ±SD), with K_D

Cu(I) 5.47 x10^{-18} M (Fig. S3). (**H**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of copper

transporter RAN1 with PLS. (**I**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of soluble

copper chaperones ATX1 and CCH with PLS (n = 3, ± SD).

1056

Figure 5. Possible models of the role of PLS in ethylene signalling.

In these models, we make the assumption that RAN1 and ETR1 are in the same

membranous compartment (likely the ER) at least transiently. RAN1 is believed to pump

Cu(I) into the ER lumen, and then to ETR1. In air (absence of ethylene; top left), the

receptor complex is active and activates CTR1, which is a nregative regulator of

ethylene responses. In the presence of ethylene (top right), the receptor is in an inactive

state, cannot activate CTR1, resulting in ethylene responses. In Model 1, RAN1 pumps

Cu(I) into the ER lumen, and then PLS transfers Cu(I) from RAN1 to ETR1. In Model 2,

PLS removes Cu(I) from ETR1, and lack of Cu(I) in ETR1 (as in *ran1* LOF mutants)

leads to inactive ETR1, inactive CTR1 and constitutive ethylene signalling. In Model 3,

PLS binds to ETR1 (with binding potentially enhanced by the Cu(I) in the ETR1

transmembrane domain) and affects the receptor conformation, potentially blocking

ethylene binding to ETR1 or inducing some other conformational change, leading to

active ETR1, active CTR1 and reduced ethylene signalling. Model 3 is consistent with

the observed *pls* loss of function mutant showing enhanced ethylene responses. Drawn

using Biorender.

A

MKPRL**C**FNSRRRSISP**C**YISIS *C.s*

MKPRL**C**FNFRRRSISP**C**YISISYLLVAKLFKLFKIH *A.t*

N2

N1

C1

C2

B

WT   *pls*

C

Group
AT1G05710
MYB59
ESE3
RAP2.10
MYB73
DREB26
MYBR1
EBF2
ASA1
AT5G61890
XLG3
AT4G39780
AT3G15356
AT3G51450
ABR1
ERF-1
ERF2
RAP2.9
CYP71B5
MYB94
WRKY6
ARGOS
AT1G71520
MYB51
AT2G33710
AT3G12810
AT3G54380
TD
ER
AC
ER
ER

Group
pls mutant
WT

C24A C24B

Group
RAP2.2
ERF2
HR4
ABR1
GRP-3
AIL7
IDA
ERF1
MYB108
SYD
PLS
ESE3
PLDALPHA2
RAV1
ERS1
YLS2
SFR6
MBF1A
AT1G36060
Rap2.6L
PAD4
ABCG40
MYB15
ERF-1
AT4G13040
SAG21
WRKY6
NAC6
GLIP1
TRFL1
BT3
EBP
TEM1
RVE2
EDF3
SMZ
ALP
RBOH F
AT1G70000
AT3G51440

Group
PLSOx
WT

COLA COLB COLC PlsOXA PlsOxB PlsOxC

D

Mean Primary Root Legnth /mm

***

No peptide   A. thaliana   C. sativa
                 PLS(FL)   PLS

C24
pls

E

Mean Primary Root Length /mm

No PLS   C1   C2   N1   N2   Full Length

***   ***   ***   ***

C24
pls

Fig. 1

Figure 1. The PLS peptide is required for ethylene control of seedling growth, is structurally and functionally conserved, and complements the *Arabidopsis pls* mutant.

(**A**). Amino acid sequence of the PLS peptide from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*A.t*) with *Camelina sativa* PLS sequence (*C.s*), and synthetic truncations N1, N2, C1 and C2, indicated by horizontal lines. Two cysteine residues are highlighted in bold. (**B**) Wild type (left) and *pls* mutant (right); bar = 5 mm. (**C**) Heat maps showing expression levels of 32 ethylene-responsive genes in *pls* (left panel) and of 58 genes in *PLS* overexpressing (PLSox) seedlings (right panel), compared to wildtype levels. Data for 3 biological replicates are shown, and are expressed as $\log_2$-fold changes in *pls* mutant and PLSox seedlings compared wildtype with respective significance levels (*P-adj* value) provided in Tables S1 and S2. A $P < 0.05$ and $\log_2$-fold of change of $\pm$ 0.5 was chosen to identify differentially expressed genes. (**D**) Effect of *Arabidopsis* PLS full length peptide, *A.t.* PLS(FL), and *Camelina* PLS peptide (*C.s.* PLS) on *Arabidopsis* primary root length. Wildtype (C24; blue bars) and *pls* mutant seedlings (red bars) were grown hydroponically in the presence (100 nM) or absence of peptide for 10 d. ***: *P* <0.05, *t*-test between C24 and *pls*, n = 20-25. (**E**) Effect of PLS full length and truncated peptides on wild type (blue bars) and *pls* mutant (red bars) *Arabidopsis* primary root length. Seedlings were grown hydroponically in the presence of 50 nM peptide for 10 d. C1 = C-terminal 14 amino acids, C2 = C-terminal 24 amino acids, N1 = N-terminal 22 amino acids, N2 = N-terminal 9 amino acids, full length PLS = 36 amino acids. Error bars show $\pm$ 1 standard error, n = 25. ***: *P* <0.05, *t*-test between C24 and *pls*, n = 25.

Fig. 2

Figure 2. PLS localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum.
(**A-O**) PLS::PLS:GFP fusion protein (**A, G, J**) colocalizes with endoplasmic reticulum markers ER Tracker (**B, C, C inset**) and RFP:HDEL (**H, I, I inset**), but free GFP does not (**D-F**, **M-O**). PLS:GFP staining is seen also in nuclei (n). PLS::PLS:GFP (**J**) does not co-localize with the *trans*-Golgi markers ST-mCherry SH:GFP (**K, L**). Scale bars = 25 µm (**C, L**), 10 µm (**F, I, O**). Root epidermal cells in the transition zone were imaged. (**P**) Ratiometric analysis of roGFP2 fusion constructs transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*. Comparison of excitation ratios of PLS-roGFP2 and roGFP2-PLS with control constructs (free roGFP, SEC22 fusions) reveals that PLS localizes to the cytosolic side of the ER.

A

kDa

αGFP
PLS-GFP
35
25

αHA
100
ETR1-HA
70

αGFP
35
PLS-GFP
25
GFP

input

Rubisco

EDTA: + + − −
Cu: − − + +
GFP / PLS-GFP / GFP / PLS-GFP

B

PLS-GFP / ETR-HA

0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0

+ EDTA
− EDTA

GFP   PLS-GFP   GFP   PLS-GFP

C

KDa

αGFP
35
PLS-GFP
25

αHA
100
ETR1-HA
70

[PLS] (nM): 0   0   25   5
Cu: + + + +
MG-132: + + + +

GFP / PLS-GFP / GFP / PLS-GFP

D

PLS_GFP / ETR_HA

1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0

GFP   PLS C-   PLS_25nM   PLS_5nM

G

PLS + ETR1

Fraction bound

1.0
0.5
0.0

10⁴   10⁵
PLS [nM]

PLS_GFP / ETR_HA

1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0

GFP   PLS C-   PLS_25nM   PLS_5nM

PLS + ETR1

Fraction bound

1.0

ETR1¹⁻⁷⁸⁸ (WT)
1898 ± 339 nM

ETR1¹⁻¹⁵⁷
1516 ± 272 nM

ETR1¹⁻³⁰⁷
1785 ± 382 nM

Figure 3. PLS interacts with the ethylene receptor ETR1.
(**A**) Co-immunoprecipitation of PLS:GFP by ETR1:HA (upper panel) in leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana*, in the presence and absence of 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ and EDTA (to remove Cu). Lower panels show presence of ETR1:HA in extracts using anti-HA antibody, and protein input blots using anti-GFP and anti-Rubisco. (**B**) Densitometric scan of immunoblot. (**C**) Competition assay showing a reduced binding between PLS:GFP and ETR1:HA in the presence of 0, 5 nM or 25 nM PLS peptide, in the presence of 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ and 50 µM MG-132, a proteasome inhibitor (upper panel). Lower panel shows ETR1:HA in extracts using anti-HA antibody. (**D**) Densitometric scan of immunoblot. (**E**) Co-immunopreciptation of ETR1:HA (upper panel) in leaves of *N. benthamiana*, showing the effect of EDTA (to remove Cu) on interaction between ETR1:HA and PLS:GFP. Lower panels shows presence of ETR1:HA in extracts using anti-HA antibody, and protein input blots using anti-ETR1-HA and anti-Rubisco. (**F**) Densitometric scan of immunoblot. a-GFP, anti-GFP antibody; a-HA, anti-HA antibody. (**G**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of different ETR1 truncations with PLS. Binding of PLS was observed with full-length ETR1 and all C-terminal truncations but not with ETR1$^{306-738}$ lacking the N-terminal transmembrane part of the receptor.

Fig. 4

Figure 4. PLS binds copper and interacts with RAN1 and copper chaperones.
(**A**) C6S and C17S in PLS are required for function. Seedlings were grown hydroponically for 10 d in the presence (100 nM) or absence of peptide (FL or C6S, C17S). Blue bars are C24, red bars are *pls*. * P <0.05, *t*-test between C24 and *pls*, n = 25. Error bars show $\pm$ 1 standard error, n = 14-22. (**B**) BCA absorbance in the presence of synthetic PLS (44.8 µM, filled symbols) or an equivalent volume (to PLS) of DMSO (open symbols). Experiments with PLS used 88.3 µM (circles), 84 µM (triangles) and 88.4 µM (squares) BCA. The control experiment with DMSO used 85.3 µM BCA. (**C**) UV-vis apo subtracted difference spectra of synthetic PLS (19.8 µM) upon titration with CuCl (top left); binding isotherm of the feature at 242 nm (top right). Fluorescence spectra of PLS (19.8 µM) upon titration with CuCl (bottom left); binding isotherm of the feature at 306 nm (bottom right). (**D**) Absorption of BCA (17.3 µM) titrated with Cu(I) (representative spectrum, n = 2, full data in Fig S6). (**E**) Binding isotherms ($A_{358\ nm}$) of BCA (10 µM) in the presence/absence (filled/empty symbols, respectively) of 14 µM MBP-PLS (circles) or MBP-PLS mutants (C6S, C17S and C6S/C17S: triangles, diamonds and squares respectively) titrated with Cu(I) (n = 3, $\pm$SD). Arrow indicates ca. 7 µM withholding of Cu(I) from BCA. (**F**) Binding isotherms ($A_{358\ nm}$) of BCA (50 µM) in the presence/absence of 10 µM MBP-PLS (filled/empty symbols, respectively) titrated with Cu(I). Model (solid line) describes Cu(I)-binding as a 2:1 complex, with β_2 affinity of 3.79 ($\pm$1.5) x10^{19} M^{-2}. Dotted lines simulate 10x weaker or tighter affinity (n = 3, $\pm$SD). (**G**) Simulated Cu(I) occupancy (Supplementary Text) as a function of [PLS] using β_2 Cu(I) affinity of 3.79 x10^{19} M^{-2}. Inset, *Arabidopsis* ATX1 (20 µM, filled circles) withholds one Cu(I) equivalent from 20 µM BCA (open circles, BCA-alone) (n = 3, $\pm$SD), with K_D Cu(I) 5.47 x10^{-18} M (Fig. S3). (**H**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of copper transporter RAN1 with PLS. (**I**) Microscale thermophoresis binding curves of soluble copper chaperones ATX1 and CCH with PLS (n = 3, $\pm$SD).

In air

In presence of ethylene

-reduced/no ethylene bound to ETR1
-ETR1 active
-CTR1 active
-reduced ethylene response

-ethylene bound to ETR1
-ETR1 inactive
-CTR1 inactive
-ethylene response

Model 1:
PLS takes Cu(I) to ETR1

-ethylene bound to ETR1
-ETR1 inactive
-CTR1 inactive
-ethylene response

Model 2:
PLS removes Cu(I) from ETR1

-reduced Cu(I) bound to ETR1
-ETR1 inactive due to Cu-mediated conformational change
-CTR1 inactive
-constitutive ethylene response

Model 3:
PLS binds ETR1 to prevent ethylene binding or conformational change

-reduced/no ethylene bound to ETR1
-ETR1 active
-CTR1 active
-reduced ethylene response

Fig. 5

Figure 5. Possible models of the role of PLS in ethylene signalling.

In these models, we make the assumption that RAN1 and ETR1 are in the same membranous compartment (likely the ER) at least transiently. RAN1 is believed to pump Cu(I) into the ER lumen, and then to ETR1. In air (absence of ethylene; top left), the receptor complex is active and activates CTR1, which is a nregative regulator of ethylene responses. In the presence of ethylene (top right), the receptor is in an inactive state, cannot activate CTR1, resulting in ethylene responses. In Model 1, RAN1 pumps Cu(I) into the ER lumen, and then PLS transfers Cu(I) from RAN1 to ETR1. In Model 2, PLS removes Cu(I) from ETR1, and lack of Cu(I) in ETR1 (as in *ran1* LOF mutants) leads to inactive ETR1, inactive CTR1 and constitutive ethylene signalling. In Model 3, PLS binds to ETR1 (with binding potentially enhanced by the Cu(I) in the ETR1 transmembrane domain) and affects the receptor conformation, potentially blocking ethylene binding to ETR1 or inducing some other conformational change, leading to active ETR1, active CTR1 and reduced ethylene signalling. Model 3 is consistent with the observed *pls* loss of function mutant showing enhanced ethylene responses. Drawn using Biorender.