Molecular tuning of sea anemone stinging

Lily S He[1], Yujia Qi[2], Corey AH Allard[1], Wendy A Valencia-Montoya[1,3], Stephanie P Krueger[1], Keiko Weir[1], Agnese Seminara[2#], Nicholas W Bellono[1#]

[1]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138 USA
[2]Machine Learning Center Genoa (MalGa), Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering (DICCA), University of Genoa, Via Montallegro 1, 16145
Genoa, Italy
[3]Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology and Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138 USA

[#]Correspondence: nbellono@harvard.edu, agnese.seminara@unige.it

Abstract
Jellyfish and sea anemones fire single-use, venom-covered barbs to immobilize prey or predators. We previously showed that the anemone *Nematostella vectensis* uses a specialized voltage-gated calcium (Ca$_V$) channel to trigger stinging in response to synergistic prey-derived chemicals and touch (Weir et al., 2020). Here we use experiments and theory to find that stinging behavior is suited to distinct ecological niches. We find that the burrowing anemone *Nematostella* uses uniquely strong Ca$_V$ inactivation for precise control of predatory stinging. In contrast, the related anemone *Exaiptasia diaphana* inhabits exposed environments to support photosynthetic endosymbionts. Consistent with its niche, *Exaiptasia* indiscriminately stings for defense and expresses a Ca$_V$ splice variant that confers weak inactivation. Chimeric analyses reveal that Ca$_V\beta$ subunit adaptations regulate inactivation, suggesting an evolutionary tuning mechanism for stinging behavior. These findings demonstrate how functional specialization of ion channel structure contributes to distinct organismal behavior.

Introduction

Sea anemones, jellyfish, corals, and hydrozoans of the Cnidarian phylum use specialized cells called nematocytes to sting for predation or defense. Mechanical and chemical stimuli from prey or predators act synergistically on nematocytes to mediate rapid discharge of a toxin-covered barb from its nematocyst organelle (Holstein and Tardent, 1984; Watson and Mire-Thibodeaux, 1994; Babonis and Martindale, 2014). Nematocyst discharge requires calcium (Ca^{2+}) influx and, as a one-time use organelle, is tightly controlled to prevent energetically wasteful stinging to irrelevant stimuli (Lubbock et al., 1981; Gitter et al., 1994; Watson and Hessinger, 1994). We previously found that the starlet sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* uses a uniquely adapted voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel (Ca$_V$) to integrate simultaneously presented chemical and mechanical cues that elicit nematocyst discharge. *Nematostella* Ca$_V$ exhibits unusually "strong" steady-state voltage-dependent inactivation at resting membrane voltages to reduce cellular excitability and prevent stinging behavior in response to extraneous, non-prey touch signals. Chimeric analyses of *Nematostella* and mammalian Ca$_V$ showed that the auxiliary β subunit (Ca$_V\beta$) is required and sufficient for low-voltage steady-state inactivation in *Nematostella* Ca$_V$ channel complexes. Ca$_V$ inactivation is relieved by hyperpolarization of the nematocyte membrane potential to very negative voltages through the effect of prey-derived chemosensory signals that are synaptically transmitted from sensory neurons. Upon relieving Ca$_V$ inactivation, direct touch responses are amplified to trigger nematocyst discharge (Weir et al., 2020). Thus, single nematocytes integrate synergistic cues to elicit a precise response, representing a unique cellular system to study how cells detect and transduce signals to produce discrete behavior.

While Ca$_V$-mediated sensory integration represents one mechanism by which nematocytes "decide" when to sting, the incredible diversity of cnidarian biology suggests that stinging behavior must be adapted to support the demands

of different lifestyles. Cnidarian taxa occupy diverse environmental niches and endure specific metabolic demands, predatory challenges, and environmental pressures for survival, which results in distinct selective pressures on nematocyte evolution (Beckmann and Özbek, 2012; Babonis et al., 2022). *Nematostella vectensis* and *Exaiptasia diaphana* represent an example of closely related cnidarians with differing environmental niches and metabolic demands (Darling et al., 2005; Bedgood et al., 2020). *Nematostella* are found in shallow brackish water of coastal marshes where they are buried in the mud, hidden from predators, with only their tentacles exposed to catch unsuspecting passing prey (Fraune et al., 2016). Thus, we hypothesize that their stinging is under tight regulation adapted for opportunistic predation. In contrast, *Exaiptasia* are exposed to predators while living in shallow, open ocean environments that provide sufficient sunlight for their endosymbionts to produce important photosynthetic products and nutrients (Baumgarten et al., 2015). Considering these dramatically different ecological contexts, we hypothesized that Ca_V-mediated regulation of nematocyte discharge has adapted to reflect the demands on stinging behavior in these two anemones. We therefore probed the behavior of these related but distinct anemones and investigated how subtle tuning of a shared molecular-regulatory mechanism drives adaptation in physiology and behavior associated with niche diversification.

In this study, we find that the symbiotic anemone *Exaiptasia* stings in response to mechanical stimuli alone, independent of predation pressure. This behavior serves as a stark contrast with *Nematostella* stinging, which is only elicited by synergistic prey chemicals and touch. Markov decision process modeling coupled with behavioral experiments revealed that *Nematostella* stings as an optimal predator, whereas *Exaiptasia* exhibits optimal defensive stinging behavior. Consistent with indiscriminate stinging behavior, we discover that *Exaiptasia* nematocyte physiology lacks the unusual Ca_V inactivation used by *Nematostella* to inactivate cells at rest and prevent responses to touch in the absence of prey chemicals. "Weak" steady-state inactivation of *Exaiptasia* Ca_V is mediated by a splice isoform of the beta subunit ($Ca_V\beta$) with a distinct N-terminus and allows for robust activation from resting membrane potentials. Analysis of chimeric jellyfish and anemone channels reveals that Ca_V inactivation is broadly regulated by the $Ca_V\beta$ N-terminus, suggesting an evolutionary tuning mechanism that could contribute to specific stinging behavior across cnidarians. Thus, we propose Ca_V adaptations as one molecular mechanism that could shift predatory versus defensive stinging in cnidarians. These results highlight how subtle adaptations in protein structure contribute to complex organismal behavior.

Results

Comparative sea anemone stinging behavior

In their natural habitat, *Nematostella* are hidden by burrowing within the sandy substrate and use an opportunistic predatory strategy to capture prey with their tentacles. In contrast, symbiotic *Exaiptasia* are found within open waters where they experience greater risk of predation and therefore must adopt a more defensive stance. Thus, we first asked whether differences in ecological pressure are reflected by stinging behavior. Consistent with our previous findings, we observed *Nematostella* stinging in response to simultaneously delivered prey extract and touch, reflecting stinging control adapted for predation (**Figure 1**) (Weir et al., 2020). Strikingly, *Exaiptasia* tentacles instead exhibited robust stinging even in the absence of prey chemicals (touch alone, **Figure 1**). Similar touch-evoked stinging was observed for *Exaiptasia* acontia, which are defensive nematocyte-enriched structures that are ejected and release toxins to repel predators (Lam et al., 2017). Considering the drastic differences in stinging behavior, we wondered if *Exaiptasia*'s indiscriminate stinging reflects a distinct control strategy.

To investigate whether different stinging behaviors might be suited for predation versus defense, we developed a normative theory aimed at predicting optimal stinging behavior as a function of nutritional state (see **Materials and Methods** for model details). We focused on stinging intensity, defined as the fraction of nematocysts discharged during a stinging event, and asked whether nutritional state would affect optimal predatory and defensive stinging. In the language of decision models, the intensity of stinging is an action, and it is chosen by the agent, or anemone. In our study, "choice" of stinging means modulation of behavior with nutritional state, rather than a cognitive process.

97 Each choice has associated costs and benefits that depend on the environment. Because anemones sting many times
98 over the course of their lives, an optimal behavior must account for overall costs and benefits after many events;
99 therefore, this is a sequential decision-making problem.
100
We modeled the optimal stinging response to a given environment by using Markov decision processes (MDP). Each
anemone was modeled as an "agent" that must hedge the intensity of its stinging response. The environment,
including the identity of prey, predators, and the physiological state of the animals, defines the likelihood, costs, and
benefits of successful stinging. Specifically, intense stinging responses are costly since each fired nematocyst needs
to be regenerated. But they are also more likely to succeed because greater discharge of stinging barbs increases the
likelihood of contact and envenomation. The cost per nematocyte was first assumed to be constant and equivalent for
defensive and predatory stinging as nematocyst discharge requires regeneration in either case (**Figure 2A,** solid line,
filled circles). We assumed that the benefits of successful predatory stinging depend on the capture and consumption
of prey, which improves satiation (**Figure 2B left**). In contrast, stinging a predator for defense would not improve
nutritional state, hence the benefits of stinging would not depend on starvation (**Figure 2C left**). We then used the
model to predict optimal stinging that maximizes the sum of all future benefits while minimizing costs during
starvation (i.e. maximizing the value function, see Materials and Methods). We then tested the prediction directly
against experiments with behaving animals.
114
Using this approach, we found that optimal stinging strategies were completely different for predatory versus
defensive behavior. Regardless of the specific environment (likelihood to succeed and specific costs and benefits),
predatory stinging increased with starvation (**Figure 2B right,** solid lines, filled circles). To test our theory regarding
predatory stinging, we carried out simulations in which agents discharged a random fraction of nematocytes between
0 and 1, regardless of starvation. Random stinging was unsustainable over numerous events and agents quickly
reached maximal starvation state. Agents using optimal predatory stinging discharged more nematocysts when
starved and less when satiated, leading to sustained stinging behavior and survival. This was true even if they fired
the same fraction of nematocytes as the random agent (**Figure 2D**). In contrast, optimal stinging for defense stayed
constant with starvation (**Figure 2B right,** solid lines, filled circles). Importantly, while the precise optimal response
depended on the details of cost and reward that defined the MDP, the differences between increasing predatory
stinging versus unchanging defensive stinging were consistent and largely independent of assumptions associated
with each reward function (described in *Materials and Methods*, **Figure 2—figure supplement 1-4**). These results
reflect greater rewards to predatory anemones upon stinging during starvation, whereas defensive anemones sting at
a similar rate regardless of nutritional status. Thus, our model predicts robust differences in predatory versus
defensive stinging behavior.
130
We next sought to experimentally test whether pressure to predate regulates stinging in *Nematostella* and *Exaiptasia*.
To do so, we fed both species of anemones copious amounts of prey (brine shrimp, *Artemia nauplii*) for 1-2 weeks
and then deprived them of food for 5 days. Following manipulation of prey availability, *Nematostella* significantly
increased stinging in response to starvation, while *Exaiptasia* stinging remained relatively constant despite complete
deprivation of prey (**Figure 2E**, symbols with error bars). The behavior was remarkably consistent with our normative
theory of optimal stinging strategies for predation versus defense (**Figure 2E,** filled circles). Furthermore, changes
in *Nematostella* and *Exaiptasia* stinging were not due to changes in the abundance of nematocytes because tentacles
from both animals were abundantly armed with nematocytes across feeding conditions (**Figure 2—figure**
supplement 5). The experimental behavior of *Exaiptasia* showed a slight decrease in stinging with starvation. To
account for this decrease we revisited the theory and assumed that the cost per nematocyte slightly increased with
starvation (**Figure 2A,** dashed lines and open circles). In this case, the optimal response slightly decreased for
defensive stinging but increased for predatory stinging (**Figure 2B right,** open circles and **Figure 2C right,** dashed
lines, open circles). In fact, the fit between theory and data for both *Nematostella* and *Exaiptasia* improved when the
cost increased slightly with starvation (**Figure 2E**, open circles). A more dramatic and less realistic increase of the

cost with starvation may lead to a decrease in predatory stinging (**Figure 2—figure supplement 4**). Thus, we
conclude that *Nematostella* controls stinging for opportunistic predation while *Exaiptasia* stinging is indiscriminate
and serves a greater defensive role for this symbiotic anemone.
148
Sea anemones with different stinging behavior use distinct Ca_V channels
We next probed the physiological basis underlying these significantly different stinging behaviors. We previously
found that *Nematostella* stinging is triggered by a specialized Ca_V channel that exhibits strong inactivation at negative
voltages to prevent responses to extraneous non-prey mechanical stimuli (Weir et al., 2020). Ca^{2+} influx triggers an
increase in hydrostatic pressure inside the nematocyst capsule that forces the stinging thread to evert explosively at
an acceleration of up to 5.41×10^6g, placing it among the fastest biological processes in existence (Lubbock and
Amos, 1981; Lubbock et al., 1981; Holstein and Tardent, 1984; Weber, 1990; Gitter et al., 1994; Tardent, 1995;
Nüchter et al., 2006). Similar to *Nematostella*, *Exaiptasia* stinging required extracellular Ca^{2+} and was abolished by
Cd^{2+}, a Ca_V channel blocker (**Figure 3A**). Consistent with a Ca^{2+}-dependent stinging mechanism, whole-cell patch
clamp recordings from nematocytes revealed the presence of voltage-gated inward currents that were blocked by
Cd^{2+}, suggesting that *Exaiptasia* nematocytes also use Ca_V channels to control stinging (**Figure 3B**). Indeed, Ca_V
currents in *Exaiptasia* nematocytes exhibited similar voltage-dependent activation properties compared with
Nematostella nematocytes (**Figure 3C**). Thus, in agreement with previous findings, we conclude that Ca^{2+} influx via
Ca_V channels is broadly important for stinging.
163
Ca_V channels respond to positive membrane potentials by opening to conduct Ca^{2+}. However, sustained positive
voltage drives Ca_Vs to transition to a non-conducting state (inactivation) that prevents re-activation until channels
return to a resting state induced by negative membrane potentials. In most cells, voltage-gated ion channel
inactivation prevents extended responses to repetitive or prolonged stimulation. *Nematostella* Ca_V, is unusual because
it inactivates at very negative voltages to prevent responses from resting potential, resulting in nematocytes that
cannot fire from rest (Weir et al., 2020). In contrast to *Nematostella* nematocytes in which half of all Ca_V channels
($V_{i1/2}$) were inactivated at $\sim$ -93mV, *Exaiptasia* nematocytes exhibited two distinct inactivation phenotypes: (1)
nematocytes with low-voltage threshold (low-V) inactivation similar to that of *Nematostella* (low-V, $V_{i1/2}$ = $\sim$ -
85mV); and (2) a distinct population with weak, high-voltage (high-V) threshold inactivation similar to its well-
characterized mammalian orthologue (high-V, $V_{i1/2}$ = $\sim$ -48mV) (**Figure 3D**). While we did not observe a correlation
with abundance or distinct cellular morphology (Östman, 2000; Kass-Simon and Scappaticci, 2002; Grajales and
Rodríguez, 2014), we could clearly distinguish the two populations based on these electrophysiological features.
Importantly, high-V nematocyte inactivation was minimal at resting voltages ($\sim$ -70mV), so nearly all channels would
be available to amplify depolarizing signals, such as those elicited by touch. Thus, these markedly different
physiological properties correlate with distinct stinging behavior: *Nematostella* uses unusual low-voltage Ca_V
inactivation to integrate sensory cues for tightly regulated predatory stinging. In contrast, *Exaiptasia* employs a
population of nematocytes with weak Ca_V inactivation, consistent with direct activation from resting potentials and
stinging to touch alone.
182
What is the molecular basis of distinct nematocyte physiology? Ca_V channels are made of at least three subunits: the
pore-forming α and auxiliary β and α2δ subunits. Transcriptomics revealed that nematocyte-enriched tentacles of
Exaiptasia expressed *cacna1a*, the pore-forming subunit homologous to that of the previously characterized
Nematostella nematocyte Ca_V channel (**Figure 4—figure supplement 1**). We also analyzed the Ca_V β subunit, $Ca_Vβ$,
which is required and sufficient for the unusual inactivation properties observed in *Nematostella* Ca_V (Weir et al.,
2020) (**Figure 4—figure supplement 1**). From *Exaiptasia*, we identified two isoforms of $Ca_Vβ$: $EdCa_Vβ1$ and
$EdCa_Vβ2$. Droplet digital PCR assays of mRNA abundance showed that both isoforms are expressed throughout
Exaiptasia tissues, suggesting they could both be functionally important (**Figure 4A—figure supplement 1B**). To
localize $Ca_Vβ$, we used *in situ* hybridization to determine that distinct nematocyte populations expressed either
$EdCa_Vβ1$ or $EdCa_Vβ2$ mRNA (but not both) in the same cell (**Figure 4B**).

193
Considering this expression profile, we wondered if the two Ca$_V$β isoforms could mediate low-V and high-V
inactivation phenotypes in *Exaiptasia* nematocytes. To investigate this question, we heterologously expressed each
β subunit isoform with other well-characterized Ca$_V$ subunits (mammalian Ca$_V$ α and α2δ) that express well in
heterologous systems. Both channels exhibited functional Ca$_V$ currents with similar activation thresholds (**Figure
4C**). However, EdCa$_V$β1- and EdCa$_V$β2-containing channels significantly differed in their inactivation properties.
EdCa$_V$β1 inactivated at negative voltages, similar to channels containing *Nematostella* Ca$_V$β (NveCa$_V$β). In contrast,
EdCa$_V$β2 mediated Ca$_V$ currents with weak inactivation, more like channels containing rat Ca$_V$β2a (**Figure 4C, D**).
Thus, EdCa$_V$β1 and EdCa$_V$β2 confer strong, low-voltage and weak, high-voltage steady-state inactivation,
respectively, and are expressed in distinct nematocytes, consistent with low-V and high-V threshold inactivating
nematocyte populations. Genomic alignment revealed that alternative splicing at the N-terminus gives rise to
EdCa$_V$β1 and EdCa$_V$β2 isoforms, serving as a mechanism to dynamically tune nematocyte physiology and potentially
stinging behavior in contrast to adaptation through gene duplication and divergence (**Figure 4E**). Furthermore, by
expressing two functional variants, *Exaiptasia* could use distinct nematocyte populations for different behaviors,
including a less pronounced role for predation.
208
Structural adaptations across cnidarian Ca$_V$ channels
We next asked how variation in Ca$_V$β structure mediates strong phenotypes by testing whether distinct protein
domains confer low or high voltage-dependent inactivation. We first compared rat rCa$_V$β2a and *Nematostella*
NveCa$_V$β, which have significantly different voltage-dependent properties (Weir et al., 2020). Swapping the well-
characterized SH3, HOOK, and GK domains had no effect on inactivation, but the NveCa$_V$β N-terminus was both
required and sufficient for low voltage-dependent inactivation (**Figure 5A, B**). Indeed, swapping only the N-terminus
of NveCa$_V$β was sufficient to shift rat rCa$_V$β2a-conferred inactivation by ~ -75mV (**Figure 5A, B**). This finding is
consistent with the variation in EdCa$_V$β splice isoforms, in which differences in the N-terminus account for a ~ 40mV
difference in inactivation thresholds.
218
To explore evolutionary relationships of Ca$_V$β, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of sequences from various
cnidarians including *Nematostella vectensis* (anemone, NveCa$_V$β), *Exaiptasia diaphana* (anemone, EdCa$_V$β1 and
EdCa$_V$β2), *Cyanea capillata* (jellyfish, CcCa$_V$β), *Physalia physalis* (hydrozoan, PpCa$_V$β), *Clytia hemisphaerica*
(jellyfish, ChCa$_V$β), *Cassiopea xamachana* (jellyfish, CxCa$_V$β), and the Rat β subunit (rCa$_V$β2a) as an outgroup
(**Figure 5C**). Sequence comparison across all amino acid positions revealed that the N-terminus exhibited the greatest
sequence diversity (**Figure 5D**), consistent with previous findings showing extensive alternative splicing in this
region in other organisms (Helton and Horne, 2002; Helton et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2003; Foell et al., 2004;
Vendel et al., 2006; Ebert et al., 2008; Buraei and Yang, 2010; Siller et al., 2022). We found that all cnidarian Ca$_V$βs
conferred voltage-gated currents when co-expressed with Ca$_V$α and α2δ subunits and had relatively low voltage
thresholds for inactivation compared with rCa$_V$β2a or EdCa$_V$β2 (**Figure 5E, figure supplement 1A, figure
supplement 1B**). Importantly, swapping the N-termini of each cnidarian Ca$_V$β onto EdCa$_V$β2 was sufficient to shift
voltage-dependent inactivation to more negative values (**Figure 5E, figure supplement 1C**). Thus, alternative
splicing at the N-terminus could serve as a broad molecular mechanism for tuning Ca$_V$ function. Collectively, these
findings substantiate the importance of Ca$_V$β in modulating inactivation and suggest a mechanism that could
dynamically regulate a small region of only one subunit in the Ca$_V$ protein complex to tune complex stinging behavior.
234
Discussion
Collectively, our studies on cnidarian stinging, here and (Weir et al., 2020), reveal different behavior in the primarily
predatory anemone *Nematostella* versus the symbiotic anemone *Exaiptasia*. This study used a combination of theory
and experimentation to uncover the molecular basis of regulation of the divergent behavior of *Exaiptasia* that uses
stinging primarily for defense. Indeed, *Exaiptasia* obtains a large fraction of its energy and nutrients from
endosymbiotic algae (Muscatine et al., 1981; Shick and Dykens, 1984; Steen, 1988), thus reducing overall pressure

to predate. This finding is consistent with a common ecological theme in which symbiotic relationships are
established whereby one partner provides food and the other provides shelter and defense (Lehnert et al., 2012;
Bucher et al., 2016). Therefore, it is plausible that synergistic selection drives higher investment in defensive
structures to protect symbiotic species.

Our results demonstrate that molecular adaptations tune distinct stinging behavior: *Nematostella* $Ca_V\beta$ confers an
unusually low threshold for inactivation, basally inhibiting nematocytes unless they are exposed to synergistic prey
cues: chemical (hyperpolarizing to relieve inactivation) and mechanical (depolarizing to recruit available Ca_V
channels and elicit stinging) (Weir et al., 2020). These physiological mechanisms reflect a stinging strategy suited to
opportunistic predation by *Nematostella*, which burrow within shallow marshes and sting unsuspecting prey.
Consistent with the predictions of optimal control theory, *Nematostella* increased stinging with starvation, suggesting
that evolution has shaped its stinging response to maximize benefits for predation. In contrast, *Exaiptasia* nematocytes
contain a functionally specialized splice variant of $Ca_V\beta$ to mediate high threshold voltage-dependent Ca_V
inactivation, consistent with Ca_V channel availability to amplify depolarizing signals from rest and stinging in
response to touch alone. Thus, *Exaiptasia* physiology is consistent with an indiscriminate stinging strategy for
defense, necessary for survival in an exposed environment that facilitates endosymbiotic photosynthesis (Muscatine
et al., 1981; Shick and Dykens, 1984; Steen, 1988). Such stinging behavior is likely synergistic with physical escape
for some cnidarians (Pallasdies et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2023). Consistent with the predictions of optimal control
theory, *Exaiptasia* stinging was nearly independent of starvation, suggesting that evolution has shaped the stinging
response to maximize benefits for defense. Using molecular information gleaned from analyzing these two cnidarians,
we find that $Ca_V\beta$ variation across cnidarians mediates differences in voltage-dependent inactivation, which could
contribute to differences in stinging behavior. Thus, our study provides an example by which alternative splicing
could account for adaptation across this diverse plethora of organisms and habitats.

While theory predicts robust trends for optimal predation and defense independent of environment, the precise nature
of the predicted behavior does depend on the environment. In vivo, stinging is likely influenced by stimulus identity
and intensity, background turbulence, and other factors. For example, cnidarians may have evolved distinct innate
responses for different prey and use chemical sensing to enact the appropriate stinging response. In this case, optimal
control theory can be used to predict the optimal response to known salient environmental cues. Alternatively,
cnidarians may learn that specific prey are palatable and easy to catch through repeated exposure (Botton-Amiot et
al., 2023). In this example, optimal control theory must be replaced by reinforcement learning as the likelihood of
successful predation and its cost and benefits (the environment) are unknown (Sutton and Barto, 2018).

Indeed, stinging is a complex process mediated by numerous molecular components and cell types that could be
subject to evolutionary change or acute modulation. Cnidarians occupy diverse ecological niches and experience
varying metabolic demands, predatory challenges, and other survival pressures that could influence stinging behavior.
Beyond cnidarians with stationary lifestyles that support photosynthetic endosymbionts (symbiotic anemones, corals,
sea pens) and those that use opportunistic "sit-and-wait" ambush predatory strategies (burrowing anemones,
siphonophores), others have evolved mobile lifestyles to actively capture prey (jellyfish) (Muscatine et al., 1981;
Shick and Dykens, 1984; Steen, 1988; Fraune et al., 2016; Damian-Serrano et al., 2022). Stinging by mobile
cnidarians could be subject to different physical demands, such as mechanical disturbance from increased turbulence
that could necessitate distinct molecular control. Furthermore, stinging can be influenced by acute factors such as
physiological state and various sensory cues, including chemicals, touch, or light (Pantin, 1942; Giebel et al., 1988;
Thorington and Hessinger, 1988; Watson and Hessinger, 1989; Plachetzki et al., 2012; Ozment et al., 2021; Aguilar-
Camacho et al., 2023). Thus, further inquiry into modulation of stinging across physiological states such as nutritional
condition, altered symbiotic relationships, or developmental stages (Sandberg et al., 1971; Columbus-Shenkar et al.,
2018) could reveal dynamic regulation by synaptic connections, hormones, or modulation of transcriptional or
translational programs (Westfall et al., 1998, 2002; Westfall, 2004; Weir et al., 2020). Importantly, across all these

scenarios, nematocytes remain single-use cells, so it is essential that signaling cascades control discharge in response
to the most salient environmental stimuli.
291
As an early-branching metazoan lineage and sister group to Bilateria (Cartwright et al., 2007), cnidarians are a useful
model for probing origins of the nervous system and behavioral specialization (Steele et al., 2011, Jékely et al., 2015;
Pallasdies et al., 2019). Here we present a comparative approach across related cnidarians with distinct physiology
and ecology to suggest that behavioral complexity emerges from subtle tuning of single proteins, even in non-
neuronal cells. Indeed, cnidarians pose a unique opportunity for the integrative exploration of the evolution of animal
behavior. Even beyond neural computations, the emergence of novel cell types among diverse cnidarian body plans,
sophisticated predator-prey interactions, and symbioses all contribute to biological novelty and niche expansion
(Technau and Steele, 2012). Overall, this work demonstrates how studying evolutionary novelties like stinging
behavior can yield broad insight into signal transduction, cellular decision making, and suggests that the evolution of
behavior should be examined across all tiers of biological organization.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Cells

Starlet sea anemones (*Nematostella vectensis*) were provided by the Marine Biological Laboratory (Woods Hole, Massachusetts). Adult animals of both sexes were used and kept on a 14 hr light/10 hr dark cycle at 26°C in 1/3 natural sea water (NSW). *Exaiptasia spp.* were purchased through Carolina Biological Supply Company (Cat #162865). Adult animals of both sexes were used following being kept on either a 10 hr light/14 hr dark cycle at 26°C in natural sea water (NSW) or a 14 hr light/10 hr dark cycle at 26°C in natural sea water (NSW). *Cassiopea spp.* were purchased through Carolina Biological Supply Company (Cat #162936). Unless stated otherwise, all animals were fed freshly hatched brine shrimp (Artemia) twice a week.

Exaiptasia diaphana were bleached through chemical methods (menthol-induced). Menthol (100mM in 100% ethanol; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to NSW at a final concentration of 0.2mM (Matthews et al., 2016). The anemones were incubated in the menthol/NSW treatment solution for a maximum of 8hr per day and outside of treatments anemones were incubated in NSW. For 2 weeks, anemones were treated 4 days per week and kept in the dark continuously starting from day 1 of treatment, aside from treatment changes. Animals were fed with Artemia approximately twice per week between bleaching treatments, enabling successful bleaching with minimal mortality. Their symbiotic status was assessed via fluorescence microscopy at the end of each week. For starvation experiments, animals were fed to excess for 1-2 weeks before the trial and withheld food entirely during the trial period and given water changes twice a week.

Nematostella nematocytes were isolated from tentacle tissue, which was harvested by anesthetizing animals in high magnesium solution containing (mM): 140 NaCl, 3.3 Glucose, 3.3 KCl, 3.3 HEPES, 40 $MgCl_2$. Cells were isolated from tentacles immediately prior to electrophysiology experiments by treatment with 0.05% Trypsin at 37°C for 15-20 min and mechanical dissociation in divalent free recording solution (mM): 140 NaCl, 3.3 Glucose, 3.3 KCl, 3.3 HEPES, pH 7.6. Dissociated cells were held on ice until use. Basitrichous isorhiza nematocytes were isolated from tentacles and identified by the presence of a capsule with high refractive index containing a barbed thread, oblong shape, and the presence of a cnidocil. *Exaiptasia* nematocytes were isolated from tentacle tissue immediately prior to electrophysiology experiments by incubation in a heat shock dissociation solution with (in mM): 430 NaCl, 10 KCl, 150 sucrose, 5 NaEGTA, 10 HEPES, 10 glucose, pH 7.6 at 45°C for 15-20 min and mechanical dissociation in the same solution. Dissociated cells were held on ice until use. Nematocytes were isolated from tentacles and identified by the presence of a capsule with high refractive index, oblong shape, and the presence of one or multiple apical cilia.

HEK293T cells (ATCC, Cat# CRL-3216, RRID:CVCL_0063, authenticated and validated as negative for mycoplasma by vendor) were grown in DMEM, 10% fetal calf serum, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO_2. For transfection, HEK293 cells were washed with Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Media (Gibco) and transfected using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen/Life Technologies Cat #11668019) according to the manufacturer's protocol. 1 µg each of *M. musculus* (mouse) *cacna1a* and rat *cacna2d1* and one of a wide variety of beta subunits (*Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1* (NveCa$_V$β)*, Rattus norvegicus* (rat) *cacnb2a* (rCa$_V$β2a)*, Exaiptasia diaphana* Ca$_V$βs (EdCa$_V$β1, EdCa$_V$β2), *Cyanea capillata* Ca$_V$β (CcCa$_V$β), *Physalia physali*s Ca$_V$β (PpCa$_V$β), *Clytia hemisphaerica* Ca$_V$β (ChCa$_V$β), *Cassiopea xamachana* Ca$_V$β (CxCa$_V$β2)) were coexpressed with 0.5 µg eGFP. We also assayed an array of different EdCa$_V$β2 mutants with N-termini from different animals by coexpressing 0.5 µg eGFP, 1 µg of *M. musculus* (mouse) *cacna1a* and rat *cacna2d1,* and one of a variety of beta subunits (*Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1* mutant (NveCa$_V$β-N)*, R. norvegicus* (rat) *cacnb2a* mutant (rCa$_V$β-N)*, Exaiptasia diaphana* Ca$_V$β mutants (EdCa$_V$β1-N, EdCa$_V$β2-N), *Cyanea capillata* Ca$_V$β mutant (CcCa$_V$β-N), *Physalia physali*s Ca$_V$β mutant (PpCa$_V$β-N), *Clytia hemisphaerica* Ca$_V$β mutant (ChCa$_V$β-N), *Cassiopea xamachana* Ca$_V$β mutant (CxCa$_V$β2-N)). To enhance channel expression, cells were incubated with transfection mix containing plasmid DNA and Lipofectamine 2000 in Opti-

350   MEM for 6 hr at 37°C. Cell were then re-plated on coverslips, incubated for 1-2 hr at 37 °C, and then incubated at
351   30°C for 2-6 days before experiments. Rat *cacna2d1 (*RRID: Addgene_26575) and *cacna1a* were gifts from D.
352   Lipscombe (RRID: Addgene_26578) and *cacnb2a* was a gift from A. Dolphin (RRID: Addgene_107424).
353
Molecular biology
RNA was prepared from tentacles, body, and acontia tissues of WT and bleached adult *Exaiptasia* using published
methods (Stefanik et al., 2013). Each tissue was homogenized (Millipore Sigma Cat #Z359971) and RNA was
extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Cat #15596026), then after skipping the salt precipitation steps, RNA
was purified and concentrated with the RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research). For ddPCR experiments,
droplet generation (QX200™ Droplet Generator BioRad Cat #1864002) and transfer of droplets to ddPCR™ 96-Well
Plates (Bio-Rad Cat #12001925) were performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Instruction Manual,
QX200™ Droplet Generator – Bio-Rad). Custom primers and probes and One-Step RT-ddPCR Advanced Kit for
Probes (Bio-Rad Cat #1864021) reaction reagents and Droplet Generation Oil for Probes (Bio-Rad Cat #1863005)
were sourced from Bio-Rad (see Key Resources Table for primer and probe sequences). The ddPCR plate was sealed
with a Pierceable Foil Heat Seal (Bio-Rad Cat #1814040) and the PX1™ PCR Plate Sealer (Bio-Rad Cat #1814000).
Plates were transferred to a Bio-Rad Thermalcycler C1000 (Bio-Rad Cat #1851197). The cycling protocol was the
following: 45°C reverse transcription step for 60 minutes, 95°C enzyme activation step for 10 minutes followed by
40 cycles of a two-step cycling protocol (denaturation step of 95°C for 30 seconds and annealing/extension step of
58°C for 1 minute), 98°C enzyme deactivation step for 10 minutes, and holding at 12°C for an indefinite period before
transfer to the QX200 Droplet Generator. The plates were read with the Bio-Rad QX200 Droplet Generator & Reader
(Cat #1864003) and the RNA concentration per sample was processed using QuantaSoft (Bio-Rad Cat #1864011).
Data were exported to Microsoft Excel and Prism (Graphpad) for further statistical analysis.
Most plasmids, including *Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1* (NveCa$_V$β)*, Exaiptasia diaphana* Ca$_V$βs (EdCa$_V$β1,
EdCa$_V$β2), *Cyanea capillata* Ca$_V$β (CcCa$_V$β), *Physalia physalis* Ca$_V$β (PpCa$_V$β), *Clytia hemisphaerica* Ca$_V$β
(ChCa$_V$β), *Cassiopea xamachana* Ca$_V$β (CxCa$_V$β2)), *Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1* mutant (NveCa$_V$β-N)*, R.
norvegicus* (rat) *cacnb2a* mutant (rCa$_V$β-N)*, Exaiptasia diaphana* Ca$_V$β mutants (EdCa$_V$β1-N, EdCa$_V$β2-N), *Cyanea
capillata* Ca$_V$β mutant (CcCa$_V$β-N), *Physalia physalis* Ca$_V$β mutant (PpCa$_V$β-N), *Clytia hemisphaerica* Ca$_V$β mutant
(ChCa$_V$β-N), *Cassiopea xamachana* Ca$_V$β mutant (CxCa$_V$β2-N), were synthesized by Genscript (Piscataway, NJ).
Sequence alignments were carried out using Clustal Omega. Wild type and Chimeric Ca$_V$β sequences are listed in
Figure 5-- supplement table 1.
380
Cnidarian beta sequences were obtained from RNA sequencing or NCBI: *Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1*
(NveCa$_V$β) sequence (Weir et al., 2020), *Exaiptasia diaphana* Ca$_V$βs from RNA sequencing and confirmation from
NCBI accession number KXJ28099.1 (EdCa$_V$β1) and NCBI accession number XP_020893045.1 (EdCa$_V$β2), *Cyanea
capillata* Ca$_V$β (CcCa$_V$β) from NCBI accession number AAB87751.1 (Jeziorski et al., 1998), *Physalia physalis* Ca$_V$β
(PpCa$_V$β) from NCBI accession number ABD59026 (Bouchard et al., 2006), *Clytia hemisphaerica* Ca$_V$β (ChCa$_V$β)
from the MARIMBA database (Leclère et al., 2019), *Cassiopea xamachana* Ca$_V$β (CxCa$_V$β2) from RNA sequencing
as TRINITY_DN5778_c3_g1_i5.p1.
388
Transcriptomics
Exaiptasia were anesthetized in 15% MgCl$_2$ NSW solution in a dish surrounded by an ice bath for 15 minutes.
Tentacle, body, and acontia tissue were dissected and flash frozen in the presence of liquid nitrogen. *Cassiopea
xamachana* were anesthetized in 10% MgCl$_2$ NSW solution in a dish surrounded by an ice bath for 15-20 minutes.
Oral arms, bell, and cassiosome tissues were dissected and flash frozen in the presence of liquid nitrogen. All tissues
were stored at -80°C until RNA extraction, library preparation, and RNA sequencing was performed by Genewiz
(Azenta) using a HiSeq (2x150 bp) platform. Reads were examined for base quality distribution, kmer frequencies
and adapter contamination by position in the read using fastqc (The Babraham Institute Bioinformatics Group), then
where relevant, Rcorrector was used to remove erroneous k-mers (Song and Florea, 2015) and the

FilterUncorrectablePEfastq python script from the Harvard Informatics group was used to discard read pairs.
TrimGalore (The Babraham Institute) was then used to remove adapter contamination in reads and where relevant,
Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) was used to remove reads originating from rRNA and Trinity was used to
assemble reference transcriptomes *de novo* (Grabherr et al., 2011). Transdecoder was used to identify open reading
frames (Haas, BJ) and Diamond used to annotate the transcriptome (Buchfink et al., 2015). Reads were pseudo-
aligned and transcript abundance (TPM) was quantified using Kallisto (Bray et al., 2016) and our novel transcriptome
assemblies as a reference and visualization and alignments were performed with Geneious Prime software and/or
Clustal Omega (Madeira et al., 2022).

Electrophysiology
Recordings were carried out at room temperature using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Axon Instruments) and
digitized using a Digidata 1550B (Axon Instruments) interface and pClamp software (Axon Instruments). Whole-cell
recording data were filtered at 1kHz and sampled at 10kHz. Ca_V activation data were leak-subtracted online using a
p/4 protocol, and all membrane potentials were corrected for liquid junction potentials.

For whole-cell nematocyte recordings, borosilicate glass pipettes were polished to 8-10MΩ for *Nematostella* and 4-
6MΩ for *Exaiptasia*, respectively. The standard *Nematostella* medium was used as the extracellular solution and
contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 3.3 glucose, 3.3 KCl, 3.3 HEPES, 2 $CaCl_2$, 0.5 $MgCl_2$, pH 7.6, 260-280mOsm. The
standard *Exaiptasia* medium was used as extracellular solution and contained (in mM): 430 NaCl, 10 KCl, 10 $CaCl_2$,
50 $MgCl_2$, 10 HEPES, pH 7.6, 800-900mOsm. The intracellular solution for both *Nematostella* and *Exaiptasia*
contained (in mM): isolating inward currents (in mM): 500 cesium methanesulfonate, 4 $MgCl_2$, 10 CsEGTA, 10
HEPES, 30 sucrose, pH 7.6, 260-280mOsm for *Nematostella* and 800-900mOsm for *Exaiptasia*. For *Nematostella*
nematocyte recordings, voltage-dependent inactivation was measured during a 200ms activating pulse of -20mV
following a series of 1s pre-pulses ranging from -110mV to 30mV, holding at -110mV. Voltage-gated currents were
measured through a series of 200ms voltage pulses in 10mV increments from -110mV to 70mV, holding at -110mV.
For *Exaiptasia* nematocyte recordings, voltage-dependent inactivation was measured during a 200ms activating pulse
of 0mV following a series of 1s pre-pulses ranging from -110mV to 30mV, holding at -110mV. For both *Nematostella*
and *Exaiptasia,* voltage-gated currents were measured through a series of 200ms steps 200ms voltage pulses in 10mV
increments from -110mV to 70mV, holding at -110mV. For Cd^{2+} experiments, 500μM Cd^{2+} (dissolved in water) was
applied locally and voltage-dependent activation was assessed through a single 200ms step to 0mV from a holding
potential of -110mV.

For whole-cell recordings in HEK293 cells, pipettes were 3-6MΩ. The standard extracellular solution contained (in
mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgCl_2$, 10 Glucose, pH 7.4, 300-310mOsm. The intracellular solution
contained (in mM): 5 NaCl, 140 cesium methanesulfonate, 1 $MgCl_2$, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 10 sucrose, pH 7.2, 300-
310mOsm. For Ca^{2+} currents in heterologously expressed channels, voltage-dependent inactivation was measured in
one of two ways: (1) during an activating pulse of 0mV following a series of 1s pre-pulses ranging from -110mV to
50mV and holding potential of -80mV; or (2) during an activating pulse of 0mV following a series of 1s pre-pulses
ranging from -110mV to 80mV and holding potential of -90mV. Voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents were measured in
response to 200ms voltage pulses in 10mV increments from -130mV to 80mV with -110mV holding potential.
Voltage-dependent inactivation was quantified as I/I_{max}, with I_{max} occurring at the voltage pulse following a -110mV
prepulse. In some instances, inactivation curves could not be fitted with a Boltzmann equation and were instead fitted
with an exponential. G-V relationships were derived from I-V curves by calculating G: $G=I_{CaV}/(V_m-E_{rev})$ and fit with
a Boltzmann equation. Data was processed and analyzed in Clampfit (pClamp 11 Software Suite, Molecular Devices)
and Microsoft Excel and Prism (GraphPad).

In situ hybridization (BaseScope)

Adult *Exaiptasia* were paralyzed in anesthetic solution (15% MgCl₂), rinsed in PBS, then embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura Cat #4583) in cryomolds (Sakura Tissue-Tek® Cryomold®, Intermediate, Cat #4566) and flash frozen on dry ice and stored at -80°C. Cryostat sections (18-20μm) were adhered to Fisherbrand™ Superfrost™ Plus Microscope Slides (Fisher Scientific Cat #12-550-15) and flash frozen on dry ice and stored at - 80°C until used for BaseScope. The BaseScope Duplex Detection Reagent Kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics Cat #323800) and the manufacturer's manual (BaseScope Duplex Detection Reagent User Manual, ACDBio) was followed to hybridize custom probes or positive control (Cat #700101) or negative control probes (Cat #700141) to targets in tissue cryosections and amplify signals. Samples were imaged on an Olympus BX41 Phase Contrast & Darkfield Microscope (Olympus Cat #BX41-PH-B) and images were acquired using the Olympus CellSens software and Olympus DP25 5MP Color Firewire Camera.

Behavior

Discharge of nematocysts was assessed based on well-established assays (Gitter et al., 1994; Watson and Hessinger, 1994; Weir et al., 2020). For assaying discharge, 5 mm round coverslips were coated with a solution of 25% gelatin (w/v) dissolved in NSW (for *Exaiptasia*) or 1/3 NSW (for *Nematostella*) and allowed to cure 3-4 hr prior to use. Coverslips were presented to the animal's tentacles for 5 seconds and then immediately imaged at 20X magnification using a transmitted light source. To assay behavioral responses to prey-derived chemicals, freshly hatched brine shrimp were flash frozen and ground to a powder with a mortar and pestle (Fisherbrand), then filtered through a 0.22μm syringe filter (VWR Cat #28145-501) and osmolarity adjusted for the specific anemone species. Coverslips were submerged in prey extract for 10 seconds then immediately presented to the animal. Nematocytes visualized on coverslips were only those that embedded in the gelatin after discharge. For experiments using pharmacological agents such as CdCl₂, coverslips were submerged in a solution of 1M (*Exaiptasia*) or 10mM (*Nematostella*) Cd²⁺ in milli-Q water for 10 seconds then immediately presented to the animal. After performing the experiments, the animals were given several water changes to remove Cd²⁺. Experiments carried out in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺ were nominally Ca²⁺ free and did not include use of extracellular chelators. The region of the highest density of discharged nematocytes on the coverslip was imaged at 20X. Images were acquired with MetaMorph Microscopy Automation and Image Analysis Software (Molecular Devices) and the number of discharged nematocysts was counted by eye. Images were processed in Fiji (ImageJ) (Schindelin et al., 2012). *Exaiptasia* and *Nematostella* tentacles were examined by cutting a small portion of exposed tentacles and sandwiched between glass coverslips and then imaged at 20X with the MetaMorph software.

Phylogenetic and Genomic analyses

To infer exon boundaries and isoforms, we aligned EdCaᵥβ1 (NCBI accession number LJWW01000015.1) and EdCaᵥβ2 (NCBI accession number XM_021037386.2) to the *Exaiptasia diaphana* reference genome (BioProject PRJNA261862) (Baumgarten et al., 2015) using GMAP version 2015-07-23 (Wu and Watanabe, 2005). For phylogenetic analyses, we aligned nucleotide sequences with MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). We used ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017) to assess the best model of substitution for phylogenetic inference. We estimated a maximum likelihood gene tree in IQ-TREE v2.0 (Minh et al., 2020). Support for clades was calculated using ultrafast bootstrap approximation UFBoot2 (Hoang et al., 2018). Percentage of identity for amino acids was calculated in overlapping windows.

Mathematical model: Optimal control theory for the stinging response

Predatory stinging. To model *Nematostella,* we assume the agent stings for predation. We thus introduce the state of starvation, s, that ranges from 0 to 1; at $s = 0$ the agent is least starved and at $s = 1$ the agent is most starved (**Figure 2— figure supplement 1A top**). At each time step, the agent decides to perform an action (sting), a, representing the intensity of the attack; a ranges from 0 to 1, and is experimentally compared to the fraction of nematocytes fired in the behavioral assay. Each action has a cost that is proportional to the fraction of nematocysts that are fired, $c(a) =$

$c_0 a$ where c_0 is the cost of discharging all nematocysts at once, or cost of full discharge, and we first consider c_0
constant. Each stinging event has a probability $p(a)$ of achieving successful predation, where $p(a)$ increases with a
(more intense attacks are more costly and more likely to succeed). A successful attack leads to the transition to the
next state s' where the agent is more satiated $s \to s' = s - 1$ whereas a failed attack leads to higher starvation state
$s \to s' = s + 1$. The most starved state is absorbing, which is equivalent to a point of no return. A reward $r(s')$ is
assigned to the state of starvation reached upon attack, indicating its desirability ($r(s')$ is a decreasing function of s'),
and the most starved state entails a starvation penalty $r(1) < 0$. Without loss of generality, all costs and rewards are
normalized to the penalty of starvation, hence penalty of starvation is $r(1) = -1$. Our goal is to choose actions that
maximize the expected sum of all future net rewards (reward - cost) for each state, which is called the value function.
As customary in infinite horizon problems, we ensure convergence of the value function by introducing an effective
horizon, i.e. by discounting exponentially rewards that are further in the future with a discount rate $\gamma < 1$.
The optimal value of a state, $V^*(s)$ and the corresponding optimal action $a^*(s)$ are obtained by solving the Bellman
Optimality equation (Bellman, 2003), with the boundary condition $V^*(1) = 0$.

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \left(p(a)\left(r(s - 1) - c(a) + \gamma V^*(s - 1)\right) + (1 - p(a))(r(s + 1) - c(a) + \gamma V^*(s + 1)) \right) \quad (1)$$

508
$$a^*(s) = \operatorname*{argmax}_a \left(p(a)\left(r(s - 1) - c(a) + \gamma V^*(s - 1)\right) + (1 - p(a))(r(s + 1) - c(a) + \gamma V^*(s + 1)) \right) (2)$$

Predatory stinging increases with starvation. We solve Equations (1) and (2) numerically with the value iteration
algorithm (Sutton and Barto, 2018) and analytically under the assumption that $a^*(s)$ varies slowly with s (see
Asymptotics for predatory stinging). The asymptotic solution reproduces well the numerical results (compare lines
and full circles in **Figure 2B right**, where we used $c_0 = 1$ and $p = p_M(2 - a^2)$ and $p_M = 0.8$ and showcased two
different functional forms for *r(s)*, $r(s) = 10 \operatorname{atan}(1 - s)$; $r(s) = 5 \cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{2}\right)$). We also explored how well the
asymptotic result can capture the trend of the numerical result by varying the parameters in these three different forms
for the reward (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2**). The asymptotic solution shows that the stinging response increases
with starvation under broad conditions and not only for specific forms of rewards r, transitions p and costs c, (i.e. as
long as $r(s)$ and $p(a)$ are concave functions and $c(a)$ is convex, see *Asymptotics for predatory stinging*). To
exemplify the importance of acting optimally to save resources, we considered two agents, one acting optimally and
one acting randomly i.e. shooting with a number of nematocysts uniformly distributed between a_{min} and a_{max}. Both
agents start at the same starvation state ($s = 0.9$ in **Figure 2D**) and use on average the same number of nematocysts,
but the random agent reaches starvation typically in tens of steps, whereas the optimal agent converges to a steady
state (around $s = 0.3$ in the figure) and hardly ever reaches severe starvation. Predatory stinging increases with
starvation even when costs increase moderately with starvation; it will eventually decrease with starvation when cost
increase is exceedingly steep (see *Asymptotics for predatory stinging – changing cost*).

Asymptotics for predatory stinging. Short-hand notation: $a^* \equiv a^*(s)$. When $a^* \in (0, 1)$ we obtain it by zeroing the
derivative with respect to a in Equation (1):

$$-c'(a^*) + p'(a^*)[r(s - 1) + \gamma V^*(s - 1)] - p'(a^*)[r(s + 1) + \gamma V^*(s + 1)] = 0$$

$$[r(s - 1) + \gamma V^*(s - 1)] = r(s + 1) + \gamma V^*(s + 1) + \frac{c'(a^*)}{p'(a^*)} \qquad (3)$$

Plugging Equation (3) into the Bellman Equation (1) we obtain:

$$V^*(s) = -c(a^*) + r(s + 1) + \gamma V^*(s + 1) + p(a^*)\frac{c'(a^*)}{p'(a^*)} \qquad (4)$$

From Equation (3) there is also

$$V^*(s-1) = V^*(s+1) + \frac{1}{\gamma}[r(s+1) - r(s-1)] + \frac{c\prime(a^*)}{p\prime(a^*)} \tag{5}$$

Equations (4) and (5) are two equations in the four unknowns $V^*(s)$, $V^*(s+1)$, $V^*(s-1)$ and $a^*(s)$. These
equations can be solved iteratively by coupling all states and using the boundary conditions on the absorbing state.
However, the exact iterative solution is not particularly instructive. Instead, we will make a simplifying assumption
that leads to a good approximation that can be used to gather a qualitative understanding of the prediction. Assume
that $a^*(s)$ varies slowly with s so that $a^* \equiv a^*(s) \approx a^*(s+1) \approx a^*(s-1)$ (better approximations may be
achieved by assuming a first order expansion). Then we obtain a third equation by writing Equation (4) for the state
$\bar{s} = s - 1$

$$V^*(s-1) = -c(a^*) + r(s) + \gamma V^*(s) + p(a^*)\frac{c\prime(a^*)}{p\prime(a^*)} \tag{6}$$

544 We can then repeat the trick to obtain a fourth equation. To this end, we first eliminate $V^*(s-1)$ by combining
545 Equations (5) and (6):

$$V^*(s+1) = K(a^*)\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma^2} - \frac{r(s+1)}{\gamma} + \frac{r(s-1)}{\gamma(1-\gamma^2)} + \frac{r(s)}{1-\gamma^2} \tag{7}$$

$$K(a^*) = -c(a^*)\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} + \frac{c\prime(a^*)}{p\prime(a^*)}\left(p(a^*)\frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) \tag{8}$$

548 Repeating the trick, we can write Equation (7) for $\bar{s} = s - 1$ and using that $a^*(s-1) = a^*(s)$ we obtain a fourth
549 equation to close the system:

$$V^*(s) = K(a^*)\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma^2} - \frac{r(s)}{\gamma} + \frac{r(s-2)}{\gamma(1-\gamma^2)} + \frac{r(s-1)}{1-\gamma^2} \tag{9}$$

551 The system is now closed with the 4 Equations (4), (5), (6), (9) in the 4 unknowns $V^*(s)$, $V^*(s+1)$, $V^*(s-1)$ and
552 $a^*(s)$. We solve for a^* by eliminating $V^*(s)$ from Equations (4) and (9) and plugging the expression for $V^*(s+1)$
553 from Equation (7). After some (tedious) algebra, we obtain that a^* satisfies the simple relation:

$$\frac{p\prime(a^*)}{c\prime(a^*)} = \frac{1-\gamma}{-\Delta r(s)} \tag{10}$$

Both the asymptotic solution from Equation (10) and the numerical solution from value iteration are used in the main
text (**Figure 2B right**, symbols and lines respectively). We showcase the robust match between the asymptotic and
numerical solutions to Equation (1) by using a variety of functional forms of the reward function and varying the
parameters (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2**). The asymptotics break down if abrupt changes in the rewards and
transition rates are assumed, which leads to exceeding slopes in the optimal policy (data not shown). Equation (12)
has a non-trivial solution $0 < a^* < 1$ when $c' > 0$, $r(s)$ is a decreasing function, and $p(a)$ is an increasing function.
If we additionally assume that r is concave, and p'/c' is a decreasing function of a (for example, p is strictly concave
and c is convex), then Equation (12) prescribes that a^* increases with s, as seen graphically in **Figure 2—figure
supplement 1A bottom**. Hence independently of the specific functional forms of c, p, and r, as long as these broad
assumptions are valid, optimal stinging for predation entails more intense attacks as starvation increases. For different
assumptions of reward function $r(s)$, cost function $c(a)$, and probability $p(a)$, we can easily substitute the specific
expressions into Equation (10) and solve for a^* for every s.

Asymptotics for predatory stinging – changing cost. We applied a cost to predatory stinging that increases with
starvation. We found numerically that predatory stinging still increases for moderate increase of $c_0(s)$ with s. The

result is exemplified in **Figure 2C** using the same functional form for $c_0(s)$ of the defensive stinging (**Figure 2B**,
open circles). For a more intense increase of $c_0(s)$ with s predatory stinging eventually decreases with starvation (see
Figure 2—figure supplement 4, for a comparison with 4 different cost functions from numerical solutions of
Equations (1) and (2)).
These results can be easily understood from our asymptotic solution (10), which appears to still hold when $c = c_0(s)a$
(data not shown -- a formal proof of the asymptotic solution for this case and further consequences for Markov
Decision Processes are beyond the scope of the current paper). Indeed, if c increases slightly with s, the light blue
curve in **Figure 2—figure supplement 3** slightly shifts downward with s. If the shift is sufficiently small, its
intersection with the green curves still occurs for increasing values of a (dashed line in **Figure 2—figure supplement
3**). However, a dramatic increase of c with s will shift the light-blue curve downward considerably, and the
intersection will eventually move backward (dotted line in **Figure 2—figure supplement 3**). In plain words, when
the cost of nematocyst discharge for starved animals is dramatically larger than for well-fed animals, the benefits of
predation are eventually outweighed by its cost and the animals will sting less with starvation (exemplified in **Figure
2—figure supplement 4**, green and yellow curves). Note that the most extreme increase of cost with starvation is
unrealistic as it entails that the cost of stinging is nearly irrelevant when well fed and outweighs the benefits of feeding
when starving (see green and yellow cost functions in **Figure 2—figure supplement 4A**). This scenario may become
more relevant upon severe starvation, which we do not explore experimentally.

Defensive stinging. To model *Exaiptasia*, we assume the agent stings for defense, thus the associated Markov process
models transitions between the states of safety, which we indicate with L, and danger, which we indicate with D
(**Figure 2S1B top**). The state of starvation is not affected by stinging and instead is dictated by a separate process
that relies on symbionts and which we do not model. Similar to the previous model, the agent chooses an action, a,
representing the intensity of the attack. Each attack has a likelihood to succeed $p(a)$ and an associated cost $c(a) =$
$c_0 a$ where c_0 is the cost of full discharge of all nematocysts at once. A successful attack allows the animal to remain
in state L and receive a unit reward; a failed attack leads to state D and penalty -1. F is an absorbing state hence
$V^*(F) = 0$. The optimal value and action in state L follow:

$$V^*(L) = \max_a (p(a)(-c_0 a + \gamma V^*(L) + 1) + (1 - p(a))(-1 - c_0 a)) \tag{11}$$
$$a^*(L) = \operatorname*{argmax}_a (p(a)(-c_0 a + \gamma V^*(L) + 1) + (1 - p(a))(-1 - c_0 a))$$

Analytic solution for defensive stinging. Zeroing the derivative of the argument on the r.h.s. of equation (11) leads to
$$-c_0 + p'(a^*)(\gamma V^*(L) + 2) = 0$$
$$V^*(L) = -c_0 a^* + p(a^*)(\gamma V^*(L) + 1) + (1 - p(a^*))$$
Here, $p(a)$ is the probability of success of action a and it ranges from $p(0) = 0$ to $p(1) = p_M < 1$. Combining these
equations we obtain an implicit algebraic equation for a^*:
$$(\gamma p(a^*) - 1)\left(\frac{c'(a^*)}{p'(a^*)} - 1\right) = \gamma c(a^*) + \gamma(1 - p(a^*)) - 1 \tag{12}$$
Assuming the specific form $p = p_M a(2 - a)$ in Equation (12) leads to the constant optimal action: $a^* = K -$
$\sqrt{K^2 - A}$, where $K = \frac{2-\gamma}{c_0 \gamma}$ and $A = -\frac{1}{\gamma p_M} + 2K$. If c_0 is constant, there is a non-trivial optimal action as long as $c_0 <$
$2p_M(2 - \gamma)$ and clearly the optimal action does not depend on starvation (constant solution in **Figure 2E right**, with
$c_0 = 1; \gamma = 0.99$ and $p_M = 0.8$).

Defensive stinging – increasing cost. Stinging predators does not improve nutritional state, thus transitions among
different starvation states are not modelled for defensive stinging, but instead rely heavily on symbionts. However,
to capture subtle effects of starvation on defensive stinging, we note that the cost of discharging nematocysts may
still depend parametrically on whatever state of starvation the agent happens to be in. In this case, $c_0 = c_0(s)$ and
under the assumption that the cost increases with starvation, we find that optimal defensive stinging always decreases
with starvation, for any functional form of p. Indeed, equation (12) with $c_s(a) = c(s, a)$ simply reads:

$\quad (\gamma p(a^*) - 1)\left(\frac{\partial_a c_s(a^*)}{p'(a^*)} - 1\right) = \gamma c_s(a^*) + \gamma(1 - p(a^*)) - 1$ $\hspace{3cm}$ (13)

where $\partial_a c$ is now a partial derivative with respect to a. For $c = c_0(s)a$ and $p = p_M a(2 - a)$, the solution is $a^*(s) =$
$K(s) - \sqrt{K(s)^2 - C(s)}$ where $K = \varepsilon/c_0(s)$; $\varepsilon = (2 - \gamma)/\gamma$, $C(s) = -1/(p_M\gamma) + 2\varepsilon/c_0(s)$ and it exists for
$c_0(s) < 2p_M(2 - \gamma)$. Outside these boundaries, the solution is either $a^* = 0$ or $a^* = 1$ and it is obtained by
comparing $V(L)$ for these two choices of action and choosing the one that maximizes V. This solution is decreasing
as can be easily demonstrated by deriving with respect to s. We used this solution for $a^*(s)$ to match the experimental
data and obtained a fit for the cost function shown in **Figure 2A** (empty circles).

While we discussed a specific case for the choices of p and a above, optimal defensive stinging decreases with s in
much more general conditions. Indeed, a^* decreases or remains constant with s using the same broad classes of
functions discussed for predatory stinging ($p(a)$ is concave and c is convex in a; either p or c can be linear in a, but
not both) and assuming additionally that $dc_0/ds \geq 0$ i.e. that the cost does not decrease with starvation. Rearranging
Equation (13) we note that a^* is defined by the point where:

$\quad \frac{1 - \gamma p(a^*)}{p'(a^*)} \partial_a c_s(a^*) + \gamma c_s(a^*) = 2 - \gamma$ $\hspace{3cm}$ (14)

The l.h.s. of Equation (14) is an increasing function of a as seen by deriving with respect to a and using the
assumptions: $p < 1$; $p'' \leq 0$; $c' \geq 0$ and $c'' \geq 0$. Because $c_s(a)$ increases with s, the intersection of the l.h.s. with
the constant value $2 - \gamma$ occurs at lower and lower values of a as s increases (see graphical representation **Figure
2S1B bottom).** Thus under the same broad assumptions for the functional forms of c and p, stinging for predation
increases with starvation, whereas stinging for defense remains constant or decreases with starvation.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed with Clampfit (Axon Instruments), Prism (GraphPad), or QuantaSoft (BioRad Laboratories) and
are represented as mean $\pm$ sem. *n* represents independent experiments for the number of cells/patches or behavioral
trials. Data were considered significant if p < 0.05 using paired or unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests or one- or
two-way ANOVAs. All significance tests were justified considering the experimental design and we assumed normal
distribution and variance, as is common for similar experiments. Sample sizes were chosen based on the number of
independent experiments required for statistical significance and technical feasibility.

641
Data availability
Deep sequencing data are available via the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) repository under the BioProject accession
code PRJNA945904. All plasmids are available upon request. Further requests for resources and reagents should be
directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author, NWB (nbellono@harvard.edu). The Matlab code to
obtain the optimal predicted stinging according to our Markov Decision Process is available from
https://zenodo.org/record/8177567.

Acknowledgments: We thank B. Walsh and P. Kilian for assistance with animal husbandry, A. Whipple and D.
Loftus with guidance for ddPCR experiments, A. Grearson for illustrations and photographs, K. Koenig and M.
Martindale, and the Marine Biological Laboratory for providing animals. We also thank the Harvard Center for
Biological Imaging (RRID:SCR_018673), Histology Core at the Harvard Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative
Biology, and The Bauer Core Facility at Harvard University for infrastructure and experimental support. This research
was supported by grants to NWB from the New York Stem Cell Foundation, Searle Scholars Program, and the NIH
(R35GM142697), fellowships to LH from NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program and Physics of Living
Systems (PoLS) Graduate Fellowship and the Simmons Award at the Harvard Center for Biological Imaging, grants
to AS from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

programme (grant agreement No 101002724 RIDING), the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under award
number FA8655-20-1-7028, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number R01DC018789.
660
661 **Author Contributions:** LH, CAA, SPK, KW, and NWB contributed to physiological studies. LH contributed to
662 behavioral, histological, and molecular studies. LH and WV contributed to phylogenetic, transcriptomic, and genomic
663 studies. YQ and AS contributed to mathematical modelling studies. All authors were involved with writing or
664 reviewing the manuscript.
665
666 **Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.
667

References

Aguilar-Camacho JM, Foreman K, Jaimes-Becerra A, Aharoni R, Gründer S, Moran Y (2023) Functional analysis in a model sea anemone reveals phylogenetic complexity and a role in cnidocyte discharge of DEG/ENaC ion channels. Commun Biol 6:17.

Babonis LS, Enjolras C, Ryan JF, Martindale MQ (2022) A novel regulatory gene promotes novel cell fate by suppressing ancestral fate in the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 119:e2113701119.

Babonis LS, Martindale MQ (2014) Old Cell, New Trick? Cnidocytes as a Model for the Evolution of Novelty. Integr Comp Biol 54:714–722.

Baumgarten S, Simakov O, Esherick LY, Liew YJ, Lehnert EM, Michell CT, Li Y, Hambleton EA, Guse A, Oates ME, Gough J, Weis VM, Aranda M, Pringle JR, Voolstra CR (2015) The genome of *Aiptasia*, a sea anemone model for coral symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112:11893–11898.

Beckmann A, Özbek S (2012) The Nematocyst: a molecular map of the Cnidarian stinging organelle. Int J Dev Biol 56:577–582.

Bedgood SA, Bracken MES, Ryan WH, Levell ST, Wulff J (2020) Nutritional drivers of adult locomotion and asexual reproduction in a symbiont-hosting sea anemone Exaiptasia diaphana. Mar Biol 167:39.

Bellman R (2003) Dynamic Programming. Dover.

Botton-Amiot G, Martinez P, Sprecher SG (2023) Associative learning in the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 120:e2220685120.

Bouchard C, Price RB, Moneypenny CG, Thompson LF, Zillhardt M, Stalheim L, Anderson PAV (2006) Cloning and functional expression of voltage-gated ion channel subunits from cnidocytes of the Portuguese Man O'War Physalia physalis. J Exp Biol 209:2979–2989.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L (2016) Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–527.

Bucher M, Wolfowicz I, Voss PA, Hambleton EA, Guse A (2016) Development and Symbiosis Establishment in the Cnidarian Endosymbiosis Model Aiptasia sp. Sci Rep 6:19867.

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH (2015) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12:59–60.

Buraei Z, Yang J (2010) The β Subunit of Voltage-Gated Ca2+ Channels. Physiol Rev 90:1461–1506.

Cartwright P, Halgedahl SL, Hendricks JR, Jarrard RD, Marques AC, Collins AG, Lieberman BS (2007) Exceptionally Preserved Jellyfishes from the Middle Cambrian Humphries S, ed. PLoS ONE 2:e1121.

Columbus-Shenkar YY, Sachkova MY, Macrander J, Fridrich A, Modepalli V, Reitzel AM, Sunagar K, Moran Y (2018) Dynamics of venom composition across a complex life cycle. eLife 7:e35014.

Damian-Serrano A, Hetherington ED, Choy CA, Haddock SHD, Lapides A, Dunn CW (2022) Characterizing the secret diets of siphonophores (Cnidaria: Hydrozoa) using DNA metabarcoding Dam HG, ed. PLOS ONE 17:e0267761.

Darling JA, Reitzel AR, Burton PM, Mazza ME, Ryan JF, Sullivan JC, Finnerty JR (2005) Rising starlet: the starlet sea anemone, Nematostella vectensis. BioEssays 27:211–221.

Ebert AM, McAnelly CA, Srinivasan A, Mueller RL, Garrity DB, Garrity DM (2008) The calcium channel β2 (CACNB2) subunit repertoire in teleosts. BMC Mol Biol 9:38.

Foell JD, Balijepalli RC, Delisle BP, Yunker AMR, Robia SL, Walker JW, McEnery MW, January CT, Kamp TJ (2004) Molecular heterogeneity of calcium channel β-subunits in canine and human heart: evidence for differential subcellular localization. Physiol Genomics 17:183–200.

Fraune S, Forêt S, Reitzel AM (2016) Using Nematostella vectensis to Study the Interactions between Genome, Epigenome, and Bacteria in a Changing Environment. Front Mar Sci 3.

Giebel GEM, Thorington GU, Lim RY, Hessinger DA (1988) Control of Cnida Discharge: II. Microbasic p-Mastigophore Nematocysts are Regulated by Two Classes of Chemoreceptors. Biol Bull 175:132–136.

Gitter AH, Oliver D, Thurm U (1994) Calcium- and voltage-dependence of nematocyst discharge in Hydra vulgaris. J Comp Physiol A 175.

Grabherr MG et al. (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol 29:644–652.

Grajales A, Rodríguez E (2014) Morphological revision of the genus Aiptasia and the family Aiptasiidae (Cnidaria, Actiniaria, Metridioidea). Zootaxa 3826:55.

Helton TD, Horne WA (2002) Alternative Splicing of the β_4 Subunit Has α_1 Subunit Subtype-Specific Effects on Ca^{2+} Channel Gating. J Neurosci 22:1573–1582.

Helton TD, Kojetin DJ, Cavanagh J, Horne WA (2002) Alternative Splicing of a b4 Subunit Proline-Rich Motif Regulates Voltage-Dependent Ca2+ Channels Gating and Toxin Block of Cav2. J Neurosci 22:9331–9339.

Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2018) UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol Biol Evol 35:518–522.

Holstein T, Tardent P (1984) An Ultrahigh-Speed Analysis of Exocytosis: Nematocyst Discharge. Science 223:830–833.

Jékely G, Keijzer F, Godfrey-Smith P (2015) An option space for early neural evolution. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci
370:20150181.
Jeziorski MC, Greenberg RM, Clark KS, Anderson PAV (1998) Cloning and Functional Expression of a Voltage-gated
Calcium Channel α1 Subunit from Jellyfish. J Biol Chem 273:22792–22799.
Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate
phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods 14:587–589.
Kass-Simon G, Scappaticci AA (2002) The behavioral and developmental physiology of nematocysts. 80:23.
Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and
Usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780.
Lam J, Cheng Y-W, Chen W-NU, Li H-H, Chen C-S, Peng S-E (2017) A detailed observation of the ejection and retraction of
defense tissue acontia in sea anemone (*Exaiptasia pallida*). PeerJ 5:e2996.
Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359.
Leclère L et al. (2019) The genome of the jellyfish Clytia hemisphaerica and the evolution of the cnidarian life-cycle. Nat Ecol
Evol 3:801–810.
Lehnert EM, Burriesci MS, Pringle JR (2012) Developing the anemone Aiptasia as a tractable model for cnidarian-
dinoflagellate symbiosis: the transcriptome of aposymbiotic A. pallida. BMC Genomics 13:271.
Lubbock R, Amos WB (1981) Removal of bound calcium from nematocyst contents causes discharge. Nature 290:500–501.
Lubbock R, Gupta BL, Hall TA (1981) Novel role of calcium in exocytosis: mechanism of nematocyst discharge as shown by
x-ray microanalysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 78:3624–3628.
Madeira F, Pearce M, Tivey ARN, Basutkar P, Lee J, Edbali O, Madhusoodanan N, Kolesnikov A, Lopez R (2022) Search and
sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022. Nucleic Acids Res 50:W276–W279.
Matthews JL, Sproles AE, Oakley CA, Grossman AR, Weis VM, Davy SK (2016) Menthol-induced bleaching rapidly and
effectively provides experimental aposymbiotic sea anemones (*Aiptasia* sp.) for symbiosis investigations. J Exp Biol
219:306–310.
Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R (2020) IQ-TREE 2: New
Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era Teeling E, ed. Mol Biol Evol 37:1530–
1534.
Muscatine L, R. McCloskey L, E. Marian R (1981) Estimating the daily contribution of carbon from zooxanthellae to coral
animal respiration1: Muscatine et al. Limnol Oceanogr 26:601–611.
Nüchter T, Benoit M, Engel U, Özbek S, Holstein TW (2006) Nanosecond-scale kinetics of nematocyst discharge. Curr Biol
16:R316–R318.
Östman C (2000) A guideline to nematocyst nomenclature and classification, and some notes on the systematic value of
nematocysts. Sci Mar 64:31–46.
Ozment E, Tamvacakis AN, Zhou J, Rosiles-Loeza PY, Escobar-Hernandez EE, Fernandez-Valverde SL, Nakanishi N (2021)
Cnidarian hair cell development illuminates an ancient role for the class IV POU transcription factor in defining
mechanoreceptor identity. eLife 10:e74336.
Pallasdies F, Goedeke S, Braun W, Memmesheimer R-M (2019) From single neurons to behavior in the jellyfish Aurelia
aurita. eLife 8:e50084.
Pantin CFA (1942) Excitation of Nematocysts. Nature 3769:109.
Plachetzki DC, Fong CR, Oakley TH (2012) Cnidocyte discharge is regulated by light and opsin-mediated phototransduction.
BMC Biol 10:17.
Sandberg DM, Kanciruk P, Mariscal RN (1971) Inhibition of Nematocyst Discharge correlated with Feeding in a Sea
Anemone, Calliactis tricolor (Leseur). Nature 232:263–265.
Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B,
Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676–682.
Schüler A, Schmitz G, Reft A, Özbek S, Thurm U, Bornberg-Bauer E (2015) The Rise and Fall of TRP-N, an Ancient Family
of Mechanogated Ion Channels, in Metazoa. Genome Biol Evol 7:1713–1727.
Shick JM, Dykens JA (1984) Photobiology of the Symbiotic Sea Anemone Anthopleura elegantissima: Photosynthesis,
Respiration, and Behavior under Intertidal Conditions. Biol Bull 166:608–619.
Siller A, Hofer NT, Tomagra G, Burkert N, Hess S, Benkert J, Gaifullina A, Spaich D, Duda J, Poetschke C, Vilusic K, Fritz
EM, Schneider T, Kloppenburg P, Liss B, Carabelli V, Carbone E, Ortner NJ, Striessnig J (2022) β2-subunit
alternative splicing stabilizes Cav2.3 Ca2+ channel activity during continuous midbrain dopamine neuron-like
activity. eLife 11:e67464.
Song L, Florea L (2015) Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-seq reads. GigaScience 4:48.
Steele RE, David CN, Technau U (2011) A genomic view of 500 million years of cnidarian evolution. Trends Genet 27:7–13.
Steen G (1988) The Bioenergetics of Symbiotic Sea Anemones (Anthozoa: Actiniaria). Symbiosis 5:103–142.

Stefanik DJ, Wolenski FS, Friedman LE, Gilmore TD, Finnerty JR (2013) Isolation of DNA, RNA and protein from the starlet
sea anemone Nematostella vectensis. Nat Protoc 8:892–899.
Sutton, Barto AG (2018) Reinforcement learning: An introduction. MIT Press.
Takahashi SX, Mittman S, Colecraft HM (2003) Distinctive Modulatory Effects of Five Human Auxiliary β2 Subunit Splice
Variants on L-Type Calcium Channel Gating. Biophys J 84:3007–3021.
Tardent P (1995) The cnidarian cnidocyte, a hightech cellular weaponry. BioEssays 17:351–362.
Technau U, Steele RE (2012) Evolutionary crossroads in developmental biology: Cnidaria. Development 139:4491–4491.
Thorington GU, Hessinger DA (1988) Control of Cnida Discharge: I. Evidence for Two Classes of Chemoreceptor. Biol Bull
174:163–171.
Vendel AC, Terry MD, Striegel AR, Iverson NM, Leuranguer V, Rithner CD, Lyons BA, Pickard GE, Tobet SA, Horne WA
(2006) Alternative Splicing of the Voltage-Gated Ca^{2+} Channel β4 Subunit Creates a Uniquely Folded N-Terminal
Protein Binding Domain with Cell-Specific Expression in the Cerebellar Cortex. J Neurosci 26:2635–2644.
Wang H, Swore J, Sharma S, Szymanski JR, Yuste R, Daniel TL, Regnier M, Bosma MM, Fairhall AL (2023) A complete
biomechanical model of *Hydra* contractile behaviors, from neural drive to muscle to movement. Proc Natl Acad Sci
120:e2210439120.
Watson GM, Hessinger DA (1989) Cnidocyte Mechanoreceptors are Tuned to the Movements of Swimming Prey by
Chemoreceptors. Science 243:1589–1591.
Watson GM, Hessinger DA (1994) Evidence for calcium channels involved in regulating nematocyst discharge. Comp
Biochem Physiol A Physiol 107:473–481.
Watson GM, Mire-Thibodeaux P (1994) The Cell Biology of Nematocysts. In: International Review of Cytology, pp 275–300.
Elsevier.
Weber J (1990) Poly(gamma-glutamic acid)s are the major constituents of nematocysts in Hydra (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria). J Biol
Chem 265:9664–9669.
Weir K, Dupre C, van Giesen L, Lee AS-Y, Bellono NW (2020) A molecular filter for the cnidarian stinging response. eLife
9:e57578.
Westfall JA (2004) Neural pathways and innervation of cnidocytes in tentacles of sea anemones. Hydrobiologia 530:5.
Westfall JA, Elliott CF, Carlin RW (2002) Ultrastructural evidence for two-cell and three-cell neural pathways in the tentacle
epidermis of the sea anemone Aiptasia pallida. J Morphol 251:83–92.
Westfall JA, Landers DD, McCallum JD (1998) Different nematocytes have different synapses in the sea anemone Aiptasia
pallida (Cnidaria, Anthozoa). J Morphol 238:53–62.
Wu TD, Watanabe CK (2005) GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences.
Bioinformatics 21:1859–1875.
814

815
816
817 **Figure 1. Comparative sea anemone stinging behavior.**

   A) *Nematostella vectensis* stings with tentacles while *Exaiptasia diaphana* also stings with acontia filaments that are ejected from its body for defense. *Left*: *Nematostella* nematocyte discharge was only observed in response to simultaneous prey chemicals and touch stimuli. *Middle, Right*: *Exaiptasia* nematocyte discharge from tentacles and acontia occurred irrespective of prey cues (touch alone). Scale bar = 50μm.

   B) *Nematostella* nematocyte discharge was elicited by simultaneous touch and prey chemical stimuli (n = 10 trials). *Exaiptasia* tentacle (n = 10) and acontia (n = 13) nematocytes discharged only to touch, with or without prey chemicals. $p < 0.05$ for *Nematostella*, paired two-tailed student's t-test. Data represented as mean ± sem.

826
827
828 **Figure 2.** *Nematostella* **stinging is regulated by predation while** *Exaiptasia* **stings for defense.**

A) The cost of stinging is $c = c_o a$, where c_o is the cost for full nematocyte discharge and it either does not change (solid lines filled circles) or increases slightly (dashed lines empty circles) with starvation state. These symbols are used throughout the figure to represent each cost function. The increasing cost is obtained by fitting the Exaiptasia behavior (*see fitting procedure in Materials and Methods*).

B) *Left: Nematostella* burrows in the substrate and stings for predation. *Center*: Desirability of nutritional state, or reward, decreases with starvation. Two examples are shown: example 1, $r(s) = 10 \, tan^{-1}(1-s)$; example 2, $r(s) = 5 \, cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{2}\right)$. *Right*: Predicted optimal stinging obtained by solving equation (1) with numerical simulations (circles) and approximate analytical solutions (lines) assuming: $p(a) = p_M \, a(2-a)$ and $p_M = 0.8$; $c = c_0 a$ with cost for full discharge c_0 matching panel A (full circles and solid lines for constant cost; empty circles for increasing cost; reward in Left panels (colors match). For all reward and cost functions, optimal predatory stinging increases with starvation under broad assumptions (*see Materials and Methods*).

C) *Left: Exaiptasia diaphana* relies heavily on endosymbiotic algae for nutrients and stings primarily for defense. *Center:* We assumed there are two states, safety (L), and danger (D). The state of safety can transition to danger, but not the other way around. We assumed the agent obtains reward 1 in state L and penalty -1 in state D. *Right:* Predicted optimal stinging obtained by solving equation (2) with numerical simulations (circles) and analytical solutions (lines). Styles match the costs in panel A; we assume $p(a) = p_M \, a(2-a)$ and $p_M = 0.8$ as before. Optimal defensive stinging is constant or decreases with starvation under broad assumptions (*see Materials and Methods*).

D) Examples of optimal (blue) versus random (black) predatory stinging. Each agent (anemone) starts with $s = 0.9$, and stings sequentially for many events (represented on the x axis). The random agent almost always reaches maximal starvation before 50 events (grey lines, five examples shown). In comparison, the optimal agent effectively never starves due to a successful stinging strategy optimized for predation (blue lines, five examples shown, parameters as in panel B, curve with matching color).

E) *Left: Nematostella* nematocyte discharge was affected by prey availability while *Exaiptasia* stung at a similar rate regardless of feeding. $p < 0.0001$ for *Nematostella*, two-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni test (n = 10 animals, data represented as mean ± sem). *Right*: Experimental data (circles with error bars representing standard deviation) are well fit by normalized optimal nematocyst discharge predicted from MDP models for both *Exaiptasia* (orange full and empty circles for constant and increasing cost, panel A) and *Nematostella* (light blue full and empty circles for constant and increasing cost, panel A and desirability 2 in panel B). We

859   match the last experimental data point to $s = 0.5$, the precise value of this parameter is irrelevant as long as
860   it is smaller than 1, representing that animals are not severely starved during the experiment.
861

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Sketch of Markov Decision Processes model and predictions for stinging.

A) <u>Directed graph representing the Markov Decision Process for predatory stinging (*top*) including states of starvation s, actions a, and transitions to adjacent states depending on the probability to catch prey $p(a)$. Graphical representation of the result that optimal predatory stinging increases with starvation (*bottom*).</u>

B) <u>Directed graph representing the Markov Decision Process for defensive stinging (*top*) including states of safety and danger L and D, actions a, and transitions between L and D depending on the probability to successfully stinging the predator $p(a)$. Graphical representation of the result that the optimal defensive stinging decreases with starvation (*bottom*).</u>

880
Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Optimal policy predicted by Bellman's theory for the MDP sketched in Figure
2—figure supplement 1A.
Left: three choices of concave reward functions $r(s')$: $r(s) = k\,cos(s\pi/2)$, upper left; $r = k(1 - 50s2) + 60$,
middle left; $r = k\,tan - 1(5(1 - s)/(\pi/10))$, lower left. Solid and dashed lines correspond to two choices of the
parameter k for each reward as in the legend. The cost of full dischare is constant $c_0 = 1.5$ and the likelihood of
successful discharge is $p = p_M a(2 - a)$ with $p_M = 0.6$.
Right: the asymptotic solution for the optimal policy $a^*(s)$ (solid and dashed lines matching the corresponding reward
on the left) reproduces well the numerical solution obtained from solving Bellman's Equation (1) with the value
iteration algorithm (crosses and circles correspond to the solid and dashed rewards on the left). Optimal nematocyst
discharge increases with the starvation state, independently on the shape of the reward function.

899
Figure 2—figure supplement 3. Sketch of theoretical prediction for predatory stinging with increasing cost.
Similar to **Figure 2—figure supplement 1A bottom**, for the case where the cost per nematocyte varies with
starvation $c = c_0(s)a$. Moderate increase in the cost per starvation (dashed light-blue line) do not affect the
qualitative results as the green curve still intersects the light-blue curve for increasing values of a^* (marked by dashed
dark-blue line). More dramatic increases of cost with starvation (light-blue dotted line) do lead to a decrease in
predatory stinging with starvation as the intercept now moves backward with increasing s (marked by dark-blue
dotted line).

914
Figure 2—figure supplement 4. Effects of a moderately *vs* dramatically increasing cost with starvation.

For a constant cost of full discharge or moderately increasing cost with starvation, predatory stinging always
increases, whereas defensive stinging decreases or stays constant (results discussed in main text, **Figure 2**, and
reproduced here for comparison, red and blue curves in Panels A-C. For predation, we use desirability 2 from **Figure**
2B). When the cost function increases dramatically with starvation (panel A, yellow and green lines), defensive
stinging keeps decreasing with starvation (panel C, right), but now also predatory stinging decreases with starvation
(panel B, right, yellow and green lines). Results are obtained with numerical simulations.

928
929 **Figure 2—figure supplement 5. Modulation of *Nematostella* and *Exaiptasia* stinging is not due to changes in**
930 **the abundance of nematocytes.**
931 Nematocytes were highly abundant in tentacles from *Nematostella (top)* and *Exaiptasia (bottom)* before and after
932 starvation. Representative of n = 3 animals. Scale bar = 50μm.
933

935
936
937 **Figure 3.** *Exaiptasia* **nematocyte voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents exhibit minimal steady-state inactivation**
938 **compared with *Nematostella*.**

A) Touch-elicited *Exaiptasia* tentacle nematocyte discharge was blocked in the absence of Ca^{2+} ($p < 0.01$, paired two-tailed student's t-test, n = 9 animals) or by addition of the Ca$_V$ channel blocker Cd^{2+} (500μM, $p < 0.05$, paired two-tailed student's t-test, n = 6 animals). Scale bar = 50μm.

B) *Top:* Representative patch clamp experiment from an *Exaiptasia* nematocyte. Scale bar = 20μm. *Bottom*: Nematocyte voltage-gated currents elicited by a maximally activating 0mV pulse were blocked by Cd^{2+} (n = 3 cells, $p < 0.01$, paired two-tailed student's t-test).

C) Nematocyte voltage-gated currents elicited by -120mV (black) or 0mV pulses (colored). Conductance-voltage curves for *Nematostella* nematocyte (V$_{a1/2}$ = -26.54 ± 0.78mV, n = 3) and *Exaiptasia* nematocyte (V$_{a1/2}$ = -12.47 ± 0.70mV, n = 3).

D) Nematocyte voltage-gated currents elicited by a maximally activating voltage pulse following 1 s pre-pulses to −110 mV (max current, black), −50 mV (colored), or 20 mV (inactivated, no current). *Nematostella* nematocytes inactivated at very negative voltages (V$_{i1/2}$ = -93.22 ± 0.42mV, n = 7) while *Exaiptasia* contained two populations of nematocytes: low-voltage threshold (V$_{i1/2}$ = -84.94 ± 0.70mV, n = 4), and high-voltage threshold (V$_{i1/2}$ = -48.17 ± 3.32mV, n = 3). Data represented as mean ± sem.

953
954
Figure 4. *Exaiptasia* expresses a Ca$_V$ β subunit splice isoform that confers weak voltage-dependent inactivation.

A) ddPCR ratio of concentrations of Ca$_V$ β subunit 1 and 2 mRNAs was similar in tentacle (n = 5), body (n =
5), and acontia (n = 4 animals) tissue samples.

B) EdCa$_V$β1 and EdCa$_V$β2 localized to distinct nematocytes in *Exaiptasia* tentacle cross section, as visualized
by BaseScope *in situ* hybridization. Representative nematocyte expressing EdCa$_V$β1 (green) or EdCa$_V$β2
(red). Representative of 3 animals.

C) Voltage-gated currents from heterologously-expressed chimeric mammalian Ca$_V$ (mCa$_V$) with different β
subunits: rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), *Nematostella* (Nve), *Exaiptasia* EdCa$_V$β1 or EdCa$_V$β2. *Top*: Currents
elicited by voltage pulses to -120mV (no current, black) and maximally activating 0mV (colored). *Bottom*:
Voltage-gated currents elicited by a maximally activating voltage pulse following 1 s pre-pulses to –110 mV
(max current, black), –50 mV (colored), or 20 mV (inactivated, no current, black). Scale bars = 100pA, 50ms.

D) *Exaiptasia* Ca$_V$ β subunit splice isoforms confer distinct inactivation: *Nematostella* β subunit ($V_{i1/2}$ = -68.93
± 1.53mV, n = 5) and Rat β2a subunit ($V_{i1/2}$ = -2.98 ± 13.51mV, n = 12) and EdCa$_V$β1 ($V_{i1/2}$ = -56.76 ±
3.18mV, n = 8), and EdCa$_V$β2 ($V_{i1/2}$ = -18.84 ± 8.00mV, n = 5 cells). Data represented as mean ± sem.

E) Genomic alignment of *Exaiptasia* β subunit isoforms showed that alternative splicing of the N-terminus
region was associated with distinct inactivation: Ca$_V$β1 (long N-term) had low-voltage steady-state
inactivation similar to *Nematostella*, while Ca$_V$β2 (short N-term) exhibited more depolarized steady-state
inactivation, matching its mammalian orthologue. Genomic loci listed above sequence.

974
975
Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Transcriptomic and molecular analyses of *Exaiptasia* β subunit isoforms.

A) mRNA expression (transcripts per million, TPM) of voltage-gated calcium (Ca$_V$) channel α and β subunits
in *Exaiptasia* tentacle (nematocyte abundant, blue), body (nematocyte non-abundant, red), bleached (minimal
symbionts) tentacle (light blue), bleached body (light red) tissues. The Ca$_V$ α subunit was identified by
homology to the sequence of the cnidarian Ca$_V$2.1 homolog found enriched in *Nematostella* nematocyte-rich
tissues (Weir et al., 2020). NompC, the putative mechanoreceptor in *Nematostella* nematocytes (Schüler et
al., 2015; Weir et al., 2020), was also detected in *Exaiptasia* tentacles.

B) Representative plots of fluorescent amplitude across event number (droplet events) from amplification of
unique regions of EdCa$_V$β1 (Ch1, *Top*) and EdCa$_V$β2 (Ch2, *Bottom*) sequences using droplet digital PCR
(ddPCR, Bio-Rad Laboratories). Individual lanes correspond to tentacle RNA, body RNA, acontia RNA, and
no template control (NTC). Blue and green points indicate positive PCR droplets after thresholding and gray
points indicate negative droplets.

989
990
Figure 5. Cnidarian Ca$_V$ β subunit N-termini confer unique inactivation properties.

C) Voltage-gated currents from heterologously expressed Ca$_V$ channels with *Nematostella*-rat chimeric β subunits demonstrate that the *Nematostella* N-terminus is sufficient to drive inactivation at negative voltages. Currents shown in response to 10 mV voltage pulses following 1 s pre-pulses to –130 mV (max current, black), –50 mV (colored), or 0 mV (inactivated, no current, black). Scale bars = 100pA, 50ms.

D) Diagram of Ca$_V$ *Nematostella*-rat β subunit domain swaps and resulting V$_{i1/2}$ values. The *Nematostella* β subunit N-terminus is required and sufficient for uniquely hyperpolarized Ca$_V$ inactivation properties (p < 0.001 for average V$_{i1/2}$ values across mutant beta subunits, one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test, n = 2-8 cells).

E) Phylogenetic tree of β subunit sequences obtained from several species of cnidarians. Abbreviations of species: Nve, *Nematostella vectensis*; Ed, *Exaiptasia diaphana*; Cc, *Cyanea capillata* (jellyfish); Pp, *Physalia physalis* (siphonophore); Ch, *Clytia hemisphaerica* (jellyfish); Cx, *Cassiopea xamachana* (jellyfish); r, *Rattus norvegicus*.

F) *Top*: Percentage of identity between amino acid sequences across β subunit protein domains for NveCa$_V$β, EdCa$_V$β1, EdCa$_V$β2, CcCa$_V$β, PpCa$_V$β, ChCa$_V$β, CxCa$_V$β2, rCa$_V$β2. *Bottom*: Fraction of identity of amino acids across sites of the β subunit protein. Cnidarian Ca$_V$ β N-termini shift depolarized, weak voltage-dependent inactivation of Ca$_V$ channels containing EdCa$_V$β2 to more negative voltages. Voltage-dependent

inactivation ($V_{i1/2}$) of heterologously-expressed Ca_Vs with WT EdCa$_V\beta$2, β subunits from the indicated
cnidarians, and chimeras with their N-termini on EdCa$_V\beta$2 ($p < 0.0001$ for average $V_{i1/2}$ values with
multiple comparisons against WT EdCa$_V\beta$2 mean, one-way ANOVA with Bartlett's test and post-hoc
Tukey test, n = 4-9 cells). Data represented as mean ± sem.

Figure 5—figure supplement 1. Voltage-dependent activation of Ca$_V$ channels is conserved across cnidarian β
subunits.

A) *Top*: Voltage-gated currents from heterologously-expressed chimeric Ca$_V$s with the indicated β subunits
elicited by voltage pulses to -120mV (no current, black) and 0mV (colored). Abbreviations of species: Nve,
Nematostella vectensis; Ed, *Exaiptasia diaphana*; Cc, *Cyanea capillata* (jellyfish); Pp, *Physalia physalis*
(siphonophore); Ch, *Clytia hemisphaerica* (jellyfish); Cx, *Cassiopea xamachana* (jellyfish); r, *Rattus*
norvegicus. *Bottom*: Voltage-gated currents elicited by a maximally activating voltage pulse following 1 s
pre-pulses to –110 mV (max current, black), –50 mV (colored), or 20 mV (inactivated, no current, black).
Scalebars = 100pA, 50ms.
B) Activation and inactivation curves for heterologously-expressed chimeric Ca$_V$s with different β subunits.
Activation: rCa$_V$β2 $V_{a1/2}$ = -19.76 ± 1.16mV, n = 12; NveCa$_V$β $V_{a1/2}$ = -23.07 ± 1.16mV, n = 5; EdCa$_V$β1
$V_{a1/2}$ = -18.27 ± 1.08mV, n = 8; EdCa$_V$β2 $V_{a1/2}$ = -14.22 ± 1.46mV, n = 5; CcCa$_V$β $V_{a1/2}$ = -18.47 ± 1.59mV,

1027 n = 6; CxCa$_V\beta$ V$_{a1/2}$ = -28.89 ± 1.54mV, n = 15; PpCa$_V\beta$ V$_{a1/2}$ = -15.29 ± 1.23mV, n = 10; ChCa$_V\beta$ V$_{a1/2}$ = -

1028 10.30 ± 1.04mV, n = 12. rCa$_V\beta$2 V$_{i1/2}$ = -2.98 ± 13.51mV, n = 12; NveCa$_V\beta$ V$_{i1/2}$ = -68.93 ± 1.53mV, n = 5;

1029 EdCa$_V\beta$1 V$_{i1/2}$ = -56.76 ± 3.18mV, n = 8; EdCa$_V\beta$2 V$_{i1/2}$ = -18.84 ± 8.00mV, n = 5; CcCa$_V\beta$ subunit V$_{i1/2}$ = -

1030 47.81 ± 5.57mV, n = 6; CxCa$_V\beta$ V$_{i1/2}$ = -87.75 ± 1.72mV, n = 15; PpCa$_V\beta$ V$_{i1/2}$ = -99.80 ± 0.92mV, n = 10;

1031 ChCa$_V\beta$ V$_{i1/2}$ = -70.25 ± 4.67mV, n = 12 cells.

1032 **C)** Diagram of Ca$_V$ β subunit domain swaps and the length of the N-terminus swapped in amino acids.

1033 **D)** Cnidarian Ca$_V$ β N-termini do not greatly affect voltage-dependent activation of Ca$_V$ channels containing

1034 EdCa$_V\beta$2. Voltage-dependent activation (V$_{a1/2}$) of heterologously-expressed Ca$_V$s with WT EdCa$_V\beta$2, β

1035 subunits from the indicated cnidarians, and chimeras with their N-termini on EdCa$_V\beta$2, p = 0.5830 for

1036 average V$_{i1/2}$ values across mutant beta subunits, one-way ANOVA with Bartlett's test and post-hoc Tukey

1037 test, n = 4-7 cells. Data represented as mean ± sem.

1038 **Figure 5—supplement table 1: Wild type and Chimeric Ca$_V$β amino acid sequences.**
1039

Protein name	Amino Acid Sequence
Exaiptasia diaphana Ca$_V$β1 (EdCa$_V$β1)	MAQDFALSNRDIELDSLEHDSTGSSTPSEIQRWHMYSDRSGRVVCKDSEPAYRASD TSSVDEDKETSRRELERRAWEALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNIAYEGSEDDDSPVHGAA VSFNVKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPV RGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKT STLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKG YEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKN SGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQ RLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESY WRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL
Exaiptasia diaphana Ca$_V$β2 (EdCa$_V$β2)	MGNTDSVQSFTKDSEPAYRASDTSSVDEDKETSRRELERRAWEALQAARSKPVAF AVRTNIAYEGSEDDDSPVHGAAVSFNVKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIG FIPTPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASV VDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQ EQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDI SLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPT QLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLY DLILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERP SVYL
Cyanea Capillata Ca$_V$β (CcCa$_V$β)	MWFGTKKSKDSERRKRQPIDVYREQALSVNPAYIWGDDLDSRKTSGTSSEYGEDD IEQIRVQALEQLAAARVKPVAFAMRANYGYNGAEDDDSPIHGMALSFEPKDFLHI KEKFNNDWLIGRVVREGCDIGFIPSPSKLESLRLSGLAGRKMRQSSTSSNLHLQDAF SASSPSEDRQNSFDDESLPPSSPVKSVNPGVIGQPNSKTAKKGIFKKNDSLPPYDVV PSMRPVIFVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYLKHRFQGRIVITRVTADISTAKKSTIQ NLAKKPIIKERGATQASQEVNQEIERIFELCRNLQLVVLDSYTVNYPAQVAKTSLAP IIVYIKISSPKVLTRLVKSRGKSQSKNLNVQLVAAVKLGQCSEDMYDVVLDETQLE DACEHLGEFLEAYWRAAHPSQSNFGAAGAPGSFTANGQPVVVNYNSMDPFSAQS PTRHLRTAQV
Physalia physalis Ca$_V$β (PpCa$_V$β)	MVTASYNVPLDNTSATHSFNYPHAFLLTHSSCSYHSNEGFINSSTEVDIVDENDFKP LFEGNSNEPHCQKKVISFSSLLDNVVAPIWYFFEMGDEFDSRKTSGTSSEYGEEDVE ALRVQALEQLAAAASKPVAFAVRANYGYNGSEDEDCPVNGMAVSFEAKDCLHIK VKFNNDWWIGRVVKEGHDIGFIPSASRLDNIRQSGISGKLKLRQSSTSSNMNLEDQ SQPLSREQDNRSPSEERGTSFDDDSPASPLRNPSGSSLTANNNNNNSNTASNVNNSQ PKGKKGIFKKSENLPPYDVVPSMRPIIFVGPSLKGYEVTNMMQKALFDYLKHRFQG RIVITRVGADISLAKRSAFQHPGKQPVIQKKGNTQSGIVEVQQEIERIFELCRSMQLV VLDCESINHPSQVAKTSLAPIIAMIRIASPKVLTRLIKSRGKSQTKHLNFQLVAAEKL NQCTEDMFDVILDENQLEDACEHLGDFLEAYWRSAVPPRRPYVNSDNRSYNNAG GQSIGNYNGGGQYNGTPQRHLRTAQV
Cassiopea xamachana Ca$_V$β (CxCa$_V$β)	MVQKSGMSRGPYPPSQEIPMEVFDPSPQGKYSKRKGRFKRSDGSTSSDTTSNSFVR QGSAESYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREALRKEAERQALAQLEKAKTKPVAFAVRTNVGY NPSPGDEVPVQGVAITFEPKDFLHIKEKYNNDWWIGRLVKEGCEVGFIPSPVKLDS LRLLQEQTLRQNRLSSSKSGDNSSSSLGDVVTGTRRPTPPASAKQKQKSTEHVPPY DVVPSMRPIILVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDFLKHRFDGRISITRVTADISLAKRSV LNNPSKHIIIERSNTRSSLAEVQSEIERIFELARTLQLVALDADTINHPAQLSKTSLAPI IVYIKITSPKVLQRLIKSRGKSQSKHLNVQIAASEKLAQCPPEMFDIILDENQLEDAC EHLAEYLEAYWKATHPPSSTPPNPLLNRTMATAALAASPAPVSNLQGPYLASGDQ PLDRATGEHASVHEYPGELGQPPGLYPSNHPPGRAGTLRALSRQDTFDADTPGSRN SAYTEPGDSCVDMETDPSEGPGPGDPAGGGTPPARQGSWEEEDYEEEMTDNRNR GRNKARYCAEGGGPVLGRNKNELEGWGQGVYIR

Clytia hemisphaerica Ca$_V$β (ChCa$_V$β)	MMHGSQTEPAISSMTSERNHKNLSHGSRTSINSQRSTNKKVNSHVSFDESTAAPSS KKPGALSAAGGKKSVDDNFSSSVLQTVFALRWQKKAAQKKKKPDDFQQMYMHS MSGALGSIIGDEFDGRKTSGTSSEYGDGEDLEALRILALEKLQAARTRPVAFAVRA NYGYNGSEDDDSPVHGMAVSFEKDDCLHIKDKFNKDWWIGRVVKEGHNIGFVPS PDKLESIRQSGVSGKLKMRQSSTSSNMNLHDDPQNQRSPLGEAGGNNSFDDETVN SPVRNVSTESNNTNNNNTTNSLNAQKGKKGIFKKNEQLHPYYVIPSMRPIIFVGPSL KGYEVTDMMQKALFDYLKHRFSERIIFTRVNADISLAKRSNLNNQNRQPNFPKKSN GQAGLAEVQEEVNRIFELCRSSQLVVLDCDTINNPSQVIKTSLAPIIVAIKIASPKVLT RLIKSRGKNQVKHLNIQMIAADKLSQCNEEMFDVVLDENQLEDACEHLGEFLEAY WRAAVPGAQEGLISQENGGFVNQGGPNGAGYNGVDQYGTPQRNLRTAQV
Nematostella vectensis cacnb2.1 (NVE β)	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPVH GAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQIGGTASGR GMRNSKRDVFQFDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSTSVDAENGVEYDDDQQSPTSPTNK TLPRSASGTTVSSQPGTATGTQGKPKKGLFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLK GYEVTDMMQKALLDFMKHRFSGRVLIARVTSDISLAKRTNMSNPGKQTIMERTKN KNTGLAEVQQEIERIFELARGLNLVVLDCETVNHPTQLAKTSLAPMIVYIKIAAPKV LQRLIKTRGKSQSRNLSIQLVAAEKLAQCSEDMYDLVLEETQLDDACEHLGEFLES YWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNVQPSNSTPQYNVIEGGERPSVYL
Rat cacnb2a (Rat β)	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPVPGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRL VKEGCEIGFIPSPVKLENMRLQHEQRAKQGKFYSSKSGGNSSSSLGDIVPSSRKSTPP SSAIDIDATGLDAEENDIPANHRSPKPSANSVTSPHSKEKRMPFFKKTEHTPPYDVV PSMRPVVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDFLKHRFEGRISITRVTADISLAKRSVLN NPSKHAIIERSNTRSSLAEVQSEIERIFELARTLQLVVLDADTINHPAQLSKTSLAPIIV YVKISSPKVLQRLIKSRGKSQAKHLNVQMVAADKLAQCPPQESFDVILDENQLEDA CEHLADYLEAYWKATHPPSSNLPNPLLSRTLATSTLPLSPTLASNSQGSQGDQRTD RSAPRSASQAEEEPCLEPVKKSQHRSSSATHQNHRSGTGRGLSRQETFDSETQESRD SAYVEPKEDYSHEHVDRYVPHREHNHREESHSSNGHRHREPRHRTRDMGRDQDH NECSKQRSRHKSKDRYCDKEGEVISKRRSEAGEWNRDVYIRQ
Rat β with NVE Hook	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPVPGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRL VKEGCEIGFIPSPSKLKSLQQIGGTASGRGMRNSKRDVFQFDMVNQAQSPTNTSPS RHSSTSVDAENGVEYDDDQQSPTSPTNKTLPRSASGTTVSSQPGTATGTQGKPKKG LFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPVVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDFLKHRFEGRISITR VTADISLAKRSVLNNPSKHAIIERSNTRSSLAEVQSEIERIFELARTLQLVVLDADTIN HPAQLSKTSLAPIIVYVKISSPKVLQRLIKSRGKSQAKHLNVQMVAADKLAQCPPQ ESFDVILDENQLEDACEHLADYLEAYWKATHPPSSNLPNPLLSRTLATSTLPLSPTL ASNSQGSQGDQRTDRSAPRSASQAEEEPCLEPVKKSQHRSSSATHQNHRSGTGRGL SRQETFDSETQESRDSAYVEPKEDYSHEHVDRYVPHREHNHREESHSSNGHRHREP RHRTRDMGRDQDHNECSKQRSRHKSKDRYCDKEGEVISKRRSEAGEWNRDVYIR Q
NVE β with Rat Hook	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPV HGAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPVKLENMRLQHEQR AKQGKFYSSKSGGNSSSSLGDIVPSSRKSTPPSSAIDIDATGLDAEENDIPANHRSPK PSANSVTSPHSKEKRMPFFKKTEHTPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQK ALLDFMKHRFSGRVLIARVTSDISLAKRTNMSNPGKQTIMERTKNKNTGLAEVQQ EIERIFELARGLNLVVLDCETVNHPTQLAKTSLAPMIVYIKIAAPKVLQRLIKTRGKS QSRNLSIQLVAAEKLAQCSEDMYDLVLEETQLDDACEHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQ PGSRPPNVQPSNSTPQYNVIEGGERPSVYL
Rat β with NVE GK	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPVPGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRL

domain	VKEGCEIGFIPSPVKLENMRLQHEQRAKQGKFYSSKSGGNSSSSLGDIVPSSRKSTP PSSAIDIDATGLDAEENDIPANHRSPKPSANSVTSPHSKEKRMPFFKKTEHTPPYDV VPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALLDFMKHRFSGRVLIARVTSDISLAKRTN MSNPGKQTIMERTKNKNTGLAEVQQEIERIFELARGLNLVVLDCETVNHPTQLAKT SLAPMIVYIKIAAPKVLQRLIKTRGKSQSRNLSIQLVAAEKLAQCSEDMYDLVLEET QLDDACEHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNVQPSNSTPQYNVIEGGERPSVYL
NVE β with Rat GK domain	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPV HGAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQIGGTASG RGMRNSKRDVFQFDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSTSVDAENGVEYDDDQQSPTSPTN KTLPRSASGTTVSSQPGTATGTQGKPKKGLFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPVVLVGPSL KGYEVTDMMQKALFDFLKHRFEGRISITRVTADISLAKRSVLNNPSKHAIIERSNTR SSLAEVQSEIERIFELARTLQLVVLDADTINHPAQLSKTSLAPIIVYVKISSPKVLQRL IKSRGKSQAKHLNVQMVAADKLAQCPPQESFDVILDENQLEDACEHLADYLEAY WKATHPPSSNLPNPLLSRTLATSTLPLSPTLASNSQGSQGDQRTDRSAPRSASQAEE EPCLEPVKKSQHRSSSATHQNHRSGTGRGLSRQETFDSETQESRDSAYVEPKEDYS HEHVDRYVPHREHNHREESHSSNGHRHREPRHRTRDMGRDQDHNECSKQRSRHK SKDRYCDKEGEVISKRRSEAGEWNRDVYIRQ
Rat 5' on NVE β	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPVHGAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRV VKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQIGGTASGRGMRNSKRDVFQFDMVNQAQSPTNTSPS RHSSTSVDAENGVEYDDDQQSPTSPTNKTLPRSASGTTVSSQPGTATGTQGKPKKG LFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALLDFMKHRFSGRVLIA RVTSDISLAKRTNMSNPGKQTIMERTKNKNTGLAEVQQEIERIFELARGLNLVVLD CETVNHPTQLAKTSLAPMIVYIKIAAPKVLQRLIKTRGKSQSRNLSIQLVAAEKLAQ CSEDMYDLVLEETQLDDACEHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNVQPSNSTPQY NVIEGGERPSVYL
Rat 5' + SH3 on NVE β	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPVPGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRL VKEGCEIGFIPSPSKLKSLQQIGGTASGRGMRNSKRDVFQFDMVNQAQSPTNTSPS RHSSTSVDAENGVEYDDDQQSPTSPTNKTLPRSASGTTVSSQPGTATGTQGKPKKG LFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALLDFMKHRFSGRVLIA RVTSDISLAKRTNMSNPGKQTIMERTKNKNTGLAEVQQEIERIFELARGLNLVVLD CETVNHPTQLAKTSLAPMIVYIKIAAPKVLQRLIKTRGKSQSRNLSIQLVAAEKLAQ CSEDMYDLVLEETQLDDACEHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNVQPSNSTPQY NVIEGGERPSVYL
NVE 5' + SH3 on Rat β	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPV PGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRLVKEGCEIGFIPSPVKLENMRLQHEQRA KQGKFYSSKSGGNSSSSLGDIVPSSRKSTPPSSAIDIDATGLDAEENDIPANHRSPKP SANSVTSPHSKEKRMPFFKKTEHTPPYDVVPSMRPVVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQK ALFDFLKHRFEGRISITRVTADISLAKRSVLNNPSKHAIIERSNTRSSLAEVQSEIERIF ELARTLQLVVLDADTINHPAQLSKTSLAPIIVYVKISSPKVLQRLIKSRGKSQAKHL NVQMVAADKLAQCPPQESFDVILDENQLEDACEHLADYLEAYWKATHPPSSNLP NPLLSRTLATSTLPLSPTLASNSQGSQGDQRTDRSAPRSASQAEEEPCLEPVKKSQH RSSSATHQNHRSGTGRGLSRQETFDSETQESRDSAYVEPKEDYSHEHVDRYVPHRE HNHREESHSSNGHRHREPRHRTRDMGRDQDHNECSKQRSRHKSKDRYCDKEGEV ISKRRSEAGEWNRDVYIRQ
NVE 5' on Rat β	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPVH GAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPVKLENMRLQHEQRAK QGKFYSSKSGGNSSSSLGDIVPSSRKSTPPSSAIDIDATGLDAEENDIPANHRSPKPSA

	NSVTSPHSKEKRMPFFKKTEHTPPYDVVPSMRPVVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALF DFLKHRF EGRISITRVTADISLAKRSVLNNPSKHAIIERSNTRSSLAEVQSEIERIFELARTLQLVV LDADTINHPAQLSKTSLAPIIVYVKISSPKVLQRLIKSRGKSQAKHLNVQMVAADK LAQCPPQESFDVILDENQLEDACEHLADYLEAYWKATHPPSSNLPNPLLSRTLATS TLPLSPTLASNSQGSQGDQRTDRSAPRSASQAEEEPCLEPVKKSQHRSSSATHQNH RSGTGRGLSRQETFDSETQESRDSAYVEPKEDYSHEVDRYVPHREHNHREESHSS NGHRHREPRHRTRDMGRDQDHNECSKQRSRHKSKDRYCDKEGEVISKRRSEAGE WNRDVYIRQ
EdCa_Vβ2 with NVE β NTerm	MEPEPGLSEQDIELDSLEQVSTASSFHSDIQRHYNDGREASRFIGADDFNRDSDPAY RASDTSSIEEDRETSRRELERRAWDALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNLRYDGSEDDDSPV HGAAVSFEAKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQVGPATG GRPVRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPT SPTSKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLV GPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIE RSNSKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKIS APKVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLG EFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with CcCa_Vβ NTerm	MWFGTKKSKDSERRKRQPIDVYREQALSVNPAYIWGDDLDSRKTSGTSSEYGEDD IEQIRVQALEQLAAARVKPVAFAMRANYGYNGAEDDDSPIHGMALSFEPKDFLHI KEKFNNDWLIGRVVREGCDIGFIPSPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFND MVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGNT VTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQK ALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQEI ERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKT QSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQ PGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with PpCa_Vβ NTerm	MVTASYNVPLDNTSATHSFNYPHAFLLTHSSCSYHSNEGFINSSTEVDIVDENDFKP LFEGNSNEPHCQKKVISFSSLLDNVVAPIWYFFEMGDEFDSRKTSGTSSEYGEEDV EALRVQALEQLAAAASKPVAFAVRANYGYNGSEDEDCPVNGMAVSFEAKDCLHI KVKFNNDWWIGRVVKEGHDIGFIPSPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFN DMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGN TVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQ KALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQE IERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKT QSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQ PGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with Rat β NTerm	MQCCGLVHRRRVRVSYGSADSYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREAVRREAERQAQAQLEK AKTKPVAFAVRTNVRYSAAQEDDVPVPGMAISFEAKDFLHVKEKFNNDWWIGRL VKEGCEIGFIPSPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSP SRHSSASVVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKS KKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGR VLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVL DCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEK LAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQ YNVIEAGERPSVYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with CxCa_Vβ NTerm	MVQKSGMSRGPYPPSQEIPMEVFDPSPQGKYSKRKGRFKRSDGSTSSDTTSNSFVR QGSAESYTSRPSDSDVSLEEDREALRKEAERQALAQLEKAKTKPVAFAVRTNVGY NPSPGDEVPVQGVAITFEPKDFLHIKEKYNNDWWIGRLVKEGCEVGFIPSPSKLKS LQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDAENGME YNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDV VPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSN LANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTS

	LAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDET QLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with ChCa_Vβ NTerm	MMHGSQTEPAISSMTSERNHKNLSHGSRTSINSQRSTNKKVNSHVSFDESTAAPSS KKPGALSAAGGKKSVDDNFSSSVLQTVFALRWQKKAAQKKKKPDDFQQMYMHS MSGALGSIIGDEFDGRKTSGTSSEYGDGEDLEALRILALEKLQAARTRPVAFAVRA NYGYNGSEDDDSPVHGMAVSFEKDDCLHIKDKFNKDWWIGRVVKEGHNIGFVPS PSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRPVRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDA ENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPTSKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQL PPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPSLKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISL AKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSNSKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQ LAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAPKVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYD LILDETQLQDACHHLGEFLESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPS VYL
EdCa_Vβ2 with EdCa_Vβ1 NTerm	MAQDFALSNRDIELDSLEHVSTGSSTPSEIQRWHMYSDRSGRVVCKDSEPAYRAS DTSSVDEDKETSRRELERRAWEALQAARSKPVAFAVRTNIAYEGSEDDDSPVHGA AVSFNVKDFLHVKEKFNDDWWIGRVVKEGCDIGFIPTPSKLKSLQQVGPATGGRP VRGSSKTVFHFNDMVNQAQSPTNTSPSRHSSASVVDAENGMEYNEEEQHSPTSPT SKTSTLPRSASGNTVTSQSAPGQQGKSKKAFFKKQEQLPPYDVVPSMRPIVLVGPS LKGYEVTDMMQKALFDYMKHQFSGRVLISRVTSDISLAKRSNLANPSKRNIIERSN SKNSGLAEVQQEIERIFELSRGLNLVVLDCDTVNHPTQLAKTSLAPLVVYVKISAP KVLQRLIKTRGKTQSRALNVQLVAAEKLAQCSEDLYDLILDETQLQDACHHLGEF LESYWRATHPPNQPGSRPPNMQQSTPQYNVIEAGERPSVYL

1040
1041