Ribosomal A-site interactions with near-cognate tRNAs drive stop codon readthrough

Zuzana Čapková Pavlíková[1,2,#], Petra Miletínová[1,#], Klára Pospíšilová[1], Adriana Roithová[1], Kristína Záhonová[3,4,5,6], Ignacio M. Durante[3,7], Ambar Kachale[3,7], Thomas Becker[8], Julius Lukeš[3,7], Zdeněk Paris[3,7], Petra Beznosková[1], and Leoš Shivaya Valášek[1*]

[1]Laboratory of Regulation of Gene Expression, Institute of Microbiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Vídeňská 1083, 142 20, Prague, Czech Republic

[2]Faculty of Science, Charles University, Albertov 6, 128 00 Prague, Czech Republic

[3]Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Branišovská 1160/31, 370 05 České Budějovice (Budweis), Czech Republic

[4]Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, BIOCEV, Průmyslová 595, 252 50 Vestec, Czech Republic

[5]Life Science Research Centre, Faculty of Science, University of Ostrava, Chittussiho 10, 710 00 Ostrava, Czech Republic

[6]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, 11350-83 Avenue, T6G 2G3 Edmonton, Canada

[7]Faculty of Sciences, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice (Budweis), Czech Republic

[8]Department of Biochemistry, Gene Center, University of Munich, Feodor-Lynen-Str. 25, 81377, Munich, Germany

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: valasekl@biomed.cas.cz
                        Tel: +420 241 062 288
                        Fax: +420 241 062 665

Keywords: 40S decoding site, ribosomal A-site, programmed stop codon readthrough, near-cognate tRNAs, small ribosomal protein, Rps30/eS30, Rps25/eS25

SUMMARY

tRNAs serve as a dictionary for the ribosome translating the genetic message from mRNA into a polypeptide chain. Besides this canonical role, tRNAs are involved in other processes like programmed stop codon readthrough (SC-RT). There, tRNAs with near-cognate anticodons to stop codons must outcompete release factors and incorporate into the ribosomal decoding center to prevent termination and allow translation to continue. However, not all near-cognate tRNAs promote efficient SC-RT. Here, we demonstrate that those that do, establish critical contacts between their anticodon stem and ribosomal proteins Rps30/eS30 and Rps25/eS25 forming the decoding site. Unexpectedly, the length and well-defined nature of the anticodon stem determines the strength of these contacts, which is reflected in organisms with reassigned stop codons. These findings open a new direction in tRNA biology that should facilitate the design of artificial tRNAs with specifically altered decoding abilities.

Occurrence of a stop codon in the decoding site (A-site) of the elongating ribosome triggers uneven competition between the termination ternary complex, composed of eukaryotic release factors eRF1 and eRF3 and guanosine triphosphate (GTP), and near-cognate tRNAs recognizing two of the three bases of any of the three stop codons (reviewed in[1]). Higher energetic stability of the interaction between the UAG or UAA or UGA stop codon and the eRF1-eRF3-GTP complex in comparison to a corresponding near-cognate tRNA favors the former competitor in 99.9% of cases[2]. Stop codon recognition by eRF1 then stimulates GTP hydrolysis on eRF3, upon which eRF1 with the assistance of the ATPase ABCE1 (ATP binding cassette subfamily E member 1) mediates the release of the nascent peptide[3-5]. The post-termination complex is then disassembled, enabling its constituents to participate in further rounds of translation.

In the remaining 0.1% of cases, near-cognate tRNAs usurp the stop codon to avoid termination and instead allow the so-called stop codon readthrough (SC-RT) (reviewed in [6,7]). As a result, C-terminally extended proteins are produced either as an infrequent failure of the system or, if programmed by various features acting *in trans* and/or *in cis*, as a desirable variant of a given protein with newly acquired functional qualities and/or cellular localization. Among the latter features belong the identity of the stop codon and surrounding sequence contexts[2,8-11], proximal RNA structures[12], RNA modifications[13,14], presence of RNA binding proteins[15] and eukaryotic initiation factor eIF3[16,17], and the availability and quality of aminoacylated (aa) near-cognate tRNAs[18-21].

Interestingly, not all near-cognate tRNAs are capable of promoting efficient SC-RT[18,21]. Those that can are called readthrough inducing (rti) tRNAs and – at least in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* – include tRNA^{Trp} and tRNA^{Cys} for UGA with the 3rd base wobble, the M iso-acceptor of tRNA^{Gln} for UAG with the 1st base wobble, and tRNA^{Tyr} for UAR (UAA and UAG collectively) stop codons with the 3rd base wobble[19,20]. Virtually nothing is known about the qualities that significantly increase the odds of rti-tRNAs

competing with eRF1 for the stop codon, nor about the underlying molecular mechanism of their preferred selection by the decoding center.

One hypothesis to understand how the rti-tRNAs get accepted by the ribosome that is poised to terminate can be found in the analogy with the 3rd base wobbling during elongation. Cognate codon-anticodon recognition in the decoding center of the ribosome is read out by the two conserved decoding bases (A1492 and A1493 in bacteria), which are flipped out of helix (h) 44 of 18S rRNA to check a proper A-helix minor grove geometry of the mRNA:tRNA decoding complex. A cognate complex then induces a conformational change in the ribosomal small subunit, referred to as domain closure[22,23]. This movement was proposed to induce a tighter fit around the codon-anticodon minihelix and to help activate the GTP hydrolysis on eukaryotic elongation factor 1A (eEF1A). Domain closure appears to be a specific response to aa-tRNA selection and does not occur in the presence of eRF1[24]. Since the h44 decoding bases sense indirectly only the first two codon pairs, enabling the +3 position to participate in the wobble interactions[25], the same architecture is believed to be induced with near-cognate tRNAs[26]. In other words, in order to be selected, near-cognate tRNAs have to go through the same activated state as fully cognate tRNAs. However, in physiological conditions, the probability of reaching the activated state is much more favored for the cognate interactions than for the non-cognate ones[24], which is reflected in increased ribosome occupancy at the wobble codons[27,28]. The same is most likely true for tRNAs near-cognate to the stop codons with the 3rd base wobble regardless of their ability to boost SC-RT or not.

The situation might be even more complicated with near-cognate tRNAs with the 1st base wobble, one of which – the M iso-acceptor tRNA[Gln] [tQ(CUG)M] – is a very potent inducer of SC-RT compared to others from the same family, such as tRNA[Arg], tRNA[Glu], tRNA[Gly], and tRNA[Lys][18,19,21]. Given these differences, it is clear that in addition to the minor groove geometry check carried out by specific 18S rRNA bases, other factors influence the tRNA selection process, providing rti-tRNAs with the advantage of being selected at a significantly higher frequency than other near-cognate tRNAs. For example, in addition to 18S rRNA, four small ribosomal proteins (RPSes), namely Rps23/uS12, Rps15/uS19, Rps25/eS25 and Rps30/eS30, are part of the decoding site, with all of them having profound roles during codon sampling[24,29-31]. To name a few, it was proposed that the contacts with the codon-anticodon minihelix made by Rps15/uS19, and especially by Rps30/eS30 could increase the stability of aa-tRNAs during initial selection and accommodation[24,29]. Therefore, the question arises whether RPSes could play a discriminatory role.

Another factor that may influence SC-RT could lie directly in the nature of the tRNA backbone. Indeed, we have recently shown that tRNA[Trp] with a short anticodon stem (4-base pairs [bp] instead of the canonical 5-bp), which occurs naturally in several protists with all three stop codons reassigned to sense codons, markedly boosts SC-RT on UGA[32]. Curiously, this special quality of the 4-bp tRNA[Trp] originating outside of the anticodon loop represents one of the critical life-sustaining measures in these organisms with an altered genetic code.

Here, with help of the M iso-acceptor of tRNA[Gln], tRNA[Trp] and tRNA[Cys] we show that not only the length but also the nature of the anticodon stem (in particular the base composition of its 4th 28:42 base pair) critically contribute to the increased propensity of rti-tRNAs to SC-RT on UGA and UAR, respectively, at least in *S. cerevisiae* and *Trypanosoma brucei*. Bioinformatics analyses revealed that ciliates with reassigned UAR have a significant bias towards genes encoding tRNA[Gln] with this particular 28:42 base pair composition. In addition, using yeast genetics we have identified contacts that rti-tRNA[Tyr] and the anticodon stem of the aforementioned rti-tRNAs establish with specific amino acid residues of Rps30/eS30 and Rps25/eS25 forming the ribosomal decoding center. Their disruption greatly affects SC-RT-promoting potential that the rti-tRNAs normally allow. Therefore, we propose that, in addition to the degree of complementarity of the codon-anticodon minihelix and its interactions with the specific 18S rRNA decoding bases, tRNAs establish additional contacts with the decoding center components through their backbone, and in particular through their anticodon stem. These so far unrecognized interactions could further propagate a tighter fit around the codon-anticodon minihelix, thereby increasing the likelihood that both cognate and specific near-cognate aa-tRNAs reach an activated state to be selected.

RESULTS

Primary sequence of tRNA[Gln] determines the efficiency of stop codon readthrough in *S. cerevisiae* and *T. brucei*

We previously observed that whereas the tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor [tQ(CUG)M] dramatically induced SC-RT at all four UAG-N tetranucleotides, the other tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptors, [tQ(UUG)B] and [tQ(UUG)L], promoted SC-RT at their corresponding near-cognate UAA-N tetranucleotides only marginally[19]. Remarkably, the nuclear genome of the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* contains altogether 10 genes encoding tRNA[Gln]. Whereas 9 of them encode different iso-decoders of the UUG anticodon iso-acceptor, there is only a single gene encoding the CUG anticodon iso-acceptor [tQ(CUG)M]. Apart from the distinct anticodons of the CUG M iso-acceptor *versus* the remaining 9 UUG iso-decoders, there are only minor variations in their backbone sequence embodied by three specific bases. These are A *versus* G at position 42 of the anticodon stem of the M iso-acceptor (also present in the tQ(UUG)E2 iso-decoder), A *versus* G at position 51 in the pseudouridine (T) loop, and G *versus* A at position 66 in the acceptor stem (Fig. 1A; Extended Data Fig. 1). Notably, none of these bases is subject to otherwise widespread tRNA modifications (https://www.tmodbase.com)[33,34]. These differences prompted us to investigate the mechanistic basis for the elevated SC-RT-inducing potential of the tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor. We wondered whether it is due to the differing anticodon and its modified nucleotide(s) or due to nucleotide variations in the primary sequence of the tRNA[Gln] backbone.

In order to test the impact of each anticodon, we took the M and L iso-acceptors (identical in the backbone sequence with the exception of the aforementioned bases) and simply swapped their anticodons, creating the mutant tRNA[Gln] M [tQ(U*UG)M] and L [tQ(C*UG)L] forms; alternative designation used later on is [tQ(UUG)L_ G42A_G51A_A66G] and [tQ(CUG)M_A42G_A51G_G66A], respectively. Next, we

overexpressed them individually (along with the wild-type (wt) tRNA^{Gln} B and E2 iso-decoders) in yeast cells and measured the SC-RT efficiency using our well-established dual-luciferase system[19], featuring Renilla and Firefly luciferases set in the same frame but separated by either the UAG or UAA stop codons (Fig. 1B and C). Since the mere substitution of the anticodon from CUG to UUG in the [tQ(U*UG)M] mutant allowed a similarly robust SC-RT on UAA as in the case of the wt [tQ(CUG)M] on UAG, we conclude that the impact of the stop codon identity *per se* is negligible and that the readthrough potential of tRNA^{Gln} M iso-acceptor seems to lie predominantly in its primary sequence. In strong support, the reciprocal substitution of the anticodon from UUG to CUG in the [tQ(C*UG)L] mutant resulted in a similarly weak SC-RT on UAG as that of the wt [tQ(UUG)L on UAA (Fig. 1B and C).

For consistency, all tRNA constructs created in this study have been flanked by the M iso-acceptor untranslated regions ("M" UTRs). Importantly, a direct comparison of all studied UUG iso-decoders flanked by either the genuine or "M" UTRs revealed no difference in their SC-RT-inducing potential (Extended Data Fig. 2). Northern blotting revealed that, with one exception (see below), all near-cognate tRNAs that were employed in this study displayed elevated levels, as shown previously[19] and here (Extended Data Fig. 3A). These control experiments rule out that the observed differences are due to differing levels of individual tRNA variants and/or their integrity issues.

Importantly, while no information exists about modifications of the CUG anticodon and/or its adjacent nucleotides in the M iso-acceptor[14], the UUG anticodon of all tRNA^{Gln} iso-decoders undergoes the 5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-2-thiouridine (mcm^{5}s^2) modification at U$_{34}$ during the tRNA maturation process (Fig. 1D)[35]. Hence, we were wondering whether this modification could compromise the SC-RT potential of the tRNA^{Gln} iso-decoders with the UUG anticodon sampling the UAA stop codon in the ribosomal A-site. Therefore, we overexpressed tRNA^{Gln} L iso-decoder [tQ(UUG)L] in yeast strains individually deleted for genes required for the U$_{34}$ modification; namely *ncs2Δ, ncs6Δ, elp2Δ*, and *elp6Δ* (kindly provided by Sebastian Leidel) (Fig. 1D). We assumed that if mcm^{5}s^2 at U$_{34}$ negatively influences SC-RT, we should record higher SC-RT values. Quite the opposite, in all four tested strains the SC-RT efficiency of the wt [tQ(UUG)L] dropped to the EV background levels (Fig. 1E). Essentially the same effect was observed when the mutant [tQ(U*UG)M] tRNA^{Gln} (i.e. the M iso-acceptor with the artificial UUG stop codon) was overexpressed in these deletion strains (Fig. 1F). These results thus not only correlate nicely with the previous work[14], but also clearly suggest that it is not the modification of mcm^{5}s^2 that causes the differential SC-RT potential between the M and all other forms of tRNA^{Gln}. On the contrary, it modestly promotes the miss-incorporation of the "UUG" tRNA^{Gln} iso-decoders at the UAA stop codon. Therefore, we ruled out the impact of the anticodon and its modifications.

To test the effect of the tRNA^{Gln} primary sequence, we individually or in various combinations substituted the bases of tRNA^{Gln} M iso-acceptor [tQ(CUG)M] at positions 42, 51 and 66 with those occurring in the L iso-acceptor. While A51G increased SC-RT, G66A significantly decreased it and, strikingly, A42G displayed exactly the same effect as the triple mutation, i.e. the most pronounced decrease (Fig. 2A). Remember

that the triple mutant is – apart from the anticodon – fully identical to the L iso-acceptor, resembling all other "UUG" tRNA[Gln] iso-decoders (Fig. 1A). Since the effect of the A42G_G66A double substitution practically mimicked that of A42G alone (Fig. 2A), we conclude that the A42 base is the major readthrough effector of the "CUG" tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor. In agreement, the "UUG" E2 iso-decoder that naturally carries adenine at position 42 – in contrast to all other "UUG" tRNA[Gln] iso-decoders – showed the most efficient SC-RT among them (Fig. 1C).

Next, we reversed our approach and instead of diminishing/eliminating the efficiency of SC-RT of the wt M iso-acceptor by targeted substitutions (Fig. 2A), we took the L iso-decoder with its natural UUG anticodon and attempted to boost its propensity for SC-RT with reciprocal substitutions at positions 42, 51 and 66. As can be seen in Fig. 2B, we obtained data inversely reflecting those shown in Fig. 2A. Altogether, these findings clearly illustrate that there are features in the backbone of the tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor – with the prevalent participation of A42 in its anticodon stem – that renders this particular tRNA highly efficient in promoting SC-RT.

Nature of the 28:42 base pair of the anticodon stem is critical for increased propensity of tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor for SC-RT

Adenine 42 of the tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor [tQ(CUG)M] base pairs with U28 in its anticodon stem (Fig. 1A). To investigate to what extent the Watson-Crick base pairing between the 28:42 pair contributes to the efficiency of readthrough, we substituted bases at these specific positions with those either breaking base pairing or restoring it by different means. To the best of our knowledge, none of these bases of this particular tRNA is subject to modifications[34,36]. Of note, the position 28 is known to be modified by pseudouridine synthase Pus1 in several tRNAs but not in tRNA[Gln][36]. In agreement, deletion of *PUS1* had no effect on the efficiency of SC-RT of [tQ(CUG)M] in our previous experiments[19]. First, we tested the variant retaining the Watson-Crick base pairing but with the U28:A42 swapped to create the U28A:A42U pair. Interestingly, its UAG-C SC-RT activity dropped by ~3-fold, i.e. to the same level as when the base pairing was broken by the key A42G substitution (Fig. 2C). To the contrary, restoring the base-paring of A42G by introducing the U28C substitution behaved as wt [tQ(CUG)M]. Swapping the U28C:A42G pair, i.e., creating U28G:A42C, however, also led to ~3-fold drop in the SC-RT efficiency, similarly as in case of the U28A:A42U swap (Fig. 2C). As expected, the pyrimidine:pyrimidine (U28:A42C) pair did not show elevated SC-RT levels (Fig. 2C); the purine:purine (U28G:A42 and U28A:A42) pairs displayed no measurable activity (Fig. 2C and data not shown), indicating that these substitutions produce non-functional tRNAs. Consistently, northern blotting confirmed increased expression of all tRNAs but the latter two (Extended Data Fig. 3A and data not shown). Accordingly, their SC-RT activity remained at background levels of control EVs (Fig. 2C). Importantly, the fact that those functional mutants whose SC-RT is significantly reduced compared with wt [tQ(CUG)M] never fall below the stimulation level of control [tQ(C*UG)L]; i.e., they always show at least a threefold increase over EV, clearly illustrates that their integrity is not affected and that they are efficiently charged. Taken together, these results indicate that in addition to the Watson-Crick

base pairing of the 28:42 pair, pyrimidine is strongly preferred at position 28 and purine at position 42 for the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor to stimulate efficient SC-RT.

To further support the key role of this specific arrangement, we expressed the wt yeast tRNA[Gln] M iso-acceptor [tQ(CUG)M] and its purine:pyrimidine U28G:A42C mutant variant in *T. brucei*, where we recently established the SC-RT dual lucifierase system and where conserved effects of mutations affecting the length of the anticodon stem of tRNA[Trp] were initially observed[32]. Whereas wt [tQ(CUG)M] exhibited very efficient SC-RT even in this parasitic protist evolutionary very distant from yeast, the U28G:A42C mutation completely eliminated it (Fig. 2D). Northern blotting confirmed increased expression of both tRNAs tested (Extended Data Fig. 3B). These results suggest a general nature of the principle of preferential selection of this type of tRNA[Gln] by the ribosome.

Ciliates with UAR-to-glutamine reassignment have genomic bias towards the 28:42 base pair

The ciliates (Ciliophora) are well-known for their propensity for the stop codon reassignments[37]. In several ciliate lineages, UAR stop codons occur within their coding sequences, being reassigned to encode glutamine. In other words, these organisms require tRNAs[Gln] to allow SC-RT on UAR codons to maintain synthesis of full-length proteins. Therefore, we asked whether they exhibit a genomic bias towards genes encoding tRNAs[Gln] with characteristics of their 28:42 base pair described above, to allow as efficient SC-RT as possible. To investigate this, we aligned tRNAs[Gln] from two groups of ciliates; those with the canonical genetic code and those with the UAR-to-glutamine reassignment (Extended Data Excel File 1A). We then split the individual tRNAs[Gln] according to their anticodon into two groups (CTG *versus* TTG) and counted the representation of individual 28:42 base pairs in the canonical (stop) *versus* reassigned (Q) organisms (Extended Data Table 1; Extended Data Excel File 1B). These results revealed that the ratio of pyrimidine 28: purine 42 base pairs *versus* any other combination is 1:3 for "Q" and 1:1 for "stop" in the CTG group, whereas it is 2:1 for "Q" and 1:2 for "stop" in the TTG group, suggesting the expected bias for this specific group.

To explain why we did not see this bias also for the CTG group, we analyzed protein-coding sequences of 12 available (out of 44 total) genomic assemblies of ciliates with reassigned TAR codons (Extended Data Excel File 1A) that were used to predict tRNAs[Gln]. In these coding sequences, we determined the number of codons that encode glutamine, i.e., CAA, CAG, TAA and TAG, and calculated the χ^2 test (Extended Data Excel File 1C) with the assumption that the distribution of all four codons encoding glutamine is the same (i.e., the expected numbers are [CAA+CAG+TAA+TAG]/4). The p-value < 0.00001 for each organism suggests that the differences in the codon abundancies are significant. Notably, with the single exception of *Halteria grandinella*, TAA (with the TTG anticodon) is the most frequently used codon in this set, and in all cases its representation is at least 2-fold higher (often a lot more than 2-fold) than that of TAG (CTG anticodon) (Extended Data Fig. 4). Although there is no knowledge on the actual expression levels of any of tRNAs[Gln], this

finding of Gln codon representation may hypothetically explain why the expected bias for the pyrimidine 28 : purine 42 base pair was not observed in the CTG group. Altogether, it seems that tRNAs[Gln] with the TTG anticodon were under much bigger selection pressure to prioritize the pyrimidine 28: purine 42 base pair arrangement in the anticodon stem to boost readthrough of the most abundant TAA codon.

Interplay between tRNA[Gln] primary structure and factors modulating SC-RT
Previously, we and others showed that the identity of a nucleotide following the termination codon (position/base +4) defines a so-called stop codon tetranucleotide and determines the preferences of specific near-cognate tRNAs, i.e., rti-tRNAs, for a given tetranucleotide[11,19]. In this respect, we demonstrated that one of these rti-tRNAs, namely tRNA[Gln] [tQ(CUG)M], was able to induce SC-RT at all UAG-N tetranucleotides with the following preferences: -G > -A > -C > -U[19]. To examine whether the [tQ(CUG)M] primary backbone sequence has an impact on this UAG-N preference, we employed the dual-luciferase assay with selected, individually overexpressed substitution variants of [tQ(CUG)M]. All of them incorporated at the UAG-N tetranucleotides with the same trend as wt (Fig. 3A). Essentially the same result was observed with two naturally occurring readthrough-inducing hexanucleotide sequences downstream of the UAG stop codon, in particular the tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) UAG-CAATTA context (UAG-TMV) and the UAG-CAACTA context of the yeast *BSC4* gene (UAG-BSC4) (Fig. 3B). Therefore, as in case of the stop codon *per se*, there seems to be no functional interplay between the key tRNA backbone bases and the stop codon context including the key +4 base of the stop codon tetranucleotide.

Earlier we also revealed that besides its indispensable initiation roles[38], the multisubunit translation initiation complex eIF3 controls termination and critically promotes programmed SC-RT on all three stop codons, and that this eIF3 function is evolutionary conserved[16,17]. Detailed analysis of the UGA and UAA stop codons and all tRNAs that are near-cognate to them suggested that – at least in case of these two stop codons – eIF3 promotes incorporation of only those near-cognate tRNAs that have a mismatch at the third (wobble) position, i.e. rti-tRNA[Trp] and rti-tRNA[Cys] for UGA, and rti-tRNA[Tyr] for UAA. Here we asked whether eIF3 also contributes to UAG SC-RT promoted by the fourth rti-tRNAs, the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor, which has a mismatch at the first position. And if yes, whether there is some interplay between eIF3 and the M tRNA[Gln] primary structure.

Overexpressing wt [tQ(CUG)M] in the yeast strain with either wt eIF3 (*TIF35*) or carrying the *tif35-KLF* mutation, compromising its termination role[17], revealed that incorporation of [tQ(CUG)M] at the UAG-C stop codon was markedly impaired in the *tif35-KLF* mutant (Fig. 4A; note different scales and compare tQ-M between both panels), as observed earlier with other two stops[11,17]. Next, we overexpressed mutant [tQ(CUG)M_A42G_A51G_G66A] in both strains seeking a genetic interaction with *tif35-KLF*. However, none was observed (Fig. 4A); the fold decrease between wt tRNA[Gln] expressed in wt *versus* *tif35-KLF* cells was similar to that of [tQ(CUG)M_A42G_A51G_G66A] (~4-fold). These results imply that eIF3: 1) significantly promotes SC-RT of all four rti-tRNAs [tC(GCA); tW(CCA); tY(GUA);

tQ(CUG)] regardless of whether the mismatch occurs at the first or third positions; and 2) does not facilitate the ability of the CUG M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor to markedly boost SC-RT over all UUG iso-decoders.

Next, we examined the wt and mutant tRNA[Gln] in the background of the *sup45-Y410S* mutation of eRF1 (encoded by *SUP45*). This mutant directly disrupts the eRF1-eRF3 interaction, markedly weakening the eRF1 binding to the A-site-situated stop codon and thus producing robust SC-RT[39]. We were wondering whether suppressing the role of the tRNA's major competitor for binding to the A-site – eRF1 – would mitigate differences between the wt and mutant tRNA[Gln] (Fig. 4B). This was not the case, as both wt and mutant tRNA[Gln] (~45-50-fold) increased SC-RT to similarly robust extent in the *sup45-Y410S* mutant *versus* wt cells. This result clearly demonstrates that the documented difference in the propensity for SC-RT between M and other forms of tRNA[Gln] does not originate from varying ability of these tRNAs to outcompete eRF1 during stop codon recognition.

Paromomycin is a widely used drug for translation termination studies relaxing the A-site codon decoding pocket. In particular, its binding to the A-site results in deformation of the near-cognate codon-anticodon helix during the codon sampling step, after which the ribosome does not actively sense the correct Watson Crick base pairing geometry and thus fails to discriminate against near-cognate tRNAs[40,41]. Since we previously showed that paromomycin robustly potentiates the tRNA[Gln] [tQ(CUG)M] ability to boost SC-RT at UAG-N[19], we examined whether it elicits varying effects on sequentially distinct tRNA[Gln] variants. Cells overexpressing wt or mutant [tQ(CUG)M_A42G_A51G_G66A] tRNA[Gln] in the presence of increasing concentration of paromomycin (200 µg/ml, 400 µg/ml, and 600 µg/ml) displayed expectedly much higher levels of SC-RT, nonetheless, the wt/mutant ratio in any of these concentrations remained practically unchanged (Fig. 4C). Please note that tested paromomycin concentration had no significant impact on cell viability (data not shown). This suggests that paromomycin-induced changes of the codon decoding pocket geometry, preventing the ribosome from discriminating against near-cognate tRNAs, do not affect the stimulatory effect of the tRNA[Gln] backbone bases on SC-RT. Where does this effect come from then?

Ribosomal protein Rps30/eS30 promotes incorporation of the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor to the A-site

Next, we wondered whether the tRNA backbone bases establish direct interactions with the A-site ribosomal components outside of the codon decoding pocket to facilitate the codon sampling and/or accommodation processes. Previous reports revealed that the aa-tRNA interacts with h18 and h30 of 18S rRNA and small ribosomal proteins Rps23/uS12, Rps15/uS19, Rps25/eS25, and/or Rps30/eS30[24,29-31]. Based on the available data and RCSB PDB database searches we focused on the latter three RPSes because their flexible domains, in particular, the N-terminus of Rps30/eS30, the C-terminus of Rps15/uS19, and/or the N-terminus of Rps25/eS25[24,29,30], appear to stretch out to contact the anticodon stem base pair 28:42 (Fig. 5A). Structurally the most intriguing contact is the eukaryotic-specific interaction between the tRNA

anticodon stem encompassing the 4th and 5th base pairs and the N-terminus of Rps30/eS30, in particular Arg 10 and His 5[24,29] (Fig. 5B). To test the prospective role of Rps30/eS30 in facilitating the M tRNA[Gln] accommodation in the A-site, we generated several site-specific substitutions of the N-terminal residues of Rps30/eS30 tagged with a FLAG tag at its C-terminus and expressed them in a yeast *rps30a rps30b* double deletion strain. None of the mutants displayed a severe growth phenotype at any tested temperatures (Fig. 5C and data not shown). Interestingly, whereas *rps30b-Δ2-6-FLAG, -5AAAAAA10-FLAG, -K3G-FLAG* and *-H5G-FLAG* mutants robustly decreased SC-RT on UAG-BSC4 in our dual-luciferase assay, *rps30b-A2G-FLAG* and *-V4A-FLAG* increased it, while and *rps30b-R10A-FLAG* had a minimal effect (Fig. 5D). Similar results were obtained with UAA-BSC4 and UGA-BSC4 stop codons (Extended Data Fig. 5A – B; the robustness of the increase in SC-RT induced by *rps30b-V4A-FLAG* exclusively on UAA and a significant decrease of *rps30b-R10A-FLAG* exclusively on UGA is discussed below). Altogether, these results clearly implicate the N-terminus of Rps30/eS30 in controlling the stop codon decoding process.

Next, we individually overexpressed wt and mutant forms of the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor in Rps30/eS30 wt and mutant strains. Except for *R10A* (Fig. 5E and Extended Data Fig. 6A), none of the Rps30/eS30 mutations displayed any interaction with tRNA[Gln] mutants (Extended Data Fig. 6B and data not shown). Intriguingly, the *R10A* mutation practically eliminated the SC-RT-inducing potential of the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor when compared to the EV control and the A42G mutants in both tested stop codon contexts (Fig. 5E and Extended Data Fig. 6A). Importantly, the SC-RT-inducing potential of other UAG rti-tRNA, namely tRNA[Tyr], was not affected at all by *rps30-R10A* (Fig. 5E and Extended Data Fig. 6A). Please note that the rps30b-R10A-FLAG mutant affected neither the polysome content nor the 60S/40S ratio (Extended Data Fig. 7A - B). Also note that the reason for the overall lower SC-RT values obtained with wt tRNA[Gln] [tQ(CUG)M] (Fig. 5E and Extended Data Fig. 6A *versus* for example Fig. 1B) is a different genetic background that was used to delete both copies of *RPS30*-encoding genes. Taken together, these findings strongly support the view that R10 of Rps30/eS30 potentiates the SC-RT ability of [tQ(CUG)M] by directly contacting the 28:42 base pair of its anticodon stem, as can be inferred from the available structures (Fig. 5B).

Interactions of the A-site ribosomal proteins and rti-tRNAs drive SC-RT

We recently discovered that shortening the anticodon stem of *S. cerevisiae* tRNA[Trp]$_{CCA}$ from canonical 5-bp to 4-bp, while preserving its overall length, robustly (7-fold) increased the SC-RT potential of the shorter tRNA variant[32]. Remarkably, this "short" tRNA[Trp] naturally occurs in a handful of eukaryotes with all stop codons reassigned to sense codons[32]. Thus, together with the [tQ(CUG)M] described here, we found two cases of naturally occurring tRNA variants with markedly increased SC-RT potential compared to other variants encoding the same amino acids. This may suggest that it is the extra non-covalent interactions between the tRNA backbone and the A-site components, as demonstrated here for tRNA[Gln], which lend all previously identified rti-tRNAs the benefit of increased SC-RT over other near-cognate tRNAs. To examine

that, we subjected the aforementioned C-terminus of Rps15/uS19 and the N-terminus of Rps25/eS25 (both projecting into the A-site[30,31]) to a similarly thorough mutagenesis as in case of Rps30/eS30, and examined the effect of the resulting mutants, together with the Rps30/eS30 mutants, on propensity of all four rti-tRNAs to increase SC-RT on corresponding stop codons.

Consistent with the observed functional interaction between the 4th base pair (28:42) of the 5-bp long anticodon stem of [tQ(CUG)M] and R10 of Rps30/eS30, while the R10A mutation significantly reduced (by ~30%) the SC-RT potential of the tRNA$^{Trp}_{CCA}$ with the canonical 5-bp long anticodon similar to the EV control, the 4-bp long mutant variant with much higher SC-RT became resistant to this redution; it even showed a statistically insignificant but repeatedly observed modest increase (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, the 4th base pair of wt tRNA$^{Trp}_{CCA}$ observes the pyrimidine : purine rule (U : A). Neither *A2G* nor *V4A* mutations of Rps30/eS30 showed any effect with any length variant of tRNA$^{Trp}_{CCA}$ (Fig. 6A). Neither these mutations nor, importantly, the *R10A* mutation affected the SC-RT potential of tRNA^{Tyr} (Fig. 5E, Extended Data Fig. 6, and data not shown). On the other hand, *R10A* and mainly *H5G* as the only mutations also reduced the SC-RT potential of tRNA^{Cys} (Fig. 6B and data not shown), which nicely correlates with the available structures (Fig. 5B). Remarkably, the 4th base pair of wt tRNA^{Cys} observes the pyrimidine : purine rule (C : G) and when we disrupted its Watson-Crick character with the C27U : G41 mutation, we observed virtually the same as in case of the 4-bp tRNA^{Trp}; i.e. the SC-RT-promoting activity of mutant tRNA^{Cys}, which modestly but still significantly dropped in wt cells, became virtually insensitive to the *R10A* mutation of Rps30/eS30 (Fig. 6C). Because tRNA^{Tyr} is the only rti-tRNA whose 4th base pair does not obey the pyrimidine : purine rule (it has A : U at this position) and which showed no effect with any of the eS30 mutations tested, we propose that the extreme N-terminus of Rps30/eS30, specifically R10 and H5, contacts the top of the tRNA anticodon stem of tRNA^{Gln}, tRNA^{Trp}, and tRNA^{Cys} to facilitate their accommodation in the A-site, as can be inferred from the available structures (Fig. 5B).

While mutations of Rps30/eS30 had no effect on the SC-RT potential of tRNA^{Tyr}, a fully viable double deletion of both Rps25/eS25-encoding genes (*RPS25A* and RPS25*B*), as well as the deletion of 12 N-terminal amino acids in *rps25-Δ2-13* expressed as a sole allele nearly eliminated the SC-RT potential of tRNA^{Tyr} (Fig. 7A and C). At the same time, they had minimal impact on other three rti-tRNAs, despite their overall drastic impact on the SC-RT efficiency (Fig. 7). These findings indicate that the N-terminus of Rps25/eS25 most probably contacts specifically tRNA^{Tyr} to achieve the same as in case of Rps30/eS30 and the other three rti-tRNAs.

Finally, mutational analysis of Rps15/uS19 revealed two mutants with markedly increased SC-RT potential; in particular *rps15-R130A* and *rps15-128AAAAAAA134* (Extended Data Fig. 8A). However, we did not observe any specific interactions with tRNA^{Cys}, tRNA^{Tyr}, the M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor or any anticodon stem length variation of tRNA^{Trp} (Extended Data Fig. 8B - C).

DISCUSSION

In most non-canonical genetic codes, the UAG and UAA stop codons share near-cognate tRNAs delivering the same amino acids[42], which differ from those recognizing the UGA stop codon. In agreement with the genetic code standardly allowing wobble decoding of the 3rd codon base and a well-documented tolerance for the 1st base wobble decoding during recoding events, glutamine, tyrosine, and lysine are incorporated during UAR readthrough, whereas arginine, cysteine, and tryptophan are incorporated during UGA readthrough[18,21]. The efficiency of their incorporation depends on many factors, one of which is the cellular level that may vary from tissue to tissue. Nonetheless, when the relevant tRNAs were overexpressed individually to similar levels in *S. cerevisiae*, only four of them stood out as very potent facilitators of SC-RT, namely glutamine, cysteine, tyrosine, and tryptophan[19]. (Of note, the latter two were tested also in human cell lines with similar results[20].) Why only these four?

Here and in our recent work[32] we demonstrated that – at least for tRNA^{Gln}, tRNA^{Trp}, and tRNA^{Cys} – the answer lies surprisingly not in the bases of their anticodon loop and/or their modifications, but most probably in their anticodon stem. In case of the tRNA^{Gln}, the nature of the 28:42 anticodon base pair is sensed by the Arg10 of Rps30/eS30, whose substitution to Ala greatly mitigates the ability of the M iso-acceptor tRNA^{Gln} to promote efficient SC-RT on UAG, and so does the alteration of the purin:pyrimidine character of its 28:42 base pair. Similarly, *R10A*, as well as *H5G* mutations also reduced the SC-RT potential of wt tRNA^{Cys}. Mainly the Arg10 residue seems to monitor the length and proper base-pairing of the anticodon stem, because its Ala substitution rendered mutant variants of tRNA^{Trp} and tRNA^{Cys} insensitive to its negative effect. These results thus further suggest that a normal tRNA structure contacted by specific residues of the A-site RPSes is required to prevent tRNA misincorporation at non-cognate codons. In agreement, working with the *Escherichia coli* tRNA^{Trp} with the artificial 3' GUC anticodon complementary to the glutamine codon CAG, it was shown that mutations disrupting base-paring between G27 and A43 (i.e. the bases forming the 5th pair of its anticodon stem) turned this tRNA into a potent synthetic suppressor permitting SC-RT at UAG[43]. These results also correlate nicely with the available structures, where R10 and H5 were predicted to contact the 4th and 5th base pair of tRNA's anticodon stem, respectively (Fig. 5B). In addition to Rps30/eS30, complete deletion of Rps25/eS25, or partial deletion of its N-terminal residues, prevented tRNA^{Tyr} from stimulating SC-RT. Overall, we believe that our findings constitute a large body of evidence strongly supporting the idea that the A-site components establish peculiar contacts with the entire tRNA molecule, particularly with its anticodon stem, and thus determine the near-cognate tRNA's odds to be selected (Fig. 7D).

As mentioned above, this is highly likely to be applicable not only to the SC-RT process, but also to the canonical wobble decoding during translation elongation in general. This view is supported by the fact that the human genome encodes ~270 iso-decoder tRNA genes out of approximately 450 tRNA genes distributed among 49 iso-acceptor families. When the sequence diversity among iso-decoder tRNA genes was subjected to robust suppression analysis, it was concluded that the large difference in

their suppression efficiency observed *in vivo* could not be explained solely by differences in tRNA folding due to their varying sequences. Rather, it was postulated that their contacts with the ribosomal components likely play a very important role in modulating their selection effectivity[44].

It was proposed that Rps30/eS30 may enhance the stability of a correct codon-anticodon interaction *via* its contact with the anticodon loop[24]. In the presence of a cognate aa-tRNA, the N-terminus of Rps30/eS30 becomes ordered, allowing a conserved histidine (H76) to reach into a groove between the phosphate backbone of the anticodon +1 position and the two flipped-out decoding bases to form potentially stabilizing contacts. In addition, it was suggested that because this groove depends on the flipped nucleotides that accompany canonical codon-anticodon base pairing, Rps30/eS30 may preferentially stabilize cognate tRNAs to enhance discrimination[24]. In disagreement, according to our data it seems that other contacts of this ribosomal component with the anticodon stem of selected tRNAs stabilize near-cognate tRNAs in the A-site too, thereby weakening rather than enhancing discrimination during kinetic proofreading of the initial selection and/or accommodation (Fig. 7D).

Interestingly, while only a mild increase in SC-RT was observed for the *rps30-V4A* mutation on UAG and UGA, a very strong increase (more than 4-fold) was observed on UAA; similarly, while virtually no effect was observed for the *rps30-R10A* mutation on UAA and UAG, a significant drop in SC-RT (by ~30-50%) was observed on UGA (Fig. 5D and Extended Data Fig. 5). Strikingly, an extreme N-terminal residue R8 of human Rps30/eS30, corresponding to *S. cerevisiae* R10 studied here, was directly cross-linked to the +4 position/base of the mRNA (+1 position being the first nucleotide of the codon in the P-site) in a pre-termination ribosome[45]. This is exactly the base shown to be accommodated in the eukaryotic-specific U-turn-like conformation of the stop codon in the decoding pocket, which is formed when the N-terminal domain (NTD) of eRF1 contacts the ribosome[46,47]. It is also the base, which has a significant impact on the efficiency of SC-RT[2,8-11]. In addition, the N-terminal tail of Rps30/eS30 is, along with Rps31/eS31 and several 18S rRNA sites, known to interact with the eRF1-NTD[48]. In fact, it is believed that upon eRF1 binding, the N-terminal tail of Rps30/eS30 is shielded by the eRF1-NTD from interacting with the backbone of the stop codon as observed in a pre-termination ribosome free of eRFs[45]. Thus, we propose that Rps30/eS30, through its N-terminus, not only discriminates between individual tRNAs, but also somehow recognizes the identity of a stop codon to facilitate its recognition by eRF1, thereby controlling the balance between translation termination and SC-RT.

Besides Rps30/eS30, the anticodon stem of the A-site aa-tRNA also appears to be in contact with the 12 to 15 C-terminal residues of Rps15/uS19, depending on the species. In addition, this region makes electrostatic interactions with the P-site tRNA, the rRNA helices h44 and h69, as well as with the +4 position/base of the mRNA like R8 (*S. cerevisiae* R10) of Rps30/eS30[24,45,49]. However, we found no interactions between the C-terminal mutations of this ribosomal protein and any of rti-tRNAs. This can be explained by two previous observations. Analysis of tRNAs associated with 40S subunits and 80S ribosomes showed that the deletion of the C-terminal

pentadecapeptide fragment of Rps15/uS19 does not affect binding of aa-tRNA at the A-site[50]. Furthermore, interactions of the Rps15/uS19 C-terminal tail with the mRNA or the anticodon stem of the A-site tRNA are not observed in the eEF1A-bound decoding state[24,49]. Therefore, it seems plausible that the Rps15/uS19 establishes contact with the anticodon stem of the A-site tRNA post eEF1A dissociation and kinetic proofreading of the decoded tRNA, which contributes to efficient accommodation of the A-site tRNA, thereby maintaining the optimal rate of elongation. However, this contact may not – in the case of near cognate tRNAs – be of any dramatic importance, as these tRNAs would require energetic support mainly during the initial codon sampling phase, which occurs still in the presence of eEF1A.

The N-terminal extension of the Rps25/eS25 was originally thought to be positioned between the P and E sites[51,52]. However, available structures (PDB: 7RR5) suggest that it stretches as far as to the decoding center of the A-site, where it may interact with the 1st through 3rd base pair of the tRNA anticodon stem. So far, the non-essential Rps25/eS25 has been implicated primarily in the translation of a specific population of mRNAs by specialized ribosomes, as well as in the cap-independent translation initiation *via* internal ribosome entry sites (IRES) and ribosome shunting[53,54]. However, our data with tRNA^{Tyr} suggest an additional role for this protein in the control of SC-RT and, highly likely, in the aa-tRNA selection process during elongation (Fig. 7D).

Given that nonsense mutations account for approximately 11% of inherited genetic disorders in humans[55], prioritizing SC-RT for nonsense mutation correction represents an attractive approach for treating these disorders that could substantially alleviate human disease[56]. Noteworthy, the use of readthrough-inducing drugs (RTIDs) was first tested in patients with cystic fibrosis caused by a nonsense mutation in the CFTR gene[57]. However, the RTIDs are often highly toxic and many patients are non-responsive to the treatment for unknown reasons. Therefore, the application of specialized rti-tRNAs engineered to become highly potent for SC-RT offers a tempting complement to the RTID-based drug therapy. Indeed, a combination of both approaches could mitigate the toxicity of RTIDs by reducing their administered dose, as well as maximize targeted SC-RT while minimizing off-target miscoding[20]. Another application of such tRNAs could be in our combat against human pathogens whose life cycle is dependent on SC-RT, such as various viruses. We believe that the work described here significantly contributes to the understanding of the rules dictating efficacy of SC-RT, which despite extensive study remain elusive.

REFERENCES

1.   Hellen, C.U.T. Translation Termination and Ribosome Recycling in Eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **10**(2018).
2.   Floquet, C., Hatin, I., Rousset, J.P. & Bidou, L. Statistical analysis of readthrough levels for nonsense mutations in mammalian cells reveals a major determinant of response to gentamicin. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002608 (2012).
3.   Mancera-Martinez, E., Brito Querido, J., Valasek, L.S., Simonetti, A. & Hashem, Y. ABCE1: A special factor that orchestrates translation at the crossroad

between recycling and initiation. *RNA Biol*, doi: 10.1080/15476286.2016.1269993 (2017).

4.   Heuer, A. et al. Structure of the 40S-ABCE1 post-splitting complex in ribosome recycling and translation initiation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 453-460 (2017).

5.   Becker, T. et al. Structural basis of highly conserved ribosome recycling in eukaryotes and archaea. *Nature* **482**, 501-6 (2012).

6.   Palma, M. & Lejeune, F. Deciphering the molecular mechanism of stop codon readthrough. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* **96**, 310-329 (2021).

7.   Schueren, F. & Thoms, S. Functional Translational Readthrough: A Systems Biology Perspective. *PLoS Genet* **12**, e1006196 (2016).

8.   Bonetti, B., Fu, L., Moon, J. & Bedwell, D.M. The efficiency of translation termination is determined by a synergistic interplay between upstream and downstream sequences in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Mol Biol* **251**, 334-45 (1995).

9.   Namy, O., Hatin, I. & Rousset, J.P. Impact of the six nucleotides downstream of the stop codon on translation termination. *EMBO Rep* **2**, 787-93 (2001).

10. Harrell, L., Melcher, U. & Atkins, J.F. Predominance of six different hexanucleotide recoding signals 3' of read-through stop codons. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**, 2011-7 (2002).

11. Beznoskova, P., Gunisova, S. & Valasek, L.S. Rules of UGA-N decoding by near-cognate tRNAs and analysis of readthrough on short uORFs in yeast. *RNA* **22**, 456-66 (2016).

12. Firth, A.E., Wills, N.M., Gesteland, R.F. & Atkins, J.F. Stimulation of stop codon readthrough: frequent presence of an extended 3' RNA structural element. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, 6679-91 (2011).

13. Karijolich, J. & Yu, Y.T. Converting nonsense codons into sense codons by targeted pseudouridylation. *Nature* **474**, 395-8 (2011).

14. Blanchet, S. et al. Deciphering the reading of the genetic code by near-cognate tRNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 3018-3023 (2018).

15. Amrani, N. et al. A faux 3'-UTR promotes aberrant termination and triggers nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nature* **432**, 112-8 (2004).

16. Beznosková, P. et al. Translation initiation factors eIF3 and HCR1 control translation termination and stop codon read-through in yeast cells. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003962 (2013).

17. Beznoskova, P., Wagner, S., Jansen, M.E., von der Haar, T. & Valasek, L.S. Translation initiation factor eIF3 promotes programmed stop codon readthrough. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 5099-111 (2015).

18. Roy, B., Leszyk, J.D., Mangus, D.A. & Jacobson, A. Nonsense suppression by near-cognate tRNAs employs alternative base pairing at codon positions 1 and 3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 3038-43 (2015).

19. Beznoskova, P., Pavlikova, Z., Zeman, J., Echeverria Aitken, C. & Valasek, L.S. Yeast applied readthrough inducing system (YARIS): an invivo assay for the comprehensive study of translational readthrough. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, 6339-6350 (2019).

20. Beznoskova, P., Bidou, L., Namy, O. & Valasek, L.S. Increased expression of tryptophan and tyrosine tRNAs elevates stop codon readthrough of reporter systems in human cell lines. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 5202-5215 (2021).

21. Blanchet, S., Cornu, D., Argentini, M. & Namy, O. New insights into the incorporation of natural suppressor tRNAs at stop codons in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 10061-72 (2014).

22. Ogle, J.M. et al. Recognition of cognate transfer RNA by the 30S ribosomal subunit. *Science* **292**, 897-902 (2001).

23. Ogle, J.M., Murphy, F.V., Tarry, M.J. & Ramakrishnan, V. Selection of tRNA by the ribosome requires a transition from an open to a closed form. *Cell* **111**, 721-32 (2002).

24. Shao, S. et al. Decoding Mammalian Ribosome-mRNA States by Translational GTPase Complexes. *Cell* **167**, 1229-1240 e15 (2016).

25. Loveland, A.B., Demo, G., Grigorieff, N. & Korostelev, A.A. Ensemble cryo-EM elucidates the mechanism of translation fidelity. *Nature* **546**, 113-117 (2017).

26. Demeshkina, N., Jenner, L., Westhof, E., Yusupov, M. & Yusupova, G. A new understanding of the decoding principle on the ribosome. *Nature* **484**, 256-9 (2012).

27. Richter, J.D. & Coller, J. Pausing on Polyribosomes: Make Way for Elongation in Translational Control. *Cell* **163**, 292-300 (2015).

28. Stadler, M. & Fire, A. Wobble base-pairing slows in vivo translation elongation in metazoans. *RNA* **17**, 2063-73 (2011).

29. Budkevich, T. et al. Structure and dynamics of the mammalian ribosomal pretranslocation complex. *Mol Cell* **44**, 214-24 (2011).

30. Zeng, F. et al. Conserved heterodimeric GTPase Rbg1/Tma46 promotes efficient translation in eukaryotic cells. *Cell Rep* **37**, 109877 (2021).

31. Bowen, A.M. et al. Ribosomal protein uS19 mutants reveal its role in coordinating ribosome structure and function. *Translation (Austin)* **3**, e1117703 (2015).

32. Kachale, A. et al. Short tRNA anticodon stem and mutant eRF1 allow stop codon reassignment. *Nature* **613**, 751-758 (2023).

33. Pan, T. Modifications and functional genomics of human transfer RNA. *Cell Res* **28**, 395-404 (2018).

34. Johansson, M.J.O. & Byström, A.S. Transfer RNA modifications and modifying enzymes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. in *Fine-Tuning of RNA Functions by Modification and Editing* (ed. Grosjean, H.) 87-120 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005).

35. Nedialkova, D.D. & Leidel, S.A. Optimization of Codon Translation Rates via tRNA Modifications Maintains Proteome Integrity. *Cell* **161**, 1606-18 (2015).

36. Pineyro, D., Torres, A.G. & de Pouplana, L.R. *Biogenesis and Evolution of Functional tRNAs*, ix, 395 pages (Springer, Cham, 2014).

37. Lozupone, C.A., Knight, R.D. & Landweber, L.F. The molecular basis of nuclear genetic code change in ciliates. *Curr Biol* **11**, 65-74 (2001).

38. Valasek, L.S. et al. Embraced by eIF3: structural and functional insights into the roles of eIF3 across the translation cycle. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 10948-10968 (2017).

39. Akhmaloka, Susilowati, P.E., Subandi & Madayanti, F. Mutation at tyrosine in AMLRY (GILRY like) motif of yeast eRF1 on nonsense codons suppression and binding affinity to eRF3. *Int J Biol Sci* **4**, 87-95 (2008).

40. Bidou, L., Allamand, V., Rousset, J.P. & Namy, O. Sense from nonsense: therapies for premature stop codon diseases. *Trends Mol Med* **18**, 679-88 (2012).

41. Prokhorova, I. et al. Aminoglycoside interactions and impacts on the eukaryotic ribosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E10899-E10908 (2017).

42. Panek, T. et al. Nuclear genetic codes with a different meaning of the UAG and the UAA codon. *BMC Biol* **15**, 8 (2017).

43. Schultz, D.W. & Yarus, M. tRNA structure and ribosomal function. I. tRNA nucleotide 27-43 mutations enhance first position wobble. *J Mol Biol* **235**, 1381-94 (1994).

44. Geslain, R. & Pan, T. Functional analysis of human tRNA isodecoders. *J Mol Biol* **396**, 821-31 (2010).

45. Bulygin, K.N., Graifer, D.M., Hountondji, C., Frolova, L.Y. & Karpova, G.G. Exploring contacts of eRF1 with the 3'-terminus of the P site tRNA and mRNA stop signal in the human ribosome at various translation termination steps. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech* **1860**, 782-793 (2017).

46. Brown, A., Shao, S., Murray, J., Hegde, R.S. & Ramakrishnan, V. Structural basis for stop codon recognition in eukaryotes. *Nature* **524**, 493-6 (2015).

47. Matheisl, S., Berninghausen, O., Becker, T. & Beckmann, R. Structure of a human translation termination complex. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 8615-26 (2015).

48. des Georges, A. et al. Structure of the mammalian ribosomal pre-termination complex associated with eRF1.eRF3.GDPNP. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 3409-18 (2014).

49. Bhaskar, V. et al. Dynamics of uS19 C-Terminal Tail during the Translation Elongation Cycle in Human Ribosomes. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107473 (2020).

50. Bulygin, K., Malygin, A., Gopanenko, A., Graifer, D. & Karpova, G. The functional role of the C-terminal tail of the human ribosomal protein uS19. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech* **1863**, 194490 (2020).

51. Ben-Shem, A. et al. The structure of the eukaryotic ribosome at 3.0 A resolution. *Science* **334**, 1524-9 (2011).

52. Rabl, J., Leibundgut, M., Ataide, S.F., Haag, A. & Ban, N. Crystal Structure of the Eukaryotic 40S Ribosomal Subunit in Complex with Initiation Factor 1. *Science* **331**, 730-6 (2011).

53. Hertz, M.I., Landry, D.M., Willis, A.E., Luo, G. & Thompson, S.R. Ribosomal protein S25 dependency reveals a common mechanism for diverse internal ribosome entry sites and ribosome shunting. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**, 1016-26 (2013).

54. Genuth, N.R. & Barna, M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. *Nat Rev Genet* **19**, 431-452 (2018).

55. Mort, M., Ivanov, D., Cooper, D.N. & Chuzhanova, N.A. A meta-analysis of nonsense mutations causing human genetic disease. *Hum Mutat* **29**, 1037-47 (2008).

56. Valasek, L.S., Lukes, J. & Paris, Z. Stops making sense - For the people? *Clin Transl Med* **13**, e1270 (2023).

57. Keeling, K.M., Xue, X., Gunn, G. & Bedwell, D.M. Therapeutics based on stop codon readthrough. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* **15**, 371-94 (2014).

58. Grentzmann, G., Ingram, J.A., Kelly, P.J., Gesteland, R.F. & Atkins, J.F. A dual-luciferase reporter system for studying recoding signals. *RNA* **4**, 479-86 (1998).

59. Muhlrad, D. & Parker, R. Recognition of yeast mRNAs as "nonsense containing" leads to both inhibition of mRNA translation and mRNA degradation: implications for the control of mRNA decapping. *Mol Biol Cell* **10**, 3971-8 (1999).

60. Chomczynski, P. & Sacchi, N. The single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction: twenty-something years on. *Nat Protoc* **1**, 581-5 (2006).

61. Laslett, D. & Canback, B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, 11-6 (2004).

62. Chan, P.P., Lin, B.Y., Mak, A.J. & Lowe, T.M. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: improved detection and functional classification of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 9077-9096 (2021).

63. Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-80 (2013).

64. Chan, P.P. & Lowe, T.M. GtRNAdb 2.0: an expanded database of transfer RNA genes identified in complete and draft genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, D184-9 (2016).

65. Slabodnick, M.M. et al. The Macronuclear Genome of Stentor coeruleus Reveals Tiny Introns in a Giant Cell. *Curr Biol* **27**, 569-575 (2017).

ONLINE METHODS

S. cerevisiae and *T. brucei* strains and plasmids

The lists and descriptions of all strains, plasmids, primers and GeneArt Strings DNA Fragments (Invitrogen) used throughout this study can be found in Supplementary Tables 1 – 6 in the Supplementary Information.

Stop codon readthrough assays

The majority of stop codon readthrough assays in this study were performed using a standard bicistronic reporter construct bearing a *Renilla* luciferase gene followed by an in-frame *Firefly* luciferase gene, originally developed by[58]. The two genes are separated by either a tetranucleotide termination signal (UGA-C) or, for control purposes, the CAA sense codon, followed by cytosine. In indicated cases, the termination signal and/or the following nucleotide context was modified. Note that mRNA levels of the reporters bearing the stop signal between *Renilla* and *Firefly* genes do not differ from the CAA sense control[19]. This system avoids possible artifacts

connected to the changes in the efficiency of translation initiation associated with the nonsense-mediated decay pathway[59], because both Renilla and Firefly enzymes initiate translation from the same AUG codon. All experiments and data analyses were carried out according to the Microtiter plate-based dual luciferase assay (Promega). Readthrough measurements were conducted from at least three biological replicates (n ≥ 3) and each experiment was repeated at least three times. The readthrough values are represented by the box-and-whisker plot with the mean value marked (+) and whiskers going from minimal to maximal value. The box always extends from the 25th to 75th percentiles. The line inside of the box is plotted at the median. Statistical significance was determined by the unpaired, two-tailed Welch's *t* test. The readthrough calculations with raw data of *Firefly* and *Renilla* measurements are given in the Source Data for Figs. 1- 7 and Extended Data Figs. 2, 5, 6, and 8.

Northern blot analysis

To examine the level of tRNA overexpression, total RNA from yeast was isolated by the Quick RNA miniprep assay and total RNA from T. brucei was isolated as previously described [60]. One microgram (yeast) or 10µg (*T. brucei*) was separated on a denaturing 8M urea 8% polyacrylamide gel, and electroblotted to Zeta-probe membranes. The membrane was then UV cross-linked for 1 min followed by Northern hybridization according to the manufacturer (Bio-Rad) using with 32P-labeled oligonucleotides. Following hybridization, membranes were exposed overnight on a phosphoimager screen. Blots were analyzed using a Typhoon scanner and the ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare).

Polysomal gradient analysis

The *S. cerevisiae* strains bearing the wild type or mutant Rps30/eS30 were cultured in the YPD media at 30 °C to an OD_{600} ~0.5. Cycloheximide (50 µg/ml) was added 5 min prior to harvesting by centrifugation at 1,455 g for 5 min at 4 °C. The whole cell extracts (WCEs) were prepared in the GA-HEPES buffer composed of 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 62.5 mM KCl and 10 mM $MgCl_2$ supplemented with 50 µg/ml cycloheximide, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 tablet of cOmplete EDTA-free per 25 ml of buffer. Cells were broken using glass beads (~1/2 volume) by the Bead Beater Homogenizer. After centrifugation at 15,871 g for 5 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was transferred into a pre-cooled 1.5 ml tube and cleared by centrifugation at 21,130 g at 4 °C for 10 min.

For polysome profile analysis, fifteen A_{260} units of WCEs were separated by high velocity sedimentation on a 5–45% sucrose gradient in the GA-HEPES buffer by centrifugation at 39,000 rpm at 4 °C for 2.5 h in the SW41Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter). The resulting gradients were scanned at A_{254} using Teledyne ISCO UA-6 UV/VIS gradient detector.

For the 60S/40S ratio analysis, fifteen A_{260} units of WCEs with addition of 50 mM EDTA were separated and analyzed as described above in the GA-HEPES buffer without $MgCl_2$ to visualize free 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits.

Bioinformatics

The genomes and protein-coding sequences were collected from NCBI and are listed in Extended Data Excel File 1A. The tRNAs[Gln] were predicted by ARAGORN v1.2.38[61] and tRNAscan-SE v2.0.5[62]. Identical sequences of one organism were deduplicated using an in-house python script (https://github.com/kikinocka/ngs/blob/master/py_scripts/deduplicate_sequence_seqs.py). This means that there are still some identical sequences in the dataset but originating from different assemblies. Sequences from ciliates with canonical genetic code (alignment_file_1) and those with reassigned TAR codons (alignment_file_2) were aligned separately using MAFFT G-INS-i v7.458[63]. Sequences with a longer variable loop were manually refined using secondary structures obtained from ARAGORN and/or tRNAscan-SE. The base pair corresponding to 28:42 pair was extracted from the alignments (Extended Data Excel File 1B) using an in-house python script (https://github.com/kikinocka/ngs/blob/master/py_scripts/nt_pair_aln.py).

Codon usage of each protein-coding sequence was determined using an in-house python script (https://github.com/kikinocka/ngs/blob/master/py_scripts/codon_usage.py). The total sum of each Gln codon was extracted for each organism as numbers observed; the expected numbers were calculated as (CAA+CAG+TAA+TAG)/4, and χ^2 test was calculated (Extended Data Excel File 1C).

REPORTING SUMMARY

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files). Plasmids and yeast strains generated in this study are available upon request.

CODE AVAILABILITY

Nothing to report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Ivana Malcová (Institute of Microbiology CAS, Prague) and Jonathan D. Dinman (University of Maryland) for providing various plasmids and to Sebastian Leidel (University of Bern) for providing yeast strains individually deleted for genes required for the U_{34} modification. This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation grants 20-00579S (to L.S.V.) and 20-11585S (to Zd.Pa.), the Praemium Academiae grant provided by the Czech Academy of Sciences (to L.S.V.), and the Charles University Grant Agency project GA UK 1192819 (to Zu.Pa.). Computational resources were provided by the e-INFRA CZ project (ID:90140), supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Zu.Pa., P.B. and L.S.V. conceived and designed the project.

Zu.Pa., P.M., K.P., A.R., K.Z., I.M.D., A.K. and Zd. Pa. carried out all experiments and performed the data analysis.

Zu.Pa., P.M., Zd. Pa., P.B. and L.S.V. interpreted the results.

L.S.V. wrote the paper with input from Zu.Pa., P.M., K.Z., T.B., J.L., Zd.Pa. and P.B.

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

MATERIALS & CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to valasekl@biomed.cas.cz.

Supplementary information is available for this paper.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The efficiency of SC-RT of tRNA^{Gln} is influenced neither by the nature of anticodons, nor by their modifications.

(A) Predicted secondary structures of all tRNA^{Gln} studied therein (retrieved from the GtRNAdb[64]). The bases differing between the CUG and UUG iso-acceptors are shown in blue and orange, respectively.

(B - C) The nature of anticodons of tRNA^{Gln} does not impact the efficiency of SC-RT. Wild-type and indicated (*) mutant of CUG and UUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors with swapped anticodons were overexpressed individually (along with an empty vector - EV) in wild-type yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on (B) UAG-C or (C) UAA-C was measured using the dual-luciferase system described in Methods. Readthrough values were normalized to the control cells (containing dual-luciferase cassette with CAA in place of a stop codon) and plotted as a percentage of readthrough. Each box in the box-and-whisker plot is represented by (B) n = 5 or (C) n ≥ 8 readthrough values. The mean value is marked (+); whiskers range from minimal to maximal values. Statistical significance was determined by the unpaired, two-tailed Welch's t test; **** indicates $p < 0.0001$; *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$; ns = non-significant.

(D) Scheme indicating the nature of the tRNA^{Gln} UUG anticodon modifications, as well as the corresponding deletion mutants of modifying enzymes tested in the study.

(E - F) The mcm^{5}s^2 modification does not make a difference in the varying SC-RT potential between the M and other forms of tRNA^{Gln}. (E) Wild-type tQ(UUG)L and (F) mutant tQ(U*UG)M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and indicated mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAA-C was measured and evaluated as described in panels B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 (E) and n = 6 values (F).

Figure 2. Primary sequence of tRNA^{Gln} determines the efficiency of stop codon readthrough in *S. cerevisiae* and *T. brucei*.

(A - B) Wild-type and mutant (A) CUG and (B) UUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors with indicated backbone substitutions were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on (A) UAG-C or (B) UAA-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(C) The nature of the 28:42 base pair of the anticodon stem is critical for the increased propensity of tRNA^{Gln} M iso-acceptor for SC-RT. Wild-type and indicated mutant variants of the CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor with substitutions of their 28:42 base pair were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n = 6 values. The nature of hydrogen bonding between bases 28 and 42 of the anticodon stem is indicated by a vertical black line (Watson-Crick [W-C] base paring) or a black cross (no W-C paring).

(D) Wild-type and A42G mutant CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in the procyclic forms of *T. brucei* bearing dual-luciferase cassette with in-frame UAG-C. Transformed cells were processed for UAG readthrough measurements as described in Methods. Readthrough values were normalized to those of the control cell line (containing dual-luciferase cassette without in-frame UAG) and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n = 9 values (3 individual experiments each including 3 biological replicates).

Figure 3. Stop codon context has no impact on the SC-RT efficiency of the M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor.

(A - B) Wild-type and mutant variants of the CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor with indicated backbone substitutions were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on (A) UAG-N or (B) the UAG-C, -BSC4 and – TMV stop codon contexts was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; (A) each box is represented by n = 6 values (2 individual experiments each including 3 biological replicates); (B) each box is represented by n ≥ 7 values (2 individual experiments each including n ≥ 3 biological replicates).

Figure 4. Interplay between the tRNA^{Gln} primary structure and factors modulating SC-RT.

(A) SC-RT-promoting translation initiation factor eIF3 does not influence the SC-RT efficiency of the M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor. Wild-type and a mutant variant of the CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor with indicated backbone substitutions were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *tif35-KLF* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n = 6 values (2 individual experiments each including 3 biological replicates).

(B) Disrupting the eRF1-eRF3 interaction by a specific mutation of eRF1 does not influence the SC-RT efficiency of the M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor. Wild-type and a mutant variant of the CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor with indicated backbone substitutions were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *sup45-Y410S* mutant yeast

cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n = 6 values.

(C) Deformation of the near-cognate codon-anticodon helix during the codon sampling step by paromomycin does not influence the SC-RT efficiency of the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor. Wild-type and a mutant variant of the CUG tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor with indicated backbone substitutions were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type yeast cells in the presence of an increasing concentration of paromomycin and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n = 3 values.

Figure 5. Ribosomal protein Rps30/eS30 promotes incorporation of the M tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor to the ribosomal A-site.

(A) Model of the yeast 80S ribosome (PDB-ID 7RR5; left) shown from the top and zoomed-in to highlight the A-site (right). Shown are prospective contacts between the tRNA anticodon stem and ribosomal proteins in the A-site. The 28:42 base-pair is depicted with spheres. Note that the terminal tails of Rps25/eS25, Rps15/uS19, Rps30/eS30 and Rps23/uS12 are known to be flexible and the exact positions and the displayed length of fitted amino acids vary between different published structures. The last amino acids fitted here are as follows: Gln6 of the Rps25/eS25 N-terminus; Gly129 of the Rps15/uS19 C-terminus; Ala2 of the Rps30/eS30 N-terminus; and full-length Rps23/uS12.

(B) Model of the yeast 80S ribosome (PDB-ID 5LZS; left) zoomed-in to highlight the A-site (right). Shown are prospective contacts between the 4th and 5th base-pair of the tRNA anticodon stem and the ribosomal protein Rps30/eS30 in the A-site. The A-site tRNA is shown in green (the 4th base-pair of its anticodon stem in blue and the 5th base-pair in red) and Rps30/eS30 in magenta (H5 in red; R10 in blue). H5 is in the close proximity to the 5th base-pair, whereas R10 to the 4th base-pair.

(C) Growth rate analysis of the N-terminal substitution mutants of Rps30/eS30. Wild-type and indicated Rps30/eS30 mutant yeast cells were spotted in four serial 10-fold dilutions on YPD media and incubated for 29 h at 30 °C.

(D) Rps30/eS30 mutants differentially modulate the SC-RT efficiency at the UAG stop codon. Wild-type and indicated Rps30/eS30 mutant yeast cells were tested for the efficiency of SC-RT in the UAG-BSC4 stop codon context as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(E) R10 of Rps30/eS30 may potentiate the SC-RT ability of [tQ(CUG)M] by directly contacting the 28:42 base pair of its anticodon stem. Wild-type and indicated mutant variants of the CUG tRNA[Gln] iso-acceptor, as well as control tY(GUA), were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *rps30B-R10A* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C was measured and evaluated as described in Figure 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

Figure 6. Arg 10 of Rps30/eS30 ensures incorporation of all rti-tRNAs to the A-site depending on the well-defined identity of the 28:42 base pair.

(A) R10 of Rps30/eS30 potentiates the SC-RT ability of the wt tRNA^{Trp} (with the 5-bp long anticodon stem) while having no influence over its 4-bp long mutant variant. Wild-type and a mutant variant of tRNA^{Trp} were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and indicated *rps30B* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values (2 individual experiments each including ≥ 3 biological replicates).

(B) H5 and R10 of Rps30/eS30 potentiates the SC-RT ability of tRNA^{Cys}. Wild-type tRNA^{Cys} was overexpressed (along with EV) in wild-type and indicated *rps30B* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UGA-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(C) Mutant tRNA^{Cys} (C28U : G42) becomes insensitive to the *R10A* mutation of Rps30/eS30. Wild-type and mutant tRNA^{Cys} were overexpressed (along with EV) in wild-type and the *R10A* mutant of Rps30/eS30 and the efficiency of SC-RT on UGA-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values (2 individual experiments each including ≥ 3 biological replicates).

Figure 7. The extreme N-terminal residues of Rps25/eS25 promote incorporation of the rti-tRNA^{Tyr} to the ribosomal A-site.

(A) Loss of Rps25/eS25 protein diminishes the SC-RT ability of tRNA^{Tyr} as the only rti-tRNA. Wild-type tRNA^{Gln}, tRNA^{Tyr}, and tRNA^{Cys} rti-tRNAs were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *rps25A rps25B* double deletion cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on corresponding stop codons was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(B) Loss of Rps25/eS25 protein has no influence over the SC-RT potential of wt and mutant tRNA^{Trp}. Wild-type and a mutant variant of tRNA^{Trp} were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *rps25A rps25B* double deletion cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UGA-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n = 6 values.

(C) The extreme N-terminal residues of Rps25/eS25 promote incorporation of the rti-tRNA^{Tyr} to the ribosomal A-site. Wild-type tRNA^{Gln} and tRNA^{Tyr} were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *rps25-Δ2-13* mutant cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(D) Model depicting the proposed molecular mechanism of efficient SC-RT. The additional contacts that selected near cognate tRNAs; i.e. rti-tRNAs, make with N-terminal (NTD) domains of Rps25/eS25 and Rps30/eS30 (marked by yellow asterisks) via their anticodon stem increase their odds of outcompeting eRF1 during termination and promote efficient SC-RT.

EXTENDED DATA FIGURE LEGENDS
Extended Data Figure 1. Multiple sequence alignment of *S. cerevisiae* tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors retrieved from the GtRNAdb[64]. Variable positions among iso-

acceptors are highlighted by colors; same residues with [tQ(CUG)M] in blue, differing residues in orange.

Extended Data Figure 2. UTRs of tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors do not influence SC-RT efficiency. Wild-type UUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptors flanked by either the genuine or "[tQ(CUG)M]" UTRs were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAA-C was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

Extended Data Figure 3. Expression levels of tRNA^{Gln} variants of S. *cerevisiae* an *T. brucei*.
(A) Increased gene dosage of all analyzed tRNA^{Gln} variants significantly increases their cellular levels *in vivo*. Total RNA was isolated from the wild-type yeast strain PBH156 expressing plasmid-borne high copy wild-type or indicated mutant variants of the "M" tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor (indicated at the top of each panel). One microgram of total RNA was resolved on the urea gel, transferred onto a nylon membrane and hybridized with a specific [γ^{32}P]ATP labeled probe against tRNA^{Gln}. The 5.8S rRNA was used as a loading control. Repeated 3-times with similar results.
(B) Expression of the yeast tRNA^{Gln} (tQ) variants in *T. brucei*. Total RNA was extracted from *T. brucei* strain 29-13 expressing the genome integrated plasmid pLEW100 bearing (tQ) variants from *S. cerevisiae* (indicated at the top of each panel). Ten μg of RNA was resolved by urea-PAGE, followed by the northern blot analysis using [γ^{32}P]ATP labeled oligonucleotide specific for both tQ variants; Empty vector (EV) served as a negative control. 5.8S rRNA was used as a loading control. Repeated 3 times with similar results.

Extended Data Figure 4. Codon usage of Gln codons in ciliates with reassigned genetic code. Protein-coding sequences originating from macronucleus (unless stated otherwise) were obtained from NCBI (Extended Data Supplementary Excel file 1A). Codon usage of all Gln codons (CAA, CAG, TAA, and TAG) was determined (Extended Data Supplementary Excel file 1C), and the observed numbers were visualized as bar plots. Expected numbers ([CAA+CAG+TAA+TAG]/4) are represented by horizontal black lines. Canonical and reassigned Gln codons are in grey and orange, respectively.

Extended Data Figure 5. Rps30/eS30 mutants differentially modulate the SC-RT efficiency at the UAA and UGA stop codon.
(A – B). Wild-type and indicated Rps30/eS30 mutant yeast cells were examined for efficiency of SC-RT on (A) UAA-BSC4 or (B) UGA-BSC4 as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 6 values (2 individual experiments each including n ≥ 3 biological replicates).

Extended Data Figure 6. Impact of the Rps30/eS30 mutants on incorporation of the M tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor into the A-site.

(A) R10 of Rps30/eS30 may potentiate the SC-RT-promoting ability of [tQ(CUG)M] by directly contacting the 28:42 base pair of its anticodon stem. Wild-type and indicated mutant variants of the CUG tRNA^{Gln} iso-acceptor, as well as control tY(GUA), were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and *rps30B-R10A* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-BSC4 was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

(B) V4 of Rps30/eS30 has no effect on the SC-RT-promoting ability of [tQ(CUG)M]. The same as in panel A only the *V4A* instead of *R10A* mutation of Rps30/eS30 was examined on UAG-C; each box is represented by n ≥ 11 values (2 individual experiments each including n ≥ 5 biological replicates).

Extended Data Figure 7. The Rps30/eS30 mutation *R10A* has no detectable impact either on the polysome content or on the 60S/40S ratio.
(A – B) Polysomal profiles of wild-type vs. *rps30B-R10A* mutant yeast cells (A) in the presence of 10mM MgCl$_2$ or (B) in the absence of MgCl$_2$. Yeast cells bearing the indicated wild-type or mutant Rps30/eS30 were grown in the YPD medium at 30 °C to an OD$_{600}$ ~0.5 and processed for polysomal gradient analysis as described in Methods. Positions of 40S, 60S and 80S species are indicated by arrows; polysomes by a horizontal line. (B) The 60S/40S ratio represents the result from three independent experiments ± SD.

Extended Data Figure 8. The extreme C-terminal residues of Rps19/uS19 do not influence the SC-RT efficiency of any rti-tRNAs or their mutant variants.
(A) Growth rate and stop codon readthrough analysis of the C-terminal substitution mutants of Rps15/uS19. Wild-type and indicated Rps15/uS19 mutant yeast cells were spotted in five serial 10-fold dilutions on minimal media supplemented with required amino acids and incubated for 29 hours at 30 °C. The same cells were tested for efficiency of SC-RT in the UAG-TMV stop codon context as described in Fig. 1B - C; each box is represented by n≥ 5 values (2 individual experiments each including ≥ 2 biological replicates).

(B) The extreme C-terminal residues of Rps15/uS19 do not influence the SC-RT efficiency of tRNA^{Gln} and tRNA^{Trp} or their mutant variants. Wild-type and indicated mutant variants of tRNA^{Gln} and tRNA^{Trp} were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and indicated *rps15* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UAG-C and UGA-C, respectively, was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n≥ 5 values (2 individual experiments each including ≥ 2 biological replicates).

(C) The extreme C-terminal residues of Rps15/uS19 do not influence the SC-RT efficiency of tRNA^{Tyr} and tRNA^{Cys}. Wild-type tRNA^{Cys} and tRNA^{Tyr} were overexpressed individually (along with EV) in wild-type and indicated *rps15* mutant yeast cells and the efficiency of SC-RT on UGA-C and UAG-C, respectively, was measured and evaluated as described in Fig. 1B – C; each box is represented by n ≥ 5 values.

EXTENDED DATA TABLE TITLES AND FOOTNOTES

Extended Data Supplementary Excel file 1. Bioinformatics analyses of Gln tRNAs and codons.

(A) List of species used for the analyses. Genetic codes and accession numbers of genomic assemblies that were obtained from NCBI are given in columns C and D, respectively. Numbers of protein-coding genes are listed in column E and links to their sources in F.

(B) Listed are 28:42 base pairs for each tRNA^{Gln} for each ciliate species.

(C) Summary counts (Observed) for Gln codons are listed for each species. Expected numbers were calculated as (CAA+CAG+TAA+TAG)/4, except for *Stentor coeruleus* that employs standard genetic code [65], and thus the expected numbers were calculated as (CAA+CAG)/2. From these numbers, bar plots were generated (see Extended Data Fig. 4) and χ^2 was calculated.

Extended Data Table 1. The 28:42 base pair counts in tRNAs^{Gln} of ciliates with canonical genetic code (UAR = stop) and those with reassigned UAR codons (UAR = Q).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

% of UAG-TMV readthrough
rps25A∆ + rps25B∆

B

% of UGA-BSC4 readthrough
rps25A∆ + rps25B∆

RPS25A
EV

C

% of UAG-BSC4 readthrough
rps25A∆ + rps25B∆

% of UGA-TMV readthrough
rps25A∆ + rps25B∆

D

codon sampling/
accomodation of
any nc-tRNA^Gln

eRF1

stop codon readthrough
by rti-tRNA^Gln

A-site

eS25-NTD

eS30-NTD

eRF1

ED Fig. 1

ED Fig. 2

ED Fig. 3

A

S. cerevisiae tQ-M expressed in *S. cerevisiae*

| | EV | tQ-M U28–A42 | tQ-M U28 A42G | tQ-M A42G A51G G66A | tQ-M U28A–A42U | tQ-M U28C–A42G | tQ-M U28G–A42C | tQ-M U28✕A42C |

probe — tQ(CUG)
probe — 5.8S rRNA

same as
tQ-L(C*UG)

B

S. cerevisiae tQ-M expressed in *T. brucei*

| | EV | tQ-M U28–A42 | tQ-M U28G–A42C |

probe — tQ(CUG)
probe — 5.8S rRNA

ED Fig. 4

ED Fig. 5

ED Fig. 6

A

% of UAG-BSC4 readthrough
rps30AΔ + rps30BΔ

B

% of UAG-C readthrough
rps30AΔ + rps30BΔ

ED Fig. 7

A

B

ED Fig. 8