Short communication

High prevalence of haemosporidian parasites in Eurasian Jays

Yvonne R. Schumm [#], Naemi Lederer-Ponzer, Juan F. Masello, Petra Quillfeldt

Justus-Liebig University Giessen, Department of Animal Ecology & Systematics, Heinrich-Buff-Ring

26, 35392 Giessen, Germany

Corresponding author: email: Yvonne.R.Schumm@bio.uni-giessen.de, phone: +49 641-9935772

ORCID IDs:

Yvonne R. Schumm: 0000-0002-7241-796X

Juan F. Masello: 0000-0002-6826-4016

Petra Quillfeldt: 0000-0002-4450-8688

Abstract

Avian haemosporidians are vector-borne blood parasites, which infect a great variety of avian host

species. The order Passeriformes has the highest average infection probability; nevertheless, some

common species of this order have been rather poorly studied in terms of haemosporidian prevalence

and diversity. In this study, we investigated the prevalence of haemosporidians in one of such species,

the Eurasian Jay *Garrulus glandarius* (Corvidae), from a forest population in Hesse, Central Germany.

All individuals were infected with at least one haemosporidian genus, i.e. an overall prevalence of

100%. The most common infection pattern was a mixed infection with *Haemoproteus* and

Leucocytozoon, whereas no *Plasmodium* infection was detected. Our results regarding lineage

diversity combined with data from other studies indicate a rather pronounced host-specificity of

Haemoproteus and *Leucocytozoon* lineages infecting birds of the family Corvidae.

Introduction

Vector-borne avian blood parasites (Apicomplexa, order Haemosporidia), including the genera

Plasmodium (avian malaria), and malaria-like pathogens *Leucocytozoon* and *Haemoproteus*, are

widespread and infect a great variety of avian host species (Valkiūnas 2005). The application of

molecular techniques for detecting and characterizing haemosporidians are providing an increasingly

growing and accurate picture of their host range, (host-specific) prevalence, distribution, and diversity

(Rivero & Gandon 2018). The intensified research has revealed an extensive genetic diversity of

haemosporidian lineages (> 4800 lineages, MalAvi 2023), which seems to be matched by an equally

rich phenotypic diversity, such as lineages differing in their host range or within-host effects or

virulence (Rivero & Gandon 2018; Ágh et al. 2022). At avian host-species level, differences in host

life history and behavioural traits, e.g. preferred foraging habitat or nest type, can influence rates of

dipteran vector exposure, which can lead to heterogeneous infection probabilities across avian hosts

(Fecchio et al. 2021; Rodríguez-Hernández et al. 2021). In a global study (Fecchio et al. 2021),

including 141 avian families, those with the highest average *Leucocytozoon* infection probabilities

belonged the order Passeriformes, e.g. Paridae, Corvidae. Also, the highest *Plasmodium* lineage

diversity across all continents was found in passerine birds (Rivero & Gandon 2018). Nevertheless, in

species of the order Passeriformes, many lineages and lineage-host relations likely were not found yet,

particularly in hosts that have not been intensively sampled. For instance, there are only 23 entries for

Eurasian Jays *Garrulus glandarius* in the MalAvi database (274 entries in total for Corvidae),

comprising seven *Haemoproteus*, two *Plasmodium* and five *Leucocytozoon* lineages (MalAvi 2023).

The Palearctic-oriental distributed Eurasian Jay is in Central Europe a resident, mainly forest-

dwelling, open-nesting species, which in some years show eruptive movements in the autumn, which

seem to be related to population density and the variation in acorn availability (Glutz von Blotzheim &

Bauer 1992; Selås 2017). In this study we aimed to (a) assess the Haemosporidia infection status in

Jays from one forest population in Central Germany, (b) investigate the lineage diversity, and (c)

compare our results with known lineages in Eurasian Jays from other breeding sites.

Material & Methods

Blood sample collection

We sampled a total of 16 Eurasian Jays from May 2020 to July 2021. We captured the

individuals using walk-in traps placed in the Marburg Open Forest, a 250-ha managed forest,

consisting of mixed stands dominated by Common Beech *Fagus sylvatica* and Common Oak *Quercus*

robur, in Hesse, Central Germany (50°50′ N, 8°39′ E). We obtained the blood samples by puncture of

the brachial vein (Table 1), and stored them on Whatman FTA cards (Whatman®, UK). Additionally,

we prepared two blood smears per individual, which we fixed with methanol (100%) for 30 s and

stained with Giemsa in a work solution prepared with buffer pH 7.0 (ratio 1:5) for 30 min.

For DNA isolation, we cut a 3×3 mm piece of the samples in the FTA card to extract DNA

(ammonium-acetate protocol; Martínez et al. 2009). We determined DNA concentration and quality

using a NanoDrop2000c UV-Vis spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, USA).

Parasite detection

We determined the presence or absence of avian haemosporidians through a nested PCR

protocol targeting a 479-base pair (bp) region of the cytochrome *b* gene (cyt *b*; for detailed PCR

protocol see Hellgren et al. 2004). In each PCR run, we included DNA from birds with known

haemosporidian infection and deionized water as positive and negative controls, respectively. We

rerun each sample resulting in a negative PCR reaction to confirm the parasite absence. We visualized

the PCR amplicons using QIAxcel Advanced (QIAGEN) high-resolution capillary gel electrophoresis.

Subsequently, we sent all PCR products of samples rendering a clear band during gel electrophoresis

for Sanger bidirectional sequencing at Microsynth-Seqlab (Sequence Laboratories Goettingen GmbH,

Germany). Using CLC Main Workbench 7.6.4 (CLC Bio, Qiagen, Denmark), we assembled and

trimmed forward and reverse sequences. To identify lineages, we aligned the sequences with

sequences deposited in the MalAvi database using BLASTN 2.3.0+ (Bensch et al. 2009). If the

sequencing quality did not allow a clear determination, we repeated the PCR and sequencing.

However, regardless this, we could not clearly determine the lineage of one *Haemoproteus*-positive

sample (Table 1).

We constructed a haplotype network of haemosporidian lineages (Fig. 1), using the medium

joining network method implemented in PopART 1.7 (Leigh & Bryant 2015). The network covers all

sequences clearly assigned to a lineage from this study (n = 29) and sequences found in Jays deposited

in MalAvi (n = 31). However, we had to exclude two sequences, corresponding to the lineages

GAGLA01 and GAGLA04, from MalAvi due to being short (< 476 bp) and containing undetermined

nucleotides.

We examined the stained blood smears at $\times$ 1000 magnification (light microscope PrimoStar

Zeiss, Germany) for at least 10,000 monolayered erythrocytes to calculate infection intensity based on

the presence and number of intraerythrocytic gametocytes (e.g. Stanković et al. 2019).

<u>Results & Discussion</u>

The overall infection rate in the Eurasian Jay population was 100%, i.e. each individual showed a

positive PCR result for at least one haemosporidian genus. Interestingly, we could detect no

Plasmodium infections, while *Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon* infections reached a high prevalence

(94% and 88%, respectively), and often occurred as mixed infection (Table 1). However, *Plasmodium*

infections (lineages GRW11 and SGS1) have been found in a few Eurasian Jays from Asia and Europe

(Beadell et al. 2006; Dimitrov et al. 2010; Drovetski et al. 2014; Fig. 1). Whereas other studies also

found no *Plasmodium* infections in Eurasian Jays (Stanković et al. 2019; Šujanová et al. 2021).

Host ID [sex]	Sampling date	*Haemoproteus* Lineage	*Plasmodium*	*Leucocytozoon* Lineage	Mixed infection	Intensity [%]
Jay_01 [male]	20.05.2020	● GAGLA02	●	● EUSE1	YES	0.6
Jay_02 [male]	25.05.2020	● CIRCUM05/GAGLA02	●	● GAGLA06	YES	1.1
Jay_03 [male]	25.05.2020	● CIRCUM05	●	● COCOR02	YES	0.6
Jay_04 [male]	25.05.2020	● CIRCUM05	●	●	NO	0.1
Jay_05 [female]	28.05.2020	● CIRCUM05	●	● COCOR02	YES	6.8
Jay_06 [male]	03.06.2020	● GAGLA02	●	●	NO	0.2
Jay_07 [female]	03.06.2020	●	●	● COCOR02	NO	0.0
Jay_08 [male]	30.04.2021	● CIRCUM05	●	● COCOR02	YES	0.8
Jay_09 [female]	30.04.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● COCOR02	YES	0.0
Jay_10 [male]	02.06.2021	● CIRCUM05	●	● COCOR02	YES	0.0
Jay_11 [male]	16.06.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● COCOR02	YES	1.3
Jay_12 [female]	16.06.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● EUSE1	YES	0.5
Jay_13 [male]	16.06.2021	● *unspecified*	●	● GAGLA06	YES	0.7
Jay_14 [female]	21.06.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● EUSE1	YES	0.3
Jay_15 [male]	12.07.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● EUSE1	YES	0.1
Jay_16 [male]	21.07.2021	● GAGLA02	●	● GAGLA06	YES	0.3

Matching our result, a community analysis, including 29 avian species, from the same study area

in Hesse found low *Plasmodium* infection prevalence (8%), compared to a higher *Haemoproteus*

(68%) and *Leucocytozoon* (60%) prevalence (Strehmann et al. 2023). However, how exactly

phylogenetic, climatic, environmental and behavioural factors influence and form the occurrence and

distribution of haemosporidian parasites is still under research (e.g. Pigeault et al. 2022; de Angeli

Dutra et al. 2023).

The intensity of infection (parasitaemia) varied between 0 and 6.8%, however, most individuals

(81%) had an intensity < 1.0% (Table 1). Generally, low-intensity infections (connected with mainly

chronic infections) can persist in the hosts without direct visible effects. This fits the observation that

none of the sampled Eurasian Jays showed any clinical signs of disease. Yet, some studies showed that

also a low-intensity haemosporidian infection can have negative long-term effects on the host fitness,

e.g. by influencing reproduction and survival (e.g. Asghar et al. 2015; Schoenle et al. 2017).

We were able to assign the detected *Haemoproteus* infections to two lineages: GAGLA02

(prevalence: 56%, GenBank accession number: OR069477) and CIRCUM05 (38%, OR069478),

whereby one Jay individual was infected with both lineages (Table 1). Both lineages already have

been proved in Eurasian Jays from other sampling locations in Europe (Fig. 1). Considering the

lineage network, the GAGLA-*Haemoproteus* lineages cluster together (Fig. 1). The clustering lineages

GAGLA02, GAGLA03 and GAGLA07 have so far only been detected in Eurasian Jays, the lineage

GAGLA05 also in another member of the Corvidae family, the Common Raven *Corvus corax*

(MalAvi 2023). This suggests a certain host-specificity of these found *Haemoproteus* lineages, which

is line with other findings proposing *Haemoproteus* to be rather host-specific (e.g. Ellis et al. 2020;

Strehmann et al. 2023). The *Leucocytozoon* lineages GAGLA06 (OR069481) and COCOR02

(OR069479) were so far found in Eurasian Jays and Common Ravens only, too, whereas the

Leucocytozoon lineage EUSE1 (OR069480) up to now was detected in Common Ravens only

(MalAvi 2023). We found four Eurasian Jay individuals to be infected with EUSE1 (Table 1),

constituting a new host-lineage interaction. The results show that, similar to the *Haemoproteus*

lineages, some *Leucocytozoon* lineages may be quite host-specific to Corvidae species. Therefore, this

avian family, which has been rather poorly studied with respect to haemosporidian infections, might

be a good model group for further research on haemosporidian host specificity.

Figure 1. Median-joining network of mitochondrial cytochrome *b* lineages (476 bp, n = 60 sequences)

of haemosporidian parasites found in Eurasian Jays *Garrulus glandarius*. Circle size is proportional to

the lineage frequency. Lineage names are noted at the associated circles together with one exemplary

GenBank association number in parentheses. One hatch mark represents one mutation. Sample origins

are represented by different colours, "MalAvi" referring to sequences from other studies deposited in

the MalAvi database (MalAvi 2023).

Acknowledgements

We thank Sabine Wagner, Anna Wahle and Wiebke Schäfer for supporting the laboratory work, and

Kim Lindner and Sascha Rösner for assistance to the fieldwork.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

YRS, PQ and JFM conceptualized the study and carried out fieldwork. PQ acquired the funding. YRS

and NLP performed laboratory work. YRS analysed the data. The first draft of the manuscript was

written by YRS. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and approved the submitted version.

Data availability statement

Sequences are deposited in GenBank (accession numbers OR069477 to OR069481) and will be

submitted to the MalAvi database. The (raw) data of this article is freely available for download from

PANGAEA (Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental Science) at

https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.961154, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.961255, and

https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.961493

Ethics statement

All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

Animal handling, including an ethical approval according to the national animal protection laws, was

carried out under permits of the Regierungspräsidium Gießen, Hesse, Germany (permit number

G10/2019).

Funding

This project was part of the of the LOEWE priority project *Nature 4.0 - Sensing Biodiversity* funded

by the Hessen State Ministry for Higher Education, Research and the Arts.

References

Ágh, N, Csörgő, T, Szöllősi E (2022) Delay in arrival: lineage-specific influence of haemosporidians
on autumn migration of European robins. Parasitol Res 121:2831–2840.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-022-07621-5
Asghar M, Hasselquist D, Hansson B, Zehtindjiev P, Westerdahl H, Bensch S (2015) Hidden costs of
infection: Chronic malaria accelerates telomere degradation and senescence in wild birds.
Science 347:436–438. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261121
Beadell JS, Ishtiaq F, Covas R, et al. (2006) Global phylogeographic limits of Hawaii's avian malaria.
Proc R Soc B 273:2935-2944. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3671
Bensch S, Hellgren O, Peréz-Tris J (2009) MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related
haemosporidians in avian hosts based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* lineages. Mol Ecol
Resour 9:1353–1358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02692.x
De Angeli Dutra D, Pinheiro RBP, Fecchio A, Poulin R (2023) Revealing the drivers of parasite
community assembly: using avian haemosporidians to model global dynamics of parasite
species turnover. Ecography 5:e06634. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06634
Dimitrov D, Zehtindjiev P, Bensch S (2010) Genetic diversity of avian blood parasites in SE Europe:
Cytochrome *b* lineages of the genera *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* (Haemosporida) from
Bulgaria. Acta Parasitologica 55:201-209. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-010-0029-z
Drovetski SV, Aghayan SA, Mata VA, et al. (2014) Does the niche breadth or trade-off hypothesis
explain the abundance–occupancy relationship in avian Haemosporidia? Mol Ecol 23:3322-
3329. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12744
Ellis VA, Huang X, Westerdahl H, et al. (2020) Explaining prevalence, diversity and host specificity
in a community of avian haemosporidian parasites. Oikos 129:1314–1329.
https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.07280
Fecchio A, Clark NJ, Bell JA, et al (2021) Global drivers of avian haemosporidian infections vary
across zoogeographical regions. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 30:2393-2406.
https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13390
Glutz von Blotzheim U, Bauer K (1992) *Garrulus glandarius* (Linnaeus 1758) – Eichelhäher.
Handbuch der Vögel Mitteleuropas. Edition 2; AULA-Verlag GmbH Wiesbaden. Pp. 1380-
1434.
Hellgren O, Waldenström J, Bensch S (2004) A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of
Leucocytozoon, *Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood. J Parasitol 90:797–802.
https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-184R1
Leigh JW, Bryant D (2015) PopART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction.
Methods Ecol Evol 6:1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410
MalAvi (2023) Tables '*Database Summary Report*' and '*Hosts And Sites Table*' downloaded on
16.05.2023. https://130.235.244.92/Malavi/
Martínez J, Martínez-de La Puente J, Herrero J et al (2009) A restriction site to differentiate
Plasmodium and *Haemoproteus* infections in birds: on the inefficiency of general primers for
detection of mixed infections. Parasitology 136:713722.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182009006118
Pigeault R, Chevalier M, Cozzarolo C-S, et al. (2022) Determinants of haemosporidian single- and co-
infection risks in western palearctic birds. Int J Parasitol 52:617-627.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2022.05.002
Rivero A, Gandon S (2018) Evolutionary ecology of avian malaria: past to present. Trends Parasitol
34:712-726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.06.002

Rodríguez-Hernández K, Álvarez-Mendizábal P, Chapa-Vargas L, et al. (2021) Haemosporidian
prevalence, parasitaemia and aggregation in relation to avian assemblage life history traits at
different elevations. Int J Parasitol 51:365-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.10.006
Schoenle LA, Kernbach M, Haussmann MF, Bonier F, Moore IT (2017) An experimental test of the
physiological consequences of avian malaria infection. J Anim Ecol 86:1483-1496.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12753
Selås V (2017) Autumn irruptions of Eurasian Jay (*Garrulus glandarius*) in Norway in relation to
acorn production and weather. Ornis Fennica 94:92-100.
Stanković D, Jönsson J, Raković M (2019) Diversity of avian blood parasites in wild passerines in
Serbia with special reference to two new lineages. J Ornithol 160:545-555.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-019-01628-z
Strehmann F, Becker M, Lindner K, et al. (2023) Half of a forest bird community infected with
haemosporidian parasites. Front Ecol Evol 11:1107736.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1107736
Šujanová A, Špitalská E, Václav R (2021) Seasonal dynamics and diversity of Haemosporidians in a
natural woodland bird community in Slovakia. Diversity 13:439.
https://doi.org/10.3390/d13090439
Valkiūnas G (2005) Avian malaria parasites and other haemosporidia (2nd ed.). CRC Press.