Astrocytes gate long-term potentiation in hippocampal interneurons

Weida Shen[1,6,*], Yejiao Tang[1,2,6], Jing Yang[1,6], Linjing Zhu[1,6], Wen Zhou[1], Liyang Xiang[3,4], Feng Zhu[1], Jingyin Dong[1], Yicheng Xie[5], Ling-Hui Zeng[1,*]

[1] Key Laboratory of Novel Targets and Drug Study for Neural Repair of Zhejiang Province, School of Medicine, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, 310015, China
[2] Institute of Pharmacology & Toxicology, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology of the Ministry of Health of China, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China
[3] Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Neuroelectronics and Brain Computer Interface Technology, Hangzhou, 311121, China
[4] School of Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China
[5] Department of Neurology, The Children's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Hangzhou, 310052, China

[6] These authors contributed equally to this work.
* Corresponding authors: Ling-Hui Zeng (zenglh@hzcu.edu.cn), Weida Shen (shenwd@hzcu.edu.cn)

Abstract:

Long-term potentiation is involved in physiological process like learning and memory, motor learning and sensory processing, and pathological conditions such as addiction. In contrast to the extensive studies on the mechanism of long-term potentiation on excitatory glutamatergic synapse onto excitatory neurons ($LTP_{E \to E}$), the mechanism of LTP on excitatory glutamatergic synapse onto inhibitory neurons ($LTP_{E \to I}$) remains largely unknown. In the central nervous system, astrocytes play an important role in regulating synaptic activity and participate in the process of $LTP_{E \to E}$, but their functions in $LTP_{E \to I}$ remain incompletely defined. Using electrophysiological, pharmacological, confocal calcium imaging, chemogenetics and behavior tests, we studied the role of astrocytes in regulating $LTP_{E \to I}$ in the hippocampal CA1 region and their impact on cognitive function. We show that $LTP_{E \to I}$ in stratum oriens of hippocampal CA1 is astrocyte independent. However, in the stratum radiatum, synaptically released endocannabinoids increases astrocyte Ca^{2+} via type-1 cannabinoid receptors, stimulates D-serine release, and potentiate excitatory synaptic transmission on inhibitory neuron through the activation of (N-methyl-D-aspartate) NMDA receptors. We also revealed that chemogentic activation of astrocytes is sufficient to induce NMDA-dependent *de novo* $LTP_{E \to I}$ in the stratum radiatum of hippocampus. Furthermore, we found that disrupt $LTP_{E \to I}$ by knockdwon γCaMKII in interneurons of stratum radiatum resulted in dramatic memory impairment. Our findings suggest that astrocytes release D-serine, which activates NMDA receptors to regulate $LTP_{E \to I}$, and that cognitive function is intricately linked with the proper functioning of this $LTP_{E \to I}$ pathway.

Keywords: astrocyte; cannabinoid receptor; D-serine; calcium signaling; NMDA receptor; interneuron; synaptic plasticity; γCaMKII; learning and memory

Materials and Methods:

Animals:

Our study was conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and was approved by the ethics committee of the Hangzhou City University (registration number: 22061). C57BL/6 male mice (2-4 months) were purchased from Hangzhou Ziyuan Laboratory Animal Corporation and housed in groups of three to four per cage. The mice were maintained on a 12-hour light/dark cycle and were provided with *ad libitum* access to food and water.

Stereotactic virus injection:

Stereotactic virus injection was conducted as described previously (1, 2). Briefly, adult mice were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) and secured in a stereotaxic device with ear bars (RWD, 68930) while their body temperature was maintained at approximately 37 °C using a heating blanket. Their hair was removed using a razor and the skin was sterilized with iodophor. A 1-cm incision in the midline using sterile scissors. Small burr holes were drilled bilaterally using an electric hand drill at the following coordinates: anteroposterior (AP), 2.3 mm from Bregma; mediolateral (ML), ±1.4 mm. Virus particles were then injected bilaterally into the stratum radiatum (1.2 mm from the pial surface) using glass pipettes connected to an injection pump (RWD, R480). The injection rate was controlled at 1 nl/s using the pump. To allow the virus disseminate into the tissue, glass pipette was left in place for 10 minutes after each injection. After the injection, the pipettes were gradually removed and the wound was sutured up. For hippocampal interneuron physiological recording, 200 nl AAV2/9 mDLx EGFP (6.4×10^{11} gc/ml) were injected. For hippocampal slice Ca^{2+} imaging, 500 nl AAV2/5 GfaABC$_1$D GCaMP6f (1.2×10^{12} gc/ml) with injected alone or mixed with 500 nl AAV2/5 GfaABC$_1$D hM3D (Gq) mCherry (5.3×10^{12} gc/ml). To knockdown γCaMKII in hippocampal interneuron, an shRNA sequence (5'-GCAGCTTGCATCGCCTATATC-3') was used. A total of 200 nl of AAV2/9 mDLx γCaMKII shRNA (6.4×10^{12} gc/ml) were injected. All virus were generated by Brainvta

Electrophysiology:

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and their brains were quickly extracted

and immersed in an ice-cold solution which containing (in mM) 235 Sucrose, 1.25

NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 7 $MgCl_2$, 20 Glucose, 26 $NaHCO_3$, and 5 Pyruvate (pH

7.3, 310 mOsm, saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2). Coronal hippocampus slices

(300-350 μm) were prepared with a vibrating slicer (Leica, V T1200) and incubated for

30 - 40 min in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 26 $NaHCO_3$,

2.5 KCl, 126 NaCl, 20 D-glucose, 1 sodium pyruvate, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 2 $CaCl_2$ and 1

$MgCl_2$ (pH 7.4, 310 mOsm, saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2).

The slices were transferred to an immersed chamber and continuously perfused

with oxygen-saturated ACSF and GABA receptor blockers, picrotoxin (100 μM) and

CGP55845 (5 μM), at a rate of 3 ml/min. Interneurons in the stratum radiatum or

stratum oriens were visualized using infrared differential interference contrast and

epifluorescence imaging. Perforated-path recordings were conducted as previously

described (3). Briefly, perforated whole-cell recording from stratum radiatum or

stratum oriens interneurons were made with pipettes filled with solution containing (in

mM) 136 K-gluconate, 9 NaCl, 17.5 KCl, 1 $MgCl_2$, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 25 μM

Alexa 488, amphotericin B (0.5 mg ml^{-1}) and small amounts of glass beads (5–15 μm

in diameter; Polysciences, Inc., Warminster, PA, USA) (pH 7.3, 290 mOsm). The

pathched neuron was intermittently imaged with epifluorescence to monitor dye

penetration. If the patch ruptured spontaneously, the experiment was discontinued.

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made from either stratum radiatum or

stratum oriens interneurons using pipettes with resistance of 3-4 MΩ and filled with a

solution containing (in mM) 4 ATP-Na$_2$, 0.4 GTP-Na, 125 CsMeSO$_3$, 10 EGTA, 10

HEPES, 5 4-AP, 8 TEA-Cl, 1 $MgCl_2$, 1 $CaCl_2$ (pH 7.3–7.4, 280–290 mOsm).

Whole-cell current-clamp recording were made from stratum interneurons using pipettes with resistance of 4 - 6 MΩ and filled with a solution containing (in mM) 125 K-Gluconate, 2 $MgCl_2$, 10 HEPES, 0.4 Na^+-GTP, 4 ATP-Na_2, 10 Phosphocreatine disodium salt, 10 KCl, 0.5 EGTA (pH 7.3–7.4, 280–290 mOsm).

Whole-cell recording were performed on stratum radiatum astrocytes using pipettes with resistance of 8 - 10 MΩ and filled with an intracellular solution containing (in mM) 130 K-Gluconate, 20 HEPES, 3 ATP-Na_2, 10 D-Glucose, 1 $MgCl_2$, 0.2 EGTA (pH 7.3-7.4, 280-290 mOsm). In a subset of experiments, 0.14 mM $CaCl_2$ and 0.45 mM EGTA were included in the upper intracellular solution to maintain a stable level of astrocytic concentration (calculation by Web-MaxChelator) (2, 4).

Electrical stimuli was delivered via theta glass pipettes in the Schaffer Collateral of stratum radiatum, with a 30 s inter-trial interval during baseline and after LTP induction. Evoked EPSPs were recorded in current-clamp model at the resting membrane potential of the stratum radiatum interneuron. After a 10-min stable baseline period, LTP was induced by applying theta-burst stimulation [TBS; five bursts at 200-ms intervals (5 Hz), each burst consisting of five pulses at 100 Hz], paired with five 60-ms long depolarizing steps to -10 mV. Six episodes of TBS paired with depolarization were given at 20-s intervals. In stratum oriens, LTP was induced by applying TBS paired with five 60-ms long hyperpolarizing steps to -90 mV.

sEPSCs were recorded in whole-cell model at -70 mV in the presence of picrotoxin (100 μM) and D-AP5 (50 μM). Paired pulses were delivered at an inter-pulse intervals of 50 ms, and the paired-pulse ratio was calculated by dividing the peak amplitude of the second EPSC by the peak amplitude of first EPSC. To analyze action potential properties, interneurons were recorded at rest and depolarized with 500-ms current injection pulses at 10-pA increments.

To avoid NMDAR-mediated signaling rapidly washing out when recording interneuron in whole-cell mode, electrical stimuli was delivered with a 5 s inter-trial interval to measure the I-V relationship for NMDAR-mediated EPSPs within 5 minutes of breaking in. The NMDA/AMPA ratio in the stratum radiatum or stratum oriens interneurons was calculated by measuring the amplitude of NMDAR-mediated EPSCs at + 60 mV (50 ms after stimulation) and the peak amplitude of AMPAR-mediated EPSC recorded at −60 mV. To measure pure NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in interneurons at resting membrane potential in perforated whole-cell recording model, Mg^{2+} free ACSF was used, and D-AP5 (50 μM) and picrotoxin (100 μM) were present in ACSF.

Axopatch 700B amplifiers were utilized for patch-clamp recordings (Molecular Devices). The data was filtered at 6 kHz and sampled at 20 kHz before being processed off-line using the pClampfit 10.6 program (Molecular Devices). Negative pules (-10 mV) were employed to monitor series resistances and membrane resistances. The data was included to analysis if the series resistances varied by less than 20% over the course of the trial. All experiments were carried out at 32°C.

Behavioral assays:

For the contextual and cued fear conditioning test, the mouse was habituated to the environment and handled for three consecutive days. On the fourth day, the mice were allowed to explore the conditioning cage for 2 min, after which they received three moderate tone-shock pairs [30 stone (80 dB, 4 kHz) co-terminating with a foot shock (0.4 mA, 2 s)]. Following conditioning, the mice were returned to their home cages. The next day, they were place in the same conditioning cage but without receiving any foot shocks, and their freezing behavior was analyzed for the first 5 min. Four hours after the contextual fear conditioning test, the mice were placed in a novel cage with a different shape and texture of the floors compared to the conditioning cage). They were allowed to freely explore the new environment for 2 min and then subjected to 3 tones stimulations [(80 dB, 4 kHz) lasting for 30 s] separated by intervals of 90s, but without receiving any foot-shocks. Once the final tone had ended, all of the mice were allowed

to freely explore the chamber for an additional 90 s. Fear responses were measured by

calculating the freezing values of the mice using Packwin software (Panlab, Harvard

Apparatus, USA). All apparatus were carefully cleaned with 30% ethanol in between

tests.

Immunohistochemistry:

Immunohistochemistry was conducted as described previously (1, 5, 6). Briefly,

mice were administered a single intraperitoneal injection of 50 mg/kg sodium

pentobarbital for anesthesia and were transcardially perfused with phosphate-buffered

saline (PBS). After the liver and lungs had become bloodless, the mice were perfused

with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M PBS. The brains were quickly removed and

placed in 4% PFA at 4°C overnight. Following this, the tissues were cryoprotected in

successive concentrations of 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose before being sliced into 20

μm sections using a freezing microtome. After being washed multiple times with PBS,

the sections were blocked for 1.5 hours at room temperature (22-24°C) in a blocking

solution consisting of 5% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and 1% Triton X-100.

Following the blocking step, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies

overnight at 4 °C. Antibodies used were as follows: mouse monoclonal anti-GFAP

(1/1000，Cell Signaling Technology Cat #3670，RRID:AB_561049), mouse

monoclonal anti-NeuN (1/500, Millipore Cat# MAB377, RRID: AB_2298772), mouse

monoclonal GAD67 (1/500, Synaptic Systems Cat# 198 006, RRID: AB_2713980).

After wash several times in PBS, sections were then incubated with the following

secondary antibody: Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-mouse (1/1000, Cell Signaling

Technology Cat# 8890, RRID: AB_2714182) at room temperature for 2 h. Afterwards,

the sections were rinsed several times in PBS and incubated with DAPI for 5 minutes

at room temperature. Following this, the sections were rinsed again and mounted with

Vectashield mounting medium. Images were examined using a confocal laser scanning

microscope (Olympus, VT1000) and analyzed using ImageJ (NIH, RRID:

SCR_003070).

Ca^{2+} imaging:

Ca^{2+} signals in hippocampal astrocytes were observed under a confocal microscopy (Fluoview 1000; Olympus) with a 40x water immersion objective lens (NA = 0.8). GCaMP6f was excited at 470 nm. Astrocytes located in the hippocampal CA1 region and at least 40 μm away from the slice surface were selected for imaging. Images were acquired at 1 frame per 629 ms. Hippocampal slices were maintained in ACSF containing picrotoxin (100 μM), and CGP55845 (5 μM) using a perfusion system. A single theta burst stimulation (TBS) was used to stimulate the neuron. CNO (5 μM) was bath-applied to activate hM3D(Gq)-mediated Ca^{2+} signals. The Ca^{2+} signal analysis was described previously (1, 2, 5, 6). In some experiments, image stacks comprising 10-15 optical sections with 1 μM z-spacing were acquired to facilitate the identification of GCaMP6f and hM3Dq (mCherry) co-expression in astrocytes. Briefly, movies were registered using the StackReg plugin of ImageJ to eliminate any x-y drift. Ca^{2+} signals were then analyzed in selected ROIs using the Time Series Analyzer V3 plugin of ImageJ. GCaMP6f fluorescence was calculated as $\Delta F/F = (F-F_0)/F_0$. Mean $\Delta F/F$ of Ca^{2+} signals were analyzed using Clampfit 10.6.

Statistics:

All data processing, figure generation, layout, and statistical analysis were performed using Clampfit 10.6, Prism, MATALAB and Coreldraw. To assess the normality of the data, the Shapiro-Wilk test was used. If the results of the test were not statistically significant (p> 0.05), the data were assumed to follow a normal distribution, and a paired t-test was employed. On the other hand, if the test was statistically significant, a Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test or Mann-Whitney Ran Sum Test was utilized. To statistically analyze cumulative frequency distributions, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed. When comparing two groups, either a Wilcoxon signed-rank test or a Student's t-test (paired or unpaired) was used. When comparing three groups, One-way repeated measures (RM) ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test was used.

241   All values were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were

242   considered significantly different when the p value was less than 0.05 (*$p < 0.05$, **p

243   < 0.01, ***$p < 0.001$). The numbers of cells or mice used in each analysis were

244   indicated in figure legends.

Introduction:

Long-term potentiation (LTP) was originally discovered as a long-term increase in synaptic efficacy at glutamatergic synapses onto granule cells of the hippocampus (7). This form of plasticity has been well documented at CA1 pyramidal cells, where synaptic plasticity can be induced by the activation of postsynaptic (N-methyl-D-aspartate) NMDA receptors (NMDARs), voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} channels , and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) (8, 9) . Numerous studies have provided compelling evidence that LTP in hippocampal network occurs not only at excitatory glutamatergic synapse onto excitatory pyramidal and granule cells ($LTP_{E \rightarrow E}$), but also at excitatory glutamatergic synapses onto inhibitory interneurons ($LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$) (10-14). However, the mechanism behind $LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$ remains controversial due to the heterogeneous expression of proteins in various types of hippocampal interneurons and the absence of synaptic spines between excitatory inputs on interneurons (10, 15). Two distinct forms of $LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$, namely NMDARs-dependent LTP and NMDARs-independent $LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$, have been observed in hippocampal interneurons.

Astrocytes are the most prevalent glial cell type in the central nervous system (CNS), and play a critical role in regulating the development and function of the nervous system (16, 17). Astrocyte display multipolar branches with numerous micro-processes that allow them to closely associate with blood vessels, neuronal cell bodies and axons, other glial cells and as well as synapses (18-20). They also express varies ion channels, transporters and neurotransmitter receptors (21, 22). With these membrane proteins, they can sense neuronal activity and exhibit increases in intracellular Ca^{2+} in reaction to neurotransmitters and, in turn, they release neuroactive chemicals called gliotransmitters that regulate synaptic transmission and plasticity (17, 23-25). Numerous studies have been shown that the release of D-serine, a co-agonist of NMDAR, from astrocytes is capable of enabling LTP in cultures, in slice and as well as in vivo (4, 26-29). Given the growing number of studies demonstrating the direct roles that astrocytes play in regulating $LTP_{E \rightarrow E}$, it is of particular interest to understand whether and how astrocytes in modulation LTP of excitatory postsynaptic currents in interneuron.

In this particular study, our focus was on the interneurons distributed in the stratum radiatum layer of the CA1 region of the hippocampus. Approximately 80% of these interneurons exhibit NMDAR-dependent $LTP_{E \to I}$ when presynaptic stimulation is paired with postsynaptic depolarization. We found that blocking astrocyte metabolism and clamping of astrocyte Ca^{2+} signaling can prevent the $LTP_{E \to I}$ in large NMDAR-containing interneurons, which could be rescued by bath application of D-serine. Furthermore, pharmacological and Ca^{2+} imaging studies have shown that astrocytes respond to Schaffer Collateral stimulation with Ca^{2+} increases through activation of type-1 cannabinoid receptors (CB1R), which stimulates the release of D-serine, and further regulates $LTP_{E \to I}$ through binding to the glycine site of NMDARs. We also found that activating astrocytes with Gq designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADDs) induced a potentiation of excitatory to inhibitory synapses in stratum radiatum. Additionally, knockdown γCaMKII hampered $LTP_{E \to I}$ in stratum radiatum of hippocampus in brain slice and disrupted contextual fear conditioning memory in vivo. Taken together, these results are the first to indicate that astrocytes are an integral component of a form of long-term synaptic plasticity between glutamatergic neurons and GABAergic interneurons, and that memory is also regulated by $LTP_{E \to I}$.

Results:

Astrocyte play a role in the formation of NMDAR-dependent LTP$_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum.

To visualize CA1 stratum radiatum interneurons, we delivered an adeno-associated virus serotype 2/9 (AAV2/9) vector encoding EGFP under the control of the interneuronal mDLx promoter (AAV2/9-mDLx-EGFP) to this region (30). Within the virally transduced region, EGFP expression was limited to the interneuron, with high penetrance (>98% of the GAD67 cells expressed EGFP) **(Fig. S1 A-C)** and almost complete specificity (>98% EGFP positive cells were also GAD67 positive) **(Fig. S1 D)**. These immunostaining results indicate that EGFP expression was limited to the interneuron in the CA1 region of stratum radiatum.

We next examined whether expressing EGFP in interneurons affects their membrane properties and synaptic transmission. To examine these, we performed whole-cell patch recordings of EGFP$^+$ interneurons and putative interneurons in the CA1 stratum radiatum of the hippocampus in the presence of GABAA receptor blocker picrotoxin. We found that the excitability and resting membrane potential are no difference between these EGFP$^+$ interneurons and putative interneurons **(Fig. S2 A-C)**. Moreover, we also found that no difference in spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSC) and paired-pulse ratio (PPR) at 50 ms interpulse intervals between EGFP$^+$ interneurons and putative interneurons **(Fig. S2 D-H)**. These results indicate that AAV injection and expression exogenous protein in interneurons has no effect on the membrane properties and baseline synaptic transmission of interneuron.

To avoid some necessary ingredient for LTP$_{E \to I}$ induction be diluted from the cytoplasm, perforate patch-clamp were used to record EPSPs from CA1 stratum radiatum interneurons. After a 10 min baseline recording, we delivered theta burst stimulation (TBS) consisting of 100 Hz stimulation with 25 pulses, delivered in six trains separated by 20-second intervals. The TBS was applied to induce LTP$_{E \to I}$ of the excitatory inputs to CA1 interneurons. The interneurons were depolarized to -10 mV using a voltage-clamp model during the TBS delivery. Under this condition, we found that in 8 out of 10 cells were induced LTP$_{E \to I}$ lasting at least 45 min **(Fig. 1 A-C)**. We

repatched 6 of these successful to induction $LTP_{E \to I}$ cells and randomly patched 2 $EGFP^+$ in stratum radiatum in the whole-cell voltage-clamp model. The results indicated that all cells showed a linear current-voltage (I-V) curve for AMPAR, as well as a significant component of NMDAR-mediated currents **(Fig. S3)**. In addition, we found that the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ was completely blocked by NMDAR blocker D-AP5 **(Fig. 1 A-C)**. This result confirmed that $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum interneurons is NMDAR dependent (31, 32).

To investigate whether astrocytes were involved in NMDAR-dependent $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum interneurons, we treated slice with fluoroacetate (FAC, 5 mM) to block glial metabolism (4, 33). The results showed that the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ was blocked by FAC but not in control slice **(Fig. 1 D-F)**. Next, we tested whether glial metabolism is involved in $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum orient, which has been shown to depend on calcium-permeable AMPARs (CP-AMPARs) and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) (13). We found that the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ is not blocked by FAC in CA1 stratum oriens (**Fig. S4 A-B**). We repatched 6 of these cells (4 cells from control group and 2 cells from FAC treated group) in whole-cell voltage-clamp mode and observed that they exhibited high rectification AMPARs and a small component of NMDAR-mediated current (**Fig. S5**). This observation confirms previous study findings (32, 34). Moreover, we found that the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ is not blocked by D-AP5 in CA1 stratum oriens (**Fig. S4**). Overall, the results indicate that the formation of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum is tightly regulated by astrocyte function. The results in stratum oriens also exclude the possibility that FAC directly affects the metabolism of interneuron which inhibit the formation of $LTP_{E \to I}$.

It is commonly accepted that astrocytic calcium signaling plays a pivotal role in triggering the release of gliotransmitters and modulating synaptic transmission (24, 35-38). In addition, it is well documented that astrocytic calcium signaling is necessary to the formation of $LTP_{E \to E}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum (4, 26). Thus, we tested whether intracellular Ca^{2+} signals are required for the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum

radiatum. We found that clamping of astrocyte Ca^{2+} concentration significantly

suppressed $LTP_{E \to I}$ **(Fig. 2 A-D)**. Consistent with the previous study in $LTP_{E \to E}$, supply

of D-serine (50 μM) fully rescued NMDAR-dependent $LTP_{E \to I}$ **(Fig. 2D)**. For the

control, when the intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration of astrocytes was not clamped, but

the astrocyte was recorded with a glass pipette, $LTP_{E \to I}$ was indistinguishable from that

induced without patching an astrocyte **(Fig. 2D)**. Overall, these results indicate that

functional preservation of astrocytic metabolism and Ca^{2+} mobilization is critical for

maintaining the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$.

Astrocytic Ca^{2+} transients, induced by activation of astroglial cannabinoid type 1

receptors (CB1Rs), are involved in the regulation of $LTP_{E \to I}$ formation.

Accumulating evidence indicates that neuronal depolarization in the hippocampus

induced astrocytic Ca^{2+} transients, which is mediated by the activation of astroglial

CB1Rs (39-43). Moreover, it has been shown that astroglial CB1R-mediated Ca^{2+}

elevation is necessary for $LTP_{E \to E}$ in the CA1 region of hippocampus (26). Therefore,

we asked whether astroglial CB1Rs-mediated Ca^{2+} elevations are required for

hippocampal $LTP_{E \to I}$. We first analyzed whether TBS could evoke astrocytic Ca^{2+}

transient via CB1Rs in the stratum radiatum of hippocampus. In this study, GCaMP6f

was used to analyze astrocytic Ca^{2+} signal, which were specifically expressed in

astrocytes by using adeno-associated viruses of the 2/5 serotype (AAV 2/5) with the

astrocyte-specific gfaABC$_1$D promoter **(Fig. S6 A)**. Furthermore, the expression was

confirmed by immunohistochemistry. Within the virally transduced region, GCaMP6f

expression positive cells in CA1 stratum radiatum were also positive to the astrocyte

specific marker GFAP **(Fig. S6 A , C-D)**. Co-staining with the neuron marker NeuN

showed no overlap with GCaMP6f expression **(Fig. S6 B, E)**. Consistent with

previously studies (26, 44), we found that TBS significantly increased the Ca^{2+}

signaling in astrocytes of hippocampal slices in the presence of picrotoxin and

CGP55845 **(Fig. 3 A-B, F)**. As predicted, the increase in Ca^{2+} signals after TBS were

inhibited by the CB1 receptor inhibitor AM251 **(Fig. 3 C-D, F)**. Previous studies have

demonstrated that activation of α1- adrenoceptors increases calcium signals (1, 45-49)

and triggers the release of D-serine release in the neocortex (50). However, our results show that α1-adrenoceptors receptors are not involved in the Ca^{2+} signal increase observed after TBS **(Fig. 3F)**.

Next, we explore whether CB1Rs-mediated Ca^{2+} elevation was accompanied by the formation of $LTP_{E \to I}$. We found that $LTP_{E \to I}$ was significantly reduced in AM251 treated slice relative to controls **(Fig. 3 G-I)**. Consistent with the above results shown in **Fig. 2D**, $LTP_{E \to I}$ was rescued by the addition of D-serine in AM251-treated slices

D-serine release from astrocyte potentiates NMDAR-mediated synaptic response.

Next, we explored the underlying mechanisms by which astrocytes control the formation of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in the CA1 stratum radiatum. Our above results indicate that D-serine is a downstream signal pathway of astrocyte Ca^{2+} signal. D-serine, a co-agonist of NMDAR, could be released by astrocytes through Ca^{2+}-dependent exocytosis and regulate the function of NMDAR. It has been shown that the occupancy of synaptic NMDAR co-agonist sites by D-serine is not saturated in CA1 pyramidal cells (26, 51). However, the level of occupancy of synaptic NMDAR co-agonist sites by D-serine in interneurons of CA1 stratum radiatum remains unclear. Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated that NMDAR-dependent synaptic responses of interneurons in the stratum radiatum display a strong rundown effect under whole-cell mode (31). Thus, we recorded the NMDAR-mediated EPSPs from stratum radiatum interneurons in the perforated-patch configuration in Mg^{2+} free ACSF, which showed no rundown effect in this configuration **(Fig. 4 A-B)**. Bath application of 50 μM D-serine enhanced the NMDAR-mediated EPSPs **(Fig. 4 A-C)**, indicating that the level of D-serine in the excitatory to inhibitory synapse cleft was not saturated to occupy the co-agonist site. Subsequently, we tested whether TBS could increase extracellular level of D-serine and promote NMDAR-mediated synaptic responses. Our results indicated that NDMAR-mediated responses were transiently enhanced after one TBS, and the percentage of potentiation was reduced by pre-treatment hippocampal slice with 50 μM D-serine **(Fig. 4 D-F)**. However, disrupting glial metabolism with FAC rendered the percentage of TBS-induced potentiation insensitive to bath application of D-serine **(Fig. 4F)**.

Furthermore, the percentage of potentiation in AMPAR-mediated responses after TBS

is insensitive to bath application of D-serine, indicating that the enhancement of

NMDAR-mediated response by TBS is not due the change of release probability and

cell excitability **(Fig. 4F)**. Taken together, our results indicate that D-serine release from

astrocyte potentiates NMDAR-mediated responses by binding the co-agonist site and

regulates the formation of $LTP_{E \to I}$.

Chemogenetic activation of astrocytes induced E→I synaptic potentiation

The crucial role of astrocytes in $LTP_{E \to I}$ has been extensively demonstrated in brain

slices (Henneberger et al., 2010; Min and Nevian, 2012; Pascual et al., 2005; Perea and

Araque, 2007; Suzuki et al., 2011) and in vivo (26). In this study, we aimed to

investigate if the activation of astrocytic G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) pathway

could trigger potentiation of E→I synaptic transmission. We utilized an adeno-

associated virus serotype 5 (AAV2/5) vector encoding hM3Dq fused to mCherry for

the specific activation of astrocytes via clozapine-N-oxide (CNO). To ensure specific

expression in astrocytes, the vector was also under the control of the astrocyte-specific

gfaABC1D promoter **(Fig. S7)**.

To verify whether CNO application could evoke Ca^{2+} transients in astrocytes, we

delivered AVV of hM3Dq along with AAV of GCaMP6f, and conducted confocal Ca^{2+}

imaging in brain slices. Our results showed that CNO application indeed induced an

increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels in cells co-expressing hM3Dq and GCaMP6f **(Fig.**

5 A-D). These results suggest that the expression of hM3Dq is selective to astrocytes

and can elicit a rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels upon administration of CNO.

Subsequently, we investigated the impact of astrocytic Gq activation on evoked

synaptic events in interneurons of CA1 stratum radiatum induced by Schaffer

Collaterals stimulation, both before and after the administration of CNO. Interestingly,

we observe that the EPSC amplitude was potentiated by 60% in response to the exact

same stimulus in gfaABC1D::hM3Dq slices treated with CNO **(Fig. 5 E-G)**, while no

such potentiation was detected in slices obtained from the mice that were injected a

control virus (AAV2/5-gfaABC1D::mCherry) **(Fig. 5 E-G)**.

Previous studies have indicated that the synaptic potentiation triggered by chemogenetic activation of astrocyte is mediated through the release of D-serine by astrocytes, resulting in the activation of NMDARs (52). To verify whether the astrocytic-induced synaptic potentiation between excitatory and inhibitory neurons is mediated by D-serine release from astrocytes and the subsequent activation of NMDARs, we conducted an experiment in which we administered CNO after blocking the NMDARs with D-AP5 or saturating the glycine site of NMDARs with 50 μM D-serine. Our results showed that both the NMDAR blocker D-AP5 and 50 μM D-serine completely inhibited the potentiation in EPSP amplitude observed in response to CNO-induced astrocytic activation **(Fig. 5 H-J)**. Our findings demonstrate, for the first time, that astrocytic activation alone can trigger *de novo* potentiation of synapses between excitatory and inhibitory neurons, and that this potentiation is indeed mediated by the release of D-serine from astrocytes and subsequent activation of NMDARs.

$LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 of stratum radiatum is necessary for long-term memory formation

We have previously shown that GABAergic interneurons in hippocampus express high levels of γCaMKII, while αCaMKII, βCaMKII and δCaMKII are expressed at a lower frequency (53). Additionally, studies have demonstrated that γCaMKII expressed in hippocampal parvalbumin positive (PV^+) interneurons and cultured hippocampal inhibitory interneurons is essential for the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ (53, 54). Specifically, in hippocampal PV^+ interneurons, this protein is also vital for the formation of hippocampal-dependent long-term memory in vivo (53). Therefore, we asked whether astrocyte gated $LTP_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum involved in the hippocampal-dependent long-term memory formation. Several independent groups provide compelling evidence that astroglial CB1R-mediated signaling pathway regulates excitatory synapse formed by excitatory neurons, rather than excitatory synapses between excitatory neurons and interneurons (39-42, 55-57). Thus, to manipulate this pathway may also affect excitatory synapse formed by excitatory neurons. To avoid this side effect, we specifically knockdown of γCaMKII expression in inhibitory neurons of

CA1 stratum radiatum by delivering an adeno-associated virus serotype 2/9 (AAV2/9) vector encoding shRNAs against γCaMKII and EGFP under the control of an inhibitory neuron-specific promoter (AAV2/9-mDLx-EGFP-γCaMKII shRNA) through bilateral stereotactic injection. We observed that interneurons identified by the specific markers GAD67 were also positive for EYFP and therefore likely expressed shRNAs, which led to knockdown γCaMKII in these cells **(Fig. S8)**. To confirm that knockdown γCaMKII could hamper the induction of LTP$_{E \to I}$, the EYFP positive interneurons in CA1 stratum radiatum were recorded in perforated-patch mode. We found that knocking down γCaMKII in CA1 stratum radiatum interneurons impaired LTP$_{E \to I}$, but LTP$_{E \to I}$ in the putative interneurons in stratum radiatum of WT mice is unimpaired **(Fig. 6 A-C)**. In addition, robust LTP$_{E \to I}$ induced by TBS in EYFP positive interneurons injected with AAV-mDLx-scramble shRNA into the CA1 stratum radiatum of hippocampus **(Fig. 6C)**. Moreover, it is worth noting that the resting membrane potential, frequency and amplitude of sEPSC, excitability and PPR recorded from γCaMKII knockdown interneurons did not differ from those recorded from putative interneurons and EYFP positive interneurons (infected with scramble shRNA) **(Fig. S9)**. Taken together, these results indicate that knocking down γCaMKII from interneuron has no effect on their synaptic transmission at baseline, but impaired the induction of LTP$_{E \to I}$ in these interneurons.

Next, we examined the behavioral consequences of destroying astrocyte gated LTP$_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum. We analyzed contextual fear conditioning memory, which is associated with activation of the hippocampus. We found that no effect of γCaMKII knockdown on exploration of the context before conditioning was observed **(Fig. 6 D-E)**. 24 hours after training, mice were returned to the training box, and freezing was measured at the first 2 min. We found that γCaMKII knockdown mice showed a significantly reduction in freezing during contextual conditioning **(Fig. 6F)**. Consistent with our previous study (53), γCaMKII knockdown in interneurons in CA1 stratum radiatum did not produce a significant effect on freezing during tone conditioning at 28 h after training **(Fig. 6G)**. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that astroglial CB1R signaling pathway gated LTP$_{E \to I}$ in CA1 stratum radiatum

540   plays a vital role in hippocampus-dependent long-term memory.

Discussion:

In the present study, we identify $LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$ in CA1 stratum radium is tightly control by D-serine release from astrocyte via the astroglial CB1Rs-mediated Ca^{2+} elevation. In addition, knockdown of γCaMKII by specific shRNA in interneurons in CA1 stratum radium causes cognitive function deficit. Taken together, out data support that astrocyte gated $LTP_{E \rightarrow I}$ in CA1 stratum radium plays a critical role in preserve normal cognitive function.

CB1Rs are widely expressed in various brain regions including hippocampus and detectable in presynaptic terminals (56, 58), postsynaptic terminals (59, 60), intracellular organelles (61, 62) and also on astrocytes (26, 39-42, 55, 57, 63). However, the effects of astroglial CB1R-mediated signaling on synaptic transmission and plasticity is highly debated. It has been shown that exogenous administration of Δ^9-tetrahydro-cannabinol (THC) lead to a temporally prolonged and spatially widespread activation of astroglial CB1 receptors and trigger glutamate release, which activates postsynaptic NMDARs and induces LTD in the CA3–CA1 hippocampal synapses, resulting working memory deficit (57). Araque and colleagues reported that eCB released from depolarized CA1 pyramidal cell activates astroglial CB1Rs with a shorter and localized way and induces glutamate release, which activates lateral presynaptic mGluRs and induces LTP (41, 42). In another study, Robin et al found that high frequency stimulation (HFS) of Schaffer Collateral induces LTP, which is gated by the activation of astroglial CB1Rs and the release of D-serine from astrocytes (26). These diverse consequences by activation of CB1 receptor may be due to different neuronal activity pattern that induce eCB release and different nature of the agonists. Interestingly, it has been discovered that individual hippocampal astrocytes are capable of releasing both ATP/adenosine and glutamate, and that this release occurs in a time-dependent and activity-sensitive manner in response to neuronal interneuron activity (64). These findings suggest that the specific type and intensity of astrocyte stimulation plays a critical role in determining the downstream signaling pathways that are triggered by CB1R activation in astrocytes. Consistent with previous study, we found

that the activation of astroglial CB1Rs induces Ca^{2+} elevation and triggers the release of D-serine that binds to postsynaptic NMDAR and induces LTP formation (26). Our results provide evidence that astroglial CB1R-mediated singling not only modulates the E→E synapses, but also regulates E→I synapses.

The precise mechanisms through which neurons and astrocytes differentially regulate D-serine levels are yet to be fully elucidated (65-67). However, it is evident that astrocytes play a significant role in regulating the availability of D-serine. The enzyme serine racemase catalyzes the conversion of L-serine into D-serine, which was initially found in astrocytes and microglia in the mammalian brain (29, 68, 69). It should be highlighted that serine racemase has also been detected in neurons (70-72). A study showed that, despite a significant reduction in SR protein levels in the brains of neuronal SR knockout mouse brains, the reduction in D-serine levels was minimal, suggesting that neurons are not the exclusive source of D-serine (70) and neurons may produce and release D-serine under certain conditions. Notably, activation of G protein-coupled receptors in astrocytes through chemogenetic means results in increased synaptic transmission, which is dependent on the availability of D-serine (52, 73). Specifically, the release of D-serine, which is regulated by CB1R in astrocytes, is required for Ca^{2+}-dependent modulation of LTP in vivo (26), as well as the threshold and amplitude of dendritic spikes (74). Moreover, recent studies have shown that conditional connexin double knockout (75) or knockdown of α4nAChR (76) in astrocytes can decrease the extracellular concentration of D-serine, which in turn reduces NMDAR-dependent synaptic potentiation. These findings suggest that astrocytes are the primary source of D-serine, which plays a crucial role in modulating the function of NMDARs.

It is well established that $LTP_{E \to E}$ observed in the CA1 region of the hippocampus is triggered by activation of NMDARs. However, $LTP_{E \to I}$ is less studied compared to $LTP_{E \to E}$, but recent evidence suggests that it also involves the activation of NMDARs (10, 11, 31, 32, 53, 77). There have been reports of NMDAR-dependent $LTP_{E \to I}$ in

various regions of the brain, including the hippocampus and cortex (10, 11). Our results suggest that different synaptic mechanisms are involved in the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in different subregions of the hippocampus. The stratum radiatum, where interneuorns contain NMDARs, is known to be sensitive to NMDAR-dependent $LTP_{E \to I}$. In contrast, the stratum oriens, where interneuorns contain CP-AMPARs, appears to rely on the activation of CP-AMPA receptors for $LTP_{E \to I}$ induction. Notably, our findings suggest that astrocytes contribute to NMDAR signaling in the induction of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in the stratum radiatum through the modulation of extracellular levels of the co-agonist D-serine. It is worth noting that our study found that prolonged activation of astrocytes via the Gq-DREADD pathway resulted in a substantial and persistent increase in Ca^{2+} events and significantly potentiated EPSP responses. This is in consistent with earlier observations made by other groups regarding $LTP_{E \to E}$ (52, 73). Above all, the mechanism of $LTP_{E \to I}$ in stratum radiatum appears to be share by $LTP_{E \to E}$ observed in the CA1 region.

A previous study has demonstrated that knocking down γCaMKII from interneurons can disrupt $LTP_{E \to I}$ and cognitive function (53, 54). Our results confirmed that knocking down γCaMKII in interneurons of the stratum radiatum also leads to disruption of $LTP_{E \to I}$ and cognitive function. Ma and colleagues showed that hippocampal network oscillations in the gamma and theta bands were significantly weaker in γCaMKII knockout mice compared to wild-type mice, following learning (53). This suggests that impaired experience-dependent oscillations in the hippocampus of γCaMKII PV-KO mice may lead to cognitive dysfunction. In this respect, it will be intriguing to investigate the network oscillation after learning in our condition in the future study.

In the hippocampus, GABAergic local circuit inhibitory interneurons make up approximately 10-15% of the total neuronal cell population (78). However, these interneurons are diverse in their subtypes, morphology, distribution, and functions (15, 79). In our study, we mainly focused on a subpopulation of interneurons in the stratum

radiatum of hippocampus. Although it is unclear which type of interneuron recorded in our study, but our study indicated that most of interneurons in the stratum radiatum do not express CP-AMPARs but express abundant of NMDARs. These findings are in line with a previous study conducted by Lasmsa *et al*. (32). In our study, we observed that approximately 80% of interneurons in stratum radium were able to induce LTP successfully. This finding contrasts with the observation made by Lamsa *et al*., who reported a figure of approximately 52% interneurons capable of inducing LTP. The reason for this discrepancy could be attributed to differences in the induction protocol used in the respective studies.

Our study corroborates earlier research that suggests distinct synaptic mechanisms are involved in LTP induction in the CA1 region of the hippocampus across different subregions (11, 13, 32). However, the major breakthrough of our study is the demonstration that astrocytic function serves as the gating mechanism for LTP $_{E \to I}$ induction in the stratum radiatum. Additionally, our data reveals that the activation of astrocytes via the Gq-DREADD pathway produces *de novo* long-lasting potentiation of EPSP in stratum radiatum interneurons and the knockdown of γCaMKII disrupts cognitive function. These results shed light on the complex mechanisms underlying learning and memory in the hippocampus, and may have implications for developing new therapies targeted at modulating astrocytic function for the treatment of memory disorders.

References:

1. W. Shen *et al.*, Astroglial adrenoreceptors modulate synaptic transmission and contextual fear memory formation in dentate gyrus. *Neurochem. Int.* **143**, 104942 (2021).

2. W. Shen *et al.*, Somatostatin interneurons inhibit excitatory transmission mediated by astrocytic GABAB and presynaptic GABAB and adenosine A1 receptors in the hippocampus. *J. Neurochem.* 10.1111/jnc.15662 (2022).

3. Y. Z. Liu, Y. Wang, W. Shen, Z. Wang, Enhancement of synchronized activity between hippocampal CA1 neurons during initial storage of associative fear memory. *J. Physiol.* **595**, 5327-5340 (2017).

4. C. Henneberger, T. Papouin, S. H. Oliet, D. A. Rusakov, Long-term potentiation depends on release of D-serine from astrocytes. *Nature* **463**, 232-236 (2010).

5. W. Shen, L. Nikolic, C. Meunier, F. Pfrieger, E. Audinat, An autocrine purinergic signaling controls astrocyte-induced neuronal excitation. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 11280 (2017).

6. L. Nikolic *et al.*, Blocking TNFalpha-driven astrocyte purinergic signaling restores normal synaptic activity during epileptogenesis. *Glia* **66**, 2673-2683 (2018).

7. T. V. Bliss, T. Lomo, Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. *J. Physiol.* **232**, 331-356 (1973).

8. T. V. Bliss, G. L. Collingridge, A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. *Nature* **361**, 31-39 (1993).

9. R. A. Nicoll, A Brief History of Long-Term Potentiation. *Neuron* **93**, 281-290 (2017).

10. D. M. Kullmann, K. P. Lamsa, Long-term synaptic plasticity in hippocampal interneurons. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **8**, 687-699 (2007).

11. D. M. Kullmann, K. P. Lamsa, LTP and LTD in cortical GABAergic interneurons: emerging rules and roles. *Neuropharmacology* **60**, 712-719 (2011).

12. A. Asgarihafshejani, E. Honore, F. X. Michon, I. Laplante, J. C. Lacaille, Long-term potentiation at pyramidal cell to somatostatin interneuron synapses controls hippocampal network plasticity and memory. *iScience* **25**, 104259 (2022).

13. C. Le Duigou, E. Savary, D. M. Kullmann, R. Miles, Induction of Anti-Hebbian LTP in CA1 Stratum Oriens Interneurons: Interactions between Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors and M1 Muscarinic Receptors. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 13542-13554 (2015).

14. J. G. Pelletier, J. C. Lacaille, Long-term synaptic plasticity in hippocampal feedback inhibitory networks. *Prog. Brain Res.* **169**, 241-250 (2008).

15. K. A. Pelkey *et al.*, Hippocampal GABAergic Inhibitory Interneurons. *Physiol. Rev.* **97**, 1619-1747 (2017).

16. C. Escartin, O. Guillemaud, M. A. Carrillo-de Sauvage, Questions and (some) answers on reactive astrocytes. *Glia* **67**, 2221-2247 (2019).

17. A. Verkhratsky, M. Nedergaard, Physiology of Astroglia. *Physiol. Rev.* **98**, 239-389 (2018).

18. E. A. Bushong, M. E. Martone, Y. Z. Jones, M. H. Ellisman, Protoplasmic astrocytes in CA1 stratum radiatum occupy separate anatomical domains. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 183-192 (2002).

19. Y. Xie *et al.*, Astrocyte-neuron crosstalk through Hedgehog signaling mediates cortical synapse development. *Cell Rep.* **38**, 110416 (2022).

20. M. Santello, N. Toni, A. Volterra, Astrocyte function from information processing to cognition and cognitive impairment. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 154-166 (2019).

734   21.   S. Ciappelloni, C. Murphy-Royal, J. P. Dupuis, S. H. R. Oliet, L. Groc, Dynamics of surface
735   neurotransmitter receptors and transporters in glial cells: Single molecule insights. *Cell Calcium*
736   **67**, 46-52 (2017).
737   22.   A. Verkhratsky, C. Steinhäuser, Ion channels in glial cells. *Brain Res. Rev.* **32**, 380-412 (2000).
738   23.   A. Araque *et al.* (2014) Gliotransmitters travel in time and space.   (Neuron), pp 728-739.
739   24.   N. Bazargani, D. Attwell, Astrocyte calcium signaling: the third wave. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 182-
740   189 (2016).
741   25.   B. S. Khakh, K. D. McCarthy, Astrocyte calcium signaling: from observations to functions and
742   the challenges therein. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **7**, a020404 (2015).
743   26.   L. M. Robin *et al.*, Astroglial CB(1) Receptors Determine Synaptic D-Serine Availability to Enable
744   Recognition Memory. *Neuron* **98**, 935-944 e935 (2018).
745   27.   Y. Yang *et al.*, Contribution of astrocytes to hippocampal long-term potentiation through
746   release of D-serine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**, 15194-15199 (2003).
747   28.   J. P. Mothet *et al.*, A critical role for the glial-derived neuromodulator D-serine in the age-
748   related deficits of cellular mechanisms of learning and memory. *Aging Cell* **5**, 267-274 (2006).
749   29.   A. Panatier *et al.*, Glia-derived D-serine controls NMDA receptor activity and synaptic memory.
750   *Cell* **125**, 775-784 (2006).
751   30.   J. Dimidschstein *et al.*, A viral strategy for targeting and manipulating interneurons across
752   vertebrate species. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 1743-1749 (2016).
753   31.   K. Lamsa, J. H. Heeroma, D. M. Kullmann, Hebbian LTP in feed-forward inhibitory interneurons
754   and the temporal fidelity of input discrimination. *Nat. Neurosci.* **8**, 916-924 (2005).
755   32.   K. P. Lamsa, J. H. Heeroma, P. Somogyi, D. A. Rusakov, D. M. Kullmann, Anti-Hebbian long-term
756   potentiation in the hippocampal feedback inhibitory circuit. *Science* **315**, 1262-1266 (2007).
757   33.   R. A. Swanson, S. H. Graham, Fluorocitrate and fluoroacetate effects on astrocyte metabolism
758   in vitro. *Brain Res.* **664**, 94-100 (1994).
759   34.   I. Oren, W. Nissen, D. M. Kullmann, P. Somogyi, K. P. Lamsa, Role of ionotropic glutamate
760   receptors in long-term potentiation in rat hippocampal CA1 oriens-lacunosum moleculare
761   interneurons. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 939-950 (2009).
762   35.   M. De Pitta, N. Brunel, A. Volterra, Astrocytes: Orchestrating synaptic plasticity? *Neuroscience*
763   **323**, 43-61 (2016).
764   36.   L. Sancho, M. Contreras, N. J. Allen, Glia as sculptors of synaptic plasticity. *Neurosci. Res.* **167**,
765   17-29 (2021).
766   37.   M. Navarrete *et al.*, Astrocytes mediate in vivo cholinergic-induced synaptic plasticity. *PLoS Biol.*
767   **10**, e1001259 (2012).
768   38.   J. Goenaga, A. Araque, P. Kofuji, D. Herrera Moro Chao, Calcium signaling in astrocytes and
769   gliotransmitter release. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.* **15**, 1138577 (2023).
770   39.   A. Eraso-Pichot *et al.*, Endocannabinoid signaling in astrocytes. *Glia* **71**, 44-59 (2023).
771   40.   J. A. Noriega-Prieto, P. Kofuji, A. Araque, Endocannabinoid signaling in synaptic function. *Glia*
772   **71**, 36-43 (2023).
773   41.   M. Navarrete, A. Araque, Endocannabinoids mediate neuron-astrocyte communication.
774   *Neuron* **57**, 883-893 (2008).
775   42.   M. Navarrete, A. Araque, Endocannabinoids potentiate synaptic transmission through
776   stimulation of astrocytes. *Neuron* **68**, 113-126 (2010).
43.   M. Navarrete, A. Diez, A. Araque, Astrocytes in endocannabinoid signalling. *Philos. Trans. R.*

Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **369**, 20130599 (2014).

44.   M. W. Sherwood *et al.*, Astrocytic IP(3) Rs: Contribution to Ca(2+) signalling and hippocampal
LTP. *Glia* **65**, 502-513 (2017).

45.   F. Ding *et al.*, alpha1-Adrenergic receptors mediate coordinated Ca2+ signaling of cortical
astrocytes in awake, behaving mice. *Cell Calcium* **54**, 387-394 (2013).

46.   G. R. Gordon *et al.*, Norepinephrine triggers release of glial ATP to increase postsynaptic
efficacy. *Nat. Neurosci.* **8**, 1078-1086 (2005).

47.   L. K. Bekar, W. He, M. Nedergaard, Locus coeruleus alpha-adrenergic-mediated activation of
cortical astrocytes in vivo. *Cerebral cortex (New York, N.Y. : 1991)* **18**, 2789-2795 (2008).

48.   M. Paukert *et al.*, Norepinephrine controls astroglial responsiveness to local circuit activity.
Neuron **82**, 1263-1270 (2014).

49.   Y. Oe *et al.*, Distinct temporal integration of noradrenaline signaling by astrocytic second
messengers during vigilance. *Nat Commun* **11**, 471 (2020).

50.   Y. Pankratov, U. Lalo, Role for astroglial alpha1-adrenoreceptors in gliotransmission and control
of synaptic plasticity in the neocortex. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **9**, 230 (2015).

51.   T. Papouin *et al.*, Synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors are gated by different
endogenous coagonists. *Cell* **150**, 633-646 (2012).

52.   A. Adamsky *et al.*, Astrocytic Activation Generates De Novo Neuronal Potentiation and Memory
Enhancement. *Cell* **174**, 59-71 e14 (2018).

53.   X. He *et al.*, Gating of hippocampal rhythms and memory by synaptic plasticity in inhibitory
interneurons. *Neuron* **109**, 1013-1028 e1019 (2021).

54.   X. He *et al.*, A Critical Role for gammaCaMKII in Decoding NMDA Signaling to Regulate AMPA
Receptors in Putative Inhibitory Interneurons. *Neurosci. Bull.* **38**, 916-926 (2022).

55.   I. Fernandez-Moncada, G. Marsicano, Astroglial CB1 receptors, energy metabolism, and
gliotransmission: an integrated signaling system? *Essays Biochem.* **67**, 49-61 (2023).

56.   M. Kano, T. Ohno-Shosaku, Y. Hashimotodani, M. Uchigashima, M. Watanabe,
Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiol. Rev.* **89**, 309-380 (2009).

57.   J. Han *et al.*, Acute cannabinoids impair working memory through astroglial CB1 receptor
modulation of hippocampal LTD. *Cell* **148**, 1039-1050 (2012).

58.   P. E. Castillo, T. J. Younts, A. E. Chavez, Y. Hashimotodani, Endocannabinoid signaling and
synaptic function. *Neuron* **76**, 70-81 (2012).

59.   S. Marinelli, S. Pacioni, A. Cannich, G. Marsicano, A. Bacci, Self-modulation of neocortical
pyramidal neurons by endocannabinoids. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 1488-1490 (2009).

60.   A. Bacci, J. R. Huguenard, D. A. Prince, Long-lasting self-inhibition of neocortical interneurons
mediated by endocannabinoids. *Nature* **431**, 312-316 (2004).

61.   D. Jimenez-Blasco *et al.*, Glucose metabolism links astroglial mitochondria to cannabinoid
effects. *Nature* **583**, 603-608 (2020).

62.   A. Gutierrez-Rodriguez *et al.*, Localization of the cannabinoid type-1 receptor in subcellular
astrocyte compartments of mutant mouse hippocampus. *Glia* **66**, 1417-1431 (2018).

63.   C. Ramon-Duaso, A. R. Conde-Moro, A. Busquets-Garcia, Astroglial cannabinoid signaling and
behavior. *Glia* **71**, 60-70 (2023).

64.   A. Covelo, A. Araque, Neuronal activity determines distinct gliotransmitter release from a
single astrocyte. *Elife* **7** (2018).

65.   T. Papouin, C. Henneberger, D. A. Rusakov, S. H. R. Oliet, Astroglial versus Neuronal D-Serine:

Fact Checking. *Trends Neurosci.* **40**, 517-520 (2017).

66.   H. Wolosker, D. T. Balu, J. T. Coyle, Astroglial Versus Neuronal D-Serine: Check Your Controls!
Trends Neurosci. **40**, 520-522 (2017).

67.   H. Wolosker, D. T. Balu, J. T. Coyle, The Rise and Fall of the d-Serine-Mediated Gliotransmission
Hypothesis. *Trends Neurosci.* **39**, 712-721 (2016).

68.   E. R. Stevens *et al.*, D-serine and serine racemase are present in the vertebrate retina and
contribute to the physiological activation of NMDA receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**,
6789-6794 (2003).

69.   H. Wolosker, S. Blackshaw, S. H. Snyder, Serine racemase: a glial enzyme synthesizing D-serine
to regulate glutamate-N-methyl-D-aspartate neurotransmission. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
96, 13409-13414 (1999).

70.   M. A. Benneyworth, Y. Li, A. C. Basu, V. Y. Bolshakov, J. T. Coyle, Cell selective conditional null
mutations of serine racemase demonstrate a predominate localization in cortical glutamatergic
neurons. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* **32**, 613-624 (2012).

71.   K. Miya *et al.*, Serine racemase is predominantly localized in neurons in mouse brain. *J. Comp.*
Neurol. **510**, 641-654 (2008).

72.   Y. Dun *et al.*, Serine racemase expression and D-serine content are developmentally regulated
in neuronal ganglion cells of the retina. *J. Neurochem.* **104**, 970-978 (2008).

73.   Y. Van Den Herrewegen *et al.*, Side-by-side comparison of the effects of Gq- and Gi-DREADD-
mediated astrocyte modulation on intracellular calcium dynamics and synaptic plasticity in the
hippocampal CA1. *Mol. Brain* **14**, 144 (2021).

74.   K. Bohmbach *et al.*, An astrocytic signaling loop for frequency-dependent control of dendritic
integration and spatial learning. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7932 (2022).

75.   L. Hosli *et al.*, Decoupling astrocytes in adult mice impairs synaptic plasticity and spatial
learning. *Cell Rep.* **38**, 110484 (2022).

76.   W. Ma *et al.*, Astrocytic α4nAchRs Signaling in the Hippocampus Governs the Formation of
Temporal Association Memory. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 10.2139/ssrn.4066805 (2022).

77.   W. Nissen, A. Szabo, J. Somogyi, P. Somogyi, K. P. Lamsa, Cell type-specific long-term plasticity
at glutamatergic synapses onto hippocampal interneurons expressing either parvalbumin or
CB1 cannabinoid receptor. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 1337-1347 (2010).

78.   M. J. Bezaire, I. Soltesz, Quantitative assessment of CA1 local circuits: knowledge base for
interneuron-pyramidal cell connectivity. *Hippocampus* **23**, 751-785 (2013).

79.   S. A. Booker, I. Vida, Morphological diversity and connectivity of hippocampal interneurons.
Cell Tissue Res. **373**, 619-641 (2018).

Acknowledgments.

This work was supported by grants from key R&D Program Project of Zhejiang (2022C03034), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LQ23C090001), Scientific Research Foundation of Zhejiang University City College, (X-202103), Scientific Research Foundation of Hangzhou City University (J-202325), Science and Technology Department of Zhejiang Province (2021RC051).

Conflict of interest:

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability statement:

Data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions.

W.S. and L.Z. designed the study; W.S. Y.T. and L.Z. analyzed data, wrote the manuscript; W.S., Y.T. J.Y. and L.Z. carried out most experiments; F.Z. and W.Z. helped with viral injection; Y.X. and J.D. helped with immunohistochemistry; J.D. and F.Z. helped with slice electrophysiology; W.Z. and L.X. helped with data analysis. All authors discussed the results and comments on the manuscript.

903 **Figures：**

Fig. 1. LTP$_{E \to I}$ in stratum radiatum is dependent on the activation of NMDA
receptor and astrocytic metabolism.

(A) Left: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark
traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction; Right: superimposed
representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min
after (red traces) LTP induction while in the presence of NMDA receptor antagonist D-
AP5.

(B) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control
conditions and in the presence of NMDA receptor antagonist D-AP5.

(C) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(Control: 220.2±33.94,**

n=10 slices from 5 mice; D-AP5: 105.2±4.404, n=6 slices from 3 mice; t=2.580 with 14 degrees of freedom, *p*=0.0218, two-tailed unpaired t-test).

(D) Left: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction; Right: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction while astrocytic metabolism was disrupted.

(E) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control conditions and in the presence of astrocytic metabolism inhibitor FAC.

(F) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(Control: 193.5±21.84, n=11 slices from 5 mice; FAC: 103.8±7.278, n=6 slices from 6 mice; t=2.943 with 15 degrees of freedom, *p*=0.0101, two-tailed unpaired t-test).**

Fig. 2. Astrocyte Ca²⁺ is involved in the induction of LTP$_{E \to I}$.

(A) Representative image of the astrocytic syncytium illustrating that Ca²⁺ clamping reagents and Alexa Fluor 594 diffuse through gap junctions surround the patched interneuron.

(B) Left: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction; Right: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction when Ca²⁺ concentration was clamped.

(C) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control conditions and when Ca²⁺ concentration was clamped.

(D) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(ACSF: 162.7±22.21, n=6 slices from 3 mice; Ca²⁺ clamp: 111.1±7.323, n=7 slices from 4 mice; Mann-Whitney U Statistic= 3.000, *p*=0.008, Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test; ACSF: 197.5±33.83, n=6 slices from 4 mice; Ca²⁺ clamp+D-serine: 195.1±31.75, n=7 slices from 4 mice; Mann-Whitney U Statistic= 19.000, *p*=0.836, Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test; ACSF: 172.2±19.49, n=8 slices from 4 mice; patch: 189.9±38.11, n=6 slices from 3 mice; Mann-Whitney U Statistic= 22.000, *p*=0.852, Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test).**

Fig. 3. Activation of astrocytic CB1 receptors causes an increase in astrocytic Ca^{2+} signals and is involved in the induction of LTP$_{E \to I}$.

(**A**) Representative images of a GCaMP6f$^+$ astrocyte before (left) and after (right) theta burst stimulation (TBS).

(**B**) Kymographs and $\triangle$F/F traces of cells with Ca^{2+} signals evoked by the activation of Schaffer collateral with a TBS in GCaMP6f$^+$ astrocyte.

(**C**) Representative images of a GCaMP6f$^+$ astrocyte before (left) and after (right) theta

burst stimulation (TBS) when in the presence of CB1 receptor blocker AM251. .

(D) Kymographs and $\triangle$F/F traces of cells with Ca^{2+} signals evoked by the activation

of Schaffer collateral with a TBS in GCaMP6f$^+$ astrocyte when in the presence of CB1

receptor blocker AM251.

(E) Kymographs and $\triangle$F/F traces of cells with Ca^{2+} signals evoked by the activation

of Schaffer collateral with a TBS in GCaMP6f$^+$ astrocyte when in the presence of α1-

adrenoceptor blocker terazosin.

(F) Summary plots for experiments in (B)-(E) illustrating that Ca^{2+} signals elicited by

TBS is mediated by the activation of CB1 receptors **(Control pre: 0.3275±0.1083** $\triangle$

F/F**, Control post: 1.313±0.2483** $\triangle$F/F**, n=45 from 20 cells of 5 mice; z= 3.369,**

p<0.001, **Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test; AM251 pre: 0.3541±0.07219** $\triangle$F/F**, AM251**

post: 0.3418±0.07240 $\triangle$F/F**, n=28 from 13 cells from 5 mice; z= -0,797, *p*=0.432,**

Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test; Terazosin pre: 0.5725±0.1749 $\triangle$F/F**, Terazosin post:**

1.73±0.4661 $\triangle$F/F**, n=25 from 11 cells from 4 mice; z=3.215, *p*=0.001, Wilcoxon**

Signed Rank Test).

(G) Upon: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark

traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction; below: superimposed

representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min

after (red traces) LTP induction while in the presence of CB1 receptor antagonist

AM251.

(H) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control

conditions and in the presence of CB1 receptor antagonist AM251.

(I) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(Control: 180.2±13.76,**

n=12 slices from 5 mice; AM251: 118.9±7.406, n=10 slices from 4 mice; t=3.697

with 20 degrees of freedom, *p*=0.0014, two-tailed unpaired t-test; Control:

169.3±16.89, n=12 slices from 6 mice; AM251+D-serine: 177.4±14.27, n=10 slices

from 5 mice; t=0.3561 with 20 degrees of freedom, *p*=0.7255, two-tailed unpaired

t-test).

1055

1056

1057

Fig. 4. D-serine release from astrocyte potentiates NMDAR-mediated responses

(A) Example traces of NMDAR-mediated EPSPs before and after bath application of D-serine in stratum radiatum interneurons.

(B) Normalized amplitude before and after add D-serine in stratum radiatum interneurons

(C) The percentage of potentiation in NMDAR-mediated responses **(percentage of potention: 155.523 ± 11.234, n=6 slices from 3 mice; t=-4.942 with 6 degrees of freedom, p=0.00260, two-tailed paired t-test).**

(D) Left: example traces of NMDAR-mediated EPSPs before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in stratum radiatum interneurons .Right: example traces of

NMDAR-mediated EPSPs before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in stratum radiatum interneurons when in the presence of D-serine.

(E) Normalized amplitude before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in stratum radiatum interneurons during ASCF and after application D-serine.

(F) The summary data measured the percentage of potentiation in NMDAR-mediated responses **(Control: 155.5±11.23, n=7 slices from 3 mice; D-serine: 121.1±6.917, n=8 slices from 4 mice; FAC: 112.8±4.931, n=7 slices from 3 mice; *p*=0.0036, F(2, 19)=7.653, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison) and AMPAR-mediated responses after TBS(Control: 132.357±12.305, n=5 slices from 3 mice; D-serine: 136.012±10.611, n=8 slices from 4 mice; t=-0.224 with 10 degrees of freedom, p=0.827, two-tailed unpaired t-test)**.

Fig. 5. Astrocytic activation induces *De Novo* LTP$_{E \to I}$.

(A) Representative images of a GCaMP6f^{+} astrocyte before (left) and after (right) CNO application.

(B) Example confocal images which showing co-expression of GCaMP6f and mCherry constructs confirm that representative cell in (A) is co-expression hM3Dq.

(C) Kymographs and $\triangle$F/F traces of cells with Ca^{2+} signals evoked by bath application of CNO in GCaMP6f^{+} and mCherry^{+} astrocyte.

(D) Summary plot illustrating that CNO is effective to activate astrocytes **(Baseline: 0.6167±0.07762 $\triangle$F/F, CNO: 1.456±0.1504 $\triangle$F/F, n=36 from 19 cells of 3 mice; t=6.411 with 35 degrees of freedom, p<0.0001, two-tailed paired t-test)**.

(E) Upon: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) CNO application when astrocyte only express mCherry; below: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) CNO applicaion when hM3Dq expressed astrocytes were activated by CNO

(F) Relative EPSP slope before and after CNO application when astrocytes only express mCherry and when astrocytes express hM3Dq.

(G) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(mCherry: 100.6±5.651, n=5 slices from 3 mice; hM3Dq: 172.8±10.28, n=7 slices from 4 mice; t=5.474 with 10 degrees of freedom, p=0.0003, two-tailed unpaired t-test).**

(H) Upon: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-40 min after (red traces) CNO application; middle: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-40 min after (red traces) CNO application when in the presence NMDA receptor blocker D-AP5; below: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-40 min after (red traces) CNO application when the glycine sites of NMDA receptors were saturated by D-serine.

(I) Relative EPSP slope before and after CNO application when astrocytes were activated by CNO, and when in the presence of NMDA receptor antagonist D-AP5 and in the presence of D-serine.

(J) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(hM3Dq+CNO: 197.1±27.57, n=6 slices from 3 mice; hM3Dq+CNO+D-AP5: 101.3±6.886, n=6 slices from 3 mice; hM3Dq+CNO+D-serine: 104.2+8.166, n=7 slices from 4 mice; p=0.0011, F(2, 16)=10.80, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).**

Fig. 6. Impaired hippocampus-dependent long-term memory in knockdown γCaMKII mice

(**A**) Upon: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction in WT group; middle: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction in AAV-mDLx-scramble shRNA group; below: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction in AAV-mDLx-γCaMKII shRNA group.

(**B**) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control, scramble shRNA and γCaMKII shRNA group.

(**C**) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction (**Control: 196±21.56, n=12 slices from 5 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: 121.3±10.64, n=14 slices from 6 mice; scramble shRNA: 199.6±21.42, n=11 slices from 5 mice; *p*=0.0040, F(2, 34)=6.527,**

ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).

(D) Schematic illustration of the experimental design for contextual and cued fear conditioning test, indicating the time line of the experimental manipulations.

(E) The freezing responses was measured in context A before training in WT, bilaterally injected γCaMKII shRNA and scramble shRNA mice **(Control: 17.5±2.975, n=10 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: 20.9±2.275, n=15 mice; scramble shRNA: 20.29±2.341, n=15 mice; *p*=0.6378, F(2, 37)=0.4553, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).**

(F) The freezing responses was measured 24 h after training in WT, bilaterally injected γCaMKII shRNA and scramble shRNA mice **(Control: 52.4±6.695, n=10 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: 30.64±4.574, n=15 mice; scramble shRNA: 49.27±4.899, n=15 mice; *p*=0.0105, F(2, 37)=5.170, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).**

(G) The freezing response was measured 28 h after training in WT, bilaterally injected γCaMKII shRNA and scramble shRNA mice **(Pre Control: 19.5±2.171, n=10 mice; Pre γCaMKII shRNA: 25.01±3.016, n=15 mice; Pre scramble shRNA: 21.94±3.519, n=15 mice; *p*=0.4986, F(2, 37)=0.7093, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison; Tone 1 Control: 57.9±5.153, n=10 mice; Tone 1 γCaMKII shRNA: 56.09±3.319, n=15 mice; Tone 1 scramble shRNA: 55.32±3.368, n=15 mice; *p*=0.9002, F(2, 37)=0.1055, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison; Tone 2 Control: 59.75±3.825, n=10 mice; Tone 2 γCaMKII shRNA: 60.95±3.482, n=15 mice; Tone 2 scramble shRNA: 60.34±4.485, n=15 mice; *p*=0.9802, F(2, 37)=0.01996, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).**

1246 **Supporting Figures.**

Fig. S1. EGFP is expressed in GABAergic interneurons in the stratum

radiatum of hippocampus.

(A) Schematic illustrating the procedure of AAV2/9 microinjections into the stratum

radiatum of the hippocampus.

(B) Confocal images showing EGFP (green) and GAD67 (red, white arrow) expressing

cells in the stratum radiatum of the hippocampus.

(C and D) mDLx::EGFP was expressed in >98% of interneurons in stratum radiatum

of the hippocampus, with >98% specificity.

Fig. S2.: EGFP$^+$ interneurons have normal sEPSC, excitability and PPR.

(A) Relationship of injected current to number of APs in postulated interneurons and EGFP$^+$ interneurons. Inset: traces of membrane responses to current injection.

(B) The number of APs at up state membrane potentials (Vm) values **(Control: 14.62±1.474, n=13 slices from 6 mice; EGFP: 15.61±1.458, n=18 slices from 6 mice; ,t=0.4684 with 29 degrees of freedom, p=0.643, two-tailed unpaired t-test).**

(C) Interneuron resting membrane potentials **(Control: -63.85±1.779 mV, n=13 slices**

from 6 mice; EGFP: -61.44±1.539 mV, n=18 slices from 6 mice; t=1.018 with 29 degrees of freedom, _p_=0.317, two-tailed unpaired t-test).

(D) Left: example traces of sEPSCs measured in stratum radiatum of hippocampal postulated interneurons of WT mice; Right: example traces of sEPSCs measured in stratum radiatum of hippocampal EGFP$^+$ interneurons of virus injected mice.

(E, F) Cumulative distribution plots and summary of sEPSC amplitude and frequency in postulated interneurons and EGFP$^+$ interneurons **(Amplitude: 16.89±1.846 pA of control, 18.28±2.003 of EGFP, n=10 slices from 6 mice, t=0.5125 with 18 degrees of freedom, _p_=0.6146, two-tailed unpaired t-test; Frequency: 12.41±3.058 Hz of control, 13.78±2.601 Hz of EGFP, n=10 slices from 6 mice, t=0.3405 with 18 degrees of freedom, _p_=0.7374, two-tailed unpaired t-test).**

(G) Example paired-pulse traces measured in postulated interneurons and EGFP$^+$ interneurons.

(H) Summary of paired-pulse ratio **(Control: 1.602±0.1443, n=8 slices from 3 mice; EGFP: 1.677±0.1794, n=8 slices from 3 mice; t=0.3267 with 14 degrees of freedom, _p_=0.7488, two-tailed unpaired t-test).**

.

Fig. S3. Stratum radiatum interneurons show linear rectifying AMPARs and a big NMDAR-mediated component.

(A) Current-voltage (I-V) relation of AMPAR-mediated EPSCs in stratum radiatum interneurons. Inset: averaged EPSCs traces at -90, -60, -30, 0 and 60 mV, showing the times at which the two components were measured.

(B) AMPA rectification index (EPSC amplitude at 60 mV / EPSC amplitude at -60 mV) in eight interneurons from stratum radiatum indicates linear rectifying AMPARs **(AMPA retification index: 0.8959±0.09362)**.

(C) I-V relation for the NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in stratum radiatum interneurons.

(D) NMDA / AMPA ratio (NMDAR-mediated EPSC amplitude at 60 mV / AMPAR-mediated EPSC amplitude at -60 mV) in eight cells from stratum radiatum **(NMDA/AMPA ratio: 0.8664±0.2075)**.

 Fig. S4. LTP$_{E\rightarrow I}$ in stratum oriens is not dependent on astrocytic metabolism

 and the activation of NMDA receptor.

 (A) Left: superimposed representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark

 traces) and 35-45 min after (red traces) LTP induction; Right: superimposed

 representative averaged EPSPs recorded 10 min before (dark traces) and 35-45 min

 after (red traces) LTP induction when astrocytic metabolism was disrupted.

 (B) Normalized slope before and after the TBS stimulation protocol in control

 conditions and in the presence of astrocytic metabolism inhibitor FAC.

 (C) The summary data measured 35-45 min after LTP induction **(Control: 233±52.74,**

 n=5 slices from 3 mice, FAC: 233.5±33.06, n=6 slices from 6 mice; D-

 AP5:220.9±38.94, n=8 slices from 4 mice; p=0.96, F(2, 16)=0.03277, ANOVA with

 Dunnett's comparison).

Fig. S5. Stratum oriens interneurons show inwardly rectifying AMPARs and

a negligible NMDAR-mediated component.

(A) Current-voltage (I-V) relation of AMPAR-mediated EPSCs in stratum oriens

interneurons. Inset: averaged EPSCs traces at -90, -60, -30, 0 and 60 mV, showing the

times at which the two components were measured.

(B) AMPA rectification index (EPSC amplitude at 60 mV / EPSC amplitude at -60 mV）

in six interneurons from stratum oriens indicate inwardly rectifying AMPARs **(AMPA**

retification index: 0.2406±0.05594).

(C) I-V relation for the NMDAR-mediated EPSCs in stratum oriens interneurons.

(D) NMDA /AMPA ratio (NMDAR-mediated EPSC amplitude at 60 mV / AMPAR-

mediated EPSC amplitude at -60 mV) in six cells from stratum oriens **(NMDA/AMPA**

ratio: 0.1132±0.05370).

Fig. S6. GCaMP6f was expressed in astrocytes.

(A and B) Representative immunohistochemistry images showing colocalization of GCaMP6f and GFAP (A), but not NeuN (B).

(C and D) gfaABC$_1$D::GCaMP6f was expressed in >70% of astrocyte in stratum radiatum of the hippocampus, with >99% specificity.

(E) Quantification of the percentage of cells expressing NeuN that also expressed GCaMP6f.

1468 **Fig. S7. hM3Dq was expressed in astrocytes.**

1469 (A) hM3Dq(mCherry) colocalization with an GCaMP6f in the stratum radiatum of the

1470 hippocampus.

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

Fig. S8. EGFP-γCaMKII shRNA is expressed in GABAergic interneurons in the

stratum radiatum of hippocampus.

(A)Confocal images showing EGFP γCaMKII shRNA (green) and GAD67 (red, white

arrows) expressing cells in the stratum radiatum of the hippocampus. These images

indicate that the γCaMKII shRNA, which is driven by an interneuron specific promoter

(mDLx), is expressed specifically in interneurons.

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

Fig. S9. knockdown γCaMKII from interneurons have no effect on their sEPSC, excitability and PPR

(A) Relationship of injected current to number of APs in postulated interneurons, expressing scramble shRNA and γCaMKII shRNA interneurons. Inset: traces of membrane responses to current injection.

(B) The number of APs at up state membrane potentials (Vm) values **(Control:**

15.95±1.904, n=10 slices from 4 mice; scramble shRNA: 15.00±1.430, n=10 slices from 4 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: 14.90±1.410, n=10 slice from 5 mice; p=0.4986, $F_{(2, 27)}$=0.4756, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison).

(C) Interneuron resting membrane potentials **(Control: -66.03±0.8127, n=10 slices from 4 mice; scramble shRNA: -64.44±1.398, n=10 slices from 4 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: -67.23±0.9803, n=10 slice from 5 mice; p=0.2128, $F_{(2, 27)}$=1.639, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison)**.

(D) Left: example traces of sEPSCs measured in stratum radiatum of hippocampal postulated interneurons of WT mice; Right: example traces of sEPSCs measured in stratum radiatum of hippocampal EGFP[+] interneurons of AAV-mDLx-shRNA γCaMKII expressed mice.

(E, F) Cumulative distribution plots and summary of sEPSC amplitude and frequency in postulated interneurons, expressing scramble shRNA and γCaMKII shRNA interneurons **(Frequency: 11.62±2.328 Hz of control, n=6 slices from 3 mice, 11.69±2.462 Hz of scramble shRNA, n=8 slices from 4 mice, 11.12±2.015 Hz of γCaMKII shRNA, n=8 slices from 4 mice, p=0.9805, $F_{(2, 19)}$=0.01969, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison; Amplitude: 15.23±1.846 pA of control, n=6 slices from 3 mice, 14.57±1.382 of scramble shRNA, n=8 slices from 4 mice,16.23±1.821 of γCaMKII shRNA, n=8 slices from 4 mice, p=0.8459, $F_{(2, 19)}$=0.1688, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison)**.

(G) Example paired-pulse traces measured in postulated interneurons of WT mice and EGFP[+] interneurons of AAV-mDLx-shRNA γCaMKII expressed mice.

(H) Summary of paired-pulse ratio **(Control: 1.679±0.1190 n=9 slices from 3 mice; scramble shRNA: 1.688±0.1405, n=7 slices from 3 mice; γCaMKII shRNA: 1.622±0.1477, n=7 slice from 3 mice; p=0.9361, $F_{(2, 20)}$=0.06628, ANOVA with Dunnett's comparison)**.