Leucine alleviates cytokine storm syndrome by regulating macrophage polarization via the mTORC1/LXRα signaling pathway

Hui Yan[*,†,1], Yao Liu[†,1], Xipeng Li[†,1], Bing Yu[1], Jun He[1], Xiangbing Mao, Jie Yu[1], Zhiqing Huang[1], Yuheng Luo[1], Junqiu Luo[1], Aimin Wu[1], Daiwen Chen[*,1]

[1]Key Laboratory of Animal Disease-Resistance Nutrition of China Ministry of Education, Key laboratory of Animal Disease-resistant Nutrition and Feed of China Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Key laboratory of Animal Disease-resistant Nutrition of Sichuan Province, Animal Nutrition Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China

[†]Hui Yan, Yao Liu and Xipeng Li contributed equally to this work.
*Correspondence should be addressed:
Hui Yan, Ph.D., E-mail addresses: yan.hui@sicau.edu.cn
Daiwen Chen, Ph.D., E-mail addresses: dwchen@sicau.edu.cn
Address: Animal Nutrition Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Huimin Road 211, Wen Jiang District, Chengdu 611130, Sichuan, China.

Abstract
Cytokine storms are associated with severe pathological damage and death in some diseases. Excessive activation of M1 macrophages and the subsequent secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines are a major cause of cytokine storms. Therefore, promoting the polarization of M2 macrophages to restore immune balance is a promising therapeutic strategy for treating cytokine storm syndrome (CSS). This study was aimed at investigating the potential protective effects of leucine on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced CSS in mice and exploring the underlying mechanisms. CSS was induced by LPS administration in mice, which were concurrently administered leucine orally. In vitro, BMDMs were polarized to M1 and M2 phenotypes with LPS and IL-4, respectively, and treated with leucine. Leucine decreased mortality in mice treated with lethal doses of LPS. Specifically, leucine decreased M1 polarization and promoted M2 polarization, thus diminishing pro-inflammatory cytokine levels and ameliorating CSS in mice. Further studies revealed that leucine induced macrophage polarization through the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)/liver X receptor α (LXRα) pathway, which synergistically enhanced the expression of the IL-4-induced M2 marker Arg1 and subsequent M2 polarization. In summary, this study revealed that leucine ameliorates CSS in LPS mice by promoting M2 polarization through the mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1 signaling pathway. Our findings indicate that a fundamental link between metabolism and immunity contributes to the resolution of inflammation and the repair of damaged tissues.

Keywords: leucine, macrophage polarization, cytokine storm syndrome, mTORC1.

Introduction

Cytokine storm syndrome (CSS), characterized by severe systemic inflammation, is the leading cause of death in certain infectious diseases, such as Coronavirus disease 2019 and acute respiratory distress syndrome (Kim et al., 2021; Ramasamy and Subbian, 2021; Wang et al., 2020). Acute overwhelming inflammation caused by CSS is characterized by elevated levels of circulating cytokines and hyperactivation of immune cells, particularly macrophages (Grom et al., 2016). Macrophage hyperactivation markedly increases the levels of many proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-6, TNFα, and IFNγ, thereby leading to a hyperinflammatory state associated with high mortality (Schulert and Grom, 2015). Macrophages are broadly classified into two categories according to their function: M1 (classical) and M2 (alternative). M1 triggers a rapid proinflammatory response to infection and tissue damage, whereas M2 exhibits anti-inflammatory and reparative activity, participating in inflammation remission and tissue repair (Covarrubias et al., 2015; Ginhoux et al., 2016; Murray et al., 2014). Therefore, targeting macrophages to achieve a precise balance of anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory activity is a major avenue for the treatment of CSS. Currently, the main approach to treating CSS involves mitigating excessive immune responses through broad (e.g., glucocorticoid) and targeted (e.g., anti-cytokine) approaches (Schulert and Grom, 2014). However, those approaches elicit numerous adverse effects, such as hypertension and liver damage. Nutritional intervention is a relatively safe

approach to alleviate CSS.

Macrophage polarization to M1 or M2 phenotypes has distinct metabolic requirements, in which the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) pathway plays a key role (Kang et al., 2018). mTORC1 is an important metabolic regulator that controls cell proliferation, differentiation, and immunity by sensing cellular energy status, nutrients, and external stimuli (Dibble et al., 2012). Amino acid restriction reprograms macrophage function through an mTOR-centric cascade (Orillion et al., 2018). Leucine, an important essential amino acid, is also a critical mTORC1 regulator (Jewell et al., 2015). The mTORC1 pathway plays a key role in controlling macrophage polarization (Byles et al., 2013). Recent studies have indicated that leucine blocks macrophage infiltration in obese animals, thus decreasing levels of the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α and the macrophage marker F4/80^{+} in adipocytes(Macotela et al., 2011). However, the mechanism through which leucine alleviates inflammation is unclear.

In our study, we explored the effects of leucine on LPS-induced CSS and elucidated the essential roles of leucine in CSS pathogenesis. We observed that leucine decreased mortality in mice treated with lethal doses of LPS. In addition, leucine decreased the expression and secretion of inflammatory factors in the serum and tissues of mice. *In vitro* data further confirmed that leucine inhibited LPS-driven M1 polarization and promoted M2 polarization through the mTORC1 signaling pathway, and leucine promoted M2 macrophage polarization through enhancing the expression of LXRα induced by IL-4. Thus, our findings revealed a basic link between metabolism and immunity, in which leucine, via mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1, regulates the polarization of macrophages to M2 and alleviates inflammation.

Results

Leucine improves survival and inhibits cytokine storm in LPS-induced endotoxemic mice

Firstly, we determined whether leucine prevents LPS-induced acute endotoxemia by i.p. injection of 25 mg/kg LPS. The injection of a lethal dose of LPS caused the death of all mice within 72 hours. Providing 2% leucine from feed or 5% leucine from water improved survival rate of LPS-injected mice to 34% and 60%, respectively (Figure 1A). Thus, leucine appeared to protect against LPS-induced acute endotoxemia in mice.

LPS-induced endotoxemia and death are partially due to systemic and local inflammation. We next investigated the effect of leucine on acute inflammation caused by non-lethal doses of LPS in mice. Mice fed leucine in the feed, drinking water, or a combination of both exhibited higher body weight gain than control mice before LPS stimulation (Figure 1B). In addition, LPS, compared with the control, significantly increased levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, INF-γ, and TNF-α in both the serum and liver after 6-hour i.p. injection. Mice administered 2% leucine in the feed, 5% leucine in the drinking water, or a combination of both, compared with the LPS group, showed significantly lower cytokine levels in the serum and liver (Figure 1C-D). We also investigated expression of inflammation related markers in various tissues. Compared with that in the LPS group, the expression of IL-6, IL-1β, NLRP3, MCP1, and iNOS in liver and spleen was significantly lower in mice receiving 2% leucine from the feed, 5% leucine from the drinking water, or a combination of

both (Figure 1E-G). In addition, mice receiving 2% leucine from the feed, 5% leucine from the drinking water, or a combination of both, compared with the LPS group, showed lower expression of IL-6, IL-1β, and MCP1, and higher expression of the anti-inflammation related markers Arg1, Mgl1, and Mgl2 in the bone marrow (Figure 1E-G).

Collectively, our results indicated that 2% leucine from feed, 5% leucine from drinking water, or a combination of both significantly inhibits proinflammatory cytokine production in mice with LPS-induced endotoxemia.

Leucine regulates macrophage polarization in endotoxemic mice

Inflammatory cytokines are derived primarily from a variety of immune cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils. Briefly, LPS i.p. injection resulted in significantly more white blood cells, neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils in the blood than observed in the control group. Providing leucine in the drinking water, feed, or both significantly decreased white blood cells, neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils (Figure 2A). Thus, the alleviation of inflammation and death in CSS might have been due to changes in immune cell populations and the regulation of immune cell differentiation by leucine.

Macrophages are derived from monocytes and are the main cells producing cytokines. Typically, macrophages polarize to pro-inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotypes, depending on their microenvironment (Locati et al., 2020). Therefore, we determined how leucine modulates macrophage polarization by performing flow cytometry sorting in endotoxemic mice. The immune cell population was labeled by $CD45^+$, and $CD11b^+$ and $F4/80^+$ double-positive labeled macrophages were used. To determine the proportions of macrophage subsets, we used $CD86^+$ and $CD206^+$ as molecular markers for M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively (Figure 2B). Briefly, LPS i.p. injection significantly increased the percentage of $CD86^+$ and decreased the percentage of $CD206^+$ in both the bone marrow and spleen, thus suggesting that LPS i.p. injection led to a transition toward M1 polarization in mice (Figure 2C-D). In contrast, providing leucine in the drinking water, feed or both decreased the percentage of $CD86^+$ and increased the percentage of $CD206^+$, thus indicating that leucine ameliorated inflammation in mice and decreased macrophage M1 polarization, but markedly promoted M2 polarization (Figure 2C-D). Together, these results indicated that the anti-inflammatory effects of leucine were probably mediated by modulating macrophage polarization, through suppressing M1 polarization but promoting M2 polarization.

Leucine promotes M2 polarization in BMDMs

To further determine the effects of leucine on macrophage polarization, we induced BMDMs to differentiate into M1 or M2 macrophages through stimulation with LPS or IL-4, respectively (Figure 3A). LPS promoted M1 macrophage polarization, as indicated by increased release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α, and induction of mRNA expression of IL-1β, TNFα, IL-6, and NLRP3 in BMDMs (Figure 3B-C). In LPS-stimulated cells, leucine decreased the secretion of IL-6 and TNF-α in culture supernatant and suppressed the expression of IL-1β and TNF-α, particularly at 10 mM concentration (Figure

3B-C). However, the mRNA expression of IL-6 and NLRP3, which is regulated by NFκB, was uninfluenced by leucine treatment (Figure 3C).

Next, we investigated the effects of leucine on M2 macrophage polarization. IL-4 promoted M2 macrophage polarization, as indicated by increased activity of arginase-1, and induction of mRNA expression of Arg1, Ym1, Fizz1, and Mgl2 in BMDMs. Arg1, a hallmark feature of M2 macrophages, competes with inducible nitric oxide synthase for L-arginine, and decreases nitric oxide synthesis, thereby preventing local inflammation and tissue repair (Arlauckas et al., 2018). In IL-4-stimulated cells, leucine increased the activity of Arg1 in the culture supernatant and promoted the protein expression of Arg1, particularly when administered at 10 mM concentration (Figure 3D-F). Additionally, leucine promoted the mRNA expression of Arg1, Ym1, Fizz1, and Mgl2, thus further validating that leucine promotes M2 polarization (Figure 3G). Together, these findings suggested that leucine promotes M2 polarization in BMDMs.

mTORC1 mediates leucine induced M2 polarization

M2 polarization involves activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6), which directly mediates the transcriptional activation of M2 macrophage-specific genes such as Arg1 (Goenka and Kaplan, 2011). In general, IL-4 activates the STAT6 signaling pathway and consequently promotes Arg1 expression, thus contributing to M2 polarization (Yang et al., 2021). However, our results indicated that leucine did not further activate STAT6; therefore, leucine did not promote M2 polarization through the STAT6 pathway (Figure 4A). A key effector of leucine is believed to activate the mTORC1 protein kinase (Cangelosi et al., 2022). In our study, inhibiting mTORC1 was found to suppress Arg1 expression and inhibit leucine-mediated M2 polarization (Figure 4A-figure supplement 1B). Notably, Torin1 inhibited M2 polarization more significantly compared to rapamycin. The above findings underscored the pivotal role of leucine in driving M2 polarization through the mTORC1 pathway.

To further confirm the role of leucine in regulating M2 polarization via mTORC1, we next directly detected the activity of arginase 1 in culture supernatants and cells. Inhibition of mTORC1 was followed by arginase 1 activity inhibition (Figure 4B-C). Moreover, the expression of the M2 marker genes Arg1, Fizz1, Mgl1, and Mgl2 was also completely inhibited (Figure 4D). The above results again confirmed that the effect of leucine on M2 polarization occurs through mTORC1 signaling.

In addition, although inhibition of mTORC1 slightly inhibited p-STAT6 activation, it was insufficient to explain the complete inhibition of Arg1 caused by inhibition of mTORC1, thus indicating that mTORC1 regulates M2 polarization by mediating other pathways. Together, our findings suggested that leucine mediates M2 polarization via the mTORC1 pathway.

LXRα is essential for leucine-induced macrophage polarization

LXRα is a regulator of Arg1 in macrophages, and its activation enhances the expression of Arg1 mRNA and protein (Pourcet et al., 2011). In our study, leucine promoted the protein expression of LXRα (Figure 5A). The transcriptional regulation of downstream expression of

the target gene Arg1 requires entry of LXRα into the nucleus. Leucine promoted the expression of LXRα in the nucleus and also increased Arg1 expression (Figure 5B). Moreover, inhibition of LXRα activity inhibited the promoting effect of leucine on Arg1 activity, thus demonstrating that LXRα mediates the effect of leucine on M2 polarization (Figure 5C-D). In addition, inhibition of LXRα suppressed the expression of M2 marker genes, thus providing additional confirmation (Figure 5E). However, leucine further promoted Arg1 expression in cells treated with LXRα inhibitor, thereby suggesting that LXRα is not the only pathway through which leucine regulates M2 polarization (Figure 5E). These results showed that LXRα is essential for leucine-induced macrophage polarization.

Discussion

CSS is an uncontrolled and immune dysregulated immune response involving the sustained activation and expansion of macrophages, which secrete large amounts of cytokines, these cytokines in turn lead to overwhelming systemic inflammation and multi-organ failure with high mortality (Chen et al., 2020; (Copaescu et al., 2020). An imbalance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory systems is the main cause of CSS, and macrophage polarization is important for maintaining immune homeostasis. M1 macrophages arise in inflammatory settings dominated by the interferon signaling associated with immunity to bacteria and intracellular pathogens, whereas M2 macrophages relieve inflammation and play important roles in fighting against and recovering from infection (Murray, 2017). Thus, therapeutic strategies that target macrophage polarization may be an approach to alleviate CSS.

In our study, leucine decreased LPS-induced inflammation and mortality. The uptake and metabolism of leucine regulated immune cell activation through the mTORC1 signaling pathway. Targeting leucine to manipulate immune responses have been suggested to be useful in the treatment of infections and autoimmunity (Ananieva Elitsa A et al., 2016). A previous study has found that leucine inhibits the expression of inflammatory factors in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells, but the specific mechanism has not been clarified (Lee et al., 2017). Our research further verified that leucine alleviates inflammation by inhibiting LPS-stimulated M1 polarization and promoting M2 polarization in both animals and BMDMs.

Macrophage polarization is critical for immune homeostasis. In our study, leucine not only decreased the LPS-mediated production of pro-inflammatory factors such as IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α, but also promoted the expression of the M2 macrophage markers Mgl1 and Mgl2. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) are sensitive indicators of liver damage. LPS led to significant increase in AST and ALT in both serum and liver, whereas leucine decreased AST and ALT levels, indicating that leucine mitigated tissue damage (Figure supplement 1A). Importantly, leucine significantly inhibited the high mortality caused by LPS. Therefore, we hypothesized that leucine might regulate macrophage polarization in LPS-induced CSS. Indeed, leucine has been found to directly promote M2 macrophage polarization *in vitro*, because the addition of leucine markedly increases the expression of M2-associated genes in IL-4-stimulated BMDMs, as well as arginase-1 activity, which is crucial for M2 macrophage polarization (Y. Zhang et al., 2020). Moreover, leucine

alone did not increase the expression of M2-associated genes in BMDMs, thus further indicating that leucine synergistically enhances IL-4-induced gene expression and subsequent M2 polarization. CSS is caused by a severe pro-inflammatory/anti-inflammatory imbalance of macrophages, in which M2, which plays important roles in inflammation relief and tissue repair, is diminished (Cosín-Roger et al., 2016). Herein, we demonstrated that leucine alleviates inflammation in LPS-induced CSS, possibly through its ability to promote M2 polarization.

mTORC1 is known to integrate information about cellular nutritional status, including amino acid levels, thus altering cell signaling through a wide range of downstream targets (Wolfson and Sabatini, 2017). Recent studies have revealed the crucial importance of the mTORC1 pathway in controlling macrophage polarization, and the strong connections among the regulation of macrophage activity, nutrition sensing, and metabolic status (Papathanassiu et al., 2017; Byles et al., 2013b; Kobayashi et al., 2021). Nutrient status, particularly that of amino acids, regulates macrophage polarization via mTORC1 remains unclear. Among amino acids, leucine is a potent activator of mTORC1 in macrophages (X. Zhang et al., 2020). Leucine activates mTORC1 primarily by blocking the inhibitory effect of the protein setrin2 on the GATOR2, a complex that activates mTORC1 (Wolfson et al., 2016). Recently, leucine has been found induce mTORC1 activation in macrophages, thus further regulating macrophage polarization (Li et al., 2019).

However, the specific mechanism through which leucine regulates macrophage polarization has not been reported. In our study, leucine was found to activate mTORC1 and promote M2 polarization, whereas inhibition of the mTORC1 signaling pathway was found to hinder leucine-mediated polarization to M2. Thus, our results showed that leucine regulates M2 polarization through mTORC1.

In M2 macrophages, Arg1 plays a pivotal role in a hallmark characteristic by catalyzing the hydrolysis of L-arginine into L-proline and polyamines, which subsequently downregulate the transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α in macrophages, thereby attenuating local inflammation and promoting tissue repair. Our research findings demonstrate that inhibiting mTORC1 significantly reduces Arg1 expression. Macrophage polarization is regulated by various transcription factors, among which STAT6 is indispensable for M2 polarization. Stimulation of macrophages with IL-4 leads to IL-4R signaling and phosphorylation of the transcription factor STAT6 at tyrosine residues, facilitating its nuclear translocation and induction of target genes. Studies have shown that STAT6/Arg1 is an important signaling mechanism in macrophage phenotypic regulation (Cai et al., 2019). Furthermore, emerging evidence suggests that ketone bodies promote M2 macrophage polarization through a STAT6-dependent signaling pathway(Huang et al., 2022). Addition of 2% leucine to drinking water increased blood ketone levels and decreases blood glucose levels (Figure supplement 1C). Therefore, we hypothesized that leucine might regulate M2 polarization through the mTORC1/STAT6/Arg1 pathway. Indeed, after IL-4 stimulation, STAT6 was tyrosine phosphorylated, but inhibition of mTORC1 did not alter the expression of STAT6. Moreover, leucine increased STAT6 phosphorylation in IL-4-stimulated BMDMs, but leucine alone did not activate STAT6. These findings indicated that leucine

promotes macrophage M2 polarization independently of the mTORC1/STAT6/Arg1 pathway.

Beyond STAT6, a prior study has identified that LXRα regulates Arg1 expression in macrophages by promoting binding of the hematopoietic transcription factors IRF8 and PU.1 to the transcription start site in the Arg1 gene (Pourcet et al., 2011). The importance of LXRα in inhibiting the expression of inflammatory mediators in macrophages and macrophage differentiation has been reported (A-Gonzalez et al., 2013; Joseph et al., 2003). LXRα knockout decreases Arg1 expression, thus enhancing inflammation signatures of macrophages and ultimately inhibiting recovery after injury (Mao et al., 2021). However, whether leucine regulates M2 polarization through mTORC1/LXRα must be verified. After inhibition of mTORC1, the protein expression of LXRα decreased, and Arg1 expression was also significantly inhibited. Moreover, after LXRα inhibition, the expression of Arg1 decreased significantly, thereby confirming that active LXRα is necessary for M2 polarization. These findings indicated that leucine-mediated M2 polarization may occur via the mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1 pathway. Indeed, we extracted macrophage nuclear proteins and found that leucine effectively promoted LXRα entry into the nucleus. Thus, our results showed that mTORC1 integrates intracellular leucine signaling and external IL-4 signaling, thus activating its downstream transduction factor LXRα, and promoting LXRα entry into the nucleus and the induction of the target gene Arg1, and ultimately leading to M2 polarization.

Our results suggested that leucine regulates M2 via the mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1 pathway, thus alleviating LPS-mediated CSS. Leucine also has regulatory effects on M1. In present study, we report the first evidence that leucine decreases mortality in mice after a lethal dose of LPS, and attenuates secretion of the proinflammatory factors IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α in the serum. The anti-inflammatory effects of leucine were probably mediated by modulation of macrophage polarization, because leucine suppressed CD86 expression (M1 macrophage marker) but increased CD206 (M1 macrophage marker) expression in both the bone marrow and spleen. This possibility was corroborated by *in vitro* data in BMDMs. Leucine inhibited LPS-driven M1 polarization, and decreased the secretion of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α in BMDMs. Together, our in vivo and in vitro results suggest that leucine may also inhibit inflammation driven by M1 macrophages. Therefore, subsequent studies of the specific mode of action of leucine on the polarization of M1 macrophages will be essential.

Conclusions

In summary, the present study revealed that leucine ameliorates CSS in mice exposed to LPS by inhibiting macrophage M1 polarization and promoting M2 polarization. On the basis of our results, a role of leucine in macrophage inflammatory responses via the mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1 axis is proposed (Figure 6), in which leucine promotes M2 macrophage polarization through the mTORC1/LXRα/Arg1 signaling pathway, thereby contributing to the resolution of inflammation and the repair of damaged tissues.

Materials and methods

Animals

The experimental procedures and animal care were performed in accordance with the

regulations of the Animal Care Committee of Sichuan Agricultural University (No. 20180701). Forty male C57BL/6J mice (8 weeks) were purchased from Dashuo Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Mice with similar body weights (n = 8) were randomly divided into five treatment groups: 1) control group; 2) LPS group; 3) LPS + 2% leucine drinking water group (LPS + 2% W Leu); 4) LPS + 5% leucine food group (LPS + 5% F Leu); and 5) LPS + 2% leucine drinking water + 5% leucine food group (LPS + 2% W + 5%F Leu). All mice were housed in cages with constant humidity (40–70%) and temperature (20–25 °C) under a 12 h light/dark cycle, and were given free access to drinking water and food for 21 days. On day 21, the mice were challenged with LPS (intraperitoneal injection); 6 hours after the challenge, the mice were anesthetized by 20 s exposure to carbon dioxide, and blood samples were collected through cardiac puncture. Collected samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until analysis. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C, and then the serum was separated and stored at -20 °C until further analysis.

Macrophage isolation and stimulation

BMDMs were prepared as previously described (Byles et al., 2013). Briefly, after 6–8-week-old C57BL/6J mice were euthanized with CO_2, the femurs and tibias were removed and centrifuged to obtain cells. For macrophage differentiation, bone marrow-derived cells were plated in Petri dishes and cultured for 7 days in αMEM (containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) supplemented with 10 ng/mL M-CSF. Adherent cells were collected and seeded into new dishes for subsequent experiments. For M1-like activation, 25×10^4 BMDMs were placed in 12-well plates and treated with 100 ng/mL LPS (Sigma–Aldrich) for 6 h. For M2 polarization, cells were treated with 20 ng/mL IL-4 (Peprotech) for 24 hours. Leucine treatment was usually performed 1 hour before LPS/IL-4 stimulation.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction solution was prepared according to the instructions of the reverse transcription kit (Takara) to reverse-transcribe RNA to cDNA. QPCR was then performed with a reaction mixture consisting of 5 μL SYBR Green (Takara), 0.2 μL Rox, 3 μL dH_2O, 0.4 μl primers (F+R) for each gene used in the study, and 1 μl cDNA. Relative gene expression was calculated with the ΔΔCT method, and results were normalized to values for the housekeeping gene Cyclophilin. Primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

Western blot analysis

Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, and proteins were extracted with RIPA lysis buffer (containing PMSF and phosphatase inhibitors). After a 30 min incubation at 4°C, samples were sonicated and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C, and clear supernatant was collected. Concentrations were determined, and samples were assayed with a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). Equal amounts of protein were then separated through 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to

polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Merck Millipore Ltd., Tullagreen, Ireland). Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat dry milk in 1× TBST for 1 hour at room temperature, then incubated with specific primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Membranes were washed three times with TBST and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, protein bands were visualized with an ECL chemiluminescence kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Protein band density was quantified in Image Lab software (Bio-Rad). The ratio of the densitometric values of the target protein to the reference protein was calculated and expressed relative to the control value. Antibody information is listed in Table S2.

Flow cytometry analysis

Fluorescently labeled antibodies (purified anti-mouse CD16/32, FITC anti-mouse CD45, APC anti-mouse F4/80, PerCP/Cy5.5 anti-mouse CD11b, APC/Cy7 anti-mouse CD86, and PE/Cy7 anti-mouse CD206) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were collected on a BD FACSVerse^{TM} instrument (BD Biosciences) and analyzed in FlowJo10 software. Antibody information is listed in Table S2.

Arginase assays

Arginase was measured as described above (Corraliza et al., 1994). In brief, cells were lysed with 0.1% Triton X100 and incubated at 37°C for 30 min to release enzymes by cell rupture. Subsequently, $MnCl_2$ and Tris-HCl (pH = 7.5) were added and heated at 56°C for 10 minutes to activate arginase 1. Subsequently, 500 mM L-arginine (pH = 9.7) was added and incubated at 37°C for 30 min to hydrolyze L-arginine. Hydrolysis was stopped with acid stop solution (H_2SO_4: H_3PO_4: H_2O = 1:3:7 v/v). Finally, 9% α-isonitrosopropiophenone (dissolved in 100% ethanol) was added and heated at 100°C for 15 min. Urea was measured at 540 nm, and all samples were read in triplicate.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

The cytokines TNF-a, IL-6, and INF-y (Beijing Sizhengbai Biotechnology, China) were determined with commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. Briefly, serum or cell culture supernatants were collected and analyzed according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

AST and ALT assays

For liver function tests, glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (also known as aspartate transaminase, AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels in mouse serum and liver homogenate supernatants were detected with kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Routine blood examination

Analysis of white blood cell composition and proportion (WBC, Neu#, Mon#, Lym#, Bas#, Eos#) was performed with an automatic biochemical analyzer (Hitachi 3100).

Statistical analysis

The results are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Groups were compared with unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test and/or one-way analysis of variance. The *P* values are indicated in the figures as follows: $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$, $****P < 0.0001$ and ns, not significant ($P > 0.05$). All results were plotted in GraphPad Prism 8 software.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Sichuan Science and Technology Program under grants (2021YJ0195, 2021ZDZX0009, and 2020YFN0147).

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

A-Gonzalez N, Guillen JA, Gallardo G, Diaz M, de la Rosa JV, Hernandez IH, Casanova-Acebes M, Lopez F, Tabraue C, Beceiro S, Hong C, Lara PC, Andujar M, Arai S, Miyazaki T, Li S, Corbi AL, Tontonoz P, Hidalgo A, Castrillo A. 2013. The nuclear receptor LXRα controls the functional specialization of splenic macrophages. *Nat Immunol* **14**:831–839. doi:10.1038/ni.2622

Ananieva Elitsa A, Powell JD, Hutson SM. 2016. Leucine Metabolism in T Cell Activation: mTOR Signaling and Beyond123. *Adv Nutr* **7**:798S-805S. doi:10.3945/an.115.011221

Ananieva Elitsa A., Powell JD, Hutson SM. 2016. Leucine Metabolism in T Cell Activation: mTOR Signaling and Beyond. *Adv Nutr* **7**:798S-805S. doi:10.3945/an.115.011221

Arlauckas SP, Garren SB, Garris CS, Kohler RH, Oh J, Pittet MJ, Weissleder R. 2018. Arg1 expression defines immunosuppressive subsets of tumor-associated macrophages. *Theranostics* **8**:5842–5854. doi:10.7150/thno.26888

Byles V, Covarrubias AJ, Ben-Sahra I, Lamming DW, Sabatini DM, Manning BD, Horng T. 2013a. The TSC-mTOR pathway regulates macrophage polarization. *Nat Commun* **4**:2834. doi:10.1038/ncomms3834

Byles V, Covarrubias AJ, Ben-Sahra I, Lamming DW, Sabatini DM, Manning BD, Horng T. 2013b. The TSC-mTOR pathway regulates macrophage polarization. *Nat Commun* **4**:2834. doi:10.1038/ncomms3834

Cai W, Dai X, Chen Jie, Zhao J, Xu M, Zhang L, Yang B, Zhang W, Rocha M, Nakao T, Kofler J, Shi Y, Stetler RA, Hu X, Chen Jun. n.d. STAT6/Arg1 promotes microglia/macrophage efferocytosis and inflammation resolution in stroke mice. *JCI Insight* **4**: e131355. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.131355

Cangelosi AL, Puszynska AM, Roberts JM, Armani A, Nguyen TP, Spinelli JB, Kunchok T, Wang B, Chan SH, Lewis CA, Comb WC, Bell GW, Helman A, Sabatini DM. 2022. Zonated leucine sensing by Sestrin-mTORC1 in the liver controls the response to dietary leucine. *Science* **377**:47–56. doi:10.1126/science. abi9547

Chen LYC, Hoiland RL, Stukas S, Wellington CL, Sekhon MS. 2020. Confronting the controversy: interleukin-6 and the COVID-19 cytokine storm syndrome. *European Respiratory Journal* **56**. doi:10.1183/13993003.03006-2020

Copaescu A, Smibert O, Gibson A, Phillips EJ, Trubiano JA. 2020. The role of IL-6 and other

mediators in the cytokine storm associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **146**:518-534.e1. doi:10.1016/j. jaci.2020.07.001

Corraliza IM, Campo ML, Soler G, Modolell M. 1994. Determination of arginase activity in macrophages: a micromethod. *J Immunol Methods* **174**:231–235. doi:10.1016/0022-1759(94)90027-2

Cosín-Roger J, Ortiz-Masiá D, Calatayud S, Hernández C, Esplugues JV, Barrachina MD. 2016. The activation of Wnt signaling by a STAT6-dependent macrophage phenotype promotes mucosal repair in murine IBD. *Mucosal Immunol* **9**:986–998. doi:10.1038/mi.2015.123

Covarrubias AJ, Aksoylar HI, Horng T. 2015. Control of macrophage metabolism and activation by mTOR and Akt signaling. *Semin Immunol* **27**:286–296. doi:10.1016/j. smim.2015.08.001

Dibble CC, Elis W, Menon S, Qin W, Klekota J, Asara JM, Finan PM, Kwiatkowski DJ, Murphy LO, Manning BD. 2012. TBC1D7 is a third subunit of the TSC1-TSC2 complex upstream of mTORC1. *Mol Cell* **47**:535–546. doi:10.1016/j.molcel. 2012.06.009

Ginhoux F, Schultze JL, Murray PJ, Ochando J, Biswas SK. 2016. New insights into the multidimensional concept of macrophage ontogeny, activation and function. *Nat Immunol* **17**:34–40. doi:10.1038/ni.3324

Goenka S, Kaplan MH. 2011. Transcriptional regulation by STAT6. *Immunol Res* **50**:87–96. doi:10.1007/s12026-011-8205-2

Grom AA, Horne A, De Benedetti F. 2016. Macrophage activation syndrome in the era of biologic therapy. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* **12**:259–268. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2015.179

Huang C, Wang J, Liu H, Huang R, Yan X, Song M, Tan G, Zhi F. 2022. Ketone body β-hydroxybutyrate ameliorates colitis by promoting M2 macrophage polarization through the STAT6-dependent signaling pathway. *BMC Med* **20**:148. doi:10.1186/s12916-022-02352-x

Jewell JL, Kim YC, Russell RC, Yu F-X, Park HW, Plouffe SW, Tagliabracci VS, Guan K-L. 2015. Metabolism. Differential regulation of mTORC1 by leucine and glutamine. *Science* **347**:194–198. doi:10.1126/science.1259472

Joseph SB, Castrillo A, Laffitte BA, Mangelsdorf DJ, Tontonoz P. 2003. Reciprocal regulation of inflammation and lipid metabolism by liver X receptors. *Nat Med* **9**:213–219. doi:10.1038/nm820

Kang S, Nakanishi Y, Kioi Y, Okuzaki D, Kimura T, Takamatsu H, Koyama S, Nojima S, Nishide M, Hayama Y, Kinehara Y, Kato Y, Nakatani T, Shimogori T, Takagi J, Toyofuku T, Kumanogoh A. 2018. Semaphorin 6D reverse signaling controls macrophage lipid metabolism and anti-inflammatory polarization. *Nat Immunol* **19**:561–570. doi:10.1038/s41590-018-0108-0

Kim JS, Lee JY, Yang JW, Lee KH, Effenberger M, Szpirt W, Kronbichler A, Shin JI. 2021. Immunopathogenesis and treatment of cytokine storm in COVID-19. *Theranostics* **11**:316–329. doi:10.7150/thno.49713

Kobayashi T, Nguyen-Tien D, Sorimachi Y, Sugiura Y, Suzuki T, Karyu H, Shimabukuro-

Demoto S, Uemura T, Okamura T, Taguchi T, Ueki K, Kato N, Goda N, Dohmae N, Takubo K, Suematsu M, Toyama-Sorimachi N. 2021. SLC15A4 mediates M1-prone metabolic shifts in macrophages and guards immune cells from metabolic stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**: e2100295118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2100295118

Lee JH, Park E, Jin HJ, Lee Y, Choi SJ, Lee GW, Chang P-S, Paik H-D. 2017. Anti-inflammatory and anti-genotoxic activity of branched chain amino acids (BCAA) in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages. *Food Sci Biotechnol* **26**:1371–1377. doi:10.1007/s10068-017-0165-4

Li P, Deng X, Luo J, Chen Y, Bi G, Gong F, Wei Z, Liu N, Li H, Laurence A, Yang X-P. 2019. ATP6V0d2 mediates leucine-induced mTORC1 activation and polarization of macrophages. *Protein Cell* **10**:615–619. doi:10.1007/s13238-019-0636-x

Locati M, Curtale G, Mantovani A. 2020. Diversity, Mechanisms, and Significance of Macrophage Plasticity. *Annu Rev Pathol* **15**:123–147. doi:10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012418-012718

Macotela Y, Emanuelli B, Bång AM, Espinoza DO, Boucher J, Beebe K, Gall W, Kahn CR. 2011. Dietary leucine--an environmental modifier of insulin resistance acting on multiple levels of metabolism. *PLoS One* **6**: e21187. doi:10.1371/journal. pone. 0021187

Macrophage activation syndrome and cytokine-directed therapies - PubMed. n.d. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24974063/

Mao Z, Huang R, Xu J, Guo R, Wei X. 2021. Liver X Receptor α in Sciatic Nerve Exerts an Alleviating Effect on Neuropathic Pain Behaviors Induced by Crush Injury. *Neurochem Res* **46**:358–366. doi:10.1007/s11064-020-03171-3

Murray PJ. 2017. Macrophage Polarization. *Annual Review of Physiology* **79**:541–566. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-022516-034339

Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, Gordon S, Hamilton JA, Ivashkiv LB, Lawrence T, Locati M, Mantovani A, Martinez FO, Mege J-L, Mosser DM, Natoli G, Saeij JP, Schultze JL, Shirey KA, Sica A, Suttles J, Udalova I, van Ginderachter JA, Vogel SN, Wynn TA. 2014. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity* **41**:14–20. doi:10.1016/j. immuni.2014.06.008

Orillion A, Damayanti NP, Shen L, Adelaiye-Ogala R, Affronti H, Elbanna M, Chintala S, Ciesielski M, Fontana L, Kao C, Elzey BD, Ratliff TL, Nelson DE, Smiraglia D, Abrams SI, Pili R. 2018. Dietary Protein Restriction Reprograms Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Enhances Immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* **24**:6383–6395. doi:10. 1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0980

Papathanassiu AE, Ko J-H, Imprialou M, Bagnati M, Srivastava PK, Vu HA, Cucchi D, McAdoo SP, Ananieva EA, Mauro C, Behmoaras J. 2017. BCAT1 controls metabolic reprogramming in activated human macrophages and is associated with inflammatory diseases. *Nat Commun* **8**:16040. doi:10.1038/ncomms16040

Pourcet B, Feig JE, Vengrenyuk Y, Hobbs A, Kepka-Lenhart D, Garabedian M, Morris SM, Fisher EA, Pineda-Torra I. 2011a. LXRα regulates macrophage arginase 1 via PU.1

and IRF8. *Circ Res* **109**:492–501. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.241810

Pourcet B, Feig JE, Vengrenyuk Y, Hobbs AJ, Kepka-Lenhart D, Garabedian MJ, Morris SM, Fisher EA, Pineda-Torra I. 2011b. LXRα regulates macrophage arginase 1 through PU.1 and interferon regulatory factor 8. *Circ Res* **109**:492–501. doi:10.1161 /CIRCRESAHA.111.241810

Ramasamy S, Subbian S. 2021. Critical Determinants of Cytokine Storm and Type I Interferon Response in COVID-19 Pathogenesis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **34**:e00299-20. doi:10.1128/CMR.00299-20

Schulert GS, Grom AA. 2015. Pathogenesis of macrophage activation syndrome and potential for cytokine- directed therapies. *Annu Rev Med* **66**:145–159. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-061813-012806

Wang C, Xie J, Zhao L, Fei X, Zhang H, Tan Y, Nie X, Zhou L, Liu Z, Ren Y, Yuan L, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Liang L, Chen X, Liu X, Wang P, Han X, Weng X, Chen Y, Yu T, Zhang X, Cai J, Chen R, Shi Z-L, Bian X-W. 2020. Alveolar macrophage dysfunction and cytokine storm in the pathogenesis of two severe COVID-19 patients. *EBioMedicine* **57**:102833. doi:10. 1016/j.ebiom.2020.102833

Wolfson RL, Chantranupong L, Saxton RA, Shen K, Scaria SM, Cantor JR, Sabatini DM. 2016. Sestrin2 is a leucine sensor for the mTORC1 pathway. *Science* **351**:43–48. doi:10.1126/ science.aab2674

Wolfson RL, Sabatini DM. 2017. The Dawn of the Age of Amino Acid Sensors for the mTORC1 Pathway. *Cell Metab* **26**:301–309. doi:10. 1016/j.cmet.2017.07.001

Yang T, Wang R, Liu H, Wang L, Li J, Wu S, Chen X, Yang X, Zhao Y. 2021. Berberine regulates macrophage polarization through IL-4-STAT6 signaling pathway in Helicobacter pylori-induced chronic atrophic gastritis. *Life Sci* **266**:118903. doi:10. 1016/j.lfs.2020.118903

Zhang X, Sergin I, Evans TD, Jeong S-J, Rodriguez-Velez A, Kapoor D, Chen S, Song E, Holloway KB, Crowley JR, Epelman S, Weihl CC, Diwan A, Fan D, Mittendorfer B, Stitziel NO, Schilling JD, Lodhi IJ, Razani B. 2020. High-protein diets increase cardiovascular risk by activating macrophage mTOR to suppress mitophagy. *Nat Metab* **2**:110–125. doi:10.1038/s42255-019-0162-4

Zhang Y, Li X, Luo Z, Ma L, Zhu S, Wang Z, Wen J, Cheng S, Gu W, Lian Q, Zhao X, Fan W, Ling Z, Ye J, Zheng S, Li D, Wang H, Liu J, Sun B. 2020. ECM1 is an essential factor for the determination of M1 macrophage polarization in IBD in response to LPS stimulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**:3083–3092. doi:10.1073/pnas.1912774117

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Leucine ameliorates LPS-induced inflammation. (A) Kaplan-Meier curve showing survival of the mice (n = 12). (B) Average daily weight gain of mice (n = 8). (C-D) Measurement of IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α secretion in mouse serum and liver by ELISA after treatment with LPS for 6 h. (E-F) mRNA expression of IL-6, IL-1β, NLRP3, MCP1, and iNOS, measured by RT-PCR in the liver and spleen. (G) mRNA expression of IL-6, IL-1β, MCP1, Arg1, Mgl1, and Mgl2, measured by RT-PCR in the bone marrow. Student's t-test was

used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Figure 2. Leucine inhibits M1 polarization and promotes M2 polarization in mice. (A) White blood cell composition and proportion in mice (B) Gating strategy for macrophage flow cytometry in the bone marrow. (C) Percentages of $CD45^+$, $CD86^+$, $CD206^+$, and $CD86^+/CD206^+$, detected by flow cytometry in the bone marrow. (D) Gating strategy for macrophage flow cytometry in the spleen. (E) Percentages of $CD45^+$, $CD86^+$, $CD206^+$, and $CD86^+/CD206^+$, detected by flow cytometry in the spleen. Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Figure 3. Leucine promotes M2 polarization in BMDMs. (A) Schematic diagram of macrophage polarization. (B) Measurement of IL-6 and TNF-α secretion in cell culture supernatants by ELISA. (C) mRNA expression of IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, and NLRP3, measured by RT-PCR in BMDMs. (D-E) Detection of arginase-1 activity in the medium and BMDMs. (E) BMDMs isolated from mice were stimulated with leucine, IL-4, or both, and the protein expression of Arg1 was determined. (F) mRNA expression of Arg1, Ym1, Fizz1, and Mgl2, measured by RT-PCR in BMDMs. Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Figure 4. mTORC1 signaling is necessary for M2 polarization. (A) Protein levels of ARG1, p-STAT6, STAT6, p-mTOR, and mTOR, determined by western blotting. (B-C) Detection of arginase-1 activity in the medium and BMDMs. (D) mRNA expression of Arg1, Fizz1, Mgl1, and Mgl2, measured by RT-PCR in BMDMs. Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Figure 5. Leucine promotes M2 polarization via mTORC1/LXRα signaling. (A) Protein levels of LXRα, ARG1, p-4EBP1, and 4EBP1, determined by western blotting. (B) The nuclear proteins of BMDMs were extracted, and the protein levels of histones and LXRα were determined by western blotting. (C-D) Detection of arginase-1 activity in the medium and BMDMs. (E) mRNA expression of Arg1, Fizz1, Mgl1, and Mgl2, measured by RT-PCR in BMDMs. Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Figure 6. Mechanism of leucine alleviating LPS-induced CSS by modulating mTORC1/LXRα signaling. In macrophages, LPS promotes M1 polarization to promote the secretion of inflammatory factors leading to inflammation, and IL-4 promotes M2 polarization to alleviate inflammation. Leucine further promotes IL-4-induced M2 polarization by activating mTORC1/ LXRα to alleviate inflammation and repair damaged tissues, while leucine also inhibits LPS-mediated M1 polarization and reduces the expression and secretion of inflammatory factors in the organism.

Supplementary Figure 1. (A) AST and ALT levels in serum and liver. (B) The effect of rapamycin on M2 was detected by RT-PCR. (C) Blood glucose and blood ketone levels in mice fasted for 16 hours. Student's t-test was used to determine statistical significance, defined as *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table S1. qPCR primer sequences.

Gene	Forward 5′-3′	Reverse 5’-3’
Arg-1	AGCACTGAGGAAAGCTGGTC	CAGACCGTGGGTTCTTCACA
Mgl-1	TGCAACAGCTGAGGAAGGACTTGA	AACCAATAGCAGCTGCCTTCATGC
Mgl-2	GCATGAAGGCAGCTGCTATTGGTT	TAGGCCCATCCAGCTAAGCACATT
Fizz-1	TCCAGCTGATGGTCCCAGTGAATA	ACAAGCACACCCAGTAGCAGTCAT
Ym1	AGAAGGGAGTTTCAAACCT	GTCTTGCTCATGTGTGTAAGTGA
IL-6	AAAATTTCCTCTGGTCTTCTGGAGT	TTCTGTGACTCCAGCTTATCTCTTG
IL-1β	GCTTCCTTGTGCAAGTGTCTGA	TCAAAAGGTGGCATTTCACAGT
MCP1	CCACTCACCTGCTGCTACTCA	TGGTGATCCTCTTGTAGCTCTCC
iNOS	CAGGTCTTTGACGCTCGGAA	GCCTGAAGTCATGTTTGCCG
NLRP3	ATTACCCGCCCGAGAAAGG	TCGCAGCAAAGATCCACACAG
TNF-α	TCTCATGCACCACCATCAAGGACT	ACCACTCTCCCTTTGCAGAACTCA
Cyclophilin	TGCCCGCAAGTCAAAAGAAAT	ACTGAATGGCTGGATGGCAAG

Supplementary Table S2. Antibody information.

Antibodies	Source	Identifier
Purified Rat Anti-Mouse CD16/CD32	BD Pharmingen™	Cat # 553141
FITC Rat Anti-Mouse CD45	BD Pharmingen™	Cat # 553080
Alexa Fluor® 647 Rat Anti-Mouse F4/80	BD Pharmingen™	Cat # 565853
PerCP-Cy™5.5 Rat Anti-CD11b (M1/70)	BD Pharmingen™	Cat # 550993
Alexa Fluor®647 Rat Anti-Mouse CD206	BD Pharmingen™	Cat # 565250
APC/Cyanine7 anti-mouse CD86	Biolegend	Cat # 105030
β-Actin Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 4970S
LXR alpha Antibody	NOVUS	Cat # NBP2-66938
Arginase-1 XP® Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 93668
Stat6 Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 5397S
Phospho-Stat6 (Tyr641) Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 56554S
4E-BP1 Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 9644S
Phospho-4E-BP1 Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 13443S
Akt (pan) (11E7) Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 4691S
Phospho-Akt (Ser473) XP® Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 4060S
Phospho-Akt (Thr308) XP® Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 13038S
p70 S6 Kinase Rabbit mAb	CST	Cat # 2708S
Phospho-Drosophila p70 S6 Kinase (Thr398) Antibody	CST	Cat # 9209S


A
Control
LPS+2%F-Leu
LPS+5%W-Leu
Percent survival (%)
Hours post injection
B
Control
2%W-Leu
5%F-Leu
2%W+5%F-Leu
Average daily gain(g)
C
IL-6
IFN-γ
TNF-α
Serum (pg/ml)
Control
LPS
LPS+2%W-Leu
LPS+5%F-Leu
LPS+2%W+5%F-Leu
D
IL-6
IFN-γ
TNF-α
Liver (pg/mg)
E
Liver
IL-6
IL-1β
MCP1
NLRP3
iNOS
Relative mRNA expression
F
Spleen
IL-6
IL-1β
MCP1
NLRP3
iNOS
Relative mRNA expression
G
Bone marrow
IL-6
IL-1β
MCP1
Arg1
Mgl1
Mgl2
Relative mRNA expression


A
WBC (10⁹/L)
Neu# (10⁹/L)
Mon# (10⁹/L)
Eos# (10⁹/L)
Bas# (10⁹/L)
p=0.054
p=0.0603
Control
LPS
LPS+2%W-Leu
LPS+5%F-Leu
LPS+2%W+5%F-Leu
B
SSC-A
FSC-A
FSC-H
CD45
CD11b
F4/80
CD206
CD86
M2
M1
C
Bone marrow
%CD11b+F4/80+ of CD45+
%CD86 of CD11b+F4/80+
%CD206 of CD11b+F4/80+
CD86%/CD206%
p=0.0818
p=0.0922
p=0.0917
p=0.0825
D
Spleen
%CD11b+F4/80+ of CD45+
%CD86 of CD11b+F4/80+
%CD206 of CD11b+F4/80+
CD86%/CD206%
p=0.0966


A
Mouse
Femur bones
Monocytes
7days
MCSF
M0
LPS
IL-4
M1
M2
B
IL-6
TNF-α
Cell Culture Supernatant (pg/ml)
p=0.0555
ns
Control
LPS
LPS+2mMLeu
LPS+10mMLeu
C
IL-1β
TNF-α
IL-6
NLRP3
Relative mRNA expression
D
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (mg/L)
IL-4
Leucine
2mM
10mM
E
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (μg per μg protein)
F
IL-4
Leu
Arg1
Actin
40kDa
45kDa
BMDMs
Arg1
Relative protein expression
G
Arg1
Ym1
Fizz1
Mgl2
Realtime mRNA expression
Control
IL-4
IL-4+2mMLeu
IL-4+10mMLeu


A
IL-4
Leucine
Torin1
p-mTOR
mTOR
p-STAT6
STAT6
LXRα
ARG1
Actin
289kDa
289kDa
110kDa
110kDa
55kDa
40kDa
45kDa
BMDMs
B
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (µg per µg protein)
p=0.1428
C
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (µg per µg protein)
D
Arg1
Fizz1
Mgl1
Mgl2
Relative mRNA expression


A
IL-4 - - + + + +
Leucine - + - + - +
GSK2033 - - - - + +
LXRα
ARG1
p-4EBP1
4EPB1
Actin
55kDa
40kDa
15-20kDa
15-20kDa
45kDa
BMDMs


B
IL4 - - + +
Leucine - + - +
LXRα
Histone
Actin
55kDa
17kDa
45kDa
BMDMs


C
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (mg/L)
250
200
150
100
50
0
*
****
p=0.0548
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


D
Arginase-1 activity
Urea production (μg per μg protein)
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.0
****
****
*
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


E
Arg1
Relative mRNA expression
30000
20000
10000
0
****
****
***
****
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


Fizz1
Relative mRNA expression
100000
80000
60000
40000
20000
0
****
****
*
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


Mgl1
Relative mRNA expression
20
15
10
5
0
****
****
**
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


Mgl2
Relative mRNA expression
400
300
200
100
0
****
****
ns
IL-4 - + + + +
Leucine - - + - +
GSK2033 - - - + +


Leucine
LPS
IL-6, TNF-α
IFN-γ, iNOS
M1 polarization
Inflammation
mTORC1
LXRα
IL-4
Arg1, Ym1, Fizz1
Mgl1, Mgl2
M2 polarization
Anti-inflammation