Single nuclei transcriptomics reveal the differentiation trajectories

of periosteal skeletal/stem progenitor cells in bone regeneration

Simon Perrin[1], Cécile-Aurore Wotawa[1], Vincent Bretegnier[1], Marine Luka[2,3], Fanny Coulpier[1],

Cécile Masson[4,5], Mickael Ménager[2,3], and Céline Colnot[1,*]

[1] Univ Paris Est Creteil, INSERM, IMRB, Creteil, France

[2] Paris Cité University, Imagine Institute, Laboratory of Inflammatory Responses and Transcriptomic

Networks in Diseases, Atip-Avenir Team, INSERM UMR 1163, Paris, France.

[3] Labtech Single-Cell@Imagine, Imagine Institute, INSERM UMR 1163, Paris, France.

[4] Bioinformatics Core Facility, Institut Imagine-Structure Fédérative de Recherche Necker, INSERM

U1163

[5] INSERM US24/CNRS UAR3633, Paris Cité University, Paris, France

*Corresponding author: celine.colnot@inserm.fr, +33 1 49 81 39 55

Abstract

Bone regeneration is mediated by skeletal stem/progenitor cells (SSPCs) that are mainly recruited from the periosteum after bone injury. The composition of the periosteum and the steps of SSPCs activation and differentiation remain poorly understood. Here, we generated a single-nuclei atlas of the periosteum at steady-state and of the fracture site during early stages of bone repair. We identified periosteal SSPCs expressing stemness markers (Pi16 and Ly6a/Sca1) and responding to fracture by adopting an injury-induced fibrogenic cell (IIFC) fate, prior to undergoing osteogenesis or chondrogenesis. We identified distinct gene cores associated with IIFCs and their engagement into osteogenesis and chondrogenesis involving Notch, Wnt and the circadian clock signaling respectively. Finally, we show that IIFCs are the main source of paracrine signals in the fracture environment, revealing a crucial paracrine role of this transient IIFC population during fracture healing. Overall, our study provides a complete temporal topography of the fracture healing stages and the dynamic response of periosteal SSPCs to injury, redefining our knowledge of bone regeneration.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

periosteum – bone repair – skeletal stem/progenitor cells – single nuclei RNAseq

Introduction

The skeleton provides structural support and protection for internal organs in the vertebrate body. Bones can fracture, but regenerate themselves efficiently without scarring. Bone regeneration is mediated by the action of resident skeletal stem/progenitor cells (SSPCs) from periosteum and bone marrow, and SSPCs from skeletal muscle adjacent to the fracture site[1–4]. SSPCs are activated during the inflammatory phase of healing and differentiate into osteoblasts and/or chondrocytes to repair bone via a combination of intramembranous and endochondral ossification. During intramembranous ossification SSPCs differentiate directly into osteoblasts, while during endochondral ossification SSPCs first differentiate into chondrocytes to form an intermediate cartilage template subsequently replaced by bone.

The periosteum, an heterogenous tissue located on the outer surface of bones, is a crucial source of SSPCs during bone healing[1,2,5–7]. Periosteal SSPCs exhibit a high regenerative potential. They also display a unique osteochondrogenic potential after injury compared to bone marrow SSPCs that are mostly osteogenic and skeletal muscle SSPCs that are mostly chondrogenic[1–4,8]. Periosteal SSPCs (pSSPCs) are still poorly characterized and the origin of their bipotentiality and response to bone injury remain elusive. Recent advances in single cell/nuclei transcriptomic analyses provided new insights in stem cell population heterogeneity and regeneration processes in many organs[9–11]. However, few studies have investigated bone fracture healing at the single cell level and these studies have focused on cultured cells or late stages of bone repair[3,12]. Therefore, a complete dataset of periosteum and bone regeneration is lacking and is essential to decipher the mechanisms of pSSPC activation and regulation. Here, we created a single-nuclei atlas of the uninjured periosteum and its response to bone fracture. We generated single-nuclei RNAseq (snRNAseq) datasets from the uninjured periosteum and from the periosteum and hematoma/callus at days 3, 5 and 7 post-tibial fracture. Our analyses thoroughly describe the heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady state and the steps of pSSPC activation and differentiation after injury. We show that pSSPC represent a single population that can provide osteoblasts and chondrocytes for bone repair

60   by first generating a common injury-induced fibrogenic cell (IIFC) population that can then

61   engage into osteogenesis and chondrogenesis. We identified the gene networks regulating

62   pSSPC fate after injury and IIFCs as the main population producing paracrine factors

63   mediating the initiation of bone healing.

Results

Heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady state

To investigate the heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady-state, we performed snRNAseq of the periosteum of wild-type mice (Fig. 1A-B). After filtering, we obtained 1189 nuclei, corresponding to 8 cell populations: SSPC (expressing *Pi16*), fibroblasts (expressing *Pdgfra*), osteogenic cells (expressing *Runx2*), Schwann cells (expressing *Mpz*), pericytes/SMC (expressing *Tagln*), immune cells (expressing *Ptprc*), adipocytes (expressing *Lpl*), and endothelial cells (ECs, expressing *Pecam1*) (Fig. 1C-D, Fig. S1). We performed in depth analyses of the SSPC, fibroblast and osteogenic cell populations. Subset analyses of clusters 0 to 5 identified 5 distinct SSPC/fibroblast populations expressing *Pdgfra* and *Prrx1*: *Pi16*+, *Csmd1*+, *Hsd11b1*+, *Cldn1*+, and *Luzp2*+cells (Fig. 1E-G). *Pi16*+ cells (i.e., cluster 0) were the only cell cluster expressing stemness markers including *Ly6a* (Sca1)*, Dpp4,* and *Cd34* (Fig. 1H). Cytotrace scoring identified *Pi16*+ cells as the population in the most undifferentiated state, suggesting that this population corresponds to periosteal SSPCs (Fig. 1I). We explored the expression of other known markers of periosteal SSPCs, including *Ctsk*, *Acta2* (αSMA), *Gli1*, and *Mx1*, but no marker was fully specific to one cell cluster [1,2,5–7,13–17](Fig. S2).

The fracture repair atlas

To investigate the periosteal response to bone fracture, we collected injured periosteum with hematoma or callus at days 3, 5 and 7 post-fracture, extracted the nuclei and processed them for snRNAseq (Fig. 2A). We combined the datasets with the uninjured periosteum from Figure 1 and obtained a total of 6213 nuclei after filtering. The combined dataset was composed of 25 clusters corresponding to 11 cell populations: SSPCs (expressing *Pi16*), injury-induced fibrogenic cells (IIFC, expressing *Postn*), osteoblasts (expressing *Ibsp*), chondrocytes (expressing *Col2a1*), osteoclasts (expressing *Ctsk*), immune cells (expressing *Ptprc*), Schwann cells (expressing *Mpz*), endothelial cells (expressing *Pecam1*), pericytes (expressing *Rgs5*), SMCs (expressing *Tagln*) and adipocytes (expressing *Lpl*) (Fig. 2B-C, Fig. S3A). Next, we observed the dynamics of the cell populations in response to bone fracture (Fig. 2D, Fig. S3B). After injury, the percentage of SSPCs

was strongly decreased and the percentage of IIFCs progressively increased (Fig. 2D-E). The

percentage of chondrocytes and osteoblasts increased from day 3 post-fracture. Immune cells

were drastically increased at day 3 after injury, before progressively decreasing at days 5 and 7

post-fracture (Fig. 2E).

Spatial organization of the fracture callus

To evaluate the spatial distribution of the main cell populations identified in the snRNAseq data, we

performed in situ immunofluorescence on day 3, 5 and 7 post-fracture hematoma/callus tissues. At

day 3 post-fracture, we observed periosteal thickening and the formation of a fibrous hematoma

(Fig. 3A). We did not detect SPPCs at both sites, consistent with their absence in day 3 snRNAseq

dataset compared to uninjured periosteum. POSTN+ IIFCs and immune cells were the main

populations present in hematoma and activated periosteum (Fig. 3B). Few IIFCs in the activated

periosteum expressed SOX9 and OSX and only the periosteum was vascularized. At days 5 and

7 post-fracture, the callus was formed mainly of fibrotic tissue, bone formed on the periosteal

surface at the periphery of the callus and small cartilage islets were detected in the center of the

callus near the periosteal surface (Fig. 3C-F). The fibrotic tissue contained mostly IIFCs, as well as

immune and endothelial cells. We also observed SOX9+ and OSX+ cells in the fibrotic tissue, while

the cartilage was solely composed of SOX9+ chondrocytes. OSX+ osteoblasts were the main cell

population detected in the new bone at the periosteal surface. We also observed a progressive

reduction of POSTN+ cells and immune cells from day 5 to 7 and increased vascularization in the

newly formed bone (Fig. 3C-F).

Periosteal SSPCs differentiate via an injury-induced fibrogenic stage.

To understand the differentiation and fate of pSSPCs after fracture, we analyzed the subset of

SSPC, IIFC, chondrocyte and osteoblast clusters from the combined fracture dataset (Fig. 4A). We

performed pseudotime analyses to determine the differentiation trajectories, defining the starting

point in the pSSPC population, where the uninjured and undifferentiated cells cluster. We identified

that pSSPC differentiate in 3 stages starting from the pSSPC population (expressing *Ly6a, Pi16*

and *Cd34*), predominant in uninjured dataset (Fig. 4B-C). Periosteal SSPCs then transition through

an injury-induced fibrogenic stage predominant at days 3 and 5 post-injury. In this intermediate

fibrogenic stage, pSSPC-derived cells express high levels of extracellular matrix genes, such as

Postn, *Aspn* and *Tnc*. Later, injury-induced fibrogenic cells (IIFCs) differentiate into chondrocytes

(expressing *Acan*, *Col2a1* and *Sox9*) or osteoblasts (expressing *Sp7*, *Alpl* and *Ibsp*), both

predominant at days 5 and 7 (Fig. 4B-C, Table S1). These results show that pSSPCs respond to

fracture via an injury-induced fibrogenic stage common to chondrogenesis and osteogenesis and

independent of their final fate (Fig. 4D). To visualize the transition of IIFCs towards chondrocytes

and osteoblasts in the fracture callus, we performed co-immunofluorescence on day 5 post-fracture

hematoma/callus. We observed a progressive increase in SOX9 and OSX signals in IIFCs at the

fibrosis-to-cartilage and fibrosis-to-bone transition zones respectively (Fig 5A). To functionally

validate the steps of pSSPC activation, we isolated SCA1+ GFP+ pSSPCs from *Prx1^{Cre}; R26^{mTmG}*

mice and grafted them at the fracture site of wild type hosts. We observed that grafted GFP+

pSSPCs formed POSTN+ IIFCs at day 5 post-fracture (Fig 5B). Then, we isolated GFP+ IIFCs from

the fracture callus of *Prx1^{Cre}; R26^{mTmG}* mice at day 3 post fracture and grafted the GFP+ IIFCs at

the fracture site of wild type hosts. We showed that grafted cells formed bone and cartilage at day

14 post-fracture. These results confirmed that pSSPCs first become IIFCs that differentiate into

osteoblasts and chondrocytes.

Characterization of injury-activated fibrogenic cells

We performed in depth analyses of the newly identified IIFC population. Gene ontology (GO)

analyses of upregulated genes in IIFCs (clusters 2 to 6) showed enrichment in GOs related to

tissue development, extracellular matrix (ECM), and ossification (Fig. 6A). We identified several

ECM-related genes specifically upregulated in IIFCs, including collagens (*Col3a1, Col5a1, Col8a1,*

Col12a1), *Postn*, and *Aspn* (Fig. 6B-C). We also identified GO terms related to cell signaling,

migration, differentiation, and proliferation, indicating that this step corresponds to an injury-induced

activation. To further understand the mechanisms regulating SSPC activation and fate after injury,

we performed gene regulatory network (GRN) analyses on the subset of SSPCs, IIFCs, osteoblasts

and chondrocytes using SCENIC package (Single Cell rEgulatory Network Inference and

Clustering)[18]. We identified 280 activated regulons (transcription factor/TF and their target genes)

in the subset dataset. We performed GRN-based tSNE clustering and identified SSPC, IIFC,

chondrocyte and osteoblast populations (Fig. 6D-E, Fig. S4A). Fibroblasts from uninjured

periosteum (*Hsd11b1⁺, Cldn1⁺* and *Luzp2⁺* cells) clustered separately from the other population,

indicating the absence of their contribution to bone healing. Analysis of the number of activated

regulons per cell indicated that SSPCs are the most stable cell population (higher number of

activated regulons), while IIFCs are the less stable population, confirming their transient state (Fig.

6F). We then investigated cell population specific regulons. SSPCs showed activated regulons

linked to stemness, including Hoxa10 (16g), Klf4 (346g), Pitx1 (10g), and Mta3 (228g) [19–21], and

immune response, including Stat6 (30g), Fiz1 (72g) and Stat5b (18g)[22–24] (Fig. 6G). Osteoblasts

and chondrocytes display cell specific activated regulons including Sp7 (18g) and Sox9 (77g)

respectively. We identified 21 regulons that we named fibro-core and that were upregulated

specifically in the IIFC population (Fig. 6F-I, Table S2). Several fibro-core regulons, such as Meis1

(1556g), Pbx1 (11g), Six1 (20g), and Pbx3 (188g) are known to be involved in cell differentiation

during tissue development and repair[25–28]. Reactome pathway analysis showed that the most

significant terms linked to IIFC-specific TFs are related to Notch signaling (Fig. 6J, Table S3). We

confirmed that Notch signaling is specifically increased in the IIFC stage (Fig. 6K), suggesting its

involvement in the fibrogenic phase of bone repair.

Distinct gene cores regulate the engagement of IIFCs into chondrogenesis and osteogenesis

We sought to identify the drivers of the transition of IIFCs to chondrocytes or osteoblasts. We

identified 2 cores of regulons involved in chondrogenic differentiation. Chondro-core 1 is composed

of 9 regulons specific to the transition of IIFC to chondrocytes, including Maf (17g), Arntl (1198g),

and Nfatc2 (37g) and chondro-core 2, composed of 14 regulons specific to differentiated

chondrocytes (Fig. 7A, Fig. S4B). Chondro-core 1 regulons are known to be regulators of the

circadian clock (Npas2, Arntl) or of the T/B-cell receptor cellular response (Bach2, Nfact2, Nfkb1).

STRING network analysis showed that TFs from the chondro-core 1 are interacting between each

other and are at the center of the interactions between the chondro-core 2 TFs, including Sox9,

Trp53 and Mef2c (Fig. 7B, Fig S4C). We observed that chondro-core 1 is only transiently activated

when IIFCs are engaging into chondrogenesis and precedes the activation of chondro-core 2 (Fig.

7C-D). Chondro-core 1 activity was high in early differentiated chondrocytes (low *Acan* expression)

and progressively reduced as chondrocytes undergo differentiation, while chondro-core 2 activity

was gradually increased as chondrocytes differentiate (Fig. 7D). This suggests that transient

activation of the chondro-core 1 allows the transition of IIFCs into chondrocytes. Then, we

investigated the osteogenic commitment of IIFCs. We identified 8 regulons forming the osteo-core

and activated in IIFCs transitioning to osteoblasts, such as Tcf7 (23g), Bcl11b (14g), and Tbx2

(36g) (Fig. 7E, Fig. S4D). STRING network analysis showed that the genes with the strongest

interaction with the osteo-core TFs are mostly related to Wnt signaling (Fig. 7F). This reveals the

role of Wnt signaling in this transition from early fibrogenic activation of pSSPCs to osteogenic

differentiation during bone repair. We calculated the osteo-core activity, and observed that it is

gradually increased and maintained in osteogenic cells, showing that the osteo-core is required for

the transition and maturation of IIFC into osteoblasts (Fig 7G-H). Overall, we identified distinct

cores of regulons with distinct dynamics driving the transition of IIFCs into chondrocytes and

osteoblasts.

IIFCs mediate paracrine interactions during bone repair

Paracrine cell interactions are crucial drivers of tissue regeneration and stem cell activation. To

identify key cell interactions during bone repair, we performed cell interaction analyses using

CellChat package[29]. We observed that IIFCs are the predominant source of outgoing signals during

bone repair, and are also important receivers of signals, highlighting their central role in mediating

cell interactions after fracture (Fig. 8A-B). Endothelial cells were mostly receiving signaling, while

chondrocytes, osteoblasts and most immune cells exhibited reduced interactions with the other cell

types in the fracture environment. IIFCs interact with all cell populations in the fracture environment,

but the strongest interactions were with SSPCs and IIFCs (Fig. S5A). CellChat analyses of the

subset of SSPCs, IIFCs, osteoblasts and chondrocytes confirmed that IIFCs are the major source

and receiver population of paracrine signalings after fracture (Fig. S5B). We then analyzed the

main secreted factors from IIFCs. IIFCs secreted periostin (*Postn*), BMPs (*Bmp5*), pleiotropin/PTN

(*Ptn*), TGFβs (*Tgfb2*, *Tgfb3*), PDGFs (*Pdgfc*, *Pdgfd*) and angiopoietin-likes/ANGPTLs (*Angplt2,*

Angplt4) (Fig. 8C-D, Fig. S5C). We observed differences in the dynamics of these factors, as some

of them peak at day 3 post-fracture such as TGFβ, while others peak at day 5 such as BMP,

POSTN, PTN and ANGPLT (Fig. 8E). We assessed the dynamics of ligand and receptor expression

and observed that ligand expression was specific of the IIFC phase and of the fracture response

(Fig. 8F). Receptor expression was high in both SSPCs and IIFCs, and receptors were expressed

from steady state, suggesting that SSPCs can receive signals from IIFCs. Analysis of SSPC

incoming signals confirmed that IIFCs are the major source of paracrine factors for SSPCs (Fig.

8G), indicating that they contribute to SSPC recruitment after fracture.

Discussion

The periosteum is the main driver of bone regeneration. Yet the periosteum composition and its response to bone fracture are poorly described. Here, we used single-nuclei transcriptomics to understand the heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady state and the changes occurring within periosteum after bone fracture. We developed a protocol to extract nuclei from freshly dissected periosteum, allowing to capture their intact transcriptomic profile without enzymatic digestion-induced stress[30–32]. In addition, we performed snRNAseq without sorting specific populations, allowing the identification of all cell types located in the periosteum and the fracture environment from the early stages of repair. Our study provides the first complete fracture repair atlas, a key tool to understand bone regeneration.

First, we described the heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady state. While previous studies performed scRNAseq on sorted periosteal cell populations, our dataset uncovers the diversity of cell populations within the periosteum. We describe fibroblast populations, as well as tissue resident immune cells, adipocytes, and blood vessel/nerve-related cells. We identified one SSPC population of undifferentiated cells expressing markers such as Sca1, *Dpp4* and *Pi16,* known to mark fibroblasts with stemness potential[33]. No markers previously described such as *Ctsk*, or *Gli1* were fully specific of one cell cluster and of the undifferentiated SSPC population, suggesting that these markers may label heterogenous cell populations[2,5,6,15].

After fracture, the composition of the periosteum changes drastically, with the appearance of IIFC and immune cells from day 3 post-fracture, and of chondrocytes and osteoblasts from day 5 post-fracture. Previous studies based on in vitro analyses and in vivo lineage tracing demonstrated that the periosteum displays the unique potential to form both bone and cartilage after fracture[1,3,5]. Yet, it was still unknown if the periosteum contains a bipotent SSPC population or several SSPC populations with distinct potentials after injury. Here, we show that pSSPCs activate and form bone and cartilage via a single trajectory emerging from Sca1+ SSPCs. Periosteal Sca1+ SSPCs become IIFCs, a state marked by a decreased expression of stemness markers and a strong expression of extracellular matrix genes, such as *Postn* and *Aspn* and activation of Notch-related

TFs. Thus, bone injury does not induce an expansion of Sca1+ SSPCs, rather a transition toward

IIFCs that progressively expand and represent the main cell population within the fracture callus

until day 7 post-fracture. Following this unique fibrogenic step, IIFCs undergo either osteogenesis

or chondrogenesis. This newly identified transient IIFC state represents the crossroad of bone

regeneration and SSPC differentiation.

GRN analyses identified TFs regulating SSPC response to fracture. We found that Notch signaling

was specific to the injury-induced fibrogenic phase. Previous studies reported the role of Notch and

Wnt in osteogenesis, and their temporal separation during intramembranous ossification [8,34,35]. Our

results show that Notch/Wnt activation after fracture correlates with the successive stages of

pSSPC fibrogenic and osteogenic differentiation. However, we discover that Wnt is only

upregulated in IIFCs engaging into osteogenesis, while Notch is activated early in IIFCs prior to

their commitment to osteogenesis or chondrogenesis. In addition, we identified a chondro-core of

9 regulons transiently activated when IIFCs engage into chondrogenesis. Among these regulons,

several are involved in the autonomous circadian clock, including *Npas2* and *Arntl* (Bmal1). Bmal1

was previously shown to be a regulator of cartilage differentiation in bone development and

homeostasis[36]. Bmal1 inactivation leads to chondrocyte apoptosis and disruption of signaling

involved in cartilage formation, including TGFβ, Ihh, HIF1α and NFAT[37–40]. This suggests a role of

the circadian clock genes as key regulators of chondrogenesis during bone repair. IIFCs are also

crucial regulators of the fracture paracrine environment. IIFCs secrete factors including BMPs,

PDGFs, TGFβs, and POSTN, known to be required for successful bone healing[1,3,14,41]. These

signals allow IIFCs to interact with all cell types in the fracture environment, but primarily with

SSPCs and others IIFCs. Thus, IIFCs are contributing to the recruitment of SSPCs and promoting

their own maturation and differentiation.

Overall, our study provides a complete dataset of the early steps of bone regeneration. The newly

identified SSPC activation pattern shows the importance of the temporal dynamic of cell

phenotypes and signaling pathways after injury. IIFCs appear as a transient cell population that

plays essential roles in the initial steps of bone repair. Deeper understanding of IIFC regulation will

be crucial as they represent the ideal target to enhance bone healing and potentially treat bone

repair defects.

Materials and Methods

Mice

C57BL/6ScNj were obtained from Janvier Labs (France). *Prx1^{Cre}* [42] and *Rosa26-mtdTomato-mEGFP* (*R26^{mTmG}*)[43] were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME).). All SSPCs, including pSSPCs, are marked by GFP in *Prx1$^{Cre;}$* R26^{mTmG} mice. Mice were bred in animal facilities at IMRB, Creteil and kept in separated ventilated cages, in pathogen-controlled environment and ad libitum access to water and food. All procedures performed were approved by Paris Est Creteil University Ethical Committee. Twelve-week-old males and females were mixed in experimental groups.

Tibial fracture

Open non-stabilized tibial fractures were induced as previously described [44]. Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of Ketamine (50 mg/mL) and Medetomidine (1 mg/kg) and received a subcutaneous injection of Buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) for analgesia. Mice were kept on a 37°C heating pad during anesthesia. The right hindlimb was shaved and sanitized. The skin was incised to expose the tibia and osteotomy was performed in the mid-diaphysis by cutting the bone. The wound was sutured, the mice were revived with an intraperitoneal injection of atipamezole (1 mg/kg) and received two additional analgesic injections in the 24 hours following surgery. Mice were sacrificed 3, 5, 7 or 14 days post-fracture.

Nuclei extraction

Nuclei extraction protocol was adapted from [45,46]. We generated 4 datasets for this study: uninjured periosteum, periosteum and hematoma at days 3, 5 and 7 post-tibial fracture. The uninjured and day 3 post-fracture datasets were generated in duplicates. For uninjured periosteum, tibias from 4 mice were dissected free of muscle and surrounding tissues. The epiphyses were cut and the bone marrow flushed. The periosteum was scraped from the cortex using dissecting Chisel (10095-12, Fine Science Tools). For days 3, 5 and 7 post fracture, injured tibias from 4 to 9 mice were collected and the surrounded tissues were removed. The activated periosteum was scraped and collected with the hematoma. Collected tissues were minced and placed 5 min in ice-cold Nuclei Buffer

(NUC101, Merck) before mechanical nuclei extraction using a glass douncer. Extraction was

performed by 20 strokes of pestle A followed by 5-10 of pestle B. Nuclei suspension was filtered,

centrifuged and resuspended in RNAse-free PBS (AM9624, ThermoFischer Scientific) with 2%

Bovine Serum Albumin (A2153, Merck) and 0.2 U/µL RNAse inhibitor (3335399001, Roche). A

second step of centrifugation was performed to reduce contamination by cytoplasmic RNA. Sytox™

AADvanced™ (S10349, ThermoFischer Scientific) was added (1/200) to label nuclei and Sytox-

AAD+ nuclei were sorted using Sony SH800.

Single nuclei RNA sequencing

The snRNA-seq libraries were generated using Chromium Single Cell Next GEM 3′ Library & Gel

Bead Kit v.3.1 (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 10 000 to 20 000

nuclei were loaded in the 10x Chromium Controller to generate single-nuclei gel-beads in emulsion.

After reverse transcription, gel-beads in emulsion were disrupted. Barcoded complementary DNA

was isolated and amplified by PCR. Following fragmentation, end repair and A-tailing, sample

indexes were added during index PCR. The purified libraries were sequenced on a Novaseq

(Illumina) with 28 cycles of read 1, 8 cycles of i7 index and 91 cycles of read 2. Sequencing data

were processed using the Cell Ranger Count pipeline and reads were mapped on the mm10

reference mouse genome with intronic and exonic sequences.

Filtering and clustering using Seurat

Single-nuclei RNAseq analyses were performed using Seurat v4.1.0 [47,48] and Rstudio v1.4.1717.

Aligned snRNAseq datasets were filtered to retain only nuclei expressing between 200 et 5000

genes and expressing less than 2% of mitochondrial genes and 1.5% of ribosomal genes.

Contamination from myogenic cells were removed from the analyses. After filtering, we obtained

1378 nuclei from uninjured periosteum, 1634 from day 3 post-fracture, 2089 from d5 post-fracture

and 1112 from day 7 post-fracture. The replicates of the uninjured dataset were integrated using

Seurat. The integrated dataset was regressed on cell cycle, mitochondrial and ribosomal content

and clustering was performed using the first 15 principal components and a resolution of 0.5.

SSPC/fibroblast and osteogenic cells were isolated and reclustered using the first 10 principal

components and a resolution of 0.2. Uninjured, d3, d5 and d7 datasets were integrated using

Seurat. The integrated dataset was regressed on cell cycle, mitochondrial and ribosomal content.

Clustering was performed using the first 20 principal components and a resolution of 1.3. SSPC,

IIFC, chondrogenic and osteogenic clusters from the integration were isolated to perform subset

analysis. The subset was reclustered using the first 15 principal components and a resolution of

0.6.

Pseudotime analysis using monocle3

Monocle3 v1.0.0 was used for pseudotime analysis [49]. Single-cell trajectories were determined

using monocle3 default parameters. The starting point of the pseudotime trajectory was determined

as the cells from the uninjured dataset with the highest expression of stem/progenitor marker genes

(Ly6a, Cd34, Dpp4, Pi16). Pseudotime value were added in Seurat object as metadata and used

with Seurat package.

Differentiation state analysis using Cytrotrace

To assess the level of differentiation of the cell clusters, we performed analyses using CytoTrace

with the default parameters. Cytotrace scoring was plotted in violon plot and on the Seurat UMAP

clustering.

Gene Ontology and Reactome and analyses

Reactome and GO analyses were performed using EnrichR [50]. All significant GO terms from

upregulated genes in clusters 2 to 6 of the subset of SSPCs, IIFCs, osteoblasts and chondrocytes

were manually categorized. The 5 more significant terms of the Reactome analysis from the

fibrogenic TFs of Fig. 6 are presented in Table S3.

360
Single cell regulatory network inference using SCENIC

Single cell regulatory network inference and clustering (SCENIC) [18] was used to infer transcription

factor (TF) networks active in SSPCs, IIFCs, osteoblasts and chondrocytes. Analysis was

performed using recommended parameters using the packages SCENIC v1.3.1, AUCell v1.16.0,

and RcisTarget v1.14 and the motif databases RcisTarget and GRNboost. SCENIC package was

used to perform regulon based tSNE clustering and identified population specific regulons.

Cell-cell interaction using CellChat

Cell communication analysis was performed using the R package CellChat[29] with default

parameters on the complete fracture combined dataset and on the subset of SSPCs, IIFCs,

osteoblasts and chondrocytes.

STRING network analyses

To assess protein-protein interaction network, we used the STRING v11.5 database[51]. To assess

interaction in the chondro-core, we performed the analysis on the chondro-core and chondro-

specific TFs identified in our analysis. For osteo-core analyses, we performed the analysis with

osteo-core genes and the most significant interactions.

Histology and immunofluorescence

Mouse samples were processed as previously described[44]. Tibias were collected and fixed in 4% PFA

(sc-281692, CliniSciences) for 4 hours at 4°C. Then, samples were decalcified in 19% EDTA for 10

days (EU00084, Euromedex), cryoprotected in 30% sucrose (200-301-B, Euromedex) for 24h and

embedded in OCT. Samples were sectioned in 10μm thick sections. Cryosections were defrosted

and rehydrated in PBS. For Safranin-O staining, sections were stained with Weigert's solution for

5 min, rinsed in running tap water for 3 min and stained with 0.02% Fast Green for 30 seconds

(F7252, Merck), followed by 1% acetic acid for 30 seconds and Safranin'O solution for 45 min

(S2255, Merck). For immunofluorescence, sections were incubated 1 hour at room temperature in

5% serum, 0.25% Triton PBS before incubation overnight at 4°C with the following antibodies: Rat

monoclonal to mouse Sca1 (740450, BD Biosciences), Rabbit monoclonal to mouse SOX9

(ab185230, Abcam), Rabbit polyclonal to mouse Osterix/Sp7 (ab22552, Abcam), Goat polyclonal

to mouse Periostin (AF2955, R&D Systems), Goat polyclonal to mouse PECAM1 (AF3628,

Biotechne), Rat monoclonal to mouse CD45 (552848, BD Bioscience). Secondary antibody

incubation was performed at room temperature for 1 hour. Slides were mounted with Fluoromount-

G mounting medium with DAPI (00-4959-52, Life Technologies).

Tissue dissociation and cell sorting

Periosteal SSPC isolation

To isolate periosteal cells, uninjured tibias from *Prx1^{Cre}; R26^{mTmG}* mice were collected and all

surrounding soft tissues were carefully removed. Epiphyses were embedded in low melting agarose and

tibias were placed for 30 min at 37°C in digestion medium composed of PBS with 3mg/ml of Collagenase

B (C6885, Merck), 4mg/ml of Dispase (D4693, Merck) and 100U/mL of DNAse I (WOLS02007, Serlabo,

France). After digestion, tibias were removed and the suspension was filtered, centrifuged and

resuspend.

Injury-induced fibrogenic cell isolation

The fracture hematoma and the activated periosteum were collected from *Prx1^{Cre}; R26^{mTmG}* mice 3 days

post-fracture. Tissues were minced and digested at 37°C for 2 hours in DMEM (21063029, Life

Technologies) with 1% Trypsin (15090046, Life Technologies) and 1% collagenase D (11088866001,

Roche). Cells in suspension were removed every 20 min and digestion medium was replaced. After 2

hours, the cell suspension was filtered, centrifuged and resuspended.

Cell sorting and transplantation

Digested cells were incubated 30 minutes with anti-Sca1-BV650 (BD Biosciences, 740450) or anti-

CD146-BV605 (BD Biosciences, 740636). Cells were sorted using Influx Cell Sorter. Prior sorting, Sytox

Blue (S34857, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to stain dead cells. For pSSPCs, living single GFP+

Sca1+ cells were sorted. For IIFCs, living single GFP+ CD146- cells were sorted. Cell transplantation

was performed as described in[44]. 30000 to 45000 sorted GFP+ cells were embedded in Tisseel Prima

fibrin gel, composed of fibrinogen and thrombin (3400894252443, Baxter S.A.S, USA), according to

manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were resuspended in 15 µL of fibrin (diluted at 1/4), before

adding 15 µL of thrombin (diluted at 1/4) and mixing. The pellet was then placed on ice at least 15 min

for polymerization. The cell pellet was transplanted at the fracture site of wild type mice at the time of

fracture.

Statistical analyses

Statistical difference between the number of activated regulons per cell was determined using one

way-ANOVA followed by post-hoc test. A p-value < 0.001 is reported as ***.

Resource availability

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by ANR-18-CE14-0033, ANR-21-CE18-007-01 to C.C., NIAMS R01 AR072707 to C. C. and Ted Miclau, R01 AR081671 to C.C. and Ralph Marcucio. S. Perrin was supported by a PhD fellowship from Paris Cité University. We thank O. Pellé from the Flow Cytometry platform at Imagine Institute and all the staff from the Imagine genomic core facility at Imagine Institute. We thank A. Julien, S. Protic, M. Ethel, C. Goachet and Y. Hachemi for technical assistance or advice.

Conflict of interests

Authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: S.P. and C.C. Methodology: S.P. and C.C. Formal analysis: S.P. and C-A.W. Investigation: S.P., M.L., F.C. and C.M. Resources: F.C. and M.M. Writing – Original Draft: S.P. and C.C. Visualization: S.P. Supervision: C.C. Project administration: C.C. Funding Acquisition: C.C

References

1.   Duchamp de Lageneste, O. *et al.* Periosteum contains skeletal stem cells with high bone

regenerative potential controlled by Periostin. *Nat Commun* **9**, 773 (2018).

2.   Jeffery, E. C., Mann, T. L. A., Pool, J. A., Zhao, Z. & Morrison, S. J. Bone marrow and periosteal

skeletal stem/progenitor cells make distinct contributions to bone maintenance and repair. *Cell Stem*

Cell **29**, 1547-1561.e6 (2022).

3.   Julien, A. *et al.* Skeletal stem/progenitor cells in periosteum and skeletal muscle share a

common molecular response to bone injury. *J of Bone & Mineral Res* jbmr.4616 (2022)

doi:10.1002/jbmr.4616.

4.   Julien, A. *et al.* Direct contribution of skeletal muscle mesenchymal progenitors to bone repair.

Nature Communications **12**, 2860 (2021).

5.   Debnath, S. *et al.* Discovery of a periosteal stem cell mediating intramembranous bone

formation. *Nature* **562**, 133–139 (2018).

6.   Matthews, B. G. *et al.* Heterogeneity of murine periosteum progenitors involved in fracture

healing. *eLife* **10**, e58534 (2021).

7.   Ortinau, L. C. *et al.* Identification of Functionally Distinct Mx1+αSMA+ Periosteal Skeletal Stem

Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **25**, 784-796.e5 (2019).

8.   Matsushita, Y. *et al.* A Wnt-mediated transformation of the bone marrow stromal cell identity

orchestrates skeletal regeneration. *Nat Commun* **11**, 332 (2020).

9.   De Micheli, A. J. *et al.* Single-Cell Analysis of the Muscle Stem Cell Hierarchy Identifies

Heterotypic Communication Signals Involved in Skeletal Muscle Regeneration. *Cell Rep* **30**, 3583-

3595.e5 (2020).

10.   Guerrero-Juarez, C. F. *et al.* Single-cell analysis reveals fibroblast heterogeneity and myeloid-

derived adipocyte progenitors in murine skin wounds. *Nat Commun* **10**, 650 (2019).

11.   Storer, M. A. *et al.* Acquisition of a Unique Mesenchymal Precursor-like Blastema State

Underlies Successful Adult Mammalian Digit Tip Regeneration. *Developmental Cell* **52**, 509-524.e9

(2020).

12.   Sivaraj, K. K. *et al.* Mesenchymal stromal cell-derived septoclasts resorb cartilage during

developmental ossification and fracture healing. *Nat Commun* **13**, 571 (2022).

13.   Tournaire, G. *et al.* Nestin-GFP transgene labels skeletal progenitors in the periosteum. *Bone*

133, 115259 (2020).

14.   Gao, B. *et al.* Macrophage-lineage TRAP+ cells recruit periosteum-derived cells for periosteal

osteogenesis and regeneration. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **129**, 2578–2594 (2019).

15.   Chan, C. K. F. *et al.* Identification and Specification of the Mouse Skeletal Stem Cell. *Cell* **160**,

285–298 (2015).

16.   He, X. *et al.* Sox9 positive periosteal cells in fracture repair of the adult mammalian long bone.

Bone **103**, 12–19 (2017).

17.   Böhm, A.-M. *et al.* Activation of Skeletal Stem and Progenitor Cells for Bone Regeneration Is

Driven by PDGFRβ Signaling. *Developmental Cell* **51**, 236-254.e12 (2019).

18.   Aibar, S. *et al.* SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering. *Nat Methods*

14, 1083–1086 (2017).

19.   Leclerc, K. *et al.* Hox genes are crucial regulators of periosteal stem cell identity. *Development*

150, dev201391 (2023).

20.   Nemec, S. *et al.* Pitx1 directly modulates the core limb development program to implement

hindlimb identity. *Development* **144**, 3325–3335 (2017).

21.   Takahashi, K. & Yamanaka, S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and

adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* **126**, 663–676 (2006).

22.   Chen, H. *et al.* Activation of STAT6 by STING is critical for antiviral innate immunity. *Cell* **147**,

436–446 (2011).

23.   Kollmann, S. *et al.* A STAT5B-CD9 axis determines self-renewal in hematopoietic and leukemic

stem cells. *Blood* **138**, 2347–2359 (2021).

24.   Tsuruyama, T. *et al.* Dual retrovirus integration tagging: identification of new signaling molecules

Fiz1 and Hipk2 that are involved in the IL-7 signaling pathway in B lymphoblastic lymphomas. *J Leukoc*

Biol **88**, 107–116 (2010).

25.   Le Grand, F. *et al.* Six1 regulates stem cell repair potential and self-renewal during skeletal

muscle regeneration. *J Cell Biol* **198**, 815–832 (2012).

26.   Linares, A. J. *et al.* The splicing regulator PTBP1 controls the activity of the transcription factor

Pbx1 during neuronal differentiation. *eLife* **4**, e09268 (2015).

27.   Rottkamp, C. A., Lobur, K. J., Wladyka, C. L., Lucky, A. K. & O'Gorman, S. Pbx3 is required for

normal locomotion and dorsal horn development. *Dev Biol* **314**, 23–39 (2008).

28.   Wang, S. *et al.* Meis1 Controls the Differentiation of Eye Progenitor Cells and the Formation of

Posterior Poles during Planarian Regeneration. *Int J Mol Sci* **24**, 3505 (2023).

29.   Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat Commun* **12**,

1088 (2021).

30.   Ding, J. *et al.* Systematic comparison of single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-sequencing

methods. *Nat Biotechnol* **38**, 737–746 (2020).

31.   Machado, L. *et al.* Tissue damage induces a conserved stress response that initiates quiescent

muscle stem cell activation. *Cell Stem Cell* **28**, 1125-1135.e7 (2021).

32.   van den Brink, S. C. *et al.* Single-cell sequencing reveals dissociation-induced gene expression

in tissue subpopulations. *Nat Methods* **14**, 935–936 (2017).

33.   Buechler, M. B. *et al.* Cross-tissue organization of the fibroblast lineage. *Nature* **593**, 575–579

(2021).

34.   Lee, S. *et al.* Notch-Wnt signal crosstalk regulates proliferation and differentiation of

osteoprogenitor cells during intramembranous bone healing. *npj Regen Med* **6**, 29 (2021).

35.   Wang, C. *et al.* NOTCH signaling in skeletal progenitors is critical for fracture repair. *Journal of*

Clinical Investigation **126**, 1471–1481 (2016).

36.   Chen, G. *et al.* The biological function of BMAL1 in skeleton development and disorders. *Life*

Sciences **253**, 117636 (2020).

37.   Dudek, M. *et al. The clock transcription factor BMAL1 is a key regulator of extracellular matrix*

homeostasis   and   cell   fate   in   the   intervertebral   disc.

http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.02.12.528214 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.02.12.528214.

38.   Dudek, M. *et al.* The chondrocyte clock gene Bmal1 controls cartilage homeostasis and integrity.

Journal of Clinical Investigation **126**, 365–376 (2015).

39.   Ma, Z. *et al.* Deletion of clock gene Bmal1 impaired the chondrocyte function due to disruption

of the HIF1α-VEGF signaling pathway. *Cell Cycle* **18**, 1473–1489 (2019).

40.   Takarada, T. *et al.* Clock Genes Influence Gene Expression in Growth Plate and Endochondral

Ossification in Mice. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**, 36081–36095 (2012).

41.   Xia, C. *et al.* TGF-β/Smad2 signalling regulates enchondral bone formation of Gli1 [+] periosteal

cells during fracture healing. *Cell Prolif* **53**, (2020).

42.   Logan, M. *et al.* Expression of Cre recombinase in the developing mouse limb bud driven by

aPrxl enhancer. *genesis* **33**, 77–80 (2002).

43.   Muzumdar, M. D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L. & Luo, L. A global double-fluorescent Cre

reporter mouse. *Genesis* **45**, 593–605 (2007).

44.   Perrin, S., Julien, A., Duchamp de Lageneste, O., Abou-Khalil, R. & Colnot, C. Mouse Periosteal

Cell Culture, in vitro Differentiation, and in vivo Transplantation in Tibial Fractures. *BIO-PROTOCOL* **11**,

(2021).

45.   Santos, M. D. *et al.* Extraction and sequencing of single nuclei from murine skeletal muscles.

STAR Protocols **2**, 100694 (2021).

46.   G Martelotto, L. *'Frankenstein' protocol for nuclei isolation from fresh and frozen tissue for*

snRNAseq   v2.   https://www.protocols.io/view/frankenstein-protocol-for-nuclei-isolation-from-f-3fkgjkw

(2019) doi:10.17504/protocols.io.3fkgjkw.

47.   Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-1902.e21 (2019).

48.   Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell

transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 411–420

(2018).

49.   Cao, J. *et al.* The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature*

566, 496–502 (2019).

50.   Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016

update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W90-97 (2016).

51.   Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and

functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D605–

D612 (2021).

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1: Heterogeneity of the periosteum at steady state

A. Experimental design. Nuclei were extracted from the periosteum of uninjured tibia and processed for

single-nuclei RNAseq. **B.** Sorting strategy of nuclei stained with Sytox-7AAD for snRNAseq. Sorted

nuclei are delimited by a red box. **C.** UMAP projection of color-coded clustering of the uninjured

periosteum dataset. Six populations are identified and delimited by black dashed lines. **D.** Violin plots of

key marker genes of the different cell populations. **E.** UMAP projection of color-coded clustering of the

subset of SSPC/fibroblasts. **F.** Feature plots of *Prrx1* and *Pdgfra* in the subset of SSPC/fibroblasts. **G.**

Feature plots of key marker genes of the different cell populations. **H.** Dot plot of the stemness markers

Pi16, Ly6a (Sca1*), Cd34,* and *Dpp4*. **I.** Violin and feature plots of Cytotrace scoring in the subset of

SSPC/fibroblasts, showing that Sca1+ SSPCs (cluster 0) are the less differentiated cells in the dataset.

Figure 2

 Figure 2: Periosteal response to fracture at single-nuclei resolution

 A. Experimental design. Nuclei were extracted from the periosteum of uninjured tibia of wild-type mice

 and from the periosteum and hematoma at days 3, 5 and 7 post-tibial fracture and processed for single-

 nuclei RNAseq. **B.** UMAP projection of color-coded clustering of the integration of uninjured, day 3, day

 5 and day 7 datasets. Eleven populations are identified and delimited by black dashed lines. **C.** Violin

 plots of key marker genes of the different cell populations. **D.** UMAP projection of the combined dataset

 separated by time-point. **E.** Percentage of cells in SSPC, injury-induced fibrogenic cell, osteoblast,

 chondrocyte, and immune cell clusters in uninjured, day 3, day 5 and day 7 datasets.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Cellular organization of the fracture callus

A. Safranin'O staining of longitudinal callus sections at day 3-post tibial fracture. **B**. Immunofluorescence on adjacent sections show absence of SSPCs (SCA1$^+$), and presence of IIFCs (POSTN$^+$) and immune cells (CD45$^+$) in the activated periosteum and hematoma at day 3-post fracture. Chondrocytes (SOX9$^+$, arrowhead), osteoblasts (OSX$^+$, arrowhead) and endothelial cells (PECAM1$^+$) are detected in activation periosteum (n=3 per group). **C.** Safranin'O staining of longitudinal callus sections at day 5-post tibial fracture. **D**. Immunofluorescence on adjacent sections show IIFCs (POSTN$^+$), chondrocytes (SOX9$^+$, arrowhead), osteoblasts (OSX$^+$, arrowhead), immune cells (CD45$^+$) and endothelial cells (PECAM1$^+$) in the fibrosis, chondrocytes (SOX9$^+$) in cartilage and osteoblasts (OSX$^+$), immune cells (CD45$^+$, arrowhead) and endothelial cells (PECAM1$^+$) in new bone. (n=3 per group). **E.** Safranin'O staining of longitudinal callus sections at day 7-post tibial fracture. **F**. Immunofluorescence on adjacent sections show IIFCs (POSTN$^+$), chondrocytes (SOX9$^+$, arrowhead), osteoblasts (OSX$^+$, arrowhead), immune cells (CD45$^+$) and endothelial cells (PECAM1$^+$) in the fibrosis, chondrocytes (SOX9$^+$) in cartilage and osteoblasts (OSX$^+$), immune cells (CD45$^+$, arrowhead) and endothelial cells (PECAM1$^+$) in new bone (n=3 per group). Scale bars: A-B-E: 1mm, B-D-F: 100µm.

Figure 4

A

Subset of SSPCs,
injury-induced fibrogenic cells,
chondrogenic and osteogenic cells

B

SSPCs
Osteoblasts
Injury-induced
fibrogenic cells
Chondrocytes

uninj. d5
d3 d7

Pseudotime
0 10 20

C

Stem/progenitor	Fibrogenic	Chondrogenic	Osteogenic
Ly6a, Cd34, Dpp4, Pi16	*Postn, Aspn, Col3a1, Col5a1, Col8a1*	*Acan, Col2a1, Sox9, Fgfr3*	*Sp7, Alpl, Ibsp, Ifitm5, Bglap*

Score
5
1

Lineage score

Lineage score

d0 d3 d5 d7

D

Injury-induced
fibrogenic cells

Osteoblasts

pSSPCs

Chondrocytes

602
603

Figure 4: Periosteal SSPCs activate through a common fibrogenic state prior to undergoing

osteogenesis or chondrogenesis

A. SSPCs, injury-induced fibrogenic cells (IIFCs), chondrocytes and osteoblasts from integrated

uninjured, day 3, day 5 and day 7 post-fracture samples were extracted for a subset analysis. **B.** UMAP

projection of color-coded clustering (left), color-coded sampling (middle) and monocle pseudotime

trajectory (right) of the subset dataset. The four populations are delimited by black dashed lines. **C.** (top)

Feature plots of the stem/progenitor, fibrogenic chondrogenic and osteogenic lineage scores (middle)

Scatter plot of the lineage scores along pseudotime. (bottom) Violon plot of the lineage score per time

point. **D.** Schematic representation of the activation trajectory of pSSPCs after fracture.
613

Figure 5

Figure 5: In vivo validation of pSSPC activation trajectory

A. (Top) Representative Safranin'O staining on longitudinal sections of the hematoma/callus at day 5 post-fracture. The callus is composed of fibrosis, cartilage (red dashed line) and bone (green dashed line). (Middle, box 1) Immunofluorescence on adjacent section shows decreased expression of POSTN (green) and increased expression of SOX9 (red) in the fibrosis-to-cartilage transition zone. (Bottom, box 2) Immunofluorescence on adjacent section shows decreased expression of POSTN (green) and increased expression of OSX (red) in the fibrosis-to-bone transition zone (n=3 per group). **B.** Experimental design: GFP+ Sca1+ SSPCs were isolated from uninjured tibia of $Prx1^{Cre}$; $R26^{mTmG}$ mice and grafted at the fracture site of wild type mice. Safranin'O staining of callus sections at day 5 post-fracture and high magnification of POSTN immunofluorescence of adjacent section showing that GFP+ cells contribute to the callus and that grafted SSPCs differentiate into POSTN+ IIFCS (white arrowheads) (n=4 per group). **C.** Experimental design: GFP+ IIFCs from day 3 post-fracture tibia were isolated from $Prx1^{Cre}$; $R26^{mTmG}$ mice and grafted at the fracture site of wild type mice. Safranin'O of callus sections at day 14 post-fracture and high magnification of OSX and SOX9 immunofluorescence of adjacent sections showing that GFP+ cells contribute to the callus and that grafted IIFCs differentiate into OSX+ osteoblasts (box 3, white arrowheads) and SOX9+ chondrocytes (box 4, white arrowheads) (n=4 per group). Scale bars: Low magnification: A: 500µm, B-C: 1mm. High magnification: 100µm.

633
634

  Figure 6: Characterization of injury-activated fibrogenic cells

A. Gene ontology analyses of upregulated genes in IIFCs (clusters 2 to 6 of UMAP clustering from Fig.

4). **B.** Dot plot of ECM genes in UMAP clustering from Fig. 4. **C.** Feature plot per cluster and scatter

plot along pseudotime of the mean expression of ECM genes. **D.** Gene regulatory network (GRN)-based

tSNE clustering of the subset of SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. **E.** Activation of Mta3,

Six1, Sox9 and Sp7 regulons in SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. Blue dots mark cells with

active regulon. **F.** Number of regulons activated per cell in the SSPC, IIFC, osteoblast (Ob) and

chondrocyte (Ch) populations. Statistical differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA. ***: p-

value < 0.001. **G.** Heatmap of activated regulons in SSPC, IIFC, osteoblast and chondrocyte

populations. **H.** Scatter plot of the activity of the combined fibrogenic regulons along monocle

pseudotime from Fig. 4. **I.** Reactome pathway analyses of the fibrogenic regulons shows that the 3 most

significant terms are related to Notch signaling (blue). **J.** Feature plot in Seurat clustering and scatter

plot along monocle pseudotime of the Notch signaling score.

Figure 7

Figure 7: Gene regulatory network analyses identifies gene cores driving fibrogenic to chondrogenic and osteogenic transition.

A. Activation of Maf, Arntl, and Nfatc2 regulons in SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. **B.** STRING interaction network of the chondro-core 1 and 2 transcription factors (blue and orange respectively). **C.** Feature plot of chondro-core 1 (top) and chondro-core 2 (bottom) activities in SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts in Seurat UMAP from Fig. 4. **D.** Scatter plot of chondro-core 1 (top) and chondro-core 2 (bottom) activities along monocle pseudotime and *Acan* expressing. **E.** Activation of Tcf7, Bclb11b and Tbx2 regulons in SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. **F**. STRING interaction network of the osteo-core transcription factors (green) and their related genes shows that most of osteo-core related genes are involved in Wnt pathway (purple). **G.** Feature plot of the osteo-core activity in SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts in Seurat UMAP from Fig. 4. **H.** Scatter plot of osteo-core activity along monocle pseudotime and *Ibsp* expressing.

Figure 8

Figure 8: IIFCs are the main source of paracrine factors after fracture.

A. Outgoing interaction strengths of the different cell populations of the fracture environment determined using CellChat package. **B.** Comparison of incoming and outgoing interaction strengths across SSPC, IIFC, chondrogenic and osteogenic populations. **C.** Outgoing and incoming signaling from and to SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. **D.** Cell-cell interactions identified between SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts. **E.** Feature plots of the score of BMP, TGFβ, PDGF, POSTN, PTN and ANGPTL signaling per timepoint. **F.** Scatter plot along pseudotime and feature plot per time point of the mean expression of the ligand and receptors involved in signaling from IIFCs. **G.** Circle plot of the interactions between SSPCs, IIFCs, chondrocytes and osteoblasts, showing that most signals received by SSPCs are coming for IIFCs.