The Na^+ leak channel NALCN controls spontaneous activity and mediates synaptic modulation by α2-adrenergic receptors in auditory neurons

Tenzin Ngodup[1], Tomohiko Irie[2], Sean Elkins[1], Laurence O. Trussell[1]*

[1]Oregon Hearing Research Center and Vollum Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland OR USA

[2]Department of Physiology, Kitasato University School of Medicine, Sagamihara, Japan

*Corresponding author

Running title: "NALCN-dependent modulation of interneurons"

Abstract

Cartwheel interneurons of the dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN) potently suppress multisensory signals that converge with primary auditory afferent input, and thus regulate auditory processing. Noradrenergic fibers from locus coeruleus project to the DCN, and α2-adrenergic receptors inhibit spontaneous spike activity but simultaneously enhance synaptic strength in cartwheel cells, a dual effect leading to enhanced signal-

to-noise for inhibition. However, the ionic mechanism of this striking modulation is unknown. We generated a glycinergic neuron-specific knockout of the Na^+ leak channel NALCN, and found that its presence was required for spontaneous firing in cartwheel cells. Activation of α2-adrenergic receptors inhibited both NALCN and spike generation, and this modulation was absent in the NALCN knockout. Moreover, α2-dependent enhancement of synaptic strength was also absent in the knockout. $GABA_B$ receptors mediated inhibition through NALCN as well, acting on the same population of channels as α2 receptors, suggesting close apposition of both receptor subtypes with NALCN. Thus, multiple neuromodulatory systems determine the impact of synaptic inhibition by suppressing the excitatory leak channel, NALCN.

Introduction

The dorsal division of the mammalian cochlear nucleus (DCN) is a cerebellum-like structure in which principal cells integrate multimodal activity with primary auditory afferents, and these converging signals are thought to contribute to sound localization and sensitivity to sounds of interest (May, 2000; Oertel and Young, 2004). Cartwheel cells (CWC) are cerebellar Purkinje cell homologs that potently control this convergence by gating the multimodal signals to postsynaptic fusiform principal cells. CWCs also receive inputs from various neuromodulatory systems, including noradrenaline (NA) (Trussell, 2019). We showed previously that agonists of α2 noradrenergic receptors simultaneously halts spontaneous spike activity and enhances the strength of inhibitory signals to fusiform cells (Kuo and Trussell, 2011). The mechanism for this paradoxical action depends on the effect of spontaneous activity on synaptic strength: by reducing

ongoing presynaptic firing, recovery from synaptic depression ensues and allows CWCs to mediate stronger postsynaptic signals in the fusiform cells. A related mechanism was later identified in the action of oxytocin in hippocampal interneurons (Owen et al., 2013; Tirko et al., 2018). However, the mechanism by which α2 noradrenergic receptors controlled such activity remained obscure. The most obvious candidate ion channel that could mediate such inhibition is the GIRK channel (G -protein-gated inwardly rectifying K^+ channel) (Luscher et al., 1997; Arima et al., 1998; Li and van den Pol, 2005; Philippart and Khaliq, 2018), however attempts in our laboratory to implicate this channel failed.

The Na^+ leak channel NALCN functions as a complex of 4 proteins: NALCN, FAM151a, UNC79 and UNC80 (Lu et al., 2010; Ren, 2011; Cochet-Bissuel et al., 2014; Kschonsak et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). This channel complex (here termed simply NALCN) contributes to the depolarizing drive for spontaneous firing in a wide variety of neurons, and functions in sensory, motor and circadian pathways (Nash et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2007; Lu and Feng, 2011; Xie et al., 2013; Lutas et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2016). Accordingly, mutations in the NALCN subunit or its associated proteins are linked to a variety of human diseases (Al-Sayed et al., 2013; Cochet-Bissuel et al., 2014; Chong et al., 2015; Bramswig et al., 2018). A hallmark of this channel is its inhibition by extracellular Ca^{2+}; reduction of extracellular Ca^{2+} enhances the current, permitting a ready assessment of NALCN's presence in neurons (Lu et al., 2010; Ren, 2011; Philippart and Khaliq, 2018; Chua et al., 2020). Recently it was shown that neurons of the substantia nigra express NALCN, and that both dopamine and $GABA_B$ receptors strongly downregulated NALCN activity in this region (Lutas et al., 2016; Philippart and

Khaliq, 2018). As global knockouts of NALCN die at birth (Lu et al., 2007), we generated a knockout mouse line specific to glycinergic neurons in order to test the role of this channel in auditory interneurons. We found that CWCs expressed functional NALCN, and its loss in NALCN knockouts led to cessation of spontaneous firing. Outward currents and inhibition of firing mediated by NA was eliminated in the knockouts; since NALCN generates inward excitatory currents, NA must act by inhibition of NALCN. Similar results were obtained for the $GABA_B$ agonist baclofen. Thus, NALCN serves multiple roles in auditory function, setting the pace of neuronal firing while also mediating electrical inhibition by multiple neuromodulators and enhancement of synaptic strength.

Results

NALCN and modulation of spike generation

In order to assess the role of NALCN in modulation of spontaneous firing of CWCs, we generated an NALCN knockout mouse by crossing a floxed NALCN mouse line B6(Cg)-*Nalcn*$^{tm1c(KOMP)Wtsi}$/DrenJ with a GlyT2-cre line, thus deleting NALCN from glycinergic neurons (Methods). NALCN$^{-/-}$ mice were slightly smaller than wildtype mice (weight ratio, 4:3) but had normal hearing, as assessed by auditory brainstem responses (Figure 1-figure supplemental 1), and the morphology of their CWCs was characteristic of those of wildtype mice (Figure 1-figure supplemental 2; (Bender and Trussell, 2009)). 'Wildtype' mice used here were either C57/BL6 or GlyT2-EGFP (Zeilhofer et al., 2005; Kuo et al., 2012; Ngodup et al., 2020) in which GFP is expressed in glycinergic neurons.

CWC were recorded using cell-attached mode to monitor baseline spontaneous firing and the inhibition of firing by NA. Except as noted below, all recordings were made in physiological Ca^{2+} concentration (1.2 mM). In confirmation of previous studies in wildtype mice (Kim and Trussell, 2007; Kuo and Trussell, 2011) 71% of CWC exhibited spontaneous firing (mean firing rate, 17.74 ± 2.31 Hz) and bath application of 10 μM NA completely and reversibly eliminated such firing in every case (N = 8, Fig. 1A & B). However, in experiments on NALCN KO mice, CWC showed no spontaneous firing (Fig 1C & D; N = 6; difference from wildtype type, $p < 0.0005$), and thus NA had no additional effects on spontaneous firing (mean firing rate, KO = 0 ± 0 Hz, NA = 0; N = 6). Thus, NALCN likely provides a tonic inward current necessary to drive spontaneous firing in CWC. These effects were not accompanied by changes in membrane input resistance (WT: 135.34±21.53 MΩ (n=18) vs KO: 132.19±16.11 MΩ (n=15), p=0.46, t-test).

Although NALCN supports spontaneous firing, it was not clear whether the effect of NA is mediated through NALCN or some other channel. To explore this problem, we first examined evoked firing in CWC and the effects of NALCN and NA. CWC were recorded in current-clamp mode, and a family of negative and positive, 600-ms current pulses were delivered in 25-pA steps (Fig 2A1). In response to positive pulses, CWCs fire mixtures of simple (single) spikes and complex spikes, the latter containing Na^{+} spike clusters driven by a Ca^{2+}-dependent current (Kim and Trussell, 2007). The timing of spikes during each current pulse was used to generate raster plots of firing (Fig 2A2), and the number of spikes at each current step was used to plot a frequency vs current intensity curve (Fig 2E). In the same set of cells, we then applied NA and repeated the

current steps (Fig 2B & E). NA hyperpolarized the resting potential by -1.90 ± 0.24 mV and increased the current level required to initiate firing (rheobase, Fig 2E), indicating that NA reduced excitability. However, we also observed a suppression of the peak firing rate in NA; similar rundown of peak firing rate could be observed without change in rheobase as a result of whole-cell recording over this time period (see also Kim and Trussell, 2007), and thus frequency-intensity plots were normalized to peak intensity (Fig 2F). This manipulation revealed a clear shift to the right in the onset of firing (i.e., toward higher intensity) as a result of NA. Rheobase was defined as the current intensity at which spiking was 20% of maximum. Using this criterion, NA generated a significant increase in rheobase in response to current pulses (Ctrl, rheobase = 91.40 ± 11.35 pA, NA, 168.59 ± 14.89 pA, $p = 0.0011$ (t-test)). Control experiments established that wash-in of NA with the α2 blocker idazoxan did not lead to a change in rheobase (Ctrl, rheobase = 95.11 ± 16.86 pA, NA + idazoxan, 105.88 ± 21.89 pA, $N = 9$, $p = 0.38$ (t-test)), showing that the shift in rheobase was a genuine effect of α2 receptors (Fig 2I, J). This protocol was then applied to NALCN KO mice (Fig 2C, D, G & H). Although CWCs showed no spontaneous firing, they were able to respond to current steps with mixtures of simple and complex spikes, and peak firing rates did not differ from wildtypes (rheobase, Ctrl, 91.40 ± 11.35 pA; KO, 120.81 ± 17.53 mV, $N = 11$, $p = 0.08$ (t-test)). However, unlike wildtype mice, the NALCN KO mice showed no significant shift in rheobase with NA (KO, rheobase = 120.81 ± 17.53 pA, NA, 158.0 ± 12.16 pA, $n = 11$, $p = 0.064$ (t-test) (Fig 2H).

In order to test whether the effects of NA on rheobase and evoked spiking is primarily due to the small hyperpolarization induced by NA, we mimicked this effect of NA by

injecting current to CWC to hyperpolarize the membrane by the same amount as induced by NA (approximately 2 mV), and then repeated the current injection protocol (Figure 2-figure supplement 1). Under these conditions, we were able to replicate the effects of NA on CWC rheobase and evoked firing (rheobase Ctrl = 70.88 ± 7.68 pA, hyperpolarized = 125 ± 12.90 pA, N = 6, $p = 0.0009$). We then compared the ratio of the changes in rheobase in NA with respect to control under different conditions, also comparing to the relative effects of current injection. These comparisons confirmed that NA shifts rheobase dependent upon NALCN, and that this effect is likely caused by membrane potential hyperpolarization (Fig. 2K: Ctrl = 1.90 ± 0.11, KO = 1.25 ± 0.05, Idazoxan = 1.03 ± 0.11, hyperpolarized = 1.94 ± 0.16, $p < 0.0001$, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis; post hoc Dunn's test, Ctrl vs KO $p = 0.0086$, Ctrl vs idazoxan $p = 0.0007$, KO vs hyperpolarized $p = 0.02$, idazoxan vs hyperpolarized $p = 0.0028$).

Outward response generated by suppression of NALCN

As cessation of spontaneous activity and elevation of rheobase by NA is likely associated with an outward, inhibitory current, we performed voltage-clamp experiments to determine the magnitude of that current in wildtype and KO mice, and its pharmacological sensitivity. Using a patch-pipette fill containing high K^+ (Methods), cells were held at -65 mV and a 5-ms puff of NA was applied near the soma using a pressure-ejection pipette. Under these conditions, a slowly rising outward current was observed that decayed over a time course of 10-15 seconds (Fig 3A). As G-protein coupled inward rectifier channels (GIRK) are known to mediate α2 receptor effects in some brain regions (Williams et al., 1985; Aghajanian and Wang, 1986; Arima et al.,

1998; Li and van den Pol, 2005; Nimitvilai et al., 2017), we tested the role of GIRK channels using the GIRK blocker Ba^{2+}. However, $BaCl_2$ (100 μM) had no significant effect on the amplitude of the NA-evoked current (Fig 3A, C) (Ctrl = 15.44 ± 1.66 pA; $BaCl_2$ = 12.86 ± 1.44, N = 6, p = 0.133). When this experiment was repeated in NALCN KO mice, the NA-evoked current was only 12.5% of that observed in wildtype mice (Fig 3B & C). Although the amplitude of the NA-evoked current in the knockout was only a few pA, bath application of $BaCl_2$ nevertheless produced a statistically significant block of this small residual current, essentially eliminating all response to NA (KO = 1.93 ± 0.83 pA, $BaCl_2$ = 0.56 ± 0.4 pA, n = 8, p = 0.05) (Fig. 3C). Thus, the outward response to NA was only minimally due to activation of K^+ current but rather was mediated largely by inhibition of a tonic inward current generated by NALCN. Philippart and Khaliq (2018) observed that $GABA_B$ receptors suppressed NALCN current in substantia nigra, and so we asked whether these receptors might have a similar action in CWCs. In K^+ filled cells, puffs of the $GABA_B$ agonist baclofen generated outward currents similar to those observed with NA (Fig 4A & C). However, unlike NA, subsequent wash-in of $BaCl_2$ blocked 26.99 ± 4.60 % of the baclofen response (Ctrl = 42.95 ± 2.58 pA, $BaCl_2$ = 30.90 ± 1.84 pA, N = 8, p = 0.0014) (Fig 4A, C & D), suggesting the partial involvement of GIRK channels. In NALCN KO mice, the baclofen currents were significantly smaller than in wildtype (Fig 4B&C). Moreover, $BaCl_2$ in the KO had a much greater effect on the baclofen current, as compared to wildtype, blocking by 82.68 ± 6.71% (Fig 4B, C & D) (KO = 12.91 ± 3.51 pA, $BaCl_2$ = 2.57 ± 1.06 pA, N = 7, p = 0.0089), indicating that after loss of NALCN, the remaining baclofen response was largely dependent on GIRK channels.

Low Ca^{2+}induced inward current mediated by NALCN

NALCN current is enhanced by reduction of extracellular Ca^{2+} (Lu et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2010; Ren, 2011; Philippart and Khaliq, 2018; Chua et al., 2020), and in the presence of blockers of voltage-dependent Na^{+}, Ca^{2+}, and K^{+} channels this effect is considered diagnostic for the presence of NALCN (Philippart and Khaliq, 2018). Recording in voltage clamp with the cocktail of intracellular and extracellular channel and receptor blockers described by Philippart and Khaliq, 2018 (see methods, and note that GIRK channels are also blocked here), an inward current of -107.61 ± 10.80 pA (N = 18) developed over several minutes upon shifting bath Ca^{2+} from 2 mM to 0.1 mM (Fig 5A & B). When NA was subsequently washed in, still in the presence of 0.1 mM Ca^{2+}, the inward current was immediately reduced, falling by over half (-51.14 ± 9.44 pA, N = 18) (Fig 5A, B & F). When similar experiments were performed in NALCN KO mice there was no change in holding current upon reduction in bath Ca^{2+}, and only a minimal current response to NA (- 4.78 ± 4.66 pA, N = 6) (Fig 5C, D & F). In order to confirm that the reduction in inward current by NA was mediated by α2 receptors, we repeated the experiments in wildtype mice but in the presence of 1 μM idazoxan, and observed no effect on the inward current (Ctrl, 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} = -112.52 ± 12.80 pA, NA = -108.35 ± 5.80 pA, N = 5, Fig 5E). Additional experiments were made using baclofen to activate $GABA_B$ receptors. Here, application of 10 μM baclofen almost completely eliminated the 0.1 mM -Ca^{2+} induced NALCN current (0.1 mM Ca^{2+} = -117.75 ± 19.6 pA, baclofen = -18.80 ± 14.5 pA, N =15, p = 0.00018, Fig 6A, C & E), while in knockout mice, neither 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} nor baclofen altered the holding current (0.1 mM Ca^{2+} = -14.48 ± 9.39 pA,

baclofen = -15.90 ± 8.94 pA, N = 6, p = 0.45, Fig. 6B, D & E). Altogether, these experiments support that NALCN is expressed in CWC, and generates a Ca^{2+} sensitive inward ionic current which is suppressed by α2 and $GABA_B$ receptors.

Since both NA and baclofen inhibit NALCN in CWC, we asked whether these two receptors act on independent or overlapping populations of NALCN channels. In these experiments, one set of control recordings assessed the magnitude of block by NA of low-Ca^{2+}-evoked inward current. Interleaved with these recordings were experiments in which baclofen was applied to reduce the NALCN current, and then NA subsequently applied in the continued presence of baclofen (Fig. 7A-C). If the two agonists act on independent populations of NALCN channels, NA should suppress inward current similar to that seen in control experiments. However, as shown in Fig 7, NA had virtually no blocking action of inward current following application of baclofen (0.1 mM Ca^{2+} = -164.28 ± 20.50 pA, baclofen = -53.33 ± 14.73 pA. NA = -46.94 ± 16.50 pA, N = 9, F = 41.28, p < 0.0001, repeated measures one-way ANOVA; post hoc Tukey's test, 2 mM Ca^{2+} vs 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} p = 0.002, 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} vs baclofen p = 0.005, 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} vs NA p = 0.002, baclofen vs NA p = 0.84). We also compared with percentage block of 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} current in baclofen, or NA alone, compared to the block of current by NA in a background of baclofen. Baclofen completely occluded the response to subsequent application of NA (percent block: baclofen 70.61 ± 7.18, NA 56.18 ± 9.5, additional block 4.35 ± 3.25, n = 9, $F_{(2,24)}$ = 23.83, p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA; post hoc Tukey's test, baclofen vs NA p = 0.34, baclofen vs addition p < 0.0001, NA vs addition p < 0.0001). These data suggest that α2 receptors target the same set of NALCN channels as

$GABA_B$ receptors, implying close apposition of the two receptors and the NALCN channel complex.

Noradrenergic effect not mediated by control of cAMP levels

The α2 receptor is a Gi/o GPCR and thus it is possible that inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and thus reduction of cAMP levels might mediate the action of NA on NALCN. To test this idea, CWCs were recorded in the same solutions used in the previous section, in order to block K^+ channels and other non-NALCN contributions to current. Then NA (100 μM) was puffed onto the recorded neuron, which suppresses NALCN and generates a net outward current. After recording control responses, 1 mM 8-Br-cAMP was washed into the bath, and NA responses again recorded. The average response amplitudes were 23.9±3.5 pA in control and 27.8±5.7 pA in 8-Br-cAMP (n=7 cells, p=0.1147, paired t-test). On the assumption that 8-Br-cAMP would effectively saturate cAMP actions in the neurons, the absence of an effect on the NA response suggests that inhibition cAMP production does not mediate NA action on NALCN, pointing to the possibility of direct effect of G-proteins on the channel.

Noradrenergic enhancement of glycine release

CWC mediate synaptic inhibition through the release of glycine onto fusiform principal cells as well as onto other CWC in the DCN (Mancilla and Manis, 2009; Roberts and Trussell, 2010; Kuo and Trussell, 2011; Apostolides and Trussell, 2014). NA has been shown to enhance feedforward inhibition by suppressing background spiking activity (Kuo and Trussell, 2011; Lu and Trussell, 2016). We tested more directly the effect of

NA on synaptic inhibition and the role of NALCN by electrically evoking IPSCs while recording from CWC. Bipolar stimulation electrodes were positioned in the DCN molecular layer in order to stimulate CWC axons. The resulting glycinergic IPSCs were significantly enhanced upon bath application of 10 μM NA (Ctrl = -1.37 ± 0.31 nA, NA = -1.82 ± 0.43 nA, % change = 32.99 % (increase), N = 8, Fig 8A & B). This average increase ranged widely from 2% to 81%, suggesting some cells received glycinergic input from CWC that were not spontaneously active and therefore not affected by NA. The experiment was repeated on NALCN KO mice, there was no significant increase in IPSC amplitude (KO = -2.17± 0.54 nA, NA = -2.08 ± 0.55 nA, % change = 3.77% (decrease), N = 10, p = 0.0011; Fig 8 B & C). Thus, NA-mediated increase in the strength of inhibition (Kuo and Trussell, 2011) is likely mediated by NALCN.

Discussion

Mechanism of adrenergic modulation

The primary effect of noradrenergic modulation of the CWC is to enhance the strength of inhibitory synaptic transmission (Kuo and Trussell, 2011). Modulation by NA acts simultaneously on all the CWC neurons synapses by impacting spike generation and thereby the degree of frequency-dependent synaptic depression. We show here that NALCN is the ion channel that mediates this effect on firing. α2 receptors are known to mediate inhibition of firing through enhancement of K^+ channel activity, and GIRK channels play a prominent role in these effects (Williams et al., 1985; Aghajanian and Wang, 1986; Arima et al., 1998; Li and van den Pol, 2005). However, in CWC, almost

none of the effect of NA was blocked by the K^+ channel blocker Ba^{2+}. Indeed, since in NALCN KOs the NA-induced effects on spiking, outward currents or block of inward currents were all eliminated, it is almost certain that NALCN and not K^+ channels play the key role in noradrenergic modulation in CWC. A caveat is that we only examined ionic currents and voltage changes measurable with a somatic electrode. NA effects in distal dendrites may have been undetectable at the soma.

Several intriguing aspects of NA action are revealed in this work when considered together with our previous study (Kuo and Trussell, 2011). First, the action NA on feedforward inhibition is apparently quite specific to NALCN. No effect of NA was observed on parallel fiber EPSCs in CWC or CWC IPSCs in fusiform cells recorded during paired voltage-clamp recordings (Kuo and Trussell, 2011). This suggests that the mechanism for control of inhibitory strength is optimized to act globally on the CWC's synapses by controlling spontaneous firing. Presumably, α2 receptors are localized in such a way to affect membrane potential and rheobase at the soma and/or at the axon initial segment, although localization studies will be required to address this uncertainty. Despite the DCN's dense innervation from noradrenergic fibers (Kromer and Moore, 1980; Klepper and Herbert, 1991), we do not know the morphology of noradrenergic synapses in the DCN. However, if NA release is through "volume transmission", it may be that noradrenergic modulation acts on groups of CWCs and all their synapses. Second, although CWC have GIRK channels, and α2 activate GIRK channels in some other neuronal cell types (Arima et al., 1998; Paladini and Williams, 2004; Li and van den Pol, 2005), the CWC has selected for a mechanism in which an inhibitory action is associated with a decrease in a tonic membrane conductance. The

effect on membrane potential of this decrease in conductance is small, ~2 mV. Yet, we show that that shift alone is sufficient to profoundly inhibit spontaneous firing and thereby increase the strength of inhibitory synapses. Interestingly, an inverse mechanism of modulation was described in hippocampus, in which oxytocin increases spontaneous firing of interneurons and thus decreases inhibitory synaptic strength (Owen et al., 2013). In this case oxytocin acts by decrease of a resting inhibitory conductance mediated by Kv7 channels (Tirko et al., 2018). Thus, modulation by inhibitory or excitatory conductance decrease provides a means for global control of inhibitory synaptic strength in the CNS.

Convergence of GABAergic and adrenergic systems

Unlike α2 receptors, the actions of $GABA_B$ receptors were more complex, as $GABA_B$ receptors both inhibited NALCN and activated GIRK channels, as previously observed in substantia nigra neurons (Philippart and Khaliq, 2018). Comparisons of the magnitude of NA action in control recordings and in a background of baclofen indicated that the two modulators acted on a common population of NALCN channels. While activation of α2 receptors may lead to inhibition of adenylyl cyclase (Dohlman, et al. 1987), we did not observe an effect of 8-Br-cAMP on NA responses, arguing against a role for cAMP. If gating of the channels proceeds directly by membrane-delimited actions of G-proteins, it is likely that a pool of $GABA_B$ and α2 receptors are in relatively close apposition to one another and to NALCN. However, unlike $GABA_B$ receptors, α2 receptors did not appreciably activate GIRK channels. Altogether, these results suggest two distinct pools of $GABA_B$ receptors in the somatodendritic membrane: one

associated with GIRK and one with NALCN. In addition, a third pool is localized in CWC nerve terminals. There, baclofen suppresses release of action potential-independent exocytosis (Apostolides and Trussell, 2013), suggesting modulation by $GABA_B$ receptors of Ca^{2+} channels or exocytic proteins. Regarding the somatodendritic populations, immunolocalization with electron microscopy indicated that GABAB1 subunits are concentrated at the base of dendritic spines of CWC, rather than associated with GABAergic synapses (Lujan et al., 2004), with the suggestion that they respond to "spillover" of GABA. It remains unclear which ion channel this particular dendritic $GABA_B$ population modulates.

Auditory processing

The DCN is the site of diverse forms of neuromodulation mediated by G-protein coupled receptors (Trussell and Oertel, 2018; Trussell, 2019), in which transmitters act at presynaptic membrane to regulate exocytosis (Tang and Trussell, 2015, 2017), at the axon initial segment to control spike pattern (Bender et al., 2010), at somatodendritic membrane to boost firing (Tang and Trussell, 2017), or at dendritic spines to control postsynaptic efficacy (He et al., 2014). By restricting α2 modulation to the spike-generation mechanism and not presynaptic release zones, the transmitter is able to enhance IPSC amplitude while simultaneously reducing background inhibitory "noise", thus enhancing the salience of a given interneuron's impact. CWC are activated by parallel fibers conveying multisensory input to DCN fusiform cells. If, consistent with other studies (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003), NA is released during brain states associated with wakefulness, this enhanced signal-to-noise mediated by NA would

serve to more precisely sculpt the output of DCN based on such multisensory signals. Moreover, since individual CWC-fusiform synaptic pairs apparently receive excitatory input from different populations of parallel fibers (Roberts and Trussell, 2010), such enhanced inhibition might serve a more precise computational role that a standard feedforward inhibitory mechanism. NALCN therefore appears to play a potent role in selective sensory filtering during heightened states of vigilance.

Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
strain, strain background (*M musculus*)	C57BL/6J	Jackson Laboratory	RRID: JAX:000664	
strain, strain background (*M musculus*)	GlyT2-EGFP, Tg(Slc6a5-EGFP)1Uze	MGI, Zeilhofer et al., 2005	RRID: MGI:3835459	
strain, strain background (*M musculus*)	B6(Cg)-*Nalcn*$^{tm1c(KOMP)Wtsi}$/DrenJ	MGI and Jackson Laboratory	RRID: JAX_030718	
strain, strain background (*M musculus*)	Slc6a5$^{tm1.1(cre)Ksak}$ (GlyT2Cre)	MGI	RRID: MGI:6382286	

Chemical compound, drug	Strychnine hydrochloride	Sigma	Cat# S8753	
Chemical compound, drug	SR-95531 hydrobromide	Tocris	Cat# 1262	
Chemical compound, drug	NBQX disodium salt	Tocris	Cat# 1044	
Chemical compound, drug	(+)-MK-801 hydrogen maleate	Sigma	Cat# M107	
Chemical compound, drug	L-(-)-Norepinephrine (+)-bitartrate salt monohydrate	Sigma	Cat# A9512	
Chemical compound, drug	(±)-Norepinephrine (+)-bitartrate salt	Sigma	Cat# A0937	
Chemical compound, drug	Idazoxan hydrochloride	Sigma	Cat# I6138-100MG	
Chemical compound, drug	Apamin	Alomone Labs	Cat# STA-200	

Chemical compound, drug	D-AP5	Tocris	Cat# 0106	
Chemical compound, drug	Barium Chloride	Sigma	Cat# 202738	
Software, algorithm	pClamp 10	Molecular Devices	RRID:SCR_011323	
Software, algorithm	Igor Pro 8	WaveMetrics	RRID:SCR_000325	
Software, algorithm	NeuroMatic	Rothman and Silver, 2018; DOI:10.3389	RRID:SCR_004186	
Software, algorithm	Axograph	Axograph	RRID:SCR_014284	
Software, algorithm	Prism 9	GraphPad	RRID:SCR_002798	
Software, algorithm	Excel	Microsoft	RRID:SCR_016137	
Software, algorithm	Affinity Designer	Serif	RRID:SCR_016952	

Animals

All procedures were approved by the Oregon Health and Science University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. C57BL/6J, GlyT2-EGFP mice (Zeilhofer et al., 2005; Ngodup et al., 2020), and NALCN conditional knock mice of either sex,

postnatal days (P) 17–40 were used in this study. GlyT2-GFP mice were backcrossed into the C57BL/6J and maintained as heterozygous. As global knockout of NALCN is lethal, we generated a glycinergic neuron-specific knock out by crossing NALCN$^{flox/flox}$ mice (B6(Cg)-Nalcn$^{tm1c(KOMP)Wtsi}$/DrenJ) with GlyT2-Cre mice (Tg(Slc6a5-cre)1Uze), resulting in NALCN^{flox};GlyT2-Cre offspring. The F1 litters were back crossed with NALCN$^{flox/flox}$ mice to generate NALCN$^{flox/flox}$;GlyT2-Cre that lack NALCN expression in glycinergic cells. NALCN conditional knock out mice were smaller than age matched WT litters but had normal hearing as established by auditory brainstem response.

Brain-slice preparation

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. The brain was quickly removed and placed into ice-cold sucrose cutting solution. Sucrose solution contained (in mM) 76 NaCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 75 sucrose, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 25 glucose, 7 $MgCl_2$, and 0.5 $CaCl_2$, bubbled with 95% O_2: 5% CO_2 (pH 7.8, 305 mOsm). Coronal slices containing DCN were cut at 210 µm in ice-cold sucrose solution on a vibratome ((VT1200S; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) or (7000smz-2; Campden Instruments, Loughborough, UK)). Slices were transferred into standard artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 125 NaCl, 20 $NaHCO_3$, 1.2 KH_2PO_4, 3 HEPES, 2.1 KCl, 20 glucose, 1 $MgCl_2$, 1.2 $CaCl_2$, 2 Na-pyruvate, and 0.4 Na L-ascorbate, bubbled with 95% O_2:5% CO_2 (pH 7.4, 300–310 mOsm). Slices were recovered at 34°C for 40 min and were maintained at room temperature until recording.

Electrophysiology

Slices were transferred to a recording chamber and perfused with standard ACSF at 3 ml/min and maintained at 31-34°C with an in-line heater (TC-324B; Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT). Cells were viewed using an upright microscope (BX51WI; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a 60X objective, equipped with an infrared custom-made Dodt contrast, CCD camera (Retiga 2000R; QImaging, Surrey, Canada), and fluorescence optics. All recordings were collected from CWCs of the DCN. CWCs were targeted by their location in the molecular and fusiform cell layers of DCN, and by their round soma (Kim and Trussell, 2007). Identification was then confirmed by their distinctive firing pattern (simple or complex spikes) (Golding and Oertel, 1997). In slices from GlyT2-EGFP, glycinergic cells in the DCN were identified by their GFP expression. In some experiments, 0.1% biocytin (B1592; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was added to the pipette solution for post-hoc identification of CWCs. Recording pipettes were pulled from 1.5 mm OD, 0.84 mm ID borosilicate glass (1B150-F; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) to a resistance of 2–4 MΩ using a horizontal puller (P-97 or P-1000; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). In most experiments, internal recording solution contained in (mM) 113 K gluconate, 2.75 $MgCl_2$, 1.75 $MgSO_4$, 0.1 EGTA, 14 $Tris_2$-phosphocreatine, 4 Na_2-ATP, 0.3 Tris-GTP, 9 HEPES with pH adjusted to 7.25 with KOH, mOsm adjusted to 290 with sucrose (E_{Cl}, -84 mV). For voltage clamp to isolate NALCN current, internal solution contained in (mM) 87 $CsMeSO_3$, 18 CsCl, 5 CsF, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 HEPES, 5 EGTA, 5 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na_2-GTP, 13 di-Na phosphocreatine, 2 QX-314 (pH 7.25, 295 mOsm). For a few voltage-clamp experiments, we used an internal solution containing (in mM) 103 CsCl, 10 TEA-Cl, 2.75 $MgCl_2$, 9 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 0.3 Tris-GTP, 14 $Tris_2$-phosphocreatine, 4 Na_2-ATP, 3.5

QX-314 (pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH). Puff application of agonists and antagonists was delivered through a picospritzer (Picospritzer III; Toohey Company, Fairfield, NJ), at 7-10 psi, with borosilicate glass capillaries. NA or Baclofen applications were at 100 μM and 5-10 ms in duration. The puff pipette was placed around 100 μm from the soma of the recorded cell to avoid mechanical disturbance.

Cell-attached (voltage-clamp) recordings were made using normal extracellular solution. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and pCLAMP 10 software (Molecular Devices; Sunnyvale, CA). Signals were digitized at 20–40 kHz and filtered at 10 kHz by Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices). In voltage clamp, cells were held at -65 mV, with access resistance 5-30 MΩ compensated to 40–60%. In current clamp with control solutions, the resting membrane potential was maintained at -60 to -70 mV with bias current. To isolate NALCN currents, synaptic blockers, NBQX (10 μM), MK-801 (10 μM), SR-95531 (10 μM) or picrotoxin (100 μM), strychnine (0.5 μM), apamin (100 nM), and $BaCl_2$ (200 μM) were added to the bath solution. In those experiments, shifts in Ca^{2+} from 2 mM to 0.1 mM were accompanied by a shift in Mg^{2+} from 1 mM to 3 mM. To record evoked IPSCs, CWCs were stimulated with brief voltage pulses (100 μs) using a stimulus isolation unit (Iso-Flex; A.M.P.I, Jerusalem, Israel) via a glass microelectrode placed in the molecular layer of the DCN.

Pharmacology

All drugs in the slice experiments were bath applied. Receptor antagonists used in this study included: NBQX (AMPA receptors; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), MK-801

(NMDA receptors; Sigma-Aldrich), SR-95531 ($GABA_AR$; Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK), strychnine (glycine receptors; Sigma-Aldrich).

ABRs

Auditory brainstem responses (ABR) were acquired from C57B6/J and NALCN KO mice between P40-50. Mice were anesthetized with a dose of 80 mg/kg ketamine:16 mg/kg xylazine. ABRs were recorded differentially with electrodes at the vertex and pinna with ground at the base of the tail. Tone pips (5 msec, 0.5 msec rise/fall with 4-msec steady-state plateau) were generated digitally with a PXI-4461 card (National Instruments, Austin, TX), amplified (SA1; Tucker-Davis Technologies, Alachua, FL) and delivered using a compact, close-field sound system consisting of two CUI earphones-and an electric microphone (FG-23329-P07; Knowles, Itasca, IL) coupled to a probe tube (Buran, 2015; Hancock K et al., 2015; Buran et al., 2020). Tone levels were incremented in 5-dB steps from 0 to 90-dB SPL. ABRs were recorded in a sound-proof booth using a Grass P511 amplifier and digitized using a National Instruments PXI 4661 card. ABR threshold was obtained for each animal. ABR peaks and thresholds were identified by visual inspection of the waveforms in a custom-written analysis program (Buran, 2015). Body temperature was maintained between 36 and 37°C using a homeothermic blanket (Harvard Apparatus, Cambridge, MA).

Experimental design and statistical analyses

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using pClamp 10.4 software (Molecular Devices), Axograph, or IGOR Pro v6.3 or v8 (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR) and

NeuroMatic (Rothman and Silver, 2018).Figures were made using IGOR Pro, Affinity Designer, and Adobe Illustrator. Statistics were performed in IGOR Pro, Axograph, Python, Microsoft Excel, or Prism 7 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Error bars are represented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grants R35NS116798 and DC004450 to L.O.T. and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K06838 to T.I. We thank Drs. John Williams and members of the Trussell Lab for comments.

References

Aghajanian GK, Wang YY (1986) Pertussis toxin blocks the outward currents evoked by opiate and alpha 2-agonists in locus coeruleus neurons. Brain Res 371:390-394.

Al-Sayed MD, Al-Zaidan H, Albakheet A, Hakami H, Kenana R, Al-Yafee Y, Al-Dosary M, Qari A, Al-Sheddi T, Al-Muheiza M, Al-Qubbaj W, Lakmache Y, Al-Hindi H, Ghaziuddin M, Colak D, Kaya N (2013) Mutations in NALCN cause an autosomal-recessive syndrome with severe hypotonia, speech impairment, and cognitive delay. Am J Hum Genet 93:721-726.

Apostolides PF, Trussell LO (2013) Rapid, activity-independent turnover of vesicular transmitter content at a mixed glycine/GABA synapse. J Neurosci 33:4768-4781.

Apostolides PF, Trussell LO (2014) Control of interneuron firing by subthreshold synaptic potentials in principal cells of the dorsal cochlear nucleus. Neuron 83:324-330.

Arima J, Kubo C, Ishibashi H, Akaike N (1998) alpha2-Adrenoceptor-mediated potassium currents in acutely dissociated rat locus coeruleus neurones. J Physiol 508 (Pt 1):57-66.

Bender KJ, Trussell LO (2009) Axon initial segment Ca2+ channels influence action potential generation and timing. Neuron 61:259-271.

Bender KJ, Ford CP, Trussell LO (2010) Dopaminergic modulation of axon initial segment calcium channels regulates action potential initiation. Neuron 68:500-511.

Berridge CW, Waterhouse BD (2003) The locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 42:33-84.

Bramswig NC et al. (2018) Genetic variants in components of the NALCN-UNC80-UNC79 ion channel complex cause a broad clinical phenotype (NALCN channelopathies). Hum Genet 137:753-768.
Buran BN (2015) Auditory-wave-analysis: V1.1. Zenodo https:// zenodoorg/record/17365
Buran BN, Elkins S, Kempton JB, Porsov EV, Brigande JV, David SV (2020) Optimizing Auditory Brainstem Response Acquisition Using Interleaved Frequencies. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 21:225-242.
Chong JX et al. (2015) De novo mutations in NALCN cause a syndrome characterized by congenital contractures of the limbs and face, hypotonia, and developmental delay. Am J Hum Genet 96:462-473.
Chua HC, Wulf M, Weidling C, Rasmussen LP, Pless SA (2020) The NALCN channel complex is voltage sensitive and directly modulated by extracellular calcium. Sci Adv 6:eaaz3154.
Cochet-Bissuel M, Lory P, Monteil A (2014) The sodium leak channel, NALCN, in health and disease. Front Cell Neurosci 8:132.
Dohlman, HG, Caron, MG, Lefkowitz, RJ (1987) A family of receptors coupled to guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins. Biochemistry 26, 2657-2664.
Golding NL, Oertel D (1997) Physiological identification of the targets of cartwheel cells in the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurophysiol 78:248-260.
Hancock K, Stefanov-Wagner IJ, Ravicz ME, MC L (2015) The Eaton-Peabody Laboratories Cochlear Function Test Suite. In: Association for Research in otolaryngology 38th annual midwinter meeting, Baltimore
He S, Wang YX, Petralia RS, Brenowitz SD (2014) Cholinergic modulation of large-conductance calcium-activated potassium channels regulates synaptic strength and spine calcium in cartwheel cells of the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurosci 34:5261-5272.
Kim Y, Trussell LO (2007) Ion channels generating complex spikes in cartwheel cells of the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurophysiol 97:1705-1725.
Klepper A, Herbert H (1991) Distribution and origin of noradrenergic and serotonergic fibers in the cochlear nucleus and inferior colliculus of the rat. Brain Res 557:190-201.
Kromer LF, Moore RY (1980) Norepinephrine innervation of the cochlear nuclei by locus coeruleus neurons in the rat. Anat Embryol (Berl) 158:227-244.
Kschonsak M, Chua HC, Noland CL, Weidling C, Clairfeuille T, Bahlke OO, Ameen AO, Li ZR, Arthur CP, Ciferri C, Pless SA, Payandeh J (2020) Structure of the human sodium leak channel NALCN. Nature 587:313-318.
Kuo SP, Trussell LO (2011) Spontaneous spiking and synaptic depression underlie noradrenergic control of feed-forward inhibition. Neuron 71:306-318.
Kuo SP, Lu HW, Trussell LO (2012) Intrinsic and synaptic properties of vertical cells of the mouse dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurophysiol 108:1186-1198.
Li Y, van den Pol AN (2005) Direct and indirect inhibition by catecholamines of hypocretin/orexin neurons. J Neurosci 25:173-183.
Lu B, Su Y, Das S, Liu J, Xia J, Ren D (2007) The neuronal channel NALCN contributes resting sodium permeability and is required for normal respiratory rhythm. Cell 129:371-383.

Lu B, Zhang Q, Wang H, Wang Y, Nakayama M, Ren D (2010) Extracellular calcium controls background current and neuronal excitability via an UNC79-UNC80-NALCN cation channel complex. Neuron 68:488-499.
Lu HW, Trussell LO (2016) Spontaneous Activity Defines Effective Convergence Ratios in an Inhibitory Circuit. J Neurosci 36:3268-3280.
Lu TZ, Feng ZP (2011) A sodium leak current regulates pacemaker activity of adult central pattern generator neurons in Lymnaea stagnalis. PLoS One 6:e18745.
Lujan R, Shigemoto R, Kulik A, Juiz JM (2004) Localization of the GABAB receptor 1a/b subunit relative to glutamatergic synapses in the dorsal cochlear nucleus of the rat. J Comp Neurol 475:36-46.
Luscher C, Jan LY, Stoffel M, Malenka RC, Nicoll RA (1997) G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying K+ channels (GIRKs) mediate postsynaptic but not presynaptic transmitter actions in hippocampal neurons. Neuron 19:687-695.
Lutas A, Lahmann C, Soumillon M, Yellen G (2016) The leak channel NALCN controls tonic firing and glycolytic sensitivity of substantia nigra pars reticulata neurons. Elife 5.
Mancilla JG, Manis PB (2009) Two distinct types of inhibition mediated by cartwheel cells in the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurophysiol 102:1287-1295.
May BJ (2000) Role of the dorsal cochlear nucleus in the sound localization behavior of cats. Hear Res 148:74-87.
Nash HA, Scott RL, Lear BC, Allada R (2002) An unusual cation channel mediates photic control of locomotion in Drosophila. Curr Biol 12:2152-2158.
Ngodup T, Romero GE, Trussell LO (2020) Identification of an inhibitory neuron subtype, the L-stellate cell of the cochlear nucleus. Elife 9.
Nimitvilai S, Lopez MF, Mulholland PJ, Woodward JJ (2017) Ethanol Dependence Abolishes Monoamine and GIRK (Kir3) Channel Inhibition of Orbitofrontal Cortex Excitability. Neuropsychopharmacology 42:1800-1812.
Oertel D, Young ED (2004) What's a cerebellar circuit doing in the auditory system? Trends Neurosci 27:104-110.
Owen SF, Tuncdemir SN, Bader PL, Tirko NN, Fishell G, Tsien RW (2013) Oxytocin enhances hippocampal spike transmission by modulating fast-spiking interneurons. Nature 500:458-462.
Paladini CA, Williams JT (2004) Noradrenergic inhibition of midbrain dopamine neurons. J Neurosci 24:4568-4575.
Philippart F, Khaliq ZM (2018) G(i/o) protein-coupled receptors in dopamine neurons inhibit the sodium leak channel NALCN. Elife 7.
Ren D (2011) Sodium leak channels in neuronal excitability and rhythmic behaviors. Neuron 72:899-911.
Roberts MT, Trussell LO (2010) Molecular layer inhibitory interneurons provide feedforward and lateral inhibition in the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurophysiol 104:2462-2473.
Rothman JS, Silver RA (2018) NeuroMatic: An Integrated Open-Source Software Toolkit for Acquisition, Analysis and Simulation of Electrophysiological Data. Front Neuroinform 12:14.
Shi Y, Abe C, Holloway BB, Shu S, Kumar NN, Weaver JL, Sen J, Perez-Reyes E, Stornetta RL, Guyenet PG, Bayliss DA (2016) Nalcn Is a "Leak" Sodium Channel

That Regulates Excitability of Brainstem Chemosensory Neurons and Breathing. J Neurosci 36:8174-8187.
Tang ZQ, Trussell LO (2015) Serotonergic regulation of excitability of principal cells of the dorsal cochlear nucleus. J Neurosci 35:4540-4551.
Tang ZQ, Trussell LO (2017) Serotonergic Modulation of Sensory Representation in a Central Multisensory Circuit Is Pathway Specific. Cell reports 20:1844-1854.
Tirko NN, Eyring KW, Carcea I, Mitre M, Chao MV, Froemke RC, Tsien RW (2018) Oxytocin Transforms Firing Mode of CA2 Hippocampal Neurons. Neuron 100:593-608 e593.
Trussell LO (2019) In Vitro Studies of Neuromodulation and Plasticity in the Dorsal Cochlear Nucleus. . In: Oxford Handbook of the Auditory System. (Kandler K, ed), pp 123-143. Oxford: Oxford Univ Press.
Trussell LO, Oertel D (2018) Microcircuits of the Dorsal Cochlear Nucleus. The Mammalian Auditory Pathways: Synaptic Organization and Microcircuits 65.
Williams JT, Henderson G, North RA (1985) Characterization of alpha 2-adrenoceptors which increase potassium conductance in rat locus coeruleus neurones. Neuroscience 14:95-101.
Xie J, Ke M, Xu L, Lin S, Huang J, Zhang J, Yang F, Wu J, Yan Z (2020) Structure of the human sodium leak channel NALCN in complex with FAM155A. Nat Commun 11:5831.
Xie L, Gao S, Alcaire SM, Aoyagi K, Wang Y, Griffin JK, Stagljar I, Nagamatsu S, Zhen M (2013) NLF-1 delivers a sodium leak channel to regulate neuronal excitability and modulate rhythmic locomotion. Neuron 77:1069-1082.
Zeilhofer HU, Studler B, Arabadzisz D, Schweizer C, Ahmadi S, Layh B, Bosl MR, Fritschy JM (2005) Glycinergic neurons expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein in bacterial artificial chromosome transgenic mice. J Comp Neurol 482:123-141.

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Spontaneous firing is absent in NALCN KO mice. A, Representative cell-attached recording from a spontaneously spiking CWC in control. NA (10 μM) applied to the bath in the middle trace, and washout on the right-most trace. B, Spontaneous spike rate in control, NA and washout, showing that NA eliminated spontaneous spiking in CWCs (n=6 cells). C, Representative trace showing absence of spontaneous firing in a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. NA had no effect on firing. D, Summary of spontaneous firing and lack of NA effect in CWC from NALCN KO mice (n = 5 cells).

Figure 2

NALCN is required for NA-mediated shift in rheobase. A1, Profile of voltage responses to current steps. Simple and complex spikes evoked by +200 pA. A2, Raster plot of spike timings during different level of current injection. B1, B2, same cell as in A but in presence of 10 μM NA (indicated by red traces and markers). C, D as in A, B but for recordings from a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. E, Spike rate calculated from data in A2 and B2 plotted as function of current level. F, Data from E normalized to peak firing rate. G, Spike rate calculated from data in C2 and D2 plotted as function of current level. H, Data from G normalized to peak firing rate. I, Summary data (mean ± SEM) for ratio of change in rheobase of CWC from WT and KO mice with 10 μM NA, NA + idazoxan, and hyperpolarization conditions. Significance: * <0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001. Dashed line in A1, C1, D1 indicates zero mV.

Figure 3

NA evoked a Ba^{2+}-resistant outward current that required NALCN. A, Outward current in response to NA puff (100 μM, 50 ms) in whole-cell voltage-clamp mode (-70 mV) before (black) and after (red) block of GIRK channels by bath application of 100 μM Ba^{2+}. B, As in A, but from a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. C, Average data showing responses to NA puff in control conditions and after the block of GIRK channels from control (n =5) and NALCN KO mice (n= 6). In the KO, the NA response was markedly reduced, and was Ba^{2+} sensitive. Dashed line indicates initial current level.

Figure 4

$GABA_B$ receptors activate outward current mediated by NALCN and GIRK channels. A, Traces from one neuron showing baclofen puff (100 μM, 50 ms) evoked outward current in control solution (black) and with 100 μM Ba^{2+} in bath (red). B, As in A but for a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. C, Averaged data showing Ba^{2+} sensitivity of the NA response in WT and KO tissue. Ba^{2+} produced a significant block in all cases, while KO CWC showed significantly smaller baclofen responses. D, the degree of block by Ba^{2+} was significantly greater in KO mice, indicating that more of the baclofen response is mediated by GIRK channels after KO of NALCN. Dashed line indicates initial current level.

Figure 5

NALCN current evoked by Ca^{2+} reduction is inhibited by α2 receptors. A, Shifting bath Ca^{2+} from 2 mM to 0.1 mM evokes a slow inward current that is then rapidly reduced by subsequent wash-in of 10 μM NA. B, Group data showing the magnitude of inward current shift in 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} (black) and the significantly smaller shift in 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} plus NA (blue). N= 18 cells. C, Experiment as in A but for a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. D, As in B, but for CWC from KO tissue. N=6 cells. E, Experiment as in A but in continuous presence of 1 μM idazoxan. NA failed to block the low-Ca^{2+} evoked current. F, The magnitude of inward current blocked by NA was significantly greater in WT as compared to KO cells. All neurons voltage clamped to -70 mV. Statistical significance: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Extracellular solution contained TTX, NBQX, MK-801, strychnine, SR95331, apamin. Dashed line indicates initial current level.

Figure 6

NALCN current evoked by Ca^{2+} reduction is inhibited by $GABA_B$ receptors. A, Shifting bath Ca^{2+} from 2 mM to 0.1 mM evokes a slow inward current that is then rapidly reduced by subsequent wash-in of 10 μM baclofen. B, Experiment as in A but for a CWC from a NALCN KO mouse. C, Group data showing the magnitude of inward current shift in 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} (black) and the significantly smaller shift in 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} plus baclofen (red). N= 18 cells. D, As in C, but for CWC from KO tissue. N=6 cells. E, The magnitude of inward current blocked by baclofen was significantly greater in WT as compared to KO cells. All neurons voltage clamped to -70 mV. Statistical significance: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Extracellular solution contains TTX, NBQX, MK-801, strychnine, SR95331, apamin. Dashed line indicates initial current level.

Figure 7

Baclofen and NA act on the same population of NALCN channels. A, Representative example of NALCN current evoked by shift from 2 mM Ca^{2+} to 0.1 mM Ca^{2+}, followed by bath application of baclofen (20 μM) and subsequent application of NA (10 μM) with baclofen still present. In the presence of baclofen, the rapid decline in inward current normally evoked by NA was absent. B, Summary plot of NALCN current amplitude evoked by 0.1 mM Ca^{2+} and after baclofen and subsequent NA application (WT, N = 9). NA failed to produce a significant change after baclofen application. C, Percentage block of 0.1 Ca^{2+} current in baclofen, or NA alone, compared to the block of current by NA in a background of baclofen. Baclofen completely occluded response to subsequent application of NA. Statistical significance, *** $p < 0.001$. Dashed line indicates initial current level.

Figure 8

NA enhances CWC-mediated IPSCs in WT but not NALCN CWC KO. A, Example average trace of evoked IPSCs recorded from a CWC with a CsCl pipette fill, before (black) and after (blue) bath application of 10 μM NA. B, Diary plot of effect of NA application (applied during black bar) of the IPSCs amplitude in cell A. C, Example average trace of evoked IPSCs recorded from a CWC as in A, but from a NALCN KO mouse. Data shown before (black) and after (blue) bath application of 10 μM NA. D, Diary plot of effect of NA application in C. E, Summary plot of percent change of IPSCs amplitude after NA application in WT and KO mice. (WT, N = 8; KO, N = 10)


A
Control
NA
Wash
Cell-attached
50 pA
5 s
B
***
Freq (Hz)
30
20
10
0
Ctrl
NA
Wash
C
NALCN KO
D
Freq (Hz)
30
20
10
0
KO
NA
Wash

Figure 1


A1
WT
B1
WT
+NA
C1
KO
D1
KO
+NA
20 mV
200 ms
-70 mV
+ 200 pA
- 200 pA
A2
B2
C2
D2
current injection (pA)
200 ms
E
F
G
H
Spikes/s
Norm. frequency
current injection (pA)
WT
WT + NA
KO
KO + NA
I
J
K
Ctrl
L-NA + Idazoxan
Ratio of change in rheobase
WT - NA
KO - NA
WT - Idazoxan NA
WT - Hyperpol

Figure 2


A
WT
5 pA
5 s
NA puff
B
KO
C
****
ns
*
NA puff response (pA)
20
15
10
5
0
WT
+ BaCl2
KO
+ BaCl2

Figure 3


A
baclofen
WT
10 pA
5 s
B
KO
baclofen
C
Baclofen puff response (pA)
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
***
**
***
**
WT
BaCl2
KO
BaCl2
D
% block
100
80
60
40
20
0
****
WT
KO

Figure 4


A
WT
NA
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
50 pA
2 min
B
***
current (pA)
0
-50
-100
-150
-200
2Ca2+
0.1Ca2+
NA
C
NALCN KO
NA
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
50 pA
2 min
D
*
current (pA)
0
-50
-100
-150
-200
2Ca2+
0.1Ca2+
NA
E
WT
Idazoxan (1μM)
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
100 pA
5 min
NA
F
**
INA sensitive (pA)
100
80
60
40
20
0
WT
KO

Figure 5


A
Wild-type
Baclofen
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
20 pA
2 min
B
NALCN KO
Baclofen
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
C
***
100
0
-100
-200
current (pA)
2Ca2+ 0.1Ca2+ Baclofen
D
ns
2Ca2+ 0.1Ca2+ Baclofen
E
***
120
80
40
0
IBaclofen sensitive (pA)
Ctrl
KO

Figure 6


A
NA
Baclofen
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
50 pA
2 min
B
***
***
ns
0
-100
-200
-300
current (pA)
2 Ca2+
0.1 Ca2+
Baclofen
NA
C
100
75
50
25
0
%block of 0.1 Ca2+ current
****
ns
****
Baclofen
NA
Additional NA block in presence of baclofen

Figure 7


A
WT
1 nA
20 ms
B
NA
IPSCs amp (nA)
Time (min)
C
KO
D
NA
IPSCs amp (nA)
Time (min)
E
***
% change in IPSC amp.
WT
KO

Figure 8



Figure 1-figure supplement 1

NALCN KO mice show no difference in auditory brainstem response (ABR) from wildtype (WT). A. Example traces of WT (left) and NALCN KO (right: red) ABR responses to 8 KHz pure tones presented at different sound intensities. Arrows indicate ABR wave I threshold. B. ABR thresholds for WT and NALCN KO mice were similar for various pure tones tested for mouse hearing ($p > 0.95$ for all frequencies, ANOVA/Sidak's multiple comparison test). C. Example ABR trace from WT (black) and NALCN KO (red) in response to 8 KHz pure tone at 80 dB SPL. D-H, Maximum wave I and II amplitude (D, F) and latencies (E, H) to 8 KHz tone stimulation presented at 80 dB SPL were not different between WT (N = 4) and NALCN KO animals (N = 4).



Figure 1-figure supplement 2
Biocytin-filled CWCs from NALCN KO mice. A, B, Two examples of CWC that were labeled with biocytin imaged using confocal microscopy. Characteristic of CWC, spiny dendrites extend up to the ependymal layer while a fine axon ramifies within the molecular and cell body layers of the DCN.



Figure 2-figure supplement 1
NA effect on rheobase and spiking is voltage dependent. A, Profile of voltage responses to current steps. Simple and complex spikes evoked in response to depolarizing current steps. B. Raster plot of spike timings during different level of current injection. C, D same cell as in B but membrane hyperpolarized by 2 mV (see dashed line). E, Normalized spike rate plotted as function of current level of cell A and C. F, Average data for spike rate from ctrl and bias current injected conditions. Hyperpolarizing current injection show increase in rheobase and suppression of evoked firing (rheobase ctrl = 70.88 ± 7.68 pA, hyperpolarized = 125 ± 12.90 pA, N = 6, p = 0.0009).