Age-Related Balance Problems in Mice Are Sharpened by the Loss of Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP) and a Vestibular Challenge.

Shorter title: Age-Related Imbalance sharpened by CGRP's loss and vestibular challenge

Shafaqat M. Rahman[1], Catherine Hauser[1], Anne E. Luebke[1,2*]

[1] Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, United States of America.

[2] Department of Neuroscience, Del Monte Institute of Neuroscience, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, United States of America.

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: aluebke@ur.rochester.edu

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by NIH R01-DC017261.

Abstract

Aging impacts the vestibular system and contributes to imbalance. In fact, in the elderly balance deficits often precede changes in cognition. However, imbalance research is limited in assessing aging mouse models that are deficient in neuromodulators like Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide (CGRP). We studied the loss of CGRP and its effects in the aging mouse, namely its effect on both static and dynamic imbalances. In addition, postural sway and rotarod testing were performed before and after a vestibular challenge (VC) in the 129S wildtype and the αCGRP (-/-) null mice. Four age groups were tested that correspond to young adulthood, late adulthood, middle age, and senescence in humans. Our results suggest wildtype mice experience a decline in rotarod ability with increased age, while the αCGRP (-/-) null mice perform poorly on rotarod early in life and do not improve. Our postural sway study suggests that a vestibular challenge can lead to significantly reduced CoP ellipse areas (freezing behaviors) in older mice, and this change occurs earlier in the αCGRP (-/-) null mouse. These results indicate that αCGRP is an important component of static and dynamic balance; and that the loss of αCGRP can contribute to balance complications that may compound with aging.

Introduction

Aging impacts the vestibular system, and contributes to balance problems and an increased risk of falls; for a recent review see [1]. It is estimated that 35% of adults aged 40 and over will experience some form of vestibular impairment, and 85% of people aged 60 and over will experience indications of balance dysfunction [2]. Balance dysfunction causes a nearly 3-fold increase in the odds of falling, and this clinical outcome is highly prevalent in the elderly, affecting 1 in 3 adults aged over 65 per year [3, 4]. In fact, Leach et al. found a linear relationship between day-to-day variability in postural sway and cognitive status in older adults [5], highlighting the deficits that can occur with aging [6-10].

Current knowledge of aging's effects on human gait and postural control provides context for preclinical researchers to study vestibular dysfunction and aging in mouse models. Mice with loss of calcitonin gene-related peptide (αCGRP) show notable changes in vestibular sensory processing and behavior. The αCGRP (-/-) null mice exhibit a 50% gain reduction in the vestibulo-ocular reflex, shorter vestibular sensory-evoked potentials, and deficits in rotarod ability [11, 12]. Yet, it is unclear if αCGRP loss compounds with aging effects during static and dynamic balance conditions. We hypothesized that αCGRP (-/-) null mice would exhibit severe imbalance phenotypes as a function of aging compared to WT and that a vestibular challenge would aggravate these phenotypes.

We assessed mouse postural sway and rotarod ability on a modified dowel as surrogate behaviors for static and dynamic imbalance in the αCGRP (-/-) null mice and their wild type complement. Mice were studied across four age groups: young adulthood (2.3 to 6 months), late adulthood (6 to 10 months), middle age (10 to 15 months) and near senescence (15 to 18 months). These age groups correspond to 20 to 30 years, 30 to 40 years, 40-50 years, and 50-70 years in humans [13]. In this study, two different vestibular challenges (orbital or circular rotation) were used to perturb mouse behavior during static and dynamic conditions.

Materials and methods

Animals

The αCGRP (-/-) null and WT transgenic mice were produced and characterized on a pure 129SvEv background obtained from Emeson laboratory [14]. Mice were shipped to the Luebke laboratory as heterozygous (+/-) and genotyped using previously established protocols. The αCGRP heterozygous (+/-) were bred to generate homozygous αCGRP (-/-) null and WT (+/+) offspring used in these studies. The αCGRP (-/-) null strain has a targeted deletion of αCGRP due to tissue-specific alternative splicing of the calcitonin/αCGRP gene [14]. Mice were housed under a 12 to 12 day/night cycle at the University of Rochester under the care of the University Committee on Animal Resources (UCAR). A total of 231 mice - 106 wild type (45M/61F) and 125 αCGRP null (59M/66F) - were used for these studies. A table is provided to indicate the number of mice used across the factors of sex, age, and strain, with many but not all mice repeatedly tested as they aged (**Table 1**). Mice were equilibrated in the test room controlled for an ambient temperature between 22-23 ° C for at least 30 minutes prior to testing and remained in this room until the experiment was completed.

Vestibular Challenge

Orbital Rotation

During postural sway testing, mice experienced a vestibular challenge (VC) in the form of a steady, orbital rotation at 125 rpm for five minutes (orbital radius = 2 cm). The mice were placed in an acrylic box attached to an orbital shaker's surface during rotation, and the mouse's head is fixed in one direction and does not rotate with the axis.

Circular Rotation

During rotarod testing, mice were challenged with circular rotation as the VC. Mice were rotated at 125 rpm at a distance of 7.0 cm from the rotational axis. The mouse's head is fixed perpendicular to the axis of rotation. This VC is more severe than orbital rotation due to the increased orbital radius requiring the device to transverse a larger circumference to maintain the same rpm, and

mice commonly exhibit a transient (1-2 second) body tremor after experiencing the circular rotation that is not seen with the orbital rotation. This VC is only performed for 30 seconds.

Rotarod testing for dynamic balance assessment

Dynamic balance was assessed with a rotarod (Columbus Instruments) conFig ured with a rat dowel (radius = 3.5 cm). The larger-sized rat dowel was incorporated to facilitate walking and minimize gripping and trapezing behaviors. The rotarod is designed to test four mice simultaneously, and mice were tasked to maintain balance on the rat dowel rotating from 5 to 44 rpm at an acceleration step of 2.4 rpm every 4 seconds. Latency to fall (LTF) is measured when mice fall from the dowel. Two days of rotarod testing were performed. The first day was a training day where mice were tested for 6 to 8 trials. On the second day, mice were briefly trained for 4 trials and were then given a 15-minute rest. After the rest, mice were tested for 3 trials (pre-VC) and were then stimulated with circular rotation as the vestibular challenge (see *Vestibular Challenge – Circular Rotation* for methods). Mice were then immediately tested for 3 trials on the rotarod after the challenge (post-VC). Approximately 10-30 seconds pass in between subsequent trials during pre-VC and post-VC tests. A schematic of the rotarod methods is shown in **Fig 1A.**

Postural Sway testing for static balance assessment

The CoP assay is used to measure mouse postural sway as a surrogate for static balance changes [15]. The mice were weighed and placed on a force plate designed to measure the forces due to postural changes in X, Y, Z, and its angular moments in the XY, YZ, and XZ directions (**Fig 2A**). We used the AMTI Biomechanics Force platform (model HEX6x6) and its corresponding AMTI automated acquisition software. An accessory plexiglass cover is placed over the force plate to prevent mice from moving off the force plate. When placed on the force plate, mice are given 2 to 5 minutes to acclimate to the novel environment and minimize their exploratory behavior. After acclimation, 10 trials of the mouse's CoP area during the pre-VC test were measured by the relative output of four vertical sensors (resolution per CoP trial = 300 samples per second). These trials were captured when the mouse showed no active exploratory behavior (e.g., grooming, standing) and its

four paws were touching the surface of the force plate. The mice were then subjected to VC (orbital rotation for 5 minutes) and were then placed back onto the platform. Five minutes after the VC, an additional 10 measurements were measured. A modified MATLAB code was used to analyze CoP data, generating a 95% confidence ellipse that enclosed 95% of the CoP trajectory values computed in a single trial.

Statistics

Analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 9.5. A 10% ROUT removal was used to remove outliers in a given mouse's test prior to calculating its average CoP. Despite this robust outlier removal, at least six different 95% ellipse areas were used to compute the average. Then, the CoP average (cm^2) per mouse were further averaged as a mean group CoP + ± SE. For rotarod, data was analyzed by first determining the MAX latency to fall (LTF) from the three trials assessed per testing condition. The MAX LTF values (s) were grouped and computed for an average maximum LTF ± SE. Repeated measure ANOVA (RM-ANOVA) and Bonferroni post-hoc analyses were the primary statistical tool. Significance was established at $p < 0.05$ for all analyzes.

Results

Aging and αCGRP loss (-/-) on rotarod

Prior to the vestibular challenge (pre-VC), mice were trained and later assessed for baseline rotarod ability. Two-way RM-ANOVAs assessed the factors αCGRP loss and aging, and corresponding F-statistics and p-values can be found in the top half of **Table 2**. Unlike WT, the αCGRP (-/-) null mice tested at early adulthood had poor rotarod ability. In **Fig 1C**, we simplified the violin plot in **Fig 1B** by averaging the MAX LTFs per age for each sex and strain. Bonferroni post hoc analyses indicates that WT males outperformed αCGRP (-/-) null males by 7.6 + 2.4 s in early adulthood ($p = 0.01$) and by 10.3 + 2.6 s ($p = 0.001$) in late adulthood. Similarly, WT females outperformed αCGRP (-/-) null in early adulthood by 7.0 + 2.3 s ($p = 0.0009$) and in late adulthood by

130 5.03 + 2.8 s (though not significant). While WT mice outperform the αCGRP (-/-) null during the first
131 10 months of age, their rotarod ability declines after 10 months and their performance resemble their
132 similarly aged αCGRP (-/-) null peers. **Fig 1C** suggests that aging can cause poor gait and dynamic
133 balance performance in otherwise healthy controls (WT). However, a mouse lacking αCGRP exhibits
134 poor dynamic balance early on in development and this balance ability does change significantly as
135 they age.

136 VC's effects on rotarod

137 Mice were challenged by a 30-second circular rotation (see methods) and were immediately
138 tested afterward on rotarod. Post-VC outcomes resembled pre-VC in either sex and strain (**Fig 1D**).
139 In females and males, WT mice outperform αCGRP (-/-) null at early and late adulthood but resemble
140 αCGRP (-/-) null performance after 10 months of age (WT vs KO (2.3 – 6 m): $p = 0.004$ for males and
141 $p < 0.001$ for females; WT vs KO (6 – 10 m): $p < 0.001$ for males and $p = 0.009$ for females) (**Fig 1E**).
142 To more clearly observe the effects of VC on an individual animal's performance, before-after plots
143 were constructed for WT male (**Fig 1F**), αCGRP (-/-) null male **(Fig 1G)**, WT female **(Fig 1H)**, and
144 αCGRP (-/-) null female **(Fig 1I)**. Separate 2-way RM-ANOVAs were used to assess VC's effects and
145 aging (top half of **Table 3**), but the VC did not appear to impact performance, except for the following,
146 particular cases: αCGRP (-/-) null males in late adulthood showed a decrease of about 4.76 + 1.50 s
147 due to the VC ($p = 0.01$) and αCGRP (-/-) null females at middle age showed a decrease of 3.99 +
148 1.52 s ($p < 0.05$) due to VC. Based on this data, the effect of a vestibular challenge in bringing out
149 aging deficits in a mouse model for dynamic imbalance is present, but is generally unclear and may
150 require further exploration.

151 Aging and αCGRP loss (-/-) on Postural Sway

152 In **Fig 2B**, each symbol indicates the average CoP for a particular animal. As similarly done for
153 rotarod, 2-way RM-ANOVAs on CoP were computed across the factors αCGRP loss and aging during
154 pre-VC and post-VC tests, and these analyses were done separately in males and females (bottom
155 half of **Table 2)**. Group average CoPs + SEM are depicted in **Fig 2D**. During the pre-VC test, we

observed a general increase in CoP area from early adulthood to middle age, but no significant differences were observed between WT and αCGRP (-/-) null during the pre-VC tests.

VC's effects on Postural Sway

Mice were assessed for postural sway as measured by center of pressure (CoP) changes after a 5-minute orbital rotation (see methods). In females only, WT exhibited larger CoP ellipses at early adulthood when than their αCGRP (-/-) null complement (t (p = 0.09)*).* This was also observed at late adulthood between WT and αCGRP (-/-) null females (p = 0.0009*)*. However, this increased CoP observation is gone as the WT females age past 10 months. From this point onward, no differences were observed between strains at middle age and senescence. Separate 2-way RM-ANOVAs assessed VC's effects and aging on CoP outcomes and are depicted in the bottom half of **Table 3**. For WT female (**Fig 2F**), post-VC CoP ellipse areas were decreased at middle age by 1.32 + 0.38 cm^2 ($p = 0.006$) and at senescence by 1.27 ± 0.51 cm^2 (t ($p = 0.07$)). A similar result was observed in WT males (**Fig 2G**), as post-VC ellipse areas were decreased at middle age by 1.34 + 0.31 cm^2 ($p < 0.001$) and at senescence by 1.27 ± 0.29 cm^2 ($p < 0.001$). Interestingly, the αCGRP (-/-) nulls showed a response to VC earlier than WT. For αCGRP (-/-) null females (**Fig 2H**), post-VC CoP ellipse areas were decreased in late adulthood by 1.12 ± 0.38 cm^2 ($p = 0.02$), at middle age by 1.27 ± 0.40 cm^2 ($p = 0.01$), and by 0.90 ± 0.30 cm^2 ($p = 0.02$). For αCGRP (-/-) null males (**Fig 2I**), post-VC CoP ellipse areas were decreased in late adulthood by 0.65 ± 0.27 cm^2 (*t (p = 0.08)*), at middle age by 1.45 ± 0.266 cm^2 ($p < 0.001$), and by 0.85 ± 0.24 cm^2 ($p = 0.006$). In addition, mice with significantly low CoP ellipse areas during the post-VC test exhibited a "freezing behavior", characterized by a lack of activity and a fixed gaze. This behavior is distinct from the usual behavior observed by these mice; however, it was not further quantified in this study.

Discussion

The vestibular system's ability to maintain balance and coordination deteriorates as a function of aging. Previous findings show aging's detrimental effects on balance control in humans and rodents can arise from changes in the vestibular endorgans and vestibular nerve [16-19], chemical

imbalances in the inner ear [20], and age-related musculoskeletal deterioration [21, 22]. Mouse models provide an opportunity to assess aging's effects on behavior when an essential neuromodulator like CGRP is removed from birth. The αCGRP (-/-) null mice are characterized with a reduced efficacy of the vestibulo-ocular reflex [11], an enhanced activation timing of primary vestibular afferents, a lack αCGRP expression in otolith organs, and a poor rotarod ability at an early age [12]. In addition to CGRP's role as an efferent neurotransmitter; CGRP is present in the vestibular nuclei and the cerebellum [23, 24], and losing CGRP from birth is hypothesized to strongly affect the upstream pathways to these structures that ultimately integrate vestibular and motor signals. Thus, we were interested in assessing aging's effects on the balance behavior of αCGRP (-/-) null mice..

Rotarod performance is a mouse surrogate for dynamic imbalance. Our results show that WT mice perform better on the rotarod than αCGRP (-/-) null mice from 2.3 to 10 months, but the difference disappears after 10 months. Our results in the WT correlate with a previous report assessing rotarod and balance beam on the aging C57BL/6 mouse tested at one, nine, and thirteen months of age [25]. In this prior study, a reduction in rotarod performance was observed at nine months and longer latencies to traverse a balance beam were measured at nine and thirteen months of age. Comparatively, the αCGRP (-/-) null mice have poor gait and dynamic balance early in life but do not experience further decline or noticeable improvement. Interestingly, CGRP(-/-) mice are hypothesized to have impaired spatial learning due to lower Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1 levels compared to WT , and thus a cognitive impairment may be one justification for an inability to do well on rotarod [26]. We did not observe a significant impact of the vestibular challenge (VC) on rotarod performance for either strain, as this lack of VC effect on rotarod was also observed in the aging C57BL/6 study. This other study's VC led to increased balance beam latencies in the C57BL/6 mice, and would be an interesting future direction for research in the αCGRP (-/-) null. It is known that the organism's vestibular system adapts quickly to changes in its environment, and mice can recover from the vestibular challenge almost immediately [25]. This quick recovery provides a rationale as to why we detected no significant impact of the vestibular challenge on max LTFs (a given mouse's best

effort on the rotarod), and highlights the adaptability of the vestibulo-muscular systems in responding to brief but intense vestibular perturbations.

In postural sway testing, we observed WT females exhibited larger CoP sway ellipses in both early and late adulthood than compared to their αCGRP (-/-) null complement and to WT and αCGRP (-/-) null males. However, this increased CoP observation in WT females is no longer present as mice age past 10 months. In response to the vestibular challenge (VC), mice start to experience a "freezing" behavior characterized by a lack of movement and a fixed gaze. This behavior is associated with significantly reduced CoP ellipse areas and typically occurs when mice are 10 months and older. Prior studies in humans have reported this freezing behavior when assessing postural control and heart rate changes to threatening stimuli [27, 28]. A stiffening strategy is observed in response to vestibular threats to one's standing posture, and involves active engagement of the lower body muscles [29].

Conclusions

We conclude that aging, vestibular challenge, and the loss of CGRP all contribute to deficits in both static and dynamic balance control. These imbalance deficits begin to appear at ~10 months in the aging mouse.

225 ## References

226 1. Wagner AR, Akinsola O, Chaudhari AMW, Bigelow KE, Merfeld DM. Measuring Vestibular
227 Contributions to Age-Related Balance Impairment: A Review. Front Neurol. 2021;12:635305. Epub
228 2021/02/27. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.635305. PubMed PMID: 33633678; PubMed Central PMCID:
229 PMCPMC7900546.

230 2. Agrawal Y, Carey JP, Della Santina CC, Schubert MC, Minor LB. Disorders of balance and
231 vestibular function in US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey,
232 2001-2004. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(10):938-44. Epub 2009/05/27. doi:
233 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.66. PubMed PMID: 19468085.

234 3. Agrawal Y, Ward BK, Minor LB. Vestibular dysfunction: prevalence, impact and need for
235 targeted treatment. J Vestib Res. 2013;23(3):113-7. Epub 2013/11/02. doi: 10.3233/VES-130498.
236 PubMed PMID: 24177344; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4069154.

237 4. Vanspauwen R. Dizziness and (Fear of) Falling in The Elderly: A Few Facts. J Int Adv Otol.
238 2018;14(1):1-2. Epub 2018/05/17. doi: 10.5152/iao.2018.0201815. PubMed PMID: 29764772;
239 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6354480.

240 5. Leach JM, Mancini M, Kaye JA, Hayes TL, Horak FB. Day-to-Day Variability of Postural Sway
241 and Its Association With Cognitive Function in Older Adults: A Pilot Study. Front Aging Neurosci.
242 2018;10:126. Epub 2018/05/22. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00126. PubMed PMID: 29780319; PubMed
243 Central PMCID: PMCPMC5945980.

244 6. Kim JW, Eom GM, Kim CS, Kim DH, Lee JH, Park BK, et al. Sex differences in the postural
245 sway characteristics of young and elderly subjects during quiet natural standing. Geriatr Gerontol Int.
246 2010;10(2):191-8. Epub 2010/01/27. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0594.2009.00582.x. PubMed PMID:
247 20100287.

248 7. Hageman PA, Leibowitz JM, Blanke D. Age and gender effects on postural control measures.
249 Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1995;76(10):961-5. Epub 1995/10/01. doi: 10.1016/s0003-9993(95)80075-1.
250 PubMed PMID: 7487439.

251 8. Baloh RW, Fife TD, Zwerling L, Socotch T, Jacobson K, Bell T, et al. Comparison of static and
252 dynamic posturography in young and older normal people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1994;42(4):405-12.
253 Epub 1994/04/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1994.tb07489.x. PubMed PMID: 8144826.
254 9. Yamamoto T, Smith CE, Suzuki Y, Kiyono K, Tanahashi T, Sakoda S, et al. Universal and
255 individual characteristics of postural sway during quiet standing in healthy young adults. Physiol Rep.
256 2015;3(3). Epub 2015/03/18. doi: 10.14814/phy2.12329. PubMed PMID: 25780094; PubMed Central
257 PMCID: PMCPMC4393163.
258 10. King MB, Judge JO, Wolfson L. Functional base of support decreases with age. J Gerontol.
259 1994;49(6):M258-63. Epub 1994/11/01. doi: 10.1093/geronj/49.6.m258. PubMed PMID: 7963278.
260 11. Luebke AE, Holt JC, Jordan PM, Wong YS, Caldwell JS, Cullen KE. Loss of alpha-calcitonin
261 gene-related peptide (alphaCGRP) reduces the efficacy of the Vestibulo-ocular Reflex (VOR). J
262 Neurosci. 2014;34(31):10453-8. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3336-13.2014. PubMed PMID: 25080603;
263 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4115147.
264 12. Jones SM, Vijayakumar S, Dow SA, Holt JC, Jordan PM, Luebke AE. Loss of α-Calcitonin
265 Gene-Related Peptide (αCGRP) Reduces Otolith Activation Timing Dynamics and Impairs Balance.
266 Front Mol Neurosci. 2018;11:289-. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00289. PubMed PMID: 30197585.
267 13. Dutta S, Sengupta P. Men and mice: Relating their ages. Life Sci. 2016;152:244-8. Epub
268 2015/11/26. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2015.10.025. PubMed PMID: 26596563.
269 14. Lu JT, Son YJ, Lee J, Jetton TL, Shiota M, Moscoso L, et al. Mice lacking alpha-calcitonin
270 gene-related peptide exhibit normal cardiovascular regulation and neuromuscular development. Mol
271 Cell Neurosci. 1999;14(2):99-120. doi: 10.1006/mcne.1999.0767. PubMed PMID: 10532808.
272 15. Hutchinson D, Ho V, Dodd M, Dawson HN, Zumwalt AC, Schmitt D, et al. Quantitative
273 measurement of postural sway in mouse models of human neurodegenerative disease.
274 Neuroscience. 2007;148(4):825-32. Epub 20070721. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.07.025.
275 PubMed PMID: 17764851; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2175386.
276 16. Johnsson LG. Degenerative changes and anomalies of the vestibular system in man.
277 Laryngoscope. 1971;81(10):1682-94. doi: 10.1288/00005537-197110000-00016. PubMed PMID:
278 4255919.

279 17. Park JJ, Tang Y, Lopez I, Ishiyama A. Age-related change in the number of neurons in the
280 human vestibular ganglion. J Comp Neurol. 2001;431(4):437-43. doi: 10.1002/1096-
281 9861(20010319)431:4<437::aid-cne1081>3.0.co;2-p. PubMed PMID: 11223813.
282 18. Alidina A, Lyon MJ. Aging rat vestibular ganglion: I. Quantitative light microscopic evaluation.
283 Am J Otolaryngol. 1990;11(3):174-81. doi: 10.1016/0196-0709(90)90034-s. PubMed PMID: 2382785.
284 19. Paplou V, Schubert NMA, Pyott SJ. Age-Related Changes in the Cochlea and Vestibule:
285 Shared Patterns and Processes. Frontiers in Neuroscience. 2021;15. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.680856.
286 20. Jiang H, Talaska AE, Schacht J, Sha SH. Oxidative imbalance in the aging inner ear.
287 Neurobiol Aging. 2007;28(10):1605-12. Epub 20060822. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2006.06.025.
288 PubMed PMID: 16920227; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2453750.
289 21. Roberts S, Colombier P, Sowman A, Mennan C, Rölfing JH, Guicheux J, et al. Ageing in the
290 musculoskeletal system. Acta Orthop. 2016;87(sup363):15-25. Epub 20161017. doi:
291 10.1080/17453674.2016.1244750. PubMed PMID: 27748151; PubMed Central PMCID:
292 PMCPMC5389428.
293 22. Hill C, James RS, Cox VM, Seebacher F, Tallis J. Age-related changes in isolated mouse
294 skeletal muscle function are dependent on sex, muscle, and contractility mode. Am J Physiol Regul
295 Integr Comp Physiol. 2020;319(3):R296-r314. Epub 20200722. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00073.2020.
296 PubMed PMID: 32697655.
297 23. Edvinsson L, Eftekhari S, Salvatore CA, Warfvinge K. Cerebellar distribution of calcitonin
298 gene-related peptide (CGRP) and its receptor components calcitonin receptor-like receptor (CLR) and
299 receptor activity modifying protein 1 (RAMP1) in rat. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience.
300 2011;46(1):333-9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2010.10.005.
301 24. Xiaocheng W, Zhaohui S, Junhui X, Lei Z, Lining F, Zuoming Z. Expression of calcitonin gene-
302 related peptide in efferent vestibular system and vestibular nucleus in rats with motion sickness. PLoS
303 One. 2012;7(10):e47308. Epub 20121009. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047308. PubMed PMID:
304 23056625; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3467246.

305 25. Tung VW, Burton TJ, Dababneh E, Quail SL, Camp AJ. Behavioral assessment of the aging
306 mouse vestibular system. J Vis Exp. 2014;(89). Epub 20140711. doi: 10.3791/51605. PubMed PMID:
307 25045963; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4214339.
308 26. Harada N, Narimatsu N, Kurihara H, Nakagata N, Okajima K. Stimulation of sensory neurons
309 improves cognitive function by promoting the hippocampal production of insulin-like growth factor-I in
310 mice. Translational Research. 2009;154(2):90-102. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2009.05.001.
311 27. Carpenter MG, Frank JS, Silcher CP. Surface height effects on postural control: a hypothesis
312 for a stiffness strategy for stance. J Vestib Res. 1999;9(4):277-86. PubMed PMID: 10472040.
313 28. Hagenaars MA, Stins JF, Roelofs K. Aversive life events enhance human freezing responses.
314 J Exp Psychol Gen. 2012;141(1):98-105. Epub 20110718. doi: 10.1037/a0024211. PubMed PMID:
315 21767043.
316 29. Lim SB, Cleworth TW, Horslen BC, Blouin JS, Inglis JT, Carpenter MG. Postural threat
317 influences vestibular-evoked muscular responses. J Neurophysiol. 2017;117(2):604-11. Epub
318 20161109. doi: 10.1152/jn.00712.2016. PubMed PMID: 27832609; PubMed Central PMCID:
319 PMCPMC5288487.

320

321


A
Vestibular Challenge (VC)
circular rotation (30 sec)
Train (day 1),
Test (day 2)
test 3x
r= 7 cm
test 3x
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
Latency to Fall (s)
(F) WT
(F) KO
(M) WT
(M) KO
pre-VC
post-VC
2.3 - 6 m, WT (M)
6 - 10 m, WT (M)
10 - 15 m, WT (M)
15 - 18 m, WT (M)
2.3 - 6 m, KO (M)
6 - 10 m, KO (M)
10 - 15 m, KO (M)
15 - 18 m, KO (M)
2.3 - 6 m, WT (F)
6 - 10 m, WT (F)
10 - 15 m, WT (F)
15 - 18 m, WT (F)
2.3 - 6 m, KO (F)
6 - 10 m, KO (F)
10 - 15 m, KO (F)
15 - 18 m, KO (F)
2.3 - 6 m
6 - 10 m
10 - 15 m
15 - 18 m

Fig 1


A
Force Platform
Vestibular Challenge (VC)
orbital rotation (5 min)
Acclimate (5 min), test 10x
-5 min
test 10x
Post- VC (5 min)
test 10x
B
C
D
E
15 - 18 m, KO (F)
10 - 15 m, KO (F)
6 - 10 m, KO (F)
2.3 - 6 m, KO (F)
15 - 18 m, WT (F)
10 - 15 m, WT (F)
6 - 10 m, WT (F)
2.3 - 6 m, WT (F)
15 - 18 m, KO (M)
10 - 15 m, KO (M)
6 - 10 m, KO (M)
2.3 - 6 m, KO (M)
15 - 18 m, WT (M)
10 - 15 m, WT (M)
6 - 10 m, WT (M)
2.3 - 6 m, WT (M)
Pre-VC
Post-VC
Center of Pressure (CoP- cm²)
CoP - cm²
t
**
2.3 - 6 m
6 - 10 m
10 - 15 m
15 - 18 m
F
G
H
I
Center of Pressure (CoP - cm²)
ns
t (p = 0.07)
t (p = 0.08)
***

Fig 2

330 ## Figure Legends

331

332 **Fig 1**: **Differences in rotarod performance due to aging, vestibular challenge (VC), and αCGRP**
333 **loss (-/-). A.** Mice were tested on rotarod configured with rat dowel (r = 3.5 cm) and were assessed
334 for 3 trials before (pre-VC) and after (post-VC) a 30-second circular rotation (r = 7 cm) across four age
335 groups. Symbols are depicted as follows*:* female (F) – orange circle, male (M) – blue square, WT –
336 closed, αCGRP (-/-) null (KO) – open. **C, E.** Individual MAX LTFs were grouped and depicted as mean
337 + SEM per age group. **B.** During pre-VC rotarod, WT(M) outperformed KO(M) by 7.6 ± 2.4 s in early
338 adulthood (*p = 0.01)* and by 10.3 ± 2.6 s (*p = 0.001*) in late adulthood. WT(F) outperformed KO(F) null
339 in early adulthood by 7.0 ± 2.3 s (*p = 0.0009*) and appeared to outperform in late adulthood by 5.03 ±
340 2.8 s, but this was not a significant. WT performs similarly to KO on rotarod after 10 months. **E**.
341 Similar differences with age were seen during post-VC rotarod. In either sex, WT outperforms KO in
342 early and late adulthood but no differences were observed after 10 months. **F-I.** Before-after plots
343 were constructed that highlight the effects of the VC on rotarod, but VC's effects were generally
344 unclear. Full list of ANOVAs and F-values can be found in top halves of **Table 2 and 3**.

345

346 **Fig 2**: **Postural sway (center of pressure – CoP) changes due to aging, vestibular challenge**
347 **(VC), and αCGRP loss (-/-). A.** AMTI Biomechanics Force platform (model HEX6x6) and its
348 corresponding AMTI automated acquisition software were used to measure 95% confidence CoP
349 ellipses (cm^2), and the experimental timeline involves an acclimation period (5 minutes) followed by
350 the experiment where 10 trials are captured before and after a 5-minute orbital rotation as the VC.
351 Symbols and color scheme are depicted like in **Fig 1** previously**. D-E.** Individual average CoPs were
352 grouped and depicted as mean + SEM per age group. **D.** During pre-VC, we observed a general
353 increase in CoP area when examining CoP progressing from early adulthood to middle age, but
354 differences were not seen between strains. **E.** For post-VC, WT (F) had larger CoPs compared to
355 KO(F) in early adulthood (*2.3 – 6 m: t (p = 0.09)*) and in late adulthood (*6 – 10 m: p = 0.009)*,
356 however, this difference is gone after 10 months. **F-G**. Middle-aged and senescent WT(F) and WT(M)

357 exhibit reduced CoP ellipses due to the VC. **H-I**. KO(F) and KO(M) exhibit reduced CoP ellipses due
358 to the VC from late adulthood to senescence, highlighting a VC sensitivity in KO that arises earlier in
359 age than their WT complement. See bottom halves of **Table 2 and 3** for F-statistics and p-values.

360

361 **Table 1**

362

Age Groups	Sex	Rotarod - Wildtype	Rotarod - αCGRPnull	Center of Pressure - Wildtype	Center of Pressure - αCGRPnull
2.3 - 6 months	M	N = 13	13	6	4
early adulthood: *20 - 30 years*	F	15	12	8	4
6 - 10 months	M	*11*	10	4	8
late adulthood*: 30 - 40 years*	F	*9*	10	8	9
10 - 15 months	M	10	11	10	8
middle age: *40 - 50 years*	F	9	11	16	8
15 - 18 months	M	9	12	11	10
senescence: *50 - 70 years*	F	8	13	9	14

363

364 **Table 2**

Assay	Biological Sex	ANOVAs F-statistics, p-val	Post-hoc WT vs KO | p-val	
Rotarod	Male	*Pre-VC* αCGRP loss: $F(1, 81) = 8.30$, $p = 0.005$ Aging: $F(3, 81) = 0.923$, $p = 0.43$	2.3 - 6 m	$p = 0.01$
			6 - 10 m	$p = 0.001$
			10 - 15 m	$p = 0.89$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
		Post-VC αCGRP loss: $F(1, 81) = 24.82$, $p < 0.00$ Aging: $F(3, 81) = 0.4429$, $p = 0.72$	2.3 - 6 m	$p = 0.004$
			6 - 10 m	$p < 0.001$
			10 - 15 m	$p > 0.99$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
	Female	*Pre-VC* αCGRP loss: $F(1, 79) = 1.94$, $p = 0.17$ Aging: $F(3, 79) = 2.37$, $p = 0.08$	2.3 - 6 m	$p = 0.0009$
			6 - 10 m	$p = 0.23$
			10 - 15 m	$p = 0.59$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
		Post-VC αCGRP loss: $F(1, 79) = 6.722$, $p = 0.01$ Aging: $F(3, 79) = 2.342$, $p = 0.08$	2.3 - 6 m	$p < 0.001$
			6 - 10 m	$p = 0.009$
			10 - 15 m	$p = 0.48$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
Postural Sway	Male	*Pre-VC* αCGRP loss: $F(1, 53) = 0.882$, $p = 0.35$ Aging: $F(3, 53) = 4.673$, $p = 0.006$	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	$p > 0.99$
			10 - 15 m	$p > 0.99$
			15 - 18 m	$p = 0.32$
		Post-VC αCGRP loss: F (1, 53) = 0.2654, p = 0.61 Aging: F (3, 53) = 0.7518, p = 0.53	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	$p > 0.99$
			10 - 15 m	$p = 0.67$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
	Female	*Pre-VC* αCGRP loss: $F(1, 68) = 0.947$, p = *0.33* Aging: $F(3, 68) = 2.508$, $p = 0.07$	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	$p = 0.35$
			10 - 15 m	$p > 0.99$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
		Post-VC αCGRP loss: $F(1, 68) = 6.031$, $p = 0.02$ Aging: $F(3, 68) = 4.847$, $p = 0.007$	2.3 - 6 m	$p = 0.09$
			6 - 10 m	$p = 0.009$
			10 - 15 m	$p > 0.99$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$

365

366

367 **Table 3**

368

Assay	Biological Sex	ANOVAs F-statistics, p-val	Post-hoc pre vs post VC | p-val	
Rotarod	Male	*Wild type* Aging: F (3, 42) = 3.756, p = 0.02 VC effect: F (1, 42) = 0.081, p = 0.78	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	$p > 0.99$
			10 - 15 m	$p > 0.99$
			15 - 18 m	$p > 0.99$
		αCGRP(-/-) null Aging: F (3, 42) = 2.632, p = 0.06 VC effect: F (1, 42) = 13.50, p < 0.001	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.01
			10 - 15 m	p = 0.11
			15 - 18 m	p > 0.99
	Female	*Wild type* Aging: F (3, 37) = 4.581, p = 0.008 VC effect: F (1, 37) = 3.418, p = 0.07	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p > 0.99
			10 - 15 m	p = 0.11
			15 - 18 m	p = 0.62
		αCGRP(-/-) null Aging: F (3, 42) = 4.583, p = 0.007 VC effect: F (1, 42) = 12.33, p = 0.001	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.24
			10 - 15 m	p < 0.05
			15 - 18 m	p = 0.20
Postural Sway	Male	*Wild type* Aging: F (3, 27) = 2.86, p = 0.06 VC effect: F (1, 27) = 27.15, p < 0.001	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.27
			10 - 15 m	p < 0.001
			15 - 18 m	p < 0.001
		αCGRP(-/-) null Aging: F (3, 26) = 2.04, p = 0.13 VC effect: F (1, 26) = 33.41, p < 0.001	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.08
			10 - 15 m	p < 0.001
			15 - 18 m	p = 0.006
	Female	*Wild type* Aging: F (3, 37) = 2.17, p = 0.11 VC effect: F (1, 37) = 1.20, p = 0.28	2.3 - 6 m	$p > 0.99$
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.33
			10 - 15 m	p = 0.006
			15 - 18 m	p = 0.07
		αCGRP(-/-) null Aging: F (3, 31) = 2.04, p = 0.13 VC effect: F (1, 31) = 23.06, p < 0.001	2.3 - 6 m	p = 0.75
			6 - 10 m	p = 0.02
			10 - 15 m	p = 0.01
			15 - 18 m	p = 0.02

369

370 ## Table Legends

371 **Table 1**: Sample sizes of 129SvEv WT and αCGRP (-/-) null mice are depicted per age group
372 for rotarod and center of pressure tests. Mice were tested in the range of 2.3 – 18 months (many were
373 repeatedly tested to conserve overall animal count) and were categorized into four different age
374 groups: early adulthood, late adulthood, middle age, and senescence. The equivalent age in humans
375 is italicized.

376 **Table 2:** Separately done in males and female mice, rotarod and postural sway data during
377 pre-vestibular challenge (VC) and post-VC tests were analyzed with two-way repeated measures
378 ANOVA to assess the factors *aging* and *αCGRP loss*. Bonferroni post hoc analyses computed the
379 difference between wildtype and αCGRP (-/-) null at each age group. F-values are listed with respect
380 to degrees of freedom (DF_n, DF_d) and p-values are listed accordingly.

381 **Table 3:** Analyzes were separately performed in males and females. To determine the impact
382 of a vestibular challenge (VC) on these balance behaviors, rotarod and postural sway data were
383 further analyzed with two-way repeated measures ANOVA to assess the factors *aging* and *VC effects*
384 in wildtype or αCGRP (-/-) null data. Bonferroni post hoc analyses computed the differences between
385 pre-VC and post-VC outcomes at each age group. F-values are listed in degrees of freedom (DF_n,
386 DF_d) and p-values are given.

387