CoPheScan: phenome-wide association studies accounting for linkage disequilibrium

Ichcha Manipur[1,2], Guillermo Reales[1,2], Jae Hoon Sul[3], Myung Kyun Shin[3], Simonne Longerich[3], Adrian Cortes[4], Chris Wallace[1,2,5]

[1]Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology & Infectious Disease (CITIID), Jeffrey Cheah Biomedical Centre, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0AW, UK
[2]Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, UK
[3]Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA
[4]Human Genetics and Genomics, GSK, Heidelberg, 69117, Germany
[5]MRC Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Abstract

Phenome-wide association studies (PheWAS) facilitate the discovery of associations between a single genetic variant with multiple phenotypes. For variants which impact a specific protein, this can help identify additional therapeutic indications or on-target side effects of intervening on that protein. However, PheWAS is restricted by an inability to distinguish confounding due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) from true pleiotropy. Here we describe CoPheScan (Coloc adapted Phenome-wide Scan), a Bayesian approach that enables an intuitive and systematic exploration of causal associations while simultaneously addressing LD confounding. We demonstrate its performance through simulation, showing considerably better control of false positive rates than a conventional approach not accounting for LD. We used CoPheScan to perform PheWAS of protein-truncating variants and fine-mapped variants from disease and pQTL studies, in 2275 disease phenotypes from the UK Biobank. Our results identify the complexity of known pleiotropic genes such as *APOE*, and suggest a new causal role for *TGM3* in skin cancer.

Main

Phenome-wide association studies (PheWAS) are an inversion of the GWAS (Genome-Wide Association Studies) paradigm, where a single genetic variant is tested against a broad range of phenotypes. Phenome scale studies are facilitated by the availability of a broad array of phenotypes linked to genomic data in large-scale biobanks. PheWAS are a promising tool in the field of pharmacogenomics as they facilitate drug repurposing efforts and identification of potential adverse effects due to their ability to detect pleiotropy [1–3]. Often, PheWAS has been paired with other approaches such as Mendelian Randomisation to identify causal effects of exposures on outcomes and network analysis to identify interactions between phenotypes [4–6].

Prevailing methods for phenome-wide testing are built upon single variant tests and do not inherently tackle the spurious associations that can arise when traits are causally associated not with the index variant, but with another variant in LD with the index variant. For instance, a PheWAS of UK Biobank phenotypes with protein-truncating variants by DeBoever et al.[7] first revealed an association between an *ANKDD1B* variant, and high cholesterol, which was found

to reflect an indirect association, through LD with an intronic variant in *HMGCR* which is known
to be associated with cholesterol levels. Thus LD confounding necessitates the use of
additional follow-up tests such as colocalisation analyses, where pairs of traits are tested for
shared causal variants within a genomic region, to isolate associations that are truly causal
[3,8].
PheWAS hits are colocalised with molecular QTLs or disease traits on which the identified
variants have a prior known effect. However, this two-step approach is not feasible for variants
with known biological effects for which summary statistics are unavailable, such as those
involved in protein truncation.
55
In this work, we introduce a Bayesian approach to PheWAS, Coloc adapted Phenome-wide
Scan, (CoPheScan), that tests phenome-scale causal associations with a set of index variants
while handling confounding due to LD at the same time. CoPheScan can exploit external
covariate data, such as the genetic correlation between phenotypes, and can be run in
different ways depending on whether accurate LD information is available and whether the
analyst is prepared to make assumptions about the number of causal variants in the tested
genomic region. We demonstrate the utility and robustness of these different approaches on
simulated datasets. We also analysed causal variants selected from three real-world sources
and tested for causal associations against 2275 phenotypes from the UK Biobank using
CoPheScan.
66

Results

Overview of CoPheScan

CoPheScan is an adaptation of the coloc [9–11] approach, for the case where a variant known
to be causal either through fine-mapping or functional studies, is subjected to a phenome-wide
scan to test for causal associations with other phenotypes/traits. Coloc considers the genetic
association patterns for two traits in a genomic region and assesses whether it is likely they
share a causal variant in that region. It is a Bayesian approach and assumes prior probabilities
for each of the five possible hypotheses (no association with either trait, association with just
one trait or the other, association with both traits and different causal SNPs, or association
with both traits at the same causal SNP) are fixed and known.
77
We consider the case where a SNP of interest is known to be causal for a phenotype which is
often the case in PheWAS, and we are interested in determining if it is also causally associated
with another phenotype (Figure 1a). We will hereafter refer to the variant of interest as the
query variant, the phenotype for which the query variant is known to be causally associated
as the primary trait and the phenotype to be tested as the query trait. In a genomic region with
Q SNPs, and under the initial assumption of a single causal variant (which we will relax later),
there are $Q+1$ possible ways or "configurations", (Supplementary Figure 1) to describe where
the single causal variant may lie, each corresponding to exactly one of three hypotheses:
H_n: No association of any variant with the query trait (one configuration)
H_a: Causal association of a variant other than the query variant with the query trait ($Q-1$
configurations)
H_c: Causal association of the query variant with the query trait (one configuration)
90

The posterior odds for each hypothesis (H) given the data (D) for the query trait with respect to the null hypothesis (H_n) is given by,

$$\frac{P(H|D)}{P(H_n|D)} = \frac{P(H)}{P(H_n)} \times \frac{P(D|H)}{P(D|H_n)} \tag{1}$$

In equation (1), the first ratio in the right-hand side is the prior odds and the second ratio is the Bayes Factor (BF). Thus, the three prior probabilities that have to be specified are: $p_n = P(H_n)$, $p_a = P(H_a)/(Q-1)$, and $p_c = P(H_c)$, subject to the constraint that $p_n + (Q-1)p_a + p_c = 1$. Beyond the difference in the hypothesis space described above, CoPheScan differs from coloc in two further ways. First, because we have reduced the hypothesis space, we can examine many variants simultaneously, allowing us to learn the priors from the data in a hierarchical Bayesian manner with Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling (Supplementary methods). In contrast, coloc assumes priors are fixed and known, which is a weakness because inference must rely on the investigators' judgement on prior probabilities of colocalisation. Second, because we are using this hierarchical approach, we can exploit additional external information about the variants and/or the traits in the form of covariates which can be included when learning the priors. This allows the priors to vary depending on the query trait/query variant pairs being considered. Here, we include the genetic correlation (r_g) between the primary trait and each query trait tested (see Supplementary Methods).

The restriction to a single causal variant allows us to count the possible configurations ($Q+1$), and if the assumption is deemed valid, CoPheScan can be run directly on summary GWAS data using Wakefield's method[12], to compute approximate Bayes factors summarising the relative support for a model where the SNP is associated with a trait compared to the null model of no association. However, this assumption is not broadly valid, and an alternative is to use the Sum of Single Effects (SuSiE) Bayesian fine mapping regression framework[13,14] to partition the evidence into configurations corresponding to each of multiple possible causal variants and use these in a similar manner to allowing for multiple causal variants in coloc[10]. The SuSiE approach works best with either raw genotype data or summary GWAS data when in-sample LD information is available[15].

Hence, CoPheScan has the flexibility to be run in several ways (Figure 1b) depending on: (i) the assumption about the number of causal variants, (ii) the specification of either fixed or hierarchical priors, and (iii) the inclusion/exclusion of covariates if the hierarchical model is used to infer priors. A detailed description of the CoPheScan method is available in the Supplementary methods. A summary of the simulated data, variant and phenotype sources used for the analysis with the real data can be found in (Figure 1c), while a detailed description is provided in the Methods.

Figure 1: Introduction and evaluation of the CoPheScan method.

(**a**) CoPheScan methodology: Hypotheses with illustrations of the configurations of genetic variants within the genomic region and corresponding priors. (**b**) Schematic of the CoPheScan workflow. The inputs are GWAS summary statistics from multiple traits and the position of the query variant. Computation of the posterior probabilities of the three hypotheses is performed with priors and Bayes factors computed using different CoPheScan approaches. (**c**) Study design for evaluation: Simulated data - Generated using SimGWAS and all CoPheScan approaches were run on this set. Real data - Phenotypes tested were obtained from UK Biobank and variants from fine-mapping FinnGen and a proteome dataset[16]. Hierarchical priors and SuSIE BF were used on the real data to identify SNP-disease associations. (QV - query variant, QT - query trait)

Simulations show CoPheScan is more accurate than a standard method which does not account for LD confounding

We simulated regional GWAS summary data for traits with either zero, one or two causal variants (Methods) such that they corresponded to the three CoPheScan hypotheses. We also allowed the probability of Hc to vary according to a simulated genetic covariance between primary and query traits and considered whether including this information in the analysis increased inferential accuracy. We analysed the same data in parallel using a conventional PheWAS approach of testing each of the set of query SNPs for association, controlling either the FDR or the family-wise error rate via Bonferroni correction. We compared these to the results from CoPheScan, using a hierarchical model (with and without the covariate data) or fixed priors chosen as described in the Supplementary methods which broadly matched the proportion of Hn, Ha, and Hc in the sample.

First, we considered the appropriate threshold on the posterior probability of Hc, ppHc, to call an association. We estimated the FDR internally, as 1-mean(ppHc) | ppHc > t for different values of threshold t (Supplementary Figure 4). We found that ppHc > 0.6 maintained an FDR < 0.05 across all analyses of simulated data. Using this threshold, CoPheScan appeared less sensitive to the presence of a single causal variant (true Hc) than the conventional BH approach but more sensitive than the Bonferroni approach (Figure 2). CoPheScan demonstrated control of the FDR (0.026-0.039) estimated as the proportion of significant calls that were truly Hn or Ha , traits where the query variant was not causal, for the different CoPheScan approaches compared to 0.219 and 0.308 for the conventional BH and Bonferroni approaches respectively, (Supplementary Table 1). The majority of the false positives obtained from these conventional approaches were true Ha but called as associated due to LD confounding. All CoPheScan approaches performed well in the case of a single causal variant, but when there were two causal variants (True Hc2), using SuSIE resulted in approximately 30% higher sensitivity to correct Hc predictions than the ABF approach (Figure 2). This was balanced against marginally lower (<0.5%) sensitivity to Hc with SuSiE when traits truly had only a single causal variant (True Hc) when compared to the CoPheScan approaches that assumed a single causal variant.

Figure 2: Results for hypotheses discrimination in simulated data.

We called a single result for each simulated trait as described in Methods. The x axis shows the percentage of hypothesis calls using the different approaches shown on the y axis. For CoPheScan (top 6 rows), the three labelled columns on the y-axis, from right to left, indicate the type of priors used, the method used to calculate Bayes factors, and whether or not genetic correlation (rWe called a single result for each simulated trait as described in Methods. The x axis shows the percentage of hypothesis calls using the different approaches shown on the y axis. For CoPheScan (top 6 rows), the three labelled columns on the y-axis, from right to left, indicate the type of priors used, the method used to calculate Bayes factors, and whether or not genetic correlation (r_g) was used. The last two rows show conventional approaches controlling the FDR (BH - Benjamini-Hochberg) or the FWER (Bonf - Bonferroni) at 0.05. The top bar shows an illustration of the configuration of SNPs in the genomic region corresponding to the different simulated traits (Methods), with the queried variant at position 1 and causally associated (non-associated) variants indicated by filled (open) circles. [True Hn: no causal variant, True Ha/Ha2: one/two causal non-query variants, True Hc: causal query variant, True Hc2: causal query variant and one causal non-query variant].

Although the effect of including covariate information was minor overall, Figure 3a shows that it
had a substantial effect in a minority of cases, bringing ppHc from below to above 0.6 in 2.79%
of true Hc and Hc2 cases (80/2867), although also in 0.088% of true Hn and 0.011% of true Ha
and Ha2.
Finally, these initial simulations showed that the hierarchical model recovered very similar
results to the fixed prior model, where we chose our fixed prior values to broadly match the
simulation scenarios, i.e., an optimal scenario. This offers reassurance that the hierarchical
model can perform just as well as a method that "knows" the correct prior values. However, in
real data, we will not know the true proportion of Hn, Hc, or Ha in our data, so we explored the
robustness of both approaches to variations in these proportions. We found that using over-

optimistic fixed priors, i.e. when the prior probability for Hc (P(Hc)=0.091) exceeded the proportion of Hc in our data, led to dramatically high FDR, whilst the hierarchical model correctly adapted to the different datasets so that the FDR was controlled except at the very lowest true proportions of Hc (Figure 3b).

Figure 3: Effects of covariate inclusion and varying proportions of simulated hypotheses.

(**a**) Comparison of the posterior probability of Hc (ppHc) obtained with (y axis) and without (x axis) the inclusion of genetic correlation (r_g) in the hierarchical model (using ABF). The panels represent the traits of different simulated hypotheses (Methods). (**b**) The proportion of Hc traits was varied as shown in the x axis (dotted vertical lines), to compare Hc predictions using the fixed and hierarchical priors with different BF, both with and without the inclusion of the genetic correlation (r_g) covariate. The y axis represents the estimated FDR - the proportion of traits assigned as Hc in each dataset which were simulated as Hn or Ha with 95% confidence intervals (dashed line - 0.05 FDR).

Using genetic correlation as a covariate increases detection of associations with disease-causal variants

We explored the performance of CoPheScan (Supplementary Figure 5) using a variety of causal variants sets to perform PheWAS in three sets of query variants in up to 2275 query traits (Supplementary Figures 6 and 7) from the UK Biobank summary data provided by the Neale Lab (http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank/). First, 136 disease-causal variants were identified as single variant credible sets in fine mapping data from FinnGen disease endpoints (primary traits, https://www.finngen.fi/en/access_results). We identified causal associations in UKBB at 43 (31.62%) of these, predominantly amongst query traits identical or related to the primary trait. Out of 101 unique query-variant-primary trait pairs with exact query variant-query trait matched pairs in UKBB, 32 were found to be Hc (Supplementary Figure 7), and 65 Hn due to a lack of power in UKBB (p-value> 10^{-5}). Four cases were called Ha, and in these the UKBB p value was small, but the fine mapping produced different results in UKBB and FinnGen (Supplementary Figure 8).

Genetic correlation information (r_g) for only 1582 out of the 2275 traits used in analysis without r_g was available. r_g values between the 1582 query traits and 69 UKBB traits which were matched with the FinnGen primary traits were used as a covariate (130697 query trait-query variant pairs tested). Including r_g in the hierarchical model made a larger difference here than in the simulated data, perhaps reflecting a stronger effect than we anticipated in our simulations. Overall, ppHc values for traits with higher r_g with the primary traits increased and, conversely, decreased for traits with lower (negative) r_g (Figure 4). Incorporating the r_g resulted in the identification of 19 additional associations (Supplementary Table 8). For example, the variants rs3217893_C>T and rs2476601_A>G, fine-mapped for type 2 diabetes and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in FinnGen respectively, were found to have associations with medications gliclazide, which is a sulfonylurea used in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes, and steroid prednisolone which can be used to treat RA, only when the genetic correlation information was included.

Figure 4: Genetic correlation detects additional phenotypes

Hierarchical models of the FinnGen/UKBB dataset with/without genetic correlation (r_g). The posterior probability of Hc (ppHc) of traits with and without the inclusion of genetic correlation (r_g) are shown on the y and x axes respectively. The arrows represent the traits which show a difference of > 0.1 ppHc after inclusion of r_g (compared to the model without) and also have a ppHc > 0.6. The traits are coloured to represent their r_g with the primary trait.

Query variants were often associated with multiple UKBB traits (median 5) that reflected
related diseases and medications (Supplementary Table 8). For instance, rs11591147_G>T,
a missense variant of *PCSK9*, identified as a disease-causal variant in FinnGen for statin
medication was found associated with the UKBB traits related to different statin medications
along with several cardiovascular traits. Less commonly, we found evidence for causal
association of variants to seemingly unrelated traits. For example, rs9349379_A>G, an intron
variant and eQTL for *PHACTR1*, identified by fine-mapping the FinnGen primary trait - triptan,
which is a medication used to manage migraine, was found to be associated with several
UKBB traits related to migraine such as the phenotype itself, migraine medications such as
sumatriptan, ibuprofen and paracetamol and also the presence of family history. However, we
also found associations with angina, myocardial infarction and ischaemic heart disease, with
the migraine-protective allele acting as a risk factor for cardiovascular traits. This matches
results from a Mendelian randomisation study of migraine and cardiovascular disease[17] but is
in contrast to observational studies where migraine is considered positively associated with
cardiovascular traits[18]. Such discrepancies between genetic and observational studies in other
traits have often been resolved in favour of the genetic result, through the identification of
some confounding factor which led the observational studies to report inverse relationships,
and it has been suggested that certain non-triptan migraine therapies might act to increase
cardiovascular risk[17]. However, this pleiotropy did not appear at another migraine-identified

variant, rs11172113_T>C, an intronic variant of *LRP1*, which was fine-mapped for the same
FinnGen primary trait of migraine, and found to be independently associated with several
migraine-related phenotypes in UKBB but not with any of the cardiovascular traits (Figure 5).
253

Figure 5: Causal associations of Migraine related variants

Hc associations (ppHc > 0.6) of the *PHACTR1* variant rs9349379_A>G and rs11172113_T>C, a *LRP1* variant. The direction of effect (beta) is shown with respect to the G and C allele respectively.

254
Other examples of pleiotropic variants include rs2476601, a non-synonymous variant in
PTPN22 which we found to be causally associated with multiple autoimmune diseases and
their treatments as well as skin cancer, with the autoimmune-protective allele increasing risk
of cancer (Supplementary Figure 9). We also found a complex set of associations with two
variants in *APOE, rs*429358 and rs7412 that jointly define the three major structural isoforms
of APOE[19], ε4, ε3 and ε2 (Supplementary Figure 10). ε2 represents the TT haplotype
corresponding to the rs429358 and rs7412 variants, ε3 is represented by TC and ε4 by the
CC haplotype[20]. We found associations with increased risk of Alzheimer's disease, statin
medication, angina and ischemic heart disease with the ε4 allele with reference to the ε2/ε3
genotype. We also found a protective effect of ε4 compared to ε2/ε3 on traits related to a
family history of diabetes and blood pressure which correspond to similar traits found in
FinnGen as well as a protective effect of ε3/ε4 compared to ε2 for deep venous thrombosis
might be related to the ε3/ε4 genotype with reference to ε2 and might indicate the ε2 allele.
These findings align with previous studies on disease associations with different APOE
genotypes[21] and highlight the ability of SuSiE to map traits to distinct alleles in LD.

Individual variant analyses

CoPheScan can also be used to study single variants if sensible prior values can be supplied. We considered exemplar non-synonymous variants in two genes, *TYK2* with established allelic heterogeneity and associations to multiple immune-mediated diseases, and *SLC39A8,* with established pleiotropic function. We ran CoPheScan with SuSiE BF and priors inferred from the disease-causal variant analysis above ($p_a \approx$ 3.82e-5 and $p_c \approx$ 1.82e-3), considering as query traits 2275 UKBB and 56 additional traits potentially related to either gene from the GWAS catalog (Supplementary Table 3).

TYK2 which encodes the tyrosine kinase 2 enzyme has multiple missense variants that have been associated with a range of immune-mediated diseases (Supplementary Table 11). We considered four: rs35018800_G>A (MAF: 0.0082), rs34536443_G>C (MAF: 0.0465), rs12720356_A>C (MAF: 0.0979), and rs55882956_G>A (MAF: 0.0017). rs35018800_G>A and rs55882956_G>A with the lowest MAF showed no association with any trait. rs34536443_G>C was associated with 3 UKBB and 5 GWAS catalog traits, all immune-related and previously established associations, including psoriasis, RA, JIA (Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis), Type 1 DM, and hypothyroidism. The variant rs12720356_A>C was associated with ulcerative colitis, psoriasis, Crohn's disease, SLE (Systemic Lupus Erythematosus) and RA traits from the GWAS catalog, but not with any of the UKBB traits (Figure 6).

Figure 6: CoPheScan analysis of a gene with allelic heterogeneity: *TYK2*

Plots showing Hc associations (ppHc > 0.6) of *TYK2* variants rs34536443_G>C and rs12720356_A>C. The direction of beta is shown with respect to the ALT allele (C in both cases). T1D = Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus, JIA = Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis, PSO = Psoriasis, RA = Rheumatoid Arthritis, SLE = Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, CD = Crohn's Disease, UC = Ulcerative Colitis

The highly pleiotropic variant, rs13107325_C>T, of *SLC39A8* (solute-carrier family gene which encodes the ZIP8 protein), was associated with 14 UKBB and 3 GWAS catalog phenotypes, replicating several known associations[22] with hypertension, schizophrenia, Crohn's disease, urinary incontinence, musculoskeletal system-related traits such as osteoarthritis and traits related to alcohol dependence.

We used this region to perform a sensitivity analysis, selecting four variants - rs6855246, rs35225200, rs35518360, rs13135092, in LD with rs13107325_C>T (r2=0.816 - 0.943) and running CoPheScan as if each had been selected as the causal variant. This allows us to explore two related questions: either, to what extent can two causal variants in LD cause false positive findings, or, to what extent CoPheScan might still detect an association if the "causal" variants supplied to CoPheScan are not really causal, but in LD with the causal variant. We found that CoPheScan was indeed sensitive to this misspecification, where out of the 17 traits identified as causally associated with rs13107325, 4 had ppHc < 0.6 with rs13135092 (r2=0.943) and 11 with rs6855246 (r2=0.816). The results were increasingly discrepant as the r2 with rs13107325_C>T decreased (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure 11). The group of traits with high ppHc across multiple variants tended to have larger minimum p values in the region compared to those for which ppHc was low across multiple variants, suggesting that CoPheScan will be best at discriminating between potential causal variants in LD when the association signal in the query data is strong.

Figure 7: Sensitivity analysis with SLC39A8 variants

Heatmap of ppHc of *SLC39A8* variants with LD $r^2 > 0.8$ with the rs13107325_C>T (bottom row) variant. The points are scaled based on their -log10(pval) and a red border indicates a ppHc of > 0.6. CD=Crohn's disease; SCZ = schizophrenia.

Finally, we sought to verify previously proposed causal associations between the *HMGCR*
variant rs12916_T>C and metabolic traits. *HMGCR* encodes HMG-CoA reductase which is
targeted by statins to lower LDL cholesterol. Previously, *HMGCR* variants have been used as
a proxy for statin effect to show a higher risk of type 2 diabetes and body mass index (BMI) in
MR studies[23]. But the validity of this has been challenged with evidence that there may be
distinct causal variants underlying type 2 diabetes, BMI and HMGCR levels[24]. We performed
CoPheScan analysis on the UKBB traits: LDL, BMI, type 2 diabetes, waist circumference and
weight. We identified a known causal association with LDL (ppHc = 1). Despite significant
observed p-values at rs12916 at BMI, weight and waist circumference, however, CoPheScan
consistently concluded that while the region contained a causal variant for each trait, it was

not rs12916 (ppHa > 0.99). In fact, no credible sets were identified in the *HMGCR* gene region
and the SuSIE signals from these traits indicate the presence of an alternative causal *POC5*
variant (Supplementary Figure 12). This implies that genetic studies that demonstrated a
relationship between statin therapy and BMI/T2DM through *HMGCR* variants as a proxy might
be incorrect[24] as they studied the SNPs in isolation while ignoring their regional context[25].
CoPheScan is thus valuable in verifying assumptions in instrumental variable analyses.

PheWAS of protein-associated variants

One challenge of GWAS has been to link disease associations to their causal genes. PheWAS
allows us to start with variants with known causal function on a protein and ask which diseases
are also causally associated, exploiting the low false positive rate of CoPheScan. We began
with 505 plasma protein QTLs[16] identified as single variant credible sets in fine-mapping of
527 plasma proteins. Nine variants were identified to be associated with UKBB traits (Table 1
and Supplementary Table 9). Among the established associations, we found an association
between a pQTL for APOC1 and high cholesterol, as well as reported treatment with the
cholesterol-lowering simvastatin. Both associations make sense given the known biology of
APOC1, but only the first would have been detected in scanning for significant p values, as
the p-value for high cholesterol at this SNP (p=6.19 x 10^{-19}) is much lower than for simvastatin
(p=9.59 x 10^{-4}), emphasising the value of exploiting the additional information that we believe
the variant to have a causal effect on a measurable phenotype (Supplementary Figure 13).
371

Table 1: Hc associations detected with pQTL variants

Query variant	Protein	Direction	UKBB traits detected as Hc
rs11591147	PCSK9	risk	High cholesterol, cholesterol-lowering medication, ischaemic heart disease
rs5743618	TLR1	protect	Asthma
rs3775291	TLR3	risk	Hypothyroidism/myxoedema
rs3136516	F2_Prothrombin	risk	Venous thromboembolism
rs34324219	TCN1	protect	Pernicious anaemia
rs964184	APOC3	risk	Cholesterol-lowering medication
rs116843064	ANGPTL4	risk	High cholesterol
rs5112	APOC1	risk	High cholesterol, cholesterol-lowering medication
rs214830	TGM3	risk	Skin cancer

372
373

We also found a novel association, rs214830_G>C, a pQTL for TGM3, was associated with
skin cancer (ppHc=0.75). TGM3 is required for skin development and is normally expressed
in the spinous/granular layers of the epidermis. Its expression was found to be absent in
melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin but strongly expressed in basal cell
carcinoma (BCC), suggesting it could be a specific marker for BCC diagnosis[26] . Association
of variants in *TGM3* with BCC have also been reported[27–29] but rs214830_G>C was not
always the top variant and GWAS associations can mark causal effects in neighbouring

genes[30]. Our analysis suggests this association could be directly causal, with TGM3 involved
in the development of BCC as well as acting as a biomarker.

Finally, we considered 3586 variants labelled as protein-truncating (PTV) in the UKBB
summary data with MAF > 0.001, consisting of those predicted by VEP to be stop_gained,
frameshift, splice_acceptor and splice_donor. The fraction of query variants that were found
to be causally associated with at least one trait in UKBB was much lower for PTV (~0.31%)
than for disease-causal variants identified in FinnGen (~40%) and pQTL (~1.8%) (Table 2,
Supplementary Figures 5 and 6).

Examination of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains showed issues with mixing for
the PTV example which were not seen with the other datasets (Supplementary Figure 5).
When we examined the inferred priors (Supplementary Table 12) obtained from this model,
we observed that the p_c/p_a ratio was ~1.02, indicating that the inferred p_a and p_c priors were
almost the same. Our PTV consisted of four VEP classes, but while the MAF distribution of
the stop-gained PTV was similar to missense variants, those of the other PTV (frameshift,
splice donor and splice acceptor) were similar to synonymous variants (Supplementary Figure
14a). As selection can constrain MAF, we hypothesised that the VEP stop_gained class might
be more enriched for functional variation than the set of four classes we had used. We
considered two ways to enrich the PTV set for functional variation: either using just this subset
of the stop-gained PTVs or using the PTVs which were also defined as high confidence
homozygous predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variants in gnomAD.[31] pLoF were
predominantly rare, such that the pLoF subset of PTV variants had a higher number of rare
variants compared to the stop-gained subset (Supplementary Figure 14b).

We ran the hierarchical models for these two subsets of PTVs (Supplementary Figure 15).
Comparing the priors (Supplementary Table 12) across the different datasets tested we
observed that the ratio of prior probabilities for the query variant or a non-query variant to be
causal, p_c/p_a (Table 2) obtained using the pLoF variants (2.59) was second only to the ones
obtained using the FinnGen disease-related variants. The ratio from the stop-gained variant
model (1.39) was similar to the pQTL variant model (1.28). This shows that sets of query
variants which have a higher functional enrichment are expected to have a high p_c/p_a ratio.

Table 2: Summary of tested variants and phenotypes from real data

Query variant set	N QV	N QT	N QV-QT pairs*	N QV-QT detected as H_c	N (%) unique variants detected as H_c	pc/pa
FinnGen (with r_g)	75	1582	130697	184	30 (40%)	95.6
FinnGen (no r_g)	136	2275	193706	328	43 (31.62%)	47.5
pQTL (no r_g)	505	2275	954616	29	9 (1.78%)	1.28
PTV all (no r_g)	3586	2275	4359271	26	11 (0.31%)	1.02
PTV gnomAD (no r_g)	366	2275	292787	7	2 (0.54%)	2.59
PTV stop gained (no r_g)	911	2275	837060	15	6 (0.66%)	1.39

QV - query variant, QT - query trait, N QV-QT number of trait-variant associations. The number of QT were lower for the FinnGen/UKBB dataset for the 'with r_g' case as only traits having r_g data with the primary traits available were retained (Methods).

26 associations were identified using all the PTV variants. All 15 associations detected with the stop-gained PTVs and 7 from the pLOF overlapped with those from the whole set. Of the combined 26 PTV-trait associations (Supplementary Table 10), many corresponded to known effects. One of them is, rs2066847_G>GC, a *NOD2* frameshift mutation, which is reported as a pathogenic variant for inflammatory bowel disease in ClinVar and was associated with several phenotypes related to Crohn's disease and mouth ulcers in our analysis (Supplementary Figure 16). However, as seen with migraine and cardiovascular disease above, the association with mouth ulcers occurs in the opposite direction to the established comorbidity of Crohn's disease and mouth ulcers in the population, with the Crohn's disease risk allele appearing protective for mouth ulcers. Note that in the mouth ulcer trait, the effect sizes were opposite in two other SNPs identified as a credible set in SuSiE analyses of both traits (Supplementary Figure 16).

Discussion

Detection of pleiotropic effects of genetic variants is an essential component of target discovery and drug repositioning. PheWAS typically takes information from marginal statistics at query variants in isolation of their neighbours, which can lead to false positives when multiple causal variants exist in some LD. CoPheScan considers not only how small a p-value is at a given variant, but how small it is in comparison to its neighbours, and estimates how much upweighting should be applied due to the information that the variant is in a query variant set. In our simulations, CoPheScan showed considerably better control of false positive calls compared to a standard PheWAS approach, at the cost of lower sensitivity where multiple causal variants exist in a region. Whilst the higher false positive rate for standard PheWAS testing can be mitigated by the use of a second-stage analysis testing for colocalisation, that is not possible in the case of query SNPs selected for their known effects on a protein, such as the PTV considered here.

CoPheScan learns how much to upweight query variants through the prior parameter p_c and
the ratio of average p_c to average p_a is a useful measure of enrichment of causal variants for
the set of query traits amongst the set of query variants. This measure can be used to assess
the quality of any choice of variant set, with values close to 1 indicating a weak choice. It may
vary considerably across query variant sets for the same set of query traits, as seen in the
PTV analyses. However, while restriction to a smaller set of query variants with greater
enrichment is likely to find a higher proportion of causal associations with the smaller set, this
will not necessarily enhance discovery: whilst the majority of the discoveries found using the
smaller, more enriched sets of PTV were also found in the larger unfiltered set, this restriction
also meant losing plausible discoveries that didn't fall into either of the more restricted classes.
465
We allow p_c to vary between variants by exploiting additional external information in a
regression framework. In our disease-variant focused analysis, we used the genetic
correlation between index and query traits, but this could also be a categorical variable, such
as the predicted deleteriousness of a missense variant, or the level of evidence for the
functional effect of a PTV. Our model can exploit covariate information that relates to query
trait-query variant pairs, but would need to be extended to accommodate other information.
For example, we might see modest evidence for causal association of a medication trait with
a given query variant, but intuitively trust the result is true because of stronger evidence at the
same variant with the disease itself. The difference in inference in such a case might be
explained by the smaller numbers of individuals reporting use of a specific medication. We
could consider exploiting genetic correlation between query traits by using a multivariate prior,
with covariance linked to the genetic correlations. While this is beyond the scope of the current
study, we hope our use of covariate-informed priors illustrates the potential for external
information to be exploited when conducting PheWAS and other genetic studies.
480
While the simulations emphasised the importance of learning p_c in a hierarchical model for
accurate inference, point estimates can be substituted if required. This borrowing of priors
from a larger dataset is beneficial in scenarios where we might want to use CoPheScan to test
associations between a small set of variants and phenotypes, as running a hierarchical model
on limited data will not result in optimal prior estimates. However, we strongly advise that
careful consideration is needed to ensure the larger dataset in which the priors are learnt is a
good match for the limited dataset under consideration.
488
One of the advantages of incorporating SuSIE in CoPheScan is the ability to detect allelic
heterogeneity at a locus. We demonstrated this with two well-known distinct variants in the
TYK2 gene which were associated with overlapping sets of immune-mediated disorders. This
analysis also highlighted the importance of surveying disease-specific GWAS studies and not
relying solely on biobanks which may hold relatively low numbers of cases of any individual
disease. For example, only three UKBB traits showed any association compared to seven of
our curated immune-mediated disease GWAS, and while psoriasis in UKBB (4192 cases) was
identified with one variant, psoriasis in Tsoi's GWAS study (10558 cases) was identified with
two. While biobanks remain incredibly useful for common traits such as cardiovascular and
metabolic diseases, carefully curated bespoke GWAS of less common traits should be

included in any PheWAS to complement the biobank resources and reveal the full spectrum
of pleiotropy. This is particularly important because predicted beneficial effects of targeting a
protein may be countered by on-target side effects on other traits, as we saw where the
autoimmune-protective variant in *PTPN22* was associated with an increased risk of skin
cancer.
504
Our CoPheScan approach has some specific limitations. The signals obtained from the
multiple variant assumption rely on the available LD information. Zou et al. demonstrated that
the performance of SuSIE degrades when presented with out-of-sample LD matrices[14]. In
cases where in-sample LD matrices are not accessible, it is recommended to utilise out-of-
sample LD from large reference panels. Our experience with SuSIE is that as the number of
causal variants increase, the performance (ability to detect all causal variants and/or ability to
detect the correct causal variants) may decrease. In the case that a true causal variant signal
is missed for our query variant, as occurred in around 35% of our simulations with two causal
variants, CoPheScan concludes Ha - ie a false negative. SuSiE is likely to miss a higher
fraction of secondary causal variants when the true number of causal variants increase, which
would be expected to lead to greater false negatives for CoPheScan. Importantly, we do not
see any increase in false positives when simulating two compared to one causal variant
Analysis of rare events in large samples with standard methods can cause bias in regression
summary statistics. Here, we used careful QC, thresholding on the number of events / MAF,
but a better approach would be to use methods specifically developed to deal with this such
as REGENIE[32] to generate input to CoPheScan. The current form of CoPheScan only allows
single-ancestry studies which will be addressed in future iterations and allow an increase in
power to detect rare variants.
523
GWAS causal variants, even when identified with confidence, remain challenging to interpret
partly because it can be hard to link them with confidence to their causal genes. Protein-
altering variants have thus become increasingly important because their function on a gene is
presumed known. The different relative enrichments in different sets of PTV we ran suggests
that incorporating external evidence on the plausibility of a putative PTV having a functional
effect will increase accuracy in PheWAS of these variants. However, as highlighted here, they
often have very low minor allele frequencies. Thus, larger biobanks are still needed both for
analysis of less common traits with common variants and for analysis of rare functional
variants. It is thus encouraging that UKBiobank and FinnGen studied here are complemented
by the Japan Biobank[33], the Million Veteran Program[34] and the Uganda Genome Resource[35],
which should allow CoPheScan, together with efforts at multiple ancestry fine mapping[36], to
reveal more completely the pleiotropic spectrum of protein-altering genetic variation.

Methods

Simulated data

We simulated case-control summary statistics using the EUR samples in the 1000 Genomes
phase 3 reference data[37]. LD-independent blocks were identified using lddetect[38] and
haplotypes containing 1000 SNPs with MAF > 0.01 were extracted from the reference data[10,39].

We used simGWAS[39] to simulate summary statistics with either one or two causal variants for
the corresponding LD blocks with 10000 cases and 10000 controls.

We simulated GWAS summary statistics for 110000 traits to evaluate hypothesis
discrimination, with all genomic regions containing 1000 SNPs. We sampled query causal
variants at random from the 1000 SNPs and simulated each trait to correspond to one of the
three hypotheses. The traits within the simulated dataset were divided based on the number
and position of the causal variants within their genomic region:

1. **True Hn**: No causal variants within the genomic region.
2. **True Ha**: A trait with a single causal variant that is not the query variant.
3. **True Ha2**: A genomic region simulated with two distinct causal variants, none of which are the query variant.
4. **True Hc**: A trait with a single causal variant that is the same as the query variant.
5. **True Hc2**: Two distinct causal variants, where one of them is the same as the query variant.

The 110000 simulated traits were comprised of 88048 true Hn, 6276 each of true Hc and Hc2,
and 4700 each of Ha and Ha2 traits. We also simulated genetic correlation values for each of
these traits where the Hc traits were assigned a higher proportion of high r_g values when
compared to the Hn and Ha traits (Supplementary Figure 2).

We used conventional PheWAS approaches, based on selecting associations that cross a
threshold p-value after accounting for multiple testing. We used the Benjamini & Hochberg to
control the FDR < 0.05 which corresponded to a p-value < 7.5e-3 and Bonferroni correction
with a p-value < 4.55e-7 to control the family-wise error rate (FWER) at 0.05. In parallel, we
used different approaches of CoPheScan to analyse this dataset:

1. Fixed priors and Approximate Bayes Factors (ABF).
   Fixed priors used with the simulated data were adapted from coloc (described in the Supplementary Methods) where $p_a \approx$ 0.81 and $p_c \approx$ 0.091.
2. Fixed priors and SuSIE Bayes factors
3. Hierarchical priors, ABF, with and without genetic correlation (r_g)
4. Hierarchical priors, SuSIE Bayes factors, with and without r_g

The hierarchical model of CoPheScan was run for 3e5 iterations for the models without r_g and
1e6 iterations for the ones with r_g and the chain was thinned by retaining every 30th and 100th
observation respectively (Supplementary Figure 3). The first 50% of the remaining 1e4
observations were discarded and the average prior (p_n, p_a, p_c) and posterior probabilities
(ppH_n, ppH_a, ppH_c) were calculated.

Therefore, the output of each analysis for each trait was summarised by the Hc hypothesis
when ppH_c > 0.6, and Hn when ppH_n > 0.2 and Ha for the remaining traits. When
multiple signals were detected by SuSIE in the same genomic region, CoPheScan was run on
each of them. Here, we assigned the hypothesis to each signal as the thresholds specified
above. The first hypothesis to occur in the ranking order of Hc, Hn, and Ha was assigned to
the trait, i.e., when there was at least one signal which was assigned as Hc, the trait was taken
to be Hc. Next, any signal with Hn but no Hc was assigned as Hn, because we wanted to be
conservative in calling Ha which might rule out a pleiotropic effect. In the absence of a Hc and

Hn signal, we checked for the presence of Ha and where there were multiple Ha signals we
report the minimum Ha of all the signals.
587
588 We also simulated datasets where we varied the number of true Hc traits {50, 100, 200, 500,
589 1000, 2000, 4000, 5000} while maintaining the true Hn and Ha traits the same at 88048 and
590 4700 respectively. The percentage of Hc traits in the datasets corresponded to {0.05%, 0.11%,
591 0.22%, 0.54%, 1.07%, 2.11%, 3.13%, 4.13%, 5.12%}.

Disease causal query variants from FinnGen

We downloaded SuSIE[13,14] fine-mapping results from FinnGen[40] release R5, which has a
sample size of 218,792 with 2,803 endpoints (https://www.finngen.fi/en/access_results). For
each endpoint, we filtered variants that belonged to a credible set of size 1 and were also
present in the UKBB dataset. We retained 136 variants, fine-mapped from 141 FinnGen traits,
for further analysis. 69 out of these 141 FinnGen primary traits, had closely matching UKBB
traits with pre-computed genetic correlation data. Thus, 75 variants from these matching traits
were used for the hierarchical model using r_g (Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

pQTL variants

We downloaded summary data from a GWAS of plasma protein levels measured with 4,907
aptamers (corresponding to 4719 proteins) in 35,559 Icelanders from Ferkingstad et al.[16]. We
fine-mapped the region around each signal under a single variant assumption. This is
equivalent to taking only the first signal in a stepwise fine-mapping procedure. We made this
conservative choice to address the lack of access to an LD matrix for the Icelandic population,
making it difficult to trust secondary signals found by stepwise regression or other multiple
causal variant methods such as SuSIE. We obtained 505 SNPs associated with 527 proteins
for testing associations with the UKBB phenotypes (Supplementary Table 6).

Protein truncating variants

We selected 3586 protein truncating variants (PTV) with MAF > 0.001 (Supplementary Table
7) from the UKBB variants (https://broad-ukb-sumstats-us-east-
1.s3.amazonaws.com/round2/annotations/variants.tsv.bgz), which were annotated as
frameshift (883), stop gained (911), splice acceptor (682) and splice donor (1110) variants,
using VEP[41] (The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor, 85).
We also downloaded homozygous pLoF from gnomAD (v2.1.1). Out of these, we selected 366
variants, which were classified as either 'lof' or 'likely_lof' and were in common with the 3586
UKBB PTV variants[31] .

Individual variant analyses

619 We chose four *TYK2* variants: rs35018800, rs34536443, rs12720356 and rs55882956 a
620 *SLC39A8* variant, rs13107325, and a *HMGCR* variant, rs12916, to examine the performance
621 of CoPheScan for region-specific analyses[42–44].

Query phenotypes

We used 2275 phenotypes from the UK Biobank (http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank). We obtained in-sample linkage disequilibrium matrices from https://broad-alkesgroup-ukbb-ld.s3.amazonaws.com/UKBB_LD[15]. We included all the 2275 traits in the CoPheScan analysis of the FinnGen, pQTL and PTV variants.

We downloaded genetic correlation[45] data between UK Biobank traits and disorders estimated using LD score regression[46] from https://ukbb-rg.hail.is/. In the FinnGen/UKBB dataset, only 1582 out of the 2275 traits had genetic correlation (r_g) estimates with the UKBB traits mapped to the FinnGen primary traits. So, only these traits were used for the hierarchical model that included r_g. Additionally, we checked the allele counts (AC) of the query variant in the phenotype files and only retained the QV-QT pairs for association testing when the AC in the cases > 25. After reviewing the results, to increase stringency, we further removed QV-QT pairs, identified as being causally associated with AC < 30 to reduce false positive detection. Individual results presented in tables have been trimmed to reflect this more stringent criterion (removing five Hc results), but estimates directly from models (eg pa/pc) include observations with AC between 26 and 30.

For the phenome-wide scan of the *TYK2* and *SLC39A8* variants, we downloaded 56 additional publicly available GWAS summary statistics of phenotypes related to immune-mediated and psychiatric diseases (Supplementary Table 3)[47–49]. In the case of the *HMGCR* variant we used additional quantitative UKBB phenotypes: LDL direct, Body mass index (BMI) and Weight, We used UKBB LD matrices for data from European populations, and for other populations, we extracted LD from the 1000 genomes phase 3 reference data[37].

The lists of phenotypes used with the different variants are provided in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. We used Phase II HapMap[50] obtained from (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/hapmap/recombination/2011-01_phaseII_B37/) to subset regions from the summary statistics data around the query variants. We excluded variants in the HLA region (20MB - 40MB) from the analysis.

Visualisations of the causal trait-variant associations identified with CoPheScan were done using Cytoscape[51] 3.9.0.

Functional annotation

Previously reported variant/gene associations with diseases were obtained from the Open Target Platform and Open Target Genetics[48,52]. We used the DrugBank online resource for indications of medications that were associated with the variants[53].

Data availability

The simulated summary statistics and processed files are available on figshare. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

The CoPheScan R package is available on CRAN at https://cran.r-project.org/package=cophescan.

A shiny app to browse the results is available here:https://ichcha-m.shinyapps.io/cophescan-app/, the code for which can be found at https://github.com/ichcha-m/cophescan-app.

The code to reproduce the simulated summary statistics and processed datasets are available here: https://github.com/chr1swallace/cophescan-manuscript-sim-summary-data and https://github.com/ichcha-m/cophescan-paper.

URLs

UKBB summary statistics, http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank); Phase II HapMap, https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/hapmap/recombination/2011-01_phaseII_B37/; UKBB in-sample LD matrices, https://broad-alkesgroup-ukbb-ld.s3.amazonaws.com/UKBB_LD ; FinnGen Freeze 5 cohort, https://www.finngen.fi/en/access_results; GWAS catalog, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/; GWAS of plasma protein levels used for pQTL fine-mapping: https://www.decode.com/summarydata/, ClinVar variant annotation: https://platform.opentargets.org/downloads; gnomAD: https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/downloads; DrugBank, https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/

References

1. Denny, J. C. *et al.* PheWAS: demonstrating the feasibility of a phenome-wide scan to discover gene–disease associations. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 1205–1210 (2010).

2. Rastegar-Mojarad, M., Ye, Z., Kolesar, J. M., Hebbring, S. J. & Lin, S. M. Opportunities for drug repositioning from phenome-wide association studies. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 342–345 (2015).

3. Diogo, D. *et al.* Phenome-wide association studies across large population cohorts support drug target validation. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4285 (2018).

4. Millard, L. A. C. *et al.* MR-PheWAS: hypothesis prioritization among potential causal effects of body mass index on many outcomes, using Mendelian randomization. *Sci Rep* **5**, 16645 (2015).

5. Zuber, V. *et al.* Combining evidence from Mendelian randomization and colocalization: Review and comparison of approaches. *Am J Hum Genet* **109**, 767–782 (2022).

6. Verma, A. *et al.* Human-Disease Phenotype Map Derived from PheWAS across 38,682 Individuals. *Am J Hum Genet* **104**, 55–64 (2019).

7. DeBoever, C. *et al.* Medical relevance of protein-truncating variants across 337,205

individuals in the UK Biobank study. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1612 (2018).

8. Veturi, Y. *et al.* A unified framework identifies new links between plasma lipids and

diseases from electronic medical records across large-scale cohorts. *Nat Genet* **53**, 972–

981 (2021).

9. Giambartolomei, C. *et al.* Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic

association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004383 (2014).

10.   Wallace, C. A more accurate method for colocalisation analysis allowing for multiple

causal variants. *PLoS Genet* **17**, e1009440 (2021).

11.   Wallace, C. Eliciting priors and relaxing the single causal variant assumption in

colocalisation analyses. *PLoS Genet* **16**, e1008720 (2020).

12.   Wakefield, J. Bayes factors for genome-wide association studies: comparison with P-

values. *Genet Epidemiol* **33**, 79–86 (2009).

13.   Wang, G., Sarkar, A., Carbonetto, P. & Stephens, M. A simple new approach to

variable selection in regression, with application to genetic fine mapping. *J. R. Stat. Soc.*

Ser. B Stat. Methodol. **82**, 1273–1300 (2020).

14.   Zou, Y., Carbonetto, P., Wang, G. & Stephens, M. Fine-mapping from summary data

with the "Sum of Single Effects" model. *PLOS Genet.* **18**, e1010299 (2022).

15.   Weissbrod, O. *et al.* Functionally informed fine-mapping and polygenic localization of

complex trait heritability. *Nat Genet* **52**, 1355–1363 (2020).

16.   Ferkingstad, E. *et al.* Large-scale integration of the plasma proteome with genetics

and disease. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1712–1721 (2021).

17.   Daghlas, I., Guo, Y. & Chasman, D. I. Effect of genetic liability to migraine on

coronary artery disease and atrial fibrillation: a Mendelian randomization study. *Eur J*

Neurol **27**, 550–556 (2020).

18.   Kurth, T. *et al.* Migraine and risk of cardiovascular disease in women: prospective

cohort study. *BMJ* **353**, (2016).

19.   Huebbe, P. & Rimbach, G. Evolution of human apolipoprotein E (APOE) isoforms:

Gene structure, protein function and interaction with dietary factors. *Ageing Res. Rev.* **37**,

146–161 (2017).

20.   Babenko, V. N. *et al.* Haplotype analysis of APOE intragenic SNPs. *BMC Neurosci.*

19, 16 (2018).

21.   Lumsden, A. L., Mulugeta, A., Zhou, A. & Hyppönen, E. Apolipoprotein E (APOE)

genotype-associated disease risks: a phenome-wide, registry-based, case-control study

utilising the UK Biobank. *eBioMedicine* **59**, (2020).

22.   Nebert, D. W. & Liu, Z. SLC39A8 gene encoding a metal ion transporter: discovery

and bench to bedside. *Hum Genomics* **13**, 51 (2019).

23.   Swerdlow, D. I. *et al.* HMG-coenzyme A reductase inhibition, type 2 diabetes, and

bodyweight: evidence from genetic analysis and randomised trials. *The Lancet* **385**, 351–

361 (2015).

24.   Holm, H. *et al.* Abstract 18777: The Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Body

Mass Index/Type-2 Diabetes Signals in the HMGCR Region Are Not Explained by a

Single Variant. *Circulation* **134**, A18777–A18777 (2016).

25.   VanderWeele, T. J., Tchetgen, E. J. T., Cornelis, M. & Kraft, P. Methodological

challenges in Mendelian randomization. *Epidemiol. Camb. Mass* **25**, 427 (2014).

26.   Smirnov, A. *et al.* Transglutaminase 3 is expressed in basal cell carcinoma of the

skin. *Eur. J. Dermatol.* **29**, 477–483 (2019).

27.   Stacey, S. N. *et al.* Germline sequence variants in TGM3 and RGS22 confer risk of

basal cell carcinoma. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23**, 3045–3053 (2014).

28.   Ue, L. *et al.* Combined analysis of keratinocyte cancers identifies novel genome-wide

loci. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **28**, 3148–3160 (2019).

29.   Adolphe, C. *et al.* Genetic and functional interaction network analysis reveals global

enrichment of regulatory T cell genes influencing basal cell carcinoma susceptibility.

Genome Med. **13**, 19 (2021).

30.   Smemo, S. *et al.* Obesity-associated variants within FTO form long-range functional

connections with IRX3. *Nature* **507**, 371–375 (2014).

31.   Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation

in 141,456 humans. *Nature* **581**, 434–443 (2020).

32. Mbatchou, J. *et al.* Computationally efficient whole-genome regression for

quantitative and binary traits. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1097–1103 (2021).

33. Nagai, A. *et al.* Overview of the BioBank Japan Project: Study design and profile. *J.*

Epidemiol. **27**, S2–S8 (2017).

34. Gaziano, J. M. *et al.* Million Veteran Program: A mega-biobank to study genetic

influences on health and disease. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **70**, 214–223 (2016).

35. Fatumo, S. *et al.* Uganda Genome Resource: A rich research database for genomic

studies of communicable and non-communicable diseases in Africa. *Cell Genomics* **2**,

100209 (2022).

36. Yuan, K. *et al.* Fine-mapping across diverse ancestries drives the discovery of

putative causal variants underlying human complex traits and diseases.

2023.01.07.23284293 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.07.23284293 (2023).

37. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A global reference for human genetic

variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).

38. Berisa, T. & Pickrell, J. K. Approximately independent linkage disequilibrium blocks in

human populations. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 283–285 (2016).

39. Fortune, M. D. & Wallace, C. simGWAS: a fast method for simulation of large scale

case–control GWAS summary statistics. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 1901–1906 (2018).

40. Kurki, M. I. *et al.* FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated

population. *Nature* **613**, 508–518 (2023).

41. McLaren, W. *et al.* The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* **17**, 122

(2016).

42. Diogo, D. *et al.* TYK2 protein-coding variants protect against rheumatoid arthritis and

autoimmunity, with no evidence of major pleiotropic effects on non-autoimmune complex

traits. *PLoS One* **10**, e0122271 (2015).

43. Dendrou, C. A. *et al.* Resolving TYK2 locus genotype-to-phenotype differences in

autoimmunity. *Sci Transl Med* **8**, 363ra149 (2016).

44. Motegi, T. *et al.* Identification of rare coding variants in TYK2 protective for

rheumatoid arthritis in the Japanese population and their effects on cytokine signalling. *Ann.*

Rheum. Dis. **78**, 1062–1069 (2019).

45.   Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and

traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236–1241 (2015).

46.   Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from

polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291–295 (2015).

47.   Morales, J. *et al.* A standardized framework for representation of ancestry data in

genomics studies, with application to the NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 21

(2018).

48.   Ochoa, D. *et al.* Open Targets Platform: supporting systematic drug–target

identification and prioritisation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D1302–D1310 (2020).

49.   Mease, P. J. *et al.* Efficacy and safety of selective TYK2 inhibitor, deucravacitinib, in

a phase II trial in psoriatic arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* **81**, 815–822 (2022).

50.   Frazer, K. A. *et al.* A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million

SNPs. *Nature* **449**, 851–861 (2007).

51.   Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of

Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).

52.   Ghoussaini, M. *et al.* Open Targets Genetics: systematic identification of trait-

associated genes using large-scale genetics and functional genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**,

D1311–D1320 (2021).

53.   Wishart, D. S. *et al.* DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for

2018. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, D1074–D1082 (2018).

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the Wellcome Trust (WT220788), the MRC (MC_UU_00002/4), GSK
and MSD and supported by the NIHR Cambridge BRC (BRC-1215-20014). The views
expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the
Department of Health and Social Care. This research was funded in whole, or in part, by the
Wellcome Trust (WT220788). For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC
BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this
submission. We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of the FinnGen and
UK Biobank study, and the Neale lab for publicly sharing UK Biobank summary statistics.

Ethics declarations

Competing interests

CW and IM receive funding from GSK and MSD. CW is a part-time employee of GSK, but this research was conducted within her academic role.

Supplementary information

1. **Supplementary Information**
   Supplementary Methods, and Figures 1-16
2. **Supplementary Tables**
   Supplementary Tables 1-12