Laminin γ1-dependent basement membranes are instrumental to ensure proper olfactory placode shape, position and boundary with the brain, as well as olfactory axon development

Tignard P[1,2], Pottin K[1], Geeverding A[3], Doulazmi M[4], Cabrera M[1], Fouquet C[2], Liffran M[2], Fouchard J[1], Rosello M[5], Albadri S[5], Del Bene F[5], Trembleau A[2,*], Breau MA[1,6,*].

[1]Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS UMR7622), Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS), Developmental Biology Laboratory, Paris, France

[2]Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS UMR8246), Inserm U1130, Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (IBPS), Neuroscience Paris Seine (NPS), Paris, France.

[3]Imaging Facility, Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS)

[4]Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS UMR8256), Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (IBPS), Adaptation Biologique et Vieillissement, Paris, France.

[5]Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, 17 Rue Moreau, F-75012 Paris, France

[6]Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM)

*Co-last authors and co-corresponding authors:

Marie Anne Breau (marie.breau@sorbonne-universite.fr) and

Alain Trembleau (alain.trembleau@sorbonne-universite.fr)

Abstract

Despite recent progress, the complex roles played by the extracellular matrix in development and disease are still far from being fully understood. Here, we took advantage of the zebrafish *sly* mutation which affects Laminin γ1, a major component of basement membranes, to explore its role in the development of the olfactory system. Following a detailed characterisation of Laminin distribution in the developing olfactory circuit, we analysed basement membrane integrity, olfactory placode and brain morphogenesis, and olfactory axon development in *sly* mutants, using a combination of immunochemistry, electron microscopy and quantitative live imaging of cell movements and axon behaviours. Our results point to an original and dual contribution of Laminin γ1-dependent basement membranes in organising the border between the olfactory placode and the adjacent brain: they maintain placode shape and position in the face of major brain morphogenetic movements, they establish a robust physical barrier between the two tissues while at the same time allowing the local entry of the sensory axons into the brain and their navigation towards the olfactory bulb. This work thus identifies key roles of Laminin γ1-dependent basement membranes in neuronal tissue morphogenesis and axon development *in vivo*.

Introduction

The extracellular matrix (ECM) is a network of glycoproteins which provides support to tissues
by contributing to mechanical and chemical signals regulating their biology. It regulates multiple
processes, including cell migration, survival/proliferation, differentiation and polarity (Walma and
Yamada, 2020). In addition to the fundamental role of ECM in development and homeostasis,
mutations in matrix genes lead to a variety of genetic disorders, and abnormal ECM remodelling
drives disease progression in fibrosis, neurological disorders and cancer (Karamanos et al.,
2021; Soleman et al., 2013; Theocharis et al., 2019; Yamada et al., 2022). ECM components
are also critical factors in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine (Kaur et al., 2021; Kim et
al., 2021), and thus represent valuable targets but also key players in a plethora of therapeutic
applications.

The ECM can influence development and disease in many ways, and despite recent advances,
the full complexity of ECM functions is far from being understood. This partly comes from the
lack of tools to investigate ECM roles *in vivo*. Indeed, loss-of-function of ECM components often
leads to embryonic lethal phenotypes, for instance during implantation and gastrulation in mice
(see for example Miner and Yurchenco, 2004), precluding the analysis of ECM functions at later
stages of development.

Laminins are major components of the basement membrane (BM), a layer of ECM lying on the
basal side of epithelia, which is essential for their development and homeostasis. Laminins are
crucial to initiate BM assembly (Anderson et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2003; Miner and Yurchenco,
2004; Urbano et al., 2009). They are α/β/γ heterotrimers, with Laminin 111 believed to be the
predominant isoform during early development (Miner and Yurchenco, 2004). The zebrafish
sly^{wi390} (*sleepy/lamc1*) mutation (Wiellette et al., 2004) affects Laminin γ1, present in 10 out of 18
isoforms. *sly* homozygous mutants exhibit defects in notochord, blood vessel and somite
morphogenesis (Dolez et al., 2011; Odenthal et al., 1996; Parsons et al., 2002; Pollard et al.,
2006; Stemple et al., 1996). Maternal or residual expression of the γ1 chain until around 12 hpf
(hours-post-fertilisation) (Dolez et al., 2011; Parsons et al., 2002) likely allows *sly* mutants to
develop until 48-72 hpf, thus providing an *in vivo* setting to examine later functions of this ECM
component.

In this study, we took advantage of the *sly* mutant to investigate the role of Laminin γ1 in the
development of the zebrafish olfactory system, which forms through the growth of the axons
from the olfactory placode (OP) to the olfactory bulb in the brain (Miyasaka et al., 2007) in the
context of morphogenetic movements shaping the OP and nearby tissues (Aguillon et al., 2020;
Breau et al., 2017; Hauptmann and Gerster, 2000; Monnot et al., 2022; Ross et al., 1992).
Laminin-rich BMs are known to surround the developing OPs and adjacent brain (Torres-Paz
and Whitlock, 2014; Torres-Paz et al., 2021), but their function in the construction of the
olfactory system remains uncharacterised.

Laminin stimulates neurite outgrowth for many types of neurons *in vitro* (reviewed in Powell and
Kleinman, 1997). *In vivo*, in the developing nervous system, Laminin is important for neuro-
epithelial morphogenesis (Bryan et al., 2016; Ivanovitch et al., 2013; Sidhaye and Norden, 2017;
Tsuda et al., 2010), neuronal migration (Belvindrah et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Grant and
Moens, 2010; Sittaramane et al., 2009) and multiple aspects of axonal development including
axon emergence (Moore et al., 2022; Randlett et al., 2011; Wolman et al., 2008), growth, and
pathfinding (Bonner and O'Connor, 2001; Chen et al., 2009; García-Alonso et al., 1996;
Karlstrom et al., 1996; Paulus and Halloran, 2006).

Here, we provide a detailed characterisation of Laminin expression during the construction of the
zebrafish olfactory system, in fixed and live embryos. We then use the *sly* mutant, combined
with live imaging to quantify cell/tissue movements and axon behaviours, to investigate Laminin
γ1 functions in neuronal tissue morphogenesis and axon development *in vivo*. We found that
Laminin γ1-dependent BMs are instrumental to maintain proper OP morphology and position, to
define the boundary between the OP and the brain and to allow the growth and pathfinding of
the olfactory axons towards the olfactory bulb.

Results

The developing OP and brain tissues are surrounded by Laminin-containing BMs

We first analysed Laminin distribution during early OP morphogenesis. It has been proposed that the zebrafish OP contains a transient population of pioneer neurons in the ventro-medial region of the OP: their axons are the first to grow out of the OP at 22-24 hpf, and ablation experiments suggest that they act as a scaffold for the growth of the axons of the later born OSNs, located in the rosette forming in the dorso-lateral region of the OP (Whitlock and Westerfield, 1998). Madelaine et al. (2011) further showed that the first neurons to differentiate in the OP (the early olfactory neurons or EONs) express the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* transgene (Blader et al., 2003). However, as discussed in Madelaine et al., 2011, *neurog1:GFP+* neurons appear much more numerous than the previously described pioneer neurons, and may thus include pioneers but also other neuronal subtypes (Whitlock and Westerfield, 1998; Madelaine et al., 2011).

Between 14 and 22 hpf, in a process referred to as OP coalescence, cell movements transform the elongated OP domains into two rounded placodal structures on each side of the brain (Whitlock and Westerfield, 2000). Using the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* line, it was shown that OP coalescence occurs through two cell movements: first, cell bodies from the anteroposterior extremities of the OP domain converge towards the OP centre. OP cell bodies then move laterally, away from the brain, while their trailing axons remain attached to the brain and grow through retrograde extension (Aguillon et al., 2020; Breau et al., 2017; Monnot et al., 2022). During OP coalescence, *neurog1:GFP+* cells present in the adjacent forebrain undergo a directional anterior movement (Breau et al., 2017; Monnot et al., 2022).

To analyse Laminin expression at these coalescence stages, we performed immunostainings with a Laminin polyclonal antibody on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos. Consistent with previous observations (Torres-Paz and Whitlock, 2014; Torres-Paz et al., 2021), we first noticed the appearance of a fairly continuous (with only tiny interruptions) Laminin-rich BM surrounding the brain from 17-18 hpf, while around the OP, only discrete Laminin spots were detected at this stage (Fig. 1A, A'). By contrast, at the end of coalescence (22 hpf), two distinct BMs were clearly visible, one around the brain and the other one partially enveloping the OP on its basal side (Fig. 1B, B'), suggesting that the Laminin-rich BM of the OP starts to assemble between 18 and 22 hpf, during the late phase of OP coalescence.

To further analyse the dynamics of Laminin γ1 expression and BM assembly during OP
coalescence, we took advantage of the *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP)* line, in which Laminin γ1
is tagged with superfolder GFP and expressed under the control of its own promoter
(Yamaguchi et al., 2022). To co-label OP cells, we used the *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* line
(Breau et al., 2013), which expresses RFP in all OP cells and in the periderm from around 16
hpf. RFP expression is initially weak and mosaic (Fig. 1E) and becomes progressively stronger
and more widespread in the OP (Fig. 1F). Using confocal live imaging (n = 4 imaged embryos, 2
independent experiments), we confirmed the progressive BM-like accumulation of LamC1-sfGFP
around the OP, with a gradual increase of the BM fluorescence during OP coalescence (Fig. 1E-
F' and Movie 1). From 17 hpf, mesenchymal cells exhibiting cytoplasmic Laminin-sfGFP were
seen to migrate anteriorly around the OP (Movie 1). According to the literature, these cells could
represent neural crest cells (NCC) (Bryan et al., 2020; Harden et al., 2012; Torres-Paz and
Whitlock, 2014) and/or mesodermally-derived cells of the periocular mesenchyme (Vöcking et
al., 2023). A subset of RFP+ OP cells also displayed cytoplasmic Laminin-sfGFP (Fig. 1E-H'
and Movie 1). Altogether, these live imaging experiments suggest that Laminin γ1 around the OP
starts to be deposited mostly during late coalescence stages, from at least a subset of OP cells
and surrounding mesenchymal cells. Telencephalic cells also exhibited cytoplasmic Laminin-
sfGFP expression throughout OP coalescence (Movie 2), suggesting that the forebrain
contributes to the deposition of its own Laminin γ1-containing BM at these stages.

To analyse whether the BMs of the brain and OP tissues are maintained at later stages, we
used Laminin immunostaining on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos. The *Tg(omp:meYFP)* line labels
ciliated OSNs in the dorso-lateral rosette, but also a subset of ventral, unipolar neurons
(Miyasaka et al. 2005, see Figure 1C', D'). We also used confocal live imaging with the LamC1-
sfGFP reporter in the *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* background. Using both approaches we
observed a strong, continuous BM-like signal enveloping the brain and the OP at these stages,
except (i) where the olfactory axons leave the OP and enter the brain (defined respectively as
the exit and entry points, see below) and, as we previously reported (Baraban et al., 2023), (ii)
above the neuronal rosette assembling in the dorso-lateral OP, where the nostril orifice opens in
the skin (Fig. 1C-D', 1F-J). Thus, the OP and adjacent brain tissues are ensheathed by BMs
from early stages of olfactory system assembly (as depicted in Fig. 1K), suggesting these BMs
could play a role in their morphogenesis or the maintenance of their shape during development.

Laminin distribution suggests a role in olfactory axon development

To investigate the distribution of Laminin in relation with axonal development, we used the *Tg(omp:meYFP)* line to visualise olfactory axons including, as described above, the axons of the ciliated OSNs and of a subset of unipolar, ventral neurons (Sato et al. 2005; Miyasaka et al., 2005; Miyasaka et al., 2007). Previous time-course studies using this line showed that following OP coalescence, the YFP+ axons leave the OP from 22-24 hpf through a restricted region in the ventro-medial OP (the exit point), grow dorsally as a fasciculated bundle until around 32 hpf, then start defasciculating in the presumptive olfactory bulb region in the brain (reviewed in Breau and Trembleau, 2023; Miyasaka et al., 2005; Miyasaka et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2007). Immunostaining for Laminin revealed local disruptions in the BMs ensheathing the OP and the brain, precisely where the YFP+ axons exit the OP (exit point) and enter the brain (entry point) (Fig. 1C-D'). Once into the brain, from around 28 hpf, the most distal extremity of the YFP+ axon bundle (but not the axon shafts) was systematically in close contact with the Laminin-labelled BM of the brain (Fig. 1C-D'), suggesting that the growth cones use it as a substrate to migrate towards the olfactory bulb.

To better understand when and how the gaps of the exit and entry points form in the BMs, we took advantage of our live imaging experiments performed on *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP); Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* embryos (n = 4 embryos, 2 independent experiments). By the end of the coalescence, when the BM starts to assemble around the OP, we noticed that small interruptions of the BM were already present near the RFP+ axon tips, along the ventro-medial wall of the OP (Movie 2). This was confirmed by live imaging on *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP); Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:lyn-TagRFP)* embryos (n = 2 embryos), in which OP neurons/axons are mosaically labelled with membrane TagRFP, allowing the visualisation of individual axons (Movie 3). This suggests that the exit point does not open through local perforation of a pre-existing BM around the OP but through incomplete BM synthesis/assembly in this area. The entry point was slightly more dorsal than the exit point (of about 10-20 μm), and the initial dorsal growth of the RFP+ axons thus occurred between the two BMs (Fig. 1F-H'). This difference in the z position of the exit and entry points was not clearly seen on fixed embryos (Fig. 1C-D'), which we interpret as being a consequence of cell/tissue shape changes due to the fixation process. The opening of the entry point through the brain BM was concomitant with the arrival of the RFP+ axons, suggesting that the axons degrade or displace BM components to enter the brain (Fig. 1G-H' and Movie 2). This was also observed by live imaging on

TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP); Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:lyn-TagRFP) embryos (n = 2 embryos)
showing a sparse labelling of OP neurons/axons (Movie 3). Note that, as for the exit points, the
entry points often appeared as regions with several, small BM interruptions, rather than as a
unique hole in the BM (Movie 4). Once in the brain, as observed in fixed embryos, the distal tip
of the RFP+ axons (but not the axon shaft) migrated in close proximity with the brain's BM (Fig.
1H, I, Movies 2 and 4). Overall our observations indicate that the axons first grow dorsally for a
short distance between the BMs of the OP and the brain, then their distal tips migrate along the
internal side of the brain's BM (Fig. 1K). We thus hypothesise that the Laminin-rich BMs serve
as a migratory path for the axons during their journey from the OP to the olfactory bulb.
208
209   **The integrity of BMs around the brain and the OP is affected in the *sly* mutant**
210
We used the *sly* mutant to analyse the function of Laminin γ1 in the development of the
zebrafish olfactory system. As previously observed (Dolez et al., 2011; Parsons et al., 2002;
Stemple et al., 1996; Wiellette et al., 2004), Laminin BM-like accumulation could not be detected
in *sly* $^{-/-}$ mutants (referred to as *sly* mutants) at all the analysed stages, from 16 to 36 hpf, while
heterozygous *sly* $^{+/-}$ embryos displayed an expression pattern that was similar to *sly* $^{+/+}$ embryos
(Fig. 2A-C'). This indicates that that there is likely no residual/maternal Laminin at the onset of
OP coalescence. Since Laminins are essential for BM assembly in other contexts (Lee and
Gross, 2007; Li et al., 2005; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004; Smyth et al., 1999; Urbano et al.,
2009), we further checked the presence and structure of the BMs in *sly* mutants. We carried out
immunostaining for two other BM components, Collagen IV and Nidogen, at 22, 28 and 36 hpf.
Nidogen was present in BM-like structures around the OP and the brain in control siblings, with a
pattern resembling that of Laminin, while in *sly* mutants no BM staining could be detected
around the two tissues (Fig. 2D-F'). In controls, Collagen IV was present in the linear BMs
around the OP and the brain, and, with a more fibrous distribution, in the mesenchymal tissues
surrounding the OP. In *sly* mutants, Collagen IV immunoreactive pattern was dramatically
disrupted, but not totally abolished: the BM-like linear staining was absent, but discrete patches
of fibrous expression remained around the OP, at various locations (Fig. 2G-I').
228
229   We next used electron microscopy (EM) to analyse the ultrastructure of BMs in *sly* mutants and
230   control siblings. We focused on the interface between the forebrain and the OP, where NCC are
231   known to migrate during OP coalescence (Bryan et al., 2020; Harden et al., 2012; Torres-Paz
232   and Whitlock, 2014). NCC, OP and brain cells could be identified on the large field EM images

by their position and morphology (Fig. 2L, L'). At 24 hpf in controls, the plasma membranes of NCC were separated from those of adjacent OP and brain cells by a 120 nm-wide gap containing electron dense ECM material (Fig. 2J, M). This material likely represents the BMs of the two tissues, with morphological features resembling those of BMs found in other tissues of zebrafish embryos at similar stages (Bryan et al., 2020; Yamaguchi et al., 2022). In *sly* mutants, almost no NCC could be detected, suggesting that NCC development is affected. In this mutant context, the plasma membranes of OP and brain cells were separated by a 40 nm-wide gap, which was significantly smaller than in controls. This gap was most often devoid of electron dense material (only rare spots could be detected, Fig. 2J, J', M). A similar trend was detected at 32 hpf (Fig 2K, K', N). By contrast, the thickness of the intercellular gaps within the OP or the brain was not affected in mutants (Fig. S1). The integrity of the OP and brain BMs is thus strongly affected in *sly* mutants, as previously reported in other tissues of Laminin γ1 mutants (Urbano et al., 2009; Yamagushi et al., 2022). We next analysed the consequences on the development of the olfactory system components (placode, brain, olfactory axons).

OP coalescence still occurs in the *sly* mutant

To study the role of Laminin γ1-dependent BMs in OP coalescence, we first measured the dimensions of the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)+* OP cell clusters at 22 hpf (end of coalescence) on fixed *sly* mutants and control siblings. While no difference was found for anteroposterior (AP) and dorsoventral (DV) dimensions, the mediolateral (ML) dimension was increased in mutants (Fig. 3A-E). This could be the consequence of an increased number of GFP+ cells, however no difference was found in the number of mitotic cells in the OPs of *sly* mutants and control siblings at 16 and 21 hpf (Fig. S2A, B, E, F) and, as previously reported in other tissues (Parsons et al., 2002), we observed a tendency for the *sly* mutants to exhibit increased apoptosis (Fig. S2I, J, M, N). The higher ML dimension could also be due to increased lateral movements of OP cell bodies in the absence of the BM surrounding the OP. To test this, we performed live imaging on *sly* mutants and control siblings carrying the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* transgene, mounted in a dorsal view (n = 5 mutants and n = 5 controls) (Fig. 3F-I and Movie 5). Individual nuclei of GFP+ OP and brain cells were tracked using widespread expression of H2B-RFP, obtained through mRNA injection. From the cell trajectories we extracted the 3D Mean Square Displacements (MSD), a measure of the volume explored by a cell in a given period of time. No significant difference was observed for the MSD of OP cells between controls and *sly* mutants, but the MSD was higher for brain cells in the mutants (Fig. 3J, K). Direction wise, surprisingly OP cells did not show any

change in their total ML displacement (Fig. 3L), nor in their DV displacement (Fig. 3M).

Differences, although not statistically significant, could be detected along the AP axis for

anterior, central and posterior OP cells: in the mutants the anterior cohort of cells tended to

migrate less posteriorly, while central and posterior cells migrated more anteriorly, as if the final

cell positions were all shifted towards more anterior locations (Fig. 3N-P). In conclusion, while

the movements of brain cells are increased in the absence of BMs at coalescence stages,

overall the OP cell movements occur with normal parameters and allow the condensation of

OPs into compact neuronal clusters in the *sly* mutants. It is possible however that the position of

the OP along the AP axis is shifted anteriorly at the end of OP coalescence.

Role of Laminin γ1-dependent BMs during the forebrain flexure

Following OP coalescence, the forebrain flexure, a major morphogenetic process which is

essential for the final folded shape of the vertebrate brain, starts to remodel the head tissues

(Chapman et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2017; Hauptmann and Gerster, 2000; Tropepe and Sive,

2003). In zebrafish the bending of the forebrain has been reported to occur between 24 and 48

hpf through the observation of fixed samples (Ross et al., 1992; Hauptmann and Gerster, 2000).

We set out to investigate the role of the brain and OP BMs during the forebrain flexure. The

Tg(omp:meYFP) transgene expression was used to quantify the dimensions of the YFP+ cluster

in the OP at various stages of the flexure (24, 28, 32 and 36 hpf). While no change could be

detected in the AP and ML dimensions of the YFP+ cluster (except for the ML dimension at 28

hpf), its DV dimension was significantly increased in *sly* mutants at all the analysed stages (Fig.

4A-E and Fig. S3A-L). This higher DV dimension is unlikely to result from an increase in the

number of cells, since YFP+ mitotic cells were not more numerous in *sly* mutants (Fig. S2C, D,

G, H), and YFP+ OP clusters displayed increased apoptosis, as observed at earlier stages (Fig.

S2K, L, O, P). Counting the YFP+ cells on high magnification images of 3 mutant and 3 control

OPs at 28 and 36 hpf further confirmed that the YFP+ cell number is unchanged (Fig. S2Q, R).

In addition to the DV elongation of the OP tissue, isolated, ectopic (mispositioned) YFP+ cells

were observed all around the YFP+ cluster in the *sly* mutants (Fig. 4F-H and Movie 8). The

rosette structure appeared to form normally on the dorso-lateral region of the OP in *sly* mutants

(Movie 8).

To better understand the origin of these phenotypes, we analysed the dynamic behaviours of

brain and OP cells occurring during the forebrain flexure, which had not been characterised so

far. We carried out live imaging from 22 to 40 hpf on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* *sly* mutants and control

siblings injected with H2B-RFP mRNA to label all nuclei, and tracked YFP+ OP cells and

adjacent brain cells (n = 4 mutants and n = 4 controls) (Fig. 4I-L and Movie 6). From 24-26 hpf

we observed a marked anterior and ventral departure of brain cells in control embryos,

representing the onset of the flexure movement. Strikingly, OP cells also moved anteriorly and

ventrally from these stages, in coordination with the brain, revealing that the OPs are also

subjected to the flexure movement (Fig. 4J and Fig. S3M, Movie 6). The flexure movements

were also visible in the brain and OPs of *sly* mutants (Fig. 4L and Fig. S3N, Movie 6), with OP

cells moving with a higher MSD than in controls (Fig. 4M). A similar trend was observed for brain

cells, but was not statistically significant (Fig. 4N). Moreover, upon visualisation of the cell

trajectories in a lateral (YZ) view, we noticed that in 4/4 mutant embryos, brain and OP cells

exhibited curved trajectories, moving first anteriorly and ventrally, then turning posteriorly, while

this phenomenon occurred in only 1/4 control sibling during the duration of our movies (Fig.

S3M, N). This reinforces the idea that brain and OP cells are subjected to an accelerated or

enhanced forebrain flexure remodelling in the *sly* mutants, and suggests that in the wild type

situation the BMs allow the tissues, and in particular the OP, to resist to the morphogenetic

movements associated with the flexure. Altogether, our findings show that the Laminin γ1-

containing BMs are required to prevent OP cell scattering, maintain OP shape and dampen OP

cell movements during the forebrain flexure.

Laminin γ1-dependent BMs are required to define a robust boundary between the OP and

the brain

OP cells undergo anterior and ventral movements during the forebrain flexure. We noticed that,

while in control siblings OP cells also display a lateral displacement, they rather move in the

medial direction in *sly* mutants, towards the brain, as if the two OPs were progressively

converging towards each other (Fig. 4J, L, O). This prompted us to image OP and brain tissues

in 3D to visualise the boundary between the two tissues and analyse the width of the brain. To

do so, we first performed immunostainings at 28 and 36 hpf for the pan-neuronal marker HuC to

visualise neurons in the OP and brain on *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* embryos, in which RFP is

expressed in the OP. Qualitative observations of these z-stacks revealed that OPs in *sly* mutants

are partially embedded within the brain tissue and display a curved and irregular boundary with

the brain, while the frontier between the two tissues appears as a straight line in control siblings

(Fig. 5A, A', Fig. S4A, A' and Movie 7). To quantify the width of the brain, we used the

Tg(eltC:GFP) line (Stedman et al., 2009), which expresses GFP in forebrain cells, and crossed it

with the *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* line to label the OPs (Fig. 5B, B' and Fig. S4B, B'). We

measured the width of the forebrain in 3 distinct AP levels and 3 distinct DV levels in between

the two OPs (as depicted in Fig. 5B, B' and Fig. S4B, B' for the DV levels). The width of the

forebrain in *sly* mutants was smaller than in controls, in particular in anterior and dorsal areas

(Fig 5B-D and Fig. S4B-D). Proliferation was unchanged in the brain of *sly* mutants, while

apoptosis was only sligtly increased (Fig. S4E-L), suggesting that the smaller brain width is due

to a local distortion of the tissue rather than a decreased number of cells. Next, we quantitatively

analysed the straightness of the brain/OP boundary. Note that the *Tg(eltC:GFP)* line could not

be used for that purpose because a few cells in the OP also express the transgene. In addition,

Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP) expression is mosaic in the OP, preventing the use of this line to

assess the shape of the brain/OP boundary. To perform such a quantification we thus turned to

immunostainings for Dlx3b, a transcription factor specifically expressed in OP (and skin) cells

(Torres-Paz and Whitlock, 2014). We used deep learning to segment the 3D z-stacks of Dlx3b-

immunostaining in control and *sly* mutant embryos at 36 hpf (Fig. 5E-F'), and quantified the

distortion of the OP/brain frontier at various z levels. This analysis demonstrated that the

OP/brain boundary is less straight in *sly* mutants as compared with controls (Fig. 5G, H). Thus,

our analyses of cell tracks, brain size and proliferation/apoptosis, and of the shape of the

brain/OP boundary suggest that the forebrain is smaller and distorted in *sly* mutants, possibly

due to the inward convergence of the two OPs. An non-mutually alternative hypothesis is that

the brain fails to form in the normal size and shape in *sly* mutants. These findings suggest that

the Laminin γ1-dependent BMs serve to establish a straight brain/OP boundary preventing local

intermixing and the late convergence of the two OPs towards each other during the flexure

movement.

Role of Laminin γ1-dependent BMs in olfactory axon development

The growth of the olfactory axons starts with the retrograde axon extension of their proximal

portions during OP coalescence, from 14 to 22 hpf (Breau et al., 2017), followed by the dorsal

growth from the brain/OP boundary to the olfactory bulb from 22 to 32 hpf (Fig. 1K). Laminin has

been reported to orient the emergence of axons (Randlett et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2022). Do

the Laminin γ1-dependent BMs play any role in axon emergence or anchoring during retrograde

axon extension? To test this, we performed live imaging experiments during OP coalescence on

Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP) embryos injected with the *neurog1:GFP* plasmid (Blader et al., 2003),

allowing a sparse labelling of *neurog1:GFP*+ axons (n = 4 mutants and n = 4 controls, 2
independent experiments). Several instances of retrograde extension were seen both in controls
and mutants (Fig. S5A, B), indicating that retrograde axon extension occurs normally for at least
some of the *neurog1:GFP*+ neurons/axons in *sly* mutants. In addition, on fixed *Tg(omp:meYFP)*
sly mutants at 24 hpf, numerous YFP+ neurons had their proximal axonal portion formed and
attached to the brain surface (Fig. S5C-F), suggesting that retrograde axon extension also
occurs normally for at least some of the YFP+ OP neurons. Although we can not rule out that
retrograde axon extension is affected in a subset of OP neurons, for instance in ectopic cells,
the presence of a BM around the OP and/or the brain appears not to be an absolute requirement
for the attachment of axon tips to the ventro-medial wall of the OP during retrograde extension.
379
Are Laminin γ1-dependent BMs important for the growth or navigation of the axons from the
brain/OP boundary to the olfactory bulb, as suggested by Laminin expression? We first
examined the axons in *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos at 24, 28, 32 and 36 hpf. In mutant embryos,
severe axonal defects were observed. Most YFP+ axonal protrusions were unable to leave the
OP and appeared to stall at the OP/brain boundary, leading in some embryos to an apparent
absence of axon bundle (Fig. S5G, left column and Movie 8). In some embryos (8-10%), a small
proportion of axons managed form a bundle reaching the presumptive OB region by ectopically
exiting the OP dorsally (an exit point location never observed in the control fish), while the axons
would normally exit the OP at a more ventro-medial position in the controls (Fig. S5G, left
column and Movie 8). In addition, an increased proportion of embryos with ectopic medial
projections was observed in mutants (18 to 30% of the embryos depending on the stage, as
compared to 0 to 9% in controls, Fig. S5G, right column). Finally, there is a tendency for a higher
percentage of embryos displaying ventral projections in the *sly* mutant, particularly visible at 24
hpf (Fig. S5G, middle column). Altogether, these results suggest navigation issues.
So far the growth of the zebrafish olfactory axons from the OP to the bulb has been mostly
characterised using fixed samples or live imaging with long time intervals (Dynes and Ngai,
1998; Miyasaka et al., 2007). To analyse the dynamic behaviour of the axons, we performed live
imaging from 22 hpf on *sly* mutant and control embryos injected with the *omp:meYFP* plasmid,
in order to obtain a mosaic labelling of the YFP+ neurons and their axons (n = 5 mutants and n =
5 controls, Fig. 6A, B). We tracked individual YFP+ growth cones, as well as the YFP+ cell
bodies in the OP, during consecutive periods of 200 min each, with a time interval of 10 min. We
substracted the average movement of OP cell bodies from the growth cone tracks, in order to
remove the contribution of the global flexure remodelling and analyse specifically the behaviours

of the axons with respect to the surrounding cells/tissue. In controls, as expected, growth cones

overall showed a dorsal and medial migration, except at the end of the movie, which likely

corresponds to their arrival and stalling in the presumptive olfactory bulb. By contrast, growth

cones in the *sly* mutants did not display a directional migration towards the bulb, during the

whole movie duration (Fig. 6C, F, I, L). They were motile and explored the environment, as

shown by speed and persistence measurements, but moved on short distances and/or without

preferential direction, resulting in a perturbed axon growth (Fig. 6C-N). These live imaging

analyses confirm that the migration of the olfactory axons is impaired in *sly* mutants. Altogether,

our results show that Laminin γ1-dependent BMs are essential for the growth and navigation of

the axons from the OP to the olfactory bulb.

Sly mutants display cranial NCC defects but this does not contribute to the late olfactory

system phenotypes

Cranial NCC migration is concomitant with OP coalescence. From 14 hpf, NCC progressively

populate the gaps between the OP and the eye and brain tissues (Harden et al., 2012; Torres-

Paz and Whitlock, 2014; Bryan et al., 2020). Our electron microscopy observations suggested

that NCC are absent at the brain/OP interface of *sly* mutants. *In situ* hybridisation for the NCC

marker *crestin* (Luo et al., 2001) revealed that, while a clear NCC cluster can be seen at the

brain/OP interface in controls at 32 hpf, *crestin*-labelled NCC are absent from this area in *sly*

mutants (Fig. S6A, A'). This suggests that Laminin γ1-dependent BMs are important for NCC

migration or survival in this region of the embryo.

To analyse the dynamics of NCC migration in *sly* mutants and control siblings, we performed live

imaging from 16 to 32 hpf on embryos carrying the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* and *Tg(UAS:RFP)*

transgenes and injected with a *sox10(7.2):KalTA4* plasmid (Almeida et al., 2015), which allows

the mosaic labelling of NCC (n = 10 control placodes and n = 8 mutant placodes, 3 independent

experiments) (Movie 9). As expected from previous studies (Harden et al., 2012 ; Torres-Paz

and Whitlock, 2014, Bryan et al., 2020), in 10/10 control placodes many labelled NCC had

already reached the vicinity of the OP at 16 hpf, and further invaded the interface between the

eye and the OP during the movies (Fig. S6B). Surprisingly, in *sly* mutants, numerous motile NCC

were also observed close to the OP at 16 hpf in all the analysed placodes (8/8), and populated

the eye/OP interface in 7/8 placodes (10/10 in controls) (Fig. S6B). In a subset of control

placodes we could detect a few NCC populating the forebrain/OP interface, either ventrally (4/10

placodes) or dorsally (8/10 placodes). By contrast, in *sly* mutants, NCC were observed in the dorsal region of the brain/OP boundary in only 2/8 placodes, and in the ventral brain/OP frontier in only 2/8 placodes as well. Interestingly, in these two samples, NCC that had initially populated the ventral brain/OP interface were then expelled from the boundary at later stages (Movie 9 and Fig. S6B). Altogether, these live imaging data suggest that the migration of cranial NCC towards the OP and between the eye and OP is only partially impaired in *sly* mutants. The subset of NCC that populate the OP/forebrain appears to be more specifically affected, with defects in their migration to the interface and/or the maintenance of their position at the interface. Note that since the *crestin* marker labels mostly NCC at the OP/forebrain interface at 32 hpf (Fig. S6A), this could explain why *crestin* expression is almost lost in *sly* mutants at this stage.

To test whether the phenotypes observed in *sly* mutants are linked to NCC defects, we took advantage of the *foxd3* mutant, in which cranial NCC migration is delayed (Bryan et al., 2020; Monnot et al. 2022), leading to a loss of *crestin*-labelled NCC at 32 hpf which resembles that of the *sly* mutants (Fig. S7A, B). Importantly, Laminin expression appeared to be unchanged in *foxd3* mutants (Fig. S7C', D' and Monnot et al., 2022). We previously showed that this mutant displays a slightly higher (of about 8-10 µm) ML dimension of the OP at the end of coalescence (Monnot et al., 2022) which is similar to what we observed in *sly* mutants (Fig. 3D). This suggests that NCC defects could be the cause of the increased ML dimension in *sly* mutants. We hypothesize that with less NCC around the OP at this stage, the OP tissue is less constrained and thus slightly spread along the ML axis. To further investigate the influence of NCC on the development of the olfactory system at later stages, we analysed OP dimensions and the length of the olfactory axon bundle at 28 and 36 hpf, in *foxd3* $^{-/-}$ mutants and *foxd3* $^{+/-}$ or $^{+/+}$ control siblings expressing the *Tg(omp:meYFP)* transgene. No significant difference was observed between controls and *foxd3* $^{-/-}$ mutants for these parameters (Fig. S7C-L), suggesting that the late OP morphogenesis and axonal phenotypes of the *sly* mutants are not a consequence of the impairment of NCC development.

Discussion

Our study highlights key roles of Laminin γ1-dependent BMs in critical aspects of the development of the zebrafish olfactory system, revealed here through the detailed analysis of the Laminin γ1 loss-of-function mutant *sly*. During the late development of the OP, Laminin γ1-dependent BMs turned out to be essential to maintain its shape and proper position, and to establish a robust brain/placode boundary. In addition, Laminin-dependent BMs also appeared to be instrumental to ensure a proper growth and pathfinding of the olfactory axons towards the developing olfactory bulb. In the following paragraphs, we will discuss these new roles assigned to BMs in the context of the development of the zebrafish olfactory system, in light of the literature.

The BM of the OP acts as a "shell" maintaining its shape and proper position in the face of forebrain flexure movements

Our data document a mild perturbation of cell movements during OP coalescence, which suggests that Laminin γ1-dependent BMs are overall dispensable for the OP to acquire its initial shape. However, our observation that the OP of the *sly* mutant becomes significantly elongated in the DV dimension and is surrounded by ectopic cells during the forebrain flexure provides strong evidence for a role of the BMs in preventing OP deformation and scattering during this major remodelling of the head tissues. We discovered, along our live imaging observations, a joint anterior and ventral movement of the OPs and forebrain during the flexure time period in the control animals, as if the OPs were dragged along in the same antero-ventral movement as the forebrain. We thus propose that the BM of the OP prevents its deformation in response to the mechanical forces generated by the morphogenetic movement of the neighboring brain.

The ability of the placode's BM to limit the deformation of the OP during this developmental step most probably relies on its stiffness. In the *Drosophila* egg chamber, while Laminin itself has a minor contribution to the BM mechanical properties, Collagen IV, which deposition in the BM depends on Laminin (Díaz de la Loza et al., 2017) has a major contribution to these properties, and in particular to its stiffness (Töpfer et al., 2022). Actually, this BM is characterized by a gradient of stiffness, due to a gradient of Collagen IV, endowing the BM with anisotropic resistance to tissue expansion, allowing egg chamber elongation (Crest et al., 2017). Even though the putative loss of mechanical properties leading to OP deformation observed in the *sly*

mutant is a consequence of a Laminin loss-of-function, it remains conceivable that it is the
Collagen IV, rather than the Laminin itself, which is responsible of these mechanical properties
in wild-type animals. This hypothesis, in line with the dramatic disorganization of the Collagen IV
pattern observed by immunofluorescence in the *sly* mutant, however requires to be challenged
by further investigation.

BMs were for long considered as inert and passive biological material, unable to generate forces
by themselves. We now know that their composition is dynamic during morphogenesis (Díaz de
la Loza et al., 2017; Harunaga et al., 2014; Khalilgharibi and Mao, 2021; Van De Bor et al.,
2021) and this dynamics has been proposed to generate autonomous stresses, i.e. within the
BM itself (Loganathan et al., 2016; Pastor-Pareja and Xu, 2011; Zamir et al., 2008). Accordingly,
Serna-Morales et al. (Serna-Morales et al., 2023) recently showed that the *Drosophila* ventral
nerve cord morphogenesis is driven by a sudden increase in ECM-driven surface tension due to
exponential assembly of Collagen IV in the BM. Still in *Drosophila*, it was recently shown that the
elastic ECM enveloping the wing imaginal disc is a form of "active" shell whose anisotropic
growth affects the tissue layers upon morphogenesis (Harmansa et al., 2023). Whether such
mechanisms participate to the control of the OP shape during the brain flexure remains to be
addressed, through the analysis of the dynamics of expression of Collagen IV or other
components of the BM as well as biomechanical investigations.

A dramatic consequence of the absence of proper OP and brain BMs in the *sly* mutant is the
irregular and undefined frontier between the brain and OP, with placodal cells tending to locally
intermingle with brain cells, and vice versa, along the OP/brain interface. This highlights a key
role of these BMs in establishing a robust and straight boundary between the OP and the brain.
Tissue intermixing has also been observed in the pharynx of Laminin mutants in C. elegans
(Huang et al., 2003) and in the Xenopus notochord upon loss-of-function of the Dystroglycan, a
major Laminin receptor (Buisson et al., 2014). The absence of a clear boundary between the OP
and the brain in the *sly* mutant is accompanied by an apparent distortion of the brain, with
notable reduced dimension in its medio-lateral axis. This phenotype, possibly due to the inward
migration of the placodes that become partially embedded in the brain, illustrates an additional
and unexpected role of the OP and brain BMs, in preventing late convergence of the two
placodes towards each other during the flexure movement.

BMs act as cues participating to the pathfinding of olfactory axons along their journey from the OP to the olfactory bulb

The early development of zebrafish olfactory axons begins with their retrograde extension, involving the attachment of the tips of the axonal protrusions to the brain's surface, followed by a lateral movement of the OP cell bodies in the opposite direction (Breau et al., 2017). This initial step appears not to be affected in the *sly* mutant. These results suggest that Laminin-dependent BMs are dispensable for this retrograde axon extension and, more specifically, that Laminin γ1 itself or other components of the BMs are unlikely to play a role in the anchoring of those axon tips onto the brain's surface, which is a prerequisite for their retrograde extension.

Our study shows that following retrograde elongation in the OP, the axons grow and navigate using bona fide growth cones, assemble a tight axon fascicle which crosses the BM of the OP, turns and migrates dorsally for a short distance between both BMs and thereafter crosses the brain BM to navigate towards the olfactory bulb. In other words, the olfactory axons have to cross two BMs. Our data show that the axons cross the first BM as it is still under construction and contain perforations, thus taking advantage of BM-free small pores to exit the OP and reach the narrow area located between the two BMs. By contrast, the entry point into the brain, across the second BM, opens with the arrival of the axons, suggesting that this opening is achieved by the axons themselves. It will be interesting, in future work, to determine whether the axons locally degrade the BM through the secretion of matrix metalloproteases, or displace the BM with protrusive forces, or use a combination of both mechanisms (Chang and Chaudhuri, 2019; Ihara et al., 2011; Nazari et al., 2022; Yamada et al., 2022).

As they migrate in the narrow corridor between the OP and brain BMs, olfactory axons remain confined in close proximity to the Laminin-rich BMs. Entering into the brain tissue, olfactory axons migrate collectively within a dynamic bundle growing towards the presumptive olfactory bulb. Importantly, once they have reached the brain tissue, the distalmost tip of this axon bundle still grows in close apposition to the internal surface of the brain's BM, suggesting that this BM serves as a migratory path promoting the growth of these axons. This hypothesis is reinforced by the fact that axon growth and directionality are dramatically impaired in the *sly* mutant, in which only few axons expressing *Tg(omp:meYFP)* can extend properly towards their target area. This phenotype is unlikely to be the result of defects in neuronal differentiation, as demonstrated by HuC immunolabeling and expression of the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* and *Tg(omp:meYFP)*

transgenes, which do not show obvious difference as compared to control animals, but appears
to be rather due to axon growth and navigation defects. We did not formally identify the
component(s) of the BM involved in this axon extension and pathfinding, but we consider
Laminin itself as a good candidate for acting as a privileged substrate the axons, as Laminin
does so for other populations of axons in zebrafish (Paulus and Halloran, 2006; Semina et al.,
2006), and has been shown in rodents to favor OSN axon extension *in vitro*, and to be present *in*
vivo along the path followed by OSN axons from the olfactory epithelium to the bulb (Kafitz and
Greer, 1997). From a molecular point of view, it has been established on cultured sympathetic
neurons that Laminin accelerates the growth of axons through binding to integrins, which favors
the formation of F-actin in the growth cone in a microtubule- and Rac1-dependent manner
(Grabham et al., 2003). Recently, Abe et al. (2021) further documented another role of Laminin
in promoting hippocampal neuron growth cones enhanced progression on stiff substrate,
through its interaction with L1, highlighting a new mechanosensitive axon outgrowth mediated by
a L1-Laminin clutch mechanism (Abe et al., 2021). Whereas such Laminin-dependent
mechanisms are used for guiding olfactory axons in zebrafish remains to be further explored.
Other components of the BM, also affected in the *sly* mutant, may be involved as well in the
regulation of olfactory axon development. Still in rodents indeed, the characterisation of the
expression of ECM proteins along the developing olfactory pathway unraveled a complex
interplay between ECM permissive and inhibitory cues expressed in a dynamic way, appearing
to restrict axons to the pathway while promoting axon outgrowth within (Shay et al., 2008).
589
In addition to ECM molecules present in the BMs, guidance cues interacting with these
molecules may also be involved in some aspects of the axonal defects observed in the *sly*
mutant. For example, while Xenopus retinal axons are repulsed by ephrin-A5 on fibronectin, they
are attracted by ephrin-A5 on Laminin (Weinl et al., 2003), and Laminin converts netrin-mediated
attraction of these axons to repulsion (Höpker et al., 1999). Moreover, whereas slit2 repulses
Robo expressing axons of dorsal root ganglia neurons, this repulsive effect is lost in absence of
Laminin (Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al., 2001). Netrin1a and 1b are expressed in the zebrafish
forebrain close to the route of the axons, where they play an attractive role for olfactory axons
which express the netrin receptor DCC (Dang et al., 2023; Lakhina et al., 2012). In addition,
several ephrin and slits ligands are expressed in the forebrain at stages of axonal growth
(zfin.org, Miyasaka et al., 2005). We may thus envisage that the absence of Laminin in the *sly*
mutant may change in some way the netrin-, ephrin- or slit-dependent pathfinding of olfactory
axons.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that the boundary between the sensory and central components of the olfactory system (Suter and Jaworski, 2019) is organised by the BMs of the OP and the brain. These BMs are permeable at specific locations to allow the sensory axons to enter the brain and use them as a substrate to grow towards the olfactory bulb, and at the same time they maintain OP shape and position in the face of major morphogenetic movements occuring during the brain flexure, and ensure proper separation of the two tissues by preventing their intermixing.

Material and Methods

Zebrafish lines

Wild-type, transgenic and mutant zebrafish embryos were obtained by natural spawning. In the text, the developmental times in hpf indicate hours post-fertilization at 28°C. To obtain the 14-22 hpf stages, embryos were collected at 10 am, incubated for 2h at 28°C before being placed overnight in a 23°C incubator to slow down development. Using this protocol, the embryos were at 14 hpf in the following morning at 10 am. We used the following lines (the simplified names are used in the figures and their legends): the zebrafish sly^{wi390} ($sly/lamc1$) mutant (referred to as the sly mutant, Wiellette et al., 2004), the $foxd3^{zdf10}$ mutant (referred to as the $foxd3$ mutant, Stewart et al., 2006), $Tg(-8.4neurog1:GFP)^{sb1}$ (referred to as $Tg(neurog1:GFP)$, Blader et al., 2003) to label the EONs at coalescence stages, $Tg(-2.0ompb:gapYFP)^{rw032}$ (a gift from Nobuhiko Miyasaka, RIKEN Institute, National Bioresource Project of Japan, referred to as $Tg(omp:meYFP)$, Sato et al., 2007) to label $ompb$-expressing OP neurons and their axons from 22 hpf, $Tg(-4.0cldnb:GalTA4, cry:RFP)^{nim11}$ (referred to as $Tg(cldnb:Gal4)$, Breau et al., 2013) combined with $Tg(14XUAS:mRFP,Xla.Cryg:GFP)^{tpl2}$ (referred to as $Tg(UAS:RFP)$, Balciuniene et al., 2013) to label all cells of the OP and their axons, $TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP)$ (Yamaguchi et al., 2022) to visualise the expression of LamC1-sfGFP under the control of the $lamC1$ promoter, and $Tg(eltC:GFP)^{zf199Tg}$ (referred to as $Tg(elementC:gfp)$, Stedman et al., 2009) to label the forebrain. To generate the $Tg(UAS:lyn-tagRFP)$ line, a $10XUAS:lyn-tagRFP$ plasmid was synthesized by Gateway cloning (final destination vector: pDest:tol2) and co-injected with Tol2 mRNA (25 ng/μL of plasmid and 25 ng/μL of Tol2 mRNA) in 1 cell-stage wild type embryos. All our experiments were made in agreement with the European Directive 210/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, and the French application decree "Décret

637 2013-118". The fish facility has been approved by the French "Service for animal protection and
638 health", with the approval number B-75-05-25.
639
Genotyping
The *sly*[wi390] mutant allele and the wild type locus were genotyped by PCR with the following
primers: FW: 5'-CATGACGGCAAAGTTGGTGA-3'; RV1: 5'-CCATGCCTTGCAAAATGGCGTTA
CTTAA-3'; RV2: 5'-TGTAGGAGAGAAGTCGCGAG-3'. To detect the *sly*[wi390] allele (which is an
insertion mutant allele, Wiellette et al., 2004), the FW and RV1 were used to amplify a PCR
product of 554 bp. The wild type allele was detected with the FW and RV2 primers to amplify a
PCR product of 617 bp. The *foxd3*[zdf10] allele was genotyped with the CAPS (Cleaved Amplified
Polymorphic Sequences) technique (Neff et al., 2002) using the SspI restriction enzyme (NEB,
R132S), as described in Bryan et al., 2020.
649
Immunostainings
For Laminin, Nidogen and Collagen IV immunostainings, embryos were fixed in 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA, in PBS), dehydrated in methanol/PBS series and stored in methanol at
−20°C. Embryos were rehydrated in methanol/PBS series, washed in PBS and treated with 10
µg/mL proteinase K (embryos at 24 hpf or younger: 1 min 30 s of incubation, later stages: 3 min
of incubation). Embryos were then whashed in glycin 2 mg/mL, post-fixed in 4% PFA and
washed in PBS. For the other immunostainings, embryos were simply fixed in 4% PFA and
washed in PBS. Embryos were then blocked in 3% goat serum and 0.3% triton in PBS for 2h at
room temperature or overnight at 4°C and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary and
secondary antibodies.
The following primary antibodies were used: anti-Laminin (rabbit, 1/200, L-9393, Sigma), anti-
Nidogen (rabbit, 1/200, ab14511, Abcam), anti-Collagen IV (rabbit, 1/200, ab6586, Abcam), anti-
GFP (chicken, 1/200, GFP-1020, Aves labs), anti-DsRed (rabbit, 1/300, 632496, Takara), anti-
phospho-Histone H3 (rabbit, 1/200, 06-570, Millipore), anti-activated Caspase 3 (rabbit, 1/200,
AF835, R and D systems), anti-HuC/D (mouse, 1/200, clone 16A11, A-21271, Thermofischer
scientific) and anti-Dlx3b (mouse, 1/500, ZIRC).

In situ hybridisation
Partial cDNA sequences for the NCC *crestin* marker were amplified by PCR using the 5'-
AAGCCCTCGAAACTCACCTG-3' (FW) and 5'-CCACTTGATTCCCACGAGCT-3' (RV) primers.
PCR products were subcloned in pGEM-T-easy (Promega) and sequenced. The

Digoxigenin(DIG)-labelled riboprobe was synthetized from PCR templates. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS and stored in methanol at $-20\,°C$. Embryos were then rehydrated in methanol/PBS series, permeabilized 1 min 30 s with proteinase K (10 mg/ml), pre-hybridized, and hybridized overnight at 65 °C in hybridization mixture (50% formamide, 5 X standard saline citrate (SSC), 0.1% Tween 20, 100 µg/ml heparin, 100 µg/ml tRNA in water). The embryos were subjected to a series of washes in 50% SSC/formamide and SSC/PBST, and were then incubated in the blocking solution (0.2% Tween 20, 0.2% Triton X-100, 2% sheep serum in PBST) for one hour and overnight at 4 °C with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG antibodies (Roche) diluted at 1/4000 in the blocking solution. Embryos were then washed in PBST, soaked in staining buffer (TMN: 0,1M NaCl, 0,1M Tris-HCl, pH 9.5, 0.1% Tween 20 in water) and incubated in NBT/BCIP (nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate) solution (Roche).

DNA injection

To achieve mosaic labelling, the *-8.4neurog1:GFP* plasmid (Blader et al., 2003), the *omp:meYFP* plasmid (Miyasaka et al., 2005) and the *sox10(7.2):KalTA4* plasmid (Almeida et al., 2015) were injected respectively at 10, 5 and 15 ng/µL in 1-cell stage embryos.

Image acquisition

For live imaging, embryos were dechorionated manually and mounted at 14 hpf in 0.5% low melting agarose in 1X E3 medium. For movies on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos at coalescence stages, the embryos were imaged directly after the mounting, using a dorsal view, from 14 to 22 hpf (or 14 to 32 hpf for embryos in which NCC were mosaically labelled). For movies on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos, the embryos were placed at 33°C just after the mounting, and imaged from 22 hpf with a frontal view. Movies were recorded at 28°C on a Leica TCS SP8 MPII upright multiphoton microscope using a 25X (numerical aperture (NA) 0.95) water lens. For fixed embryos, immunostained embryos were mounted in 0.5% low melting agarose in PBS and imaged on a Leica TCS SP5 AOBS upright confocal microscope using a 63X (NA 0.9) water lens or on a Zeiss 980 FAST Airyscan with a 20X (NA 1.0) water lens.

For electron microscopy, the zebrafish embryos were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and 2% PFA in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer pH 7.2 overnight at 4°C. Samples were washed in 0.1M cacodylate buffer, incubated for 1h in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M cacodylate buffer, washed with deionized water, incubated 1h in 1% Uranyl Acetate and washed again with deionized water. To facilitate their orientation for sectionning, the zebrafish embryos were embedded in 4%

agarose before being dehydrated through graded concentration of ethanol (50-70-95-100%).
Samples were pre-embedded with graded concentration of anhydrous acetone and EPON
epoxy resin mix (3:1 – 1:1 – 1:3) and embedded with 100% EPON. Finally, the embryos were
mounted on silicon flat mold and polymerized at 60°C for 72 h. Ultrathin sections (80 nm) were
prepared with an Ultracut ultramicrotome (UCT, Leica microsystems). They were deposited on
silicon wafers, and contrasted with 2.5% uranyl acetate and 2% lead citrate. The wafers were
stuck on aluminum stubs, plasma-cleaned, and observed at 1.5 kV, with a 30 μm aperture
diameter and high current mode, at 2 mm WD, with SE and BSE in column detectors, in high
vacuum in a Field-Emission SEM (Gemini 500, Zeiss). Images were automatically acquired with
Atlas 5 (Fibics), with a pixel dwell time of 12.8 μs, a line averaging of 5, with a 8192 x 8192
definition and a pixel size fixed at 2.5 nm (corresponding to an image size of 20.5 × 20.5 μm),
and an overlap of 16% between images. To obtain final mosaics, LookUp Table were inverted,
SE and BSE signals were mixed, and manual stitching was made.

Image analysis
OP and brain dimensions. The ML dimension of the OP represents the distance between the
most medial and the most lateral GFP+ or YFP+ cells in *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* or *Tg(omp:meYFP)*
embryos, respectively. Ectopic fluorescent cells (cells that are physically separated from the
main cluster) were not taken into account for this measurement. The same method was applied
to quantify the AP and DV dimensions of the OP tissue. The width of the brain was measured
along the ML axis using the GFP forebrain expression in *Tg(elementC:gfp)* embryos, at 3
different AP positions and 3 different DV positions.
Thickness of the intercellular space in EM images. Analyses were performed on 1000 pixel-long
rectangular regions at the interface between NCC and brain or OP cells in controls, and at the
brain/OP interface in *sly* mutants (from 3 to 7 regions per embryo). In each region, the thickness
of the intercellular space was manually measured every 100 pixels using Fiji (10
measurements/region). The average thickness per region and then per embryo was computed
and plotted.
Manual cell tracking. Individual cells from the OP (expressing *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* or
Tg(omp:meYFP)) and the adjacent brain were tracked in 3D using the Manual Tracking plugin in
ImageJ/Fiji. For tracking on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos, tracked cells from the brain and the OP
expressed *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* at least at the end of the movie. For tracking on *Tg(omp:meYFP)*
embryos, we followed forebrain cells located between the two OPs. For growth cone tracking,
individual growth cones were followed in 3D using the same plugin in embryos injected with the

omp:meYFP plasmid, over periods of 200 min each. The orientation of the trajectories
represents the angle between the track and the vertical DV axis. 2D color coded trajectories and
rose plots were generated in Matlab (Mathworks, US). The 3D MSD was computed using the
Numpy library in Python (Harris et al., 2020). Plots representing cell tracks merged at their origin
were produced with Microsoft Excel.

Segmentation and analysis of the brain/OP boundary. The segmentation of Dlx3b+ OP cells was
performed using a two-step workflow in Ilastik and Fiji softwares. First, to achieve pixel
classification, 3 representative images of controls and of *sly* mutants were loaded into the data
input menu and used to train the algorithm. After the training the pixel features and feature size
(sigma) were selected. All features on all sigma scales were selected. This allowed pixels to be
classified based on gray intensity, resemblance to an edge, and texture. Next, the supervised
training was performed. 3 examples of each object were labelled; then, the classifier was
allowed to update to observe the results. Using the uncertainty overlay, areas of high uncertainty
were labeled iteratively until the prediction layer showed satisfactory identification of the pixels.
This process was repeated for all 3 images. The trained classifier was then run on all images,
and the pixel classification data were saved as segmented images. In the second step, a "region
of interest" (ROI) containing the brain/OP boundary was manually defined using Fiji. The edge
detection was applied in that ROI and allowed the delineation of the boundary between
segmented OP cells and brain cells. The data were exported as CSV files to be used for
analysis. The distortion index is defined as the total length of the boundary, divided by the
distance between the dorsalmost and ventralmost positions along the analysed boundary region.
758

Statistical analysis
Most graphs show means ± standard error of the mean (sem), overlayed with all individual data
points. These plots were generated with the GraphPad Prism software. For all graphs, we
checked for normality of the data distribution before performing parametric, unpaired, two tailed t
tests. When the data were not normally distributed, a Mann-Whitney test was used. A Chi2 test
was performed on the data presented in Fig. S2M-P and Fig. S4I-L. For the segmentation of the
brain/OP boundary (Fig. 5G, H), the data were analyzed through an ANOVA test (mixed models,
with animals as random effect and genotype and side as fixed effects). The orientation of cell
and growth cone trajectories were treated as circular variables and analysed between groups
using the circular analysis of variance based on the likelihood ratio test (Fig. 4O and Fig. 6C, F,
I, L). The p values correspond to $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$. No statistical method was
used to estimate sample size and no randomization was performed.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Isabelle Bonnet for her help in image analysis, and Alexis Eschstruth for his help in molecular biology. This work was funded by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE13-0009-01 NEUROMECHANICS and ANR-23-CE13-0025 MECAMATRIX), the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Sorbonne Université, and the National Institute of Health NIDCD Grant R01-DC-017989. We also thank the imaging platform of the Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (the facility is supported by CNRS, Sorbonne Université and the Conseil Régional Ile-de-France), and the IBPS aquatic platform for fish care.

References

References

Abe, K., Baba, K., Huang, L., Wei, K. T., Okano, K., Hosokawa, Y. and Inagaki, N. (2021).
Mechanosensitive axon outgrowth mediated by L1-laminin clutch interface. *Biophys J* **120**,
3566–3576.

Aguillon, R., Madelaine, R., Aguirrebengoa, M., Guturu, H., Link, S., Dufourcq, P.,
Lecaudey, V., Bejerano, G., Blader, P. and Batut, J. (2020). Morphogenesis is
transcriptionally coupled to neurogenesis during peripheral olfactory organ development.
Development **147**, (24): dev192971.

Almeida, R.G. and Lyons, D.A. (2015). Intersectional Gene Expression in Zebrafish Using the
Split KalTA4 System. *Zebrafish* **12**, 377-86.

Anderson, C., Thorsteinsdóttir, S. and Borycki, A.G. (2009). Sonic hedgehog-dependent
synthesis of laminin alpha1 controls basement membrane assembly in the myotome.
Development **136**, 3495-504.

Balciuniene, J., Nagelberg, D., Walsh, K. T., Camerota, D., Georlette, D., Biemar, F.,
Bellipanni, G. and Balciunas, D. (2013). Efficient disruption of Zebrafish genes using a Gal4-
containing gene trap. *BMC Genomics* **14**, 619.

Baraban, M., Gordillo Pi, C., Bonnet, I., Gilles, J.-F., Lejeune, C., Cabrera, M., Tep, F. and
Breau, M. A. (2023). Actomyosin contractility in olfactory placode neurons opens the skin
epithelium to form the zebrafish nostril. *Dev Cell* **58**, 361-375.e5.

Belvindrah, R., Hankel, S., Walker, J., Patton, B. L. and Müller, U. (2007). Beta1 integrins
control the formation of cell chains in the adult rostral migratory stream. *J Neurosci* **27**, 2704–
2717.

Blader, P., Plessy, C. and Strahle, U. (2003). Multiple regulatory elements with spatially and
temporally distinct activities control neurogenin1 expression in primary neurons of the zebrafish
embryo. *Mech Dev* **120**, 211–8.

Bonner, J. and O'Connor, T. P. (2001). The permissive cue laminin is essential for growth cone
turning in vivo. *J Neurosci* **21**, 9782–9791.

Breau, M. A., Wilkinson, D. G. and Xu, Q. (2013). A Hox gene controls lateral line cell
migration by regulating chemokine receptor expression downstream of Wnt signaling. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **110**, 16892–16897.

Breau, M. A., Bonnet, I., Stoufflet, J., Xie, J., De Castro, S. and Schneider-Maunoury, S.
(2017). Extrinsic mechanical forces mediate retrograde axon extension in a developing neuronal
circuit. *Nat Commun* **8**, 282.

Breau, M. A. and Trembleau, A. (2023). Chemical and mechanical control of axon fasciculation
and defasciculation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* **140**, 72-81.

Bryan, C. D., Chien, C. B. and Kwan, K. M. (2016). Loss of laminin alpha 1 results in multiple
structural defects and divergent effects on adhesion during vertebrate optic cup morphogenesis.
Dev Biol **416**, 324–37.

Bryan, C. D., Casey, M. A., Pfeiffer, R. L., Jones, B. W. and Kwan, K. M. (2020). Optic cup
morphogenesis requires neural crest-mediated basement membrane assembly. *Development*
147 (4): dev181420.

Buisson, N., Sirour, C., Moreau, N., Denker, E., Le Bouffant, R., Goullancourt, A.,
Darribère, T. and Bello, V. (2014). An adhesome comprising laminin, dystroglycan and myosin
IIA is required during notochord development in Xenopus laevis. *Development* **141**, 4569-79.

Chang, J. and Chaudhuri, O. (2019). Beyond proteases: Basement membrane mechanics and
cancer invasion. *J Cell Biol* **218**, 2456–2469.

Chapman, S. C., Sawitzke, A. L., Campbell, D. S. and Schoenwolf, G. C. (2005). A three-
dimensional atlas of pituitary gland development in the zebrafish. *J Comp Neurol* **487**, 428–440.

Chen, Z.-L., Haegeli, V., Yu, H. and Strickland, S. (2009). Cortical deficiency of laminin
gamma1 impairs the AKT/GSK-3beta signaling pathway and leads to defects in neurite
outgrowth and neuronal migration. *Dev Biol* **327**, 158–168.

Crest, J., Diz-Muñoz, A., Chen, D. Y., Fletcher, D. A. and Bilder, D. (2017) Organ sculpting by
patterned extracellular matrix stiffness. *Elife* **6**, e24958.

Dang, P., Barnes, D. T., Cheng, R. P., Xu, A., Moon, Y. J., Kodukula, S. S. and Raper, J. A.
(2023). Netrins and Netrin Receptors are Essential for Normal Targeting of Sensory Axons in the
Zebrafish Olfactory Bulb. *Neuroscience* **508**, 19–29.

Díaz de la Loza, M. C., Díaz-Torres, A., Zurita, F., Rosales-Nieves, A. E., Moeendarbary, E.,
Franze, K., Martín-Bermudo, M. D. and González-Reyes, A. (2017). Laminin Levels Regulate
Tissue Migration and Anterior-Posterior Polarity during Egg Morphogenesis in Drosophila. *Cell*
Rep **20**, 211–223.

Dolez, M., Nicolas, J.-F. and Hirsinger, E. (2011). Laminins, via heparan sulfate
proteoglycans, participate in zebrafish myotome morphogenesis by modulating the pattern of
Bmp responsiveness. *Development* **138**, 97–106.

Dynes, J. L. and Ngai, J. (1998). Pathfinding of olfactory neuron axons to stereotyped
glomerular targets revealed by dynamic imaging in living zebrafish embryos. *Neuron* **20**, 1081–
1091.

Garcia, K. E., Okamoto, R. J., Bayly, P. V. and Taber, L. A. (2017). Contraction and stress-

dependent growth shape the forebrain of the early chicken embryo. *J Mech Behav Biomed*

Mater **65**, 383–397.

García-Alonso, L., Fetter, R. D. and Goodman, C. S. (1996). Genetic analysis of Laminin A in

Drosophila: extracellular matrix containing laminin A is required for ocellar axon pathfinding.

Development **122**, 2611–2621.

Grabham, P. W., Reznik, B. and Goldberg, D. J. (2003). Microtubule and Rac 1-dependent F-

actin in growth cones. *J Cell Sci* **116**, 3739–3748.

Grant, P. K. and Moens, C. B. (2010). The neuroepithelial basement membrane serves as a

boundary and a substrate for neuron migration in the zebrafish hindbrain. *Neural Dev* **5**, 9.

Harden, M. V., Pereiro, L., Ramialison, M., Wittbrodt, J., Prasad, M. K., McCallion, A. S. and

Whitlock, K. E. (2012). Close association of olfactory placode precursors and cranial neural

crest cells does not predestine cell mixing. *Dev Dyn* **241**, 1143–54.

Harmansa, S., Erlich, A., Eloy, C., Zurlo, G. and Lecuit, T. (2023). Growth anisotropy of the

extracellular matrix shapes a developing organ. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1220.

Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau,

D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N. J., et al. (2020). Array programming with NumPy.

Nature **585**, 357–362.

Harunaga, J. S., Doyle, A. D. and Yamada, K. M. (2014). Local and global dynamics of the

basement membrane during branching morphogenesis require protease activity and actomyosin

contractility. *Dev Biol* **394**, 197–205.

Hauptmann, G. and Gerster, T. (2000). Regulatory gene expression patterns reveal transverse

and longitudinal subdivisions of the embryonic zebrafish forebrain. *Mech Dev* **91**, 105–118.

Höpker, V. H., Shewan, D., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Poo, M. and Holt, C. (1999). Growth-cone

attraction to netrin-1 is converted to repulsion by laminin-1. *Nature* **401**, 69–73.

Huang, C.-C., Hall, D. H., Hedgecock, E. M., Kao, G., Karantza, V., Vogel, B. E., Hutter, H.,

Chisholm, A. D., Yurchenco, P. D. and Wadsworth, W. G. (2003). Laminin alpha subunits and

their role in C. elegans development. *Development* **130**, 3343–3358.

Ihara, S., Hagedorn, E. J., Morrissey, M. A., Chi, Q., Motegi, F., Kramer, J. M. and

Sherwood, D. R. (2011). Basement membrane sliding and targeted adhesion remodels tissue

boundaries during uterine-vulval attachment in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nat Cell Biol* **13**, 641–

651.

Ivanovitch, K., Cavodeassi, F. and Wilson, S. W. (2013). Precocious acquisition of

neuroepithelial character in the eye field underlies the onset of eye morphogenesis. *Dev Cell* **27**,

293–305.

Kafitz, K. W. and Greer, C. A. (1997). Role of laminin in axonal extension from olfactory
receptor cells. *J Neurobiol* **32**, 298–310.

Karamanos, N. K., Piperigkou, Z., Passi, A., Götte, M., Rousselle, P. and Vlodavsky, I.
(2021). Extracellular matrix-based cancer targeting. *Trends Mol Med* **27**, 1000–1013.

Karlstrom, R. O., Trowe, T., Klostermann, S., Baier, H., Brand, M., Crawford, A. D.,
Grunewald, B., Haffter, P., Hoffmann, H., Meyer, S. U., et al. (1996). Zebrafish mutations
affecting retinotectal axon pathfinding. *Development* **123**, 427–438.

Kaur, S., Kaur, I., Rawal, P., Tripathi, D. M. and Vasudevan, A. (2021). Non-matrigel scaffolds
for organoid cultures. *Cancer Lett* **504**, 58–66.

Khalilgharibi, N. and Mao, Y. (2021). To form and function: on the role of basement membrane
mechanics in tissue development, homeostasis and disease. *Open Biol* **11**, 200360.

Kim, S., Uroz, M., Bays, J. L. and Chen, C. S. (2021). Harnessing Mechanobiology for Tissue
Engineering. *Dev Cell* **56**, 180–191.

Lakhina, V., Marcaccio, C. L., Shao, X., Lush, M. E., Jain, R. A., Fujimoto, E., Bonkowsky,
J. L., Granato, M. and Raper, J. A. (2012). Netrin/DCC signaling guides olfactory sensory
axons to their correct location in the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* **32**, 4440–4456.

Lee, J. and Gross, J. M. (2007). Laminin beta1 and gamma1 containing laminins are essential
for basement membrane integrity in the zebrafish eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **48**, 2483–
2490.

Li, S., Liquari, P., McKee, K. K., Harrison, D., Patel, R., Lee, S. and Yurchenco, P. D. (2005).
Laminin-sulfatide binding initiates basement membrane assembly and enables receptor
signaling in Schwann cells and fibroblasts. *J Cell Biol* **169**, 179–189.

Loganathan, R., Rongish, B. J., Smith, C. M., Filla, M. B., Czirok, A., Bénazéraf, B. and
Little, C. D. (2016). Extracellular matrix motion and early morphogenesis. *Development* **143**,
2056–2065.

Luo, R., An, M., Arduini, B. L. and Henion, P. D. (2001) Specific pan-neural crest expression
of zebrafish Crestin throughout embryonic development. *Dev Dyn* **220**, 69-74.

Madelaine, R., Garric, L. and Blader, P. (2011). Partially redundant proneural function reveals
the importance of timing during zebrafish olfactory neurogenesis. *Development* **138**, 4753–62.

Miner, J. H. and Yurchenco, P. D. (2004). Laminin functions in tissue morphogenesis. *Annu*
Rev Cell Dev Biol **20**, 255–284.

Miyasaka, N., Sato, Y., Yeo, S.-Y., Hutson, L. D., Chien, C.-B., Okamoto, H. and Yoshihara,
Y. (2005). Robo2 is required for establishment of a precise glomerular map in the zebrafish
olfactory system. *Development* **132**, 1283–1293.

Miyasaka, N., Knaut, H. and Yoshihara, Y. (2007). Cxcl12/Cxcr4 chemokine signaling is
required for placode assembly and sensory axon pathfinding in the zebrafish olfactory system.
Development **134**, 2459–2468.

Monnot, P., Gangatharan, G., Baraban, M., Pottin, K., Cabrera, M., Bonnet, I. and Breau, M.
A. (2022). Intertissue mechanical interactions shape the olfactory circuit in zebrafish. *EMBO Rep*
23, e52963.

Moore, R. E., Pop, S., Alleyne, C. and Clarke, J. D. W. (2022). Microtubules are not required
to generate a nascent axon in embryonic spinal neurons in vivo. *EMBO reports* **23**, e52493.

Nazari, S. S., Doyle, A. D. and Yamada, K. M. (2022). Mechanisms of Basement Membrane
Micro-Perforation during Cancer Cell Invasion into a 3D Collagen Gel. *Gels* **8**, 567.

Neff, M. M., Turk, E. and Kalishman, M. (2002). Web-based primer design for single nucleotide
polymorphism analysis. *Trends Genet* **18**, 613–615.

Nguyen-Ba-Charvet, K. T., Brose, K., Marillat, V., Sotelo, C., Tessier-Lavigne, M. and
Chédotal, A. (2001). Sensory axon response to substrate-bound Slit2 is modulated by laminin
and cyclic GMP. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **17**, 1048–1058.

Odenthal, J., Haffter, P., Vogelsang, E., Brand, M., van Eeden, F. J., Furutani-Seiki, M.,
Granato, M., Hammerschmidt, M., Heisenberg, C. P., Jiang, Y. J., et al. (1996). Mutations
affecting the formation of the notochord in the zebrafish, Danio rerio. *Development* **123**, 103–
115.

Parsons, M. J., Pollard, S. M., Saúde, L., Feldman, B., Coutinho, P., Hirst, E. M. A. and
Stemple, D. L. (2002). Zebrafish mutants identify an essential role for laminins in notochord
formation. *Development* **129**, 3137–3146.

Pastor-Pareja, J. C. and Xu, T. (2011). Shaping Cells and Organs in Drosophila by Opposing
Roles of Fat Body-Secreted Collagen IV and Perlecan. *Developmental Cell* **21**, 245–256.

Paulus, J. D. and Halloran, M. C. (2006). Zebrafish bashful/laminin-alpha 1 mutants exhibit
multiple axon guidance defects. *Dev Dyn* **235**, 213–224.

Pollard, S. M., Parsons, M. J., Kamei, M., Kettleborough, R. N. W., Thomas, K. A., Pham, V.
N., Bae, M.-K., Scott, A., Weinstein, B. M. and Stemple, D. L. (2006). Essential and
overlapping roles for laminin alpha chains in notochord and blood vessel formation. *Dev Biol*
289, 64–76.

Powell, S. K. and Kleinman, H. K. (1997). Neuronal laminins and their cellular receptors. *Int J*
Biochem Cell Biol **29**, 401–414.

Randlett, O., Poggi, L., Zolessi, F. R. and Harris, W. A. (2011). The oriented emergence of
axons from retinal ganglion cells is directed by laminin contact in vivo. *Neuron* **70**, 266–280.

Ross, L. S., Parrett, T. and Easter, S. S. (1992). Axonogenesis and morphogenesis in the
embryonic zebrafish brain. *J Neurosci* **12**, 467–482.

Sato, Y., Miyasaka, N. and Yoshihara, Y. (2005). Mutually exclusive glomerular innervation by
two distinct types of olfactory sensory neurons revealed in transgenic zebrafish. *J Neurosci* **25**,
4889–4897.

Sato, Y., Miyasaka, N. and Yoshihara, Y. (2007). Hierarchical regulation of odorant receptor
gene choice and subsequent axonal projection of olfactory sensory neurons in zebrafish. *J
Neurosci* **27**, 1606–1615.

**Semina, E. V., Bosenko, D. V., Zinkevich, N. C., Soules, K. A., Hyde, D. R., Vihtelic, T. S.,
Willer, G. B., Gregg, R. G. and Link, B. A.** (2006). Mutations in laminin alpha 1 result in
complex, lens-independent ocular phenotypes in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **299**, 63–77.

**Serna-Morales, E., Sánchez-Sánchez, B.J., Marcotti, S., Nichols, A., Bhargava, A., Dragu,
A., Hirvonen, L.M., Díaz-de-la-Loza, M.D., Mink, M., Cox, S., Rayfield, E., Lee, R.M.,
Hobson, C.M., Chew, T.L. and Stramer, B.M**. (2023) Extracellular matrix assembly stress
initiates Drosophila central nervous system morphogenesis. *Dev Cell* **58**, 825-835.e6.

Shay, E. L., Greer, C. A. and Treloar, H. B. (2008). Dynamic expression patterns of ECM
molecules in the developing mouse olfactory pathway. *Dev Dyn* **237**, 1837–1850.

Sidhaye, J. and Norden, C. (2017). Concerted action of neuroepithelial basal shrinkage and
active epithelial migration ensures efficient optic cup morphogenesis. *Elife* **6**,.

**Sittaramane, V., Sawant, A., Wolman, M. A., Maves, L., Halloran, M. C. and Chandrasekhar,
A.** (2009). The cell adhesion molecule Tag1, transmembrane protein Stbm/Vangl2, and
Lamininalpha1 exhibit genetic interactions during migration of facial branchiomotor neurons in
zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **325**, 363–373.

Smyth, N., Vatansever, H. S., Murray, P., Meyer, M., Frie, C., Paulsson, M. and Edgar, D.
(1999). Absence of basement membranes after targeting the LAMC1 gene results in embryonic
lethality due to failure of endoderm differentiation. *J Cell Biol* **144**, 151–160.

Soleman, S., Filippov, M. A., Dityatev, A. and Fawcett, J. W. (2013). Targeting the neural
extracellular matrix in neurological disorders. *Neuroscience* **253**, 194–213.

**Stedman, A., Lecaudey, V., Havis, E., Anselme, I., Wassef, M., Gilardi-Hebenstreit, P. and
Schneider-Maunoury, S.** (2009). A functional interaction between Irx and Meis patterns the
anterior hindbrain and activates krox20 expression in rhombomere 3. *Dev Biol* **327**, 566–577.

**Stemple, D. L., Solnica-Krezel, L., Zwartkruis, F., Neuhauss, S. C., Schier, A. F., Malicki, J.,
Stainier, D. Y., Abdelilah, S., Rangini, Z., Mountcastle-Shah, E., et al.** (1996). Mutations
affecting development of the notochord in zebrafish. *Development* **123**, 117–128.

Stewart, R. A., Arduini, B. L., Berghmans, S., George, R. E., Kanki, J. P., Henion, P. D. and
Look, A. T. (2006). Zebrafish foxd3 is selectively required for neural crest specification,
migration and survival. *Developmental Biology* **292**, 174–188.

Suter, T. and Jaworski, A. (2019). Cell migration and axon guidance at the border between
central and peripheral nervous system. *Science* **365**,.

Theocharis, A. D., Manou, D. and Karamanos, N. K. (2019). The extracellular matrix as a
multitasking player in disease. *FEBS J* **286**, 2830–2869.

Töpfer, U., Guerra Santillán, K. Y., Fischer-Friedrich, E. and Dahmann, C. (2022). Distinct
contributions of ECM proteins to basement membrane mechanical properties in Drosophila.
Development **149**, dev200456.

Torres-Paz, J. and Whitlock, K. E. (2014). Olfactory sensory system develops from
coordinated movements within the neural plate. *Dev Dyn* **243**, 1619–31.

Torres-Paz, J., Tine, E. M. and Whitlock, K. E. (2021). Dissecting the neural divide: a
continuous neurectoderm gives rise to the olfactory placode and bulb. *Int J Dev Biol* **65**, 275–
287.

Tropepe, V. and Sive, H. L. (2003). Can zebrafish be used as a model to study the
neurodevelopmental causes of autism? *Genes Brain Behav* **2**, 268–281.

Tsuda, S., Kitagawa, T., Takashima, S., Asakawa, S., Shimizu, N., Mitani, H., Shima, A.,
Tsutsumi, M., Hori, H., Naruse, K., et al. (2010). FAK-mediated extracellular signals are
essential for interkinetic nuclear migration and planar divisions in the neuroepithelium. *J Cell Sci*
123, 484–496.

Urbano, J. M., Torgler, C. N., Molnar, C., Tepass, U., López-Varea, A., Brown, N. H., de
Celis, J. F. and Martín-Bermudo, M. D. (2009). Drosophila laminins act as key regulators of
basement membrane assembly and morphogenesis. *Development* **136**, 4165–4176.

Van De Bor, V., Loreau, V., Malbouyres, M., Cerezo, D., Placenti, A., Ruggiero, F. and
Noselli, S. (2021). A dynamic and mosaic basement membrane controls cell intercalation in
Drosophila ovaries. *Development* **148**,.

Vöcking, O., Van Der Meulen, K., Patel, M. K. and Famulski, J. K. (2023). Zebrafish anterior
segment mesenchyme progenitors are defined by function of tfap2a but not sox10.
Differentiation **130**, 32–42.

Walma, D. A. C. and Yamada, K. M. (2020). The extracellular matrix in development.
Development **147**, dev175596.

Weinl, C., Drescher, U., Lang, S., Bonhoeffer, F. and Löschinger, J. (2003). On the turning of
Xenopus retinal axons induced by ephrin-A5. *Development* **130**, 1635–1643.

Whitlock, K. E. and Westerfield, M. (1998). A transient population of neurons pioneers the

olfactory pathway in the zebrafish. *J Neurosci* **18**, 8919–8927.

Whitlock, K. E. and Westerfield, M. (2000). The olfactory placodes of the zebrafish form by

convergence of cellular fields at the edge of the neural plate. *Development* **127**, 3645–53.

Wiellette, E., Grinblat, Y., Austen, M., Hirsinger, E., Amsterdam, A., Walker, C.,

Westerfield, M. and Sive, H. (2004). Combined haploid and insertional mutation screen in the

zebrafish. *Genesis* **40**, 231–240.

Wolman, M. A., Sittaramane, V. K., Essner, J. J., Yost, H. J., Chandrasekhar, A. and

Halloran, M. C. (2008). Transient axonal glycoprotein-1 (TAG-1) and laminin-alpha1 regulate

dynamic growth cone behaviors and initial axon direction in vivo. *Neural Dev* **3**, 6.

Yamada, K. M., Doyle, A. D. and Lu, J. (2022). Cell-3D matrix interactions: recent advances

and opportunities. *Trends Cell Biol* **32**, 883–895.

Yamaguchi, N., Zhang, Z., Schneider, T., Wang, B., Panozzo, D. and Knaut, H. (2022). Rear

traction forces drive adherent tissue migration in vivo. *Nat Cell Biol* **24**, 194–204.

Zamir, E. A., Rongish, B. J. and Little, C. D. (2008). The ECM moves during primitive streak

formation--computation of ECM versus cellular motion. *PLoS Biol* **6**, e247.

1035

1036

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Expression profile of Laminin in relation with the development of the olfactory
 system. A-D. Immunostaining for Laminin (magenta) on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos (green) at
 18 and 22 hpf (A-B', dorsal views), and on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos (green) at 28 and 36 hpf
 (C-D', frontal views). In A, white arrowheads = BM-like Laminin staining around the brain, grey
 arrowheads = spotty Laminin accumulation around the OP. In C, D, asterisks = interruptions in
 the OP's and brain's BM where the YFP+ axons exit the OP and enter the brain. arrows =
 distalmost extremity of the YFP+ axon bundle, which is in close contact with the internal side of
 the brain's BM. In C', D', white arrowheads = ventro-medial unipolar neurons labelled by the
 Tg(omp:meYFP) line (see Miyasaka et al., 2005)*.* All the images are single z-sections. **E-J.**
 Images extracted from confocal live imaging on *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP); Tg(cldnb:Gal4;*
 UAS:RFP) embryos (frontal view, except for Fig. 1J, dorsal view)*.* LamC1-sfGFP expression in
 green, and RFP expression (OP cells and peridermal skin cells) in magenta. Arrowheads = OP
 cells with cytoplasmic LamC1-sfGFP accumulation. Asterisks in F and H = axon exit point and
 entry point, respectively. Arrows in H and I = distalmost extremity of the RFP+ axon bundle,
 located close to the brain's BM. In J, double headed arrow = gap in the LamC1-sfGFP observed
 at the interface with the periderm, where the nostril orifice opens in the skin, as previously
 reported (Baraban et al., 2023). All the images are single z-sections**. K.** Schematic
 representation of Laminin-containing BM (magenta) assembly during OP coalescence (a, b), of
 the formation of the exit/entry points, which often appear as zones with several, small BM
 interruptions (b, d) and the associated axonal behaviours: retrograde axon extension and lateral
 movement in the OP (a, b, grey arrows), growth as a fasciculated bundle, initially between the
 BMs of the OP and the brain (c), and then migration of the axonal tips along the internal side of
 the brain's BM (d). Scale bar: 50 µm.

 Figure 2. The integrity of the BMs of OP and brain tissues is strongly affected in *sly*
 mutants. A-I. Immunostaining for Laminin (A-C), Nidogen (D-F) and Collagen IV (G-I) (magenta)
 on *sly* mutants and control siblings at 22 (dorsal view), 28, and 36 hpf (frontal view). For Laminin
 and Nidogen, the linear, BM-like staining seen in controls around the OP and brain tissues is not
 detected in *sly* mutants. In G, white arrowheads = BM-like linear Collagen IV staining, grey
 arrowheads = fibrous staining around the OP. In *sly* mutants, the linear Collagen IV pattern is
 dramatically disrupted, with, however, remaining fibrous patches of Collagen IV in some discrete
 areas located at the margin of the OP and/or between the OP and brain (arrows in G', H', I').

Scale bar: 50 μm. **J, K**. Examples of EM images of the intercellular space between NCC and the
OP in control siblings (J, K) and the OP and the brain in *sly* mutants (J', K'), at 24 (J, J') and 32
hpf (K, K'). Arrows = plasma membranes. The pictures were taken in the areas depicted with red
boxes in L, L'. **L.** Schematic view of the brain/OP boundary and of the areas (red boxes) where
the pictures were taken in controls (L) and *sly* mutants (L'). OP, brain and NCC were identified
by their position and shape: migrating NCC showed an elongated morphology along the AP axis,
which differed from the round OP cell bodies and from brain neuroepithelial cells elongated
along the ML axis. **M, N.** Thickness of the intercellular space in *sly* mutants (between OP and
brain cells) and control siblings (between NCC and brain or OP cells) at 24 hpf (n = 3 controls; n
= 3 mutants) and 32 hpf (n = 2 controls; n = 3 mutants). For 24 hpf, unpaired, two-tailed t test.
For 32 hpf, Mann-Whitney test.

1082

Figure 3. Analysis of OP coalescence in *sly* mutants and control siblings. A, B. Images
(dorsal views, 1 z-section) of representative OPs from a *Tg(neurog1:GFP); sly $^{-/-}$* mutant (right)
and a control *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* sibling (left) at the end of OP coalescence (22 hpf). The
Tg(neurog1:GFP)$^{+}$ OP clusters are surrounded by dotted lines. **C-E.** Graphs showing the
anteroposterior (AP, in C), the mediolateral (ML, in D), and dorsoventral (DV, in E) dimensions of
the *Tg(neurog1:GFP)$^{+}$* OP clusters in *sly* mutants (pink) and control siblings (blue) at 22 hpf (n =
62 controls and n = 32 mutants from 2 independent experiments). Ectopic fluorescent cells (cells
that are physically separated from the main cluster) were not taken into account for the
measurement of OP dimensions. Unpaired, two-tailed t test. **F-F'' and H-H''.** Images extracted
from confocal live imaging on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* control (F-F'') and *sly* mutant (H-H'') embryos
during OP coalescence, dorsal view, average projection. Only the left side of the embryo is
shown. **G, I.** Examples of 2D tracks (ML along X and AP along Y) of *Tg(neurog1:GFP)$^{+}$* OP cells
(red) and *Tg(neurog1:GFP)$^{+}$* brain cells (green) in a control (G) and a *sly* mutant embryo (I).
Only the left side of the embryo is shown. The time is color-coded: light colors at the beginning
of the trajectory (17 hpf) towards dark colors for the end of the track (600 min later). **J, K.** MSD
analysis for OP cells (J) and brain cells (K) in *sly* mutants and control siblings (n = 5 controls and
n = 5 mutants from 3 independent experiments, 10 to 14 cells analysed in each tissue). **L, M.**
Graphs showing the total lateral (L) and ventral (M) displacement of OP cells, starting at 17 hpf
and during 600 min of time lapse (n = 5 control placodes and n = 5 mutant placodes from 3
independent experiments, 10 to 14 cells per placode, unpaired, two-tailed t test). **N-P.** Graphs
showing the total anterior displacement of anterior, central and posterior OP cells (as defined in
Breau et al., 2017), starting at 17 hpf and during 600 min of time lapse (n = 5 control placodes

and n = 5 mutant placodes from 3 independent experiments, mean calculated from 1 to 12 cells
per placode, unpaired, two-tailed t test). Note that in some of the OPs we could not find any
trackable (i.e. expressing H2B-RFP) posterior OP cell, which explains why there are only 4
control points and 3 mutant points in the graph showing the anterior displacement of posterior
cells).

1110

Figure 4. Analysis of OP and brain morphogenesis in *sly* mutants and control siblings
during the forebrain flexure. A, B. Images (frontal view, 1 z-section) of representative
placodes from a *Tg(omp:meYFP); sly* [-/-] mutant (right) and a control *Tg(omp:meYFP)* sibling (left)
at 36 hpf. Laminin immunostaining in magenta. **C-E.** Graphs showing the anteroposterior (AP, in
C), the mediolateral (ML, in D), and dorsoventral (DV, in E) dimensions of the *Tg(omp:meYFP)*[+]
OP clusters in *sly* mutants (pink) and control siblings (blue) at 36 hpf (n = 15 controls and n = 9
mutants from 4 independent experiments). Ectopic fluorescent cells (cells that are physically
separated from the main cluster) were not taken into account for the measurement of OP
dimensions. Unpaired, two-tailed t test. Similar measurements performed at younger stages are
shown in Fig. S3A-L. **F, G.** Examples of images used for the analysis of ectopic cells, defined as
Tg(omp:meYFP)+ cells being physically separated from the main YFP+ cluster. Arrowheads
show instances of ectopic cells in a *sly* mutant. **H.** Table showing the % of control and mutant
embryos with at least one ectopic cell located dorsally, ventrally, laterally, and medially to the
main YFP+ cluster. The numbers of analysed embryos are indicated in the table. **I-I'' and K-K''.**
Images extracted from confocal live imaging on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* control (I-I'') and *sly* mutant (K-
K'') embryos during the forebrain flexure, from 22 hpf and over 1080 min, frontal view, maximum
projection. Only the left side of the embryo is shown. **J, L.** Examples of 2D tracks (ML along X
and DV along Y) of *Tg(omp:meYFP)*^{+} OP cells (red) and adjacent brain cells (green) in a control
(J) and a *sly* mutant (L). The time is color-coded: light colors at the beginning of the trajectory
(22 hpf) towards dark colors for the end of the track (1080 min later). Only the left side of the
embryo is shown. **M, N.** 3D MSD analysis of OP (M) and brain cells (N) in *sly* mutants and
control siblings (n = 4 controls and n = 3 mutants from 5 independent experiments, 10 to 14 cells
analysed in each tissue). **O.** Rose plots indicating the orientation of the movement for control
and mutant left OP cells (data pooled from n = 4 controls and n = 3 mutants from 5 independent
experiments). Numbers = number of cells. Dorsal to the top, lateral to the left. There is a
statistical difference in cell track orientations between controls and mutants (circular analysis of
variance based on the likelihood ratio test: p = 1.233e-09 for the left OPs, and p = 3.439e-08 for
the right OPs, the graphs for the rigth OPs are not shown).

1139

Figure 5. Analysis of brain width and brain/placode boundary in *sly* mutants and control

siblings. A, A'. Immunostaining for HuC (cyan) at 36 hpf on *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)*

(magenta) control and *sly* mutant embryos (frontal view)*.* Similar immunostainings performed at

28 hpf are shown in Fig. S4A, A'. **B, B'**. Images of *Tg(elementC:gfp); Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)*

control and mutant embryos at 36 hpf (frontal view), similar images acquired at 28 hpf are shown

in Fig. S4B, B'. GFP (green) is expressed by the forebrain and a few OP cells. Arrows indicate

where the brain width was measured (in 3 distinct positions along the DV axis). Measurements

were also carried out at 3 distinct AP levels (through the z-stack). **C, D.** Width of the forebrain in

36 hpf controls and *sly* mutants, at 3 different DV and 3 different AP levels (n = 9 controls and n

= 8 mutants from 4 independent experiments, unpaired, two-tailed t test). Quantifications for the

28 hpf stage are shown in Fig. S4C, D. **E-F'.** Immunostaining for the OP marker Dlx3b (cyan)

was performed on 36 hpf *sly* mutants and control siblings (frontal view). The signal was

segmented using deep learning approaches (white signal), and the distortion index (see Material

and Methods) of the OP/brain boundary was calculated in the regions outlined with red boxes.

G, H. Graphs showing the distortion indexes in controls and mutants at 36 hpf, for the left and

right OPs (n = 3 controls and n = 3 mutants). ANOVA test (mixed models, with animals as

random effect and genotype and side as fixed effects).

1157

Figure 6. Quantitative live imaging of axonal behaviours in *sly* mutants and control

siblings. A, B. Images extracted from confocal live imaging on control (A-A'''') and *sly* mutant

(B-B'''') embryos injected with the *omp:meYFP* plasmid to obtain a mosaic labelling of OP

neurons and their axons (frontal view, maximum projections). The OP neurons and their axons

were imaged every 10 min over 1000 min from 22 hpf. Here, only the 200-400 min time window

is shown as an example. Arrowheads = positions of individual growth cones over time. **C-N**.

Individual YFP+ growth cones, as well as YFP+ cell bodies in the OP, were tracked during 4

consecutive periods of 200 min each (from 200 min of imaging, since before no growth cone

could be detected, n = 5 mutants and n = 5 controls from 6 independent experiments). The

mean movement of OP cell bodies was substracted from the growth cone tracks to get rid of the

global flexure movement. 200-400 min: 6 growth cones in controls, 4 in mutants; 400-600 min:

15 growth cones in controls, 4 in mutants; 600-800 min: 18 growth cones in controls, 4 in

mutants; 800-1000 min: 16 growth cones in controls, 7 in mutants. **C, F, I, L**. Tracks of the

growth cones merged at their origin for the 4 consecutive periods of 200 min. For each time

window, the difference in the orientation of the tracks was analysed using the circular analysis of

variance based on the likelihood ratio test. **D, G, J, M.** Mean speed of the growth cones.
Unpaired, two-tailed t tests. **E, H, K, N.** Persistence of the growth cones, defined as the distance
between the initial and final positions of the growth cones divided by the total length of their
trajectory. Unpaired, two-tailed t tests, except for the analysis of the persistence at 400-600 min,
and for the speed and persistence at 600-800 min, where Mann Whitney tests were performed.

Figure S1. Electron microscopy analysis of intercellular spaces in the OP and brain
tissues. A, A' and C, C'. Examples of images of the intercellular space located between OP
cells in control siblings (A, C) and in *sly* mutants (A', C'), at 24 and 32 hpf. Arrows = plasma
membranes. **B, D.** Thickness of the intercellular space in the OP tissue in *sly* mutants and
control siblings at 24 (n = 3 controls; n = 3 mutants) and 32 hpf (n = 2 controls; n = 3 mutants).
Unpaired, two-tailed t test. **E, E' and G, G'.** Examples of images of the intercellular space
located between brain cells in control siblings (A, C) and in *sly* mutants (A', C'), at 24 and 32 hpf.
Arrows = plasma membranes. **F, H.** Thickness of the intercellular space in the brain tissue in *sly*
mutants and control siblings at 24 (n = 3 controls; n = 3 mutants) and 32 hpf (n = 2 controls; n =
3 mutants). Unpaired, two-tailed t test.

Figure S2. Analysis of proliferation and apoptosis in the OPs of *sly* mutants and control
siblings. A-D'. Immunostaining for Phospho-histone H3 (magenta) to label dividing cells on
Tg(neurog1:GFP) embryos (green) at 16 hpf and 21 hpf (A-B' show images at 21 hpf, dorsal
view), and on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos (green) at 28 and 36 hpf (C-D' show images at 36 hpf,
frontal view). In A-B' the OP is surrounded by a dotted line. Arrows = instances of Phospho-
histone H3 positive cells in the placodal *Tg(neurog1:GFP)+ or Tg(omp:meYFP)+* clusters. **E-H.**
Number of Phospho-histone H3 positive cells in the OP at 16, 21, 28 and 36 hpf in control
embryos and *sly* mutants. Unpaired, two-tailed t test. **I-L'.** Immunostaining for Activated Caspase
3 (magenta) to label apoptotic cells/debris on *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos (green) at 16 hpf and
21 hpf (I-J' show images at 21 hpf, dorsal view), and on *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos (green) at 28
and 36 hpf (K-L' show images at 36 hpf, frontal view). In I-J' the OP is surrounded by a dotted
line. Arrows = instances of Activated Caspase 3 positive cells or debris in the OP or in contact
with the placodal *Tg(neurog1:GFP)+ or Tg(omp:meYFP)+* clusters. **M-P.** % of OPs with zero,
one or two and more Activated Caspase 3 positive cells or debris in the OP or very close to the
OP at 16, 21, 28 and 36 hpf in control embryos and *sly* mutants. Chi2 test. **Q, R.** Total number of
YFP+ cells in control and mutant *Tg(omp:meYFP)+* OPs at 28 hpf (Q) and 36 hpf (R) (n = 3
control placodes; n = 3 mutant placodes for each stage). Unpaired, two-tailed t test.

1207

Figure S3. Additional results on the OP morphogenesis defects observed in *sly* mutants.

A-L. Analysis of OP dimensions at 24, 28 and 32 hpf. **A, A', E, E' and I, I'.** Images (frontal

views, 1 z-section) of representative OPs from *Tg(omp:meYFP); sly* [-/-] mutants (right) and control

Tg(omp:meYFP) siblings (left) at 24 (A, A'), 28 (E, E') and 32 hpf (I, I'). **B-D.** Graphs showing the

AP, ML, and DV dimensions of the *Tg(omp:meYFP)*[+] OP clusters in *sly* mutants and control

siblings at 24 hpf (n = 10 controls and n = 10 mutants from 3 independent experiments). Ectopic

fluorescent cells (cells that are physically separated from the main cluster) were not taken into

account for the measurement of OP dimensions**.** Unpaired, two-tailed t test. **F-H**. Similar

quantifications at 28 hpf (n = 13 controls and n = 10 mutants from 2 independent experiments).

Unpaired, two-tailed t tests. **J-L**. Similar quantifications at 32 hpf (n = 17 controls and n = 13

mutants from 2 independent experiments). Unpaired, two-tailed t tests. Quantifications

performed at 36 hpf are shown in Fig. 4C-E. **M, N.** Examples of 2D tracks plotted in a lateral

view (AP along X and DV along Y) of *Tg(omp:meYFP)*^{+} OP cells (red) and adjacent brain cells

(green) in a control (M) and a *sly* mutant embryo (N). The time is color-coded: light colors at the

beginning of the trajectory (22 hpf) towards dark colors for the end of the track (1080 min later).

1223

Figure S4. Additional results for the analysis of brain shape and proliferation/apoptosis in

***sly* mutants and control siblings. A, A'.** Immunostaining for HuC (cyan) at 28 hpf performed

on *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* (magenta) control and *sly* mutant embryos (frontal view)*.* Similar

immunostainings performed at 36 hpf are shown in Fig. 5A, A'. **B, B'**. Images of

Tg(elementC:gfp); Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP) control and mutant embryos at 28 hpf (frontal

view), similar images acquired at 36 hpf are shown in Fig. 5B, B'. GFP (green) is expressed by

the forebrain, and a few OP cells. Arrows indicate where the thickness of the forebrain was

measured (in 3 distinct positions along the DV axis). Measurements were also carried out at 3

distinct AP levels (through the z-stack). **C, D.** Width of the forebrain in 28 hpf controls and *sly*

mutants, at 3 different DV and 3 different AP levels (n = 17 controls and n = 17 mutants from 5

independent experiments, unpaired, two-tailed t test). Quantifications for the 28 hpf stage are

shown in Fig. 5C, D. **E-H.** Number of Phospho-histone H3 positive cells in the brain at 16, 21, 28

and 36 hpf in control embryos and *sly* mutants. Unpaired, two-tailed t test. **I-L.** % of embryos

with zero, one or two and more Activated Caspase 3 positive cells or debris in the brain at 16,

21, 28 and 36 hpf in control embryos and *sly* mutants. Chi2 test.

1239

Figure S5. Additional results on olfactory axon development in *sly* mutants and control siblings. A, B. Images extracted from confocal live imaging experiments performed during OP coalescence on *Tg(cldnb:Gal4; UAS:RFP)* embryos injected with the *neurog1:GFP* plasmid, allowing a sparse labelling of *neurog1:GFP*+ neurons/axons. The pictures illustrate instances of retrograde axon extension/cell body mediolateral movement occuring in a *sly* mutant and a control sibling. Arrows = proximal portions of the axons extending in the OP. Dotted line = brain/OP boundary (identified with the RFP signal which is not shown here). op = olfactory placode, b = brain. Scale bar: 20 μm. **C-F.** Images (frontal view, 1 z-section) of representative OPs from *Tg(omp:meYFP); sly $^{-/-}$* mutant (E, F) and control *Tg(omp:meYFP)* siblings (C, D) at 24 hpf. Arrows = instances of proximal portions of the axons in the OP. **G.** Table describing the % of control and *sly* mutant *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos with a proper axonal bundle (left column), with at least one ventral projection (middle column) and with at least one medial projection (right column) at 24, 28, 32 and 36 hpf. The numbers of embryos analysed at each stage are indicated in the table. The schematic view under the table illustrates the phenotypes assessed in each column. Note that in the mutants, as shown on the schematics, when a proper axon bundle is observed (left column), the exit point of the axons is more dorsal than in controls (see Fig. 4A,B and Fig. S3E,E' for instance).

Figure S6. NCC defects in *sly* mutants. A, A'. *In situ* hybridisation for the NCC marker *crestin* on 32 hpf controls and *sly* mutants. Arrowheads = *crestin*-positive NCC cluster at the OP/brain interface, which is absent in *sly* mutants. **B.** Table reporting our observations from live imaging of cranial NCC migration in *sly* mutants and control siblings (see Movie 9). Confocal live imaging was performed from 16 to 32 hpf on *sly* mutant and control *Tg(neurog1:GFP);Tg(UAS:RFP)* embryos injected with the *sox10(7.2):KalTA4* plasmid, allowing a mosaïc labelling of NCC.

Figure S7. Olfactory system development in *foxd3* mutants. A, B. *In situ* hybridisation for the NCC marker *crestin* on 32 hpf controls and *foxd3* mutants. Arrowheads = *crestin*-positive NCC cluster at the OP/brain interface, which is absent in *foxd3* mutants. **C, D**. Images (frontal view, maximum projection) of representative OPs of *Tg(omp:meYFP); foxd3 $^{-/-}$* mutants and control siblings. **C', D'**. Laminin immunostaining (1 z-section) on the same embryos. **E-H.** OP dimensions and length of the axon bundle at 28 hpf in *foxd3* mutants and control siblings (n = 31 controls and n = 13 mutants from 2 independent experiments, unpaired, two-tailed t test). **I-L.** OP dimensions and length of the axon bundle in *foxd3* mutants and control siblings at 36 hpf (n = 21 controls and n = 4 mutants from 2 independent experiments, unpaired, two-tailed t test).

 Legends of the movies

 Movie 1. BM assembly around the brain and the OP during OP coalescence, related to Fig.
 1. Same embryo as the z-section shown in Fig. 1J. Confocal live imaging performed on a
 TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP);Tg(cldnb:Gal4;UAS:RFP) embryo in which Laminin γ1-sfGFP is
 expressed under the control of its own promoter (green), and OP and peridermal skin cells are
 labelled in with cytoplasmic RFP (magenta). Pink arrowheads indicate the BM surrounding the
 brain, which is already assembled and continuous when the movie starts (at 17 hpf), while the
 BM surrounding the OP (shown with yellow arrowheads) starts to assemble later, during OP
 coalescence. Grey dots indicate mesenchymal cells exhibiting Laminin γ1-sfGFP cytoplasmic
 expression and migrating around the OP. Yellow dots indicate RFP-positive OP cells also
 showing Laminin γ1-sfGFP cytoplasmic expression. Only the left side of the embryo is shown.
 One z-section, dorsal view, anterior to the top and lateral to the left, delta t = 10 min. Scale bar:
 25 μm.

 Movie 2. Formation of the exit and entry points in the BMs surrounding the OP and the
 brain, related to Fig. 1. Same embryo as the z-sections shown in Fig. 1E-I and in Movie 4.
 Confocal live-imaging performed on a *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP);Tg(cldnb:Gal4;UAS:RFP)*
 embryo in which Laminin γ1-sfGFP is expressed under the control of its own promoter (green),
 and OP and peridermal skin cells are labelled in with cytoplasmic RFP (magenta). The yellow
 arrowhead shows the exit point, which appears as a small interruption (or several small
 interruptions, see also Movie 4) in the BM of the OP resulting from its incomplete assembly in
 this specific area. The blue arrowhead indicates the opening of the entry point (which can also
 be formed by several gaps in somes instances, ses also Movie 4) in the already assembled BM
 of the brain, which is concomitant with the arrival of the RFP-positive axons. Pink dots indicate
 brain cells expressing Laminin γ1-sfGFP cytoplasmic expression. Only the left side of the
 embryo is shown. One z-section, frontal view, dorsal to the top and lateral to the left, delta t = 10
 min. Scale bar: 25 μm.

 Movie 3. Formation of the exit and entry points in the BMs surrounding the OP and the
 brain visualised with a mosaic labelling of OP axons, related to Fig. 1. Confocal live-imaging
 performed on a *TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP);Tg(cldnb:Gal4;UAS:lyn-TagRFP)* embryo in which
 Laminin γ1-sfGFP is expressed under the control of its own promoter (green), and OP and
 peridermal skin cells are mosaically labelled in with membrane TagRFP (magenta). The yellow
 arrowhead shows the exit point on the last timepoint of the first movie, which appears as a small

interruption (or several small interruptions) in the BM of the OP resulting from its incomplete
assembly in this specific area. A lyn-TagRFP-positive individual axon can be seen exiting the
OP through the exit point. The blue arrowhead indicates the opening of the entry point (which
can also be formed by several gaps in some instances) in the already assembled BM of the
brain, which is concomitant with the arrival of a few lyn-TagRFP-labelled axons. Only the left
side of the embryo is shown. Average projection of 2 consecutive z-sections (z-step of 1 µm),
frontal view, dorsal to the top and lateral to the left, delta t = 10 min. Scale bar: 25 µm.

Movie 4. **3D z-stacks showing the exit and entry points**, related to Fig. 1. Same embryo as
the z-sections shown in Fig. 1E-I and Movie 2. Confocal z-stacks performed on a live
TgBAC(lamC1:lamC1-sfGFP);Tg(cldnb:Gal4;UAS:RFP) embryo in which Laminin γ1-sfGFP is
expressed under the control of its own promoter (green), and OP and peridermal skin cells are
labelled in with cytoplasmic RFP (magenta). The two z-stacks correspond to the last time points
of the two time-lapses showing exit and entry point formation in single z-sections in Movie 2. The
yellow arrowheads point to several interruptions in the BM of the OP (exit point). The blue
arrowheads indicate the opening of several gaps in the BM of the brain (entry point). Only the
left side of the embryo is shown. Frontal view, dorsal to the top, the first z-sections are the most
anterior and the z-stacks progressively goes posterior, z-step of 1 µm. Scale bar: 25 µm.

Movie 5. OP coalescence in a control embryo and a *sly* mutant, related to Fig. 3. Same
embryos as the images and analyses shown in Fig. 3F-I. Confocal live imaging showing OP
coalescence movements in *sly* mutant and control *Tg(neurog1:GFP)* embryos, in which OP and
brain neurons are labelled with cytoplasmic GFP. On the last time point, the dotted lines outline
the OPs. Note that on the *sly* mutant movie, a marked drift movement occurs at t = 170 min,
which has been taken into account and substracted from the data in our cell tracking analysis.
These embryos were injected with H2B-RFP mRNA to label nuclei and allow individual cell
tracking (not shown). Average projection, dorsal view, anterior to the top, delta t = 10 min. Scale
bar: 50 µm.

Movie 6. Behaviour of the OP neurons during the brain flexure movement in a control
embryo and a *sly* mutant, related to Fig. 4. Same embryos as the images and analyses shown
in Figure 4I-L. Confocal live imaging showing OP cell movements and axon behaviours in *sly*
mutant and control *Tg(omp:meYFP)* embryos, in which *ompb*-expressing OP neurons and their
axons are labelled with membrane YFP. Note the global ventral movement occuring during the
time-lapse, which corresponds to the movements of the OPs accompanying the brain flexure.

These embryos were injected with H2B-RFP mRNA to label nuclei and allow individual cell
tracking (not shown). Maximum projection, frontal view, dorsal to the top, delta t = 10 min. Scale
bar: 50 µm.

Movie 7. 3D stacks showing the organisation of brain and OP neurons in control embryos
and *sly* mutants, related to Fig. 5 and Fig. S4. *sly* mutant and control *Tg(cldnb:Gal4;UAS:RFP)*
embryos, in which OP and peridermal skin cells are labelled in with cytoplasmic RFP (magenta),
were immunostained for HuC (cyan) at 28 hpf and 36 hpf to visualise the neurons in the OP and
the brain. Note that in control embryos, the frontier between the OP and the brain appears as a
straight line whereas in mutant embryos, the boundary between the two tissues is curved and
not well defined, and the OPs appear to be partially embedded into the brain tissue. Frontal
views, dorsal to the top, the first z-sections are the most anterior and the z-stack progressively
goes posterior, z-step of 1 µm. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Movie 8. 3D stacks showing the axonal defects and ectopic OP cells observed in *sly*
mutants, related to Fig. 4 and Fig. S5. The embryos are at 28 hpf and carry
the *Tg(omp:meYFP)* transgene to visualise the membrane of *ompb*-expressing OP neurons and
their axons (green). In control embryos, the bundle of axons exit the OP in a ventral position and
migrate dorsally towards the presumptive OB. In mutant embryos, only a few axons manage to
exit the OP in a dorsal position and reach the presumptive OB (white arrow). Most of them
appear to be stalled at the OP/brain boundary (grey arrow). The mutant embryo also exhibits
ectopic OP cells that are detached from the main OP cluster (magenta dots). White dots indicate
the centre of the rosette of OP neurons that assemble in the dorso-lateral region of the OP. Only
the left side of the embryo is shown. Frontal views, dorsal to the top, lateral to the left, the first z-
sections are the most anterior and the z-stack progressively goes posterior, z-step of 1 µm.
Scale bar: 25 µm.

Movie 9. NCC migration in *sly* mutants and control siblings, related to Fig. S6**.** Confocal live
imaging from 16 to 32 hpf showing OP and NCC cell movements in *sly* mutant and control
Tg(neurog1:GFP);Tg(UAS:RFP) embryos injected with the *sox10(7.2):KalTA4* plasmid. The
DNA injection in this transgenic background allows the mosaic RFP labelling of NCC and their
derivatives. Note that in the mutant, a few neuroepithelial brain cells also express RFP. The blue
arrowheads point to instances of NCC migrating between the eye and OP tissues, the yellow
arrowheads indicate some NCC populating the OP/forebrain interface. In the *sly* mutant embryo,

the NCC migrating at the OP/forebrain boundary appear to be expelled from the interface, and

one of them eventually dies. Only the left side of the embryo is shown. Average projection,

dorsal view, lateral to the left, delta t = 10 min. Scale bar: 20 μm.

1372

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6