Differentiation of hypervirulent and classical *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with acquired drug

resistance

Thomas A. Russo[1,2,3,4#], Cassandra L. Alvarado[1,2], Connor J. Davies[1,2], Zachary J. Drayer[2],

Ulrike Carlino-MacDonald[1,2], Alan Hutson[5], Ting L. Luo[6], Melissa J. Martin[6], Brendan W. Corey[6],

Kara A. Moser[7], J. Kamile Rasheed[7], Alison L. Halpin[7], Patrick T. McGann[6], and Francois

Lebreton[6]

[1]Veterans Administration Western New York Healthcare System; [2]Department of Medicine;

[3]Department of Microbiology and Immunology; [4]The Witebsky Center for Microbial

Pathogenesis; University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, New York;

[5]Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center,

Buffalo, New York; [6]Multidrug-Resistant Organism Repository and Surveillance Network

(MRSN), Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland; [7]Division of

Healthcare Quality Promotion, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta,

Georgia.

Running title: Differentiating hypervirulent from classical *K. pneumoniae*

Correspondent footnote:

Thomas A. Russo. Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, 955 Main St, Room

5212, Buffalo, NY 14203 and The Veterans Administration Western New York Healthcare

System, 3495 Bailey Ave, Buffalo, NY 14215.

Email: trusso@buffalo.edu

Abstract word count: 250, Importance word count: 150, Text word count: 4835

Abstract:

Distinguishing hypervirulent (hvKp) from classical *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (cKp) strains is important for clinical care, surveillance, and research. Some combination of *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2* are most commonly used, but it is unclear what combination of genotypic or phenotypic markers (e.g. siderophore concentration, mucoviscosity) most accurately predicts the hypervirulent phenotype. Further, acquisition of antimicrobial resistance may affect virulence and confound identification. Therefore, 49 *K. pneumoniae* strains that possessed some combination of *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2* and had acquired resistance were assembled and categorized as hypervirulent hvKp (hvKp) (N=16) or cKp (N=33) via a murine infection model. Biomarker number, siderophore production, mucoviscosity, virulence plasmid's Mash/Jaccard distances to the canonical pLVPK, and Kleborate virulence score were measured and evaluated to accurately differentiate these pathotypes. Both stepwise logistic regression and a CART model were used to determine which variable was most predictive of the strain cohorts. The biomarker count alone was the strongest predictor for both analyses. For logistic regression the area under the curve for biomarker count was 0.955 (P = 0.004). The CART model generated the classification rule that a biomarker count = 5 would classify the strain as hvKP, resulting in a sensitivity for predicting hvKP of 88% (14/16), a specificity of 94% (31/33), and an overall accuracy of 92% (45/49). Although a count of ≥ 4 was 100% (16/16) sensitive for predicting hvKP, the specificity and accuracy decreased to 76% (25/33) and 84% (41/49) respectively. These findings can be used to inform the identification of hvKp.

Importance:

Hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (hvKp) is a concerning pathogen that can cause life-

threatening infections in otherwise healthy individuals. Importantly, although strains of hvKp

have been acquiring antimicrobial resistance, the effect on virulence is unclear. Therefore, it is

of critical importance to determine whether a given antimicrobial resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolate

is hypervirulent. This report determined which combination of genotypic and phenotypic markers

could most accurately identify hvKp strains with acquired resistance. Both logistic regression

and a machine-learning prediction model demonstrated that biomarker count alone was the

strongest predictor. The presence of all 5 of the biomarkers *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and

rmpA2 was most accurate (92%); the presence of ≥ 4 of these biomarkers was most sensitive

(100%). Accurately identifying hvKp is vital for surveillance and research, and the availability of

biomarker data could alert the clinician that hvKp is a consideration, which in turn would assist

in optimizing patient care.

Introduction:

Hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (hvKp) is capable of causing a variety of severe infectious syndromes in healthy hosts from the community as well as in hospitalized hosts (1, 2). By contrast, classical *K. pneumoniae* (cKp) primarily causes healthcare-associated infections (1). The ability to accurately identify hvKp has important clinical implications, such as identifying occult abscesses to enable source control and monitoring for endophthalmitis (3). Presently, clinical microbiology laboratories are unable to differentiate between these two pathotypes.

As a first step to be able to identify hvKp, our group previously designed a study in which two strain cohorts were assembled. Clinical criteria were used to assemble an hvKp-rich strain cohort; random blood isolates from geographical regions predicted to have a low prevalence of hvKp were used to create the cKp-rich strain cohort. Subsequent assessment of these strains identified 5 genotypic biomarkers that achieved a diagnostic accuracy of ≥0.95 for differentiating the hvKp-rich and cKp-rich strain cohorts, namely *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2.* This epidemiological analysis was experimentally validated in a murine sepsis model (4).

Subsequently, other investigators have used the presence of some of these markers to define a strain as being hypervirulent (5-8); often by the presence of some combination of *iucA* and *rmpA/A2* (9-12). However, it is important to note that *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2* biomarkers are linked on the canonical hvKp virulence plasmid pLVKP (13), which has been shown to be critical for the expression of the hvKp hypervirulent phenotype (14-16). The absence of some of these markers may reflect the presence of an incomplete virulence plasmid, which in turn could affect the hypervirulent phenotype. In our study (4) of the strains defined as hvKp by virulence in the murine sepsis model, 80/85 (94%) strains possessed 5/5 of these biomarkers, 4/85 (5%) possessed 4/5 biomarkers (*rmpA2* was absent) and only 1/85 strains possessed 3/5 of these markers (*rmpA2*, *iucA* were absent) (4). Further, the strains assessed in

this initial study were identified by clinical syndrome irrespective of their antimicrobial

susceptibility profile. As a result, these data did not adequately address the impact of

possessing fewer than five of these markers or the effect of acquired antimicrobial resistance on

the hypervirulent phenotype.

To address this knowledge gap, two collections of *K. pneumoniae* strains that were assembled

for antimicrobial resistance surveillance projects and public health efforts, and possessed

acquired antimicrobial resistance, other than the ubiquitous ampicillin resistance mediated by

chromosomal SHV ß-lactamase, were screened for the presence of the biomarkers *iucA, iroB,*

peg-344, rmpA, and *rmpA2* using previously generated short-read sequence data (Illumina, San

Diego, CA)*.* Forty-nine strains were identified that possessed 1-5 of these genes. The virulence

of these 49 strains was assessed in a CD1 murine subcutaneous challenge model and these

strains were categorized as hvKp (LD_{50} of ≤ 1 x 10^7 CFU) or cKp (LD_{50} of > 1 x 10^7 CFU) (17).

Next, we hypothesized that some combination of biomarker number, total siderophore

production, mucoviscosity, Kleborate virulence score (18), and Mash/Jaccard distances (19, 20)

to the canonical pLVPK could accurately determine the pathotype of *K. pneumoniae* strains that

have acquired varying degrees of antimicrobial resistance. Analysis by both stepwise logistic

regression and a machine-learning based prediction model using a classification and regression

tree (CART) demonstrated that the biomarker count alone proved to be the strongest predictor

and was highly accurate. These data are of value for informing the development of a diagnostic

test for the clinical microbiology laboratory as well as guiding surveillance, translational and

clinical research, and patient care.

Methods and Materials:

<u>Bacterial strains</u>. Forty-nine strains used in this study and selected genotypic and phenotypic

characteristics are listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Fifteen strains were obtained from

the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and 34 were obtained from the Walter

Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR). All strains were stored at -70°C or -80°C prior to

use.

<u>CD1 mouse subcutaneous (SQ) challenge infection models</u>.

Animal studies were reviewed and approved by the Veterans Administration Institutional Animal

Care Committee and the University at Buffalo-SUNY and were carried out in strict accordance

with the recommendations in the guidelines delineated in the "NIH Guide for the Care and Use

of Laboratory Animals"(revised 1985) and the "Ethics of Animal Experimentation Statement"

(Canadian Council on Animal Care, July 1980) as monitored by the Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee (IACUC). All efforts were made to minimize suffering. Veterinary care for

the animals was supplied by the staff of the Veterans Administration Animal Facility under the

direction of a fully licensed veterinarian. Studies were performed as described (4). The various

challenge inocula and number of mice used for each strain are delineated in Supplemental

Table S1. The animals were closely monitored for 14 days after challenge for the development

of the study endpoints, survival or severe illness (*in extremis* state)/death, which was recorded

as a dichotomous variable. Signs that were monitored and which resulted in immediate

euthanasia using methods consistent with the recommendations of the American Veterinary

Medical Association Guidelines included hunched posture, ruffled fur, labored breathing,

reluctance to move, photophobia, and dehydration.

<u>Quantitative siderophore assay</u>.

Strains were grown individually overnight at 37°C in iron-chelated M9 minimal media containing

casamino acids (c-M9-CA) (21) and culture supernatants were assessed using the

chromeazurol S dye assay as described (22). A minimum of 3 biological assays with 3 technical

repeats were performed and the results were reported as the mean ± the SD.

<u>Mucoviscosity assay</u>.

Strains grown overnight in lysogeny broth (LB) were used to inoculate 10 mL of either LB or c-

M9-CA plus added trace elements (5 µg/mL $CaCl_2$, 1 µg/mL $CoCl_2$, 20 µg/mL $MgCl_2$, 10 µg/mL

$MnCl_2$) (c-M9-CA-te) to a starting OD_{600} of approximately 0.2. Strains were grown for 24 hours,

and the assay was performed as described (17). A minimum of 3 biological assays were

performed and the results were reported as the mean ± the SD.

<u>Growth/survival in human ascites fluid</u>

Growth/survival experiments in human ascites and serum were performed as previously

described (23). The procedures for obtaining human ascites fluid and serum were reviewed and

approved by the Western New York Veterans Administration Institutional Review Board;

informed consent for ascites fluid was waived because it was collected from de-identified

patients who were undergoing therapeutic paracentesis for symptoms due to abdominal

distension. These individuals were not being treated with antimicrobials and were not infected

with human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, or hepatitis C virus. The ascites fluid was

cultured to confirm sterility, divided into aliquots, and stored at -80°C.

<u>Sequencing and Assembly</u>.

All strains were sequenced from Illumina MiSeq or NextSeq platforms. CDC strains were

assembled with Spades (Phoenix v1.) WRAIR strains were assembled with de novo assembly

using Newbler (v2.7) with minimum thresholds for contig size and coverage set at 200 bp and

49.5×, respectively. Long-read sequencing was completed on a subset of WRAIR isolates using

with PacBio (Pacific Biosciences: Menlo Park, CA. USA) or MinION (Oxford Nanopore

Technologies: Oxford, United Kingdom) platforms. Assembly was performed using the Trycycler

tool (https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-021-02483-z). After

filtering the bottom 5% of reads by quality score, reads were subsampled, with replacement, 12

times. Four assemblies were generated using Flye, Miniasm, and Raven using the 12

independent subset of reads. The consensus assembly was constructed with the assistance of

Trycycler and was finally polished with short reads from Illumina MiSeq or NextSeq platforms.

<u>Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes</u>.

Study strains possessed acquired antimicrobial resistance and some combination of the

biomarkers *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2.* Kleborate (v2.3.2) was used to screen each

isolate for any relevant virulence and antimicrobial resistance determinants (18). An

agglomerative virulence score was determined by the presence or absence of the five genes:

iroB, iucA, peg-344, and plasmid-borne *rmpA* and *rmpA2.* All biomarkers except for *peg-344*

are within the interrogative scope of Kleborate. The metabolite transporter *peg-344* was

included in the agglomerative scoring due to its high association with fully virulent *K.*

pneumoniae isolates (4). Strains also underwent antimicrobial susceptibility testing using

reference broth microdilution with panels that were prepared in-house according to the Clinical

and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (24) and stored at -70°C until use. Results

of testing were interpreted according to guidance provided in CLSI document M100 (25), with

the exception of tigecycline, for which criteria established by the U.S. Food and Drug

Administration were applied. *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, and *K.*

pneumoniae ATCC 700603 were used for quality control.

<u>Mash and Jaccard distance indices</u>.

To determine genetic relatedness of each isolates' virulence plasmid to the canonical pLVPK

virulence plasmid (13), Mash distance (19) and Jaccard similarity indices (20) were calculated

between closed plasmid sequences containing virulence biomarkers and pLVPK. Mash distance

calculation was performed with the dependency-free binary provided freely by the developers

(https://github.com/marbl/mash). The Jaccard similarity is defined by the proportion of genes

shared by two comparator plasmids over the set of all observed genes in the two plasmids.

Hence, the Jaccard distance is the complement of the similarity value and represents the

proportion of all observed genes that are not shared in the two comparator plasmids. The

Jaccard metric was calculated from Roary output (26), which provided a gene presence or

absence table from a set of annotated input genome assemblies. The Vegan package in R was

used to calculate Jaccard distance between each pairwise comparison of virulence plasmids to

pLVPK.

<u>Statistical Analyses</u>.

The LD_{50} was estimated using a logistic regression model with the factors for strain and

inoculum (CFU/mL). The estimated LD_{50} was given as $(\hat{s}_i + \hat{\beta}_0)/\hat{\beta}_1$ where $\hat{s}_i$ is the strain effect,

$\hat{\beta}_0$ is the intercept and $\hat{\beta}_1$ is the regression parameter associated with inoculum. The k-means

cluster analysis was employed to examine whether distinct groupings could be formed based on

the LD_{50} values, using two, three, or more clusters. The analysis revealed two well-defined

clusters that were categorized as cKP (LD_{50} of > 1 x 10^7 CFU) and hvKP (LD_{50} of ≤ 1 x 10^7

CFU).

Mucoviscosity when grown in LB or c-M9-CA-te, biomarker numbers (*iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*,

rmpA2), Mash and Jaccard distances, and Kleborate scores for the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts

were initially compared using a Mann-Whitney test. Siderophore production for the two cohorts

was compared utilizing an unpaired t test (Prism version 9.5.1).

Exact logistic regression was used to model the association of class with each marker of

virulence and to estimate the area under the ROC curve (AUC) in both a univariate and

multivariate fashion. The AUC ranges from 0.5 to 1 and is a measure of the percent agreement

between the observed and predicted values. A value of AUC=0.5 implies no predictive utility. A

value of 1.0 implies 100% accuracy in terms of prediction (SAS).

A machine-learning based prediction model using a classification and regression tree (CART)

was used to examine the prediction of the strain cohorts cKP (LD_{50} of > 1 x 10^7 CFU) and hvKP

(LD_{50} of ≤ 1 x 10^7 CFU) as a function of count (sum of positive *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA* and

rmpA2 markers), quantitative siderophore production, mucoviscosity when grown in LB or c-M9-

CA-te, Mash Distance, Jaccard Distance, and Kleborate Score. The method of cost-complexity

pruning was utilized to determine the final tree fit (SAS).

Results:

<u>Strain cohorts</u>

Forty-nine *K. pneumoniae* strains representing 28 sequence types that possessed some

combination of the biomarkers *iucA, iroB, peg-344, rmpA,* and *rmpA2* and antimicrobial

resistance other than the ubiquitous ampicillin resistance were chosen for study. Of these 49

strains 33 (67%) possessed an extended-spectrum ß-lactamase (ESBL) and 20 (41%)

possessed a carbapenemase; the mean number of resistance genes was 7.51 (range 1-14)

(Supplementary Table S2). Of these 49 strains, 16 (33%) possessed all 5 biomarkers, 8 (16%)

possessed 4 biomarkers, 19 (39%) possessed 2 biomarkers, and 6 (12%) possessed 1

biomarker (Supplementary Table S1).

Next, these 49 strains underwent pathotype characterization as defined by virulence in the

outbred CD1 SQ challenge model. hvKp were defined by an LD_{50} of ≤1 x 10^7 CFU and cKp was

defined by an LD_{50} of > 1 x 10^7 CFU (17). Sixteen (33%) strains were categorized as hvKp

257 (mean log LD_{50} 4.06 ± 1.91) and 33 (67%) as cKp (mean log LD_{50} 8.91 ± 0.31) (Figure 1A, Table

258 1).

260 <u>Phenotypic assessment of the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts</u>

261 Previous studies have demonstrated that quantitative siderophore production and mucoviscosity

262 assessment held promise for differentiating hvKp from cKp strains (4, 17). Therefore, we

263 evaluated these phenotypes for all 49 strains in the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts.

265 Quantitative siderophore production was similar for the hvKp (135.8 ± 55.5 µg/mL) and cKp

266 (139.8 ± 84.9 µg/mL) strain cohorts (Figure 1B, Table 1). When grown in LB medium, the hvKp

267 strain cohort (0.194 ± 0.171) was significantly more mucoviscous than the cKp strain cohort

268 (0.1002 ± 0.1097) (P = 0.0113) (Figure 1C, Table 1). When grown in c-M9-CA-te medium, the

269 hvKp (0.366 ± 0.254) was significantly more mucoviscous than the cKp strain cohort (0.1269 ±

270 0.1893) (P < 0.0001) (Figure 1D, Table 1). These data demonstrate that quantitative

271 siderophore production was unable to distinguish the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts, but

272 quantitative mucoviscosity could.

274 <u>Genotypic Assessment of the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts</u>

275 Previous studies demonstrated that the presence of *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2*,

276 which are linked on the hvKp-specific virulence plasmid possessed a >95% accuracy for

277 differentiating the hvKp-rich and cKp-rich strain cohorts (4). Therefore, all 49 strains in the hvKp

278 and cKp strain cohorts were assessed for these genes and their Kleborate virulence score was

279 calculated.

281 The hvKp strain cohort has a significantly higher marker count (some combination of *iucA*, *iroB*,

282 *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2*) (4.88 ± 0.34) compared to the cKp strain cohort (2.36 ± 1.17) (P <

0.0001) (Figure 2A, Table 1). Of note, all 25 strains that possessed only one or two markers

were categorized as cKp based on the murine infection model (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table

S1) (27). Of these, 19/25 (76%) possessed *iucA* and *rmpA2* and 5/25 (20%) possessed *iucA*

alone. In addition, the Kleborate virulence score was assessed, which is defined as the genes

for aerobactin (3 points), yersiniabactin (1 point), and colibactin (1 point). The average Kleborate

score was significantly different for the hvKp (4.3 ± 0.70) compared to the cKp (3.5 ± 0.87) (P=

0.001) strain cohort (Figure 2D, Table 1). Individually, within the 16 hvKp strains, 14 (87%)

received a score of five or four, and the remaining two scored as three on the virulence scale

(Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S1). However, a virulence score of five or four also

represented 50% of cKp isolates (17/33)(Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S1).

Beyond individual biomarkers, it was hypothesized that carriage of an hvKp virulence plasmid

would also be predictive of the hvKp pathotype as measured by Mash/Jaccard distances

compared to the canonical virulence plasmid pLVKP. Mash/Jaccard distances for pLVLP-like

virulence plasmids from the 29 closed genomes (hvKp N=9, cKp N=20) were measured. The

Mash distance was significantly different for the hvKp (0.0086 ± 0.001) compared to cKp

(0.04705 ± 0.042) strain cohort (P = 0.0002) (Figure 2B, Table 1). Likewise, the Jaccard

distance was significantly different for the hvKp (0.33 ± 0.16) compared to the cKp (0.6718 ±

0.2320) (P = 0.0001) (Figure 2C, Table 1). Each pLVPK-like plasmid can be compared to the

canonical pLVPK sequence by its Mash and Jaccard distance. The two distances are highly

correlated, but do not scale linearly (Figure 4). Figure 4 show scatterplots constructed using

these two distances whereby each point (n=29) represents a strain's pLVPK-like plasmid. As

Mash and Jaccard distances approach 0, (i.e., the strain's pLVPK-like sequence and shared

gene content is more similar to pLVPK), the strain is more likely to belong to a hvKp pathotype

(Figure 4, top left panel, Pathotype). Viewing from different perspectives, we observe that

pLVPK-like plasmids that are more similar to pLVPK, are also more likely to carry all five

biomarkers within that plasmid (Figure 4 top right panel, # of Biomarkers). Within the same

scope, we can extend generalizations at the genome level from the sequences of their

respective pLVPK-like plasmids. In general, strains that carry a pLVPK-like that is highly similar

to pLVPK are more likely to score ≥3 in Kleborate virulence (Figure 4 bottom left panel,

Kleborate Virulence Score) and are also less likely to carry ESBLs/carbapenemases (Figure 4

bottom right panel, AMR) elsewhere in the genome.

<u>Logistic regression and CART prediction models</u>

Biomarker number, total siderophore production, mucoviscosity, Kleborate virulence score, and

Mash/Jaccard distances to the canonical pLVPK were analyzed by both stepwise logistic

regression and a CART model to determine which factor or combination of factors were most

accurate for predicting the hvKp and cKp strain cohorts. The strongest predictor in both models

was the presence of all five of the biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2* (Table 2).

In the logistic regression model the AUC for biomarker count was 0.955 (P = 0.004). In that

model the Jaccard distance was the next most predictive with an AUC of 0.919 (P < 0.001).

Likewise, the CART model predicted biomarker count alone as most accurate. Using a cut-point

of possession of all 5 biomarkers resulted in a sensitivity for predicting hvKP of 88% (14/16), a

specificity of 94% (31/33), and an overall accuracy of 92% (45/49). A count of ≥ 4 resulted in a

100% (16/16) sensitivity for predicting hvKp, but specificity was decreased to 76% (25/33) and

accuracy decreased to 84% (41/49). The Jaccard distance, Mash distance, mucoviscosity (c-

M9-CA-te), Kleborate score, mucoviscosity (LB), and siderophore concentration demonstrated

accuracies of 90%, 86%, 80%, 78%, 71%, 49% respectively (Table 2).

<u>Assessment of cKp strains that possessed all five of the biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*,</u>

<u>and *rmpA2*.</u>

Although imperfect, the presence of all five of the biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and

rmpA2 was 92% accurate for predicting a strain that exhibited the hvKp phenotype. However,

two strains, AKp14 and AKp35, both of which possessed all 5 biomarkers, exhibited a cKp

phenotype as defined by the murine infection model (Supplementry Table S1). Further, both

strains possessed a nearly complete hvKp-specific virulence plasmid based on Mash/Jaccard

distances (Figure 2B and 2C) with the exception of an approximately 15 kb region of pLVPK

missing in AKp35 as well as 18 other cKp isolates (Figure 5). To gain further insight into this

phenotypic and genotypic virulence disparity a growth/survival analysis was performed for all 49

strains from this study in human ascites ex vivo. This medium was chosen because it mimics

the in vivo growth environment and possesses complement and likely antimicrobial peptide

mediated antimicrobial activity. Interestingly, by 24 hours 48/49 strains were able to

grow/survive in ascites. But AKp14 was unable to do so (Supplementary Figure 1). These data

support that AKp14 has a biofitness deficiency that is independent of the possession of

biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2* and a nearly complete hvKp-specific

virulence plasmid.

Discussion:

The goal of this report was to test the hypothesis that some combination of pre-selected

genotypic and phenotypic factors could differentiate hvKp and cKp strains that have acquired

varying degrees of antimicrobial resistance. Data from this study support that only the presence

of all five biomarkers (*iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2*) was most accurate in both the

logistic regression model (AUC 0.958) and the CART model (92% accuracy) (Table 2). In

support of this concept, Jaccard and Mash distances in comparison with the canonical pLVPK

were the second-most accurate factors for predicting the hvKp strain cohort with an AUC of

0.919 and 0.90 respectively in the logistic regression model and accuracies of 90% and 86% in

the CART model using the defined cut-points (Table 2). However, it is important to note that all

the strains studied in this report had acquired antimicrobial resistance beyond the ubiquitous

ampicillin resistance in *K. pneumoniae*. This comparison may not be valid for strains that have

not acquired additional antimicrobial resistance. These data are consistent with our earlier study

in which 94% of the strains in the hvKp-rich cohort possessed all 5 biomarkers, which likely

reflects the presence of a complete hvKp virulence plasmid. Notably, these strains were

identified by clinical syndrome irrespective of their antimicrobial susceptibility profile (4).

The reasonable accuracy of differentiating hvKp from cKp strains using only the five biomarkers

iucA, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2* was particularly encouraging. Assays for these markers

could be readily developed and validated for use by clinical microbiology laboratories. It is

important to note that *iutA* and *iroE* can be present on the chromosome and should not be used

to differentiate hvKp from cKp (1). Phenotypic assays, such as those assessed in this study, are

more challenging to implement outside of the research setting.

The findings from this report hold promise for clinical utility. The ability to differentiate hvKp from

cKp is needed for optimal clinical management. For example, hvKp infection requires vigilance

for endophthalmitis and assessment for occult abscesses that could require source control.

Further, the sites of infection due to hvKp could dictate modifications of the antimicrobial

regimen to optimize tissue concentrations (e.g. prostate, central nervous system (CNS)) and

may affect the duration of therapy. This is even more important for antimicrobial resistant hvKp

(e.g. CR-hvKp) due to more limited treatment options. Although the classical clinical syndrome

of an otherwise healthy individual from the community presenting with hepatic abscess, multiple

sites of infection, or unusual sites of infection for cKp (e.g. endophthalmitis, CNS infection, or

necrotizing fasciitis) is highly suggestive of hvKp, an increasing number of non-classical

presentations, and healthcare-associated infections are being reported (28-30). This dictates

the need for an accurate clinical microbiology laboratory-based test to identify hvKp. Although

some laboratories have used the string test as a phenotype to identify hvKp, it lacks optimal

sensitivity or specificity (4, 31, 32). The genotypic markers described in this study could form the

basis of such a test, but these data demonstrate the need for all 5 biomarkers to be included

(33). Although the presence of all 5 biomarkers was most accurate, using a cut-point of 4

markers versus 5 increases the sensitivity to 100% (versus 88%), albeit this comes at the cost

of decreased specificity (76% versus 94%) and accuracy (84% versus 92%). Nonetheless for

the clinical perspective, over-diagnosing hvKp infection could be considered more pragmatic

since the consequences of missing such an infection may be significant (e.g., loss of vision).

Although the accuracy of predicting a strain to be either hvKp or cKp strain was 88% based on

the possession of all 5 biomarkers, it was imperfect. This is due to the complexity of

pathogenesis, which is a multifactorial process. The possession of defined virulence factors

requisite for the hypervirulent phenotype does not necessarily reflect its overall pathogenic

potential. It may be missing undefined virulence traits that were not measured, produce less

surface polysaccharides which may facilitate the acquisition of resistance genes (34), or have

decreased biofitness due to a variety of other factors such as antimicrobial resistance,

increased sensitivity to antimicrobial factors, or other occult metabolic changes. This proved to

be the case for one of the strains in our study (AKp14). Despite possessing all 5 biomarkers,

this strain demonstrated significantly decreased growth/survival in human ascites compared to

the other 48 strains assessed in this report (Supplementary Figure S1). Although the

mechanism for decreased growth/survival in ascites for AKp14 has not yet been defined, this

strain possessed a nearly complete hvKp-specific virulence plasmid, suggesting a chromosomal

alteration(s) is responsible. For AKp14 it appears that this significant decrease in biofitness

trumps the presence of hvKp specific virulence factors as reflected by a lack of lethality in the

murine infection model (Supplementary Table S1). A similar decrease in biofitness is

hypothesized for AKp35, but the assessment in ascites was unrevealing. It is important to note

that the ability to grow/survive in ascites does not equate to a hypervirulent phenotype since

32/33 cKp strains were able to do so; the hypervirulent phenotype also requires the possession

of hvKp-specific virulence factors.

Conversely, the presence of some, but not all, of the established hvKp-specific virulence

factor(s) does not necessarily confer the hypervirulent phenotype. Quantitative siderophore

production was reasonably accurate for differentiating the hvKp-rich and cKp-rich cohorts in our

initial study in which strains were identified by clinical syndrome irrespective of their

antimicrobial susceptibility profile (4), but all 5 biomarkers were present in 94% of those strains.

However, in this study, many strains designated as cKp possessed *iucA* and produced high

levels of siderophores but possessed an incomplete repertoire of additional virulence factors

and perhaps had decreased biofitness. So, the high level of siderophore production in the

absence of a full armamentarium of hvKp-specific virulence factors was not sufficient to confer a

hypervirulent phenotype. These examples demonstrate an unfortunate limitation of a

bioinformatic approach when attempting to define virulence. Hopefully future studies can begin

to delineate the full repertoire of virulence factors and the genomic markers/changes that could

predict an effect on biofitness, which would enable a more refined prediction of pathotypes

based solely on genomics.

The Kleborate virulence score is commonly used to assess a strain's virulence. The score

ranges from 0-5 and is based on the presence of yersiniabactin (1 point), colibactin (1 point),

and aerobactin (3 points) (18). However, this metric performed less well than the presence of all

five biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2* for differentiating the hvKp and cKp

strain cohorts in this study. Using a cut-off of >4.05 derived from the CART model, the Kleborate

score's sensitivity was 44% (7/16), its specificity was 94% (31/33), and its accuracy was 78%

(38/49). This may be due to the weight given to aerobactin in the Kleborate score and the fact

that not all hvKp strains possess yersiniabactin or colibactin and some cKp strains possess

these genes. Therefore, the Kleborate virulence score is not optimal for predicting the

hypervirulent pathotype.

With the emergence of multi- and extensively drug resistant (MDR and XDR, respectively) *K.*

pneumoniae, including CR-Kp, an increasing number of studies have assessed for the presence

of MDR-hvKp, XDR-hvKp and CR-hvKp from various strain collections (35). Such strains would

represent the ultimate "superbug", possessing both a hypervirulent pathotype and antimicrobial

resistance. Many of these studies have only assessed for genotypic markers and not

uncommonly only some, but not all, of the five biomarkers markers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*,

rmpA2 that are evaluated in this report (5-7, 12). Although imperfect, as discussed due to

potential biofitness issues, assessing for the presence of all five of the biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*,

peg-344, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2* will improve pathotype designation, as opposed to assessing for a

more limited number of markers (33). In our study, all 24 strains that possessed only *iucA*

and/or *rmpA/A2* were designated as cKp (Supplementary Table S1). Although, less pragmatic,

ascites growth curves further enhance accuracy and murine infection models remain the most

accurate means to identify hvKp strains (4, 36). Of note, although commonly used, the *Galleria*

mellonella infection model is unable to differentiate hvKp from cKp (37).

This study has several limitations. First, these data assessed strains of *K. pneumoniae* that

have acquired varying degrees of antimicrobial resistance. It is unclear whether these findings

can be extrapolated to antimicrobial sensitive strains. Since antimicrobial resistance may affect

biofitness, which in turn could affect a strain's overall pathogenic potential, it is possible that

antimicrobial sensitive strains may not require the possession of all 5 biomarkers to maintain a

hypervirulent phenotype. Second, although we were only able to calculate Mash/Jaccard

distances for 29 strains, these isolates did capture observed plasmid diversity. Although these

genomic markers hold promise, calculation requires accurate plasmid construction, which

cannot be achieved with Illumina sequencing alone. Lastly, the biomarkers chosen for this

study were based on early data that demonstrated their utility for predicting pathotypes.

However additional or alternative genotypic or phenotypic markers, both known and yet to be

identified, may prove to be superior in future studies. The search for the optimal pragmatic test

for resolving *K. pneumoniae* pathotypes remains a fluid process.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH R21 AI123558-01 and 1R21AI141826-01A1 (Dr. Russo) and

the Department of Veterans Affairs VA Merit Review (I01 BX004677-01) (Dr. Russo). The

funders had no role in the decision to publish or the preparation of this manuscript. This study

was also partially funded by the U.S. Army Medical command and the Defense Medical

Research and Development Program. The authors are thankful to all the staff of the MRSN. The

manuscript has been reviewed by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research and there is no

objection to its presentation. The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views

of the authors and are not to be construed as official or reflecting the views of the Department of

the Army or the Department of Defense. CDC Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this

presentation are those solely of the CDC author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views

of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease

Registry. They would like to thank and acknowledge the Clinical and Environmental

Microbiology Branch staff in the CDC Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion who supported

this effort.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

A subset of the isolates was collected as part of the public health surveillance activities of the

MRSN, as determined by the WRAIR branch director and Human Subjects Protection Branch

(HSPB) which granted ethical approval. Informed patient consent was waived as samples were

taken under a hospital surveillance framework for routine sampling. The research conformed to

the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. A subset of the isolates was collected under CDC

surveillance and other public health activities. These were shared following HRPO Exemption

Determination for Protocol #7218 approved by the CDC Human Research Protection Office.

Availability of data and materials

Both genomic assemblies and raw sequencing data of the MRSN isolates analyzed in this study

are publicly available in NCBI database under the Bio Project number PRJNA981468.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References:

1. Russo TA, Marr CM. 2019. Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae. Clin Microbiol Rev 32:e00001-19.

2. Liu C, Du P, Xiao N, Ji F, Russo TA, Guo J. 2020. Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae is emerging as an increasingly prevalent K. pneumoniae pathotype responsible for nosocomial and healthcare-associated infections in Beijing, China. Virulence 11:1215-1224.

3. Sellick JA, Russo TA. 2018. Getting hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae on the radar screen. Curr Opin Infect Dis doi:10.1097/qco.0000000000000464.

4. Russo TA, Olson R, Fang CT, Stoesser N, Miller M, MacDonald U, Hutson A, Barker JH, La Hoz RM, Johnson JR. 2018. Identification of Biomarkers for Differentiation of Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae from Classical K. pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol 56.

5. Spadar A, Perdigão J, Campino S, Clark TG. 2023. Large-scale genomic analysis of global Klebsiella pneumoniae plasmids reveals multiple simultaneous clusters of carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent strains. Genome Med 15:3.

6. Banerjee T, Wangkheimayum J, Sharma S, Kumar A, Bhattacharjee A. 2021. Extensively Drug-Resistant Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae From a Series of Neonatal Sepsis in a Tertiary Care Hospital, India. Front Med (Lausanne) 8:645955.

7. Wang Y, Hua M, Wang J, Xing W, Chen J, Liu J, Du P. 2022. Clonal Dissemination of Multidrug-Resistant and Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae Clonal Complex in a Chinese Hospital. Pathogens 11.

8. Kot B, Piechota M, Szweda P, Mitrus J, Wicha J, Grużewska A, Witeska M. 2023. Virulence analysis and antibiotic resistance of Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from hospitalised patients in Poland. Sci Rep 13:4448.

9. Wu H, Li D, Zhou H, Sun Y, Guo L, Shen D. 2017. Bacteremia and other body site

infection caused by hypervirulent and classic Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microb Pathog

104:254-262.

10. Zhang R, Lin D, Chan EW, Gu D, Chen GX, Chen S. 2016. Emergence of Carbapenem-

Resistant Serotype K1 Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae Strains in China. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother 60:709-11.

11. Shankar C, Nabarro LE, Devanga Ragupathi NK, Muthuirulandi Sethuvel DP, Daniel JL,

Doss CG, Veeraraghavan B. 2016. Draft Genome Sequences of Three Hypervirulent

Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolates from Bacteremia. Genome

Announc 4.

12. Liu C, Du P, Yang P, Lu M, Shen N. 2023. Fusion plasmid enhanced the endemic

extensively drug resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae clone ST147 harbored bla(OXA-48) to

acquire the hypervirulence and cause fatal infection. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob

22:11.

13. Chen YT, Chang HY, Lai YC, Pan CC, Tsai SF, Peng HL. 2004. Sequencing and

analysis of the large virulence plasmid pLVPK of Klebsiella pneumoniae CG43. Gene

337:189-98.

14. Nassif X, Honore N, Vasselon T, Cole ST, Sansonetti PJ. 1989. Positive control of

colanic acid synthesis in Escherichia coli by rmpA and rmpB, two virulence-plasmid

genes of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Mol Microbiol 3:1349-59.

15. Nassif X, Sansonetti PJ. 1986. Correlation of the virulence of Klebsiella pneumoniae K1

and K2 with the presence of a plasmid encoding aerobactin. Infect Immun 54:603-8.

16. Tang HL, Chiang MK, Liou WJ, Chen YT, Peng HL, Chiou CS, Liu KS, Lu MC, Tung KC,

Lai YC. 2010. Correlation between Klebsiella pneumoniae carrying pLVPK-derived loci

and abscess formation. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 29:689-98.

17. Russo TA, MacDonald U, Hassan S, Camanzo E, LeBreton F, Corey B, McGann P.

2021. An Assessment of Siderophore Production, Mucoviscosity, and Mouse Infection

Models for Defining the Virulence Spectrum of Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae.

mSphere 6.

18. Lam MMC, Wick RR, Watts SC, Cerdeira LT, Wyres KL, Holt KE. 2021. A genomic

surveillance framework and genotyping tool for Klebsiella pneumoniae and its related

species complex. Nat Commun 12:4188.

19. Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, Phillippy AM.

2016. Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash.

Genome Biol 17:132.

20. Chung NC, Miasojedow B, Startek M, Gambin A. 2019. Jaccard/Tanimoto similarity test

and estimation methods for biological presence-absence data. BMC Bioinformatics

20:644.

21. Bulger J, MacDonald U, Olson R, Beanan J, Russo TA. 2017. Metabolite Transporter

PEG344 Is Required for Full Virulence of Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae Strain

hvKP1 after Pulmonary but Not Subcutaneous Challenge. Infect Immun 85.

22. Russo TA, Olson R, Macdonald U, Metzger D, Maltese LM, Drake EJ, Gulick AM. 2014.

Aerobactin mediates virulence and accounts for increased siderophore production under

iron-limiting conditions by hypervirulent (hypermucoviscous) Klebsiella pneumoniae.

Infect Immun 82:2356-67.

23. Russo TA, Manohar A, Beanan JM, Olson R, MacDonald U, Graham J, Umland TC.

2016. The Response Regulator BfmR Is a Potential Drug Target for Acinetobacter

baumannii. mSphere 1.

24. CLSI. 2018. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That

Grow Aerobically. 11th ed.CLSI Standard M07, Wayne, PA.

25. CLSI. 2022. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 32nd ed.

CLSI Supplement M100, Wayne, PA.

26. Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, Fookes M, Falush D,

Keane JA, Parkhill J. 2015. Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis.

Bioinformatics 31:3691-3.

27. Lex A, Gehlenborg N, Strobelt H, Vuillemot R, Pfister H. 2014. UpSet: Visualization of

Intersecting Sets. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics 20:1983-

1992.

28. Du Q, Pan F, Wang C, Yu F, Shi Y, Liu W, Li Z, He P, Han D, Zhang H. 2022.

Nosocomial dissemination of hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae with high-risk clones

among children in Shanghai. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:984180.

29. Liao W, Huang QS, Wei D, Xiong Z, Du FL, Xiang TX, Zhang S, Wan LG, Zhang W, Liu

Y. 2022. Nosocomial transmission and rearrangement of large resistance-virulence

hybrid plasmids between two bacteremic ST11 carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent

Klebsiella pneumoniae strains with low fitness cost. Microb Pathog 168:105593.

30. Du P, Liu C, Fan S, Baker S, Guo J. 2022. The Role of Plasmid and Resistance Gene

Acquisition in the Emergence of ST23 Multi-Drug Resistant, Hypervirulent Klebsiella

pneumoniae. Microbiol Spectr 10:e0192921.

31. Catalan-Najera JC, Garza-Ramos U, Barrios-Camacho H. 2017. Hypervirulence and

hypermucoviscosity: Two different but complementary Klebsiella spp. phenotypes?

Virulence 8:1111-1123.

32. Yang Q, Jia X, Zhou M, Zhang H, Yang W, Kudinha T, Xu Y. 2020. Emergence of ST11-

K47 and ST11-K64 hypervirulent carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in

bacterial liver abscesses from China: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study.

Emerg Microbes Infect 9:320-331.

33.   Yan C, Zhou Y, Du S, Du B, Zhao H, Feng Y, Xue G, Cui J, Gan L, Feng J, Fan Z, Fu T,

Xu Z, Zhang Q, Zhang R, Cui X, Tian Z, Chen Y, Zhang T, Huang L, Yuan J. 2023.

Recombinase-Aided Amplification Assay for Rapid Detection of Hypervirulent Klebsiella

pneumoniae (hvKp) and Characterization of the hvKp Pathotype. Microbiol Spectr

doi:10.1128/spectrum.03984-22:e0398422.

34.   Wang S, Ding Q, Zhang Y, Zhang A, Wang Q, Wang R, Wang X, Jin L, Ma S, Wang H.

2022. Evolution of Virulence, Fitness, and Carbapenem Resistance Transmission in

ST23 Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae with the Capsular Polysaccharide Synthesis

Gene wcaJ Inserted via Insertion Sequence Elements. Microbiol Spectr 10:e0240022.

35.   Yang X, Sun Q, Li J, Jiang Y, Li Y, Lin J, Chen K, Chan EW, Zhang R, Chen S. 2022.

Molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae in

China. Emerg Microbes Infect 11:841-849.

36.   Kochan TJ, Nozick SH, Medernach RL, Cheung BH, Gatesy SWM, Lebrun-Corbin M,

Mitra SD, Khalatyan N, Krapp F, Qi C, Ozer EA, Hauser AR. 2022. Genomic surveillance

for multidrug-resistant or hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae among United States

bloodstream isolates. BMC Infect Dis 22:603.

37.   Russo TA, MacDonald U. 2020. The Galleria mellonella Infection Model Does Not

Accurately Differentiate between Hypervirulent and Classical Klebsiella pneumoniae.

mSphere 5.

641   **Table 1. Values for genotypic and phenotypic markers**

Variable	Hypervirulent Klebsiella (hvKP)	Classical Klebsiella (cKP)	p-value (hvKP vs. cKP)
Log$_{10}$ (LD$_{50}$)	4.059 ± 1.912	8.911 ± 0.3078	<0.0001, ****
Siderophore	135.8± 55.51	139.8± 84.92	0.8628, ns
Mucoviscosity LB	0.1938 ± 0.1714	0.1002 ± 0.1097	0.0113, *
Mucoviscosity c-M9-CA-te	0.3655 ± 0.2544	0.1269 ± 0.1893	<0.0001, ****
Marker Count	4.875 ± 0.3416	2.364 ± 1.168	<0.0001, ****
Kleborate Score	4.313 ± 0.7042	3.485 ± 0.8704	0.0010, ***
Mash	0.00855 ± 0.00995	0.04705 ± 0.04237	0.0002, ***
Jaccard	0.3303 ± 0.1615	0.6718 ± 0.2320	0.0001, ***

643   Mann-Whitney nonparametric test used due to non-normal data, except for Siderophore

644   analyzed using an unpaired t test.

Table 2. Logistic regression and CART prediction models

Variable	N	Cut-Point[**]	Sensitivity[º]	Specificity[º]	Accuracy[º]	AUC[#]	P value[*]
Siderophore	49	>100[$]	.82 (13/16)	0.33 (11/33)	0.49 (24/49)	0.502	0.860
Mucoviscosity LB	49	>0.11	.63 (10/16)	0.76 (25/33)	0.71 (35/49)	0.723	0.034
Mucoviscosity c-M9-CA-te	49	>0.088	1.0 (16/16)	0.70 (23/33)	0.80 (39/49)	0.869	0.001
Marker count	49	5	0.88 (14/16)	0.94 (31/33)	0.92 (45/49)	0.955	0.004
Marker count	49	≥4[***]	1 (16/16)	0.76 (25/33)	0.84 (41/49)		
Kleborate Score	49	>4.05	0.44 (7/16)	0.94 (31/33)	0.78 (38/49)	0.768	0.003
Mash	29	<0.008	0.77 (7/9)	0.9 (18/20)	0.86 (25/29)	0.900	<0.001
Jaccard	29	<0.318	0.77 (7/9)	0.95 (19/20)	0.90 (26/29)	0.919	<0.001

*Exact test about the odds-ratio from logistic regression model

#Area under the ROC Curve

**derived from classification and regression tree (CART) prediction model

***Assessment at a potentially clinically relevant cut-point

ºComputed using cut-point values

$determined from ROC curve

Figures and Legends

Figure 1. Phenotypic markers

Forty-nine strains comprising the hvKp (n=16) and cKp (n=33) cohorts underwent assessment

for LD_{50} in the outbred CD1 murine subcutaneous challenge infection model (Panel A), *in vitro*

quantitative siderophore production when grown in c-M9-CA, black symbols represent strains

that do not possess *iucA* (Panel B), and *in vitro* quantitative mucoviscosity when grown in either

LB (Panel C), or c-M9-CA-te medium (Panel D). For Panel A see Supplementary Table S1 for

challenge inocula and animal number for each strain. For Panels B a minimum of three biologic

with two technical repeats was performed for each strain. For Panels C and D a minimum of

three biologic repeats was performed for each strain. Strain cohorts were compared via Mann-

Whitney except siderophore production was compared by an unpaired t test (Prism version

9.5.1). Panel A, **** P < 0.0001. Panel C, * P = 0.0113, Panel D, **** P < 0.0001

Figure 2. Genotypic markers

Forty-nine strains comprising the hvKp (n=16) and cKp (n=33) cohorts underwent assessment

for marker count (Panel A), 29 strains comprising the hvKp (n=9) and cKp (n=20) cohorts

underwent assessment for Mash distance (Panel B), and for Jaccard distance (Panel C), and all

49 strains underwent assessment for Kleborate score (Panel D). Strain cohorts were compared

via Mann-Whitney (Prism version 9.5.1). Panel A, **** P = <0.0001, Panel B, *** P = 0.0002,

Panel C, *** P = 0.0001, Panel D, *** P = 0.001

Figure 3. Biomarker distribution

Panel A. UpSet graph for the 49 strains comprising hvKp (n=16) and cKp (n=33) was generated

to facilitate the visualization of the presence or absence of the biomarkers *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*,

rmpA, and *rmpA2*. Black circles designate the presence of a given marker whereas grey circles

designate its absence. Pathotypes are color coded. The number above each bar represents the

number of strains that possess that marker configuration. <u>Panel B</u>: Results are shown as the

distribution in proportions of each biomarker count (1-5) and Kleborate virulence score (0-5) for

the hvKp and cKp cohorts. The biomarkers count is the presence of some combination of *iucA*,

iroB, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, and *rmpA2.* The Kleborate virulence score is calculated by the presence of

the genes that encode aerobactin (3 points), yersiniabactin (1 point), and colibactin (1 point).

Figure 4. **Mash and Jaccard Distances**

The pLVKP-like virulence plasmid of 29 strains comprising the hvKp (n=9) and cKp (n=20)

cohorts, for which long-read sequencing was obtained thereby enabling a closed

genome/plasmid, was compared to the canonical pLVPK sequence. Scatterplots of

Mash/Jaccard distance to pLVPK were plotted for each strain and colored by pathotype,

number of biomarkers (some combination of *iucA*, *iroB*, *peg-344*, *rmpA*, *rmpA2*), Kleborate

virulence score (presence of genes that encode aerobactin (3 points), yersiniabactin (1 point),

and colibactin (1 point)), and antimicrobial resistance (AMR, presence of

ESBL/carbapenemase genes).

Figure 5. Proksee alignment to pLVPK

Twenty-nine strains with a closed plasmid harboring any virulence biomarker were compared to

the canonical pLVPK. Alignment of the pLVPK sequence to all closed pLVPK-like plasmid

sequences from **A)** hvKp (n=9) and **B)** cKp isolates (n=20) were produced with Proksee.

Virulence and landmark genes from pLVPK are labeled in each panel. The five virulence

biomarkers of interest are concentrated on a roughly 30kb region of pLVPK from *iucA* to *iroB*.

Concentric circles are sorted by alignment fraction to pLVPK sequence with the outermost ring

representing the isolate with the highest alignment fraction. The average alignment fraction of

pLVPK-like plasmid to pLVPK is higher in hvKp isolates compared to cKp. Alignment covering

the virulence biomarkers is more extensive in hvKp isolates. Most cKp isolates lack or have

non-functioning *iroB, peg-344,* and *rmpA* while possessing a *rmpA2* and *iucA.*

Supplementary Figure 1

Forty-nine strains comprising the hvKp (n=16) and cKp (n=33) cohorts underwent assessment

for growth/survival in human ascites via enumeration of colony forming units. A minimum of two

biologic with one-three technical repeats was performed for each strain.

A

B

C

D

A)

pLVPK - hvKp

- AKp9 - innermost
- AKp25
- AKp27
- AKp38
- AKp16
- AKp4
- AKp10
- AKp29
- AKp19
- pLVPK - outermost

repA — *invertase* — *rmpA2* — *iutA* — *iucD* — *iucC* — *iucB* — *iucA* — *rmpA* — *peg-344* — *iroN* — *iroD* — *iroC* — *iroB* — *insA/B* — *tnpA*

B)

pLVPK - cKp

- AKp34 - innermost
- AKp26
- AKp22
- AKp8
- AKp20
- MRSN110821
- AKp32
- AKp28
- AKp6
- AKp2
- AKp21
- AKp7
- AKp5
- AKp1
- AKp40
- AKp39
- AKp37
- AKp17
- AKp14
- AKp35
- pLVPK - outermost

repA — *invertase* — *rmpA2* — *iutA* — *iucD* — *iucC* — *iucB* — *iucA* — *insA/B* — *rmpA* — *peg-344* — *iroN* — *iroD* — *iroC* — *iroB* — *insA/B* — *tnpA*