PAK3 downregulation induces cognitive impairment following cranial irradiation

Haksoo Lee[1] Hyunkoo Kang[1] Changjong Moon[2], and BuHyun Youn[1,3]

[1]Department of Integrated Biological Science, Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea; [2]Department of Veterinary Anatomy and Animal Behavior, College of Veterinary Medicine and BK21 FOUR Program, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, 61186, Republic of Korea. [3]Department of Biological Sciences, Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea. e-mail: bhyoun72@pusan.ac.kr

Correspondence: Professor BuHyun Youn, Department of Biological Sciences, Pusan National University, Busandaehak-ro 63beon-gil 2, Geumjeong-gu, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea. Phone: 82-51-510-2264; Fax: 82-51-581-2962; E-mail: bhyoun72@pusan.ac.kr

Abstract

Cranial irradiation is used for prophylactic brain radiotherapy as well as treatment of primary brain tumors. Despite its high efficiency, it often induces unexpected side effects, including cognitive dysfunction. Herein, we observed that mice exposed to cranial irradiation exhibited cognitive dysfunction, including altered spontaneous behavior, decreased spatial memory, and reduced novel object recognition. Analysis of actin cytoskeleton revealed that ionizing radiation (IR) disrupted the filamentous/globular actin (F/G-actin) ratio and downregulated the actin turnover signaling pathway p21-activated kinase 3 (PAK3)-LIM kinase 1 (LIMK1)-cofilin. Furthermore, we found that IR could upregulate microRNA-206-3p (miR-206-3p) targeting PAK3. As the inhibition of miR-206-3p through antagonist (antagomiR), IR-induced disruption of PAK3 signaling is restored. In addition, intranasal administration of antagomiR-206-3p recovered IR-induced cognitive impairment in mice. Our results suggest that cranial irradiation-induced cognitive impairment could be ameliorated by regulating PAK3 through antagomiR-206-3p, thereby affording a promising strategy for protecting cognitive function during cranial irradiation, and promoting quality of life in patients with radiation therapy.

Keywords: Cranial radiation; Cognitive impairment; Dendritic spine; Intranasal administration; microRNA; p21-activated kinase 3

Introduction

Radiotherapy is an important component of cancer treatment, which utilizes high-energy radiation to damage the DNA of cancer cells and prevent their growth and division (**Brown et al., 2018; Owonikoko et al., 2014**). Over the years, radiotherapy has undergone significant developments to improve its efficacy and minimize its side effects. Nevertheless, some adverse effects of radiotherapy have been reported, including cognitive impairment (**Houillier et al., 2019; Krull et al., 2018; Rapp et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2015**). One type of radiotherapy that has been associated with such adverse effects is prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI), which is used to prevent the spread of cancer to the brain and is commonly administered to patients with certain types of cancer, such as small-cell lung cancer and acute lymphoblastic leukemia, who are at high risk of developing brain metastasis (**Conklin et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2022**). Notably, in the case of small-cell lung cancer, the cumulative risk of brain metastasis within 1 year was 14.6% in the PCI group and 40.4% in the non-PCI group. Accordingly, the 1-year survival rate was 27.1% in the PCI group and 13.3% in the non-PCI group (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00016211, (**Slotman et al., 2007**)). However, it has been reported that the side effects of PCI inevitably affect 50–90% of long-term survivors with permanent and substantial cognitive impairment (**Greene-Schloesser et al., 2013; Makale et al., 2017; Rosi et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2018**). The survivors treated with whole-brain irradiation exhibit severe impairment in memory (54.9%), processing speed (66.3%), and executive function (68.3%) (**Brinkman et al., 2016; Krull et al., 2013**). Moreover, the mechanisms underlying PCI-induced side effects remain poorly understood, and no treatment is currently available to address these adverse effects. Understanding the adverse effects associated with PCI is critical for developing strategies to minimize its impact on the cognitive function of cancer survivors.

Cognition is a complex mental process that involves the acquisition, processing, and

application of knowledge **(Harvey, 2019; Jessen et al., 2020)**. The frontal cortex and

hippocampus are two critical regions of the brain that play a key role in cognition, including

memory, attention, and decision-making **(Christensen et al., 2022; Hogeveen et al., 2022;**

Price & Duman, 2020; Sakimoto et al., 2021). Cognitive impairment is a common feature

of many psychiatric diseases, such as schizophrenia **(Gebreegziabhere et al., 2022; Jauhar**

et al., 2022) and major depressive disorder **(Douglas et al., 2018; Wen et al., 2022)**, and can

significantly impact an individual's quality of life. Despite the development of numerous

therapies for psychiatric diseases, the limitations of present cure have resulted in cognitive

impairment remaining a persistent and unmet need in treating these conditions. Therefore, a

deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms of cognitive impairment is needed to

develop more effective treatments and improve patients' quality of life suffering from these

disorders.

Cognition is closely associated with dendritic spine morphology, which plays a key role in

synaptic plasticity in the frontal cortex and hippocampus **(Delevich et al., 2020; Lamprecht,**

2021; Yang et al., 2021). Dendritic spines are small protrusions that extend from the

dendrites of neurons and play a critical role in synaptic plasticity, which is the ability of

synapses (the junctions between neurons) to change strength and structure in response to

experience **(Berry & Nedivi, 2017)**. Synaptic plasticity is believed to underlie learning and

memory processes. The morphology of dendritic spines, which includes their shape, size, and

density, is closely linked to synaptic plasticity and can influence cognitive function.**(Huang**

et al., 2021; Nakahata & Yasuda, 2018) For example, a higher density of dendritic spines in

the frontal cortex has been associated with better cognitive function **(Counts et al., 2006;**

Delevich et al., 2020), while a decrease in dendritic spine density in the hippocampus has

been linked to memory impairment **(Bosch et al., 2014; Kandimalla et al., 2018; Szatmari**

et al., 2021). In neurons, the dendritic spine morphology is closely related to the balance

between two forms of the cytoskeletal protein actin: filamentous actin (F-actin) and globular

actin (G-actin) **(Hlushchenko et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2016)**. F-actin is a rigid, filament-like

structure that provides the structural stability required for dendritic spine maturation and

synaptic function. G-actin is a monomeric form of actin that serves as the building block for

F-actin and is critical for actin dynamics and the formation of new dendritic spines. An

appropriate balance between F-actin and G-actin is essential for maintaining dendritic spine

structure and function **(Hlushchenko et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2016)**. Disruptions in this

balance have been linked to cognitive dysfunction in various neurological and psychiatric

disorders.

p21-activated kinase 3 (PAK3) is a serine/threonine kinase that plays a critical role in the

regulation of dendritic spine morphology and synaptic plasticity **(Combeau et al., 2012;**

Thévenot et al., 2011). PAK proteins function as Rac1 and Cdc42-specific effector

molecules that mediate cytoskeletal remodeling by regulating LIM kinase (LIMK),

oncoprotein 18 (OP18), and tubulin folding cofactor b (TBCB) **(Molli et al., 2009)**. PAK3 is

highly expressed in the brain, particularly in postmitotic neurons of the cerebral cortex and

the hippocampus, where it shows a diffuse distribution throughout the soma and proximal

dendrites. PAK3-deficient mice exhibit defects in the morphology of dendritic spines, which

lead to impairments in synaptic plasticity and cognitive function **(Meng et al., 2005)**. Several

studies have reported that PAK3 is implicated in cognitive impairment in various

neurological and psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease (AD)

(Lauterborn et al., 2020; Morrow et al., 2008). However, the mechanism underlying

PAK3-associated cognitive impairment has not yet been fully understood.

In this study, we investigated the impact of cranial irradiation on cognitive function and its

underlying mechanisms. Our results demonstrate that ionizing radiation (IR) reduced the

level of PAK3, leading to downregulation of PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling, which

correlates with the maturation of dendritic spines. We identified that microRNA-206-3p

(miR-206-3p) regulates PAK3 and that its antagonist, antagomiR-206-3p, restores PAK3

levels and cognitive function in irradiated mouse models. Our study provides valuable

insights into the impact of cranial irradiation on the brain and its potential mechanisms, and a

promising strategy to prevent PCI-induced cognitive dysfunction.

Results

Cranial irradiation induces cognitive impairment in mice

Given that cranial irradiation is known to induce cognitive impairment (**Ding et al., 2022; Krull et al., 2018; Makale et al., 2017**), we first evaluated whether cranial irradiation impaired cognitive function in mice. To assess the effect of IR on cognitive function, we exposed mice to IR. Subsequently, we performed behavioral tests following the overall schedule (Fig. 1A). A total dose of 60 Gy in 30 fractions is typically delivered to the brain in patients with PCI (**Suwinski, 2021; Wegner et al., 2019**), and previous studies reported that a total dose of 10 Gy caused impaired neurogenesis and cognitive behavior in mice (**Pazzaglia et al., 2020; Raber et al., 2004**). In this regard, mice were irradiated with five fractions of 2 Gy administered to the cranial region (**Ceyzériat et al., 2021; Wilson et al., 2020**). Individual behavioral tests, such as the open field test (OPF), Y-maze, and novel object recognition test (NOR), were performed from days 3 to 8 post-IR. In mice treated with IR, spontaneous alteration and time in the novel arm were significantly reduced by 66.67 and 58.14%, respectively (Fig. 1B and 1C). The time spent with objects did not differ between familiar and novel objects in IR-treated mice (Fig. 1C). Our previous study has shown that IR can induce depression-like behavior (**Kim et al., 2022**). IR-treated mice exhibited reduced time in the center of the OPF, thereby indicating depressive-like behavior (Fig. S1A). Based on the findings in the OPF, IR partially induced depression-like behavior, but the total distance traveled did not differ. These results indicate that IR could impair cognitive function and that depressive-like behavior does not impact overall moving behavior.

Synapse formation on dendrites is known to impact cognitive behavior (**Arendt, 2009; Baloyannis, 2009**). Therefore, we examined changes in the dendritic spines of the cortical neurons after IR exposure. Cortical neurons were treated with a single 2 Gy at 14 days *in vitro* (DIV). Dendritic spine density did not differ between the non-IR and IR groups. In IR-

treated neurons, mature dendritic spines (mushroom) were significantly decreased by 37.5%,

whereas immature dendritic spines (filopodia) were increased by 37.14% (Fig. 1D). The

maturation of dendritic spines depends on the F/G-actin ratio **(Chen et al., 2007; Rex et al.,**

2009). Accordingly, we evaluated whether IR can impact the F/G-actin ratio in neurons.

Exposure to IR decreased the F/G-actin ratio in cortical neurons (21.05%), frontal cortex

(21.74%), and hippocampus (73.91%) (Fig. 1E). Overall, these results indicated that IR could

induce cognitive impairment by disrupting dendritic spine maturation.

IR decreases PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling

To identify the molecular mechanism of IR-induced dendritic spine alteration, we analyzed

microarray data from the brains of mice treated or un-treated with cranial radiation, which

was generated in a previous study (GSE94440) **(Kang et al., 2018)**. Among the 15 coding

genes that were up- and downregulated by cranial irradiation, we focused on the PAK3 gene,

which showed a 0.5-fold altered expression in the irradiated brain, considering both the

"synapse organization" and the "dendritic spine development" ontologies (Fig. 2A). In

addition, PAK3 is mainly expressed in the frontal cortex and hippocampus, both crucial

regions for cognitive function in the brain (Fig. S2A) **(Christensen et al., 2022; Price &**

Duman, 2020). As shown in Fig. 2b, IR decreased mRNA and protein levels of PAK3 in

cortical neurons (49.48% and 44.30%, respectively). In addition, IR decreased mRNA level

of PAK3 in frontal cortex (61.67%) and hippocampus (66.67%) (Fig. S2B). Based on

immunocytochemistry data, PAK3 was localized on dendrites in cortical neurons (Fig. 2C).

These results suggested that PAK3, which is correlated with synapses and dendritic spines, is

a candidate for IR-induced dendritic spine alteration.

Given that PAK3 inhibits severing and promotes the branching of F-actin **(Rane & Minden,**

2014), we further examined actin dynamics-related PAK3 signaling. PAK3 phosphorylates

LIMK1 to activate it, and activated LIMK1, in turn, phosphorylates cofilin to its inactive

state. Activated cofilin promotes actin turnover, while inactivated cofilin suppresses actin

turnover (Fig. 2D) **(Bamburg et al., 2021; Edwards et al., 1999)**. Therefore, we examined

whether IR can affect the activation of LIMK1 and cofilin. Herein, we observed that IR

decreased the expression of phosphorylated LIMK1 and cofilin in cortical neurons, frontal

cortex, and hippocampus (Fig. 2E and S2C). In addition, phosphorylated LIMK1 and cofilin

were less expressed and localized on the dendrites in cortical neurons (Fig. 2G and H). These

results suggested that IR could decrease the activation and localization of LIMK1 and cofilin

in dendritic spines by downregulating PAK3 expression. However, IR did not alter other

downstream factors related to cytoskeleton regulation, such as oncoprotein 18 (OP18) and

tubulin-folding cofactor B (TBCB) (Fig. S3A and S3B). Overall, these results showed that IR

decreased the expression and localization of PAK3, which, in turn, decreased the

phosphorylation of LIMK1 and cofilin.

PAK3 regulates dendritic spine morphology and maturation

Given that PAK3 is associated with synapse organization and dendritic spine development,

we further examined whether the regulation of PAK3 could impact dendritic spines. First, we

knocked down PAK3 using a short hairpin RNA (shRNA). Every two shRNAs targeting

PAK3 successfully reduced the mRNA level of PAK3 by approximately 30% (Fig. 3A). We

employed shRNA #1 to examine the effects of PAK3 downregulation. Downregulated PAK3

expression reduced the F/G-actin ratio (Fig. 3B) and LIMK1-cofilin signaling (Fig. 3C).

Dendritic spines were observed after PAK3 downregulation. We noted that the dendritic

spine density did not differ between the control and PAK3 downregulation groups. However,

the number of mushroom spines was lower than that of filopodia following PAK3

downregulation (Fig. 3D). Compared with the filopodia spine, the mushroom spine is a more

mature form that typically transmits nerve signals to other neurons **(Hering & Sheng, 2001)**.

We examined the effects of PAK3 overexpression (Fig. 3E). PAK3 overexpression affects the

expression and phosphorylation of LIMK1 and cofilin. We evaluated the recovery of IR-

induced downregulated PAK3 signaling after PAK3 overexpression. Notably, PAK3

overexpression could restore IR-induced downregulation of F/G-actin (Fig. 3F). Following

alterations in the F/G-actin ratio, mushroom spines were more abundant than filopodia in IR-

treated PAK3 overexpressing neurons (Fig. 3G). These results suggested that PAK3 could

regulate the F/G-actin ratio and maturation of dendritic spine through LIMK1-cofilin

signaling. Considering IR-induced decreased PAK3 signaling, upregulation of PAK3

expression could restore the F/G-actin ratio and dendritic spine distribution to normal levels

in murine neuron.

To explore whether IR can decrease PAK3 signaling and dendritic spine maturation in human

neurons, we differentiated neural progenitor cells into neurons. Herein, we observed that the

expression of stemness markers (SOX2, Nestin, and PAX6) was decreased in differentiated

neurons (Fig. S4A). In addition, the expression of neuronal (NeuN) and synaptic (PSD95)

markers were increased in differentiated neurons when compared with that in neural

progenitor cells (Fig. S4B). The altered expression of markers suggests that human neural

progenitor cells can differentiate into human neurons. Therefore, differentiated neurons were

used to confirm alterations in human neurons. In human neurons, IR reduced the F/G-actin

ratio (Fig. 4A). Based on IR-induced altered F/G-actin ratio, we hypothesized that IR could

reduce PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling in differentiated neurons. IR decreased the expression

of PAK3, phosphorylated-LIMK1, and phosphorylated-cofilin in human neurons (Fig. 4B

and C). A previous study has revealed that IR can reduce mature dendritic spines and enhance

immature dendritic spines (Fig. 1D); IR downregulated the mature dendritic spine and

upregulated the immature dendritic spine in human neurons (Fig. 4D). To confirm that these

changes were caused by IR-induced PAK3 downregulation, we used shRNA to downregulate

PAK3 expression in differentiated neurons. Consistent with the IR effect on dendritic spines,

downregulated PAK3 expression increased the number of immature dendritic spines (Fig.

4E). Collectively, PAK3 signaling is essential for the maturation of dendritic spines, and IR

could disrupt PAK3 signaling in human neurons.

IR-induced miR-206-3p decreases PAK3 expression, and its inhibition rescued PAK3 signaling

To elucidate the mechanism underlying IR-induced changes in PAK3 expression, we

performed a luciferase reporter assay for the PAK3 promoter. In murine neurons, IR failed to

induce any change in luciferase activity (Fig. 5A), suggesting that IR does not induce PAK3

expression by regulating transcription levels. Next, we hypothesized that IR might alter the

miRNAs targeting PAK3. Using miRNA databases (miRDB, TargetScan, and TarBase), we

analyzed miRNAs targeting PAK3, selecting three miRNA candidates (Fig. 5B). Among the

three miRNAs, IR significantly upregulated only miR-206-3p in both mice and humans (Fig.

5C). To validate whether IR can impact miR-206-3p expression and examine the effects of

miR-206-3p inhibition, we determined miR-206-3p levels after IR and treatment with an

inhibitor of miR-206-3p (antagomiR-206-3p). IR increased miR-206-3p expression in both

mouse and human neurons (Fig. 5D). In addition, antagomiR-206-3p successfully inhibited

IR-induced miR-206-3p expression in mouse and human neurons (Fig. 5D). Consistent with

the miRNA inhibition data, IR-induced PAK3 downregulation was restored to approximately

90% of the control in both neurons (Fig. 5E). To confirm whether miR-206-3p could affect

PAK3 signaling, we examined whether treatment with miR-206-3p could alter PAK3-

LIMK1-cofilin signaling. As expected, miR-206-3p decreased PAK signaling, and the

respective antagonist partially restored the downregulated PAK3 signaling (Fig. 5F and 5G).

In addition, consistent with the effects of antagomiR, IR disrupted the distribution of

dendritic spines, and IR with antagomiR treatment restored dendritic spine maturation (Fig.

5H). These results suggested that IR-induced miR-206-3p decreased PAK3 signaling and

dendritic spine maturation in mouse and human neurons.

Intranasal administration of antagomiR-206-3p rescues cognitive function

Given that antagomiR for miR-206-3p effectively attenuated IR-mediated alterations in

dendritic spines, we next inhibited miR-206-3p to recover cognitive impairment *in vivo*. To

efficiently deliver antagomiR to the brain, antagomiR was administered intranasally.

Intranasal administration is a less invasive method for drug delivery, easily accessible to adult

and pediatric patients. According to the previous *in vivo* experimental protocol (Fig. 1A),

mice were exposed to IR with five fractions (over a 24 h interval) of 2 Gy to the cranial

region and subsequently treated with antagomiR via intranasal administration. Then, OPF, Y-

maze, and NOR tests were performed from days 2–7 post-intranasal administration.

Behavioral tests were performed according to the overall schedule (Fig. 6A). We then

administered Cy5-labeled antagomiR to IR-treated mice via intranasal administration (Fig.

6B). To validate the delivery efficiency of antagomiR, we examined Cy5 fluorescence in the

brain after intranasal administration (Fig. 6C). Treatment with Cy5-antagomiR treatment

dose-dependently increased Cy5 fluorescence. As the frontal cortex and hippocampus are

cognition-related central regions in the brain **(Christensen et al., 2022; Hogeveen et al.,**

2022; Price & Duman, 2020; Sakimoto et al., 2021), we confirmed IR and antagomiR

effects in the frontal cortex and hippocampus. Consistent with *in vitro* results (Fig. 5D),

antagomiR decreased the IR-induced miR-206-3p expression in the frontal cortex and

hippocampus (Fig. 6D). IR-treated mice administered antagomiR exhibited attenuated

spontaneous alteration, as well as time in the novel arm and time spent with the novel object

(Fig. 6E and 6F). In addition, time spent in the center of the OPF was restored in IR-treated

mice administered antagomiR (Fig. S5A and S5B). These results showed that antagomiR

could successfully attenuate IR-induced cognitive impairment. To validate whether

antagomiR-induced recovery of cognitive function is mediated via the restoration of PAK3

signaling to normal levels, we confirmed that antagomiR restored IR-induced downregulation

of PAK3 signaling. Consistently, antagomiR treatment increased the expression of PAK3,

phosphorylated-LIMK1, and phosphorylated-cofilin in the frontal cortex and hippocampus

(Fig. 6G). In addition, the F/G-actin ratio in the frontal cortex and hippocampus was restored

to normal when compared with that in the IR-treated group (Fig. 6H). Collectively, these

results suggested that antagomiR could be an effective strategy for IR-induced cognitive

impairment by restoring PAK3 signaling.

Discussion

Radiotherapy is a common treatment for cancer to stop or prevent cancer cells from growing or spreading in the body. In particular, PCI is widely used for preventing brain metastasis, but it may cause side effects including cognitive impairment, which significantly impacts quality of life of patients. In this study, we investigated the effect of cranial irradiation on cognitive function and the underlying mechanisms in a mouse model. Our results revealed that cranial irradiation reduced cognitive function and downregulated the expression of PAK3, a gene involved in dendritic spine development and synaptic plasticity in the frontal cortex and hippocampus. We demonstrated that the PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling pathway was affected by IR, leading to dendritic spine maturation impairment. Additionally, we found that miR-206-3p regulated PAK3 expression and that antagomiR-206-3p treatment restored PAK3 levels and cognitive function in the irradiated mice. Our findings suggest that PAK3 may play a critical role in cognitive function after cranial irradiation, highlighting a potential therapeutic target to mitigate the adverse effects of radiotherapy (Fig. S6).

PAK3 expression is altered in several neurodegenerative diseases, suggesting that the decrease in PAK3 may be partly involved in cognitive or developmental symptoms. PAK3 mRNA levels are significantly reduced in the hippocampus of subjects affected with depression **(Fuchsova et al., 2016)**. Additionally, post-mortem brains of Down syndrome and AD patients, PAK3 proteins are markedly reduced with abnormal cofilin activation in neurites **(Lauterborn et al., 2020)**. Consistent with our study, decreased PAK3 impairs cognitive function via regulation of dendritic spine in neurodegenerative diseases. In addition to dendritic spine morphology regulation, PAK3 involves in synaptic transmission and trafficking. For example, PAK3 controls the surface trafficking of the major excitatory receptor GluA1 AMPA receptor subunit in neurons **(Hussain et al., 2015)**. PAK3 can also control synaptic GABA(A)R surface stability via G protein-coupled receptor kinase

interacting ArfGAP 1/βPIX signaling **(Smith et al., 2014)**. These results suggest that PAK3

plays a key role in regulating synaptic function as well as the dendritic spine morphology.

Previous studies have shown that the activation of PAK3 is primarily regulated by

phosphorylation **(Liu et al., 2021; Wu & Jiang, 2022)**, and phosphorylated PAK3 is

correlated to activity of AMPA receptor **(Hussain et al., 2015)**, neuroplasticity **(Kim et al.,**

2017), spine morphogenesis **(Zhang et al., 2005)**, and neurite outgrowth **(Shin et al., 2006)**.

On the contrary, our study demonstrated that a decrease in PAK3 expression alone can

significantly alter spine morphogenesis. This finding suggests that PAK3 expression levels

may be a critical determinant of dendritic spine development and synaptic plasticity, even

regardless of the phosphorylation status. Furthermore, our study identifies a potential

mechanism underlying the cognitive impairment associated with cranial irradiation, which

downregulates PAK3 expression. These results highlight the importance of PAK3 expression

in cognitive function and provide a potential therapeutic target for reducing the adverse

effects of cranial irradiation on brain function.

Intranasal administration can bypass blood-brain barrier and rapidly deliver drugs from the

nasal mucosa to the brain with a non-invasive way and reducing systemic side effects

(Corrigan et al., 2015; Tucker et al., 2018). Recent studies reported that intranasal insulin

administration for the treatment of CNS disorders including mild cognitive impairment and

AD (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01767909, **(Craft et al., 2020)**). Additionally,

previous studies reported that intranasal administration of microRNA is effective to ischemic

brain injury **(Lawson et al., 2022)**, depression **(Guan et al., 2021)**, and AD **(Mai et al.,**

2019). In this study, we demonstrated the potential utility of intranasal administration of

antagomiR-206-3p for restoring PAK3 levels and cognitive function in irradiated mouse

models with marginal side effects. Other advantages of intranasal administration include

avoiding the gastrointestinal tract or hepatic metabolism **(Mistry et al., 2009)**, and enhancing

drug bioavailability and stability **(Quintana et al., 2016)**. A previous study reported that drug

absorption through nasal cavity is more efficient than through gastrointestinal tract

(Furubayashi et al., 2007). In addition, intranasal administration of biomacromolecular

agents, including nucleic acid-based drugs, remarkably reduced the degradation rate and

increased the delivery efficiency compared to the oral route **(Fortuna et al., 2014)**.

Collectively, intranasal administration provides a promising avenue for targeting and delivery

to the brain with little adverse effects.

Overall, our study highlights the detrimental effects of cranial irradiation on cognitive

function and provides new insights into the role of PAK3 in dendritic spine development and

cognitive function. We provide the underlying mechanisms of PAK3-associated cognitive

impairment after radiotherapy using both differentiated human neurons and mouse models.

Considering the high delivery efficiency and the anti-cognitive impairment effects of

antagomiR-206-3p from our preclinical results, intranasal administration of antagomiR-206-

3p may offer a promising approach for reducing the adverse effects of PCI.

Materials and methods

Mice

Male mice, aged 7□weeks, were used in this study. All experiments were performed in accordance with the provisions of the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The mice were housed individually or in groups of up to five in sterile cages, and were maintained in animal care facilities in a temperature regulated room (23 ± 1□) with a 12 h light–dark cycle. All animals were fed water and standard mouse chow *ad libitum*.

Irradiation

The animals were anaesthetized by an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of zoletil (5 mg/10 g). After sufficient anesthesia was induced, the mice were attached to a fixed plate, and irradiation was applied at locations ranging from 0.3 cm behind the eyes to the posterior part of both ears to ensure that the radiation surface did not involve the eyes, mouth, and spinal cord (to prevent the normal exercise ability of the mouse from being affected by irradiation). The brain of mice were irradiated with 2 Gy daily for five days at a dose rate of 600 MU/min using a TrueBeam STx.

Behavior: Open field test

Depressive-like behavior of mice were assessed in rectangular chambers (Width x Length x Depth = 50 cm $\times$ 50 cm x 38 cm). Mice were habituated for 3 min in the chamber (without recording) then placed for another 5 min in center of the chamber (with recording). Localization was recorded and analyzed by the time in center zone using Noldus EthoVision XT software (Noldus Information Technology, Leesburg, VA).

Behavior: Y-maze

Spontaneous alteration was measured using a Y-maze apparatus (three grey opaque plastic,

symmetrical arm, length: 40 cm, arm bottom width: 3 cm, arm upper width: 13 cm, height of

wall: 15 cm, angled at 120°, Busan, South Korea). Each mouse was placed in the central area.

The number of entries into the arms and alterations were recorded for 10 min with the

EthoVisionXT video-imaging system. Max alternation was defined as the number of possible

alternations counted by the total number of arms entered minus 2. Alternation was defined as

consecutive entries into 3 different arms and counted only if a mouse entered into the 3 arms

of maze (without revisiting the first arm at the third visit) **(Hölter et al., 2015)**.

Spatial memory was tested by placing the test mouse into the Y-maze with one arm of the

maze closed off during training (Training session). This arm is designated as the novel arm.

After 4 hours, during which the mouse is removed from the maze, the mouse is placed back

into the maze with the blockage removed (Testing session). The number of entries into the

novel arm was recorded for 10 min with the EthoVisionXT video-imaging system **(Kraeuter**

et al., 2019).

Behavior: Novel object recognition (NOR)

NOR assay was used to explore cognitive performance in the long-term memory in a 50×50

cm opaque square arena. On Day 1, the mice were habituated to an open field arena for 5

min. On Day 2, the mice were exposed to 2 identical objects for a 5-min period. On Day 3,

one of the objects was replaced with a new object, and the mice were let to explore the arena

for 5 min. Each trial was recorded and the videos were automatically analyzed by EthoVision

XT software (Noldus). The time spent with the familiar and novel objects was measured

(Rungratanawanich et al., 2019).

Intranasal administration

According to the manufacturer's instructions, 40 nmol of antagomiR-206-3p (sequence: 5'-

CCACACACUUCCUUACAUUCCA -3') or antagomiR-NC (the antagomiR negative

control, its antisense chain sequence: 5'-UCUACUCUUUCUAGGAGGUUGUGA-3') was

dissolved in 1 mL of RNase-free water. A total of 24 mL of the solution (1 nmol per one

mouse) was instilled with a pipette, alternately into the left and right nostrils (1 mL/time),

with an interval of 3–5 min. The respiration of mice was not blocked, and other disorders

were not observed; all mice in different groups survived. The same dosage and mode of

administration were used for intranasal Cy5-antagomiR-206-3p administration (n = 3) to

trace the distribution of antagomiR-206-3p after administration. We observed the overall and

its sagittal section of the brain using ChemiDoc at 24 h after intranasal administration of Cy5-

antagomiR-206-3p.

Primary neuronal cell culture

As previous study reported (**Kim et al., 2022**), pregnant C57BL/6 mice were obtained from a

specific pathogen-free colony at Oriental Inc. (Seoul, Korea). Embryos were dissected from

embryonic day 15.5 mice and prepared for culturing. The prefrontal cerebral cortices were

dissected under sterile conditions, and the meninges were pulled off. The prefrontal cerebral

cortices were then digested with 2.5% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco BRL) in DMEM media for 15

min at 37 ◦C. After digestion, cells were dispersed through a pipette and filtered through a 70

μm nylon net filter. The cells were then centrifuged 5min at 500g; washed with Neurobasal

medium containing B27 serumfree supplement (Thermo Scientific) and

penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Scientific). The cultured cells were incubated at 37 ◦C in a

humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2.

Image analysis

Primary cultured neuron and differentiated neuron was attached by a poly-d lysine–coated

and laminin-coated confocal plate, respectively. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (5

min) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS with 5% BSA (10 min). After

blocking with 1% BSA in PBS (30 min), primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 ∘C

and stained with secondary antibodies for 1 h. Coverslips were mounted with Fluoromount™

aqueous mounting medium. Samples were imaged with a confocal microscope (LSM 800

system). The microscopes were controlled by Zeiss black edition software. Secondary

antibodies were labeled with Alexa Fluor 488, or 594.

Dendritic spine distribution

The images of entire neurons were acquired at 20X magnification. For spine counts, the

images were analyzed using a semiautomated analysis software (NeuronJ) publicly available

at https://imagescience.org/meijering/software/neuronj/manual/ **(Zhang et al., 2022)**. Whole

dendrite was subdivided into segments of 1 µm and number of spines across whole thickness

were traced for length and breadth of each spine. Length and breadth ratio was used to

determine the spine subtype as described earlier **(Bączyńska et al., 2021)**. After the analysis

for each class of spine, standard deviation and p-values are calculated using two-way Anova

with Sidak's multiple comparison method.

Real-time qRT-PCR

The expression levels of mRNAs, miRNAs, and stemness and neuron-related genes were

analyzed by real-time qRT-PCR, as previously described **(Shin et al., 2022)**. Aliquots of the

master mix containing all of the reaction components with the primers were dispensed into a

real-time PCR plate (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). All PCR reagents were

from a SYBR Green core reagent kit (Applied Biosystems). The expressions of all genes

were measured in triplicate in the reaction plate. The qRT-PCR was performed using the

StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). It was performed by subjecting the

samples at 95 ∘C for 15 s and at 60 ∘C for 1 min for 40 cycles followed by thermal

denaturation. The expression of each gene relative to Gapdh mRNA was determined using

the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

F/G-actin ratio

F/G-actin ratio was assessed as previously described **(Festa et al., 2020)**. Briefly, cells were

lysed in cold lysis buffer [10 mM K_2PO_4, 100 mM NaF, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM

EGTA, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.5% Triton-X 100, 1 mM sucrose (pH 7.0)] and centrifuged at 15,000

x g for 30 min. Separation of F-actin and G-actin was achieved in that F-actin is insoluble

(pellet) in this buffer, whereas G-actin is soluble (supernatant). The G-actin supernatant was

transferred to a fresh tube and the F-actin pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer plus an equal

volume of a second buffer [1.5 mM guanidine hydrochloride, 1 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM

$CaCl_2$, 1 mM ATP, 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.5)] and then incubated on ice for one hour with

gentle mixing every 15 min to convert F-actin into soluble G-actin. Samples were centrifuged

at 15,000 x g for 30 min and the supernatant (containing F-actin which was converted to G-

actin) was transferred to a fresh tube. F-actin and G-actin samples were loaded with equal

volumes and analyzed via Western blot.

Western blot analysis

The assessment of protein levels was performed following previous study **(Kang et al.,**

2023). In brief, Whole cell lysates (WCL) were prepared using radioimmunoprecipitation

assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 25 mM

NaF, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 20 mM EGTA, 1 mM Na_3VO_4, 0.3 mM

phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 5 U/mL aprotinin) and the protein

concentrations in the lysates were determined using a BioRad protein assay kit (BioRad

Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Protein samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to a

nitrocellulose membrane and then blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in TBST for 1 h at

RT. Next, membranes were probed with specific primary antibodies and peroxidase-

conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The samples were subsequently

analyzed using an ECL detection system (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN).

Detection and densitometric analysis were conducted using the iBright Western blot imaging

systems (Thermofisher).

Statistical analysis

All numeric data are presented as the means ± standard deviation (SD) from at least three

independent experiments. Experimental results were analyzed by one-way ANOVA for

ranked data followed by Tukey's honestly significant difference test. The Prism 9 software

(GraphPad Software, SanDiego, CA) was used to conduct all statistical analyses. A p value <

0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Funding Sources

This research was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the

Ministry of Science and ICT (2020M2D9A2094156) and the National Research Foundation

of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (RS-2023-00207904).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author

upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The animal protocol used in this study was approved by the Pusan National University

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (PNU-IACUC) for ethical procedures and

scientific care (Approval Number PNU-2021-0055).

Consent for publication

All co-authors have read and approved of its submission to this journal.

Conflicts of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Arendt, T. (2009). Synaptic degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol*, *118*(1), 167-179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-009-0536-x

Bączyńska, E., Pels, K. K., Basu, S., Włodarczyk, J., & Ruszczycki, B. (2021). Quantification of Dendritic Spines Remodeling under Physiological Stimuli and in Pathological Conditions. *Int J Mol Sci*, *22*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22084053

Baloyannis, S. J. (2009). Dendritic pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Sci*, *283*(1-2), 153-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2009.02.370

Bamburg, J. R., Minamide, L. S., Wiggan, O., Tahtamouni, L. H., & Kuhn, T. B. (2021). Cofilin and Actin Dynamics: Multiple Modes of Regulation and Their Impacts in Neuronal Development and Degeneration. *Cells*, *10*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10102726

Berry, K. P., & Nedivi, E. (2017). Spine Dynamics: Are They All the Same? *Neuron*, *96*(1), 43-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.08.008

Bosch, M., Castro, J., Saneyoshi, T., Matsuno, H., Sur, M., & Hayashi, Y. (2014). Structural and molecular remodeling of dendritic spine substructures during long-term potentiation. *Neuron*, *82*(2), 444-459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.021

Brinkman, T. M., Krasin, M. J., Liu, W., Armstrong, G. T., Ojha, R. P., Sadighi, Z. S., Gupta, P., Kimberg, C., Srivastava, D., Merchant, T. E., Gajjar, A., Robison, L. L., Hudson, M. M., & Krull, K. R. (2016). Long-Term Neurocognitive Functioning and Social Attainment in Adult Survivors of Pediatric CNS Tumors: Results From the St Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. *J Clin Oncol*, *34*(12), 1358-1367. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2015.62.2589

Brown, P. D., Ahluwalia, M. S., Khan, O. H., Asher, A. L., Wefel, J. S., & Gondi, V. (2018). Whole-Brain Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases: Evolution or Revolution? *J Clin Oncol*, *36*(5), 483-491. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2017.75.9589

Ceyzériat, K., Zilli, T., Fall, A. B., Millet, P., Koutsouvelis, N., Dipasquale, G., Frisoni, G. B., Tournier, B. B., & Garibotto, V. (2021). Treatment by low-dose brain radiation therapy improves memory performances without changes of the amyloid load in the TgF344-AD rat model. *Neurobiol Aging*, *103*, 117-127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2021.03.008

Chen, L. Y., Rex, C. S., Casale, M. S., Gall, C. M., & Lynch, G. (2007). Changes in synaptic morphology accompany actin signaling during LTP. *J Neurosci*, *27*(20), 5363-5372. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0164-07.2007

Christensen, A. J., Ott, T., & Kepecs, A. (2022). Cognition and the single neuron: How cell types construct the dynamic computations of frontal cortex. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, *77*, 102630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2022.102630

Combeau, G., Kreis, P., Domenichini, F., Amar, M., Fossier, P., Rousseau, V., & Barnier, J. V. (2012). The p21-activated kinase PAK3 forms heterodimers with PAK1 in brain implementing trans-regulation of PAK3 activity. *J Biol Chem*, *287*(36), 30084-30096. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.355073

Conklin, H. M., Krull, K. R., Reddick, W. E., Pei, D., Cheng, C., & Pui, C. H. (2012). Cognitive

outcomes following contemporary treatment without cranial irradiation for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Natl Cancer Inst, 104*(18), 1386-1395. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djs344

Corrigan, M., Wilson, S. S., & Hampton, J. (2015). Safety and efficacy of intranasally administered medications in the emergency department and prehospital settings. *Am J Health Syst Pharm, 72*(18), 1544-1554. https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp140630

Counts, S. E., Nadeem, M., Lad, S. P., Wuu, J., & Mufson, E. J. (2006). Differential expression of synaptic proteins in the frontal and temporal cortex of elderly subjects with mild cognitive impairment. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol, 65*(6), 592-601. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005072-200606000-00007

Craft, S., Raman, R., Chow, T. W., Rafii, M. S., Sun, C. K., Rissman, R. A., Donohue, M. C., Brewer, J. B., Jenkins, C., Harless, K., Gessert, D., & Aisen, P. S. (2020). Safety, Efficacy, and Feasibility of Intranasal Insulin for the Treatment of Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer Disease Dementia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurol, 77*(9), 1099-1109. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1840

Delevich, K., Okada, N. J., Rahane, A., Zhang, Z., Hall, C. D., & Wilbrecht, L. (2020). Sex and Pubertal Status Influence Dendritic Spine Density on Frontal Corticostriatal Projection Neurons in Mice. *Cereb Cortex, 30*(6), 3543-3557. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz325

Ding, X., Zhang, H. B., Qiu, H., Wen, X., & Zhang, L. Z. (2022). Cranial irradiation induces cognitive decline associated with altered dendritic spine morphology in the young rat hippocampus. *Childs Nerv Syst, 38*(10), 1867-1875. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-022-05646-w

Douglas, K. M., Gallagher, P., Robinson, L. J., Carter, J. D., McIntosh, V. V., Frampton, C. M., Watson, S., Young, A. H., Ferrier, I. N., & Porter, R. J. (2018). Prevalence of cognitive impairment in major depression and bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord, 20*(3), 260-274. https://doi.org/10.1111/bdi.12602

Edwards, D. C., Sanders, L. C., Bokoch, G. M., & Gill, G. N. (1999). Activation of LIM-kinase by Pak1 couples Rac/Cdc42 GTPase signalling to actin cytoskeletal dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol, 1*(5), 253-259. https://doi.org/10.1038/12963

Festa, L. K., Irollo, E., Platt, B. J., Tian, Y., Floresco, S., & Meucci, O. (2020). CXCL12-induced rescue of cortical dendritic spines and cognitive flexibility. *Elife, 9*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49717

Fortuna, A., Alves, G., Serralheiro, A., Sousa, J., & Falcão, A. (2014). Intranasal delivery of systemic-acting drugs: small-molecules and biomacromolecules. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 88*(1), 8-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2014.03.004

Fuchsova, B., Alvarez Juliá, A., Rizavi, H. S., Frasch, A. C., & Pandey, G. N. (2016). Expression of p21-activated kinases 1 and 3 is altered in the brain of subjects with depression. *Neuroscience, 333*, 331-344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.07.037

Furubayashi, T., Kamaguchi, A., Kawaharada, K., Masaoka, Y., Kataoka, M., Yamashita, S., Higashi, Y., & Sakane, T. (2007). Evaluation of the contribution of the nasal cavity and gastrointestinal

tract to drug absorption following nasal application to rats. *Biol Pharm Bull*, *30*(3), 608-611. https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.30.608

Gebreegziabhere, Y., Habatmu, K., Mihretu, A., Cella, M., & Alem, A. (2022). Cognitive impairment in people with schizophrenia: an umbrella review. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*, *272*(7), 1139-1155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-022-01416-6

Greene-Schloesser, D., Moore, E., & Robbins, M. E. (2013). Molecular pathways: radiation-induced cognitive impairment. *Clin Cancer Res*, *19*(9), 2294-2300. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-11-2903

Guan, W., Xu, D. W., Ji, C. H., Wang, C. N., Liu, Y., Tang, W. Q., Gu, J. H., Chen, Y. M., Huang, J., Liu, J. F., & Jiang, B. (2021). Hippocampal miR-206-3p participates in the pathogenesis of depression via regulating the expression of BDNF. *Pharmacol Res*, *174*, 105932. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105932

Harvey, P. D. (2019). Domains of cognition and their assessment *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*, *21*(3), 227-237. https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2019.21.3/pharvey

Hering, H., & Sheng, M. (2001). Dendritic spines: structure, dynamics and regulation. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, *2*(12), 880-888. https://doi.org/10.1038/35104061

Hlushchenko, I., Koskinen, M., & Hotulainen, P. (2016). Dendritic spine actin dynamics in neuronal maturation and synaptic plasticity. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*, *73*(9), 435-441. https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.21280

Hogeveen, J., Medalla, M., Ainsworth, M., Galeazzi, J. M., Hanlon, C. A., Mansouri, F. A., & Costa, V. D. (2022). What Does the Frontopolar Cortex Contribute to Goal-Directed Cognition and Action? *J Neurosci*, *42*(45), 8508-8513. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1143-22.2022

Hölter, S. M., Garrett, L., Einicke, J., Sperling, B., Dirscherl, P., Zimprich, A., Fuchs, H., Gailus-Durner, V., Hrabě de Angelis, M., & Wurst, W. (2015). Assessing Cognition in Mice. *Curr Protoc Mouse Biol*, *5*(4), 331-358. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470942390.mo150068

Houillier, C., Taillandier, L., Dureau, S., Lamy, T., Laadhari, M., Chinot, O., Moluçon-Chabrot, C., Soubeyran, P., Gressin, R., Choquet, S., Damaj, G., Thyss, A., Abraham, J., Delwail, V., Gyan, E., Sanhes, L., Cornillon, J., Garidi, R., Delmer, A., . . . Soussain, C. (2019). Radiotherapy or Autologous Stem-Cell Transplantation for Primary CNS Lymphoma in Patients 60 Years of Age and Younger: Results of the Intergroup ANOCEF-GOELAMS Randomized Phase II PRECIS Study. *J Clin Oncol*, *37*(10), 823-833. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.18.00306

Huang, L., Lafaille, J. J., & Yang, G. (2021). Learning-dependent dendritic spine plasticity is impaired in spontaneous autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Dev Neurobiol*, *81*(5), 736-745. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22827

Hussain, N. K., Thomas, G. M., Luo, J., & Huganir, R. L. (2015). Regulation of AMPA receptor subunit GluA1 surface expression by PAK3 phosphorylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *112*(43), E5883-5890. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518382112

Jauhar, S., Johnstone, M., & McKenna, P. J. (2022). Schizophrenia. *Lancet*, *399*(10323), 473-486.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(21)01730-x

Jessen, F., Amariglio, R. E., Buckley, R. F., van der Flier, W. M., Han, Y., Molinuevo, J. L., Rabin, L.,
Rentz, D. M., Rodriguez-Gomez, O., Saykin, A. J., Sikkes, S. A. M., Smart, C. M.,
Wolfsgruber, S., & Wagner, M. (2020). The characterisation of subjective cognitive decline.
Lancet Neurol, *19*(3), 271-278. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(19)30368-0

Kandimalla, R., Manczak, M., Yin, X., Wang, R., & Reddy, P. H. (2018). Hippocampal phosphorylated
tau induced cognitive decline, dendritic spine loss and mitochondrial abnormalities in a mouse
model of Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Mol Genet*, *27*(1), 30-40.
https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx381

Kang, H., Lee, H., Kim, K., Shin, E., Kim, B., Kang, J., Kim, B., Lee, J. S., Lee, J. M., Youn, H., &
Youn, B. (2023). DGKB mediates radioresistance by regulating DGAT1-dependent lipotoxicity
in glioblastoma. *Cell Rep Med*, *4*(1), 100880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100880

Kang, J., Kim, W., Seo, H., Kim, E., Son, B., Lee, S., Park, G., Jo, S., Moon, C., Youn, H., & Youn, B.
(2018). Radiation-induced overexpression of transthyretin inhibits retinol-mediated
hippocampal neurogenesis. *Sci Rep*, *8*(1), 8394. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26762-1

Kim, H., Kim, J., Lee, H., Shin, E., Kang, H., Jeon, J., & Youn, B. (2022). Baiap3 regulates depressive
behaviors in mice via attenuating dense core vesicle trafficking in subsets of prefrontal cortex
neurons. *Neurobiol Stress*, *16*, 100423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100423

Kim, M. J., Biag, J., Fass, D. M., Lewis, M. C., Zhang, Q., Fleishman, M., Gangwar, S. P., Machius,
M., Fromer, M., Purcell, S. M., McCarroll, S. A., Rudenko, G., Premont, R. T., Scolnick, E. M.,
& Haggarty, S. J. (2017). Functional analysis of rare variants found in schizophrenia
implicates a critical role for GIT1-PAK3 signaling in neuroplasticity. *Mol Psychiatry*, *22*(3),
417-429. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.98

Kraeuter, A. K., Guest, P. C., & Sarnyai, Z. (2019). The Y-Maze for Assessment of Spatial Working
and Reference Memory in Mice. *Methods Mol Biol*, *1916*, 105-111.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8994-2_10

Krull, K. R., Brinkman, T. M., Li, C., Armstrong, G. T., Ness, K. K., Srivastava, D. K., Gurney, J. G.,
Kimberg, C., Krasin, M. J., Pui, C. H., Robison, L. L., & Hudson, M. M. (2013). Neurocognitive
outcomes decades after treatment for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from
the St Jude lifetime cohort study. *J Clin Oncol*, *31*(35), 4407-4415.
https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2012.48.2315

Krull, K. R., Hardy, K. K., Kahalley, L. S., Schuitema, I., & Kesler, S. R. (2018). Neurocognitive
Outcomes and Interventions in Long-Term Survivors of Childhood Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*,
36(21), 2181-2189. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2017.76.4696

Lamprecht, R. (2021). Actin Cytoskeleton Role in the Maintenance of Neuronal Morphology and Long-
Term Memory. *Cells*, *10*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10071795

Lauterborn, J. C., Cox, C. D., Chan, S. W., Vanderklish, P. W., Lynch, G., & Gall, C. M. (2020).
Synaptic actin stabilization protein loss in Down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. *Brain*
Pathol, *30*(2), 319-331. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12779

Lawson, A., Snyder, W., & Peeples, E. S. (2022). Intranasal Administration of Extracellular Vesicles
Mitigates Apoptosis in a Mouse Model of Neonatal Hypoxic-Ischemic Brain Injury.
Neonatology, *119*(3), 345-353. https://doi.org/10.1159/000522644

Lei, W., Omotade, O. F., Myers, K. R., & Zheng, J. Q. (2016). Actin cytoskeleton in dendritic spine
development and plasticity. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, *39*, 86-92.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2016.04.010

Liu, H., Liu, K., & Dong, Z. (2021). The Role of p21-Activated Kinases in Cancer and Beyond: Where
Are We Heading? *Front Cell Dev Biol*, *9*, 641381. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.641381

Mai, H., Fan, W., Wang, Y., Cai, Y., Li, X., Chen, F., Chen, X., Yang, J., Tang, P., Chen, H., Zou, T.,
Hong, T., Wan, C., Zhao, B., & Cui, L. (2019). Intranasal Administration of miR-146a Agomir
Rescued the Pathological Process and Cognitive Impairment in an AD Mouse Model. *Mol*
Ther Nucleic Acids, *18*, 681-695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.10.002

Makale, M. T., McDonald, C. R., Hattangadi-Gluth, J. A., & Kesari, S. (2017). Mechanisms of
radiotherapy-associated cognitive disability in patients with brain tumours. *Nat Rev Neurol*,
13(1), 52-64. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2016.185

Meng, J., Meng, Y., Hanna, A., Janus, C., & Jia, Z. (2005). Abnormal long-lasting synaptic plasticity
and cognition in mice lacking the mental retardation gene Pak3. *J Neurosci*, *25*(28), 6641-
6650. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0028-05.2005

Mistry, A., Stolnik, S., & Illum, L. (2009). Nanoparticles for direct nose-to-brain delivery of drugs. *Int J*
Pharm, *379*(1), 146-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.06.019

Molli, P. R., Li, D. Q., Murray, B. W., Rayala, S. K., & Kumar, R. (2009). PAK signaling in oncogenesis.
Oncogene, *28*(28), 2545-2555. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2009.119

Morrow, E. M., Kane, A., Goff, D. C., & Walsh, C. A. (2008). Sequence analysis of P21-activated
kinase 3 (PAK3) in chronic schizophrenia with cognitive impairment. *Schizophr Res*, *106*(2-3),
265-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2008.08.021

Nakahata, Y., & Yasuda, R. (2018). Plasticity of Spine Structure: Local Signaling, Translation and
Cytoskeletal Reorganization. *Front Synaptic Neurosci*, *10*, 29.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsyn.2018.00029

Owonikoko, T. K., Arbiser, J., Zelnak, A., Shu, H. K., Shim, H., Robin, A. M., Kalkanis, S. N., Whitsett,
T. G., Salhia, B., Tran, N. L., Ryken, T., Moore, M. K., Egan, K. M., & Olson, J. J. (2014).
Current approaches to the treatment of metastatic brain tumours. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*, *11*(4),
203-222. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.25

Pazzaglia, S., Briganti, G., Mancuso, M., & Saran, A. (2020). Neurocognitive Decline Following
Radiotherapy: Mechanisms and Therapeutic Implications. *Cancers (Basel)*, *12*(1).
https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12010146

Price, R. B., & Duman, R. (2020). Neuroplasticity in cognitive and psychological mechanisms of
depression: an integrative model. *Mol Psychiatry*, *25*(3), 530-543.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0615-x

Quintana, D. S., Guastella, A. J., Westlye, L. T., & Andreassen, O. A. (2016). The promise and pitfalls

of intranasally administering psychopharmacological agents for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. *Mol Psychiatry, 21*(1), 29-38. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.166

Raber, J., Rola, R., LeFevour, A., Morhardt, D., Curley, J., Mizumatsu, S., VandenBerg, S. R., & Fike, J. R. (2004). Radiation-induced cognitive impairments are associated with changes in indicators of hippocampal neurogenesis. *Radiat Res, 162*(1), 39-47. https://doi.org/10.1667/rr3206

Rane, C. K., & Minden, A. (2014). P21 activated kinases: structure, regulation, and functions. *Small GTPases, 5.* https://doi.org/10.4161/sgtp.28003

Rapp, S. R., Case, L. D., Peiffer, A., Naughton, M. M., Chan, M. D., Stieber, V. W., Moore, D. F., Jr., Falchuk, S. C., Piephoff, J. V., Edenfield, W. J., Giguere, J. K., Loghin, M. E., & Shaw, E. G. (2015). Donepezil for Irradiated Brain Tumor Survivors: A Phase III Randomized Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Clin Oncol, 33*(15), 1653-1659. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2014.58.4508

Rex, C. S., Chen, L. Y., Sharma, A., Liu, J., Babayan, A. H., Gall, C. M., & Lynch, G. (2009). Different Rho GTPase-dependent signaling pathways initiate sequential steps in the consolidation of long-term potentiation. *J Cell Biol, 186*(1), 85-97. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200901084

Rosi, S., Andres-Mach, M., Fishman, K. M., Levy, W., Ferguson, R. A., & Fike, J. R. (2008). Cranial irradiation alters the behaviorally induced immediate-early gene arc (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein). *Cancer Res, 68*(23), 9763-9770. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.Can-08-1861

Rungratanawanich, W., Cenini, G., Mastinu, A., Sylvester, M., Wilkening, A., Abate, G., Bonini, S. A., Aria, F., Marziano, M., Maccarinelli, G., Memo, M., Voos, W., & Uberti, D. (2019). γ-Oryzanol Improves Cognitive Function and Modulates Hippocampal Proteome in Mice. *Nutrients, 11*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11040753

Sakimoto, Y., Oo, P. M., Goshima, M., Kanehisa, I., Tsukada, Y., & Mitsushima, D. (2021). Significance of GABA(A) Receptor for Cognitive Function and Hippocampal Pathology. *Int J Mol Sci, 22*(22). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222212456

Shah, S. S., Dellarole, A., Peterson, E. C., Bregy, A., Komotar, R., Harvey, P. D., & Elhammady, M. S. (2015). Long-term psychiatric outcomes in pediatric brain tumor survivors. *Childs Nerv Syst, 31*(5), 653-663. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-015-2669-7

Shin, E., Kang, H., Lee, H., Lee, S., Jeon, J., Seong, K., Youn, H., & Youn, B. (2022). Exosomal Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 Induces Ionizing Radiation-Adaptive Glioblastoma Cachexia. *Cells, 11*(19). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11193102

Shin, E. Y., Lee, C. S., Cho, T. G., Kim, Y. G., Song, S., Juhnn, Y. S., Park, S. C., Manser, E., & Kim, E. G. (2006). betaPak-interacting exchange factor-mediated Rac1 activation requires smgGDS guanine nucleotide exchange factor in basic fibroblast growth factor-induced neurite outgrowth. *J Biol Chem, 281*(47), 35954-35964. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M602399200

Slotman, B., Faivre-Finn, C., Kramer, G., Rankin, E., Snee, M., Hatton, M., Postmus, P., Collette, L., Musat, E., & Senan, S. (2007). Prophylactic cranial irradiation in extensive small-cell lung

cancer. *N Engl J Med*, *357*(7), 664-672. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa071780

Smith, K. R., Davenport, E. C., Wei, J., Li, X., Pathania, M., Vaccaro, V., Yan, Z., & Kittler, J. T. (2014). GIT1 and βPIX are essential for GABA(A) receptor synaptic stability and inhibitory neurotransmission. *Cell Rep*, *9*(1), 298-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.08.061

Suwinski, R. (2021). Prophylactic cranial irradiation in SCLC. *Transl Lung Cancer Res*, *10*(4), 2071-2078. https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-2020-rtm-05

Szatmari, E. M., Moran, C., Cohen, S., Jacob, A., Parra-Bueno, P., Kamasawa, N., Guerrero-Given, D., Klein, M., Stackman, R., Jr., & Yasuda, R. (2021). ADAP1/Centaurin-α1 Negatively Regulates Dendritic Spine Function and Memory Formation in the Hippocampus. *eNeuro*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1523/eneuro.0111-20.2020

Thévenot, E., Moreau, A. W., Rousseau, V., Combeau, G., Domenichini, F., Jacquet, C., Goupille, O., Amar, M., Kreis, P., Fossier, P., & Barnier, J. V. (2011). p21-Activated kinase 3 (PAK3) protein regulates synaptic transmission through its interaction with the Nck2/Grb4 protein adaptor. *J Biol Chem*, *286*(46), 40044-40059. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.262246

Tucker, C., Tucker, L., & Brown, K. (2018). The Intranasal Route as an Alternative Method of Medication Administration. *Crit Care Nurse*, *38*(5), 26-31. https://doi.org/10.4037/ccn2018836

Wegner, R. E., Abel, S., Horne, Z. D., Hasan, S., Verma, V., Ranjan, T., Williamson, R. W., & Karlovits, S. M. (2019). National trends in radiation dose escalation for glioblastoma. *Radiat Oncol J*, *37*(1), 13-21. https://doi.org/10.3857/roj.2019.00017

Wen, M., Dong, Z., Zhang, L., Li, B., Zhang, Y., & Li, K. (2022). Depression and Cognitive Impairment: Current Understanding of Its Neurobiology and Diagnosis. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*, *18*, 2783-2794. https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.S383093

Wilson, G. D., Wilson, T. G., Hanna, A., Fontanesi, G., Kulchycki, J., Buelow, K., Pruetz, B. L., Michael, D. B., Chinnaiyan, P., Maddens, M. E., Martinez, A. A., & Fontanesi, J. (2020). Low Dose Brain Irradiation Reduces Amyloid-β and Tau in 3xTg-AD Mice. *J Alzheimers Dis*, *75*(1), 15-21. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-200030

Wu, A., & Jiang, X. (2022). p21-Activated kinases as promising therapeutic targets in hematological malignancies. *Leukemia*, *36*(2), 315-326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-021-01451-7

Xue, S., Zeng, H., Yan, S., Wang, Q., & Jia, X. (2022). Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation for Extensive-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Controversial Area. *Front Oncol*, *12*, 772282. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.772282

Yang, W., Zhou, X., Zimmermann, H. R., & Ma, T. (2021). Brain-specific suppression of AMPKα2 isoform impairs cognition and hippocampal LTP by PERK-mediated eIF2α phosphorylation. *Mol Psychiatry*, *26*(6), 1880-1897. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0739-z

Zhang, D., Zhou, W., Lam, T. T., Weng, C., Bronk, L., Ma, D., Wang, Q., Duman, J. G., Dougherty, P. M., & Grosshans, D. R. (2018). Radiation induces age-dependent deficits in cortical synaptic plasticity. *Neuro Oncol*, *20*(9), 1207-1214. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noy052

Zhang, H., Webb, D. J., Asmussen, H., Niu, S., & Horwitz, A. F. (2005). A GIT1/PIX/Rac/PAK signaling module regulates spine morphogenesis and synapse formation through MLC. *J*

781   *Neurosci, 25*(13), 3379-3388. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.3553-04.2005

782   Zhang, Y., Wu, Y., Li, W., & Huang, X. (2022). Semiautomated and Automated Quantitative Analysis

783   of Corneal Sub-Basal Nerves in Patients With DED With Ocular Pain Using IVCM. *Front Med*

784   *(Lausanne), 9*, 831307. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.831307

 Figure legends

 Fig 1. Cranial irradiation affects cognitive function and the maturation of dendritic

spine

(**A**) A scheme illustrating the schedule of ionizing radiation (IR) and overall behavioral tests.

(**B**) The results of spontaneous alteration (left) and spatial memory test (right) after IR using

Y-maze. The parameters of spontaneous alteration and spatial memory are described in

methods. (**C**) The result of novel object recognition test after IR. (**D**) Left: representative

fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after IR in cortical neuron. Right:

The density and distribution of dendritic spine in IR group compared to control group. Scale

bars, 10 μm. (**E**) The alterations of F/G-actin ratio after IR in cortical neuron, frontal cortex,

and hippocampus. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's t test for (**B**, left of **C**,

and **E**) and one-way ANOVA plus a Tukey's multiple comparisons test for (right of **C** and **D**).

ns, non-significant; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$; ****$p < 0.0001$.

Fig 2. IR decreases phosphorylation of LIMK1 and Cofilin via PAK3 downregulation

(**A**) The heatmap for the expression of genes in the term ''synapse organization'' and

"dendritic spine development" in the irradiated brain. (**B**) The changes of PAK3 mRNA and

protein levels after IR in cortical neuron. Each western blot bands are quantified by ImageJ.

(**C**) Representative fluorescence image of F-actin (green) and PAK3 (red) in cortical neuron.

Scale bars, 10 μm. (**D**) Schematic illustration of PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling. (**E**) Left:

the protein levels of phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin

after IR in cortical neuron. Right: each western blot bands are quantified by ImageJ. (**F-G**)

Representative fluorescence image of F-actin (green) and phosphorylated LIMK1 (**F**, red) or

phosphorylated cofilin (**G**, red) in cortical neuron. Scale bars, 10 μm. Statistical analysis was

performed with Student's t test. ns, non-significant; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$; ****$p <$

0.0001.

Fig 3. PAK3 regulates F/G-actin and dendritic spine in neuron

(**A**) The changes of PAK3 mRNA levels after PAK3 downregulation (shPAK3) in cortical neuron. (**B**) The F/G-actin ratio after expression of PAK3 downregulation (shPAK3) in cortical neuron. Each F-actin and G-actin are quantified by ImageJ. (**C**) The protein levels of phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin after PAK3 downregulation (shPAK3) in cortical neuron. (**D**) Upper: representative fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after PAK3 downregulation (shPAK3) in cortical neuron. Lower: the density and distribution of dendritic spine in shPAK3 group compared to control group. Scale bars, 10 μm. (**E**) The protein levels of PAK3, phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin after PAK3 overexpression in cortical neuron. (**F**) The F/G-actin ratio after IR or IR with PAK3 overexpression in cortical neuron. Each F-actin and G-actin are quantified by ImageJ. (**G**) Upper: representative fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after IR and/or PAK3 overexpression in cortical neuron. Lower: the density and distribution of dendritic spine in control, IR and/or PAK3 overexpression group. Scale bars, 10 μm. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's t test for (**B** and **D**) and one-way ANOVA plus a Tukey's multiple comparisons test for (**A**, **D**, **F**, and **G**). ns, non-significant; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$; ****$p < 0.0001$.

Fig 4. IR decreases PAK3-LIMK1-Cofilin signaling in differentiated human neuron

(**A**) The F/G-actin ratio after IR in differentiated neuron. Each F-actin and G-actin are quantified by ImageJ. (**B**) The mRNA level of PAK3 after IR in differentiated neuron. (**C**) The protein levels of PAK3, phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin after IR in differentiated neuron. (**D**) Upper: representative fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after IR in differentiated neuron. Lower: the density and distribution of dendritic spine in IR group compared to control group. Scale bars, 10 μm. (**E**) Upper: representative fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after PAK3 downregulation (shPAK3) in differentiated neuron. Lower: the density and distribution of dendritic spine in shPAK3 group compared to control group. Scale bars, 10 μm. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's t test for (**A**, **B**, **D**, and **E**) and one-way ANOVA plus a Tukey's multiple comparisons test for (**D** and **E**). ns, non-significant; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001.

Fig 5. IR-induced miR-206-3p affects PAK3-LIMK1-cofilin signaling

(**A**) The relative luciferase activity of PAK3 promoter after IR in cortical neuron. (**B**) Venn

diagram of predictable PAK3-targeting miRNAs from databases (miRDB, TargetScan, and

TarBase). (**C**) The relative miRNA expression of 3 overlapped miRNAs after IR in cortical

neuron and differentiated neuron. (**D**) The relative miRNA expression of miR-206-3p after IR

and/or antagomiR-206-3p treatment in cortical neuron and differentiated neuron. (**E**) The

mRNA level of PAK3 after IR and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p in cortical neuron and

differentiated neuron. (**F**) The protein levels of PAK3, phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1,

phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin after treatment of miR-206-3p mimic in differentiated

neuron. (**G**) The protein levels of PAK3, phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated

cofilin, and cofilin after IR and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p in differentiated neuron.

(**H**) Upper: representative fluorescence image of filamentous actin (F-actin, green) after IR

and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p in cortical neuron. Lower: the density and distribution

of dendritic spine in control, IR and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p group. Scale bars, 10

μm. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's t test for **A** and one-way ANOVA plus

a Tukey's multiple comparisons test for the others. ns, non-significant; ***$p < 0.001$; ****p

< 0.0001.

Fig 6. Intranasal administration of antagomiR-206-3p recovers PAK3 signaling and

cognitive impairment after IR

(**A**) A scheme illustrating the schedule of IR and antagomiR-206-3p treatment and overall

behavioral tests. (**B**) Schematic illustration of antagomir-206-3p delivery via intranasal

administration. (**C**) The fluorescence images and relative units of cy5-antagomiR-206-3p in

the brain of mice 24 h after intranasal administration. (**D**) The relative miRNA expression of

miR-206-3p after IR and/or antagomiR-206-3p treatment in frontal cortex and hippocampus.

(**E**) The results of spontaneous alteration (left) and spatial memory test (right) after IR and/or

antagomiR-206-3p using Y-maze. The parameters of spontaneous alteration are described in

methods. (**F**) The result of novel object recognition test after IR and/or antagomiR-206-3p.

(**G**) The protein levels of PAK3, phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin,

and cofilin after IR and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p in frontal cortex and hippocampus.

(**H**) The alterations of F/G-actin ratio after IR and/or treatment of antagomiR-206-3p in

frontal cortex and hippocampus. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA

plus a Tukey's multiple comparisons test. ns, non-significant; $**p < 0.01$; $***p < 0.001$;

$****p < 0.0001$.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

Supplementary Information for

PAK3 downregulation induces cognitive impairment following cranial irradiation

Haksoo Lee, Hyunkoo Kang, Changjong Moon and BuHyun Youn

Supplemental Tables

S1 Table. Primers for determining levels of gene expression

Supplemental Figures

S1 Fig. Cranial radiation affects depressive-like behavior (related to Figure 1)

S2 Fig. PAK3 is related to synapse organization and dendritic spine development in frontal cortex and

hippocampus (related to Figure 2)

S3 Fig. OP18 and TBCB signaling are not affected by IR (related to Figure 2)

S4 Fig. Neural progenitor cell is differentiated to human neuron (related to Figure 4)

S5 Fig. AntagomiR-206-3p attenuates depressive-like behavior (related to Figure 6)

S6 Fig. Summary of alterations to PAK3 signaling in dendritic spine upon IR exposure

 S1 Table. Primers for determining levels of gene expression

Gene name	Forward primer	Reverse primer
PAK3 (mouse)	5'- TTGGATAACGAAGAAAAACCCCC -3'	5'- GGGCACATCTGTGAGCCATAG -3'
PAK3 (human)	5'- CAGCAGAAAGGGAACTATAGACACAT -3'	5'- GGCAAGCATAAAGCCTATGGAA -3'
GAPDH (mouse)	5'- GCAGTGTCATTAGCTGAT –3'	5'- CAGGACTTGCAATGTTGCTTGAG -3'
GAPDH (human)	5'- GGACGGGACGCGGTGCAG -3'	5'- CTTATAAGGCGCGGAACCGAAA -3'
SOX2	5'- TCCCCAGATACAATGGAC -3'	5'- TCCATGCTGTTTCTTACTCTCC -3'
NESTIN	5'- AGCCCTGACCACTCCAGTTTAG -3'	5'- CCCTCTATGGCTGTTTCTTTCTCT -3'
PAX6	5'- GCAACAACAGCAGCACAAAAA -3'	5' - GGTTGTCACAGCTTCTGTCAAGA -3'
miR-206-3p	5'- GCAGTGGAATGTAAGGAAGT -3'	Universal 5' - AGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTC -3'
miR-1a-3p	5'- CGCAGTGGAATGTAAAGAAG -3'	Universal
miR-26a-5p	5'- GCAGTTCAAGTAATCCAGGATAG -3'	Universal

920
921 **S1 Fig. Cranial radiation affects depressive-like behavior (related to Figure 1)**

922 **(A)** The representative heatmaps of open field test after IR. **(B)** The result of open field test after IR. Statistical

923 analysis was performed with Student's t test. ns, non-significant; ***$p < 0.001$.

924
S2 Fig. PAK3 is related to synapse organization and dendritic spine development in frontal cortex and

hippocampus (related to Figure 2)

(A) The localization of PAK3 in mouse and three human brain from Allen brain map (https://portal.brain-

map.org/). **(B)** The changes of PAK3 mRNA level after IR in frontal cortex and hippocampus. **(C)** Left: the

protein levels of phosphorylated LIMK1, LIMK1, phosphorylated cofilin, and cofilin after IR in frontal cortex

and hippocampus. Right: each western blot bands are quantified by ImageJ. Statistical analysis was performed

with Student's t test. ****$p < 0.01$**; *******$p < 0.001$; ********$p < 0.0001$.

933
934 **S3 Fig. OP18 and TBCB signaling are not affected by IR (related to Figure 2)**

935 **(A)** The protein levels of phosphorylated OP18, OP18, TBCB after IR in cortical neuron. **(B)** The protein levels

936 of phosphorylated OP18, OP18, TBCB after IR in frontal cortex and hippocampus.

S4 Fig. Neural progenitor cell is differentiated to human neuron (related to Figure 4)

(A) The mRNA levels of SOX2, Nestin, and PAX6 in neural progenitor cell and differentiated neuron. **(B)** The

protein levels of PSD95, NeuN, SOX2, and GAPDH. Statistical analysis was performed with Student's t test.

***$p < 0.001$; ****$p < 0.0001$.

945
946 **S5 Fig. AntagomiR-206-3p attenuates depressive-like behavior (related to Figure 6)**

947 (**A**) The representative heatmaps of open field test after IR or IR with antagomiR-206-3p. (**B**) The result of open

948 field test after IR or IR with antagomiR-206-3p. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way ANOVA plus

949 a Tukey's multiple comparisons test. ns, non-significant; ****$p < 0.0001$.

951
S6 Fig. Summary of alterations to PAK3 signaling in dendritic spine upon IR exposure.

Cranial irradiation impairs the maturation of dendritic spines by decreasing PAK3 signaling. Briefly, cranial

irradiation increases miR-206-3p, which targets PAK3 and decreases its levels. Decreased PAK3 reduces the

phosphorylation of LIMK and cofilin, resulting in decreased actin polymerization. This leads to a reduction in

the ratio of F-actin to G-actin, which in turn impairs the maturation of dendritic spines. As a result, cognitive

function is impaired via dendritic spines.