T4SEpp: a pipeline integrated with protein language models effectively predicting bacterial type IV secreted effectors

Yueming Hu[1,#], Yejun Wang[2,3,#], Xiaotian Hu[1], Haoyu Chao[1], Sida Li[1], Qinyang Ni[1], Yanyan Zhu[1], Yixue Hu[2], Ziyi Zhao[2], Ming Chen[1,*].

[1] Department of Bioinformatics, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

[2] Youth Innovation Team of Medical Bioinformatics, Shenzhen University Medical School, Shenzhen, China.

[3] Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, College of Basic Medicine, Shenzhen University Medical School, Shenzhen, China.

[#] Y.H. and Y.W. contributed equally to this study.

[*] Correspondence: mchen@zju.edu.cn (M.C.); Tel./Fax: +86-(0)571-88206612 (M.C.)

Abstract

Many pathogenic bacteria use type IV secretion systems to deliver effectors (T4SEs) into the cytoplasm of eukaryotic cells and causes diseases. Identification of effectors is a crucial step in understanding the mechanisms of bacterial pathogenicity, but it remains a big challenge. In this study, we used the full-length embedding features generated by six pre-trained protein language models to train classifiers predicting T4SEs, and compared their performance. An integrated pipeline T4SEpp was assembled by a module searching full-length, signal sequence and effector domain homologs of known T4SEs, a machine learning module based on the hand-crafted features extracted from the signal sequences, and the third module containg three best-performed protein language pre-trained models. T4SEpp outperforms the other state-of-the-art software tools, achieving ~0.95 sensitivity at a high specificity of ~0.99 based on the assessment of an independent testing dataset. T4SEpp predicted 13 potential T4SEs, including the *H. pylori* cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA). Among these, 10 T4SEs have the potential to interact with at least one human protein. This suggests that these potential T4SEs may be associated with the pathogenicity of *H. pylori*. Overall, T4SEpp provides a better solution to assist identification of bacterial T4SEs, and facilitates studies on bacterial pathogenicity. T4SEpp is freely accessible at https://bis.zju.edu.cn/T4SEpp.

Key words: T4SEpp; Type IV Secreted Effector; Deep Learning; Protein Language Model; Prediction

Introduction

Gram-negative bacteria employ more than one dozen of secretion systems to transport proteins out of the cell envelope(Costa, et al., 2015; Hui, et al., 2021). Among them, type IV secretion system (T4SS) is a complex molecular machine spanning both the inner and outer membranes, and translocate substrate proteins into eukaryotic host cells by only one step(Christie, 2016; Christie, et al., 2017; Galan and Waksman, 2018; Gonzalez-Rivera, et al., 2016; Grohmann, et al., 2018; Li, et al., 2019; Waksman, 2019).

Protein-translocating T4SSs can be divided into two major families according to the composition of component elements: type IVA exemplified by the *A. tumfaciens* VirB/VirD4 T4SS and *H. pylori* Cag T4SS, and type IVB exemplified by *Legionella* Dot/Icm T4SS(Christie, et al., 2017). The substrate proteins translocated by T4SSs, also called effectors, play important roles in bacterial infection and pathogenicity(Chandran Darbari and Waksman, 2015; Costa, et al., 2015; Sheedlo, et al., 2022).

Effectors of T4SSs (T4SEs) are transported directly or as complexes with DNA in many pathogenic bacteria, such as *Helicobacter pylori*, *Legionella pneumophila*, *Bordetella pertussis*, *Coxiella*, *Brucella*, and *Bartonella*(Ansari and Yamaoka, 2020; Burns, 2003; Costa, et al., 2021; Hubber and Roy, 2010; Wallden, et al., 2010; Wozniak and Waldor, 2010). T4SS-mediated entry of effector proteins into recipient cells is contact-dependent(Cover, et al., 2020). Once entering eukaryotic host cytoplasm, they can disrupt the signal transduction and cause various host diseases. To identify the effectors is crucial for understanding the mechanisms of infection and pathogenicity caused by these bacteria. However, since the composition and sequences vary a lot, it has been a big challenge to experimentally identify new T4SEs. Although many T4SEs have been identified and characterized in a few model organisms(Hofreuter, et al., 2000; Lee, et al., 2020; Schrammeijer, et al., 2003; Ward and Zambryski, 2001), there remain much more unclarified yet.

Since 2009 when the first machine learning algorithm was introduced, tens of computational models have been developed to predict T4SEs(Burstein, et al., 2009; Hui, et al., 2021). Early algorithms were mainly species-specific, such as those predicting T4SEs in *Legionella pneumophila*(Burstein, et al., 2009). In another study, Wang *et al.* developed an SVM-based model T4SEpre, which exhibited good overall and cross-species performance(Wang, et al., 2014). However, T4SEpre only considered the features buried in the C-terminal 100 amino acids(Wang, et al., 2014). More studies, especially the ensemble models recently developed with multi-aspect features, learn the features from full-length proteins to improve performance(Wang, et al., 2019; Zou, et al.,

2013). Deep learning algorithms have also been applied in prediction of T4SEs. For

example, CNN-T4SE integrated three convolutional neural network (CNN) models to learn

the features of amino acid composition, solvent accessibility and secondary structure of

the full-length T4SEs(Hong, et al., 2020). T4SEfinder is a multi-layer perception (MLP)

model learning the features generated by a pre-trained BERT model(Rao, et al., 2019),

which could predict T4SEs accurately(Zhang, et al., 2022). Notably, BERT is one of the

natural language processing (NLP) models, which are appealing in biology and other

fields(Devlin, et al., 2019; Elnaggar, et al., 2022; Heinzinger, et al., 2019; Lee, et al., 2020;

Rives, et al., 2021; Stärk, et al., 2021). NLP models have been successfully applied in

prediction of protein subcellular localization(Elnaggar, et al., 2022; Stärk, et al., 2021),

secondary structure(Elnaggar, et al., 2022; Heinzinger, et al., 2019; Rives, et al., 2021),

and others(Lee, et al., 2020). Besides T4SEfinder, the NLP-based pre-trained

transformers have also been used for prediction of bacterial type III secreted effectors and

Sec/Tat substrates, both achieving superior performance(Teufel, et al., 2022; Wagner, et

al., 2022).

Although machine learning strategies achieved some success in identification of

T4SEs(Burstein, et al., 2009; Hui, et al., 2021; Wang, et al., 2014), the high false positive

rate has been a big challenge. To reduce false positive rate in predicting type III effectors,

Hui et al proposed a strategy to combine machine learning models with homology

searching, and integrate multiple modules considering the multi-aspect biological features

of the effector genes(Hui, et al., 2020). To improve model performance, other models also

considered the multiple features and combination of homology-based strategies in

prediction of type III effectors(Dong, et al., 2015; Goldberg, et al., 2016; Wagner, et al.,

2022). For T4SE prediction, homology searching has also been applied independently.

For example, S4TE integrates 13 sequence homology-based features, including

homology to known effectors, homology to eukaryotic domains, presence of subcellular

localization signals, secretion signals, etc., and develops a scoring scheme to predict

T4SEs mainly from α- and γ-proteobacteria(Meyer, et al., 2013). Despite the high

precision, the sensitivity could be influenced by the large diversity of T4SE composition

and sequences. Therefore, it could be a better solution to take the advantages of both

machine learning approaches, especially the ensemblers, and the homology-based

methods, designing an integrated T4SE prediction pipeline that combines various models

and comprehensively considers various characteristics of effector sequences.

In this study, we proposed a hybrid strategy to predict T4SEs. First, a homology searching

strategy scaned both global homology of full-length proteins and local homology of

domains to known effectors. Additionally, we retrained a machine learning module

T4SEpre(Wang, et al., 2014) with updated T4SE data and hand-crafted amino acid

composition features in C-termini. Furthermore, a group of transfer learning models were

developed based on the features generated by various pre-trained transformers. For the

transfer learning models, we utilized deep context protein language models ESM-1b,

ProtBert, ProtT5-XL and ProtAlbert to represent protein sequence features(Elnaggar, et

al., 2022; Rives, et al., 2021). These features can well characterize the intrinsic but

unclarified properties of protein sequences and the interactions between positions. Based

on these feature representations, subsequent application models were developed to

classify T4SEs using a deep neural network architecture with an attention mechanism.

Finally, we integrated the homology-based modules, machine learning models based on

traditional hand-crafted features and transfer learning models with transformer-generated

features, into a pipeline, namely T4SEpp, which assembled the individual modules in a

linear function to generate the prediction score reflecting the likelihood of a protein to be a

T4SE. A web application for T4SEpp is also available, via the link:

https://bis.zju.edu.cn/T4SEpp.

Results

Sequence homology among verified effectors and the integrated

prediction framework

Experimentally verified effectors were collected from literature and databases, and 653

proteins were obtained after removing redundant sequences, representing the latest and

most comprehensive list of experimentally verified T4SEs(Bi, et al., 2013; Wang, et al.,

2019) (see Materials and Methods). Pairwise sequence alignments of full-length (FL) effector proteins or their C-terminal peptides of 100 or 50 amino acids (C100 or C50) were performed. For the FL proteins, 481 non-homologous clusters were identified after homology filtering for the proteins with > 30% identity for > 70% length coverage of the pair of proteins (FL_70%_30%_ID) (Figure 1A). However, for C100 sequences, 249 were detected of homology to others with identity of larger than 30%, and 473 non-redundant clusters were retained from these sequences after homology filtering (C100_30%_ID) (Figure 1A). The reduction in the number of clusters indicated that the C-terminal 100 amino acids showed more homology than the full-length effector proteins, but there are no significant differences between them (473/654 vs 481/654, EBT P= 0.614). The C50 sequences further reflected the more typical C-terminal homology between effectors. A total of 342 peptides were found to have homology with others, while 401 clusters remained for these peptides after homology filtering (C50_30%_ID, 401/654 vs. 481/654, EBT P=3.17e-03) (Figure 1A). Rigorous homology filtering is a prerequisite for the application of machine learning in sequence analysis and effector identification. Sequence homology is often measured using similarity (SIM) rather than identity, with a cutoff ≤ 30% for proteins. Therefore, we also employed a loose measure of homology to examine sequence similarity between validated effectors, defined as >30% similarity. Surprisingly, the homology network involved all the 634 C100 peptides (C100_30%_SIM) (Figure 1A). The results demonstrated that the validated T4SEs showed unexpectedly significant homology, especially for the C-termini.

Taking full advantage of the fragmental similarity between T4SEs, combined with machine learning techniques, a comprehensive prediction pipeline (T4SEpp) was designed (Figure 1B and C). Several homology searching modules were developed to detect full-length (flBlast), effector domain (effectHMM) and C-terminal signal region (sigHMM) homologs of known T4SEs. A previous machine learning model T4SEpre, which predicts T4SEs based on the C-terminal hand-crafted features, were re-trained with updated datasets(Wang, et al., 2014). With the generative features from pre-trained transformers, we also developed a deep learning module T4attention incorporated with the Bi-Conv attention mechanism. Figure 1D showed the framework of T4SEpp, taking prediction scores of homology search

module (flBlast, effectHMM, and sigHMM), T4SEpre and T4attention into a linear model to

generate the final score, which reflects the likelihood of an input protein to be an effector.

Figure 1. Sequence homology among T4S effectors and an integrated prediction framework. (A)
Sequence homology network of T4SE. The nodes represented effectors with homology with at least one
other effector. The pairs with homology (identified by the criteria defined at the top) were connected by
green lines. (B) Homology-based modules developed for T4SEpp, based on the full-length effector
proteins (flBlast) or signal sequence (sigHMM), and effector (effectHMM) domains. (C) T4attention, a
deep learning model framework based on Bi-Conv attention. (D) Flowchart of the T4SEpp prediction
program. The weighted sum of the prediction scores from each individual module is incorporated into the
probability that a protein is a T4SE.

T4SE families of signal sequences and functional domains

According to the homology of C50 peptides, the effectors could be clustered into 405

signal sequence families, including 94 multi-member and 311 singlet families

(Supplementary Table S3). After the signal sequences (C50) were removed, there

remained 640 effectors with a length of >= 30 amino acids, among which 270 were

classified into 106 multi-member families and 370 represented singlet families

(Supplementary Table S4). Effectors of the same signal sequence family could belong to

different effector functional domain families and *vice versa*. For example, six CagA

effectors and two *Legionella* proteins contained the signal sequences of the same family

(sigFAM50, Supplementary Table S3), but they also fell into three different effector

functional domain families (effectFAM73 for all the CagAs, and effectFAM19 and

effectFAM57 for the other two proteins; Supplementary Table S4). It could be related with

frequent domain reshuffling events that have been reported in *Legionella*(Burstein, et al.,

2016).

Furthermore, we searched the homologs of known T4SEs from the representative

bacterial genomes downloaded from UniProt (8761 genomes; Supplementary Table S5).

In total, 258 protein-translocating T4SSs were detected from 227 bacterial strains

distributed in 3 phyla (*Proteobacteria*, *Fusobacteria* and *Nitrospirae*), 6 classes

(*Alphaproteobacteria*, *Betaproteobacteria*, *Epsilonproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*

Fusobacteriia, and *Nitrospira*), 117 genera and 227 species (Figure 2A, Supplementary

Table S6). In these strains with T4SSs, 10,130 proteins were detected with full-length or

local homology to the known T4SEs using the individual homology searching modules,

and 1,020 were identified by all the three modules (Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S7).

Figure 2. Search for T4SS and effectors in the UniProt reference proteome based on sequence
homology. (A) Using the core protein components of T4SS to construct a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) to
predict the distribution of T4SS in the UniProt reference proteome. (B) Three homologous modules
(sigHMM, effectHMM and flBlast) were used to predict the potential T4SE in the UniProt reference
proteome containing T4SS, respectively. Where 100%_ID represents a known verified T4SE.

Prediction of T4SEs with pre-trained transformer-based models

Recently, protein language models have been successfully applied in structure prediction and sequence classification. In this research, we used six pre-trained models, ESM-1b, ProtAlbert, ProtBert-BFD, ProtBert-UniRef100, ProtT5-XL-BFD and ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 to generate features, based on which we developed deep learning models (T4attention) based on Bi-Conv attention respectively to classify T4SEs and non-T4SEs. The T4attention models based on different sequence embedding features were compared for the performance based on a five-fold cross-validation strategy (Table 1). Generally, T4attention_ESM-1b performed best, followed by T4attention_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50, and T4attention_ProtAlbert showed the poorest performance, according to MCC and F1-score (Table 1). T4attention_ESM-1b did not only reach the highest MCC and F1-score (0.861 and 0.819 respectively), but required lowest computational resource (Supplementary Figure S3). It was also noted that, for the same protein language model architecture, ProtBert or ProtT5-XL for example, the generation of features from models pre-trained from varied volume of protein database required similar computational resource but the smaller database based pre-trained models always generated features for subsequent T4attention models with better performance (MCC of T4attention_ProtBert vs T4attention_ProtBert-BFD, 0.814 vs. 0.797; T4attetion_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 vs. ProtT5-XL-BFD, 0.818 vs. 0.800) (Table 1, Supplementary Figure S3). Redundancy of protein sequences in the BFD dataset might lead to biases in model training, and further caused the performance compromise of models addressing downstream tasks.

We also evaluated the performance and generalization ability of these models on an independent testing dataset. T4attention_ProtBert showed the overall best performance, for which the MCC, F1-score and accuracy reached 0.917, 0.927 and 0.987 respectively (Table 2). T4attention_ESM-1b was unexpected to show poor performance (Table 2). Consistent with the cross-validation results, the ProtBert and ProtT5-XL models based on the features generated by transformers pre-trained from the smaller database (UniRef100/UniRef50) showed better performance (Table 2, Supplementary Figure S4).

Considering the performance of models based on both cross-validation results and the
independent testing dataset, as well as the requirement of computational resources, we
integrated three models, including T4attention_ESM-1b, T4attention_ProtBert and
T4attention_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50, into the pipeline to predict T4SEs.

An integrated pipeline predicting T4SEs with largely improved performance

Besides the models based on the features generated with transformer, we also tested
traditional machine learning models based on hand-crafted features. To this end, we
retrained two models of T4SEpre (T4SEpre_psAac and T4SEpre_bpbAac) learning the
amino acid composition features in the C-termini of T4SEs(Wang, et al., 2014). Both
models showed certain performance in prediction of T4SEs according to the
cross-validation results or the independent testing dataset, although they appeared not
comparable to the T4attention models (Table 1; Table 2).

To further improve the accuracy and reduce the false positive rate for T4SE prediction, we
assembled a unified pipeline, T4SEpp, integrating the homology searching modules,
machine learning models based on hand-crafted features and models based on
transformer-generated features (Figure 1). The integrated pipeline showed strikingly
better performance than the individual models, with MCC of 0.930, 0.911 and 0.924 for
T4SEpp_ESM-1b, T4SEpp_ProtBert, and T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 based on the
cross-validation evaluation, and 0.883, 0.943 and 0.942 for the testing dataset,
respectively (Table 1; Table 2).

T4SEpp was also compared to other state-of-the-art(SOTA) T4SE prediction models,
such as Bastion4(Wang, et al., 2019), CNNT4SE(Hong, et al., 2020) and
T4SEfinder(Zhang, et al., 2022). Among these other models, Bastion4 showed the best
performance, which was close to that of the T4attention models but was far inferior to the
integrated T4SEpp (Table 2).

Genome-wide screening of T4SEs in *Helicobacter pylori* and other

bacteria

H. pylori is a Gram-negative, spiral-shaped bacterium that colonizes the stomach of approximately half of the world's population(Hooi, et al., 2017). Although most individuals never experience any adverse health outcomes attributable to *H. pylori*, the presence of these bacteria in the stomach increases the risk of developing gastric diseases(Blaser, et al., 1995; Cover, 2016; Cover and Blaser, 2009; Figueiredo, et al., 2002; Plummer, et al., 2007). *H. pylori* infection is also the strongest known risk factor of gastric cancer, the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide(Bray, et al., 2018). The T4SS play an important role in the pathogenicity of *H. pylori*(Blaser, et al., 1995; Cover, 2016; Figueiredo, et al., 2002; Plummer, et al., 2007). However, to date, only one T4SE, CagA, has been identified for the T4SS in *H. pylori*(Knorr, et al., 2019). Here, we applied T4SEpp to screen T4SE candidates from the proteins derived from the genome of *H. pylori* 26695, a model *H. pylori* strain (NCBI accession number: NC_000915.1). The three T4SEpp integrated models, i.e., T4SEpp_ESM-1b, T4SEpp_ProtBert, and T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50, predicted 55, 22 and 38 T4SE candidates respectively, and 13 were shared by the prediction results of all the three models (Figure 3A-B; Supplementary Tables S6, S8). The 13 potential effector genes were scattered in the genome (Figure 3B). Notably, *HP_RS02695* encoding the only known effector CagA, was among the 13 candidates (Figure 3B).

Gene co-expression was analyzed for the 13 T4SE candidate genes in *H. pylori 26695* with an RNA-seq dataset sampled from the strain collected at 12 different conditions(Loh, et al., 2021). Except for *HP_RS06290*, *HP_RS03730*, *HP_RS04865*, and *HP_RS06295*, the rest eight genes showed strong expression correlation with *cagA* (Figure 3C). The genes co-expressed with *cagA* also showed significant correlation with the expression of the core component genes of the Cag T4SS (Figure 3C). Furthermore, we annotated 12 human proteins that showed experimentally verified interaction with CagA by literature searching, including ASPP2, c-Abl, c-Met, Crk, E-cadherin, GSK-3, PAR1, PRK2, SHP-1, SHP-2, TAK1, and ZO-1(Amieva, et al., 2003; Churin, et al., 2003; Higashi, et al., 2002;

Lamb, et al., 2009; Lee, et al., 2014; Mishra, et al., 2015; Murata-Kamiya, et al., 2007; Nesic, et al., 2014; Poppe, et al., 2007; Saadat, et al., 2007; Saju, et al., 2016; Suzuki, et al., 2005). The interaction network between the 13 potential *H. pylori* 26695 T4SEs and the 12 human proteins was inferred (Figure 3D). Ten of the candidate T4SEs showed potential interaction with at least one of the human proteins (Figure 3D). Similar to CagA, HP_RS02225, HP_RS06295 and HP_RS03730 showed interaction with all the 12 human proteins (Figure 3D). Taken together, the proteins predicted by T4SEpp could potentially represented new T4SEs, or closely related with the pathogenicity of *H. pylori 26695*.

We also utilized T4SEpp to screen the T4SE candidates from the genomes of 227 bacterial strains bearing T4SSs. T4SEpp_ESM-1b, T4SEpp_ProtBert, and T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 detected 16,972, 20,441 and 17,197 T4SE candidates respectively, with 12,622 common ones co-predicted by all the three T4SEpp models (Supplementary Table S9, Supplementary Figure S5).

Figure 3. Whole-proteome detection for T4SEs in pathogenic bacteria (*H. pylori* 26695). (A) Prediction of potential T4SEs in the *H. pylori 26695* proteome using three T4SEpp models. (B) Use the circos diagram to show the distribution of potential T4SEs predicted by the three T4SEpp models on the *H. pylori 26695* chromosome (NC_000915.1), where T4SEpp_prob represents the mean value of the prediction results of the three T4SEpp models, and the outer circle of the circos diagram represents the three T4SEpp model predictions were all positive. (C) Under 12 different expression conditions of *H. pylori 26695*, the expression correlation of Cag T4SS core components with 12 potential T4SEs and CagA (HP_RS02695) predicted by three T4SEpp models were positive. (D) Prediction of potential interactions between 12 potential T4SEs in H. pylori 26695 and 12 human proteins using DeepHPI. These 12 human proteins are known to interact with CagA(HP_RS02695).

Web server and implementation of T4SEpp

To facilitate the implementation of T4SEpp, we developed a web application with a user-friendly interface (https://bis.zju.edu.cn/T4SEpp). The three T4SEpp integrated models, T4SEpp_ESM-1b, T4SEpp_ProtBert and T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 can be chosen and implemented by users. Both the overall prediction results and the results of individual modules are displayed in table format, which can be downloaded and filtered easily.

Discussion

T4SS plays a crucial role in bacterial pathogenicity by secreting effectors into host cells. *L. pneumophila* can translocated more than 300 known effectors into human cells by the Dot/Icm T4SS system, causing legionellosis(Goncalves, et al., 2021; Mondino, et al., 2020). In *H. pylori*, CagA is the only known T4SE, which can hijack multiple signaling pathways in gastric epithelial cells, leading to gastritis, gastric ulcer and even gastric cancer(Hatakeyama, 2004; Hatakeyama, 2014). Identifying the full repertoire of T4SEs in a pathogen is important to understand its pathogenic mechanisms. Computational methods can assist identification of new effectors effectively(Zhao, et al., 2023). However, the currently avaialbe T4SE prediction tools still show high false positive rates(Hui, et al., 2021). To address this issue, we developed a unified T4SE prediction pipeline, T4SEpp, which includes homologous search modules, traditional machine learning modules and natural language processing-based modules. T4SEpp outperforms other SOTA methods

predicting T4SEs, with apparently improved sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, we

initiated a web server, which can implement the T4SEpp pipeline conveniently, providing

the prediction results for each module meanwhile.

Although the component modules of T4SEpp can be used for T4SE prediction, they often

show higher false positive rates when used alone. It could be related with the low power of

individual dimensions of features. Specifically, T4SE signal sequences were considered to

contain important common features guiding T4SE secretion and translocation, which were

used for effective T4SE prediction by some tools such as T4SEpre(Wang, et al., 2014).

However, the computational models only based on the signal sequences showed

performance inferior to other models based on the multiple-aspect features extracted from

full-length proteins(Wang, et al., 2019). In this study, we discovered high sequence

similarity in the C-terminal signal region, among the proteins without apparent homology

for full-length effectors. Such undetected homology could have introduced bias and led to

overfitting of various established machine learning algorithms and the discrepancy

between the reported and actual accuracy of these methods. However, the C-terminal

homology could also suggest the independent evolution of the signal sequences, and it

could potentially be applied to facilitate identification of new effectors(Meyer, et al., 2013).

In this study, we integrated three types of modules to predict T4SEs. The homology

searching based modules provide more accurate results, but they also show lower

capacity to detect new effectors without or with remote homology. The re-trained T4SEpre

modules focus on the important features in C-terminal signal sequences of T4SEs.

T4attention learns from the full-length effector proteins the features generated by pLMs

pre-trained with large-scale protein databases. These pLM-based models can learn new,

previous unknown features that may involve position-position interactions, and have

demonstrated outstanding performance in prediction of proteins with various biological

function, such as subcellular localization, secondary structure, etc. We used multiple

pLMs to build transfer learning models, most of which showed excellent performance in

T4SE prediction. Interestingly, we noticed that the pre-trained pLMs based on the larger

datasets did not generate better prediction performance. The pLMs pre-trained on smaller

datasets were more efficient. Therefore, the transferring models were trained with the

pLMs based on smaller non-redudant protein datasets. T4SEpp that integrated all the

three types of modules outperformed both the individual modules and other similar

applications significantly.

With T4SEpp, we analyzed the potential new T4SEs in both *H. pylori* and other strains

bearing a T4SS. In *H. pylori*, we disclosed 12 new T4SEs. We also disclosed 12,205 new

T4SEs and 417 known T4SEs from all the 227 strains bearing a T4SS. The results

suggested that there much more new effectors yet to be clarified.

Despite the large performance improvement of T4SEpp, there remains space to further

improve the prediction of T4SEs. Other features that have been known to contribute to the

recognition of T4SEs, such as the GC content of genomic loci, phylogenetic profiles,

consensus regulatory motifs in promoters, physicochemical properties, secondary

structures, homology to eukaryotic domains or organelle-targeting signals, etc, have not

been integrated in the current version of the model(Zhao, et al., 2023). Novel features

remain to be disclosed, which could further be integrated to improve the model

performance. The different types (IVA and IVB) of effectors, chaperone-dependent or

chaperone-independent effectors, or species-specific effectors could also be modeled and

predicted separately, to make more accurate prediction(Zhao, et al., 2023).

Materials and methods

Datasets

The 390 T4SEs used by Bastion4 as the positive training dataset(Wang, et al., 2019) and 540 T4SEs annotated in SecReT4 v2.0(Bi, et al., 2013) were collected and merged, and in total we got 653 non-identical, validated T4SEs. CD-HIT(Li and Godzik, 2006) was used to filter the homology-redundant proteins with sequence identity ≥ 60%, generating 518 non-redundant T4SEs, which were used as the positive training dataset(Supplementary Figure S1A). For the negative training dataset, we collected the 1112 and 1548 non-T4SE protein sequences from Bastion4(Wang, et al., 2019) and PredT4SE-stack(Xiong, et al., 2018), respectively. The same procedure was used to eliminate the sequence redundancy among the non-T4SEs and between the non-T4SEs and the T4SEs in the positive training dataset, generating 1590 non-redundant non-T4SEs (Supplementary Figure S1A). An independent validation dataset was also prepared, for which the T4SEs were collected from the testing dataset of Bastion4 (30) and others (74) annotated from literatures published recently (Supplementary Table S1), and the 150 testing non-T4SEs of Bastion4 were also used as the negative ones. CD-HIT was used to filter the redundant proteins with ≥60% sequence identity to the training proteins and among proteins of the validation dataset, resulting in 20 non-redundant T4SEs and 150 non-T4SEs (Supplementary Figure S1B).

Homology networks of the T4SE peptide sequences

The sequences of 653 non-identical verified T4SE proteins were used to construct the homology networks. JAligner implementing the Smith-Waterman algorithm was used to find the similarity between any pair of full-length effectors or peptide fragments with designated length (http://jaligner.sourceforge.net/). The identity and similarity percentages between any pair of sequences were used as measures to determine the homology level(Hui, et al., 2020). Networks were built and visualized with Cytoscope v3.9.1(Shannon, et al., 2003).

Genome-wide screening of protein-translocation T4SSs

The conserved core component proteins were collected from four representative protein-translocation T4SSs, including the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* VirB/VirD4 T4SS

(inner membrane complex proteins VirB3, VirB6, VirB8, VirB10 and VirD4, and outer membrane complex proteins VirB7, VirB9 and VirB10)(Costa, et al., 2021), the *Bordetella pertussis* Ptl T4SS (inner membrane complex proteins PtlB, PtlE and PtlH, and outer membrane complex proteins PtlF and PtlG)(O'Callaghan, et al., 1999), the *Helicobacter pylori* Cag T4SS (inner membrane complex proteins Cagα, Cagβ and CagE, and outer membrane complex proteins CagX, CagY, CagT, CagM and Cag3)(Cover, et al., 2020), the *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm T4SS (inner membrane complex proteins IcmB, IcmG and DotB, and outer membrane complex proteins DotC, DotD, DotG and IcmK)(Costa, et al., 2021). Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profiles were built with HMMER 3.1 for the T4SS component protein families(Eddy, 1998). Protein sequences derived from the 8761 reference bacterial genomes curated in UniProt were scanned with HMMER and the HMM profiles for the distribution of homologs of T4SS core component proteins (Supplementary Table S5).

Homology-based T4SE detection modules

The diamond blastp was used to determine the homology and cluster the full-length effector proteins(Buchfink, et al., 2015), and to screen new full-length homologs (flBlast). Two proteins showing ≥30% similarity for ≥70% of the full length of either protein were considered to be full-length homologs(Hu, et al., 2017; Hui, et al., 2020). The C-terminal 50-aa signal sequences of verified effectors were clustered according to the homology networks with 30% identity for 70% length aligned by JAligner. HMM profiles were built for each signal sequence family and a module sigHMM was developed to screen proteins with C-terminal sequences homologous to the profiles of known T4SE signal sequence families. The homology cutoff for HMM searching was optimized to each family, ensuring all or most of the known effectors recalled and maintaining a higher specificity. For effectHMM, we removed the C-terminal 50-aa signal from each known effector sequence, and the remaining peptide fragment with >30-aa length was used for domain clustering. Pairwise alignment was repeatedly performed with BLAST between the domain sequences, and the cutoff for homology was optimized based on the average coverage of aligned length multiplying the identity, i.e., ≥10(Hui, et al., 2020). The HMM profiles were built for the effector domain families and effectHMM was developed with a similar

procedure for sigHMM, to screen the proteins with homologous T4SE effector-domains.

We use a statistical method, EBT, to compare general homology between proteins(Hui, et

al., 2020; Hui, et al., 2017).

Re-training of T4SEpre models with updated datasets

T4SEpre models (T4SEpre_psAac and T4SEpre_bpbAac) were retrained with the new

training datasets of T4SEs and non-T4SEs. The procedure of original T4SEpre was

followed for feature representation, parameter optimization and model training(Wang, et

al., 2014). Briefly, sequential amino acid, bi-residue and motif composition features and

position-specific amino acid composition profile for the positive training dataset were

represented for each C-terminial 100-aa sequence for the psAac model. For the bpbAac

model, position-specific amino acid composition profiles of both the positive and the

negative training datasets (Bi-Profile Bayesian features) were represented for each

C-terminial 100-aa sequence. Support vector machine (SVM) models were trained for the

feature matrices. The kernel functions, i.e., linear, polynomial, sigmoid, and radial base

function (RBF), and corresponding parameters (cost and gamma) were optimized using a

5-fold cross-validation grid search strategy. The sklearn v1.0.1 was used for implementing

SVM model training and kernel/parameter optimization.

The deep learning architecture of T4attention based on pre-trained protein

language models

<u>Input embeddings</u>. We extracted frozen embeddings directly from protein language

models (pLMs) without fine-tuning on training data. Four different basic LMs were used in

this study, and in total six different pLMs were pre-trained with different datasets. The

basid LMs include, (i) "ESM-1b"(Rives, et al., 2021), which is a Transformer model, (ii)

"ProtBert" (Elnaggar, et al., 2022), which is a BERT-based encoder model(Devlin, et al.,

2019)**,** generating two pLMs pre-trained on BFD(Steinegger and Soding, 2018) and

UniRef100(Suzek, et al., 2015) data, respectively, (iii) ProtT5-XL(Elnaggar, et al., 2022),

which is an encoder model based on T5(Raffel, et al., 2020), generating two pLMs

pre-trained on BFD and UniRef50, respectively, and (iv) ProtAlbert(Elnaggar, et al., 2022),

which is an encoder model based on Albert(Lan, et al., 2019) and pre-trained only with

UniRef100.

<u>Optimization strategy</u>. We use a BERT-like optimizer AdamW and a Cosine Warm-up

strategy(Devlin, et al., 2019) to optimize the loss of the learning model, the initial learning

rate is set to 0.0001, batch size is set to 18 and the warm-up steps are set to 10. Early

stopping strategy was applied to monitor the validation ACC, with a patience of 30 epochs

to prevent overfitting. To address the challenges of imbalanced positive and negative

samples and the difficulty of training individual samples in deep learning model training,

we adopted the Focal Loss method to mitigate the issue of gradient descent difficulty(Lin,

et al., 2020). Focal Loss is to increase the hyperparameter γ (default γ=2) based on

weighted Cross Entropy Loss, which controls the shape of the curve.

$$FL(p_t) = -\alpha_t(1 - p_t)^\gamma \log(p_t)$$

α_t: weight of sample t,

p_t: binary cross entropy loss.

<u>T4attention model</u>. The input to T4attention (Figure 1C, Supplement Figure S2) is a

protein embedding $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_0}$, where **n** is the sequence length and **d₀** is the size of the

embedding (depending on the feature extraction model). T4attention is a model based on

the bi-Conv attention. In the protein embedding direction, average pooling is performed

directly, and the input is transformed by two separate 1D convolutions, where the 1D

convolution serves as the attention coefficient **e** and value **v** for computing the embedding

dimension, $e, v \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$. Thus, we get the feature representation of the embedding

dimension $x = softmax(e) \times v$. In the direction of the protein sequence, we randomly

intercept the length of **m** in the length direction of the protein embedding sequence, so

that the protein embedding becomes $E_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d_0}$. Similar to the convolutional attention

calculation in the protein embedding direction, the attention coefficient **e'** and value **v'** are

obtained, $e', v' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d_1}$, and the difference is that the direction of the convolution is in the

direction of the sequence length, so that we can get the feature representation of the

protein sequence direction, and converge according to the sequence length direction by

$x' = \sum_i^m softmax(e') \times v'$. The convolution attention results of the embedding direction

and the protein sequence direction are merged, passed through the LayerNorm and the

residual one-dimensional convolution, and finally the class probabilities are obtained

through the two-layer multi-layer perceptron (MLP), $p(c|x) = softmax(MLP(Conv(x +$

$x') + (x + x')))$, where **c** indicates the category of the output (i.e., T4SE or nonT4SE).

T4attention was developed with PyTorch v1.10.1. The models were trained and evaluated

with 24-GB memory and NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090 GPU for acceleration.

Integrated T4SE prediction model

T4SEpp is a linear model that integrates multiple prediction modules developed or

re-trained in this study, including the homology-searching modules for full-length or

fragmental effector proteins, the traditional machine-learning modules with hand-crafted

features and the attention-based transfer learning modules using the features generated

by pre-trained protein language models. For any prediction module, the factor was set to

1.0 if there was a positive prediction result, and 0 otherwise. A weight **x** was assigned

empirically to each module, where $x \in (0, 0.50)$. The maximum T4SEpp predicted value

was set to 1.0. We trained the model using grid search with 5-fold cross-validation to find

the optimal combination of weights. The early stopping strategy was similar to that used

for T4attention. The final optimal parameters were shown in Figure 1D.

Assessment of model performance

The measures including accuracy (ACC), sensitivity (SN), specificity (SP), precision (PR),

F1-score, Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC), the area under the receiver operating

characteristic curve (rocAUC) and the precision recall rate curve (AUPRC) were

calculated to evaluate and compare the performance of models predicting T4SEs. Some

of the measures were defined as:

$$ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

$$SN = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$SP = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

$$PR = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$F1 - score = \frac{2 \times TP}{2 \times TP + FP + FN}$$

$$MCC = \frac{(TP \times TN) - (FP \times FN)}{\sqrt{(TP+FN) \times (TP+FP) \times (TN+FN) \times (TN+FP)}},$$

where TP, TN, FP, and FN represent the number of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives, respectively.

RNA-seq analysis

RNA-seq data sets of *H. pylori* 26695 under different conditions were downloaded from NCBI GEO DataSets database with accessions GSE165055 and GSE165056(Loh, et al., 2021). After removing the adapters and low-quality sequences with Trimmomatic v0.39(Bolger, et al., 2014), the cleaned reads were mapped to the *H. pylori* 26695 reference genome (NC_000915.1) with READemption (Version 2.0.0)(Forstner, et al., 2014). The annotated genes were further quantified and analyzed.

Availability

The online version of T4SEpp is freely accessible at https://bis.zju.edu.cn/T4SEpp. The standalone version of T4SEpp model and the individual modules are are also deposited at https://github.com/yuemhu/T4SEpp.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFA0501704, 2018YFC0310602); the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (31771477, 32070677); the Science and Technology Innovation Leading Scientist (2022R52035) and the 151 talent project of Zhejiang Province (first level); Collaborative Innovation Center for Modern Crop Production co-sponsored by province and ministry; Natural Science Fund of Shenzhen (JCYJ20190808165205582).

Authors' Contribution

MC conceived and supervised the project. YH, MC and YW coordinated the project. YH, YZ, YH and ZZ dataset collection. YH provided codes, models and software tools. YH, XH

and HC developed the website. YH and YW made the model comparison and RNA-seq
data analysis. YH, XH, HC, SL, QN, YW and MC wrote the first draft. YH, YW and MC
revised the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

Key Points：

1. This study employed full-length embedding features from six pre-trained protein
language models to train classifiers for T4SE prediction.
2. A pipeline for was developed for highly accurate T4SE prediction, which integrates
modules for homology searching, machine learning modules based on hand-crafted
features, and protein language pre-trained models.
3. T4SEpp exhibited superior performance compared to state-of-the-art software tools,
demonstrating high sensitivity and specificity on an independent test dataset.
4. T4SEpp successfully predicted T4SEs in 220+ bacterial genomes from 3 phyla and
117 genera, identifying a total of 12,622 plausible T4SEs.
5. A publicly available standalone version and web server are provided for
genome-scale T4SE identification.
560

References

Amieva, M.R., Vogelmann, R., Covacci, A., *et al.* Disruption of the epithelial apical-junctional complex by Helicobacter pylori CagA. *Science* 2003;300(5624):1430-1434.

Ansari, S. and Yamaoka, Y. Helicobacter pylori Virulence Factor Cytotoxin-Associated Gene A (CagA)-Mediated Gastric Pathogenicity. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21(19).

Bi, D., Liu, L., Tai, C., *et al.* SecReT4: a web-based bacterial type IV secretion system resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;41(Database issue):D660-665.

Blaser, M.J., Perez-Perez, G.I., Kleanthous, H., *et al.* Infection with Helicobacter pylori strains possessing cagA is associated with an increased risk of developing adenocarcinoma of the stomach. *Cancer Res* 1995;55(10):2111-2115.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. and Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(15):2114-2120.

Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., *et al.* Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68(6):394-424.

Buchfink, B., Xie, C. and Huson, D.H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* 2015;12(1):59-60.

Burns, D.L. Type IV transporters of pathogenic bacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003;6(1):29-34.

Burstein, D., Amaro, F., Zusman, T., *et al.* Genomic analysis of 38 Legionella species identifies large and diverse effector repertoires. *Nat Genet* 2016;48(2):167-175.

Burstein, D., Zusman, T., Degtyar, E., *et al.* Genome-scale identification of Legionella pneumophila effectors using a machine learning approach. *PLoS Pathog* 2009;5(7):e1000508.

Chandran Darbari, V. and Waksman, G. Structural Biology of Bacterial Type IV Secretion Systems. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2015;84:603-629.

Christie, P.J. The Mosaic Type IV Secretion Systems. *EcoSal Plus* 2016;7(1).

Christie, P.J., Gomez Valero, L. and Buchrieser, C. Biological Diversity and Evolution of Type IV Secretion Systems. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2017;413:1-30.

Churin, Y., Al-Ghoul, L., Kepp, O., *et al.* Helicobacter pylori CagA protein targets the c-Met receptor and enhances the motogenic response. *J Cell Biol* 2003;161(2):249-255.

Costa, T.R., Felisberto-Rodrigues, C., Meir, A., *et al.* Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;13(6):343-359.

Costa, T.R.D., Harb, L., Khara, P., *et al.* Type IV secretion systems: Advances in structure, function, and activation. *Mol Microbiol* 2021;115(3):436-452.

Cover, T.L. Helicobacter pylori Diversity and Gastric Cancer Risk. *mBio* 2016;7(1):e01869-01815.

Cover, T.L. and Blaser, M.J. Helicobacter pylori in health and disease. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136(6):1863-1873.

Cover, T.L., Lacy, D.B. and Ohi, M.D. The Helicobacter pylori Cag Type IV Secretion System. *Trends Microbiol* 2020;28(8):682-695.

Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., *et al.* BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics; 2019. p. 4171-4186.

Dong, X., Lu, X. and Zhang, Z. BEAN 2.0: an integrated web resource for the identification and functional analysis of type III secreted effectors. *Database (Oxford)* 2015;2015:bav064.

Eddy, S.R. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioinformatics* 1998;14(9):755-763.

Elnaggar, A., Heinzinger, M., Dallago, C.*, et al.* ProtTrans: Toward Understanding the Language of Life Through Self-Supervised Learning. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell* 2022;44(10):7112-7127.

Figueiredo, C., Machado, J.C., Pharoah, P.*, et al.* Helicobacter pylori and interleukin 1 genotyping: an opportunity to identify high-risk individuals for gastric carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002;94(22):1680-1687.

Forstner, K.U., Vogel, J. and Sharma, C.M. READemption-a tool for the computational analysis of deep-sequencing-based transcriptome data. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(23):3421-3423.

Galan, J.E. and Waksman, G. Protein-Injection Machines in Bacteria. *Cell* 2018;172(6):1306-1318.

Goldberg, T., Rost, B. and Bromberg, Y. Computational prediction shines light on type III secretion origins. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:34516.

Goncalves, I.G., Simoes, L.C. and Simoes, M. Legionella pneumophila. *Trends Microbiol* 2021;29(9):860-861.

Gonzalez-Rivera, C., Bhatty, M. and Christie, P.J. Mechanism and Function of Type IV Secretion During Infection of the Human Host. *Microbiol Spectr* 2016;4(3).

Grohmann, E., Christie, P.J., Waksman, G.*, et al.* Type IV secretion in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* 2018;107(4):455-471.

Hatakeyama, M. Oncogenic mechanisms of the Helicobacter pylori CagA protein. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004;4(9):688-694.

Hatakeyama, M. Helicobacter pylori CagA and gastric cancer: a paradigm for hit-and-run carcinogenesis. *Cell Host Microbe* 2014;15(3):306-316.

Heinzinger, M., Elnaggar, A., Wang, Y.*, et al.* Modeling aspects of the language of life through transfer-learning protein sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2019;20(1):723.

Higashi, H., Tsutsumi, R., Muto, S.*, et al.* SHP-2 tyrosine phosphatase as an intracellular target of Helicobacter pylori CagA protein. *Science* 2002;295(5555):683-686.

Hofreuter, D., Odenbreit, S., Puls, J.*, et al.* Genetic competence in Helicobacter pylori: mechanisms and biological implications. *Res Microbiol* 2000;151(6):487-491.

Hong, J., Luo, Y., Mou, M.*, et al.* Convolutional neural network-based annotation of bacterial type IV secretion system effectors with enhanced accuracy and reduced false discovery. *Brief Bioinform* 2020;21(5):1825-1836.

Hooi, J.K.Y., Lai, W.Y., Ng, W.K.*, et al.* Global Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori Infection: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2017;153(2):420-429.

Hu, Y., Huang, H., Cheng, X.*, et al.* A global survey of bacterial type III secretion systems and their effectors. *Environ Microbiol* 2017;19(10):3879-3895.

Hubber, A. and Roy, C.R. Modulation of host cell function by Legionella pneumophila type IV effectors. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2010;26:261-283.

Hui, X., Chen, Z., Lin, M.*, et al.* T3SEpp: an Integrated Prediction Pipeline for Bacterial Type III Secreted Effectors. *mSystems* 2020;5(4).

Hui, X., Chen, Z., Zhang, J.*, et al.* Computational prediction of secreted proteins in gram-negative bacteria. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* 2021;19:1806-1828.

Hui, X., Hu, Y., Sun, M.A.*, et al.* EBT: a statistic test identifying moderate size of significant features with balanced power and precision for genome-wide rate comparisons. *Bioinformatics*

2017;33(17):2631-2641.

Knorr, J., Ricci, V., Hatakeyama, M.*, et al.* Classification of Helicobacter pylori Virulence
Factors: Is CagA a Toxin or Not? *Trends Microbiol* 2019;27(9):731-738.

Lamb, A., Yang, X.D., Tsang, Y.H.*, et al.* Helicobacter pylori CagA activates NF-kappaB by
targeting TAK1 for TRAF6-mediated Lys 63 ubiquitination. *EMBO Rep*
2009;10(11):1242-1249.

Lan, Z., Chen, M., Goodman. S*, et al.* ALBERT: A Lite BERT for Self-supervised Learning of
Language Representations. In, *International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2019.

Lee, D.G., Kim, H.S., Lee, Y.S.*, et al.* Helicobacter pylori CagA promotes Snail-mediated
epithelial-mesenchymal transition by reducing GSK-3 activity. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:4423.

Lee, J., Yoon, W., Kim, S.*, et al.* BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation
model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics* 2020;36(4):1234-1240.

Lee, Y.W., Wang, J., Newton, H.J.*, et al.* Mapping bacterial effector arsenals: in vivo and in
silico approaches to defining the protein features dictating effector secretion by bacteria. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol 2020;57:13-21.

Li, W. and Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein
or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2006;22(13):1658-1659.

Li, Y.G., Hu, B. and Christie, P.J. Biological and Structural Diversity of Type IV Secretion
Systems. *Microbiol Spectr* 2019;7(2).

Lin, T.Y., Goyal, P., Girshick, R.*, et al.* Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection. *IEEE Trans*
Pattern Anal Mach Intell 2020;42(2):318-327.

Loh, J.T., Shum, M.V., Jossart, S.D.R.*, et al.* Delineation of the pH-Responsive Regulon
Controlled by the Helicobacter pylori ArsRS Two-Component System. *Infect Immun*
2021;89(4).

Meyer, D.F., Noroy, C., Moumene, A.*, et al.* Searching algorithm for type IV secretion system
effectors 1.0: a tool for predicting type IV effectors and exploring their genomic context.
Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41(20):9218-9229.

Mishra, J.P., Cohen, D., Zamperone, A.*, et al.* CagA of Helicobacter pylori interacts with and
inhibits the serine-threonine kinase PRK2. *Cell Microbiol* 2015;17(11):1670-1682.

Mondino, S., Schmidt, S., Rolando, M.*, et al.* Legionnaires' Disease: State of the Art
Knowledge of Pathogenesis Mechanisms of Legionella. *Annu Rev Pathol* 2020;15:439-466.

Murata-Kamiya, N., Kurashima, Y., Teishikata, Y.*, et al.* Helicobacter pylori CagA interacts with
E-cadherin and deregulates the beta-catenin signal that promotes intestinal transdifferentiation
in gastric epithelial cells. *Oncogene* 2007;26(32):4617-4626.

Nesic, D., Buti, L., Lu, X.*, et al.* Structure of the Helicobacter pylori CagA oncoprotein bound to
the human tumor suppressor ASPP2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111(4):1562-1567.

O'Callaghan, D., Cazevieille, C., Allardet-Servent, A.*, et al.* A homologue of the Agrobacterium
tumefaciens VirB and Bordetella pertussis Ptl type IV secretion systems is essential for
intracellular survival of Brucella suis. *Mol Microbiol* 1999;33(6):1210-1220.

Plummer, M., van Doorn, L.J., Franceschi, S.*, et al.* Helicobacter pylori cytotoxin-associated
genotype and gastric precancerous lesions. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99(17):1328-1334.

Poppe, M., Feller, S.M., Romer, G.*, et al.* Phosphorylation of Helicobacter pylori CagA by c-Abl
leads to cell motility. *Oncogene* 2007;26(24):3462-3472.

Raffel, C., Shazeer, N., Roberts, A.*, et al.* Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a

Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 2020;21:1-67.

Rao, R., Bhattacharya, N., Thomas, N*., et al.* Evaluating Protein Transfer Learning with TAPE.
Adv Neural Inf Process Syst 2019;32:9689-9701.

Rives, A., Meier, J., Sercu, T*., et al.* Biological structure and function emerge from scaling
unsupervised learning to 250 million protein sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
2021;118(15).

Saadat, I., Higashi, H., Obuse, C*., et al.* Helicobacter pylori CagA targets PAR1/MARK kinase
to disrupt epithelial cell polarity. *Nature* 2007;447(7142):330-333.

Saju, P., Murata-Kamiya, N., Hayashi, T*., et al.* Host SHP1 phosphatase antagonizes
Helicobacter pylori CagA and can be downregulated by Epstein-Barr virus. *Nat Microbiol*
2016;1:16026.

Schrammeijer, B., den Dulk-Ras, A., Vergunst, A.C*., et al.* Analysis of Vir protein translocation
from Agrobacterium tumefaciens using Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a model: evidence for
transport of a novel effector protein VirE3. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003;31(3):860-868.

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O*., et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated
models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003;13(11):2498-2504.

Sheedlo, M.J., Ohi, M.D., Lacy, D.B*., et al.* Molecular architecture of bacterial type IV secretion
systems. *PLoS Pathog* 2022;18(8):e1010720.

Stärk, H., Dallago, C., Heinzinger, M*., et al.* Light attention predicts protein location from the
language of life. *Bioinform Adv* 2021;1(1):vbab035.

Steinegger, M. and Soding, J. Clustering huge protein sequence sets in linear time. *Nat*
Commun 2018;9(1):2542.

Suzek, B.E., Wang, Y., Huang, H*., et al.* UniRef clusters: a comprehensive and scalable
alternative for improving sequence similarity searches. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31(6):926-932.

Suzuki, M., Mimuro, H., Suzuki, T*., et al.* Interaction of CagA with Crk plays an important role in
Helicobacter pylori-induced loss of gastric epithelial cell adhesion. *J Exp Med*
2005;202(9):1235-1247.

Teufel, F., Almagro Armenteros, J.J., Johansen, A.R*., et al.* SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of
signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat Biotechnol* 2022;40(7):1023-1025.

Wagner, N., Alburquerque, M., Ecker, N*., et al.* Natural language processing approach to
model the secretion signal of type III effectors. *Front Plant Sci* 2022;13:1024405.

Wagner, N., Avram, O., Gold-Binshtok, D*., et al.* Effectidor: an automated
machine-learning-based web server for the prediction of type-III secretion system effectors.
Bioinformatics 2022;38(8):2341-2343.

Waksman, G. From conjugation to T4S systems in Gram-negative bacteria: a mechanistic
biology perspective. *EMBO Rep* 2019;20(2).

Wallden, K., Rivera-Calzada, A. and Waksman, G. Type IV secretion systems: versatility and
diversity in function. *Cell Microbiol* 2010;12(9):1203-1212.

Wang, J., Yang, B., An, Y*., et al.* Systematic analysis and prediction of type IV secreted effector
proteins by machine learning approaches. *Brief Bioinform* 2019;20(3):931-951.

Wang, Y., Wei, X., Bao, H*., et al.* Prediction of bacterial type IV secreted effectors by
C-terminal features. *BMC Genomics* 2014;15:50.

Ward, D.V. and Zambryski, P.C. The six functions of Agrobacterium VirE2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A 2001;98(2):385-386.

Wozniak, R.A. and Waldor, M.K. Integrative and conjugative elements: mosaic mobile genetic
elements enabling dynamic lateral gene flow. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010;8(8):552-563.
Xiong, Y., Wang, Q., Yang, J*., et al.* PredT4SE-Stack: Prediction of Bacterial Type IV Secreted
Effectors From Protein Sequences Using a Stacked Ensemble Method. *Front Microbiol*
2018;9:2571.
Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Xiong, Y*., et al.* T4SEfinder: a bioinformatics tool for genome-scale
prediction of bacterial type IV secreted effectors using pre-trained protein language model.
Brief Bioinform 2022;23(1).
Zhao, Z., Hu, Y., Hu, Y*., et al.* Features and algorithms: facilitating investigation of secreted
effectors in Gram-negative bacteria. *Trends Microbiol* 2023.
Zou, L., Nan, C. and Hu, F. Accurate prediction of bacterial type IV secreted effectors using
amino acid composition and PSSM profiles. *Bioinformatics* 2013;29(24):3135-3142.

Tables

Table 1. Performance comparison of the models in T4SEpp on 5-fold cross-validation dataset.

Method	ACC	SN	SP	PR	F1	MCC	rocAUC	AUPRC
T4attention_ESM-1b	**0.934±0.010**	0.844±0.017	**0.963±0.008**	**0.881±0.026**	**0.861±0.021**	**0.819±0.028**	0.950±0.008	**0.897±0.026**
T4attention_ProtBert	0.931±0.013	**0.859±0.030**	0.954±0.013	0.861±0.036	0.859±0.025	0.814±0.033	**0.954±0.010**	**0.897±0.028**
T4attention_ProtBert-BFD	0.924±0.007	0.846±0.015	0.950±0.009	0.848±0.023	0.847±0.012	0.797±0.017	0.939±0.006	0.848±0.047
T4attention_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50	0.933±0.015	0.844±0.021	0.962±0.016	**0.881±0.044**	**0.861±0.028**	0.818±0.038	0.949±0.007	0.895±0.030
T4attention_ProtT5-XL-BFD	0.925±0.021	0.847±0.017	0.950±0.025	0.851±0.065	0.849±0.037	0.800±0.051	0.949±0.011	0.887±0.032
T4attention_ProtAlbert	0.921±0.014	0.851±0.009	0.944±0.015	0.834±0.037	0.842±0.024	0.790±0.033	0.940±0.015	0.860±0.036
T4SEpre_psAac[a]	0.841±0.014	0.825±0.030	0.858±0.049	0.856±0.040	0.839±0.012	0.686±0.030	0.917±0.016	0.884±0.015
T4SEpre_bpbAac[a]	0.856±0.032	0.817±0.059	0.894±0.038	0.887±0.037	0.849±0.036	0.716±0.061	0.918±0.018	0.898±0.023
T4SEpp_ESM-1b	0.974±0.004	0.919±0.009	0.993±0.005	0.976±0.015	0.946±0.008	0.930±0.011	0.995±0.004	0.949±0.069
T4SEpp_ProtBert	0.967±0.006	0.909±0.005	0.986±0.007	0.956±0.022	0.932±0.011	0.911±0.016	0.994±0.003	0.964±0.038
T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50	0.972±0.006	0.917±0.009	0.990±0.006	0.968±0.019	0.942±0.012	0.924±0.015	0.994±0.003	0.957±0.049

ACC: Accuracy; SN: sensitivity; SP: specificity; PR: precision; F1: F1-score; MCC: Matthews' correlation coefficient, rocAUC: the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, AUPRC: the precision recall rate curve, [a]: re-train the model

Table 2. Performance comparison of the models in T4SEpp and other tools on the independent dataset.

Method	ACC	SN	SP	PR	F1	MCC	rocAUC	AUPRC
T4attention_ESM-1b	0.935	0.850	0.947	0.680	0.756	0.743	0.956	0.850
T4attention_ProtBert	0.982	**0.950**	0.987	0.905	0.927	0.917	**0.989**	0.936
T4attention_ProtBert-BFD	0.959	**0.950**	0.960	0.760	0.844	0.828	0.973	0.936
T4attention_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50	0.959	0.900	0.967	0.783	0.837	0.816	0.973	0.880
T4attention_ProtT5-XL-BFD	0.929	**0.950**	0.927	0.633	0.760	0.741	0.973	0.930
T4attention_ProtAlbert	0.953	0.900	0.960	0.750	0.818	0.796	0.959	0.891
T4SEpp_ESM-1b	0.976	0.850	0.993	0.944	0.894	0.883	0.922	0.868

T4SEpp_ProtBert	**0.988**	**0.950**	0.993	0.950	**0.950**	**0.943**	0.974	**0.946**
T4SEpp_ProtT5-XL-UniRef50	**0.988**	0.900	**1.000**	**1.000**	0.947	0.942	0.948	0.901
T4SEfinder-TAPEBert_MLP	0.958	0.850	0.973	0.810	0.829	0.806	0.959	0.805
T4SEfinder-hybridbilstm	0.941	0.800	0.960	0.727	0.762	0.730	0.945	0.852
T4SEfinder-pssm_cnn	0.906	0.800	0.920	0.571	0.667	0.625	0.923	0.759
Bastion4	0.965	0.900	0.973	0.818	0.857	0.838	0.907	0.706
CNNT4SE	0.953	0.700	0.987	0.875	0.778	0.758	0.943	0.860
T4SEpre_psAac[a]	0.888	0.700	0.913	0.519	0.596	0.541	0.921	0.740
T4SEpre_bpbAac[a]	0.829	0.700	0.847	0.378	0.491	0.427	0.895	0.730

758   ACC: Accuracy; SN: sensitivity; SP: specificity; PR: precision; F1: F1-score; MCC: Matthews' correlation coefficient, rocAUC:

759   the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, AUPRC: the precision recall rate curve, [a]: re-train the model

Supplementary data

Supplementary Figure S1. The workflow to construct the training(A) or independent testing(B) dataset in this study.

Supplementary Figure S2. Two modules used by the T4attention model.

Supplementary Figure S3. The relationship between the feature extraction time of 6 different protein natural language models and the prediction performance of T4attention model F1-score (A) and MCC (B) in the 5-fold cross-validation dataset.

Supplementary Figure S4. The relationship between T4attention model prediction performance F1-score (A) and MCC (B) in the independent test set and the overall time-consuming use of 6 different protein natural language models to extract features and their T4attention model predictions.

Supplementary Figure S5. Three T4SEpp model were used to predict the potential T4SE in the UniProt reference proteome containing T4SS, respectively. Where 100%_ID represents a known verified T4SE.

Supplementary Table S1. The 74 T4SEs independently collected from the literature.

Supplementary Table S2. Hyperparameters used in deep learning models of T4attention.

Supplementary Table S3. Homologous Clusters of T4S Effector Signal Sequences.

Supplementary Table S4. The distribution of effector domain families.

Supplementary Table S5. Distribution of the Uniprot Bacteria Reference Proteomes (Download date October 19, 2022).

Supplementary Table S6. Distribution of T4SS in the UniPort bacterial reference

proteome.

Supplementary Table S7. Homology prediction results of T4SE in strains containing

T4SS in the Uniport Bacteria Reference Proteomes.

Supplementary Table S8. Distribution of potential T4SEs in the *H. pylori_26695*

(NC_000915.1).

Supplementary Table S9. Distribution of potential T4SEs in the Uniport Bacteria

Reference Proteomes.