1

Main Manuscript for:

Histone deacetylation and cytosine methylation compartmentalize

heterochromatic regions in the genome organization of *Neurospora crassa*

Ashley W. Scadden[1], Alayne S. Graybill[1], Clayton Hull-Crew[1], Tiffany J. Lundberg[1], Nickolas M. Lande[1], and Andrew D. Klocko[1]*

[1]University of Colorado Colorado Springs, Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Colorado Springs, CO 80918, USA

*Corresponding Author: Andrew D. Klocko, email: aklocko@uccs.edu

Author Contributions: A.W.S and A.D.K. designed research; A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. performed research; A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. analyzed data; and A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. wrote the paper.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Classification: Biological Sciences, Genetics

Keywords: histone deacetylation, HDAC, heterochromatin, Hi-C, cytosine methylation

Abstract

Chromosomes must correctly fold in eukaryotic nuclei for proper genome function. Eukaryotic organisms hierarchically organize their genomes: in the fungus *Neurospora crassa*, chromatin fiber loops compact into Topologically Associated Domain (TAD)-like structures that are anchored by the aggregation of silent heterochromatic regions. However, insufficient information exists on how histone post-translational modifications, including acetylation, impact genome organization. In Neurospora, the HCHC complex (comprised of the proteins HDA-1, CDP-2, HP1, and CHAP) deacetylates heterochromatic regions, including centromeres: loss of individual HCHC members increases centromeric acetylation and alters cytosine methylation. Here, we evaluate the role of the HCHC complex on genome organization using chromosome conformation capture with high-throughput sequencing (Hi-C) in strains deleted of the *cdp-2* or *chap* genes. CDP-2 loss increases interactions between intra- and inter-chromosomal heterochromatic regions, while loss of CHAP decreases heterochromatic region compaction. Individual HCHC mutants exhibit different patterns of histone post-translational modifications genome-wide: without CDP-2, heterochromatic H4K16 acetylation is increased, yet some heterochromatic regions lose H3K9 trimethylation, which increases interactions between heterochromatic regions; CHAP loss produces minimal acetylation changes but increases heterochromatic H3K9me3 enrichment. Interestingly, loss of both CDP-2 and the DIM-2 DNA methyltransferase causes extensive genome disorder, as heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts increase despite additional levels of H3K9me3. Our results highlight how the increased cytosine methylation in HCHC mutants ensures heterochromatic compartmentalization when silenced regions become hyperacetylated with loss of HDAC activity.

Significance Statement

The mechanisms driving chromosome organization in eukaryotic nuclei, including in the filamentous fungus

Neurospora crassa, are currently unknown, but histone post-translational modifications may be involved.

Histone proteins can be acetylated to form active euchromatin while histone deacetylases (HDACs) remove

acetyl marks to form silent heterochromatin; heterochromatic regions cluster and strongly interact in

Neurospora genome organization. Here, we show that mutants lacking components of a heterochromatin-

specific HDAC (HCHC) causes histone acetylation gains in heterochromatin genome-wide and contact

increases between distant heterochromatic loci. HCHC loss also impacts cytosine methylation, and in strains

lacking both the HCHC and cytosine methylation, heterochromatic regions interact more with euchromatin.

Our results suggest cytosine methylation normally functions to segregate silent and active loci when

heterochromatic acetylation increases.

Main Text

Introduction

Chromosomal DNA must be correctly folded in a eukaryotic nucleus for proper function, including for transcriptional regulation (1–5). This genome organization includes the subnuclear compartmentalization of chromatin (2, 5) where the more open euchromatin is centrally localized and is accessible to transcription machinery, while the densely compacted heterochromatin aggregates at the nuclear periphery possibly preventing RNA polymerase binding (6–9). In euchromatin, long-range loops also form between promoters and distant regulatory sequences, including enhancers or silencers (3, 10). Genome organization is frequently disrupted in human cancers, where improper gene expression occurs following the hijacking of regulatory sequences by promoters (11–13). Given the direct connection between genome organization and function, there is a critical need to understand the factors folding the genome in wild type (WT) nuclei.

The organization of an entire genome can be assessed by chromosome conformation capture with high throughput sequencing (Hi-C), in which chromatin is crosslinked, restriction enzyme digested, and ligated, which physically connects interacting DNA strands in a single DNA molecule; the more accurate *in situ* Hi-C ligates interacting regions in the nucleus, thereby generating precise high resolution contact matrices of genome organization with unprecedented clarity (14–16). Hi-C has shown that the genomes of "higher" eukaryotic species are hierarchically organized. At the most basic level, histone octamers wrap ~146 basepairs (bp) of DNA to form the nucleosomes comprising chromatin fiber globules ("loops") (17, 18). Loops compact into Topologically Associated Domains (TADs), where chromatin internal to the TAD is more apt to interact (15, 19–21). TADs of similar transcriptional states are compartmentalized, while individual metazoan chromosomes isolate into territories (6, 14, 15, 22–25).

Filamentous fungi, like *Neurospora crassa*, are excellent model organisms for understanding eukaryotic genome organization (16, 26–28). The Neurospora genome, typical among fungi, is small, and predominantly haploid (e.g., the Neurospora genome is 4.1×10^7 bp) (29), allowing cost-efficient genomic sequencing experiments. The Neurospora genome also contains both euchromatic and heterochromatic loci, with the latter subdivided into permanently-silent constitutive heterochromatin covering repetitive DNA that is adenine-thymine (AT)-rich and gene-poor, and temporarily-silent facultative heterochromatin repressing

gene-rich regions (29–32); each type is demarcated by specific histone post-translational modifications

(PTMs). As in humans, Neurospora constitutive heterochromatin is enriched with trimethylation of lysine 9

on histone H3 (H3K9me3, catalyzed by the **D**IM-5/-7/-9, **C**UL4, **D**DB1^{dim-8} **C**omplex [DCDC]) and cytosine

methylation (5^mC), while the di- or trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27me2/3) or the ASH1-

dependent di-methylation of lysine 36 on histone H3 (H3K36me2) demarcates facultative heterochromatin

(30–38). Histone lysines in euchromatin are extensively acetylated by histone acetyltransferases (HATs),

including on histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9ac) or histone H4 lysine 16 (H4K16ac) (39–41). However, unlike

metazoans, the machinery catalyzing histone PTMs or 5^mC in Neurospora is simplistic, non-redundant, and

not required for viability, promoting the use of Neurospora in experiments for understanding chromatin

function (32, 38, 42). Importantly, the genomes of filamentous fungi, including that of *Neurospora crassa*, are

compacted in a comparable manner to metazoan genomes, with a similar ratio of genome size to nuclear

volume as humans (16). Neurospora chromosomes form TAD-like structures, known as "Regional Globule

Clusters", comprised of chromatin loops (16, 26, 27). Flanking heterochromatic regions strongly interact to

delineate Neurospora TAD-like structures, which promotes multiple euchromatic globules to associate in an

uninsulated manner (16, 27). However, as opposed to the chromosome territories seen in metazoans (1, 22,

24, 25), fungal chromosomes form a Rabl conformation, in which centromeres bundle independently from

telomere clusters at the nuclear periphery (16, 26–28, 43), as directly observed in Neurospora (26–28); this

Rabl structure forms weak chromosome territories with extensive inter-chromosomal contacts.

Genome organization may depend on individual histone PTMs compartmentalizing chromatin. It is well

established that specific histone PTMs define the transcriptional status and accessibility of chromatin (18,

44–46), yet given the segregation of euchromatic and heterochromatic regions in the nucleus, the possibility

exists that the histone PTMs marking this chromatin could facilitate genome compartmentalization. Recent

reports detail how heterochromatic histone PTMs, as well as the proteins that bind these marks, shape

genome organization. Notably, H3K9me3 and its cognate binding partner Heterochromatin Protein-1 (HP1),

compact heterochromatic regions during fungal asexual growth and facilitate pericentromere clustering

during Drosophila zygote genome activation (27, 43, 47). Also, sub-telomeric H3K27me2/3 facilitates the

telomere cluster – nuclear periphery association in Neurospora (26). However, little information exists about

the roles of other histone PTMs, including histone acetylation.

Classically, acetylated histone tails denote open chromatin, as enrichment of acetylation is observed in

in the euchromatic regions of fungi, fruit flies, mice, and humans (33, 48–57). In contrast, heterochromatin

is typically devoid of histone acetylation; histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes remove acetyl marks (33,

39, 40, 58). Changes in acetylation levels within chromatin may impact genome organization, with promoter

– enhancer interactions being subject to this regulation. Recent reports highlight the acetylation changes of

lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27ac) across enhancers during the differentiation of human epidermal cells

(59) and mouse adipocyte cells (60). Histone acetylation changes are also well-documented during the cell

cycle: mitotic chromosomes are globally hypoacetylated to form dense chromosome structures prior to

separation into daughter cells (61–65). Specifically during mitosis, promoters and enhancers lose H3K27ac,

but rapidly regain this mark in G1 for reactivating gene transcription and reforming TADs (66, 67). Further,

the BRD4-NUT novel oncogenic protein recruits the HAT P300 to create hyperacetylated Mega-domains that

aggregate into a transcriptionally activated sub-compartment (68); phase-separation might promote nuclear

sub-compartment formation (69). While hyperacetylated chromatin may impact genome organization, little

is known about how HDAC complex activity affects fungal chromosome conformation.

Neurospora crassa encodes four class II HDACs (HDA-1 to HDA-4; class characterization based on

primary structure conservation), with HDA-1 impacting heterochromatin (41, 58). HDA-1 is a component of

the four-member HCHC complex, comprised of the proteins HDA-1, CDP-2 (*C*hromo*d*omain *P*rotein-*2*), HP1,

and CHAP (*C*DP-2 and *H*DA-1 *A*ssociated *P*rotein) (39, 40). HDA-1 is the deacetylase catalytic subunit, HP1

binds to H3K9me3 for heterochromatin recruitment, CDP-2 facilitates protein interactions between HP1 and

HDA-1 within the HCHC, and CHAP binds to the AT-rich DNA of constitutive heterochromatin, mediated by

the two AT hook domains in its primary structure (39, 40). Both CDP-2 and CHAP are necessary to recruit

HDA-1 to heterochromatic genomic loci (39). Strains deleted of *cdp-2*, *chap*, or *hda-1* have increased histone

acetylation and decreased H3K9me3 at select heterochromatic loci, as assessed by Chromatin

Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) quantitative PCR, although it is unknown if these changes occur genome-wide

(40). Also, smaller heterochromatic regions in HCHC mutant strains lose 5$^{\text{m}}$C but larger regions, including

centromeres, gain 5$^{\text{m}}$C by increasing recruitment of DIM-2, the only DNA methyltransferase encoded in

Neurospora (39). Thus, HCHC mutants have distinct changes in histone PTMs and 5^mC that might impact

genome organization.

Here, we report how the HCHC complex impacts genome organization using Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* deletion

strains. CDP-2 loss increases intra- and inter-chromosomal heterochromatic region contacts, while loss of

CHAP reduces heterochromatic region compaction. Heterochromatic regions gain H4K16ac but not H3K9ac

enrichment; a Δ*chap* strain has little H4K16ac change. Surprisingly, some heterochromatic regions lose

H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, which causes contact promiscuity of affected heterochromatic regions.

Moreover, a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant devoid of 5^mC in an HCHC-deficient background gains H3K9me3

at most AT-rich regions and has a highly disordered genome organization, with increased contact

probabilities between heterochromatic loci and euchromatin. Our results suggest that histone deacetylation

is required for Neurospora genome organization, while in the presence of hyperacetylated histones, 5^mC

maintains the segregation of heterochromatin from euchromatin.

Results

Genome organization changes in strains deleted of either HCHC component CDP-2 or CHAP

The genome of the wild type (WT) *Neurospora crassa* strain N150 (74-OR23-IVA [Fungal Genetic Stock

Center #2489]) is organized by heterochromatin aggregates, which form euchromatic TAD-like structures

(Figure 1A) (16, 26–28). Heterochromatic regions in the Neurospora genome depend on the action of the

HDAC complex HCHC for 5^mC and silencing (40). To understand if the HCHC also mediates Neurospora

genome organization, we examined strains independently deleted of the genes encoding CDP-2 (Δ*cdp-2*) or

CHAP (Δ*chap*) by *in situ* Hi-C. Since CDP-2 and CHAP recruit HDA-1 to AT-rich genomic loci for histone

deacetylation, the activity of CDP-2 and CHAP may be epistatic to HDA-1 action, yet given the unique domains

predicted from their primary structures, these proteins could have distinct functions in the HCHC complex

(39, 40). We hypothesized that by examining mutants of CDP-2 and CHAP, we would assess how

compromised HCHC function alters chromosome conformation and observe more nuanced differences in

genome organization to clarify the individual roles of these HCHC members, rather than only examining the

effect of deleting the HDA-1 catalytic subunit. Therefore, we independently performed chromatin-specific *in situ* Hi-C with *Dpn*II (recognition sequence ^GATC) to assess euchromatic contacts, or *Mse*I (T^TAA) to examine heterochromatic interactions, on Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* strains. Our replicate *in situ* Hi-C libraries, generated from a population of cells, are highly reproducible (Figures S1, S2), allowing similar restriction enzyme datasets to be merged. This produced high quality *Dpn*II Δ*cdp-2* (~35.4 million [M] valid read pairs) and Δ*chap* (~8.7M valid read pairs), as well as *Mse*I Δ*cdp-2* (~13.9M valid read pairs) and Δ*chap* (~4.8M valid read pairs) datasets; total and valid read counts are provided in Supplemental Table S1. The merged *Dpn*II datasets presented are Knight-Ruiz (KR) corrected to limit experimental bias (22, 70, 71), while *Mse*I datasets show raw read counts, since KR correction improperly removes heterochromatic region contacts upon bin averaging, possibly due to the discrepancy of *Mse*I site numbers between AT-rich heterochromatin and GC-rich euchromatin (16).

At first glance, when examining a single chromosome (Linkage Group [LG] II), the *Dpn*II and *Mse*I Δ*cdp-2* datasets (Figures 1B) look comparable to WT *Dpn*II or *Mse*I Hi-C datasets (Figure 1A), the latter generated from fastq files containing nearly identical numbers of valid reads. However, upon closer examination, the Δ*cdp-2* dataset has increased interactions between distant heterochromatic regions, including the LG II centromere, which forms prominent interactions with heterochromatic regions up to three Megabases (Mb) distant, as evident in the heterochromatin-specific *Mse*I heatmap (Figure 1B, black arrowheads), while heterochromatic regions form fewer contacts with nearby euchromatin (Figure 1B, open arrowheads). These effects are seen across all Neurospora chromosomes (Figures S3). To highlight changes in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, we directly compared the *Dpn*II or *Mse*I Δ*cdp-2* Hi-C contact maps to those from a WT strain. In both datasets, the most prominent change in LG II interactions in Δ*cdp-2* are the strong increases between centromeres and distant heterochromatic regions, evident as strong red bins in comparison heatmaps (Figure 1C); a similar pattern is observed for all seven Neurospora LGs (Figures S4). Further examination of the high-resolution contact changes at centromeres show how centromeric chromatin is more accessible in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, as the LG II centromere has a strong red diagonal indicative of increased contacts between centromeric nucleosomes, in addition to having more intense interactions with distant H3K9me3-marked constitutive heterochromatic regions (Figure 1D). In fact, each heterochromatic region across LG II has increased local

interactions along the diagonal of Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets relative to WT, arguing this AT-rich, heterochromatic

DNA associates less with its own histone proteins for greater contact promiscuity with the DNA of nearby

heterochromatic nucleosomes; this could additionally deplete heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts when

equal numbers of valid Hi-C read pairs are compared (Figures 1B, open arrowheads, 1C). Quantification of

strongly changed contacts between WT and Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets showed that, indeed, the greatest number

of strongly increased contacts occurred between H3K9me3-enriched bins; few contacts are strongly

increased between H3K9me3 enriched bins and bins enriched with euchromatic marks (Figure 1E).

Furthermore, as assessed in *Dpn*II datasets, interactions of distant euchromatic regions are decreased, such

that interactions between the left and right chromosome arms, as well as the interactions between distant

TAD-like structures are reduced (Figure 1C). The strong decrease in off diagonal interactions when

comparing the WT and Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets (Figure 1C, above diagonal) could indicate chromatin in TAD-

like structures interact less, similar to the changes in *Dpn*II Δ*cdp-2* datasets, but equally possible is that this

decrease in euchromatic interactions reflects contact paucity caused by the reduced number of *Mse*I sites in

euchromatin (16) coupled with the above increase in heterochromatin-specific contacts (Figure 1C). All told,

CDP-2 loss increases constitutive heterochromatin interactions and decreases euchromatin contacts.

Next, we examined the genome organization of a Δ*chap* strain by *Dpn*II and *Mse*I Hi-C. The Δ*chap* data

has reduced valid read numbers, with the Δ*chap Dpn*II dataset having ~four-fold less reads and the Δ*chap*

*Mse*I dataset having ~three-fold less valid reads compared to Δ*cdp-2* (Supplemental Table S1). However,

despite these valid read number differences, we believe enough information is present to assess the impact

of CHAP loss, especially considering WT datasets are normalized with similar valid read numbers for all

Δ*chap* dataset comparisons. Examination of single chromosomes show how the Δ*chap Dpn*II and *Mse*I Hi-C

datasets appear devoid of most strong long-range contacts (Figure 1F); similar results were shown for all

seven Linkage Groups (Figure S5). In each chromosome, more distant contacts are rarer upon CHAP loss; an

enhanced image of the right arm of LG II highlights that regional interactions still form in both *Dpn*II and *Mse*I

datasets (Figure S6). When equal numbers of valid reads between WT and Δ*chap* datasets are compared,

heterochromatic regions exhibit reduced internal compaction, and fewer contacts between heterochromatic

regions across LG II are observed (Figures 1G, S6B; arrowheads); similar differences occur on each

chromosome upon CHAP loss (Figure S7). Also apparent are the decreased interactions between the TAD-like Regional Globule Clusters (16) in the Δ*chap Dpn*II data, which may stem from the slight increase in on-diagonal, local interactions (Figures 1G, S6-S7). Quantification of strongly increased contacts between bins enriched for either activating or repressive histone PTMs, including the SET-2-specific H3K36me2 or H3K27ac (33), shows minimal gains in bin interactions between heterochromatic and euchromatic bins, yet euchromatic regions are more likely to contact in Δ*chap* (Figure 1E), perhaps indirectly resulting from decreased heterochromatic compaction.

Similar interaction trends are observed across the entire Neurospora genome, arguing that CDP-2 and CHAP mediate the inter-chromosomal clustering of Neurospora heterochromatic regions. As shown in raw *Mse*I and KR corrected *Dpn*II Hi-C data from a Δ*cdp-2* strain, or when these Δ*cdp-2* data are compared to WT Hi-C, the centromeres in a Δ*cdp-2* strain gain interactions with the interspersed heterochromatic regions across all seven LGs, thereby reducing contact strength of the centromere-proximal euchromatin on each chromosome and the inter-chromosomal centromeric interactions (Figures S8-S9), although it is possible this reduction manifests when equal valid read pair numbers are compared between datasets in which one (Δ*cdp-2*) has more heterochromatin contacts. The Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II Hi-C data present with stronger inter-chromosomal arm contacts (Figure S9), which may occur when heterochromatic regions between chromosomes more strongly interact; similar changes are observed in KR-corrected *Mse*I data and raw *Dpn*II data when WT and Δ*cdp-2* contact matrices are compared (Figure S10A). Examination of the interactions between two chromosomes, LG II and LG III, in Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I Hi-C contact heatmaps show the expansion of centromeric and interspersed heterochromatic region contacts and the concomitant reduction of contacts between silent and active chromatin (Figure S8A). Plotting the inter-chromosomal interaction changes between WT and Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets highlight how inter-chromosomal heterochromatic regions are more apt to interact, including the LG II and LG III centromeres strongly contacting distant heterochromatic regions (Figure 2A). Decreased contacts include the inter-centromeric and pericentromeric euchromatin (Figure 2A). CHAP loss reduces heterochromatic interactions across the Neurospora genome: as shown in Δ*chap Mse*I contact matrices (Figure S11), or when Δ*chap Mse*I data is compared to WT *Mse*I data (Figure S9B), few inter-chromosomal heterochromatic contacts are observed, with the reduction in centromeric

contacts being the most prominent; these contact strength differences are independent of matrix correction

(Figure S10B). A plot of the interactions between LG II and LG III in Δ*chap* highlights the paucity of inter-

chromosomal constitutive heterochromatic contacts (Figure S11A), which is further evident when *Mse*I Hi-C

data between a WT and Δ*chap* strain are compared (Figure 2B). The decrease in heterochromatic bundling

compromises the inter-chromosomal arm interactions characteristic of the Rabl conformation (28), as the

comparison of *Dpn*II Hi-C between WT and Δ*chap* strains shows reduced euchromatin interactions across

the left and right chromosomal arms (Figure S10B). Quantification of the strongest gains in inter-

chromosomal interactions in HCHC deletion mutants, relative to WT, shows inter-bin interactions are gained

in 81.5% of H3K9me3 enriched bins, but few heterochromatic-euchromatic bins gain contacts, in Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I

datasets (Figure 2C, left). The Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II Hi-C data only show strong gains between euchromatic bins

enriched with H3K27ac and SET-2 specific H3K36me2 (Figure 2C, right). In contrast, Δ*chap Mse*I and *Dpn*II

Hi-C datasets have minimal contact increases relative to WT, independent of histone PTMs, consistent with

the loss of CHAP reducing heterochromatic interactions (Figure 2C).

Our data suggest that CDP-2 and CHAP differentially impact genome organization. To highlight these

differences, we compared equal valid read numbers from Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* datasets; Figure S12 shows how

genome topology is changed in a Δ*chap* strain relative to Δ*cdp-2*. In these heatmaps, the decrease in

heterochromatin compaction and inter-heterochromatic region contacts, with the concomitant increase in

euchromatic interactions, that occur upon loss of CHAP is evident (Figure S12C, arrowheads). These data

argue that CHAP forms intra-chromosomal interactions within and between heterochromatic regions, while

CDP-2 may be indirectly acting to restrict heterochromatin aggregation, given its role in controlling histone

acetylation (below). All told, our Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* Hi-C data reveal the differential effects on genome

organization by individual members of a histone deacetylase complex.

Histone acetylation changes in single deletion strains of the HCHC components CDP-2 and CHAP

To understand how altered HCHC function affects fungal genome organization, we decided to correlate

changes in genome organization to histone acetylation enrichment in Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* strains. However, no

genome-wide Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing (ChIP-seq) datasets of HCHC mutants are

publicly available to make this assessment; recent work showing histone acetylation changes in Δ*cdp-2* and

Δ*chap* strains only used ChIP qPCR to show that loss of CDP-2 and CHAP locally increase histone acetylation

levels at a few heterochromatic loci (40), preventing genome-wide conclusions or correlation to genome

organization. Therefore, we performed two ChIP-seq replicates of histone acetylation on two lysine residues,

K9 on histone H3 (H3K9ac) and K16 on histone H4 (H4K16ac), in Δ*cdp-2* and WT strains; we also performed

H4K16ac ChIP-seq on a Δ*chap* strain. Both H3K9ac and H4K16ac were proposed to be targets of the HCHC

complex, as assessed by ChIP qPCR (40). Our H3K9ac and H4K16ac ChIP-seq replicates are qualitatively

reproducible in each strain (Figure S13, S14), so we merged H3K9ac or H4K16ac replicates for Integrative

Genome Viewer (IGV) display (72); all ChIP-seq data here are normalized by Reads Per Kilobase per Million

reads (RPKM) to ensure proper comparison between each experiment, although the use of spike-in DNA (73–

75) might have detected additional enrichment changes. Consistent with the published qPCR ChIP, CDP-2

loss caused an increase in H4K16ac enrichment only in AT-rich heterochromatic regions but had little effect

on H3K9ac enrichment (Figure 3A, top). Closer examination of the LG II right arm highlights this acetylation

change, as each H3K9me3-marked heterochromatic region gains H4K16ac relative to WT, but H3K9ac is not

enriched (Figure 3A, bottom, arrowheads), possibly reflecting the trimethylation of this residue in these

gene-poor regions (Figure 3A, dark green track). The enrichment of H4K16ac and H3K9ac in a Δ*cdp-2* strain

was unchanged in gene-rich euchromatin (Figure 3A), highlighting how the HCHC complex may be specific

for deacetylating only AT-rich heterochromatic regions in the Neurospora genome. In contrast, the loss of

CHAP had little effect on acetylation: the Δ*chap* strain showed minimal, if any, changes to the enrichment of

H4K16ac across genes and within constitutive heterochromatic regions (Figure S15A).

These acetylation changes are highlighted by average enrichment plots and heatmaps of the normalized

H4K16ac and H3K9ac datasets across either H3K9me3-enriched regions or genes. Over heterochromatic

regions, the gain of H4K16ac is readily apparent, as essentially all AT-rich regions have a substantial increase

in H4K16ac enrichment in a Δ*cdp-2* strain relative to the minimal heterochromatic H4K16ac in a WT strain

(Figure 3B). In contrast, the enrichment of H3K9ac in the heterochromatic regions of a Δ*cdp-2* strain may be

slightly lower than a WT strain (Figure S16A). The Δ*chap* strain has only a subtle increase in H4K16ac across

heterochromatin (Figure S15B), highlighting the possible differences in individual HCHC components for

deacetylation. When examining H4K16ac over genes, a Δ*cdp-2* strain has a small reduction in H4K16ac

compared to the WT strain (Figure S17A), suggesting that increases in heterochromatic nucleosome

acetylation limits the level of genic acetylation in euchromatin by HAT(s). Similarly, H3K9ac deposition

across genes subtly shifts from the 5' end of genes in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figure S16B). To further characterize

the H4K16ac and H3K9ac deposition changes, we plotted the normalized, averaged signal of each region

using boxplots. The heterochromatic regions in Δ*cdp-2* have robust increases in H4K16ac signal relative to

WT (Figure S17B), while H3K9ac enrichment is overall decreased (Figure S16C), although some regions in

each dataset go against these trends. Considering genic acetylation, a Δ*cdp-2* strain has a small but

statistically significant H4K16ac decrease (Figure S17C) and H3K9ac increase (Figure S16D). Together,

HCHC loss differentially effects enrichment of histone acetylation across the Neurospora genome.

Changes in H3K9me3-deposition and heterochromatin bundling in Δcdp-2 *and* Δchap *strains*

Given the gain in constitutive heterochromatic acetylation in HCHC mutants, we examined H3K9me3

enrichment by ChIP-seq to assess possible differences in permanently silent genomic loci in Δ*cdp-2* and

Δ*chap* strains. The H3K9me3 ChIP-seq replicates of each strain are reproducible (Figure S18), allowing us to

merge the replicates from each strain. H3K9me3 enrichment in a Δ*cdp-2* strain resembles that of a WT strain:

most regions have similar levels of H3K9me3 deposition (Figure 3A). However, several moderately sized

heterochromatic regions in WT have a near-complete or total loss of H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figures

3A and S19A, asterisks), while nearby heterochromatic regions still retain normal H3K9me3 levels. Zooming

into one affected region, we observe background levels of H3K9me3 across the entire locus, indicating read

mapping is unaffected, which argues against underlying DNA changes in regions losing H3K9me3 (Figure

S19A, red box). In contrast, the loss of CHAP causes a subtle gain in H3K9me3 in most heterochromatic

regions relative to WT, even with RPKM normalization, (Figure S15A). Average enrichment plots and

heatmaps highlight the H3K9me3 changes in Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* strains, with most heterochromatic regions,

but not genes, gaining H3K9me3 enrichment with loss of CHAP (Figure S15D-E). Conversely, while many

heterochromatic regions are unchanged with CDP-2 loss, some AT-rich loci have a reduction, or a complete

loss of, H3K9me3 (Figure 3C). Boxplots of average signal across heterochromatic regions also highlight this

change, with lower normalized H3K9me3 signals across all AT-rich regions in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figure S19B).

We hypothesized that the H3K9me3 loss and H4K16ac gain might affect the genome organization of these

formerly heterochromatic regions. To this end, we plotted the Hi-C contact probability changes between WT

and Δ*cdp-2* datasets across two regions on LG I and LG III, each of which encompass AT-rich regions losing

H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figures 3D, S19C). In both instances, regions losing H3K9me3 strongly gain

contacts with other AT rich regions retaining this silencing mark. For example, on LG I, the loss of H3K9me3

from (and concomitant gain of H4K16ac in) multiple AT-rich loci caused gains in inter-region contacts; pairs

of other nearby regions that retain H3K9me3 are unaffected (Figure 3D, closed arrowheads). Also, on LG III,

the contacts between two nearby AT-rich genomic regions are increased when one region loses H3K9me3,

while two other similarly sized heterochromatic regions have few long-range interaction changes (Figure

S19C, compare closed and open arrowheads). All told, the loss of CDP-2 impacts histone PTM enrichment,

which causes the typically heterochromatic AT-rich genomic loci to form a more open chromatin structure

for increased contact probability with other heterochromatic regions in the silent chromatin bundle.

Changes in histone PTMs and genome organization in a Δcdp-2;Δdim-2 *double mutant*

To this point, we have shown that the heterochromatic regions of strains individually deleted of HCHC

complex members gain acetylation, which alters H3K9me3 deposition and silent chromatin bundling.

However, CDP-2 or CHAP loss also alters the deposition of cytosine methylation: centromeres and other large

interspersed heterochromatic regions gain 5^mC, while small AT-rich regions lose 5^mC (39, 40).

Hypothetically, extra 5^mC in a heterochromatic region in any HCHC mutant, including a Δ*cdp-2* strain, may

confer additional properties to AT-rich loci, meaning 5^mC gains must be considered when assessing genome

organization. In support, a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain, in which the gene encoding the only DNA methyltransferase

in Neurospora is deleted in a Δ*cdp-2* background, has a compromised growth rate relative to single HCHC

mutants (40).

To understand if an increase in 5^mC alters histone PTM deposition following HCHC complex loss, we

examined levels of H4K16ac and H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant strain. Our ChIP-seq replicate

experiments are qualitatively comparable (Figures S14, S18), allowing us to merge identical histone PTM

replicates; as before, all ChIP-seq tracks are RPKM normalized. Compared to Δ*cdp-2*, the H4K16ac

distribution in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is essentially unchanged (Figure 4A-B, blue tracks), where both mutant

strains gain heterochromatic H4K16ac with minimal changes to genic acetylation (Figure 4A-B), suggesting

that DIM-2 loss marginally alters AT-rich DNA hyperacetylation. Boxplots of the normalized average signal

across heterochromatic regions show a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain has slightly reduced H4K16ac relative to a

Δ*cdp-2* single mutant but still strongly increased relative to WT H4K16ac enrichment (Figure S20A). Further,

most AT-rich regions in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain strongly gain H3K9me3 when compared to WT and Δ*cdp-2*

strains (Figures 4A, 4C). However, the same ~20% of AT-rich regions completely lack H3K9me3 in both the

Δ*cdp-2* and double mutant strains (Figures 4A, asterisks, 4C), suggesting the underlying DNA sequence of

individual AT-rich regions occlude H3K9me3 deposition. Boxplots of the averaged H3K9me3 enrichment of

AT-rich regions highlights the substantial increase in H3K9me3 signal in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain (Figure

S20B). Thus, histone acetylation gains coupled with 5^{m}C loss at AT-rich loci signals for increased H3K9me3

by the DCDC (38).

To assess if enhanced heterochromatic acetylation coupled with 5^{m}C loss impacts genome organization,

we performed euchromatin-specific (*Dpn*II) *in situ* Hi-C to generally assess genome organization of the Δ*cdp-*

2;Δ*dim-2* strain. We generated two highly correlated *in situ Dpn*II Hi-C replicates of a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain

(Figure S21), which we merged into a single *Dpn*II Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* Hi-C dataset containing 23.1M valid read

pairs (Table S1). The contact frequency heatmap for the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain shows some segregation of

heterochromatic regions from euchromatin, as observed across each individual chromosome (Figure S22)

or the entire genome (Figure S23). The whole genome contact probability heatmap also shows centromere

bundles independent of telomere clusters typical of the Rabl conformation (Figure S23B), indicating that the

overall genome organization of the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is maintained.

However, comparison of equal numbers of valid read pairs between the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* and WT *Dpn*II Hi-

C datasets showed strong genome organization changes in the double mutant, with heterochromatic regions

in Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* interacting more with surrounding euchromatin. Both raw and KR corrected heatmaps

comparing WT and Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* contact probabilities show that AT-rich (formerly heterochromatic) loci,

including the centromere, gain interactions with flanking euchromatic DNA across all LGs (Figure S24),

including LG II (Figure 5A). Notably, the increased interactions between heterochromatic regions evident

upon CDP-2 loss (e.g., Figures 1B-D) is not apparent in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain, suggesting heterochromatin

can cluster, as in WT, but the inter-heterochromatic contact gains are abrogated by 5^{m}C loss. In addition,

obvious reductions in local and regional euchromatic interactions are observed (Figure 5A), suggesting

normal genic contacts are less likely to form, possibly explaining the growth deficiency of a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2*

strain. Quantification of the strongly changed intra-chromosomal interactions in the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* dataset

relative to the WT dataset show more H3K9me3 enriched bins as gaining contacts, often to bins enriched for

euchromatic histone PTMs; greater numbers of bins enriched for euchromatic marks also strongly gain

contacts in the double mutant (Figure 5B). These contact probability increases between heterochromatic and

euchromatic regions also occur across the whole genome, independent of KR correction, which manifest as

inter-chromosomal contact gains that deplete the weak chromosome territories typically observed in WT

datasets (Figure S25). Quantifying the strongly increased inter-chromosomal contacts shows interaction

gains between heterochromatic and euchromatic bins, as well as interactions between two euchromatic bins

(Figure 5B). Together, we conclude that the heterochromatin bundle of a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is less

compact and more promiscuous following the gains in histone acetylation and the loss of 5^{m}C.

We compared the *Dpn*II Hi-C data of the Δ*cdp-2* single mutant and the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant to

highlight the genome organization changes caused by hyperacetylation and 5^{m}C loss. Across LG II, the Δ*cdp-*

2;Δ*dim-2* strain presents an altered genome topology relative to the Δ*cdp-2* strain, with perhaps stronger

gains in contact frequency between heterochromatic and euchromatic regions, increases in regional

euchromatic contacts across TAD-like structures, and decreased local (on-diagonal) contacts (Figure 5C).

Similar changes in contact frequency when comparing Δ*cdp-2* and double mutant data are seen across the

other LGs and over the entire Neurospora genome (Figures S26, S27). Closer examination of the regional

contact changes between AT-rich loci and euchromatin highlight how loss of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 impacts

chromosome conformation: relative to WT, individual H3K9me3-enriched loci more strongly contact

euchromatin, thereby depleting euchromatic clustering; this phenotype is exacerbated in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2*

and Δ*cdp-2* contact probability comparison (Figure 5D). We conclude that heterochromatic hyperacetylation

and 5^{m}C loss compromises the normal chromosome conformation in Neurospora nuclei.

Discussion

In this work, we examined the role of CDP-2 and CHAP, as members of the constitutive heterochromatin-

specific histone deacetylase complex HCHC, in modulating histone PTM enrichment and organizing the

genome of the filamentous fungal model organism *Neurospora crassa*. We found that increased

heterochromatic acetylation in a Δ*cdp-2* strain causes gains in distant intra- and inter-chromosomal

heterochromatic contacts, while the loss of CHAP manifests with reduced heterochromatic region

aggregation without strong changes in histone acetylation, although neither gene deletion destroyed the Rabl

chromosome conformation typical of fungal genomes (28), suggesting genome organization can still form

despite HCHC loss. Overall, these data suggest that CDP-2 and CHAP have unique and distinct functions in

the HCHC complex that differentially impact genome organization. Our model (Figure 6) is that CDP-2

recruits the HDA-1 deacetylase to properly compact individual heterochromatic regions for normal genome

organization while CHAP mediates heterochromatic region clustering prior to deacetylation. Specifically, the

increased acetylation seen with CDP-2 loss would open chromatin fibers and/or loosen the DNA wrapped

about histones in heterochromatic nucleosomes to facilitate distant contacts within the silent "B"

compartment at the nuclear periphery (14). In contrast, CHAP would compact heterochromatic regions into

dense structures to facilitate inter-heterochromatic region contacts, possibly through its AT-hook motifs that

directly bind AT-rich DNA (39). Since both proteins are required to recruit the HDA-1 catalytic subunit to

heterochromatin (39), CDP-2 and CHAP must act prior to HDA-1, and the genome organizational changes we

observe reflect deficient HDA-1 targeting or the complete loss of HCHC complex formation/function. Notably,

the loss of the fourth HCHC complex member, HP1 (in Δ*hpo* strain Hi-C datasets), has a genome organization

distinct from that of Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* strains, as the flanking euchromatin emanating from heterochromatic

regions have reduced contact probabilities in WT vs. Δ*hpo* datasets, similar to that of a Δ*dim-5* strain lacking

H3K9me3 (27). Therefore, HP1 binding to H3K9me3 most likely occurs prior to, or in parallel with, CHAP

condensing AT-rich regions; CHAP and HP1 could both act prior to CDP-2 binding HP1 for HDA-1 recruitment

(39). This proposed mechanism for Neurospora HCHC activity may be homologous to yeast HDAC complexes.

In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Sirtuin-2 (Sir2; a Class III HDAC)-specific deacetylation is required for the

binding of the Sir3/Sir4 dimer onto deacetylated histone tails to form silenced superstructures at heterochromatic loci across the budding yeast genome (50, 58, 76, 77). *S. cerevisiae* also employs the HDACs Rpd3 (Class I) and HDA-1 (Class II) for euchromatic deacetylation (58, 78–80); Rpd3 forms two distinct HDAC complexes, the Rpd3L (large) and Rpd3S (small) complexes that differ in genomic targets, with Rpd3L deacetylating promoters while Rpd3S deacetylates gene bodies (80–82). In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, SHREC (**S**nf2/**H**dac-containing **Re**pressor **C**omplex) is a multisubunit HDAC targeted to heterochromatic loci by the HP1-homolog Swi6 for transcriptional gene silencing, although SHREC can localize to euchromatin independent of Swi6 (83–85); the Clr3 catalytic subunit in SHREC is homologous to HDA-1 in Neurospora. Three other HDA paralogs and a Rpd3 homolog, among others, exist in Neurospora (41). Notably, we used the general HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A (TSA) to examine possible roles of HDAC(s) in WT genome organization, yet TSA severely compromised WT growth, suggesting HDAC paralogs influence fungal genome function. Examination of other HDAC s in Neurospora by Hi-C or ChIP-seq could elucidate how HDAC paralogs impact chromosome conformation or histone PTM deposition.

As previously shown by ChIP qPCR, multiple histone lysines become hyperacetylated upon loss of the HCHC members HDA-1 and CDP-2; the extent of the acetylation changes depends on which heterochromatic region was assessed as well as which HCHC member was deleted (40). However, this published ChIP qPCR data also hints at the HCHC complex having preferential deacetylation activity for certain lysine residues over others. Our ChIP-seq data provides a genome-wide view of the different acetylation patterns in Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* backgrounds, with a Δ*cdp-2* strain becoming hyperacetylated at H4K16 but minimally changed at H3K9 in heterochromatic regions genome wide, while H4K16ac enrichment is minimally altered in a Δ*chap* strain. These data suggest the HCHC complex specifically deacetylates certain heterochromatic histone acetyl marks. It remains possible that HP1, or even CDP-2, both of which can bind to H3K9me3 (40, 86, 87), can compensate for CHAP loss for HDA-1 deacetylation. However, the unchanged levels of H3K9ac in a Δ*cdp-2* strain most likely reflects tri-methylation of that residue by the DCDC in these strains (38), given the mutually exclusive deposition of either acetylation or trimethylation on the lysine residue at position 9 on histone H3 (88, 89). Future ChIP-seq experiments on other individual histone lysine residues in Δ*cdp-2,* Δ*chap*, or Δ*hda-1* strains should provide global patterns of acetylation changes to dissect HCHC lysine specificity.

Interestingly, while Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* strains gain heterochromatin-specific H4K16ac relative to WT, these

mutant strains display no change in euchromatic acetylation, suggesting the HCHC complex specifically

deacetylates heterochromatic histones. Since both the class II HDAC HDA-1 in Neurospora and the class III

HDACs Hst2p and Sir2 in *S. cerevisiae* are both known to deacetylate H4K16 (63, 77), yet they share little

primary structure similarity, it is possible that different HDACs are capable of deacetylating the same histone

lysines, while the targeting mechanism of individual HDAC complexes determines which genomic loci are

deacetylated. Given that HDA-1 is targeted to heterochromatic nucleosomes by CHAP binding AT-rich DNA

and HP1/CDP-2 binding H3K9me3 for heterochromatin silencing (39, 40), the HCHC complex may be the

only heterochromatic-specific HDAC in Neurospora. This explains the large number of HCHC mutants, but

not other HDACs, recovered from a selection displaying compromised heterochromatin and reduced 5^{m}C

(90). Our data also suggest that unknown HAT(s) specifically acetylate heterochromatic H4K16 – with this

enrichment only being observable with compromised HDAC activity, such as in a Δ*cdp-2* strain – given that

euchromatic acetylation is minimally decreased in this genetic background. An intriguing possibility is that

Neurospora modulates HCHC HDAC activity to increase heterochromatic acetylation to rapidly modulate

genome organization at certain developmental or cell cycle stages, including S phase in which a more open

chromosome conformation exists in fission yeast (91). Conversely, hypoacetylation of mitotic chromatin is

required for chromosome condensation and segregation to daughter cells, yet following cytokinesis,

chromatin is rapidly acetylated (63, 64), suggesting that dynamic histone acetylation during the cell cycle

could be necessary for proper genome organization. Hyperacetylated chromatin at distant genomic loci can

also cluster into a novel subcompartment to increase gene expression in BRD4-NUT expressing oncogenic

cells (68), in an analogous manner to the increased contacts occurring between hyperacetylated, yet distant

heterochromatic regions in HCHC mutants that we observe. Together, changes in acetylation patterns may

differentially organize eukaryotic genomes as a general phenomenon of more open and accessible chromatin.

We also observe changes in H3K9me3 deposition in heterochromatin, with ~20% of AT-rich regions in

a Δ*cdp-2* strain losing H3K9me3, with unchanged deposition on the remaining heterochromatic loci, while

H3K9me3 levels are modestly enhanced at most AT-rich regions in a Δ*chap* strain. These data again highlight

the unique phenotypes of HCHC members. Currently, it is unclear why some AT-rich regions lose H3K9me3

in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, but our preliminary analysis excludes AT-rich region size and extent of AT-richness. It

remains possible that the subnuclear positioning of a region, the reduced pairing between repeats in regions

(92), or DNA motifs in the transposon relicts of individual regions (93) impact H3K9me3 deposition upon

CDP-2 loss. In contrast, the gain of H3K9me3 in a Δ*chap* strain may result from increased levels or activity of

the DCDC, which may be a stopgap mechanism to promote AT-rich region compaction through additional

HP1 binding sites. Increases of DCDC protein levels are not unique, as the DCDC subunits DIM-7 and DIM-9

increase in a *dim-3* strain to possibly rescue reduced H3K9me3 levels (94). Our data suggest that WT

heterochromatic nucleosomes are not saturated with H3K9me3, given that greater levels of H3K9me3 are

possible in some mutant HCHC strains. Moreover, previous work has shown that H3K9me3 changes can

impact levels of H3K27me2/3 in facultative heterochromatin. In DCDC deficient strains, H3K27me2/3

relocates to AT-rich genomic loci (95, 96) suggesting deficient H3K9me3 in constitutive heterochromatin

results in novel facultative heterochromatin patterns. While ChIP qPCR showed no H3K27me2/3 change at

a few loci in HCHC deletion strains (95), examination of the global enrichment of H3K27me2/3 in HCHC

deficient backgrounds would be prudent, given the changes in H3K9me3 deposition reported here.

Changes in H3K9me3 are most apparent in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant strain, which like the single

Δ*cdp-2* mutant, has H3K9me3 loss at ~20% of AT-rich regions, but the remaining heterochromatic regions

gain considerable H3K9me3 enrichment despite similar H4K16 hyperacetylation as a single Δ*cdp-2* mutant,

suggesting the loss of 5^mC signals for this H3K9me3 increase. Importantly, the genome organization of the

double mutant is disordered: the AT-rich (formerly heterochromatic) regions no longer segregate from

euchromatin despite greater H3K9me3 deposition (and presumably HP1 binding). These results may explain

the severe growth defect of the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain (40): the improper positioning of AT-rich regions into

the nucleus center may allow aberrant transcription, especially considering heterochromatic-euchromatic

contacts mediate proper gene expression in filamentous fungi (16, 97). Perhaps the increased H3K9me3

enrichment in the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is a "last resort" by the fungus to repress AT-rich region transcription

in the center of the nucleus: increased H3K9me3 and HP1 compaction could minimize RNA Pol II recruitment

to this mislocalized chromatin. A crucial, unanswered question is: "how is H3K9me3 enrichment increased?"

We hypothesize that reduced AT-rich region compaction, observed in both Δ*chap* and Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strains,

signals for increased H3K9me3 deposition. Consistent with this hypothesis, nucleosomal disorder in

intergenic regions of the Neurospora genome causes subtle increases in H3K9me3 in *dim-1* strains (98), yet

additional work is needed to assess this possibility in HCHC mutants.

Importantly, our double mutant Hi-C results suggests that cytosine methylation may help to maintain

chromatin compartmentalization by facilitating the segregation of heterochromatin from euchromatin when

AT-rich regions are hyperacetylated. Specifically, only in strains devoid of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 are AT-rich

heterochromatic regions more apt to contact euchromatin. This change in genome organization is not seen

in a single Δ*cdp-2* mutant, where silent and active chromatin remain segregated despite increases in inter-

heterochromatic region contacts. We speculate that the additional methyl groups on AT-rich DNA in a Δ*cdp-*

2 strain allow heterochromatic regions to remain associated with the nuclear periphery, either by active

recruitment by a methyl-DNA binding protein (99, 100) or passively by Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation

(101). It seems plausible that loss of chromatin compartmentalization can only occur when heterochromatin

is compromised and that 5^{m}C may safeguard genome organization and function by maintaining chromatin

compartmentalization. Future experiments should discern if 5^{m}C has a similar role for maintaining genome

topology in fungal pathogens or higher eukaryotes.

Materials and Methods

The strains used for all experiments, the abbreviated Hi-C and ChIP-seq protocols, and the bioinformatic

methods are found in the online Materials and Methods, provided in Supplementary File 1. *In situ* Hi-C was

performed as in (16), using the program hicExplorer (71) to process mapped reads for building the contact

matrices, which were used for all downstream analyses and image generation. ChIP-seq performed as in (32,

98), with minor modifications. The complete *in situ* Hi-C protocol is provided in Supplementary File 2, while

the ChIP-seq protocol is found in Supplementary File 3.

Data availability

All *Neurospora crassa* strains are available upon request. The *in situ* Hi-C and ChIP-seq high-throughput

sequencing data are available in the NCBI GEO superseries accession number GSE232935, which includes

the ChIP-seq data accession number GSE232933 and the Hi-C data accession number GSE232934.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank UCCS Andrew Reckard, Sara Rodriguez, Yulia Shtanko, Victoria Toscano, and

Farh Kaddar (UCCS) for assistance with library generation, preliminary data analysis, or critical reading of

the manuscript, Doug Turnbull and Jeff Bishop (University of Oregon Genomics and Cell Characterization

Core Facility) for Illumina library sequencing service, and all Klocko lab members as well as UCCS

Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry colleagues for helpful comments and discussions. Funding was

provided by start-up funds from the UCCS College of Letters, Arts, and Sciences and an Academic Research

Enhancement Award (AREA) grant from the National Institutes of Health (1R15GM140396-01) to A.D.K.

References

1. T. Cremer, C. Cremer, Chromosome territories, nuclear architecture and gene regulation in mammalian
cells. *Nat Rev Genet* **2**, 292–301 (2001).

2. T. Misteli, Beyond the Sequence: Cellular Organization of Genome Function. *Cell* **128**, 787–800 (2007).

3. J. Dekker, Gene Regulation in the Third Dimension. *Science* **319**, 1793–1794 (2008).

4. T. Sexton, G. Cavalli, The Role of Chromosome Domains in Shaping the Functional Genome. *Cell* **160**, 1049–
1059 (2015).

5. B. Bonev, G. Cavalli, Organization and function of the 3D genome. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**, 661–678 (2016).

6. M. Falk, *et al.*, Heterochromatin drives compartmentalization of inverted and conventional nuclei. *Nature*
570, 395–399 (2019).

7. A. J. Shatkin, E. L. Tatum, Electron Microscopy of *Neurospora crassa* Mycelia. *J Biophys Biochem Cytol* **6**,
423–426 (1959).

8. A. Buchwalter, J. M. Kaneshiro, M. W. Hetzer, Coaching from the sidelines: the nuclear periphery in genome
regulation. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 39–50 (2019).

9. S. Schoenfelder, *et al.*, Preferential associations between co-regulated genes reveal a transcriptional
interactome in erythroid cells. *Nat Genet* **42**, 53–61 (2010).

10. E. E. M. Furlong, M. Levine, Developmental enhancers and chromosome topology. *Science* **361**, 1341–
1345 (2018).

11. P. A. Northcott, *et al.*, Enhancer hijacking activates GFI1 family oncogenes in medulloblastoma. *Nature*
511, 428–34 (2014).

12. W. A. Flavahan, *et al.*, Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. *Nature* **529**,
110–114 (2016).

13. Z. Xu, *et al.*, Structural variants drive context-dependent oncogene activation in cancer. *Nature*, 1–9
(2022).

14. E. Lieberman-Aiden, *et al.*, Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding
Principles of the Human Genome. *Science* **326**, 289–293 (2009).

15. S. S. P. Rao, *et al.*, A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin
Looping. *Cell* **159**, 1665–1680 (2014).

16. S. Rodriguez, *et al.*, The genome organization of *Neurospora crassa* at high resolution uncovers principles
of   fungal   chromosome   topology.   *G3   Genes   Genomes   Genetics*   (2022)
https:/doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkac053.

17. K. Luger, A. W. Mäder, R. K. Richmond, D. F. Sargent, T. J. Richmond, Crystal structure of the nucleosome
core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. *Nature* **389**, 251–260 (1997).

18. E. I. Campos, D. Reinberg, Histones: Annotating Chromatin. *Annu Rev Genet* **43**, 559–599 (2009).

19. J. R. Dixon, *et al.*, Topological Domains in Mammalian Genomes Identified by Analysis of Chromatin
Interactions. *Nature* **485**, 376–380 (2012).

20. J. Dekker, E. Heard, Structural and functional diversity of Topologically Associating Domains. *Febs Lett*
589, 2877–2884 (2015).

21. A. S. Hansen, C. Cattoglio, X. Darzacq, R. Tjian, Recent evidence that TADs and chromatin loops are
dynamic structures. *Nucleus* **9**, 20–32 (2018).

22. M. Imakaev, *et al.*, Iterative correction of Hi-C data reveals hallmarks of chromosome organization. *Nat*
Methods **9**, 999–1003 (2012).

23. T. Cremer, M. Cremer, Chromosome territories. *Csh Perspect Biol* **2**, a003889 (2010).

24. C. Hoencamp, *et al.*, 3D genomics across the tree of life reveals condensin II as a determinant of
architecture type. *Science* **372**, 984–989 (2021).

25. M. R. Speicher, N. P. Carter, The new cytogenetics: blurring the boundaries with molecular biology. *Nat*
Rev Genet **6**, 782–792 (2005).

26. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Normal chromosome conformation depends on subtelomeric facultative
heterochromatin in *Neurospora crassa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **113**, 15048–15053 (2016).

27. J. M. Galazka, *et al.*, Neurospora chromosomes are organized by blocks of importin alpha-dependent
heterochromatin that are largely independent of H3K9me3. *Genome Res* **26**, 1069–1080 (2016).

28. D. E. Torres, A. T. Reckard, A. D. Klocko, M. F. Seidl, Nuclear genome organization in fungi: From gene
folding to Rabl chromosomes. *Fems Microbiol Rev* (2023) https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuad021.

29. J. E. Galagan, *et al.*, The genome sequence of the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*. *Nature* **422**, 859–
868 (2003).

30. Z. A. Lewis, *et al.*, Relics of repeat-induced point mutation direct heterochromatin formation in
Neurospora crassa. *Genome Res* **19**, 427–437 (2009).

31. M. R. Rountree, E. U. Selker, DNA methylation and the formation of heterochromatin in *Neurospora crassa*.
Heredity **105**, 38–44 (2010).

32. K. Jamieson, M. R. Rountree, Z. A. Lewis, J. E. Stajich, E. U. Selker, Regional control of histone H3 lysine 27
methylation in Neurospora. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**, 6027–6032 (2013).

33. V. T. Bicocca, T. Ormsby, K. K. Adhvaryu, S. Honda, E. U. Selker, ASH1-catalyzed H3K36 methylation drives
gene repression and marks H3K27me2/3-competent chromatin. *Elife* **7**, e41497 (2018).

34. H. Tamaru, *et al.*, Trimethylated lysine 9 of histone H3 is a mark for DNA methylation in *Neurospora
crassa*. *Nat Genet* **34**, 75–79 (2003).

35. E. U. Selker, J. N. Stevens, DNA methylation at asymmetric sites is associated with numerous transition
mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **82**, 8114–8118 (1985).

36. E. T. Wiles, E. U. Selker, H3K27 methylation: a promiscuous repressive chromatin mark. *Curr Opin Genet
Dev* **43**, 31–37 (2017).

37. E. U. Selker, *et al.*, The methylated component of the *Neurospora crassa* genome. *Nature* **422**, 893–897
(2003).

38. Z. A. Lewis, *et al.*, DNA Methylation and Normal Chromosome Behavior in Neurospora Depend on Five
Components of a Histone Methyltransferase Complex, DCDC. *Plos Genet* **6**, e1001196 (2010).

39. S. Honda, *et al.*, Dual chromatin recognition by the histone deacetylase complex HCHC is required for
proper DNA methylation in *Neurospora crassa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **113**, E6135–E6144 (2016).

40. S. Honda, *et al.*, Heterochromatin protein 1 forms distinct complexes to direct histone deacetylation and
DNA methylation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**, 471–477 (2012).

41. K. M. Smith, *et al.*, H2B- and H3-Specific Histone Deacetylases Are Required for DNA Methylation in
Neurospora crassa. *Genetics* **186**, 1207–1216 (2010).

42. H. Foss, C. Roberts, K. Claeys, E. Selker, Abnormal chromosome behavior in Neurospora mutants defective
in DNA methylation. *Science* **262**, 1737–1741 (1993).

43. T. Mizuguchi, *et al.*, Cohesin-dependent globules and heterochromatin shape 3D genome architecture in
S. pombe. *Nature* **516**, 432–435 (2014).

44. S. I. S. Grewal, S. Jia, Heterochromatin revisited. *Nat Rev Genetics* **8**, 35–46 (2007).

45. A. J. Courtney, A. R. Ferraro, A. D. Klocko, Z. A. Lewis, "Chromatin Structure and Function in *Neurospora*
crassa." in *The Mycota, A Comprehensive Treatise on Fungi as Experimental Systems, Third Edition for Basic*
and Applied Research, Genetics and Biotechnology, J. P. Benz, K. Schipper, Eds. (Springer Nature Switzerland
AG, 2020), pp. 3–24.

46. T. Jenuwein, C. D. Allis, Translating the Histone Code. *Science* **293**, 1074–1080 (2001).

47. F. Zenk, *et al.*, HP1 drives *de novo* 3D genome reorganization in early Drosophila embryos. *Nature* **593**,
289–293 (2021).

48. A. Eberharter, P. B. Becker, Histone acetylation: a switch between repressive and permissive chromatin.
Embo Rep **3**, 224–229 (2002).

49. Y.-J. C. Chen, E. Koutelou, S. Y. R. Dent, Now open: Evolving insights to the roles of lysine acetylation in
chromatin organization and function. *Mol Cell* **82**, 716–727 (2022).

50. D. M. Thurtle, J. Rine, The molecular topography of silenced chromatin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Gene*
Dev **28**, 245–258 (2014).

51. B. J. E. Martin, *et al.*, Transcription shapes genome-wide histone acetylation patterns. *Nat Commun* **12**,
210 (2021).

52. J. N. McKnight, J. W. Boerma, L. L. Breeden, T. Tsukiyama, Global Promoter Targeting of a Conserved Lysine
Deacetylase for Transcriptional Shutoff during Quiescence Entry. *Mol Cell* **59**, 732–743 (2015).

53. A. R. Ferraro, *et al.*, Chromatin accessibility profiling in *Neurospora crassa* reveals molecular features
associated with accessible and inaccessible chromatin. *Bmc Genomics* **22**, 459 (2021).

54. T. Conrad, F. M. G. Cavalli, J. M. Vaquerizas, N. M. Luscombe, A. Akhtar, Drosophila Dosage Compensation
Involves Enhanced Pol II Recruitment to Male X-Linked Promoters. *Science* **337**, 742–746 (2012).

55. S. Fox, *et al.*, Hyperacetylated chromatin domains mark cell type-specific genes and suggest distinct
modes of enhancer function. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4544 (2020).

56. I. Dunham, *et al.*, An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* **489**, 57–74
(2012).

57. D. U. Gorkin, *et al.*, An atlas of dynamic chromatin landscapes in mouse fetal development. *Nature* **583**,
744–751 (2020).

58. E. Seto, M. Yoshida, Erasers of Histone Acetylation: The Histone Deacetylase Enzymes. *Csh Perspect Biol*
6, a018713 (2014).

59. A. J. Rubin, *et al.*, Lineage-specific dynamic and pre-established enhancer–promoter contacts cooperate
in terminal differentiation. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1522–1528 (2017).

60. R. Siersbæk, *et al.*, Dynamic Rewiring of Promoter-Anchored Chromatin Loops during Adipocyte
Differentiation. *Mol Cell* **66**, 420-435.e5 (2017).

61. M. W. G. Schneider, *et al.*, A mitotic chromatin phase transition prevents perforation by microtubules.
Nature **609**, 183–190 (2022).

62. A. Zhiteneva, *et al.*, Mitotic post-translational modifications of histones promote chromatin compaction
in vitro. *Open Biol* **7**, 170076 (2017).

63. B. J. Wilkins, *et al.*, A Cascade of Histone Modifications Induces Chromatin Condensation in Mitosis. *Science*
343, 77–80 (2014).

64. M. J. Kruhlak, *et al.*, Regulation of Global Acetylation in Mitosis through Loss of Histone Acetyltransferases
and Deacetylases from Chromatin*. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 38307–38319 (2001).

65. D. Cimini, M. Mattiuzzo, L. Torosantucci, F. Degrassi, Histone Hyperacetylation in Mitosis Prevents Sister
Chromatid Separation and Produces Chromosome Segregation Defects. *Mol Biol Cell* **14**, 3821–3833 (2003).

66. B. Pelham-Webb, *et al.*, H3K27ac bookmarking promotes rapid post-mitotic activation of the pluripotent
stem cell program without impacting 3D chromatin reorganization. *Mol Cell* **81**, 1732-1748.e8 (2021).

67. H. Kang, *et al.*, Dynamic regulation of histone modifications and long-range chromosomal interactions
during postmitotic transcriptional reactivation. *Gene Dev* **34**, 913–930 (2020).

68. C. D. Rosencrance, *et al.*, Chromatin Hyperacetylation Impacts Chromosome Folding by Forming a Nuclear
Subcompartment. *Mol Cell* **78**, 112-126.e12 (2020).

69. B. A. Gibson, *et al.*, Organization of Chromatin by Intrinsic and Regulated Phase Separation. *Cell* **179**, 470-
484.e21 (2019).

70. P. A. Knight, D. Ruiz, A fast algorithm for matrix balancing. *Ima J Numer Anal* **33**, 1029–1047 (2013).

71. F. Ramírez, *et al.*, High-resolution TADs reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies.
Nat Commun **9**, 189 (2018).

72. J. T. Robinson, *et al.*, Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 24–6 (2011).

73. N. Bonhoure, *et al.*, Quantifying ChIP-seq data: a spiking method providing an internal reference for
sample-to-sample normalization. *Genome Res* **24**, 1157–68 (2014).

74. B. Hu, *et al.*, Biological chromodynamics: a general method for measuring protein occupancy across the
genome by calibrating ChIP-seq. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, gkv670 (2015).

75. D. A. Orlando, *et al.*, Quantitative ChIP-Seq Normalization Reveals Global Modulation of the Epigenome.
Cell Reports **9**, 1163–1170 (2014).

76. D. Moazed, A. Kistler, A. Axelrod, J. Rine, A. D. Johnson, Silent information regulator protein complexes in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae: A SIR2/SIR4 complex and evidence for a regulatory domain in SIR4 that inhibits
its interaction with SIR3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **94**, 2186–2191 (1997).

77. S. Imai, C. M. Armstrong, M. Kaeberlein, L. Guarente, Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2
is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase. *Nature* **403**, 795–800 (2000).

78. J. Wu, A. A. Carmen, R. Kobayashi, N. Suka, M. Grunstein, HDA2 and HDA3 are related proteins that interact
with and are essential for the activity of the yeast histone deacetylase HDA1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**, 4391–
4396 (2001).

79. S. E. Rundlett, *et al.*, HDA1 and RPD3 are members of distinct yeast histone deacetylase complexes that
regulate silencing and transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **93**, 14503–14508 (1996).

80. M. J. Carrozza, *et al.*, Histone H3 Methylation by Set2 Directs Deacetylation of Coding Regions by Rpd3S
to Suppress Spurious Intragenic Transcription. *Cell* **123**, 581–592 (2005).

81. Z. Guo, *et al.*, Structure of a SIN3–HDAC complex from budding yeast. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 1–8 (2023).

82. D. Kadosh, K. Struhl, Repression by Ume6 Involves Recruitment of a Complex Containing Sin3
Corepressor and Rpd3 Histone Deacetylase to Target Promoters. *Cell* **89**, 365–371 (1997).

83. T. Sugiyama, *et al.*, SHREC, an Effector Complex for Heterochromatic Transcriptional Silencing. *Cell* **128**,
491–504 (2007).

84. G. Job, *et al.*, SHREC Silences Heterochromatin via Distinct Remodeling and Deacetylation Modules. *Mol*
Cell **62**, 207–221 (2016).

85. T. Yamada, W. Fischle, T. Sugiyama, C. D. Allis, S. I. S. Grewal, The Nucleation and Maintenance of
Heterochromatin by a Histone Deacetylase in Fission Yeast. *Mol Cell* **20**, 173–185 (2005).

86. M. Freitag, P. C. Hickey, T. K. Khlafallah, N. D. Read, E. U. Selker, HP1 Is Essential for DNA Methylation in
Neurospora. *Mol Cell* **13**, 427–434 (2004).

87. S. A. Jacobs, S. Khorasanizadeh, Structure of HP1 Chromodomain Bound to a Lysine 9-Methylated Histone
H3 Tail. *Science* **295**, 2080–2083 (2002).

88. A. J. Bannister, T. Kouzarides, Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res* **21**, 381–395
(2011).

89. T. Zhang, S. Cooper, N. Brockdorff, The interplay of histone modifications – writers that read. *Embo Rep*
16, 1467–1481 (2015).

90. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Selection and Characterization of Mutants Defective in DNA Methylation in *Neurospora*
crassa. *Genetics* **216**, genetics.303471.2020 (2020).

91. H. Tanizawa, K.-D. Kim, O. Iwasaki, K. Noma, Architectural alterations of the fission yeast genome during
the cell cycle. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 965–976 (2017).

92. N. Rhoades, *et al.*, Recombination-independent recognition of DNA homology for meiotic silencing in
Neurospora crassa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **118**, e2108664118 (2021).

93. D. Nguyen, *et al.*, Transposon- and Genome Dynamics in the Fungal Genus Neurospora: Insights from
Nearly Gapless Genome Assemblies. *Fungal Genetics Reports* **66** (2022).

94. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Neurospora Importin α Is Required for Normal Heterochromatic Formation and DNA
Methylation. *Plos Genet* **11**, e1005083 (2015).

95. K. Jamieson, *et al.*, Loss of HP1 causes depletion of H3K27me3 from facultative heterochromatin and gain
of H3K27me2 at constitutive heterochromatin. *Genome Res* **26**, 97–107 (2016).

96. E. Y. Basenko, *et al.*, Genome-wide redistribution of H3K27me3 is linked to genotoxic stress and defective
growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **112**, E6339–E6348 (2015).

97. H. M. Kramer, D. E. Cook, G. C. M. van den Berg, M. F. Seidl, B. P. H. J. Thomma, Three putative DNA
methyltransferases of *Verticillium dahliae* differentially contribute to DNA methylation that is dispensable
for growth, development and virulence. *Epigenet Chromatin* **14**, 21 (2021).

98. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Nucleosome Positioning by an Evolutionarily Conserved Chromatin Remodeler
Prevents Aberrant DNA Methylation in Neurospora. *Genetics* **211**, 563–578 (2019).

99. R. Meehan, J. D. Lewis, A. P. Bird, Characterization of MeCP2, a vertebrate DNA binding protein with
affinity for methylated DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* **20**, 5085–5092 (1992).

100. E. U. Selker, *et al.*, Induction and maintenance of nonsymmetrical DNA methylation in Neurospora. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci* **99**, 16485–16490 (2002).

101. A. R. Strom, *et al.*, Phase separation drives heterochromatin domain formation. *Nature* **547**, 241–245
(2017).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Δ*cdp-2* *and* Δ*chap* *strains change the genome organization of a single chromosome in*

Neurospora crassa*.* (A-B) Heatmap of contact probabilities of 20 kb bins across LG II of a (A) wild type (WT)

Neurospora crassa strain (16) or a (B) Δ*cdp-2* strain. Counts of raw reads of heterochromatin-specific *Mse*I

Hi-C are above the diagonal, while Knight Ruiz corrected (70, 71) read counts of euchromatin-specific *Dpn*II

Hi-C presented here and throughout this work (unless otherwise described). Bin numbers (vertical axis) and

genomic distance, in Megabases (Mb), (horizontal axis) are indicated. The scale bar shows the $\log_{10}$ number

of mapped reads per bin. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (72) image of WT CenH3 (red) and/or H3K9me3

(green) ChIP-seq tracks show the WT centromeres and heterochromatic regions, respectively; ChIP-seq

enrichment scales shown. Solid arrowheads in B. show increased inter-heterochromatic region contacts;

open arrowheads show decreased heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts. (C) The fold change in contact

strength ($\log_2$ scale) in a Δ*cdp-2* strain relative to a normalized WT strain of *Mse*I *in situ* Hi-C (above diagonal)

or *Dpn*II *in situ* Hi-C (below diagonal) datasets; purple line indicates the region in D. The open arrowhead

shows a heterochromatic region gaining internal contacts at the expense of euchromatic contacts. (D)

Heatmap of contact probability changes between distant heterochromatic regions and the centromere across

LG II in a Δ*cdp-2* strain relative to a WT strain at 10 kb resolution. WT H3K9me3 enrichment image below.

(E) Quantification of strongly increased, intra-chromosomal contacts in Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* datasets, relative to

normalized WT strains, of bins enriched with the indicated histone PTMs; percentages indicate amount of all

bins enriched for the first histone PTM enriched with the second histone PTM. (F) Heatmap of contact

probabilities of Δ*chap* strain relative to a WT strain, as in A. (G) Heatmap of contact probability changes

between a Δ*chap* strain relative to a WT strain, as in C.

Figure 2. **Δcdp-2** *and* **Δchap** *strains alter the genome organization across the entire* **Neurospora crassa**

genome. (A-B) The fold change in contact strength of 20 kb bins between LG II and LG III in (A) a Δ*cdp-2* or

(B) a Δ*chap* strain, relative to a WT strain of *Mse*I *in situ* Hi-C datasets. (C) Quantification of strongly

increased, inter-chromosomal contacts in Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* datasets relative to a WT strain, as in Figure 1E.

Figure 3. Loss of CDP-2 alters the acetylation of H4K16, and causes some H3K9me3 loss, in constitutive

heterochromatic regions across the **Neurospora crassa** *genome.* (A) IGV images of H3K9me3, H4K16ac,

and H3K9ac ChIP-seq enrichment tracks from WT and Δ*cdp-2* strains across LG II (top) and the LG II right

arm (bottom). SET-2 specific H3K27ac (assayed in a Δ*ash1*[Y833F] strain (33)) shows euchromatin. Arrowheads

highlight heterochromatic regions gaining H4K16ac enrichment, while asterisks show AT-rich regions losing

H3K9me3, in Δ*cdp-2*. (B-C) Average enrichment profiles (top) and heatmaps (bottom) of the (B) H4K16ac or

(C) H3K9me3 enrichment in WT and Δ*cdp-2* strains over WT-defined H3K9me3-marked constitutive

heterochromatic regions (16). (D) Heatmaps showing the change in contact probability between Δ*cdp-2* and

WT *Mse*I datasets of a region on LG I in which several AT-rich loci lose H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain. H3K9me3

and/or H4K16ac ChIP-seq track images shown with each heatmap. Asterisks indicate regions losing

H3K9me3, pink arrowheads show the gain of H4K16ac in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, and black arrowheads highlight

gains in inter-heterochromatic region contacts in a Δ*cdp-2* strain.

Figure 4. Constitutive heterochromatic regions have increased H4K16ac and H3K9me3 enrichment

with CDP-2 and DIM-2 loss. (A) Images of H3K9me3 and H4K16ac ChIP-seq enrichment tracks of WT, Δ*cdp-*

2, and Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2* strains, displayed on IGV, as in Figure 3A. Asterisks indicate AT-rich regions losing

H3K9me3 enrichment in both Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2* strains. (B-C) Average enrichment profiles (top)

and heatmaps (bottom) of (B) H4K16ac or (C) H3K9me3 enrichment in WT, Δ*cdp-2*, and Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2*

strains over the H3K9me3-marked constitutive heterochromatic regions defined in a WT strain.

Figure 5. The genome organization of a* Δcdp-2;Δdim-2 *strain gains heterochromatic-euchromatic

contacts. (A,C) The fold change in contact strength of LG II in a Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2* strain relative to a (A) WT

strain or (C) Δ*cdp-2* strain of *Dpn*II *in situ* Hi-C, as in Figure 1C. Arrowheads indicate the heterochromatic

regions highlighted in Figure 5D. (B) Quantification of strongly changed intra-chromosomal (top) or inter-

chromosomal (bottom) contacts in Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2* strains relative to a WT strain, as in Figure 1E.

(D) The fold change in raw contact strength of two heterochromatic regions in a Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2* strain relative

to a (top) WT or (bottom) Δ*cdp-2* strain. IGV image of H3K9me3 enrichment of Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*cdp-2;*Δ*dim-2*

strains, as well as genes, are shown in the middle.

Figure 6. The models of how HCHC loss impacts heterochromatin formation and genome organization

***in* Neurospora crassa*.* (A-D) In WT or HCHC mutant strains, heterochromatin formation at the level of

nucleosomes (left) and organization of two example chromosomes (right) are displayed. (A) In a WT strain,

the HCHC (HDA-1, CDP-2, HP1, CHAP) complex removes the acetylation marks (green triangles) from histone

tails; CDP-2 can bind H3K9me3 (red hexagons) and recruits HDA-1 to AT-rich DNA (orange lines), while

CHAP interacts with distant nucleosomes in AT-rich regions. On deacetylated histone tails, the DCDC (DIM-

5/7/9, CUL4, DDB1^{dim-8}, Complex) deposits H3K9me3, which is bound by HP1 to directly recruit the DNA

methyltransferase DIM-2 for 5^{m}C (orange hexagons). The action of both complexes establishes a Rabl

chromosome conformation characterized by a centromere (red circles) cluster on the nuclear periphery that

is distinct from (sub)telomeres bundles (green circles). Interspersed heterochromatic regions (black circles)

also associate at the nuclear periphery. (B) CDP-2 loss causes altered (here, gains) in 5^{m}C and histone

acetylation while maintaining H3K9me3 at most heterochromatic regions, all of which increases intra-

heterochromatic region contacts while decreasing inter-chromosomal centromeric contacts. (C) Loss of

CHAP relaxes heterochromatic region compaction and reduces some heterochromatic region interactions,

despite increases in 5^{m}C and H3K9me3. (D) Loss of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 causes gains in histone acetylation

and H3K9me3, but the genome gains disorder as heterochromatic regions interact more with euchromatin.

Fig. 1

A WT Hi-C *Mse*I digest ~14M valid reads
Linkage Group II
CenH3
H3K9me3
WT Hi-C *Dpn*II ~35M valid reads, KR correct
20 kb Resolution Bin Reads (log₁₀)

B Δ*cdp-2* Hi-C *Mse*I digest
Linkage Group II
Δ*cdp-2* Hi-C *Dpn*II, KR correct

C WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I data - 20kb bins
WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II, KR correct, 20 kb bins
Fold change from WT (log₂)

D WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I - zoom to centromere contacts, 10kb bins
1.032 Mb — LG II Right Arm — 4.464 Mb
WT H3K9me3
Fold change from WT (log₂)

E Quantifying changes in intra-chromosomal contacts (log₂ > 2.0)
*Mse*I datasets
*Dpn*II datasets
*H3K9me3 to: *K9me3 *SET-2 K36me2 *K27ac K27ac to SET-2 H3K36me2 *K9me3 *SET-2 K36me2 *K27ac to SET-2 H3K36me2
WT vs. Δ*cdp-2*   WT vs. Δ*chap*

F Δ*chap* Hi-C *Mse*I digest
Δ*chap* Hi-C *Dpn*II, KR correct
20 kb Resolution Bin Reads (log₁₀)

G WT vs. Δ*chap Mse*I data - 20kb bins
WT vs. Δ*chap Dpn*II, KR correct, 20 kb bins
Fold change from WT (log₂)

A WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I Hi-C

B WT vs. Δ*chap Mse*I Hi-C

Fig. 2

Linkage Group III

Linkage Group III

Fold Change from WT (log₂)

Linkage Group II

Linkage Group II

Distance

C Quantifying changes in inter-chromosomal contacts (log₂ > 2.0)

*Mse*I datasets

*Dpn*II datasets

81.5%   7.7%   8.9%   8.6%   49.3%   28.0%   33.5%   35.8%

11.9%   45.5%   47.3%   48.4%   41.1%   33.3%   35.1%   54.6%

H3K9me3 to: *K9me3   *SET-2 K36me2   *K27ac   K27ac to SET-2 H3K36me2

WT vs. Δ*cdp-2*   WT vs. Δ*chap*

Fig. 4

A Wildtype heterochromatin and genome organization

B HCHC-deficient (Δ*cdp-2*) heterochromatin and genome organization

C HCHC-deficient (Δ*chap*) heterochromatin and genome organization

D HCHC and DNA methylation-deficient heterochromatin and genome organization