1

Main Manuscript for:

Histone deacetylation and cytosine methylation compartmentalize

heterochromatic regions in the genome organization of *Neurospora crassa*

Ashley W. Scadden[1], Alayne S. Graybill[1], Clayton Hull-Crew[1], Tiffany J. Lundberg[1], Nickolas M. Lande[1], and Andrew D. Klocko[1]*

[1]University of Colorado Colorado Springs, Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Colorado Springs, CO 80918, USA

*Corresponding Author: Andrew D. Klocko, email: aklocko@uccs.edu

Author Contributions: A.W.S and A.D.K. designed research; A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. performed research; A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. analyzed data; and A.W.S., A.S.G., C.H-C., T.J.L., N.M.L., and A.D.K. wrote the paper.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Classification: Biological Sciences, Genetics

Keywords: histone deacetylation, genome organization, heterochromatin, Hi-C, cytosine methylation

Abstract

Chromosomes must correctly fold in eukaryotic nuclei for proper genome function. Eukaryotic organisms hierarchically organize their genomes, including in the fungus *Neurospora crassa*, where chromatin fiber loops compact into Topologically Associated Domain (TAD)-like structures formed by heterochromatic region aggregation. However, insufficient data exists on how histone post-translational modifications, including acetylation, affect genome organization. In Neurospora, the HCHC complex (comprised of the proteins HDA-1, CDP-2, HP1, and CHAP) deacetylates heterochromatic nucleosomes, as loss of individual HCHC members increases centromeric acetylation and alters the methylation of cytosines in DNA. Here, we assess if the HCHC complex affects genome organization by performing Hi-C in strains deleted of the *cdp-2* or *chap* genes. CDP-2 loss increases intra- and inter-chromosomal heterochromatic region interactions, while loss of CHAP decreases heterochromatic region compaction. Individual HCHC mutants exhibit different patterns of histone post-translational modifications genome-wide: without CDP-2, heterochromatic H4K16 acetylation is increased, yet smaller heterochromatic regions lose H3K9 trimethylation and gain inter-heterochromatic region interactions; CHAP loss produces minimal acetylation changes but increases heterochromatic H3K9me3 enrichment. Loss of both CDP-2 and the DIM-2 DNA methyltransferase causes extensive genome disorder, as heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts increase despite additional H3K9me3 enrichment. Our results highlight how the increased cytosine methylation in HCHC mutants ensures genome compartmentalization when heterochromatic regions become hyperacetylated without HDAC activity.

Significance Statement

The mechanisms driving chromosome organization in eukaryotic nuclei, including in the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*, are currently unknown, but histone post-translational modifications may be involved. Histone proteins can be acetylated to form active euchromatin while histone deacetylases (HDACs) remove acetyl marks to form silent heterochromatin; these heterochromatic regions cluster, forming strong interactions, in Neurospora genome organization. Here, we show that mutants of a heterochromatin-specific HDAC, HCHC, increase heterochromatic histone acetylation genome-wide and contact probability between distant heterochromatic loci. HCHC loss also impacts cytosine methylation, and in strains lacking both the HCHC and cytosine methylation, heterochromatic regions interact more with euchromatin. Our results suggest cytosine methylation normally functions to segregate silent and active loci when heterochromatic acetylation increases.

Main Text

Introduction

Chromosomes must correctly fold in eukaryotic nuclei for proper genome function, including for transcription regulation (1–5). This genome organization includes the subnuclear compartmentalization of chromatin (2, 5) where the silent heterochromatin aggregates at the nuclear periphery to prevent RNA polymerase binding while active euchromatin is centrally localized and accessible to the transcription machinery (6–9). In euchromatin, long-range loops form between promoters and distant regulatory sequences, including enhancers or silencers, for transcriptional control (3, 10). Genome organization is frequently disrupted in human cancers, where promoters hijack regulatory sequences for improper gene expression (11–13). Given the direct connection between genome organization and function, there is a critical need to understand the factors involved in wild type (WT) chromosome folding.

Genome organization is assessed by chromosome conformation capture with high throughput sequencing (Hi-C), in which crosslinked chromatin is restriction enzyme digested and ligated to physically connect interacting DNA strands in a single DNA molecule; the updated *in situ* Hi-C protocol ligates interacting chromatin in the nucleus, thereby generating more accurate genome organization contact probability matrices (14–16). Hi-C has shown that eukaryotic genomes are hierarchically organized, where histone octamers wrap ~146 basepairs (bp) of DNA to form the nucleosomes comprising chromatin fiber globules ("loops") (17, 18). Globules compact into Topologically Associated Domains (TADs), where chromatin internal to the TAD is more apt to interact (15, 19–21). TADs of similar transcriptional states are compartmentalized, while individual metazoan chromosomes isolate into territories (6, 14, 15, 22–25).

Filamentous fungi, like *Neurospora crassa*, are excellent model organisms for understanding eukaryotic genome organization (16, 26–28). The predominantly haploid Neurospora genome, typical among fungi, is small (4.1×10^7 bp) (29), which allows for cost-efficient genomic sequencing experiments. The Neurospora genome contains both euchromatic and heterochromatic loci, with the latter subdivided into permanently-silent constitutive heterochromatin comprised of gene-poor, repetitive, adenine-thymine (AT)-rich DNA, and temporarily-silent facultative heterochromatin covering gene-rich regions (29–32); each type is marked by specific histone post-translational modifications (PTMs). Neurospora constitutive heterochromatin is

enriched with trimethylation of lysine 9 on histone H3 (H3K9me3, catalyzed by the **D**IM-5/-7/-9, **C**UL4,

DDB1*dim-8* **C**omplex [DCDC]) and cytosine methylation (5^mC), while the di- or trimethylation of lysine 27 on

histone H3 (H3K27me2/3) or the ASH1-dependent di-methylation of lysine 36 on histone H3 (H3K36me2)

demarcates facultative heterochromatin (30–38). Histone lysines in euchromatin are extensively acetylated

by histone acetyltransferases (HATs), including on histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9ac) or histone H4 lysine 16

(H4K16ac) (39–41). Unlike metazoans, the machinery catalyzing histone PTMs or 5^mC in Neurospora is

simplistic, non-redundant, and not required for viability, promoting the use of Neurospora for understanding

chromatin function (32, 38, 42). Notably, the Neurospora genome is compacted and organized similarly to

metazoan genomes, with a comparable genome size to nuclear volume ratio (16). Neurospora chromosomes

("Linkage Groups" [LG]) form TAD-like structures, also known as "Regional Globule Clusters", in which the

heterochromatic regions flanking euchromatin aggregate, forming loops that cluster several euchromatic

globules together in an uninsulated manner (16, 26, 27). However, as opposed to the robust chromosome

territories in metazoans (1, 22, 24, 25), fungal chromosomes form a Rabl conformation, in which

centromeres bundle independently from telomere clusters at the nuclear periphery (16, 26–28, 43), as

directly observed in Neurospora (26–28); this Rabl structure allows for weak chromosome territories with

extensive inter-chromosomal contacts.

Chromatin compartmentalization may depend on individual histone PTMs. Since specific histone PTMs

are known to define chromatin transcriptional status and accessibility (18, 44–46), histone PTMs may also

be necessary for segregating euchromatin from heterochromatin in the nucleus. Recent reports detail how

heterochromatic histone PTMs, or their cognate binding partners, organize eukaryotic genomes. This

includes H3K9me3 and Heterochromatin Protein-1 (HP1), which compact heterochromatic regions during

fungal asexual growth and facilitate pericentromere clustering during Drosophila zygote genome activation

(27, 43, 47). In addition, sub-telomeric H3K27me2/3 helps telomere clusters associate with the nuclear

periphery in Neurospora (26). However, little information exists about the roles of other histone PTMs,

including histone acetylation.

Classically, acetylated histone tails denote open chromatin, as the euchromatic regions of fungi, fruit flies,

mice, and humans are highly acetylated (33, 48–57). In contrast, heterochromatin is typically devoid of

histone acetylation, as histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes remove acetyl marks from silent loci (33, 39,

40, 58). Changes in acetylation enrichment impact genome organization, including the interactions between

promoters and enhancers. Differential acetylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27ac) across enhancers is

observed during the differentiation of human epidermal cells (59) and mouse adipocyte cells (60). Histone

acetylation changes also occur throughout the cell cycle, as mitotic chromosomes are globally

hypoacetylated, which forms dense chromosomal structures allowing separation into daughter cells (61–

65). H3K27 within promoters and enhancers is deacetylated during mitosis but rapidly re-acetylated in G1

for activating transcription and reforming TADs (66, 67). Further, the BRD4-NUT novel oncogenic protein

recruits the HAT P300 to create hyperacetylated Mega-domains that aggregate into a transcriptionally

activated sub-compartment (68); phase-separation might promote sub-compartment formation (69).

However, it is unknown how HDAC activity affects fungal chromosome conformation.

Neurospora crassa encodes four class II HDACs (HDA-1 to HDA-4; class characterization based on

primary structure conservation), with HDA-1 impacting heterochromatin (41, 58). HDA-1 is a component of

the four-member HCHC complex, comprised of the proteins HDA-1, CDP-2 (*C*hromo*d*omain *P*rotein-*2*), HP1,

and CHAP (*C*DP-2 and *H*DA-1 *A*ssociated *P*rotein) (39, 40). HDA-1 is the deacetylase catalytic subunit, HP1

binding to H3K9me3 recruits the complex to heterochromatin, CDP-2 mediates protein interactions between

HP1 and HDA-1, and CHAP binds to AT-rich DNA in constitutive heterochromatin using two AT hook domains

(39, 40). Both CDP-2 and CHAP recruit HDA-1 to heterochromatic genomic loci (39). Strains deleted of the

genes *cdp-2*, *chap*, or *hda-1* have increased histone acetylation at select heterochromatic loci, as assessed by

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) quantitative PCR, although genome-wide changes are unknown

(40). Smaller heterochromatic regions in HCHC mutants lose $5^{m}C$ but larger regions, including centromeres,

gain $5^{m}C$ by increasing recruitment of DIM-2, the only DNA methyltransferase encoded in Neurospora (39).

Here, we show the HCHC complex impacts genome organization using Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* deletion strains.

CDP-2 loss increases intra- and inter-chromosomal heterochromatic region contacts, while deletion of CHAP

reduces heterochromatic region compaction. Heterochromatic regions gain H4K16ac but not H3K9ac in a

Δ*cdp-2* strain, while a Δ*chap* strain has little H4K16ac change. Smaller heterochromatic regions lose

H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, causing contact promiscuity between silent loci. A Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant

devoid of 5ᵐC and HCHC activity gains H3K9me3 but loses heterochromatin – euchromatin

compartmentalization, suggesting global genome disorder. We conclude that histone deacetylation is

required for Neurospora genome organization, but if histones become hyperacetylated, 5ᵐC helps maintain

segregation of heterochromatin from euchromatin.

Results

Genome organization changes in strains deleted of either HCHC component CDP-2 or CHAP

The genome of the wild type (WT) *Neurospora crassa* strain N150 (74-OR23-IVA [Fungal Genetic Stock

Center #2489]) is organized by heterochromatin aggregates, which form TAD-like structures (Figure 1A)

(16, 26–28). Heterochromatic regions in Neurospora depend on the HDAC HCHC for deacetylation of histone

tails, transcriptional silencing, and a normal 5ᵐC distribution (40). To understand if the HCHC complex also

organizes the Neurospora genome, we examined strains independently deleted of the genes encoding CDP-

2 (Δ*cdp-2*) or CHAP (Δ*chap*) by *in situ* Hi-C. We chose CDP-2 and CHAP given the unique domains predicted

by their primary protein structures and how their actions are epistatic to HDA-1 (39, 40), hypothesizing that

we could observe more nuanced differences in genome organization upon loss of HCHC activity to clarify the

individual roles of CDP-2 and CHAP, instead of only assessing the impact of HDA-1 catalytic activity loss. We

independently performed chromatin-specific *in situ* Hi-C (16) with *Dpn*II (recognition sequence ^GATC) to

assess euchromatic contacts, or *Mse*I (T^TAA) to examine heterochromatic interactions, on Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap*

strains. All Hi-C experiments reported in this manuscript are generated from populations of actively growing

cells containing asynchronous nuclei, most of which are typically in interphase stages of the cell cycle with

less than 5% mitotic nuclei (70, 71). Our replicate *in situ* Hi-C libraries are highly reproducible (Figures S1,

S2), allowing similar restriction enzyme datasets to be merged. This produced high quality *Dpn*II Δ*cdp-2*

(~35.4 million [M] valid read pairs) and Δ*chap* (~8.7M valid read pairs), as well as *Mse*I Δ*cdp-2* (~13.9M

valid read pairs) and Δ*chap* (~4.8M valid read pairs) datasets; total and valid read counts are provided in

Supplemental Table S1. The merged *Dpn*II datasets presented are Knight-Ruiz (KR) corrected to limit

experimental bias (22, 72, 73), while *Mse*I datasets show raw read counts, since KR correction improperly

removes heterochromatin signal upon bin averaging, possibly due to the discrepancy of *Mse*I sites between

AT-rich heterochromatin and GC-rich euchromatin (16).

The *Dpn*II and *Mse*I Δ*cdp-2* contact probability heatmaps of a single chromosome (Figures 1B) look

comparable to WT *Dpn*II or *Mse*I Hi-C datasets with nearly identical valid read numbers (Figure 1A), but upon

closer examination, the Δ*cdp-2* dataset has increased interactions between distant heterochromatic regions.

Specifically, the LG II centromere forms prominent interactions with heterochromatic regions up to three

Megabases (Mb) distant in the heterochromatin specific *Mse*I heatmap (Figure 1B, black arrowheads), while

heterochromatic regions form fewer contacts with nearby euchromatin (Figure 1B, open arrowheads). These

effects are seen across all seven Neurospora chromosomes (Figures S3). To highlight changes in a Δ*cdp-2*

strain, we directly compared the WT and Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II or *Mse*I Hi-C contact probability matrices. In

comparison heatmaps, the strong increases between centromeres and distant heterochromatic regions in a

Δ*cdp-2* strain are among the most prominent across LG II (Figure 1C) and all seven Neurospora LGs (Figure

S4). At a high resolution, the Δ*cdp-2* centromeric chromatin shows increased contacts, as evident by the LG

II centromere having a strong red diagonal indicative of increased contacts between centromeric

nucleosomes, in addition to having more intense interactions with distant H3K9me3-marked constitutive

heterochromatic regions (Figure 1D). In fact, each heterochromatic region in Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets has

increased local interactions on the diagonal that depletes heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts (Figures

1B-C, S4). Quantification of strongly changed contacts between WT and Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets showed that

most H3K9me3 enriched bins had stronger ($\log_2 \geq 2.0$) interactions with another H3K9me3 enriched bin;

few H3K9me3 enriched bins had strong interaction changes with bins enriched for euchromatic marks in

*Mse*I datasets (Figure 1E). The contact paucity in off diagonal euchromatic interactions in a Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I

dataset, relative to WT (Figure 1C, above diagonal), could indicate chromatin in TAD-like structures interact

less or is due to fewer euchromatic *Mse*I sites for Hi-C ligation (16) coupled with increased heterochromatic

contacts (Figure 1C). As evident in *Dpn*II datasets, interactions of distant euchromatic regions are globally

decreased, including between the left and right chromosome arms and between distant TAD-like structures

(Figure 1C). However, individual bins quantitatively have strongly changed interactions when comparing

WT and Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II datasets, as more bins enriched for two euchromatic histone PTMs, H3K27ac and SET-

2-specific H3K36me2 (33), were increased, although some H3K9me3 marked bins interacted more with

euchromatin (Figure 1E). All told, CDP-2 loss increases constitutive heterochromatin interactions which

alters the normal conformation of Neurospora chromosomes.

Next, we examined the genome organization of a Δ*chap* strain by *Dpn*II and *Mse*I Hi-C. The Δ*chap* data

has fewer valid reads, with ~four-fold less valid reads in the Δ*chap Dpn*II dataset and ~three-fold less valid

reads in the Δ*chap Mse*I dataset, relative to the Δ*cdp-2* datasets (Supplemental Table S1). However, sufficient

data exists in Δ*chap* datasets to allow comparison with normalized WT datasets containing similar valid read

numbers, so the impact of CHAP loss can be assessed. Examination of single chromosomes show how the

Δ*chap Dpn*II and *Mse*I Hi-C datasets appear devoid of most strong long-range contacts (Figures 1F, S5). In

each chromosome, more distant contacts are rarer upon CHAP loss; an enhanced image of the right arm of

LG II highlights that regional interactions still form in both *Dpn*II and *Mse*I datasets (Figure S6). When

comparing WT and Δ*chap* datasets, heterochromatic regions on all LGs exhibit reduced internal compaction,

and fewer contacts between heterochromatic regions are observed (Figures 1G; S6B, arrowheads; S7). Also

apparent are the decreased interactions between the TAD-like Regional Globule Clusters (16) in the Δ*chap*

*Dpn*II data, which may stem from the slight increase in on-diagonal, local euchromatic interactions (Figures

1G, S6-S7). Quantification of strongly increased contacts in Δ*chap Dpn*II or *Mse*I datasets relative to WT

shows that interactions between bins enriched for the euchromatic marks H3K27ac and SET-2-specific

H3K36me2 (33) are the most frequently increased when CHAP is lost (Figure 1E).

Similarly, loss of CDP-2 and CHAP impact the inter-chromosomal clustering of heterochromatic regions

across the entire Neurospora genome. As shown in raw *Mse*I and KR-corrected *Dpn*II contact probability

heatmaps of a Δ*cdp-2* strain, or when these Δ*cdp-2* data are compared to normalized WT contact matrices,

Δ*cdp-2* centromeres gain interactions with the interspersed heterochromatic regions across all seven LGs,

concomitantly weakening the inter-chromosomal centromere interactions and the contacts between

centromere-proximal euchromatin (Figures S8-S9), although these reductions may result if one dataset

(Δ*cdp-2*) has more heterochromatin contacts when comparing datasets with equal valid read pairs. The Δ*cdp-*

*2 Dpn*II Hi-C data have stronger inter-chromosomal arm contacts (Figure S9); similar changes are observed

in KR-corrected *Mse*I data and raw *Dpn*II data when comparing WT and Δ*cdp-2* contact matrices (Figure

S10A). Examination of the interactions between two chromosomes, LG II and LG III, in Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I Hi-C contact heatmaps show the gain of centromeric and interspersed heterochromatic region contacts and the concomitant reduction of contacts between silent and active chromatin (Figure S8A). Plotting the inter-chromosomal interaction changes of WT and Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I datasets between LG II and LG III highlight how distant heterochromatic regions between chromosomes are more apt to interact with the centromere bundle (Figure 2A). Decreased contacts include the inter-centromeric and pericentromeric euchromatin (Figure 2A). CHAP loss reduces heterochromatic interactions across the Neurospora genome, with few inter-chromosomal heterochromatic contacts observed in Δ*chap Mse*I contact matrices (Figure S11), and prominent reductions in centromeric contacts that are independent of matrix correction when WT and Δ*chap Mse*I data is compared (Figures S9B, S10B). A plot of the interactions between LG II and LG III in Δ*chap* shows the paucity of inter-chromosomal constitutive heterochromatic contacts (Figure S11A), which is highlighted when comparing WT and Δ*chap Mse*I Hi-C data (Figure 2B). The decrease in heterochromatin bundling compromises the inter-chromosomal arm interactions characteristic of the Rabl conformation (28), as reduced euchromatin interactions across the left and right chromosomal arms are evident in a WT and Δ*chap DpnII* Hi-C comparison (Figure S10B). Quantification of the strongest inter-chromosomal interaction gains between histone PTM-enriched bins in a Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I dataset, relative to WT, shows 81.5% of H3K9me3 enriched bins gain interactions, but few H3K9me3-enriched bins gain strong contacts with bins enriched with euchromatic marks, such as H3K27ac (Figure 2C, left). The Δ*cdp-2 DpnII* Hi-C data show changes in strong interactions between bins enriched with H3K9me3 and euchromatic histone PTMs, such as H3K27ac and SET-2 specific H3K36me2, or between two euchromatic enriched bins (Figure 2C, right). In contrast, Δ*chap Mse*I and *DpnII* Hi-C datasets have minimal gains in interactions relative to WT, independent of histone PTMs, consistent with the loss of CHAP reducing heterochromatic interactions (Figure 2C). To highlight the differential effects of CDP-2 and CHAP loss on genome organization, we compared equal numbers of valid reads to highlight interaction changes in a Δ*chap* strain relative to a Δ*cdp-2* strain. Here, the decreases in heterochromatin compaction, inter-heterochromatic region contacts, and contacts between chromosomal arms, with the concomitant increase in local euchromatic interactions that occur upon loss of CHAP, relative to the CDP-2 changes, is evident (Figure S12). All told, our Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* Hi-C data reveal the differential

effects on genome organization by individual members of a histone deacetylase complex.

Histone acetylation changes in single deletion strains of the HCHC components CDP-2 and CHAP

To understand how the genome organization changes caused by deficient HCHC function affects genome

function, we wished to correlate changes in Hi-C contact probability to any genome-wide changes in histone

acetylation in Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*chap* strains. However, no Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-

seq) datasets of HCHC mutants were publicly available, as recent publications only used ChIP qPCR to

conclude that heterochromatic loci in HCHC deficient strains are hyperacetylated (40). Therefore, we

performed two ChIP-seq replicates of histone acetylation on two lysine residues, K9 on histone H3 (H3K9ac)

and K16 on histone H4 (H4K16ac), in Δ*cdp-2* and WT strains; we also performed H4K16ac ChIP-seq on a

Δ*chap* strain. Both H3K9ac and H4K16ac were proposed as targets for deacetylation by the HCHC complex

(40). Our H3K9ac and H4K16ac ChIP-seq replicates are qualitatively reproducible in each strain (Figure S13,

S14), so we merged H3K9ac or H4K16ac replicates for Integrative Genome Viewer (IGV) display (74); all

ChIP-seq data reported in this manuscript are normalized by Reads Per Kilobase per Million reads (RPKM)

to ensure proper comparison between experiments, although the use of spike-in DNA (75–77) might have

detected additional enrichment changes. Consistent with published ChIP qPCR, CDP-2 loss caused an

increase in H4K16ac enrichment only in AT-rich heterochromatic regions but minimally effected H3K9ac

levels across Neurospora chromosomes (Figure 3A, top). Closer examination of the LG II right arm highlights

how each H3K9me3-marked heterochromatic region gains H4K16ac, but not H3K9ac, in a Δ*cdp-2* strain

(Figure 3A, bottom, arrowheads), while euchromatic acetylation of either histone lysine is relatively

unchanged (Figure 3A). In contrast, CHAP loss had little effect on H4K16ac enrichment within constitutive

heterochromatic or genic regions (Figure S15A).

These acetylation changes are highlighted by average enrichment plots and heatmaps of normalized

H4K16ac and H3K9ac datasets across H3K9me3-enriched heterochromatic regions and genes. By aligning

heterochromatic region centers and ordering these silent regions by length, we observe that essentially all

AT-rich heterochromatic regions, independent of size, have substantial increases in H4K16ac enrichment in

a Δ*cdp-2* strain relative to a WT strain (Figure 3B). Similar gains in H4K16ac in a Δ*cdp-2* strain are seen when

each heterochromatic region is scaled to 10 kb and ordered by region length (Figure S16A), while the H3K9ac

enrichment in Δ*cdp-2* heterochromatin may be slightly lower than that of WT (Figure S17A). In contrast, this

approach shows minimal gains of heterochromatic H4K16ac in a Δ*chap* strain (Figure S15B). When assessing

H4K16ac and H3K9ac over genes (scaled to 2.5 kb), a Δ*cdp-2* strain has minimal H4K16ac reduction, while

H3K9ac slightly shifts towards the 3' end of genes, relative to WT (Figures S16B and S17B); a Δ*chap* strain

has a nominal decrease in genic H4K16ac (Figure S15C). To visualize the H4K16ac and H3K9ac deposition

changes, we plotted the normalized, averaged signal of each region with boxplots. The heterochromatic

regions in Δ*cdp-2* have robust increases in H4K16ac signal relative to WT (Figure S16C), while H3K9ac

enrichment is generally decreased (Figure S17C), although some regions in either dataset go against these

trends. Considering genic acetylation, a Δ*cdp-2* strain has a small but statistically significant H4K16ac

decrease (Figure S16D) and H3K9ac increase (Figure S17D). Together, HCHC loss differentially effects

enrichment of histone acetylation across the Neurospora genome.

Changes in H3K9me3-deposition and heterochromatin bundling in Δcdp-2 *and* Δchap *strains*

Given the gain of constitutive heterochromatic acetylation in HCHC mutants, we examined H3K9me3

enrichment by ChIP-seq to assess other possible histone PTM differences in permanently silent loci in Δ*cdp-*

2 and Δ*chap* strains. The H3K9me3 ChIP-seq replicates of each strain are reproducible (Figure S18), allowing

us to merge the replicates from each strain. Most H3K9me3 enrichment in WT and Δ*cdp-2* strains is similar

(Figure 3A). However, several moderate to small-sized heterochromatic regions normally enriched with

H3K9me3 in WT are nearly devoid of H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figures 3A, S19A asterisks), while nearby

heterochromatic regions still retain H3K9me3; regions that lose H3K9me3 do not gain H3K9ac (Figure

S19A). Zooming into two affected regions, we observe background levels of H3K9me3 across the entire locus,

indicating read mapping is unaffected and arguing against underlying DNA changes causing H3K9me3 loss

(Figure S19A). In contrast, CHAP removal causes subtle H3K9me3 gains in most heterochromatic regions,

relative to WT, even with RPKM normalization, (Figure S15A). Average enrichment plots and heatmaps, the

latter ordered by region length, show most heterochromatic regions, but not genes, gain H3K9me3

enrichment in a Δ*chap* strain (Figure S15D-E). Conversely, while many larger heterochromatic regions have

unchanged H3K9me3 with CDP-2 loss, there is a tendency of smaller AT-rich loci to have a near-complete loss of H3K9me3 (Figures 3C, S19B). Boxplots of average signal across scaled, WT-defined heterochromatic regions highlight the lower H3K9me3 signal across all AT-rich regions in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figure S19C). Interestingly, borders of heterochromatic regions often have the most pronounced H4K16ac increase and H3K9me3 depletion upon CDP-2 loss (Figure S20A-B). To highlight if the same regions that gain H4K16ac also lose H3K9me3, we k-means clustered the signal of these two marks across heterochromatic regions. K-means cluster heatmaps extending +/-15 kb or +/-2 kb show how individual AT-rich regions gain H4K16ac and lose H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figure S20C-D).

We hypothesized that the H3K9me3 loss and H4K16ac gain might affect the genome organization of these formerly heterochromatic regions. To this end, we plotted the Hi-C contact probability changes between WT and Δ*cdp-2* datasets across two regions on LG I and LG III, each of which encompass AT-rich regions losing H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figures 3D, S21). In both instances, H3K9me3-deficient regions strongly gain contacts with other AT rich regions retaining this silencing mark. For example, on LG I, the loss of H3K9me3 from (and concomitant gain of H4K16ac in) multiple AT-rich loci caused gains in inter-region contacts, while several nearby regions retaining H3K9me3 are unaffected (Figure 3D, closed arrowheads). On LG III, the contacts between two nearby AT-rich genomic regions are increased when one region loses H3K9me3, while two similarly sized heterochromatic regions have few long-range interaction changes (Figure S21, compare closed and open arrowheads). All told, the loss of CDP-2 impacts histone PTM enrichment, which causes increases in contact probability between AT-rich loci in heterochromatin bundles.

Changes in histone PTMs and genome organization in a Δcdp-2;Δdim-2 *double mutant*

To this point, we have shown that the heterochromatic regions of strains individually deleted of HCHC complex members gain acetylation, which alters H3K9me3 deposition and heterochromatin bundling. However, CDP-2 or CHAP removal also alters cytosine methylation levels: centromeres and other large interspersed heterochromatic regions gain 5mC, while smaller AT-rich loci lose 5mC (39, 40). Hypothetically, the extra heterochromatic 5mC in an HCHC mutant may confer additional properties to AT-rich loci, meaning 5mC gains should be considered when assessing genome organization. In support, a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain

devoid of the only DNA methyltransferase in Neurospora, has a compromised growth rate relative to single

HCHC mutants (40).

To understand if an increase in 5^{m}C alters histone PTM deposition following HCHC complex loss, we

examined levels of H4K16ac and H3K9me3 in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant strain. Our ChIP-seq replicate

experiments are qualitatively comparable (Figures S14, S18), allowing us to merge identical, RPKM

normalized, ChIP-seq histone PTM replicates. The distribution of H4K16ac in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain mirrors

that of Δ*cdp-2*, as both mutant strains gain H4K16ac across all heterochromatic regions, regardless of size,

while genic acetylation is minimally altered (Figure 4A-B, S22A). The average heterochromatic H4K16ac

signal in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is slightly reduced compared to Δ*cdp-2* but strongly increased relative to WT

H4K16ac levels (Figure S22B). Interestingly, most AT-rich regions in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain strongly gain

H3K9me3 when compared to WT and Δ*cdp-2* strains (Figures 4A), as evident in heatmaps of the H3K9me3

enrichment across sorted regions (Figures 4C, S22C), or boxplots of the average H3K9me3 deposition across

scaled AT-rich regions (Figure S22D). However, the same, typically smaller, AT-rich regions completely lack

H3K9me3 in both the Δ*cdp-2* and double mutant strains (Figures 4A, asterisks; 4C). Thus, histone acetylation

gains coupled with 5^{m}C loss at AT-rich loci signals for increased H3K9me3 by the DCDC (38).

To assess if enhanced heterochromatic acetylation coupled with 5^{m}C loss impacts genome organization,

we performed euchromatin-specific (*Dpn*II) *in situ* Hi-C to generally assess genome organization of the Δ*cdp-*

2;Δ*dim-2* strain. We generated two highly correlated *in situ Dpn*II Hi-C replicates of a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain

(Figure S23), which we merged into a single *Dpn*II Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* Hi-C dataset containing 23.1M valid read

pairs (Table S1). The contact frequency heatmap for the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain still shows some segregation

of heterochromatin from euchromatin, as observed across each individual chromosome (Figure S24) and the

entire genome (Figure S25). The KR-corrected whole genome contact probability heatmap also shows

centromere bundles independent of telomere clusters typical of the Rabl conformation (Figure S25B).

However, comparison of equal numbers of valid read pairs between the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* and WT *Dpn*II Hi-

C datasets showed evidence of genome disorder in the double mutant, with heterochromatic regions in Δ*cdp-*

2;Δ*dim-2* interacting more with surrounding euchromatin. Both raw and KR corrected heatmaps comparing

WT and Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* contact probabilities show that AT-rich, heterochromatic loci, including the

centromere, gain interactions with flanking euchromatic DNA across all LGs (Figure S26), including LG II (Figure 5A). Notably, the increased interactions between heterochromatic regions evident upon CDP-2 loss (e.g., Figures 1B-D) are not apparent in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain. Further, obvious reductions in local and regional euchromatic interactions are observed (Figure 5A). We quantified the intra-chromosomal contact probability changes between the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* and WT datasets among bins enriched for heterochromatic or euchromatic histone PTMs. Few H3K9me3-enriched bins had strongly increased contacts with other heterochromatic bins, but more H3K9me3-marked bins gained contacts with euchromatic bins enriched for SET-2 H3K36me3 or H3K27ac; most contact probability gains occur between euchromatic bins (Figure 5B). Comparison of WT and Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* datasets across the whole genome, independent of KR correction, shows increases in inter-chromosomal contact probability between heterochromatin and euchromatin, which depletes local intra-chromosomal contacts (Figure S27). Quantifying the strongly increased inter-chromosomal contacts shows H3K9me3-marked bins gaining interactions with bins enriched with euchromatic SET-2-dependent H3K36me2 and H3K27ac; the number of H3K27ac-enriched bins gaining inter-chromosomal contacts to SET-2-dependent H3K36me2-enriched bins is also increased (Figure 5B). We conclude that the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* heterochromatin bundle is disordered with histone hyperacetylation and 5mC depletion.

We compared the *Dpn*II Hi-C data of the Δ*cdp-2* single mutant and the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant to highlight the genome organization changes caused by both hyperacetylation and loss of 5mC. Across LG II, the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain presents with stronger gains in contact frequency between heterochromatin and euchromatin, increases in regional euchromatic contacts across TAD-like structures, and decreased local (on-diagonal) contacts relative to a Δ*cdp-2* strain (Figure 5C); similar changes occur over the entire Neurospora genome and across the other LGs (Figures S28, S29). Closer examination of the regional contact changes between AT-rich loci and euchromatin highlight how loss of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 impacts chromosome conformation. Relative to WT, individual H3K9me3-enriched loci in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain more strongly contact euchromatin, thereby depleting euchromatic clustering; this phenotype is exacerbated when comparing Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* and Δ*cdp-2* contact probabilities (Figure 5D). We conclude that heterochromatic hyperacetylation and 5mC loss compromises the normal chromosome conformation in Neurospora nuclei.

Discussion

In this work, we examined the role of CDP-2 and CHAP, as members of the constitutive heterochromatin-

specific histone deacetylase complex HCHC, in modulating histone PTM enrichment and the genome

organization of *Neurospora crassa*. Here, heterochromatin hyperacetylation in a Δ*cdp-2* strain causes

increased contact probability within heterochromatic loci, as well as between distant intra- and inter-

chromosomal heterochromatic regions, while CHAP loss reduces heterochromatin aggregation without

strong histone acetylation changes. Neither gene deletion abolished the Rabl chromosome conformation

typical of fungal genomes (28), suggesting the overall genome organization can still form despite HCHC loss.

Together, our data suggest that CDP-2 and CHAP have distinct functions in the HCHC complex that

differentially impact genome organization. Our model (Figure 6) is that CDP-2 recruits the HDA-1

deacetylase to properly compact individual heterochromatic regions while CHAP mediates heterochromatic

region aggregation at the nuclear periphery after deacetylation. Specifically, the hyperacetylation seen with

CDP-2 loss could open chromatin fibers and/or loosen the DNA wrapped about histones in heterochromatic

nucleosomes, which would increase heterochromatin accessibility to allow greater contact probability with

distant heterochromatic nucleosomes in the silent "B" compartment at the nuclear periphery (14) and

indirectly increase the association of euchromatic regions across chromosome arms. One intriguing

hypothesis is that the increased chromatin accessibility that occurs from enhanced heterochromatic

nucleosome turnover, as observed in HDAC mutants in *S. pombe* and *N. crassa* (78, 79), could amplify the

contact probability within and between heterochromatic regions upon CDP-2 loss. Regarding CHAP, this

HCHC complex member compacts heterochromatic regions into dense structures and mediates inter-

heterochromatic region contacts, possibly through direct AT-rich DNA binding by its AT-hook motifs (39).

Since CDP-2 and CHAP recruit HDA-1 to AT-rich loci (39), these proteins most likely act prior to HDA-1,

meaning the genome organizational changes we observe reflect inadequate HDA-1 targeting or deficient

HCHC complex formation or function. Notably, the loss of the fourth HCHC complex member, HP1, has a

genome organization distinct from that of Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* strains, as flanking euchromatin emanating from

heterochromatic regions has reduced contact probabilities in WT vs. Δ*hpo* datasets, similar to that of a Δ*dim-*

5 strain lacking H3K9me3 (27), however *in situ* Hi-C could reveal other Δ*hpo*-specific genome organization

changes. Thus, HP1 binding to H3K9me3 presumably occurs prior to, or in parallel with, CHAP condensing

AT-rich regions; CHAP and HP1 could both act prior to CDP-2 binding HP1 for HDA-1 recruitment (39). This

proposed mechanism for Neurospora HCHC activity may be homologous to yeast HDAC complexes. In

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Sirtuin-2 (Sir2; a Class III HDAC)-specific deacetylation is required for the binding

of the Sir3/Sir4 dimer onto deacetylated histone tails to form silenced superstructures at heterochromatic

loci in the budding yeast genome (50, 58, 80, 81). *S. cerevisiae* also employs the HDACs Rpd3 (Class I) and

HDA-1 (Class II) for euchromatic deacetylation (58, 82–84); Rpd3 forms two distinct HDAC complexes, the

Rpd3L (large) complex targeting promoters and the Rpd3S (small) complex deacetylating gene bodies (84–

86). In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the multisubunit HDAC complex SHREC (***S***nf2/***H***dac-containing

Repressor ***C***omplex) is targeted to heterochromatic loci by the HP1-homolog Swi6 for transcriptional gene

silencing, although SHREC can localize to euchromatin independent of Swi6 (87–89). The SHREC catalytic

subunit Clr3 is homologous to Neurospora HDA-1, and at least three other HDA-1 paralogs and a Rpd3

homolog exist in Neurospora (41). Notably, we used the general HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A (TSA) to

examine if all HDACs have roles in genome organization, yet TSA severely compromised WT growth,

suggesting HDAC paralogs also influence fungal genome function. Examination of other HDACs in

Neurospora by Hi-C or ChIP-seq could elucidate how HDAC paralogs impact chromosome conformation or

histone PTM deposition.

As previously shown by ChIP qPCR, multiple histone lysines become hyperacetylated upon loss of HDA-

1 and CDP-2; the extent of hyperacetylation depends on both the heterochromatic region assessed and which

HCHC member was deleted (40). This published ChIP qPCR data, as well as our genome-wide ChIP-seq

acetylation data, hints at the HCHC complex having preferential deacetylation activity for certain lysine

residues in histones. Specifically, our ChIP-seq data shows heterochromatin in a Δ*cdp-2* strain becoming

hyperacetylated at H4K16 but minimally changed at H3K9, while heterochromatic H4K16ac enrichment is

minimally altered in a Δ*chap* strain; perhaps HP1 or CDP-2, both of which can bind to H3K9me3 (40, 90, 91),

can compensate for CHAP loss and still allow for HDA-1 deacetylation. The unchanged H3K9ac enrichment

in a Δ*cdp-2* strain may reflect DCDC-dependent (38) tri-methylation of H3K9, given the mutually exclusive

deposition of either acetylation or trimethylation on H3K9 (92, 93). Currently, it is unknown if H4K16ac is

globally enriched in Δ*hpo* heterochromatin, or whether other histone acetyl marks have altered enrichment

in HCHC mutants. Future ChIP-seq experiments on other acetylated histone lysine residues in Δ*cdp-2*, Δ*chap*,

Δ*hpo*, or Δ*hda-1* strains should uncover genome-wide changes to acetylation patterns for understanding the

lysine specificity of the HCHC complex.

Interestingly, while Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*chap* strains gain heterochromatin-specific H4K16ac relative to WT, these

mutant strains display no change in euchromatic acetylation, suggesting the HCHC complex specifically

deacetylates heterochromatic histones. Since both the class II HDAC HDA-1 in Neurospora and the class III

HDACs Hst2p and Sir2 in *S. cerevisiae* are both known to deacetylate H4K16 (63, 81), yet they share little

primary structure similarity, it is possible that different HDACs can deacetylate identical histone lysines but

the targeting mechanism of each HDAC complex determines which genomic loci are deacetylated. Given that

HDA-1 is targeted to heterochromatic nucleosomes by CHAP binding AT-rich DNA and HP1/CDP-2 binding

H3K9me3 (39, 40), these factors would render the HCHC complex as a heterochromatin-specific HDAC. This

explains the copious HCHC mutants recovered in a selection for strains with compromised heterochromatin

silencing (94); it is possible the HCHC complex is the only heterochromatin specific HDAC in Neurospora.

Our data also suggest that unknown HAT(s) specifically acetylate heterochromatic H4K16 – which is only

seen with compromised HCHC HDAC activity – given that euchromatic acetylation is minimally altered in

HCHC mutants. Presumably, Neurospora could repress HCHC expression or activity to hyperacetylate

heterochromatin for altering genome organization at certain developmental or cell cycle stages, including S

phase in which a more open chromosome conformation exists in fission yeast (95). Conversely, Neurospora

may increase expression of the HCHC complex and/or other HDACs to hypoacetylate mitotic chromatin for

segregating condensed chromosomes to daughter cells; rapid HDAC repression would allow chromatin to be

rapidly acetylated following cytokinesis, as previously observed (63, 64). It is noteworthy that the increased

contact probability occurring between hyperacetylated, yet distant, heterochromatic regions in Δ*cdp-2* nuclei

is analogous to the clustering of hyperacetylated chromatin in BRD4-NUT expressing oncogenic cells (68).

Together, changes in acetylation patterns that alter chromatin accessibility could represent a simple

mechanism that eukaryotes employ to differentially organize their genomes.

Curiously, smaller AT-rich regions in a Δ*cdp-2* strain lose H3K9me3 yet this mark remains unchanged at larger heterochromatic loci, while a Δ*chap* strain has modest H3K9me3 gains at most AT-rich regions, highlighting the differential effects of HCHC mutants on heterochromatic histone PTMs. Apart from size, other possible causes of locus-specific H3K9me3 loss in CDP-2 deficient strains include compromised subnuclear positioning, reduced pairing with other AT-rich regions (96), or unrecognized transposon relict motifs in the underlying DNA (97). In contrast, the H3K9me3 gain in a Δ*chap* strain may result from increased DCDC levels or activity, which would promote further AT-rich region compaction through additional HP1 binding sites. Similar increases in the DCDC subunits DIM-7 and DIM-9 occur in a *dim-3* strain to possibly rescue reduced H3K9me3 levels (98). Our data suggest that WT heterochromatic nucleosomes are not saturated with H3K9me3, given that greater levels of H3K9me3 are possible in some mutant HCHC strains. Notably, changes in H3K9me3 deposition can impact the enrichment of the H3K27me2/3 histone PTM that marks facultative heterochromatin, for in DCDC deficient strains, H3K27me2/3 relocates to AT-rich genomic loci (99, 100). While ChIP qPCR showed no H3K27me2/3 change at a few loci in HCHC deletion strains (99), examination of the global enrichment of H3K27me2/3 in HCHC deficient backgrounds would be prudent, given the changes in H3K9me3 deposition reported here.

Changes in H3K9me3 are most apparent in a Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* double mutant, where most heterochromatic regions gain considerable H3K9me3 enrichment, although smaller AT-rich regions similarly lose H3K9me3 as a single Δ*cdp-2* mutant; all AT-rich loci gain H4K16ac in both strains. These results suggest the loss of 5^mC signals for the H3K9me3 increase but does not affect histone acetylation. Importantly, the genome organization of the double mutant is disordered, as AT-rich heterochromatic regions amalgamate with euchromatin despite greater H3K9me3 deposition (and presumably HP1 binding). These results may explain the severe growth defect of Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strains (40), as improper positioning of AT-rich regions into the nucleus center may cause aberrant transcription of repetitive DNA or limit normal genic transcription, the latter being particularly deleterious given how heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts mediate proper gene expression in filamentous fungi (16, 101). Perhaps the increased H3K9me3 enrichment in the Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strain is a "last resort" by the fungus to repress AT-rich region transcription in the nucleus center, where increased H3K9me3 and HP1 compaction could minimize RNA Pol II recruitment to this mislocalized

chromatin. A crucial, unanswered question is: "how is H3K9me3 enrichment increased?" We speculate that reduced AT-rich region compaction, observed in both Δ*chap* and Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* strains, signals for increased H3K9me3 deposition. Consistent with this hypothesis, intergenic region nucleosomal disorder causes subtle increases in H3K9me3 in Neurospora *dim-1* strains (102), but this remains to be seen in HCHC mutants.

Importantly, our double mutant Hi-C results suggest that cytosine methylation maintains chromatin compartmentalization by segregating hyperacetylated heterochromatin from euchromatin. Specifically, only in strains devoid of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 are AT-rich regions more apt to contact euchromatin. However, this change only moderately affects the global genome topology, since the Rabl chromosome conformation is still maintained, although the weak chromosome territories typically seen in Neurospora are diminished in Δ*cdp-2*;Δ*dim-2* nuclei. These results suggest heterochromatin can cluster, as in WT, but unlike the situation in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, the inter-heterochromatic contact gains are abrogated by 5^{m}C loss. We speculate the additional methyl groups on AT-rich DNA in a Δ*cdp-2* strain allow heterochromatic regions to remain associated with the nuclear periphery, either by active recruitment by a methyl-DNA binding protein (MRBP-1) (103, 104) or passively by Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation (105). The identity of MRBP-1 in Neurospora is unknown, as Neurospora does not encode a protein with a strong MBD domain homologous to human MeCP-2, but perhaps DIM-10, which compromises heterochromatin silencing but not 5^{m}C deposition or H3K9me3 enrichment (94), could bind methylated DNA in Neurospora. Regardless, our results suggest a new role for 5^{m}C in maintaining chromatin compartmentalization when heterochromatin is compromised, thereby safeguarding genome organization and function. Future experiments should discern if 5^{m}C has a similar role in maintaining genome topology in fungal pathogens or higher eukaryotes.

Materials and Methods

The strains used for all experiments, the abbreviated Hi-C and ChIP-seq protocols, and the bioinformatic methods are found in the online Materials and Methods, provided in Supplementary File 1. *In situ* Hi-C was performed as in (16), using the program hicExplorer (73) to process mapped reads for building the contact matrices, which were used for all downstream analyses and image generation. ChIP-seq performed as in (32, 102), with minor modifications. The complete *in situ* Hi-C protocol is provided in Supplementary File 2, while

509   the ChIP-seq protocol is found in Supplementary File 3.

Data availability

512   All *Neurospora crassa* strains are available upon request. The *in situ* Hi-C and ChIP-seq high-throughput

513   sequencing data are available in the NCBI GEO superseries accession number GSE232935, which includes

514   the ChIP-seq data accession number GSE232933 and the Hi-C data accession number GSE232934.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank UCCS Andrew Reckard, Sara Rodriguez, Yulia Shtanko, Victoria Toscano, and

Farh Kaddar (UCCS) for assistance with library generation, preliminary data analysis, or critical reading of

the manuscript, Doug Turnbull and Jeff Bishop (University of Oregon Genomics and Cell Characterization

Core Facility) for Illumina library sequencing service, and all Klocko lab members and colleagues of the UCCS

Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry for helpful comments and discussions. Funding was provided by

start-up funds from the UCCS College of Letters, Arts, and Sciences and an Academic Research Enhancement

Award (AREA) grant from the National Institutes of Health (1R15GM140396-01) to A.D.K.

References

1. T. Cremer, C. Cremer, Chromosome territories, nuclear architecture and gene regulation in mammalian
cells. *Nat Rev Genet* **2**, 292–301 (2001).

2. T. Misteli, Beyond the Sequence: Cellular Organization of Genome Function. *Cell* **128**, 787–800 (2007).

3. J. Dekker, Gene Regulation in the Third Dimension. *Science* **319**, 1793–1794 (2008).

4. T. Sexton, G. Cavalli, The Role of Chromosome Domains in Shaping the Functional Genome. *Cell* **160**, 1049–
1059 (2015).

5. B. Bonev, G. Cavalli, Organization and function of the 3D genome. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**, 661–678 (2016).

6. M. Falk, *et al.*, Heterochromatin drives compartmentalization of inverted and conventional nuclei. *Nature*
570, 395–399 (2019).

7. A. J. Shatkin, E. L. Tatum, Electron Microscopy of *Neurospora crassa* Mycelia. *J Biophys Biochem Cytol* **6**,
423–426 (1959).

8. A. Buchwalter, J. M. Kaneshiro, M. W. Hetzer, Coaching from the sidelines: the nuclear periphery in genome
regulation. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 39–50 (2019).

9. S. Schoenfelder, *et al.*, Preferential associations between co-regulated genes reveal a transcriptional
interactome in erythroid cells. *Nat Genet* **42**, 53–61 (2010).

10. E. E. M. Furlong, M. Levine, Developmental enhancers and chromosome topology. *Science* **361**, 1341–
1345 (2018).

11. P. A. Northcott, *et al.*, Enhancer hijacking activates GFI1 family oncogenes in medulloblastoma. *Nature*
511, 428–34 (2014).

12. W. A. Flavahan, *et al.*, Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. *Nature* **529**,
110–114 (2016).

13. Z. Xu, *et al.*, Structural variants drive context-dependent oncogene activation in cancer. *Nature*, 1–9
(2022).

14. E. Lieberman-Aiden, *et al.*, Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding
Principles of the Human Genome. *Science* **326**, 289–293 (2009).

15. S. S. P. Rao, *et al.*, A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin
Looping. *Cell* **159**, 1665–1680 (2014).

16. S. Rodriguez, *et al.*, The genome organization of Neurospora crassa at high resolution uncovers principles
of fungal chromosome topology. *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* **12**, jkac053 (2022).

17. K. Luger, A. W. Mäder, R. K. Richmond, D. F. Sargent, T. J. Richmond, Crystal structure of the nucleosome
core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. *Nature* **389**, 251–260 (1997).

18. E. I. Campos, D. Reinberg, Histones: Annotating Chromatin. *Annu Rev Genet* **43**, 559–599 (2009).

19. J. R. Dixon, *et al.*, Topological Domains in Mammalian Genomes Identified by Analysis of Chromatin
Interactions. *Nature* **485**, 376–380 (2012).

20. J. Dekker, E. Heard, Structural and functional diversity of Topologically Associating Domains. *FEBS Lett*
589, 2877–2884 (2015).

21. A. S. Hansen, C. Cattoglio, X. Darzacq, R. Tjian, Recent evidence that TADs and chromatin loops are
dynamic structures. *Nucleus* **9**, 20–32 (2018).

22. M. Imakaev, *et al.*, Iterative correction of Hi-C data reveals hallmarks of chromosome organization. *Nat*
Methods **9**, 999–1003 (2012).

23. T. Cremer, M. Cremer, Chromosome territories. *CSH Perspect Biol* **2**, a003889 (2010).

24. C. Hoencamp, *et al.*, 3D genomics across the tree of life reveals condensin II as a determinant of
architecture type. *Science* **372**, 984–989 (2021).

25. M. R. Speicher, N. P. Carter, The new cytogenetics: blurring the boundaries with molecular biology. *Nat*
Rev Genet **6**, 782–792 (2005).

26. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Normal chromosome conformation depends on subtelomeric facultative
heterochromatin in *Neurospora crassa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **113**, 15048–15053 (2016).

27. J. M. Galazka, *et al.*, Neurospora chromosomes are organized by blocks of importin alpha-dependent
heterochromatin that are largely independent of H3K9me3. *Genome Res* **26**, 1069–1080 (2016).

28. D. E. Torres, A. T. Reckard, A. D. Klocko, M. F. Seidl, Nuclear genome organization in fungi: From gene
folding to Rabl chromosomes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **43**, fuad021 (2023).

29. J. E. Galagan, *et al.*, The genome sequence of the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*. *Nature* **422**, 859–
868 (2003).

30. Z. A. Lewis, *et al.*, Relics of repeat-induced point mutation direct heterochromatin formation in
Neurospora crassa. *Genome Res* **19**, 427–437 (2009).

31. M. R. Rountree, E. U. Selker, DNA methylation and the formation of heterochromatin in *Neurospora crassa*.
Heredity **105**, 38–44 (2010).

32. K. Jamieson, M. R. Rountree, Z. A. Lewis, J. E. Stajich, E. U. Selker, Regional control of histone H3 lysine 27
methylation in Neurospora. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**, 6027–6032 (2013).

33. V. T. Bicocca, T. Ormsby, K. K. Adhvaryu, S. Honda, E. U. Selker, ASH1-catalyzed H3K36 methylation drives
gene repression and marks H3K27me2/3-competent chromatin. *Elife* **7**, e41497 (2018).

34. H. Tamaru, *et al.*, Trimethylated lysine 9 of histone H3 is a mark for DNA methylation in *Neurospora
crassa*. *Nat Genet* **34**, 75–79 (2003).

35. E. U. Selker, J. N. Stevens, DNA methylation at asymmetric sites is associated with numerous transition
mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **82**, 8114–8118 (1985).

36. E. T. Wiles, E. U. Selker, H3K27 methylation: a promiscuous repressive chromatin mark. *Curr Opin Genet
Dev* **43**, 31–37 (2017).

37. E. U. Selker, *et al.*, The methylated component of the *Neurospora crassa* genome. *Nature* **422**, 893–897
(2003).

38. Z. A. Lewis, *et al.*, DNA Methylation and Normal Chromosome Behavior in Neurospora Depend on Five
Components of a Histone Methyltransferase Complex, DCDC. *PLoS Genet* **6**, e1001196 (2010).

39. S. Honda, *et al.*, Dual chromatin recognition by the histone deacetylase complex HCHC is required for
proper DNA methylation in *Neurospora crassa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **113**, E6135–E6144 (2016).

40. S. Honda, *et al.*, Heterochromatin protein 1 forms distinct complexes to direct histone deacetylation and
DNA methylation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**, 471–477 (2012).

41. K. M. Smith, *et al.*, H2B- and H3-Specific Histone Deacetylases Are Required for DNA Methylation in
Neurospora crassa. *Genetics* **186**, 1207–1216 (2010).

42. H. Foss, C. Roberts, K. Claeys, E. Selker, Abnormal chromosome behavior in Neurospora mutants defective
in DNA methylation. *Science* **262**, 1737–1741 (1993).

43. T. Mizuguchi, *et al.*, Cohesin-dependent globules and heterochromatin shape 3D genome architecture in
S. pombe. *Nature* **516**, 432–435 (2014).

44. S. I. S. Grewal, S. Jia, Heterochromatin revisited. *Nat Rev Genetics* **8**, 35–46 (2007).

45. A. J. Courtney, A. R. Ferraro, A. D. Klocko, Z. A. Lewis, "Chromatin Structure and Function in *Neurospora*
crassa." in *The Mycota, A Comprehensive Treatise on Fungi as Experimental Systems, Third Edition for Basic*
and Applied Research, Genetics and Biotechnology, J. P. Benz, K. Schipper, Eds. (Springer Nature Switzerland
AG, 2020), pp. 3–24.

46. T. Jenuwein, C. D. Allis, Translating the Histone Code. *Science* **293**, 1074–1080 (2001).

47. F. Zenk, *et al.*, HP1 drives *de novo* 3D genome reorganization in early Drosophila embryos. *Nature* **593**,
289–293 (2021).

48. A. Eberharter, P. B. Becker, Histone acetylation: a switch between repressive and permissive chromatin.
EMBO Rep **3**, 224–229 (2002).

49. Y.-J. C. Chen, E. Koutelou, S. Y. R. Dent, Now open: Evolving insights to the roles of lysine acetylation in
chromatin organization and function. *Mol Cell* **82**, 716–727 (2022).

50. D. M. Thurtle, J. Rine, The molecular topography of silenced chromatin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Gene*
Dev **28**, 245–258 (2014).

51. B. J. E. Martin, *et al.*, Transcription shapes genome-wide histone acetylation patterns. *Nat Commun* **12**,
210 (2021).

52. J. N. McKnight, J. W. Boerma, L. L. Breeden, T. Tsukiyama, Global Promoter Targeting of a Conserved Lysine
Deacetylase for Transcriptional Shutoff during Quiescence Entry. *Mol Cell* **59**, 732–743 (2015).

53. A. R. Ferraro, *et al.*, Chromatin accessibility profiling in *Neurospora crassa* reveals molecular features
associated with accessible and inaccessible chromatin. *BMC Genomics* **22**, 459 (2021).

54. T. Conrad, F. M. G. Cavalli, J. M. Vaquerizas, N. M. Luscombe, A. Akhtar, Drosophila Dosage Compensation
Involves Enhanced Pol II Recruitment to Male X-Linked Promoters. *Science* **337**, 742–746 (2012).

55. S. Fox, *et al.*, Hyperacetylated chromatin domains mark cell type-specific genes and suggest distinct
modes of enhancer function. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4544 (2020).

56. I. Dunham, *et al.*, An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* **489**, 57–74
(2012).

57. D. U. Gorkin, *et al.*, An atlas of dynamic chromatin landscapes in mouse fetal development. *Nature* **583**,
744–751 (2020).

58. E. Seto, M. Yoshida, Erasers of Histone Acetylation: The Histone Deacetylase Enzymes. *CSH Perspect Biol*
6, a018713 (2014).

59. A. J. Rubin, *et al.*, Lineage-specific dynamic and pre-established enhancer–promoter contacts cooperate
in terminal differentiation. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1522–1528 (2017).

60. R. Siersbæk, *et al.*, Dynamic Rewiring of Promoter-Anchored Chromatin Loops during Adipocyte
Differentiation. *Mol Cell* **66**, 420-435.e5 (2017).

61. M. W. G. Schneider, *et al.*, A mitotic chromatin phase transition prevents perforation by microtubules.
Nature **609**, 183–190 (2022).

62. A. Zhiteneva, *et al.*, Mitotic post-translational modifications of histones promote chromatin compaction
in vitro. *Open Biol* **7**, 170076 (2017).

63. B. J. Wilkins, *et al.*, A Cascade of Histone Modifications Induces Chromatin Condensation in Mitosis. *Science*
343, 77–80 (2014).

64. M. J. Kruhlak, *et al.*, Regulation of Global Acetylation in Mitosis through Loss of Histone Acetyltransferases
and Deacetylases from Chromatin. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 38307–38319 (2001).

65. D. Cimini, M. Mattiuzzo, L. Torosantucci, F. Degrassi, Histone Hyperacetylation in Mitosis Prevents Sister
Chromatid Separation and Produces Chromosome Segregation Defects. *Mol Biol Cell* **14**, 3821–3833 (2003).

66. B. Pelham-Webb, *et al.*, H3K27ac bookmarking promotes rapid post-mitotic activation of the pluripotent
stem cell program without impacting 3D chromatin reorganization. *Mol Cell* **81**, 1732-1748.e8 (2021).

67. H. Kang, *et al.*, Dynamic regulation of histone modifications and long-range chromosomal interactions
during postmitotic transcriptional reactivation. *Gene Dev* **34**, 913–930 (2020).

68. C. D. Rosencrance, *et al.*, Chromatin Hyperacetylation Impacts Chromosome Folding by Forming a Nuclear
Subcompartment. *Mol Cell* **78**, 112-126.e12 (2020).

69. B. A. Gibson, *et al.*, Organization of Chromatin by Intrinsic and Regulated Phase Separation. *Cell* **179**, 470-
484.e21 (2019).

70. E. Martegani, M. Levi, F. Trezzi, L. Alberghina, Nuclear division cycle in *Neurospora crassa* hyphae under
different growth conditions. *J. Bacteriol.* **142**, 268–275 (1980).

71. L. Alberghina, E. Sturani, Control of growth and of the nuclear division cycle in *Neurospora crassa*.
Microbiol. Rev. **45**, 99–122 (1981).

72. P. A. Knight, D. Ruiz, A fast algorithm for matrix balancing. *Ima J Numer Anal* **33**, 1029–1047 (2013).

73. F. Ramírez, *et al.*, High-resolution TADs reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies.
Nat Commun **9**, 189 (2018).

74. J. T. Robinson, *et al.*, Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 24–6 (2011).

75. N. Bonhoure, *et al.*, Quantifying ChIP-seq data: a spiking method providing an internal reference for
sample-to-sample normalization. *Genome Res* **24**, 1157–68 (2014).

76. B. Hu, *et al.*, Biological chromodynamics: a general method for measuring protein occupancy across the
genome by calibrating ChIP-seq. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, gkv670 (2015).

77. D. A. Orlando, *et al.*, Quantitative ChIP-Seq Normalization Reveals Global Modulation of the Epigenome.
Cell Reports **9**, 1163–1170 (2014).

78. O. Aygün, S. Mehta, S. I. S. Grewal, HDAC-mediated suppression of histone turnover promotes epigenetic
stability of heterochromatin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**, 547–554 (2013).

79. W. K. Storck, S. Z. Abdulla, M. R. Rountree, V. T. Bicocca, E. U. Selker, A Light-Inducible Strain for Genome-
Wide Histone Turnover Profiling in *Neurospora crassa*. *Genetics* **215**, 569–578 (2020).

80. D. Moazed, A. Kistler, A. Axelrod, J. Rine, A. D. Johnson, Silent information regulator protein complexes in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae: A SIR2/SIR4 complex and evidence for a regulatory domain in SIR4 that inhibits
its interaction with SIR3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **94**, 2186–2191 (1997).

81. S. Imai, C. M. Armstrong, M. Kaeberlein, L. Guarente, Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2
is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase. *Nature* **403**, 795–800 (2000).

82. J. Wu, A. A. Carmen, R. Kobayashi, N. Suka, M. Grunstein, HDA2 and HDA3 are related proteins that interact
with and are essential for the activity of the yeast histone deacetylase HDA1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**, 4391–
4396 (2001).

83. S. E. Rundlett, *et al.*, HDA1 and RPD3 are members of distinct yeast histone deacetylase complexes that
regulate silencing and transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **93**, 14503–14508 (1996).

84. M. J. Carrozza, *et al.*, Histone H3 Methylation by Set2 Directs Deacetylation of Coding Regions by Rpd3S
to Suppress Spurious Intragenic Transcription. *Cell* **123**, 581–592 (2005).

85. Z. Guo, *et al.*, Structure of a SIN3–HDAC complex from budding yeast. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 1–8 (2023).

86. D. Kadosh, K. Struhl, Repression by Ume6 Involves Recruitment of a Complex Containing Sin3
Corepressor and Rpd3 Histone Deacetylase to Target Promoters. *Cell* **89**, 365–371 (1997).

87. T. Sugiyama, *et al.*, SHREC, an Effector Complex for Heterochromatic Transcriptional Silencing. *Cell* **128**,
491–504 (2007).

88. G. Job, *et al.*, SHREC Silences Heterochromatin via Distinct Remodeling and Deacetylation Modules. *Mol*
Cell **62**, 207–221 (2016).

89. T. Yamada, W. Fischle, T. Sugiyama, C. D. Allis, S. I. S. Grewal, The Nucleation and Maintenance of
Heterochromatin by a Histone Deacetylase in Fission Yeast. *Mol Cell* **20**, 173–185 (2005).

90. M. Freitag, P. C. Hickey, T. K. Khlafallah, N. D. Read, E. U. Selker, HP1 Is Essential for DNA Methylation in
Neurospora. *Mol Cell* **13**, 427–434 (2004).

91. S. A. Jacobs, S. Khorasanizadeh, Structure of HP1 Chromodomain Bound to a Lysine 9-Methylated Histone
H3 Tail. *Science* **295**, 2080–2083 (2002).

92. A. J. Bannister, T. Kouzarides, Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res* **21**, 381–395
(2011).

93. T. Zhang, S. Cooper, N. Brockdorff, The interplay of histone modifications – writers that read. *EMBO Rep*
16, 1467–1481 (2015).

94. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Selection and Characterization of Mutants Defective in DNA Methylation in *Neurospora*
crassa. *Genetics* **216**, genetics.303471.2020 (2020).

95. H. Tanizawa, K.-D. Kim, O. Iwasaki, K. Noma, Architectural alterations of the fission yeast genome during
the cell cycle. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 965–976 (2017).

96. N. Rhoades, *et al.*, Recombination-independent recognition of DNA homology for meiotic silencing in
Neurospora crassa. Proc Natl Acad Sci **118**, e2108664118 (2021).

97. D. Nguyen, *et al.*, Transposon- and Genome Dynamics in the Fungal Genus Neurospora: Insights from
Nearly Gapless Genome Assemblies. *Fungal Genet Rep* **66** (2022).

98. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Neurospora Importin α Is Required for Normal Heterochromatic Formation and DNA
Methylation. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005083 (2015).

99. K. Jamieson, *et al.*, Loss of HP1 causes depletion of H3K27me3 from facultative heterochromatin and gain
of H3K27me2 at constitutive heterochromatin. *Genome Res* **26**, 97–107 (2016).

100. E. Y. Basenko, *et al.*, Genome-wide redistribution of H3K27me3 is linked to genotoxic stress and
defective growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **112**, E6339–E6348 (2015).

101. H. M. Kramer, D. E. Cook, G. C. M. van den Berg, M. F. Seidl, B. P. H. J. Thomma, Three putative DNA
methyltransferases of *Verticillium dahliae* differentially contribute to DNA methylation that is dispensable
for growth, development and virulence. *Epigenet Chromatin* **14**, 21 (2021).

102. A. D. Klocko, *et al.*, Nucleosome Positioning by an Evolutionarily Conserved Chromatin Remodeler
Prevents Aberrant DNA Methylation in Neurospora. *Genetics* **211**, 563–578 (2019).

103. R. Meehan, J. D. Lewis, A. P. Bird, Characterization of MeCP2, a vertebrate DNA binding protein with
affinity for methylated DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* **20**, 5085–5092 (1992).

104. E. U. Selker, *et al.*, Induction and maintenance of nonsymmetrical DNA methylation in Neurospora. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci* **99**, 16485–16490 (2002).

105. A. R. Strom, *et al.*, Phase separation drives heterochromatin domain formation. *Nature* **547**, 241–245
(2017).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Genome organization changes across a single chromosome in **Neurospora crassa Δcdp-2** *and*

Δchap *strains.* (A-B) Heatmap of contact probabilities of 20 kb bins across LG II of (A) wild type (WT)

Neurospora crassa (16) or (B) Δ*cdp-2* strains. Raw read counts of heterochromatin-specific *Mse*I Hi-C (above

diagonal) and Knight Ruiz corrected (72, 73) read counts of euchromatin-specific *Dpn*II Hi-C (below

diagonal) are presented in heatmaps here and throughout this work unless otherwise indicated. Bin numbers

(vertical axis) and genomic distance, in Megabases (Mb), (horizontal axis) are indicated. The scale bar shows

the $\log_{10}$ numbers of valid Hi-C contacts per bin. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) (74) images of WT CenH3

(red; centromeres) and/or H3K9me3 (green; heterochromatic regions) ChIP-seq tracks are shown above

and left. Solid arrowheads in B. show increased inter-heterochromatic contacts; open arrowheads show

decreased heterochromatic-euchromatic contacts. (C) The fold change in contact strength ($\log_2$ scale) in a

Δcdp-2 strain relative to a normalized WT strain of *Mse*I *in situ* Hi-C (above diagonal) or *Dpn*II *in situ* Hi-C

(below diagonal) datasets; purple line indicates the region in D. The open arrowhead shows a

heterochromatic region gaining internal contacts at the expense of euchromatic contacts. (D) Heatmap of

contact probability changes between heterochromatic regions (green) and the LG II centromere in Δcdp-2 *vs.*

WT comparison heatmaps at 10 kb resolution. (E) Quantification of strongly increased ($\log_2 \geq 2.0$), intra-

chromosomal contacts in Δcdp-2 or $\Delta chap$ datasets, relative to normalized WT datasets, between bins

enriched for select histone PTMs (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). For these graphs, each bar

shows the total number of contacts that are strongly ($\log_2 \geq 2.0$) increased. Numbers of contacts originating

from bins enriched with the first histone PTM and end in a bin enriched for the second histone PTM are

indicated by non-gray colors, while the gray portion of the bar shows contacts originating from the first

enriched histone PTM bin but terminating in a non-enriched bin. The percentage of total contacts enriched

for both histone PTMs is indicated above. (F) Contact probability heatmap of a $\Delta chap$ dataset, as in A. (G)

Heatmap of contact probability changes between $\Delta chap$ and WT datasets, as in C.

Figure 2. Δcdp-2 and Δchap strains alter the inter-chromosomal genome organization. (A-B) The $\log_2$

contact strength change of (A) Δcdp-2 or (B) $\Delta chap$ datasets, relative to normalized WT dataset between LG

II and LG III within *Mse*I *in situ* Hi-C datasets, displayed similarly as Figure 1C. (C) Quantification of strongly

increased, inter-chromosomal contacts in Δcdp-2 or $\Delta chap$ datasets relative to a WT dataset, as in Figure 1E.

Figure 3. CDP-2 deletion increases heterochromatic H4K16ac while smaller heterochromatic regions

lose H3K9me3. (A) IGV images of H3K9me3, H4K16ac, and H3K9ac ChIP-seq enrichment tracks from WT

and Δcdp-2 strains across LG II (top) and the LG II right arm (bottom). SET-2-specific H3K27ac (from a

$\Delta ash1^{\text{Y833F}}$ strain (33)) shows euchromatin. Arrowheads highlight heterochromatic regions gaining H4K16ac

enrichment, while asterisks show AT-rich regions losing H3K9me3 in Δcdp-2. (B-C) Average enrichment

heatmaps of the (B) H4K16ac or (C) H3K9me3 enrichment in WT and Δ*cdp-2* strains centered over WT-defined constitutive heterochromatic regions (16), extending +/- 15 kb from heterochromatic region centers (top) or +/- 2 kb of the smallest regions (bottom; indicated by the purple line in +/-15 kb plots). (D) Heatmaps of contact probability change between Δ*cdp-2* and WT *Mse*I datasets of a small region of LG I. H3K9me3 and/or H4K16ac ChIP-seq track images shown with each heatmap. Asterisks indicate regions losing H3K9me3, pink arrowheads show regions gaining H4K16ac in a Δ*cdp-2* strain, and black arrowheads highlight gains in inter-heterochromatic region contacts in a Δ*cdp-2* strain.

Figure 4. Constitutive heterochromatic regions gain H4K16ac and H3K9me3 enrichment upon CDP-2 and DIM-2 loss. (A) IGV images of H3K9me3 and H4K16ac ChIP-seq enrichment tracks of WT, Δ*cdp-2*, and Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* strains, as in Figure 3A. Asterisks indicate AT-rich regions losing H3K9me3 enrichment in both Δ*cdp-2* and Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* strains. (B-C) Average enrichment heatmaps of (B) H4K16ac or (C) H3K9me3 levels in WT, Δ*cdp-2*, and Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* strains centered over WT-defined constitutive heterochromatic regions, as in Figures 3B-C.

Figure 5. A Δcdp-2;Δdim-2 strain gains cross-compartment contacts. (A,C) Heatmaps of raw (above diagonal) or KR-corrected (below diagonal) contact probability changes across LG II in a Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2 Dpn*II *in situ* Hi-C dataset relative to (A) WT or (C) Δ*cdp-2* datasets. Arrowheads indicate the heterochromatic regions in panel D. (B) Quantification of strongly changed intra-chromosomal (top) or inter-chromosomal (bottom) contacts in Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* datasets relative to a WT dataset, as in Figure 1E. (D) Heatmap of the raw contact probability changes of two heterochromatic regions in a Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* strain relative to (top) WT or (bottom) Δ*cdp-2* strains. IGV image of Δ*cdp-2* or Δ*cdp-2;Δdim-2* H3K9me3 enrichment is in the middle.

Figure 6. Models for how HCHC loss impacts heterochromatin formation and genome organization in Neurospora crassa. (A-D) For WT or HCHC mutant strains, heterochromatin formation at nucleosomes (left) and the organization of two example chromosomes (right) are presented. (A) In a WT strain, the HCHC

(HDA-1, CDP-2, HP1, and CHAP) complex removes acetyl marks (green triangles) from histone tails. CDP-2

and HP1, which binds H3K9me3 (red hexagons) while CHAP binds AT-rich DNA (orange lines) between

distant nucleosomes to recruit HDA-1. The DCDC (DIM-5/7/9, CUL4, DDB1^{dim-8}, Complex) tri-methylates

H3K9 on deacetylated histone tails, and HP1 binds H3K9me3 to directly recruit the DNA methyltransferase

DIM-2 for cytosine methylation (orange hexagons). The activity of both HCHC and DCDC establishes the Rabl

chromosome conformation characterized by a centromere (red circles) cluster distinct from (sub)telomeres

bundles (green circles) and the clustering of interspersed heterochromatic regions (black circles), all of

which occur at the nuclear periphery. (B) CDP-2 loss increases heterochromatic histone acetylation and

alters (here, gains) 5^{m}C while maintaining H3K9me3 at most AT-rich loci, which together increases intra-

heterochromatic region contacts and decreases inter-chromosomal centromeric contacts. (C) CHAP loss

relaxes heterochromatic region compaction and reduces inter-heterochromatic region interactions, despite

increases in 5^{m}C and H3K9me3. (D) Loss of both CDP-2 and DIM-2 increases histone acetylation, H3K9me3

enrichment, and genome disorder as heterochromatic regions amalgamate with euchromatin.

Fig. 1

A WT Hi-C *Mse*I digest ~14M valid reads
Linkage Group II
CenH3
H3K9me3
WT Hi-C *Dpn*II ~35M valid reads, KR correct
Distance (Mb)
bins
20 kb Resolution Bin Reads (log₁₀)

B Δ*cdp-2* Hi-C *Mse*I digest
Linkage Group II
Δ*cdp-2* Hi-C *Dpn*II, KR correct
Distance (Mb)
bins

C WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I data - 20kb bins
WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Dpn*II, KR correct, 20 kb bins
Distance (Mb)
bins
Fold change from WT (log₂)

D WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I - zoom to centromere contacts, 10kb bins
LG II Right Arm
1.032 Mb
4.464 Mb
Fold change from WT (log₂)
WT H3K9me3

E Quantifying changes in intra-chromosomal contacts (log₂ > 2.0)
*Mse*I datasets
86.1% 7.4% 8.8% 20.9% 21.3% 36.4% 49.2% 69.3%
*Dpn*II datasets
14.4% 45.5% 48.3% 46.5% 57.5% 22.4% 24.9% 49.9%
*H3K9me3 to: *K9me3 *SET-2 K36me2 *K27ac K27ac to SET-2 H3K36me2 *K9me3 *SET-2 K36me2 *K27ac to SET-2 H3K36me2
WT vs. Δ*cdp-2*   WT vs. Δ*chap*

F Δ*chap* Hi-C *Mse*I digest
Δ*chap* Hi-C *Dpn*II, KR correct
Distance (Mb)
bins
20 kb Resolution Bin Reads (log₁₀)

G WT vs. Δ*chap Mse*I data - 20kb bins
WT vs. Δ*chap Dpn*II, KR correct, 20 kb bins
Distance (Mb)
bins
Fold change from WT (log₂)

A WT vs. Δ*cdp-2 Mse*I Hi-C

B WT vs. Δ*chap Mse*I Hi-C

Fig. 2

C Quantifying changes in inter-chromosomal contacts (log₂ > 2.0)

*H3K9me3 to:

A

ash1^Y833F H3K27ac
Bicocca et al., (2018)
Linkage Group II
0 Mb — 4 Mb

WT H3K9me3
Δcdp-2 H3K9me3
WT H4K16ac
Δcdp-2 H4K16ac
WT H3K9ac
Δcdp-2 H3K9ac
genes

3.8 Mb — 4.4 Mb

ash1^Y833F H3K27ac
Bicocca et al., (2018)
WT H3K9me3
Δcdp-2 H3K9me3
WT H4K16ac
Δcdp-2 H4K16ac
WT H3K9ac
Δcdp-2 H3K9ac
genes

B H4K16ac enrichment

-15 kb — +15 kb
WT
region size:
Region Signal (Read count) 0 — 200
WT
-2 kb — +2 kb

Δcdp-2
region size:
Δcdp-2
-2 kb — +2 kb

C H3K9me3 enrichment

-15 kb — +15 kb
WT
region size:
Region Signal (Read count) 0 — 600
WT
-2 kb — +2 kb

Δcdp-2
region size:
Δcdp-2
-2 kb — +2 kb

Centered H3K9me3-marked Constitutive Heterochromatic Regions, as defined in WT

D Linkage Group I

WT H4K16ac
Δcdp-2 H4K16ac
WT H3K9me3
Δcdp-2 H3K9me3

50 kb
LG I
300 kb

Fold change from WT (log₂) 3 — -3

H3K9me3
Δcdp-2
WT
0 kb — 1000 kb
750 — 0 — 750

Fig. 3

A Linkage Group II

Centered H3K9me3-marked Constitutive Heterochromatic Regions, as defined in WT

A Wildtype heterochromatin and genome organization

B HCHC-deficient (Δ*cdp-2*) heterochromatin and genome organization

C HCHC-deficient (Δ*chap*) heterochromatin and genome organization

D HCHC and DNA methylation-deficient heterochromatin and genome organization