Baited reconstruction with 2D template matching for high-resolution structure determination *in vitro* and *in vivo* without template bias

Bronwyn A. Lucas[a,b,c,*], Benjamin A. Himes[a,d] and Nikolaus Grigorieff[a,d,*]

[a] RNA Therapeutics Institute, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA

[b] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

[c] Center for Computational Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

[d] Howard Hughes Medical Institute

*Correspondence: bronwynlucas@berkeley.edu, niko@grigorieff.org

Abstract

Cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) has revolutionized structural biology, rapidly increasing the number of available molecular structures. Because of this, as well as advances in structure prediction, the focus of structural biology has begun to shift to studying macromolecular structures in their native cellular environment. A dominant feature of cryo-EM images is shot noise, making the identification of small particles of interest difficult. This is further compounded by structural noise if these particles are imaged against a background of other molecules, such as inside a cell. 2D template matching (2DTM) can be used to localize complexes with high precision, even in the presence of cellular background. Once localized, these particles may be averaged together in 3D reconstructions; however, regions included in the template may suffer from template bias, leading to inflated resolution estimates and making the interpretation of high-resolution features unreliable. We evaluate conditions that minimize template bias and show that molecular features *not* present in the template can be reconstructed at high resolution from targets found by 2DTM, extending prior work at low-resolution. Moreover, we present a quantitative metric for template bias to aid the interpretation of 3D reconstructions calculated using particles localized by 2DTM.

Introduction

Over the last decade, single-particle electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) has emerged as a high-resolution technique to study molecules and their assemblies in a near-native state (Guaita et al., 2022). In the most favorable cases, close to 1 Å resolution can be achieved, rivaling results obtained by protein crystallography (Nakane et al., 2020; Yip et al., 2020). The resolution obtained from a single-particle dataset depends on the quality of the images, the accuracy of particle alignment and imaging parameters, the structural integrity of the sample, and the number of particles contributing to a reconstruction. For a high-quality dataset, between 20,000 and 70,000 asymmetric units of well-aligned and homogeneous particles have to be averaged to reach sub 2 Å resolution (Nakane et al., 2020; Yip et al., 2020). Methods development in a related cryo-EM technique has also enabled imaging particles *in situ* at high resolution using tomography and subtomogram averaging. These *in situ* subtomogram averages now approach 3 Å resolution (Tegunov et al., 2021), a resolution obtained routinely for single-particle reconstructions *in vitro*. Data collection for tomography requires more time compared to the single-particle technique due to the need for acquiring a tilt series, and processing tends to be computationally more expensive due to the additional degrees of freedom, compared to 2D images used in the single-particle technique. An additional complication of subtomogram averaging for *in situ* imaging is the selection of valid targets, which have to be identified against a background of other molecules inside the cell or tissue being imaged. This is in contrast to a typical single-particle dataset, in which the particles have undergone a purification step that enriches the particle of interest, and imaged in solvent which makes particle selection more reliable.

2D template matching (2DTM) is an approach that can be used to identify target molecules and complexes in cryo-EM images of cells and cell sections, using single images of nominally untilted specimens (Lucas et al., 2022, 2021; Rickgauer et al., 2020, 2017). This approach can be used in combination with 3D template matching (3DTM) to identify targets in tomograms collected from the same areas imaged for 2DTM to achieve improved overall precision and sensitivity of target detection (Lucas et al., 2021). In our previous studies, we have demonstrated that the targets detected using 2DTM can be used to calculate 3D reconstructions showing novel

details not present in the template (Lucas et al., 2022, 2021; Rickgauer et al., 2017). 3D

reconstruction is straightforward because for every detected target, 2DTM also determines their

x,y location in the image, three Euler angles and image defocus, i.e., all the parameters needed to

calculate a single-particle reconstruction. Using this approach, we revealed non-modeled density

for the viral polymerase (VP1) bound to a rotavirus capsid (Rickgauer et al., 2017), for the small

ribosomal subunit (SSU) and tRNAs (Lucas et al., 2022, 2021), as well as structural differences

between *M. pneumoniae* and *B. subtilis* large ribosomal subunits (LSUs) (Lucas et al., 2021).

Interpretation of reconstructions obtained from 2DTM targets can be hindered by template bias

(Lucas et al., 2022, 2021), i.e., the reproduction of modeled features included in the template,

that are reproduced in the reconstructions, even though they do not correspond to structural

features in the detected particles. This could result from inclusion of pure noise particles and/or

local overfitting of particle parameters in the presence of signal. Our previous studies showed

that template bias does not prevent the discovery of new structural features at low resolution that

were not represented by the template, but it has yet to be determined if this is true for high-

resolution features, which are more susceptible to noise overfitting. 2DTM could in principle be

used to study the structure of targets at high-resolution, that would otherwise be too small to

identify on their own, as long as they bind or are otherwise rigidly attached to a larger target that

can be located by 2DTM. However, due to limitations in the number and heterogeneity of

particles in previous studies, it was unclear whether this approach could indeed recover reliable

high-resolution information.

In the present study, we explore this possibility further to assess the resolution that can be

obtained in unmodeled regions omitted from the template. We analyze a published single particle

dataset of β-galactosidase using 2DTM, and 60S LSUs detected in images of *S. cerevisiae*

lamellae. In both cases, we show high resolution in areas of the reconstruction that were omitted

in the template, demonstrating the utility of 2DTM for structure discovery. We present a new

metric to quantify template bias in a template-based 3D reconstruction, making reconstruction

from 2DTM targets a more broadly useful tool.

Results

Reconstruction of the beta-galactosidase ligand binding pocket

To show the potential of 2DTM to reveal new structural details at high resolution, we analyzed a

published single-particle cryo-EM dataset of *E. coli* β-galactosidase (Bgal) bound to phenylethyl

β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (PETG) (Saur et al., 2020). The dataset was used previously to

calculate a 2.2 Å single-particle reconstruction (EMDB-10574) that displays density for a

number of specifically bound water molecules in the structure, including in the PETG binding

pocket. The authors also built an atomic model into the high-resolution map (PDB: 6TTE). For

our template, however, we used an atomic model of ligand-free Bgal determined by X-ray

crystallography at 1.7 Å (PDB: 1DP0) (Juers et al., 2000). Using a model that was built into a

map that is independent from the data analyzed by 2DTM aids our demonstration of 2DTM as a

tool that can make use of atomic models experimentally unrelated to the data being analyzed.

To demonstrate high resolution in areas omitted in the template, we removed atoms in the

vicinity of all D2 symmetry-related ligand binding pockets, within a 10 Å radius centered on the

side chain amide nitrogen atom of asparagine 102 (in PDB: 1DP0). The truncated atomic model

was used to generate a template with *cis*TEM's simulator (Himes and Grigorieff, 2021) (see

Methods). We searched 558 micrographs downloaded from the EMPIAR database (EMPIAR-

10644) and obtained 59,259 targets with 2DTM SNRs above a threshold of 7.3 (**Figure 1A**), the

standard threshold calculated to limit the average number of false positives to one per

micrograph based on the given search parameters (Rickgauer et al., 2017). To reduce the

particles to a number closer to the final dataset used to calculate the 2.2 Å cryo-EM map in

(49,895), and to enrich for the particles most similar to the template (similar to selecting the best

classes in Saur et al., 2020), we limited our targets to those with 2DTM SNRs above 9.0 and

obtained a final dataset of 55,627 particles.

The identified 55,627 targets were extracted together with their template-matched x,y positions,

Euler angles and CTFFIND4-derived defocus values using prepare_stack_matchtemplate (Lucas

et al., 2021), and the particle stack and alignment parameters were imported into *cis*TEM as a

refinement package for further single particle processing. The Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC)

(Harauz and Heel, 1986) for the initial reconstruction calculated from the template-matched

alignment parameters indicated a resolution of 2.4 Å (FSC = 0.143) (Rosenthal and Henderson,

2003). We performed further refinement against the template while keeping the refinement

resolution limit of 3.0 Å – one cycle of defocus and beam tilt refinement, followed by a

refinement of alignment parameters and another cycle of defocus and beam tilt parameters. The

final reconstruction (**Figure 1B**) displayed a resolution according to the FSC of 2.2 Å (**Figure**

1C). As mentioned above and previously discussed (Lucas et al., 2021), resolution estimates

based on the FSC can be affected by template bias. Therefore, the present estimate has to be

considered unreliable, and has to be supported by additional evidence, such as inspection of

features visible in the density map.

Peaks corresponding to detected targets are clearly visible (**Figure 1A**). The average 2DTM SNR

for this dataset was 11.6, and a maximum of 16.3, which is in the range of what is expected for a

465-kDa target (Rickgauer et al., 2020, 2017). The refined reconstruction shows clear density for

PETG and water molecules in the ligand binding pocket that were omitted in the template

(**Figure 1B**). Comparison of this reconstruction with the published map (**Figure 1B**) suggests

that they are virtually identical and that there is little or no evidence of template bias in the

2DTM reconstruction. An assessment of the local resolution using Phenix (Liebschner et al.,

2019) further indicates a resolution of about 1.8 Å in the binding pocket, consistent with the clear

density for water.

Baited reconstruction visualizes ribosomes at near atomic resolution in FIB-milled lamellae

To investigate whether 2DTM can be used to generate reliable high-resolution reconstructions

from images derived from cellular samples, we used a previously published dataset of 37 images

of 4 FIB-milled lamellae generated from *S. cerevisiae* cells treated with the translation inhibitor

cycloheximide (CHX) to enrich the ribosome population in a single state (**Figure 2A**) (Lucas and

Grigorieff, 2023). We identified 12210 large ribosomal subunits with 2DTM in the cytoplasm

using a threshold of 7.85 which corresponds to an expectation of one false positive per image

across the data set, or ~0.3% of the particles (**Figure 2B**). Local positional and orientational

refinement was performed using the *cis*TEM program refine_template (Lucas et al., 2021) and

the original template as a reference. The refined 2DTM coordinates were used to calculate an

initial reconstruction with a nominal resolution of 3.15 Å (FSC = 0.143) (Rosenthal and

Henderson, 2003) (**Figure 2C, Figure 2—figure supplement 1A**). One cycle of defocus and

beam tilt alignment improved the resolution to 3.1 Å (**Figure 2A,B**). Unlike for the *in vitro* Bgal

reconstruction, further refinement of the alignment parameters using the reconstruction as a

reference caused the resolution to decrease to 8 Å. The reconstruction has reduced signal at high

spatial frequencies relative to the template as indicated by the half-map FSC (**Figure 2—figure**

supplement 1A). This, combined with the higher background and lack of low-resolution contrast

of the ribosomes in the cellular lamella relative to a purified sample, may reduce the alignment

accuracy relative to the high-resolution 2DTM template. This highlights the importance of high

spatial frequencies for alignment of particles in images with strong background.

As previously reported, we found density consistent with the small ribosomal subunit (SSU) and

tRNAs, that did not derive from the template. In the present case, local resolution estimation

shows that parts of the SSU are resolved at < 4 Å resolution (**Figure 2B**). The SSU is

conformationally variable and shows considerable positional heterogeneity relative to the LSU.

Therefore, this value is likely an underestimate of the potential attainable resolution in

reconstructions from 2DTM targets in cells. Although the map represents an average of all states

identified, we observed clear density for tRNAs in the A/A and P/P state with apparent density

for the polypeptide on the A site tRNA (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2**) and no clear density

for E-site tRNAs. This allowed us to conclude that CHX stalls ribosomes in the classical PRE

translocation state *in vivo*, likely by preventing transition of the P/P tRNA to the P/E state

consistent with an *in vitro* structure of the translating *Neurospora crassa* ribosome (Shen et al.,

2021) and inference from ribosome profiling data (Lareau et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2019). The

relatively low resolution of the tRNAs likely reflects the mixed pool of tRNA depending on the

codons on which the ribosome stalled as well as a mixture of states.

Visualization of drug-target interactions in cells

Drug-target interactions can be visualized at high-resolution *in vitro* with cryo-EM and X-ray

crystallography. However, it is unclear whether this recapitulates the binding site *in vivo,*

possibly missing weak interactions that are disrupted during purification. Visualizing drug target

interactions in cells is therefore an important goal. We observed additional density near the

ribosomal E site not present in the template that is consistent with the position of CHX in a

previously published crystal structure (Loubresse et al., 2014) (**Figure 2C**). The density was

sufficiently well resolved to dock CHX and provide *in vivo* confirmation for the position and

orientation of CHX binding in the E site.

We noted several key differences between the model built from the *in vitro* CHX-bound structure

and the *in situ* CHX-bound structure. Firstly, we did not observe density for eIF5A but did

observe density consistent with binding of spermidine (**Figure 2D**), as has been observed

previously for the *in vitro* CHX-bound *Neurospora crassa* ribosome (PDB: 7R81) (Shen et al.,

2021). This demonstrates that spermidine can bind to ribosomes within cells, however, whether

spermidine binds as part of the translation cycle or whether stalling of translation with CHX

allowed for spermidine to bind is unclear. Baited reconstruction with 2DTM could be used to

further probe the function of polyamides to regulate translation *in vivo*.

Baited reconstruction using the large ribosomal subunit as a template model allowed us to

visualize the binding of small molecules such as drugs and polyamides to the ribosome within

cells. This demonstrates the power of baited reconstruction to reveal biologically relevant

features that would only be evident at high resolution.

Omit templates reveal high resolution features without template bias

The local resolution of parts of the LSU were measured at ~3 Å, however, this region overlapped

with the template and therefore the resolution measure using standard tools may be unreliable.

To assess the resolution in this region we repeated this experiment with a template that lacked

the ribosomal protein L7A. Since this protein was not present in the template, any density in this

region cannot be due to template bias. We found that the local resolution of L7A was

indistinguishable from the surrounding density and showed varying local resolution from 3.2 to

4.5 Å (**Figure 3A-B**). The density was sufficiently well resolved to observe side chains in

regions that were lacking from the template (**Figure 3C**). This suggests that baited reconstruction

with 2DTM coordinates can be used to generate high-resolution reconstructions from cellular

samples, free from template bias, and demonstrates an approach to verify local resolution

estimates.

To examine the recovery of high-resolution information with single residue precision, we
generated another truncated template by removing every 20th residue from each chain. This
resulted in a total reduction of 51 kDa or ~3% of the template mass. We then localized 12090
targets using the same 2DTM protocol as for the full-length template. The small difference in
template mass minimally affected target detection, only 120 targets (<1%) were missed, and
there were minimal deviations in the locations and orientations for the remaining targets. The 3D
reconstruction generated from the detected targets showed clear density corresponding to
nucleotides (**Figure 3D,E**) and various amino acids (**Figure 3F-K**) that were missing from the
template and therefore cannot derive from template bias. This demonstrates that omitting small
randomly scattered regions from a 2DTM template can be used to assess template bias
throughout the reconstruction.
229
Quantifying template bias
The calculation of reconstructions from targets identified by 2DTM, which relies on *a priori*
structural models, bears the danger of generating results that reproduce features of the template
even when these features are absent from the targets to be detected. In the field of cryo-EM, this
is often referred to as the "Einstein from noise" problem (Henderson, 2013). The risk of template
bias increases with dataset size (number of images), as well as the ratio of false positives vs true
positives. Template bias in reconstructions generated from 2DTM targets is generally avoided
because the scoring function (SNR threshold) is set to reject most false positives. To quantify
template bias in reconstructions at various 2DTM SNR thresholds, we generated a series of
reconstructions at different thresholds using targets identified with a full-length LSU template
("full" template) and the template lacking 3% of the residues ("omit" template) covering
different areas of the model, while retaining most detections relative to the full template as
described above (**Figure 4A**). We wrote a new *cis*TEM program measure_template_bias (see
Methods) that calculates the difference between map densities ρ_{full} and ρ_{omit} in these
reconstructions, in the omitted regions:
245

246
$$\Omega = \frac{\rho_{full} - \rho_{omit}}{\rho_{full}}$$

247   (1)

As expected, for high 2DTM SNR thresholds (few or no false positives), the template bias Ω was

only a few percent, while for lower thresholds, it approached 100% (**Figure 4A**). This was

consistent with increased density in the reconstructions using the full template relative to the

omit template (**Figure 4C**). The observed lower limit of Ω of ~8% (**Figure 4A**) is likely due to

some overfitting of noise when template-matching true particles, rather than inclusion of false

positives. Further work to quantify Ω at different spatial frequencies will be informative to assess

the contribution of local overfitting to template bias.

If we assume that the template bias is proportional to the rate of false positive detection, r_f, we

can plot the expected false positive rate, $r_{f,model}$, against the observed template bias Ω (**Figure**

4B). The expected false positive rate is given by the complementary error function (Rickgauer et

al., 2017) as

261
$$r_{f,model} = \frac{1}{2} erfc\left(\frac{SNR_t}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

262   (2)

where SNR_t is the 2DTM SNR threshold applied to the template search results. The plot shows

that the template bias is not proportional to the expected false positive rate (**Figure 4B**). This is

likely due to the variable background found in images of lamellae, which means that the spectral

whitening that is applied to the images before the search (Rickgauer et al., 2017) does not whiten

all areas of the images evenly. This results in local deviations of the background (noise)

distribution from the Gaussian noise model implied in Eq. (2), leading to higher-than-expected

false positive ratios at low SNR thresholds.

If we estimate the number of true targets at 13456 (the number of targets identified by both

templates at a threshold of 7.85) and recalculate the number of false positives as the overall

number of detected targets in excess of this number, the template bias is approximately

proportional to the false positive rate (red line in **Figure 4B**). Further work is required to develop

an improved noise model that predicts the correct number of false positives in images of variable

contrast, such as images of cellular lamellae.

Discussion

We show here that baited reconstruction with 2DTM can reveal high resolution detail in regions

not modeled in the template. Using a previously published single particle dataset we observe

interactions between specific sidechains with water and a ligand. Using particles localized in

FIB-milled yeast lamellae, we observe specific binding of the drug CHX and polyamides to the

ribosome in cells. We show that baited reconstruction can be used to recover high-resolution

features in cells without template bias in regions omitted from the template, and quantify

template bias in regions overlapping the template. The use of 2D images to generate high

resolution reconstructions makes this process significantly faster and less computationally

expensive relative to tomography. Baited reconstruction is analogous to a "pull down" assay in

molecular biology, wherein a "bait" molecule is used to capture and identify novel interacting

"prey". This strategy is distinct from prior structure determination strategies because it makes

use of a high-resolution template, traditionally avoided to prevent introducing template bias

artifacts (Henderson, 2013). Baited reconstruction leverages the advantages of precise targeting

with a high-resolution template, while avoiding the template bias by focusing on regions omitted

from, or external to the template. Baited reconstruction can therefore leverage the wealth of

existing structural data, as well as molecular models generated by the newly available structure

prediction tools (Baek et al., 2021; Evans et al., 2022; Jumper et al., 2021), to approach

biological and pharmacological questions *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Implications for drug discovery

One of the most direct applications of this approach is to drug discovery. During the drug

development pipeline, potentially thousands of variants of a lead compound are tested relative to

a single protein target. Determining the structures of each in complex with its protein partner

using the traditional single-particle cryo-EM workflow can be time-consuming and laborious,

and often requires image processing expertise. The strategy presented here could be used to

streamline this process substantially.

The ribosome is a major target of antibiotic and anticancer drugs. We have demonstrated that

baited reconstruction with 2DTM can reveal drug-ribosome interactions directly in cells. The

reconstructions are at comparable resolution relative to the state-of-the-art from tomography,

while using a more streamlined data collection and processing pipeline that could be easily

automated. This approach could therefore be used to more efficiently characterize the

mechanism of action of antibiotic drugs directly in cells. Since 2DTM does not require

purification, the interactions with other cellular complexes can also be investigated.

2DTM accelerates high-resolution in situ structure determination

Baited reconstruction is substantially faster and a more streamlined pipeline for *in situ* structure

determination compared to cryo-ET and subtomogram averaging. Current pipelines for *in situ*

structure determination using cryo-ET and sub-tomogram averaging are time-consuming and

require expert knowledge to curate an effective pipeline. We expect focused classification to

identify sub-populations to further improve the resolution of *in situ* reconstructions from 2DTM

targets. This shows that it is not necessary to use tomography to generate high-resolution

reconstructions of macromolecular complexes in cells, vastly reducing the time and expense for

structure determination in cells.

Our approach also differs from the recently published isSPA method (Cheng et al., 2023, 2021).

isSPA follows the traditional single-particle workflow, applied to particles in their native

environment. Particles are selected using a template that is limited to an intermediate resolution

of 8 Å, resulting in an initial particle stack that contains many false positives. Selection of the top

scores, followed by standard single-particle classification and alignment protocols then yields

reconstructions of the detected targets. This approach is particularly successful in situations

where there is a high concentration of the particle of interest, such as Rubisco inside the

carboxysome (Cheng et al., 2021), capsid proteins in viral capsids (Cheng et al., 2021), and

phycobilisome and photosystem II in the thylakoid membranes inside *Pennisetum purpureum*

cells (Cheng et al., 2023). In contrast, using 2DTM, we select targets with high fidelity, avoid

false positives, and determine the molecule pose to high accuracy without the need for an

intermediate reconstruction from the detected targets to act as reference for further refinement.

By using the full resolution of the signal present in the images, 2DTM is also more sensitive than

isSPA. These differences mean that 2DTM requires fewer, and potentially smaller particles to

achieve high-resolution structures compared to isSPA and other techniques following the

canonical single-particle averaging workflow. As demonstrated here, the detection criterion used

in 2DTM largely avoids overfitting artifacts in reconstructions by eliminating images that are not

statistically distinguishable from noise. This makes 2DTM particularly useful for *in situ* structure

determination, which is often limited by the low abundance of the target complexes inside the

cell. By reducing the number of particles needed to achieve high-resolution reconstructions in

cells, baited reconstruction with 2DTM will make it possible to determine the structures of less

abundant complexes in cells.

Application to in vitro single particle analysis

Our results of a single particle dataset of purified Bgal demonstrates another use case for 2DTM.

In the original analysis of this dataset using the traditional single-particle workflow, 136013

particles were initially selected using template-based particle picking (Gautomatch 0.56,

http://www.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/kzhang/) (Saur et al., 2020). 2D classification, ab-initio

reconstruction, and further 3D classification eventually yielded a 2.2 Å reconstruction showing

the bound ligand (PETG). The same result was achieved with a simple run of 2DTM, without

requiring manual intervention or expert knowledge. In a separate 2DTM search using the first

277 images of the dataset and a crystal structure of GroEL (PDB: 1GRL) as a template— a

particle of comparable size to Bgal— we detected only 53 targets above the default SNR

threshold (excluding two images that had sharp black lines across them), and none above a

threshold of 9.0. This further demonstrates the high level of discrimination of 2DTM between

true and false positives, as shown earlier (Rickgauer et al., 2017). Besides the streamlined

workflow, 2DTM can therefore also be used in the presence of impurities to reliably select the

particles of interest. Using multiple templates, particles could be classified to arrive at

quantitative estimates of particles occupying defined conformational states. The reduced need for

sample purity and dataset size to perform such analyses may further accelerate the 2DTM

workflow, compared to the traditional single particle workflow, provided appropriate templates

are available.

Furthermore, validation of map and model quality is a major challenge in cryo-EM. Current

methods use low-pass filtered templates to avoid template bias at high-spatial frequencies. We

here present a quantitative estimate of local and global template bias in sequence space. This will

allow the full resolution of the template to be used to localize particles more specifically and

avoid false positives. This may assist in identification of particle classes in the localization stage

and can streamline the reconstruction process. Estimating template bias with baited

reconstruction can provide a quantitative metric of map and model quality that may find broad

utility in single particle and in situ workflows.

Future applications

We have shown that it is possible to recover single residue detail, and even the location of water

molecules in the most favorable cases, using baited reconstruction with cryo-EM. This approach

is analogous to the use of OMIT maps in X-ray crystallography to avoid model bias (Bhat and

Cohen, 1984; Hodel et al., 1992) and the M-free used to estimate reference bias in subtomogram

averaging (Yu and Frangakis, 2014). Our approach differs by sampling random residues

throughout the sequence and consequently provides higher precision in the estimation of

template bias at high resolution. By further analogy to X-ray crystallography, the strategy we

presented here could be extended by tiling through the template model, omitting overlapping

features and combining the densities in each omitted region to form a continuous 3D map in

which the density for each residue was omitted from the template, comparable in principle to a

composite OMIT map (Terwilliger et al., 2008). While currently computationally expensive and

therefore not feasible in most cases, this strategy could yield a reconstruction that is devoid of

template bias while retaining the benefits of precise localization and identification of the targets.

Materials and Methods

Yeast culture and FIB-milling

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains BY4741 (ATCC) colonies were grown to mid log phase in

YPD, diluted to 10,000 cells/mL and treated with 10 μg/mL cycloheximide (Sigma) for 10 min

at 30 °C with shaking as described in (Lucas and Grigorieff, 2023). 3 μL was applied to a 2/1 or

2/2 Quantifoil 200 mesh SiO_2 Cu grid, allowed to rest for 15 s, back-side blotted for 8 s at 27 °C,

95% humidity followed by plunge freezing in liquid ethane at –184 °C using a Leica EM GP2

plunger. Frozen grids were stored in liquid nitrogen until FIB-milled. FIB-milling was performed

as described in (Lucas and Grigorieff, 2023).

Simulating 3D templates

The atomic coordinates from the indicated PDBs were used to generate a 3D volume using the

*cis*TEM (Grant et al., 2018) program simulate (Himes and Grigorieff, 2021). For the Bgal

template, we used a pixel size of 0.672 Å, which is slightly smaller than published for this

dataset (0.68 Å). The smaller pixel size was obtained by fitting the 1.7 Å X-ray structure (PDB:

1DP0) into the published 2.2 Å cryo-EM map of PETG-bound Bgal, and adjusting the pixel size

of the map to achieve optimal density overlap between model and map in UCSF Chimera

(Pettersen et al., 2004). Bgal micrograph movie data were downloaded from the EMPIAR

database (EMPIAR-10644) and processed with the *cis*TEM image processing package (Grant et

al., 2018) using Unblur (Grant and Grigorieff, 2015) to align and average the exposure-weighted

movie frames, and CTFFIND4 (Rohou and Grigorieff, 2015) to determine image defocus values.

Four of the 562 micrographs were discarded based on lack of clear CTF Thon rings or ice crystal

contamination. The remaining 558 images were processed using *cis*TEM's template matching

implementation (Lucas et al., 2021), yielding 59,259 targets with 2DTM SNRs above a threshold

of 7.3. Details on template generation are summarized in Table 1.

2D Template Matching

2DTM was performed using the program *match_template* (Lucas et al., 2021) implemented in

the *cis*TEM graphical user interface (Grant et al., 2018). For the Bgal searches, an in-plane

angular step of 1.5º and an out-of-plane angular step of 2.5º, and D2 symmetry was used (no

defocus search). This yielded a threshold of 7.30 calculated from a total number of ~ 6.88×10^{12}

search locations, identifying targets with an average of one false positive per image.

For the LSU, an in-plane angular step of 1.5º and an out-of-plane angular step of 2.5º, C1

symmetry and defocus search of ±1200 Å with a 200 Å step was used. This yielded a threshold

of 7.85 calculated from a total number of ~4.88×10^{14} search locations, identifying targets with

an average of one false positive per image.

Generating 3D reconstructions

The *cis*TEM program prepare_stack_matchtemplate (Lucas et al., 2021) was used to generate

particle stacks from the refined coordinates from the 2DTM searches followed by reconstruction

using the *cis*TEM program reconstruct3d as described in the text. Local resolution estimation

was performed using the local resolution tool in Phenix (Liebschner et al., 2019) using a box size

of 7 Å (Bgal) or 12 Å (ribosome). To visualize regions of the ribosome reconstruction outside of

the LSU template we used the UCSF ChimeraX (Pettersen et al., 2021) volume tools to segment

the map using a radius of 3 Å from the template atoms. UCSF Chimera (Bgal) (Pettersen et al.,

2004) or ChimeraX (ribosome) (Pettersen et al., 2021) were used for visualization.

Quantifying template bias

We wrote a program, measure_template_bias, which is part of the *cis*TEM software (Grant et al.,

2018), to assess the degree of template bias present in a reconstruction, calculated from detected

2DTM targets. The program requires two templates on input, one template representing the full

structure of the targets to be found (full template), and one containing omitted elements of the

structure that serve as test regions to assess template bias (omit template). The program also

requires the two reconstructions that were calculated form targets detected by these two

templates (full reconstruction and omit reconstruction). The two templates and the two

reconstructions have to be identically density-scaled, respectively. Using the two templates,

measure_template_bias calculates a difference map that leaves only non-zero densities in areas

omitted in the omit template. The difference map is then used as a mask to identify the test

regions used to assess template bias. The densities in the test regions are summed for the two

input reconstructions, yielding ρ_{full} and ρ_{omit}, respectively. The average degree of template

bias (Ω) is then defined as the difference between ρ_{full} and ρ_{omit}, relative to ρ_{full} (Eq. (1)). Ω

can assume values between 0 and 1 (100%), with 0 representing the least degree of template bias,

and 1 representing the highest degree of template bias. If the degree of template bias has to be

evaluated more locally, measure_template_bias also accepts a difference map, instead of the two

templates, that will be used to identify the areas to be used for measuring template bias.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the members of the Grigorieff lab for helpful discussions. We are also grateful

for the use of and support from the cryo-EM facility at UMass Chan Medical School.

Funding

BAL and NG gratefully acknowledge funding from the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, grant # 2021-234617 (5022).

Author contributions

Bronwyn A Lucas, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing – review and editing; Benjamin A Himes, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – review and editing; Nikolaus Grigorieff, Conceptualization, Software, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing – review and editing

References

Baek M, DiMaio F, Anishchenko I, Dauparas J, Ovchinnikov S, Lee GR, Wang J, Cong Q, Kinch LN, Schaeffer RD, Millán C, Park H, Adams C, Glassman CR, DeGiovanni A, Pereira JH, Rodrigues AV, Dijk AAV, Ebrecht AC, Opperman DJ, Sagmeister T, Buhlheller C, Pavkov-Keller T, Rathinaswamy MK, Dalwadi U, Yip CK, Burke JE, Garcia KC, Grishin NV, Adams PD, Read RJ, Baker D. 2021. Accurate prediction of protein structures and interactions using a three-track neural network. *Science* **373**:871–876.

Bhat TN, Cohen GH. 1984. OMITMAP: An electron density map suitable for the examination of errors in a macromolecular model. *J Appl Crystallogr* **17**:244–248.

Cheng J, Li B, Si L, Zhang X. 2021. Determining structures in a native environment using single-particle cryoelectron microscopy images. *The Innovation* **2**:100166.

Cheng J, Liu T, You X, Zhang F, Sui S-F, Wan X, Zhang X. 2023. Determining protein structures in cellular lamella at pseudo-atomic resolution by GisSPA. *Nat Commun* **14**:1282.

Evans R, O'Neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R, Blackwell S, Yim J, Ronneberger O, Bodenstein S, Zielinski M, Bridgland A, Potapenko A, Cowie A, Tunyasuvunakool K, Jain R, Clancy E, Kohli P, Jumper J, Hassabis D. 2022. Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer.

Grant T, Grigorieff N. 2015. Measuring the optimal exposure for single particle cryo-EM using a 2.6 Å reconstruction of rotavirus VP6. *eLife* **4**:e06980.

Grant T, Rohou A, Grigorieff N. 2018. CisTEM, user-friendly software for single-particle image processing. *eLife* **7**:e35383.

Guaita M, Watters SC, Loerch S. 2022. Recent advances and current trends in cryo-electron microscopy. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **77**:102484.

Harauz G, Heel M van. 1986. Exact filters for general geometry three dimensional reconstruction. *Optik* **73**:146–156.

Henderson R. 2013. Avoiding the pitfalls of single particle cryo-electron microscopy: Einstein from noise. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**:18037–18041.

Himes B, Grigorieff N. 2021. Cryo-TEM simulations of amorphous radiation-sensitive samples using multislice wave propagation. *IUCrJ* **8**:943–953. doi:10.1107/S2052252521008538

Hodel A, Kim S-H, Brünger AT. 1992. Model bias in macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr A* **48**:851–858.

Juers DH, Jacobson RH, Wigley D, Zhang X-J, Huber RE, Tronrud DE, Matthews BW. 2000. High resolution refinement of β-galactosidase in a new crystal form reveals multiple metal-binding sites and provides a structural basis for α-complementation. *Protein Sci* **9**:1685–1699.

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**:583–589.

Lareau LF, Hite DH, Hogan GJ, Brown PO. 2014. Distinct stages of the translation elongation cycle revealed by sequencing ribosome-protected mRNA fragments. *eLife* **3**:e01257.

Liebschner D, Afonine PV, Baker ML, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Croll TI, Hintze B, Hung LW, Jain S, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD, Poon BK, Prisant MG, Read RJ, Richardson JS, Richardson DC, Sammito MD, Sobolev OV, Stockwell DH, Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev AG, Videau LL, Williams CJ, Adams PD. 2019. Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Urnissn2059-7983* **75**:861–877.

Loubresse NGD, Prokhorova I, Holtkamp W, Rodnina MV, Yusupova G, Yusupov M. 2014. Structural basis for the inhibition of the eukaryotic ribosome. *Nat 2014 5137519* **513**:517–522.

Lucas BA, Grigorieff N. 2023. Quantification of gallium cryo-FIB milling damage in biological lamellae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **120**:e2301852120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2301852120

Lucas BA, Himes BA, Xue L, Grant T, Mahamid J, Grigorieff N. 2021. Locating macromolecular assemblies in cells by 2D template matching with cisTEM. *eLife* **10**.

Lucas BA, Zhang K, Loerch S, Grigorieff N. 2022. In situ single particle classification reveals distinct 60S maturation intermediates in cells. *eLife* **11**.

Nakane T, Kotecha A, Sente A, McMullan G, Masiulis S, Brown PMGE, Grigoras IT, Malinauskaite L, Malinauskas T, Miehling J, Uchański T, Yu L, Karia D, Pechnikova EV, Jong E de, Keizer J, Bischoff M, McCormack J, Tiemeijer P, Hardwick SW, Chirgadze DY, Murshudov G, Aricescu AR, Scheres SHW. 2020. Single-particle cryo-EM at atomic resolution. *Nat 2020 5877832* **587**:152–156.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004. UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**:1605–1612.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc* **30**:70.

Rickgauer JP, Choi H, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Denk W. 2020. Label-free single-instance protein detection in vitrified cells. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.22.053868.

Rickgauer JP, Grigorieff N, Denk W. 2017. Single-protein detection in crowded molecular environments in cryo-EM images. *eLife* **6**:e25648.

Rohou A, Grigorieff N. 2015. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**:216–221.

Rosenthal PB, Henderson R. 2003. Optimal Determination of Particle Orientation, Absolute Hand, and Contrast Loss in Single-particle Electron Cryomicroscopy. *J Mol Biol* **333**:721–745.

Saur M, Hartshorn MJ, Dong J, Reeks J, Bunkoczi G, Jhoti H, Williams PA. 2020. Fragment-based drug discovery using cryo-EM. *Drug Discov Today* **25**:485–490.

Shen L, Su Z, Yang K, Wu C, Becker T, Bell-Pedersen D, Zhang J, Sachs MS. 2021. Structure of the translating Neurospora ribosome arrested by cycloheximide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**:e2111862118.

Tegunov D, Xue L, Dienemann C, Cramer P, Mahamid J. 2021. Multi-particle cryo-EM refinement with M visualizes ribosome-antibiotic complex at 3.5 Å in cells. *Nat Methods* **18**:186–193.

564   Terwilliger TC, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Afonine PV, Moriarty NW, Adams PD, Read RJ, Zwart
565   PH, Hung L-W. 2008. Iterative-build OMIT maps: map improvement by iterative model
566   building and refinement without model bias. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **64**:515–
567   524.
568   Wu ACC-C, Zinshteyn B, Wehner KA, Correspondence RG, Wu CC-C, Green R. 2019. High-
569   Resolution Ribosome Profiling Defines Discrete Ribosome Elongation States and
570   Translational Regulation during Cellular Stress Article High-Resolution Ribosome
571   Profiling Defines Discrete Ribosome Elongation States and Translational Regulation
572   during Cellular Stress. *Mol Cell* **73**:959–970.
573   Yip KM, Fischer N, Paknia E, Chari A, Stark H. 2020. Atomic-resolution protein structure
574   determination by cryo-EM. *Nat 2020 5877832* **587**:157–161.
575   Yu Z, Frangakis AS. 2014. M-free: Scoring the reference bias in sub-tomogram averaging and
576   template matching. *J Struct Biol* **187**:10–19.
577
578

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Baited reconstruction for visualization of Beta galactosidase ligand binding

pocket at high resolution. (A) Reconstruction of Bgal from 2DTM coordinates using images

from a previously published dataset (Saur et al., 2020) using a Bgal crystal structure (PDB:

1DP0) (Juers et al., 2000) as a template, with a 10 Å sphere around the PETG ligand omitted. **(B)**

A 2D slice through the reconstruction in (A) including the region deleted from the density shows

no obvious discontinuity in the density. **(C)** A view of the density in (A) indicated with a red

box, with regions within 1.8 Å of the template model highlighted in red. Gray indicates density

of Bgal outside of the template, purple indicates density consistent with the position of PETG

and blue indicates additional density that likely represent water molecules. **(D)** A stick diagram

showing the locations of the atoms in the template used for template matching. **(E)** Published

density from (Saur et al., 2020) aligned and scaled as in (A). **(F)** As in (B), showing a region of

the published density in (E). **(G)** As in (C), showing the same region of the published density in

(E). **(H)** As in (D), showing all atoms annotated in the crystal structure, including those omitted

before generating the 2DTM template.

Figure 2: Visualizing drugs and small molecules bound to the ribosome *in vivo*. (A) A

reconstruction of the ribosome from 2DTM coordinates identified in the cytoplasm of FIB-milled

Sacchromyces cerevisiae cell sections showing clear density for both the 60S (part of the

template) and the 40S (outside of the template). **(B)** A slice of the reconstruction in (A),

indicating the local resolution using the indicated color coding. The arrow indicates the P-site

tRNA. **(C)** Regions of the density >3 Å from the template model are indicated in pink. The

crystal structure PDB: 4U3U was aligned with the template model and the position of

cycloheximide (CHX) was not altered. **(D)** As in C, showing density corresponding to a

spermidine (PDB: 7R81) and unaccounted for density outside of the template (black arrow),

which may also represent a polyamide.

Figure 3: Baited reconstruction reveals high-resolution features *in vivo* without template

bias. (A) Slice of a reconstruction using 2DTM coordinates identified with a template lacking

the protein L7A. Color coding indicates the local resolution as indicated in the key. **(B)** As in

(A), pink indicates the 2DTM template used to identify the targets used in the reconstruction. **(C)**

The model PDB: 6Q8Y is shown in the density. Red corresponds to the protein L7A, which was

omitted from the template used to identify targets for the reconstruction. Blue corresponds to

model features that were present in the template. **(D)** Single nucleotide omit template and **(E)**

reconstruction showing emergence of density outside of the template, including a phosphate

bulge, black arrow. Single amino acid omit templates lacking Phe **(F)**, Arg **(H)** or Se **(J)** and

density **(G, I, K)**, respectively showing emergence of features consistent with each amino acid.

Figure 4: Baited reconstruction provides quantitative metric for template bias. (A)

Observed template bias (Ω) calculated using the *cis*TEM program measure_template_bias as a

function of the 2DTM SNR threshold used to select targets from images of yeast lamellae. Blue

arrows indicate the reconstructions shown in **Figure 4C**. **(B)** Plot showing a comparison of the

predicted false positive rate and the observed Ω. The plotted straight line indicates the best fit

linear function $y = 0.96x - 0.05$. **(C)** Images showing the same region of maps resulting from

reconstruction using targets identified with the indicated template at the indicated 2DTM SNR

threshold. Red indicates the location of the omitted residue in the omit template.

Figure 1—figure supplement 1: (A) Example micrograph from (Saur et al., 2020). Scale bar

indicates 200 Å. **(B)** Maximum intensity projection showing the maximum 2DTM SNR at each

pixel after a 2DTM search of the image in (A). **(C)** 2D projections indicating the best matching

2D templates of Bgal in the image in (A). **(D)** Estimated local resolution of the reconstruction in

Figure 1A, as indicated by the color key. **(E)** Region of the reconstruction in (D) shown in

Figure 1B. (F) FSC evaluated between the half-maps as a function of spatial frequency.

Figure 2—figure supplement 1: (A) Plot showing the FSC of the two half maps of the

reconstruction shown in **Figure 2**. **(B)** Density showing the region of the map in **Figure 2D** color

coded by the local density.

Figure 2—figure supplement 2: Cycloheximide stalls the ribosome in a non-rotated PRE-

translocation state *in vivo*. (A) Local resolution filtered map shown in **Figure 2**, only showing

features outside of the template shows density consistent with A/A and P/P tRNAs. **(B)** The same

region of the map rotated to show weak density in the polypeptide exit tunnel consistent with the

nascent polypeptide attached to the A-site tRNA, consistent with the non-rotated PRE-

translocation state.

Table 1: Preparation and simulation of the 3D templates used in this study

Template name	PDB	PDB modified?	Resolution of PDB map (Å)	PDB B-factor scaling	Additional B-factor applied (Å²)	Pixel size (Å)	Box size (pixels)
Bgal	1DP0	10 Å sphere around Asp 102 deleted HETATOMs excluded	1.7	0.0	50.0	0.672	512
LSU	6Q8Y	Only atomic coordinates corresponding to the LSU included HETATOMs excluded	3.1	0	30	1.06	384
LSU (Δ*L7A*)	6Q8Y	Only atomic coordinates corresponding to the LSU included Atomic coordinates corresponding to L7A excluded HETATOMs excluded	3.1	0	30	1.06	384

Figure 1

Figure 1-figure supplement 1

Figure 2

Figure 2-figure supplement 1

A

Ribosomes from CHX-treated cells

N = 12,210

Half-map FSC axis: 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0

Resolution [1/Å] axis: 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5

B

3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 6.0

Figure 2-figure supplement 2

A P/P A/A

B Nascent polypeptide P/P A/A

Figure 3

A

B

2DTM template lacking L7A

C

L7A

Model in 2DTM template
Model not in 2DTM template

D Template

E Reconstruction from 2DTM coordinates

F Template

G Reconstruction from 2DTM coordinates

H

I

J

K

Figure 4

A

B
- ● Gaussian Prediction
- ■ Consistent #TP

C

| | Reconstruction (Full template) | Reconstruction (Omit template) |

Threshold: 7.04
Particles: 63994, 61433
Observed Ω: 88.2%

Threshold: 7.51
Particles: 20254, 19713
Observed Ω: 36.4%

Threshold: 9
Particles: 11410, 11081
Observed Ω: 8.04%