Bam complex associated proteins in *Escherichia coli* are functionally linked to

peptidoglycan biosynthesis, membrane fluidity and DNA replication

Jack A. Bryant[1,2*†], Kara A. Staunton[1†], Hannah M. Doherty[1], Micheal B. Alao[1], Xuyu Ma[1],

Joanna Morcinek-Orłowska[3], Emily C. A. Goodall[4], Jessica Gray[4], Mathew Milner[1,5,6], Jeffrey

A. Cole[1], Felicity de Cogan[7], Timothy J. Knowles[1], Monika Glinkowska[3], Danesh

Moradigaravand[5,6*], Ian R. Henderson[4*], Manuel Banzhaf[1,8*].

† These authors contributed equally.

* Correspondence should be addressed to Jack A. Bryant – jack.bryant@nottingham.ac.uk,

Manuel Banzhaf – manuel.banzhaf@newcastle.ac.uk, Danesh Moradigaravand -

danesh.moradigaravand@kaust.edu.sa, or Ian R. Henderson - i.henderson@imb.uq.edu.au

[1]Institute of Microbiology and Infection, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham,

Edgbaston, B15 2TT, United Kingdom,

[2]School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom,

[3]Department of Biology, University of Gdańsk, 80-308 Gdańsk, Poland,

[4]Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, 4072, Australia.

[5]Laboratory for Infectious Disease Epidemiology, KAUST Smart-Health Initiative and

Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of

Science and Technology, Thuwal, Makkah 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

[6]KAUST Computational Bioscience Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science

and Technology, Thuwal, Makkah 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

[7]School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom,

[8]Newcastle University Biosciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle

University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH, UK.

Abstract

Biogenesis of the bacterial outer membrane is key to bacterial survival and antibiotic

resistance. Central to this is the β-barrel assembly machine (Bam) complex and its associated

chaperones, which are responsible for transport, folding and insertion of outer membrane

proteins (OMPs). The *Escherichia coli* Bam complex is composed of two essential subunits,

BamA and BamD, and three non-essential accessory lipoproteins, BamB, BamC and BamE.

Optimal Bam function is further dependent on the non-essential periplasmic chaperones

DegP, Skp and SurA. Despite intensive study, the specific function of these non-essential

Bam-associated proteins remains unknown. Here, we analysed Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*,

Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* knockout strains by phenotypic screening, conservation analysis and

high-throughput genetics. We reveal that Bam complex activity is impacted by changes in

outer membrane lipid composition and that enterobacterial common antigen is essential in the

absence of the chaperone SurA. We also show components of peptidoglycan are conditionally

essential with Bam accessory lipoproteins and that DNA replication control is perturbed in the

absence of specific OMP assembly components. Together, our data indicates potential

mechanisms for coordination of OMP biogenesis with other cellular growth processes such as

LPS and peptidoglycan biogenesis, and DNA replication control.

Keywords:

Bam complex / TraDIS / Escherichia coli / DNA replication / peptidoglycan synthesis

Introduction

The Gram-negative outer membrane is instrumental for virulence, antimicrobial resistance, and immune evasion. The outer membrane is an asymmetric lipid bilayer consisting of phospholipid on the inner leaflet, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) on the outer leaflet, integral outer membrane proteins (OMPs) and associated lipoproteins. Biogenesis of the outer membrane is completed by several multi-component proteinaceous machines. Central to this is the β-barrel assembly machine (Bam) complex, the essential protein machinery responsible for folding and insertion of OMPs into the outer membrane, which then act as transporters, porins, receptors, virulence factors, adhesins and enzymes [1, 2]. All OMPs are synthesised in the cytoplasm before being trafficked through the inner membrane to the periplasmic space by the Sec machinery. OMPs are prone to aggregation and must be maintained in an unfolded state during their translocation to the Bam complex [3]. Three quality control proteins that chaperone OMPs across the periplasm are SurA, Skp and DegP, the latter of which also serves as a protease to degrade misfolded OMPs in the periplasm. The double mutants Δ*surA*Δ*skp* and Δ*surA*Δ*degP* are not viable, which suggests that Skp, SurA and DegP might be functionally redundant [4]. The primary chaperone pathway to the Bam complex is thought to be SurA, while Skp and DegP are suggested to form a secondary pathway that is amplified in stress conditions [5]. However, single molecule studies with purified OmpC and Skp or SurA demonstrate that the two proteins recognise different conformations of the OMP and that while Skp is capable of dispersing aggregated OmpC, SurA is not [6]. In addition, the absence of SurA increases degradation of OMPs that have stalled on the Bam complex, whereas loss of Skp in this scenario has the opposite effect, enhancing their assembly [7]. This is potentially due to the role of Skp in recognising and removing stalled OMP substrates from the Bam complex before being degraded alongside the misfolded OMP by the protease DegP [8]. Together, these studies challenge the long-standing assumption that these pathways are redundant and suggests that Skp and SurA have specialised roles in maintaining OMPs in a folding competent state during periplasmic transit.

Following transport across the periplasmic space, OMPs are received at the outer membrane

by the Bam complex. In *Escherichia coli*, the Bam complex is composed of two essential

subunits, the outer membrane β-barrel BamA and the lipoprotein BamD, and three non-

essential accessory lipoproteins, BamB, BamC and BamE [9, 10]. BamA is the central

component of the complex and consists of a 16-stranded β-barrel and five periplasmically

localised POlypeptide-TRansport-Associated (POTRA) domains that function to dock the

accessory subunits [11, 12]. The POTRA domains are hypothesised to interact with incoming

unfolded OMP substrates and feed them into the assembly machinery [13]. BamD is a

lipoprotein composed of five tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domains that interact with POTRA

5 of BamA [9, 14, 15]. The remaining components of the complex are the accessory

lipoproteins, BamB, BamC and BamE, which interact with BamA and BamD to form a

functionally coordinated ring structure under the BamA barrel on the periplasmic face of the

outer membrane [16-20].

The Bam complex accessory lipoproteins each contribute to full activity of the complex through

a shared redundant function of coordinating efficient BamA/D interaction in OMP engagement

and folding. Beyond this shared function, there is some limited information about specific roles

of these proteins in the cell [10, 21]. For example, as part of the Rcs stress response signalling

pathway, the outer membrane lipoprotein RcsF is threaded through an OMP during folding by

the Bam complex. This process specifically requires BamE to directly interact with and

coordinate BamA and BamD to enable completion of OMP folding around RcsF [22-24]. Lethal

jamming of the Bam complex in the absence of BamE can be prevented by BamB [23, 25].

BamB has also been shown to be important in folding of high-flux substrates [26]. However,

further information about the specific roles of BamB, BamC and BamE are lacking [21].

In this study, we provide evidence for specialised functions and show that changes to LPS

structure lead to increased membrane fluidity and decreased Bam complex activity. We also

identify that the cyclic form of enterobacterial common antigen (ECA), a periplasmic

carbohydrate, is essential in the absence of the chaperone protein SurA. We demonstrate that

the Bam accessory lipoproteins, including BamC, are essential in the absence of the

peptidoglycan stem-peptide component *meso*-DAP, providing a strong phenotype for this

poorly understood protein. Lastly, we show that loss of BamB causes the cells to over-initiate

DNA replication, indicating potential coordination between OMP biogenesis and DNA

replication. Together, our data indicate specialised roles for the Bam-associated proteins and

highlights potential mechanisms for coordination of OMP biogenesis with processes such as

outer membrane lipid biogenesis, peptidoglycan synthesis and DNA replication control.

Results

Loss of Bam-associated proteins leads to distinct phenotypes

We hypothesised that if the Bam-associated proteins have specialised roles in the cell it would

be unlikely that they phenocopy each other. Therefore, we tested fitness of Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*,

Δ*bamE*, Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* mutants of *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 compared to the parent

strain under various stresses. We arrayed the strains in 384-well format on solid agar plates

containing each stress or compound. Endpoint pictures were taken to quantify colony fitness

based on size by the image analysis software Iris [27]. Fitness was scored using the chemical

genomics analysis software ChemGAPP Small by comparing the mean colony size for the

mutant in each condition to the mean colony size of that mutant in the LB agar condition, which

was normalised to a fitness score of 1 [28]. Fitness scores below 1 represent decreased

fitness, as a function of colony size compared to growth on LB agar, and scores above 1

indicate increased fitness in that condition.

The fitness of each mutant across all conditions identified that the Δ*bamB* and Δ*surA* mutants

have the strongest fitness defects **(Fig 1)**. Correlation between each mutant fitness profile pair

was assessed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient. This demonstrated that

Δ*bamB* and Δ*surA* have a similar phenotypic profile with a correlation coefficient of 0.74, the

highest of all pairs of mutants **(Fig S1)**. Similar decreased fitness scores were observed for

both strains in the presence of bacitracin, carbenicillin, erythromycin, sodium dodecyl-sulfate

Condition

Condition	ΔbamB	ΔbamC	ΔbamE	ΔsurA	Δskp	ΔdegP	WT
A22 [10 µg/ml]	1.59	1.09	1.04	0.51	0.99	0.61	1.04
Aztreonam [0.04 µg/ml]	1.09	1.24	0.88	0.82	1.06	1.10	1.17
Bacitracin [0.5 mg/ml]	0.02	1.34	0.58	0.05	0.87	1.48	1.66
Benzalkonium [6 µg/ml]	1.17	1.00	1.02	0.97	0.96	1.00	0.97
Benzalkonium [12 µg/ml]	0.34	1.17	1.10	0.00	1.06	0.65	1.12
Carbenicillin [4 µg/ml]	0.56	1.39	0.97	0.81	1.29	0.94	1.40
Cefsulodin [20 µg/ml]	1.30	1.06	1.02	1.53	1.17	0.71	1.10
Cefsulodin [32 µg/ml]	1.09	1.17	1.01	1.34	1.19	0.66	1.23
Chloramphenicol [2.5 µg/ml]	1.62	1.10	1.06	1.37	0.88	1.01	1.08
Cisplatin [50 µg/ml]	1.32	0.97	1.02	1.63	0.94	1.06	0.96
Cisplatin [100 µg/ml]	0.82	1.10	1.04	1.26	1.06	0.77	1.10
D-cycloserine [10 µg/ml]	0.85	1.06	1.07	1.31	1.10	0.75	1.04
D-cycloserine [30 µg/ml]	0.98	1.08	1.02	1.23	1.08	0.78	1.11
D-cycloserine [50 µg/ml]	2.08	1.03	1.10	1.33	1.07	0.93	1.06
Doxycycline [1 µg/ml]	0.85	1.18	1.09	0.08	0.57	1.20	1.15
Doxycycline [8 µg/ml]	1.65	1.09	0.96	0.94	0.87	1.15	1.07
EDTA [1 mM]	1.07	1.02	1.03	0.98	0.97	0.91	0.99
Erythromycin [129 µg/ml]	0.28	1.20	0.94	0.58	1.24	1.02	1.21
EMIC [837 µg/ml]	1.11	0.99	1.02	1.43	1.04	1.00	0.98
EMIC [900 µg/ml]	0.87	1.04	1.04	1.39	1.03	0.77	1.05
Fusidic acid [128 µg/ml]	0.74	1.13	0.97	0.65	1.10	0.99	1.12
Fusidic acid [40 µg/ml]	1.13	1.08	1.00	0.98	1.06	0.91	1.07
Hydroxyurea [240 µg/ml]	0.68	1.05	0.98	1.14	1.08	1.00	1.03
Methylglyoxal [0.016 %]	1.28	0.99	1.02	1.66	0.97	1.04	0.98
Methylglyoxal [0.025 %]	0.78	1.07	1.02	1.24	1.05	0.76	1.07
Ammonium chloride [10 mM]	1.00	1.01	1.03	1.36	0.98	0.92	0.98
Ammonium chloride [20 mM]	0.82	1.06	1.01	1.41	1.00	0.84	1.06
Novobiocin [1 µg/ml]	1.23	1.00	1.00	1.57	0.97	1.06	0.97
Novobiocin [2 µg/ml]	0.96	1.12	1.05	1.23	1.08	0.74	1.12
SDS [1%]	0.25	1.32	0.97	0.09	1.06	1.02	1.27
SDS [1%] EDTA [25 mM]	0.29	1.26	1.02	0.11	1.04	0.67	1.19
Salt [0 mM]	0.49	1.13	0.90	0.25	1.06	1.05	1.06
Salt [50 mM]	0.43	1.11	0.99	0.51	1.09	0.99	1.04
Salt [300 mM]	0.61	1.03	1.02	0.58	0.98	0.96	1.01
Salt [600 mM]	0.33	1.00	1.01	0.70	0.99	1.06	0.99
LB 20°C	1.40	1.00	0.92	1.58	0.92	1.27	0.96
LB 25°C	1.58	1.03	1.02	1.51	0.93	1.21	1.00
LB 30°C	1.14	1.02	0.94	1.19	0.93	1.19	0.98
LB 42°C	1.48	0.99	1.11	1.87	1.00	0.51	0.91
LB 47°C	1.64	1.02	1.04	2.12	1.00	0.40	0.97
Trimethoprim [5 µg/ml]	2.11	1.01	0.98	1.37	0.83	1.09	0.96
Triton X-100 [2%]	0.89	1.09	1.04	1.00	1.05	0.86	1.05
Vancomycin [25 µg/ml]	0.06	1.31	0.66	0.01	0.78	1.70	1.54
Vancomycin [50 µg/ml]	0.08	1.12	0.63	0.01	0.93	1.18	1.20
Vanillin [5 mM]	1.64	1.00	1.06	1.87	0.95	0.99	0.94

Strain

Fitness ratio — 2.00, 1.75, 1.50, 1.25, 1.00, 0.75, 0.50, 0.25, 0.00

Figure 1 – Phenotypic profiling of mutants lacking Bam-associated proteins
Heat map of fitness scores for the *E. coli* BW25113 parent strain and Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE,* Δ*surA*, Δ*skp*, or Δ*degP* mutants grown in various stress conditions. Colony size measurements for each condition were normalised by comparing to colony size of that strain when grown on LB medium at 37°C. Growth of each strain on LB is set to 1 and each condition normalised to this. Fitness scores above 1 represent better growth than the LB condition as measured by colony size and scores below 1 indicate smaller colony size than the LB condition. Some conditions are abbreviated due to space restrictions: EMIC - 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride, EDTA - Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, SDS – Sodium dodecyl sulfate.

(SDS), osmotic stress conditions due to varied salt concentrations, and vancomycin **(Fig 1)**.

This is unsurprising as SurA is the major chaperone pathway for OMPs to reach the Bam

complex resulting in low fitness of Δ*bamB* and Δ*surA* when growing on LB at 37°C. However,

they do not phenocopy in all conditions. For example, the Δ*bamB* strain grew better in the

presence of the MreB inhibitor A22 with a fitness score of 1.59, but the Δ*surA* mutant was less

fit in this condition (score of 0.51). They also had opposing fitness scores in the presence of

doxycycline (Δ*bamB* – 1.65, Δ*surA* – 0.94) and hydroxyurea (Δ*bamB* – 0.68, Δ*surA* – 1.14)

(Fig 1). The Δ*bamE* mutant mildly correlated to Δ*bamB* with a coefficient of 0.51 **(Fig S1)**.

However, both mutants were sensitive to vancomycin (Δ*bamB* – 0.06, Δ*bamE* – 0.66) and

bacitracin (Δ*bamB* – 0.02, Δ*bamE* – 0.58) two antibiotics that exceed the exclusion limit of

outer membrane porins and to which sensitivity indicates damage to the permeability barrier.

In contrast, Δ*bamC* has no strong phenotypes and correlated most strongly with the WT parent

strain **(Fig 1 and Fig S1)**. Similarly to Δ*bamC*, the Δ*skp* mutant also has no strong phenotypes

in our screen. The Δ*degP* strain was negatively correlated with the other periplasmic

chaperone pathway group mutants Δ*skp* (-0.51) and Δ*surA* (-0.37) and with Δ*bamE* (-0.68)

due to opposing fitness scores in a range of stresses **(Fig. 1 and Fig S1)**. Interpretation of this

result is complicated by DegP not only functioning as a chaperone, but also as a protease that

targets misfolded OMPs in the periplasm [29]. However, due to the role of DegP in degrading

stalled OMPs that have been recognised by Skp, they might have been expected to correlate

[8]. Together, the phenotypic profiling data indicate that while there are shared phenotypes

between each knockout, we observe a broad range of unique, mutant specific phenotypes that

suggests specialised roles beyond those observed previously.

TraDIS identifies unique synthetic lethal interactions for Bam-associated proteins.

Considering that the Bam-associated proteins have specialised function, we sought to find

their genetic interaction networks by using Transposon-Directed Insertion site Sequencing

(TraDIS). When TraDIS libraries are generated in single gene deletion mutant backgrounds,

the insertion frequencies of genes/regions compared to wild-type cells identifies genes that

become more important for survival in the mutant backgrounds. These genes are termed

conditionally essential, and help to identify co-dependencies of processes that the candidate

gene might be involved in. The method can also identify mutants that are more fit in the given

genetic background or condition [30-33]. Therefore, the parent strain (*E. coli* BW25113) and

Δ*bamB,* Δ*bamC,* Δ*bamE,* Δ*surA,* Δ*skp,* or Δ*degP* mutants were transformed with a mini Tn5-

kanamycin transposon and recovered on LB agar at 37°C. Transposon mutants were then

pooled and transposon insertion sites identified by DNA sequencing as described previously

[32]. The BioTraDIS pipeline was used for data analysis to classify genes as either essential

or non-essential. Essential genes were then compared to the parent BW25113 TraDIS library

to identify genes that became essential in the knockout strains (conditionally essential) **(Table**

S1) [34]. For quality control, two independent replicates of each transposon library were

sequenced over several sequencing runs. Individual sequencing runs had a high correlation

coefficient of ≥0.93 between samples and generated >6 million TraDIS reads and >500,000

unique insertion sites for each strain **(Fig S2 and S3)**. Transposon insertion sites were evenly

mapped throughout the genome, with the exception of an increased density around the origin

of replication as expected due to gene dosage **(Fig S4)** [35].

These experiments are designed to identify novel genetic interactions, but this unbiased

approach will also uncover known synthetic lethal gene pairs that allow benchmarking of our

data. We confirmed known genetic interactions between *surA* and *skp* [4], *degP* and *surA* [4],

bamB and *degP* [26] and *bamE* and *bamB* [25, 36] **(Fig S5)**. The Δ*bamB,* Δ*bamC* and Δ*bamE*

TraDIS libraries allowed identification of 93, 92 and 29 conditionally essential genes,

respectively **(Fig 2A and Table S1)**. This is particularly surprising as there are no known roles

or dependencies for *bamC* and we did not observe strong phenotypes for Δ*bamC* in our

phenotypic screen **(Fig 1)**. Unfortunately, 54 of the genes identified as conditionally essential

in the Δ*bamC* background are of unknown function, therefore providing no hypotheses for the

role of BamC **(Table S1)**. We then compared the conditionally essential gene lists to probe if

A.

B.

Figure 2 - Genetic interaction analysis of Bam-associated genes by TraDIS
TraDIS libraries were constructed in the *E. coli* BW25113 parent strain and Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*,
Δ*bamE,* Δ*surA*, Δ*skp*, or Δ*degP* mutants to identify genes that become essential in each
knockout strain compared to the parent library (conditionally essential). **A.** Venn diagrams
showing conditionally essential genes identified within the Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC* and Δ*bamE*
TraDIS libraries or the Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* libraries. **B.** Analysis of KEGG categories
enriched in conditionally essential gene lists in the Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamE,* Δ*surA* and Δ*degP* TraDIS
libraries, represented as bubble plots. No data is shown for the Δ*bamC* and Δ*skp* conditionally
essential gene lists as there was no significant enrichment of any KEGG categories.
205

they are involved in similar processes or contribute to the same function within the cell. In the

case that all three Bam accessory proteins solely contribute to Bam function, we would expect

to find significant overlap between mutants. However, we found many unique conditionally

essential genes for each (62, 57 and 5 for Δ*bamB,* Δ*bamC* and Δ*bamE,* respectively),

supporting the hypothesis that each has specialised roles in the cell **(Fig 2A)**.

For the OMP chaperone pathway mutants we identified 247, 87 and 35 conditionally essential

genes for the Δ*surA,* Δ*degP* and Δ*skp* mutants, respectively **(Fig 2A)**. The Δ*surA* mutant had

many strong phenotypes when probed against stresses **(Fig 1)**, which could explain the large

number of conditionally essential genes compared to the other OMP chaperone mutants **(Fig**

2A). Of the 87 conditionally essential genes in the Δ*degP* dataset, only 15 were also in the

Δ*skp* background, despite DegP and Skp functioning together to degrade stalled OMP

substrates [8]. This difference is also potentially due to the dual role of DegP as both a

chaperone and a protease [29]. These results suggest that while there is functional

redundancy between the SurA and DegP/Skp chaperone pathways, the function of the

chaperones under specific chemical and genetic conditions is likely specialised **(Fig 1 and**

2A).To determine the functions and associated pathways of the conditionally essential genes

identified in the mutant backgrounds, we completed GO and KEGG analyses. Enrichment

analysis of KEGG pathways for the Δ*bamC* and Δ*skp* datasets resulted in no significant

enrichment of any one category. However, genes involved in "Lipopolysaccharide

biosynthesis" and "Biosynthesis of nucleotide sugars" were enriched in the Δ*surA,* Δ*degP* and

Δ*bamE* conditionally essential gene lists and "O-antigen nucleotide sugar biosynthesis" was

enriched in the Δ*surA* background **(Fig 2B and Table S2)**. The most enriched KEGG pathway

in the Δ*bamB* dataset was "Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis", however these genes all encode

tRNAs and are on average 75 bp in length. Visual inspection of these gene regions identified

sparse insertion density within the local genomic area leading to a likely false positive for

conditional essentiality. The Δ*bamB* dataset is also significantly enriched for three other KEGG

pathways: "Homologous recombination", "Mismatch repair" and "DNA replication" **(Fig 2B and**

Table S2). Together, the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis identifies the importance of LPS

and ECA biosynthesis in these mutants as well as a potential link between OMP biogenesis

and DNA replication. This directed our further investigations.

Genes required for heptose incorporation into LPS are synthetically lethal with *bamB,*

surA* and *degP

We identified that fewer mutants were recovered with transposon insertions in genes involved

in LPS assembly in the Δ*bamB*, Δ*surA* and Δ*degP* backgrounds than in the parent. In addition,

genes involved in "Biosynthesis of nucleotide sugars" were significantly enriched, with genes

identified being involved in the synthesis of heptose, a core component of LPS **(Fig 2B and**

Table S2). Despite this category being enriched in the Δ*bamE* dataset, we found this was due

to one gene in particular, *glmS*, and that the result was actually specific to the Δ*bamB*, Δ*surA*

and Δ*degP* TraDIS libraries **(Fig 3A and Fig S6)**. Synthesis of heptose consists of five main

steps before it is incorporated into the LPS inner core **(Fig 3B)**. In the Δ*surA* and Δ*degP* TraDIS

libraries, all four genes involved in synthesis of heptose were essential: *gmhA*, *gmhB*, *hldE*

and *waaD*. In the Δ*bamB* background all were essential except for *gmhB,* which is potentially

because a Δ*gmhB* mutant is not completely devoid of heptose [37]. These data suggest that

heptose production was functionally more important in Δ*degP* and Δ*surA* than in the Δ*bamB*

mutant. The incorporation of heptose into the LPS structure was also functionally important.

In Δ*degP* and Δ*surA* mutants, the genes *waaC* and *waaF* were conditionally essential, which

are responsible for transfer of the first and second heptose onto the LPS inner core,

respectively **(Fig 3A and 3B)** [38, 39]. In contrast, in the Δ*bamB* mutant, *waaC* was

conditionally essential whereas *waaF* was not. This implies that while Δ*degP* and Δ*surA*

mutants are not able to tolerate having LPS with only one heptose residue, the Δ*bamB* mutant

is.

LPS truncation increases membrane fluidity and decreases Bam complex activity

Increased outer membrane fluidity decreases Bam activity and modifications to LPS structure

can lead to changes in membrane fluidity [40]. Thus, we hypothesised that changes in LPS

Figure 3 - Incorporation of heptose into LPS is essential in Δ*bamB,* Δ*surA* and Δ*degP*
A. Transposon insertions in the genes *gmhA*, *gmhB*, *hldE, waaD, waaC,* and *waaF* in the
parent, Δ*bamB,* Δ*surA* and Δ*degP* TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 20. Essential
genes are represented as red arrows. **B**. Schematic of the heptose biosynthesis pathway and
LPS structure in *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 with gene labels for LPS biosynthesis enzymes
indicated next to the linkage they form or component they ligate. Enzymes identified as
conditionally-essential are labelled in red text. **C.** Membrane fluidity was measured in Δ*gmhA,*
Δ*gmhB,* Δ*hldE,* Δ*waaD*, Δ*waaC*, Δ*waaF*, Δ*waaG*, Δ*waaP* and Δ*waaY* mutants and compared
to the parent strain by using pyrenedeconoic acid fluorescence. **D.** To determine the extent of
Bam complex activity, successful folding and insertion of OmpT into the outer membrane was
measured in the Δ*gmhA,* Δ*gmhB,* Δ*hldE,* Δ*waaD,* Δ*waaC*, Δ*waaF*, Δ*waaG*, Δ*waaP*, Δ*waaY*
and Δ*ompT* mutants and compared to the parent strain. For panels C and D, experiments
were performed in biological and technical triplicate with standard deviation represented by
error bars. Two sample t-tests were used to assess statistical significance of differences from
the WT strain with *** indicating p-values of <0.001, * indicating p-values of <0.05, and NS as
not significant (p-value ≥0.05).

core structure would affect membrane fluidity to differing degrees, which could affect Bam

complex efficiency. To test this hypothesis we assessed membrane fluidity and Bam complex

activity in strains lacking the genes encoding the heptose biosynthesis pathway (Δ*gmhA*,

Δ*gmhB,* Δ*hldE*, and Δ*waaD*) and LPS core synthesis (Δ*waaC*, Δ*waaF*, Δ*waaP*, Δ*waaG* and

Δ*waaY*) **(Fig 3)**. There were no differences in transposon insertion index for the gene *waaY,*

which encodes the enzyme responsible for phosphorylation of the second heptose in the LPS

inner core. Therefore, Δ*waaY* should act as a control in this experiment [41]. In addition,

Δ*waaP* and Δ*waaG*, which are responsible for phosphate addition to the first heptose, and

incorporation of the first glucose, respectively [42], were included as a small decrease in the

insertion indexes for these genes were observed in the Δ*surA* and Δ*degP* TraDIS datasets

(Fig S7).

Fluidity of the membrane for each mutant was measured using the lipophilic pyrene probe,

pyrene decanoic acid. The pyrene monomer can undergo excimer formation and

demonstrates a shift in fluorescence, a process which is dependent on the ease of mobility

within the membrane [43, 44]. Formation of the excimer was measured and compared to that

of the parent strain **(Fig 3C)**. The largest increase in membrane fluidity occurred in knockouts

of genes required for heptose biosynthesis: Δ*gmhA*, Δ*gmhB,* Δ*hldE*, and Δ*waaD*. Of this

group, the Δ*gmhB* mutant had the smallest increase in membrane fluidity and this gene was

also not essential in the Δ*bamB* background **(Fig 3A and 3C)**. Of the genes required for LPS

core biosynthesis, membrane fluidity was higher in Δ*waaC*, Δ*waaF* and Δ*waaG* than in the

parent strain with the biggest change being in Δ*waaC* and the smallest in Δ*waaG*. However,

there was no significant increase in fluidity in the Δ*waaP* mutant. Membrane fluidity of the

Δ*waaF*, Δ*waaP* and Δ*waaG* mutants was intermediate between that of the heptoseless

Δ*waaC* mutant and the parent, with the severity of the effect correlating to the severity of LPS

core truncation. No significant change in membrane fluidity was measured in the Δ*waaY*

mutant control **(Fig 3C)**.

Next, we investigated whether impairment of heptose synthesis affected activity of the Bam

complex, which was monitored by an *in vivo* OmpT fluorescence assay. The outer membrane

protease OmpT requires the Bam complex for folding and insertion into the membrane and is

able to cleave a fluorogenic peptide, which is monitored by increased fluorescence over time

[10]. Except for Δ*waaY*, all mutants demonstrated a minimum 40% decrease in OmpT activity

compared to the parent strain. The heptoseless Δ*waaC* mutant exhibited the greatest

decrease in OmpT activity. The effect of Δ*waaF*, Δ*waaP* and Δ*waaG* mutations on OmpT

activity levels was comparable to each other and intermediate between that of Δ*waaC* and the

parent strain **(Fig 3D)**. In summary, mutations that lead to heptoseless LPS had the biggest

increase in membrane fluidity and the lowest levels of OmpT activity with a graded response

based on the severity of LPS core truncations. This suggests there is a correlation between

LPS core structure, membrane fluidity and Bam complex activity that leads to a variety of Bam

complex activity levels depending on the form of LPS in the outer membrane.

Generation of cyclic ECA is essential in the absence of the chaperone SurA

Genes involved in 'Biosynthesis of nucleotide sugars' were significantly enriched as

conditionally essential in the Δ*surA* mutant. The genes identified are involved in biosynthesis

of ECA **(Fig 2B)**, which is a highly conserved carbohydrate-derived molecule present on the

external leaflet of the outer membrane and in the periplasm of Enterobacteriaceae [45, 46].

The ECA biosynthesis pathway genes were all conditionally essential with the exception of

wxyE, which is required for survival of both the parent and the Δ*surA* mutant **(Fig 4A and 4B)**.

In addition, fewer mutants were recovered with transposon insertions in the genes *rffH* and

rffG than in the parent TraDIS library **(Fig 4A)**. The gene products RffH and RffG catalyse the

same enzymatic reaction and are homologous in sequence to the genes RfbA and RfbB,

respectively. However, they form part of different operons and function in separate pathways

despite *rffG* being able to complement an RfbB defective strain [47, 48]. This likely explains

why *rffH* and *rffG* are not entirely essential in the Δ*surA* background.

ECA exists in three forms that all share the same biosynthetic pathway: ECA that is covalently

linked to LPS (ECA$_{LPS}$), covalently linked to diacylglycerol-phosphate (ECA$_{PG}$), or a cyclic form

(ECA$_{CYC}$) that is localised to the periplasm as opposed to being surface exposed [45, 49]. We

A.

B.

C.

D.

Figure 4 – Synthesis of ECA is essential in the absence of the chaperone SurA
A. Transposon insertions in genes of the ECA biosynthesis pathway in the parent or Δ*surA* mutant TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 100. Essential genes are represented as red arrows. **B**. Schematic representation of the ECA biosynthesis pathway with the names of proteins labelled next to the reaction for which they are responsible. Conditionally essential proteins are labelled in red text. **C.** Transposon insertions in the *waaL* gene in either the parent or Δ*surA* mutant TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 100. **D.** Analysis of phospholipid content in the parent or Δ*surA* mutant with further mutations to disrupt synthesis of the major anionic phospholipids. The Δ*pgsA* and Δ*surA*Δ*pgsA* strains are also Δ*lpp*Δ*rcsF*. Phospholipid samples were separated and visualised by TLC using a solvent mixture of methanol/ chloroform/ water with a ratio of 2:2:1.8 before being visualised by phosphomolybdic acid and charring.

sought to determine which form of ECA is conditionally essential in Δ*surA*. Synthesis of

ECA$_{LPS}$ is facilitated by the O-antigen ligase WaaL, which is responsible for attaching the ECA

molecule onto the LPS core [50, 51]. However, in the Δ*surA* TraDIS library, the gene *waaL* is

non-essential **(Fig 4C)**. This suggests that ECA$_{LPS}$ is not essential in the Δ*surA* mutant. The

formation of ECA$_{PG}$ is completed by attachment of linear ECA chains to diacylglycerol by a

phosphodiester bond through an unknown mechanism [50, 52]. To determine the essentiality

of ECA$_{PG}$ we targeted synthesis of the donor molecule for ECA$_{PG}$, the phospholipid

phosphatidylglycerol [53]. The gene *pgsA* encodes phosphatidylglycerophosphate synthase,

which catalyses the first committed step in biosynthesis of phosphatidylglycerol. However, loss

of *pgsA* is lethal due to mislocalisation of Braun's lipoprotein, Lpp, to the inner membrane and

activation of the Rcs stress system. These issues can be resolved by making an Δ*lpp*Δ*rcsF*

mutant before constructing the Δ*pgsA* mutation [54-56]. The Δ*pgsA*Δ*surA*Δ*lpp*Δ*rcsF*

quadruple mutant was viably constructed and the absence of phosphatidylglycerol was

confirmed by phospholipid extraction and thin layer chromatography **(Fig 4D)**. This confirmed

that the phospholipid donor for synthesis of ECA$_{PG}$ is not essential in the Δ*surA* mutant. Lastly,

the gene *wzzE* is not required for the production of ECA$_{LPS}$ or ECA$_{PG}$, but is required for

production of ECA$_{CYC}$ [50, 57, 58]. In the Δ*surA* TraDIS library, the gene *wzzE* contained a

conditionally essential region indicating that ECA$_{CYC}$ is likely to be the form of ECA that is

conditionally essential in a Δ*surA* mutant **(Fig 4A)** [57].

meso-DAP is essential in the absence of BamB, BamC or BamE

The TraDIS data were searched for conditionally essential genes involved in cell envelope

biogenesis pathways other than LPS and ECA biosynthesis. The gene *dapF* was identified as

conditionally essential in Δ*bamB* and Δ*bamC* with visual inspection indicating decreased

insertions in *dapF* in Δ*bamE* **(Fig 5A)**. This was particularly surprising considering the lack of

strong phenotypes in the Δ*bamC* background **(Fig 1)**. DapF converts LL-diaminopimelate (LL-

DAP) to *meso*- diaminopimelate (*meso*-DAP), which is then either decarboxylated to produce

410
Figure 5 – *meso*-DAP containing peptidoglycan is essential in the absence of Bam
accessory lipoproteins
A. Transposon insertions in the genes *dapF* and *lysA* in the parent or Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*
mutant TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 20. Essential genes are represented as
red arrows. **B**. Schematic representation of the L-lysine biosynthesis pathway with the names
of proteins labelled next to the reaction for which they are responsible. Conditionally essential
proteins are labelled in red text. A schematic structure of *E. coli* peptidoglycan is shown with
the site of *meso*-DAP incorporation shown. **C.** Efficiency of plating assay showing survival of
the Δ*dapF*, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*, Δ*dapF*Δ*bamB*, Δ*dapF*Δ*bamC*, or Δ*dapF*Δ*bamE* mutants
grown on LB with or without 1 mM *meso*-DAP or 10 % sucrose. Cells were grown overnight in
LB supplemented with 1 mM *meso*DAP before being normalised to OD_{600} = 1.00 and serially
diluted 1:10 before 2 μl was spotted on agar plates and incubated at 37°C overnight.

L-lysine by the enzyme LysA or is used in biosynthesis of peptidoglycan [59, 60]. The gene

lysA was non-essential in all strains tested, suggesting the essentiality of *dapF* in these

mutants is due to the requirement for *meso*-DAP in peptidoglycan **(Fig 5A and 5B)**. To validate

the genetic interaction between Δ*dapF* and components of the Bam complex, the *dapF* gene

was knocked out in the BW25113 parent strain, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC* or Δ*bamE* mutants. The

double knockouts were selected in the presence of externally supplied *meso*-DAP, which

alleviated the loss of *dapF*. Cells were then grown in liquid media in the presence of *meso*-

DAP before being serially diluted in un-supplemented media and assayed for survival in the

presence or absence of *meso*-DAP by efficiency of plating assay. All strains grew equally as

well as the parent on LB agar supplemented with 1 mM *meso*-DAP, except for Δ*bamB*Δ*dapF*

which showed a minor decrease in CFU and colony size **(Fig 5C)**. However, in the absence

of *meso*-DAP the single Δ*dapF* mutant demonstrated a decrease in survival and the double

mutants were not viable **(Fig 5C)**. Lastly, considering that loss of DapF will lead to a weaker

peptidoglycan layer due to decreased crosslinks, we sought to negate the synthetic-lethal

phenotype by growth in the presence of sucrose as an osmoprotectant [61, 62]. Unexpectedly,

while the presence of 10% sucrose enabled survival of the single *dapF* mutant to levels

comparable to the parent, this was insufficient to restore growth of the double mutant **(Fig 5C)**.

This data demonstrates that peptidoglycan structure is of increased importance in the absence

of full Bam complex activity and that this may not simply be due to structural support for the

envelope.

Regulation of DNA replication initiation is disrupted in the absence of BamB

Our TraDIS experiment identified that in the Δ*bamB* dataset three KEGG pathways were

significantly enriched: "Homologous recombination", "Mismatch repair" and "DNA replication".

Bacterial DNA replication is mainly regulated at the initiation step by DnaA **(Fig 2A and 6A)**.

The ATP-bound form of this protein binds to DnaA boxes present at the chromosomal origin

of replication (*oriC*), with both high and low affinity, and directs replisome assembly [63].

Briefly, DnaA-ATP forms an oligomeric complex that facilitates unwinding of the DNA strands,

Figure 6 – Loss of BamB leads to changes in DNA replication control
A. Schematic representing DNA replication control in *E. coli*. DiaA stimulates initiation at the
origin of replication, *oriC*, by DnaA. SeqA prevents premature reinitiation of replication. Hda
acts together with the β-sliding clamp to hydrolyse ATP-bound DnaA by regulatory inactivation
of DnaA (RIDA). This can also occur through *datA*-dependent DnaA-ATP hydrolysis (DDAH).
B. Transposon insertions in the genes *hda*, *seqA* and *diaA* in the parent or Δ*bamB* mutant
TraDIS libraries. Conditionally-essential genes are represented as red arrows and genes that
increase fitness are in green. **C.** Efficiency of plating assay showing survival of the parent
dCas9 expressing strain LC-E18 (WT) or Δ*bamB* mutant derivatives carrying the pSGRNA
plasmid, which encodes CRISPRi guide RNA targeting either *seqA* or *hda*. Cells were grown
on LB with or without 40 nM anhydro-tetracycline (aTc) to induce guide RNA expression. **D**.
The *E. coli* BW25113 parent strain, single, or double Δ*bamB* and Δ*diaA* mutants were spotted
on LB agar in 384-well format, in triplicate, and grown overnight at 37°C before being imaged.
Fitness was calculated based on colony size and fitness ratios generated relative to the WT
parent. **E.** Flow cytometry of *E. coli* LC-E18 cells grown in LB followed by replication run-out
assay. Forward scatter and side scatter are plotted with WT cell data indicated in blue and
Δ*bamB* data points in red. Fluorescence is plotted and represents chromosomal content for
each cell with chromosome numbers for each peak marked

which in turn enables assembly of the replisome: the complex responsible for replication of

the bacterial chromosome. In *E. coli,* DnaA binding to its cognate DnaA boxes is modulated

by regulatory proteins. Negative regulation is achieved by two proteins. The protein SeqA

sequesters newly replicated origins, preventing premature reinitiation of replication [64-66].

The Hda protein works together with the β-sliding clamp to stimulate ATP hydrolysis of DnaA-

ATP, which in the ADP-bound form is unable to promote initiation of DNA replication [64-68].

Positive regulation is facilitated by the DnaA initiator-associating factor, DiaA, which directly

interacts with the N-terminal domain of DnaA to stimulate initiation at *oriC* [69, 70].

Analysis of the TraDIS data identified the genes *holC, holD, matP, seqA* and *hda* as

conditionally essential in the Δ*bamB* mutant **(Table S1)**. Within this set of genes, we chose to

focus on those with the clearest conditional essentiality from the TraDIS data, *hda* and *seqA.*

Insertions within the negative regulator encoding genes, *seqA* and *hda*, led to decreased

survival of Δ*bamB*. In contrast, increased fitness of Δ*bamB* was observed for cells containing

disruptions of the positive regulator gene *diaA* **(Fig 6B)**. We confirmed the findings regarding

the negative regulators by using CRISPRi. The *bamB::aph* allele was transferred into the

dCas9 encoding strain *E. coli* LC-E18 and the kanamycin resistance marker was removed.

The pSGRNA plasmid was used to express guide RNA targeting the *seqA* or *hda* genes in

either the parent or Δ*bamB*. Expression of guide RNA targeting the *seqA* and *hda* genes led

to significantly decreased survival of Δ*bamB* when compared to the parent strain, therefore

confirming the observations made from TraDIS **(Fig 6C)**. The positive genetic interaction

between *bamB* and *diaA* was confirmed by measuring fitness of the Δ*bamB*Δ*diaA* mutant

compared to both single deletion mutants. Fitness of the double mutant was greater than the

expected fitness for this strain **(Fig 6D)**.

Considering that loss of negative regulators of DNA replication initiation led to decreased

fitness of Δ*bamB*, and loss of the positive regulator increases fitness, we hypothesised that

the Δ*bamB* mutant may already have defective replication control. Therefore, we compared

chromosomal content of the wild-type and Δ*bamB* strain by using an established replication

run-out assay [71]. Both strains were grown to early exponential phase under conditions

supporting fast cell growth (LB + 0.2% glucose), when cells contain multiple replicating

chromosomes. We reasoned that dysfunction of replication control is usually exacerbated

when cells grow with overlapping replication rounds. Next, cells were collected and treated

with rifampicin to inhibit further rounds of DNA replication initiation, and with cephalexin to

prevent cell division. Fixed cells were stained for DNA content with Sytox Green and analysed

by flow cytometry. The comparison of chromosomal copy number revealed a higher proportion

of cells with 16 chromosome copies for the Δ*bamB* mutant, which implies that initiation of

replication occurs earlier during the cell cycle in this strain relative to the parent. Strikingly, a

population of Δ*bamB* cells also displayed a number of chromosomes deviating from the

normally observed 2^n, as manifested by an additional peak between the 8 and 16 chromosome

peaks. This is a hallmark of asynchronous DNA replication events, when not all replication

origins in the cell fire simultaneously **(Fig 6E, S8-10)**. Analysis of the other Bam-accessory

lipoprotein gene mutants and Δ*skp* by replication run-out assay revealed no significant

changes from the parent pattern **(Fig S11)**. Therefore, our results suggest that *E. coli* over-

initiates DNA replication in the absence of BamB. This is consistent with the observations that

Δ*bamB* cells are more fit if they lose the positive regulator of DNA replication, DiaA, but have

decreased fitness in the absence of the negative regulators SeqA and Hda.

OMP trafficking protein conservation varies throughout Gram-negative bacteria

The data presented here demonstrate that in *E. coli,* the Bam-associated proteins likely have

specialised functions that are potentially coordinated with LPS structure, the presence and

form of ECA, peptidoglycan biogenesis and DNA replication control. Considering these

results, we revisited the evolutionary conservation of Bam complex subunits and the

periplasmic chaperone pathway proteins [72]. Reference genomes for a diverse range of

Gram-negative bacterial representative strains were used to search for sequences coding the

Bam complex subunits (as observed in *E. coli*) or the chaperone pathway proteins SurA, Skp

and DegP. A combination of Prokka annotation, hmmsearch, SignalP 6.0 [73] and manual

curation were used to generate a neighbour joining tree with a heat map of gene counts in

each organism **(Fig 7)**. While we found broad conservation of all query proteins within the

gamma- and beta-proteobacteria, there are some notable exceptions. The BamB and BamC

lipoproteins are not conserved within two species of the aphid endosymbiont *Buchnera*

aphidicola and the data confirm previous unpublished observations that a strain of *B.*

aphidicola, (subspecies *Baizongia pistaciae*) appears to have entirely lost genes encoding the

Bam complex [74]. Likely this is due to the strain containing only a few OMPs, therefore no

longer requiring a dedicated OMP assembly machinery.

Outside of the gamma- and beta-proteobacteria, requirement for the Bam-associated proteins

appears to be diverse. The lipoproteins BamB and BamC are largely absent in the alpha-

proteobacteria, and the epsilon-proteobacteria only encode the core components BamA and

BamD, demonstrating reductive evolution. This minimalist form of the Bam complex is

sufficient for OMP insertion, which is likely due to reduced β-barrel complexity as observed in

Helicobacter pylori [75] **(Fig 7)**. While there is diversity in the presence or absence of these

proteins in the organisms analysed, multiple copies of some components were also identified.

We found that *Pseudomonas putida* encodes two copies of BamA, BamE and SurA. Outside

of the gamma-proteobacteria there are numerous examples of species encoding multiple

copies of BamA, particularly within the alpha-proteobacteria, such as the plant pathogen

Agrobacterium tumefaciens **(Fig 7)**. These copies may play specialised roles in folding and

inserting specific cargo, or they may alleviate an increased requirement for OMP insertion in

these organisms. Taken together, the diverse range of Bam complex subunit compositions

within Gram-negative bacteria support the hypothesis that the Bam-associated proteins likely

play specialised roles that vary in each organism.

Figure 7 – Conservation analysis of Bam-associated proteins in Gram-negative bacteria
Conservation of the BamB, BamC, BamE, SurA, DegP and Skp proteins among key Gram-
negative bacterial species represented by a presence/absence map plotted onto a
phylogenetic tree generated with iTOL [76]. Gene count in each species is represented by
coloured boxes.

Discussion

The Bam complex has been identified as a significant unrealised target for new antimicrobials, with several new inhibitors of this essential outer membrane biogenesis machine being identified recently [77, 78]. However, despite this focus as an important new avenue for drug discovery, and recent advances in understanding the mechanism by which the Bam complex folds and inserts OMPs into the outer membrane [79, 80], we still do not fully understand the roles of the Bam-associated proteins in *E. coli*. We also do not understand why the complex appears to be modular, with subunits being differentially conserved throughout Gram-negative bacteria as shown through our analysis and those done previously **(Fig 7)** [72]. Constituent parts of the outer membrane such as phospholipid species, type of LPS, O-antigen, ECA, lipoprotein content, and variety/flux of the unfolded OMP proteins can vary across bacterial species [81, 82]. Such variation would likely result in significant differences in the biophysical constraints of the membrane environment in which the Bam complex must operate. In addition, there is likely to be differing requirements for the chaperone pathway proteins depending on the pool of client OMPs. Considering that Skp and SurA have been shown to act on different unfolded states of OmpC, with Skp likely being more important during stress conditions, the environmental conditions experienced by each species could also dictate the requirement for each OMP chaperone [6]. Together, this strongly suggests that each accessory lipoprotein is likely to have specialised functions and that the chaperone proteins are unlikely to be truly functionally redundant. This is supported by our phenotypic profiling of knockout strains. Should each of the accessory lipoproteins merely contribute to overall activity of the Bam complex, and if there is true redundancy in the chaperone pathway, then we would expect that the knockout strains should phenocopy each other. However, we found this not to be the case **(Fig 1)**. Our TraDIS analysis also supports this conclusion as we saw a large number of unique conditionally essential genes for each mutant **(Fig 2)**.

Role of the outer membrane lipid environment for OMP insertion

The Bam complex must function within the constraints of the outer membrane lipid

environment, therefore OMP biogenesis is likely to be affected by changes to outer membrane

lipids. Indeed, we found conditional essentiality between genes involved in LPS inner core

biogenesis and either *bamB*, *surA* or *degP*. Complete truncation of the LPS inner core by

disruption of the last enzyme in the heptose biosynthesis pathway has previously been

demonstrated to increase membrane fluidity and lead to decreased Bam complex activity [40].

We confirmed this observation and further demonstrate that the changes to membrane fluidity

are of graded severity relating to the severity of LPS inner core truncation. This in turn leads

to a graded impact on Bam complex activity **(Fig 3)**. The $\Delta bamB$, $\Delta surA$ and $\Delta degP$ knockout

strains have the most severe phenotypes within the set of strains tested here (**Fig 1**). Also,

loss of BamB, SurA or DegP each leads to OMP assembly defects, decreased numbers of

folded OMPs in the outer membrane, and accumulation of unfolded OMPs in the periplasm

[9, 26, 83-85]. We hypothesise that the negative effect of increased membrane fluidity on the

Bam complex in combination with decreased levels of OMP assembly in the absence of BamB,

SurA or DegP leads to OMP assembly levels that are too low to sustain cell viability. This is of

particular significance when considering the variation in LPS structure seen between different

strains, and the LPS modifications available, which could affect the level of Bam activity and

influence the conservation of each Bam-associated protein [81, 82].

A role for ECA$_{cyc}$ in amelioration of periplasmic protein folding stress?

The carbohydrate antigen ECA consists of three repeating sugars, is widely conserved

amongst the *Enterobacterales*, and is produced in three forms that are either surface exposed

(ECA$_{LPS}$ and ECA$_{PG}$) or periplasmically localised (ECA$_{CYC}$). The invariant nature of the antigen

suggests it has an important undiscovered function, however the role of ECA in outer

membrane biology remains unknown [49]. We identified that ECA biogenesis becomes

essential in the absence of the chaperone SurA **(Fig 4A)**. Production of ECA requires the lipid

carrier undecaprenyl phosphate (Und-P), which is limited in the cell and utilised in numerous

metabolic reactions including the production of peptidoglycan, capsule, O-antigen and

membrane-derived oligosaccharides. Disruption of ECA biogenesis can cause stress on these

other pathways due to Und-P sequestration in ECA dead-end intermediates [49, 57, 86].

However, the first gene in the ECA pathway is conditionally essential in the absence of SurA

(Fig 4A), therefore the effects are unlikely due to stress on the Und-P pool. Instead, we

showed that the periplasmically localised form, ECA_{cyc}, is essential in the absence of SurA

(Fig 4). It is possible that ECA_{cyc} might help stabilise the outer membrane of a Δ*surA* mutant,

as it has been shown to suppress the envelope permeability defect of a Δ*yhdP* mutant.

However, the molecular details of this suppression are yet to be discovered [57]. Alternatively,

ECA_{cyc} could be performing a chaperone function directly, however this would require *in vitro*

assays of protein aggregation with purified products for proof.

Coordination of OMP biogenesis with peptidoglycan biosynthesis

To ensure successful cell growth and division, activity of the Bam complex must be

coordinated with biogenesis of the peptidoglycan layer. Our TraDIS analysis identified that in

the Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC* and Δ*bamE* mutants, the gene *dapF* was essential **(Fig 5A)**. The DapF

enzyme is responsible for synthesis of the peptidoglycan stem-peptide component *meso*-DAP.

The single and double mutants could be rescued by exogenous *meso*-DAP, however only the

single *dapF* mutant could be rescued by the osmo-protectant sucrose, which is sufficient to

allow survival during disruption of peptidoglycan biogenesis **(Fig 5B)** [61]. Loss of *meso*-DAP

in the single *dapF* mutant leads to accumulation of LL-DAP, which is incorporated into

peptidoglycan and leads to decreased crosslinking [59, 62]. In the Δ*dapF* mutant the

peptidoglycan layer would be able to withstand less mechanical load due to decreased

crosslinking. This decreased mechanical strength, in combination with the reduced capacity

for load bearing by the outer membrane in the Bam complex mutants, means that the cells

are unlikely to withstand osmotic stress [87]. However, while this may be true for Δ*bamB* and

Δ*bamE* mutants, there are no strong phenotypic effects for Δ*bamC* **(Fig 1)** [88]. Also, the loss

of BamC has only a slight effect on the membrane permeability barrier, no detectable changes

to the outer membrane proteome, and no effect on OMP folding in an *in vitro* assay [9, 89].

Consequently, the synthetic lethality between *dapF* and *bamC* is unlikely to be due to osmotic

stress tolerance, as could be the case for the other mutants.

It has recently been demonstrated that the Bam complex preferentially inserts OMPs at the

cell division site and that this is coordinated by interaction with the peptidoglycan layer [90].

While all of the Bam complex proteins were shown to interact with peptidoglycan *in vitro*, only

BamA and BamC were found to interact with peptidoglycan in whole cells when analysed by

crosslinking and pull-down assays [90]. Considering the interaction of mature peptidoglycan

with BamC and the altered peptidoglycan structure in the Δ*dapF* strain [59, 62], we

hypothesise that BamC might facilitate coordination between the Bam complex and

peptidoglycan biosynthesis, however this requires further investigation.

Link between OMP biogenesis and DNA replication control

Not only must envelope biogenesis processes be coordinated with each other, but synthesis

of the envelope must be coordinated with cell growth and cell division. Experimental evidence

suggests that both DNA replication control [91, 92] and the rate of cell surface synthesis are

coupled to cell volume [93]. This provides the rationale for existence of mechanisms that

modulate DNA replication rate in response to cell envelope synthesis perturbation. Here we

demonstrated that in the absence of BamB, cells over-initiate DNA replication and that

synchrony of replication initiation at multiple origins is compromised **(Fig 6E)**. Also, our

phenotypic screen revealed that Δ*bamB* has increased fitness at sub-optimal growth

temperatures. This would lead to slower growth, a factor that is known to alleviate some DNA

replication control defects, such as those arising from loss of SeqA [64]. In addition, we found

that the Δ*bamB* mutant is sensitive to hydroxyurea, which specifically inhibits ribonucleotide

reductase and leads to depletion of the cellular dNTPs pool, replication fork arrest and

genomic instability **(Fig 1)** [94, 95]. Similarly to Δ*bamB*, mutants that over-initiate replication,

such as *seqA* mutants, are also sensitive to hydroxyurea [96].

Led by our TraDIS results, we confirmed that *seqA* and *hda* are essential in the ∆*bamB* mutant

(Fig 6) and that this is likely due to over-initiation of DNA replication, which can be caused by

all three of these mutations [64, 66, 97]. SeqA is required to prevent premature re-initiation of

replication through sequestration of newly replicated GATC sites within oriC, whereas Hda

prevents re-initiation of replication through regulatory inactivation of DnaA (RIDA), in

combination with the β-sliding clamp of DNA polymerase [64, 98]. Interestingly, we also

identified that two components of the β-sliding clamp loader complex, HolC and HolD, become

essential in the ∆*bamB* mutant **(Table S1)**. These proteins contribute towards activity of the

clamp loader. Therefore, the synthetic lethality could be due to decreased RIDA and over-

initiation of DNA replication, as observed for the *hda* mutant [68, 99].

This is the first link between the Bam complex and DNA replication control, however links

between the envelope and DNA replication have been observed previously. In order to initiate

DNA replication, the DnaA protein must be in the ATP-bound form and regeneration of DnaA-

ATP from the ADP-bound form is facilitated by interaction with phospholipids. [100, 101]. DNA

replication control and envelope biogenesis are also linked by the SeqA protein, which has

been associated to the purified outer membrane fraction in a cell-cycle dependent manner,

however the mechanism remains unclear [102]. In addition, disrupted origin/terminus ratios in

seqA mutant strains are suppressed by mutations in the *waaG, waaQ* and waa*P* genes, which

are responsible for addition of the outer core of LPS, heptose III addition to the inner core and

phosphorylation of heptose II of the LPS inner core, respectively [81, 103]. Interestingly, the

∆*bamB* mutant is also sensitive to changes in membrane fluidity brought about by LPS

modifications that map to the inner core **(Fig 3)**.

We also identified that insertions in the *diaA* gene, which encodes a positive regulator of DNA

replication initiation, can suppress ∆*bamB*. The *diaA* gene is part of a conserved four gene

cluster that also encodes LpoA - a regulator of peptidoglycan biogenesis, YraN - a protein of

unknown function, and DolP - a cell-division site localised outer membrane lipoprotein shown

to interact with the Bam complex [104, 105]. The genes in this cluster all appear to be linked

to regulating cellular growth processes such as peptidoglycan biogenesis, OMP insertion into

the outer membrane and DNA replication. This is of particular interest considering our data

demonstrate potential coordination of these processes, which is essential to ensure efficient

growth and survival during cell division.

Together, our data demonstrate that the Bam-associated proteins have specialised roles in

the cell and highlights potential future targets to understand these roles. We provide further

evidence that OMP transport, folding and insertion is affected by, and potentially coordinated

with, peptidoglycan and LPS structure. We also show that Bam function is correlated with DNA

replication control and highlight the BamB lipoprotein, outer membrane fluidity and LPS

structure as a potential route through which this could occur. This provides a strong direction

for further study to understand this coordination.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship [MR/V027204/1] and a

Springboard Award [SBF005\1112] to Manuel Banzhaf. The work was also funded by the

National Science Centre Poland [UMO-2014/13/B/NZ2/01139] (awarded to Monika

Glinkowska), the KAUST baseline fund [BAS/1/1108-01-01] awarded to Danesh

Moradigaravand who is a member of the KAUST Smart-Health initiative, and the EU ITN

Train2Target [721484] that funded training of Kara Staunton.

   Supplementary figures

Figure S1 – Correlation of phenotypic profiles
Heatmap of Pearson correlation coefficients for each pair of strain phenotypic profiles. Correlation of fitness ratios for each strain was assessed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient for averaged fitness scores across replicates using Python before being plotted as a heatmap. Black squares indicate a p-value ≥0.05 meaning the correlation coefficient achieved was not statistically significant.

Figure S2 – Reproducibility across TraDIS library sequencing runs
Correlogram of insertion indexes between individual sequencing runs for each TraDIS library.
The colour and size of the bubbles correspond to the strength of the Pearson correlation
coefficient between the indices for the same genes across the runs. The blue colour
represents positive correlation.

Figure S3 – Transposon library construction metrics
TraDIS library construction metrics showing the percentage of sequencing reads that matched the transposon tag and that mapped to the chromosome. Total sequencing length as a function of total unique insertion sites, the total number of unique insertion sites for each sample, total number of sequencing reads, and the number of reads that mapped are also shown.

731
732 **Figure S4 – Circular plots of transposon insertion density in TraDIS libraries.** Circular
733 plot of transposon insertion sites in the parent BW25113 (WT), Δ*degP,* Δ*skp,* Δ*surA,* Δ*bamE*,
734 Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamB* TraDIS libraries, listed from innermost tracks outwards, generated using
735 DNAPlotter. The outermost track marks the BW25113 genome in base pairs with the inner
736 grey bar tracks corresponding to sense and antisense CDS.

Figure S5 – Examples of known synthetic lethal interactions
Transposon insertions in the genes *degP*, *skp*, *bamB* or *bamE* in the WT parent strain, Δ*bamB*, or Δ*surA* mutant TraDIS libraries. Conditionally-essential genes are represented as red arrows, non-essential genes are represented by grey arrows. Transposon cut-off is set to 50.

Figure S6 – Transposon insertions in genes required for heptose biosynthesis in the Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE* and Δ*skp* TraDIS libraries
Transposon insertions in the genes *gmhA*, *gmhB*, *hldE*, *waaD*, *waaC,* and *waaF* in the parent, Δ*bamC,* Δ*bamE* and Δ*skp* TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 20. Essential genes are represented as red arrows and non-essential genes are represented by grey arrows.

Figure S7 – Transposon insertions in the *waaG* and *waaP* genes in the WT, Δ*bamB*, Δ*degP* and Δ*surA* TraDIS libraries
Transposon insertions in the genes *waaB, waaS, waaP, waaG* and *waaQ* in the parent, Δ*bamB,* Δ*degP* and Δ*surA* TraDIS libraries. Transposon cut-off is set to 20. Genes are represented by grey arrows.

Figure S8 – Replication run-out assay standards
Flow cytometry of *E. coli* MG1655 cells grown in media supporting different growth rates followed by replication run-out assay. Cells were grown in AB minimal medium supplemented with either 0.4% acetate, 0.2% glucose, or 0.2% glucose + 0.5% casamino acids, or LB supplemented 0.2% glucose at 37°C with aeration before being treated with rifampicin, cephalexin and stained with Sytox Green. Fluorescence is plotted and represents chromosomal content for each cell with chromosome numbers for each peak marked. An overlay of the separate data panels is presented.

Figure S9 – Reproducibility of replication run-out assays for the parent strain
Flow cytometry of *E. coli* LCE-18 cells grown in LB supplemented with 0.2% glucose at 37°C
with aeration before being treated with rifampicin, cephalexin and stained with Sytox Green.
Fluorescence is plotted and represents chromosomal content for each cell with chromosome
numbers for each peak marked. Each experiment was repeated on 4 separate occasions and
an overlay of the separate data panels is presented.

Figure S10 - Reproducibility of replication run-out assays for the Δ*bamB* strain
Flow cytometry of *E. coli* LCE-18 Δ*bamB* cells grown in LB supplemented with 0.2% glucose
at 37°C with aeration before being treated with rifampicin, cephalexin and stained with Sytox
Green. Fluorescence is plotted and represents chromosomal content for each cell with
chromosome numbers for each peak marked. Each experiment was repeated on 4 separate
occasions and an overlay of the separate data panels is presented.

Figure S11 – Replication run-out assays for the parent, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE* and Δ*skp* strains

Flow cytometry of *E. coli* LCE-18 WT parent, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE* or Δ*skp* cells grown in LB supplemented with 0.2% glucose at 37°C with aeration before being treated with rifampicin, cephalexin and stained with Sytox Green. Fluorescence is plotted and represents chromosomal content for each cell with chromosome numbers for each peak marked. An overlay of the separate data panels is presented.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions.

For TraDIS experiments and phenotypic profiling, the parent strain was *E. coli* K-12 BW25113. The *E. coli* Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*, Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* mutants were generated by transferring the relevant allele from the Keio library [106] into the clean parent strain by P1 transduction [107]. The *kan*[R] cassette was then removed by using the pCP20 plasmid [108] to leave a small in-frame 34 amino acid peptide consisting of residues from the FRT scar and the first amino acid and last seven of the target gene. These strains were then used for construction of transposon libraries and phenotypic profiling. The same approach was used for construction of the *E. coli* Δ*wecA*::*aph*, Δ*wecD*::*aph*, Δ*wecF*::*aph*, Δ*ompT*::*aph,* Δ*dapF*::*aph* and Δ*diaA*::*aph* mutants. The *diaA*::*aph* allele was also transferred into the Δ*bamB* derivative of *E. coli* BW25113 to generate the Δ*bamB*Δ*diaA*::*aph* double mutant. The *dapF*::*aph* allele was also transferred from the Keio library into Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*, Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* mutants in the presence of 1 mM *meso*-diaminopimelate in order to generate double mutants. The Δ*rcsF*Δ*lpp*Δ*pgsA*Δ*surA* mutant was generated by transfer of alleles from the Keio library and subsequent removal of the *kan*[R] cassette by use of the pCP20 plasmid before incorporation of the next allele by P1 transduction. The *E. coli* Δ*gmhA*::*aph,* Δ*gmhB*::*aph,* Δ*hldE*::*aph,* Δ*waaD*::*aph,* Δ*waaC*::*aph,* Δ*waaF*::*aph,* Δ*waaP*::*aph,* Δ*waaG*::*aph,* Δ*waaY*::*aph* mutants were created by using λ-Red recombination, as previously described for single-step gene inactivation [109]. All mutants were confirmed by polymerase chain reaction and strains were routinely cultured on LB agar and LB broth. The dCas9 expressing strain *E. coli* LC-E18 and the pSGRNA plasmid was a gift from David Bikard (Addgene plasmid # 115924) and has been described previously [110]. The *E. coli* LC-E18 Δ*bamB* derivative was constructed as described for the BW25113 Δ*bamB* derivative with the *kan*[R] cassette removed in order to allow selection of the pSGRNA plasmid in this background. All strains used in this study are listed in **Table S3**. Bacterial cultures were grown at 37°C unless otherwise stated. Where stated, the medium was supplemented with kanamycin (50 µg/ml), carbenicillin (100 µg/ml), and

meso-DAP (1 mM). For micro-dilution survival assays, bacteria were grown in 5 ml LB

medium at 37°C with aeration for ~16 hours. Cultures were normalised by optical density to

OD_{600} = 1.00, 10-fold serially diluted in LB, and 2 µl of each dilution was inoculated onto LB

agar plates.

Phenotypic screening

The *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 parent strain, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*, Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP*

mutants were screened against diverse stress conditions to phenotypically profile the mutants.

Strains were arrayed in 384-well format and inoculated on 2% agar LB plates using a BM3-

BC robot (S&P Robotic Inc.). In addition, the mutants and the wild type were subsequently

inoculated on LB agar plates containing different stress conditions. The inoculated plates were

incubated for 12 to 14 hours at 37°C before being imaged under controlled lighting with an 18-

megapixel Canon rebelT3i (Canon) camera on the BM3-BC robot (S&P Robotic Inc.). Images

of the plates were analysed using the software IRIS, which measured the size, opacity and

circularity of each colony [27]. A total of 4 replica plates were generated for each stress

condition. Fitness of the mutants was then scored and analysed using the ChemGAPP Small

software [28]. Mean colony size for the mutant in each condition was compared to the mean

colony size of that mutant in the LB agar condition, which was normalised to a fitness score of

1 [28]. Fitness scores below 1 represent decreased fitness, as a function of colony size

compared to growth on LB agar, and scores above 1 indicate increased fitness in that

condition. Each of the plates contained 56 replicates for the parent BW25113 strain (WT),

Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE* and Δ*degP* strains with 52 replicates for Δ*surA* and Δ*skp* for plate

space requirements. There were 4 replicate plates for each condition, all of which were treated

as individual replicates and compared to their respective wild type parent replicates on each

plate before the average was taken. The probability that the two means are equal across

replicates was obtained by a one-way ANOVA. Correlation of fitness ratios for each strain was

assessed by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient for averaged fitness scores across

replicates using Python before being plotted as a heatmap.

TraDIS library construction

The *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 parent strain, Δ*bamB*, Δ*bamC*, Δ*bamE*, Δ*surA*, Δ*skp* and Δ*degP* mutants were transformed with the EZ-Tn5™ <KAN-2> Tnp Transposome (Cambio) as previously described [32]. Approximately 1 million mutant colonies were pooled, thoroughly mixed and stored in LB supplemented with 15% glycerol at -80°C. DNA was extracted from at least two samples of each transposon library to generate independent sampling replicates for library generation.

Sequencing of TraDIS libraries

Cells from the pooled mutant library were harvested and genomic DNA was extracted for library preparation and sequencing as previously described [32]. Samples were sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq with a 150 cycle v3 cartridge.

TraDIS data analysis

Raw data were processed using a series of custom scripts as previously described [32]. The data were trimmed using Fastx barcode splitter and trimmer tools (Pearson *et al.,* 1997) and filtered based on inline indexes. The accuracy of the transposon sequences were checked in two steps: the first 22 bases, allowing for three nucleotide base mismatches and the last 10 bases of the transposon, allowing for up to one mismatch. Using Trimmomatic, sequences with less than 20 bases in length were removed (Bolger *et al.,* 2014). TraDIS data were then analysed using BioTraDIS (https://sanger-pathogens.github.io/Bio-Tradis/) [34] and aligned to the *E. coli* BW25113 reference genome CP009273.1, available from NCBI (Tatusova *et al.,* 2014). We used SMALT (https://www.sanger.ac.uk/tool/smalt/) as an aligner with zero value for mismatch threshold. We also set the parameter smalt_r, determining how to treat multi-mapping reads, to zero. This avoided repetitive elements to count as essential. We used the scripts *tradis_essentiality.R and tradis_comparison.R* as part of the package to produce the list of essentiality and then to compare the control and test libraries, respectively.

Membrane fluidity assay

Membrane fluidity was measured using the membrane fluidity kit (Abcam: ab189819), as previously described, but with minor modifications [104]. Bacterial strains were grown to mid-exponential phase (OD_{600} = ~0.4-0.6) in LB medium. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with labelling mix (10 µM pyrenedecanoic acid (PDA), 0.08% pluronic F-127, in PBS) in the dark for 20 min at 25°C with rocking. Cells were then washed twice in PBS and re-suspended in PBS prior to measuring fluorescence (excitation = 350 nm, emission = either 400 nm or 470 nm). Membrane fluidity was estimated by measuring the ratio of excimer (470 nm) to monomer (400 nm) fluorescence. The emission spectra were compared to unlabelled cells to confirm membrane incorporation and each experiment contained triplicate technical repeats and was then repeated three times. Membrane fluidity of the mutants of interest were expressed relative to the parent *E. coli* strain. Experiments were performed in technical triplicate and were repeated three times. Two sample t-tests were used to assess statistical significance of differences from the WT strain.

OmpT *in vivo* fluorescence assay

The OmpT assay for monitoring Bam activity was performed as described previously with minor modifications [10, 16, 40]. Bacterial strains were grown to mid-exponential phase (OD_{600} = ~0.4-0.6) in LB medium and were normalised to OD_{600} of 0.2 in growth media. The cell suspension (5 µl) was then added to 95 µl of 25 µM fluorogenic peptide, Abz-ARRAY(NO_2)-NH_2, diluted in PBS. Fluorescence emission was immediately measured (excitation = 325 nm, emission = 430 nm) over a period of 5 h, with readings every 20 s. OmpT activity was expressed relative to the parent strain. Experiments were performed in technical triplicate and were repeated three times. Two sample t-tests were used to assess statistical significance of differences from the WT strain.

Phospholipid extraction and thin layer chromatography

Phospholipids were extracted using an adapted Bligh-Dyer method [111]. Bacterial cultures were grown overnight (~16 hours) at 37°C with shaking and cells were harvested by centrifugation. The bacterial cell pellets were resuspended and normalised to OD_{600} = 3 and mixed with methanol and chloroform (2:1). The cell suspension was incubated at 50°C for 30 min before additional chloroform and water was added to the samples to produce a final ratio 2:2:1.8 of methanol/chloroform/water. Following centrifugation, the phospholipid-containing phase was extracted and dried under nitrogen before being stored at -20°C. Samples were re-dissolved in chloroform before being separated by thin layer chromatography on silica gel membrane using a solvent system that consisted of chloroform, methanol, acetic acid (65:25:10). The plate was subsequently stained with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) and warmed until the lipid species were visible.

Efficiency of plating assay

Efficiency of plating assays were completed as previously described [112]. Cells were grown overnight in LB (supplemented with 1 mM *meso*DAP where indicated) before being normalised to OD_{600} = 1.00 and serially diluted 1:10. Following dilution, 2 μl was spotted on agar plates containing supplements where indicated and incubated at 37°C for ~16 hours before being imaged.

Genetic interaction analysis

For genetic interaction analysis, overnight cultures were diluted 1/100 and then grown to OD_{600} = 0.8-1. Cultures were then spread on LB agar plates using sterile glass beads to create source plates, before being incubated for 12 to 14 hours at 37°C. Each strain was arrayed on LB agar plates, from the source plates, to form 384 colonies of 96 replicates for each strain using a BM3-BC robot (S&P Robotic Inc.). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 12 to 14 hours. The plate was then imaged under controlled lighting, with an 18-megapixel Canon rebelT3i

(Canon) camera on the BM3-BC robot (S&P Robotic Inc.). Images were then analysed using

the software called IRIS to measure the size, opacity and circularity of each colony on the

plates [27]. Fitness of the mutants was then analysed and compared using the software

ChemGAPP GI [28].

Flow cytometry analysis

Chromosome number measurements were performed as described previously, but with

several modifications [71]. Briefly, cells were grown with aeration at 37°C until OD_{600}=0.15 in

LB medium supplemented with 0.2% glucose. Samples were collected, treated with 150 µg/ml

rifampicin, and 10 µg/ml cephalexin and incubated for 4 h at 37°C with mixing. Incubation with

antibiotics results in cells containing an integral number of chromosomes, corresponding to

the number of replication origins at the time of drug treatment. Subsequently, cells were

harvested, washed with TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 130 mM NaCl) and fixed with cold 70%

ethanol overnight. Additional samples were collected at OD_{600}=0.15 without antibiotic

treatment and fixed as above.

Prior to flow cytometry analysis, cells were resuspended in 50 mM sodium citrate followed by

RNA digestion with RNase A for 4 h. Chromosomal DNA was stained with 2 mM Sytox Green

(Invitrogen) and DNA content per cell was measured with BD FACS Calibur at 488 nm Argon

Ion laser. MG1655 (WT) strain grown in AB medium containing one of the following carbon

sources: 0.4% sodium acetate, 0.2% glucose, 0.2% glucose + 0.5% casamino acids or in LB

medium with 0.2% glucose, treated with antibiotics, fixed and stained as above was used as

a standard for each flow cytometry measurement, indicating cells containing 1/2 , 2/4, 4/8 or

8/16 chromosomes, respectively. Flow cytometry data was analyzed using FlowJo ver. 10.8.0.

Conservation analysis

Reference genomes were downloaded for each species from NCBI in fasta format and

annotated using Prokka [113]. The 16s rRNA sequence for each species was then extracted

from Prokka ffn files in fasta format. A Kmer based neighbour joining tree was produced via

extracting a one-step sliding window of 8 base kmers from each 16s rRNA sequence.

Following this, the number of unique kmers was counted for each species in order to produce

a Kmer profile. The Jaccard similarity between each species Kmer profiles was then used to

produce a distance matrix. This distance matrix was input into the R module ape::nj to produce

the neighbour joining tree. The neighbour joining tree was reformatted as a nexus file and

visualised within Figtree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/), where the tree was rooted

at the midpoint and branches were transformed to become proportional.

To count the instances of the proteins BamA, BamB, BamC, BamD, BamE, DegP, SurA, and

Skp, hmmsearch was used to extract proteins with the relevant domains from the Prokka

annotated faa files. The Pfam domains used were PF01103, PF13360, PF06804, PF13525,

PF04355, PF09312 and PF03938 for BamA, BamB, BamC, BamD, BamE, SurA, and Skp,

respectively. For DegP, both PF00595 and PF13180 were used and the intersect taken. An

e-value of 0.001 was used as a threshold for significant hits by hmmsearch. For BamA, hits

annotated as the query protein were inputted into hmmscan and hits with at least one POTRA

domain and an Omp85 domain were counted as true BamA hits. Hits containing a Tam-

POTRA domain were excluded. Where a hit had only an Omp85 gene, the adjacent gene was

checked for the POTRA domains. For BamB, any hmmsearch hits annotated as BamB or

hypothetical proteins by Prokka were input into SignalP 6.0 [73] and hmmscan. Proteins

assigned a LIPO (Sec/SPII) signal peptide within SignalP 6.0, with only one PQQ_2 domain

within hmmscan were selected as true BamB hits. For BamC, all hits from hmmsearch were

inputted into hmmscan to confirm the presence of the Lipoprotein-18 domain. Hits were also

inputted into Phmmer and proteins with significant homology to BamC were counted as true

hits. For BamD, hypothetical proteins and BamD hits were inputted into hmmscan and

Phmmer and those with homology to BamD and a non-outcompeted YfiO domain counted as

true hits. For BamE, hypothetical proteins and BamE hits were inputted into hmmscan and

those with a singular SmpA_OmlA domain were counted as true hits. For DegP hypothetical

proteins and DegP hits were inputted into hmmscan. If a hit had a PDZ type domain,

Peptidase_M50 domain or Trypsin_2 domain then it was visualised on Pfam and manually

assigned as DegP based on structure. If a DegP hit had any other type of domain, it was

discounted. For SurA, all hits were run through hmmscan and Phmmer. Those with a SurA_N

domain, Rotamase domain and a Rotamase_3 domain and homologous to SurA within

Phmmer were counted. For Skp, all hits were run through Phmmer and only hits with only an

OmpH domain and with homology to Skp counted as true hits. Finally, the neighbour-joining

tree was edited in iTOL [76] to produce the heatmap of gene counts.

References

1.   Konovalova A, Kahne DE, Silhavy TJ. Outer Membrane Biogenesis. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2017;71:539-56. Epub 2017/09/10. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093754. PubMed PMID: 28886680; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5778897.

2.   Rollauer SE, Sooreshjani MA, Noinaj N, Buchanan SK. Outer membrane protein biogenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015;370(1679). Epub 2015/09/16. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0023. PubMed PMID: 26370935; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4632599.

3.   Ruiz N, Kahne D, Silhavy TJ. Advances in understanding bacterial outer-membrane biogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2006;4(1):57-66. Epub 2005/12/17. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1322. PubMed PMID: 16357861.

4.   Rizzitello AE, Harper JR, Silhavy TJ. Genetic evidence for parallel pathways of chaperone activity in the periplasm of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2001;183(23):6794-800. Epub 2001/11/08. doi: 10.1128/jb.183.23.6794-6800.2001. PubMed PMID: 11698367; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC95519.

5.   Sklar JG, Wu T, Kahne D, Silhavy TJ. Defining the roles of the periplasmic chaperones SurA, Skp, and DegP in Escherichia coli. Genes Dev. 2007;21(19):2473-84. Epub 2007/10/03. doi: 10.1101/gad.1581007. PubMed PMID: 17908933; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1993877.

6.   Li G, He C, Bu P, Bi H, Pan S, Sun R, et al. Single-Molecule Detection Reveals Different Roles of Skp and SurA as Chaperones. ACS Chem Biol. 2018;13(4):1082-9. Epub 2018/03/16. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.8b00097. PubMed PMID: 29543429.

7.   Wang X, Peterson JH, Bernstein HD. Bacterial Outer Membrane Proteins Are Targeted to the Bam Complex by Two Parallel Mechanisms. mBio. 2021;12(3). Epub 20210504. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00597-21. PubMed PMID: 33947759; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8262991.

8.   Combs AN, Silhavy TJ. The sacrificial adaptor protein Skp functions to remove stalled substrates from the β-barrel assembly machine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119(1). doi: 10.1073/pnas.2114997119. PubMed PMID: 34969846; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8740687.

9.   Wu T, Malinverni J, Ruiz N, Kim S, Silhavy TJ, Kahne D. Identification of a multicomponent complex required for outer membrane biogenesis in Escherichia coli. Cell. 2005;121(2):235-45. Epub 2005/04/27. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.015. PubMed PMID: 15851030.

10.   Hagan CL, Kim S, Kahne D. Reconstitution of outer membrane protein assembly from purified components. Science. 2010;328(5980):890-2. Epub 2010/04/10. doi: 10.1126/science.1188919. PubMed PMID: 20378773; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2873164.

11.   Sánchez-Pulido L, Devos D, Genevrois S, Vicente M, Valencia A. POTRA: a conserved domain in the FtsQ family and a class of beta-barrel outer membrane proteins. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2003;28(10):523-6. Epub 2003/10/16. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2003.08.003. PubMed PMID: 14559180.

12.   Gentle IE, Burri L, Lithgow T. Molecular architecture and function of the Omp85 family of proteins. Mol Microbiol. 2005;58(5):1216-25. Epub 2005/11/30. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04906.x. PubMed PMID: 16313611.

13.   Knowles TJ, Jeeves M, Bobat S, Dancea F, McClelland D, Palmer T, et al. Fold and function of polypeptide transport-associated domains responsible for delivering unfolded

proteins to membranes. Mol Microbiol. 2008;68(5):1216-27. Epub 2008/04/24. doi:
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06225.x. PubMed PMID: 18430136.

14. Dong C, Hou HF, Yang X, Shen YQ, Dong YH. Structure of Escherichia coli BamD and its
functional implications in outer membrane protein assembly. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
Crystallogr. 2012;68(Pt 2):95-101. Epub 2012/01/28. doi: 10.1107/s0907444911051031.
PubMed PMID: 22281737.

15. Sandoval CM, Baker SL, Jansen K, Metzner SI, Sousa MC. Crystal structure of BamD: an
essential component of the β-Barrel assembly machinery of gram-negative bacteria. J Mol Biol.
2011;409(3):348-57. Epub 2011/04/06. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.03.035. PubMed PMID:
21463635; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3098899.

16. Iadanza MG, Higgins AJ, Schiffrin B, Calabrese AN, Brockwell DJ, Ashcroft AE, et al.
Lateral opening in the intact beta-barrel assembly machinery captured by cryo-EM. Nat
Commun. 2016;7:12865. Epub 2016/10/01. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12865. PubMed PMID:
27686148; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5056442.

17. Bakelar J, Buchanan SK, Noinaj N. The structure of the beta-barrel assembly machinery
complex. Science. 2016;351(6269):180-6. Epub 2016/01/09. doi: 10.1126/science.aad3460.
PubMed PMID: 26744406; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4883095.

18. Gu Y, Li H, Dong H, Zeng Y, Zhang Z, Paterson NG, et al. Structural basis of outer
membrane protein insertion by the BAM complex. Nature. 2016;531(7592):64-9. Epub
2016/02/24. doi: 10.1038/nature17199. PubMed PMID: 26901871.

19. Browning DF, Bavro VN, Mason JL, Sevastsyanovich YR, Rossiter AE, Jeeves M, et al.
Cross-species chimeras reveal BamA POTRA and β-barrel domains must be fine-tuned for
efficient OMP insertion. Mol Microbiol. 2015;97(4):646-59. Epub 2015/05/07. doi:
10.1111/mmi.13052. PubMed PMID: 25943387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4950039.

20. Knowles TJ, Browning DF, Jeeves M, Maderbocus R, Rajesh S, Sridhar P, et al. Structure
and function of BamE within the outer membrane and the beta-barrel assembly machine.
EMBO Rep. 2011;12(2):123-8. Epub 2011/01/08. doi: 10.1038/embor.2010.202. PubMed
PMID: 21212804; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3049429.

21. Hart EM, Silhavy TJ. Functions of the BamBCDE Lipoproteins Revealed by Bypass
Mutations in BamA. J Bacteriol. 2020;202(21). Epub 2020/08/21. doi: 10.1128/jb.00401-20.
PubMed PMID: 32817097; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7549358.

22. Konovalova A, Mitchell AM, Silhavy TJ. A lipoprotein/beta-barrel complex monitors
lipopolysaccharide integrity transducing information across the outer membrane. Elife. 2016;5.
Epub 2016/06/11. doi: 10.7554/eLife.15276. PubMed PMID: 27282389; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMC4942254.

23. Tata M, Konovalova A. Improper Coordination of BamA and BamD Results in Bam
Complex Jamming by a Lipoprotein Substrate. mBio. 2019;10(3). Epub 2019/05/23. doi:
10.1128/mBio.00660-19. PubMed PMID: 31113900; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6529637.

24. Kumar S, Konovalova A. BamE directly interacts with BamA and BamD coordinating
their functions. Mol Microbiol. 2023;120(3):397-407. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.15127.

25. Hart EM, Gupta M, Wühr M, Silhavy TJ. The Synthetic Phenotype of ΔbamB ΔbamE
Double Mutants Results from a Lethal Jamming of the Bam Complex by the Lipoprotein RcsF.
mBio. 2019;10(3). Epub 2019/05/23. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00662-19. PubMed PMID: 31113901;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6529638.

26.   Charlson ES, Werner JN, Misra R. Differential effects of yfgL mutation on Escherichia
coli outer membrane proteins and lipopolysaccharide. J Bacteriol. 2006;188(20):7186-94. Epub
2006/10/04. doi: 10.1128/jb.00571-06. PubMed PMID: 17015657; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1636225.
27.   Kritikos G, Banzhaf M, Herrera-Dominguez L, Koumoutsi A, Wartel M, Zietek M, et al. A
tool named Iris for versatile high-throughput phenotyping in microorganisms. Nature
microbiology. 2017;2:17014. Epub 2017/02/18. doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.14. PubMed
PMID: 28211844; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5464397.
28.   Doherty HM, Kritikos G, Galardini M, Banzhaf M, Moradigaravand D. ChemGAPP: a tool
for chemical genomics analysis and phenotypic profiling. Bioinformatics. 2023;39(4). Epub
2023/04/05. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btad171. PubMed PMID: 37014365; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC10085634.
29.   Spiess C, Beil A, Ehrmann M. A temperature-dependent switch from chaperone to
protease in a widely conserved heat shock protein. Cell. 1999;97(3):339-47. Epub 1999/05/13.
doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80743-6. PubMed PMID: 10319814.
30.   Langridge GC, Phan MD, Turner DJ, Perkins TT, Parts L, Haase J, et al. Simultaneous
assay of every Salmonella Typhi gene using one million transposon mutants. Genome Res.
2009;19(12):2308-16. Epub 2009/10/15. doi: 10.1101/gr.097097.109. PubMed PMID:
19826075; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2792183.
31.   Cain AK, Barquist L, Goodman AL, Paulsen IT, Parkhill J, van Opijnen T. A decade of
advances in transposon-insertion sequencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2020;21(9):526-40. Epub
2020/06/14. doi: 10.1038/s41576-020-0244-x. PubMed PMID: 32533119; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC7291929.
32.   Goodall ECA, Isom GL, Rooke JL, Pullela K, Icke C, Yang Z, et al. Loss of YhcB results in
dysregulation of coordinated peptidoglycan, LPS and phospholipid synthesis during Escherichia
coli cell growth. PLoS Genet. 2021;17(12):e1009586. Epub 2021/12/24. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1009586. PubMed PMID: 34941903; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC8741058.
33.   Winkle M, Hernández-Rocamora VM, Pullela K, Goodall ECA, Martorana AM, Gray J, et
al. DpaA Detaches Braun's Lipoprotein from Peptidoglycan. mBio. 2021;12(3). Epub
2021/05/06. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00836-21. PubMed PMID: 33947763; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC8263019.
34.   Barquist L, Mayho M, Cummins C, Cain AK, Boinett CJ, Page AJ, et al. The TraDIS toolkit:
sequencing and analysis for dense transposon mutant libraries. Bioinformatics.
2016;32(7):1109-11. Epub 2016/01/23. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw022. PubMed PMID:
26794317; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4896371.
35.   Cooper S, Helmstetter CE. Chromosome replication and the division cycle of Escherichia
coli B/r. J Mol Biol. 1968;31(4866337):519-40.
36.   Sklar JG, Wu T, Gronenberg LS, Malinverni JC, Kahne D, Silhavy TJ. Lipoprotein SmpA is
a component of the YaeT complex that assembles outer membrane proteins in Escherichia coli.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(15):6400-5. Epub 2007/04/04. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0701579104. PubMed PMID: 17404237; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1851043.
37.   Kneidinger B, Marolda C, Graninger M, Zamyatina A, McArthur F, Kosma P, et al.
Biosynthesis pathway of ADP-l-glycero-β-d-manno-heptose in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol.
2002;184(2):363-9.
38.   Gronow S, Brabetz W, Brade H. Comparative functional characterization in vitro of
heptosyltransferase I (WaaC) and II (WaaF) from Escherichia coli. Eur J Biochem.

2000;267(22):6602-11. Epub 2000/10/29. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01754.x. PubMed
PMID: 11054112.

39.   Kadrmas JL, Raetz CR. Enzymatic synthesis of lipopolysaccharide in Escherichia coli.
Purification and properties of heptosyltransferase i. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(5):2799-807. Epub
1998/02/28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.5.2799. PubMed PMID: 9446588.

40.   Storek KM, Vij R, Sun D, Smith PA, Koerber JT, Rutherford ST. The Escherichia coli β-
Barrel Assembly Machinery Is Sensitized to Perturbations under High Membrane Fluidity. J
Bacteriol. 2019;201(1). Epub 2018/10/17. doi: 10.1128/jb.00517-18. PubMed PMID:
30322857; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6287456.

41.   Yethon JA, Heinrichs DE, Monteiro MA, Perry MB, Whitfield C. Involvement of waaY,
waaQ, and waaP in the modification of Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide and their role in the
formation of a stable outer membrane. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(41):26310-6. Epub 1998/10/03.
doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.41.26310. PubMed PMID: 9756860.

42.   Parker CT, Kloser AW, Schnaitman CA, Stein MA, Gottesman S, Gibson BW. Role of the
rfaG and rfaP genes in determining the lipopolysaccharide core structure and cell surface
properties of Escherichia coli K-12. J Bacteriol. 1992;174(8):2525-38. Epub 1992/04/01. doi:
10.1128/jb.174.8.2525-2538.1992. PubMed PMID: 1348243; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC205891.

43.   Kumar GS, Jagannadham MV, Ray MK. Low-temperature-induced changes in
composition and fluidity of lipopolysaccharides in the antarctic psychrotrophic bacterium
Pseudomonas syringae. J Bacteriol. 2002;184(23):6746-9. Epub 2002/11/12. doi:
10.1128/jb.184.23.6746-6749.2002. PubMed PMID: 12426366; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC135421.

44.   Storek KM, Auerbach MR, Shi H, Garcia NK, Sun D, Nickerson NN, et al. Monoclonal
antibody targeting the β-barrel assembly machine of Escherichia coli is bactericidal. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(14):3692-7. Epub 2018/03/21. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800043115.
PubMed PMID: 29555747; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5889671.

45.   Kuhn HM, Meier-Dieter U, Mayer H. ECA, the enterobacterial common antigen. FEMS
Microbiol Rev. 1988;4(3):195-222. Epub 1988/09/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-
6968.1988.tb02743.x. PubMed PMID: 3078744.

46.   Kunin CM. SEPARATION, CHARACTERIZATION, AND BIOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF A
COMMON ANTIGEN IN ENTEROBACTERIACEAE. J Exp Med. 1963;118(4):565-86. Epub
1963/10/01. doi: 10.1084/jem.118.4.565. PubMed PMID: 14067906; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2137670.

47.   Sivaraman J, Sauvé V, Matte A, Cygler M. Crystal structure of Escherichia coli glucose-
1-phosphate thymidylyltransferase (RffH) complexed with dTTP and Mg2+. J Biol Chem.
2002;277(46):44214-9. Epub 2002/08/13. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M206932200. PubMed PMID:
12171937.

48.   Marolda CL, Valvano MA. Genetic analysis of the dTDP-rhamnose biosynthesis region
of the Escherichia coli VW187 (O7:K1) rfb gene cluster: identification of functional homologs
of rfbB and rfbA in the rff cluster and correct location of the rffE gene. J Bacteriol.
1995;177(19):5539-46. Epub 1995/10/01. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.19.5539-5546.1995. PubMed
PMID: 7559340; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC177362.

49.   Rai AK, Mitchell AM. Enterobacterial Common Antigen: Synthesis and Function of an
Enigmatic Molecule. mBio. 2020;11(4). Epub 2020/08/14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01914-20.
PubMed PMID: 32788387; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7439462.

50.   Rai AK, Carr JF, Bautista DE, Wang W, Mitchell AM. ElyC and Cyclic Enterobacterial
Common Antigen Regulate Synthesis of Phosphoglyceride-Linked Enterobacterial Common
Antigen. mBio. 2021;12(6):e0284621. Epub 2021/11/24. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02846-21.
PubMed PMID: 34809459; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8609368.

51.   Schmidt G, Mannel D, Mayer H, Whang HY, Neter E. Role of a lipopolysaccharide gene
for immunogenicity of the enterobacterial common antigen. J Bacteriol. 1976;126(2):579-86.
Epub 1976/05/01. doi: 10.1128/jb.126.2.579-586.1976. PubMed PMID: 57114; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC233189.

52.   Kuhn HM, Neter E, Mayer H. Modification of the lipid moiety of the enterobacterial
common antigen by the "Pseudomonas factor". Infection and immunity. 1983;40(2):696-700.
Epub 1983/05/01. doi: 10.1128/iai.40.2.696-700.1983. PubMed PMID: 6404831; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC264911.

53.   Morris KN, Mitchell AM. Phosphatidylglycerol Is the Lipid Donor for Synthesis of
Phospholipid-Linked Enterobacterial Common Antigen. J Bacteriol. 2023:e0040322. Epub
2023/01/10. doi: 10.1128/jb.00403-22. PubMed PMID: 36622229.

54.   Rowlett VW, Mallampalli V, Karlstaedt A, Dowhan W, Taegtmeyer H, Margolin W, et al.
Impact of Membrane Phospholipid Alterations in Escherichia coli on Cellular Function and
Bacterial Stress Adaptation. J Bacteriol. 2017;199(13). Epub 2017/04/26. doi:
10.1128/jb.00849-16. PubMed PMID: 28439040; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5472821.

55.   Kikuchi S, Shibuya I, Matsumoto K. Viability of an Escherichia coli pgsA null mutant
lacking detectable phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin. J Bacteriol. 2000;182(2):371-6. Epub
2000/01/12. doi: 10.1128/jb.182.2.371-376.2000. PubMed PMID: 10629182; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC94285.

56.   Suzuki M, Hara H, Matsumoto K. Envelope disorder of Escherichia coli cells lacking
phosphatidylglycerol. J Bacteriol. 2002;184(19):5418-25. Epub 2002/09/10. doi:
10.1128/jb.184.19.5418-5425.2002. PubMed PMID: 12218030; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC135371.

57.   Mitchell AM, Srikumar T, Silhavy TJ. Cyclic Enterobacterial Common Antigen Maintains
the Outer Membrane Permeability Barrier of Escherichia coli in a Manner Controlled by YhdP.
mBio. 2018;9(4). Epub 2018/08/09. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01321-18. PubMed PMID: 30087168;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6083912.

58.   Kajimura J, Rahman A, Rick PD. Assembly of cyclic enterobacterial common antigen in
Escherichia coli K-12. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(20):6917-27. Epub 2005/10/04. doi:
10.1128/jb.187.20.6917-6927.2005. PubMed PMID: 16199561; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1251615.

59.   Richaud C, Higgins W, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Stragier P. Molecular cloning,
characterization, and chromosomal localization of dapF, the Escherichia coli gene for
diaminopimelate epimerase. J Bacteriol. 1987;169(4):1454-9. Epub 1987/04/01. doi:
10.1128/jb.169.4.1454-1459.1987. PubMed PMID: 3031013; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC211967.

60.   Dewey DL, Work E. Diaminopimelic acid decarboxylase. Nature. 1952;169(4300):533-
4. Epub 1952/03/29. doi: 10.1038/169533a0. PubMed PMID: 14929227.

61.   Mickiewicz KM, Kawai Y, Drage L, Gomes MC, Davison F, Pickard R, et al. Possible role
of L-form switching in recurrent urinary tract infection. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4379. Epub
2019/09/29. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12359-3. PubMed PMID: 31558767; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6763468.

62.   Mengin-Lecreulx D, Michaud C, Richaud C, Blanot D, van Heijenoort J. Incorporation of
LL-diaminopimelic acid into peptidoglycan of Escherichia coli mutants lacking diaminopimelate
epimerase encoded by dapF. J Bacteriol. 1988;170(5):2031-9. Epub 1988/05/01. doi:
10.1128/jb.170.5.2031-2039.1988. PubMed PMID: 3283102; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC211082.
63.   Jameson KH, Wilkinson AJ. Control of initiation of DNA replication in Bacillus subtilis and
Escherichia coli. Genes. 2017;8(1):22.
64.   Lu M, Campbell JL, Boye E, Kleckner N. SeqA: a negative modulator of replication
initiation in E. coli. Cell. 1994;77(3):413-26. Epub 1994/05/06. doi: 10.1016/0092-
8674(94)90156-2. PubMed PMID: 8011018.
65.   Torheim NK, Skarstad K. Escherichia coli SeqA protein affects DNA topology and inhibits
open complex formation at oriC. Embo j. 1999;18(17):4882-8. Epub 1999/09/02. doi:
10.1093/emboj/18.17.4882. PubMed PMID: 10469666; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC1171560.
66.   von Freiesleben U, Rasmussen KV, Schaechter M. SeqA limits DnaA activity in
replication from oriC in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol. 1994;14(7891562):763-72.
67.   Kato J, Katayama T. Hda, a novel DnaA-related protein, regulates the replication cycle
in Escherichia coli. Embo j. 2001;20(15):4253-62. Epub 2001/08/03. doi:
10.1093/emboj/20.15.4253. PubMed PMID: 11483528; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC149159.
68.   Katayama T, Kubota T, Kurokawa K, Crooke E, Sekimizu K. The initiator function of DnaA
protein is negatively regulated by the sliding clamp of the E. coli chromosomal replicase. Cell.
1998;94(1):61-71. Epub 1998/07/23. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81222-2. PubMed PMID:
9674428.
69.   Ishida T, Akimitsu N, Kashioka T, Hatano M, Kubota T, Ogata Y, et al. DiaA, a novel DnaA-
binding protein, ensures the timely initiation of Escherichia coli chromosome replication.
Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004;279(44):45546-55.
70.   Keyamura K, Fujikawa N, Ishida T, Ozaki S, Su'etsugu M, Fujimitsu K, et al. The
interaction of DiaA and DnaA regulates the replication cycle in E. coli by directly promoting
ATP–DnaA-specific initiation complexes. Genes & development. 2007;21(16):2083-99.
71.   Hawkins M, Atkinson J, McGlynn P. Escherichia coli Chromosome Copy Number
Measurement Using Flow Cytometry Analysis. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1431:151-9. Epub
2016/06/11. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3631-1_12. PubMed PMID: 27283308.
72.   Webb CT, Heinz E, Lithgow T. Evolution of the β-barrel assembly machinery. Trends in
microbiology. 2012;20(12):612-20. Epub 2012/09/11. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.006.
PubMed PMID: 22959613.
73.   Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, et al.
SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nat
Biotechnol. 2022;40(7):1023-5. Epub 2022/01/05. doi: 10.1038/s41587-021-01156-3.
PubMed PMID: 34980915; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9287161 for a fee to commercial
users). The revenue from these commercial sales is divided between the program developers
and the Technical University of Denmark.
74.   Malinverni JC, Silhavy TJ. Assembly of Outer Membrane β-Barrel Proteins: the Bam
Complex. EcoSal Plus. 2011;4(2). Epub 2011/12/01. doi: 10.1128/ecosalplus.4.3.8. PubMed
PMID: 26442509; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4231818.
75.   Webb CT, Chandrapala D, Oslan SN, Bamert RS, Grinter RD, Dunstan RA, et al. Reductive
evolution in outer membrane protein biogenesis has not compromised cell surface complexity

in Helicobacter pylori. Microbiologyopen. 2017;6(6). Epub 2017/10/23. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.513. PubMed PMID: 29055967; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5727368.

76.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(W1):W293-w6. Epub 2021/04/23. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab301. PubMed PMID: 33885785; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8265157.

77.   Steenhuis M, van Ulsen P, Martin NI, Luirink J. A ban on BAM: an update on inhibitors of the β-barrel assembly machinery. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2021;368(11). Epub 2021/05/29. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnab059. PubMed PMID: 34048543.

78.   Theuretzbacher U, Blasco B, Duffey M, Piddock LJV. Unrealized targets in the discovery of antibiotics for Gram-negative bacterial infections. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2023;22(12):957-75. Epub 20231013. doi: 10.1038/s41573-023-00791-6. PubMed PMID: 37833553.

79.   Tomasek D, Rawson S, Lee J, Wzorek JS, Harrison SC, Li Z, et al. Structure of a nascent membrane protein as it folds on the BAM complex. Nature. 2020;583(7816):473-8. Epub 2020/06/13. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2370-1. PubMed PMID: 32528179; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7367713.

80.   Doyle MT, Jimah JR, Dowdy T, Ohlemacher SI, Larion M, Hinshaw JE, et al. Cryo-EM structures reveal multiple stages of bacterial outer membrane protein folding. Cell. 2022;185(7):1143-56.e13. Epub 2022/03/17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.02.016. PubMed PMID: 35294859; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8985213.

81.   Valvano MA. Remodelling of the Gram-negative bacterial Kdo(2)-lipid A and its functional implications. Microbiology (Reading). 2022;168(4). Epub 2022/04/09. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.001159. PubMed PMID: 35394417.

82.   Simpson BW, Trent MS. Pushing the envelope: LPS modifications and their consequences. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019;17(7):403-16. Epub 2019/05/31. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0201-x. PubMed PMID: 31142822; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6913091.

83.   Krojer T, Sawa J, Schäfer E, Saibil HR, Ehrmann M, Clausen T. Structural basis for the regulated protease and chaperone function of DegP. Nature. 2008;453(7197):885-90. Epub 2008/05/23. doi: 10.1038/nature07004. PubMed PMID: 18496527.

84.   Rouvière PE, Gross CA. SurA, a periplasmic protein with peptidyl-prolyl isomerase activity, participates in the assembly of outer membrane porins. Genes Dev. 1996;10(24):3170-82. Epub 1996/12/15. doi: 10.1101/gad.10.24.3170. PubMed PMID: 8985185.

85.   Lazar SW, Kolter R. SurA assists the folding of Escherichia coli outer membrane proteins. J Bacteriol. 1996;178(6):1770-3. Epub 1996/03/01. doi: 10.1128/jb.178.6.1770-1773.1996. PubMed PMID: 8626309; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC177866.

86.   Jorgenson MA, Kannan S, Laubacher ME, Young KD. Dead-end intermediates in the enterobacterial common antigen pathway induce morphological defects in Escherichia coli by competing for undecaprenyl phosphate. Mol Microbiol. 2016;100(1):1-14. Epub 2015/11/26. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13284. PubMed PMID: 26593043; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4845916.

87.   Rojas ER, Billings G, Odermatt PD, Auer GK, Zhu L, Miguel A, et al. The outer membrane is an essential load-bearing element in Gram-negative bacteria. Nature. 2018;559(7715):617-21. Epub 2018/07/20. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0344-3. PubMed PMID: 30022160; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6089221.

88.   Nichols RJ, Sen S, Choo YJ, Beltrao P, Zietek M, Chaba R, et al. Phenotypic landscape of a bacterial cell. Cell. 2011;144(1):143-56. Epub 2010/12/28. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.052. PubMed PMID: 21185072; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3060659.

89.   Thewasano N, Germany EM, Maruno Y, Nakajima Y, Shiota T. Categorization of
Escherichia coli Outer Membrane Proteins by Dependence on Accessory Proteins
of the β-barrel Assembly Machinery Complex. Journal of Biological Chemistry. doi:
10.1016/j.jbc.2023.104821.
90.   Mamou G, Corona F, Cohen-Khait R, Housden NG, Yeung V, Sun D, et al. Peptidoglycan
maturation controls outer membrane protein assembly. Nature. 2022;606(7916):953-9. Epub
2022/06/16. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04834-7. PubMed PMID: 35705811; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC9242858 competing interests. D.S. is an employee of Genentech, a member
of the Roche Group, and a shareholder in Roche.
91.   Wallden M, Fange D, Lundius EG, Baltekin Ö, Elf J. The Synchronization of Replication
and Division Cycles in Individual E. coli Cells. Cell. 2016;166(3):729-39. Epub 2016/07/30. doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.052. PubMed PMID: 27471967.
92.   Si F, Li D, Cox SE, Sauls JT, Azizi O, Sou C, et al. Invariance of Initiation Mass and
Predictability of Cell Size in Escherichia coli. Curr Biol. 2017;27(9):1278-87. Epub 2017/04/19.
doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.022. PubMed PMID: 28416114; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5474944.
93.   Harris LK, Theriot JA. Relative Rates of Surface and Volume Synthesis Set Bacterial Cell
Size. Cell. 2016;165(6):1479-92. Epub 2016/06/04. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.045. PubMed
PMID: 27259152; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4933963.
94.   Davies BW, Kohanski MA, Simmons LA, Winkler JA, Collins JJ, Walker GC. Hydroxyurea
induces hydroxyl radical-mediated cell death in Escherichia coli. Mol Cell. 2009;36(5):845-60.
Epub 2009/12/17. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.11.024. PubMed PMID: 20005847; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC2821657.
95.   Nakayashiki T, Mori H. Genome-wide screening with hydroxyurea reveals a link
between nonessential ribosomal proteins and reactive oxygen species production. J Bacteriol.
2013;195(6):1226-35. Epub 2013/01/08. doi: 10.1128/jb.02145-12. PubMed PMID: 23292777;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3592001.
96.   Sutera VA, Jr., Lovett ST. The role of replication initiation control in promoting survival
of replication fork damage. Mol Microbiol. 2006;60(1):229-39. Epub 2006/03/25. doi:
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05093.x. PubMed PMID: 16556234.
97.   Camara JE, Breier AM, Brendler T, Austin S, Cozzarelli NR, Crooke E. Hda inactivation of
DnaA is the predominant mechanism preventing hyperinitiation of Escherichia coli DNA
replication. EMBO Rep. 2005;6(8):736-41. Epub 2005/07/26. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400467.
PubMed PMID: 16041320; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1369143.
98.   Camara JE, Skarstad K, Crooke E. Controlled initiation of chromosomal replication in
Escherichia coli requires functional Hda protein. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(10):3244-8. Epub
2003/05/06. doi: 10.1128/jb.185.10.3244-3248.2003. PubMed PMID: 12730188; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC154069.
99.   Cooper DL, Harada T, Tamazi S, Ferrazzoli AE, Lovett ST. The Role of Replication Clamp-
Loader Protein HolC of Escherichia coli in Overcoming Replication/Transcription Conflicts.
mBio. 2021;12(2). Epub 2021/03/11. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00184-21. PubMed PMID: 33688004;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8092217.
100.   Sekimizu K, Kornberg A. Cardiolipin activation of dnaA protein, the initiation protein of
replication in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem. 1988;263(15):7131-5. Epub 1988/05/25. PubMed
PMID: 2835364.
101.   Garner J, Durrer P, Kitchen J, Brunner J, Crooke E. Membrane-mediated release of
nucleotide from an initiator of chromosomal replication, Escherichia coli DnaA, occurs with

insertion of a distinct region of the protein into the lipid bilayer. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(9):5167-73. Epub 1998/03/28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.9.5167. PubMed PMID: 9478970.

102. d'Alençon E, Taghbalout A, Kern R, Kohiyama M. Replication cycle dependent association of SeqA to the outer membrane fraction of E. coli. Biochimie. 1999;81(8-9):841-6. Epub 1999/11/26. doi: 10.1016/s0300-9084(99)00212-6. PubMed PMID: 10572297.

103. Rotman E, Bratcher P, Kuzminov A. Reduced lipopolysaccharide phosphorylation in Escherichia coli lowers the elevated ori/ter ratio in seqA mutants. Mol Microbiol. 2009;72(5):1273-92. Epub 2009/05/13. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06725.x. PubMed PMID: 19432803; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2691451.

104. Bryant JA, Morris FC, Knowles TJ, Maderbocus R, Heinz E, Boelter G, et al. Structure of dual BON-domain protein DolP identifies phospholipid binding as a new mechanism for protein localisation. Elife. 2020;9. Epub 2020/12/15. doi: 10.7554/eLife.62614. PubMed PMID: 33315009; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7806268.

105. Ranava D, Yang Y, Orenday-Tapia L, Rousset F, Turlan C, Morales V, et al. Lipoprotein DolP supports proper folding of BamA in the bacterial outer membrane promoting fitness upon envelope stress. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 2021/04/14. doi: 10.7554/eLife.67817. PubMed PMID: 33847565; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8081527.

106. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, et al. Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol. 2006;2:2006.0008. Epub 2006/06/02. doi: 10.1038/msb4100050. PubMed PMID: 16738554; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1681482.

107. Thomason LC, Costantino N, Court DL. E. coli genome manipulation by P1 transduction. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 2007;Chapter 1:1.17.1-1..8. Epub 2008/02/12. doi: 10.1002/0471142727.mb0117s79. PubMed PMID: 18265391.

108. Cherepanov PP, Wackernagel W. Gene disruption in Escherichia coli: TcR and KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance determinant. Gene. 1995;158(7789817):9-14.

109. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(12):6640-5. Epub 2000/06/01. doi: 10.1073/pnas.120163297. PubMed PMID: 10829079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC18686.

110. Cui L, Vigouroux A, Rousset F, Varet H, Khanna V, Bikard D. A CRISPRi screen in E. coli reveals sequence-specific toxicity of dCas9. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):1912. Epub 2018/05/17. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04209-5. PubMed PMID: 29765036; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5954155.

111. Bligh EG, Dyer WJ. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can J Biochem Physiol. 1959;37(8):911-7. Epub 1959/08/01. doi: 10.1139/o59-099. PubMed PMID: 13671378.

112. Boelter G, Bryant JA, Doherty H, Wotherspoon P, Alodaini D, Ma X, et al. The lipoprotein DolP affects cell separation in Escherichia coli, but not as an upstream regulator of NlpD. Microbiology (Reading). 2022;168(5). Epub 2022/05/24. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.001197. PubMed PMID: 35604759.

113. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(14):2068-9. Epub 2014/03/20. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153. PubMed PMID: 24642063.