Trace_y: Software algorithms for structural analysis of individual helical filaments by three-dimensional contact point reconstruction atomic force microscopy

Wei-Feng Xue

School of Biosciences, Division of Natural Sciences, University of Kent, CT2 7NJ, Canterbury, UK; W.F.Xue@kent.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a powerful and increasingly accessible technology that has

a wide range of imaging applications. AFM is capable of producing detailed three-dimensional

topographical height images with a high signal-to-noise ratio. This is a key capability of AFM,

which enables the structural features of individual molecules to be studied without the need for

ensemble averaging, and could offer structural analysis applications where heterogeneity of

molecular populations, structural variations between individual molecules, or population

distribution properties in general, hold important information. Here, a software tool called

Trace_y designed to reconstruct the three-dimensional (3D) surface envelopes of individual

helical filament structures from topographical AFM height images is presented. Workflow

using Trace_y is demonstrated on the structural analysis of individual helical amyloid protein

fibrils where the assembly mechanism of heterogeneous, complex and diverse fibril

populations from a single amino-acid sequence due to structural polymorphism is not fully

understood. The software and the workflow presented here allows the structural information

encoded in topographical AFM height images to be extracted and understood as 3D contact

point clouds. This approach will facilitate the use of AFM in structural biology, in integrated

methodologies together with other structural analysis tools to understand the structures and

behaviours at individual molecule level.

INTRODUCTION

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a powerful multimodal technique with imaging applications ranging from arrays of living cells to single molecules [1]. AFM is an increasingly accessible method that has been used to study molecular dynamics [2] and molecular responses to force [3]. It is also used for nanoscale imaging of biological molecules and structures in air or in liquid (e.g. [4-6]). AFM topographical imaging operates by sensing the physical contact between the probe, typically consisting of a sharp conical tip attached to a cantilever, and the specimen surface, to generate a three-dimensional (3D) height map of the sample surface [7]. While the imaging resolution of AFM is limited by the physical size of the AFM probe tip, molecular images acquired using AFM operating in non-contact mode with chemically functionalised probe tips is capable of reaching atomic resolution on samples of small planar molecules [8]. Imaging resolution using un-modified probe tips can also be enhanced to sub-nanometres through recent image analysis advances [9]. For structural biology applications, AFM offers several capabilities complementary to techniques such as cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) and X-ray crystallography. These include the ability of imaging in ambient conditions and in liquid environment, allowing imaging of biological samples such as live cells in a close to native environment [10-12]. A key, sometimes overlooked, capability of AFM is that topographical images acquired by AFM can achieve a high signal-to-noise ratio, which enables structural features of individual molecules to be studied without the need for ensemble averaging [13,14]. This means that relevant structural information can be gained potentially from a single observation of a molecule on a single image. Therefore, this capability could offer new exciting opportunities in elucidating rare molecular structures in complex, heterogeneous samples and offer new information in the cases where the heterogeneity of

molecular populations or the structural variations between individual molecules hold important

biological relevance.

Amyloid fibrils represent a group of filamentous protein structures where the heterogeneity of

the complex and mixed amyloid populations as well as the structural variations between the

individual fibrils or even within individual fibrils hold relevant information regarding their

biological impact [15]. Amyloid fibrils are typically ordered helical nano-structures around 10

nm wide and between few nm to several microns long. They are assembled from monomeric

proteins or peptide precursors. Some amyloid structures are associated with devastating

neurodegenerative disorders including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Diseases [16-20]. In contrast,

some amyloid fibrils have been identified to fulfil essential biological functions, providing

scaffolding, adhesion and protection for organisms from bacteria to fungi, and more recently

discovered to provide regulatory control of memory [21] and for viral infection [22]. The formation

of these functional amyloid fibrils is assumed to be tightly controlled. In contrast, the assembly

of pathologically associated amyloid, even from a single type of precursor, can result in highly

heterogeneous molecular mixtures, as well as a spectrum of different individual filament

structures called polymorphs. Thus, it is clear that amyloid structural polymorphism is common

for disease associated amyloid and this polymorphism phenomenon has been suggested to be

a property differentiating disease associated amyloid from functional ones [15]. AFM imaging

has previously been used to characterise the morphological features of individual fibrils in a

sample (e.g. [13,23-25]) and the size distributions of amyloid populations (e.g. [26-30]). Recent

advances in cryo-EM and solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (ssNMR) have

provided atomic-detailed structures showing multiple polymorphic forms for fibrils formed of

identical precursors [15]. However, to resolve the extent of the polymorphic diversity and the

roles polymorphs play in biology, one must be able to determine the structures of individual

amyloid fibril particles, and monitor their individual interactions in a complex and

heterogeneous population to sufficient detail so that the diverse amyloid assembly landscapes,

their polymorphic extents and biological properties linked to the heterogeneous populations

can be mapped.

Here, an image analysis software Trace_y (https://github.com/wfxue/Trace_y), featuring a

collection of algorithms for 3D contact point reconstruction (CPR) [14] and structural analysis of

individual helical filaments, is presented. The individual filament structural analysis workflow

using Trace_y is demonstrated here on the analysis of helical amyloid protein fibrils formed

from the 42 residues long Amyloid β 1-42 peptide (Aβ$_{42}$) associated with Alzheimer's disease,

where heterogeneous, complex and diverse fibril populations can assemble from a single

monomer amino-acid sequence in the same sample due to structural polymorphism. Individual

filament level structural analysis approach through 3D CPR-AFM, recently developed to utilise

the high signal-to-noise topographical imaging capability of AFM [13,14,31], has the potential to

tackle the challenges presented by the structural analysis of complex and heterogeneous

samples such as polymorphous amyloid fibrils [32]. The Trace_y software and the workflow for

individual filament structural analysis presented here also demonstrate that the three-

dimensional information encoded in AFM height images can be understood as 3D point clouds,

which is a type of spatial information that could be integrated with other structural analysis

methodologies [33].

RESULTS

Overview of the Trace_y workflow and its algorithms

The purpose of the workflow presented here is to recover the 3D structural information of

individually observed helical filaments encoded in topographical AFM height images.

Figure 1 shows an overview of the workflow with a flowchart highlighting the key steps and

the algorithms in the workflow with the example application to an individual fibril formed from

the human $A\beta_{42}$ sequence [31]. The input for the workflow is an AFM height image where each

pixel in the image contains the information of its x/y coordinate in the image lattice as well as

the pixel value representing the recorded z-coordinate of AFM probe tip when intermittent

contact between the tip and the molecular surface of the sample was detected. Due to the

physics behind AFM, topographical height images have high vertical (z-axis) signal-to-noise

ratio, with the noise typically in the order of sub-Ångströms range on current commercial

instruments. One challenge of 3D structural reconstruction using AFM height image is that the

recorded x, y and z-coordinates of the tip are not the actual tip-sample contact points [14,34],

which are not known. This issue is commonly referred to as the tip-sample convolution artefact.

An important step in the workflow, therefore, is to deconvolute the image to recover the actual

tip-sample contact points. Once the coordinates for tip-sample contact points are recovered,

full 3D surface envelopes can be rendered for structures with helical symmetry, despite that

only the top surface of the sample is accessible to the AFM tip, because structural information

on the whole of a helical surface can be gained from a single direction of observation

perpendicular to its helical axis due to their periodic twist around the helical axis. This requires

the knowledge on the location of the helical axis, the handedness of the twist and the pitch of

the helical structure, all of which can be gained or estimated from the height images (**Figure**

1c-e). The output of the workflow is a 3D contact point cloud of the surface of the helical

filament, which can be further visualised as a 3D surface envelope model of the filament

structure. The algorithms performing the key steps of the Trace_y workflow are explained in

the sections below and exemplified on a fibril formed from recombinant human Aβ_{42} (**Figure**

1b-c).

Individual filament tracing and estimation of the helical axis

A semi-automatic fibril tracing algorithm was developed to allow the user to select and to trace

segments of individual filaments observed on AFM height images. Since the central contour

line of a filament appearing in a height image typically corresponds to the projection of the

filament's helical axis on the x/y-plane, an accurate estimate of the central contour line of the

selected filament is needed. Therefore, a curved Gaussian wall [35] model (Equation 1, Methods)

was used and fit by least-squares method to regions of the height image (image squares with

edges twice the length of filament's apparent width) cropped along the coarse user-selected

contours of the filament (**Figure 2**). This approach allows the x/y-coordinates of points on the

central contour line of the filament to be determined with sub-pixel accuracy because the

coordinates are estimated using information from the entirety of the local features rather than

few individual pixels. The z-coordinate of the filament helical axis was subsequently estimated

as half of the average peak height (for example, the peaks values at locations marked with

triangles in **Figure 1d**). This simple estimate assumed a rigid fibril that rests on the support

surface without substantial deformation during deposition and by the peak probing force during

imaging.

3D contact point reconstruction by image deconvolution

The 3D coordinates of tip-sample contact points were next reconstructed by modelling rigid-

body interactions between the AFM probe tip and the sample filament using the image

deconvolution strategy as previously described [14]. First, the tip geometry was estimated. A

rounded cone tip model made by the rotation of the half cross-section described by Equation

(2) around the y-axis is used and the tip radius was estimated using a iterative approach where

image dilation caused by the contact between the tip model and the circular cross-section of a

featureless cylinder is matched to the experimentally observed dilation for the analysed

filament. This procedure is illustrated by **Figure 3a**, where least-squares regression analysis is

performed with a calculated convoluted cross-section (red lines and crosses) of a featureless

cylinder (black) fit to the observed convoluted cross-section of the filament averaged through

the length of the filament along its estimated helical axis (blue circles). This approach is able

to provide a useful initial tip radius estimate using the helical axis coordinates as input since

the cross-section of a helical structure with its cross-section rotating around its helical axis

approaches a circular shape when averaged over the length of its helical axis. The initial tip

radius estimate can be further optimised in a later optional step by minimising the difference

between the image data of the filament and a simulated image calculated using the surface

envelope model of the same filament. An important advantage of this sample feature-based

blind tip radius estimation approach is that additional dedicated tip characterisation step, which

can additionally blunt the tip during characterisation, can be avoided.

Once the tip radius is estimated, deconvolution of the image data by CPR [14] was subsequently

carried out to recover the 3D coordinates of tip-sample contact points (**Figure 3b-d**). This step

outputs a point cloud containing the estimated tip-sample surface contact points by moving the

3D x/y/z-coordinates of the recorded tip positions off the x/y pixel lattice of the image to the

appropriate sub-pixel locations estimated by CPR step. **Figure 3b** shows the AFM height

image of a segment of the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament where each pixel is shown as a point in 3D

space. All of the points have their x/y coordinates in the pixel lattice of the image, and the pixel

lattice along the x-axis is particularly visible from the viewing angle used in **Figure 3b**. **Figure**

3c demonstrates the tip-sample contact point cloud after image deconvolution by CPR where

the data points are no longer bound to the x/y pixel lattice and instead echo the actual sample

surface coordinates. The points that are localised at the top of the filament (red points in **Figure**

3d) were then selected to be used in subsequent steps to create a surface envelope model of the

individual filament. In summary, the CPR step reconstructed the 3D contact points between

the AFM tip and the filament, creating a point cloud that represents the top of the individual

filament being analysed.

Visualisation of the filament cross-section and construction of 3D surface envelope model

The point cloud data that represents the structure of the filament top obtained from the CPR

step was next used to create a 3D surface envelope model of the filament. The helical properties

of the individual filament being analysed were first determined by manual inspection, this is

shown for the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament in **Figure 1c-e**. Since topological AFM height images

are not transmission images, only one side of the helical filament is observed. Hence, the helical

handedness of the filament twist is typically readily visible by the tilt direction of the striation

pattern (exemplified by the white lines in **Figure 1d**). For left-hand twisted filaments, the tilt

of the pattern goes from bottom left to top right whereas the tilt of the pattern goes from bottom

right to top left for right-hand twisted fibrils when the filaments image is aligned horizontally.

The handedness can also be deduced by 2D Fourier transform of the filament image

(**Figure 1e**). For vertically aligned filaments images, the main peaks in the 2D Fourier

transform power spectrum image follow the same bottom left to top right or bottom right to top

left tilt patterns relative to the image centre for left-handed or right-handed twists, respectively

(arrow heads in **Figure 1e** for the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament). The helical pitch of the filament can

also be deduced from the z-height peak patterns of the central contour line of the filament

image, and the peaks in the 2D Fourier transform of the filament image. In this case, a direct

determination of the helical pitch by spotting repeating patterns in the z-height profile or

estimating the magnitude of the tilt of the pattern in 2D Fourier transformed image may not be

straight forward as the differences expected for different cross-sectional symmetries may be

small. Therefore, a best guess of the cross-sectional symmetry and resulting helical pitch was

used for the initial 3D model building, and the validity of this initial guess was checked by

comparing simulated image and its 2D Fourier transform power spectrum with the image data

of the filament in a subsequent step. This is illustrated for the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament in

Figure 1d where the helical pitch was estimated to be the peak-peak distances of every-other

peak in the central line z-height profile of the filament (e.g. filled blue triangles in **Figure 1d**),

possibly due to a C_2 cross-sectional symmetry or a 2_1-screw axis symmetry. This guess for the

example filament was validated because the simulated image based on the 3D model

constructed using the guessed pitch values (**Figure 1f**) matched well with the image data, and

the location of the main peaks in the 2D Fourier transformed image matched with those in the

2D Fourier transformed power spectrum image of the data (arrow heads in **Figure 1e**).

Once the helical pitch and twist handedness is determined for the individual filament being

analysed, the point cloud data representing the structure of the filament top is moved from its

original AFM height image coordinate system to a helical coordinate system (**Figure 4a**) where

the helical axis of the filament is aligned with the y-axis and the x/z-axes (the cross-sectional

plane) rotates with the same rate along the helical axis as the helical filament being analysed.

In this case, the x/z-plane rotates with a θ rotation angle that is locally estimated, referenced

onto the same peaks used to calculate the local helical pitch values (filled blue triangles in

Figure 1d). For the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament, the θ rotation angle at any given y-coordinate along

the helical axis is shown in **Figure 4b** where the blue crosses are the local reference points at

full integral number of complete turns around the helical axis, and the red line represents the

interpolated θ values along the helical axis by cubic splines interpolation. The cross-sectional

envelope of the helical filament was then visualised on the helical x/z plane when the point

cloud was registered onto the rotating x/z-frame. **Figure 1g** shows the visualisation of the

cross-sectional envelope of the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament as a density map of the contact points

in the x/z-plane constructed using a non-parametric kernel density estimator [36]. The spatial

resolution of this density map was subsequently estimated by a Monte Carlo (MC) approach

where the points in the point cloud were randomly split into two equal sized sets. The density

map of each set of points were separately constructed (an example pair is shown in Figure 4c)

and their Fourier ring correlation were evaluated using the ½-bit information criterion [37]. For

the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament shown in **Figure 1g**, evaluated using 500 MC cycles, the resulting

spatial resolution estimate was around 13.1Å (**Figure 4d**). Finally, the 3D surface envelope of

the whole of the filament was constructed by fitting an average cross-sectional envelope

constructed by a cubic spline curve in the x/z-plane to the point cloud data. A moving window

approach to select only points local to each evaluated y-coordinate (within ±1 helical pitch)

were used to construct cross-sectional envelopes that takes into account local structural

variations as previously described [14]. The final 3D surface envelope of a 400 nm segment of

the example $A\beta_{42}$ filament is shown in **Figure 4e** and **Figure 4f**. The filament surface was

coloured by its perpendicular distance to the helical axis in **Figure 4e** to highlight its helical

properties and by its local twist angle per unit length in **Figure 4f** to highlight the structural

variations in its twist along this single individual filament.

DISCUSSION

Atomic force microscopy has recently embarked on a renaissance in terms of its application in

imaging of biological/soft materials and in molecular structural biology, driven by the recent

developments in high-speed AFM instrumentation [38] and several new emerging image analysis

algorithms [9,14,39-42]. Despite the limitations of the AFM physics due to the nanometre size of

the probe tip and that only the surface features of molecules of interest are probed, AFM is

capable of time-resolved imaging with ~100 ms temporal resolution in aqueous conditions,

allowing the dynamics of molecules to be studied under ambient conditions. AFM is also

capable of high signal-to-noise topology imaging with typically sub Ånström noise and ~1Å

resolution in the z height-axis. This feature allows for structural analysis of individual

molecules without cross-molecule averaging [13,14,31]. Therefore, this allows the structural

variations between individual molecules in a population or within the same molecular assembly

to be resolved. Together, these two notable features of AFM fill an important gap in the type

of molecular structural information that can be gained, and creat an unique niche for AFM in

structural biology where methods such as cryo-electron microscopy, nuclear magnetic

resonance spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography all provide ensemble averaged structural

information. Here, the high signal-to-noise topology imaging feature of AFM is exploited to

allow 3D structural studies of individual helical filaments.

The Trace_y software and the workflow based on 3D CPR-AFM presented here is a post-

experiment analysis approach. As such, it is widely applicable for the analysis of topographical

AFM height images. Importantly, the application of Trace_y on helical amyloid fibrils

demonstrated here show unequivocally that 3D structural reconstruction is possible using AFM

data as input. The CPR approach allows this by unlocking the 3D information encoded in the

images and show that this information can be understood and visualised as 3D contact point

clouds. Contact point clouds can be quantitatively analysed once aligned to an appropriate and

meaningful coordinate system and/or to each other, this is a process also referred to as point

cloud registration. Indeed, point cloud registration is a principal problem that features

prominently in pattern recognition and computer vision fields. In the case of Trace_y, the

current presented version of the 3D reconstruction workflow and the algorithms that underpin

the key steps of the workflow establishes many opportunities for further developments,

refinements and improvements at each and every step by the bio-AFM community. For

example, refinements to the helical axis estimate and subsequently the alignment of the cross-

sectional axes will improve the overall quality of the 3D point cloud in representing the surface

envelope of the helical structures of interest. Integrative approaches involving spatial 3D data

sources from cryo-EM, molecular PDB models from NMR or X-ray crystallography studies,

or predicted molecular models (e.g. by AlphaFold [43]) can further extend the use of the 3D point

cloud data and provide models by which the point clouds can be compared to or registered onto.

In addition, it may be possible to map chemical or nanomechanical information onto the 3D

surface envelopes (e.g. using analogous approach as demonstrated in **Figure 4e, f**)

reconstructed from multi-modal AFM-IR, AFM-Raman or nanomechanics AFM experiments.

Therefore, these opportunities may make it possible to distinguish between different

conformational or ligand binding states of biological molecules or complexes, facilitating the

analysis of protein structure and function to high details on a single, individual molecule level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

AFM specimen preparation

The 3D contact-point reconstruction AFM (CPR-AFM) workflow to analyse the 3D surface

envelopes of individual helical filaments using Trace_y is demonstrated on amyloid fibrils

formed from the 42 residues long Amyloid β 1-42 peptide ($A\beta_{42}$). Helical filaments formed

from $A\beta_{42}$ is associated with neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), in

which extracellular deposits known as plaques containing Aβ$_{42}$ amyloid fibrils are found in

brains of patients [44]. Here, fibrils were formed *in vitro* from recombinant Aβ$_{42}$ [45] in 20mM

HEPES buffer under quiescent conditions at pH 7.4 and 37°C [31]. The fibrils were deposited

onto freshly cleaved mica discs as previously described [31].

Topographical AFM image data acquisition

Aβ$_{42}$ amyloid fibrils were imaged by AFM using a Multimode 8 SPM with a Nanoscope V

controller (Bruker) operating under peak-force tapping mode as detailed previously [13]. Briefly,

ScanAsyst silicon nitride probes (Bruker) with nominal tip radius of 2 nm and nominal spring

constant of 0.4 N/m were used. Topographical height images with a scan size of 4 x 4 μm and

2048 x 2048 pixels or 8 x 8 μm and 4096 x 4096 pixels were collected maintaining a pixel

density of 0.512 pixels/nm. A scan rate of 0.305 Hz was used for the 4 x 4 μm and 0.2 Hz for

the 8 x 8 μm scans. The fibrils were probed gently using ~400 pN of peak-force, with a noise

threshold of 0.5 nm and the Z limit was of 1.5 μm were used.

Initial image processing

Nanoscope analysis software supplied with the Multimode 8 SPM instrument (updated to

version 1.5, Bruker) were used for the initial processing of the z-height image data. Line

flattening were performed to remove tilt and scanner bow by fitting a 3rd-order polynomial

baseline to each scan line of the z-height channel image. For background flattening, the

thresholding option in Nanoscope analysis was used and the thresholding level was manually

adjusted prior to the polynomial fit. The height channel of the data was then imported into

Trace_y for the subsequent steps of the workflow.

Algorithm implementation and image analysis

The algorithms in the Trace_y workflow were implemented in Matlab (2022a, The MathWorks,

Natick, MA) and include graphical user-interface for various steps in the workflow that

requires user inputs. Equation (1) describes the Gaussian wall model [35] used to determine a

point's coordinates $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ along the central filament contour.

$$z = h \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left((x - \hat{x})\sin\varphi + (y - \hat{y})\cos\varphi + c\left((x - \hat{x})\cos\varphi + (y - \hat{y})\sin\varphi\right)^2\right)^2\right]$$

Eq. (1)

In Equation (1), h is the height of the Gaussian wall, σ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian

cross-section, φ is the angle of the filament on the x/y-plane and c is the curvature parameter.

The 3D reconstruction of tip-sample contact points were performed by image deconvolution

as previously described [14]. For the deconvolution procedure, a rounded cone tip model made

by rotation of the half cross-section described by Equation (2) around the y-axis is used.

$$y = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{r^2 - x^2} + \dfrac{r}{\sin\alpha}, & 0 \le x < r\cos\alpha \\ \\ x\cot\alpha, & x \ge r\cos\alpha \end{cases}$$

Eq. (2)

In Equation (2), α is the half-angle of the tip cone and was set to 18° here (estimated from the

tip geometry information provided by the manufacturer). The r parameter is the tip-radius.

Software package with stand-alone executable of Trace_y was prepared using Matlab Compiler

(2022a) and can be downloaded from the GitHub (https://github.com/wfxue/Trace_y).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Help with the software development and insightful discussions by Liisa Lutter, and acquisition of the $A\beta_{42}$ amyloid image data used here as examples by Liam Aubrey are gratefully acknowledged. Testing and helpful comments provided by the members of the Xue and the Louise Serpell research groups are also gratefully acknowledged. The AFM image examples used is acquired on an instrument supported by funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), UK grants BB/J008001/1, BB/M02427X/1 and BB/S003312/1

REFERENCES

Dufrene, Y. F., Ando, T., Garcia, R., Alsteens, D., Martinez-Martin, D., Engel, A., Gerber, C. & Muller, D. J. Imaging modes of atomic force microscopy for application in molecular and cell biology. *Nat Nanotechnol* **12**, 295-307, (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.45

Parsons, E. S., Stanley, G. J., Pyne, A. L. B., Hodel, A. W., Nievergelt, A. P., Menny, A., Yon, A. R., Rowley, A., Richter, R. P., Fantner, G. E., Bubeck, D. & Hoogenboom, B. W. Single-molecule kinetics of pore assembly by the membrane attack complex. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2066, (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10058-7

Chen, Y., Radford, S. E. & Brockwell, D. J. Force-induced remodelling of proteins and their complexes. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **30**, 89-99, (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2015.02.001

Ares, P., Fuentes-Perez, M. E., Herrero-Galan, E., Valpuesta, J. M., Gil, A., Gomez-Herrero, J. & Moreno-Herrero, F. High resolution atomic force microscopy of double-stranded RNA. *Nanoscale* **8**, 11818-11826, (2016). https://doi.org/10.1039/c5nr07445b

Moreno-Herrero, F., Perez, M., Baro, A. M. & Avila, J. Characterization by atomic force microscopy of Alzheimer paired helical filaments under physiological conditions. *Biophys J* **86**, 517-525, (2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(04)74130-2

Nowakowski, R., Luckham, P. & Winlove, P. Imaging erythrocytes under physiological conditions by atomic force microscopy. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1514**, 170-176, (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2736(01)00365-0

| 398 | 7 | Cappella, B. & Dietler, G. Force-distance curves by atomic force microscopy. *Surf Sci Rep* **34**, 1-104, (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5729(99)00003-5 |
| 400 | 8 | Gross, L., Mohn, F., Moll, N., Liljeroth, P. & Meyer, G. The chemical structure of a molecule resolved by atomic force microscopy. *Science* **325**, 1110-1114, (2009). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176210 |

7   Cappella, B. & Dietler, G. Force-distance curves by atomic force microscopy. *Surf Sci*
Rep **34**, 1-104, (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5729(99)00003-5
8   Gross, L., Mohn, F., Moll, N., Liljeroth, P. & Meyer, G. The chemical structure of a
molecule resolved by atomic force microscopy. *Science* **325**, 1110-1114, (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176210
9   Heath, G. R., Kots, E., Robertson, J. L., Lansky, S., Khelashvili, G., Weinstein, H. &
Scheuring, S. Localization atomic force microscopy. *Nature* **594**, 385-390, (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03551-x
10   Drake, B., Prater, C. B., Weisenhorn, A. L., Gould, S. A., Albrecht, T. R., Quate, C. F.,
Cannell, D. S., Hansma, H. G. & Hansma, P. K. Imaging crystals, polymers, and
processes in water with the atomic force microscope. *Science* **243**, 1586-1589, (1989).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2928794
11   Pasquina-Lemonche, L., Burns, J., Turner, R. D., Kumar, S., Tank, R., Mullin, N.,
Wilson, J. S., Chakrabarti, B., Bullough, P. A., Foster, S. J. & Hobbs, J. K. The
architecture of the Gram-positive bacterial cell wall. *Nature* **582**, 294-297, (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2236-6
12   Radmacher, M., Tillamnn, R. W., Fritz, M. & Gaub, H. E. From molecules to cells:
imaging soft samples with the atomic force microscope. *Science* **257**, 1900-1905,
(1992). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1411505
13   Aubrey, L. D., Blakeman, B. J. F., Lutter, L., Serpell, C. J., Tuite, M. F., Serpell, L. C.
& Xue, W. F. Quantification of amyloid fibril polymorphism by nano-morphometry
reveals the individuality of filament assembly. *Commun Chem* **3**, 125, (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-020-00372-3
14   Lutter, L., Serpell, C. J., Tuite, M. F., Serpell, L. C. & Xue, W. F. Three-dimensional
reconstruction of individual helical nano-filament structures from atomic force
microscopy topographs. *Biomol Concepts* **11**, 102-115, (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1515/bmc-2020-0009
15   Lutter, L., Aubrey, L. D. & Xue, W. F. On the Structural Diversity and Individuality of
Polymorphic Amyloid Protein Assemblies. *J Mol Biol* **433**, 167124, (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167124
16   Knowles, T. P., Vendruscolo, M. & Dobson, C. M. The amyloid state and its association
with protein misfolding diseases. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **15**, 384-396,
(2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3810
17   Eisenberg, D. & Jucker, M. The amyloid state of proteins in human diseases. *Cell* **148**,
1188-1203, (2012). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.022
18   Ke, P. C., Zhou, R., Serpell, L. C., Riek, R., Knowles, T. P. J., Lashuel, H. A., Gazit,
E., Hamley, I. W., Davis, T. P., Fandrich, M., Otzen, D. E., Chapman, M. R., Dobson,
C. M., Eisenberg, D. S. & Mezzenga, R. Half a century of amyloids: past, present and
future. *Chem Soc Rev* **49**, 5473-5509, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cs00199a
19   Scheres, S. H., Zhang, W., Falcon, B. & Goedert, M. Cryo-EM structures of tau
filaments. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **64**, 17-25, (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2020.05.011
20   Lutter, L., Serpell, C. J., Tuite, M. F. & Xue, W. F. The molecular lifecycle of amyloid
- Mechanism of assembly, mesoscopic organisation, polymorphism, suprastructures,
and biological consequences. *Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom* **1867**, 140257,
(2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2019.07.010
21   Hervas, R., Rau, M. J., Park, Y., Zhang, W., Murzin, A. G., Fitzpatrick, J. A. J., Scheres,
S. H. W. & Si, K. Cryo-EM structure of a neuronal functional amyloid implicated in
memory persistence in Drosophila. *Science* **367**, 1230-1234, (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3526

Leger, P., Nachman, E., Richter, K., Tamietti, C., Koch, J., Burk, R., Kummer, S., Xin, Q., Stanifer, M., Bouloy, M., Boulant, S., Krausslich, H. G., Montagutelli, X., Flamand, M., Nussbaum-Krammer, C. & Lozach, P. Y. NSs amyloid formation is associated with the virulence of Rift Valley fever virus in mice. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3281, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17101-y

Adamcik, J. & Mezzenga, R. Amyloid Polymorphism in the Protein Folding and Aggregation Energy Landscape. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **57**, 8370-8382, (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201713416

Ruggeri, F. S., Flagmeier, P., Kumita, J. R., Meisl, G., Chirgadze, D. Y., Bongiovanni, M. N., Knowles, T. P. J. & Dobson, C. M. The Influence of Pathogenic Mutations in alpha-Synuclein on Biophysical and Structural Characteristics of Amyloid Fibrils. *ACS Nano* **14**, 5213-5222, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b09676

Koloteva-Levine, N., Aubrey, L. D., Marchante, R., Purton, T. J., Hiscock, J. R., Tuite, M. F. & Xue, W. F. Amyloid particles facilitate surface-catalyzed cross-seeding by acting as promiscuous nanoparticles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**, e2104148118, (2021). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104148118

Marchante, R., Beal, D. M., Koloteva-Levine, N., Purton, T. J., Tuite, M. F. & Xue, W. F. The physical dimensions of amyloid aggregates control their infective potential as prion particles. *Elife* **6**, e27109, (2017). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27109

Sanami, S., Purton, T. J., Smith, D. P., Tuite, M. F. & Xue, W. F. Comparative Analysis of the Relative Fragmentation Stabilities of Polymorphic Alpha-Synuclein Amyloid Fibrils. *Biomolecules* **12**, 630, (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12050630

Beal, D. M., Tournus, M., Marchante, R., Purton, T. J., Smith, D. P., Tuite, M. F., Doumic, M. & Xue, W. F. The Division of Amyloid Fibrils: Systematic Comparison of Fibril Fragmentation Stability by Linking Theory with Experiments. *iScience* **23**, 101512, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101512

Xue, W. F. & Radford, S. E. An imaging and systems modeling approach to fibril breakage enables prediction of amyloid behavior. *Biophys J* **105**, 2811-2819, (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.10.034

Xue, W. F., Hellewell, A. L., Gosal, W. S., Homans, S. W., Hewitt, E. W. & Radford, S. E. Fibril fragmentation enhances amyloid cytotoxicity. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 34272-34282, (2009). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.049809

Aubrey, Liam D, Lutter, Liisa, Fennell, Kate, Purton, Tracey J, Ward, Natasha, Serpell, Louise C. & Xue, Wei-Feng. Structural reconstruction of individual filaments in Abeta42 fibril populations assembled in vitro reveal rare species that resemble ex vivo amyloid polymorphs from human brains. *bioRxiv*, 2023.07.14.549001, (2023). https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.14.549001

Konstantoulea, K., Guerreiro, P., Ramakers, M., Louros, N., Aubrey, L. D., Houben, B., Michiels, E., De Vleeschouwer, M., Lampi, Y., Ribeiro, L. F., de Wit, J., Xue, W. F., Schymkowitz, J. & Rousseau, F. Heterotypic Amyloid beta interactions facilitate amyloid assembly and modify amyloid structure. *EMBO J* **41**, e108591, (2022). https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2021108591

Lutter, L., Al-Hilaly, Y. K., Serpell, C. J., Tuite, M. F., Wischik, C. M., Serpell, L. C. & Xue, W. F. Structural Identification of Individual Helical Amyloid Filaments by Integration of Cryo-Electron Microscopy-Derived Maps in Comparative Morphometric Atomic Force Microscopy Image Analysis. *J Mol Biol* **434**, 167466, (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2022.167466

Villarrubia, J. S. Algorithms for Scanned Probe Microscope Image Simulation, Surface Reconstruction, and Tip Estimation. *J Res Natl Inst Stand Technol* **102**, 425-454, (1997). https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.102.030

35   Ruhnow, F., Zwicker, D. & Diez, S. Tracking single particles and elongated filaments
with nanometer precision. *Biophys J* **100**, 2820-2828, (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.04.023
36   Botev, Z. I., Grotowski, J. F. & Kroese, D. P. Kernel Density Estimation Via Diffusion.
Ann Stat **38**, 2916-2957, (2010). https://doi.org/10.1214/10-Aos799
37   van Heel, M. & Schatz, M. Fourier shell correlation threshold criteria. *J Struct Biol* **151**,
250-262, (2005). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.05.009
38   Flechsig, H. & Ando, T. Protein dynamics by the combination of high-speed AFM and
computational modeling. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **80**, 102591, (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2023.102591
39   Amyot, R. & Flechsig, H. BioAFMviewer: An interactive interface for simulated AFM
scanning of biomolecular structures and dynamics. *PLoS Comput Biol* **16**, e1008444,
(2020). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008444
40   Beton, J. G., Moorehead, R., Helfmann, L., Gray, R., Hoogenboom, B. W., Joseph, A.
P., Topf, M. & Pyne, A. L. B. TopoStats - A program for automated tracing of
biomolecules from AFM images. *Methods* **193**, 68-79, (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2021.01.008
41   Necas, D. & Klapetek, P. Gwyddion: an open-source software for SPM data analysis.
Cent Eur J Phys **10**, 181-188, (2012). https://doi.org/10.2478/s11534-011-0096-2
42   Usov, I. & Mezzenga, R. FiberApp: An Open-Source Software for Tracking and
Analyzing Polymers, Filaments, Biomacromolecules, and Fibrous Objects.
Macromolecules **48**, 1269-1280, (2015). https://doi.org/10.1021/ma502264c
43   Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O.,
Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C.,
Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R.,
Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman, D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M.,
Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S., Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A. W.,
Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P. & Hassabis, D. Highly accurate protein structure prediction
with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-589, (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-
03819-2
44   Yang, Y., Arseni, D., Zhang, W., Huang, M., Lovestam, S., Schweighauser, M.,
Kotecha, A., Murzin, A. G., Peak-Chew, S. Y., Macdonald, J., Lavenir, I., Garringer,
H. J., Gelpi, E., Newell, K. L., Kovacs, G. G., Vidal, R., Ghetti, B., Ryskeldi-Falcon,
B., Scheres, S. H. W. & Goedert, M. Cryo-EM structures of amyloid-beta 42 filaments
from human brains. *Science* **375**, 167-172, (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm7285
45   O'Malley, T. T., Linse, S. & Walsh, D. M. Production and Use of Recombinant Abeta
for Aggregation Studies. *Methods Mol Biol* **1777**, 307-320, (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7811-3_19
537

538
539

FIGURES

Figure 1. The Trace_y workflow demonstrated on an individual Aβ₄₂ amyloid fibril. (a) Flowchart overview of the Trace_y workflow for analysing the structure of an individual helical filament. (b) Topographical AFM height images of helical twisted Aβ₄₂ fibrils. The right image is identical to the left image, except with an example fibril and its central contour

line highlighted (red line). The white crosses indicate user defined start and end point of the

traced fibril segment. The scale bar represent 500 nm in both images. (c) Digitally straightened

fibril image showing the fibril highlighted in (b) and its central line height profile. (d) A

zoomed image showing the first 400 nm segment the same fibril shown in (c). Its central line

height profile (blue line) is shown together with a digitally smoothed line (red line) with which

the peak positions were determined (blue triangles). The filled blue triangles indicate reference

locations with one helical pitch distance in between each filled blue triangles. (e) Power

spectrum of 2D Fourier transform of the fibril image data is shown to the left compared with

that calculated from a simulated topograph image shown to the right. The black arrows indicate

identical locations in both power spectra and show major peak locations. (f) Topographic AFM

height image simulated from the 3D fibril envelope model (h) of the same fibril in (b) together

with a zoomed in image showing the first 400 nm segment. (g) The cross-sectional contact-

point density map of the same highlighted fibril from (b) Scale bar indicate distance of 10Å.

Figure 2. Tracing of individual filaments and estimation of helical axis coordinates by regression analysis using a curved Gaussian wall model. (a) Topographical AFM height images of helical twisted Aβ$_{42}$ fibrils. The same 2x2 μm image as **Figure 1b** is shown. The white box indicate 1x1 μm magnified area shown in (b) and scale bar indicating 500 nm. (b) 1x1 μm magnified area indicated by the white box in (a). The white box in (b) indicate 22x22 pixel area shown in (c)-(f), and scale bar indicating 250 nm. (c) and (d) 22x22 pixel area indicated in the image data in (b) shown as a 2D height image and as a 3D surface, respectively. (e) and (f) Best fit curved Gaussian wall model of the same area indicated in the image data in (b) shown as a 2D height image and as a 3D surface, respectively. (g) and (h) Pixel level residuals between the image data and the best fit model shown as a 2D height image and as a 3D surface, respectively. In (c)-(h), white cross indicate an estimated point of helical axis x/y coordinates. Scale bar indicate 10 nm in (c), (e) and (g).

Figure 3. Cantilever tip radius estimation and contact point reconstruction result in a 3D

point cloud that represents the tip-filament surface contact points. (a) Initial estimation of

the tip radius by least-squares fit of calculated image dilation (red crosses, red solid line and

red dotted lines) from a featureless cylinder (black line representing the cross-section of the

cylinder) to observed average dilation along the fibril (blue circles). (b) The fibril image in

Figure 1d shown as points in 3D space representing the pixels' x/y/z coordinates. (c) The point

cloud output of CPR showing the x/y/z coordinates of the points in (b) moved to estimated tip-

filament surface contact points. (d) Contact points on the top of the helical filament

subsequently used for construction of 3D surface envelop is show as red points.

Figure 4. Construction of filament 3D surface envelope by point cloud registration in a

helical coordinate system. (a) Schematic of the helical coordinate system used to align contact

points in a point cloud representing a helical filament. The x/z plane of this coordinate system

is set to rotate around the helical axis aligned to the y-axis with the same twist angles θ as

observed for the filament. (b) The local twist angle θ (blue cross) of the example filament

shown in **Figure 1c** measured using its height profile (e.g. filled blue triangles in **Figure 1d**).

Red line represents the θ function along the whole filament constructed by spline interpolation

with the measurement points (blue cross). (c) The cross-sectional contact-point density map of

the same example filament. An example density map pair generated by randomly selected half-

sets of the contact point cloud used for FRC measurement is shown. (d) The spatial resolution

of the contact-point density map shown in **Figure 1g** estimated by FRC (blue line) and the ½

bit information criterion [37] (red line with the FRC crossing point indicated by the arrow). (e)

3D surface envelope model of the example filament (the first 400 nm segment as shown in

Figure 1d) with surface colour indicating distance to helical axis. (f) The same 3D surface

envelope model of the example filament as (e) but with colour indicating helical twist mapped

to its surface to highlight local helical structural features.