Octocoral microbiomes vary substantially across environmental gradients in deep waters

Samuel A. Vohsen[1#] & Santiago Herrera[1*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA

[#]Co-corresponding Author: sav419@lehigh.edu

[*]Corresponding Author: santiago.herrera@lehigh.edu

Running Title: Octocoral microbiomes in deep waters

Abstract

Coral-associated microbiomes vary greatly between colonies and localities with functional

consequences on the host. However, the full extent of variability across the ranges of most coral

species remains unknown, especially in corals living in deep waters. Here we characterized the

microbiomes of four octocoral species from mesophotic and deep-sea habitats in the northern

Gulf of Mexico, *Muricea pendula, Swiftia exserta, Callogorgia delta,* and *Paramuricea biscaya*

using 16S metabarcoding. We tested for microbiome differentiation between and within species,

examining the influence of the coral's genotype and environmental factors that vary with depth

(53-2224 m) and geographic location (over 680 m). Coral microbiomes were often dominated by

amplicon sequence variants whose abundances varied across hosts' ranges including

corallicolids, *Endozoicomonas*, members of the Mollicutes, and the BD1-7 clade. Coral species,

depth, and geographic location significantly affected diversity, microbial community

composition, and the abundance of individual microbes. Differences in host genotype, bottom

temperature, and surface primary productivity could explain part of the variation associated with

depth and geographic location. Altogether, this work demonstrates that the microbiomes of

corals vary substantially across their ranges with potential functional consequences, identifies

important ecological drivers in mesophotic and deep-sea corals, and can inform restoration

efforts.

Keywords

Mycoplasma, Oceanoplasma, Deep-sea, Mesophotic, Microbial biogeography, seascape ecology

Introduction

Shallow-water, reef-building corals are well-known for associating with microalgae in the family Symbiodinaceae. All corals, including those in the deep sea, form associations with diverse groups of bacteria [1], archaea [2, 3], unicellular eukaryotes [4], and viruses [5], collectively called the coral microbiome. Many members of the microbiome have proven important to the health of corals, either as pathogens [6] or providing sources of nutrition [7, 8].

Common coral associates include eukaryotic apicomplexans of the family Corallicolidae [9-13] and the bacterial genera *Mycoplasma* [14-19] and *Endozoicomonas* [1, 20-22]. These taxa are among the most-studied coral-associated microbes and exhibit many indications of a close relationship with their coral hosts. *Endozoicomonas* form dense aggregates in coral tissue [23, 24]. and possess capabilities relevant to the coral host such as producing vitamins [25, 26], degrading dimethylsulfoniopropionate [27], and cycling phosphorus [28]. Similarly, corallicolid apicomplexans and *Mycoplasma* are commonly found in many coral species and reside within or on coral tissue [10, 18, 29]. Most apicomplexans [30] and *Mycoplasma* spp. [31] are parasites or pathogens. However, those found in corals have not yet been associated with pathology or reduced fitness [32]. While these common coral associates have been the focus of extensive research, we have a limited understanding of the variability of coral-microbe associations.

The compositions of coral microbiomes can vary substantially between and within coral species and across geographic locations [20, 33, 34]. The genotype of the host coral underlies some of this variation [33, 35, 36], as do environmental factors such as water temperature [37], habitat type [38], and pollution levels [37, 39]. These factors can differ across the ranges of coral species, yet the scope of most studies is limited to a narrow selection of a species' known geographic or depth range. Further, most studies have focused on shallow water corals, mainly

scleractinians, and to a lesser degree on the more diverse octocorals and antipatharian black

corals [34, 40, 41]. Overall, the extent of coral microbiome variability is likely underestimated.

Variations in coral microbiomes can have functional implications. In shallow-water

scleractinian species, certain microbial assemblages are associated with increased thermal

tolerance [42-44]. Microbiome variation could be significant for mesophotic and deep-sea corals

(those living deeper than 50 meters) because they often have wider depth and geographic ranges

than shallow-water species [45].

Mesophotic and deep-sea corals support diverse and abundant biological communities in

Earth's largest biome [46-50]. Most of these corals are heterotrophic because they live beyond

the reach of sunlight sufficient for photosynthesis. Like shallow-water corals, mesophotic and

deep-sea corals face human-induced stressors such as ocean warming, acidification, pollution,

and ecosystem destruction [51-54]. In the mesophotic zone and deep sea, octocorals comprise

approximately 70% of all coral species [55]. A comprehensive characterization of microbiome

variation in octocorals across their geographic ranges is needed to understand the diversity and

ecological roles of microbiomes in deep-water habitats..

Mesophotic and deep-sea octocorals occur worldwide along continental margins and

seamounts, over a wide depth range down to at least 8,000 meters [45, 56]. Light intensity,

oxygen concentration, temperature, pressure, pH, salinity, food availability, and habitat structure

vary with depth and geography. All these factors combine to strongly influence the ecology and

evolution of marine animals [57-60]. Thus, factors that vary with depth and geographic location

likely drive microbiome variation in mesophotic and deep-sea octocorals as well.

Here we test the hypotheses that the microbiomes of mesophotic and deep-sea octocorals

vary significantly across their ranges and in correlation with environmental factors and host

genotype. We characterized the microbiomes of the mesophotic octocorals *Muricea pendula* and

Swiftia exserta, and the deep-sea octocorals *Callogorgia delta* and *Paramuricea biscaya* across

their known depth and geographic ranges in the northern Gulf of Mexico (**Fig. 1**). All of these

coral species are dominant foundation species in their respective communities and at least three

suffered mortality during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill [51, 52, 61-63] and are the focus of

restoration efforts. Understanding the geographic variation of their associated microbes is

essential to understanding the ecology of coral ecosystems and can also inform restoration plans.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Octocorals were sampled between 2009 and 2019 from multiple sites across their ranges

in the northern Gulf of Mexico using Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs) or the human-

occupied vehicle Alvin. They were placed in temperature-insulated containers at the seafloor and

processed immediately after each dive. Specimens of four species were collected including the

mesophotic octocoral *Muricea pendula* (n = 140, 10 individuals per site, 14 sites spanning 34-

690 km and 53-117 m in depth), the co-occurring mesophotic octocoral *Swiftia exserta* (n=100,

10 per site, 10 sites spanning 13-600 km and 62-100 m in depth), the upper-bathyal octocoral

Callogorgia delta (n=60, 10 per site, 6 sites spanning 14-350 km and 439-852 m in depth), and

lower-bathyal octocoral *Paramuricea biscaya* (n=60, 9-11 per site, 6 sites spanning 14-655 km

and 1370-2224 m in depth). Details, including research cruise, time of collection, and

preservation method for each sample, can be found in **Table S1**.

DNA purification

Whole DNA was purified using a modified salting-out procedure [64]. To standardize

DNA concentrations and reduce the concentration of PCR inhibitors, we measured purified DNA

concentrations on a Qubit 4.0 fluorometer with a qubit Broad Range assay and then diluted with

TE buffer to 2ng/µl for *Swiftia exserta*, 20ng/µL for *Muricea pendula*, 10ng/µL for *Callogorgia*

delta, and 10-40ng/µL for *Paramuricea biscaya*. DNA extracts of *C. delta* and *P. biscaya* that

were less concentrated than these thresholds were not diluted. See **Supplementary Methods** for

details.

Microbial metabarcoding

The hypervariable V1-V2 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified

following Vohsen et al. [9] using the universal primers 27F and 355R. PCR products were sent to

the University of Illinois Chicago for library preparation and sequencing [65] on an Illumina

Miseq platform with 250bp paired-end sequencing.

Raw sequence data were imported into *qiime2* [66] version 2021.2 and processed

following Vohsen et al. [9] to create an amplicon sequence variant (ASV) table. In brief, reads

were quality filtered, joined, checked for chimeras, and ASVs were determined with deblur and

classified using a naïve-bayes classifier trained on the SILVA small subunit (SSU) ribosomal

RNA database Ref NR99 release 132 [67]. Details can be found in the **Supplementary**

Methods.

Coral genotyping

To investigate the influence of the host's genotype on the microbiome, Restriction site-

Associated DNA sequence (RADseq) data were obtained from a subset of coral colonies as

described by Bracco et al. [58] and Galaska et al. [59]. In brief, DNA was sent to Floragenex Inc.

(Eugene, OR) for RAD library preparation using the restriction enzyme, PstI, and sequencing on

an Illumina HiSeq platform. Sequence reads were demultiplexed and quality-filtered using the

process_radtags program in Stacks v2.1 [68]. Read clustering and single nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) calling were performed with DeNovoGBS v4.0.1 [69] for *C. delta* and *P.*

biscaya, and with gstacks v2.1 [68] for *S. exserta* and *M. pendula* after mapping to genome

assemblies for each. We excluded SNP loci that: (1) had more than 10% of missing data, (2) had

a minor allele frequency smaller than 0.01, or (3) were not biallelic. We also excluded

individuals that were missing data for >10% of SNP loci for *S. exserta* and *M. pendula* and

>30% for *C. delta* and *P. biscaya* or were identified as clones with R package *poppr* v2.8.6 [70].

To reduce the dimensionality of the genetic data, a principal component analysis (PCA) was

performed on the SNP data of each species separately (*S. exserta,* 23,869 SNPs, n = 54; *M.*

pendula, 9,611 SNPs, n = 100; *C. delta*, 14,013 SNPs, n = 51 and *P. biscaya,* 7,293 SNPs, n =

58) using the R package *ade4* v1.7 [71]. The PCA axes were reduced to two dimensions using

the uniform manifold approximation and projection method [72] using the R package *umap*

v0.2.8. Genetic distances between individuals within species were calculated as pairwise allelic

differences using the R package *poppr* v2.9.4.

Environmental Variables

Publicly available satellite data and model-derived values for the Gulf of Mexico were

obtained to evaluate the influence of environmental variables on coral microbiomes. The

satellite-derived estimates of surface primary productivity (mg/m^{2}d), chlorophyll concentration

(mg/m^3), inorganic suspended particulate matter concentration (g/m^3), and the particulate back-

scattering coefficient at 443nm (m^{-1}) were obtained from the Copernicus-GlobColour Global

Ocean Colour biogeochemical dataset. Model-derived values were obtained for the depth of the

surface mixed layer (m), bottom seawater temperature (°C), bottom seawater oxygen

concentration (mL/L), bottom seawater salinity, and bottom current magnitude (m/s) from the

HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM). Both datasets comprised monthly means from Jan

2011 to Dec 2018 at 4km grid resolution. The mean and standard deviation of monthly means for

each variable were extracted at the sampling location of each coral colony. Only the mean

bottom seawater temperature and surface primary productivity were retained for statistical

analyses. Other variables were excluded from the analyses because they were strongly correlated,

not substantially variable across sites, or were not justified by *a priori* hypotheses. See **Tables**

S2, S3 for further details.

Statistical Analyses

Rarefied microbial ASV richness was calculated for each coral colony using the *rarefy*

function in the R package *vegan* [73] v2.5.7 using the minimum library size (1220 reads) as the

sampling depth following Hurlbert [74]. Pielou's evenness was calculated for each individual

using the function *evenness* in the R package *microbiome* v1.16.0 [75]. Microbial community

richness and evenness were compared between coral species and across sites using a Kruskal-

Wallis rank-sum test followed by pairwise two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with a

Bonferroni-adjusted α-value using the functions *kruskal.test* and *wilcox.test* in the base R

package *stats* v4.1.2. In addition, the effects of depth and longitude on richness and evenness

were evaluated for each species using a multiple linear regression model (MLR) with depth and

longitude as predictors and richness or evenness as response variables.

Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) [76] and distance-based

Redundancy Analysis (dbRDA) [77] were performed to evaluate the influence of host species,

depth, geography (site and longitude), bottom temperature, and surface primary productivity on

microbiome compositions. Longitude was chosen to test for regional scale patterns across

geography while sampling site was used as a finer scale variable. PERMANOVA analyses were

performed using the R functions *adonis2*, *dbrda*, and *anoca.cca* in the package *vegan* with Bray-

Curtis dissimilarities on ASV proportions and 9,999 permutations. The results of both analyses

were congruent so only the PERMANOVA results are discussed in the text. The details of each

test are provided in **Tables S4 and S5**. In addition, the diversity among *Endozoicomonas* and

corallicolids associated with *S. exserta* and *M. pendula* were analyzed individually using

PERMANOVA to determine the influence of host species, depth, longitude, and site.

The effect of host genotype on microbiome dissimilarity was tested using Multiple

Regression on distance Matrices (MRM) [78]. Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of 4th root-transformed

ASV proportions were used as the response variable while geographic distances, host genetic

distances, and differences in depth, bottom temperature, and surface primary productivity were

used as predictor variables. Geographic distances were calculated from latitude and longitude

coordinates of each sample using the *distm* command in the R package *geosphere* with the

Haversine function. MRM was conducted using the *MRM* command in the R package *ecodist*

with 100,000 permutations.

Individual ASVs whose abundance differed along depth, longitude, and across sites were

identified using Analysis of Compositions of Microbiomes with Bias Correction (ANCOM-BC)

[79] using the R package *ancombc* v1.4.0. Two separate ANCOM-BC analyses were conducted

on each species individually: 1) using both depth and longitude as explanatory variables and 2) a

global analysis using sampling sites as groups. ASVs were excluded from the analysis if they

were present in fewer than five individual corals (half the colonies sampled at a single site). The

false discovery rate was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. The global analyses

were repeated using each site as the reference. Only ASVs with a maximum q-value below

α=0.05 in all permutations were considered significantly different. These analyses were repeated

on the family level to inform functional differences.

Results

Microbiomes are distinct among octocoral species.

The microbiomes of all four coral species were dominated by ASVs that represented

more than 50% of the microbial community in at least one colony (**Fig. 2B, Table S6**). *M.*

pendula had the greatest diversity of dominant ASVs, including two *Mycoplasma*, two

Marinoplasma, an *Endozoicomonas*, an unclassified alphaproteobacterium, and a corallicolid

apicomplexan. *S. exserta* hosted only two dominant ASVs: one classified as BD1-7 clade

(Spongiibacteraceae) and another classified as *Mycoplasma*. Both *C. delta* and *P. biscaya* hosted

three dominant ASVs. All three dominant ASVs in *C. delta* were recently described [29] as

novel members of the class Mollicutes: two *Ca. Oceanoplasma* and one *Ca. Thalassoplasma*.

The dominant ASVs in *P. biscaya* were classified as *Mycoplasma*, *Endozoicomonas,* and

Pseudoalteromonas. Dominant ASVs belonging to the same taxon were given short identifiers

(ex: M1, M2, S2) to distinguish which coral species they were associated with (first letter of

coral genus) and the rank of abundance (1 being most abundant).

Each coral species had a distinct microbial community (**Fig. 2A,B; Table S4A**;

PERMANOVA R^2 45%, $p \leq 10^{-4}$). All dominant ASVs were abundant in only one coral species

and were rare (<4%) in all others. The only exception was the corallicolid ASV M1 in *M.*

pendula which was also abundant, but less so, in *S. exserta* along with other corallicolid ASVs.

Coral species also differed in microbiome richness and evenness (Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test,

p<2.2×10^{-16}, **Table S7A**). The microbiomes of *M. pendula* and *P. biscaya* had higher rarefied

ASV richness and Pielou's evenness than *S. exserta* and *C. delta* (**Fig. 2C,D; Table S7A**; post-

hoc pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum tests, p≤5.4×10^{-6}).

Factors shaping microbiomes

The microbial communities of all coral species differed significantly across sites which

accounted for 33-59% of the variation within species (**Table S4B,** PERMANOVA p≤10^{-4}). The

was driven by significant trends across depth (p≤10^{-4}) and longitude (p≤0.0251), which

simultaneously accounted for 11-17% and 3-12% of the variation, respectively, depending on

coral species (**Table S4C**). The only exception was *C. delta,* whose microbial community

composition was not significantly correlated with longitude. After accounting for depth and

longitude, sampling site remained associated with a significant (p≤0.016) and substantial amount

of additional variation (13-44%) for each coral species (**Table S4D**).

Temperature decreased with depth and was associated with a significant amount of

microbiome variation in all species (**Fig. 1D; Table S4E;** PERMANOVA p≤0.0156, 5-14%)

except *P. biscaya* in which it was not tested due to low variation between sites (<0.6°C).

However, this did not account for the entire structure with depth which retained a significant

correlation with microbiome dissimilarity after accounting for temperature (**Table S4E;**

PERMANOVA p≤0.0006, 4-9%, **Table S4E**). Similarly, surface primary productivity was

higher in the east and could explain a significant amount of microbiome variation in all species

except *C. delta* (**Fig. 1C; Table S4F;** PERMANOVA p≤0.0154, 1-7%). After accounting for

primary productivity, longitude was still associated with a significant amount of variation (**Table**

S4F; PERMANOVA p≤0.0063, 4-11%,).

Microbiome dissimilarity was significantly correlated with host genetic distances while

controlling for covariates in *P. biscaya* (**Table S8A**, MRM p=0.006) and weakly in *M. pendula*

(**Table S8A;** MRM p=0.041). In *C. delta,* genetic distance was strongly correlated with depth

(**Table S8B;** Partial Mantel p=10^{-4}) and was significantly correlated with microbiome

dissimilarity when ignoring depth (**Table S8C;** MRM p=0.01).

Microbiome patterns with depth

Depth was correlated with microbiome diversity in various ways. In *Muricea pendula,*

both richness (**Fig. 2E**; MLR p=$6x10^{-6}$) and evenness (**Fig. 2F**; MLR p=0.012) decreased

significantly with depth. The decrease in diversity in *M. pendula* was great enough that the

average richness among colonies from the deepest sites was comparable to the richness observed

in *S. exserta* and *C. delta* (**Fig. S1**). Conversely, both richness and evenness in *S. exserta*

increased with depth (MLR p≤$6x10^{-6}$), as did richness in *C. delta* (MLR p=0.038).

The relative abundances of multiple microbial taxa strongly correlated with depth

including many dominant taxa. In *M. pendula*, *Endozoicomonas* ASV M1 increased in

abundance with depth and so did the total abundance of all *Endozoicomonas* ASVs (**Fig. 3A**,

ANCOM-BC, q=$4x10^{-9}$, 10^{-12}). Similarly, the abundance of the dominant corallicolid ASV M1

increased with depth in *M. pendula* (ANCOM-BC, q=$3x10^{-57}$). This was also true for the total

abundance of all corallicolid ASVs in *Muricea pendula* (**Fig. 3B;** ANCOM-BC q=$6x10^{-48}$) and

Swiftia exserta (**Fig. S2A.** ANCOM-BC q=$7x10^{-8}$). In contrast, other dominant ASVs decreased

in abundance with depth: BD1-7 ASV S1 in *S. exserta* (**Fig. 3C;** ANCOM-BC, q=$5x10^{-5}$)*, Ca.*

Marinoplasma ASV M1 in *M. pendula* (**Fig. 3D;** ANCOM-BC, q=$1x10^{-7}$)*,* and both *Ca.*

Oceanoplasma ASV C1 and *Ca. Thalassoplasma* C1 in *C. delta* (**Fig. 2B;** q=$5x10^{-4}$, $2x10^{-52}$).

Many other taxa that comprised a smaller proportion of the microbial community also

differed with depth (**Fig. 3E**). *M. pendula* hosted many of these taxa and the majority decreased

in abundance with depth (54/80 non-dominant ASVs and 36/42 families, ANCOM-BC q≤0.05).

The most abundant of these non-dominant, depth-decreasing taxa included photosynthetic groups

such as Cyanobiaceae and chloroplasts but also ASVs in the families Spirochaetaceae,

Helicobacteraceae, Flavobacteriaceae, Francisellaceae, Burkholderiaceae, and unclassified

bacteria that clustered with Spirochaetia and Epsilonproteobacteria. Together with the dominant

Ca. Marinoplasma M1, these taxa averaged 70% of the microbial community among *M. pendula*

colonies from the shallowest site (Sonnier Bank, 55 m) and decreased to a mean of 3% at the

deepest site (Bright Bank, 116 m, **Fig. 3E**). Although many of these taxa were also detected in *S.*

exserta, their abundances were either not significantly correlated with depth or increased with

depth.

The composition of ASVs within microbial taxa also shifted with depth. For example,

Endozoicomonas ASVs in *M. pendula* (**Fig. 3F, Table S4G**; PERMANOVA p≤10^{-4}) and

corallicolids in *S. exserta* (**Fig. S2K, Table S4G**, PERMANOVA p=0.0029). Notably, *M.*

pendula and *S. exserta* each hosted a unique corallicollid ASV at the deep site Elvers Bank (96

m). Similar depth transitions were also prevalent among the associates of *C. delta. Ca.*

Oceanoplasma ASV C1 dominated the microbial community of most *C. delta* colonies from

most sites except the deepest two sites (**Fig. 2B,** GC249 and GC290). At these sites, the closely

related *Ca. Oceanoplasma* ASV C2 dominated some colonies. Shifts in ASVs were also seen

among *Endozoicomonas* and *Shewanella* associates of *C. delta* (**Fig. 3G,H,** ANCOM-BC by

ASV, 2x10^{-38}≤q≤0.002). A single *Endozoicomonas* ASV was present in most colonies from all

sites (**Fig. 3G,** gray bars), while other ASVs were mostly restricted between three depth zones.

More strikingly, *Shewanella* communities between colonies from two depth zones (<637m and

>794m) were completely non-overlapping, failing to share a single ASV (**Fig. 3H**).

Microbiome patterns with geography

Microbiome richness and evenness were higher in the east for both *M. pendula* and *S.*

exserta (**Table S7B;** MLR p<0.0221). Multiple microbes exhibited differences in abundance

across the geographic range of their host coral (**Fig. 4A-F;** ANCOM-BC, $9\times10^{-11} \leq q \leq 0.05$). *M.*

pendula colonies from eastern sites had higher abundances of *Mycoplasma* ASV M2 and ASVs

classified as *Endozoicomonas,* corallicolids, BD1-7, Spirochaetaceae, *Alteromonas,*

Pseudoalteromonas, Pseudomonas, Marinobacter, Ruegeria, Caedibacter, Sphingomonadaceae,

and Cyanobiaceae. The mean total relative abundance of all ASVs that were more abundant in

the east ranged between 0.8% and 26%, excluding the dominant *Mycoplasma* ASV M2 and

dominant corallicolid ASV M1. Some of these same ASVs and related taxa were also more

abundant in *S. exserta* in the east including *Pseudomonas, Pseudoalteromonas, Alteromonas,*

Ruegeria, Endozoicomonas, Cyanobiaceae, BD1-7, and Spirochaetaceae (**Supplementary File**

1, ANCOM-BC $0.0018 \leq q \leq 0.05$).

Other Cyanobiaceae, *Mycoplasma,* and *Endozoicomonas* ASVs were more abundant in

M. pendula from western sites (**Fig. 4C,D**, ANCOM-BC, $9\times10^{-11} \leq q \leq 0.03$)**.** Similarly, *Ca.*

Marinoplasma and other photosynthetic taxa including several chloroplast ASVs were more

abundant in the west. Notably, two ASVs classified as *Prochloron* comprised over 50% of the

microbial community in some corals from adjacent sites in the west (**Fig. 4C**). Only two ASVs

associated with *S. exserta* were significantly more abundant in the west including an unclassified

gamma-proteobacterium (**Fig. S3G;** ANCOM-BC q=0.0018) and the dominant *Mycoplasma*

ASV S1 which was completely absent from all samples from the three easternmost sites (**Fig.**

4F; q=4x10^{-19}).

Remaining Site Scale Microbiome Variation

The abundances of many microbial taxa were not correlated with depth nor longitude but

still differed across sites. These comprised up to 54% of the community in *M. pendula* and 36%

in *S. exserta.* Many ASVs were only detected in colonies from a few sites or even a single site.

Examples include three close relatives of *Endozoicomonas* averaging a total relative abundance

of 26% in *M. pendula* at McNeil bank (**Fig. S3E**) and potential parasites within Rickettsiales in

all coral species. Other examples include a corallicolid ASV only detected in *C. delta* colonies

from VK826, GC234, and MC885 and another corallicolid ASV only detected in colonies from

GC234 (**Fig. S3H,I**).

In *P. biscaya,* the most abundant ASVs differed substantially across sites but did not

correlate strongly with depth or longitude (**Fig. 4G**). For instance, SUP05 had a high abundance

in colonies from GC852, *Endozoicomonas* ASV P1 was abundant at DC673 and KC405,

Pseudoalteromonas ASV P1 was abundant at MC297, and *Mycoplasma* ASV P1 was abundant

at most sites but its mean relative abundance ranged from 0.1% to 74% across sites.

Discussion

Differences Between Coral Species

Coral species was the strongest factor differentiating microbial communities. Species

differed markedly in which microbes they associated with and also the diversity of their

microbial communities. Variants of shared taxa such as *Endozoicomonas* and *Mycoplasma* were

species-specific suggesting possible adaptation to different coral hosts. Other dominant microbes

belonged to different phyla, such as BD1-7 in *S. exserta* and *Ca. Oceanoplasma* in *C. delta,*

suggesting that the metabolic function of microbial associates may differ between coral species.

Microbiome diversity differed strongly across coral species which may reflect different

ecological strategies [80]. Some corals like *M. pendula* and *P. biscaya* appear to be microbial

generalists that foster more diverse and flexible microbial communities. In contrast, other corals

like *S. exserta* and *C. delta* appear to have specialized communities that are less diverse and less

variable [80, 81]. Alternatively, *S. exserta* and *C. delta* may have higher absolute abundances of

their dominant associates reducing the richness and 16veness of their communities. Measuring

absolute microbial abundances would aid the interpretation of differences in richness and

evenness between species.

These comparisons between species rely on the wide sampling range of this study. *M.*

pendula and *P. biscaya* had the highest microbial diversities and greater variation across sites

(**Fig. S1**). However, at some sites their diversities were comparable to both *S. exserta* and *C.*

delta. This demonstrates the importance of sampling widely across a host species' range in order

to fully assess microbial diversity.

The Influence of Depth

Depth strongly structured the microbial communities of all coral species investigated. We

observed differences in the composition, diversity, and abundance of specific microbial ASVs

and families. The number and variety of taxon-specific patterns with depth reflect the likelihood

that depth structures microbial communities through multiple mechanisms.

For instance, some variation in microbial communities may be due to temperature which

decreases from >30°C at the surface to 4°C below ~1500 m in the Gulf of Mexico. Depth and

temperature were correlated with community composition in coral species living shallower than

this 1500 m. Previous studies have shown that shallow-water, scleractinian corals from locations

with different temperature regimes host different microbial communities [42, 82]. Specifically,

the stress of high temperatures shapes corals' microbiomes [83], especially if they bleach [84-

87]. The corals in our study may experience different frequencies or intensities of thermally

induced stress which may influence their microbiomes. While temperature may be the

underlying cause of some of the variation with depth, it does not appear to be the only factor.

The genetic divergence of coral populations may further contribute to differentiation in

microbial communities across depths. In the scleractinian *Pocillopora damicornis,* genetically

distinct coral populations harbor distinct microbial communities [36]. The turnover of the

Shewanella community in *C. delta* is consistent with its population genetic structure [57, 58].

Coral colonies from the deepest two sites were genetically distinct and hosted unique *Shewanella*

communities. Thus, some of the differentiation in microbial communities across depth may be

caused by genetic differentiation of the coral host.

Other patterns with depth appear to be driven by different causes. For instance, the

diversity of microbial associates of *M. pendula* decreased continuously with depth and may be

due to a decrease in bacterioplankton (**Fig. 2E,F**). Indeed, many bacteria from mostly free-living

taxa decreased in abundance with depth in *M. pendula*. Further, light intensity may play a role

since photosynthetic taxa associated with *M. pendula* predictably decreased with depth.

Altogether, depth may structure microbial communities through multiple mechanisms,

including changes in free-living microbial communities, decreasing light levels, and genetic

differentiation of host corals.

Many symbiotic taxa differed in abundance with depth such as *Endozoicomonas,*

Mycoplasma, and corallicolids. However, the reasons for this are unclear because many of these

microbe's interactions with their coral hosts are not well characterized. Interestingly,

corallicolids increased in abundance with depth in both *M. pendula* and *S. exserta,* but no depth

pattern was found in corallicolids that infect *C. delta.* This is in contrast to previous studies that

found a decrease in abundance with depth in the shallow-water coral, *Montanstraea annularis,*

and across dozens of species spanning a depth of 2 kilometers [9, 88]. These inconsistencies may

be explained if corallicolids, like their close relatives, infect intermediate hosts with differing

depth distributions. Some of the closest relatives of corallicolids are found in fish [89-91],

opening the possibility that corallicolids may use fish as intermediate hosts and could be limited

by their depth ranges.

Variation Across Geography

In addition to variation across depth, we found that the microbial communities of all four

species differed geographically. Corals from different sites differed strongly in microbial

communities in all species. Yet nearby sites showed similarities reflecting regional-scale

geographic variation.

Regional scale geographic variation

Regional scale variation was identified in both mesophotic coral species. Both *M.*

pendula and *S. exserta* had higher diversity in eastern sites where many likely free-living

microbial taxa were detected at higher abundances. This may be due to the influence of the

Mississippi River on bacterioplankton. There are marked longitudinal differences in surface

primary productivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico, being higher in the area of influence of the

Mississippi River. Microbial communities of shallow-water corals appear to be significantly

influenced by surface primary productivity [92]. This is consistent with our observation that

octocoral microbiomes were significantly correlated with surface primary productivity.

389   Symbiotic taxa also differed in abundance regionally including *Endozoicomonas,*

Mollicutes, and corallicolids. This is consistent with other studies that found geographic

variation in *Endozoicomonas* and *Mycoplasma* associates in shallow-water corals [15, 20]. Some

of the regional patterns may result from limited dispersal since some ASVs were restricted to

nearby sites like *Mycoplasma* ASV S1, which was completely absent in all *S. exserta* colonies

from the three easternmost sites.

Site scale geographic variation

396   For every coral species, microbial communities differed strongly between sites after

accounting for depth and geographic region. This is likely due to additional differences in local

environmental conditions between sites that influence microbial community structure. For

instance, the high abundance of the SUP05 cluster in *P. biscaya* at GC852 may reflect the

presence of seeps nearby [93] (< 450 m). Similarly, the abundance of *Pseudoalteromonas* in *P.*

biscaya at the Mississippi Canyon sites may reflect the lasting impact of the Deepwater Horizon

oil spill [52, 62, 94]. Consistent deviations from depth trends at certain sites suggest that other

factors play a role. For example, the total corallicolid abundance in *M. pendula* at Roughtongue

Reef was higher than expected given the trend with depth (**Fig. 3B**). Conversely, corals from

some subsets of sites displayed similar microbial communities despite being far apart and

inhabiting different depths. For instance, *P. biscaya* from KC405 and DC673 were dominated by

Endozoicomonas ASV P1, but it comprised no more than 0.12% in any colony from any other

site. These sites are the farthest apart of all sites and do not have overlapping depths.

Interestingly, coral populations from these two sites are closely related [59] suggesting an

influence of the coral host's genotype on the presence of *Endozoicomonas*.

Implications for restoration efforts

Characterizations of coral microbiomes based on samples from a single site or even a few

sites may miss substantial variation across a species' range. This is important to consider in

restoration plans that utilize collections from different locations. For example, a restoration plan

could use this information to avoid inadvertently transmitting parasites to new sites through

transplants or to avoid rearing infected and non-infected corals together in aquaria. Examples of

geographic variation in potential parasites discovered in this work include corallicolids in *C.*

delta at VK826, MC885, and GC234 and the unique corallicolids in *M. pendula* and *S. exserta* at

Elvers Bank.

Conclusion

This work characterizes the microbial communities of four dominant coral species in the

Gulf of Mexico and demonstrates substantial variability across their ranges. These results

provide promising targets to study the interactions between corals and their associated microbes.

They also reveal substantial variability associated with depth and geography that could only be

uncovered through extensive sampling across the hosts' range. The numerous patterns associated

with depth demonstrate that in addition to its ecological and evolutionary effects on corals, it also

strongly structures their microbial communities.

Acknowledgements
This research was funded by the NOAA's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science,

Competitive Research Program, the Office of Ocean Exploration and Research, and the

RESTORE Science Program under awards NA18NOS4780166 and NA17NOS4510096 to

Santiago Herrera at Lehigh University. Santiago Herrera was also supported by the National

Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine Gulf Research Program Early-Career

Fellowship under award 2000013668. We would like to thank the crews of all research vessels,

ROV teams, and the other scientists involved with sampling including Destiny West and Dr.

Matt Galaska. We would also like to thank Dr. Galaska for helping to generate coral genotype

data.

Data Availability Statement

16S amplicon sequence reads are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database

under Bioproject number PRJNA875098. Commands used to process sequence data and R code

used for statistical analyses are available on FigShare at 10.6084/m9.figshare.23656992.v1 and

10.6084/m9.figshare.21713954.v1.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests

References

1. Pollock FJ, McMinds R, Smith S, Bourne DG, Willis BL, Medina M, et al. Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny. *Nature Communications*. 2018;9(1):4921.

2. Siboni N, Ben□Dov E, Sivan A, Kushmaro A. Global distribution and diversity of coral□associated Archaea and their possible role in the coral holobiont nitrogen cycle. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2008;10(11):2979-90.

3. Wegley L, Yu Y, Breitbart M, Casas V, Kline DI, Rohwer F. Coral-associated archaea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 2004;273:89-96.

4. Ainsworth T, Fordyce A, Camp E. The other microeukaryotes of the coral reef microbiome. *Trends in Microbiology*. 2017;25(12):980-91.

5. Thurber RV, Payet JP, Thurber AR, Correa A. Virus–host interactions and their roles in coral reef health and disease. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2017;15(4):205-16.

6. Klinges G, Maher RL, Vega Thurber RL, Muller EM. Parasitic 'Candidatus Aquarickettsia rohweri' is a marker of disease susceptibility in Acropora cervicornis but is lost during thermal stress. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2020;22(12):5341-55.

7. Lesser MP, Falcón LI, Rodrí-guez-Román A, Enr-íquez S, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Iglesias-Prieto R. Nitrogen fixation by symbiotic cyanobacteria provides a source of nitrogen for the scleractinian coral *Montastraea cavernosa*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 2007;346:143-52.

8. Lesser MP, Mazel CH, Gorbunov MY, Falkowski PG. Discovery of Symbiotic Nitrogen-Fixing Cyanobacteria in Corals. *Science*. 2004;305(5686):997-1000.

9. Vohsen SA, Anderson KE, Gade AM, Gruber-Vodicka HR, Dannenberg RP, Osman EO, et al. Deep-sea corals provide new insight into the ecology, evolution, and the role of plastids in widespread apicomplexan symbionts of anthozoans. *Microbiome*. 2020;8(1):34.

10. Kwong WK, del Campo J, Mathur V, Vermeij MJA, Keeling PJ. A widespread coral-infecting apicomplexan with chlorophyll biosynthesis genes. *Nature*. 2019;568(7750):103-7.

11. Mathur V, del Campo J, Kolisko M, Keeling PJ. Global diversity and distribution of close relatives of apicomplexan parasites. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2018;20(8):2824-33.

12. Kirk NL, Ritson-Williams R, Coffroth MA, Miller MW, Fogarty ND, Santos SR. Tracking Transmission of Apicomplexan Symbionts in Diverse Caribbean Corals. *Plos One*. 2013;8(11):10.

13. Kirk NL, Thornhill DJ, Kemp DW, Fitt WK, Santos SR. Ubiquitous associations and a peak fall prevalence between apicomplexan symbionts and reef corals in Florida and the Bahamas. *Coral Reefs*. 2013;32(3):847-58.

14. Weiler BA, Verhoeven JTP, Dufour SC. Bacterial Communities in Tissues and Surficial Mucus of the Cold-Water Coral *Paragorgia arborea*. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2018;5(378).

15. Woo S, Yang S-H, Chen H-J, Tseng Y-F, Hwang S-J, De Palmas S, et al. Geographical variations in bacterial communities associated with soft coral *Scleronephthya gracillimum*. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(8):e0183663.

16. Holm JB, Heidelberg KB. Microbiomes of Muricea californica and M. fruticosa: Comparative Analyses of Two Co-occurring Eastern Pacific Octocorals. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016;7(917).

17. Kellogg CA, Lisle JT, Galkiewicz JP. Culture-Independent Characterization of Bacterial Communities Associated with the Cold-Water Coral *Lophelia pertusa* in the Northeastern Gulf of Mexico. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2009;75(8):2294-303.

18. Neulinger SC, Gärtner A, Järnegren J, Ludvigsen M, Lochte K, Dullo W-C. Tissue-
Associated "*Candidatus Mycoplasma corallicola*" and Filamentous Bacteria on the Cold-Water
Coral *Lophelia pertusa* (Scleractinia). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*.
2009;75(5):1437-44.
19. Gray MA, Stone RP, McLaughlin MR, Kellogg CA. Microbial consortia of gorgonian corals
from the Aleutian islands. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2011;76(1):109-20.
20. Neave MJ, Rachmawati R, Xun L, Michell CT, Bourne DG, Apprill A, et al. Differential
specificity between closely related corals and abundant Endozoicomonas endosymbionts across
global scales. *The ISME Journal*. 2017;11(1):186-200.
21. van de Water JAJM, Voolstra CR, Rottier C, Cocito S, Peirano A, Allemand D, et al.
Seasonal Stability in the Microbiomes of Temperate Gorgonians and the Red Coral *Corallium*
rubrum Across the Mediterranean Sea. *Microbial Ecology*. 2018;75(1):274-88.
22. van de Water JAJM, Melkonian R, Voolstra CR, Junca H, Beraud E, Allemand D, et al.
Comparative Assessment of Mediterranean Gorgonian-Associated Microbial Communities
Reveals Conserved Core and Locally Variant Bacteria. *Microbial Ecology*. 2017;73(2):466-78.
23. Bayer T, Neave MJ, Alsheikh-Hussain A, Aranda M, Yum LK, Mincer T, et al. The
microbiome of the Red Sea coral Stylophora pistillata is dominated by tissue-associated
Endozoicomonas bacteria. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2013;79(15):4759-62.
24. La Rivière M, Garel M, Bally M. Localization of endobacteria in the gastrodermis of a
Mediterranean gorgonian coral, *Paramuricea clavata*, using fluorescence in situ hybridization.
Marine Biology. 2016;163(10):206.
25. Pogoreutz C, Oakley CA, Rädecker N, Cárdenas A, Perna G, Xiang N, et al. Coral holobiont
cues prime Endozoicomonas for a symbiotic lifestyle. *The ISME Journal*. 2022:1-13.
26. Neave MJ, Michell CT, Apprill A, Voolstra CR. *Endozoicomonas* genomes reveal functional
adaptation and plasticity in bacterial strains symbiotically associated with diverse marine hosts.
Scientific Reports. 2017;7:40579.
27. Tandon K, Lu C-Y, Chiang P-W, Wada N, Yang S-H, Chan Y-F, et al. Comparative
genomics: dominant coral-bacterium Endozoicomonas acroporae metabolizes
dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). *The ISME journal*. 2020;14(5):1290-303.
28. Wada N, Hsu M-T, Tandon K, Hsiao SS-Y, Chen H-J, Chen Y-H, et al. High-resolution
spatial and genomic characterization of coral-associated microbial aggregates in the coral
Stylophora pistillata. *Science Advances*. 2022;8(27):eabo2431.
29. Vohsen SA, Gruber-Vodicka HR, Meyer M, Sadowski M, Dubilier N, Fisher CR, et al. The
deep-sea coral, Callogorgia delta, associates with bacteria belonging to a novel marine branch of
the Mollicutes. *bioRxiv*. 2022.
30. Morrison DA. Evolution of the Apicomplexa: where are we now? *Trends in parasitology*.
2009;25(8):375-82.
31. Razin S, Hayflick L. Highlights of mycoplasma research—an historical perspective.
Biologicals. 2010;38(2):183-90.
32. Toller WW, Rowan R, Knowlton N. Genetic evidence for a protozoan (phylum
Apicomplexa) associated with corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. *Coral*
Reefs. 2002;21(2):143-6.
33. Dubé CE, Ziegler M, Mercière A, Boissin E, Planes S, Bourmaud CAF, et al. Naturally
occurring fire coral clones demonstrate a genetic and environmental basis of microbiome
composition. *Nature Communications*. 2021;12(1):6402.

34. van de Water JAJM, Coppari M, Enrichetti F, Ferrier-Pagès C, Bo M. Local Conditions
Influence the Prokaryotic Communities Associated With the Mesophotic Black Coral
Antipathella subpinnata. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2020;11(2423).
35. Durante MK, Baums IB, Williams DE, Vohsen S, Kemp DW. What drives phenotypic
divergence among coral clonemates of Acropora palmata? *Molecular Ecology*.
2019;28(13):3208-24.
36. van Oppen MJH, Bongaerts P, Frade P, Peplow Lesa M, Boyd SE, Nim HT, et al. Adaptation
to reef habitats through selection on the coral animal and its associated microbiome. *Molecular*
Ecology. 2018;27(14):2956-71.
37. Wang L, Shantz AA, Payet JP, Sharpton TJ, Foster A, Burkepile DE, et al. Corals and Their
Microbiomes Are Differentially Affected by Exposure to Elevated Nutrients and a Natural
Thermal Anomaly. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2018;5:16.
38. Roder C, Bayer T, Aranda M, Kruse M, Voolstra CR. Microbiome structure of the fungid
coral Ctenactis echinata aligns with environmental differences. *Molecular Ecology*.
2015;24(13):3501-11.
39. Klinges JG, Patel SH, Duke WC, Muller EM, Vega Thurber RL. Phosphate enrichment
induces increased dominance of the parasite Aquarickettsia in the coral Acropora cervicornis.
FEMS Microbiology Ecology. 2022:fiac013.
40. Dannenberg RP. Characterization and Oil Response of the Deep Sea Coral-associated
Microbiome. 2015.
41. van de Water JAJM, Allemand D, Ferrier-Pagès C. Host-microbe interactions in octocoral
holobionts - recent advances and perspectives. *Microbiome*. 2018;6(1):64.
42. Osman EO, Suggett DJ, Voolstra CR, Pettay DT, Clark DR, Pogoreutz C, et al. Coral
microbiome composition along the northern Red Sea suggests high plasticity of bacterial and
specificity of endosymbiotic dinoflagellate communities. *Microbiome*. 2020;8(1):1-16.
43. Osman EO, Smith DJ, Ziegler M, Kürten B, Conrad C, El☐Haddad KM, et al. Thermal
refugia against coral bleaching throughout the northern Red Sea. *Glob Change Biol*.
2018;24(2):e474-e84.
44. Rosado PM, Leite DCA, Duarte GAS, Chaloub RM, Jospin G, Nunes da Rocha U, et al.
Marine probiotics: increasing coral resistance to bleaching through microbiome manipulation.
The ISME Journal. 2019;13(4):921-36.
45. Roberts JM, Wheeler AJ, Freiwald A, Cairns SD. Cold-Water Corals The Biology and
Geology of Deep-Sea Coral Habitats. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2009.
46. Jensen A, Frederiksen R. The fauna associated with the bank-forming deepwater coral
Lophelia pertusa (Scleractinaria) on the Faroe shelf. *Sarsia*. 1992;77(1):53-69.
47. Buhl-Mortensen L, Mortensen PB. Distribution and diversity of species associated with
deep-sea gorgonian corals off Atlantic Canada. *Cold-water corals and ecosystems*. 2005:849-79.
48. Sinniger F, Ballantine D, Bejarano I, Colin P, Pochon X, Pomponi S, et al. Biodiversity of
mesophotic coral ecosystems. *Mesophotic coral ecosystems—a lifeboat for coral reefs*. 2016:50-
62.
49. Pinheiro H, Goodbody-Gringley G, Jessup M, Shepherd B, Chequer A, Rocha L. Upper and
lower mesophotic coral reef fish communities evaluated by underwater visual censuses in two
Caribbean locations. *Coral Reefs*. 2016;35:139-51.
50. Henderson MJ, Huff DD, Yoklavich MM. Deep-sea coral and sponge taxa increase demersal
fish diversity and the probability of fish presence. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2020;7:593844.

51. Silva M, Etnoyer PJ, MacDonald IR. Coral injuries observed at mesophotic reefs after the
Deepwater Horizon oil discharge. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*.
2016;129:96-107.
52. White HK, Hsing PY, Cho W, Shank TM, Cordes EE, Quattrini AM, et al. Impact of the
Deepwater Horizon oil spill on a deep-water coral community in the Gulf of Mexico. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(50):20303-8.
53. Guinotte JM, Orr J, Cairns S, Freiwald A, Morgan L, George R. Will human☐induced
changes in seawater chemistry alter the distribution of deep☐sea scleractinian corals? *Frontiers*
in Ecology and the Environment. 2006;4(3):141-6.
54. Hoegh-Guldberg O, Poloczanska ES, Skirving W, Dove S. Coral reef ecosystems under
climate change and ocean acidification. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2017;4:158.
55. Cairns SD. Deep-water corals: an overview with special reference to diversity and
distribution of deep-water scleractinian corals. *Bulletin of marine Science*. 2007;81(3):311-22.
56. Yesson C, Taylor ML, Tittensor DP, Davies AJ, Guinotte J, Baco A, et al. Global habitat
suitability of cold-water octocorals. *Journal of Biogeography*. 2012;39(7):1278-92.
57. Quattrini AM, Baums IB, Shank TM, Morrison CL, Cordes EE. Testing the depth-
differentiation hypothesis in a deepwater octocoral. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences. 2015;282(1807):20150008.
58. Bracco A, Liu G, Galaska MP, Quattrini AM, Herrera S. Integrating physical circulation
models and genetic approaches to investigate population connectivity in deep-sea corals. *Journal*
of Marine Systems. 2019;198:103189.
59. Galaska MP, Liu G, West D, Erickson K, Quattrini AM, Bracco A, et al. Seascape genomics
reveals metapopulation connectivity network of Paramuricea biscaya in the northern Gulf of
Mexico. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2021:1790.
60. Rex MA, Etter RJ. Deep-sea biodiversity: pattern and scale: Harvard University Press; 2010.
61. Etnoyer PJ, Wickes LN, Silva M, Dubick J, Balthis L, Salgado E, et al. Decline in condition
of gorgonian octocorals on mesophotic reefs in the northern Gulf of Mexico: before and after the
Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Coral Reefs*. 2016;35(1):77-90.
62. Fisher CR, Hsing P-Y, Kaiser CL, Yoerger DR, Roberts HH, Shedd WW, et al. Footprint of
Deepwater Horizon blowout impact to deep-water coral communities. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences. 2014;111(32):11744-9.
63. Quattrini AM, Georgian SE, Byrnes L, Stevens A, Falco R, Cordes EE. Niche divergence by
deep-sea octocorals in the genus *Callogorgia* across the continental slope of the Gulf of Mexico.
Molecular Ecology. 2013;22(15):4123-40.
64. Herrera S. Salting-out protocol for extracting HMW genomic DNA from frozen octocorals.
2022.
65. Naqib A, Poggi S, Wang W, Hyde M, Kunstman K, Green SJ. Making and sequencing
heavily multiplexed, high-throughput 16S ribosomal RNA gene amplicon libraries using a
flexible, two-stage PCR protocol. Gene Expression Analysis. Methods in Molecular Biology.
New York, NY: Humana Press; 2018. p. 149-69.
66. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet C, Al-Ghalith GA, et al. QIIME 2:
Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data science. *PeerJ Preprints*.
2018;6:e27295v2.
67. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic acids*
research. 2012;41(D1):D590-D6.

68. Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. Stacks: an analysis tool set
for population genomics. *Molecular ecology*. 2013;22(11):3124-40.
69. Parra☐Salazar A, Gomez J, Lozano☐Arce D, Reyes☐Herrera PH, Duitama J. Robust and
efficient software for reference☐free genomic diversity analysis of genotyping☐by☐sequencing
data on diploid and polyploid species. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 2022;22(1):439-54.
70. Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of
populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ*. 2014;2:e281.
71. Dray S, Dufour A-B. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists.
Journal of statistical software. 2007;22:1-20.
72. McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for
dimension reduction. *arXiv*. 2018.
73. Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of vegetation*
science. 2003;14(6):927-30.
74. Hurlbert SH. The Nonconcept of Species Diversity: A Critique and Alternative Parameters.
Ecology. 1971;52(4):577-86.
75. Lahti L, Shetty S. Introduction to the microbiome R package. *Preprint at https://microbiome*
github io/tutorials. 2018.
76. Anderson MJ. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). Wiley
StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online2014. p. 1-15.
77. Legendre P, Anderson MJ. Distance☐based redundancy analysis: testing multispecies
responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. *Ecological monographs*. 1999;69(1):1-24.
78. Lichstein JW. Multiple regression on distance matrices: a multivariate spatial analysis tool.
Plant Ecology. 2007;188:117-31.
79. Lin H, Peddada SD. Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction. *Nature*
Communications. 2020;11(1):3514.
80. Voolstra CR, Ziegler M. Adapting with Microbial Help: Microbiome Flexibility Facilitates
Rapid Responses to Environmental Change. *BioEssays*. 2020;42(7):2000004.
81. Ziegler M, Grupstra CGB, Barreto MM, Eaton M, BaOmar J, Zubier K, et al. Coral bacterial
community structure responds to environmental change in a host-specific manner. *Nature*
Communications. 2019;10(1):3092.
82. Lima LF, Weissman M, Reed M, Papudeshi B, Alker AT, Morris MM, et al. Modeling of the
coral microbiome: the influence of temperature and microbial network. *MBio*.
2020;11(2):e02691-19.
83. Lee STM, Davy SK, Tang S-L, Fan T-Y, Kench PS. Successive shifts in the microbial
community of the surface mucus layer and tissues of the coral Acropora muricata under thermal
stress. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2015;91(12).
84. Ziegler M, Seneca FO, Yum LK, Palumbi SR, Voolstra CR. Bacterial community dynamics
are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nature Communications*. 2017;8(1):14213.
85. Pootakham W, Mhuantong W, Yoocha T, Putchim L, Jomchai N, Sonthirod C, et al.
Heat☐induced shift in coral microbiome reveals several members of the Rhodobacteraceae
family as indicator species for thermal stress in Porites lutea. *MicrobiologyOpen*.
2019;8(12):e935.
86. Bourne D, Iida Y, Uthicke S, Smith-Keune C. Changes in coral-associated microbial
communities during a bleaching event. *The ISME journal*. 2008;2(4):350-63.

87. Grottoli AG, Dalcin Martins P, Wilkins MJ, Johnston MD, Warner ME, Cai W-J, et al. Coral
physiology and microbiome dynamics under combined warming and ocean acidification. *PloS*
one. 2018;13(1):e0191156.
88. Klaus JS, Janse I, Heikoop JM, Sanford RA, Fouke BW. Coral microbial communities,
zooxanthellae and mucus along gradients of seawater depth and coastal pollution. *Environmental*
Microbiology. 2007;9(5):1291-305.
89. Sikkel PC, Cook CA, Renoux LP, Bennett CL, Tuttle LJ, Smit NJ. The distribution and host-
association of a haemoparasite of damselfishes (Pomacentridae) from the eastern Caribbean
based on a combination of morphology and 18S rDNA sequences. *International Journal for*
Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife. 2018;7(2):213-20.
90. Janouškovec J, Tikhonenkov DV, Burki F, Howe AT, Kolisko M, Mylnikov AP, et al.
Factors mediating plastid dependency and the origins of parasitism in apicomplexans and their
close relatives. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(33):10200-7.
91. Janouškovec J, Horák A, Barott KL, Rohwer FL, Keeling PJ. Global analysis of plastid
diversity reveals apicomplexan-related lineages in coral reefs. *Current Biology*.
2012;22(13):R518-R9.
92. Glasl B, Bourne DG, Frade PR, Thomas T, Schaffelke B, Webster NS. Microbial indicators
of environmental perturbations in coral reef ecosystems. *Microbiome*. 2019;7(1):1-13.
93. Feng D, Cordes EE, Roberts HH, Fisher CR. A comparative study of authigenic carbonates
from mussel and tubeworm environments: Implications for discriminating the effects of
tubeworms. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*. 2013;75:110-8.
94. Girard F, Fisher CR. Long-term impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on deep-sea corals
detected after seven years of monitoring. *Biological Conservation*. 2018;225:117-27.

Figure 1: Sampling sites and their environmental and coral genotypic makeup.

(A) In situ mages of the octocoral species in this study. (B) Geographic map displaying sampling locations in the Northern Gulf of Mexico (BOEM bathymetry). The mean seafloor depth of all samples from a site is reported next to each site name in meters. Sites are colored based on which coral species were sampled: light gray = *Muricea pendula,* red = *Swiftia exserta,* blue = *Callogorgia delta,* yellow = *Paramuricea biscaya.* Images of *C. delta* and *P. biscaya* courtesy of the ECOGIG consortium. (C) Primary productivity of each sampling site displayed across longitude and colored by coral species sampled. (D) Bottom seawater temperature for each sampling site displayed across depth for each coral species. (E) UMAP projections of the genetic background of coral colonies based on RADseq data. Each point represents the genotype of a coral colony and is colored by depth in meters.

Figure 2: Characterization of coral microbiomes.

A) Non-metric multidimensional scaling plot of microbiome compositions using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of ASV proportions. B) Barplots of dominant ASVs in each coral species. Each horizontal bar is an individual coral colony. Colonies are grouped by site which are sorted by depth. ASVs that comprised 50% or more in any sample are designated with different colors. All other ASVs are collapsed and shown in light gray. *Ca. Oceanoplasma* and *Ca. Thalassoplasma* were recently described by Vohsen et al. (2022). C) Rarefied ASV richness and D) Pielou's evenness between different coral species. MP = *Muricea pendula,* SE = *Swiftia exserta,* CD = *Callogorgia delta,* PB = *Paramuricea biscaya.* E) Rarefied ASV richness and F) Pielou's evenness in *Muricea pendula* with depth. Numbers over boxplots designate significantly different groups.

Figure 3: Examples of depth patterns in coral microbiome composition.

The relative abundances along depth in *Muricea pendula* of A) the sum of all *Endozoicomonas* ASVs, B) the sum of all corallicolid ASVs, D) *Ca. Marinoplasma* ASV M1, E) the sum of top 20 microbial families that decreased significantly with depth. F) NMDS ordination of *Endozoicomonas* communities in *M. pendula* showing patterns with depth. C) Relative abundance of BD1-7 ASV S1 with depth in *Swiftia exserta.* The community composition of G) *Endozoicomonas* and H) *Shewanella* communities in *Callogorgia delta.* Each horizontal bar is a single coral colony whose composition reflects the relative abundances of each ASV when restricted to only *Endozoicomonas* or *Shewanella* ASVs. Colors denote different ASVs. Colonies are arranged by site in order of increasing mean depth.

Figure 4: Examples of geographic patterns in coral microbiome composition.

Barplots of the relative abundance of microbial ASVs from *Muricea pendula* that A) increased
and B) decreased from West to East across its range in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. ASVs are
colored by family or other taxonomic level detailed in the legend. Each coral colony is
represented by a vertical bar, and colonies are grouped by site sorted from westernmost to
easternmost starting from the left. The total relative abundances of these ASVs are shown in B)
and D) plotted against longitude to better reflect relative distances between sites. The relative
abundance of E) *Mycoplasma* ASV M1 in *M. pendula* and F) *Mycoplasma* ASV S1 in *S. exserta*
along longitude. Samples where zero reads were detected are represented with open circles. G)
Barplots showing the five most abundant ASVs that differed between sites in *P. biscaya.*