Defense-related callose synthase *PMR4* promotes root hair callose deposition and adaptation to phosphate deficiency in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Kentaro Okada[1,2], Koei Yachi[1], Tan Anh Nhi Nguyen[1], Satomi Kanno[3], Chika Tateda[1],

Tae-Hong Lee[1], Uyen Nguyen[4], Shunsuke Miyashima[1], Kei Hiruma[1], Kyoko Miwa[5],

Takaki Maekawa[4, 6], Michitaka Notaguchi[2], Yusuke Saijo[1]

[1]Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and

Technology, Takayama-cho, Ikoma 630-0192, Japan

[2]Bioscience and Biotechnology Center, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku,

Nagoya 464-8601, Japan.

[3]Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-

8601, Japan

[4]Institute for Plant Sciences, University of Cologne, Cologne D-50674, Germany

[5]Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810,

Japan

[6]CEPLAS Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences at the University of Cologne,

Cologne D-50674, Germany

Author for correspondence: Yusuke Saijo

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8985-9695

Tel: +81-743-72-5690

Email: saijo@bs.naist.jp

Summary

Plants acquire phosphorus (P) primarily as inorganic phosphate (Pi) from the soil.

Under Pi deficiency, plants induce an array of physiological and morphological

responses, termed phosphate starvation responses (PSR), thereby increasing Pi

acquisition and use efficiency. However, the mechanisms underlying and finetuning

PSR remain to be elucidated. Here, we report that deposition of a β-1,3-glucan polymer

called callose is induced in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root hairs under Pi deficiency, in a

manner independent of *PHR1/PHL1* transcription factors and *LPR1/LPR2* ferroxidases,

mediating systemic and local PSR, respectively. A mutagenic screen has revealed

PMR4 (*GSL5*) callose synthase being required for the callose deposition under Pi

deficiency. Loss of *PMR4* also affects different PSR-characteristic outputs, including

phosphate mobilization, plant growth and anthocyanin accumulation, indicating a

critical role for *PMR4*-dependent callose in PSR. The PSR defects are not affected by

simultaneous disruption of *SID2*, mediating defense-associated salicylic acid (SA)

biosynthesis, unlike SA-dependent powdery mildew resistance previously described in

pmr4. Grafting analyses and genetic studies on root hair-defective mutants suggest that

PMR4-dependent callose deposition in the root hairs contributes to shoot Pi

accumulation during PSR. Our findings thus illuminate an important role for *PMR4* in

plant adaptation to Pi deficiency.

Key words: cell wall, nutrient deficiency, pattern triggered immunity, phosphate

transport, powdery mildew resistance, salicylic acid (SA)

Introduction

As an essential macronutrient, plants acquire and distribute P from the rhizosphere to the whole tissues, primarily in the form of inorganic phosphate (Pi). To maintain Pi homeostasis under Pi deficiency, plants induce a series of adaptive responses, *e.g.* increasing Pi uptake, remobilization and utilization, termed phosphate starvation responses (PSRs), and also involve beneficial microbes in mutualistic associations (Péret *et al*., 2011; Chien *et al*., 2018; Isidra-Arellano *et al*., 2021). PSR is accompanied by extensive transcriptional reprogramming, mainly through the transcription factor *PHR1* and related *PHL1*, which leads to increases in Pi transporter expression, root hair formation and anthocyanin accumulation (Rubio *et al*., 2001, Bustos *et al*., 2010). *PHR1/PHL1* mediate systemic coordination of these PSR-related events according to the internal Pi availability. In addition, PSR also leads to remodeling root system architecture, a decrease in primary root growth while increasing lateral root formation and growth, through the ferroxidases *LPR1* and *LPR2* (Svistoonoff *et al*., 2007; Péret *et al*., 2014; Balzergue *et al*., 2017). *LPR1/LPR2* are required for arresting primary root growth when the external Pi levels are reduced surrounding the root tip (Svistoonoff *et al*., 2007). Recent studies have pointed to an intimate relationship between PSR and biotic interactions, but the molecular basis for their coordination remains poorly understood (Chan *et al*., 2021).

Callose deposition on the plasma membrane through callose synthases *Glucan Synthase Likes* (*GSLs*) reinforces barrier functions of the cell wall and restricts plasmodesmatal permeability, in plant development and biotic/abiotic stress responses (Jacobs *et al*., 2003; Nishimura *et al*., 2003; Dong *et al*., 2005; Enns *et al*., 2005; Nishikawa *et al*., 2005; Chowdhury *et al*., 2014; Ellinger & Voigt, 2014; Sager & Lee,

2014; Wu *et al*., 2018). In *Arabidopsis thaliana,* callose deposition is induced in the

root phloem in response to excess iron, thereby reducing plasmodesmatal permeability

(O'Lexy *et al*., 2018). Of 12 *Arabidopsis thaliana GSLs*, *GSL2* (*CALS5*) and *GSL5*

(*PMR4, CALS12*) respectively contribute to basal accumulation and iron-induced

deposition of callose in the root phloem (O'Lexy *et al*., 2018). Callose also accumulates

in the stem cell niche (SCN) surrounding the quiescent center cells, thereby inhibiting

cell-to-cell movement of the transcription factor SHORT ROOT (SHR) during PSR.

This serves to suppress cell division and elongation in the root apical meristem and

elongation zone, respectively, through the *LPR1/LPR2* pathway (Müller *et al*., 2015;

Mora-Macías *et al*., 2017). *GSL5* (*PMR4*) also mediates callose deposition in response

to pathogen challenge and microbe/damage-associated molecular patterns

(MAMPs/DAMPs), and promotes fungal penetration resistance (Wang *et al*., 2021).

However, the loss of *GSL5* function results in strong resistance to powdery mildew

fungi through tightened SA-based defenses, demonstrated by *gsl5* mutants revealed as

powdery mildew resistance4 (*pmr4*) (Vogel & Somerville, 2000; Nishimura *et al*.,

2003). This resistance is thought to represent a backup defense activated when *GSL5*

(*PMR4*)-mediated callose deposition, a key output of MAMP/DAMP-triggered defense

signaling, is disrupted. Despite increasing knowledge, our understanding is still limited

for the possible involvement and significance of callose deposition in biotic and abiotic

stress responses.

Here, we report that callose deposition is induced in root hairs under Pi deficiency, in

a manner independent of *PHR1/PHL1* and *LPR1/LPR2*. Our mutagenic screen revealed

novel *pmr4* (*gsl5*) alleles among the mutants of the root-hair callose alteration under Pi

deficiency. The loss of *PMR4*-mediated callose deposition is associated with reduced

plant growth as well as exaggerated stress symptoms under Pi deficiency, in addition

with enhanced powdery mildew resistance. The results indicate *PMR4*-mediated callose

deposition in root hairs as a critical step in the acquisition and utilization of Pi during

PSR.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana mutant materials were used in the accession Col-0 or Ws-2

background (Table S1). We generated ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS)-mutagenesis (M2)

seeds for screening. All seeds were sterilized using 6% sodium hypochlorite and 0.2%

Trion X-100 for 15 min, and then kept at 4°C for 2 d before sowing half-strength

Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 25 mM sucrose and 0.8% Agar (WAKO,

Japan). If not stated otherwise, the normal Pi medium contained 625 μM KH_2PO_4,

whereas the low Pi medium contained 50 μM KH_2PO_4. Plants were grown in a growth

chamber under 12 h: 12 h, light : dark cycles at 22°C. Six-d-old seedlings were

transferred to the indicated Pi liquid media or agar media with 1% Difco Agar,

Bacteriological (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). For growth under different B

conditions, plants were grown in MGRL solid media containing 1% sucrose and 1%

gellan gum (WAKO, Japan). B concentrations were adjusted with boric acid. Plants

were grown under 16 h : 8 h, light : dark cycles at 22°C.

Aniline blue staining

Callose staining with aniline blue was conducted as described in Schenk & Schikora

(2015). Three d after the transfer of 5-d-old seedlings to the indicated Pi liquid media,

they were fixed in a solution containing acetic acid and ethanol (1:3 v/v) overnight. The

fixation solution was exchanged to a 150 mM K_2HPO_4 solution before callose staining

with 0.1% aniline blue in the K_2HPO_4 solution for 2 h. Callose signals were detected

under microscopy using a fluorescence microscope DMI 6000B (Leica) and a confocal

microscope SP8 (Leica).

Inoculation analyses with powdery mildew fungi

Inoculation of *Golovinomyces orontii* and determination of fungal versus plant DNA

ratio were conducted essentially by following Weßling & Panstruga (2012). Eighteen-d-

old plants grown in a 10 h : 14 h, light : dark cycle at 22°C were inoculated with *G.*

orontii spores at approximately 750 spores cm^{-2}. The inoculated leaves were harvested

and frozen in liquid nitrogen before determining fungal biomass at 10 d after

inoculation. Genomic DNA was extracted essentially by following Brouwer *et al*.

(2003). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with primers (Table S2) and

Brilliant Sybr Green QPCR Reagent Kit (Stratagene, Waldbronn, Germany). The ratio

of *G. orontii* to Arabidopsis genomic DNA was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta Ct$ method

(Pfaffl, 2001).

Measurement of root hair density, primary root length and root area

Six-d-old seedlings were transferred and further grown in the normal or low Pi media

for 6 d, and then the primary root apical area was observed under stereomicroscope

M80 (Leica). The number of root hairs was determined in a region 2.0-3.0 mm from the

root tip using Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

The primary root length and convex hull area were determined using ImageJ software

and Root nav software (Pound *et al.*, 2013).

Measurement of anthocyanin and Pi contents

Six-d-old seedlings were transferred to the media of different Pi concentrations (10,

150, and 625 μM KH_2PO_4) and then further grown for 9 d. Shoot and root tissue was

ground in liquid Nitrogen to determine the anthocyanin and Pi contents. Anthocyanin

content was determined by following Mita (1997). In brief, after 1:99 (v/v), HCl :

methanol was added with 1 mL per sample, the homogenates were incubated at 4°C

overnight. A_{530} and A_{657} of the supernatant were measured by a spectrometer UV-1800

(Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Anthocyanin content was calculated following the formula:

$(A_{530} - 0.25 \times A_{657}) \times$ fresh weight $(FW)^{-1}$.

Pi content was determined by following Ames (1966). In brief, the extraction buffer (10

mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride pH8.0)

was added with 10 μL per sample mg FW. The homogenate 100 μl was mixed with 1%

glacial acetic acid 900 μl, and then incubated at 42°C for 30 min. The supernatant was

recovered to be incubated in a solution (0.35% NH_4MoO_4, 0.86 N H_2SO_4, and 1.4%

ascorbic acid) at 42°C for 30 min. A_{820} of the aliquot was measured under Eppendorf

BioSpectrometer® basic (Eppendorf). Then, Pi content was calculated by a P

calibration curve prepared with a P standard solution.

Measurement of ^{32}P uptake rate and translocation ratio

Seven-d-old seedlings were transferred to and further grown on the aforementioned Pi

media (50 or 625 μM KH_2PO_4) for 10 d. The seedlings were exposed to the liquid

media containing ^{32}P-labeled Pi (^{32}PO$_4$$^{3-}$ 20 kBq / ml) for 30 min. The plant tissues were

fixed to an Imaging Plate (GE Healthcare co.) for 3 h and then scanned by Typhoon

FLA 9000 (GE Healthcare co.). ^{32}P uptake rate was calculated based on a calibration

curve for ^{32}P. For determining the Pi translocation rate into shoots, the seedlings were

exposed to the Pi-containing liquid media containing ^{32}P-labeled Pi for 10 min, and then

transferred to non-labelled agar media for 120 min. The translocation rate of Pi was

determined by calculating ^{32}P ratio of the shoot to the whole seedlings.

Grafting experiments

Grafting of WT and *pmr4* was performed with a supportive micro-device as described

in Tsutsui *et al*. (2020). Four-d-old seedlings grown in a 1/2 MS medium containing

0.5% sucrose and 1% agar at 22°C were subject to grafting. Both hypocotyls of stock

and scion were cut horizontally with a knife and assembled on the device using forceps.

The grafted plants were grown in a 1/2 MS medium containing 0.5% sucrose and 2%

agar at 27°C for 6 d, and then transferred to the indicated Pi media (50 and 150 μM

KH$_2$PO$_4$) at 22°C for 9 d or 14 d for callose staining and shoot fresh weight (FW)

determination, respectively.

Results

Callose deposition occurs in root hairs under phosphate deficiency, independently

of *PHR1/PHL1* and *LPR1/LPR2*

Under Pi deficiency, callose deposition was previously described in the root SCN

and cortex cells of the elongation zone (WT, Müller *et al*., 2015). In addition, we

noticed callose deposition in the epidermal cells, particularly in root hairs of the

differentiation zone (Fig. 1a, b; O'Lexy *et al*., 2018). However, callose deposition was

not detected in root hairs under the tested N, Fe or Fe/Pi deficient conditions (Fig. 1a).

The results indicate that the callose deposition is specific to Pi deficiency and is

dependent on Fe. The absence of callose staining in the epidermis of root hair-less *rhd6*

mutants (Fig. 1c) validated that callose deposition occurs in root hairs under low Pi. We

next assessed whether *PHR1/PHL1* and *LPR1/LPR2* play a role in the root hair callose

deposition. Although *LPR1* and *LPR2* contribute to the callose deposition in SCN

(Müller *et al*., 2015), the root hair callose deposition was not affected in *lpr1 lpr2* (Fig.

1c). Furthermore, wild type (WT)-like callose deposition was retained in root hairs of

phr1 phl1 lpr1 lpr2 under low Pi conditions (Fig. 1c). The results indicate that callose

deposition is induced in root hairs under Pi deficiency independently of these PSR

pathways.

Isolation of *callose alteration under Pi starvation* mutants

To unravel genetic requirements for the callose deposition in root hairs under Pi

deficiency, we screened a total of 18,550 ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS)-mutagenized

individuals in Col-0 and Ler backgrounds. This revealed two mutants in the Col-0

background, designated <u>c</u>allose <u>a</u>lteration under <u>Pi</u> <u>s</u>tarvation *1 and 2* (*caps1 and*

caps2, Fig. 2a). *caps1* and *caps2* mutants were severely defective in callose deposition

of root hairs under low Pi conditions (Fig. 2a). We also examined different *gsl* mutants

for possible alterations in callose deposition under these conditions. Of the tested *gsl*

mutants, *gsl5* (hereafter, *pmr4*) mutants specifically lacked callose deposition in root

hairs, like *caps1* and *caps2* mutants (Fig. 2a, Fig. S1). We therefore determined whether

the *caps* mutants carry a mutation(s) in *PMR4*, by sequencing the *PMR4* genomic

region. In both *caps1* and *caps*2, a DNA substitution was found within the *PMR4*

coding region (Fig. 2b). The mutation in *caps*1 converts Tryptophan to Stop codon at

the 260th amino acid (PMR4^{W260*}), resulting in PMR4 truncation that severely impairs

callose deposition as well as *pmr4-1* (PMR4^{W687*}). In *caps2*, Glutamic acid is

substituted with Lysine at the 1181st amino acid (PMR4^{E1181K}), which is within the

cytosolic side of a predicted glucan-synthase domain (residue 575 to 1558, orange-

marked domain, Fig. 2b). The results suggest that *PMR4* plays a key role in the

induction of root hair callose deposition under Pi deficiency. We validated that leaf

callose deposition in response to the bacterial MAMP flg22, a PTI output dependent on

PMR4, is abolished in these *caps* alleles (Fig S2). Moreover, we showed strong

resistance to powdery mildew fungi in these *caps* alleles (Fig. 2c, d), as previously

described in *pmr4* mutants (Vogel & Somerville, 2000; Nishimura *et al*., 2003). The

results indicate that *PMR4* is dysfunctional in both *caps* alleles.

***PMR4* influences root development traits associated with PSR**

By using *pmr4-1* as a representative mutant allele, we further investigated the

functional significance of *PMR4* under Pi deficiency. The loss of *PMR4* leads to an

increase in salicylic acid (SA) biosynthesis and defense under biotic stress (Vogel &

Somerville, 2000; Nishimura *et al*., 2003), in a manner requiring *SID2 isochorismate*

synthase that mediates a critical step in SA biosynthesis during defense activation

(Wildermuth *et al*., 2001). Given the negative impact of SA on plant growth (Mateo *et*

al., 2006; Zhang *et al*., 2010), we assessed the possible SA dependence of the observed

pmr4 phenotypes, by examining genetic interactions between *pmr4* and *sid2*. Callose

deposition was induced in *sid2* root hairs but not induced in *pmr4 sid2* under low Pi

conditions (Fig. 3a), demonstrating that *SID2*-mediated SA biosynthesis is not required

for *PMR4*-mediated callose deposition in root hairs.

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, root hair cells specifically occur at the junction of two

underlying cortical cells, whereas non-hair cells occur in the other epidermal cells

(Dolan *et al.*, 1994; Schiefelbein *et al.*, 2009). Root hair density was increased under

low Pi conditions compared to normal Pi conditions, and the increase was exaggerated

in *pmr4* as well as *pmr4 sid2*, without ectopic root hair formation (Fig. 3b-d). Likewise,

root hair length was also increased in *pmr4* as well as *pmr4 sid2* under both Pi

conditions (Fig. 3c, d). The results suggest that *PMR4* is not required for the

differentiation or outgrowth of root hair cells, but that *PMR4* contributes to restricting

root hair formation and elongation, in the presence or absence of *SID2*. Conversely,

primary root length was reduced in *pmr4* compared with WT in both low and normal Pi

conditions (Fig. 3b, e). We then determined the ratio of the primary root length under

normal Pi versus low Pi as an indicator for the primary root growth inhibition under Pi

deficiency. The ratio (normal/low Pi) was increased in *pmr4* compared with WT,

indicating that primary root growth inhibition, a proxy in PSR, is exaggerated in *pmr4*

(Fig. 3f). Importantly, the primary root growth inhibition was not affected in *sid2*, but

again exaggerated in *pmr4 sid2* (Fig. 3e, f), indicating that the enhancement in the Pi

starvation-induced response of *pmr4* is independent of *SID2*-mediated SA biosynthesis.

We further examined whether *PMR4* influences the primary root growth inhibition

under boron (B) scarcity (Miwa *et al.*, 2013). Although the primary root length was

reduced in *pmr4* compared to WT over the tested range of B concentrations, its ratio

under B sufficiency (100 μM) versus the examined low B conditions was not affected in

pmr4 (Fig. S3), pointing to specific contribution of *PMR4* to PSR.

Since the primary root growth inhibition during PSR relies on *LPR1* and *LPR2*

(Svistoonoff *et al*., 2007; Müller *et al*., 2015), we examined the possible relationship

between *LPR1/LPR2* and *PMR4* in the regulation of the primary root growth. The

primary root length was reduced in *pmr4 lpr1 lpr2* compared with *lpr1 lpr2* under both

Pi conditions, whereas it was increased in *pmr4 lpr1 lpr2* compared with *pmr4*

specifically under low Pi (Fig. S4). The results indicate that *PMR4* serves to sustain the

primary root growth irrespective of the Pi availability or *LPR1/LPR2* inhibition activity,

whereas the *LPR1/LPR2* function is significant specifically under low Pi in the presence

or absence of *PMR4*. The ratio of the primary root length under normal Pi versus low Pi

was lowered to a similar extent in *lpr1 lpr2* and *pmr4 lpr1 lpr2* compared with WT,

despite the decrease in the primary root length by *pmr4* (Fig. S4), indicating that *lpr1*

lpr2 is epistatic to *pmr4*.

We next determined the convex hull root area as a proxy of overall root system

growth, which was correlated with Pi availability (Fig. 3g). It was reduced in *pmr4* and

sid2 compared with WT under both normal and low Pi conditions, albeit to a lesser

extent in *sid2* than *pmr4* under low Pi (Fig. 3g), suggesting that *PMR4* and *SID2* both

serve to expand the root area. Notably, only in the presence of *SID2*, the root area under

both Pi conditions and its normal/low Pi ratio were respectively reduced and increased

in *pmr4* relative to WT (Fig. 3g, h), suggesting that *SID2* positively influences *PMR4*

promotion of the root system expansion. Conversely, the root area was greater in *pmr4*

sid2 compared to *pmr4* under low Pi (Fig. 3g), suggesting that a negative impact of

SID2 on root expansion becomes apparent specifically when *PMR4* is disrupted during

PSR.

***PMR4* serves to sustain shoot growth and restrict anthocyanin accumulation under**

Pi deficiency.

We next examined whether *PMR4* influences shoot growth, by determining shoot

fresh weight (FW), under Pi deficiency. Shoot FW was lowered in *pmr4* compared with

WT, and it was not even partially restored by the simultaneous loss of *SID2* in *pmr4*

sid2 under low-Pi media or soil (Fig. 3i-j, Fig. S5). Together with the root phenotypes

described above, the results exclude *SID2* as the major cause of the growth inhibition in

pmr4. The results suggest that *PMR4* positively influences shoot growth in the presence

of *SID2*, and that *SID2* did not reduce shoot growth under low Pi. Although high SA

accumulation was described to reduce plant growth (Miura *et al.*, 2010), we did not

detect negative impacts of *SID2* on shoot growth under both Pi conditions.

Anthocyanin content was increased in *pmr4* compared with WT, in the presence or

absence of *SID2* (Fig. 3k, l). Although SA was described to enhance anthocyanin

accumulation under Pi starvation (Morcillo *et al.*, 2019), *sid2* was largely

indistinguishable from WT in anthocyanin contents under our low Pi conditions (Fig.

3k, l). The results suggest that *PMR4* suppresses excessive anthocyanin accumulation

under Pi deficiency in a manner independent of *SID2*.

***PMR4* promotes the acquisition and root-to-shoot translocation of Pi**

The *PMR4* effects on shoot and root growth prompted us to examine its possible role

in Pi acquisition from the rhizosphere and Pi mobilization within the plant. We found

that shoot Pi concentrations were greatly reduced in *pmr4* compared with WT, without

alterations in root Pi concentrations, under Pi deficiency (Fig. 4a, b). This indicates that

PMR4 contributes to Pi accumulation in shoots during PSR. It was described that

PHR1/PHL1 promotes shoot Pi accumulation (Wang *et al*., 2018) and that *PHO1* Pi

transporter mediates Pi mobilization from roots to shoots through the root xylem vessels

(Stefanovic *et al*., 2007). We validated that Pi contents were lowered in the shoots but

increased in the roots, in *phr1 phl1* and *pho1* compared with WT under low Pi (Fig. 4c).

A decrease in shoot Pi contents was also observed in *phr1 phl1* and *pho1* under normal

Pi (Fig. 4d). Since root hairs contribute to Pi accumulation under Pi deficiency (Tanaka

et al., 2014; Robertson-Albertyn *et al*., 2017; Holz *et al*., 2018), we next determined Pi

contents in a root hair less mutant, *rhd6* (Fig. 4c, d). As seen in *pmr4, phr1 phl1* and

pho1, Pi contents were lowered in the shoots but not in the roots of *rhd6* compared to

WT specifically under Pi deficiency (Fig. 4c-d). In sum, all these mutants displayed a

decrease in shoot Pi contents without affecting or reducing root Pi contents under Pi

deficiency (Fig 4e). We inferred from these results that *PMR4*-mediated callose

deposition in root hairs contributes to shoot Pi accumulation, possibly through

increasing the uptake or root-to-shoot translocation of Pi. To test the hypothesis, we

determined the rates of Pi uptake and Pi translocation by applying and tracing radio-

labelled P. The ratio of ^{32}P contents in the shoot to whole seedling stands for Pi

translocation rate. The rate of ^{32}P uptake per time and the ratio of ^{32}P in the shoot to

whole seedling were both lowered in *pmr4* and *pmr4 sid2* compared to WT and *sid2,*

respectively, under low Pi (Fig. 4f, g). In the presence of *SID2*, *PMR4* contribution to

the two proxies was significant only under low Pi (Fig. 4f, g). In the absence of *SID2*

however, *PMR4* contribution to P uptake was apparent under normal Pi as well (Fig.

4f). Moreover, the ^{32}P uptake rate was reduced in *sid2* compared to WT, whereas *pmr4*

sid2 was not distinguishable from *pmr4* under low Pi (Fig. 4f), suggesting that *SID2*

positively influences Pi uptake during PSR in the presence of *PMR4*. These results

suggest that *PMR4* promotes Pi uptake and root-to-shoot Pi translocation under Pi

deficiency, and that the former but not the latter *PMR4* function is dependent on *SID2*.

Root *PMR4* contributes to shoot growth under Pi deficiency

We next examined the significance of root *PMR4* in promoting shoot growth,

through micrografting between WT and *pmr4* mutants. We validated that the

micrografted plants of WT/WT (shoot/root genotypes) and *pmr4/pmr4* showed the

corresponding WT and *pmr4* phenotypes in root growth and SFW (Fig. 5a, b) and that

the root hair callose was detected only when *PMR4* was present in the roots (Fig. 5d).

Remarkably, SFW was greatly reduced in WT/*pmr4* whereas it was less affected in

*pmr4/*WT (Fig. 5b), pointing to a critical role for a root *PMR4* pool in shoot growth

during PSR. However, the root growth was partially restored in WT/*pmr4* (Fig. 5c),

pointing to a role for a shoot *PMR4* pool in sustaining the primary root growth during

PSR.

Discussion

Our studies on callose deposition in root hairs induced under Pi deficiency led to the

discovery of *PMR4* as a key regulator of PSR (Fig. 6). *PMR4* mediates defense-induced

callose deposition in pattern triggered immunity and pre-invasion fungal resistance

(Jacobs *et al*., 2003; Chowdhury *et al*., 2014), and that its loss is linked to enhanced SA-

based pathogen resistance (Nishimura *et al*., 2003). By revealing different *caps* (*gsl5,*

pmr4) alleles, we show that the disruption of *PMR4* results in the loss of root-hair

callose deposition, in addition to powdery mildew resistance and a lack for callose

deposition during PTI. Therefore, *PMR4* seems to play a critical role in an array of

biotic and abiotic stress responses, possibly through callose deposition at different sites.

Our results suggest that the root hair callose contributes to PSR, by promoting root Pi

uptake and shoot Pi accumulation under Pi deficiency. Importantly, the loss of *PMR4*

affects both *PHR1*-dependent and *LPR1*-dependent processes (Fig. 3, 4) (Bates &

Lynch 1996; Thibaud *et al.*, 2010), which have been genetically uncoupled in PSR

(Wang *et al.*, 2010; Balzergue *et al.*, 2017). Pi accumulation and anthocyanin

accumulation are suppressed in *phr1 phl1* (Rubio *et al.*, 2001; Bustos *et al.*, 2010; Wang

et al., 2018). The shoot Pi contents and plant biomass are also reduced in *pmr4*,

implying that *PMR4* positively influences *PHR1/PHL1*-mediated Pi acquisition and

plant growth during PSR. However, over-accumulation of anthocyanin in *pmr4* (Fig. 3k,

l) in contrast to *phr1 phl1* (Rubio *et al.*, 2001; Bustos *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2018),

suggests that *PMR4* contribution is specific to the former two outputs of *PHR1/PHL1*

and that its loss results in exaggeration of Pi starvation. Moreover, the loss of *PMR4*

also strengthens inhibition of the primary root growth under Pi deficiency, only in the

presence of *LPR1/LPR2* (Fig. S4). The LPR1 pathway mediates callose deposition at

SCN to inhibit the cell-to-cell movement of the transcription factor SHR, thereby

suppressing the cell division and subsequent root elongation (Müller *et al.*, 2015; Mora-

Macías *et al.*, 2017). Our results thus indicate that the callose depositions in root hairs

and SCN positively and negatively influence the primary root growth, respectively. The

two callose induction events of the opposing effects on the root growth may serve to

finetune the root system architecture and functioning during PSR, a hypothesis that

requires further studies including the identification of the SCN callose synthase. *PMR4-*

mediated primary root growth retention is prominent only in the presence of

LPR1/LPR2, whereas *LPR1/LPR2*-mediated growth inhibition is significant even in the

absence of *PMR4* under low Pi (Fig. S4). Our results imply that *PMR4* also modulates

LPR1/LPR2-dependent root growth inhibition.

Shoot Pi accumulation depends on root Pi uptake and subsequent Pi translocation.

PHT1;1 and *PHT1;4* provide two major Pi transporters in roots, contributing greatly to

Pi acquisition under Pi deficiency (Shin *et al*., 2004). *PHO1* mediates Pi translocation

from roots to shoots through Pi loading into the root xylem (Poirier *et al.,* 1991;

Hamburger *et al*., 2002; Liu *et al*., 2012). Notably, the loss of *PMR4* results in a

decrease in the shoot Pi contents without affecting the root Pi contents (Fig. 4).

Likewise, the loss of *PHR1/PHL1* and *PHO1* also lowers shoot Pi contents without

reducing root Pi contents (Fig. 4; Liu *et al*., 2012; Wang *et al*., 2018). In *pht1;1 pht1;4*,

a decrease of Pi uptake in roots is associated with that of Pi translocation to the shoots

and shoot Pi contents (Shin *et al*., 2004). An intimate linkage between root uptake and

root-to-shoot translocation is also seen for K^+ (Nieves-Cordones *et al*., 2019). It is thus

conceivable that the lowered Pi accumulation in *pmr4* shoots is caused by a decrease in

the rates of the root Pi uptake and the root-to-shoot Pi translocation, possibly through

the compromised root hair function in the absence of *PMR4*. Indeed, ^{32}P tracer analyses

indicate that *PMR4* promotes both root Pi uptake and root-to-shoot Pi translocation,

without affecting the root Pi contents (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the view that the

root-to-shoot Pi translocation is driven by the Pi uptake in the roots (Shin *et al*., 2004).

Leaf transpiration affects root-to-shoot solute translocation (Nieves-Cordones *et al*.,

2019), but it should be ignorable under high humidity in the closed plate assays.

Root hairs increase the root surface area to promote root anchorage, nutrient

acquisition, and metabolite exudation, thereby facilitating the interactions with the soil

environments (Datta *et al*., 2011; Poole *et al*., 2018; Robe *et al*., 2021; Vissenberg *et al*.,

2020; Han *et al.,* 2023). As a key interface to soil-borne microbes and pests, it is

becoming apparent that root hairs are intimately associated with root defense activation.

Root hair formation and elongation are facilitated by jasmonate (JA) (Zhu *et al*., 2006),

which mediates the expression of key transcription factors for root hair differentiation

and the de-repression of RHD6 from the interference by JAZ proteins (Han *et al*, 2020).

In beneficial interactions with the epiphytic bacterium *Pseudomonas simiae* WCS417,

activation of defense-related genes is increased in hair cell lineages compared to non-

hair cell lineages, in a manner dependent on the root hair density (Verbon *et al*., 2023).

Moreover, the endogenous elicitor-active Pep peptides induce root hair formation, and

Pep receptor signaling from the root hairs can activate defense responses without root

growth inhibition (Okada *et al*., 2021), pointing to a specific capacity of root hair cells

in root immunity. How these properties of root hairs are influenced by *PMR4*-mediated

callose deposition during PSR and biotic interactions represents an interesting topic for

future studies.

Notably, PSR regulators have been implicated in the regulation of plant immunity.

High activation of SA-based defense and bacterial resistance occurs in *phr1 phl1*

(Castrillo *et al*., 2017; Motte & Beeckman, 2017). Inositol polyphosphate kinases,

maintaining Pi-homeostasis and PSR, also modulate crosstalk between SA-dependent

immunity and PSR (Kuo *et al*., 2018; Dong *et al*., 2019; Gulabani *et al*., 2022). *PMR4-*

dependent callose deposition in PTI provides a defense barrier, which need to be

overcome by bacterial pathogens through virulence-promoting effectors (Underwood *et*

al., 2007; Zhang *et al*., 2007). *pmr4* plants display an enhanced state of pathogen

resistance, which has been considered as a backup defense mounted when the cell wall

defense is subverted. In this respect, as high Pi availability often favors pathogen

growth and plant diseases (Paries & Gutjahr, 2023), it is conceivable that the host

defects in Pi acquisition and relocation to the infection sites in part contribute to the

lowered susceptibility to (hemi-)biotrophic pathogens in *pmr4* as well as in *phr1 phl1*

and *pht1;1 pht1;4* (Castrillo *et al*., 2017; Dindas *et al*., 2022). It is fascinating to

investigate into the mechanisms that integrate PSR and immunity signaling according to

the Pi and microbial infection states.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Arabidopsis Biological Research Centre for published plant materials,

Yaichi Kawakatsu for advice on micrografting and Michiko Tsukamoto for technical

assistance. This work was supported in part by the grants from Mitsubishi Foundation

(YS), the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST, JPMJPR13B6 and JPMJSC1702

(YS) and JPMJPR16Q7 (KH)), and MEXT of Japan 18H02467 and 21H02507 (YS).

Author contribution

YS conceived the study. KO and YS designed the experiments. KO, KY, TANN, SK,

CT, THL, UN, KM and TM developed the methods, performed the experiments, and/or

analyzed the data. SM, MN and KH advised on the experiments. KO and YS wrote the

manuscript with contributions from the other authors.

References

Ames BN. **1966**. [10] Assay of inorganic phosphate, total phosphate and phosphatases.

Methods in Enzymology **8**: 115–118.

Balzergue C, Dartevelle T, Godon C, Laugier E, Meisrimler C, Teulon JM, Creff

A, Bissler M, Brouchoud C, Hagège A, *et al.* **2017** Low phosphate activates

STOP1-ALMT1 to rapidly inhibit root cell elongation. *Nat Commun.* doi:

10.1038/ncomms15300.

Bates TR, Lynch JP. 1996. Stimulation of root hair elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

by low phosphorus availability. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **19**: 529–538.

Brouwer M, Lievens B, Van Hemelrijck W, Van Den Ackerveken G, Cammue

BPA, Thomma BPHJ. **2003**. Quantification of disease progression of several

microbial pathogens on *Arabidopsis thaliana* using real-time fluorescence

PCR. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **228**: 241–248.

Bustos R, Castrillo G, Linhares F, Puga MI, Rubio V, Pérez-Pérez J, Solano R,

Leyva A, Paz-Ares J. **2010**. A central regulatory system largely controls

transcriptional activation and repression responses to phosphate starvation in

Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genetics* **6**: e1001102.

Castrillo G, Teixeira PJPL, Paredes SH, Law TF, De Lorenzo L, Feltcher ME,

Finkel OM, Breakfield NW, Mieczkowski P, Jones CD, *et al.* 2017. Root

microbiota drive direct integration of phosphate stress and immunity. *Nature*

543: 513–518.

Chan C, Liao YY, Chiou TJ. 2021. The Impact of Phosphorus on Plant Immunity.

Plant and Cell Physiology **62**: 582–589

Chien PS, Chiang CP, Leong SJ, Chiou TJ. **2018**. Sensing and Signaling of

Phosphate Starvation: From Local to Long Distance. *Plant and Cell Physiology*

59: 1714–1722.

Chowdhury J, Henderson M, Schweizer P, Burton RA, Fincher GB, Little A. **2014**.

Differential accumulation of callose, arabinoxylan and cellulose in

nonpenetrated versus penetrated papillae on leaves of barley infected with

Blumeria graminis f. sp. *hordei*. *New Phytologist* **204**: 650–660.

Datta S, Kim CM, Pernas M, Pires ND, Proust H, Tam T, Vijayakumar P, Dolan

L. 2011. Root hairs: Development, growth and evolution at the plant-soil

interface. *Plant and Soil* **346**: 1–14.

Dindas J, DeFalco TA, Yu G, Zhang L, David P, Bjornson M, Thibaud MC,

Custódio V, Castrillo G, Nussaume L, *et al.* 2022. Direct inhibition of

phosphate transport by immune signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Current Biology* **32**:

488-495.e5.

Dolan L, Duckett CM, Grierson C, Linstead P, Schneider K, Lawson E, Dean C,

Poethig S, Roberts K. 1994. Clonal relationships and cell patterning in the

root epidermis of Arabidopsis. *Development* **120**: 2465–2474.

Dong J, Ma G, Sui L, Wei M, Satheesh V, Zhang R, Ge S, Li J, Zhang TE, Wittwer

C, *et al.* 2019. Inositol Pyrophosphate InsP8 Acts as an Intracellular Phosphate

Signal in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant* **12**: 1463–1473.

Dong X, Hong Z, Sivaramakrishnan M, Mahfouz M, Verma DPS. 2005. Callose

synthase (CalS5) is required for exine formation during microgametogenesis

and for pollen viability in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **42**: 315–328.

Ellinger D, Voigt CA. 2014. Callose biosynthesis in Arabidopsis with a focus on

pathogen response: What we have learned within the last decade. *Annals of*

Botany **114**: 1349–1358.

Enns LC, Kanaoka MM, Torii KU, Comai L, Okada K, Cleland RE. 2005. Two

callose synthases, GSL1 and GSL5, play an essential and redundant role in

plant and pollen development and in fertility. *Plant Molecular Biology* **58**:

333–349.

Gulabani H, Goswami K, Walia Y, Roy A, Noor JJ, Ingole KD, Kasera M, Laha D,

Giehl RFH, Schaaf G, *et al.* 2022. Arabidopsis inositol polyphosphate kinases

IPK1 and ITPK1 modulate crosstalk between SA-dependent immunity and

phosphate-starvation responses. *Plant Cell Reports* **41**: 347–363.

Hamburger D, Rezzonico E, Petétot JMDC, Somerville C, Poirier Y. 2002.

Identification and characterization of the Arabidopsis *PHO1* gene involved in

phosphate loading to the xylem. *Plant Cell* **14**: 889–902.

Han G, Wei X, Dong X, Wang C, Sui N, Guo J, Yuan F, Gong Z, Li X, Zhang Y, *et*

al. 2020. Arabidopsis ZINC FINGER PROTEIN1 Acts Downstream of GL2 to

Repress Root Hair Initiation and Elongation by Directly Suppressing bHLH

Genes. *The Plant cell* **32**: 206–225.

Han X, Kui M, He K, Yang M, Du J, Jiang Y, Hu Y. 2023. Jasmonate-regulated root

growth inhibition and root hair elongation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **74**:

1176–1185.

Holz M, Zarebanadkouki M, Kuzyakov Y, Pausch J, Carminati A. 2018. Root hairs

increase rhizosphere extension and carbon input to soil. *Annals of Botany* **121**:

61–69.

Isidra-Arellano MC, Delaux PM, Valdés-López O. 2021. The Phosphate Starvation

Response System: Its Role in the Regulation of Plant–Microbe Interactions.

Plant Cell Physiol **62**: 392–400

Jacobs AK, Lipka V, Burton RA, Panstruga R, Strizhov N, Schulze-Lefert P,

Fincher GB. **2003**. An Arabidopsis Callose Synthase, GSL5, Is Required for

Wound and Papillary Callose Formation. *Plant Cell* **15**: 2503–2513.

Kuo HF, Hsu YY, Lin WC, Chen KY, Munnik T, Brearley CA, Chiou TJ. **2018**.

Arabidopsis inositol phosphate kinases IPK1 and ITPK1 constitute a metabolic

pathway in maintaining phosphate homeostasis. *Plant Journal* **95**: 613–630.

Liu TY, Huang TK, Tseng CY, Lai YS, Lin SI, Lin WY, Chen JW, Chioua TJ.

2012. PHO2-dependent degradation of PHO1 modulates phosphate

homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **24**: 2168–2183.

Mateo A, Funck D, Mühlenbock P, Kular B, Mullineaux PM, Karpinski S. 2006.

Controlled levels of salicylic acid are required for optimal photosynthesis and

redox homeostasis. *J Exp Bot* **57**: 1795–1807

Mita S, Murano N, Akaike M, Nakamura K. 1997. Mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*

with pleiotropic effects on the expression on the gene for β -amylase and on

the accumulation that are inducible by sugars. *Plant Journal* **97**:1087-1093.

Miura K, Lee J, Miura T, Hasegawa PM. 2010. *SIZ1* controls cell growth and plant

development in Arabidopsis through salicylic acid. *Plant Cell Physiol* **51**: 103–

113

Miwa K, Wakuta S, Takada S, Ide K, Takano J, Naito S, Omori H, Matsunaga T,

Fujiwara T. **2013**. Roles of BOR2, a boron exporter, in cross linking of

rhamnogalacturonan II and root elongation under boron limitation in

Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **163**: 1699–1709.

Mora-Macías J, Ojeda-Rivera JO, Gutiérrez-Alanís D, Yong-Villalobos L,

Oropeza-Aburto A, Raya-González J, Jiménez-Domínguez G, Chávez-

Calvillo G, Rellán-Álvarez R, Herrera-Estrell L. 2017. Malate-dependent Fe

accumulation is a critical checkpoint in the root developmental response to low

phosphate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America **114**: E3563–E3572.

Morcillo RJ, Singh SK, He D, An G, Vílchez JI, Tang K, Yuan F, Sun Y, Shao C,

Zhang S, *et al.* 2020. Rhizobacterium‑derived diacetyl modulates plant

immunity in a phosphate‑dependent manner. *The EMBO Journal* **39**: 1–15.

Motte H, Beeckman T. 2017. PHR1 Balances between Nutrition and Immunity in

Plants. *Developmental Cell* **41**: 5–7.

Müller J, Toev T, Heisters M, Teller J, Moore KL, Hause G, Dinesh DC,

Bürstenbinder K, Abel S. 2015. Iron-Dependent Callose Deposition Adjusts

Root Meristem Maintenance to Phosphate Availability. *Developmental Cell* **33**:

216–230.

Nieves-Cordones M, Lara A, Ródenas R, Amo J, Rivero RM, Martínez V, Rubio F.

2019. Modulation of K+ translocation by AKT1 and AtHAK5 in Arabidopsis

plants. *Plant Cell and Environment* **42**: 2357–2371.

Nishikawa SI, Zinkl GM, Swanson RJ, Maruyama D, Preuss D. 2005. Callose (β-

1,3 glucan) is essential for Arabidopsis pollen wall patterning, but not tube

growth. *BMC Plant Biology* **5**: 1–9.

Nishimura MT, Stein M, Hou BH, Vogel JP, Edwards H, Somerville SC. 2003.

Loss of a callose synthase results in salicylic acid-dependent disease resistance.

Science **301**: 969–972.

Okada K, Kubota Y, Hirase T, Otani K, Goh T, Hiruma K, Saijo Y. 2021.

Uncoupling root hair formation and defence activation from growth inhibition

in response to damage-associated Pep peptides in Arabidopsis thaliana. *New*

Phytologist **229**: 2844–2858.

O'Lexy R, Kasai K, Clark N, Fujiwara T, Sozzani R, Gallagher KL. **2018**.

Exposure to heavy metal stress triggers changes in plasmodesmatal

permeability via deposition and breakdown of callose. *Journal of Experimental*

Botany **69**: 3715–3728.

Omasits U, Ahrens CH, Müller S, Wollscheid B. **2014**. Protter: Interactive protein

feature visualization and integration with experimental proteomic data.

Bioinformatics **30**: 884–886.

Paries M, Gutjahr C. **2023**. The good, the bad, and the phosphate: regulation of

beneficial and detrimental plant-microbe interactions by the plant phosphate

status. *New phytologist* **239**: 29–46.

Péret B, Clément M, Nussaume L, Desnos T. **2011**. Root developmental adaptation to

phosphate starvation: Better safe than sorry. *Trends in Plant Science* **16**: 442–

450.

Péret B, Desnos T, Jost R, Kanno S, Berkowitz O, Nussaume L. **2014**. Root

architecture responses: In search of phosphate. *Plant Physiol* **166**: 1713–1723.

Pfaffl. **2001**. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-

PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**:2003-2007.

Poirier Y, Thoma S, Somerville C, Schiefelbein J. **1991**. A mutant of Arabidopsis

deficient in xylem loading of phosphate. *Plant Physiology* **97**: 1087–1093.

Poole J, Day CJ, Von Itzstein M, Paton JC, Jennings MP. **2018**. Glycointeractions in

bacterial pathogenesis. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **16**: 440–452.

Pound MP, French AP, Atkinson JA, Wells DM, Bennett MJ, Pridmore T. **2013**.

RootNav: Navigating images of complex root architectures. *Plant Physiology* **162**: 1802–1814.

Robe K, Conejero G, Gao F, Lefebvre-Legendre L, Sylvestre-Gonon E, Rofidal V, Hem S, Rouhier N, Barberon M, Hecker A, *et al.* 2021. Coumarin accumulation and trafficking in Arabidopsis thaliana: a complex and dynamic process. *New Phytologist* **229**: 2062–2079.

Robertson-Albertyn S, Terrazas RA, Balbirnie K, Blank M, Janiak A, Szarejko I, Chmielewska B, Karcz J, Morris J, Hedley PE, *et al.* 2017. Root hair mutations displace the barley rhizosphere microbiota. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 1–15.

Rubio V, Linhares F, Solano R, Martín AC, Iglesias J, Leyva A, Paz-Ares J. **2001**. A conserved MYB transcription factor involved in phosphate starvation signaling both in vascular plants and in unicellular algae. *Genes and Development* **15**: 2122–2133.

Sager R, Lee JY. **2014**. Plasmodesmata in integrated cell signalling: Insights from development and environmental signals and stresses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**: 6337–6358.

Schenk ST, Schikora A. **2015**. http://www.bio-protocol.org/e1429 Vol 5, Iss 6, Mar 20, 2015. **5**: 3–6.

Schiefelbein J, Kwak SH, Wieckowski Y, Barron C, Bruex A. **2009**. The gene regulatory network for root epidermal cell-type pattern formation in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**: 1515–1521.

Shin H, Shin HS, Dewbre GR, Harrison MJ. **2004**. Phosphate transport in

Arabidopsis: Pht1;1 and Pht1;4 play a major role in phosphate acquisition from

both low- and high-phosphate environments. *Plant Journal* **39**: 629–642.

Stefanovic A, Ribot C, Rouached H, Wang Y, Chong J, Belbahri L, Delessert S,

Poirier Y. **2007**. Members of the PHO1 gene family show limited functional

redundancy in phosphate transfer to the shoot, and are regulated by phosphate

deficiency via distinct pathways. *Plant Journal* **50**: 982–994.

Sun L, Song L, Zhang Y, Zheng Z, Liu D. **2016**. Arabidopsis PHL2 and PHR1 act

redundantly as the key components of the central regulatory system controlling

transcriptional responses to phosphate starvation. *Plant Physiology* **170**: 499–

514.

Svistoonoff S, Creff A, Reymond M, Sigoillot-Claude C, Ricaud L, Blanchet A,

Nussaume L, Desnos T. **2007**. Root tip contact with low-phosphate media

reprograms plant root architecture. *Nat Genet* **39**: 792–796

Tanaka N, Kato M, Tomioka R, Kurata R, Fukao Y, Aoyama T, Maeshima M.

2014. Characteristics of a root hair-less line of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under

physiological stresses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**: 1497–1512.

Thibaud MC, Arrighi JF, Bayle V, Chiarenza S, Creff A, Bustos R, Paz-Ares J,

Poirier Y, Nussaume L. **2010**. Dissection of local and systemic transcriptional

responses to phosphate starvation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **64**: 775–789.

Underwood W, Zhang S, He SY. **2007**. The *Pseudomonas syringae* type III effector

tyrosine phosphatase HopAO1 suppresses innate immunity in *Arabidopsis*

thaliana. *Plant Journal* **52**: 658–672.

Verbon EH, Liberman LM, Zhou J, Yin J, Pieterse CMJ, Benfey N, Stringlis IA,

Jonge R De. **2023**. Cell type-specific transcriptomics reveals that root hairs

and endodermal barriers play important roles in beneficial plant-

rhizobacterium-interactions. *MOLECULAR PLANT* doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2023.06.001.

Vissenberg K, Claeijs N, Balcerowicz D, Schoenaers S. **2020**. Hormonal regulation of

root hair growth and responses to the environment in Arabidopsis. *Journal of*

Experimental Botany **71**: 2412–2427.

Vogel J, Somerville S. 2000. Isolation and characterization of powdery mildew-

resistant *Arabidopsis* mutants. *PNAS* **97**: 1897–1902.

Wang X, Du G, Wang X, Meng Y, Li Y, Wu P, Yi K. **2010**. The function of LPR1 is

controlled by an element in the promoter and is independent of SUMO E3

ligase SIZ1 in response to Low Pi stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant and*

Cell Physiology **51**: 380–394.

Wang Z, Zheng Z, Song L, Liu D. **2018**. Functional characterization of Arabidopsis

PHL4 in plant response to phosphate starvation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**:

1–19.

Wang Y, Li X, Fan B, Zhu C, Chen Z. 2021. Regulation and function of defense-

related callose deposition in plants. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**: 1–15.

Weßling R, Panstruga R. **2012**. Rapid quantification of plant-powdery mildew

interactions by qPCR and conidiospore counts. *Plant Methods* **8**.

Wildermuth MC, Dewdney J, Wu G, Ausubel FM. **2002**. Erratum: corrigendum:

Isochorismate synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant

defense. *Nature* **417**: 571–571.

Wu SW, Kumar R, Iswanto ABB, Kim JY. **2018**. Callose balancing at

plasmodesmata. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **69**: 5325–5339.

Zhang J, Shao F, Li Y, Cui H, Chen L, Li H, Zou Y, Long C, Lan L, Chai J, *et al.*

2007. A *Pseudomonas syringae* Effector Inactivates MAPKs to Suppress

PAMP-Induced Immunity in Plants. *Cell Host Microbe* **1**: 175–185

Zhang X, Chen S, Mou Z. 2010. Nuclear localization of NPR1 is required for

regulation of salicylate tolerance, isochorismate synthase 1 expression and

salicylate accumulation in Arabidopsis. *J Plant Physiol* **167**: 144–148

Zhu C, Gan L, Shen Z, Xia K. **2006**. Interactions between jasmonates and ethylene in

the regulation of root hair development in Arabidopsis. *Journal of*

Experimental Botany **57**: 1299–1308.

Figure Legends

Fig.1 Callose deposition is induced in root hairs under phosphate deficiency,

independently of *PHR1, PHL1, LPR1* and *LPR2*.

Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis*

thaliana seedlings to low Pi (P 50 μM), low N (N 0 μM), low Fe (Fe 0 μM), low Fe low

Pi (Fe 0 μM, P 0 μM) or normal Pi (P 625 μM) liquid media. (a, c, left) Bright field

(BF) images. (a, c, right) Aniline blue staining images. White arrows indicate root hair

tips. (b) Aniline blue staining of root hairs under low Pi and normal Pi conditions. (c)

The wild-type (WT) used for *rhd6* was Ws-2. (a, c, lower panel) Magnified images of

(a, c, upper panel). Bar, 500 μm (a, c, upper panel), 50 μm (a, c lower panel) and 25 μm

(b).

Fig. 2 Callose synthase *PMR4* is required for callose induction under phosphate

deficiency.

(a) Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis*

thaliana plants to low Pi (0 μM) liquid media. (a, left) Bright filed (BF) images. (a,

right) Aniline blue staining images. Two *caps* mutants are defective in inducing *callose*

under phosphate starvation. (a, lower panel) Magnified images of (a, upper panel).

White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 500 μm (A, upper panel) or 50 μm (a, lower

panel). (b) Positions of mutated sites in the *PMR4* locus of *caps* mutants, illustrated

with Protter (Omasits *et al.*, 2014). An orange region corresponds to the catalytic

domain of PMR4. Asterisks indicate stop codons. (c) Leaves 10 d after inoculation with

Golovinomyces orontii. Bar, 2 cm. (d) Ratios of *G. orontii* genomic DNA to *A. thaliana*

genomic DNA were determined by qPCR with the primers for Plasma membrane

ATPase 1 (Go_V1_Conting3757) and RNA-binding family protein (AT3G21215),

respectively. Data show means ± SD (n=3). Asterisks (** $P < 0.01$) indicate statistically

significant differences relative to WT according to Student's *t*-test.

Fig. 3 *PMR4* modulates phosphate starvation responses in the roots and shoots.

(a) Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis*

thaliana seedlings to low Pi (50 μM) liquid media. (left) Bright filed (BF) images.

(right) Aniline blue staining images. (lower panel) Magnified images of (upper panel).

White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 100 μm (upper panel) or 50 μm (lower panel).

(b) Six-d-old seedlings were exposed to low Pi (50 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) media

for 6 d. Bars, 10 mm. (c) The primary roots 9 d after transfer of 6-d-old seedling to low

Pi (50 μM) and normal Pi (625 μM) media. Bar, 1 mm. (d) Root hair density and root

hair length in (c). (e) Primary root length in (b). (f) Ratio of the primary root length

under normal Pi relative to low Pi of (e). (g) Convex hull area of the roots in (b). (h)

Ratio of convex hull area under normal Pi relative to low Pi of (g). (i) Six-d-old

seedlings were exposed to low Pi (50 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) for 16 d. Bar, 10 mm.

(j) SFW in (i). (k) Six-d-old seedlings were exposed to low Pi (10 μM) media for 10 d.

Bar, 10 mm. (l) Anthocyanin contents in (k). (d-h, j, l) Data show means ± SD (n =

7~30). Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey honestly

significant different (HSD) test for each medium dataset. Different letters indicate

significant differences ($P < 0.05$). NS, not significant.

Fig. 4 *PMR4* contributes to shoot growth and Pi accumulation under phosphate

deficiency

(a-d) Pi contents per root or shoot fresh weight (FW) of 6-d-old *Arabidopsis thaliana*

seedlings exposed to low Pi (150 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) for 9 d. (e) Illustration of

Pi accumulation in the indicated genotypes, referred to (a-d). (f) ^{32}P uptake rate

determined in the whole seedlings exposed to the indicated media (low Pi and normal

Pi, 50 and 625 μM Pi, respectively) supplemented with ^{32}P-labelled Pi for 30 min. (g)

Ratio of ^{32}P in the shoots relative to the whole plants after pulse-chase labeling.

Seedlings grown on low and normal Pi media (50 and 625 μM, respectively) were

exposed to ^{32}P-labeled Pi for 10 min, and then transferred to non-labelled Pi media for

120 min (pulse chase). The ^{32}P ratio of the shoots to the whole seedlings was calculated

for the translocation rate of Pi. (a-d, f-g) Data show means ± SD (n = 3~4). (a-b, f-g)

Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD test for each

medium dataset. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$). (c, d)

Asterisks (** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$) indicate statistically significant differences relative

to WT according to Student's *t*-test. NS, not significant.

Fig. 5 Root *PMR4* contributes to shoot growth under phosphate deficiency.

(a) Reciprocal micrografting of *Arabidopsis thaliana* WT and *pmr4* mutants. Images

were obtained at 14 d after transfer to low Pi (150 µM) media. Bar, 10 mm. (b) Shoot

fresh weight (SFW) of (a). (c) The primary root length of (a). (d) Aniline blue staining

of grafted-seedlings at 9 d after transfer to low Pi (50 µM). (d, left) Bright filed (BF)

images. (d, right) Aniline blue staining images. (d, lower panel) Magnified images of (d,

upper panel). White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 100 µm (c, upper panel) or 20

µm (c, lower panel). (b, c) Data show means ± SD (n=19-26). Statistical significance

was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD test for each medium dataset.

Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Fig. 6 A model for PMR4-mediated adaptation to Pi deficiency

PMR4 induces callose deposition in root hairs under phosphate deficiency, and thus

contributes to shoot Pi accumulation and growth through enhancing Pi uptake and root-

to-shoot transfer. This contribution alleviates phosphate starvation traits, such as root

system area reduction and anthocyanin accumulation.

Supporting information

Fig. S1 Callose deposition in *gsl* mutants under phosphate deficiency

Fig. S2 flg22-induced callose deposition is impaired in the *caps* and *pmr4* alleles.

Fig. S3 Primary root growth inhibition in response to B deficiency is not affected in

***pmr4*.**

Fig. S4 *PMR4* restricts *LPR1/LPR2*-mediated inhibition of the primary root growth

in response to low Pi.

Fig. S5 Plant growth is lowered in *pmr4* on soil.

Table S1 *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants used in this study

Table S2 DNA Primers used in qPCR analyses

Fig.1 Callose deposition is induced in root hairs under phosphate deficiency, independently of *PHR1*, *PHL1*, *LPR1* and *LPR2*.

Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings to low Pi (P 50 µM), low N (N 0 µM), low Fe (Fe 0 µM), low Fe low Pi (Fe 0 µM, P 0 µM) or normal Pi (P 625 µM) liquid media. (a, c, left) Bright field (BF) images. (a, c, right) Aniline blue staining images. White arrows indicate root hair tips. (b) Aniline blue staining of root hairs under low Pi and normal Pi conditions. (c) The wild-type (WT) used for *rhd6* was Ws-2. (a, c, lower panel) Magnified images of (a, c, upper panel). Bar, 500 µm (a, c, upper panel), 50 µm (a, c lower panel) and 25 µm (b).

Fig. 2. Callose synthase *PMR4* is required for callose induction under phosphate deficiency.

(a) Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants to low Pi (0 µM) liquid media. (a, left) Bright filed (BF) images. (a, right) Aniline blue staining images. Two *caps* mutants are defective in inducing *callose under phosphate starvation.* (a, lower panel) Magnified images of (a, upper panel). White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 500 µm (A, upper panel) or 50 µm (a, lower panel). (b) Positions of mutated sites in the *PMR4* locus of *caps* mutants, illustrated with Protter (Omasits *et al.*, 2014). An orange region corresponds to the catalytic domain of PMR4. Asterisks indicate stop codons. (c) Leaves 10 d after inoculation with *Golovinomyces orontii*. Bar, 2 cm. (d) Ratios of *G. orontii* genomic DNA to *A. thaliana* genomic DNA were determined by qPCR with the primers for Plasma membrane ATPase 1 (Go_V1_Conting3757) and RNA-binding family protein (AT3G21215), respectively. Data show means ± SD (n=3). Asterisks (** $P < 0.01$) indicate statistically significant differences relative to WT according to Student's *t*-test.

Fig. 3 *PMR4* modulates phosphate starvation response in root and shoot.

(a) Aniline blue staining of the primary roots 3 d after transfer of 5-d-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings to low Pi (50 μM) liquid media. (left) Bright filed (BF) images. (right) Aniline blue staining images. (lower panel) Magnified images of (upper panel). White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 100 μm (upper panel) or 50 μm (lower panel). (b) Six-d-old seedlings were exposed to low Pi (50 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) media for 6 d. Bars, 10 mm. (c) The primary roots 9 d after transfer of 6-d-old seedling to low Pi (50 μM) and normal Pi (625 μM) media. Bar, 1 mm. (d) Root hair density and root hair length in (c). (e) Primary root length in (b). (f) Ratio of the primary root length under normal Pi relative to low Pi of (e). (g) Convex hull area of the roots in (b). (h) Ratio of convex hull area under normal Pi relative to low Pi of (g). (i) Six-d-old seedlings were exposed to low Pi (50 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) for 16 d. Bar, 10 mm. (j) SFW in (i). (k) Six-d-old seedlings were exposed to low Pi (10 μM) media for 10 d. Bar, 10 mm. (l) Anthocyanin contents in (k). (d-h, j, l) Data show means ± SD (n = 7~30). Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey honestly significant different (HSD) test for each medium dataset. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$). NS, not significant.

Fig. 4 *PMR4* contributes to shoot growth and Pi accumulation under phosphate deficiency

(a-d) Pi contents per root or shoot fresh weight (FW) of 6-d-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings exposed to low Pi (150 μM) or normal Pi (625 μM) for 9 d. (e) Illustration of Pi accumulation in the indicated genotypes, referred to (a-d). (f) ^{32}P uptake rate determined in the whole seedlings exposed to the indicated media (low Pi and normal Pi, 50 and 625 μM Pi, respectively) supplemented with ^{32}P-labelled Pi for 30 min. (g) Ratio of ^{32}P in the shoots relative to the whole plants after pulse-chase labeling. Seedlings grown on low and normal Pi media (50 and 625 μM, respectively) were exposed to ^{32}P-labeled Pi for 10 min, and then transferred to non-labelled Pi media for 120 min (pulse chase). The ^{32}P ratio of the shoots to the whole seedlings was calculated for the translocation rate of Pi. (a-d, f-g) Data show means ± SD (n = 3~4). (a-b, f-g) Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD test for each medium dataset. Different letters indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$). (c, d) Asterisks (** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$) indicate statistically significant differences relative to WT according to Student's *t*-test. NS, not significant.

Fig. 5 Root *PMR4* contributes to shoot growth under phosphate deficiency.

(a) Reciprocal micrografting of *Arabidopsis thaliana* WT and *pmr4* mutants. Images were obtained at 14 d after transfer to low Pi (150 µM) media. Bar, 10 mm. (b) Shoot fresh weight (SFW) of (a). (c) The primary root length of (a). (d) Aniline blue staining of grafted-seedlings at 9 d after transfer to low Pi (50 µM). (d, left) Bright filed (BF) images. (d, right) Aniline blue staining images. (d, lower panel) Magnified images of (d, upper panel). White arrows indicate root hair tips. Bar, 100 µm (c, upper panel) or 20 µm (c, lower panel). (b, c) Data show means ± SD (n=19-26). Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD test for each medium dataset. Different letters indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05).

Fig. 6 Model of PMR4-mediated adaptation to Pi deficiency

PMR4 induces callose deposition in root hairs under phosphate deficiency, and thus contributes to shoot Pi accumulation and growth through enhancing Pi uptake and root-to-shoot transfer. This contribution alleviates phosphate starvation traits, such as root system area reduction and anthocyanin accumulation.