Exploring the Links between G-Quadruplex Structures and Pathogenicity Islands: Insights from Large-Scale Dataset Analysis

Bo Lyu*

Division of Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

*Corresponding author: Bo Lyu; E-mail: bl3pt@missouri.edu; Phone number: +1 573 289 3566;

Abstract

The dynamic interplay between guanine-quadruplex (G4) structures and pathogenicity islands (PAIs) represents a captivating area of research with implications for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying pathogenicity. This study conducted a comprehensive analysis of a large-scale dataset from reported 89 pathogenic strains with 222 types of PAIs to investigate the potential interactions between G4 structures and PAIs. G4 structures exhibited an uneven and non-random distribution within the PAIs and were consistently conserved within the same pathogenic strains. Additionally, this investigation identified positive correlations between the number and frequency of G4s and the GC content across different genomic features, including the genome itself, promoters, genes, tRNA, and rRNA regions, indicating a potential relationship between G4 structures and the GC-associated regions of the genome. The observed differences in GC content between PAIs and the core genome further highlight the unique nature of PAIs and underlying factors, such as DNA topology. Some high-confidence G4 structures within regulatory regions of *Escherichia coli* were identified, modulating the efficiency or specificity of DNA integration events within PAIs. In conclusion, these findings pave the way for future research to unravel the intricate molecular mechanisms and functional implications of G4-PAI interactions, thereby advancing our understanding of bacterial pathogenicity and the role of G4 structures in infectious diseases.

Key Words: Guanine quadruplex; Pathogenicity island; Genome; *Escherichia coli*

Introduction

The discovery of the DNA double helix by Watson and Crick in 1953 revolutionized our understanding of genetics and laid the foundation for the modern field of molecular biology (*1*). Nonetheless, the intricate nature of DNA continues to surprise us even today. One such captivating feature is the DNA guanine (G)-quadruplex (G4) structure, a unique arrangement that defies the conventional double helix (*2, 3*). The DNA G4 structure arises from G-rich DNA sequences that possess the ability to form stacked guanine tetrads. A G4 consists of four guanine bases, arranged in a planar square, and stabilized by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding. These stacked tetrads are interconnected by loop regions, which can vary in length and sequence, adding further complexity to the structure (Fig. 1). It is important to consider the inherent directionality of nucleic acids, with all four strands having the possibility to run in the same 5' to 3' direction, referred to as "parallel", or alternatively, they can run in different directions, known as "antiparallel" (Fig. 1). G4 regions can be very stable *in vitro*, particularly in the presence of K^+ (*4*). G4 structures are often found in regions of the genome with critical regulatory functions, such as telomeres, promoters, and enhancers (*2, 5*). These structures play a role in various biological processes, including gene expression, DNA replication, and telomere maintenance (*2, 6*). Genome sequencing in the past decade has unveiled the abundance of sequence motifs with G4-forming potential in genomes, their distribution patterns, and their correlation with functionally significant genomic regions (*7, 8*). The DNA G4 structure represents an attracting departure from the traditional double helix and has garnered

attention for its diverse biological roles and potential applications. Further research

into G4 structures will undoubtedly uncover new insights into their functions and

facilitate the development of innovative technologies.

Pathogenicity islands (PAIs) are genomic regions that contribute to the virulence

and pathogenic potential of various microorganisms (*9, 10*). PAIs are distinct

segments of the bacterial genome that exhibit unique characteristics compared to the

rest of the DNA (*11*). They are often large in size, ranging from tens of kilobases to

hundreds of kilobases, and can be integrated into the chromosome or exist as extra-

chromosomal elements, such as plasmids. PAIs often exhibit close proximity to tRNA

genes, suggesting a putative mechanism where tRNA genes act as anchor points for

the integration of foreign DNA acquired through horizontal gene transfer (Fig. 1E).

One notable feature is their variable GC content, which tends to deviate from the

average GC content of the genome in various organisms, such as *Streptomyces* (*12*),

Salmonella (*13*), and *Yersinia* (*14*). PAIs typically contain clusters of genes involved

in pathogenesis, including those encoding secretion systems (e.g., the LEE (locus of

enterocyte effacement) in *Escherichia coli*), superantigen (e.g., SaPI1 and SaPI2 in

Staphylococcus aureus), and enterotoxin (e.g., *she* PAI in *Shigella flexneri*). PAIs can

be acquired through the transfer of mobile genetic elements, such as plasmids, phages,

or integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs), facilitating the incorporation of

pathogenicity-associated genes into the recipient genome (*9, 15, 16*). One question

raised in PAI is that PAIs often exhibit distinct base composition (G+C contents)

compared to the core genome. The underlying reasons for this variation remain

unknown, but the preservation of a genus- or species-specific base composition

represents a noteworthy characteristic of bacteria (*9*). Herbert Schmidt et al. (2004)

proposed a hypothetical mechanism to explain the observed variation, suggesting that

factors such as DNA topology and codon message in the virulence regions present

could contribute to the preservation of the distinct base composition (*9*). Hopefully,

the availability of genome sequences from pathogenic bacteria and their non-

pathogenic counterparts currently presents an exceptional opportunity to explore the

intricate structure variance and underlying mechanisms within PAIs.

Growing evidence has shown that G4s exhibit a striking colocalization with

functional regions of the genome, and their high conservation across different species

suggests a selective pressure to maintain these sequences at specific genomic regions

(e.g., genome islands, resistance islands, CpG islands, and PAIs) (*2, 8, 17*). The

possibility of interactions between G4 structures and pathogens has been suggested,

although this field of study is still in its nascent phase. Some studies observed that

bacterial genomes possess G4-forming sequences within their genome regions (*18,*

19). As mentioned, G4 structures are formed by G-rich DNA sequences, and their

stability is influenced by the G+C content and arrangement of G tetrads. Interestingly,

PAIs often exhibit an altered GC content, putatively contributing to the propensity of

G4 structure formation within these regions. The G4 structures in PAIs might

modulate the accessibility of transcription factors, DNA-binding proteins, or RNA

polymerase in pathogens, as documented in eukaryotes (*2, 20*), thereby influencing

the expression of virulence-associated genes. The formation of G4 structures within

PAIs may serve as an additional layer of regulation that fine-tunes the expression of

genes critical for pathogenesis. Hence, the investigation of G4 structures within PAIs

may open new avenues for the development of therapeutic strategies aimed at

disrupting the regulatory mechanisms of pathogenicity-associated genes or targeting

the stability of PAIs in order to attenuate pathogenicity.

Results and Discussion

Genomic information and PAI patterns in 89 reported pathogenic strains

A dataset of PAIs was compiled from 89 reported pathogenic strains, encompassing

222 distinct types of PAIs. These datasets were sourced from the Pathogenicity Island

Database (PAIDB, http://www.paidb.re.kr), the primary repository offering

comprehensive information on reported PAIs and candidate PAIs in prokaryotic

genomes (*21*). Those pathogens that share closely related PAIs on phylogenetic

branches, such as LEE in *E. coli* strains (i.e., str. 11368, 11128, 12009, Sakai, and

EDL933) (Fig. 2A), may have acquired these elements through recent common

ancestors or horizontal gene transfer events, indicating potential inter-species

transmission or shared evolutionary history (*9, 22, 23*). The tree provides insights into

the evolution of pathogenicity and the emergence of specific virulence traits

associated with different branches or clades on the tree. Additional information,

including the genome length (bp), G+C content (%), rRNA density (the proportion of

rRNA length in its whole genome), tRNA density (the proportion of tRNA length in

its whole genome length), and PAI length (bp), was present and showed conserved

patterns in the same species (Fig. 2A & Table S1). PAIs commonly exhibit mosaic-

like patterns, exemplified by the presence of distinct PAIs like FPI in *Francisella*

tularensis, SaPIbov in *Staphylococcus aureus*, and Hrp PAI in *Xanthomonas*

campestris (Fig. 2B). Many PAIs were present associated with tRNAs, such as the

insertions of tRNA^{Thr}, tRNA^{Phe}, tRNA^{Asp}, tRNA^{Asn}, tRNA^{Ser}, and tRNA^{Gly} in *E. coli*

strains (Fig. 2B & Table S2). The presence of PAIs distributes in similar genomic

regions across different pathogens or strains, showing non-random patterns and

functionally clustered.

The presence of G4 structure in 89 reported pathogenic strains

The study utilized G4Hunter, a recently developed user-friendly web server designed

for high-throughput analysis of G4-forming sequences in DNA

(https://bioinformatics.ibp.cz/) (*24*), to predict the presence and quantity of G4

structures in the complete genomes of pathogenic organisms. The bacterial genomes

analyzed in the dataset exhibited a range of lengths, spanning from 1.58 Mbps to 6.54

Mbps (Table S1 & Table S2). The average GC content was 44.58%, with *Clostridium*

perfringens SM101 having the lowest value of 22.81% and *Clavibacter michiganensis*

subsp. michiganensis NCPPB 382 having the highest value of 72.70%. Employing the

G4Hunter search algorithm with a window size of 25 and using the default threshold

of 1.2, the study identified a total of 225,376 putative G4 sequences in these 89

pathogenic genomes (Table S1). The heatpmap showed that the number of G4

structures was diverse in the pathogen genomes (Fig. 2C), similar to previous reports

that showed that the G4 structures display uneven distribution patterns in eukaryotic

and prokaryotic genomes and are conserved in evolutionary groups (*25-27*). The

presence of G4 structures exhibited a remarkable level of conservation within the

same pathogenic strains that displayed conserved and non-random distribution

patterns. This observation suggests that the arrangement and distribution of G4

structures are not solely determined by genomic position but are also influenced by

strain-specific factors or evolutionary constraints. Hence, the conserved presence

patterns of G4 structures within specific pathogenic strains provide evidence of their

functional significance or potential regulatory role in the genome.

Interaction between PAIs and G4s in different genomic features

The analysis of G4 structures across all pathogen species demonstrated a positive

correlation between the number of G4s and the GC content in various genomic

features, including the whole genome ($R^2 = 0.7815$, $p < 0.0001$), gene ($R^2 = 0.7085$, p

< 0.0001), promoter ($R^2 = 0.8132$, $p < 0.0001$), rRNA ($R^2 = 0.1154$, $p < 0.01$), and

tRNA ($R^2 = 0.4055$, $p < 0.0001$) regions (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, the density of G4s,

measured as the frequency of predicted G4-forming sequences per 1000 base pairs

(bp), also showed a positive correlation with the GC content across the analyzed

genomic elements (Fig. 2E). The presence of a loop sequence between the G-tracts is

required for the formation of an intramolecular G4, and the density of G4 structures is

generally associated with the GC content (*28*). Additionally, the whole genome

characteristics analysis showed that the number and density of G4s were elevated

during evolution based on 37 evolutionarily representatives (*29*). The presence of G4

structures within the promoter, rRNA, and tRNA regions may have functional

implications for the regulation of DNA replication, ribosome biogenesis, protein

synthesis, and other RNA-related processes (*6, 30, 31*). Throughout evolution, there

seems to be a greater enrichment of G4 structures in regulatory genes compared to

other genes, potentially enabling intricate control of gene expression in cascade signal

transduction pathways (*29*). The positive correlation observed underscores the

potential role of GC content in influencing the propensity for G4 formation and

suggests that the GC-associated regions of pathogen genomes may be particularly

relevant for G4-related functions and regulatory mechanisms.

This study observed that the GC contents in the genome region were significantly

higher compared to the corresponding PAIs region that was classified into five parts

(20%-30%, 30%-40%, 40%-50%, 50%-60%, and 60%-70%, respectively) according

to the genome datasets (Fig. 3A-E & Table S1). This suggests that the genomic

regions surrounding the PAIs (i.e., core genome) tend to have a higher GC content

than PAI regions, which was consistent with the fact that PAIs often exhibit distinct

base composition (G+C contents) compared with the core genome (*9*). The variation

was explained by the presence of G4 sequences within the PAIs, whereas the results

were surprising. This study observed a distinct pattern in the frequency of G4

structures within different regions of the PAIs. Specifically, the frequency of G4

structures was found to be increased in the 20-30% GC content regions (Fig. 3A),

decreased in the 60-70% GC content regions (Fig. 3E), and remained unchanged in

30%-60% GC content regions (Fig. 3B-D). This differential distribution of G4

structures suggests that (i) specific segments (e.g., <30% and >60% GC contents)

within the PAIs may be more prone to induce G4 formation discrepancy, as these

segments showed unstable gene structure; (ii) the variation of base composition

between core genome and PAIs is partially correlated with the presence of G4s; (iii)

the frequency of G4s in PAIs present stable as the core genome in the most situation

(the majority of pathogenic bacterial species exhibit G+C contents ranging from 40%

to 60%); (iv) an alternative hypothesis, other factors, such as i-motif (i.e., the anti-G4

structure) and CpG island, may work synergistically with G4 and potentially

contribute the base composition variation (*32, 33*).

Putative origin and transfer mechanisms of G4s in PAIs

In order to understand the origin of G4 structures within PAIs, the study hypothesized

that these G4 sequences could be acquired through three types of horizontal gene

transfer mechanisms: conjugation, transformation, and transduction (Fig. 3F). These

mechanisms serve as means for genetic material exchange between different

organisms. Considering the presence of G4 sequences within the PAIs, it is plausible

that these sequences are transferred along with the PAIs through these horizontal gene

transfer mechanisms. Conjugation involves the direct transfer of genetic material

through cell-to-cell contact, typically mediated by plasmids or conjugative

transposons (*34*). If G4 sequences are present within the donor cell's genome or

plasmids, they can be transferred to the recipient cell during conjugation, thereby

becoming incorporated into the PAIs of the recipient. Transformation, on the other

hand, involves the uptake and incorporation of free DNA from the environment (*35,*

36). If G4 sequences are present in the extracellular DNA, they can be taken up and

integrated into the PAIs of the recipient bacterium during the transformation process.

Transduction occurs when genetic material is transferred between bacteria through

bacteriophages, which are viruses that infect bacteria (*37*). If G4 sequences are

present within the genome of the infecting bacteriophage, they can be introduced into

the recipient bacterium during the phage-mediated transfer, potentially becoming

integrated into the PAIs.

Evolutionary and presence of G4 within PAIs in *E. coli* strains

Later, the study used *E. coli* as an example to investigate the potential regulatory role

and function of genes covered by G4 structures in PAIs. *E. coli* contains at least ten

types of PAI in different strains, and one of the well-known PAI is LEE (Fig. 3G),

harboring genes responsible for causing attaching and effacing lesions (*23, 38*). One

stable G4 structure with a G4hunter score of 1.6 was identified at position 37,085 in

the LEE PAI of *E. coli* str. O103:H2 12009 (Fig. 3H), located between an IS element

and a tRNA insertion site. The tRNA region generally contains a higher G4 frequency

compared with transfer-messenger RNA (tmRNA) and rRNA regions in the bacterial

genome (*26*). Interestingly, this G4 structure found in *E. coli* str. O103:H2 12009 was

present in close proximity to a tRNA region, suggesting a potential regulatory role of

G4s in the tRNA gene, or upstream- and downstream-genes that are responsible for

LEE virulence. Additionally, another stable G4 sequence with a score of 1.381 was

discovered at position 12,457 in the *E. coli* str. CFT073 PAI II to provide one more

evidence of G4 in PAI regions (Fig. 3I).

Functional annotation analysis of G4s within PAIs in *E. coli* strains

Functional enrichment analysis was conducted to explore the putative functions of

G4-covered genes in two *E. coli* strains (Table S3&S4). The results revealed that the

genes covered by G4 structures were predominantly involved in genetic information

processes, including DNA binding, DNA integration, and nucleic acid metabolism

processes (Fig. 3J & K). This suggests that G4 structures may play a regulatory role in

these essential cellular processes, especially gene expression and DNA-related

functions. For instance, G4 structures in the promoter regions of certain transcription

factors may influence their binding affinity to DNA and subsequently affect

downstream gene expression patterns (*39, 40*). Pathogens often possess mobile

genetic elements, including plasmids, bacteriophages, and genomic islands, which

play a significant role in their virulence and adaptation. These elements frequently

utilize DNA integration mechanisms mediated by integrases, recombinases, or

transposases to transfer or incorporate genetic material into the bacterial genome (*41,*

42). The presence of G4 structures within PAI-related genes suggests a potential role

in modulating the integration of these islands into the bacterial genome. Furthermore,

G4 structures may influence the binding of integrases or recombinases to the specific

target sites within the bacterial chromosome, potentially regulating the successful

acquisition and integration of PAIs.

This study focused on selecting pathogens with high-quality sequenced genomes

to ensure reliability and availability. However, it is important to acknowledge and

address certain limitations that could potentially affect the interpretation of the results.

One such limitation is the reliance on genome sequences obtained from external

laboratories and datasets, which introduces a level of uncertainty regarding the

accuracy and completeness. Additionally, the rapidly evolving field of genomics

poses challenges in terms of the availability and quality of genome data. The

sequencing technologies and methodologies used by different laboratories may vary,

leading to variations in data quality and potential biases. Furthermore, the dynamic

nature of bacterial genomes, including genetic rearrangements and horizontal gene

transfer events, can complicate the accurate assembly and annotation of genome

sequences. To address these limitations, future studies could benefit from the

advancement of sequencing technologies, improved genome assembly algorithms, and

standardized quality control measures. Collaboration between research groups and

data sharing initiatives also help ensure the availability of high-quality genome data

for comprehensive analyses. It is crucial to interpret the results with caution and

continue refining this understanding through validation experiments and

collaborations. By addressing these limitations, we can enhance the robustness and

reliability of genomic analyses, further advancing our knowledge in the field of PAIs

and G4 structures.

Conclusion

The present study conducted a comprehensive analysis to explore the links between

G4s and PAIs. Overall, the conserved evolutionary relatedness of PAIs, the detection

of stable G4 structures in specific genomic positions, and the enrichment of G4-

covered genes in genetic information processes collectively support the hypothesis

that G4 structures may have regulatory functions in key biological processes in

pathogens.

Methods

Selection and extraction of DNA Sequences

A total of 89 genomes corresponding to the identified pathogens from the

Pathogenicity Island Database (PAIDB) were included in the study. The complete

bacterial genomic DNA sequences and their corresponding annotation files in .gff

and .fna formats were obtained from the Genome database of the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI). To ensure the reliability and completeness of the

dataset, only completely assembled genomes were included in the analysis. To avoid

redundancy and incomplete sequences, one representative genome was selected for

each species or strain. The selection of representative genomes was based on a careful

examination of the supplementary material (Table S1) accompanying the study. The

study employed TBtools (https://cj-chen.github.io/tbtools), a versatile bioinformatics

tool, to investigate the presence of G-quadruplex (G4) sequences in specific genomic

features. The gene regions, promoters (2 kb upstream of the genes), tRNA regions,

and rRNA regions were extracted from the selected genomes using TBtools. PAI

regions were downloaded following previously documented information in PAIDB

(Table S1&S2). Default thresholds and parameters were applied during extraction to

maintain consistency across all genomes.

Data process and detection of G4s in genomic features

The G4Hunter algorithm, a widely used tool for G4 prediction, was employed for the

identification of G4 motifs in the genomic sequences. The G4Hunter parameters were

set to a window size of "25" and a G4 score threshold of 1.2, which ensured the

identification of potential G4 sequences. The analysis was performed for each species

group, where the genomic DNA size and the number of putative G4 sequences found

were determined. The study quantified the predicted number of putative G4-forming

sequences within different genomic features, including the whole genome, gene,

promoter, tRNA, rRNA, and PAI regions. The density of G4 motifs was determined

by dividing the number of G4 sequences by the total length of the genome, while the

length ratio of G4 motifs was calculated by dividing the total length of the G4

sequences by the total length of the genome.

Relationship between G4s and PAIs

The heatmap was used to show the distribution of G4 motifs in the genome divided by

ten parts as PAI regions using R package "pheatmap". The correlation between the

number of G4 structures and the GC content was analyzed across various genomic

elements, including the whole genome, gene, promoter, rRNA, and tRNA regions.

The analysis utilized the R-squared value (R^2) to determine the strength of the

correlation. The significance of the correlation was assessed using p-values. The GC

content in the genome regions and corresponding PAI regions was compared and

classified into different ranges to explore the variation in base composition. GraphPad

Prism (V.5.02, GraphPad Software, Inc) was employed to conduct Normality and

Lognormality Tests. The K-S test and F-test were used to assess normal distribution

and variances, and Student's t-test was used to identify significant difference.

Phylogenetic Tree Construction

The exact Taxonomy ID (taxid) for each analyzed group was obtained from the NCBI

Taxonomy Database using the Taxonomy Browser. The Neighbor-Joining (NJ)

method was employed to construct the phylogenetic trees for the analyzed groups.

The phylogenetic trees were generated using MEGA11 software

(www.megasoftware.net), which offers robust algorithms and comprehensive tools for

phylogenetic analysis. To assess the reliability and statistical support of the

phylogenetic tree branches, bootstrap analysis was performed. One thousand

bootstrap replicates were used to estimate the confidence levels of the branching

patterns in the phylogenetic trees. The phylogenetic trees, along with the bootstrap

support values, were displayed and visualized using the Interactive Tree Of Life

(ITOL) platform (https://itol.embl.de/).

Gene functional annotation

The gene sequences covered by G4 structures within PAIs were subjected to gene

ontology annotation. The gene sequences were translated into protein sequences using

the Expasy online toolkit (https://web.expasy.org/translate/). This tool performs the

translation based on the standard genetic code, converting the DNA nucleotide

sequence into its corresponding amino acid sequence. The GO annotation database

assigned GO terms to the protein sequences based on their predicted functions and

known biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular component

(CC). Fisher's exact test was employed to determine the statistical significance of the

enrichment results. The obtained *p*-values indicated the overrepresentation of specific

GO terms, with lower p-values suggesting higher significance.

Statistics and reproducibility

All genomic data utilized in this study, including the species-specific datasets, were

obtained from publicly available sources. Statistical analyses, such as the Kruskal-

Wallis test, F test, Student's t-test, Wilcoxon test, and chi-square test, were performed

using GraphPad Prism software. The samples used in the statistical analyses

corresponded to the genomic data, PAIs, or specific genes under investigation.

Acknowledgment

The sincere appreciation extends to Dr. Sung Ho Yoon and his colleagues for their

dedicated efforts in identifying PAIs and establishing the Pathogenicity Island

Database for public analysis. Their commitment to advancing the field of pathogen

genomics has greatly facilitated this research. This study would like to thank Prof.

Qisheng Song and Dr. Jingjing Li for their insightful suggestions and constructive

comments regarding the exploration of G4 structures in genomes. Their expertise and

guidance have significantly enriched the understanding of the potential roles and

implications of G4 structures in the context of PAIs. The study is grateful to Dr.

Minyu Zhou for her valuable discussions and exchange of ideas regarding the

interplay between G4 structures and pathogenicity islands.

Competing Interests

The author declares no competing interests.

Funding information

This research received no external funding.

Credit author statement

Bo Lyu: writing original draft, data analysis, and visualization.

Ethical approval

Ethical review and approval were not required for this study.

Availability of data and materials

The original reported PAIs datasets analyzed in this study are available from the

publication with the DOI: 10.1093/nar/gku985. Additionally, supplementary materials

accompanying this article provide further PAIs data analyzed in the study. These

supplementary materials contain detailed information and datasets that support the

findings and conclusions presented in this research. Researchers and interested

individuals can access supplementary materials to explore and validate the results

obtained in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

1. J. D. Watson, F. Crick, A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. (1953).

2. D. Rhodes, H. J. Lipps, G-quadruplexes and their regulatory roles in biology.

Nucleic acids research **43**, 8627-8637 (2015).

3. J. Spiegel, S. Adhikari, S. Balasubramanian, The structure and function of

DNA G-quadruplexes. *Trends in Chemistry* **2**, 123-136 (2020).

4. O. Stegle, L. Payet, J.-L. Mergny, D. J. MacKay, J. L. Huppert, Predicting

and understanding the stability of G-quadruplexes. *Bioinformatics* **25**, i374-i1382

(2009).

5. J. L. Huppert, Structure, location and interactions of G‐quadruplexes. *The*

FEBS journal **277**, 3452-3458 (2010).

6. B. Zybailov, M. Sherpa, G. Glazko, K. Raney, V. Glazko, G4-quadruplexes

and genome instability. *Molecular Biology* **47**, 197-204 (2013).

7. J. L. Huppert, S. Balasubramanian, Prevalence of quadruplexes in the human

genome. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**, 2908-2916 (2005).

8. S. L. König, A. C. Evans, J. L. Huppert, Seven essential questions on G-

quadruplexes. *Biomol Concepts* **1**, 197-213 (2010).

9. H. Schmidt, M. Hensel, Pathogenicity islands in bacterial pathogenesis.

Clinical microbiology reviews **17**, 14-56 (2004).

10. E. A. Groisman, H. Ochman, Pathogenicity islands: bacterial evolution in

quantum leaps. *Cell* **87**, 791-794 (1996).

11. J. Hacker, J. B. Kaper, Pathogenicity islands and the evolution of microbes.

Annual Reviews in Microbiology **54**, 641-679 (2000).

391 12. J. A. Kers *et al.*, A large, mobile pathogenicity island confers plant
392 pathogenicity on Streptomyces species. *Molecular Microbiology* **55**, 1025-1033
393 (2005).

394 13. S. Kombade, N. Kaur, in *Salmonella spp.-A Global Challenge*. (IntechOpen,
395 2021).

396 14. E. Carniel, The Yersinia high-pathogenicity island. *Int Microbiol* **2**, 161-167
397 (1999).

398 15. M. Syvanen, Evolutionary implications of horizontal gene transfer. *Annu Rev*
399 *Genet* **46**, 341-358 (2012).

400 16. J. Chen *et al.*, Intra- and inter-generic transfer of pathogenicity island-
401 encoded virulence genes by cos phages. *Isme j* **9**, 1260-1263 (2015).

402 17. S. Frees, C. Menendez, M. Crum, P. S. Bagga, QGRS-Conserve: a
403 computational method for discovering evolutionarily conserved G-quadruplex motifs.
404 *Hum Genomics* **8**, 8 (2014).

405 18. P. Yadav *et al.*, G-quadruplex structures in bacteria: Biological relevance and
406 potential as an antimicrobial target. *Journal of Bacteriology* **203**, 10.1128/jb. 00577-
407 00520 (2021).

408 19. L. M. Harris, C. J. Merrick, G-quadruplexes in pathogens: a common route to
409 virulence control? *PLoS pathogens* **11**, e1004562 (2015).

410 20. D. Varshney, J. Spiegel, K. Zyner, D. Tannahill, S. Balasubramanian, The
411 regulation and functions of DNA and RNA G-quadruplexes. *Nature reviews*
412 *Molecular cell biology* **21**, 459-474 (2020).

21. S. H. Yoon, Y.-K. Park, J. F. Kim, PAIDB v2. 0: exploration and analysis of

pathogenicity and resistance islands. *Nucleic acids research* **43**, D624-D630 (2015).

22. E. L. Hartland, J. M. Leong. (Frontiers Media SA, 2013), vol. 3, pp. 15.

23. J. Jores, L. Rumer, L. H. Wieler, Impact of the locus of enterocyte

effacement pathogenicity island on the evolution of pathogenic Escherichia coli.

International Journal of Medical Microbiology **294**, 103-113 (2004).

24. V. Brázda *et al.*, G4Hunter web application: a web server for G-quadruplex

prediction. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 3493-3495 (2019).

25. Z. Du, Y. Zhao, N. Li, Genome-wide colonization of gene regulatory

elements by G4 DNA motifs. *Nucleic acids research* **37**, 6784-6798 (2009).

26. M. Bartas *et al.*, The presence and localization of G-quadruplex forming

sequences in the domain of bacteria. *Molecules* **24**, 1711 (2019).

27. E. P. Lombardi, A. Londoño-Vallejo, A. Nicolas, Relationship between G-

quadruplex sequence composition in viruses and their hosts. *Molecules* **24**, 1942

(2019).

28. O. I. Kaplan, B. Berber, N. Hekim, O. Doluca, G-quadruplex prediction in E.

coli genome reveals a conserved putative G-quadruplex-Hairpin-Duplex switch.

Nucleic acids research **44**, 9083-9095 (2016).

29. F. Wu *et al.*, Genome-wide analysis of DNA G-quadruplex motifs across 37

species provides insights into G4 evolution. *Communications biology* **4**, 98 (2021).

30. P. Ivanov *et al.*, G-quadruplex structures contribute to the neuroprotective

effects of angiogenin-induced tRNA fragments. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences **111**, 18201-18206 (2014).

31. S. Mestre-Fos *et al.*, G-quadruplexes in human ribosomal RNA. *Journal of*

molecular biology **431**, 1940-1955 (2019).

32. A. M. Deaton, A. Bird, CpG islands and the regulation of transcription.

Genes Dev **25**, 1010-1022 (2011).

33. N. Sushmita *et al.*, I-motif DNA: significance and future prospective.

Exploratory Animal and Medical Research **10**, 18-23 (2020).

34. P. Trieu-Cuot, C. Carlier, P. Martin, P. Courvalin, Plasmid transfer by

conjugation from Escherichia coli to Gram-positive bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology*

Letters **48**, 289-294 (1987).

35. M. G. Lorenz, W. Wackernagel, Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic

transformation in the environment. *Microbiological reviews* **58**, 563-602 (1994).

36. H. Ochman, J. G. Lawrence, E. A. Groisman, Lateral gene transfer and the

nature of bacterial innovation. *Nature* **405**, 299-304 (2000).

37. C. L. Schneider, Bacteriophage-mediated horizontal gene transfer:

transduction. *Bacteriophages: biology, technology, therapy*, 151-192 (2021).

38. F. M. Franzin, M. P. Sircili, Locus of enterocyte effacement: a pathogenicity

island involved in the virulence of enteropathogenic and enterohemorragic

Escherichia coli subjected to a complex network of gene regulation. *Biomed Res Int*

2015, 534738 (2015).

39. K. Niu *et al.*, BmILF and i-motif structure are involved in transcriptional

regulation of BmPOUM2 in Bombyx mori. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**, 1710-1723

(2018).

40. L. Xiang *et al.*, DNA G-quadruplex structure participates in regulation of

lipid metabolism through acyl-CoA binding protein. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**,

6953-6967 (2022).

41. I. R. Arkhipova, P. A. Rice, Mobile genetic elements: in silico, in vitro, in

vivo. *Mol Ecol* **25**, 1027-1031 (2016).

42. R. A. Wozniak, M. K. Waldor, Integrative and conjugative elements: mosaic

mobile genetic elements enabling dynamic lateral gene flow. *Nature Reviews*

Microbiology **8**, 552-563 (2010).

Figure 1. Structural and Functional Aspects of G-quadruplex (G4) Structures

and Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs). (A) Schematic representation of a guanine tetrad

stabilized by Hoogsten base pairing and a positively charged central ion, illustrating

the key elements of G4 structures. (B) Structural heterogeneity of G4s. G-quadruplex

structures exhibit polymorphism and can be categorized into different families, such

as parallel or antiparallel, based on the orientation of the DNA strands. They can fold

either intramolecularly or intermolecularly, leading to diverse structural

configurations. (C) General sequence formula for G4s, highlighting the repeated

occurrence of guanine-rich sequences that form G-quartets. (D) Regulatory roles of

G-quadruplexes in transcription. G4 structures can regulate transcription by blocking

RNA polymerase from binding to promoter sequences or aiding in single-stranded

DNA (ssDNA) formation, thereby enhancing transcription. (E) General structure of

PAI. PAIs are characteristic regions of DNA found within the genomes of pathogenic

bacteria, distinguishing them from nonpathogenic strains of the same or related

species. Repeat sequences are DNA segments duplicated within the PAI and can serve

as recognition sites for various enzymes involved in the integration and excision of

the PAI from the bacterial chromosome. tRNA genes act as anchor points for the

insertion of foreign DNA acquired through horizontal gene transfer. Virulence genes

encode proteins or factors that play crucial roles in the virulence and pathogenicity of

the bacterium, contributing to adhesion, invasion, immune evasion, toxin production,

or other pathogenic mechanisms. Insertion elements include transposons,

bacteriophages, or plasmids, enabling the PAI to be transferred between bacterial cells

and potentially disseminated to different strains or species.

Figure 2. Analysis of Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs) and G-quadruplexes (G4s) in Pathogen Genomes. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of pathogen genomes based on 89 bacterial strains, showing the evolutionary relationships among species. Additional genomic information, including genome size, GC content, rRNA density, tRNA density, and PAI length, is provided. The same color indicates the same species. (B) Genomic location of specific PAIs in bacterial genomes, divided into ten regions. PAIs are represented by green triangles, and their names are indicated. The tRNA insertion sites are also marked. (c) Heatmap illustrating the relative abundance of G4s in bacterial genomes, divided into ten regions. Red indicates a higher relative abundance, while blue indicates a lower relative abundance. (D & E) Correlation analysis between the number of G4s, the frequency of G4s and GC content in various genomic features, including the whole genome, genes, promoters, rRNA, and tRNA.

Figure 3. Putative Origin and Functional Annotation of G-quadruplexes (G4s)

within Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs) in *Escherichia coli*. (A-E) Comparison of GC

content (left panel) and GC frequency (right panel) between the genome and

pathogenicity islands (PAIs), categorized into five regions (20%-30%, 30%-40%,

40%-50%, 50%-60%, and 60%-70%). */**/***/**** indicates significant difference

($p < 0.05/0.01/0.001/0.0001$). (F) Hypotheses on the origin of G4 structures within

PAIs, involving gene horizontal transfer mechanisms (conjugation, transduction, and

transformation). (G) Evolutionary relatedness of 10 types of PAIs (categorized into

six main categories) in E. coli strains. (H & I) Examples of G4 structures within PAIs

in E. coli strains. The grey bar represents the virulence region, the red box indicates a

50 virulence gene, the blue box represents an insertion site region or repeat, the green

51 box denotes an integrase, the purple triangle indicates a tRNA insertion site, and the

52 yellow triangle indicates an effector. (J &K) Functional annotation analysis of G4-

53 covered genes within PAIs in two E. coli strains, including biological process (BP),

54 cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF) categories.