The Intricate Relationship of G-Quadruplexes and Bacterial

Pathogenicity Islands

Bo Lyu[1,*], Qisheng Song[1,*]

1 Division of Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO

65211, USA

*Corresponding author:

Bo Lyu; E-mail: bl3pt@missouri.edu; Phone number: +1 573 289 3566;

Qisheng Song; E-mail: songq@missouri.edu; Phone number: +1 573 882 9798

Abstract

The dynamic interplay between guanine-quadruplex (G4) structures and pathogenicity islands (PAIs) represents a captivating area of research with implications for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying pathogenicity. This study conducted a comprehensive analysis of a large-scale dataset from reported 89 pathogenic strains of bacteria to investigate the potential interactions between G4 structures and PAIs. G4 structures exhibited an uneven and non-random distribution within the PAIs and were consistently conserved within the same pathogenic strains. Additionally, this investigation identified positive correlations between the number and frequency of G4 structures and the GC content across different genomic features, including the genome, promoters, genes, tRNA, and rRNA regions, indicating a potential relationship between G4 structures and the GC-associated regions of the genome. The observed differences in GC content between PAIs and the core genome further highlight the unique nature of PAIs and underlying factors, such as DNA topology. High-confidence G4 structures within regulatory regions of *Escherichia coli* were identified, modulating the efficiency or specificity of DNA integration events within PAIs. Collectively, these findings pave the way for future research to unravel the intricate molecular mechanisms and functional implications of G4-PAI interactions, thereby advancing our understanding of bacterial pathogenicity and the role of G4 structures in pathogenic diseases.

Key Words: Guanine quadruplex; Pathogenicity island; Genome; *Escherichia coli*

Introduction

The discovery of the DNA double helix by Watson and Crick in 1953 revolutionized our understanding of genetics and laid the foundation for the modern field of molecular biology (*1*). Nonetheless, the intricate nature of DNA continues to surprise us even today. One such captivating feature is the DNA guanine (G)-quadruplex (G4) structure, a unique arrangement that defies the conventional double helix (*2, 3*). A G4 consists of four guanine bases and is stabilized by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds. These stacked tetrads are interconnected by loop regions, which can vary in length and sequence, adding further complexity to the structure (Figure 1). It is important to consider the inherent directionality of nucleic acids, with all four strands having the possibility to run in the same 5' to 3' direction, referred to as "parallel", or alternatively, they can run in different directions, known as "antiparallel". G4 regions can be very stable *in vitro*, particularly in the presence of K^+ (*4*). G4 structures are often found in regions of the genome with crucial regulatory functions, such as telomeres, promoters, and enhancers (*2, 5*). These structures play a role in various biological processes, including gene expression, DNA replication, and telomere maintenance (*2, 6*). Further research into G4 structures will undoubtedly uncover new insights into their functions and facilitate the development of innovative technologies.

Pathogenicity islands (PAIs) are genomic regions that contribute to the virulence and pathogenic potential of various microorganisms (*7, 8*). PAIs are distinct segments of the bacterial genome that exhibit unique characteristics compared to the rest of the DNA (*9*). They are often large in size, ranging from tens of kilobases to hundreds of

kilobases, and can be integrated into the chromosome or exist as extra-chromosomal

elements, such as plasmids. PAIs often exhibit close proximity to tRNA genes,

suggesting a putative mechanism where tRNA genes act as anchor points for the

integration of foreign DNA acquired through horizontal gene transfer (Figure 1E).

One notable feature is their variable GC content, which tends to deviate from the

average GC content of the genome in various organisms, such as *Streptomyces* (*10*),

Salmonella (*11*), and *Yersinia* (*12*). PAIs typically contain clusters of genes involved

in pathogenesis, including those encoding secretion systems (e.g., LEE (locus of

enterocyte effacement) in *Escherichia coli*), superantigen (e.g., SaPI1 and SaPI2 in

Staphylococcus aureus), and enterotoxin (e.g., *she* PAI in *Shigella flexneri*). PAIs can

be acquired through the transfer of mobile genetic elements, such as plasmids, phages,

or integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs), facilitating the incorporation of

pathogenicity-associated genes into the recipient genome (*7, 13, 14*). One question

raised in PAI is that PAIs often exhibit distinct base composition (G+C contents)

compared to the core genome. The underlying reasons for this variation remain

unknown, but the preservation of a genus- or species-specific base composition

represents a noteworthy characteristic of bacteria (*7*). Herbert Schmidt et al. (2004)

proposed a hypothetical mechanism to explain the observed variation, suggesting that

factors such as DNA topology and codon message in the virulence regions present

could contribute to the preservation of the distinct base composition (*7*). Hopefully,

the availability of genome sequences from pathogenic bacteria and their non-

pathogenic counterparts presents an exceptional opportunity to explore the intricate

structure variance and underlying mechanisms within PAIs.

Growing evidence has shown that G4 structures exhibit a striking colocalization

with functional regions of the genome, and their high conservation across different

species suggests a selective pressure to maintain these sequences at specific genomic

regions (e.g., genome islands, resistance islands, CpG islands, and PAIs) (*2, 15, 16*).

The possibility of interactions between G4 structures and pathogens has been

suggested, although this field of study is still in its nascent phase. Some studies

observed that bacterial genomes possess G4-forming sequences within their genome

regions (*17, 18*). G4 structures are formed by G-rich DNA sequences, and their

stability is influenced by the G+C content and arrangement of G tetrads. Interestingly,

PAIs often exhibit an altered GC content, putatively contributing to the propensity of

G4 structure formation within these regions. The G4 structures in PAIs might

modulate the accessibility of transcription factors, DNA-binding proteins, or RNA

polymerase in pathogens, as documented in eukaryotes (*2, 19*), thereby influencing

the expression of virulence-associated genes (*20*). The formation of G4 structures

within PAIs may serve as an additional layer of regulation that fine-tunes the

expression of genes critical for pathogenesis. Hence, the investigation of G4

structures within PAIs may open new avenues for the development of therapeutic

strategies aimed at disrupting the regulatory mechanisms of pathogenicity-associated

genes.

Results

Genomic information, PAI patterns, and the presence of G4 structures in 89

reported pathogenic strains

A dataset of PAIs was compiled from 89 reported pathogenic strains of bacteria, encompassing 222 distinct types of PAIs. Pathogens exhibiting similar PAIs displayed closely clustered patterns on phylogenetic branches, such as LEE in *E. coli* strains (Figure 2A). Additional information, including the genome length (bp), G+C content (%), rRNA density, tRNA density, and PAI length (bp), was present and showed conserved patterns in the same species (Figure 2A & Supplementary file 1a). PAIs commonly exhibit mosaic-like patterns, exemplified by the presence of distinct PAIs like FPI in *Francisella tularensis*, SaPIbov in *Staphylococcus aureus*, and Hrp PAI in *Xanthomonas campestris* (Figure 2B). Many PAIs were present associated with tRNAs, such as the insertions of tRNA^{Thr}, tRNA^{Phe}, and tRNA^{Gly} in *E. coli* strains (Figure 2B & Supplementary file 1b). The presence of PAIs distributes in similar genomic regions across different pathogens or strains, showing non-random patterns and functionally clustered. Employing the G4Hunter search algorithm, the study identified a total of 225,376 putative G4 sequences in these 89 pathogenic genomes (Supplementary file 1a). The heatmap also showed that the number of G4 structures was diverse in the pathogen genomes (Figure 2C).

Interaction between PAIs and G4 structures in different genomic features

The analysis of G4 structures across all pathogen species demonstrated a positive correlation between the number of G4 structures and the GC content in various genomic features, including the whole genome, gene, promoter, rRNA, and tRNA

regions (Figure 2D). The frequency of G4 structures, measured as the frequency of

predicted G4-forming sequences per 1000 base pairs (bp), also showed a positive

correlation with the GC content across the analyzed genomic elements (Figure 2E). A

G4 score of 1.4 and 1.6 consistently supported a positive correlation between the

number and frequency of G4 structures and the GC content across diverse genomic

features (Figure 2-figure supplement 1). Additionally, this study observed that the GC

contents in the genome region were significantly higher compared to the

corresponding PAIs region that was classified into five parts according to the genome

datasets (Figure 3A-E). Nonetheless, this study noted a unique pattern in the

frequency of G4 structures within diverse regions of the PAIs, particularly in regions

with GC contents less than 30% and greater than 60%.

Putative functions of G4 structures in PAIs

The study used *E. coli* as an example to investigate the potential regulatory role and

function of genes covered by G4 structures in PAIs. *E. coli* contains at least ten types

of PAIs in different strains, and one of the well-known PAIs is LEE (Figure 3F),

harboring genes responsible for causing attaching and effacing lesions (*21, 22*). One

stable G4 structure with a G4Hunter score of 1.6 was identified at position 37,085 in

the LEE PAI of *E. coli* str. O103:H2 12009 (Figure 3G), located between an IS

element and a tRNA insertion site. The tRNA region generally contains a higher G4

frequency compared with transfer-messenger RNA (tmRNA) and rRNA regions in the

bacterial genome (*23*). Interestingly, this G4 structure found in *E. coli* str. O103:H2

12009 was present in close proximity to a tRNA region, suggesting a potential

regulatory role of G4 structures in the tRNA gene, or upstream- and downstream-

genes that are responsible for LEE virulence. Additionally, another stable G4

sequence with a score of 1.381 was discovered at position 12,457 in the *E. coli* str.

CFT073 PAI II to provide more evidence of G4 in PAI regions (Figure 3H).

Functional enrichment analysis was conducted to explore the putative functions of

G4-covered genes in the two *E. coli* strains (Supplementary file 1c & 1d). The results

revealed that the genes covered by G4 structures were predominantly involved in

genetic information processes, including DNA binding, DNA integration, and nucleic

acid metabolism processes (Figure 3I & J).

152 **Discussion**

This study found that the non-random distribution of G4 structures within PAIs across

different bacterial species, signifies a potential regulatory role in bacterial

pathogenicity. The conservation of G4 structures within the same pathogenic strains

suggests a crucial and possibly conserved function in regulating pathogenic traits. The

findings are similar to previous reports that showed that the G4 structures display

uneven distribution patterns in eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes and are conserved

evolutionary groups (*23-25*). To understand the origin of G4 structures within PAIs,

we hypothesized that these G4 sequences could be acquired through three types of

horizontal gene transfer mechanisms: conjugation, transformation, and transduction

(Figure 3K). These mechanisms serve as means for genetic material exchange

between different organisms. Considering the presence of G4 sequences within the

PAIs, it is plausible that these sequences are transferred along with the PAIs through

these horizontal gene transfer mechanisms. Additionally, the presence of G4

structures within the promoter, rRNA, and tRNA regions may have functional

implications for the regulation of DNA replication, ribosome biogenesis, protein

synthesis, and other RNA-related processes (*6, 26, 27*). Throughout evolution, there

seems to be a greater frequency of G4 structures in regulatory genes, such as the

tRNA region, compared to other genes, enabling intricate control of gene expression

in signal transduction pathways (*28*).

The study found that the genomic regions surrounding the PAIs (i.e., core

genome) tend to have a higher GC content than PAI regions, which was consistent

with the fact that PAIs often exhibit distinct base compositions compared with the

core genome (*7*). The variation was explained by the presence of G4 sequences within

the PAIs, whereas the results were surprising. This study observed a distinct pattern in

the frequency of G4 structures within different regions of the PAIs. This differential

distribution of G4 structures suggests that (i) specific genomic segments within the

PAIs may be more prone to induce G4 formation discrepancy; (ii) the variation of

base composition between core genome and PAIs is partially correlated with the

presence of G4 structures; (iii) the frequency of G4 structures in PAIs present stable

as the core genome in the most situation; (iv) an alternative hypothesis, other factors,

such as i-motif (i.e., the anti-G4 structure) and CpG island, may work synergistically

with G4 and potentially contribute the base composition variation (*29, 30*).

Enrichment analysis indicated a predominant involvement of these G4-covered

genes in genetic information processes, encompassing DNA binding, DNA

integration, and nucleic acid metabolism. This suggests that G4 structures may play a

regulatory role in these essential cellular processes, especially gene expression and

DNA-related functions. For instance, G4 structures in the promoter regions of certain

transcription factors may influence their binding affinity to DNA and subsequently

affect downstream gene expression patterns (*31, 32*). These elements frequently

utilize DNA integration mechanisms mediated by integrases, recombinases, or

transposases to transfer or incorporate genetic material into the bacterial genome (*33,

34*). One compelling illustration is a study that identified a 16-base pair cis-acting G4

sequence near the pilin locus in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, demonstrating its pivotal role

in antigenic variation and directing recombination to a specific chromosomal locus

(*20, 35*). Disruption of the G4 structure in this context impeded pilin antigenic

variation and recombination, highlighting its significance in immune evasion

mechanisms. Additionally, considering the distance between G4 structures and the

beginning site of gene (e.g., transcription start site (TSS)) in the analysis of promoter

regions is pivotal for a comprehensive understanding of their regulatory impact on

gene expression (*5*). The spatial proximity to the TSS influences interactions with

regulatory elements, potentially modulating the binding of transcription factors and

RNA polymerase. This spatial relationship affects accessibility, with G4 structures

closer to the TSS potentially acting as direct impediments to transcription initiation.

Acknowledging these spatial nuances would provide crucial insights into the

functional implications of G4 structures in promoters.

Overall, the conserved evolutionary relatedness of PAIs, the detection of stable

G4 structures in specific genomic positions, and the enrichment of G4-covered genes

in genetic information processes collectively support the hypothesis that G4 structures

may have regulatory functions in key biological processes in pathogens. However, it

is important to acknowledge and address certain limitations that could potentially

affect the interpretation of the results. One such limitation is the reliance on genome

sequences obtained from external laboratories and datasets, which introduces a level

of uncertainty regarding the accuracy and completeness. Furthermore, the dynamic

nature of bacterial genomes, including genetic rearrangements and horizontal gene

transfer events, can complicate the accurate assembly and annotation of genome

sequences. Lastly, the stability of G4 structures seems to be important for their

function according to recent evidence (*36*). Hence, exploring the relationship between

G4 stability and function is a valuable and intriguing topic that could provide insights

into the nuanced ways G4 structures contribute to cellular processes and potentially

offer new avenues for therapeutic interventions or molecular engineering. To

overcome these constraints, fostering collaboration among research teams and

participating in data-sharing endeavors becomes imperative to guarantee access to

high-quality genome data for exhaustive analyses. Moreover, it is crucial to interpret

the results with caution and continue refining this understanding through validation

experiments and collaborative efforts.

Methods

Selection and extraction of DNA Sequences

A total of 89 genomes corresponding to the identified pathogens from the Pathogenicity Island Database (PAIDB) were included in the study. The complete bacterial genomic DNA sequences and their corresponding annotation files in .gff and .fna formats were obtained from the Genome database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome). To ensure the reliability and completeness of the dataset, only completely assembled genomes were included in the analysis. To avoid redundancy and incomplete sequences, one representative genome was selected for each species or strain. The selection of representative genomes was based on a careful examination of the supplementary material (Supplementary file 1a) accompanying the study. TBtools (https://cj-chen.github.io/tbtools), a versatile bioinformatics tool with extensive applications in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes (*37, 38*), was employed for extracting genomic sequences. This tool facilitated the retrieval of gene regions, promoters (2 kb upstream of the genes), tRNA regions, and rRNA regions from the selected genomes. PAI regions were downloaded following previously documented information in PAIDB (Supplementary file 1a & 1b). Default thresholds and parameters were applied during extraction to maintain consistency across all genomes.

Data process and detection of G4 structures in genomic features

The G4Hunter algorithm, a widely used tool for G4 prediction, was employed for the

identification of G4 motifs in the genomic sequences (*39*). The G4Hunter parameters

were set to a window size of "25" and a G4 score threshold of 1.2, which ensured the

identification of potential G4 sequences (*23, 40*). The study additionally utilized G4

scores of 1.4 and 1.6 as a means of cross-verification for the results. The study

quantified the predicted number of putative G4-forming sequences within different

genomic features, including the whole genome, gene, promoter, tRNA, rRNA, and

PAI regions. The density of G4 motifs was determined by dividing the number of G4

sequences by the total length of the genome, while the length ratio of G4 motifs was

calculated by dividing the total length of the G4 sequences by the total length of the

genome.

Relationship between G4 structures and PAIs

The heatmap was used to show the distribution of G4 motifs in the genome divided by

ten parts as PAI regions using R package "pheatmap". The correlation between the

number of G4 structures and the GC content was analyzed across various genomic

elements, including the whole genome, gene, promoter, rRNA, and tRNA regions.

The analysis utilized the *R*-squared value (R^2) to determine the fit goodness of the

correlation. The correlation's significance was evaluated through *p*-values along with

a 95% confidence interval. Subsequently, a ROC analysis, yielding an area greater

than 0.90, was employed to quantify sensitivity and specificity. The GC content in the

genome regions and corresponding PAI regions was compared and classified into

different ranges to explore the variation in base composition. GraphPad Prism (V.5.02,

GraphPad Software, Inc) was employed to conduct Normality and Lognormality Tests.

The K-S test and F-test were used to assess normal distribution and variances, and

Student's t-test was used to identify significant differences.

Phylogenetic Tree Construction

The exact Taxonomy ID (taxid) for each analyzed group was obtained from the NCBI

Taxonomy Database using the Taxonomy Browser. The Neighbor-Joining (NJ)

method was employed to construct the phylogenetic trees for the analyzed groups.

The phylogenetic trees were generated using MEGA11 software

(www.megasoftware.net), which offers robust algorithms and comprehensive tools for

phylogenetic analysis. To assess the reliability and statistical support of the

phylogenetic tree branches, bootstrap analysis was performed. One thousand

bootstrap replicates were used to estimate the confidence levels of the branching

patterns in the phylogenetic trees. The phylogenetic trees, along with the bootstrap

support values, were displayed and visualized using the Interactive Tree of Life

(ITOL) platform (https://itol.embl.de/).

Gene functional annotation

The gene sequences covered by G4 structures within PAIs were subjected to gene

ontology (GO) annotation (https://geneontology.org/). The gene sequences were

translated into protein sequences using the Expasy online toolkit

(https://web.expasy.org/translate/). This tool performs the translation based on the

standard genetic code, converting the DNA nucleotide sequence into its

corresponding amino acid sequence. The GO annotation database assigned GO terms

to the protein sequences based on their predicted functions and known biological

process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular component (CC). Fisher's exact

test was employed to determine the statistical significance of the enrichment results.

The obtained p-values indicated the overrepresentation of specific GO terms, with

lower p-values suggesting higher significance.

Statistics and reproducibility

All genomic data utilized in this study, including the species-specific datasets, were

obtained from publicly available sources. Statistical analyses, such as the Kruskal-

Wallis test, F test, Student's t-test, Wilcoxon test, and chi-square test, were performed

using GraphPad Prism software. The samples used in the statistical analyses

corresponded to the genomic data, PAIs, or specific genes under investigation.

Acknowledgment

The sincere appreciation extends to Dr. Sung Ho Yoon and his colleagues for their

dedicated efforts in identifying PAIs and establishing the Pathogenicity Island

Database for public analysis. Their commitment to advancing the field of pathogen

genomics has greatly facilitated this research. This study would like to thank Dr.

Jingjing Li (Zhejiang University) and Dr. Mingyu Zhou (Sun Yat-Sen University) for

their insightful suggestions and constructive comments regarding the exploration of

G4 structures in genomes. Their expertise and guidance have significantly enriched

the understanding of the potential roles and implications of G4 structures in the

context of PAIs.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding information

No external funding was received for this work.

Credit author statement

Bo Lyu: writing original draft, data analysis, and visualization.

Qisheng Song: writing original draft-reviewing and supervision.

Ethical approval

Ethical review and approval were not required for this study.

Availability of data and materials

The original reported PAIs datasets analyzed in this study are available from the publication with the DOI: 10.1093/nar/gku985. Additionally, supplementary materials accompanying this article provide further PAIs data analyzed in the study. These supplementary materials contain detailed information and datasets that support the findings and conclusions presented in this research. Researchers and interested individuals can access supplementary materials to explore and validate the results obtained in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

1.   J. D. Watson, F. Crick, A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid.
Nature **171***,* 737-738 (1953).

2.   D. Rhodes, H. J. Lipps, G-quadruplexes and their regulatory roles in
biology. *Nucleic acids research* **43**, 8627-8637 (2015).

3.   J. Spiegel, S. Adhikari, S. Balasubramanian, The structure and
function of DNA G-quadruplexes. *Trends in Chemistry* **2**, 123-136 (2020).

4.   O. Stegle, L. Payet, J.-L. Mergny, D. J. MacKay, J. L. Huppert,
Predicting and understanding the stability of G-quadruplexes. *Bioinformatics*
25, i374-i1382 (2009).

5.   J. L. Huppert, Structure, location and interactions of G-quadruplexes.
The FEBS journal **277**, 3452-3458 (2010).

6.   B. Zybailov, M. Sherpa, G. Glazko, K. Raney, V. Glazko, G4-
quadruplexes and genome instability. *Molecular Biology* **47**, 197-204 (2013).

7.   H. Schmidt, M. Hensel, Pathogenicity islands in bacterial
pathogenesis. *Clinical microbiology reviews* **17**, 14-56 (2004).

8.   E. A. Groisman, H. Ochman, Pathogenicity islands: bacterial
evolution in quantum leaps. *Cell* **87**, 791-794 (1996).

9.   J. Hacker, J. B. Kaper, Pathogenicity islands and the evolution of
microbes. *Annual Reviews in Microbiology* **54**, 641-679 (2000).

10. J. A. Kers *et al.*, A large, mobile pathogenicity island confers plant
pathogenicity on *Streptomyces* species. *Molecular Microbiology* **55**, 1025-
1033 (2005).

11. S. Kombade, N. Kaur, Pathogenicity island in *Salmonella spp.*-A
Global Challenge. (IntechOpen, 2021).

12. E. Carniel, The *Yersinia* high-pathogenicity island. *International*
Microbiology **2**, 161-167 (1999).

13. M. Syvanen, Evolutionary implications of horizontal gene transfer.
Annual Review of Genetics **46**, 341-358 (2012).

14. J. Chen *et al.*, Intra- and inter-generic transfer of pathogenicity
island-encoded virulence genes by cos phages. *The ISME Journal* **9**, 1260-
1263 (2015).

15. S. Frees, C. Menendez, M. Crum, P. S. Bagga, QGRS-Conserve: a

computational method for discovering evolutionarily conserved G-quadruplex motifs. *Human Genomics* **8**, 8 (2014).

16. S. L. König, A. C. Evans, J. L. Huppert, Seven essential questions on G-quadruplexes. *Biomolecular Concepts* **1**, 197-213 (2010).

17. P. Yadav *et al.*, G-quadruplex structures in bacteria: Biological relevance and potential as an antimicrobial target. *Journal of Bacteriology* **203**, 10.1128/jb. 00577-00520 (2021).

18. L. M. Harris, C. J. Merrick, G-quadruplexes in pathogens: a common route to virulence control? *PLoS Pathogens* **11**, e1004562 (2015).

19. D. Varshney, J. Spiegel, K. Zyner, D. Tannahill, S. Balasubramanian, The regulation and functions of DNA and RNA G-quadruplexes. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **21**, 459-474 (2020).

20. L. A. Cahoon, H. S. Seifert, An alternative DNA structure is necessary for pilin antigenic variation in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Science* **325**, 764-767 (2009).

21. F. M. Franzin, M. P. Sircili, Locus of enterocyte effacement: a pathogenicity island involved in the virulence of enteropathogenic and enterohemorragic *Escherichia coli* subjected to a complex network of gene regulation. *BioMed Research International* **2015**, 534738 (2015).

22. J. Jores, L. Rumer, L. H. Wieler, Impact of the locus of enterocyte effacement pathogenicity island on the evolution of pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* **294**, 103-113 (2004).

23. M. Bartas *et al.*, The presence and localization of G-quadruplex forming sequences in the domain of bacteria. *Molecules* **24**, 1711 (2019).

24. Z. Du, Y. Zhao, N. Li, Genome-wide colonization of gene regulatory elements by G4 DNA motifs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37**, 6784-6798 (2009).

25. E. P. Lombardi, A. Londoño-Vallejo, A. Nicolas, Relationship between G-quadruplex sequence composition in viruses and their hosts. *Molecules* **24**, 1942 (2019).

26. P. Ivanov *et al.*, G-quadruplex structures contribute to the neuroprotective effects of angiogenin-induced tRNA fragments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 18201-18206 (2014).

27. S. Mestre-Fos *et al.*, G-quadruplexes in human ribosomal RNA.
Journal of Molecular Biology **431**, 1940-1955 (2019).

28. F. Wu *et al.*, Genome-wide analysis of DNA G-quadruplex motifs
across 37 species provides insights into G4 evolution. *Communications*
Biology **4**, 98 (2021).

29. A. M. Deaton, A. Bird, CpG islands and the regulation of
transcription. *Genes Dev* **25**, 1010-1022 (2011).

30. N. Sushmita *et al.*, I-motif DNA: significance and future prospective.
Exploratory Animal and Medical Research **10**, 18-23 (2020).

31. K. Niu *et al.*, BmILF and i-motif structure are involved in
transcriptional regulation of BmPOUM2 in *Bombyx mori*. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **46**, 1710-1723 (2018).

32. L. Xiang *et al.*, DNA G-quadruplex structure participates in
regulation of lipid metabolism through acyl-CoA binding protein. *Nucleic*
Acids Research **50**, 6953-6967 (2022).

33. I. R. Arkhipova, P. A. Rice, Mobile genetic elements: in silico, in
vitro, in vivo. *Mol Ecol* **25**, 1027-1031 (2016).

34. R. A. Wozniak, M. K. Waldor, Integrative and conjugative elements:
mosaic mobile genetic elements enabling dynamic lateral gene flow. *Nature*
Reviews Microbiology **8**, 552-563 (2010).

35. L. A. Cahoon, H. S. Seifert, Transcription of a cis-acting, noncoding,
small RNA is required for pilin antigenic variation in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*.
PLoS Pathogens **9**, e1003074 (2013).

36. M. Jara-Espejo, S. R. Line, DNA G-quadruplex stability, position
and chromatin accessibility are associated with CpG island methylation. *The*
FEBS journal **287**, 483-495 (2020).

37. C. Chen *et al.*, TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for
interactive analyses of big biological data. *Molecular Plant* **13**, 1194-1202
(2020).

38. C. Chen *et al.*, TBtools-II: A "one for all, all for one" bioinformatics
platform for biological big-data mining. *Molecular Plant* **16**, 1733-1742
(2023).

39. V. Brázda *et al.*, G4Hunter web application: a web server for G-

quadruplex prediction. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 3493-3495 (2019).

40. V. Brázda *et al.*, G-Quadruplexes in the archaea domain.
Biomolecules **10**, 1349 (2020).

Figure caption

Figure 1. Structural and Functional Aspects of G-quadruplex (G4) Structures and Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs). (A) Schematic representation of a guanine tetrad stabilized by Hoogsten base pairing and a positively charged central ion, illustrating the key elements of G4 structures. (B) Structural heterogeneity of G4 structures. G4 structures exhibit polymorphism and can be categorized into different families, such as parallel or antiparallel, based on the orientation of the DNA strands. They can fold either intramolecularly or intermolecularly, leading to diverse structural configurations. (C) General sequence formula for G4, highlighting the repeated occurrence of guanine-rich sequences that form G4 structures. (D) Regulatory roles of G4 in transcription. G4 can regulate transcription by blocking RNA polymerase from binding to promoter sequences or aiding in single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) formation, thereby enhancing transcription. (E) General structure of PAI. PAIs are characteristic regions of DNA found within the genomes of pathogenic bacteria, distinguishing them from nonpathogenic strains of the same or related species. Repeat sequences are DNA segments duplicated within the PAI and can serve as recognition sites for various enzymes involved in the integration and excision of the PAI from the bacterial chromosome. tRNA genes act as anchor points for the insertion of foreign DNA acquired through horizontal gene transfer. Virulence genes encode proteins or factors that play crucial roles in the virulence and pathogenicity of the bacterium, contributing to adhesion, invasion, immune evasion, toxin production, or other pathogenic mechanisms. Insertion elements include transposons, bacteriophages, or

plasmids, enabling the PAI to be transferred between bacterial cells and potentially

disseminated to different strains or species.

Figure 2. Analysis of Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs) and G-quadruplexes (G4) in

Pathogen Genomes. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of pathogen genomes based on 89

bacterial strains, showing the evolutionary relationships among species. Additional

genomic information, including genome size, GC content, rRNA density, tRNA

density, and PAI length, is provided. The same color indicates the same species. (B)

Genomic location of specific PAIs in bacterial genomes, divided into ten regions.

PAIs are represented by green triangles, and their names are indicated. The tRNA

insertion sites are also marked. (C) Heatmap illustrating the relative abundance of G4

structures in bacterial genomes, divided into ten regions. Red indicates a higher

relative abundance, while blue indicates a lower relative abundance. (D & E)

Correlation analysis between the number of G4 structures, the frequency of G4

structures and GC content in various genomic features, including the whole genome,

genes, promoters, rRNA, and tRNA.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Correlation analysis between the number of G4s, the

frequency of G4s and GC content in various genomic features, including the whole

genome, genes, promoters, rRNA, and tRNA, using G4 scores of 1.4 and 1.6.

Figure 3. Comparison and Functional Annotation of G-quadruplexes (G4) within

Pathogenicity Islands (PAIs). (A-E) Comparison of GC content (left panel) and GC

frequency (right panel) between the genome and PAIs, categorized into five regions

(20%-30%, 30%-40%, 40%-50%, 50%-60%, and 60%-70%). */**/***/**** indicates

significant difference ($p < 0.05/0.01/0.001/0.0001$). (F) Evolutionary relatedness of 10

types of PAIs (categorized into six main categories) in *E. coli* strains. (G & H)

Examples of G4 structures within PAIs in *E. coli* strains. The grey bar represents the

virulence region, the red box indicates a virulence gene, the blue box represents an

insertion site region or repeat, the green box denotes an integrase, the purple triangle

indicates a tRNA insertion site, and the yellow triangle indicates an effector. (I &J)

Functional annotation analysis of G4-covered genes within PAIs in two *E. coli* strains,

including biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function

(MF) categories. (K) Hypotheses on the origin of G4 structures within PAIs,

involving gene horizontal transfer mechanisms (conjugation, transduction, and

transformation).

Supplementary File 1

Supplementary File 1a. List of 89 bacterial species or strains within the same species

and the number of G4s within their genomic features.

Supplementary File 1b. List of PAIs reported to be present in genome sequences and

the number of G4s within PAIs.

Supplementary File 1c. Functional annotations for *E. coli* strain 1.

Supplementary File 1d. Functional annotations for *E. coli* strain 2.

A

C H N O R
····· H-bond   ····· Coordination bond

G G
G G

K⁺

B

C

$G_{\geq 3}N_xG_{\geq 3}N_xG_{\geq 3}N_xG_{\geq 3}$

K⁺

G G G
G G G
G G G
G G G

D

Regulator

Ribosome

E

PAI

%G+C

No. nucleotides

Core genome | Repeat | tRNA | Integrase gene | Virulence gene1 | Virulence gene2 | Virulence gene3 | Virulence gene4 | IS element | Core genome

Threshold score > 1.4

Threshold score > 1.6