1 **TITLE PAGE**
2
3 **Complete Title**
4 We see what we hear: dissonant music engages early visual processing.
5
6 **Short running title:**
7 Primary visual cortex response to tonal dissonance.
8

9 **Author names and affiliation**
10
11 Fernando Bravo[a,b,c*], Jana Glogowski[d], Emmanuel Andreas Stamatakis[b], Kristina Herfert[a]
12
[a] University of Tuebingen. Department of Preclinical Imaging and Radiopharmacy. (Germany,
Tuebingen)
[b] University of Cambridge. Cognition and Consciousness Imaging Group, Division of Anaesthesia,
Department of Medicine (UK, Cambridge).
[c] TU Dresden. Institut für Kunst- und Musikwissenschaft (Germany, Dresden).
[d] Humboldt-Universitä t zu Berlin. Department of Psychology (Germany, Berlin).
19
20 ***Corresponding author contact details**
21 Dr. Fernando Bravo
22
Address:
University of Tuebingen. Department of Preclinical Imaging and Radiopharmacy.
Werner Siemens Imaging Center
Röntgenweg 13, 72076 Tübingen
Germany
Email: nanobravo@fulbrightmail.org
Telephone: +4917626699400

30
31
32
33

34 # We see what we hear: dissonant music engages early visual processing.
35

36 **Authors:** F. Bravo[a,b,c*], J. Glogowski[d], E.A. Stamatakis[b], K. Herfert[a]
37

Affiliations:

[a] University of Tübingen. Department of Preclinical Imaging and Radiopharmacy. (Germany, Tuebingen)
[b] University of Cambridge. Cognition and Consciousness Imaging Group, Division of Anaesthesia, Department of
Medicine (UK, Cambridge).
[c] TU Dresden. Institut für Kunst- und Musikwissenschaft (Germany, Dresden).
[d] Humboldt-Universitat zu Berlin. Department of Psychology (Germany, Berlin).
44
45

*Correspondence to: nanobravo@fulbrightmail.org

Abstract. The neuroscientific examination of music processing in audiovisual contexts offers a valuable framework to
assess how auditory information influences the emotional processing of visual information. Using fMRI during
naturalistic film viewing, we investigated the neural mechanisms underlying music's effect on valence inferences
during mental state attribution. Participants (n=38) watched a short-film accompanied by systemically controlled music,
varying in tonal consonance/dissonance level. Increasing levels of dissonance induced more negatively-valenced
inferences. Whole-brain analysis revealed significant signal changes in the primary visual cortex (V1) whilst
participants watched the film with dissonant music. Psychophysiological interaction analysis (PPI) showed strong
coupling between the auditory ventral stream (right middle posterior temporal gyrus; rmPTG) and V1 in response to
dissonance. Effective connectivity analysis demonstrated that musical dissonance modulated visual processing via top-
down feedback inputs from the rmPTG to V1. These V1 signal changes indicate the influence of high-level contextual
representations associated with tonal dissonance on early visual cortices, serving to facilitate the emotional
interpretation of visual information. The findings substantiate the critical role of audio-visual integration in shaping
higher-order functions such as social cognition.

Significance statement:
The present study shows that musical dissonance affects the interaction between the auditory ventral pathway and the
primary visual cortex (V1), extending V1's role beyond visual perception. These findings suggest that the auditory
ventral stream plays a role in assigning meaning to non-verbal sound cues, such as dissonant music conveying negative
emotions, providing an interpretative framework that serves to organize the audio-visual experience.
65
Keywords. fMRI, Primary Visual Cortex, Auditory Ventral Pathway, Posterior Temporal Gyrus, Dissonance, Music.

Introduction

We share with our ancestors the ability to identify other's intentions based on the available sensory
information. The concept of neocortical operations being multisensory is widely accepted and emphasizes
the importance of integration in sensory processing (Ghazanfar & Schroeder, 2006). This distributive aspect
of sensory processing not only grants evolutionary advantages, such as prompt reactions to threats, but also
serves to reduce uncertainty derived from individual sensory estimates and to endure brain damage or
sensory loss (van Atteveldt et al., 2014).
Previous research on audio-visual integration in humans has primarily relied on task-based paradigms
employing simple stimuli (e.g., checkerboards or flashes as visual stimuli, and tones or noises as auditory
information) [for a review see, (Murray et al., 2016)], limiting the generalizability of findings to complex,
real-life situations (Hari et al., 2015; Khosla et al., 2021; Varoquaux & Poldrack, 2019). Functional magnetic
resonance imaging (fMRI) experiments during naturalistic film viewing provide an alternative approach,

enabling the study of audio-visual integration in ecologically valid contexts and facilitating investigations
into high-level processes, such as social cognition (Finn, 2021).

During film viewing, we effortlessly recognise and follow the mental states of onscreen characters, a process
associated with theory-of-mind function (Abell et al., 2000; Castelli et al., 2000; Saxe & Wexler, 2005).
However, this seemingly effortless task can be complex, especially when visual cues are ambiguous. In these
cases, viewers strongly rely on contextual cues, such as the accompanying music, to aid their comprehension
(Boltz, 2001; Cohen, 2005, 2013). When integrated into a visual context such as film, music's influence goes
beyond mirroring a meaning portrayed by the visual images (Chion, 1994; Pudovkin, 1929). It can shape our
experience of the film's narrative, affecting perceptual judgements, emotion and memory of the events
(Bolivar et al., 1994; Boltz, 2001; Boltz et al., 1991; Bullerjahn & Güldenring, 1994; Cohen, 2001, 2005,
2013; Hoeckner et al., 2011; Sirius & Clarke, 1994; Tan et al., 2007).

Despite the significant impact of music on film, there is limited neuroscientific research investigating the
joint processing of music and visual information. Previous studies have shown that the combined
presentation of music and visual information increases activation in brain structures associated to emotion
(Baumgartner et al., 2006; Eldar et al., 2007). However, the lack of control over specific musical variables in
these studies has made it challenging to determine how such features modulate brain function.

This study employed fMRI during naturalistic film viewing to examine the neural mechanisms underlying
our emotional responses to musical dissonance (Bigand et al., 1996; Bigand & Parncutt, 1999; Lerdahl &
Krumhansl, 2007). Although one of the most common harmonic manipulations in film music, systematically
controlled transformations of tonal dissonance have not yet been neuroscientifically studied in regard to
affective processing biases of visual contexts. By strictly controlling musical variables such as instrumental
timbre, dynamics, rhythm, textural density and melodic contour, we aimed to investigate the effects of tonal
dissonance on the neural processing of emotional valence during mental state inferences (Van Overwalle,
2009; Van Overwalle et al., 2009). Specifically, we focused on valence judgments during mental state
attribution to the main character in an animated short film (see methods, Figure 7).

The assumption was that although participants would watch the same visual scene, tonal dissonance would
bias their interpretative framework (Boltz, 2001) leading to more negatively-valenced mental state
inferences. Furthermore, we predicted that early visual processing would interact with higher-level auditory
association areas, indicating the influence of music on the visual experience. Accordingly, we hypothesised
that the auditory ventral stream (Belin et al., 2000; Hickok & Poeppel, 2000; Kaas & Hackett, 1999), a brain
pathway involved in mapping contextual sound cues to semantic associated attributes, would modulate low-
level visual encoding via feedback projections.

To test our hypotheses, we designed an experimental paradigm that instructed participants to ascribe mental
states to the main character in the film clip. By investigating the recruitment of theory-of-mind neural
substrates, the influence of tonal dissonance on mental state inferences, the interaction between early visual
processes and sound-to-meaning systems, and the potential impact of top-down contextual influences on
visual encoding in V1, we aimed to uncover the neural underpinnings of our emotional responses to tonal
dissonance in film.

Results

Behavioural

Impact of tonal consonance/dissonance on valence judgements.

During the present fMRI study, thirty-eight subjects watched the same short-film with controlled consonant
or dissonant music, in randomized order. Prior to the start of the audio-visual film clip subjects were
instructed to "*Think about the intentions of the main character in the following film clip*". Following the clip
participants were asked to rate the valence of the movie character's intentions on a scale ranging from one
(good intentions) to four (bad intentions). Two control conditions were included; i) visual-only category (i.e.,

film clip with no soundtrack but same instruction as above) and, ii) film clip with an instruction to describe
the "physical" appearance of the character, to control for multimodal sensory processing, working memory
and attentional demands of the task, without cueing subjects to attend specifically to mental states (see
methods and Figure 7 for details).

Behavioural results revealed significant differences between the consonant, dissonant and visual-only
conditions (Table 1). A repeated measures MANOVA showed a significant effect of condition on the
judgements of valence regarding the character's intentions (*Wilks' Lambda = 0.509, F* $_{(2, 36)}$ = 17.348, *p* <
0.001). [The data did not violate the sphericity assumption; Mauchly's test of sphericity was not significant
(*p* = 0.345)]. Post hoc tests (Bonferroni corrected) indicated that the average valence rating for the dissonant
condition was significantly more negative than the valence rating for the consonant condition (*p* < 0.001, *d* =
0.895) and the visual alone condition (*p* = 0.013, *d* = 0.368). There was also a significant difference in
valence ratings between the consonant and the visual alone conditions (*p* < 0.001, *d* = 0.526). Concretely,
subjects ascribed significantly more negative (positive) intentions to the character on-screen when the film
clip was paired with dissonant (consonant) music. Polynomial contrasts on the mean ratings for the three
categories indicated a significant linear trend (*F* $_{(1, 5.263)}$ = 15.289, *p* < 0.001), dissonance > visual alone >
consonance (Table 1).

The results support previous research that has examined the affective reactions induced by
consonance/dissonance (Blood et al., 1999; Costa et al., 2000; Fritz et al., 2009, 2013; Koelsch et al., 2006;
Plomp & Levelt, 1965; Trainor & Heinmiller, 1998); and, specifically converge with studies assessing the
impact of consonance/dissonance on valence judgements during mental state attribution, in which increasing
levels of dissonance induce more negatively-valenced inferences (Bravo, 2013; Bravo, Cross, Hawkins, et
al., 2017; Bravo, Cross, Stamatakis, et al., 2017).

Table 1. Valence means with standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals for each condition (consonance: movie with
consonant music; dissonance: movie with dissonant music, visual alone: movie without music). The range for valence ratings was
one (good intentions) to four (bad intentions).

Valence ratings for the dissonant, consonant and visual alone conditions			
Condition	Mean	Std. deviation	95% CI (adjusted)
Dissonance	3.052	0.804	[2.892, 3.213]
Visual Alone	2.684	0.620	[2.543, 2.825]
Consonance	2.157	0.973	[1.984, 2.332]

Functional MRI

BOLD signal changes – subtractive analysis

Effects of the theory-of-mind task compared with the control condition (physical appearance).

The comparison between the audio-visual theory-of-mind (ToM) task *(i.e., "think about the intentions of the*
main character") against the audio-visual control condition ("*focus on the physical appearance of the main*
character") evidenced signal changes in bilateral supramarginal and inferior parietal gyrus (Table 2A,
Figure 1d). The results are in line with previous studies that have assessed the neural systems supporting
implicit non-verbal ToM encoding (Grosse Wiesmann et al., 2020) and corroborate that our task engaged
mental state inference processing (Van Overwalle, 2009; Van Overwalle et al., 2009).

Effects of music (in an audio-visual context) compared to visual alone.

To establish the general effects of music compared to visual (alone) information, we contrasted consonance
> visual alone and dissonance > visual alone. These comparisons showed similar patterns of brain response
in primary and secondary auditory cortices (AC: superior temporal gyrus, Heschl's gyrus) bilaterally (Table
2A, Figure 1a,b). These findings converge with previous evidence from studies that have employed music
listening paradigms containing harmonized chord progressions (Brown et al., 2004; Menon, 2002; Ohnishi et

al., 2001), in which signal changes were observed bilaterally in primary and secondary AC when comparing
sound conditions against no-sound (or silent) conditions. The contrast between dissonance > visual alone
unveiled additional activations in the visual cortex (inferior, middle, superior occipital gyrus and bilateral
calcarine cortices), which we interpret as effects driven by increased tonal dissonance, and not by sound
information per se (see following subsection).

Effects of musical dissonance compared to musical consonance within an audiovisual context.

The contrast of interest, dissonance > consonance, revealed significant signal changes in the visual cortex
(bilateral middle and superior occipital gyrus, left inferior occipital gyrus), including the primary visual
cortex (bilateral calcarine cortex) (Table 2A, Figure 1c). The opposite contrast (consonance > dissonance)
did not show any suprathreshold signal changes. Given the experimental design, showing identical visual
information in both conditions, the results substantiate our prediction of early visual systems modulated by
contextual sound cues and indicate a role of the visual system beyond visual recognition (Bullier, 2001;
Gilbert & Li, 2013; Petro et al., 2017). To our knowledge this is the first report of activations encompassing
early visual cortices (V1) modulated by musical information with systematically controlled manipulations of
tonal consonance/dissonance level.

Functional connectivity

Psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis

The function of the primary visual cortex in visual recognition is known to be strongly modulated by
multisensory information (De Meo et al., 2015; Ghazanfar et al., 2005; Murray et al., 2016; van Atteveldt et
al., 2014). In particular, auditory feedback signals are the largest contributor (Murray et al., 2016; Shams et
al., 2000). To elucidate further why dissonance recruited additional regions and to examine potential
neuromodulatory influences on early visual processing, we measured functional connectivity with PPI
(Friston et al., 1997). A PPI analysis identifies voxels that increase their relationship with a seed region of
interest (ROI) in a given psychological context, such as when participants watch the film with dissonant
compared to consonant music. Seeds ROIs (spheres with a 6 mm radius) were defined around the highest
activated peak for each subject in the left middle occipital gyrus and in the right calcarine cortex, based on
the significantly activated clusters for the *contrast dissonance > consonance*. The results (displayed in Table
2B, Figure 2) showed that, during the dissonant condition (compared with the consonant condition), a cluster
with peak in the right middle (extending to the right inferior) posterior temporal gyrus exhibited stronger
functional connectivity with the right calcarine cortex and with the left middle occipital gyrus, indicating that
tonal dissonance modulated the connection between early visual encoding and the ventral auditory system
[represented by the right posterior middle temporal gyrus; (Belin et al., 2000; Kaas & Hackett, 1999;
Rauschecker, 1998; Romanski et al., 1999)], which is known to serve as a sound-to-meaning interface,
mapping sound-based cues (e.g., distinct levels of dissonance) to their semantic associated attributes (e.g.,
emotional valence).

Dynamic Causal Modeling (DCM) analysis (effective connectivity)

To further examine the causal flow of information between visual processing and the ventral auditory system
and, specifically, to assess whether dissonance engages top-down or bottom-up interactions, we carried out
an effective connectivity analysis using dynamic causal modelling (DCM) (Friston, 2003). We hypothesized
that distinct dissonance/consonance levels would exert differential influences on early visual processing
through feedback projections from the ventral auditory stream (Hickok & Poeppel, 2007). To test this
hypothesis, DCM analysis was conducted for each subject on two ROIs: i) the left middle occipital gyrus
(lMOG), which showed the strongest activation in the subtractive analysis *dissonance > consonance;* and *ii)*
the right middle temporal gyrus (rMTG), which exhibited the most robust functional coupling with the
lMOG (see methods for detailed information). The modulatory effect of interest was the dissonance

condition, since this category appeared to strongly modulate the functional interaction (PPI) between the aforementioned areas. We modelled the direction of interaction. For each subject, three models were defined. Model 1 specified a connection from the rMTG to the lMOG (hypothesized). Model 2 specified a connection from the lMOG to the rMTG. Model 3 specified bidirectional connections between both regions. Model 1, in which the left middle occipital gyrus received information from the right middle temporal gyrus obtained the most evidence. Bayesian model averaging further indicated a negative modulation effect of dissonance (-0.0002) on the connection from the rMTG to the lMOG that changed the strength of the intrinsic connection (0.0189) (Table 2C). The results are consistent with a role of feedback signals being sent from the auditory ventral stream to the early visual cortex, modulated by increased tonal dissonance.

Table 2. (A) fMRI Results (at threshold $p < 0.001$ voxel-level uncorrected, $p < 0.05$ cluster-level FWE-corrected) of group General Linear Model for the contrasts: dissonance > consonance, consonance > dissonance, consonance > visual alone, dissonance > visual alone, and audiovisual task (Theory of Mind) > audiovisual control (Physical appearance). (B) Results of group psychophysiological interactions analysis (PPI) with seed voxels (spheres with a 6 mm radius) located around highest peak for each subject within the right calcarine cortex and within the left middle occipital gyrus (contrast dissonance > consonance). The regions described showed stronger positive functional connectivity with either of these regions during the dissonant condition compared to the consonant condition. (C) Dynamic Causal Modeling (DCM) Bayesian model selection (BMS) results: Conditional probability (expected posterior probability representing the probability of a model given the observed data) and exceedance probability (probability compared with other tested models). Model 1 specified a connection from the rMTG to the lMOG (hypothesized). Model 2 specified a connection from the lMOG to the rMTG. Model 3 specified bidirectional connections between both regions. Model 1 obtained the most evidence. Abbreviations: L: left; R: right; TPJ: Temporo-parietal junction: supramarginal gyrus, angular gyrus and superior temporal gyrus; mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex, ACC, anterior cingulate cortex Cl.: areas integrating the above detailed cluster-level p-value.

Region	Cluster Peak MNI	Voxels	Max t-value (z-value)	Mean t (std.)	p-value (FWE)
(A) Subtractive analysis					
Dissonance > Consonance					
Occipital Mid L	-15 -94 8	78	5.70 (4.80)	4.05 (0.47)	< 0.0001
Occipital Sup L		17		4.07 (0.64)	Cl.
Occipital Inf L		15		4.23 (0.58)	Cl.
Lingual L		8		3.82 (0.49)	Cl.
Calcarine L		5		3.85 (0.19)	Cl.
Calcarine R	12 -94 8	37	5.51 (4.80)	3.72 (0.33)	< 0.037
Cuneus R		5		4.72 (0.81)	Cl.
Occipital Sup R		5		3.79 (0.45)	Cl.
Occipital Mid R		4		3.60 (0.16)	Cl.
Consonance > Dissonance					
(No suprathreshold activations were observed)					
Consonance > Visual Alone					
Temporal Sup R	54 -16 5	237	8.31 (6.21)	4.76 (1.05)	< 0.0001
Heschl R		44		4.69 (1.18)	cl.
Temporal Sup L	-48 -25 8	177	7.05 (5.58)	4.58 (0.87)	< 0.0001
Heschl L		25		5.02 (1.09)	cl.
Dissonance > Visual Alone					
Temporal Sup L	-48 -16 5	296	8.54 (6.31)	4.74 (0.99)	< 0.0001
Heschl L		42		5.29 (1.34)	cl.
Temporal Sup R	60 -13 5	399	8.14 (6.12)	4.78 (1.00)	< 0.0001
Heschl R		56		5.19 (1.01)	cl.
Occipital Inf L	-33 -88 -10	96	6.76 (5.42)	4.34 (0.74)	< 0.0001
Occipital Mid L		86		4.33 (0.81)	cl.
Occipital Sup L		10		3.78 (0.28)	cl.
Calcarine L		3		4.53 (0.20)	cl.
Precentral L	-39 -7 62	144	6.63 (5.35)	4.20 (0.74)	< 0.0001
Supp Motor Area L		84		3.93 (0.51)	cl.
Occipital Inf R	45 -73 -7	38	5.47 (4.65)	3.81 (0.37)	< 0.0001
Calcarine R		37		3.68 (0.29)	cl.
Occipital Mid R		20		3.58 (0.20)	cl.
Occipital Sup R		2		3.72 (0.06)	cl.
Parietal Sup L	-30 -52 53	69	5.32 (4.56)	3.79 (0.37)	< 0.0001
Parietal Inf L		30		4.13 (0.46)	cl.
Frontal Inf Tri L	-42 17 26	34	5.01 (4.38)	3.94 (0.44)	0.006
Frontal Inf Oper L		10		3.53 (0.18)	cl.
Insula L		5		3.76 (0.37)	cl.
Frontal Inf Tri R	54 32 11	52	4.82 (4.22)	3.74 (0.36)	0.008
Frontal Inf Oper R		6		3.95 (0.47)	cl.
Insula R		1		3.35 (-)	cl.
Mid Prefrontal R	27 41 20	17	4.79 (4.20)	3.75 (0.43)	< 0.0001
Cingulum Ant R		13		3.88 (0.34)	cl.

Task (Theory of Mind) > Control (Physical appearance) - ROI analysis: TPJ, mPFC, ACC

Parietal Inf L	-57 -28 29	100	6.36 (5.20)	4.17 (058)	< 0.0001
SupraMarginal L		43		4.16 (0.72)	cl.
Postcentral L		19		3.92 (0.40)	cl.
Parietal_Inf_R	33 -37 41	25	5.44 (4.63)	3.90 (0.38)	< 0.0001
SupraMarginal_R		10		4.39 (0.55)	cl.
Postcentral R		9		4.02 (0.29)	cl.

(B) Functional connectivity analysis (PPI)

Seed region (6 mm radius sphere) located around highest peak for each subject within the **right Calcarine**
PPI – dissonance > consonance

Temporal Mid R	51 -70 -4	182	7.86 (5.99)	4.45 (0.92)	< 0.0001
Temporal Inf R		81		4.76 (1.09)	cl.
Occipital Mid R		27		4.39 (0.83)	cl.
Occipital Mid L	-42 -76 -1	94	5.40 (4.61)	4.16 (0.56)	< 0.0001
Temporal Mid L		60		4.05 (0.55)	cl.
Temporal Inf L		3		3.59 (0.21)	cl.

Seed region (6 mm radius sphere) located around highest peak for each subject within the **left middle Occipital**
PPI – dissonance > consonance

Temporal Mid R	51 -70 -1	88	6.33 (5.18)	4.29 (0.82)	< 0.0001
Temporal Inf R		27		4.01 (0.54)	cl.
Occipital Mid R		9		4.15 (0.50)	cl.
Occipital Mid L	-48 -76 5	62	5.77 (4.84)	4.18 (0.64)	< 0.0001
Temporal Mid L		60		3.96 (0.55)	cl.
Temporal Inf L		3		3.79 (0.17)	cl.

(C) Effective connectivity analysis (DCM)	Expected $s_k|Y$	Exceedance ψ_k
DCM – BMS (Effects of dissonance) Models		
1) right middle temporal gyrus → left middle occipital gyrus	0.6874	0.9104
2) left middle occipital gyrus → right middle temporal gyrus	0.1499	0.0350
3) Bidirectional	0.1627	0.0546

278
Fig. 1. Effects of tonal dissonance and theory-of-mind function during naturalistic film-viewing. fMRI results (FWE-corrected *p* <
0.05 for cluster-level inference). Coloured areas (red) reflect statistical parametric maps (SPM) superimposed onto a standard brain in
stereotactic MNI space. a) consonance > visual alone and b) dissonance > visual alone: similar patterns of brain response were
observed bilaterally in primary and secondary auditory cortices including Heschl's gyri. The contrast dissonance > visual alone

283 further evidenced signal changes in occipital areas. c) Statistical parametric maps showing voxels in the middle occipital gyrus and
284 calcarine cortex in which the response was higher during the dissonant compared to consonant condition. d) Statistical parametric
285 maps showing activation in supramarginal and inferior parietal gyrus (bilaterally) for the comparison between ToM > control
286 conditions.
287

288
289
Fig. 2. Functional connectivity modulated by tonal dissonance. fMRI results (FWE-corrected *p* < 0.05 for cluster-level inference)
Psycho-physiological interaction analysis (PPI). Blue colour identifies voxels in the middle (extending to inferior) posterior temporal
gyrus, which exhibited stronger functional connectivity with the primary visual cortex (PPI seed regions were 6mm spheres around
the highest activated peak for each subject within the right calcarine cortex and the left middle occipital gyrus, for the contrast
dissonance > consonance).

Discussion

Impact of tonal consonance/dissonance on valence judgements during social cognition processing.

The present study aimed to investigate the cognitive and neural mechanisms underlying music's effect on
valence inferences during mental state attribution. To assess the capacity of our task to recruit mentalizing
neural substrates we compared the audio-visual *theory-of-mind* condition with the audio-visual *control*
condition (focused on physical appearance). This comparison revealed signal changes in bilateral
supramarginal and inferior parietal gyrus; areas supporting implicit non-verbal ToM reasoning (Grosse
Wiesmann et al., 2020) as well as emotional and visual perspective taking, action observation, social
attention and encoding biases (Kanske et al., 2016; Schurz et al., 2015; Silani et al., 2013; Steinbeis et al.,
2015).

Following each film sequence, participants were asked to rate the valence of the movie character's
intentions, i.e., positive or negative. Examination of the valence mean ratings for the three conditions showed
that the average valence rating for the dissonant condition was significantly more negative than the valence
rating for the visual alone and the consonant conditions. This finding is in line with previous research that

has examined the emotional impact elicited by dissonance (Blood et al., 1999; Costa et al., 2000; Fritz et al.,
2009, 2013; Koelsch et al., 2006; Plomp & Levelt, 1965; Trainor & Heinmiller, 1998), and it contributes to
the growing body of evidence on its effects upon valence appraisal during mental state attribution (Bravo,
2013; Bravo, Cross, Hawkins, et al., 2017; Bravo, Cross, Stamatakis, et al., 2017).

Activation of V1 by auditory cues with increased level of tonal dissonance.

Univariate whole-brain analysis revealed significant signal changes in bilateral middle and superior occipital
gyrus, including the primary visual cortices (bilateral calcarine cortex) while participants were watching the
film sequence accompanied by dissonant music. The results endorse our prediction of modulations in early
visual systems driven by musical information, specifically by tonal dissonance. Additionally they provide
further evidence for the involvement of crossmodal low-level sensory substrates, in agreement with previous
models which have highlighted the adaptable properties of cortical neurons (Bullier, 2001; Gilbert & Li,
2013; Petro et al., 2017).
Electrophysiological recordings show that V1 neurons function as spatio-temporal filters encoding
elementary visual features, upon which feedforward connections with higher visual areas assist in
representing progressively more complex aspects of the visual scenario (DiCarlo et al., 2012). Although the
primary function of V1 is visual perception, its role expands beyond visual recognition and encompasses
multisensory aspects (De Meo et al., 2015; Ghazanfar et al., 2005; Murray et al., 2016; van Atteveldt et al.,
2014), with the largest contribution of crossmodal re-entrant signals being auditory feedback (Murray et al.,
2016). An illustration at relatively basic sensory processing levels has been reported by Shams et al. (2000).
The authors showed how a single visual flash could be misperceived as multiple flashes when paired with
multiple auditory beeps, demonstrating that sound cues can qualitatively bias the perception of an
unambiguous visual stimulus. In our experiment, we extend these findings by revealing the neuromodulatory
effects of tonal dissonance on early visual cortices during higher-level mental state inference processing. The
findings emphasise the role of the brain as a highly distributed processing engine in which multiple areas
work in parallel at all hierarchies to perform perceptual and also complex cognitive functions.

Top-down modulation of visual processing.

We investigated whether V1 would interact with other brain areas during task performance, and whether it
could be subject to top-down contextual influences modulated by tonal dissonance. The results of the PPI
analysis indicated that, during the dissonant condition, a cluster in the right middle (extending to the right
inferior) posterior temporal gyrus exhibited stronger functional connectivity with the primary visual cortex.
Effective connectivity analysis using Dynamic Causal Modeling demonstrated that tonal dissonance
modulated visual processing through top-down cortical feedback inputs from the right middle posterior
temporal gyrus to V1 neurons.
The prevailing view of sensory information processing is based upon feedforward connections. Within the
visual system these type of connections characterise a hierarchy of cortical areas initiated in V1 and
ascending via the ventral or dorsal pathways (Mishkin et al., 1983; Ungerleider, 1994). Each feedforward
connection, however, coexists with a reciprocal feedback connection that carries information about the
context. Cortical neurons have been described as 'active blackboards' or 'adaptive processors' (Bullier,
2001; Gilbert & Li, 2013), which can modify their function and response according to the behavioural
context or the specific demands of the task being carried out. It is well established that the visual cortex can
be subjected to diverse top-down influences, including among others, those of attention, reward, and emotion
responses (Petro et al., 2014). Top-down signals represent influences from higher-order cognitive
representations that impact earlier stages of information processing. These higher-order representations can
carry contextual information that prepares the system for the type of visual input with the final purpose of
optimizing behavioural responses (Desimone & Duncan, 1995; Gilbert & Li, 2013).
While several studies have evaluated the functional effects of auditory information in the primary visual
cortex using basic stimuli to investigate the role of sound cues in assisting the spatial localization of visual

inputs (Peelen & Kastner, 2014), fewer studies have assessed higher-order cognitive representations. Petro et al. (2013) employed complex auditory information taken from natural scenes, paired with a blank fixation screen as concurrent visual stimulation. Using multivoxel pattern analysis (MVPA), they showed that the semantic content of sounds and sound imagery could be decoded from the early visual cortex. However, evidence regarding auditory information read outs from V1 when it receives more driving visual stimuli, such as non-uniform visual stimulation, is still lacking (Petro et al., 2017). In the present study and employing an audiovisual fMRI paradigm with conspicuous visual stimuli (i.e., naturalistic film-viewing), univariate whole-brain analyses demonstrated a strong response of the primary visual cortex to musical dissonance.

We propose a potential mechanism to explain these modulatory influences in line with predictive coding theories (Friston, 2005, 2009). We argue that our manipulation of the musical stimuli triggered high-level contextual representations, which were fed back to early visual cortices to facilitate the interpretation of the visual information. Throughout an audio-visual experience such as watching a film, musical affect can direct the viewer's attention toward visual aspects that portray a matching connotative meaning. This, in turn, can allow the spectator to develop certain inferences about the characters' internal motivations, e.g. their intentions, therefore contributing to the comprehension of the story. At a behavioural level, this mechanism has been conceptualized as "mood congruency effects" and explained by means of the schema theory (Boltz, 2001). Schemas (Mandler & Johnson, 1976) [also described as scripts (Schank, 1975) or frames (Minsky, 1974)] are memory associations built by extracting repeating patterns and statistical regularities from our environment. This "related" information, which refers to events that tend to be linked on some level (such as dissonance leading to negative valence), is thought to be clustered in memory structures that serve as the building block for predictions (Bar, 2004, 2009; Bar & Ullman, 1996). From a socio-cognitive perspective, schemas allow us comprehend the social environment. They help us understand why people behave in the ways they do, and predict what is most likely to happen next. This, in turn, helps us to organize our experience into a comprehensible and consistent whole. We posit that the predictions set by these memory associations are probably manifest in the signal changes we observe in the primary visual cortex.

Feedback influences from the auditory "what" ventral stream (mapping sound cues to meaning) to V1.

The two-streams hypothesis is a model of the neural processing of the cortical organization of vision (Goodale & Milner, 1992). The model proposes two information processing pathways originating in the occipital cortex. A dorsal visual stream leading to the parietal lobe, which is involved in processing spatial relationships among objects ("where pathway"); and a ventral visual stream that projects to the inferior temporal cortex and which is crucial for the visual identification of objects (also known as the "what pathway").

Intersecting Goodale and Milner's model with Wernicke's original work (Wernicke, 1874), which suggested that sensory representations of speech should at least interface with two systems: a motor-articulatory and a conceptual system; Hickok and Poeppel (2004) advanced an analogous dual stream hypothesis for auditory language processing. The model entails a ventral stream supporting conceptual representations via projections to the middle posterior temporal gyrus, and a dorsal stream entailing motor representations via projections to temporal-parietal regions (Hickok & Poeppel, 2000, 2007). Although the specific role of the dorsal auditory stream is still a subject of debate with various hypotheses including localization, spectral processing and auditory-motor integration, there is general agreement on the *"what"* processing function of the auditory ventral stream (Belin et al., 2000; Hickok & Poeppel, 2000; Kaas & Hackett, 1999) For instance, the prototypical verbal paradigm for targeting the ventral auditory pathway involves listening to meaningful speech versus meaningless pseudo speech (Saur et al., 2008). The ventral pathway serves as a sound-to-meaning interface by mapping sound-based representations to distributed conceptual representations (Belin et al., 2000; Kaas & Hackett, 1999; Rauschecker, 1998; Romanski et al., 1999). In our experiment, we conducted functional and effective connectivity analysis that revealed a causal flow of

information from the right middle posterior temporal gyrus to V1 modulated by tonal dissonance. These findings suggest the involvement of the auditory ventral stream in encoding schemas, which are global representations for perceptual and semantic associated attributes, by mapping non-verbal contextual sound cues to meaning (e.g., dissonant music leading to negative valence). These, in turn, provide an internal model or interpretative framework that helps to organize the visual experience via feedback projections to the early visual cortices (Figure 3).

Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the findings. Modulatory influences from the auditory "what" ventral stream to the early visual cortex driven by tonal dissonance.

Why does tonal dissonance, rather than consonance, drive stronger the modulatory effects on V1? Previous empirical studies examining the dissonant music stimuli used in the present experiment (Bravo, 2013; Bravo et al., 2019) have shown that this harmonic manipulation elicits negative valence judgements, with no impact in either the potency or arousal dimensions. It has been consistently acknowledged that emotionally laden stimuli benefit from preferential processing given their adaptive significance (Pourtois et al., 2013; Vuilleumier, 2015). Specifically, negative valence can modulate the interaction between visual processing and attentional systems implicated in the appraisal of behaviourally relevant, unexpected and potentially threatening events (Bravo, Cross, Hawkins, et al., 2017; Bravo et al., 2019; Corbetta et al., 2008; Corbetta & Shulman, 2002). Further evidence has confirmed enhanced neural responses of visual pathways in response to negative stimuli (Carretié et al., 2001; Dolcos et al., 2004, 2020; Dolcos & Cabeza, 2002; Kensinger & Schacter, 2008; Kosslyn et al., 1996; Lang et al., 1998; Mickley Steinmetz & Kensinger, 2009; Vuilleumier

et al., 2004). We postulate that the negative valence associated with the dissonant sound cues can explain the
heightened weight on visual sensory evidence. Compared with the aforementioned studies, which have
focused exclusively on the affective value associated with *visual* stimuli, we hereby extend the empirical
evidence to crossmodal feedback influences signalled by non-verbal auditory information: music.
The ultimate purpose of our work is to develop advanced methods of applying musical information in
naturalistic paradigms to characterize complex mental health disorders. Relative to traditional paradigms,
naturalistic stimuli, such as the one used in this study, are often more engaging than conventional highly-
constrained tasks, and therefore allow the observation of brain activity that closely resembles to freeform
cognition. We showed that film-watching provides an effective means to study multiplexed neural responses,
from low-level sensory processes to high-level components (emotional meaning, social cognition).
Compared to reductive tasks, naturalistic stimuli may further improve subject compliance in terms of head
motion and wakefulness, which is especially relevant in patients with psychiatric illness and children. We
envision the use of non-verbal music-based fMRI paradigms for identifying relevant behavioural signatures
and measurable neural markers associated with severe psychopathology.
439

Conclusions.

In the present study, we employed fMRI to assess the effects of musical dissonance upon the affective
processing of visual information during naturalistic film viewing. Participants watched the same short-film
with either dissonant or consonant music. Compared to consonant music, dissonance led to more negative
mental state attributions. Neuroscientific analyses revealed that tonal dissonance modulated early visual
processing via top-down cortical feedback inputs from the auditory "what" ventral stream to the primary
visual cortex. We offer evidence for the involvement of the auditory ventral stream in mapping non-verbal
sound cues to meaning, providing an internal model that serves to organize the audio-visual experience.
Taken together, these findings demonstrate the critical role of multisensory processing, particularly audio-
visual integration, in shaping higher-order functions such as social cognition.
450
451

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Data for the fMRI experiment were obtained from thirty-eight healthy volunteers. The participants (18 females and 20 males; mean age = 27±4) were native German speakers, with no history of neurological or psychiatric illness, or use of psychotropic medication. They reported no long-term hearing impairment. All participants were right-handed. The sample did not include any professional musician. Five participants (2 male, 3 female) reported having received informal musical training for less than three years. All subjects gave written informed consent. The study received ethical approval from the Ethics Commission at TU-Dresden (Reference Number: EK 305072016).

Stimulus Material

The task was constructed with the purpose of assessing affective mental state inferences (Happé, 2003; Schultz et al., 2004; Van Overwalle, 2009) biased by musical structural features (Bravo, Cross, Hawkins, et al., 2017), and to enable the investigation of the underlying neural encoding. The paradigm was built in the form of an audio-visual film clip, based on the short film "Man with pendulous arms" (1997, directed by Laurent Gorgiard) (Figure 4).

Fig. 4. Screenshot from the short film "Man with pendulous arms" (1997, Laurent Gorgiard) employed in the fMRI experiment. Participants were instructed to "*think about the intentions of the main character*".

The materials employed in the present study were created for, and tested in two a previous experiments (Bravo, 2013; Bravo et al., 2019). The musical stimuli comprised two soundtracks (i.e., consonant and dissonant) composed for the same short film. In summary, a choral piece, written in the form of musical variations, was made to sound more or less consonant or dissonant by modifying its harmonic structure (i.e., interval content manipulation) producing two otherwise-identical versions of the same musical piece. The consonant condition consisted of a theme followed by three variations written by FB in a romantic musical style. The dissonant condition was achieved by lowering by a semitone the second violin, viola and violoncello lines (of the consonant piece), while maintaining the other instruments (i.e., first violin and double bass) at their original pitch (Figure 5).

The level of tonal dissonance (Bigand et al., 1996; Bigand & Parncutt, 1999) was the manipulated variable, while other factors that are also known to contribute to the building and release of musical tension such as instrumental timbre, dynamics, rhythm, textural density and melodic contour were strictly controlled (Barthet et al., 2010; Lerdahl & Krumhansl, 2007; Menon, 2002; Paraskeva & McAdams, 1997). The particular experimental transformation used to create the contrasting conditions further allowed the level of consonance/dissonance to be uniform throughout a given category. The scores for the consonant and dissonant conditions were written in Sibelius (version 6.2, Avid, www.sibelius.com), exported as MIDI files (musical instrument digital interface), and played with the same virtual instruments (Strings audio samples from Sibelius 6.2 Library - Sound Essentials). The complete set of materials employed are available to download as supplementary materials. Further information about stimuli construction is available in (Bravo, 2013; Bravo et al., 2019).

Table 3 summarizes interval class content (Forte, 1820; Temperley, 2010) for the first measure of the consonant and
dissonant conditions (Figure 5), and portrays the comparative level of consonance/dissonance throughout a given
category.

Table 3. Interval class content for the first measure of the consonant and dissonant conditions. The model only considers interval
classes (i.e., unordered pitch-intervals measured in semitones). Each digit stands for the number of times an interval class appears in
the set.

Interval class	1	2	3	4	5	6
Consonant condition	0	0	3	6	5	0
Dissonant condition	4	2	2	3	6	2

Fig. 5. First measure of the consonant (left) and dissonant (right) music conditions. The dissonant condition was obtained by
lowering, by a semitone, the second violin, viola and violoncello lines, while keeping the other instruments (first violin and double
bass) at their original pitch.

The consonant condition is primarily governed by collections of intervals considered to be consonant (thirds, fourths
and fifths). Whereas, the dissonant condition is characterized by the presence of strongly dissonant intervals (major
seconds, minor seconds and tritones). As aforementioned, the music conditions were constructed in the form of
variations, with cut-points located at the end of each musical phrase/variation (corresponding to the last chord of each
system in Figure 6), resulting in one set of four consonant variations (*consonant condition*: variations C1, C2, C3 and
C4) and another set of four dissonant variations (*dissonant condition*: variations D1, D2, D3 and D4). Each variation
had a duration of 30 seconds, and consisted of 9 chords presentations (variations 1, 2, 3) or 10 chords (variation 4),
totalling 37 chord presentations per music condition. Although the chords belonging to only one music variation per
category were found to be sufficient to elicit distinctive valence inferences between conditions at a behavioural level
[pre-test: significant differences observed when comparing variations C1 vs. D1; $F(1, 27) = 23.637$, $p < 0.001$], the
experiment was designed to include the complete set of variations per condition, following a strategy similar to that we
applied in Bravo et al. (2019); in order to reliably estimate the haemodynamic response function (HRF) and to show
detectable differences between conditions in the neuroscientific setting.
Figure 6 (bottom) shows the distribution of pitch-classes for each condition employing the pcdist1 function [MIDI
Toolbox for Matlab (Eerola & Toiviainen, 2004)] to calculate the pitch-class distribution of the notematrix (Eerola &
Toiviainen, 2004). From the resulting graph, it can be inferred that the consonant condition clearly conveys an E major
key content, while the dissonant condition, which spreads through the entire chromatic scale, articulates a much more
ambiguous tonal centre.
514

Fig. 6. (Top) Complete scores for the consonant and dissonant music conditions. The musical stimuli were written in the form of variations with a duration of 30 seconds each: four consonant variations (*consonant condition*: variations C1, C2, C3 and C4) and four dissonant variations (*dissonant condition*: variations D1, D2, D3 and D4). (Bottom) Key profile for the consonant (left) and dissonant (right) conditions [analysis conducted with MIDI Toolbox (Eerola & Toiviainen, 2004)].

Tonalness as a quantifiable predictor for valence inferences to sound.

Tonalness has been defined as 'the degree to which a sonority evokes the sensation of a single pitched tone' (Parncutt, 1989), in the sense that musical passages with high tonalness evoke the perception of a clear tonal centre (Krumhansl, 2001), whereas sonorities with lower tonalness elicit more unpredictable and equivocal tonal centres. As a component of consonance/dissonance, tonalness has been characterised as the ease with which the ear/brain system can resolve the fundamental, being the easier, the more consonant. Previous studies have consistently shown that the degree of tonalness correlates strongly with the percept of valence (Bravo, 2014; Bravo, Cross, Hawkins, et al., 2017; Bravo, Cross, Stamatakis, et al., 2017). We applied a quantitative probabilistic framework, Temperley's Bayesian model of tonalness (Temperley, 2002, 2010), to estimate the tonalness level for the two music conditions employed in this experiment. Temperley's (2010) method relies on the Bayesian 'structure-and-surface' approach, and computes tonalness as the overall probability of a pitch-class set occurring in a tonal piece. For musical sequences of a length similar to that used in this study, the approach takes the joint probability of a passage with its most likely analysis, the maximum value of P(structure ∩ surface), as indicative of the overall probability of the passage (Temperley, 2010).

The tonalness measure is defined as:

$$P(\text{pcset}) = \sum_{\text{key}} P(\text{pcset} \mid \text{key}) P(\text{key})$$

$$= \sum_{\text{key}} \left(\prod_p K_{pc} \prod_{\sim p} (1 - K_{pc}) \right) (1/24)$$

Owing to the uniform manipulation applied to the stimuli in the present experiment, the model predicted a fixed level within the condition. Table 4 shows the two music conditions used in this study, along with their corresponding tonalness values. The fact that the dissonant condition contained multiple chromatic pitch classes meant that there were less facilitated tonal centres, thus resulting in a lower value as assessed by the model.

Table 4. Tonalness values for the two sound conditions utilised in the experiment calculated using the Kostka-Payne key-profiles (Kostka, 2003; Kostka et al., 2012).

Condition	Pitch-class set prime form	Tonalness
Dissonance	[0 1 3 5 6 8]	0.00049
Consonance	[0 1 2 3 4 5]	0.000006

The effect of the two music conditions used in this study on emotional valence ratings had been previously established (Table 5). Notably, no significant differences between the two conditions were found either in the arousal or in the potency dimensions (Bravo et al., 2019). In other words, the specific musical structure manipulation employed enabled to target emotional valence and isolate this dimension from arousal and potency.

Table 5 [adapted from (Bravo et al., 2019) music listening study]**.** Individual and composite ratings for consonant and dissonant variations in the valence dimension [mean, standard deviation and, 95% confidence intervals showing adjusted values for repeated measures. Consonant variations are named C1, C2, C3 and C4, dissonant variations are named D1, D2, D3 and D4. [valence: 1 (positive) to 9 (negative)]. Multivariate tests were significant for the valence dimension (there were no significant differences either in the arousal dimension or in the potency dimensions). A paired samples t test indicated that the valence composite-rating for all four consonant variations was on average significantly more positive than the valence composite-rating for all four dissonant variations, $t\,(27) = 6.87$, $p < 0.001$.

Valence ratings for consonant and dissonant variations			
Musical variation	Mean	Std. deviation	95% CI (adjusted)
Consonant			
C1	4.25	1.295	
C2	4.14	1.580	
C3	4.11	1.663	
C4	4.32	1.786	
Composite-rating Cons.	4.205	1.018	[3.870, 4.534]
Dissonant			
D1	6.07	2.071	
D2	6.36	1.638	
D3	6.21	1.475	
D4	5.86	1.580	
Composite-rating Diss.	6.125	1.139	[5.712, 6.532]

Familiarity ratings

Familiarity ratings were measured in (Bravo et al., 2019), which revealed no significant differences between the two music conditions, indicating that the musical materials in both conditions appeared to be similarly unfamiliar to all subjects.

Procedure

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) Experiment.

Prior to the start of the audio-visual film clip, and based on established methods (Gallagher et al., 2000; Saxe, 2010; Saxe & Kanwisher, 2003; Völlm et al., 2006), an instruction was given to participants designed to engage affective mental state inference processes. Subjects were instructed to "Please, think about the intentions of the main character in the following film clip" (Figure 7). The paradigm, therefore, required to ascribe mental states (e.g., intentions) to an

actor on-screen (Van Overwalle, 2009; Van Overwalle et al., 2009). After the instruction, the film clip followed with
either music condition 1 (consonance), music condition 2 (dissonance) in randomized order (Figure 7). At the end of the
respective clip, a valence inference question was presented ("What type of intentions does the main character have?"),
which subjects responded using a 4-point scale (ranging from 1 to 4) with extremes labelled "positive" or "negative"
(order counterbalanced).

Two control conditions were included; i) visual-only category (i.e., film clip with no soundtrack) with identical
instructions as above to control for basic visual sensory processing, and; ii) the same audio-visual film clips with an
instruction to describe the "physical" appearance of the character on-screen ("Please, focus on the physical appearance
of the main character in the following film clip"). This additional control condition was aimed at controlling for
multimodal sensory processing, working memory and attentional demands of the task, without cueing subjects to attend
specifically to mental states (Happé, 1994; Saxe, 2010; Völlm et al., 2006).

Fig. 7. Experimental stimuli and design: After 180 seconds of fixation, an instruction to attend specifically to mental states is given
(10 seconds), the film clip [Man with pendulous arms" (Laurent Gorgiard, 1997); duration: 125 seconds] follows with either music
condition 1 (consonance) or condition 2 (dissonance) in randomized order. Following the clip participants are asked to rate the
valence of the movie character's intentions (10 seconds) on a scale ranging from one (good intentions) to four (bad intentions). Two
control conditions are included; i) visual-only category (i.e., film clip with no soundtrack but same instruction as above) and, ii) film
clip with an instruction to describe the "physical" appearance of the character, to control for multimodal sensory processing, working
memory and attentional demands of the task.

The experiment was run in the Neuroimaging Center (NIC) at TU Dresden. Participants were asked to arrive 45 min
before the fMRI scanning in order to undertake a preparatory session of 10 minutes in a separate room (contiguous to
the scanner room). Subjects were familiarized with the task and trained on the procedure, including the use of the 4-
point scale response box system. In the fMRI setup, the audio-visual stimulus was projected onto a screen and presented
to the subject via a 45° angled mirror positioned above the participant's head. The auditory stimuli were delivered via
MRI-compatible headphones. Sound pressure levels were measured with a Galaxy Audio CM130 Meter, the output
volume was set to 70 dB. Participants were instructed to avoid head movements throughout the functional scan, to keep
their eyes on the screen throughout the session and to focus on the presented audio-visual stimuli. Following the
scanning session, each subject completed a questionnaire in order to collect subject specific socio-demographic
information.

MRI Data Acquisition

Scanning was performed with a 3.0 T system (General Electric, Signa). Prior to the functional magnetic resonance
measurements, high-resolution (1 x 1 x 1 mm) T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired from each participant

using three-dimensional fast spoiled gradient-echo (3D-FSPGR) sequence. Continuous Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) with
blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) contrast was utilised with a TE of 40ms and a TR of 3000ms. The matrix
acquired was 64 x 64 voxels (in plane resolution of 3 mm x 3 mm). Slice thickness was 4 mm with an interslice gap of
0.7 mm (35 slices, whole brain coverage).

fMRI Data analysis

Data were processed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM), version 12 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Following
correction for the temporal difference in acquisition between slices, EPI volumes were realigned and resliced to correct
within subject movement. A mean EPI volume was obtained during realignment and the structural MRI was
coregistered with that mean volume. The coregistered structural scan was normalized to the Montreal Neurological
Institute (MNI) T1 template (Friston et al., 1995). The same deformation parameters obtained from the structural image,
were applied to the realigned EPI volumes, which were resampled into MNI-space with isotropic voxels of 3 cubic
millimetres. The normalized images were smoothed using a 3D Gaussian kernel and a filter size of 6 mm FWHM. A
temporal high-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 256Hz was applied with the purpose of removing scanner
attributable low frequency drifts in the fMRI time series.
An event-related design was employed (Henson, 2007), modelling each of the thirty-seven chord presentations per
music category using a canonical haemodynamic response function (Friston et al., 1999) (Model specification timings
are available together with the fMRI data in the figshare repository). The design matrix for the first level analysis
included the following four regressors: consonance (i.e., movie with consonant music); dissonance (i.e., movie with
dissonant music), visual alone (i.e., movie without music); control (i.e., movie with dissonant or consonant music with a
control task -physical appearance-). Parameter estimate images were generated. Four contrast images per individual
were calculated: dissonance > consonance, consonance > dissonance, consonance > visual alone, dissonance > visual
alone, and consonance/dissonance task > consonance/dissonance control. The second level group analysis was carried
out using one-sample t-tests. The significant map for the group random effects analysis was thresholded at $p < 0.001$ for
voxel-level inference with a cluster-level threshold of $p < 0.05$ corrected for the whole brain volume using FWE (family
wise error), which controls for the expected proportion of false-positive clusters.
Whole-brain analyses were performed for all linear contrasts except for the comparison between consonance/dissonance
task (ToM) > consonance/dissonance control (physical appearance). The latter contrast was restricted to regions of
interest that were defined based on meta-analytic reviews (statistical summaries of empirical findings across studies)
that have investigated the neural response to tasks involving theory-of-mind processing (Table 6). All ROIs were
defined using anatomical masks of the described areas with WFU PickAtlas Toolbox (Maldjian et al., 2003).

641
642 **Table 6.** Regions of interest for fMRI analysis of the contrast between consonance/dissonance task > consonance/dissonance control.

Region of Interest	Motivation	References
Anterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal cortex	Mental state attribution	Saxe and Kanwisher, 2003; Saxe and Wexler, 2005
Temporo-parietal junction (angular gyrus, supramarginal gyrus and superior temporal gyrus)	Processes requiring intentional attribution and temporary inferences	Saxe, 2006, 2010; Scholz et al. 2009; Carter and Huettel, 2013; Van Overwalle, 2009

Psycho-physiological interactions (PPI) analysis

Psycho-physiological interactions analysis (PPI) was carried out following the approach developed by Friston et al.
(1997). Seeds ROI in the left middle occipital gyrus and in the right calcarine cortex were selected on the basis of
significantly activated clusters (at threshold level $p < 0.001$ voxel uncorrected, $p < 0.05$ cluster FWE-corrected) from
the subtractive analysis for the contrast dissonance > consonance. The group cluster peaks (MNI: -15 -94 8) in the left
middle occipital cortex; and (MNI: 12 -94 8) in the right calcarine cortex, were used as point of reference to identify
individual subject activation peaks that complied with the following two rules: a) were within a 24 mm radius, and b)
were within the boundaries of the corresponding brain area [defined using the WFU pickatlas toolbox: (Lancaster et al.,
2000; Maldjian et al., 2003)].
After the identification of the relevant statistical peaks for each subject, spheres were defined around these peaks with a
6 mm radius, which were used as the seed regions of interest (ROIs) for the Psychophysiological Interaction (PPI)
analysis. This type of analysis is used to detect target regions for which the covariation of activity between seed and
target regions is significantly different between experimental conditions of interest. At the first level, contrasts were

calculated for each subject based on the interaction term between the contrast of interest (dissonance > consonance) and
each seed ROI's activity time-course (Friston et al., 1997). For each seed ROI, the contrast images from all subjects
were used in voxel-wise one-sample *t*-tests at the second level (at threshold level $p < 0.001$ voxel uncorrected, $p < 0.05$
cluster FWE-corrected) to identify clusters of voxels for which the psychophysiological interaction effect was
significant for the group.

Dynamic causal modelling (DCM) analysis.

Effective connectivity analysis was conducted using dynamic causal modelling (DCM) (Friston, 2003). DCM can be
applied to networks with as few as two nodes, and some of its most powerful applications have been performed with
very simple models (Stephan et al. 2010). In this study DCM analysis was conducted for each subject on two ROIs: the
right middle temporal gyrus (rMTG) and the left middle occipital gyrus (lMOG). Coordinates for defined areas are
detailed in Table 7. The modulatory effect of interest was the dissonance condition, since this category appeared to
strongly modulate the functional interaction between these two areas (as shown in the PPI analysis).
DCM utilises the temporal information contained in fMRI data to estimate and make inferences about the causal
relationships of activity patterns between different brain areas, by comparing the evidence for different models of the
same data, where each model represents a mechanistic hypothesis about how the data were generated (Friston et al.
2003).
673
674 **Table 7.** Regions of interest for dynamic causal modeling (DCM) analysis, describing peak activation MNI coordinates for clusters
675 examined, mask definition and contrasts for VOI extraction (Cl.: cluster, MTG: middle temporal gyrus, MOG: middle occipital
676 gyrus).

Region of Interest	Cl. Peak	Contrast for Mask	Contrast for VOI extraction	Modulatory effects examined
Left middle occipital gyrus	-15 -94 8	Dissonance > Consonance	Dissonance > Consonance	Dissonance
Right middle temporal gyrus	51 -70 -1	PPI analysis, seed in right PHC (Diss>Cons)	Dissonance > Consonance	Dissonance

677
For each subject, three models were defined. Model 1 specified a connection from the rMTG to the lMOG
(hypothesized). Model 2 specified a connection from the lMOG to the rMTG. Model 3 specified bidirectional
connections between both regions. To explore whether dissonance induced changes in connectivity between brain areas,
this condition was included as a modulatory effect allowing it to change any connection in the model. A random effects
approach was used since it could not be assumed that the best fitting model structure would be constant across subjects
(Stephan et al. 2010). Bayesian model selection (BMS) was utilised to select the optimal model (Stephan et al. 2010).
DCM estimates three kinds of coupling parameters for a given model: (i) Direct influences of driving inputs on the
neuronal states, (ii) strengths of intrinsic connections that reflect the context-independent coupling between neuronal
states in different regions, and (iii) modulatory or bilinear inputs that reflect context-dependent changes (i.e., produced
by the experimental conditions) in the coupling between regions. Extrinsic parameters were not estimated in the present
analysis, since the process of interest specifically targeted modulatory parameters, which measured changes in effective
connectivity induced by dissonance. The parameter estimates describe the speed at which the neural population
response changes, which has an exponential decay nature (Stephan et al. 2010). Therefore, parameters are expressed in
terms of the rate of change (unit: Hz) of neuronal activity in one area that is associated with activity in another, and can
be either positive or negative. A positive parameter means that an increase in activity in one region results in increased
rate of change in the activity of another region. Conversely, a negative parameter means that an increase in activity in
one region results in a decreased rate of change in the activity of another region. DCM was performed using SPM12
software (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm).

Acknowledgements:

We thank P. Heaton, R. Allen, I. Cross, S. Koelsch, F. Franco and M. Rohrmeier for helpful discussions. We
thank Christine Ahrends, Katharina Pitt and Aya Keller for help with data collection. Fernando Bravo is
funded by a Research Fellowship from the University of Tübingen. This project was made possible through
the support from Queens' College Cambridge (Walker Studentship to F. Bravo), the Andrea von Braun
Stiftung, and Zukunftskonzept at TU Dresden (Exzellenzinitiative of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

The funding sources had no involvement in the study design or the collection, analysis, and interpretation of
the data.
705

References

Abell, F., Happe, F., & Frith, U. (2000). Do triangles play tricks? Attribution of mental states to animated shapes in normal and abnormal development. *Cognitive Development*, *15*(1), 1–16.

Bar, M. (2004). Visual objects in context. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *5*(8), 617–629. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1476

Bar, M. (2009). Predictions: A universal principle in the operation of the human brain. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *364*(1521), 1181–1182. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0321

Bar, & Ullman, S. (1996). Spatial context in recognition. *Perception*, *25*(3), 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1068/p250343

Barthet, M., Depalle, P., Kronland-Martinet, R., & Ystad, S. (2010). Acoustical Correlates of Timbre and Expressiveness in Clarinet Performance. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, *28*(2), 135–154. https://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2010.28.2.135

Baumgartner, T., Lutz, K., Schmidt, C. F., & Jäncke, L. (2006). The emotional power of music: How music enhances the feeling of affective pictures. *Brain Research*, *1075*(1), 151–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2005.12.065

Belin, P., Zatorre, R. J., Romanski, L. M., Tian, B., Fritz, J. B., Mishkin, M., Goldman-Rakic, P. S., & Rauschecker, J. P. (2000). "What", "where" and "how" in auditory cortex [2]. *Nature Neuroscience*, *3*(10), 965–966. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1038/79890

Bigand, & Parncutt, R. (1999). Perceiving musical tension in long chord sequences. *Psychological Research*, *62*(4), 237–254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004260050053

Bigand, Parncutt, R., & Lerdahl, F. (1996). Perception of musical tension in short chord sequences: The influence of harmonic function, sensory dissonance, horizontal motion, and musical training. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *58*(1), 124–141.

Blood, A. J., Zatorre, R. J., Bermudez, P., & Evans, A. C. (1999). Emotional responses to pleasant and unpleasant music correlate with activity in paralimbic brain regions. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*(4), 382–387. https://doi.org/10.1038/7299

Bolivar, V. J., Cohen, A. J., & Fentress, J. C. (1994). Semantic and formal congruency in music and motion pictures: Effects on the interpretation of visual action. *Psychomusicology: A Journal of Research in Music Cognition*, *13*(1–2), 28–59. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0094102

Boltz. (2001). Musical Soundtracks as a Schematic Influence on the Cognitive Processing of Filmed Events. *Music Perception*, *18*(4), 427–454. https://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2001.18.4.427

Boltz, Schulkind, M., & Kantra, S. (1991). Effects of background music on the remembering of filmed events. *Memory & Cognition*, *19*(6), 593–606.

Bravo, F. (2013). The Influence of Music on the Emotional Interpretation of Visual Contexts. Designing Interactive Multimedia Tools for Psychological Research. In M. Aramaki, M. Barthet, R. Kronland-Martinet, & S. Ystad (Eds.), *From Sounds to Music and Emotions* (Vol. 7900, pp. 366–377). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41248-6_20

Bravo, F. (2014). Changing the Interval Content of Algorithmically Generated Music Changes the Emotional Interpretation of Visual Images. In M. Aramaki, O. Derrien, R. Kronland-Martinet, & S. Ystad (Eds.), *Sound, Music, and Motion* (Vol. 8905, pp. 494–508). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-12976-1_29

Bravo, F., Cross, I., Hawkins, S., Gonzalez, N., Docampo, J., Bruno, C., & Stamatakis, E. A. (2017). Neural mechanisms underlying valence inferences to sound: The role of the right angular gyrus. *Neuropsychologia*, *102*, 144–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.05.029

Bravo, F., Cross, I., Hopkins, C., Gonzalez, N., Docampo, J., Bruno, C., & Stamatakis, E. A. (2019). Anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex response to systematically controlled tonal dissonance during passive music listening. *Human Brain Mapping*, *41*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24786

Bravo, F., Cross, I., Stamatakis, E. A., & Rohrmeier, M. (2017). Sensory cortical response to uncertainty and low salience during recognition of affective cues in musical intervals. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(4), e0175991. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175991

Brown, S., Martinez, M. J., & Parsons, L. M. (2004). Passive music listening spontaneously engages limbic and paralimbic systems. *Neuroreport*, *15*(13), 2033–2037.

Bullerjahn, C., & Güldenring, M. (1994). An empirical investigation of effects of film music using qualitative content analysis. *Psychomusicology: A Journal of Research in Music Cognition*, *13*(1–2), 99–118. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0094100

Bullier, J. (2001). Feedback connections and conscious vision. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *5*(9), 369–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01730-7

Carretié, Martín-Loeches, M., Hinojosa, J. A., & Mercado, F. (2001). Emotion and attention interaction studied through event-related potentials. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *13*(8), 1109–1128. https://doi.org/10.1162/089892901753294400

Castelli, F., Happé, F., Frith, U., & Frith, C. (2000). Movement and Mind: A Functional Imaging Study of Perception and Interpretation of Complex Intentional Movement Patterns. *NeuroImage*, *12*(3), 314–325. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0612

Chion, M. (1994). *Audio-Vision: Sound on Screen*. Columbia University Press.

Cohen, A. J. (2001). Music as a source of emotion in film. *Music and Emotion*, 249–272.

Cohen, A. J. (2005). How music influences the interpretation of film and video. *Perspectives in Systematic Musicology*, 15–36.

Cohen, A. J. (2013). *Cohen, A. J. (2013). Film music and the unfolding narrative. In M. Arbib (Ed.), Language, music and the brain (pp. 173 - 201). Strüngmann Forum Reports, vol. 10, J. Lupp, series ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 978-0-262-01810-4.*

Corbetta, M., Patel, G., & Shulman, G. L. (2008). The Reorienting System of the Human Brain: From Environment to Theory of Mind. *Neuron*, *58*(3), 306–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.017

Corbetta, M., & Shulman, G. L. (2002). Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *3*(3), 215–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn755

Costa, M., Bitti, P. E. R., & Bonfiglioli, L. (2000). Psychological connotations of harmonic musical intervals. *Psychology of Music*, *28*(1), 4–22.

De Meo, R., Murray, M. M., Clarke, S., & Matusz, P. J. (2015). Top-down control and early multisensory processes: Chicken vs. egg. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, *9*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnint.2015.00017

Desimone, R., & Duncan, J. (1995). Neural mechanisms of selective visual attention. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *18*, 193–222. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.001205

DiCarlo, J. J., Zoccolan, D., & Rust, N. C. (2012). How does the brain solve visual object recognition? *Neuron*, *73*(3), 415–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.010

Dolcos, F., & Cabeza, R. (2002). Event-related potentials of emotional memory: Encoding pleasant, unpleasant, and neutral pictures. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience*, *2*(3), 252–263.

Dolcos, F., Katsumi, Y., Moore, M., Berggren, N., de Gelder, B., Derakshan, N., Hamm, A. O., Koster, E. H. W., Ladouceur, C. D., Okon-Singer, H., Pegna, A. J., Richter, T., Schweizer, S., Van den Stock, J., Ventura-Bort, C., Weymar, M., & Dolcos, S. (2020). Neural correlates of emotion-attention interactions: From perception, learning, and memory to social cognition, individual differences, and training interventions. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *108*, 559–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.08.017

Dolcos, F., LaBar, K. S., & Cabeza, R. (2004). Dissociable effects of arousal and valence on prefrontal activity indexing emotional evaluation and subsequent memory: An event-related fMRI study. *NeuroImage*, *23*(1), 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.05.015

Eerola, T., & Toiviainen, P. (2004). MIR IN MATLAB: THE MIDI TOOLBOX. *University of Jyväskylä: Kopijyvä, Jyväskylä, Finland.*, 6.

Eldar, E., Ganor, O., Admon, R., Bleich, A., & Hendler, T. (2007). Feeling the Real World: Limbic Response to Music Depends on Related Content. *Cerebral Cortex*, *17*(12), 2828–2840. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm011

Finn, E. S. (2021). Is it time to put rest to rest? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *25*(12), 1021–1032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.09.005

Forte, A. (1820). *The Structure of Atonal Music by Allen Forte*. Yale University Press.

Friston. (2005). A theory of cortical responses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *360*(1456), 815–836. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1622

Friston. (2009). The free-energy principle: A rough guide to the brain? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *13*(7), 293–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.04.005

Friston, K. J., Buechel, C., Fink, G. R., Morris, J., Rolls, E., & Dolan, R. J. (1997). Psychophysiological and modulatory interactions in neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*, *6*(3), 218–229.

Friston, K. J., Zarahn, E., Josephs, O., Henson, R. N., & Dale, A. M. (1999). Stochastic designs in event-related fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *10*(5), 607–619. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1999.0498

Friston, Karl. J., Ashburner, J., Frith, C. D., Poline, J.-B., Heather, J. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. J. (1995). Spatial registration and normalization of images. *Human Brain Mapping*, *3*(3), 165–189. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460030303

Fritz, Jentschke, S., Gosselin, N., Sammler, D., Peretz, I., Turner, R., Friederici, A. D., & Koelsch, S. (2009). Universal Recognition of Three Basic Emotions in Music. *Current Biology*, *19*(7), 573–576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.058

Fritz, Renders, W., Müller, K., Schmude, P., Leman, M., Turner, R., & Villringer, A. (2013). Anatomical differences in the human inferior colliculus relate to the perceived valence of musical consonance and dissonance. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12305

Gallagher, H. L., Happé, F., Brunswick, N., Fletcher, P. C., Frith, U., & Frith, C. D. (2000). Reading the mind in cartoons and stories: An fMRI study of "theory of mind" in verbal and nonverbal tasks. *Neuropsychologia*, *38*(1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0028-3932(99)00053-6

Ghazanfar, A. A., Maier, J. X., Hoffman, K. L., & Logothetis, N. K. (2005). Multisensory integration of dynamic faces
and voices in rhesus monkey auditory cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *25*(20), 5004–5012. Scopus.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0799-05.2005
Ghazanfar, A. A., & Schroeder, C. E. (2006). Is neocortex essentially multisensory? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*,
10(6), 278–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.04.008
Gilbert, C. D., & Li, W. (2013). Top-down influences on visual processing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *14*(5), 350–
363. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3476
Goodale, M. A., & Milner, A. D. (1992). Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends in Neurosciences*,
15(1), 20–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(92)90344-8
Grosse Wiesmann, C., Friederici, A. D., Singer, T., & Steinbeis, N. (2020). Two systems for thinking about others'
thoughts in the developing brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(12), 6928–6935.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916725117
Happé, F. (1994). An advanced test of theory of mind: Understanding of story characters' thoughts and feelings by able
autistic, mentally handicapped, and normal children and adults. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *24*(2),
129–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02172093
Happé, F. (2003). Theory of mind and the self. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1001*(1), 134–144.
https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1279.008
Hari, R., Henriksson, L., Malinen, S., & Parkkonen, L. (2015). Centrality of Social Interaction in Human Brain
Function. *Neuron*, *88*(1), 181–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.022
Henson, R. (2007). Efficient Experimental Design for fMRI. In *Statistical Parametric Mapping* (pp. 193–210).
Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012372560-8/50015-2
Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2000). Towards a functional neuroanatomy of speech perception. *Trends in Cognitive*
Sciences, *4*(4), 131–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01463-7
Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2004). Dorsal and ventral streams: A framework for understanding aspects of the functional
anatomy of language. *Cognition*, *92*(1), 67–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2003.10.011
Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2007). The cortical organization of speech processing. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *8*(5),
393–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2113
Hoeckner, B., Wyatt, E. W., Decety, J., & Nusbaum, H. (2011). Film music influences how viewers relate to movie
characters. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, *5*(2), 146–153. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021544
Kaas, J. H., & Hackett, T. A. (1999). "What" and "where" processing in auditory cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*(12),
1045–1047. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1038/15967
Kanske, P., Böckler, A., Trautwein, F.-M., Parianen Lesemann, F. H., & Singer, T. (2016). Are strong empathizers
better mentalizers? Evidence for independence and interaction between the routes of social cognition. *Social Cognitive*
and Affective Neuroscience, *11*(9), 1383–1392. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsw052
Kensinger, E. A., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). Neural Processes Supporting Young and Older Adults' Emotional
Memories. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *20*(7), 1161–1173. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20080
Khosla, M., Ngo, G. H., Jamison, K., Kuceyeski, A., & Sabuncu, M. R. (2021). Cortical response to naturalistic stimuli
is largely predictable with deep neural networks. *Science Advances*, *7*(22), eabe7547.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abe7547
Koelsch, Fritz, v. Cramon, D. Y., Müller, & Friederici. (2006). Investigating emotion with music: An fMRI study.
Human Brain Mapping, *27*(3), 239–250. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20180
Kosslyn, S. M., Shin, L. M., Thompson, W. L., McNally, R. J., Rauch, S. L., Pitman, R. K., & Alpert, N. M. (1996).
Neural effects of visualizing and perceiving aversive stimuli: A PET investigation. *Neuroreport*, *7*(10), 1569–1576.
Kostka. (2003). *Instructor's Manual to Accompany Tonal Harmony* (5th Ed edition). DELETED TITLES.
Kostka, S., Payne, D., & Almen, B. (2012). *Tonal Harmony* (7 edition). McGraw-Hill Education.
Krumhansl, C. L. (2001). *Cognitive Foundations of Musical Pitch*. Oxford University Press.
Lancaster, J. L., Woldorff, M. G., Parsons, L. M., Liotti, M., Freitas, C. S., Rainey, L., Kochunov, P. V., Nickerson, D.,
Mikiten, S. A., & Fox, P. T. (2000). Automated Talairach atlas labels for functional brain mapping. *Human Brain*
Mapping, *10*(3), 120–131.
Lang, P. J., Bradley, M. M., Fitzsimmons, J. R., Cuthbert, B. N., Scott, J. D., Moulder, B., & Nangia, V. (1998).
Emotional arousal and activation of the visual cortex: An fMRI analysis. *Psychophysiology*, *35*(2), 199–210.
Lerdahl, F., & Krumhansl, C. L. (2007). Modeling Tonal Tension. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal*,
24(4), 329–366. https://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2007.24.4.329
Maldjian, J. A., Laurienti, P. J., Kraft, R. A., & Burdette, J. H. (2003). An automated method for neuroanatomic and
cytoarchitectonic atlas-based interrogation of fMRI data sets. *NeuroImage*, *19*(3), 1233–1239.
Mandler, J. M., & Johnson, N. S. (1976). Some of the thousand words a picture is worth. *Journal of Experimental*
Psychology. Human Learning and Memory, *2*(5), 529–540.
Menon. (2002). Neural Correlates of Timbre Change in Harmonic Sounds. *NeuroImage*, *17*(4), 1742–1754.
https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2002.1295
Mickley Steinmetz, K. R., & Kensinger, E. A. (2009). The effects of valence and arousal on the neural activity leading
to subsequent memory. *Psychophysiology*, *46*(6), 1190–1199. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2009.00868.x

Minsky, M. (1974). *A framework for representing knowledge*. https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/6089

Mishkin, M., Ungerleider, L. G., & Macko, K. A. (1983). Object vision and spatial vision: Two cortical pathways. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *6*, 414–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(83)90190-X

Murray, M. M., Thelen, A., Thut, G., Romei, V., Martuzzi, R., & Matusz, P. J. (2016). The multisensory function of the human primary visual cortex. *Neuropsychologia*, *83*, 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.08.011

Ohnishi, T., Matsuda, H., Asada, T., Aruga, M., Hirakata, M., Nishikawa, M., Katoh, A., & Imabayashi, E. (2001). Functional Anatomy of Musical Perception in Musicians. *Cerebral Cortex*, *11*(8), 754–760. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/11.8.754

Paraskeva, S., & McAdams, S. (1997). Influence of timbre, presence/absence of tonal hierarchy and musical training on the perception of musical tension and relaxation schemas. *ICMC*.

Parncutt, R. (1989). *Harmony: A psychoacoustical approach*. Springer-Verlag.

Peelen, M. V., & Kastner, S. (2014). Attention in the real world: Toward understanding its neural basis. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *18*(5), 242–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.02.004

Petro, L. S., Paton, A. T., & Muckli, L. (2017). Contextual modulation of primary visual cortex by auditory signals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *372*(1714), 20160104. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0104

Petro, L. S., Smith, F. W., Schyns, P. G., & Muckli, L. (2013). Decoding face categories in diagnostic subregions of primary visual cortex. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(7), 1130–1139. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12129

Petro, L. S., Vizioli, L., & Muckli, L. (2014). Contributions of cortical feedback to sensory processing in primary visual cortex. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01223

Plomp, R., & Levelt, W. J. M. (1965). Tonal consonance and critical bandwidth. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *38*(4), 548–560.

Pourtois, G., Schettino, A., & Vuilleumier, P. (2013). Brain mechanisms for emotional influences on perception and attention: What is magic and what is not. *Biological Psychology*, *92*(3), 492–512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.02.007

Pudovkin, V. I. (1929). Asynchronism as a principle of sound film. In *Film technique and film acting*. Grove Press, 1960.

Rauschecker, J. P. (1998). Cortical processing of complex sounds. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *8*(4), 516–521. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(98)80040-8

Romanski, L. M., Tian, B., Fritz, J., Mishkin, M., Goldman-Rakic, P. S., & Rauschecker, J. P. (1999). Dual streams of auditory afferents target multiple domains in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*(12), 1131–1136. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1038/16056

Saur, D., Kreher, B. W., Schnell, S., Kümmerer, D., Kellmeyer, P., Vry, M.-S., Umarova, R., Musso, M., Glauche, V., Abel, S., Huber, W., Rijntjes, M., Hennig, J., & Weiller, C. (2008). Ventral and dorsal pathways for language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(46), 18035–18040. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805234105

Saxe, R. (2010). The right temporo-parietal junction: A specific brain region for thinking about thoughts. In *Handbook of Theory of Mind* (pp. 1–35). Psychology Press: Taylor & Francis Group.

Saxe, R., & Kanwisher, N. (2003). People thinking about thinking people: The role of the temporo-parietal junction in "theory of mind." *NeuroImage*, *19*(4), 1835–1842. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00230-1

Saxe, & Wexler, A. (2005). Making sense of another mind: The role of the right temporo-parietal junction. *Neuropsychologia*, *43*(10), 1391–1399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.02.013

Schank, R. C. (1975). Using knowledge to understand. *Proceedings of the 1975 Workshop on Theoretical Issues in Natural Language Processing*, 117–121. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=980223

Schultz, J., Imamizu, H., Kawato, M., & Frith, C. D. (2004). Activation of the human superior temporal gyrus during observation of goal attribution by intentional objects. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *16*(10), 1695–1705. https://doi.org/10.1162/0898929042947874

Schurz, M., Kronbichler, M., Weissengruber, S., Surtees, A., Samson, D., & Perner, J. (2015). Clarifying the role of theory of mind areas during visual perspective taking: Issues of spontaneity and domain-specificity. *NeuroImage*, *117*, 386–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.031

Shams, L., Kamitani, Y., & Shimojo, S. (2000). What you see is what you hear. *Nature*, *408*(6814), 788–788. https://doi.org/10.1038/35048669

Silani, G., Lamm, C., Ruff, C. C., & Singer, T. (2013). Right Supramarginal Gyrus Is Crucial to Overcome Emotional Egocentricity Bias in Social Judgments. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *33*(39), 15466–15476. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1488-13.2013

Sirius, G., & Clarke, E. F. (1994). The perception of audiovisual relationships: A preliminary study. *Psychomusicology: A Journal of Research in Music Cognition*, *13*(1–2), 119–132. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0094099

Steinbeis, N., Bernhardt, B. C., & Singer, T. (2015). Age-related differences in function and structure of rSMG and reduced functional connectivity with DLPFC explains heightened emotional egocentricity bias in childhood. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *10*(2), 302–310. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsu057

Tan, S.-L., Spackman, M. P., & Bezdek, M. A. (2007). Viewers' Interpretations of Film Characters' Emotions: Effects of Presenting Film Music Before or After a Character is Shown. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, *25*(2), 135–152. https://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2007.25.2.135

Temperley, D. (2002). A Bayesian approach to key-finding. In *Music and artificial intelligence* (pp. 195–206). Springer. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/3-540-45722-4_18

Temperley, D. (2010). *Music and Probability*. The MIT Press.

Trainor, L. J., & Heinmiller, B. M. (1998). The development of evaluative responses to music: *Infant Behavior and Development*, *21*(1), 77–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-6383(98)90055-8

Ungerleider, L. (1994). "What" and "where" in the human brain. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *4*(2), 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4388(94)90066-3

van Atteveldt, N., Murray, M. M., Thut, G., & Schroeder, C. E. (2014). Multisensory Integration: Flexible Use of General Operations. *Neuron*, *81*(6), 1240–1253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.044

Van Overwalle, F. (2009). Social cognition and the brain: A meta-analysis. *Human Brain Mapping*, *30*(3), 829–858. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20547

Van Overwalle, F., Van den Eede, S., Baetens, K., & Vandekerckhove, M. (2009). Trait inferences in goal-directed behavior: ERP timing and localization under spontaneous and intentional processing. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *4*(2), 177–190. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsp003

Varoquaux, G., & Poldrack, R. A. (2019). Predictive models avoid excessive reductionism in cognitive neuroimaging. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *55*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.11.002

Völlm, B. A., Taylor, A. N. W., Richardson, P., Corcoran, R., Stirling, J., McKie, S., Deakin, J. F. W., & Elliott, R. (2006). Neuronal correlates of theory of mind and empathy: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study in a nonverbal task. *NeuroImage*, *29*(1), 90–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.07.022

Vuilleumier, P. (2015). Affective and motivational control of vision. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, *28*(1), 29–35. https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000159

Vuilleumier, P., Richardson, M. P., Armony, J. L., Driver, J., & Dolan, R. J. (2004). Distant influences of amygdala lesion on visual cortical activation during emotional face processing. *Nature Neuroscience*, *7*(11), 1271–1278. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1341

Wernicke, C. (1874). The symptom complex of aphasia: A psychological study on an anatomical basis. *Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, *4*, 34–97. Scopus.