Quantifying Cell-State Densities in Single-Cell Phenotypic Landscapes using Mellon

Dominik Otto[1,2,3], Cailin Jordan[1,2,3,4]*, Brennan Dury[1,2,3]*, Christine Dien[1,2,3], Manu Setty[1,2,3]+

[1] Basic Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle WA

[2] Computational Biology Program, Public Health Sciences Division, Seattle WA

[3] Translational Data Science IRC, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle WA

[4] Molecular and Cellular Biology Program, University of Washington, Seattle WA

* Equal contribution

+ Corresponding author: Manu Setty (msetty@fredhutch.org)

<u>Abstract</u>

Cell-state density characterizes the distribution of cells along phenotypic landscapes and is crucial for
unraveling the mechanisms that drive cellular differentiation, regeneration, and disease. Here, we present
Mellon, a novel computational algorithm for high-resolution estimation of cell-state densities from single-
cell data. We demonstrate Mellon's efficacy by dissecting the density landscape of various differentiating
systems, revealing a consistent pattern of high-density regions corresponding to major cell types
intertwined with low-density, rare transitory states. Utilizing hematopoietic stem cell fate specification to
B-cells as a case study, we present evidence implicating enhancer priming and the activation of master
regulators in the emergence of these transitory states. Mellon offers the flexibility to perform temporal
interpolation of time-series data, providing a detailed view of cell-state dynamics during the inherently
continuous developmental processes. Scalable and adaptable, Mellon facilitates density estimation
across various single-cell data modalities, scaling linearly with the number of cells. Our work underscores
the importance of cell-state density in understanding the differentiation processes, and the potential of
Mellon to provide new insights into the regulatory mechanisms guiding cellular fate decisions.

Introduction

Cell differentiation is a dynamic process that underpins the development and function of all multicellular organisms. Understanding how cells are distributed along differentiation trajectories is critical for deciphering the mechanisms that drive cellular differentiation, pinpointing the key regulators and characterizing the dysregulation of these processes in disease. Cell-state density is a representation of this distribution of cells and is impacted by biological process spanning proliferation to apoptosis (**Fig. 1B**, **Supplementary Fig. 1A-C**). For instance, proliferation can increase the number of cells in a state, resulting in high cell-state density (**Fig. 1B**). Cells converge to checkpoints that ensure the fidelity of the differentiation process, also leading to high cell-state density (**Fig. 1B**). In contrast, transcriptional acceleration, as seen in rare transitory cells, lead to lower cell-state density (**Fig. 1B**). Finally, apoptosis decreases the number of cells in a state, also resulting in low cell-state density (**Fig. 1B).** As a result of these influences, cell-state density of differentiation landscapes is likely not uniform (**Fig. 1A**) but exhibit rich heterogeneity of high- and low-density regions (**Fig. 1B**).

Single-cell studies have underscored the importance of the heterogeneous nature of cell-state density in single-cell phenotypic landscapes[1-3]. Rapid and coordinated transcriptional acceleration leading to low-density transitory states connecting higher-density regions have been demonstrated to be a hallmark of developmental progression in diverse biological contexts from plants to humans[4-7]. Rare transitory cells have also emerged as critical entities in the processes of differentiation[1], reprogramming[8], and the emergence of metastasis[9]. Despite the central importance of cell-state density, current approaches for density estimation in single-cell data often produce noisy results and struggle to provide biologically meaningful interpretation (**Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Here, we introduce Mellon, a novel computational algorithm to estimate cell-state density from single-cell data (**Fig. 1C**-**G**). The core principle of Mellon is based on the intrinsic relationship between neighbor distances and density, whereby distribution of nearest neighbor distances is linked with cell-state density using a Poisson distribution (**Fig. 1C**). Mellon then connects densities between highly similar cell-states using Gaussian processes to accurately and robustly compute cell-state densities that characterize single-cell phenotypic landscapes (**Fig. 1D-E**). Unlike existing approaches that interpret single-cell datasets solely as a collection of discrete cell states, Mellon infers a *continuous density function* across the high-dimensional cell-state space (**Fig. 1F**), capturing the essential characteristics of the cell population in its entirety. The density function can also be used to determine cell-state densities at single-cell resolution (**Fig. 1G**). Mellon is designed to efficiently scale to increasingly prevalent atlas-scale single-cell datasets and can be employed to infer cell-state density from diverse single-cell data modalities.

We applied Mellon to dissect the density landscape of human hematopoiesis, revealing numerous high-density regions corresponding to major cell types, intertwined with low-density, rare transitory cells. We discovered a strong correlation between low-density regions and cell-fate specification, suggesting that that lineage specification in hematopoiesis is driven by accelerated transcriptional changes. Exploration of the open chromatin landscape during lineage specification hinted at the role of enhancer priming in facilitating these transcriptional changes. Furthermore, extending Mellon's framework to time-series datasets enabled us to compute time-continuous cell-state densities and interpolate cell-state densities between observed timepoints, providing a high-resolution view of the cell-state dynamics during erythroid differentiation in mouse gastrulation. Mellon, a scalable and user-friendly open-source software package, complete with documentation and tutorials, is available at **github.com/settylab/Mellon**.

Results

The Mellon modeling approach

Mellon aims to compute cell-state densities within the intricate, high-dimensional single-cell phenotypic landscapes. Two major challenges need to be resolved to estimate cell-state densities: First, the high-dimensionality of single-cell data is an inherent computational obstacle, which Mellon overcomes by leveraging the relationship between density and neighbor distances (**Methods**). The second challenge lies in ensuring the precise and reliable density estimation in low-density states, which often represent rare, transitory cells that play critical roles in a range of biological systems[1,8-10]. To address this, Mellon employs a strategy of estimating a continuous density function over the entire single-cell landscape. This approach enhances both the accuracy and robustness of density estimation (**Methods**). Moreover, the density function encapsulates a smooth and continuous portrayal of the high-dimensional phenotypic landscape, enabling density estimation not only for individual measured cells—thus achieving single-cell resolution—but also for unobserved cell-states, offering a comprehensive depiction of the entire cell population (**Fig 1, Supplementary Fig. 1D**).

Mellon's utilization of neighbor distances and inference of continuous density function is underpinned by two well-established principles of single-cell analysis. First, Mellon assumes that distances between cells in the chosen representation of the phenotypic landscape are biologically meaningful and thus represent a valid measure of cell-to-cell similarity. We refer to such a space as *cell-state space,* where each point signifies a distinct cell state. To construct such a representation, we employ diffusion maps[11], a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique that has been demonstrated to reliably and robustly represent the single-cell phenotypic landscape[12,13]. Moreover, distances within diffusion space are considered more biologically informative than relying on gene expression-based distances (such as PCA)[12-14] due to its consideration of potential cell-state transition trajectories.

The second assumption Mellon relies on is that density changes from cell-to-cell are smooth and continuous in nature i.e., Mellon assumes that cells with high degrees of similarity possess similar densities. The inherent molecular heterogeneity of cells, primarily due to the subtle differences in gene expression, supports these smooth density transitions. Further, single-cell studies have revealed that cells experience gradual, rather than abrupt, changes in gene expression, providing empirical support for this assumption[1,15-17].

Mellon first computes distance to the nearest neighbor for each cell in the cell-state space. We then capitalize on the stochastic relationship between density and neighbor distances, where cells in higher density states tend to exhibit shorter distances to their nearest neighbors, whereas cells in lower density states tend to have longer distances (**Supplementary Fig 3A**). Formally, Mellon relates the nearest neighbor distance to local density through the nearest neighbor distribution by employing a Poisson point process (**Fig. 1C-D**, **Methods**). Nearest neighbor distribution describes the probability of another cell-state existing within some distance of a given cell-state. Intuitively, regions with higher density of cell-states correspond to tighter nearest-neighbor distributions, while lower densities result in broader distributions (**Fig. 1D**).

Mellon then connects densities between highly related cells to estimate a continuous density function. The true density function can be arbitrarily complex depending on the biological system. Mellon therefore employs Gaussian Process (GP) in a Bayesian model to approximate this function without assuming a specific functional form (**Fig. 1D**). GPs are a mathematical framework to model the patterns and relationships among data points and, are highly effective for scenarios where the true functional form is intricate or unknown and where observations are limited[18,19]. GPs are thus ideally suited for density

estimation from noisy single-cell data. They achieve their robustness by incorporating the smoothness
assumption through a covariance kernel, facilitating sharing of information between adjacent
observations. In Mellon, the covariance kernel of the GP encodes cell-state similarity and determines the
influence of nearby cells on density estimates at a specific state (**Methods**). This covariance kernel is
effectively computed for all pairs of cells and thus ensures the appropriate weightage of nearby cells in
both high- and low-density states (**Supplementary Fig 3B-F**). Finally, Mellon adopts a scalable Bayesian
inference approach, tailored for atlas-scale single-cell datasets. The scalability is in large part achieved
by employing a sparse Gaussian Process that approximates the full covariance structure using a set of
landmark points (**Methods**).

The density function derived by Mellon is a continuous representation of the single-cell phenotypic
landscape (**Fig. 1E, Supplementary Fig. 1D**). This function enables density estimation at single-cell
resolution (**Fig. 1F**). Visualizing cell-state densities with methods such as UMAPs (**Fig**. **1F**) simplifies the
exploration of high- and low-density cell states in differentiation landscapes. Within the cell-state density
landscape, we discerned what we term *regions* – connected subsets within the cell-state space with
similar density characteristics. Such regions represent collections of closely related cell states that cells
appear to inhabit (*high-density* regions) or traverse (*low-density* regions) during their differentiation
journey (**Fig. 1F**).

To assess Mellon's accuracy, we generated simulated datasets composed of either discrete clusters or
continuous trajectories, using mixtures of Gaussians in ten to twenty dimensions (**Supplementary Fig.
4A, D, G**). Comparing the ground truth density from the Gaussian mixtures to Mellon-inferred density
demonstrated strong agreement, showcasing Mellon's capability to accurately estimate cell-state
densities in high-dimensional spaces (**Supplementary Fig. 4**).

Density landscape of hematopoiesis with Mellon

Hematopoiesis, the process through which the blood and immune cells differentiate from hematopoietic
stem cells (HSCs), provides an ideal paradigm to understand and model differentiation[20]. We therefore
utilized a previously generated single-cell multiome dataset of T-cell depleted bone marrow[21]
representing human hematopoietic differentiation (**Fig. 2A**) to evaluate the performance of Mellon and
interpret the inferred cell-state densities.

We used diffusion maps to derive a representation of hematopoietic cell-states and applied Mellon to
infer density in this high-dimensional cell-state space (**Fig. 2B**). The resulting density landscape exhibited
considerable heterogeneity, with numerous high-density regions, corresponding to major cell types,
interconnected by low-density regions indicative of rare transitory cells (**Fig. 2B**). Monocytes, for instance,
exhibited the highest cell-state density (**Fig. 2C**), which is consistent with their status as the most
prevalent leukocyte in hematopoiesis and their emergence from bone marrow in a naïve state[22].
Intriguingly, we observed noticeable fluctuation in density within several cell-type clusters, suggesting an
inherent heterogeneity, a nuance often masked when cells are grouped together by clustering methods
(**Fig. 2C**).

For a more comprehensive understanding of the hematopoietic density landscape, we utilized our
trajectory detection algorithm Palantir[14] to determine a pseudo-temporal ordering of cells representing
developmental progression and cell-fate propensies that quantify the probability of each cell to
differentiate to a terminal cell-type (**Supplementary Fig. 5A-B**). We compared cell-state density along
pseudotime for each lineage and observed that the increase in fate propensity towards the lineage is
strongly correlated with the first low-density region in each lineage (**Fig. 2D-E, Supplementary Fig. 5C-**

D). Low-density regions therefore appear to be a hallmark of cell-fate specification in hematopoiesis.
These low-density regions from HSCs to fate-committed cells encompasses <0.4% of the data and under
<0.01% of bone marrow cells, demonstrating the ability of Mellon to identify low-frequency rare transitory
cells (**Fig. 2E**).

The occurrence of low-density regions in density landscapes can be attributed to accelerated gene
expression changes, divergence, or apoptosis (**Supplementary Fig. 1A-C**). Apoptosis during
hematopoietic cell-fate commitment has been shown to be minimal[23]. Further, divergence or spread of
cell states, while theoretically possible, would likely result in a broader distribution rather than the
observed tight trajectories. Therefore, our results strongly suggest that hematopoietic lineage
specification events occur through low-density regions induced by rapid and accelerated gene expression
changes.

We next devised a gene change analysis procedure to identify genes with high expression change in
low-density regions (**Methods**). Our procedure consists of two steps: (1) We first determine local
variability for each gene, which represents the change in expression of the gene in a cell-state. Local
variability for a gene is determined as follows: For each state, we computed the absolute difference in
gene expression to its neighbors. The differences are normalized by distance between states and the
maximum of these normalized differences is nominated as the local variability of the gene. (2) Genes are
then ranked by the weighted average of local variability across cells spanning a low-density region and
the flanking high-density regions. Inverse of density are used as weights to ensure genes with higher
expression change in low-density regions are ranked higher. Thus, gene change analysis quantifies the
influence of a gene in driving state transitions in low-density regions (**Methods**).

We applied the gene change analysis procedure to identify genes that drive hematopoietic fate
specifications by selecting cells spanning hematopoietic stem-cells to fate committed cells along each
lineage (**Supplementary Fig. 6A**). Upregulated genes in each lineage transition were enriched for
lineage identity genes whereas downregulated genes across lineages were associated with stem cell
programs (**Supplementary Fig. 6B-C)**, indicating that changes that underlie cell-fate specification in
hematopoiesis occur in low-density regions. Notably, we observed genes with higher expression levels
specifically in low-density states, suggesting that despite their transitory nature, certain gene regulatory
programs are uniquely adapted to facilitate these transitions (**Supplementary Fig. 6**).

We next utilized Mellon densities and associated genes to investigate B-cell fate specification. Genes
with high change scores in this low-density region were enriched for modulators of B-cell lineage
specification with their roles traversing transcriptional regulation, intracellular signaling and cell migration.
Transcription factor EBF1 had the highest change score (**Supplementary Fig. 7A**), aligning with its role
as the master regulator of B-cell differentiation[24]. In fact, the upregulation of EBF1 is exquisitely localized
to the low-density region between stem and B-lineage committed cells (**Fig. 2F**), with similar dynamics
observed in other critical B-cell commitment regulators such as PAX5 and IL7R (**Supplementary Fig.
7B-C**). From a signaling point of view, we observed an upregulation of IL-7 responsive Stat signaling
targets in the same low-density cells concurrent with IL7R upregulation (**Fig. 2G, Supplementary Fig.
7C**). These observations are consistent with previous studies that have illustrated the vital role of IL-7
driven activation of STAT5 in a rare precursor population for B-cell specification[1]. Finally, genes such as
NEGR1, with documented roles in cell adhesion and migration[25], also score high (**Supplementary Fig.
7B)**, demonstrating that the spatio-temporal continuum of B-cell differentiation within the bone marrow is
executed as rapid transcriptional changes through low-density cell-states.

These findings underscore the potential of Mellon to uncover rare, biologically significant cell populations.
They also demonstrate that rapid transcriptional changes that drive state transitions in low-density

regions are shaped by an intricate interplay of cell-autonomous and extrinsic factors, highlighting how
Mellon can help unravel this complexity.

Following fate specification, B-cell development is a highly orchestrated process where cells transition
through checkpoints as they gain functional and non-self-reactive B-cell receptors[26]. We analyzed Mellon
densities along pseudotime and observed that B-cell differentiation is defined by alternating high- and
low-density regions (**Fig. 2H**). Using marker gene expression and gene change score analysis, we
inferred that every high-density peak represents a well-characterized checkpoint, and every checkpoint
corresponds to a high-density peak (**Fig. 2H**, **Supplementary Fig 7D**). This also suggests that
checkpoint releases manifest as low-density states. Since apoptosis has only been extensively observed
in the transition from Pre-Pro B-cells to Pre-B-cells[1,26], our results suggest that cells rapidly change their
state upon checkpoint release until they reach the next checkpoint, where they converge to create high-
density regions.

As a test of robustness of these results, we assessed Mellon's reproducibility by computing cell-state
densities for single-cell datasets of bone marrow cells from eight independent donors from the Human
Cell Atlas[27]. Densities were highly consistent across the donors, demonstrating consistent observation of
high- and low-density regions across the hematopoietic landscape (**Supplementary Fig. 8A-B**).
Moreover, density patterns along the B-cell differentiation trajectories were also consistent between the
samples, reinforcing the reliability and reproducibility of Mellon density estimates (**Supplementary Fig.
8C**).

Versatility of Mellon cell-state densities
We investigated whether cell-state density is a fundamental property of the homeostatic system by
investigating whether cell-state density is restored upon regeneration. We utilized a single-cell dataset of
lung regeneration where lungs were profiled using scRNA-seq following injuries induced with bleomycin
(**Fig. 2I**)[28]. We applied Mellon to compute cell-state densities before injury and upon recovery.
Remarkably, we observed that the density landscape reverts to the homeostatic state upon regeneration
from injury (**Fig. 2J**, **Fig.**, **Supplementary Fig. 9**). This observation suggests that cell-state density, while
fundamental to tissue homeostasis, is also reflective of the tissue regenerate state. As the tissue recovers
from injury, the restoration of the original cell-state density landscape could serve as an indicator of
successful tissue regeneration.

We further explored Mellon's versatility by applying it to a variety of homeostatic biological systems such
as pancreatic development[29], endoderm differentiation[30] and spatial organization of intestinal tissues [31].
The recurring observation of high- and low-density regions across these diverse systems suggests that
these patterns are a ubiquitous feature of single-cell phenotypic landscapes (**Supplementary Fig. 10**).
These density variations supply a wealth of biological insight beyond abstract quantities: High-density
regions across systems typically correspond to key developmental checkpoints or bottlenecks, while low-
density regions often represent rare transitory cells undergoing rapid transcriptional changes to bridge
the denser areas (**Supplementary Fig. 10**).

These findings emphasize the effectiveness of Mellon for accurately characterizing differentiation
landscapes and highlight the importance of scrutinizing both high- and low-density regions for a holistic
understanding of the differentiation processes. Mellon's fine-grained resolution also aids the identification
of rare transitory cells, a critical element of diverse biological phenomena.

Mellon produces robust cell-state densities
We next assessed the robustness of Mellon cell-state densities across different parameters. The number
of cells measured in a dataset is a crucial factor affecting the accuracy and reliability of density estimates.

We performed subsampling experiments and compared the results to those obtained using the full
dataset by leveraging the continuous nature of Mellon. Our subsampling experiments show that Mellon's
density estimates are highly robust to subsampling, even when reducing the number of cells by an order
of magnitude across different datasets (**Supplementary Fig. 11,12**). Density estimates are also robust
to variations in the number of diffusion components (**Supplementary Fig. 13**), dimensionality
(**Supplementary Fig. 14**), the number of landmarks (**Supplementary Fig. 15**), and the length-scale
heuristic employed for scalability (**Supplementary Fig. 16**). These findings underscore the reliability of
Mellon's density estimation approach, which can provide accurate and robust results even with limited
data.
258
Finally, we compared Mellon to existing approaches for cell-state density estimation. Densities have been
approximated as the inverse of distance to kth nearest neighbor[2,14] due to computational complexity.
However, due to the inherent noise and sparsity of scRNA-seq data, these approaches often fail to
generate robust density estimates (**Supplementary Fig. 2A-B**). The characteristic high- and low-density
regions identified by Mellon could not be demarcated by densities estimated solely from nearest neighbor
distances (**Supplementary Fig. 2B-D**). Given this noise, 2D embeddings, such as UMAPs, have been
widely utilized for density computation. While such embeddings are effective for visualization, the low-
dimensionality restricts their capacity to encapsulate all biologically significant variability. The UMAP
density estimates for the T-cell depleted bone marrow data are dominated by the most dominant cell-
type, i.e., monocytes (**Supplementary Fig. 2C**) with no discernable variability in the other lineages
(**Supplementary Fig. 2D**). Thus cell-state density estimation using Mellon substantially outperforms
existing approaches in accuracy, and biological interpretability.
271
Enhancer priming as a catalyst for rapid transcriptional changes in low density cell-
states.
We next turned our attention to the mechanisms that regulate the rapid transcriptional changes that
generate rare transitory cells during lineage specification. Previous studies have identified extensive
priming of lineage-specifying genes in hematopoietic stem cells, where gene loci are maintained in an
open chromatin state through pre-established enhancers, even in the absence of gene expression[32-34].
Moreover, enhancer priming has been implicated to play a role in rapid transcriptional responses to stimuli
in hematopoietic cells[35].
280
Building on these findings, we hypothesize that the rapid upregulation of lineage specifying genes as
cells transition from HSCs (a high-density region) to fate committed cells (another high-density region) is
in part driven by enhancer priming. In this scenario, the loci of lineage-specifying genes are maintained
in an accessible state in HSCs through pre-established enhancers. A combination of cell-autonomous
and extrinsic factors trigger the upregulation of a small set of master regulators, which in turn rapidly
upregulate the expression of lineage-specifying genes in a coordinated manner. Thus, the combined
activity of pre-established enhancers in HSCs and lineage-specific enhancers established by master
regulators could produce the rapid transcriptional changes that underpin rare transitory cells in low-
density states (**Supplementary Fig. 17**).
290
We used the transition from HSC to B-cells as the case-study (**Fig. 3C**) to test our hypothesis. We
leveraged the multiomic nature of our T cell depleted bone marrow dataset, with measurements of both
expression (RNA) and chromatin accessibility (ATAC) in the same single cells (**Supplementary Fig. 18**).
The first step is to delineate the primed and lineage-specific peaks associated with a gene. The noise

and sparsity of scATAC data means that determination of individual peak accessibility at single-cell level
is extremely unreliable[36]. Therefore, we devised a procedure to disentangle primed and lineage-specific
peaks associated with a gene using different levels of abstractions (**Supplementary Fig. 19A, Methods**):
First, we used our SEACells algorithm[21] to aggregate highly-related cells into metacells and identified the
set of peaks with accessibility that significantly correlate with gene expression (**Fig. 3A**). We then
identified the subset of these peaks with greater accessibility in B-cells compared to other lineages by
comparing accessibility between cell-types at the metacell resolution. This approach ensures the
exclusion of ubiquitous and low-signal peaks while retaining peaks that are important for B-cell fate
specification. Finally, we classified each peak as primed if it was accessible in HSCs, and as lineage-
specific if its accessibility was B-cell restricted (**Fig. 3B**). We verified that the accessibility of lineage-
specific peaks was near exclusive to B-cells and that of primed peaks were higher in HSCs and B cells
(**Supplementary Fig. 19B-C**).
307
We identified the set of genes with high change scores in B-cell specification using our gene change
analysis procedure (**Supplementary Fig. 20A**). We then used the subset of these genes with
upregulation in B-cell lineage and those with at least 5 peaks correlated with expression to test our
hypothesis. >80% of these genes were associated with at least one primed peak and one lineage-specific
peak (**Supplementary Fig. 20A**), implicating enhancer priming as vital to their upregulation. In contrast,
none of the genes associated with the erythroid fate specification demonstrated B-cell primed peaks. To
characterize the dynamics of these peaks during lineage specification, we computed two accessibility
scores for each gene at single-cell resolution: (i) primed score, defined as the aggregated accessibility of
all primed peaks correlated with the gene and (ii)lineage-specific score, defined as the aggregated
accessibility of all lineage-specific peaks correlated with the gene (**Methods**). We first used these scores
to examine the dynamics of EBF1, the gene with the highest change score in the low-density region of
B-cell fate specification (**Fig. 3D**) and the master regulator of B-cell differentiation[24]. We observed that
primed peaks were open in stem cells as expected and increased in accessibility as B-cell fate was
specified (**Fig. 3E**, orange line). This was followed by the establishment and stabilization of lineage-
specific peaks (**Fig. 3E,** blue line) and finally lineage-specific upregulation of EBF1, highlighting the role
played by enhancer priming in the upregulation of EBF1. We next examined the genes upregulated in B-
cell lineage specification with primed and lineage-specific peaks, and observed a similar pattern to EBF1,
along with a coordinated upregulation that follows EBF1 expression (**Fig. 3E-F, Supplementary Fig.
20C**). Finally, we used in-silico ChIP[37] to identify that almost every gene in our gene set is a predicted
target of either EBF1 or PAX5 (**Fig. 3H**), consistent with our hypothesis and the proposed role of EBF1
as a trigger for a "big-bang" of B-cell development[38].
329
Our results support a mechanism where enhancer priming and subsequent activation of master
regulators lead to a rapid and coordinated upregulation of genes, resulting in the emergence of rare
transitory cells that confer lineage identity. These results highlight the importance of taking cell-state
density into consideration for understanding gene regulatory networks that drive cell-fate specification.
Our approach to determine primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores for each gene utilizes the
history of peak establishment, a feature unaccounted for by most current techniques, which tend to
aggregate all peaks in proximity of a gene to derive a single gene score[32,36] (**Supplementary Fig. 20D-
E**). Finally, the expression and accessibility trends were determined using Gaussian process with the
function estimator implemented in Mellon, highlighting another utility of the Mellon framework
(**Supplementary Fig. 21**, Methods).
340

Identification of master regulators with Mellon

While master regulators have been identified for several hematopoietic lineages, the mechanisms controlling lineage-specific upregulation of these master regulators remain largely elusive. To investigate whether the regulation of EBF1 could be clarified through cell-state density, we adapted our approach to compute gene-change scores to rank the EBF1 correlated peaks by their accessibility change score in the low-density region of B-cell specification (**Methods**). Interestingly, the top peak in this analysis was almost exclusively accessible in the low-density region (**Fig. 3I**). We employed in silico ChIP to identify transcription factors with a strong predicted signal to bind this peak and observed that top 10 enriched motifs were exclusively comprised of IRF and SOX motifs. Interestingly, the increase in accessibility in the peaks is concurrent with upregulation of the transcription factor SOX4 (**Fig. 3J**), a known regulator of EBF1 during B-cell development[39]. These results clarify the temporal order of transcriptional events where upregulation of SOX4 leads to lineage-specific expression of EBF1 to establish B-cell fate and also suggest the specific set of regulatory elements that drive this mechanism.

The strong association of EBF1 expression with low-density transition (**Supplementary Fig. 20A**) and the high number of expression-correlated peaks (**Supplementary Fig. 19E**), coupled with the coordinated upregulation of its targets (**Fig. 3H**), suggests a paradigm for identifying master regulators via Mellon densities. Additionally, identifying peaks whose accessibility changes are strongly associated with cell-state density can offer insights into the regulation of the master regulators themselves.

Exploring Time-Series Single-Cell Datasets with Mellon to Understand Mouse Gastrulation

Time-series single-cell datasets are invaluable for understanding the intricate dynamic processes driving development, as they provide snapshots of the changes in cell-type and cell-state compositions during a fast-changing process. Although various computational methods exist to model trajectories using time-series data[8,40-43], they typically represent these changes as discrete steps between measured timepoints and thus are limiting when studying inherently continuous processes like embryonic development. To better represent these processes, we investigated if we could utilize Mellon's continuous density functions to construct a *time-continuous* cell-state density function. This function will span not just the observed timepoints, but can also interpolate densities at unobserved times, enabling a truly continuous view of the shifting cell-state density landscape during development.

We used the mouse gastrulation atlas[44], a single-cell dataset of 116,312 cells spanning gastrulation and early organogenesis (E6.5-E8.5) (**Fig. 4A**) for exploration of time-continuous densities. We first applied Mellon to each timepoint individually and observed considerable variability in cell-state densities over time (**Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. 22**). Interestingly, we observed that the emergence of new cell types or lineages was often marked by a low-density transition (**Fig. 4B**), highlighting the "fits and starts" nature of developmental progression[5].

Mellon's capacity to generate a continuous density function enables it to estimate densities for cell states that were not part of the training data (**Fig. 1**). To demonstrate this, we used the density function associated with each specific time point to calculate densities across cells of all timepoints (**Fig. 4C**). In essence, we estimated the likelihood of each cell state being observed at a different time point. This unique feature of Mellon allows for comparison of cell-state densities across various developmental stages by calculating the correlation between the pair of time-point densities within the same cell state (**Supplementary Fig. 23**). Interestingly, embryonic stage E7.75 was least similar to neighboring

387   timepoints, indicating the completion of gastrulation and onset of organogenesis at E7.75
388   (**Supplementary Fig. 23A-D**).
389
We next constructed a time-continuous cell-state density of mouse gastrulation by incorporating
measurement time as a covariate. We devised a procedure to ensure that the covariance of measurement
times between cells reflects the empirically observed correlation between timepoint densities (**Methods**,
Supplementary Fig. 23E-F) to construct a density function that is continuous in both *time* and *cell-state.*
Therefore, we can estimate cell-state densities at any desired timepoint situated between the measured
instances (**Fig. 4D, Supplementary Video 1**). Thus, by leveraging the temporally related data, we
enhanced the cell-state distribution of individual time points - a cell state present in preceding and
following time points is likely to exist in the current time point, even if it hasn't been directly observed.
398
399   To validate this approach, we performed leave-one-out cross-validation by comparing density computed
400   exclusively from a timepoint with the interpolated density computed by omitting the same timepoint. The
401   two densities are highly correlated even for timepoint E7.75 (**Supplementary Fig. 24**), which is least
402   similar to its neighbors, providing a clear validation of our approach.
403
Importantly, our time-continuous approach also enables the quantification of rates of density change. By
taking the derivative of the time-continuous density along the time axis, we can assess the rates of
enrichment or depletion for every cell state at any time (**Fig. 4D, Supplementary Video 1**). Our analysis
reveals that the initial phase of gastrulation is predominantly characterized by growth, with a nearly
constant abundance of epiblast and primitive streak cells—a finding in line with prior studies noting high
proliferation[45] (**Supplementary Fig. 25A**). Following this phase, a sharp transition occurs at E7.5, where
a rapid decline of epiblast and primitive streak cells signals the completion of the gastrulation process
(**Supplementary Fig. 25B-C**). Finally, another transition at E8.375 marks the emergence of ectodermal
and endodermal structures, accompanied by a concomitant decline in their respective progenitors
(**Supplementary Fig. 25D**). These findings underscore the power and potential of employing time-
continuous cell-state density modeling to provide a high-resolution depiction of the developmental
process in its entirety.
416
The application of time-continuous cell-state densities can also offer insight into the dynamics of cell
abundance along specific developmental lineages. As a case study, we chose to investigate
erythropoiesis during gastrulation, given its well-understood process. Using the full gastrulation atlas and
Palantir[14] we identified cells predisposed to differentiate into erythroid lineage and derived a pseudo-
temporal ordering of these cells (**Supplementary Fig 26**). Leveraging our time-continuous cell-state
density function, we approximated densities along pseudo-time, which revealed a continuous progression
of cells toward the erythroid state (**Fig. 4F**). Interestingly, there is a strong alignment between pseudotime
and real time indicating a linear dependency in the erythroid lineage. Note that the persistent high density
of early epiblast cells likely represents cells differentiating into cell types other than the erythroid lineage.
426
Further, the dynamics of cell-type proportion along real time can be investigated by computing the
marginal of the density representation that contrasts real-time versus pseudo-time (**Fig. 4G**). This
visualization allowed us to precisely pinpoint the timespan during which hematoendothelial progenitors,
the earliest precursors of erythroid cells, emerge from the nascent mesoderm (**Fig. 4G**). Notably, the
proportion of hematoendothelial cells remains relatively stable across time, indicating their transient
presence without expansion in the cell population. In stark contrast, blood progenitor cells (Type 2)
undergo a substantial increase in their proportion following their emergence, suggesting a period of

accelerated cell division. Therefore, our time interpolation offers valuable insights into the progression of
cell type abundances and allows for high resolution predictions of the emergence of specific cell types.
436
437 Our results showcase Mellon's capability to provide a comprehensive, time-continuous perspective on
438 cell-state densities during development and reprogramming.
439

Mellon infers densities from single-cell chromatin data.

Single-cell chromatin profiling techniques such as single-cell ATAC-seq[16], CUT&Tag[46,47], and sortChIC-
seq[48] are revolutionizing the study of interplay between gene expression and chromatin landscape in
disease and differentiation. We developed Mellon to be adaptable to different single-cell modalities,
making it a valuable addition to the computational toolkit for these emerging techniques. Given their
robust representation of cell-states, we use diffusion maps for deriving a cell-state space for density
inference through Mellon. Diffusion maps rely only on distances between similar cells and thus can be
constructed for most single-cell data modalities following appropriate pre-processing[21].

To evaluate Mellon's adaptability to scATAC-seq data, we computed diffusion maps from the ATAC
modality of the T-cell depleted bone marrow dataset[21] and applied Mellon for cell-state density inference.
Similar to gene expression, Mellon reveals substantial chromatin-state density variability
(**Supplementary Fig. 27A**). High- and low-density states corresponded respectively to major cell-types
or checkpoints and rare transitory cells (**Supplementary Fig. 27A**). Applied to a mouse model of lung
adenocarcinoma[49], we observed extensive chromatin-state density variability amongst cells of the
primary tumors, with the transition to metastasis associated with a sharp decrease in density
(**Supplementary Fig. 27B**). We made similar observations with a larger-scale scRNA-seq dataset of the
same mouse model (**Supplementary Fig. 27C**)[9], consistent with previous studies which have
demonstrated that metastases are seeded by small group of cells [50].

While diffusion maps provide desirable properties for state representation from single-cell data, Mellon's
effectiveness is not tied to their specific properties. Mellon is capable of estimating densities in any
representation with a meaningful distance metric. To demonstrate this, we used Mellon to infer cell-state
densities from a MIRA representation[51] of a multimodal dataset of skin differentiation (**Supplementary
Fig. 27D**). Similar to observations with single modality datasets, we observed extensive variability in
densities with low-density regions corresponding to exit from the stem-cell state and specification of
different lineages (**Supplementary Fig. 27D**).

We also tested Mellon's ability to recover chromatin-state densities using single-cell histone modification
data. We applied Mellon to compute densities using a single-cell sortChIC dataset of histone
modifications in mouse hematopoiesis[48] using H3K4me1, a histone modification that marks enhancers
and H3K9me3, that marks heterochromatin (**Fig. 5A-D**). H3K4me1 densities demonstrated extensive
heterogeneity similar to single-cell RNA and ATAC (**Fig. 5E**). On the other hand, H3K9me3 densities are
relatively uniform and lower compared to H3K4me1 (**Fig. 5F**). While the lower density is likely reflective
of the noise in heterochromatin marks which tend to occur in broad megabase size domains, the relative
uniformity is likely reflective of the underlying biology: Active chromatin marks like H3K4me1 accurately
have been shown to distinguish cell types and states whereas heterochromatin mark H3K9me3 struggles
to achieve the same resolution[48]. This follows the function of H3K9me3 to aid in general repression of
other cell-fates rather than to actively establish cell-type identity[48]. To quantify the difference in
heterogeneity between the two marks, we subsampled cells from each mark and compared the rank of
the covariance matrices (**Fig. 5D**). The covariance rank is a measure of information content where greater
the rank, higher is the complexity of the system. The distribution of ranks is significantly higher for

H3K4me1 compared to H3K9me3 (p-value < 1e-30, Wilcoxon rank-sum test) demonstrating a greater
complexity across cell-types for the H3K4me1 histone modification.

Our results demonstrate the versatility of Mellon with diverse single-cell data modalities and data
representations. Mellon's ability to robustly and accurate identify cell-state densities from single-cell
chromatin data suggests a key utility in mechanistic investigations with emerging technologies that
concurrently measure active and repressive modifications[52,53].

Highly efficient and scalable: Mellon's power in atlas-scale single-cell analysis
There is a growing trend towards generation of atlas-scale datasets that profile millions of cells, as well
as integration of smaller datasets into large-scale data repositories[54,55]. To enable density computation
in these massive datasets, Mellon incorporates several features that enable efficient scalability: First,
Mellon uses a sparse Gaussian process, leveraging landmark points to approximate the covariance
matrix, facilitating the efficient handling of high-dimensional data, and reducing the computational
overhead associated with large datasets. Second, Mellon requires a single computation of the covariance
matrix, removing the need for continuous updates in every iteration and thus improving computational
efficiency. Finally, Mellon is built on the JAX python library, which is well-known for its high-performance
computing capabilities[56]. The utilization of JAX allows Mellon to optimize available hardware resources,
further enhancing its scalability and computational efficiency.

Mellon's architecture is designed to scale near linearly in time and memory requirements i.e., the runtime
grows proportionally with the number of cells when the number of landmarks is kept constant (**Fig. 6**). To
demonstrate the scalability, we used the T-cell depleted bone marrow (8.6k cells)[21], CD34+ bone marrow
(6.8k cells)[21], mouse gastrulation (116k)[44] and the iPS reprogramming dataset (250k cells)[8] spanning
datasets of different sizes and characteristics. For example, using a single CPU core and a default of
5000 landmarks, Mellon required only 100 seconds to process 10k cells and 17 minutes to process 100k
cells of the iPS dataset, including the computation of diffusion maps (**Fig. 6A**). Additionally, Mellon
benefits from parallelization, enabling even faster processing times (**Fig.6B**). This highlights Mellon's
efficiency in handling datasets of different sizes.

To further evaluate Mellon's scalability, we performed benchmarking on simulated datasets, where we
utilized a single CPU core and excluded the time for diffusion map computation. Mellon demonstrated its
capability to handle large-scale datasets by accurately computing densities on a dataset of ~6 million
simulated cells in less than 12 hours (**Supplementary Fig. 28A-D**). In addition, we investigated Mellon's
scalability with reduced numbers of landmarks. When the number of landmarks was decreased to 1000,
Mellon maintained its accuracy while requiring less than 7 hours to accurately estimate densities for a
simulated dataset of around 10 million cells (**Supplementary Fig. 28E-H**). These results highlight
Mellon's remarkable scalability to tackle the burgeoning demands of increasingly large single-cell
datasets.

Discussion

Rapid transcriptional changes that lead to rare transitory cells and thus induce differences in cell-state density have been well-documented as a fundamental property of developmental systems from plants to mammals[5]. Single-cell studies have reinforced the critical nature of rare transitory cells in diverse biological contexts such as development[42,44], differentiation[1], reprogramming[8], plasticity of tumors[10] and metastasis[9]. However, existing approaches for estimating cell-state densities have fundamental limitations: They either rely on noisy neighborhood-based estimates around individual cells or utilize 2D dimensional embeddings that do not capture the full complexity of cell-states. Mellon addresses this gap by providing a robust and accurate framework for estimating cell-state densities from high-dimensional cell-state representations. Mellon can be applied to dissect the density landscapes not only in differentiation and development but also during reprogramming, regeneration, and disease. We extended the Mellon framework to estimate time-continuous cell-state density for temporal interpolation of time-series data. The computational efficiency of Mellon allows for rapid density computations, enabling the analysis of large-scale single-cell datasets containing hundreds of thousands of cells within minutes. Furthermore, Mellon's flexibility supports density estimation for diverse single-cell data modalities, making it a versatile tool for investigating cell-state densities across various biological systems.

Mellon's innovative approach involves formalizing the connection between density and nearest neighbor distances using a Poisson process and establishing a link between cell-state similarity and density through Gaussian processes. This unique combination overcomes computational challenges in high-dimensional spaces and enhances the robustness and accuracy of density estimation. The scalability of Mellon is achieved through the utilization of sparse Gaussian processes, heuristic for length-scale optimization to avoid redundant computations of the covariance matrix, and implementation using efficient JAX libraries.

Our work underscores the significance of cell-state density in understanding differentiation trajectories and the potential of Mellon to provide new insights into the regulatory mechanisms guiding cell-fate decisions. We have demonstrated the effectiveness of Mellon in estimating cell-state density using hematopoietic differentiation. Mellon's ability to capture the heterogenous density landscapes, where high-density regions correspond to major cell-types and low-density regions represent rare transitory cells, is particularly evident. By incorporating our trajectory detection algorithm, Palantir, we have been able to observe a correlation between low-density regions and lineage specification. Further, our gene change analysis procedure helps identify gene expression changes that drive low-density transitions and can help elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms. This was particularly insightful during our investigation into B-cell fate specification, where the detection of low-density regions played a central role in identifying the importance of enhancer priming and characterizing the regulation of the master regulator EBF1. The pattern of alternating high- and low-density regions, observed during the process of B-cell development, further highlighted the dynamic nature of differentiation. Importantly, the consistency of our density estimates across independent donor samples highlights reproducibility and reliability of Mellon. Therefore, these findings provide a strong foundation for further exploration into the intricacies of cellular differentiation.

An important consideration for estimation of cell-state density is the inherent dimensionality of the cell-state space. Mellon by default uses the dimensionality of the cell-state space i.e., number of diffusion components for density estimation, but the intrinsic dimensionality is likely substantially lower. In other words, not all diffusion components are relevant to describe any given region or point in the cell-state space. With measures of intrinsic dimensionality[57], one can produce explicit units of density and make statements about how many more cells per volume can be expected at a given state. High-dimensionality

of the state-space also presents a challenge for automatic determination of high- and low-density regions.
Therefore, we compared densities with lower-dimensional projections such as pseudo-time to identify
such regions. Incorporation of density as a feature for clustering algorithms or the use of local context
density could lead to direct computation of such regions in the high-dimensional state-space.

We anticipate that the time-continuous cell-state densities for temporal interpolation will be a powerful
addition to the computational toolkit for modeling cell-state dynamics using time-series single-cell
datasets. Mellon provides capabilities to interpolate cell-state density and since the density function is
differentiable, it also supports the computation of density change at all times between measured time
points. Thus Mellon densities can serve as inputs for development of computational algorithms leveraging
advances in optimal transport[43] for a high-resolution characterization of cell-fate choices using time-series
data.

We have demonstrated that cell-state density is a fundamental property of the differentiation landscape
by observing that homeostatic density is re-established upon lung regeneration (**Fig. 2I-J**). Thus, the
Mellon cell-state density function can itself serve as a phenotype of that differentiation landscape that is
altered upon perturbation. Single-cell datasets in unperturbed and perturbed conditions can be jointly
embedded into a common state space such as diffusion maps and density functions can be computed
separately for each condition in the common space. Comparison of densities from different conditions
can not only provide estimates of differential abundance at unprecedented resolution but can also be
utilized to develop summary statistics that describe and quantify the nature of the perturbation across the
entire differentiation landscape.

Fundamentally, the density function estimated by Mellon provides a comprehensive description of the
differentiation landscape, representing the probability distribution of cells within different states. Unlike
many existing approaches that rely solely on the measured cell-states and number of cells, which can
introduce technical biases and impact the interpretation, Mellon's density function reflects the inherent
complexity of the biological system. As the number of measured cells increases, the density function
converges in complexity, allowing for a more accurate representation of the relative abundances of all
possible cell states. Mellon can be extended to support online learning, enabling the incremental
refinement of the density function with new data. Monte Carlo sampling approaches can leverage
Mellon's cell-state density function to generate synthetic cell-state data, which can greatly enhance data-
intensive machine learning models. By incorporating the richness of the density function, these synthetic
data can augment training sets and improve the performance and robustness of downstream analyses.
Further, the differentiability of Mellon's density function opens up possibilities for utilization of partial
differential equations. This enables the modeling of the differentiation process as a dynamical system
and facilitates the inference of regulatory dynamics underlying cellular transitions. By integrating Mellon's
density function within differential equation frameworks, one can gain deeper insights into the regulatory
mechanisms governing cellular differentiation and uncover key factors driving the dynamic processes.

Data Availability

All datasets used in the manuscript have been previously published and the accession numbers are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Mellon results and cell-type metadata information for the T-cell depleted bone marrow and the mouse gastrulation data are available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8118722.

Code Availability

Mellon is available as a Python module at https://github.com/settylab/Mellon. Jupyter notebooks detailing the usage of Mellon including cell-state density estimation, gene change computation, time-continuous cell-state density estimation, and enhancer classification are available at https://mellon.readthedocs.io/en/latest/. Pipelines for running SEACells, computing gene-peak correlations, primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores are available at https://github.com/settylab/atac_metacell_utilities.

Author Contributions

D. O. and M. S. conceived and designed the study, developed Mellon, developed additional analysis methods and statistical tests. D. O. and B. D. developed the heuristics, performed robustness analyses and implemented the framework. C. J. and M. S. performed analysis of enhancer dynamics. C. D. supported enhancer dynamics analysis. D. O., C. J. and M. S. wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Setty lab for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This study was supported by National Institute of General Medical Studies grant R35 GM147125 and Brotman Baty Institute Pilot Award to MS; National Institutes of Health grant ORIP S10OD028685 to support high-performance computing at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Mellon Algorithm

Mellon is a computational tool designed to infer cell-state densities from high-dimensional single-cell data.
The objective of Mellon is to characterize the complex density landscapes of single-cell data (**Fig. 1A-B**)
with density estimates that are robust even in low-density regions, while maintaining computational
efficiency.

Mellon's computational model is grounded on two core assumptions. Firstly, within the chosen
representation of cell states, smaller distances between cell states signify higher biological similarity. In
other words, we assume that biological dissimilarity can be effectively quantified by the Euclidean
distance within this representation. Secondly, we assume that cell-to-cell density changes are smooth
and continuous, meaning that cell states of high similarity are expected to have similar state densities.

The input to Mellon is a high-dimensional representation of the cell-states (e.g., Diffusion maps). The
Euclidean distance between these cell-states serves as a measure of biological dissimilarity. Mellon
outputs a *continuous density function* that allows evaluation of cell-state densities at single-cell resolution
(**Fig. 1E-F**). The densities are computed in the high-dimensional cell-state space and visualized using
low-dimensional embedding techniques such as UMAPs.

The Mellon framework contains the following major components:

• Mellon first calculates the distance to the nearest neighbor for each cell in the cell-state space,
following the first assumption.
• The distances are linked to density via the *Nearest-Neighbor Distribution (***Fig. 1C***)*.
• Densities between highly related cell-states are connected by the *Gaussian Process* and the
associated kernel function (**Fig. 1C-D**).
• A *Bayesian Model* (**Fig. 1D**) is deployed, integrating the nearest-neighbor distribution, kernel
function, and Gaussian Process to compute the continuous cell-state density function (**Fig. 1E**).

We next describe each of these components in detail along with our approach to scale Mellon for large
datasets.

Nearest-Neighbor Distribution
The core principle of Mellon relies on the relationship between nearest-neighbor distances and density,
as depicted in **Supplementary Figure 3**. This connection can be formalized using a Poisson point
process to define a nearest-neighbor *distribution*, which describes the probability of another cell-state
existing within some distance of a reference cell-state. Intuitively, regions with a higher density of cell-
states correspond to tighter nearest-neighbor distributions, while low-density regions result in broader
distributions (**Fig. 1C**).

For distance r and density ρ, the probability density function of the Nearest-Neighbor distribution
$f_{\text{NN}}: \mathbb{R}^{\text{d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ is given by

$$f_{\text{NN}}(r|\rho) = \exp\left(-\rho \cdot b(r,d)\right) \cdot \rho \frac{\mathrm{d}b(r,d)}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

663 where $b(r,d)$ is the volume of a d-dimensional ball with radius r. For a cell-state $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{d}}$ with a nearest
664 neighbor distance $\text{dn}(x)$, this probability density function gives rise to the following maximum likelihood

665   estimate for density if no prior is employed, formalizing the inverse relationship between nearest neighbor
666   distances and density as

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathsf{dn}(x)|d) = \frac{(d-1) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}{d \cdot \mathsf{dn}(x)^d \cdot \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

The derivation is detailed in **Supplementary Note 1**. The use of Poisson point process is facilitated by
the two key assumptions of Mellon: The use of Euclidean distance in the cell-state space is a critical
requirement for defining the probability density function. The second is the assumption of smoothness in
cell-to-cell density changes. This is crucial as it allows us to assume that the density at a given cell-state
corresponds to the average density within a sphere centered at that state, with the radius of the sphere
defined by the nearest neighbor distance.

Gaussian Process

Building upon the foundational connection between nearest neighbor distance and density, Mellon utilizes
Gaussian process (GP) priors to establish a relation between the densities of highly-similar cell-states,
facilitating a continuous density function estimation. Similarity between cell-states is encoded using the
covariance function of the Gaussian process. The random variable of the GP, denoted as $f(x)$, serves
as the approximation of the logarithm of the cell-state density. Two properties of GPs make them ideally
suitable for cell-state density estimation from single-cell data: (i) GPs can be used to describe arbitrarily
complex functional spaces where the true functional form is unknown and (ii) GPs provide robust
estimates even when small number of observations are available.

The GP is defined as follows:

$$f(x) \sim \mathsf{GP}\big(m, \mathsf{Matern52}(l)\big)$$

686   where m and $\mathsf{Matern52}(l)$ are the mean function and the Matern covariance function respectively. A more
687   detailed assessment is provided in **Supplementary Note 2**.

Mean function

689   The true log-cell-state density approaches negative infinity away from any observed cell state. However,
690   functions sampled by the Gaussian process approach the chosen mean. To approximate the true
691   behavior of density functions we choose a very small value for the mean m that implies a vanishingly
692   small probability for a distant cell state. This mean function is given by the constant:

$$m = P_{1\%}\big[\hat{\rho}(\mathsf{dn}(x_i)|d)_{i\in\{1\dots n\}}\big] - 10$$

694   where $P_{1\%}[\cdot]$ is the 1$^{\mathsf{st}}$ percentile of the given data, $\hat{\rho}$ is the heuristic maximum likelihood estimate for
695   density, and $\mathsf{dn}(x_i)$ is Nearest-Neighbor Distance of cell-state x_i in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The choice of this mean is
696   discussed in **Supplementary Note 3**.

Covariance Function and length scale

Similarities between cell-states are encoded through the GP covariance function or kernel. Specifically,
the kernel function defines the covariate structure between cell-states which translates to the smoothness
of the density function. Some commonly used kernels are arbitrarily smooth and allow arbitrary
differentiability. Assuming such smoothness can, however, lead to unrealistic results[19]. We therefore
chose to use the Matern covariance function with $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ as the kernel, which is exactly twice differentiable

and thus constrains the degree of smoothness of the density function. The Matern52 kernel for a pair of
cell states $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as:

705
$$\text{Matern52}(l)(x, y) = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{5} \, \| \, x - y \, \|_2}{l} + \frac{5 \, \| \, x - y \, \|_2^2}{3l^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5} \, \| \, x - y \, \|_2}{l}\right)$$

The covariate structure of the Gaussian process is governed by the length scale parameter, denoted as
l, which essentially determines the radius of influence around each cell state. Conceptually, the length
scale sets the reach of influence for each cell, defining the range within which other cells contribute to
the local density estimate (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). In areas of lower density, fewer but more
representative cells influence the density estimate, while in higher density areas, a larger number of cells
contribute. This scenario gives rise to an effective number of neighbors that is density-dependent, which
is a direct result of the distance-mediated impact on the local density estimate (**Supplementary Fig. 3**).

This method not only increases the reliability and robustness of density estimates, but it also enables the
creation of a continuously changing density function between cell states, offering a nuanced
representation of biological phenomena. Unlike the k-nearest neighbor methods for density estimation
that assign an equal weight to all k neighbors irrespective of their distances, the continuous covariance
function of the Gaussian process accounts for the distance between cells, smoothly adjusting the weight
of their contribution. The resulting impact on the local density estimate facilitates a more precise
representation of the cell-state landscape.

The ideal length scale strongly depends on the availability of data at different points of the cell-state
space and encompasses a specific amount of cells needed to support a reliable density estimate of a
given state. We therefore derived a heuristic for length scale as function of the mean nearest neighbor
distance between cells:

724
$$l = \exp\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \circ \, \text{dn}(x_j)\right)$$

725 Here, $\lambda = 3$ is a heuristic value inferred from an extensive cross-analysis of multiple datasets. The
726 derivation of the length-scale heuristic is described in **Supplementary Note 4**.

Sparse Gaussian Process
Gaussian process computation usually necessitates $O(n^3)$ operations, where n is the number of cells
and thus can be prohibitively expensive for large datasets. To address this computational challenge,
Mellon utilizes a sparse approximation of the GP. This approach substantially reduces the computational
complexity while maintaining the versatility and expressiveness of the full GP model.

The sparse GP in Mellon is constructed using a subset of data points, referred to as "landmark cell-
states," that essentially act as inducing points. These landmark states are chosen to capture the essential
structure of the cell-state space, providing a representative skeleton for the full GP model. This sparse
GP approach translates to an efficient $O(nk^2)$ time complexity for inference, where k is the number of
landmark points, a substantial reduction from the cubic time complexity of the full GP.

The specifics of the sparse GP implementation play a crucial role in the overall performance of the Mellon
and are described in **Supplementary Note 2.**

Landmark selection
The choice of landmarks, akin to the "inducing points" in a Gaussian process, is essential to ensure
precise recovery of the approximated covariance structure. Previous studies have demonstrated that k-
means centroids are well suited for this purpose[58]. We assessed the accuracy of this approach by
comparing the inferred density derived from the landmarks against the density function inferred from a
non-sparse, or "no-landmarks" version (**Supplementary Fig. 15**). This comparison showed a
convergence of the landmark-based model towards the non-sparse version, thereby confirming the
efficacy of the landmark selection. We therefore use k-means clustering as the default landmark selection
in our algorithm and initialize it with kmeans++[59] to ensure computational efficiency.

Full Bayesian Model
The full Bayesian model used in the Mellon algorithm is formally defined as follows:

$$X = (x_i)_{i \in \{1 \ldots n\}}, \; x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$$

$$l = \exp\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \circ \, \mathrm{dn}(x_j) \right)$$

$$m = P_{1\%}[\hat{\rho}(\mathrm{dn}(x_i)|d)_{i \in 1 \ldots n}] - 10$$

$$f(x_i) \sim \mathrm{GP}(m, \mathrm{Matern52}(l))$$

$$\rho(x_i) = \exp \circ \, f(x_i)$$

$$\mathrm{dn}(x_i) \sim \mathrm{NN}(\rho(x_i), d)$$

Where

• X represents the cell states, where each cell state, x_i, is a vector in the d'-dimensional Euclidean
space. The cell states form the primary input data for the model.
• l is the length scale of the GP covariance function. l is calculated from the distances to the
nearest neighbors in the cell-state space. The logarithm of these distances is averaged and added
to a fixed parameter $\lambda = 3$. The sum is then exponentiated to produce the length scale.
• $\hat{\rho}$ is the heuristic maximum likelihood estimate for the density.
• $\mathrm{dn}(x_i)$ is Nearest-Neighbor Distance of cell state x_i
• m is the GP mean function. m is calculated as the 1% percentile of the heuristic maximum
likelihood estimates of density subtracted by a constant (10 in this case). This mean function
represents the average behavior of the underlying cell-state densities.
• $\mathrm{Matern52}$ is the Matern covariance function with $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ and length scale l.
• $f(x_i)$ is a random function generated by a sparse Gaussian process (GP) , where x_i is the input
cell-state vector. The GP is defined by the mean function m and the Matern covariance function.

The cell-state density function $\rho(x_i)$ is the random variable of interest and is calculated by exponentiating
the function $f(x_i)$. This ensures that the density is always positive. The final part of the model is the
Nearest Neighbor Distance distribution NN of the Nearest Neighbor distance $\mathrm{dn}(x_i)$, which is calculated
as a function of the cell-state density $\rho(x_i)$ and the dimensionality d.

Initialization

An appropriate initialization y' for the density function $y = \rho(x_i)$ can improve the convergence of the maximum a posterior estimation. We employ a regression approach to initialize density estimation using the heuristic maximum likelihood estimates of the log-density $\hat{\rho}$ (**Supplementary Note 1**):

$$y' = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y} \parallel \hat{\rho} - Ly \parallel_2^2 + \parallel y \parallel_2^2$$

Where L represents the transformation matrix within the Gaussian process, facilitating the conversion of the latent representation, y, into the log-density function. High values in y are penalized through a ridge regression to simulate the additional smoothness of the true density over $\hat{\rho}$.

Density at single-cell resolution

The log-density function $f(x_i)$ is evaluated at each single cell $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$, to estimate log cell-state density at single-cell resolution. The estimated densities in cell-state space are visualized using techniques such as UMAPs for convenience. Note that the density function can be evaluated at any point in the cell-state space including states that are not measured in the dataset. Single-cell densities can be examined along pseudo-time, individual diffusion components or between interconnected clusters to identify high- and low-density regions.

Note on the number of landmarks for sparse Gaussian Process

The number of landmarks serves as a parameter to the sparse Gaussian Process within Mellon. It's crucial that the number of landmarks is sufficiently large to accurately capture the intricate patterns and variability within the cell state density function. However, it is important to consider the trade-off involved: an increased number of landmarks enhances the model's capacity to encapsulate finer details, but it also increases the computational demands.

Mellon employs a default selection of 5,000 landmarks, an empirical decision grounded in extensive testing with a multitude of datasets with different properties (**Supplementary Table 1**). Our evaluation underscores the robustness of Mellon's density estimates across all investigated datasets, consistently demonstrating stability even when the number of landmarks is substantially altered (**Supplementary Fig. 15**).

Nevertheless, the optimal number of landmarks can be contingent on the complexity and volume of the particular dataset under examination. To assist users in selecting a representative number of landmarks, Mellon incorporates a test for approximating the rank of the covariance matrix. Should the complexity of the function appear exhausted using the existing landmark skeleton, a warning will be issued. This serves as an indication that the selected number of landmarks might be insufficient for the model to accurately capture the density function of the cell-states.

Scalability of Mellon

The implementation of Mellon leverages modern advances in numerical computation libraries, specifically the JAX library, to enable efficient calculations and seamless differentiation. JAX is particularly suited for our purposes due to its unique capability of just-in-time (JIT) compilation using XLA (Accelerated Linear Algebra), a linear algebra compiler developed by Google[56]. This feature ensures efficient utilization of hardware resources, especially for large-scale computations and vectorized operations, which are intrinsic to our method.

Mellon's scalability to large single-cell datasets is ensured through the use of a Sparse Gaussian Process (GP). The sparse GP allows us to approximate the full GP model, significantly reducing the computational demands while retaining the essence of GP's expressiveness. This scalability (**Fig. 6**) makes Mellon practical for atlas-scale single-cell data sets, which often involve millions of cells.

Finally, model tractability in Mellon is achieved through the adoption of a length-scale heuristic for the GP covariance function. The covariance function is crucial in a Gaussian Process as it dictates how many nearby points in the input space influence each other in the output space. Typically, the length scale of this function is subject to inference or optimization, often involving computationally intensive iterative processes that require repeated updates of the covariance matrix and its Cholesky decomposition. In Mellon, we sidestep this computational demand by deriving an appropriate length scale with a data driven approach designed to adapt to the varying local densities in the high-dimensional cell-state space (**Supplementary Note 4**). This not only streamlines the computation but also assists in avoiding overfitting to dense regions, resulting in a smooth and accurate portrayal of cell-state density relationships.

Together, these components create a balance between computational efficiency and model expressiveness, making Mellon an effective and practical tool for cell-state density estimation from large, high-dimensional single-cell data.

Inference
Mellon, by default, employs the L-BFGS-B optimization algorithm to infer the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimates of the posterior likelihood. Notably, our implementation provides direct access to the posterior distribution of the density function. This is realized through a JAX function with automatic differentiation, thus facilitating the use of any preferred inference scheme while retaining computational simplicity.

This flexibility is crucial because, in Bayesian inference, the MAP estimate can be subject to the transformation of the latent representation and might not necessarily represent the "true" underlying cell-state density. In fact, empirical evidence (**Supplementary Fig. 30)** indicates that the MAP estimate strongly coincides with the posterior mean. However, without a definitive ground truth, it is challenging to ascertain which estimate more closely resembles the true cell-state density.

In essence, Mellon's versatile implementation provides a robust framework for density estimation that can adapt to diverse inference schemes, offering users the freedom to employ the technique best suited to their specific study.

Cell-state Representation

Mellon utilizes diffusion components[11], as implemented in Palantir[14], as the representation of cell-state
space. Diffusion maps have been widely used in single-cell data analysis owing to their reliable and
robust representation of cell-states[12,14]. Cellular states in phenotypic landscapes reside in substantially
lower dimensions compared to measured gene expression owing to gene regulatory networks inducing
a strong covariate structure amongst genes. Therefore, biological similarity between cell states is more
closely linked to the distance they can traverse along the phenotypic landscape, rather than solely their
direct proximity in gene expression space. Diffusion maps identify the intrinsic structure in single-cell
data, mitigating noise by treating the data as realizations of a stochastic process. They not only efficiently
reduce noise in single-cell data but also extract a faithful representation of the underlying cell-state
manifold.

Further, the distances computed using diffusion maps, termed diffusion distances, are a measure that
reflects the interconnectedness of data points along the phenotypic manifold. Importantly, diffusion
distance operates along this manifold, which is constructed from the observed cell states, thereby
providing a meaningful indicator of biological similarity between cells. Therefore, the use of diffusion
distance in the estimation of cell-state density leads to a biologically relevant quantification of cells sharing
a similar state.

Diffusion maps can be constructed for different single-cell data modalities with appropriate preprocessing.
We recommend the use of PCA for RNA and SVD for ATAC and histone modification data. "Data
preprocessing" section provides more details on preprocessing of single-cell datasets. Diffusion maps
can also be constructed using other latent representations[36] or multimodal representations [51].

Number of Diffusion Components

The dimensionality of the subspace where the data is represented is determined by the number of
diffusion components utilized in Mellon. Mellon results are robust to the number of diffusion components
indicating that pinpoint precision in their selection isn't strictly necessary (**Supplementary Fig. 13**).
However, some considerations ae important while choosing the number of diffusion components:
Selecting a high number of diffusion components might lead to the inclusion of unnecessary noise within
the state representation, reducing the granularity of the resulting density model. Conversely, choosing a
small number of diffusion components might under-represent the complexity of the data, thus also leading
to a less detailed density model. The optimal number of diffusion components is therefore largely data-
specific and should be chosen to best capture the inherent structure and complexity of the cell data,
without unnecessarily increasing noise or forfeiting essential information. For example, Eigen gap statistic
has been previously employed to choose the number of diffusion components[14].

Genes Driving Low-Density Cell-State Transitions

Low-density regions representing rare transitory cells are critical for diverse biological processes. We devised a gene change analysis procedure to identify genes that drive cell-state transitions in low-density regions and thus can be used to describe the dynamic behavior of the biological system. The input is a relevant set of cells $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, such as those representing a transition of interest. These could include a branch in the cell-differentiation landscape or clusters interconnected by transitory cells. The output is a ranking of genes ordered by their change scores representing their association with the low-density regions in the selected set of cells. Top genes in this ranking can be interpreted as driving the transitions in low-density regions.

We first compute a measure of local variability of a gene for each cell-state: We compute the expression change from a cell when transitioning to each of its neighbors and normalize the change by the distance between the cells in state space to account for the magnitude of the state transition. The maximal normalized change amongst the neighbors of the cell is nominated as the local variability of the gene for the corresponding state. Formally, the local variability for gene j in cell-state i is defined as:

$$d_i^j := \max_{l \in N_i} \sqrt{\frac{\left(m_i^j - m_l^j\right)^2}{\|x_i - x_j\|_2}}$$

where, m_i^j denotes the MAGIC imputed expression of gene j in cell i, N_i is the set of k nearest neighbors of cell i.

We next compute, a low-density change score s_j for each gene j, as the sum of the gene change rates d_i^j across the selected cells, inversely weighted by the cell-state densities, $\rho(x_i)$:

$$s_j := \sum_{i \in S} \frac{d_i^j}{\rho(x_i)}$$

This scoring approach encapsulates the hypothesis that genes with high change score in low cell-state density regions may be driving transitions. Genes are ordered by the change score and genes with scores > 95th percentile are considered to be driving low-density changes (**Supplementary Fig. 7**).

Primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores from scATAC-seq data

Gene scores from scATAC-seq are typically computed by summarizing the accessibility of peaks in the body of the gene and its vicinity[36]. This, however, does not consider the history and temporal dynamics of peak accessibility. Enhancer priming, where open chromatin peaks are pre-established in stem cells without turning on gene expression but maintain the gene locus in an open state for lineage-specific upregulation, is an important mechanism through which stem cells encode high differentiation potential[32-34]. To investigate the establishment of peak accessibility, we devised a procedure to disentangle primed and lineage-specific peaks in the context of cell-fate specification. As a result of the sparsity and noise of scATAC-seq data, our approach utilizes several abstractions and consists of the following steps:

1. Identification of peaks with accessibility strongly correlated with gene expression at metacell resolution
2. Determination of peaks with higher accessibility in the lineage under consideration compared to other lineages using differential accessibility testing between metacells
3. Classification of peaks as primed or lineage-specific based on accessibility patterns in stem cells
4. Determination of primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores for each gene at single-cell resolution.

We developed this approach to identify primed and lineage-specific peaks in the transition from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) to B-cell fate committed cells (proB) (**Fig. 3**). We used the monocyte and erythroid lineages as the alternative lineages to test for B-cell lineage specificity.

Determination of primed and lineage-specific peaks
Metacells and gene-peak correlations using SEACells

We used our SEACells algorithm[21] to identify metacells from the T-cell depleted bone marrow. SEACells aggregates highly related cells into metacells overcoming the sparsity in single-cell data while retaining heterogeneity. We used the ATAC modality of the multiome data to identify metacells. We used metacells to compare the expression of a gene with the accessibility of each peak in a window of 100kb around the gene to identify the subset of peaks that significantly correlate with expression of the gene (correlation >= 0.1, p-value <= 0.1, Empirical null) (**Supplementary Fig. 19A**).

Peaks relevant to particular lineages

Metacells and gene-peak correlations were computed using all hematopoietic lineages in our dataset. We performed differential accessibility analysis to identify the subset of peaks with greater accessibility in the lineage under consideration. We used edgeR[60] to perform differential accessibility with metacell counts as input. The use of metacells rather than single-cell data for differential accessibility has been demonstrated to provide better sensitivity and specificity[37]. To identify peaks that are relevant to the B-cell lineage, we compared accessibility in pro B-cell metacells and metacells of the erythroid (EryPre1) or monocyte (Monocyte) lineages and retained peaks with the accessibility fold-change $\log_2FC > 0$ in either comparison. While this ensures that the selected peaks have greater accessibility compared to other lineages, it does not exclude ubiquitously accessible peaks. We therefore excluded peaks with $\log_2FC < 0.25$ in the comparison between stem-cells (HSCs) and erythroid and monocyte lineages.

The resulting set of peaks demonstrate substantially greater accessibility in B-cell lineages compared to all other cell-types (**Supplementary Fig. 19C-D**)

Classification of primed and lineage-specific peaks

After identifying peaks with greater accessibility in the B-cell lineage, we assigned primed or lineage-specific status to each peak with a simple logic: A peak is annotated as primed if it is accessible in HSCs and lineage-specific if it is not. Accessibility in HSCs was determined using Poisson statistics as described in SEACells[21] . The mean of the Poisson distribution for a cell-type c is estimated using

$$\lambda = \frac{Total\ fragments\ in\ c}{Effective\ genome\ length}$$

Where $effective\ genome\ length$ is set to $num\ of\ peaks * 5000$. For a peak p in cell-type c with n fragments, λ is used to estimate the P value of observing more than n fragments, and p is considered open in c if $P < 1e - 2$.

Primed and lineage-specific scores

We utilized the primed and lineage-specific peaks to derive primed and lineage-specific scores for the associated genes at single-cell resolution. For each gene g and cell i, the primed accessibility score s_{ig}^{primed} is computed as

$$s_{ig}^{primed} = \frac{\sum_{p \in g_{primed}} a_{ip}\ c_{gp}}{\sum_{p \in g_{primed}} c_{gp}}$$

Where g_{primed} is the set of primed peaks that significantly correlate with gene g, a_{ip} is the accessibility of peak p in cell i, and c_{gp} is the correlation between peak p and expression of gene g computed using metacells. Therefore, the primed score is a weighted average of the accessibility of primed peaks that correlate with the gene. The lineage-specific score s_{ig}^{lin} is computed in an analogous manner where g_{lin} is the set of lineage-specific peaks that significantly correlate with gene g::

$$s_{ig}^{lin} = \frac{\sum_{p \in g_{lin}} a_{ip}\ c_{gp}}{\sum_{p \in g_{lin}} c_{gp}}$$

Given the sparsity of the scATAC data, we used imputed peak accessibility for computing scores. The peak counts dataset was TF-IDF normalized[61] to preferentially weight peaks which are highly accessible in a small proportion of cells. The MAGIC algorithm[2] was then used to perform imputation using normalized accessibility as the input.

Data visualization

Accessibility trends along pseudo-time were computed using Mellon. Trends are visualized as a percentage of the maximum value of each trend, to allow for better comparison across genes.

Application to T-cell depleted bone marrow data

We applied primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores to characterize commitment of hematopoietic stem cells to B-cells using the T-cell depleted bone marrow multiome data. We used hematopoietic stem cells (HSC), hematopoietic multipotent cells (HMP), common lymphoid progenitor (CLP) and pro B-cells along the B-cell lineage to investigate the open chromatin landscape (**Fig. 3B**). The cells were chosen to

989   span the commitment of stem cells to the B-cell lineage. The high- and low-density regions were manually
990   assigned by comparing pseudotime and log-density of the selected subset of cells (**Fig. 3B**).

Primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores in B-cell specification

We applied the SEACells algorithm[21] to identify metacells using the ATAC modality of the T-cell depleted
bone marrow data. Metacells were identified using all cells, resulting in 115 metacells according to
recommended heuristic for selecting the number of metacells. Peak accessibility and gene expression
correlations were determined using all metacells and the subset of genes with at least 5 peaks were
selected for downstream analysis (**Supplementary Fig. 19A**). We computed gene change scores using
Mellon using the subset of cells that define B-cell lineage commitment. Genes in the 95th percentile of
gene change scores with B-cell specific upregulation in the low-density regions were used to characterize
the role of enhancer priming (**Fig. 3)**. Primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores were computed for
the subset of these genes with at least one lineage-specific and primed peak each.

In silico ChIP

We used in silico ChIP-seq[37], a recently published approach to identify predicted targets of master
regulators of B cell lineage commitment, specifically EBF1 and PAX5. Approaches like FIMO[62] can
determine enrichment scores for TF motifs in ATAC-seq peak sequences but the scores alone are not
sufficiently reliable to predict TF targets. In silico ChIP-seq provides a framework for predicting TF targets
by using single-cell multiome (scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq) data in addition to motif enrichment by
correlating the expression of a TF to the accessibility of a peak. A combination of a high gene-peak
correlation and high motif score is more indicative of potential TF binding compared to a peak with only
a high motif score[37]. We used our Python adaptation of in silico ChIP-seq using the SEACells metacells
as input (github.com/settylab/atac-metacell-utilities). FIMO[62] was used to associate TF motifs with ATAC-
seq peaks, resulting in a peak by TF matrix of scores indicating the strength of match of the TF motif in
the peak sequence. In silico TF binding scores are computed as product of correlation between TF
expression and peak accessibility and FIMO motif scores as follows:

$$x_{ij} = \rho_{ij} * \text{minmax}\left(\frac{s_{ij}}{\max(s_j)} * \max(a_i)\right)$$

1015   Where i is the a ATAC-seq peak and j is the a TF of interest, ρ_{ij} is the Spearman rank correlation
1016   coefficient of accessibility of i and expression of j computed across all metacells, s_{ij} is the FIMO motif
1017   enrichment score for TF motif j binding in sequence of peak i , $\max(s_j)$ is the maximum FIMO score for
1018   TF j across all peaks, and a_i is the maximum accessibility of peak i across all cell type metacells.

1019   Minmax normalization is performed as follows:

$$\text{minmax}(x) = \frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x) - \min(x)}$$

1021   The final in silico ChIP-seq output is a peak by TF matrix, containing a value between -1 and 1 indicating
1022   how likely a TF is to bind at a given peak and whether it has a repressive (negative) or activating (positive)
1023   effect, or 0 if a peak does not meet the minimum in silico ChIP-seq score (0.15).

1024

Regulation of EBF1

1026   Peaks correlated with EBF1 expression were ordered using the procedure outlined in the section "Genes
1027   Driving Low-Density Cell-State Transitions" using imputed peak accessibility to compute accessibility
1028   change scores instead of gene change scores. In silico-ChIP was to identify the transcription factors with
1029   predicted binding sites in the top peak.

Time-Continuous Density

1030

Time-series single-cell datasets provide snapshots of the changing cell-state densities at discrete time
intervals. Our goal is to compute a time-continuous density function to interpolate cell-state densities at
any time between the measured timepoints.

We therefore incorporated a time coordinate into the Gaussian process used to generate the log density
function and use the covariance of the Gaussian process to link temporally similar cell-states. Effectively
the covariance function of time-continuous density has two components: (i) similarity between cells in the
cell-state space and (ii) similarity between cells based on their measurement times. Similarity in cell-state
space is encoded through the Matern52 kernel with the length-scale parameter as described in
Supplementary Note 5. We now describe the Matern52 length-scale parameter for the temporal
similarity component.

The length scale should be designed such that the covariance between cells from different timepoints
reflects the covariance of densities between those timepoints. Therefore, we optimized the length scale
to reflect the empirically observed covariance of density functions between different time points.
Specifically, we employ Mellon to compute first time-point specific density functions ρ_t using only the cells
from the corresponding time point t. We next evaluated these functions on *all cells from all timepoints*,
and computed a correlation of cell-state density between timepoints:

1047
$$p_{t,t'} := \text{Corr}\big[\rho_t(x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}, \rho_{t'}(x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}\big]$$

1048 Where t and t' represent two time points, and $\text{Corr}[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Pearson correlation. This is used to
1049 derive a correlation matrix between all measured timepoints T (**Supplementary Fig. 23A-D**):

1050
$$P := (p_{t,t'})_{t,t' \in T}$$

1051 This matrix P is then compared to the covariance matrix of time points using the Matern52 kernel. Given
1052 the isotropy of the kernel function, it maps a scalar temporal difference $t - t'$ to a covariance value. The
1053 kernel-based covariance matrix is defined as:

1054
$$K_L := \text{Matern52}(l_t)(t - t')_{t,t' \in T}$$

1055 Where l_t is the length scale parameter for the time coordinate. We thus select the l_t by optimizing:

1056
$$l_t := \arg \min_{L' \in \mathbb{R}^+} \| P - K_{L'} \|_2.$$

1057 The optimized length scale is used for the Matern52 covariance kernel for the time coordinate, denoted
1058 as Matern52(l_t) (**Supplementary Fig. 23E-F**).

1059 The resulting covariance kernel for cells i and j, situated at their respective states x_i, x_j, and
1060 measurement times t_i, t_j, is then given as:

1061
$$k(i,j) = \text{Matern52}(l_t)(t_i - t_j) \cdot \text{Matern52}(l)(x_i - x_j)$$

Where Matern52(l) designates the Matern52 covariance kernel for cell-state coordinates and
Matern52(l_t) designates the Matern52 covariance kernel for time coordinates.

This construction is easily implemented with Mellon, since it is designed to support any combination of
covariance functions, each operating in distinct active dimensions – in this case, either time or cell-state
coordinates.

Using this covariance function, Mellon can compute a continuous density function over time and state
space using all samples, and thus can be used to interpolate cell-state densities at unmeasured
timepoints. This function is also differentiable in time and state space, and the change in density over
time can be determined using the first derivative (**Supplementary Video 1, Figure 25**).

1071

Leave-one-out Cross Validation
We validated the effectiveness of the time-continuous density function using a leave-one-out cross-
validation strategy (**Supplementary Fig. 24**). We computed a time-continuous density function after
excluding cells from a particular timepoint and evaluated the densities at the excluded timepoint using
this density function. We compared these densities with a time-agnostic density, which was computed
exclusively using cells from the excluded time point and then evaluated across all states. Note that these
two density functions were derived from mutually exclusive training datasets.

Density along Trajectory
Time-continuous density provides a platform to decipher the dynamics of cell-type proportions and fate
choices in true temporal order. As proof of principle, we investigated the cell-type proportion dynamics
along the trajectory of a particular lineage. We first used Palantir[14] to derive fate propensities for all cells
and selected the subset of cells with high propensity towards a particular fate.

In the mouse gastrulation data, we applied Palantir using all cells across all timepoints and selected cells
which specify the erythroid cells (**Supplementary Fig. 26**). Palantir was also used to derive a pseudo-
temporal order of progression of cells in the erythroid trajectory (**Supplementary Fig. 26**). Note that the
pseudo-time order does not take measurement time into consideration and represents the potential
journey of a cell through the cell-state space as it acquires erythroid fate. Further, cells measured at any
timepoint can span a range of pseudo-time depending on the developmental stage.

The Palantir fate probability of cell state x_i reaching fate F is represented by the function $f(x_i, F)$.
Accordingly, we define our threshold function for fate F at pseudotime t as:

$$T_F(t) = \max_{s<t} P_{99\%}\big[f(x_k, F)_{k \in I_s}\big].$$

1093 In this equation, $P_{99\%}$ is the 99% percentile function and I_s is the set of all cells whose Palantir pseudotime
1094 is less than or equal to s. We then identify the subset of cells that are part of the branch leading to fate F
1095 as:

$$N_F = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | f(x_i, F) > T_F(t_i) - \epsilon\}.$$

In the above equation, t_i is the pseudotime of the ith cell, and ϵ is a small chosen value (in our case,
0.01), which manages how much a cell can fall below the threshold while still being accepted as part of
the branch. To simplify computation, we only calculate T_F for 500 specific pseudotime points along the
trajectory, using the next larger pseudotime relative to t_i in this range to evaluate $T_F(t_i)$. This algorithm
has been incorporated into the existing Palantir python package.

We next determined the joint cell-state density between pseudo-time and real time leveraging the time-
continuous density function. We first used Gaussian process as implemented in Mellon to map pseudo-
time to each coordinate of the cell-state space. This effectively generates a trajectory traversing the cell-
state space by mapping the 1-dimensional pseudotime to high-dimensional cell-state space. Formally,
the trajectory for each dimension $m \in (1, \dots, d')$ is defined via the mean of the posterior distribution of T_F^m
in the Bayesian model:

$$T_F^m \sim GP\left(\overline{x_{j \in N_F}^m}, \text{Matern52}(1)\right) \tag{2}$$

$$x_i^m \sim N\left(\hat{T}_F^m(s_i), 0.01\right), \qquad x_i \in N_F$$

1108

1109 Where $\overline{x_{j \in N_F}^m}$ represents the average of this coordinate across all cells in branch F. The trajectory can
1110 then be denoted by

$$T_F : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$$
$$T_F = \left(\hat{T}_F^m\right)_{m \in (1,\ldots,d')},$$

1111

1112 where $\hat{T}_F^m$ is the mean of the posterior of (2). The length scale of 1 and variance of 0.01 were selected
1113 by examination of a range of values for compatibility with cell states represented via Palantir diffusion
1114 maps.

1115 Finally, the time-continuous density function $\rho: \mathbb{R}^{d'} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ can be evaluated along the trajectory to
1116 calculate joint cell-state density $\rho(T_F(s), t)$ for any given pseudotime s and actual time t (**Fig. 4F**).

1117

Marginal Cell Type Proportions over Time
We used the joint cell-state density $\rho(T_F(s), t)$ to determine the dynamics of cell-type proportions over
real time. We first assign a cell type to each section of the pseudo-temporal trajectory T_F. This is achieved
by computing a density function ρ_H for each annotated cell type H using Mellon. The cell type annotation
$h(s)$, for a given pseudotime s is then given by the largest cell type density for this point on the trajectory
as follows

$$h(s) := \underset{H'}{\operatorname{argmax}} \rho_{H'} \circ T_F(s).$$

1124

1125 The cell-type annotation pseudotime s can then be represented as an indicator function:

$$\mathbb{I}_H(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & , h(s) = H \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

1126

1127 We next marginalized the joint cell-state density $\rho(T_F(s), t)$ over pseudo-time to determine the total mass
1128 of a cell type. Specifically, the mass of cell type H along the trajectory of fate F at a real time point t is
1129 determined as

$$m_F^H(t) = \int_0^1 \rho\left(T_F(s), t\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}_H(s) \, ds.$$

1130

1131 Finally, the relative proportion of cell type H at a real time t is given by normalizing the masses across all
1132 cell-type as follows:

$$a_F^H(t) = \frac{m_F^H(t)}{\sum_{H'} m_F^{H'}(t)}.$$

1133

1134 This provides a quantifiable measure of cell type proportions over time, offering valuable insights into the
1135 temporal evolution of cell types in a given biological system.

1136
1137

Application to mouse gastrulation data

We applied Mellon to determine the time-continuous cell-state density for the mouse gastrulation data[44] across all measured time points: E6.5, E6.75, E7.0, E7.25, E7.5, E7.75, E8.0, E8.25 and E8.5. Data was preprocessed as described in section "Mouse gastrulation data in Data preprocessing". Diffusion maps were constructed using batch corrected PCs across all cells using the Palantir package[14]. We selected 25 components, as they encapsulated all significant biological variations. Density results remained stable beyond this point with respect to the number of components (**Supplementary Fig. 13**). Time-continuous densities were computed following the procedure described above with default parameters.

Palantir[14] was to derive pseudo-temporal order and cell-fate propensities. Palantir was run with default parameters by using an Epiblast cell as the start and manually setting the following cell-types as terminals: Cardiomyocytes, Erythroid, Endothelial, Neural crest, Brain, Notochord, Allantois, ExE endoderm. Since our goal was to identify cells with high fate propensity to erythroid lineage, a finer resolution terminal state identification was not necessary. Erythroid lineage cells were identified using Equation (1). Joint cell-state density over pseudo-time and real-time were visualized using 200 points along pseudo-time and 500 points between every pair of measured timepoints.

Data preprocessing

1155

1156

scRNA-seq data preprocessing and analysis

The following procedure was used for preprocessing scRNA-seq data across datasets unless specified
otherwise: Raw counts were normalized by dividing the counts by the total counts per cell. The normalized
data was multiplied by the median of total counts across cells to avoid numerical issues and then log-
transformed with a pseudocount of 0.1. Feature selection was then performed to select the top 2500 most
highly variable genes, which was used as input for principal component analysis with 50 components.
PCs were used as inputs for leiden clustering and UMAP visualizations. Preprocessing and analysis was
performed using the scanpy[63] package.

Diffusion maps were computed using the Palantir[14] package with default parameters and PCs as the
inputs. The diffusion kernel was also used for MAGIC[2] gene expression imputation.

Batch correction where applicable was performed using Harmony with default parameters[64]. Batch
corrected PCs if applicable were used as inputs for UMAPs, diffusion maps, and imputation.

1169

T-cell depleted bone marrow single-cell multiome data
Raw gene counts, ATAC fragment files and cell metadata were downloaded from[65].

RNA modality
scRNA-seq data was processed using the procedure described in section "scRNA-seq data
preprocessing and analysis", which mimics the analysis in[21].

Cell-type annotation

All hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) were grouped as one cell-type in the T-cell depleted
bone marrow. To achieve higher granularity among the stem and progenitor cells, we integrated this data
with a dataset of CD34+ bone marrow cells using Harmony[64]. This dataset is enriched for stem and
progenitor cells and thus the associated cell-type information can be utilized to better resolve the cell-
types within the HSPC cluster of the T-cell depleted bone marrow data. Batch corrected PCs were used
for leiden clustering, and the HSPC cluster of the T-cell depleted data were assigned to different stem
and progenitor cell-types based on their clustering with the CD34+ bone marrow data. Clusters
associated with the B-cell trajectory were annotated using the markers described in [66].

Mellon cell-state density
Mellon was applied with default parameters using 20 diffusion components to compute cell-state density.
Gene change scores, primed accessibility scores, and lineage-specific accessibility scores were
computed as described above. IL7R signaling targets were downloaded from Nichenet[67] and signature
scores were computed by averaging the z-scored imputed gene expression.

1189

ATAC modality
ArchR[36] pipeline was used for analysis of the ATAC modality. In ArchR, data was normalized using
IterativeLSI and SVD to determine a lower-dimensional representation of the sparse data. The first SVD
component showed greater than 0.97 correlation with log library size and was excluded from downstream
analysis. SVD was used as input to cluster the data with leiden and visualization using UMAPs. SVD also

served as input for computing diffusion and MAGIC imputation of peak accessibilities and gene scores.
Peak calling was performed within ArchR using only the nucleosome free fragments as described in [21].

A handful of cells which passed the RNA QC thresholds did not clear the thresholds in the ATAC modality.
RNA preprocessing and analysis was repeated after excluding these cells. Mellon was applied with
default parameters using 20 diffusion components to compute cell-state density of the ATAC modality.

Palantir trajectories
Palantir[14] was used to infer pseudo-temporal trajectories of hematopoietic differentiation. Palantir was
applied to the RNA modality using default parameters with the number of diffusion components (n=8)
chosen by the Eigen gap statistic. A CD34+ hematopoietic stem cell was used as the start. Terminal cells
were manually specified for erythroid, monocyte, B-cells, plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Note that the pre-
pro B state of the B-cell trajectory is almost exclusively defined by cell-cycle[66] and hence Palantir was
run with pre-pro B and naïve B as the terminals. The B-cell fate probability was then computed as the
sum of pre-pro B and naïve B probabilities.

Cells with increasing probability towards each lineage were selected as the lineage cells highlighted in
Fig. 1D. B-cell lineage cells were comprised of Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), Hemopoietic
multipotent progenitors (HMPs), Common Lymphoid progenitors (CLPs), prepro B-cells, pre B-cells, pro
B-cells and Naïve B-cells. pDC lineage cells were comprised of HSCs, HMPs, Myeloid precursors, and
pDCs. Erythroid lineage cells were comprised of HSCs, Megakaryocyte erythroid precursors (MEPs) and
erythroid precursors. Monocyte lineage cells were comprised of HSCs, HMPs, Myeloid precursors,
monocyte precursors and monocytes.

Cells involved in lineage specification (highlighted cells in **Fig. 1D**) where chosen as the subset of the
lineage cells spanning from HSCs to the cell-type where the fate propensity reached 1. B-cells: HSCs,
HMPs, CLPs, prepro B-cells, pro B-cells. pDCs: HSCs, HMPs, MyeloidPre, pDCs. Erythroid lineage:
HSCs, MEPs. Monocytes: HSCs, HMPs, Myeloid precursors, monocyte precursors and monocytes.

1219

HCA bone marrow
The processed annData was downloaded from[27]. The downloaded data was pre-batch corrected across
all donors. Cell types that do not differentiate in the bone marrow such as T-cells, NK cells and plasma
cells were excluded from the analysis. Following the cell filtering, each donor was analyzed separately
using the steps outlined in the section "scRNA-seq data preprocessing and analysis".

Palantir[14] was applied separately for each donor using the same procedure that was described for the T-
cell depleted bone marrow dataset. Mellon was applied with default parameters using 20 diffusion
components to compute cell-state density.

1228

1229   Pancreatic development
1230   Processed anndata was downloaded from [17] and the data was generated by [29]. The pre-computed
1231   UMAPs, cell-type annotations and diffusion maps were used for analysis. Mellon was applied with default
1232   parameters to compute cell-state density.

1233

1234   In-vitro endoderm differentiation
1235   Raw counts and cell metadata was downloaded from[30]. Wild-type cells were used for all analysis. Data
1236   analysis was performed using the steps outlined in the section "scRNA-seq data preprocessing and

1237   analysis", batch correction was used to correct technical differences between two batches. Mellon was
1238   applied with default parameters to compute cell-state density.

1239

Spatial organization of intestinal tissue

1241   Raw counts and zone information were downloaded from[31] and processed using the steps outlined in the
1242   section "scRNA-seq data preprocessing and analysis". Mellon was applied with default parameters to
1243   compute cell-state density.

1244

Lung regeneration

1246   Processed anndata was downloaded from[28]. The pre-computed UMAPs, cell-type annotations and
1247   diffusion maps were used for analysis. Mellon density functions were computed for each timepoint
1248   separately and evaluated across all cells.

1249

scRNA-seq of murine models of lung adenocarcinoma

1251   Processed anndata object containing counts, visualization and cell-metadata were downloaded from [9].
1252   scVI[68] was used in the publication for data integration and to derive a latent representation. scVI latent
1253   space was used as input for computing force directed layouts and diffusion maps instead of PCs like
1254   other datasets.

1255

Mouse gastrulation atlas

Processed data including batch corrected principal components and cell metadata were downloaded
from[44]. Batch corrected PCs were used as input for computing diffusion maps. Cells from the
"mixed_gastrulation" samples were excluded since the timepoints are not well-defined. Further, ExE
ectoderm, ExE endoderm and Parietal endoderm cells were excluded since their parental cells are not
measured in the dataset. Given the complexity of the data, 25 diffusion components for computing time-
continuous cell-state densities using Mellon.

1263

iPS reprogramming dataset

1265   Raw counts and cell metadata were downloaded from[8]. The dataset contains reprogramming in two
1266   culture conditions: Serum and 2i. Cells cultured in 2i media were used for the analysis. Highly variable
1267   genes computed in the publication were used for the analysis using the steps outlined in the section
1268   "scRNA-seq data preprocessing and analysis". iPS data was used for robustness analysis and
1269   benchmarking performance.

1270

scATAC-seq of murine models of lung adenocarcinoma

Raw peak counts and cell metadata were downloaded from[49]. Immune and stromal cells were excluded
from the analysis. Following cell filtering, peak counts were normalized using TFIDF following the
procedure in [21]. SVD was to determine a lower-dimensional representation using normalized data as
input. The first SVD component showed greater than 0.97 correlation with log library size and was
excluded from downstream analysis. SVD was used as input for visualization using force directed layouts
and diffusion maps. Mellon was applied with default parameters to compute cell-state density.

1278

sortChIC data profiling histone modifications in murine hematopoiesis
Raw peak counts and cell metadata were downloaded from[48] for all available histone modifications:
H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27me3, H3K9me3. Each modification was analyzed separately following the
procedure described in the section "scATAC-seq of murine models of lung adenocarcinoma": Data was
normalized using TF-IDF, and then SVD was used to derive a low-dimensional representation. The first
component of SVD was excluded due to high correlation with log library size and was excluded from
downstream analysis. Mellon was applied with default parameters to compute cell-state density.

1286

1287 Skin differentiation Share-seq data
1288 The processed annData was downloaded from [51] using the data generated by [32]. The pre-computed
1289 UMAPs, cell-type annotations and diffusion maps were used for analysis. Note that the diffusion
1290 components were derived using the MIRA multimodal representation which uses both RNA and ATAC
1291 modalities. Mellon was applied with default parameters to compute cell-state density.

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

Robustness analysis

The robustness of Mellon was evaluated by recalculating density estimations across a broad spectrum of parameter settings on multiple datasets. We carried out full density inference for an extensive range of length scales, numbers of landmarks, and numbers of diffusion components in the following scRNA-seq datasets : T-cell depleted bone marrow of human hematopoiesis (BM)[21]; CD34+ human bone marrow cells, a dataset of hematopoietic stem and precursor cells (CD34)[21]; COVID-19 atlas of peripheral blood mono nuclear cells (PBMCs) from healthy donors and critical patients (Covid)[69]; iPS reprogramming dataset (ips)[8] and the mouse gastrulation atlas (mgast)[44]. These datasets cover a broad spectrum of systems with different complexities, cell numbers and contain discrete and continuous cell-states and cell-types. We compared the densities using Spearman correlation between results obtained from different parameter settings. As shown in **Supplementary Fig. 13-16**, Mellon results exhibited a high level of consistency in the results even when the parameters are varied orders of magnitude beyond the defaults.

We further evaluated Mellon's robustness to down sampling the cells in the dataset. Starting with the full dataset, we serially removed 10% of cells until at least 100 cells were retained. We next computed densities for independently for each subsample by recomputing the principal components and diffusion components using only the cells in the subset. We then compared the density between all pairs of subsamples using the intersection of cells between the two samples (**Supplementary Figure 11**). The consistency is retained even when cells in the bottom 10th percentile of the average density between the pair of runs are used for comparison (**Supplementary Fig. 12**).

This robustness evaluation provides empirical evidence of Mellon's ability to perform consistently under a wide range of parameters and under the condition of subsampling, which underscores its utility for accurate density estimation from high-dimensional single-cell data.

Simulated datasets with ground-truth densities

1322

1323

In order to validate the accuracy and precision of Mellon, we generated three datasets mirroring single-
cell datasets of either continuum of cell-states or discrete clusters. Each dataset is accompanied by a
predefined 'ground truth' density serving as a performance benchmark for Mellon.

The datasets with continuum of cell-states were generated using a large Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)
designed to emulate a cellular differentiation tree. This tree was conceptualized as a series of velocity
vectors, each connecting branching points and each being a slightly perturbed version of the vector of its
parent node. For each node in this tree, a unique Gaussian was defined. The Gaussian's covariance
matrix and mean were designed to create a distribution aligning with the velocity vector. Considering the
inherent low dimensionality typically exhibited by a cell-state manifold, we adjusted the principal
components of these Gaussians using an exponential decay scalar. The two continuous styles mimic the
structure of CD34+ bone marrow RNA-seq and T-Cell depleted bone marrow RNA-seq datasets.

The synthetic datasets representing single-cell datasets of discrete clusters was also generated using a
GMM but with a different configuration. In this setup, we randomly sampled mean and covariance
matrices to create an arbitrary GMM, resulting in mostly isolated clusters of simulated cells. This approach
provided an alternative, contrasting framework for testing robustness of Mellon.

The GMM allowed us to easily sample simulated cell states from both dataset types and to define
corresponding ground truth probability density functions. We then utilized Mellon to compute the log-
density of these simulated datasets. Ground-truth densities were compared with Mellon densities using
Spearman correlations. As shown in **Supplementary Fig. 4**, this comparison effectively quantified
Mellon's ability to infer cell densities from high-dimensional single-cell data, with Mellon exhibiting high
consistency with the ground truth for both synthetic datasets.

See **Supplementary Note 5** for further details and parameter choices for dataset simulations.

1346

1347

1348

Comparison to density estimation approaches

A commonly used approach for density estimation with single-cell data is to calculate the reciprocal of the distance to the kth nearest neighbor, treating this value as a proxy for density[2]. While straightforward, this method tends to produce a noisy density estimation and frequently fails to capture meaningful global trends (**Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Another prevalent approach involves application of kernel density estimation (KDE) to the low-dimensional embeddings generated by tools like UMAPs or Force-Directed Layouts. While these visualization tools are powerful, their main design is not for density inference. They can produce unstable embeddings, and when KDE is applied, the instability in the embeddings directly translates into the density inference, resulting in less reliable outputs. Furthermore, the high compression involved in generating these low-dimensional representations means that they cannot capture all the relevant biological variability inherent in the data. Consequently, these methods often fail to depict all the nuanced details of the underlying cell-state density function (**Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Efficient Pseudotime Trend Computation with Mellon

The versatility of Mellon extends beyond density inference, showcasing its robust capability in the swift computation of gene trends, defined as continuous, smooth functions that trace the trajectory of gene expression over pseudotime. Our Gaussian process (GP) regression-centric design not only serves as the backbone for Mellon's primary application but also efficiently caters to general GP regression, due to scalable features such as the fixed length scale for the Matern52 covariance kernel and landmarks for Sparse Gaussian process regression.

Gaussian processes shine in their adeptness at handling high-noise scenarios, for instance, non-imputed gene expression values. This strength enables Mellon to generate smooth gene trends from a selected cellular branch's temporal ordering using unimputed gene expression values, effectively capturing the dynamics of gene expression as cellular differentiation unfolds (**Supplementary Fig. 21**).

Mellon's implementation harnesses the power of the JAX library's vectorization capabilities and low-dimensional latent representations of functions within the GP framework, enabling efficient gene trend computations across a substantial quantity of genes. In tests using a 36-core CPU, Mellon was able to generate gene trends for up to 10,000 genes and 1,500 cells at 500 pseudotime points in about one second. This efficient computation allows high-throughput exploration of gene expression dynamics during cellular differentiation from large-scale single-cell datasets.

 Figures

Figure 1: Illustrative diagram detailing the principles and processes of Mellon.
A-B. An abstract depiction of a cellular differentiation landscape with cells uniformly distributed along its
branches, representing a scenario not commonly found in biological systems. Diverse biological
phenomena, as depicted in (B), impact cell-state density: apoptosis, acceleration, and divergence of cell-
state changes lead to a decrease in density, while proliferation, deceleration, and convergence of cell-
state changes increase density. Therefore, heterogeneity in cell-state densities is a norm rather than an
exception in differentiation landscapes.

C. Subset of cells with heterogeneous density are highlighted to illustrate the influence of biological
factors in (B). Color gradient signifies the nearest-neighbor distribution around two example cells - one
in a high-density state with a tighter distribution (red gradient) and another in a lower-density state with a
broader distribution (blue gradient).

D. Bayesian model employed by Mellon for density inference, underpinning the connection between the
density estimation between neighboring cells using a Gaussian process and the log-density function as
its random variable. Arrows relate the examples in panel C with their corresponding equations in D.

E-F. Depict the resulting continuous density function from Mellon's inference process over the set of cells
in B. E: Density function is visualized as a 3D landscape, where the z-axis represents density, and
individual cell states are illustrated as spheres at the base. F color-codes the cell states from B according
to their inferred densities, overlaying these with a translucent representation of the continuous density in
the background. Examples of high- and low-density regions are highlighted.

1413

1414

1415

Figure 2: Mellon reveals the density landscape of human hematopoietic differentiation

A. UMAP representation of the scRNA-seq dataset of T-cell depleted bone marrow[21] colored by cell-types.

B. Same UMAP as (A), colored by Mellon cell-state density

C. Violin plots to compare cell-state densities among different hematopoietic cell-types. Arrowheads indicate example cell-types with high variability in density.

D. UMAPs as in (A), highlighted by cells of the different lineages, left to right: B-cells, Erythroid lineage cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs). Lineage cells were selected based on cell-fate propensities. Cells spanning hematopoietic stem-cells to fate committed cells along each lineage.

E. Plots comparing pseudotime ordering and log density during the fate specification of each lineage. Top to bottom: B-cells, pDCs and Erythroid lineages. Cells are colored by Palantir fate propensities, which represent the probability of each cell differentiating to the corresponding lineage. Points at the bottom of each plot are colored by cell- type. Subset of cells along each lineage spanning hematopoietic stem cells to fate committed cells are shown. Dotted lines indicate the low-density region within which fate specification takes place and were added manually.

F. Plots comparing pseudotime and log density for all cells of the B-cell trajectory colored by EBF1 MAGIC[2] imputed expression (top) and EBF1 local variability in gene expression (bottom).

G. Same as (F), with cells colored by signature scores for IL7R response genes.

H. Same as (F), with cells colored by cell-types. Density peaks correspond to well-characterized checkpoints during B-cell differentiation.

I. UMAP representation of the scRNA-seq dataset of lung regeneration[28]. Cells are colored by cell-type (left) and by timepoint of measurement (right). D0 is prior to injury and all subsequent timepoints show recovery from injury.

J. UMAPs colored by density at D0 (left) and density at D54 (right). Cells from D0 and D54 are colored by density with cells from other timepoints in grey.

1442

Figure 3: Dynamics of chromatin accessibility and gene expression during B-cell fate specification.

A. Top: UMAP colored by cell-types and highlighted by SEACells[21] metacells. Bottom: Plots showing the number of peaks significantly correlated with each gene. The correlations were computed using SEACells[21] metacells.

B. Coverage plots highlighting examples of B-cell primed (in orange) and B-cell lineage specific peaks (in blue). The genomic region is part of the EBF1 gene locus

C. UMAP colored by cell types included in B-cell specification. The full dataset is shown in grey.

D. UMAP colored by EBF1 MAGIC imputed expression, EBF1 local variability, EBF1 primed accessibility scores and EBF1 lineage-specific accessibility scores. The subset of cells involved in B-cell specification (C) are shown.

E. Top: Plots comparing pseudotime and Mellon density for the B-lineage cells, colored by cell-type. Middle: Plots comparing pseudotime and EBF1 local change for the B-lineage cells, colored by cell-type. Bottom: Solid lines show the trend of primed and lineage-specific accessibility scores for EBF1 in B-cell lineage. Dotted lines show the corresponding trends in the erythroid lineage. Vertical dotted lines show high- and low-density regions selected manually.

F. Heatmaps with z-score expression of genes with high change scores and upregulation during B-cell specification. Genes are sorted based on their expression along pseudotime. Genes with at least 1 primed and at least 1 lineage-specific peak from **Supplementary Fig. 20A** were used.

G. Heatmaps of primed (left) and lineage-specific (right) accessibility scores for genes in (F) in the same
order. Scores were scaled to maximum of 1 along the trend.

H. Matrix indicating whether the genes in (F) are predicted targets of EBF1 or PAX5 using Insilico-ChIP[37].

I. Left: UMAP colored by MAGIC imputed accessibility of the single ATAC peak (chr5:158,852,577-
158,853,077) with highest change score in EBF1 correlated peaks. Right: Plot comparing pseudotime to
peak accessibility for cells during B-cell specification in (C).

J. Left: UMAP colored by SOX4 MAGIC imputed expression. Right: Plot comparing pseudotime to gene
expression for cells during B-cell specification in (C).

1470

1471

Figure 4: Depiction of time-continuous cell-state density estimation during mouse gastrulation using Mellon.

A. UMAP representation of the mouse gastrulation dataset[44]. Illustrations on the right show a diagrammatic overview of the mouse embryo during gastrulation from E6.5 to E8.5, providing context to the developmental progression. *Created using BioRender*.

B. UMAPs colored by Mellon cell-state density at each measured timepoint, demonstrating variability in cell-state densities within each observed timepoint.

C. UMAPs colored by state-interpolated densities, derived from densities from (B), but evaluated across all cells. This showcases the potential of Mellon for extrapolating cell-state densities beyond directly sampled cell states.

D. Illustration of time-continuous density on UMAP for measured (E7.5, E7.75) and interpolated (E7.25) timepoints, further demonstrating the application of Mellon in interpolating cell-state densities beyond measured timepoints. Smaller accompanying UMAPs denote the temporal rate of change in cell-state density, with red signifying increasing density (enrichment) and blue indicating decreasing density (depletion).

E. UMAP colored by cell-state density inferred using all-cells without using temporal information. Trend highlights the erythroid trajectory.

F. Heatmap displays the time-dependent cell-state densities along the trajectory (pseudotime on the y-axis and real-time on the x-axis), with vertical grey lines signifying the measured timepoints.

G. Marginal plot illustrating the proportional composition of cell-types along the erythroid trajectory at each timepoint, derived by integrating density in F across the trajectory segment associated with each specific cell type.

1495

1496

Figure 5: Application of Mellon density estimation to single-cell chromatin data modalities.

A-B. UMAPs of H3K4me1 (A) and H3K9me3 (B) mouse bone marrow sort-ChIC dataset[48] colored by cell-type.

C-D. Same as (A-B), with UMAPs colored by Mellon log density

E-F. Violin plots to compare cell-state densities among different hematopoietic cell-types. Top: H3K4me1, Bottom: H3K9me3

G. Violin plot of covariance matrix rank for each sort-ChIC dataset for 100 runs of Mellon by repeatedly subsampling 80% of the dataset. (*** p-value < 1e-30, Wilcoxon rank-sum test)

1505

1506

Figure 6: Performance benchmarking of Mellon for demonstrating its scalability and linear time complexity.

A. Demonstrates the CPU time required for Mellon's density inference on a single core across various dataset sizes from four distinct datasets. Each dataset is successively downsized by randomly removing 10% of cells. The data points in this log-log plot align closely with the diagonal line that has a slope of 1, indicating a linear relationship between the number of cells and the CPU time required, which suggests a linear time complexity of Mellon's algorithm, particularly for large datasets. Notably, statistics for the two large synthetic datasets (6 million and 10 million cells), marked by a blue circle, fall below the diagonal. This emphasizes that a nonlinear increase in compute time does not dominate, even for these larger datasets. For these two synthetic datasets, the computation of diffusion components was omitted, and the larger dataset (10 million cells) uses only 1,000 landmarks, instead of the usual 5,000. The vertical line at 5,000 cells marks the point where the Gaussian process changes from a full process to a sparse one, demonstrating how Mellon adapts to larger datasets by computing the density based on a subset of 'landmark' cell states.

B. Same as (A) but using 36 CPU cores, showcasing the computational efficiency achieved through parallel processing. The data points, situated below the slope-1 diagonal, represent a decrease in CPU time due to the parallelization of tasks.

C. Breakdown of the total single-core CPU time for the iPS dataset into individual computational stages, offering insights into the contribution of each stage to the overall density inference process.

1526

References

Bendall, S. C. *et al.* Single-cell trajectory detection uncovers progression and regulatory coordination in human B cell development. *Cell* **157**, 714-725 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.005

van Dijk, D. *et al.* Recovering Gene Interactions from Single-Cell Data Using Data Diffusion. *Cell* **174**, 716-729 e727 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.061

Burkhardt, D. B. *et al.* Quantifying the effect of experimental perturbations at single-cell resolution. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 619-629 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-020-00803-5

Antolovic, V., Lenn, T., Miermont, A. & Chubb, J. R. Transition state dynamics during a stochastic fate choice. *Development* **146** (2019). https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.173740

Westbrook, E. R., Lenn, T., Chubb, J. R. & Antolović, V. Collective signalling drives rapid jumping between cell states. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2005.2003.539233 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.05.03.539233

Rukhlenko, O. S. *et al.* Control of cell state transitions. *Nature* **609**, 975-985 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-022-05194-y

Nelms, B. & Walbot, V. Defining the developmental program leading to meiosis in maize. *Science* **364**, 52-56 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aav6428

Schiebinger, G. *et al.* Optimal-Transport Analysis of Single-Cell Gene Expression Identifies Developmental Trajectories in Reprogramming. *Cell* **176**, 928-943 e922 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.006

Yang, D. *et al.* Lineage tracing reveals the phylodynamics, plasticity, and paths of tumor evolution. *Cell* **185**, 1905-1923 e1925 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.015

Burdziak, C. *et al.* Epigenetic plasticity cooperates with cell-cell interactions to direct pancreatic tumorigenesis. *Science* **380**, eadd5327 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.add5327

Coifman, R. R. *et al.* Geometric diffusions as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition of data: diffusion maps. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 7426-7431 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0500334102

Haghverdi, L., Buettner, F. & Theis, F. J. Diffusion maps for high-dimensional single-cell analysis of differentiation data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2989-2998 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv325

Setty, M. *et al.* Wishbone identifies bifurcating developmental trajectories from single-cell data. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 637-645 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.3569

Setty, M. *et al.* Characterization of cell fate probabilities in single-cell data with Palantir. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 451-460 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-019-0068-4

Trapnell, C. *et al.* The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **32**, 381-386 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.2859

Buenrostro, J. D. *et al.* Integrated Single-Cell Analysis Maps the Continuous Regulatory Landscape of Human Hematopoietic Differentiation. *Cell* **173**, 1535-1548 e1516 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.074

Lange, M. *et al.* CellRank for directed single-cell fate mapping. *Nature Methods* **19**, 159-170 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-021-01346-6

Rasmussen, C. E. & Williams, C. K. I. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. (2006).

Snoek, J., Larochelle, H. & Adams, R. P. in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.*

Orkin, S. H. & Zon, L. I. Hematopoiesis: an evolving paradigm for stem cell biology. *Cell* **132**, 631-644 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2008.01.025

Persad, S. *et al.* SEACells infers transcriptional and epigenomic cellular states from single-cell genomics data. *Nat Biotechnol* (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-023-01716-9

Oetjen, K. A. *et al.* Human bone marrow assessment by single-cell RNA sequencing, mass cytometry, and flow cytometry. *JCI Insight* **3** (2018). https://doi.org:10.1172/jci.insight.124928

Pietras, E. M., Warr, M. R. & Passegue, E. Cell cycle regulation in hematopoietic stem cells. *J Cell Biol* **195**, 709-720 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.201102131

24 Boller, S. & Grosschedl, R. The regulatory network of B-cell differentiation: a focused view of early
B-cell factor 1 function. *Immunol Rev* **261**, 102-115 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1111/imr.12206
25 Kim, H., Hwang, J. S., Lee, B., Hong, J. & Lee, S. Newly Identified Cancer-Associated Role of
Human Neuronal Growth Regulator 1 (NEGR1). *J Cancer* **5**, 598-608 (2014).
https://doi.org:10.7150/jca.8052
26 Melchers, F. Checkpoints that control B cell development. *J Clin Invest* **125**, 2203-2210 (2015).
https://doi.org:10.1172/JCI78083
27 Atlas, H. C.   (2020).
28 Strunz, M. *et al.* Alveolar regeneration through a Krt8+ transitional stem cell state that persists in
human lung fibrosis. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3559 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-17358-3
29 Bastidas-Ponce, A. *et al.* Comprehensive single cell mRNA profiling reveals a detailed roadmap
for pancreatic endocrinogenesis. *Development* **146** (2019). https://doi.org:10.1242/dev.173849
30 Yang, D. *et al.* CRISPR screening uncovers a central requirement for HHEX in pancreatic lineage
commitment and plasticity restriction. *Nat Cell Biol* **24**, 1064-1076 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41556-022-00946-4
31 Moor, A. E. *et al.* Spatial Reconstruction of Single Enterocytes Uncovers Broad Zonation along
the Intestinal Villus Axis. *Cell* **175**, 1156-1167 e1115 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.063
32 Ma, S. *et al.* Chromatin Potential Identified by Shared Single-Cell Profiling of RNA and Chromatin.
Cell **183**, 1103-1116 e1120 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.056
33 Gonzalez, A. J., Setty, M. & Leslie, C. S. Early enhancer establishment and regulatory locus
complexity shape transcriptional programs in hematopoietic differentiation. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1249-
1259 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.3402
34 Lara-Astiaso, D. *et al.* Immunogenetics. Chromatin state dynamics during blood formation.
Science **345**, 943-949 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1256271
35 Kaikkonen, M. U. *et al.* Remodeling of the enhancer landscape during macrophage activation is
coupled to enhancer transcription. *Mol Cell* **51**, 310-325 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.07.010
36 Granja, J. M. *et al.* ArchR is a scalable software package for integrative single-cell chromatin
accessibility analysis. *Nat Genet* **53**, 403-411 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-021-00790-
6
37 Argelaguet, R. *et al.* Decoding gene regulation in the mouse embryo using single-cell multi-omics.
bioRxiv, 2022.2006.2015.496239 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1101/2022.06.15.496239
38 Murre, C. 'Big bang' of B-cell development revealed. *Genes Dev* **32**, 93-95 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.311357.118
39 Sun, B. *et al.* Sox4 is required for the survival of pro-B cells. *J Immunol* **190**, 2080-2089 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.4049/jimmunol.1202736
40 Macnair, W., Gupta, R. & Claassen, M. psupertime: supervised pseudotime analysis for time-
series single-cell RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics* **38**, i290-i298 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btac227
41 Tran, T. N. & Bader, G. D. Tempora: Cell trajectory inference using time-series single-cell RNA
sequencing data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **16**, e1008205 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008205
42 Mittnenzweig, M. *et al.* A single-embryo, single-cell time-resolved model for mouse gastrulation.
Cell **184**, 2825-2842 e2822 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.004
43 Klein, D. *et al.* Mapping cells through time and space with moscot. *bioRxiv*,
2023.2005.2011.540374 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.05.11.540374
44 Pijuan-Sala, B. *et al.* A single-cell molecular map of mouse gastrulation and early organogenesis.
Nature **566**, 490-495 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-019-0933-9
45 McDole, K. *et al.* In Toto Imaging and Reconstruction of Post-Implantation Mouse Development
at the Single-Cell Level. *Cell* **175**, 859-876 e833 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.031
46 Wu, S. J. *et al.* Single-cell CUT&Tag analysis of chromatin modifications in differentiation and
tumor progression. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 819-824 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-021-
00865-z

Bartosovic, M., Kabbe, M. & Castelo-Branco, G. Single-cell CUT&Tag profiles histone modifications and transcription factors in complex tissues. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 825-835 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-021-00869-9

Zeller, P. *et al.* Single-cell sortChIC identifies hierarchical chromatin dynamics during hematopoiesis. *Nat Genet* **55**, 333-345 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-022-01260-3

LaFave, L. M. *et al.* Epigenomic State Transitions Characterize Tumor Progression in Mouse Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* **38**, 212-228 e213 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.06.006

Massague, J. & Ganesh, K. Metastasis-Initiating Cells and Ecosystems. *Cancer Discov* **11**, 971-994 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0010

Lynch, A. W. *et al.* MIRA: joint regulatory modeling of multimodal expression and chromatin accessibility in single cells. *Nat Methods* **19**, 1097-1108 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-022-01595-z

Meers, M. P., Llagas, G., Janssens, D. H., Codomo, C. A. & Henikoff, S. Multifactorial profiling of epigenetic landscapes at single-cell resolution using MulTI-Tag. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**, 708-716 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-022-01522-9

Stuart, T. *et al.* Nanobody-tethered transposition enables multifactorial chromatin profiling at single-cell resolution. *Nat Biotechnol* **41**, 806-812 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-022-01588-5

Regev, A. *et al.* The Human Cell Atlas. *Elife* **6** (2017). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.27041

Rozenblatt-Rosen, O. *et al.* The Human Tumor Atlas Network: Charting Tumor Transitions across Space and Time at Single-Cell Resolution. *Cell* **181**, 236-249 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.053

Google. *JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs*, <http://github.com/google/jax> (2018).

Kumaraswamy, K. Fractal dimension for data mining. *Center for Automated Learning and Discovery School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University* **5000** (2003).

Zhang, K., Tsang, I. W. & Kwok, J. T. in *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning.* 1232-1239.

Arthur, D. & Vassilvitskii, S. in *Proceedings of the eighteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms.* 1027-1035.

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *bioinformatics* **26**, 139-140 (2010).

Cusanovich, D. A. *et al.* The cis-regulatory dynamics of embryonic development at single-cell resolution. *Nature* **555**, 538-542 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature25981

Grant, C. E., Bailey, T. L. & Noble, W. S. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1017-1018 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr064

Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biol* **19**, 15 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0

Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1289-1296 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-019-0619-0

Persad, S. *et al.*   (2022).

Burrows, N. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha activity is essential for normal B cell development. *Nat Immunol* **21**, 1408-1420 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41590-020-0772-8

Browaeys, R., Saelens, W. & Saeys, Y. NicheNet: modeling intercellular communication by linking ligands to target genes. *Nat Methods* **17**, 159-162 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-019-0667-5

Lopez, R., Regier, J., Cole, M. B., Jordan, M. I. & Yosef, N. Deep generative modeling for single-cell transcriptomics. *Nat Methods* **15**, 1053-1058 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-018-0229-2

Stephenson, E. *et al.* Single-cell multi-omics analysis of the immune response in COVID-19. *Nat Med* **27**, 904-916 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41591-021-01329-2

A Uniform density

B Density in phenotypic landscapes

acceleration

convergence

proliferation

apoptosis

deceleration

C

Cell state x_i

Nearest-neighbor-distance distribution

$\mathrm{dn}(x_i)$ Distance to nearest neighbor

D

High-density

Link density between similar states

Low-density

Poisson to connect density with NN-distance distribution

E Bayesian Model

Cell state $\qquad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad i \in \{1 \dots n\}$

Log-density $\qquad f(x_i) \sim \mathrm{GP}(m, \mathrm{Matern52}(l))$

Density $\qquad \rho(x_i) = \exp \circ\, f(x_i)$

NN distance $\qquad \mathrm{dn}(x_i) \sim \mathrm{NN}(\rho(x_i), d)$

F Log density function $f(x_i)$

Cell-state density

low — high

G Single-cell density

Low density region

High density region

A SEACells metacells

Metacell Gene-peak correlations

No. of correlated peaks

Gene rank

B

HSC

HMP

CLP

proB

preB

NaiveB

Ery

Mono

B-cells primed

B-cells lineage-specific

158800000   chr5   158825000

C proB CLP HMP HSC

D EBF1 expression   EBF1 change rate

RNA

EBF1 primed score   EBF1 lineage-spec score

ATAC

E EBF1 dynamics

High-density   Low-density   High-density

Log density

EBF1 local variability

Accessibility scores

Primed score

Lineage-specific score

Pseudo-time

F Gene expression dynamics

High-density   Low-density   High-density

EBF1

Pseudo-time

G Primed accessibility in B-cell lineage

Pseudo-time

Lineage-specific accessibility in B-cell lineage

Pseudo-time

H EBF1 PAX5

I Peak accessibility

chr5:158,852,577-158,853,077

Accessibility

Pseudo-time

J SOX4 expression

SOX4

Expression

Pseudo-time

A

UMAP

Allantois
Anterior Primitive Streak
Blood progenitors 1
Blood progenitors 2
Cardiomyocytes
Caudal Mesoderm
Caudal epiblast
Caudal neurectoderm
Def. endoderm
Endothelium
Epiblast
Erythroid1

Erythroid2
Erythroid3
ExE endoderm
ExE mesoderm
Forebrain/Midbrain/Hindbrain
Gut
Haematoendothelial progenitors
Intermediate mesoderm
Mesenchyme
Mixed mesoderm
NMP
Nascent mesoderm

Neural crest
Notochord
PGC
Paraxial mesoderm
Pharyngeal mesoderm
Primitive Streak
Rostral neurectoderm
Somitic mesoderm
Spinal cord
Surface ectoderm
Visceral endoderm

Time

E6.5   E7.5   E8.5

B

E6.5   E6.75   E7.0   E7.25   E7.5   E7.75   E8.0   E8.25   E8.5

C

State interpolation

D

Temporal interpolation

E7.5   E7.625   E7.75

Density change

up   down

E

Time aggregated density

F

Pseudotime

G

Erythroid3
Erythroid2
Erythroid1
Blood progenitors 2
Blood progenitors 1
Haematoendothelial progenitors
Nascent mesoderm
Primitive Streak
Epiblast

Celltype fraction

Emergence of hematoendo-
thelial progenitors

E6.5   E7.5   E8.5

Measured timepoint