OrtR regulates the oxidative stress response of *Streptococcus mutans*

Shuxing Yu[1,2], Jun Huang[1,2], Yaqi Liu[1,2], Jing Li[1,2], Qiong Zhang[1,2], Yan Wang[1,2], Tao Gong[1],

Qizhao Ma[1,2*], Jing Zou[1,2*], Yuqing Li[1*]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, West

China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

[2] Department of Pediatric Dentistry, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University,

Chengdu, China

Running title: OrtR and oxidative stress response

* Correspondence authors

Email: mqz2080@163.com (QM); zoujing@scu.edu.cn (JZ); liyuqing@scu.edu.cn (YL)

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Dental caries is the most common chronic infectious diseases around the world and disproportionately affects the marginalized socioeconomic group. *Streptococcus mutans*, considered a primary etiological agent of caries, must depend on the coordinated physiological response to tolerate the oxidative stress generated by commensal species within dental plaque, which is a critical aspect of its pathogenicity. Here, we identified and characterized a novel TetR family regulator named OrtR (oxidative stress adaptation-related transcriptional regulator), encoded by the TnSmu2 operon, which appears to be acquired by the bacteria via horizontal genes transfer. Surprisingly, *ortR* functions as a transcriptional repressor to regulate gene expression outside its operon, involved in the oxidative stress response of *S. mutans*. The *ortR* overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*ortR* was more susceptible to oxidative stress and less competitive against hydrogen peroxide generated by commensal species *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*. Transcriptomics analysis revealed that *ortR* overexpression resulted in the significant downregulation of 22 genes mainly belonging to three gene clusters responsible for the oxidative stress response. The conversed DNA binding motif of OrtR was determined by electrophoretic mobility shift and DNase I footprinting assay with purified OrtR protein, therefore, *ortR* is directly involved in gene transcription related to the oxidative stress response. Crucially, our finding provides a new understanding of how *S. mutans* deals with the oxidative stress that is required for pathogenesis and will facilitate the development of new and improved therapeutic approaches for dental caries.

Keywords: dental caries, biofilm, hydrogen peroxide, transcription factor, transcriptome, gene expression

Author summary

Streptococcus mutans is the major organism associated with the development of dental caries, which globally is the most common chronic disease. To persist and survive in biofilms, *S. mutans* must compete with commensal species which occupy the same ecological niche. Here, we uncover a novel molecular mechanism of how TetR family regulator *ortR* encoded by the TnSmu2 genomic island involves in the oxidative stress response through transcriptomics analysis, electrophoretic mobility shift assay, and DNase I footprinting assay. Furthermore, we demonstrated that *ortR* mediates *S. mutans* sensitivity to oxidative stress and competitiveness with commensal streptococci. Therefore, this study has revealed a previously unknown regulation between *ortR* and genes outer its operon and demonstrated the importance of *ortR* in the oxidative stress response and the fitness of S. mutans within the plaque biofilms, which can be exploited as a new therapy to modulate the ecological homeostasis and prevent dental caries.

Introduction

Dental caries, characterized by the demineralization and subsequent destruction of teeth, is the most prevalent biofilm-associated oral infectious disease globally [1,2]. The

pathogenesis of dental caries is considered to be a microbial shift in the biofilm

composition; however, *Streptococcus mutans* is the most closely and constantly linked with

caries formation [3,4]. Occasionally, *S. mutans* can enter the bloodstream and cause

systemic infections, such as infective endocarditis [5–7].

S. mutans resides in biofilms on the tooth surface, which is a diverse, multi-species,

and dynamic environment that is constantly exposed to severe limitations in nutrition,

fluctuations in temperature and pH, and challenges in osmotic and oxidative tensions [8,9].

To survive adverse environmental conditions, bacteria evolve through a wide range of rapid

and adaptive responses, including carbohydrate utilization, acid production, and low pH

adaption, which are well-studied [10,11]. However, limited information is available

regarding oxidative stress response and its impact on the adaptation of *S. mutans*. In a

previous transcriptomic study that measured gene transcripts expressed in natural oral

biofilm communities, the majority of stress response transcripts (50%–75% of total) were

associated with oxidative stress, suggesting that oxidative stress is the leading stressor in

the biofilm microbial communities [12,13].

Multiple sources contribute to oxidative stress, including the poisonous effects of

reactive oxygen species (ROS) and unfavorable cellular redox potential [14,15]. Damaging

ROS, including superoxide anion radical ($O2\cdot^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and hydroxyl

radical ($HO\cdot$), are produced inside the bacterial cells when growing in an aerobic

environment. $O2\cdot^-$ and $HO\cdot$ derives from Fenton chemistry as part of the bacterial oxidative

stress pathway [16,17]. ROS are toxic and can react more voluntarily with biological

macromolecules, such as cleaving RNA/DNA, and oxidizing proteins and lipids [18,19].

Therefore, the ability of *S. mutans* to cope with oxidative stress is important for their

survival and perseverance in dental biofilm microbial communities.

In RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analysis, evidence for oxidative stress tolerance is

revealed in a large genomic island termed TnSmu2 [20]. Many genes encoded within the

TnSmu2 regions are affected in the deletion of *fstH*, *cidB,* or *covR*, which are involved in

oxidative stress resistance [21–23]. TnSmu2 is a mobile genetic element commonly

acquired through horizontal gene transfer events, which is a selective competitive growth

advantage seen under changing environmental conditions that affects the metabolism or

pathogenic potential of the organisms [24–26]. The region contains two tetracycline

repressor (TetR) family transcriptional regulators, *smu_1349* and *smu_1361c* [27,28].

smu_1349 is involved in oxidative stress response by regulating the nonribosomal peptide

synthetase-polyketide synthase gene cluster [28]. However, the regulatory effect of

smu_1361c on the oxidative stress tolerance of *S. mutans* is still uncharacterized. Therefore,

we suggest a hypothesis that *smu_1361c*, carried on the TnSmu2 genomic island, provides

an additional layer of the regulatory mechanism of oxidative stress for *S. mutans*.

Herein, we investigated the function of the transcription factor SMU_1361c, renamed

OrtR (oxidative stress adaptation-related transcriptional regulator), and uncovered its

regulatory mechanism on the oxidative stress response. OrtR inhibits the gene expressions

of *smu_137-141* and *smu_764-765* by directly binding to their promoters, attributing to the

observed defects of *S. mutans* in tolerance against oxidative stress. This study highlights a

new link between OrtR from the TnSmu2 regions and the oxidative stress response and

provides a new possible goal for the ecological control of dental caries.

Results

OrtR increased susceptibility to the oxidative stress response of *S. mutans*

The overexpression and in-frame deletion mutants for *ortR* were constructed to evaluate

the function of OrtR. First, we measured the mRNA levels of *ortR* in UA159,

UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR*, using quantitative reverse

transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). As shown in S1 Fig, the expression

level of *ortR* in the strain overexpression *ortR* increased significantly, about 50-fold,

compared with that of UA159/pDL278, and no *ortR* transcripts were detected in the *ortR*

deletion strain, as expected. These results indicate that both the *ortR* in-frame deletion

mutants for *ortR* were successfully constructed.

We first measured the growth rates of UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*,

and UA159 Δ*ortR* under aerobic conditions. As shown in Fig 1A, no significant differences

were observed between these bacterial growths both in the logarithmic growth and

stationary phase. Since the ability to form biofilm is an important virulence factor in *S.

mutans*, the effect of *ortR* on biofilm formation at different times (6, 12, and 24 h) was

examined. Similarly, no significant differences were observed in biofilm biomass (Fig 1B).

Furthermore, the effect of *ortR* on other key virulence properties and bacterial fitness

was detected. The study results showed that there were no significant differences in

acidogenicity and aciduricity of the UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and

UA159 Δ*ortR* strains (S2A and 2B Fig). To compare their ability to grow and survive when

exposed to hydrogen peroxide, a hydrogen peroxide killing assay was performed, where

the percent viable cells were determined after exposure to hydrogen peroxide for 20, 40,

and 60 min. After 20 min of exposure to hydrogen peroxide, the overexpression strain

UA159/pDL278-*ortR* showed a slight defect in the ability to survive (Fig 1C and 1D).

However, after 40 or 60 min of exposure, there was a significant decrease in the ability of

the UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strain to survive, with no significant differences observed for

UA159 Δ*ortR* compared with the UA159/pDL278 strain and *S. mutans* UA159 (Fig 1C

and 1D). This result was further supported by the findings in Fig 1E and 1F. A dose-

dependent inhibition was observed when filter disks infused with different hydrogen

peroxide concentrations ranging from 20 to 60 mM were placed on a soft agar plate

containing UA159 and its derivatives, particularly in the overexpression strain

UA159/pDL278-*ortR*. Together, these results suggest that *ortR* plays an important role in

oxidative stress response in *S. mutans*.

OrtR impaired bacterial competitiveness of *S. mutans* in the

three-species biofilms

The tooth surfaces are colonized by a great number of bacterial species, which normally

maintain ecological homeostasis amid different species. Hydrogen peroxide-producing

commensal species, including *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*, are

among many of the early colonizers on tooth surfaces [29,30]. Therefore, the ability of *S.*

mutans to contend with oxidative stress generated by hydrogen peroxide is important for

its competitiveness with commensal species that occupy the same ecological niche [31–

33]. Subsequently, we probed the potential function of *ortR* in regulating the

competitiveness of *S. mutans* in oral biofilm communities by creating a three-species

biofilms model, comprising *S. mutans*, *S. sanguinis*, and *S. gordonii*. Fluorescent in situ

hybridization (FISH) was used to visualize the spatiotemporal interactions within the three-

species biofilm communities (Fig 2A). Quantitative analysis of FISH-labeled biofilms

showed that the overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*ortR* was less competitive than the

UA159/pDL278 strain against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii* (Fig 2B). No significant

competitiveness differences were observed between UA159 and UA159 Δ*ortR* (Fig 2B).

To determine whether the less competitiveness of UA159/pDL278-*ortR* is caused by

hydrogen peroxide produced by *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii*, we detected the effect of

hydrogen peroxide on bacterial interactions by adding catalase to the three-species biofilms.

As shown in Fig 2C and 2D, there was a superior competitive advantage of

UA159/pDL278-*ortR* against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii* over UA159/pDL278; no

significant competitive differences were observed between UA159 and UA159 Δ*ortR*

against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii*, within three-species biofilms (Fig 2C and 2D). These

results indicated that *ortR* impairs the competitiveness of *S. mutans* in biofilm communities

owing to the sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide produced by commensal *S. gordonii* and *S.*

sanguinis.

Transcriptomics analysis of the UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strain

To understand the role *ortR* plays globally in regulating oxidative stress response of *S.*

mutans, transcriptomics analysis of the UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strains

was performed. In total, 22 significantly downregulated genes (>2-fold) and 14

significantly upregulated genes (>2-fold) were identified in the UA159/pDL278-*ortR*

strain compared with UA159 (Fig 3A, S3 and S4 Tables). Among the up-regulated genes

in this range was the gene *ortR*, which further supported the previous qRT-PCR results

(S1A Fig). Based on the *S. mutans* UA159 genome annotation from the National Center

for Biotechnology Information, most of the significantly downregulated genes were mainly

associated with energy production and conversion and carbohydrate transport and

metabolism, except for some unannotated genes (Fig 3A). The volcano plot illustrates

considerably downregulated gene clusters, including *smu_137-smu_141*, *smu_764-*

smu_765, and *smu_127-smu_130*, and the highest upregulated gene *smu_1361c* (*ortR*) in

the UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strain compared with UA159/pDL278 strain (Fig 3B).

To better condense the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) into functional gene

groups, the DAVID bioinformatic tool (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to conduct the

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and Gene Ontology (GO)

enrichment analysis [34,35]. DEGs were enriched in six KEGG pathways and six GO terms

(Fig 3C and 3D). The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that the downregulated

genes belonged to the citrate cycle (tricarboxylic acid cycle), pyruvate metabolism,

glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, carbon metabolism, microbial metabolism, microbial

metabolism in diverse environments, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Fig 3C,

S3 and S5 Tables). The GO enrichment analysis showed that the downregulated genes were

mainly involved in peroxidase activity, peroxiredoxin activity, transferase activity, cell

redox homeostasis, flavin adenine dinucleotide binding, and sugar phosphotransferase

activity (Fig 3D, S3 and S6 Tables).

Among these DEGs, *mleS* (*smu_137*) for a malolactic enzyme, *mleP* (*smu_138*) for

malate permease, *gshR* (*smu_140*) for a glutathione reductase, *ahpC* (*smu_764*) for an alkyl

hydroperoxide reductase, and *smu_765* for the NADH oxidase/alkyl hydroperoxidase

reductase peroxide-forming known to associate with the oxidative stress response of *S.*

mutans were significantly downregulated in the UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strain compared

with those in UA159/pDL278. In addition, the gene cluster *smu_127-smu_130* was

significantly downregulated in UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, which was also recently reported to

be involved in the oxidative stress response of *S. mutans* [36]. Significantly, these results

indicate that *ortR* may regulate their gene expression by affecting the promoter of these

gene clusters (Fig 3B and 3E).

OrtR directly bound to the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141* and *smu_764-smu_765*

Based on the phenotype results that the UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strain was more sensitive to oxidative stress than the UA159/pDL278 strain, the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141* and *smu_764-smu_765* were chosen to study the DNA binding activity and specificity of OrtR and were renamed *smu_137* promoter and *smu_764* promoter in subsequent studies, respectively. The *smu_137* promoter (116 bp) and *smu_764* promoter (131 bp) were amplified to investigate their interactions with purified recombinant His-OrtR protein using EMSA, and the *smu_136c* ORF fragment (116 bp) and *smu_765* ORF fragment (131 bp) were used to exclude the nonspecific bindings of OrtR to DNA substrates (Fig 4A and 4B). The mobility shifts were only observed when OrtR was incubated with the *smu_140* promoter and *smu_764* promoter, and no mobility shifts appeared when OrtR was incubated with the *smu_136c* ORF fragment and 131 bp *smu_765* ORF fragment (Fig 4A and 4B). These results indicate that OrtR can directly bind to the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141* and *smu_764-smu_765*.

Identifying the specific binding motif of OrtR

The DNase I footprinting assay was used to demonstrate the specific binding motif of OrtR to the putative *smu_137* promoter region. After the *smu_137* promoter region was exposed to DNase I, the fragment containing 5′-CATATTATAACATAAAATG-3′ with high AT content was gradually protected with the increasing OrtR concentrations (Fig 5A). To

further confirm that this motif was essential for the OrtR recognition, two DNA substrates,

smu_137 promoter 1 (p1) containing 5′-CATATTATAACATAAAATG-3′ and *smu_137*

p1mut (A and T bases were changed to C and G in the palindrome sequence, respectively)

containing 5′-CCGCGGCGCCCCGCCCCGG-3′ were designed and amplified (Fig 5B).

The EMSA results showed that OrtR formed the protein/DNA complex with *smu_137* p1

(Fig 5B). No complex/DNA complex with *smu-137* p1mut were formed obviously (Fig

5B). These results indicate that the DNA motif 5′-CATATTATAACATAAAATG-3′ is the

binding site recognized by OrtR. The putative OrtR binding motif in other promoters was

searched using MEME Suite 5.5.1. Then, another two putative OrtR binding sequences

were found in the *smu_764* promoter (5′-ATTATAAGAAAA-3′) and *smu_127* promoter

(5′-ATTTTAACATAA-3′), in which the repressing regulation of OrtR accounts for the

downregulated genes in the transcriptomics analysis (Fig 4C). A further logo assay was

performed using WebLogo tool (University of California, Berkeley) (Fig 5C).

Disscussion

Oral biofilms are microbial communities with a high density and diversity of bacterial

species; these species both cooperate and compete with one another [37,38]. Many

commensal bacteria, such as *S. gordonii* and *S. sanguinis*, are the initial colonizers on the

tooth surface before *S. mutans* [39,40]. They create oxidative stress conditions by

producing hydrogen peroxide, which gives them the ability to compete with *S. mutans* for

the same ecological niche [41,42]. Therefore, the ability to tolerate oxidative stress is vital

for the survival and fitness of *S. mutans* in oral biofilms. Our study results reveal that OrtR,

a novel TetR transcription factor, impairs the oxidative stress response by regulating the

expression of multiple related virulence genes in *S. mutans* and further provide insights

into the molecular mechanisms of OrtR as a transcription repressor that manipulates

numerous related virulence genes in *S. mutans* by directly binding to their specific

promoter regions.

Since *S. mutans* lacks catalase, alternative strategies must be used to cope with the

oxidative stress challenges imposed by the competing species and host [43,44]. In this

study, we found that the overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*ortR* was more sensitive to

hydrogen peroxide stress than the UA159/pDL278 strain (Fig 1C–F). In addition, the *ortR*

overexpression decreased the ability of *S. mutans* to compete with *S. gordonii* and *S.*

sanguinis in the well-characterized interspecies interaction three-species biofilms model,

signifying that the ability to tolerate oxidative stress is impaired (Fig 2A and 2B). This

inhibition phenotype was lost when catalase was added to the three-species biofilms,

further approving that this inhibition of overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*ortR* was a

function of hydrogen peroxide generated by *S. gordonii* and *S. sanguinis*. These phenotypes

were consistent with the transcriptomics analysis results of UA159/pDL278 and

UA159/pDL278-*ortR*. For example, the downregulated gene clusters including *smu_137-*

smu_141, *smu_764-smu_765*, and *smu_127-smu_130* are closely associated with the

oxidative adaptive adaptability of *S. mutans* , which attribute to the observed defects of

UA159/pDL278-*ortR* in tolerance against hydrogen peroxide (Fig 3B and 3E).

The TetR family, a family of transcriptional regulators, is well represented and widely

distributed amid bacteria with a DNA-binding motif, whose function is usually that of

repressors [45,46]. In this study, the expression levels of the three operons associated with

the oxidative stress response were downregulated when the *ortR* gene was overexpressed,

confirming that OrtR acts as a repressor of operon expression, as seen as in other family

members of *S. mutans*, such as *mubR*, *hapR*, *accR* and *frtR* [34,47–49]. In detail, the

deletion of *mubR* and *frtR* downregulated the transcription of NRPS-PKS gene clusters and

frtP gene, which are responsible for amplified sensitivity to oxidative stress and fluoride,

respectively. Furthermore, the GO terms for the downregulated genes were compared, and

several genes related to phosphotransferase system, the major route for internalization of

carbohydrates in *S. mutans*, were differentially downregulated in the overexpression strain

UA159/pDL278-*ortR* (Fig 3D). *ortR* may fine-tune cellular physiology during periods of

oxidative stress to help preserve normal cellular homeostasis.

According to the transcriptomics analysis in this study, *ortR* does not show a

regulatory relationship with its neighboring genes; however, it is involved in the regulation

of genes outside its operon. The EMSA results support a direct mechanism for the OrtR

regulation of two gene clusters *smu_137-smu_141* and *smu_764*-smu_765*. The binding

motif was identified by DNase I footprinting assays, and the results showed that a

palindromic sequence with high AT content was protected by OrtR, suggesting that OrtR

prefers binding to DNA motif with high AT content. The preference was consistent with

other TetR family transcription factors, such as MubR, HapR, AccR or FrtR [34,47–49].

In summary, OrtR, a novel TetR family transcription factor, acts as a repressor to

impair the ability of *S. mutans* to survive and compete with commensal bacteria due to the

sensitivity to their by-product, hydrogen peroxide. Further studies have explained that *ortR*

leads to significant reductions in several gene clusters involved in the oxidative stress

response by binding to their specific promoter regions. Thus, an improved understanding

of the mechanism by which OrtR regulates the *S. mutans* oxidative stress response can

strengthen our understanding to exploit interspecies interactions in the plaque biofilm for

reducing dysbiosis-induced cariogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in the S1 and S2 Tables. *S.*

mutans UA159, *S. gordonii* DL1, and S. sanguinis SK36 were obtained from the State Key

Laboratory of Oral Diseases (Sichuan University, Chengdu, China). *S. mutans* UA159 and

its derivatives, *S. gordonii* DL1, and *S. sanguinis* SK36 were routinely grown in brain heart

infusion (BHI) broth (Difco, Sparks, MD, United States) or on BHI agar incubated

aerobically for 24 h at 37 °C. For the biofilm formation assay, 1% sucrose (wt/vol, Sigma,

United States) was added to the BHI medium (BHIS). In addition, *Escherichia coli* and its

derivatives were cultured in Luria-Bertani medium (BD, Sparks, MD, United States)

aerobically (95% air, 5% CO_2) with spectinomycin (1 mg/mL) or kanamycin (30 μg/mL)

at 37°C, when necessary.

Construction of overexpression strains

The genes of ortR and *ldh* promoter regions were amplified from *S. mutans* genomic DNA

by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). All the primers were designed using an online tool

provided by TaKaRa (https://www.takarabio.com/learning-centers/cloning/primer-design-

and-other-tools) and listed in S2 Table. The PCR products were purified and cloned into

linearized *E. coli-Streptococcus* shuttle vector pDL278 by using an In-Fusion HD cloning

kit (TaKaRa, Japan) as described previously [50]. Then, the recombined plasmid was

transformed into *S. mutans* UA159 to generate overexpression strains UA159/pDL278-

ortR, which were selected by using plates containing spectinomycin (1 mg/mL) and

verified by PCR and sequencing.

Construction of markerless in-frame deletion strains

The markerless in-frame deletion strain UA159 Δ*ortR* was made using a two-step

transformation method as described previously [51]. Briefly, approximately 1 kb of the

homologous sequence upstream and downstream of the *ortR* open reading frame (ORF)

was amplified from *S. mutans* UA159 genomic DNA using PCR with the upF/upR and

dnF/dnR primers and the selection cassette IFDC2 (positive for erythromycin and negative

for *p*-Cl-phe) was amplified by the *ldh*F/*erm*R primers, respectively. Then, the fragments

containing the overlapping regions were ligated using the overlap extension PCR with

upF/dnR primers, which were transformed into *S. mutans* UA159 and selected by using

BHI agar plate containing erythromycin (12 μg/mL). For the second transformation,

upstream and downstream fragments of *ortR* were amplified using the primer upF/updnR

and dnF/dnR and ligated using the primer upF/dnR. The ligated fragment was transformed

into the first-step constructed mutant strain and selected by using plates containing *p*-Cl-

phe (4 mg/mL, Sigma, United States). Finally, the markerless in-frame deletion mutant was

further confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to

quantify the expression of the *ortR* gene in overexpression and deletion strains. Bacterial

cells were cultured to the mid-logarithmic phase (OD_{600nm}=0.5), harvested by

centrifugation (4, 000 g, 4℃, 10 min), and frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. To evaluate

the gene expression of overexpression and deletion strains of the *ortR* gene. Primer3 online

tool (http://simgene.com/Primer3) was used to design the specific primer of *ortR* gene. The

separation, purification and reverse transcription of bacterial total RNA were carried out

according to the instructions. Additionally, 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene was used as

an internal control and the data were analyzed according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Growth curve assay

Overnight cultures of *S. mutans* and its derivatives were subcultured at a dilution of 1:10

in BHI medium until the optical density (OD) at 600nm (OD_{600nm}) to 0.5. The cultured cells

354   were diluted by 1:100 and inoculated in the 96-well cell culture plates (Corning, NY,

355   United States) with each well covered by sterile mineral oil. The OD_{600nm} was measured

356   using a spectrophotometer (BioTek, Winooski, VT, United States) at 30-min intervals for

357   12h as described previously [35].

Biofilm formation assay

The crystal violet staining method was used to assess the biofilm biomass as described

previously [52]. Briefly, the subcultured cells were diluted by 1:100 with BHIS and

aerobically cultured in 24-well cell culture plates (Corning, NY, United States). After 24-

h incubation, the growth medium was gently removed, and the biofilm was rinsed three

times with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stained with 0.01% CV (wt/vol) for

15 min. Then, the wells were rinsed three times with PBS and eluted with 33% acetic acid

for 30min. The absorbance of the solution was measured at OD_{575nm} by spectrophotometer.

Glycolytic pH drop and acid killing assays

The ability of *S. mutans* to lower the pH through glycolysis was monitored as described

previously with some modifications [53]. Briefly, *S. mutans* and its derivatives were

harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5, rinsed with 1 mL PBS solution, and resuspended in the salt

solution containing 50 mM KCl and 1 mM $MgCl_2$ (pH=7.0). pH drop was initiated by

adding 1% (wt/vol) glucose and monitored at 5- or 10-min intervals for 60 min using the

Orion Dual Star, pH/ISE Benchtop (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

The acid killing assay was performed as described previously to test their acid

resistance ability [54]. Similar procedures with pH drop assay, *S. mutans* and its derivatives

were harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5. Cell pellets were rinsed once with 0.1-M glycine

(pH=7.0), centrifuged again, and resuspended in 0.1-M glycine (pH=2.8) for acid killing.

Aliquots were taken at 0, 15, 30, and 45 min and then serially diluted and cultured on the

BHI agar plates for enumeration of the colony-forming units (CFUs).

Hydrogen peroxide killing and sensitivity assays

The ability of bacterial strains to withstand hydrogen peroxide challenge was determined

using protocols described elsewhere [55]. The procedures for measuring hydrogen

peroxide tolerance were similar to measuring acid tolerance, except that hydrogen peroxide

tolerance was assessed using 0.2% (66.05mM) hydrogen peroxide for 0, 20, 40, and 60 min,

respectively.

The hydrogen peroxide sensitivity assay was performed as follows: overnight cultures

of *S. mutans* and its derivatives were washed and adjusted to the OD_{600nm} of 0.5. Four

milliliters of molten BHI-soft-agar (0.6% agar, 60°C) were thoroughly mixed with 200 μL

of *S. mutans* and its derivatives cell suspension and immediately poured onto the BHI agar

plates, which were kept at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently, the filter discs

containing 0-, 20-, 40-, and 60-mM hydrogen peroxide were added to BHI-soft-agar plates

of the test strains followed by 24 h of incubation at 37°C. Inhibition zones were measured

at three different positions and averaged to a single datapoint and the ratio of inhibition

zone to disc diameter was calculated.

Bacterial composition analysis in three-species biofilms

Overnight cultures of *S. mutans* and its derivatives, *S. gordonii*, and *S. sanguinis* were

harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5, and simultaneously inoculated (inoculum ratio of *S.*

mutans/*S. gordonii*/*S. sanguinis*=1:1:1) in fresh BHIS or supplemented with 3 mg/mL

catalase under aerobic conditions for 24 h. Then, the 24-h three-species biofilms were fixed

with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and labeled by species-specific fluorescent *in situ*

hybridization (FISH) probes, as previously described with some modifications [33].

Biofilm images were captured using an Olympus FV3000 confocal laser scanning

microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at 60× magnification from at least five

randomly selected positions of each sample. The three-dimensional structure of the three-

species biofilms was reconstructed by IMARIS 7.0.0 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland), and

the Image-Pro Plus 6.0 (Media Cybernetics) software was used to analyze the bacterial

composition.

RNA-sequencing

For RNA-sequencing, the subcultured UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strains

were harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5 under aerobic conditions, and snap frozen in liquid

nitrogen until needed as described previously [56]. Total RNA from three independent

samples was exacted and purified using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's

instructions (Invitrogen, United States). RNA quality was determined by 2100 Bioanalyzer

(Agilent, United States) and the RNA concentration was quantified using an ND-2000

instrument (NanoDrop Technologies, United States). cDNA library was constructed from

enriched mRNA samples using the TruSeq RNA sample prep kit (Illumina, San Diego,

CA, United States). rRNA depletion from total RNA was performed using the Ribo-Zero

magnetic kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, United States) and the mRNA was chemically

fragmented to short pieces (200 nt) using a $1 \times$ fragmentation solution (Ambion, United

States) for 2.5 min at 94°C. Double-stranded cDNA was produced using the SuperScript

double-stranded cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers (Illumina).

Then, the synthesized cDNA was subjected to end repair, phosphorylation, and "A" base

addition according to Illumina's library construction protocol. Libraries were selected for

cDNA target fragments of 200 bp on 2% low-range Ultra agarose followed by PCR

amplification using Phusion DNA polymerase (NEB, United States) for 15 PCR cycles.

After quantification by TBS380, the paired-end RNA-seq sequencing library was

sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq X Ten instrument (2×150-bp read length). Genes with

a 2-fold difference and a P-value < 0.05 were selected as differentially expressed genes

(DEGs). Functional annotation and enrichment analysis of DEGs were performed in Gene

Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and genomes (KEGG) databases.

Cloning, expression, and purification of recombinant OrtR protein

ortR was amplified from *S. mutans* genomic DNA and purified, digested by *EcoR*I and *Xba*I, and cloned into the expression vector pET28a (Novagen) with an N-terminal fusion of 6 × His-tag. The reconstructed plasmid was cloned to the *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) cells. Then, overnight cultures of the transformant were diluted at 1:20 with fresh LB medium containing 50 μg/mL kanamycin until OD_{600nm} to 0.6. After further growth with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 37°C for 6 h, which induced protein expression, the cell pellets were harvested and lysed by sonification. Then, the recombinant ActG was purified using a His-tagged protein purification kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) following the manufacturer's protocol, and concentrated by 10 kDa MWKO ultra-filtration (Millipore Amincon, Merck, Germany) from the cell debris as described previously [52]. Purified OrtR concentration was determined by measuring its spectrophotometric absorbance at 280 nm, further confirmed by SDS-PAGE, and stored at -80°C for future use.

Electrophoretic mobility shift and DNase I footprinting assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed to confirm the DNA binding activity and specificity of OrtR using protocols described previously with some modifications [35]. Briefly, DNA fragments containing *smu_137 promoter* (116 bp), *smu_136c* ORF (116 bp), *smu_764* promoter (131 bp), and *smu_765* ORF (131 bp) were

amplified by PCR using the primer listed in S2 Table. The 49 bp oligonucleotides *smu_137*

promoter containing the putative binding motif of OrtR and *smu_137* promoter mut

containing mutant oligonucleotide site (A and T bases were changed to C and G,

respectively) within the putative binding motif of OrtR were labeled with 5-

carboxyfluorescein (FAM) and annealed with the complementary strand by heating to

95°C for 5 min and cooling to room temperature. Then, DNA fragments were incubated

with recombinant OrtR protein in the binding buffer of 50 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5

M Mg/acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 5% (vol/vol) glycerol for 30 min on ice. DNA-protein

complexes were separated by non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at 110 V

for 60 min. The gels were dyed with Ethidium Bromide (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA,

US) for 20 min, and images were captured using a ChemiDoc touch imaging system (Bio-

Rad, United States).

DNase I footprinting assay was used to determining the specific binding motif of OrtR

under similar conditions to those described for EMSA analysis. Briefly, the *smu_137*

promoter region was amplified using specific primers labeled with FAM. After purification,

DNA fragment (112.5 nM) was mixed with recombinant OrtR (0, 2.5, 7.5, 12.5 μM), which

was treated with DNase I (1 unit, Solarbio) at 37°C for 5 min. Then, the reaction was

stopped using phenol chloroform, and DNA fragments were precipitated with ethanol, and

eluted in 15 μL distilled water. The obtained fragment was analyzed using a 3730XL DNA

analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Tsingke, China). Electropherograms were analyzed and

aligned using GENEMAPPER software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). The

protected sequence was used to search for other matched binding motifs of OrtR in the

downregulated gene clusters using the MEME Suite 5.1.1.

Statistical analysis

482 Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Software Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

483 Data are presented as means ± SDs from three biological replicates performed in triplicate.

484 The student's test was used to compare data between two groups. Analysis of variance and

485 Tukey's test were performed to compare data between multiple groups. $P < 0.05$ was

486 considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

489 We are thankful fo Jumei Zeng for their technical assistance in electrophoretic mobility

490 shift and DNase I footprinting assays.

References

1. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. Global burden of untreated caries: a systematic review and metaregression. J Dent Res. 2015;94: 650–658. doi:10.1177/0022034515573272

2. Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD, Ekstrand K, Weintraub JA, Ramos-Gomez F, et al. Dental caries. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3: 17030. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.30

3. Marsh PD. Dental plaque as a biofilm and a microbial community-implications for health and disease. BMC Oral Health. 2006;6 Suppl 1: S14. doi:10.1186/1472-6831-6-S1-S14

4. Bowen WH, Koo H. Biology of *Streptococcus mutans*-derived glucosyltransferases: role in extracellular matrix formation of cariogenic biofilms. Caries Res. 2011;45: 69–86. doi:10.1159/000324598

5. Loesche WJ. Role of *Streptococcus mutans* in human dental decay. Microbiol Rev. 1986;50: 353–380.

6. Pant S, Patel NJ, Deshmukh A, Golwala H, Patel N, Badheka A, et al. Trends in infective endocarditis incidence, microbiology, and valve replacement in the United States from 2000 to 2011. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65: 2070–2076. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.518

7. Tuganbaev T, Yoshida K, Honda K. The effects of oral microbiota on health. Science. 2022;376: 934–936. doi:10.1126/science.abn1890

8. Wang C, Van Der Mei HC, Busscher HJ, Ren Y. *Streptococcus mutans* adhesion force

sensing in multi-species oral biofilms. npj Biofilms Microbiomes. 2020;6: 25.

doi:10.1038/s41522-020-0135-0

9.   Elias S, Banin E. Multi-species biofilms: living with friendly neighbors. FEMS

Microbiol Rev. 2012;36: 990–1004. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00325.x

10.   Miller DP, Fitzsimonds ZR, Lamont RJ. Metabolic signaling and spatial interactions

in the oral polymicrobial community. J Dent Res. 2019;98: 1308–1314.

doi:10.1177/0022034519866440

11.   Lamont RJ, Koo H, Hajishengallis G. The oral microbiota: dynamic communities and

host interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16: 745–759. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-

0089-x

12.   Peterson SN, Meissner T, Su AI, Snesrud E, Ong AC, Schork NJ, et al. Functional

expression of dental plaque microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014;4: 108.

doi:10.3389/fcimb.2014.00108

13.   Boles BR, Singh PK. Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and adaptability

in biofilm communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 12503–12508.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0801499105

14.   Marquis R. Oxygen metabolism, oxidative stress and acid-base physiology of dental

plaque biofilms. Journal of Industrial Microbiology. 1995;15: 198–207.

doi:10.1007/BF01569826

15.   Sies H, Belousov VV, Chandel NS, Davies MJ, Jones DP, Mann GE, et al. Defining

roles of specific reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cell biology and physiology. Nat

Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2022;23: 499–515. doi:10.1038/s41580-022-00456-z

16. Kajfasz JK, Zuber P, Ganguly T, Abranches J, Lemos JA. Increased oxidative stress

tolerance of a spontaneously occurring *perr* gene mutation in *Streptococcus mutans*

UA159. J Bacteriol. 2021;203. doi:10.1128/JB.00535-20

17. Borisov VB, Siletsky SA, Nastasi MR, Forte E. ROS defense systems and terminal

oxidases   in   bacteria.   Antioxidants   (Basel).   2021;10:   839.

doi:10.3390/antiox10060839

18. Juan CA, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Plou FJ, Pérez-Lebeña E. The Chemistry of reactive

oxygen species (ROS) revisited: outlining their role in biological macromolecules

(dna, lipids and proteins) and induced pathologies. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22: 4642.

doi:10.3390/ijms22094642

19. Imlay JA. The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative

stress: lessons from a model bacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013;11: 443–454.

doi:10.1038/nrmicro3032

20. Dmitriev A, Mohapatra SS, Chong P, Neely M, Biswas S, Biswas I. CovR-controlled

global regulation of gene expression in *Streptococcus mutans*. PLoS One. 2011;6:

e20127. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020127

21. Biswas I, Mohapatra SS. CovR alleviates transcriptional silencing by a nucleoid-

associated histone-like protein in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol. 2012;194: 2050–

2061. doi:10.1128/JB.06812-11

22. Ahn S-J, Rice KC. Understanding the *Streptococcus mutans* Cid/Lrg system through

CidB function. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016;82: 6189–6203.

doi:10.1128/AEM.01499-16

23. Wang Y, Cao W, Merritt J, Xie Z, Liu H. Characterization of FtsH essentiality in

Streptococcus mutans via genetic suppression. Front Genet. 2021;12: 659220.

doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.659220

24. Ajdić D, McShan WM, McLaughlin RE, Savić G, Chang J, Carson MB, et al. Genome

sequence of *Streptococcus mutans* UA159, a cariogenic dental pathogen. Proc Natl

Acad Sci USA. 2002;99: 14434–14439. doi:10.1073/pnas.172501299

25. Humphrey S, Fillol-Salom A, Quiles-Puchalt N, Ibarra-Chávez R, Haag AF, Chen J,

et al. Bacterial chromosomal mobility via lateral transduction exceeds that of classical

mobile genetic elements. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 6509. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-

26004-5

26. Dobrindt U, Hochhut B, Hentschel U, Hacker J. Genomic islands in pathogenic and

environmental microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004;2: 414–424.

doi:10.1038/nrmicro884

27. Waterhouse JC, Russell RRB. Dispensable genes and foreign DNA in *Streptococcus*

mutans. Microbiology. 2006;152: 1777–1788. doi:10.1099/mic.0.28647-0

28. Wu C, Cichewicz R, Li Y, Liu J, Roe B, Ferretti J, et al. Genomic island TnSmu2 of

Streptococcus mutans harbors a nonribosomal peptide synthetase-polyketide synthase

gene cluster responsible for the biosynthesis of pigments involved in oxygen and H_2O_2

tolerance. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76: 5815–5826. doi:10.1128/AEM.03079-

09

29. Kreth J, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F. Competition and coexistence between *Streptococcus*

mutans and *Streptococcus sanguinis* in the dental biofilm. J Bacteriol. 2005;187:

7193–7203. doi:10.1128/JB.187.21.7193-7203.2005

30. Zheng W, Tan MF, Old LA, Paterson IC, Jakubovics NS, Choo SW. Distinct

Biological potential of *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis* revealed

by comparative genome analysis. Sci Rep. 2017;7: 2949. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-

02399-4

31. Tong H, Chen W, Merritt J, Qi F, Shi W, Dong X. *Streptococcus oligofermentans*

inhibits *Streptococcus mutans* through conversion of lactic acid into inhibitory H_2O_2:

a possible counteroffensive strategy for interspecies competition. Mol Microbiol.

2007;63: 872–880. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05546.x

32. Liu L, Tong H, Dong X. Function of the pyruvate oxidase-lactate oxidase cascade in

interspecies competition between *Streptococcus oligofermentans* and *Streptococcus*

mutans. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78: 2120–2127. doi:10.1128/AEM.07539-11

33. Cheng X, Zheng X, Zhou X, Zeng J, Ren Z, Xu X, et al. Regulation of oxidative

response and extracellular polysaccharide synthesis by a diadenylate cyclase in

Streptococcus mutans. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18: 904–922. doi:10.1111/1462-

2920.13123

34. Lu M, Xiang Z, Gong T, Zhou X, Zhang Z, Tang B, et al. Intrinsic fluoride tolerance

regulated by a transcription factor. J Dent Res. 2020;99: 1270–1278.

doi:10.1177/0022034520927385

35. Chen J, Zhang A, Xiang Z, Lu M, Huang P, Gong T, et al. EpsR negatively regulates

Streptococcus mutans exopolysaccharide synthesis. J Dent Res. 2021;100: 968–976.

doi:10.1177/00220345211000668

36. Tinder EL, Faustoferri RC, Buckley AA, Quivey RG, Baker JL. Analysis of the

Streptococcus mutans proteome during acid and oxidative stress reveals modules of

protein coexpression and an expanded role for the TreR transcriptional regulator.

mSystems. 2022;7: e0127221. doi:10.1128/msystems.01272-21

37. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, et al. Bacterial

diversity in human subgingival plaque. J Bacteriol. 2001;183: 3770–3783.

doi:10.1128/JB.183.12.3770-3783.2001

38. Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE. Defining the normal bacterial

flora of the oral cavity. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43: 5721–5732.

doi:10.1128/JCM.43.11.5721-5732.2005

39. Kaspar JR, Lee K, Richard B, Walker AR, Burne RA. Direct interactions with

commensal streptococci modify intercellular communication behaviors of

Streptococcus mutans. ISME J. 2021;15: 473–488. doi:10.1038/s41396-020-00789-7

40. Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. Oral multispecies biofilm

development and the key role of cell–cell distance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8: 471–

480. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2381

41. Kreth J, Zhang Y, Herzberg MC. Streptococcal antagonism in oral biofilms:

Streptococcus sanguinis and *Streptococcus gordonii* interference with *Streptococcus*

mutans. Journal of Bacteriology. 2008;190: 4632. doi:10.1128/JB.00276-08

42. Zhu L, Kreth J. The role of hydrogen peroxide in environmental adaptation of oral

microbial communities. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2012;2012: 1–

10. doi:10.1155/2012/717843

43. De Furio M, Ahn SJ, Burne RA, Hagen SJ. Oxidative stressors modify the response

of *Streptococcus mutans* to its competence signal peptides. Appl Environ Microbiol.

2017;83: e01345-17. doi:10.1128/AEM.01345-17

44. Lemos J, Palmer S, Zeng L, Wen Z, Kajfasz J, Freires I, et al. The biology of

Streptococcus mutans. Microbiol Spectr. 2019;7: 10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-

0051–2018. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0051-2018

45. Ramos JL, Martínez-Bueno M, Molina-Henares AJ, Terán W, Watanabe K, Zhang X,

et al. The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2005;69:

326–356. doi:10.1128/MMBR.69.2.326-356.2005

46. Cuthbertson L, Nodwell JR. The TetR family of regulators. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.

2013;77: 440–475. doi:10.1128/MMBR.00018-13

47. Chattoraj P, Mohapatra SS, Rao JLUM, Biswas I. Regulation of transcription by

SMU.1349, a TetR family regulator, in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol. 2011;193:

6605–6613. doi:10.1128/JB.06122-11

48. Lyu M, Cheng Y, Han X, Wen Y, Song Y, Li J, et al. AccR, a TetR family

transcriptional repressor, coordinates short-chain acyl coenzyme a homeostasis in

Streptomyces avermitilis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2020;86: e00508-20.

doi:10.1128/AEM.00508-20

49. Xu S, Jiang Y, Zhang F, Wang X, Zhang K, Zhao L, et al. The TetR family repressor

HpaR negatively regulates the catabolism of 5-hydroxypicolinic acid in *Alcaligenes*

faecalis JQ135 by binding to two unique dna sequences in the promoter of Hpa operon.

Appl Environ Microbiol. 2022;88: e0239021. doi:10.1128/aem.02390-21

50. Ma Q, Pan Y, Chen Y, Yu S, Huang J, Liu Y, et al. Acetylation of glucosyltransferases

regulates *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm formation and virulence. PLoS Pathog.

2021;17: e1010134. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010134

51. Xie Z, Okinaga T, Qi F, Zhang Z, Merritt J. Cloning-independent and

counterselectable markerless mutagenesis system in *Streptococcus mutans*. Appl

Environ Microbiol. 2011;77: 8025–8033. doi:10/djs3s8

52. Pan Y, Chen Y, Chen J, Ma Q, Gong T, Yu S, et al. The Adc regulon mediates zinc

homeostasis in *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Oral Microbiol. 2021;36: 278–290.

doi:10.1111/omi.12350

53. Belli WA, Marquis RE. Adaptation of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Enterococcus hirae*

to acid stress in continuous culture. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1991;57: 1134–1138.

doi:10.1128/aem.57.4.1134-1138.1991

54. Ma Q, Pan Y, Chen Y, Yu S, Huang J, Liu Y, et al. Acetylation of lactate

dehydrogenase negatively regulates the acidogenicity of *Streptococcus mutans*. mBio.

2022; e0201322. doi:10.1128/mbio.02013-22

660 55. Redanz S, Treerat P, Mu R, Redanz U, Zou Z, Koley D, et al. Pyruvate secretion by

661   oral streptococci modulates hydrogen peroxide dependent antagonism. ISME J.

662   2020;14: 1074–1088. doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0592-8

663 56. Gong T, He X, Chen J, Tang B, Zheng T, Jing M, et al. Transcriptional profiling

664   reveals the importance of RcrR in the regulation of multiple sugar transportation and

665   biofilm formation in *Streptococcus mutans*. mSystems. 2021;6: e0078821.

666   doi:10.1128/mSystems.00788-21

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Gene expression level of *ortR* in indicated strains. Gene expression level of *ortR*

was determined by qRT-PCR and caculated using the 2-ΔΔCt method with values

nomalized to the reference gene 16s rRNA. The results are presented as mean ± SD (***

P < 0.001 or ** *P* < 0.01).

S2 Fig. Effect of *ortR* on the acidogenesis and aciduricity of *S. mutans*. (A) The

glycolytic pH drop was performed by monitoring the pH value of the supernatant of UA159,

UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR* cultures grown in the presence

of 1% glucose over 60 min. (B) Exponential cultures of UA159, UA159/pDL278,

UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR* were exposed to acid stress at pH 2.8 for 0, 15,

30, and 45 min, respectively, which were serially diluted and cultured on the BHI agar

plates.

S1 Table. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

S2 Table. Primers used in this study.

S3 Table. List of genes downregulated greater than 2.0-fold.

S4 Table. List of genes upregulated greater than 2.0-fold.

S5 Table. Gene-annotation enrichment analysis of differentially regulated genes in KEGG pathway using DAVID tools ($P < 0.05$).

S6 Table. Gene-annotation enrichment analysis of differentially regulated genes in GO terms using DAVID tools ($P < 0.05$).

Figure legends

Fig 1. Effect of *ortR* on the growth, biofilm formation, and oxidative tolerance of *S. mutans*. (A) Growth characteristics of UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR*. Bacteria were grown aerobically at 37°C, and OD_{600nm} was monitored at 30-min intervals for 12 h. (B) The biofilm biomass was determined using crystal violet staining assay when bacteria were cultured in BHIS under aerobic conditions for 6, 12, and 24 h, respectively. The exponential cultures of UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR* were exposed to oxidative stress with 0.2% (66.05mM) hydrogen peroxide for 0, 20, 40, and 60 min, respectively, which were serially diluted and cultured on the BHI agar plates. The representative pictures of the hydrogen peroxide challenge are shown (C), and colony-forming units (CFUs) were counted, and percent survivals of different strains were calculated relative to the control sample UA159 (D). The plates were overlaid with soft agar containing UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*ortR*, and UA159 Δ*ortR* and challenged with filter discs soaked with 0-, 20-, 40-, and 60-mM

hydrogen peroxide. The representative plates are shown to demonstrate the differences in

inhibition zones (E), which are measured at three different positions and averaged to serve

as a single datapoint, and the ratio of inhibition zone to disc diameter was calculated (F).

Each experiment was repeated at least thrice. Results are presented as mean ± SD (* $P <$

0.05 or *** $P < 0.001$).

Fig 2. Effect of *ortR* on interspecies competition within the three-species biofilms. *S.*

mutans and its derivatives, *S. gordonii*, and *S. sanguinis* were simultaneously cultured in

fresh BHIS (A, B) or supplemented with 3 mg/mL catalase (C, D) under aerobic conditions

for 24 h and labeled by species-specific fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) probes.

The three-dimensional visualization of three-species biofilms with *S. mutans* (green), *S.*

gordonii (blue), and *S. sanguinis* (red) was captured using the confocal laser scanning

microscopy (CLSM) at 60× magnification and reconstructed using the IMARIS 7.0.0 (A,

C). The ratio of *S. mutans*/*S. gordonii*/*S. sanguinis* was quantified by the coverage area of

each species with Image Pro Plus 6.0 (B, D). The representative images are shown from at

least five randomly selected positions of each sample. Results are presented as mean ± SD

(* $P < 0.05$ or **** $P < 0.0001$).

Fig 3. Transcriptomics analysis of UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*ortR* strains.

(A) Functional classification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was performed based on the clusters of orthologous groups (COG) type. (B) The volcano plot illustrates DEGs between UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*ortR*. Functional annotation and enrichment analysis of DEGs were performed in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, C) and Gene Ontology (GO, D) databases. Downregulated genes are shown in green. (E) Genetic organization of gene clusters that were significantly downregulated in UA159/pDL278-*ortR*.

Fig 4. Identification of the promoter bound by OrtR. DNA substrates were incubated with different concentrations of OrtR protein, which was analyzed using EMSA. The results show that OrtR can directly bind to the promoter of *smu_137-smu_141* (A, lanes 1–4) and *smu_764-smu_765* (B, lanes 1–4) and cannot bind to *smu_136c* ORF (A, lanes 5–8) and *smu_765* ORF (B, lanes 5–8).

Fig 5. Identification of the conserved DNA motif bound by OrtR. (A) The *smu_137* promoter labeled with FAM was incubated with increasing concentrations of OrtR protein. DNase I footprinting assay was performed to identify the specific binding motif, which was protected by OrtR protein from DNase I digestion and marked in red. (B) Two DNA fragments containing the binding motif and its mutant motif were incubated with increasing

748   concentrations of OrtR protein, which was analyzed using EMSA. The results show that

749   OrtR can directly bind to *smu_137* promoter (lanes 1–4) and cannot bind to *smu_137*

750   promoter mut (lanes 5–8). (C) The putative OrtR binding motifs in the promoters of

751   significantly downregulated gene clusters were searched, and the sequence logo was

752   generated using MEME Suite 5.1.1 and WebLogo tool, respectively.

Fig 2

A

smu_136c *smu_137* *smu_138* *smu_139* *smu_140* *smu_141*

smu_137 promoter (116 bp)

smu_136c ORF (116 bp)

smu_137 promoter				*smu_136c* ORF			
OrtR protein (µM)							
0	0.5	0.75	1.25	0	0.5	0.75	1.25

Protein/DNA complex

DNA substrate

Lanes							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

B

smu_761 *smu_764* *smu_765*

smu_764 promoter (131 bp)   *smu_765* ORF (131 bp)

smu_764 promoter				*smu_765* ORF			
OrtR protein (µM)							
0	0.25	0.5	0.75	0	0.25	0.5	0.75

Protein/DNA complex

DNA substrate

Lanes							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Fig 4

Fig 3

A

smu_137 promoter

OrtR (0 μM)

OrtR (0.5 μM)

OrtR (0.75 μM)

OrtR (1.25 μM)

CATATTATAACATAAAATG

B

smu_137 p1: CTGCACCTCCTTATATTTATTGAT<u>CATATTATAACATAAAATG</u>TATTAC

smu_137 p1 mut: CTGCACCTCCTTATATTTATTGAT<u>CCGCGGCGCCCCGCCCCGG</u>TATTAC

	smu_137 p1	*smu_137* p1 mut
OrtR protein (μM)	0 0.5 0.75 1.25	0 0.5 0.75 1.25

Protein/DNA complex

DNA substrate

Lanes: 1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8

C

5'- CATATTATAACATAAAATG -3' *smu_137*
TTCATTATAAGAAAAGTCT *smu_764*
ACCATTTTAACATAATTCA *smu_127*

Gene	*P*-value	Matched sequence
smu_137	2.03e-6	ATTATAACATAA
smu_764	1.52e-6	ATTATAAGAAAA
smu_127	6.52e-7	ATTTTAACATAA

Fig 5

Fig 1