SMU_1361c regulates the oxidative stress response of

Streptococcus mutans

Shuxing Yu[1,2], Jun Huang[1,2], Yaqi Liu[1,2], Jing Li[1,2], Qiong Zhang[1,2], Yan Wang[1,2], Tao Gong[1],

Qizhao Ma[1,2*], Jing Zou[1,2*], Yuqing Li[1*]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases,

West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

[2] Department of Pediatric Dentistry, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University,

Chengdu, China

Running title: SMU_1361c and oxidative stress response

* Correspondence authors

Email: mqz2080@163.com (QM); zoujing@scu.edu.cn (JZ); liyuqing@scu.edu.cn (YL)

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Dental caries is the most common chronic infectious diseases around the world and disproportionately affects the marginalized socioeconomic group. *Streptococcus mutans*, considered a primary etiological agent of caries, must depend on the coordinated physiological response to tolerate the oxidative stress generated by commensal species within dental plaque, which is a critical aspect of its pathogenicity. Here, we identified and characterized a novel TetR family regulator SMU_1361c, encoded by the TnSmu2 operon, which appears to be acquired by the bacteria via horizontal genes transfer. Surprisingly, *smu_1361c* functions as a transcriptional repressor to regulate gene expression outside its operon, involved in the oxidative stress response of *S. mutans*. The *smu_1361c* overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* was more susceptible to oxidative stress and less competitive against hydrogen peroxide generated by commensal species *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*. Transcriptomics analysis revealed that *smu_1361c* overexpression resulted in the significant downregulation of 22 genes mainly belonging to three gene clusters responsible for the oxidative stress response. The conversed DNA binding motif of SMU_1361c was determined by electrophoretic mobility shift and DNase I footprinting assay with purified SMU_1361c protein, therefore, *smu_1361c* is directly involved in gene transcription related to the oxidative stress response. Crucially, our finding provides a new understanding of how *S. mutans* deals with the oxidative stress that is required for pathogenesis and will facilitate the development of new and improved therapeutic approaches for dental caries.

Keywords: dental caries, biofilm, hydrogen peroxide, transcription factor, transcriptome, gene expression

Author summary

Streptococcus mutans is the major organism associated with the development of dental caries, which globally is the most common chronic disease. To persist and survive in biofilms, *S. mutans* must compete with commensal species which occupy the same ecological niche. Here, we uncover a novel molecular mechanism of how TetR family regulator *smu_1361c* encoded by the TnSmu2 genomic island involves in the oxidative stress response through transcriptomics analysis, electrophoretic mobility shift assay, and DNase I footprinting assay. Furthermore, we demonstrated that *smu_1361c* mediates *S. mutans* sensitivity to oxidative stress and competitiveness with commensal streptococci. Therefore, this study has revealed a previously unknown regulation between *smu_1361c* and genes outer its operon and demonstrated the importance of smu_*1361c* in the oxidative stress response and the fitness of S. mutans within the plaque biofilms, which can be exploited as a new therapy to modulate the ecological homeostasis and prevent dental caries.

Introduction

Dental caries, characterized by the demineralization and subsequent destruction of teeth, is the most prevalent biofilm-associated oral infectious disease globally [1,2]. The

pathogenesis of dental caries is considered to be a microbial shift in the biofilm

composition; however, *Streptococcus mutans* is the most closely and constantly linked

with caries formation [3,4]. Occasionally, *S. mutans* can enter the bloodstream and cause

systemic infections, such as infective endocarditis [5–7].

S. mutans resides in biofilms on the tooth surface, which is a diverse, multi-species,

and dynamic environment that is constantly exposed to severe limitations in nutrition,

fluctuations in temperature and pH, and challenges in osmotic and oxidative tensions

[8,9]. To survive adverse environmental conditions, bacteria evolve through a wide range

of rapid and adaptive responses, including carbohydrate utilization, acid production, and

low pH adaption, which are well-studied [10,11]. However, limited information is

available regarding oxidative stress response and its impact on the adaptation of *S.*

mutans. In a previous transcriptomic study that measured gene transcripts expressed in

natural oral biofilm communities, the majority of stress response transcripts (50%–75%

of total) were associated with oxidative stress, suggesting that oxidative stress is the

leading stressor in the biofilm microbial communities [12,13].

Multiple sources contribute to oxidative stress, including the poisonous effects of

reactive oxygen species (ROS) and unfavorable cellular redox potential [14,15].

Damaging ROS, including superoxide anion radical ($O_2 \cdot^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2),

and hydroxyl radical ($HO \cdot$), are produced inside the bacterial cells when growing in an

aerobic environment. $O_2 \cdot^-$ and $HO \cdot$ derives from Fenton chemistry as part of the bacterial

oxidative stress pathway [16,17]. ROS are toxic and can react more voluntarily with

biological macromolecules, such as cleaving RNA/DNA, and oxidizing proteins and

lipids [18,19]. Therefore, the ability of *S. mutans* to cope with oxidative stress is

important for their survival and perseverance in dental biofilm microbial communities.

In RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analysis, evidence for oxidative stress tolerance is

revealed in a large genomic island termed TnSmu2 [20]. Many genes encoded within the

TnSmu2 regions are affected in the deletion of *fstH*, *cidB,* or *covR*, which are involved in

oxidative stress resistance [21–23]. TnSmu2 is a mobile genetic element commonly

acquired through horizontal gene transfer events, which is a selective competitive growth

advantage seen under changing environmental conditions that affects the metabolism or

pathogenic potential of the organisms [24–26]. The region contains two tetracycline

repressor (TetR) family transcriptional regulators, *smu_1349* and *smu_1361c* [27,28].

smu_1349 is involved in oxidative stress response by regulating the nonribosomal peptide

synthetase-polyketide synthase gene cluster [28]. However, the regulatory effect of

smu_1361c on the oxidative stress tolerance of *S. mutans* is still uncharacterized.

Therefore, we suggest a hypothesis that *smu_1361c*, carried on the TnSmu2 genomic

island, provides an additional layer of the regulatory mechanism of oxidative stress for *S.*

mutans.

Herein, we investigated the function of the transcription factor SMU_1361c, and

uncovered its regulatory mechanism on the oxidative stress response. SMU_1361c

inhibits the gene expressions of *smu_137-141* by directly binding to their promoters,

attributing to the observed defects of *S. mutans* in tolerance against oxidative stress. This

study highlights a new link between SMU_1361c from the TnSmu2 regions and the

oxidative stress response and provides a new possible goal for the ecological control of

dental caries.

Results

SMU_1361c increased susceptibility to the oxidative stress response of *S. mutans*

The overexpression and in-frame deletion mutants for *smu_1361c* were constructed to

evaluate the function of SMU_1361c. First, we measured the mRNA levels of *smu_1361c*

in UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*1361c*, and UA159 Δ*1361c*, using

quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). As shown in S1

Fig, the expression level of *1361c* in the strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* increased

significantly, about 50-fold, compared with that of UA159/pDL278, and no *smu_1361c*

transcripts were detected in the strain UA159 Δ*1361c*, as expected. These results indicate

that both the in-frame deletion mutants for *smu_1361c* were successfully constructed.

We first measured the growth rates of UA159, UA159/pDL278,

UA159/pDL278-*1361c*, and UA159 Δ*1361c* under aerobic conditions. No significant

differences were observed between these bacterial growths both in the logarithmic

growth and stationary phase (data not shown). Furthermore, the effect of *smu_1361c* on

other key virulence properties and bacterial fitness was detected. To compare their ability

to grow and survive when exposed to hydrogen peroxide, a hydrogen peroxide sensitivity

assay was performed. A dose-dependent inhibition was observed when filter disks

infused with different hydrogen peroxide concentrations ranging from 20 to 60 mM were

placed on a soft agar plate containing UA159 and its derivatives, particularly in the

overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* (Fig 1). Together, these results suggest that

smu_1361c plays an important role in oxidative stress response in *S. mutans*.

SMU_1361c impaired bacterial competitiveness of *S. mutans* in the three-species biofilms

The tooth surfaces are colonized by a great number of bacterial species, which normally

maintain ecological homeostasis amid different species. Hydrogen peroxide-producing

commensal species, including *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*, are

among many of the early colonizers on tooth surfaces [29,30]. Therefore, the ability of *S.*

mutans to contend with oxidative stress generated by hydrogen peroxide is important for

its competitiveness with commensal species that occupy the same ecological niche

[31–33]. Subsequently, we probed the potential function of *smu_1361c* in regulating the

competitiveness of *S. mutans* in oral biofilm communities by creating a three-species

biofilms model, comprising *S. mutans*, *S. sanguinis*, and *S. gordonii*. Fluorescent in situ

hybridization (FISH) was used to visualize the spatiotemporal interactions within the

three-species biofilm communities (Fig 2A). Quantitative analysis of FISH-labeled

biofilms showed that the overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* was less

competitive than the UA159/pDL278 strain against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii* (Fig 2B).

No significant competitiveness differences were observed between UA159 and UA159

Δ*1361c* (Fig 2B).

To determine whether the less competitiveness of UA159/pDL278-*1361c* is caused

by hydrogen peroxide produced by *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii*, we detected the effect of

hydrogen peroxide on bacterial interactions by adding catalase to the three-species

biofilms. As shown in Fig 2C and 2D, there was a superior competitive advantage of

UA159/pDL278-*1361c* against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii* over UA159/pDL278; no

significant competitive differences were observed between UA159 and UA159 Δ*1361c*

against *S. sanguinis* and *S. gordonii*, within three-species biofilms (Fig 2C and 2D).

These results indicated that *smu_1361c* impairs the competitiveness of *S. mutans* in

biofilm communities owing to the sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide produced by

commensal *S. gordonii* and *S. sanguinis*.

Transcriptomics analysis of the UA159/pDL278-*1361c* strain

To understand the role *smu_1361c* plays globally in regulating oxidative stress response

of *S. mutans*, transcriptomics analysis of the UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*1361c*

strains was performed. In total, 22 significantly downregulated genes (>2-fold) and 14

significantly upregulated genes (>2-fold) were identified in the UA159/pDL278-*1361c*

strain compared with UA159 (Fig 3A). Among the up-regulated genes in this range was

the gene *smu_1361c*, which further supported the previous qRT-PCR results. Based on

the *S. mutans* UA159 genome annotation from the National Center for Biotechnology

Information, most of the significantly downregulated genes were mainly associated with

energy production and conversion and carbohydrate transport and metabolism, except for

some unannotated genes (Fig 3A). The volcano plot illustrates considerably

downregulated gene clusters, including *smu_137-smu_141*, *smu_764-smu_765*, and

smu_127-smu_130, and the highest upregulated gene *smu_1361c* in the

UA159/pDL278-*1361c* strain compared with UA159/pDL278 strain (Fig 3B).

Among these DEGs, *mleS* (*smu_137*) for a malolactic enzyme, *mleP* (*smu_138*) for

malate permease, *gshR* (*smu_140*) for a glutathione reductase known to associate with the

oxidative stress response of *S. mutans* were significantly downregulated in the

UA159/pDL278-*1361c* strain compared with those in UA159/pDL278. In addition, the

gene cluster *smu_127-smu_130* was significantly downregulated in

UA159/pDL278-*1361c*, which was also recently reported to be involved in the oxidative

stress response of *S. mutans* [34]. Significantly, these results indicate that *smu_1361c*

may regulate their gene expression by affecting the promoter of these gene clusters (Fig

3).

SMU_1361c directly bound to the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141*

186 Based on the phenotype results that the UA159/pDL278-*1361c* strain was more sensitive

187 to oxidative stress than the UA159/pDL278 strain, the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141*

188 was chosen to study the DNA binding activity and specificity of SMU_1361c and was

renamed *smu_137* promoter in subsequent studies, respectively. The *smu_137* promoter

(116 bp) was amplified to investigate their interactions with purified recombinant

His-SMU_1361c protein using EMSA, and the *smu_136c* ORF fragment (116 bp) was

used to exclude the nonspecific bindings of SMU_1361c to DNA substrates (Fig 4A).

The mobility shifts were only observed when SMU_1361c was incubated with the

smu_137 promoter, and no mobility shifts appeared when 1361c was incubated with the

smu_136c ORF fragment (Fig 4A). These results indicate that SMU_1361c can directly

bind to the promoters of *smu_137-smu_141*.

Identifying the specific binding motif of SMU_1361c

The DNase I footprinting assay was used to demonstrate the specific binding motif of

SMU_1361c to the putative *smu_137* promoter region. After the *smu_137* promoter

region was exposed to DNase I, the fragment containing

5′-CATATTATAACATAAAATG-3′ with high AT content was gradually protected with

the increasing SMU_1361c concentrations (Fig 4B). The results showed that the specific

binding motif of SMU_1361c is the palindromic sequence

5′-CATATTATAACATAAAATG-3′.

Disscussion

Oral biofilms are microbial communities with a high density and diversity of bacterial

species; these species both cooperate and compete with one another [35,36]. Many

commensal bacteria, such as *S. gordonii* and *S. sanguinis*, are the initial colonizers on the

tooth surface before *S. mutans* [37,38]. They create oxidative stress conditions by

producing hydrogen peroxide, which gives them the ability to compete with *S. mutans* for

the same ecological niche [39,40]. Therefore, the ability to tolerate oxidative stress is

vital for the survival and fitness of *S. mutans* in oral biofilms. Our study results reveal

that SMU_1361c, a novel TetR transcription factor, impairs the oxidative stress response

by regulating the expression of multiple related virulence genes in *S. mutans* and further

provide insights into the molecular mechanisms of SMU_1361c as a transcription

repressor that manipulates numerous related virulence genes in *S. mutans* by directly

binding to their specific promoter regions.

Since *S. mutans* lacks catalase, alternative strategies must be used to cope with the

oxidative stress challenges imposed by the competing species and host [41,42]. In this

study, we found that the overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* was more sensitive

to hydrogen peroxide stress than the UA159/pDL278 strain (Fig 1). In addition, the

smu_1361c overexpression decreased the ability of *S. mutans* to compete with *S. gordonii*

and *S. sanguinis* in the well-characterized interspecies interaction three-species biofilms

model, signifying that the ability to tolerate oxidative stress is impaired (Fig 2). This

inhibition phenotype was lost when catalase was added to the three-species biofilms,

further approving that this inhibition of overexpression strain UA159/pDL278-*1361c* was

a function of hydrogen peroxide generated by *S. gordonii* and *S. sanguinis*. These

phenotypes were consistent with the transcriptomics analysis results of UA159/pDL278

and UA159/pDL278-*1361c*. For example, the downregulated gene clusters *smu_137-smu_141* is closely associated with the oxidative adaptive adaptability of *S. mutans* , which attribute to the observed defects of UA159/pDL278-*1361c* in tolerance against hydrogen peroxide (Fig 3).

The TetR family, a family of transcriptional regulators, is well represented and widely distributed amid bacteria with a DNA-binding motif, whose function is usually that of repressors [43,44]. In this study, the expression levels of the three operons associated with the oxidative stress response were downregulated when the *smu_1361c* gene was overexpressed, confirming that SMU_1361c acts as a repressor of operon expression, as seen as in other family members of *S. mutans*, such as *mubR*, *hapR*, *accR* and *frtR* [45–48]. In detail, the deletion of *mubR* and *frtR* downregulated the transcription of NRPS-PKS gene clusters and *frtP* gene, which are responsible for amplified sensitivity to oxidative stress and fluoride, respectively. *smu_1361c* may fine-tune cellular physiology during periods of oxidative stress to help preserve normal cellular homeostasis.

According to the transcriptomics analysis in this study, *smu_1361c* does not show a regulatory relationship with its neighboring genes; however, it is involved in the regulation of genes outside its operon. The EMSA results support a direct mechanism for the SMU_1361c regulation of two gene clusters *smu_137-smu_141*. The binding motif was identified by DNase I footprinting assays, and the results showed that a palindromic sequence with high AT content was protected by SMU_1361c, suggesting that

SMU_1361c prefers binding to DNA motif with high AT content. The preference was consistent with other TetR family transcription factors, such as MubR, HapR, AccR or FrtR [45–48].

In summary, SMU_1361c, a novel TetR family transcription factor, acts as a repressor to impair the ability of *S. mutans* to survive and compete with commensal bacteria due to the sensitivity to their by-product, hydrogen peroxide. Further studies have explained that *smu_1361c* leads to significant reductions in several gene clusters involved in the oxidative stress response by binding to their specific promoter regions. Thus, an improved understanding of the mechanism by which SMU_1361c regulates the *S. mutans* oxidative stress response can strengthen our understanding to exploit interspecies interactions in the plaque biofilm for reducing dysbiosis-induced cariogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in the S1 and S2 Tables. *S. mutans* UA159, *S. gordonii* DL1, and S. sanguinis SK36 were obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases (Sichuan University, Chengdu, China). *S. mutans* UA159 and its derivatives, *S. gordonii* DL1, and *S. sanguinis* SK36 were routinely grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (Difco, Sparks, MD, United States) or on BHI agar incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37 °C. For the biofilm formation assay, 1% sucrose

273 (wt/vol, Sigma, United States) was added to the BHI medium (BHIS). In addition,

274 *Escherichia coli* and its derivatives were cultured in Luria-Bertani medium (BD, Sparks,

275 MD, United States) aerobically (95% air, 5% CO_2) with spectinomycin (1 mg/mL) or

276 kanamycin (30 μg/mL) at 37°C, when necessary.

Construction of overexpression strains

The genes of *smu_1361c* and *ldh* promoter regions were amplified from *S. mutans*

genomic DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). All the primers were designed using

an online tool provided by TaKaRa

(https://www.takarabio.com/learning-centers/cloning/primer-design-and-other-tools) and

listed in S2 Table. The PCR products were purified and cloned into linearized *E.*

coli-Streptococcus shuttle vector pDL278 by using an In-Fusion HD cloning kit (TaKaRa,

Japan) as described previously [49]. Then, the recombined plasmid was transformed into

S. mutans UA159 to generate overexpression strains UA159/pDL278-*1361c*, which were

selected by using plates containing spectinomycin (1 mg/mL) and verified by PCR and

sequencing.

Construction of markerless in-frame deletion strains

The markerless in-frame deletion strain UA159 Δ*1361c* was made using a two-step

transformation method as described previously [50]. Briefly, approximately 1 kb of the

homologous sequence upstream and downstream of the *smu_1361c* open reading frame

(ORF) was amplified from *S. mutans* UA159 genomic DNA using PCR with the upF/upR

and dnF/dnR primers and the selection cassette IFDC2 (positive for erythromycin and

negative for *p*-Cl-phe) was amplified by the *ldh*F/*erm*R primers, respectively. Then, the

fragments containing the overlapping regions were ligated using the overlap extension

PCR with upF/dnR primers, which were transformed into *S. mutans* UA159 and selected

by using BHI agar plate containing erythromycin (12 µg/mL). For the second

transformation, upstream and downstream fragments of *smu_1361c* were amplified using

the primer upF/updnR and dnF/dnR and ligated using the primer upF/dnR. The ligated

fragment was transformed into the first-step constructed mutant strain and selected by

using plates containing *p*-Cl-phe (4 mg/mL, Sigma, United States). Finally, the

markerless in-frame deletion mutant was further confirmed by PCR and sequencing.

Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to

quantify the expression of the *smu_1361c* gene in overexpression and deletion strains.

Bacterial cells were cultured to the mid-logarithmic phase (OD_{600nm}=0.5), harvested by

centrifugation (4, 000 g, 4□, 10 min), and frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. To evaluate

the gene expression of overexpression and deletion strains of the *smu_1361c* gene.

Primer3 online tool (http://simgene.com/Primer3) was used to design the specific primer

of *smu_1361c* gene. The separation, purification and reverse transcription of bacterial

total RNA were carried out according to the instructions. Additionally, 16S ribosomal

RNA (rRNA) gene was used as an internal control and the data were analyzed according

to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Hydrogen peroxide sensitivity assays

The hydrogen peroxide sensitivity assay was performed as follows: overnight cultures of

S. mutans and its derivatives were washed and adjusted to the OD_{600nm} of 0.5. Four

milliliters of molten BHI-soft-agar (0.6% agar, 60°C) were thoroughly mixed with 200

μL of *S. mutans* and its derivatives cell suspension and immediately poured onto the BHI

agar plates, which were kept at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently, the filter

discs containing 0-, 20-, 40-, and 60-mM hydrogen peroxide were added to BHI-soft-agar

plates of the test strains followed by 24 h of incubation at 37°C. Inhibition zones were

measured at three different positions and averaged to a single datapoint and the ratio of

inhibition zone to disc diameter was calculated.

Bacterial composition analysis in three-species biofilms

Overnight cultures of *S. mutans* and its derivatives, *S. gordonii*, and *S. sanguinis* were

harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5, and simultaneously inoculated (inoculum ratio of *S.*

mutans/*S. gordonii/S. sanguinis*=1:1:1) in fresh BHIS or supplemented with 3 mg/mL

catalase under aerobic conditions for 24 h. Then, the 24-h three-species biofilms were

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and labeled by species-specific fluorescent *in*

situ hybridization (FISH) probes, as previously described with some modifications [33].

Biofilm images were captured using an Olympus FV3000 confocal laser scanning

microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at 60× magnification from at least five

randomly selected positions of each sample. The three-dimensional structure of the

three-species biofilms was reconstructed by IMARIS 7.0.0 (Bitplane, Zurich,

Switzerland), and the Image-Pro Plus 6.0 (Media Cybernetics) software was used to

analyze the bacterial composition.

RNA-sequencing

For RNA-sequencing, the subcultured UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*1361c*

strains were harvested until OD_{600nm} to 0.5 under aerobic conditions, and snap frozen in

liquid nitrogen until needed as described previously [51]. Total RNA from three

independent samples was exacted and purified using TRIzol reagent according to the

manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, United States). RNA quality was determined by

2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, United States) and the RNA concentration was quantified

using an ND-2000 instrument (NanoDrop Technologies, United States). cDNA library

was constructed from enriched mRNA samples using the TruSeq RNA sample prep kit

(Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). rRNA depletion from total RNA was

performed using the Ribo-Zero magnetic kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, United States)

and the mRNA was chemically fragmented to short pieces (200 nt) using a 1 ×

fragmentation solution (Ambion, United States) for 2.5 min at 94°C. Double-stranded

cDNA was produced using the SuperScript double-stranded cDNA synthesis kit

(Invitrogen) with random hexamer primers (Illumina). Then, the synthesized cDNA was

subjected to end repair, phosphorylation, and "A" base addition according to Illumina's

library construction protocol. Libraries were selected for cDNA target fragments of 200

bp on 2% low-range Ultra agarose followed by PCR amplification using Phusion DNA

polymerase (NEB, United States) for 15 PCR cycles. After quantification by TBS380, the

paired-end RNA-seq sequencing library was sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq X Ten

instrument (2×150-bp read length). Genes with a 2-fold difference and a P-value < 0.05

were selected as differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Functional annotation and

enrichment analysis of DEGs were performed in Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and genomes (KEGG) databases.

Cloning, expression, and purification of recombinant SMU_1361c protein

smu_1361c was amplified from *S. mutans* genomic DNA and purified, digested by *EcoR*I

and *Xba*I, and cloned into the expression vector pET28a (Novagen) with an N-terminal

fusion of $6 \times$ His-tag. The reconstructed plasmid was cloned to the *Escherichia coli* strain

BL21 (DE3) cells. Then, overnight cultures of the transformant were diluted at 1:20 with

fresh LB medium containing 50 μg/mL kanamycin until OD_{600nm} to 0.6. After further

growth with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 37°C for 6 h, which

induced protein expression, the cell pellets were harvested and lysed by sonification.

Then, the recombinant SMU_1361c protein was purified using a His-tagged protein

purification kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) following the manufacturer's protocol, and

concentrated by 10 kDa MWKO ultra-filtration (Millipore Amincon, Merck, Germany)

from the cell debris as described previously [52]. Purified SMU_1361c concentration was

determined by measuring its spectrophotometric absorbance at 280 nm, further confirmed

by SDS-PAGE, and stored at -80ºC for future use.

Electrophoretic mobility shift and DNase I footprinting assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed to confirm the DNA binding

activity and specificity of SMU_1361c using protocols described previously with some

modifications [53]. Briefly, DNA fragments containing *smu_137 promoter* (116 bp) and

smu_136c ORF (116 bp) were amplified by PCR using the primer listed in S2 Table.

Then, DNA fragments were incubated with recombinant SMU_1361c protein in the

binding buffer of 50 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5 M Mg/acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, and

5% (vol/vol) glycerol for 30 min on ice. DNA-protein complexes were separated by

non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis at 110 V for 60 min. The gels were

dyed with Ethidium Bromide (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, US) for 20 min, and

images were captured using a ChemiDoc touch imaging system (Bio-Rad, United States).

DNase I footprinting assay was used to determining the specific binding motif of

SMU_1361c under similar conditions to those described for EMSA analysis. Briefly, the

smu_137 promoter region was amplified using specific primers labeled with FAM. After

purification, DNA fragment (112.5 nM) was mixed with recombinant SMU_1361c (0,

2.5, 7.5, 12.5 μM), which was treated with DNase I (1 unit, Solarbio) at 37°C for 5 min.

Then, the reaction was stopped using phenol chloroform, and DNA fragments were

precipitated with ethanol, and eluted in 15 μL distilled water. The obtained fragment was

analyzed using a 3730XL DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Tsingke, China).

Electropherograms were analyzed and aligned using GENEMAPPER software (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, United States).

Statistical analysis

407 Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Software Inc, Chicago, IL,

408 USA). Data are presented as means ± SDs from three biological replicates performed in

409 triplicate. The student's test was used to compare data between two groups. Analysis of

410 variance and Tukey's test were performed to compare data between multiple groups. $P <$

411 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

414 We are thankful fo Jumei Zeng for their technical assistance in electrophoretic mobility

415 shift and DNase I footprinting assays.

References

1. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. Global burden of untreated caries: a systematic review and metaregression. J Dent Res. 2015;94: 650–658. doi:10.1177/0022034515573272

2. Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD, Ekstrand K, Weintraub JA, Ramos-Gomez F, et al. Dental caries. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3: 17030. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.30

3. Marsh PD. Dental plaque as a biofilm and a microbial community - implications for health and disease. BMC Oral Health. 2006;6 Suppl 1: S14. doi:10.1186/1472-6831-6-S1-S14

4. Bowen WH, Koo H. Biology of *Streptococcus mutans*-derived glucosyltransferases: role in extracellular matrix formation of cariogenic biofilms. Caries Res. 2011;45: 69–86. doi:10.1159/000324598

5. Loesche WJ. Role of *Streptococcus mutans* in human dental decay. Microbiol Rev. 1986;50: 353–380.

6. Pant S, Patel NJ, Deshmukh A, Golwala H, Patel N, Badheka A, et al. Trends in infective endocarditis incidence, microbiology, and valve replacement in the United States from 2000 to 2011. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65: 2070–2076. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.518

7. Tuganbaev T, Yoshida K, Honda K. The effects of oral microbiota on health. Science. 2022;376: 934–936. doi:10.1126/science.abn1890

8. Wang C, Van Der Mei HC, Busscher HJ, Ren Y. *Streptococcus mutans* adhesion force sensing in multi-species oral biofilms. npj Biofilms Microbiomes. 2020;6: 25. doi:10.1038/s41522-020-0135-0

9. Elias S, Banin E. Multi-species biofilms: living with friendly neighbors. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2012;36: 990–1004. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00325.x

10. Miller DP, Fitzsimonds ZR, Lamont RJ. Metabolic signaling and spatial interactions in the oral polymicrobial community. J Dent Res. 2019;98: 1308–1314. doi:10.1177/0022034519866440

11. Lamont RJ, Koo H, Hajishengallis G. The oral microbiota: dynamic communities and host interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16: 745–759. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0089-x

12. Peterson SN, Meissner T, Su AI, Snesrud E, Ong AC, Schork NJ, et al. Functional expression of dental plaque microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014;4: 108. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2014.00108

13. Boles BR, Singh PK. Endogenous oxidative stress produces diversity and adaptability in biofilm communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 12503–12508. doi:10.1073/pnas.0801499105

14. Marquis R. Oxygen metabolism, oxidative stress and acid-base physiology of dental plaque biofilms. Journal of Industrial Microbiology. 1995;15: 198–207. doi:10.1007/BF01569826

15.   Sies H, Belousov VV, Chandel NS, Davies MJ, Jones DP, Mann GE, et al.
Defining roles of specific reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cell biology and physiology.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2022;23: 499–515. doi:10.1038/s41580-022-00456-z

16.   Kajfasz JK, Zuber P, Ganguly T, Abranches J, Lemos JA. Increased Oxidative
stress tolerance of a spontaneously occurring *perr* gene mutation in *Streptococcus mutans*
UA159. Federle MJ, editor. J Bacteriol. 2021;203. doi:10.1128/JB.00535-20

17.   Borisov VB, Siletsky SA, Nastasi MR, Forte E. ROS Defense systems and
terminal   oxidases   in   bacteria.   Antioxidants   (Basel).   2021;10:   839.
doi:10.3390/antiox10060839

18.   Juan CA, Pérez de la Lastra JM, Plou FJ, Pérez-Lebeña E. The chemistry of
reactive oxygen species (ros) revisited: outlining their role in biological macromolecules
(dna, lipids and proteins) and induced pathologies. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22: 4642.
doi:10.3390/ijms22094642

19.   Imlay JA. The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of
oxidative stress: lessons from a model bacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013;11: 443–454.
doi:10.1038/nrmicro3032

20.   Dmitriev A, Mohapatra SS, Chong P, Neely M, Biswas S, Biswas I.
CovR-controlled global regulation of gene expression in *Streptococcus mutans*. PLoS
One. 2011;6: e20127. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020127

21.   Biswas I, Mohapatra SS. CovR Alleviates Transcriptional silencing by a
nucleoid-associated histone-like protein in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol. 2012;194:
2050–2061. doi:10.1128/JB.06812-11

22.   Ahn S-J, Rice KC. Understanding the *Streptococcus mutans* Cid/Lrg system
through   cidb   function.   Appl   Environ   Microbiol.   2016;82:   6189–6203.
doi:10.1128/AEM.01499-16

23.   Wang Y, Cao W, Merritt J, Xie Z, Liu H. Characterization of ftsh essentiality in
Streptococcus mutans via genetic suppression. Front Genet. 2021;12: 659220.
doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.659220

24.   Ajdić D, McShan WM, McLaughlin RE, Savić G, Chang J, Carson MB, et al.
Genome sequence of *Streptococcus mutans* UA159, a cariogenic dental pathogen. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002;99: 14434–14439. doi:10.1073/pnas.172501299

25.   Humphrey S, Fillol-Salom A, Quiles-Puchalt N, Ibarra-Chávez R, Haag AF,
Chen J, et al. Bacterial chromosomal mobility via lateral transduction exceeds that of
classical   mobile   genetic   elements.   Nat   Commun.   2021;12:   6509.
doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26004-5

26.   Dobrindt U, Hochhut B, Hentschel U, Hacker J. Genomic islands in pathogenic
and   environmental   microorganisms.   Nat   Rev   Microbiol.   2004;2:   414–424.
doi:10.1038/nrmicro884

27.   Waterhouse JC, Russell RRB. Dispensable genes and foreign DNA in
Streptococcus mutans. Microbiology. 2006;152: 1777–1788. doi:10.1099/mic.0.28647-0

28.   Wu C, Cichewicz R, Li Y, Liu J, Roe B, Ferretti J, et al. Genomic island

TnSmu2 of *Streptococcus mutans* harbors a nonribosomal peptide synthetase-polyketide synthase gene cluster responsible for the biosynthesis of pigments involved in oxygen and H2O2 tolerance. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76: 5815–5826. doi:10.1128/AEM.03079-09

29.   Kreth J, Merritt J, Shi W, Qi F. Competition and coexistence between *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguinis* in the dental biofilm. J Bacteriol. 2005;187: 7193–7203. doi:10.1128/JB.187.21.7193-7203.2005

30.   Zheng W, Tan MF, Old LA, Paterson IC, Jakubovics NS, Choo SW. Distinct biological potential of *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis* revealed by comparative genome analysis. Sci Rep. 2017;7: 2949. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02399-4

31.   Tong H, Chen W, Merritt J, Qi F, Shi W, Dong X. *Streptococcus oligofermentans* inhibits *Streptococcus mutans* through conversion of lactic acid into inhibitory H$_2$O$_2$: a possible counteroffensive strategy for interspecies competition. Mol Microbiol. 2007;63: 872–880. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05546.x

32.   Liu L, Tong H, Dong X. Function of the pyruvate oxidase-lactate oxidase cascade in interspecies competition between *Streptococcus oligofermentans* and *Streptococcus mutans*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78: 2120–2127. doi:10.1128/AEM.07539-11

33.   Cheng X, Zheng X, Zhou X, Zeng J, Ren Z, Xu X, et al. Regulation of oxidative response and extracellular polysaccharide synthesis by a diadenylate cyclase in *Streptococcus mutans*. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18: 904–922. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13123

34.   Tinder EL, Faustoferri RC, Buckley AA, Quivey RG, Baker JL. Analysis of the *Streptococcus mutans* proteome during acid and oxidative stress reveals modules of protein coexpression and an expanded role for the TreR transcriptional regulator. mSystems. 2022;7: e0127221. doi:10.1128/msystems.01272-21

35.   Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, et al. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. J Bacteriol. 2001;183: 3770–3783. doi:10.1128/JB.183.12.3770-3783.2001

36.   Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE. Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43: 5721–5732. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.11.5721-5732.2005

37.   Kaspar JR, Lee K, Richard B, Walker AR, Burne RA. Direct interactions with commensal streptococci modify intercellular communication behaviors of *Streptococcus mutans*. ISME J. 2021;15: 473–488. doi:10.1038/s41396-020-00789-7

38.   Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell–cell distance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8: 471–480. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2381

39.   Kreth J, Zhang Y, Herzberg MC. Streptococcal antagonism in oral biofilms: *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Streptococcus gordonii* Interference with *Streptococcus mutans*. Journal of Bacteriology. 2008;190: 4632. doi:10.1128/JB.00276-08

40.   Zhu L, Kreth J. The role of hydrogen peroxide in environmental adaptation of oral microbial communities. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2012;2012: 1–10. doi:10.1155/2012/717843

41.   De Furio M, Ahn SJ, Burne RA, Hagen SJ. Oxidative stressors modify the response of *Streptococcus mutans* to its competence signal peptides. Kivisaar M, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017;83: e01345-17. doi:10.1128/AEM.01345-17

42.   Lemos J, Palmer S, Zeng L, Wen Z, Kajfasz J, Freires I, et al. The Biology of *Streptococcus mutans*. Microbiol Spectr. 2019;7: 10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0051–2018. doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0051-2018

43.   Ramos JL, Martínez-Bueno M, Molina-Henares AJ, Terán W, Watanabe K, Zhang X, et al. The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2005;69: 326–356. doi:10.1128/MMBR.69.2.326-356.2005

44.   Cuthbertson L, Nodwell JR. The TetR family of regulators. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2013;77: 440–475. doi:10.1128/MMBR.00018-13

45.   Lu M, Xiang Z, Gong T, Zhou X, Zhang Z, Tang B, et al. Intrinsic fluoride tolerance regulated by a transcription factor. J Dent Res. 2020;99: 1270–1278. doi:10.1177/0022034520927385

46.   Chattoraj P, Mohapatra SS, Rao JLUM, Biswas I. Regulation of transcription by SMU.1349, a TetR family regulator, in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol. 2011;193: 6605–6613. doi:10.1128/JB.06122-11

47.   Lyu M, Cheng Y, Han X, Wen Y, Song Y, Li J, et al. AccR, a TetR Family transcriptional repressor, coordinates short-chain acyl coenzyme a homeostasis in *Streptomyces avermitilis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2020;86: e00508-20. doi:10.1128/AEM.00508-20

48.   Xu S, Jiang Y, Zhang F, Wang X, Zhang K, Zhao L, et al. The TetR Family repressor HpaR negatively regulates the catabolism of 5-hydroxypicolinic acid in *Alcaligenes faecalis* JQ135 by binding to two unique dna sequences in the promoter of hpa operon. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2022;88: e0239021. doi:10.1128/aem.02390-21

49.   Ma Q, Pan Y, Chen Y, Yu S, Huang J, Liu Y, et al. Acetylation of glucosyltransferases regulates *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm formation and virulence. Hakansson AP, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17: e1010134. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010134

50.   Xie Z, Okinaga T, Qi F, Zhang Z, Merritt J. Cloning-independent and counterselectable markerless mutagenesis system in *Streptococcus mutans*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77: 8025–8033. doi:10/djs3s8

51.   Gong T, He X, Chen J, Tang B, Zheng T, Jing M, et al. Transcriptional profiling reveals the importance of rcrr in the regulation of multiple sugar transportation and biofilm formation in *Streptococcus mutans*. mSystems. 2021;6: e0078821. doi:10.1128/mSystems.00788-21

52.   Pan Y, Chen Y, Chen J, Ma Q, Gong T, Yu S, et al. The Adc regulon mediates zinc homeostasis in *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Oral Microbiol. 2021;36: 278–290.

580   doi:10.1111/omi.12350

581   53.   Chen J, Zhang A, Xiang Z, Lu M, Huang P, Gong T, et al. EpsR negatively

582   regulates *Streptococcus mutans* exopolysaccharide synthesis. J Dent Res. 2021;100:

583   968–976. doi:10.1177/00220345211000668

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Gene expression level of *smu_1361c* in indicated strains. Gene expression level of *smu_1361c* was determined by qRT-PCR and caculated using the 2-ΔΔCt method with values nomalized to the reference gene 16s rRNA. The results are presented as mean ± SD (*** $P < 0.001$ or ** $P < 0.01$).

S1 Table. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

S2 Table. Primers used in this study.

Figure legends

Fig 1. Effect of *smu_1361c* on the oxidative tolerance of *S. mutans*. The plates were overlaid with soft agar containing UA159, UA159/pDL278, UA159/pDL278-*1361c*, and UA159 Δ*1361c* and challenged with filter discs soaked with 0-, 20-, 40-, and 60-mM hydrogen peroxide. The representative plates are shown to demonstrate the differences in inhibition zones (A), which are measured at three different positions and averaged to serve as a single datapoint, and the ratio of inhibition zone to disc diameter was calculated (B). Each experiment was repeated at least thrice. Results are presented as mean ± SD (* $P < 0.05$ or *** $P < 0.001$).

Fig 2. Effect of *smu_1361c* on interspecies competition within the three-species biofilms. *S. mutans* and its derivatives, *S. gordonii*, and *S. sanguinis* were simultaneously cultured in fresh BHIS (A, B) or supplemented with 3 mg/mL catalase (C, D) under aerobic conditions for 24 h and labeled by species-specific fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) probes. The three-dimensional visualization of three-species biofilms with *S. mutans* (green), *S. gordonii* (blue), and *S. sanguinis* (red) was captured using the confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) at 60× magnification and reconstructed using the IMARIS 7.0.0 (A, C). The ratio of *S. mutans*/*S. gordonii*/*S. sanguinis* was quantified by the coverage area of each species with Image Pro Plus 6.0 (B,

D). The representative images are shown from at least five randomly selected positions of

each sample. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ SD (* $P < 0.05$ or **** $P < 0.0001$).

Fig 3. Transcriptomics analysis of UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*1361c*

strains. (A) Functional classification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was

performed based on the clusters of orthologous groups (COG) type. (B) The volcano plot

illustrates DEGs between UA159/pDL278 and UA159/pDL278-*1361c*.

Fig 4. Identification of the promoter and specific DNA motif bound by SMU_1361c.

(A) DNA substrates were incubated with different concentrations of SMU_1361c protein,

which was analyzed using EMSA. The results show that SMU_1361c can directly bind to

the promoter of *smu_137-smu_141* (A, lanes 1–4) and cannot bind to *smu_136c* ORF (A,

lanes 5–8). (B) The *smu_137* promoter labeled with FAM was incubated with increasing

concentrations of SMU_1361c protein. DNase I footprinting assay was performed to

identify the specific binding motif, which was protected by SMU_1361c protein from

DNase I digestion and marked in red.

A

B