Recombination map tailored to Native Hawaiians

improves robustness of genomic scans for positive

selection

Bryan L. Dinh[1,2], Echo Tang[1], Kekoa Taparra[3], Nathan Nakatsuka[4], Fei Chen[2], and Charleston W.

K. Chiang[1,2]

[1] Department of Quantitative and Computational Biology, University of Southern California

[2] Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck

School of Medicine, University of Southern California

[3] Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California

[4] New York Genome Center, New York, New York

Correspondence: charleston.chiang@med.usc.edu

Abstract

Recombination events establish the patterns of haplotypic structure in a population and estimates of recombination rates are used in several downstream population and statistical genetic analyses. Using suboptimal maps from distantly related populations may reduce the efficacy of genomic analyses, particularly for underrepresented populations such as the Native Hawaiians. To overcome this challenge, we constructed recombination maps using genome-wide array data from two study samples of Native Hawaiians: one reflecting the current admixed state of Native Hawaiians (NH map), and one based on individuals of enriched Polynesian ancestries (PNS map) with the potential to be used for less admixed Polynesian populations such as the Samoans. We found the recombination landscape to be less correlated with those from other continental populations (e.g. Spearman's rho = 0.79 between PNS and CEU (Utah residents with Northern and Western European ancestry) compared to 0.92 between YRI (Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria) and CEU at 50 kb resolution), likely driven by the unique demographic history of the Native Hawaiians. PNS also shared the fewest recombination hotspots with other populations (e.g. 8% of hotspots shared between PNS and CEU compared to 27% of hotspots shared between YRI and CEU). We found that downstream analyses in the Native Hawaiian population, such as local ancestry inference, imputation, and IBD segment and relatedness detections, would achieve similar efficacy when using the NH map compared to an omnibus map. However, for genome scans of adaptive loci using integrated haplotype scores, we found several loci with apparent genome-wide significant signals ($|Z\text{-score}| > 4$) in Native Hawaiians that would not have been significant when analyzed using NH-specific maps.

35   Population-specific recombination maps may therefore improve the robustness of haplotype-

36   based statistics and help us better characterize the evolutionary history that may underlie

37   Native Hawaiian-specific health conditions that persist today.

Introduction

Knowledge of the recombination landscape across the genome informs patterns of haplotypic structure in a population and is used in several population and statistical genetic analyses such as imputation, local ancestry inference (LAI), identity-by-descent (IBD) inference, and genomic scan of adaptive loci, among others. Fine-scale differences in recombination landscapes are known to exist between populations (Hassan et al., 2021; Hinch et al., 2011; Spence & Song, 2019a; van Eeden et al., 2022; Wegmann et al., 2011). For example, recombination maps for HapMap populations CEU (Utah residents of predominantly Northern and Western European ancestries) and YRI (Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria) correlate well at large resolutions but have poorer correlation at finer scales (Wegmann et al., 2011). The deCODE map, based on pedigrees of individuals with European-ancestries from Iceland, correlates better with CEU than YRI (Wegmann et al., 2011). Any differences in recombination landscapes between populations can be due to both the divergent demographic histories as well as genetic differences in usage of recombination hotspots (Hinch et al., 2011). However, we have limited understanding of the recombination landscape for populations not represented by the 1000 Genomes Project (1KGP) (Auton et al., 2015), although efforts in developing these maps are starting for diverse populations such as the Nama people of southern Africa (van Eeden et al., 2022). Because large-scale pedigree data are rarely available for diverse populations, most attempts to characterize the recombination landscape of a population begin with population-scaled rates or their derivatives.

Given the prevalent use of recombination maps in genetic analysis and the fine-scale

differences between populations, it may be suboptimal to use a recombination map from a

distantly related population. This underdevelopment of available genomic resources, in general,

may further exacerbate the known disparity in transferring genomic insights and downstream

benefits to populations relatively distant from the study populations. Furthermore, the utility of

a population specific recombination map on downstream analyses has not been extensively

explored and studies have reached different qualitative conclusions. For an isolated,

homogenous population like the Finns (Hassan et al., 2021), a population-specific map did not

show a large impact on downstream analyses such as phasing and imputation, although this

could be due to general haplotypic similarity between Finns and the abundance of European-

ancestry samples represented in 1000 Genomes or that information from recombination plays

only a secondary role in the specific analyses evaluated. On the other hand, population-specific

recombination maps have also been shown to impact haplotype-based scans of positive

selection in the Nama (van Eeden et al., 2022), a population not adequately represented by

available maps which emphasize West African ancestry.

In this work, we focused on characterizing the recombination landscape for the Native

Hawaiians, using genome-wide genotyped individuals from the Multiethnic Cohort (MEC) Study

(Kolonel et al., 2000). Native Hawaiians are an admixed, indigenous, and underrepresented

population that, with a current population of 680,353 individuals, account for only 0.2% of the

U.S. population (*U.S. Census Bureau Releases Key Stats in Honor of 2023 Asian American, Native*

Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander Heritage Month, 2023). The lack of resources to advance

genomic research among Native Hawaiians has previously been detailed (Chiang, 2021; Lin et

al., 2020). We thus also explored the impact of a population-specific map on multiple

downstream analyses for the Native Hawaiians. The lack of available whole genome sequencing

data and the relatively small cohort size limited the applicable methods that could be used to

accurately infer a recombination for this population. We used LDhat (Auton & McVean, 2007)

to estimate the recombination landscape. LDhat models the observed linkage disequilibrium

(LD) between pairs of SNPs through a Bayesian reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo

process to estimate the population recombination rate across the genome and has been shown

to infer accurate recombination maps using array data with good concordance to maps inferred

through other methods (Spence & Song, 2019b; Zhou et al., 2020). Because of European

colonization and subsequent waves of immigrations to the Hawaiian islands, we modeled the

Native Hawaiians to include African-, East Asian-, and European-related ancestries in addition to

Polynesian ancestries following previous work on this population (Lin et al., 2020; Sun et al.,

2021). As a result, we created and characterized two recombination maps from our study

sample: one based on a random subset of our sample reflecting the current admixed state of

Native Hawaiians, and one based on a subset of individuals with enriched Polynesian ancestries.

The latter map was constructed to gain insights on the recombination landscape of ancestral

Polynesians and potentially provide a viable map for relatively unadmixed Polynesian

populations such as the Samoans. We then evaluated the impact of a Native Hawaiian-specific

map for downstream analyses such as LAI, imputation, IBD segment inference, and genome-

wide scans of adaptation using haplotype-based statistics.

Materials and Methods

Study cohort and data

The primary genetic dataset is a subcohort of the Multiethnic Cohort (MEC) Study, which is a

prospective epidemiological cohort of >215,000 individuals established as a collaboration

between the University of Hawaiʻi and University of Southern California (Kolonel et al., 2000).

We focused on the 3,940 Native Hawaiian (MEC-NH) individuals genotyped on the Illumina

MEGA array as part of the PAGE consortium (Wojcik et al., 2019). We additionally used MEGA

array data from 5,325 African Americans (MEC-AA) from the same cohort for specific

comparisons in the present study. Detailed descriptions of sample processing and QC can be

found in previous publications (Lin et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). In short, we restricted our

analysis to biallelic SNPs with positions found in 1KGP and genotyped in greater than 95% of the

individuals, leaving 1,326,678 starting SNPs in MEC-NH population. We selected two sets of 96

MEC-NH and one set of 96 MEC-AA individuals from these two datasets to construct an LD-

based recombination map (below). We then used the remaining individuals for evaluations of

the maps in downstream statistical and population genetic applications.

In addition to the primary datasets from the PAGE consortium, we had access to 307 MEC-NH

individuals previously genotyped on the Illumina MEGAex array for a study of obesity (Lim et

al., 2019) and 453 MEC-NH individuals previously genotyped on the Illumina 660W array for

study of breast cancer (Siddiq et al., 2012). For a subset of these NH individuals, we also had

targeted exon sequencing data across 746 exons in 37 genes as part of a breast cancer study

(Hu et al., 2021); we used these in combination to evaluate the impact of recombination maps

on genotype imputation. In total, 607 sequenced individuals overlap with our MEC-NH data:

453 from the NHBC study, 149 from the PAGE study, and 5 from the obesity and PAGE studies.

Native Hawaiian-specific recombination map using LDhat and IBDrecomb

Recombination maps were inferred using LDhat (Auton & McVean, 2007) with data ascertained

on the MEGA array. We modeled the analytic pipeline using LDhat after previously published

descriptions on similar efforts to infer the recombination landscape in humans and primates

(Spence & Song, 2019a; Xue et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). LDhat relies on lookup tables to

allow for tractable computation. Because the creation of lookup tables is computationally

taxing, we limited our analysis to the largest table based on 192 haplotypes that was provided

with the software. For this reason, we generated two recombination maps: one using 96

individuals most enriched with the Polynesian ancestries that were found predominantly in

Native Hawaiians (PNS, proportion of Polynesian ancestries were previously estimated (Lin et

al., 2020)), and one using 96 individuals randomly selected from our Native Hawaiian samples

reflecting admixtures in our study sample (NH) (Lin et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). These subsets

were chosen to generate maps representative of a population with predominantly Polynesian

ancestries and the current NH population, respectively. We limited our analysis to the

autosomes.

We updated the genome build of our data to hg38 using Liftover (Hinrichs et al., 2006) and then

phased all available individuals with Eagle (v2.4.1, without including a phased reference) using

the default omnibus map based on HapMap populations (International HapMap Consortium et

al., 2007; Loh, Danecek, et al., 2016; Loh, Palamara, et al., 2016) as supplied by Eagle. As

comparisons, we extracted 96 individuals from selected 1KGP populations to construct the

recombination map. In the few cases where fewer than 96 individuals were available from a

population in 1000 Genomes such as ASW, CDX, GBR, LWK, MSL, and MXL, we extracted the

number of individuals based on the next available precomputed LDhat table. For CEU, we also

downloaded OMNI array data (ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/release/20130502) to

help benchmark our pipeline. Next, each chromosome was split into windows of 4000 SNPs

with 200 overlapping SNPs between windows. Finally, per previous studies (Auton et al., 2015;

Xue et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020), the *interval* program from LDhat was run with the following

settings: 30 million iterations, sampling set to every 15,000 iterations, 7.5 million iterations

used for burn-in, and a block penalty of 5. The resulting estimates were integrated by removing

the distal half of each overlapping region for each window and combining all windows for each

chromosome.

The LDhat *interval* program estimates population-scaled recombination rate for a set of

individuals using a composite likelihood method (Auton & McVean, 2007). The sex-averaged

recombination rate, r, can be recovered by the relationship $\rho = 4N_e r$, where N_e is the effective

population size. Following previous protocol (Auton et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2010), we

regressed the population-scaled estimates from LDhat for each population on the sex-averaged

recombination rate from deCODE in order to estimate $4N_e$ (**Supplemental Table 1**). Regression

was performed by aggregating corresponding rates in 5 Mb windows across the autosomes.

After rescaling by the inferred $4N_e$ for each population, we then compute the recombination

rate, r, per locus in centimorgan per megabase (cM/Mb).

To benchmark our pipeline, we applied it to OMNI array genotypes for 96 randomly selected

CEU individuals from 1KGP and then compared the inferred map from our pipeline to the

publicly available map (downloaded from

ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/technical/working/20130507_omni_recombination_r

ates), which was originally also inferred from the OMNI array data.

We also inferred a recombination map for NH using IBDrecomb (Zhou et al., 2020), which uses

the end points of IBD segments to infer recombination rates for a given set of individuals.

IBDrecomb has been shown to perform similarly to LDhat while outperforming admixture-

based methods on simulated and real data. To infer the recombination map using IBDrecomb,

we first called IBD segments using Refined IBD (B. L. Browning & Browning, 2013b) (version

17Jan20.102) with default settings on genotypes phased using the omnibus map. We then run

the *merge-ibd-segments* tool with 1 allowed error and maximum distance of 0.5 cM. Finally, we

infer maps at 10 kb scale using these merged segments with the remaining parameters at

default settings. Because a large number of IBD segments are needed to have sufficient number

of informative historical recombination events, we used all individuals in our Native Hawaiian

cohort to detect IBD segments before inferring a recombination map with IBDrecomb.

The inferred maps using both LDhat and IBDrecomb are released on

https://github.com/bldinh/recombination_maps in hg38.

Evaluating the impact of population-specific recombination map on

downstream statistical and population genetic applications

With each constructed Native Hawaiian-specific recombination map, we evaluated its impact

on four distinct statistical and population genetic applications where information of the

recombination landscapes are usually required for inference: imputation, local ancestry

inference, IBD segment calling and relatedness inference, and genome scans of positive

selection. In each case, we compared the performance and efficacy between using a Native

Hawaiian-specific map (NH map) or the default omnibus map released by Eagle (Eagle map).

We generally used the NH map for evaluation as most analyses incorporated the entirety of the

admixed NH study samples that were not used in map constructions. In all cases, we excluded

the 192 individuals that were used to construct both Native Hawaiian-specific maps from

evaluation.

Imputation

We phased and imputed our Native Hawaiian cohort (removing individuals that were used to

construct the recombination map after phasing) using either the NH map or the omnibus Eagle

map with HGDP+1KGP individuals (N = 3942) from gnomAD v3.1 as the imputation reference

panel (Karczewski et al., 2020). Phasing was completed using Eagle v2.4.1 (Loh, Danecek, et al.,

2016; Loh, Palamara, et al., 2016) with the same reference, and imputation was performed in-

house using Minimac4 version 1.0.2 (Das et al., 2016; Fuchsberger et al., 2015; Howie et al.,

2012). To evaluate the imputation accuracy, we compared the imputed genotype to exon

sequencing data available for a subset of 607 MEC-NH individuals. Imputation accuracy was

measured by the squared Pearson correlation of the sequenced genotype to the imputed

dosage for variants that are found in both datasets. In total, we compare 1,248,599 genotypes

across 607 individuals and 2057 SNPs.

Local ancestry inference

We modeled Native Hawaiian individuals with four ancestry components corresponding to

those found most prevalently in Africa, East Asia, Europe, and Polynesia (Lin et al., 2020). A

total of 708, 800, and 671, individuals were selected from the HGDP+1KGP (from gnomAD v3.1)

representing African, East Asian, and European ancestries (**Supplemental Table 2**). In addition,

we added 176 MEC-NH individuals previously estimated to have more than 90% Polynesian

ancestry to create a set of reference individuals for local ancestry inference (Lin et al., 2020;

Sun et al., 2021) (after removing any individuals used in recombination map constructions). In

total, 3,665 MEC-NH individuals not used as ancestry reference or in map construction were

available to assess local ancestry inference (LAI) concordance. We pre-phased every MEC-NH

individual in the study sample together with the HGDP+1KGP reference individual before

separating the reference and test sets for LAI with RFMIX v2.03-r0 (Maples et al., 2013).

Because recombination maps are also used during phasing, we performed our evaluation in two

main comparisons: (1) comparison of RFMIX inference using the same initial pre-phased data

using the NH map and (2) comparison of RFMIX inference after separately phasing the data on

different maps. The former compares the direct effect a recombination map has on LAI and the

latter encompasses the difference a recombination map would make on an analysis pipeline.

To compute concordance of LAI, at each site output by RFMix and for each individual we

calculated the joint probabilities of the inferred ancestry between the recombination maps. We

compared these inferences in a similar manner to a previous local ancestry study (S. R.

Browning et al., 2016): if an individual has a segment inferred as belonging to ancestry A and

ancestry B using map 1 and from ancestry C and ancestry A using map 2, we compare the

inferred haplotypes so as to maximize their agreement. In this example, we calculate the

inference as both maps agreeing on haplotype A and disagreeing for the other. Our joint

probability calculation uses the marginal probabilities for this maximal haplotype combination.

Integrated haplotype score

To evaluate the impact of recombination maps on genomic scans for signatures of positive

natural selection, we randomly selected 150 individuals from our Native Hawaiian cohort and

calculated iHS values using the selscan program (Szpiech & Hernandez, 2014). These scores

were then normalized using the *norm* program included with selscan. We compared the

normalized iHS results from our NH recombination map and two alternatives that would be

commonly used in practice: the omnibus Eagle map and the pedigree-based European map

from deCODE. We used a normalized z-score of |4| as the threshold for declaring a putative

positively selected locus using either the omnibus or the pedigree map and examined the

corresponding score on the NH map.

IBD segment calling and inference of relatedness

Using genotypes phased previously for LAI (above), we called IBD segments using Refined IBD

version 17Jan20.102 (B. L. Browning & Browning, 2013b). We then merged nearby IBD

segments that could be spuriously broken up using the *merge-ibd-segments* tool provided by

the authors of Refined IBD with the recommended parameters (1 allowed error, less than 0.6

cM distance). Initial analysis showed an elevated number of spurious IBD segments within both

maps. For example, 70% of all detected IBD segments on chromosome 16 of length 15-20 cM

map to the region located approximately at 15,000,000 to 17,000,000 bp. This region appears

to have spuriously elevated recombination rate estimates; upon closer examination, the

spurious pileup of IBD segments is due to genomic regions with very low variant coverage. We

thus instituted a heuristic to create analysis masks (**below**) to be applied to the recombination

maps before continuing IBD and relatedness analyses. We excluded the gap-filling step to

reduce potential overestimations of shared IBD and excluded portions of IBD segments

overlapping masked regions (additionally excluding them from the *length of genome*

calculations that are specific to each map). We then used the IBD segments to infer genetic

relatedness between any pair individuals by the following calculation: $\varphi = \frac{sum\ IBD\ segments}{4\ x\ length\ of\ genome}$,

where φ is the kinship coefficient. We used KING (Manichaikul et al., 2010), an allele-frequency

based method, to independently call genetic relatedness and restricted our comparisons due to

the impact of different recombination maps to individuals estimated to be 3rd-degree relatives

and higher (kinship estimate > 0.0442). Our comparisons were restricted to the intersection of

pairs of individuals with estimated shared IBD segments on both maps.

Analysis masks for recombination maps

Upon closer inspection of the distribution of IBD segments generated using the default omnibus

map and the NH map, we found an increase of segments with length ranging between 15-30

cM. We noticed that genomic regions with sparse SNP density and the resulting elevated

inferred rate of recombination would lead to accumulations of spurious IBD segments. These

spurious accumulations of lengthy IBD segments had been previously noted (Ramstetter et al.,

2017), and if unadjusted, will lead to erroneous inference of familial relationships. To avoid

inaccurate results in downstream applications of the recombination maps, we created a filter to

detect regions with conditions that may bias analyses. In tiling windows of 500 kb length

(shifting in 50 kb increments), we flagged the region within the window to be considered for

removal from downstream analysis if the number of markers available is less than 15

(compared to an average of 242.50 markers per 500 kb across the autosome for our Native

Hawaiian cohort on the MEGA array). Other thresholds were considered, but we defined our

threshold to attain a balance between detection of regions that are outliers and minimizing the

total length of regions filtered out. Specifically, for our IBD analyses, we effectively set the

genetic distance across these regions to 0 cM in order to dampen the inflation of inferred

segment lengths and counts seen in our initial analysis. In total, our masks span 160.94 Mb

(5.60%) of the autosome, and the coordinates for the Native Hawaiians on the MEGA array in

hg38 can be found in **Supplemental Table 3**.

296 Results

297 Benchmarking the recombination map inference pipeline

We implemented a pipeline to generate recombination maps using LDhat (**Materials and**

Methods). Notably, LDhat infers the relative, population-level recombination rate, ρ.

Population-level rates, and the LD information used in inferring these rates, can be influenced

by past population sizes. Therefore, following previous practices, we inferred and regressed out

the contribution of the demographic history as measured by effective population size, N_e

(**Materials and Methods**), in order to better compare the inferred recombination landscape

across populations (but also see **Discussion** for limitations). We benchmarked our pipeline by

applying it to OMNI array genotypes for 96 randomly selected CEU individuals from 1KGP and

comparing the resulting map from our pipeline to the publicly available map originally also

inferred from the OMNI array data. Overall, we observed high correlations (r = 0.93 to 0.96)

across all scales of the map, ranging from 50 kb to 5 Mb (**Table 1**). The correlation was lower at

the finest scale of 10 kb (r = 0.86), likely due to noise in LD estimates at fine scales given finite

sample size (Bhérer et al., 2017). We also extracted the set of OMNI array SNPs from the public

release of 1KGP phase 3 sequencing data for the CEU population to infer the recombination

map, which again showed high correlation across all scales when compared to that inferred

from the OMNI array genotype using the same in-house pipeline (r = 0.91 – 0.98; **Table 1**).

Therefore, we concluded that our implemented pipeline near-faithfully recreated the

previously published map, and that, given the same SNP content, differences in the distribution

of any genotyping errors attributed to data generation platform (OMNI array vs. low pass

sequencing) did not substantially impact the recombination map inference.

Table 1: Benchmarking LDhat inference pipeline

Inferred Map Data Source			Comparison	Resolution				
Population	SNP Set	Data Source	Data Source	10kb	50kb	100kb	1Mb	5Mb
CEU	OMNI	Array	Published	0.86	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.96
CEU	OMNI	WGS	OMNI array data, in-house	0.91	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.98
CEU	MEGA	WGS	OMNI SNPs from WGS data, in-house	0.78	0.91	0.93	0.94	0.97
YRI	MEGA	WGS	Published	0.82	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.97

Each map was inferred from 96 randomly selected individuals from the corresponding 1KGP population via
our LDhat pipeline. The inferred map would either be based on OMNI array genotypes, OMNI array SNPs
extracted from WGS genotypes, or MEGA array SNPs extracted from WGS genotypes. The inferred maps
were compared to the corresponding 1KGP recombination map for the same population or another inferred
map using our in-house pipeline. We measured concordance between maps by the Pearson correlation
coefficient. The first comparison established that our implemented pipeline faithfully recreated the published
recombination map for CEU using the same input dataset. The second comparison established that the
inferred map is robust to any differences in genotype quality between genotyping or sequencing. The third
comparison established that maps based on the set of OMNI array SNPs or MEGA array SNPs are highly
concordant, at least for 50kb scale or higher. The last comparison in YRI suggested that maps inferred using
MEGA array genotypes can be compared directly to published 1KGP maps.

Because the Native Hawaiian genetic data from the MEC is only available on the MEGA array,

we also benchmarked the impact using a different set of SNPs on map inference. Again, using

the CEU 1KGP population as a model, we extracted the genotypes found on the MEGA array to

infer a recombination map. The resulting map is highly correlated with the version generated

based on extracted OMNI array SNPs, at least for 50 kb scale or higher (r > 0.91; **Table 1**). Taken

together, our LDhat inference pipeline, when applied to SNPs found on the MEGA array for the

CEU population, would produce highly concordant recombination maps at 50 kb scale or higher

compared to the published map. Therefore, the recombination landscape for Native Hawaiians

that we inferred here can be compared to those published for other 1KGP populations.

Nevertheless, for between-population comparisons of the recombination landscape we

compared the inferred NH maps to those based on the MEGA array SNP content extracted from

1KGP sequence data.

Contrasting the Native Hawaiian recombination landscape

We proceeded to infer a recombination map for 96 randomly selected admixed Native

Hawaiians from our study sample (NH map) to represent the current Native Hawaiian

population and a map for 96 individuals from our study sample previously estimated to be most

enriched with the Polynesian ancestries found predominantly in Native Hawaiians (PNS map;

Materials and Methods). The PNS map was constructed to provide insights on the

recombination landscape of the ancient Polynesians and how it may differ with other

continental populations around the world. However, we caution that the Polynesian ancestries

found predominantly in Native Hawaiians may or may not have diverged from those found in

other Polynesian populations such as the Samoans. Consistent with their known history of serial

bottleneck and long-term isolation (Chiang, 2021), the inferred past population size,

parameterized by the effective population size (N_e), was substantially smaller for PNS than

other populations in 1KGP (**Supplemental Table 1**). The estimated N_e for NH was larger, more in

line with other continental and admixed populations in 1KGP. Regressing out the effect of

demographic history (**Materials and Methods**), we then compared the inferred Native

Hawaiian recombination landscape with that from other populations that were similarly

constructed using MEGA array genotypes extracted from the 1KGP phase 3 datasets.

We found that populations with recent shared history displayed higher levels of correlation, as

expected (**Figure 1a**). This is notable for populations representing each of the ancestral

components found: African (MEC-AA, ASW, and YRI), East Asian (CHB, CHS, and JPT), and

European (CEU, TSI). At the 50 kb scale, the recombination landscape as indicated by the NH

map showed intermediate correlations with the non-Native Hawaiian populations (r = 0.865 -

0.899), tending to correlate best with populations representing East Asian (r = 0.892 – 0.898)

and European ancestry (r = 0.895 - 0.899), likely due to substantial admixture from these two

ancestral components. In contrast, the landscape from PNS showed the lowest correlations

with almost all populations compared (r = 0.774 - 0.847). PNS correlated most strongly with NH

(r = 0.847) and most weakly with Peruvian in Lima, Peru (PEL; r = 0.774). Overall, PNS correlated

more poorly with other populations than PEL, a population with a known history of isolation.

Taken together, our results are consistent with PNS representing a previously unrepresented

component of ancestry by 1KGP and may suggest a stronger effect of isolation or enhanced

genetic drift in the past compared to PEL (although we also note that we did not prune PEL to

enrich for Indigenous American ancestry due to small sample size).

These trends were also observed when we compared recombination hotspot sharing between

populations (**Figure 1b**). Following methodology of previous studies (Wegmann et al., 2011), we

divided the genome into 50 kb windows and defined hotspots for each population as the

windows in the top 1 percentile or 10 percentile with the highest average recombination rate.

For each pair of populations, we then compared the shared proportion of hotspots. The

relationships at both hotspot thresholds were similar to the ones observed for correlations of

the genome-wide recombination landscape (**Figure 1a**): populations expected to represent

recent shared history had the highest sharing at both thresholds. In contrast, PNS had lower

hotspot sharing relative to other populations except for NH, with the lowest sharing with PEL.

Compared to PNS, the NH map has better relative sharing with the other 1KGP populations,

including slightly higher sharing with European and East Asian populations. PNS's low

correlation genome-wide in recombination rate variations and hotspot sharing with other

populations is thus indicative of a unique recombination landscape.

Figure 1: Correlation of recombination landscape and hotspots between populations.

394
Correlations between populations at 50 kb scale (**A**) and sharing of recombination hotspots defined as the
top 1% (lower left) or 10% (upper right) windows 50 kb in size by inferred recombination rate (**B**). Both the
correlations and proportion of sharing were calculated by excluding genomic regions that fall within the
analysis masks (**Materials and Methods**). All landscape and hotspot comparisons here were based on
recombination maps inferred in-house using MEGA array SNPs from genotyping (PNS, NH, AA) or extracted
from WGS data (1KGP populations with Indigenous American- [PEL], African- [ASW, YRI], East Asian- [JPT,
CHS, CHB], and European-ancestries [TSI, CEU]). AA is African American data from MEC.
402

Impact of the recombination map on imputation

We evaluated the impact of a population-specific map for Native Hawaiians on downstream

statistical and population genetic applications, specifically focusing on the impact of the

recombination map on genotype imputation, local ancestry inference, IBD and relatedness

inference, and genomic scans for positive selection.

Imputation is a common analysis that uses haplotype sharing to infer genotypes at variants not

directly observed in a given dataset. The recombination map is needed for phasing (a pre-

requisite for imputation) and for imputation itself as it provides the prior that the ancestral

haplotype may have switched from one haplotype to another at a given genomic location. We

thus evaluated the impact of using a potentially mis-specified omnibus map (*i.e.* the default

recombination map released by the commonly used phasing software, Eagle (Loh, Danecek, et

al., 2016; Loh, Palamara, et al., 2016)) in phasing and imputation, compared to the population-

specific map constructed in the present study.

We imputed 453 and 154 Native Hawaiian individuals genotyped on the Illumina Human660W

(NHBC) and MEGA arrays, respectively, against the 1KGP+HGDP reference panel released by

gnomAD (Karczewski et al., 2020). Compared to the targeted exon sequencing data we have on

overlapping individuals, we were able to evaluate imputation accuracy in the 658 and 482 SNPs

for NHBC and MEGA cohorts, respectively. Across three minor allele frequency (MAF) bins, 0.5 –

1%, 1 – 5%, and 5 – 50%, we observed no notable difference in imputation accuracy when

424   imputation was performed using the NH map compared to the omnibus map (**Figure 2**,

425   **Supplemental Table 4**)

427   **Figure 2: evaluation of the impact of recombination maps on imputation accuracy**

428
Comparison of imputation accuracy using the NH map (blue) and the omnibus map (orange). MAF for each
SNP was computed using the sequencing data. **Left**: 154 individuals on the MEGA array were compared on
482 available SNPs. Restricting to MAF $\geq$0.5% resulted in bins with 134, 112, and 168 SNPs, respectively.
Right: 453 individuals on the Human660W array were compared on 658 overlapping SNPs. More SNPs are
available for comparison here due to larger number of individuals available for analysis. Restricting to MAF
$\geq$0.5% resulted in bins with 64, 94, and 165 SNPs, respectively.
435

Impact of recombination map on local ancestry inference

We inferred the local ancestries across 3,665 Native Hawaiian individuals genotyped on the

MEGA array using RFMIX (Maples et al., 2013), with either the NH map or the omnibus map

that provided information of recombination rates genome-wide. Overall, there is a large

agreement of local ancestries inferred based on the NH map and those based on the omnibus

map (concordance = 97.2%, **Table 2**), particularly when phasing was performed only once

before local ancestry inference with two separate maps. If we separately phased and ran RFMIX

with different maps, the resulting concordance lowered to 95.8% (**Table 2**), suggesting that the

choice of the recombination map could make a difference in the LAI calls, though perhaps not

strongly. These results are also consistent with previous findings that even a naïve uniform

recombination map would produce <10% of discordance in inferred ancestry calls (Sun et al.,

2021).

Table 2: Concordance of inferred local ancestry calls based on different recombination maps

LAI with different maps (data is pre-phased using NH map)

		NH map			
		PNS	AFR	EAS	EUR
Omnibus map	PNS	49.21%	0.01%	0.92%	0.30%
	AFR	0.01%	1.13%	0.00%	0.03%
	EAS	1.00%	0.00%	19.21%	0.07%
	EUR	0.35%	0.03%	0.07%	27.64%

451
452   **Phasing and LAI with different maps**

		NH map			
		PNS	AFR	EAS	EUR
Omnibus map	PNS	48.61%	0.02%	1.37%	0.48%
	AFR	0.02%	1.10%	0.01%	0.04%
	EAS	1.46%	0.01%	18.69%	0.13%
	EUR	0.49%	0.04%	0.13%	27.39%

453   The Native Hawaiian population is modeled as a four-way admixture, with ancestry components from
454   Polynesia (PNS), Africa (AFR), East Asia (EAS), and Europe (EUR).
455

Impact of recombination map on IBD segment calling and relatedness

inference

Our initial IBD analysis identified regions of the genome where we observed extreme pileups of

IBD segments that are spurious (>90% of individuals would share IBD segments locally). We

thus created analysis masks to remove these spurious regions driven by insufficient SNP

coverage (see **Materials and Methods**, **Supplemental Figure 1**). When comparing the

distribution of IBD segments using masked versions of both maps, we observed little difference

(**Supplemental Figure 1**). Given that the inferred recombination rates in regions of sparse SNP

density could be unreliable and confound downstream analysis such as IBD segment calling, we

also released a set of masked regions (**Supplemental Table 3**) for users to apply when using

these recombination maps.

We used the kinship coefficient (φ) based on the pairwise proportion of IBD sharing to compare

differences in relatedness inference due to the recombination map (**Materials and Methods**).

Of the 218,132 pairs of individuals inferred by KING to be 3rd-degree or closer relatives, 200,617

were found in both the omnibus and NH maps to compare. The estimated kinship coefficient

from each map was calculated independently and the maps were found to be highly

concordant with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.995.

Impact of recombination map on genomic scans for positive selection

using integrated haplotype score

Lastly, we evaluated the impact of recombination maps on the robustness of a haplotype-based

metric of positive selection, the integrated haplotype score (iHS) (Voight et al., 2006). We

considered loci with normalized iHS absolute Z-score (|Z|) > 4 as candidate loci under positive

selection. Most SNPs in our scans using different recombination maps were concordant with

similar iHS values (**Figure 3**). However, we identified 6 SNPs across 4 loci (nearby genes: DISP1,

TLCD1, FAM222B, and WNT7B) that showed |Z| values > 4 when using the omnibus map but

normalized |Z| values between 0 to 2 when using the NH map (**Supplemental Figures 2-5**).

Moreover, there are additional loci that would have attenuated evidence of selection in

analysis using the NH map (|Z|< 2) but fall short of our conservative cutoff of 4 standard

deviations when using the omnibus map. Selection scans may also be performed using a

pedigree-based map, such as one from deCODE, to avoid any confounding between haplotype-

based statistics and local LD patterns. We also compared the analysis using the deCODE

pedigree map (**Supplemental Figure 6**), which is based on a European-ancestry population in

Iceland. We observed 46 outlier SNPs across 19 loci that would have attenuated evidence of

selection (|Z| > 4 using deCODE map, but < 2 using NH map). Due to the substantial increase of

candidate loci under positive selection with the deCODE map and the overall larger iHS after

normalization (the largest |Z| near 15), it is clear that haplotype-based selection analyses are

sensitive to the choice of recombination maps. Therefore, using the population-specific

recombination map in haplotype-based selection scans may better protect against spurious

detections of loci under positive selection.

Figure 3: Genomic scan for positive selection using haplotype-based statistics is sensitive to

choice of recombination map

500
Genomic scan of adaptation was measured using iHS as a metric, as implemented in selscan. We estimated
iHS for 486,600 SNPs in 150 Native Hawaiian individuals from the MEC genotyped on the MEGA array using
either the omnibus map (x-axis) or the NH LDhat (y-axis) map. After normalization by selscan, a score of |z| >
4 is considered genome-wide significant. We identified six SNPs across four loci that would have significant
evidence of adaptation using iHS based on the omnibus map, when all of the statistical evidence would be
much more attenuated if a population-specific map was used.
507

A recombination map based on IBD segments

In addition to LDhat, an alternative approach to infer genome-wide recombination maps based

on array data is to utilize information from IBD segments. As larger sample size is needed to

have sufficient number of IBD segments for inference, we inferred a recombination map using

3,937 individuals using IBDrecomb (Zhou et al., 2020), which would be more akin to the NH map

constructed using LDhat. The resulting IBD-based map showed large concordance with the NH

map (**Supplemental Table 5**), although the correlation may be lower compared to that reported

previously for African Americans (Zhou et al., 2020). For instance, the correlation between an

IBD-based map of an African American cohort and an LDhat map from ASW was previously

reported to be as high as 0.95 at the 500kb scale; we observed a correlation of 0.90, 0.90, and

0.92 at the 500 kb, 1 Mb, and 5 Mb scales (**Supplemental Table 5**). This may be due to the

differences in the precision in IBD segment detection using array versus sequencing data (B. L.

Browning & Browning, 2013a; Chiang et al., 2016), which may percolate to the precision in the

constructed recombination maps, or it may be due to differences in ancestry composition

between our African American cohort (sampled largely in Southern California) with that tested

previously (Zhou et al., 2020). In comparison, the correlation between IBD-based map and

LDhat map from NH are between 0.85 to 0.89 across the same scales (**Supplemental Table 5**).

Nevertheless, we found that compared to the omnibus map, the IBD-based map also did not

substantially impact the downstream inference for imputation (**Supplemental Figure 7**), LAI

(**Supplemental Table 6**; 94.9% concordance, comparing IBD-based to LDhat-based map), and

kinship coefficient estimates (Pearson correlation with NH map: 0.9945). We also found that

genomic scan for positive selection using iHS would be more robust if we were to use an IBD-

based recombination map for NH as well (**Supplemental Figure 8**), confirming our previous

observation that a population-specific recombination map would be important for performing

and interpreting haplotype-based analyses.

Discussion

In the present study we implemented a pipeline to infer recombination maps for populations

using LDhat. By utilizing both array and sequence data from 1KGP populations and comparing

to the corresponding publicly available recombination maps, we demonstrated that our

implemented pipeline could faithfully produce precise recombination maps. We also showed

that recombination maps based on SNP content on the MEGA array have high concordance

with published 1KGP maps. These results suggest that our constructed maps for the Native

Hawaiian population based on the MEGA array data would be comparable to those already

available for 1KGP populations based on the OMNI array.

To characterize the recombination landscape of Native Hawaiians and to generate a commonly

used genomic resource for future genetic studies in Polynesian-ancestry individuals, we created

two recombination maps, the PNS map and the NH map, from Native Hawaiian individuals

estimated to have the highest proportion of Polynesian ancestry and individuals randomly

sampled from the cohort, respectively. The former map was constructed to provide insights on

the recombination landscape in the ancestral Polynesians prior to European colonization and

subsequent waves of immigrations. However, we note that Polynesian ancestries are complex.

This component of ancestry is one that is found prevalently in the MEC Native Hawaiian cohort,

but could represent a mixture of Polynesian, Austronesian, and other ancestries that are unique

to our sample. Therefore, a future evaluation of the applicability of the PNS map to other

Polynesian populations across the Pacific would be of interest. The admixture history specific to

the Native Hawaiians also contributed to the health disparity experienced by the population

today, and the genetic risks to diseases must be evaluated considering this history (Sun et al.,

2021; Taparra et al., 2021). As such, we also constructed the latter map, to better reflect the

genomic composition of the Native Hawaiians today. The NH map would be more suited for

genetic epidemiologic studies that use a population-based sample and is the primary map that

we evaluated throughout this study.

Overall, we found that the recombination landscape from the PNS map stood out to be the

most unique compared to other 1KGP and MEC populations based on the correlation of

recombination rate variations across the genome and proportion of hotspot sharing. Notably,

the pairwise sharing between YRI and CEU, two populations known to have divergent

population histories, have higher correlation with one another (r = 0.876) than either

population with PNS (0.793 and 0.783 for YRI and CEU, respectively). The landscape based on

the NH map, in contrast, showed higher correlations with the maps from other populations,

with slightly higher correlation with the maps inferred from European and East Asian

populations. This is likely due to the recent admixture from other continental populations seen

within the NH cohort today (Sun et al., 2021). Lastly, the PNS map showed the lowest

correlation with PEL, and both PNS and PEL maps showed relatively low correlations with maps

from other populations examined here. The Peruvian from Lima, Peru, is known for its history

of isolation relative to other 1KGP populations (Harris et al., 2018). The observation here thus

suggests that long-term isolation and lower effective population sizes are driving the LD pattern

and shaping the recombination landscape. The low correlation between PNS and PEL, and

between the two with other populations, are potentially reflecting their respective

independent isolation histories.

We evaluated the impact of a population-specific map on downstream population and

statistical genetic analyses. In general, we found little difference due to the choice of the

recombination maps, particularly for imputation accuracy, local ancestry inference, and IBD

segment detections and genetic relatedness inference. In local ancestry inference, we did

observe an increased discordance between the two maps, if the input data were also phased

separately using different maps (**Table 2**), suggesting that the choice of recombination maps

would have a small but tangible impact on these downstream analyses. However, in each of

these applications, the recombination maps are used mostly as priors while the actual inference

is ultimately driven by the genetic data. Therefore, even though there are fine-scale differences

in the recombination landscape between Native Hawaiians and other 1KGP populations, the

accuracy of these downstream applications will not be substantially impacted by the choice of

the map used.

Our findings suggest that the choice of the recombination map could make qualitative

differences in population genetic analyses such as genomic scans for positive selection using

the integrated haplotype score (iHS) because these statistics would incorporate recombination

information in their calculation, representative of haplotype length surrounding a SNP. We

found that 6 SNPs across 4 loci appeared to be putative selection loci (using a threshold of

|iHS| > 4 after normalization) but have attenuated signals using the NH map (|iHS| < 2). The

signals in the four loci are driven by the genes DISP1, TLCD1, FAM222B, and WNT7B. We

examined each locus manually and did not find clear evidence for them to be under selection.

DISP1 impacts signaling and transport for cellular proliferation and differentiation (Ehring et al.,

2021). Mutations in the gene are associated with neuronal and endocrine diseases. TLCD1

affects membrane assembly and regulates membrane composition and tolerance to fatty acids

(Ruiz et al., 2018). FAM222B has been associated with red blood cell regulation and protein

binding using gene ontology (Luck et al., 2020). WNT7B is part of a pathway for embryonic

developmental processes and is linked to carcinogenesis and cancer development, in particular

gastric cancer (Gao et al., 2021; Goessling et al., 2009; Kirikoshi et al., 2001). Additional genes

near the four loci can be found in **Supplemental Figures 2-5**. Overall, we found no suggestive

functional basis to implicate these loci as under selection in Native Hawaiians.

We postulate that even though the NH map displayed elevated correlation of recombination

rates genome-wide with many of the populations in 1KGP due to its recent admixture, it still

accurately reflects the recombination events pertaining to the Polynesian ancestries as

evidenced by its high correlation and overlap of hotspots with the PNS map. Assuming that

much of the signals of positive selection should predate the recent admixture times over the

last 10 generations or so, a population-specific map like the NH map captured much of the

recombination landscape from the Polynesian ancestry component and should improve the

robustness of haplotype-based scans of selection such as iHS. Indigenous populations such as

the Native Hawaiians have been understudied with respect to their present-day medical

conditions and healthcare considerations (Taparra, 2021). Their evolutionary or adaptive

histories for surviving the most geographically isolated habitats on the planet for centuries

could also contribute to the genetic causes of differences in disease risk between the Native

Hawaiians and other continental populations. However, studies of indigenous populations have

also been fraught with "just-so" stories that only superficially tied evolutionary hypotheses to

apparent disparity in diseases (Chiang, 2021; Fox et al., 2020; Gosling et al., 2015). The

availability of a population-specific recombination maps could help weed out false positive

findings, thus making the resulting conclusions more robust and less harmful in future studies.

Finally, there are a number of limitations to our study, largely driven by the scarcity of genomic

knowledge and data for Native Hawaiians. We chose to use LDhat to construct the

recombination maps here as the available genomic data for Native Hawaiians are largely

restricted to the MEGA array data. When WGS data become available for Native Hawaiians at

scale, we would be able to evaluate more deeply the statistical genetic applications presented

here. For instance, WGS data would allow us to evaluate the impact of recombination maps on

imputation more thoroughly across the genome and different functional annotation.

Additionally, WGS data would also allow us to model the demographic history of the Native

Hawaiians, thus enabling the creation of more accurate recombination maps using methods,

such as pyrho (Spence & Song, 2019a). On top of the improved accuracy by incorporating

demographic history, pyrho can also infer recombination maps using hundreds of individuals,

allowing us to reduce noise by including many more individuals. Many of the evaluations we

have conducted here would be worth revisiting when this map based on sequenced data

become available. Furthermore, LDhat infers the relative, population-scaled, recombination

rates, ρ, rather than absolute recombination rates, r. Despite the effort to control for the

impact of population history through estimating and regressing out the long-term effective

population size, we presumed the differences in recombination landscapes observed across

populations largely reflected differences in LD pattern due to the Hawaiian's unique

demographic history. We were unable to assess differences in recombination between Native

Hawaiians and other populations due to, for example, PRDM9 motif usage variations (Hinch et

al., 2011). To directly and reliably estimate the underlying recombination rates would require

large-scale pedigree data, such as the one amassed by deCODE (Kong et al., 2010), but is

extremely difficult to ascertain for a vulnerable, indigenous population such as Native

Hawaiians. Nevertheless, the rates estimated by LDhat within Native Hawaiians are highly

correlated with IBD-based maps, and these maps can inform and improve genetic analyses

using haplotype-based statistics for this population, as we have evaluated here. This is thus an

important step towards inclusion and lessening further irresponsible construct of selection

stories for the Native Hawaiians. Finally, we also acknowledge that the underlying genetics and

genomics should not supplant current standards through self-identity or genealogical records

for defining community memberships; there is one Native Hawaiian population that cannot be

discretized through genomics. The implications of these and future genetic findings on Pacific

Islander health must be viewed through the lens of the social determinants of health with the

goals to improve inclusion and equitable benefit sharing with the indigenous communities (Fox,

2020; Pineda et al., 2023).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank John Novembre and Vagheesh Narasimhan for discussions and

comments on the preprinted version of this manuscript. We would also like to thank the Native

Hawaiian participants in the Multiethnic Cohort that are involved in this study. The Multiethnic

Cohort was funded through grants from the National Cancer Institute (U01CA164973,

P01CA168530) and National Human Genome Research Institute (U01HG007397). We also

would like to thank University of Hawaiʻi Cancer Center's Native Hawaiian Community Advisory

Board for reviewing the study proposal and providing comments to earlier versions of this

manuscript. This study is supported by grants from the National Institute of General Medical

Sciences (R35GM142783 to C.W.K.C.) and the National Human Genome Research Institute

(F31HG012159 to B.L.D.). Computation for this work is supported by the University of Southern

California's Center for High-Performance Computing (https://hpcc.usc.edu).

Citations

Auton, A., Abecasis, G. R., Altshuler, D. M., Durbin, R. M., Abecasis, G. R., Bentley, D. R.,

Chakravarti, A., Clark, A. G., Donnelly, P., Eichler, E. E., Flicek, P., Gabriel, S. B., Gibbs, R.

A., Green, E. D., Hurles, M. E., Knoppers, B. M., Korbel, J. O., Lander, E. S., Lee, C., …

National Eye Institute, N. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*,

526(7571), Article 7571. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393

Auton, A., & McVean, G. (2007). Recombination rate estimation in the presence of hotspots.

Genome Research, *17*(8), 1219–1227. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.6386707

Bhérer, C., Campbell, C. L., & Auton, A. (2017). Refined genetic maps reveal sexual dimorphism

in human meiotic recombination at multiple scales. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1),

Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14994

Browning, B. L., & Browning, S. R. (2013a). Detecting identity by descent and estimating

genotype error rates in sequence data. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *93*(5),

840–851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.09.014

Browning, B. L., & Browning, S. R. (2013b). Improving the Accuracy and Efficiency of Identity-by-

Descent Detection in Population Data. *Genetics*, *194*(2), 459–471.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.150029

Browning, S. R., Grinde, K., Plantinga, A., Gogarten, S. M., Stilp, A. M., Kaplan, R. C., Avilés-

Santa, M. L., Browning, B. L., & Laurie, C. C. (2016). Local Ancestry Inference in a Large

US-Based Hispanic/Latino Study: Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos

(HCHS/SOL). *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, *6*(6), 1525–1534.

https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.028779

Chiang, C. W. K. (2021). The Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Population Histories

Into Genetic Studies for Diverse Populations: A Motivating Example From Native

Hawaiians. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *12*, 643883.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.643883

Chiang, C. W. K., Ralph, P., & Novembre, J. (2016). Conflation of Short Identity-by-Descent

Segments Bias Their Inferred Length Distribution. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*, *6*(5), 1287–1296.

https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.027581

Das, S., Forer, L., Schönherr, S., Sidore, C., Locke, A. E., Kwong, A., Vrieze, S. I., Chew, E. Y., Levy,

S., McGue, M., Schlessinger, D., Stambolian, D., Loh, P.-R., Iacono, W. G., Swaroop, A.,

Scott, L. J., Cucca, F., Kronenberg, F., Boehnke, M., … Fuchsberger, C. (2016). Next-

generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(10), Article

10. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3656

Ehring, K., Manikowski, D., Goretzko, J., Froese, J., Gude, F., Jakobs, P., Rescher, U., Kirchhefer,

U., & Grobe, K. (2021). Conserved cholesterol-related activities of Dispatched 1 drive

Sonic hedgehog shedding from the cell membrane. *Journal of Cell Science*, *135*(5),

jcs258672. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.258672

Fox, K. (2020). The Illusion of Inclusion—The "All of Us" Research Program and Indigenous

Peoples' DNA. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *383*(5), 411–413.

https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1915987

Fox, K., Rallapalli, K. L., & Komor, A. C. (2020). Rewriting Human History and Empowering

Indigenous Communities with Genome Editing Tools. *Genes*, *11*(1), 88.

https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11010088

Fuchsberger, C., Abecasis, G. R., & Hinds, D. A. (2015). minimac2: Faster genotype imputation.

Bioinformatics, *31*(5), 782–784. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu704

Gao, Q., Yang, L., Shen, A., Li, Y., Li, Y., Hu, S., Yang, R., Wang, X., Yao, X., & Shen, G. (2021). A

WNT7B-m6A-TCF7L2 positive feedback loop promotes gastric cancer progression and

metastasis. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, *6*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-00397-z

Goessling, W., North, T. E., Loewer, S., Lord, A. M., Lee, S., Stoick-Cooper, C. L., Weidinger, G.,

Puder, M., Daley, G. Q., Moon, R. T., & Zon, L. I. (2009). Genetic Interaction of PGE2 and

Wnt Signaling Regulates Developmental Specification of Stem Cells and Regeneration.

Cell, *136*(6), 1136–1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.015

Gosling, A. L., Buckley, H. R., Matisoo-Smith, E., & Merriman, T. R. (2015). Pacific Populations,

Metabolic Disease and 'Just-So Stories': A Critique of the 'Thrifty Genotype' Hypothesis

in Oceania. *Annals of Human Genetics*, *79*(6), 470–480.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ahg.12132

Harris, D. N., Song, W., Shetty, A. C., Levano, K. S., Cáceres, O., Padilla, C., Borda, V., Tarazona,

D., Trujillo, O., Sanchez, C., Kessler, M. D., Galarza, M., Capristano, S., Montejo, H.,

Flores-Villanueva, P. O., Tarazona-Santos, E., O'Connor, T. D., & Guio, H. (2018).

Evolutionary genomic dynamics of Peruvians before, during, and after the Inca Empire.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, *115*(28), E6526–E6535.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720798115

Hassan, S., Surakka, I., Taskinen, M.-R., Salomaa, V., Palotie, A., Wessman, M., Tukiainen, T.,

Pirinen, M., Palta, P., & Ripatti, S. (2021). High-resolution population-specific

recombination rates and their effect on phasing and genotype imputation. *European*

Journal of Human Genetics, *29*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-020-00768-

8

Hinch, A. G., Tandon, A., Patterson, N., Song, Y., Rohland, N., Palmer, C. D., Chen, G. K., Wang,

K., Buxbaum, S. G., Akylbekova, M., Aldrich, M. C., Ambrosone, C. B., Amos, C., Bandera,

E. V., Berndt, S. I., Bernstein, L., Blot, W. J., Bock, C. H., Boerwinkle, E., … Myers, S. R.

(2011). The landscape of recombination in African Americans. *Nature*, *476*(7359), 170–

175. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10336

Hinrichs, A. S., Karolchik, D., Baertsch, R., Barber, G. P., Bejerano, G., Clawson, H., Diekhans, M.,

Furey, T. S., Harte, R. A., Hsu, F., Hillman-Jackson, J., Kuhn, R. M., Pedersen, J. S., Pohl,

A., Raney, B. J., Rosenbloom, K. R., Siepel, A., Smith, K. E., Sugnet, C. W., … Kent, W. J.

(2006). The UCSC Genome Browser Database: Update 2006. *Nucleic Acids Research*,

34(Database issue), D590-598. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj144

Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J., & Abecasis, G. R. (2012). Fast and

accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing.

Nature Genetics, *44*(8), 955–959. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2354

Hu, C., Hart, S. N., Gnanaolivu, R., Huang, H., Lee, K. Y., Na, J., Gao, C., Lilyquist, J., Yadav, S.,

Boddicker, N. J., Samara, R., Klebba, J., Ambrosone, C. B., Anton-Culver, H., Auer, P.,

Bandera, E. V., Bernstein, L., Bertrand, K. A., Burnside, E. S., … Couch, F. J. (2021). A

Population-Based Study of Genes Previously Implicated in Breast Cancer. *The New*

England Journal of Medicine, *384*(5), 440–451.

https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2005936

International HapMap Consortium, Frazer, K. A., Ballinger, D. G., Cox, D. R., Hinds, D. A., Stuve,

L. L., Gibbs, R. A., Belmont, J. W., Boudreau, A., Hardenbol, P., Leal, S. M., Pasternak, S.,

Wheeler, D. A., Willis, T. D., Yu, F., Yang, H., Zeng, C., Gao, Y., Hu, H., … Stewart, J.

(2007). A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs. *Nature*,

449(7164), 851–861. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06258

Karczewski, K. J., Francioli, L. C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B. B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R. L.,

Laricchia, K. M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D. P., Gauthier, L. D., Brand, H., Solomonson, M.,

Watts, N. A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., England, E. M., Seaby, E. G., Kosmicki, J. A., …

MacArthur, D. G. (2020). The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation

in 141,456 humans. *Nature*, *581*(7809), Article 7809. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-

020-2308-7

Kirikoshi, H., Sekihara, H., & Katoh, M. (2001). Molecular cloning and characterization of human

WNT7B. *International Journal of Oncology*, *19*(4), 779–783.

https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.19.4.779

Kolonel, L. N., Henderson, B. E., Hankin, J. H., Nomura, A. M., Wilkens, L. R., Pike, M. C., Stram,

D. O., Monroe, K. R., Earle, M. E., & Nagamine, F. S. (2000). A multiethnic cohort in

Hawaii and Los Angeles: Baseline characteristics. *American Journal of Epidemiology*,

151(4), 346–357. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a010213

Kong, A., Thorleifsson, G., Gudbjartsson, D. F., Masson, G., Sigurdsson, A., Jonasdottir, A.,

Walters, G. B., Jonasdottir, A., Gylfason, A., Kristinsson, K. T., Gudjonsson, S. A., Frigge,

M. L., Helgason, A., Thorsteinsdottir, U., & Stefansson, K. (2010). Fine-scale

recombination rate differences between sexes, populations and individuals. *Nature*,

467(7319), 1099–1103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09525

Lim, U., Monroe, K. R., Buchthal, S., Fan, B., Cheng, I., Kristal, B. S., Lampe, J. W., Hullar, M. A.,

Franke, A. A., Stram, D. O., Wilkens, L. R., Shepherd, J., Ernst, T., & Marchand, L. L.

(2019). Propensity for Intra-abdominal and Hepatic Adiposity Varies Among Ethnic

Groups. *Gastroenterology*, *156*(4), 966-975.e10.

https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.11.021

Lin, M., Caberto, C., Wan, P., Li, Y., Lum-Jones, A., Tiirikainen, M., Pooler, L., Nakamura, B.,

Sheng, X., Porcel, J., Lim, U., Setiawan, V. W., Le Marchand, L., Wilkens, L. R., Haiman, C.

A., Cheng, I., & Chiang, C. W. K. (2020). Population-specific reference panels are crucial

for genetic analyses: An example of the CREBRF locus in Native Hawaiians. *Human*

Molecular Genetics, *29*(13), 2275–2284. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddaa083

Loh, P.-R., Danecek, P., Palamara, P. F., Fuchsberger, C., Reshef, Y. A., Finucane, H. K.,

Schoenherr, S., Forer, L., McCarthy, S., Abecasis, G. R., Durbin, R., & Price, A. L. (2016).

Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. *Nature*

Genetics, *48*(11), Article 11. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3679

Loh, P.-R., Palamara, P. F., & Price, A. L. (2016). Fast and accurate long-range phasing in a UK

Biobank cohort. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3571

801 Luck, K., Kim, D.-K., Lambourne, L., Spirohn, K., Begg, B. E., Bian, W., Brignall, R., Cafarelli, T.,

802  Campos-Laborie, F. J., Charloteaux, B., Choi, D., Coté, A. G., Daley, M., Deimling, S.,

803  Desbuleux, A., Dricot, A., Gebbia, M., Hardy, M. F., Kishore, N., … Calderwood, M. A.

804  (2020). A reference map of the human binary protein interactome. *Nature*, *580*(7803),

805  Article 7803. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2188-x

806 Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J. C., Rich, S. S., Daly, K., Sale, M., & Chen, W.-M. (2010). Robust

807  relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(22),

808  2867–2873. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559

809 Maples, B. K., Gravel, S., Kenny, E. E., & Bustamante, C. D. (2013). RFMix: A Discriminative

810  Modeling Approach for Rapid and Robust Local-Ancestry Inference. *American Journal of*

811  *Human Genetics*, *93*(2), 278–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.020

812 Pineda, E., Benavente, R., Gimmen, M. Y., DeVille, N. V., & Taparra, K. (2023). Cancer Disparities

813  among Pacific Islanders: A Review of Sociocultural Determinants of Health in the

814  Micronesian Region. *Cancers*, *15*(5), 1392. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15051392

815 Ramstetter, M. D., Dyer, T. D., Lehman, D. M., Curran, J. E., Duggirala, R., Blangero, J., Mezey, J.

816  G., & Williams, A. L. (2017). Benchmarking Relatedness Inference Methods with

817  Genome-Wide Data from Thousands of Relatives. *Genetics*, *207*(1), 75–82.

818  https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.1122

819 Ruiz, M., Bodhicharla, R., Svensk, E., Devkota, R., Busayavalasa, K., Palmgren, H., Ståhlman, M.,

820  Boren, J., & Pilon, M. (2018). Membrane fluidity is regulated by the C. elegans

821  transmembrane protein FLD-1 and its human homologs TLCD1/2. *ELife*, *7*, e40686.

822  https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40686

Siddiq, A., Couch, F. J., Chen, G. K., Lindström, S., Eccles, D., Millikan, R. C., Michailidou, K.,

Stram, D. O., Beckmann, L., Rhie, S. K., Ambrosone, C. B., Aittomäki, K., Amiano, P.,

Apicella, C., Baglietto, L., Bandera, E. V., Beckmann, M. W., Berg, C. D., Bernstein, L., …

Vachon, C. M. (2012). A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of breast

cancer identifies two novel susceptibility loci at 6q14 and 20q11. *Human Molecular*

Genetics, *21*(24), 5373–5384. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds381

Spence, J. P., & Song, Y. S. (2019a). Inference and analysis of population-specific fine-scale

recombination maps across 26 diverse human populations. *Science Advances*, *5*(10),

eaaw9206. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw9206

Spence, J. P., & Song, Y. S. (2019b). Inference and analysis of population-specific fine-scale

recombination maps across 26 diverse human populations. *Science Advances*, *5*(10),

eaaw9206. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw9206

Sun, H., Lin, M., Russell, E. M., Minster, R. L., Chan, T. F., Dinh, B. L., Naseri, T., Reupena, M. S.,

Lum-Jones, A., the Samoan Obesity, L., Cheng, I., Wilkens, L. R., Marchand, L. L., Haiman,

C. A., & Chiang, C. W. K. (2021). The impact of global and local Polynesian genetic

ancestry on complex traits in Native Hawaiians. *PLOS Genetics*, *17*(2), e1009273.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009273

Szpiech, Z. A., & Hernandez, R. D. (2014). selscan: An Efficient Multithreaded Program to

Perform EHH-Based Scans for Positive Selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,

31(10), 2824–2827. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu211

Taparra, K. (2021). Pacific Islanders Searching for Inclusion in Medicine. *JAMA Health Forum*,

2(2), e210153. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.0153

Taparra, K., Miller, R. C., & Deville, C. (2021). Navigating Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander

Cancer Disparities From a Cultural and Historical Perspective. *JCO Oncology Practice*,

17(3), 130–134. https://doi.org/10.1200/OP.20.00831

U.S. Census Bureau Releases Key Stats in Honor of 2023 Asian American, Native Hawaiian, and

Pacific Islander Heritage Month. (2023, May 1). U.S. Department of Commerce.

https://www.commerce.gov/news/blog/2023/05/us-census-bureau-releases-key-stats-

honor-2023-asian-american-native-hawaiian-and

van Eeden, G., Uren, C., Pless, E., Mastoras, M., van der Spuy, G. D., Tromp, G., Henn, B. M., &

Möller, M. (2022). The recombination landscape of the Khoe-San likely represents the

upper limits of recombination divergence in humans. *Genome Biology*, *23*(1), 172.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-022-02744-5

Voight, B. F., Kudaravalli, S., Wen, X., & Pritchard, J. K. (2006). A Map of Recent Positive

Selection in the Human Genome. *PLoS Biology*, *4*(3), e72.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040072

Wegmann, D., Kessner, D. E., Veeramah, K. R., Mathias, R. A., Nicolae, D. L., Yanek, L. R., Sun, Y.

V., Torgerson, D. G., Rafaels, N., Mosley, T., Becker, L. C., Ruczinski, I., Beaty, T. H.,

Kardia, S. L. R., Meyers, D. A., Barnes, K. C., Becker, D. M., Freimer, N. B., & Novembre, J.

(2011). Recombination rates in admixed individuals identified by ancestry-based

inference. *Nature Genetics*, *43*(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.894

Wojcik, G. L., Graff, M., Nishimura, K. K., Tao, R., Haessler, J., Gignoux, C. R., Highland, H. M.,

Patel, Y. M., Sorokin, E. P., Avery, C. L., Belbin, G. M., Bien, S. A., Cheng, I., Cullina, S.,

Hodonsky, C. J., Hu, Y., Huckins, L. M., Jeff, J., Justice, A. E., … Carlson, C. S. (2019).

Genetic analyses of diverse populations improves discovery for complex traits. *Nature*,

570(7762), Article 7762. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1310-4

Xue, C., Rustagi, N., Liu, X., Raveendran, M., Harris, R. A., Venkata, M. G., Rogers, J., & Yu, F.

(2020). Reduced meiotic recombination in rhesus macaques and the origin of the human

recombination landscape. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(8), e0236285.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236285

Zhou, Y., Browning, B. L., & Browning, S. R. (2020). Population-Specific Recombination Maps

from Segments of Identity by Descent. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *107*(1),

137–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.05.016