Ubiquitination-mediated Golgi-to-endosome sorting determines the poison-antidote duality of *wtf* meiotic drivers

Jin-Xin Zheng,[1,2] Tong-Yang Du,[2,3] Guang-Can Shao,[2] Zhu-Hui Ma,[2] Zhao-Di Jiang,[2] Wen Hu,[2] Fang Suo,[2] Wanzhong He,[2] Meng-Qiu Dong,[2,4] and Li-Lin Du[1,2,4,*]

[1]Graduate School of Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100730, China

[2]National Institute of Biological Sciences, Beijing 102206, China.

[3]College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

[4]Tsinghua Institute of Multidisciplinary Biomedical Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing 102206, China.

*Correspondence: dulilin@nibs.ac.cn

Abstract

Killer meiotic drivers (KMDs) skew allele transmission in their favor by killing meiotic progeny not inheriting the driver allele. Despite their widespread presence in eukaryotes, the molecular mechanisms behind their selfish behavior are poorly understood. Here we investigate how the poison and antidote products of a fission yeast *wtf*-family KMD gene can act antagonistically. Both the poison and the antidote are multi-transmembrane proteins, differing only in their N-terminal cytosolic tails. We find that the antidote employs N-terminal PY motifs to bind Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases, which ubiquitinate the antidote. Mutating PY motifs or attaching a deubiquitinating enzyme transforms the antidote into a toxic protein. Ubiquitination promotes the transport of the antidote from the trans-Golgi network to the endosome, thereby neutralizing its toxicity and that of the bound poison. We propose that post-translational modification-mediated protein localization and/or activity changes may be a common mechanism governing the antagonistic duality of single-gene KMDs.

Keywords: killer meiotic driver, *wtf* family genes, ubiquitination, post-translational modification, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*

Introduction

The killer meiotic drivers (KMDs), which have been found in animals, fungi, and plants, are selfish genetic elements that subvert Mendel's law of segregation by disabling meiotic progeny lacking the driver element.[1] A commonly employed mode of action of KMDs is the poison-antidote mechanism.[1] The poison-antidote KMDs express two protein products, a poison and an antidote. The poison exerts a killing activity towards all meiotic progeny and the antidote confers a protection against the poison in progeny carrying the driver allele. Interestingly, the poison and the antidote can be encoded by the same gene. Single-gene KMDs known or proposed to employ the poison-antidote mechanism include *wtf* genes of fission yeasts,[2-8] the *Spk-1* gene of *Neurospora*,[9] and the *Spok* family genes found in *Podospora* and other filamentous fungi.[10-14] How a single-gene KMD can produce proteins with antagonistic activities has been a perplexing mystery.

wtf (for with Tf LTRs) genes, which encode multi-transmembrane proteins, constitute the largest gene family in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*.[7,8,15] Some of the *S. pombe wtf* genes are drivers that can express both a poison and an antidote through alternative transcription initiation, whereas others express only an antidote.[4,7,8] The poison and the antidote expressed by a *wtf* driver gene have identical transmembrane domains, differing only in their N-terminal tails, where the antidote features an extension at its N-terminus. Presumably, this antidote-specific region plays a key role in determining the distinction between the poison and the antidote. However, no activities have been assigned to the antidote-specific region.

Here, we show that the antidote-specific region is a binding platform for ubiquitin ligases and mediates the ubiquitination of the antidote. Ubiquitination directs the transport of the antidote from the trans-Golgi network (TGN) to the endosome, preventing the antidote from causing toxicity and allowing it to detoxify the poison

61 bound to it. This ubiquitination-mediated toxicity neutralization mechanism is

62 conserved among *wtf* genes, including *S. pombe wtf* genes encoding only

63 antidotes and *wtf* genes present in other fission yeast species that diverged from

64 *S. pombe* about 100 million years ago. Our findings provide new insights into the

65 molecular mechanisms underlying the actions of KMDs.

Results

The poison and antidote products of *cw9* are active in vegetative cells

We used the *S. pombe wtf* gene *cw9*, which is an active KMD from the natural

isolate CBS5557,[8] as a model to study the molecular mechanism underlying the

distinction between the poison and the antidote. We named its poison Cw9ki (the

$\underline{k}$illing $\underline{i}$soform) and its antidote Cw9pi (the $\underline{p}$rotecting $\underline{i}$soform). Cw9pi is 52 amino

acids longer than Cw9ki at the N terminus (Figure 1A). Transcripts encoding Cw9pi

and Cw9ki are initiated from upstream of coding exon 1 and within intron 1,

respectively. As shown before,[8] the removal of the sequence upstream of exon 1

resulted in a form of *cw9* that indiscriminately kills spore progeny of a hemizygous

cross between a strain carrying this form of *cw9* and a strain without *cw9*,

presumably because only Cw9ki but not Cw9pi can be expressed (Figure 1B). On

the other hand, a Cw9pi-only form created through deleting intron 1 was able to

protect progeny from the killing effect of Cw9ki expressed from a different locus

(Figure 1B). Thus, Cw9ki and Cw9pi can act as poison and antidote respectively

when they are not expressed from the same locus.

It has been shown that both the poison and antidote products of the *Sk wtf4*

gene from the *S. pombe var. kambucha* strain are active in vegetative cells.[5] To

test whether the toxicity of Cw9ki can manifest in vegetative *S. pombe* cells, we

placed its coding sequence under the control of thiamine-repressible promoters

(*Pnmt1*, *P41nmt1*, or *P81nmt1*, from the strongest to the weakest) (Figure 1C).[16]

We found that Cw9ki expressed from the *P81nmt1* or *P41nmt1* promoter prevented the growth of vegetative cells under the induction condition (minus thiamine) (Figure 1C). We failed to obtain strains expressing Cw9ki from the strongest *Pnmt1* promoter, probably due to toxicity caused by a higher level of basal expression. Cw9ki expressed from the anhydrotetracycline (ahTet)-inducible promoter *PtetO7* also showed strong toxicity to vegetative cells under the induction condition (Figure S1A).[17] Thus, Cw9ki is a poison that can kill not only *S. pombe* spores, but also *S. pombe* vegetative cells.

When examining cells using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy, we found that Cw9ki induction in vegetative cells resulted in the appearance of large crater-like structures (Figures 1D and S1B). We suspected that these structures may correspond to enlarged vacuoles. Indeed, Zhf1, a vacuole membrane marker, localized at the boundaries of the structures, and Cpy1, a vacuole lumen marker, localized in the interior of the structures (Figure 1D). Enlarged vacuoles can also be observed by electron microscopy analysis (Figure S1C). The reason behind this phenotype is unclear, but it serves as a convenient readout of the toxicity of Cw9ki in vegetative *S. pombe* cells.

To test whether Cw9pi can act in vegetative cells, we placed its coding sequence (without intron 1) under the control of thiamine-repressible promoters (Figure 1E). Inducing the expression of Cw9pi had no effect on vegetative cell growth, even when using the strongest *Pnmt1* promoter. When we co-expressed Cw9pi and Cw9ki, the toxicity of Cw9ki expressed from the *P41nmt1* promoter was completely abrogated by Cw9pi expressed from either the *P41nmt1* promoter or the *Pnmt1* promoter and strongly but incompletely mitigated by Cw9pi expressed from the weakest *P81nmt1* promoter (Figure 1E), indicating that the protecting activity conferred by Cw9pi is dose-dependent. When Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter, co-expressing Cw9pi from even the *P81nmt1* promoter can

abolish the toxicity (Figure S1D).

We also tested whether Cw9ki and Cw9pi are active in the vegetative cells of

the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Similar to the observations made

on the products of *Sk wtf4*,[5] we found that Cw9ki was toxic to vegetative budding

yeast cells, and Cw9pi can neutralize the toxicity of Cw9ki in a dose-dependent

manner (Figures S1E and S1F). Together, our results indicate that the activities of

Cw9ki and Cw9pi are neither specific to spores nor limited to *S. pombe*.

The antidote-specific region binds Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases

Cw9pi is predicted to be a multi-transmembrane protein with both its N terminus

and C terminus in the cytosol (Figure S1G).[8] Its most N-terminal 52 amino acids,

which are absent in Cw9ki, are part of the N-terminal cytosolic tail, which is

predicted to be 105 amino acids long (Figure 2A). How does the presence or

absence of this antidote-specific region, Cw9pi(1-52), determine whether the

protein is an antidote or a poison? As Cw9pi is essentially a combination of

Cw9pi(1-52) and Cw9ki, we first addressed the question of how the presence of

Cw9pi(1-52) in the same polypeptide with Cw9ki prevents the manifestation of the

toxicity of Cw9ki. We hypothesized that Cw9pi(1-52) may possess an activity that

can neutralize the toxicity of Cw9ki as long as it is physically associated with Cw9ki.

To test this idea, we artificially tethered Cw9pi(1-52) to Cw9ki using the

noncovalent interaction between GFP and GBP (GFP binding protein).[18,19] We co-

expressed a GFP-tagged form of Cw9ki, which is toxic to *S. pombe* vegetative cells

(Figure 2B), with Cw9pi(1-52) or Cw9pi(1-52) fused with GBP. Cw9pi(1-52) did not

obviously ameliorate the toxicity of GFP-Cw9ki, whereas Cw9pi(1-52)-GBP

abolished the toxicity of GFP-Cw9ki (Figure 2B), supporting the idea that Cw9pi(1-

52) possesses a toxicity-neutralizing activity that can detoxify associated Cw9ki.

To explore the molecular mechanism underlying the toxicity-neutralizing activity

of Cw9pi(1-52), we searched for physical interactors of Cw9pi(1-52) using affinity

purification coupled with mass spectrometry (AP-MS). One hit of the AP-MS

analysis is Pub1 (Figure 2C), an Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligase.[20] In *S.*

pombe, there are three Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases, Pub1, Pub2, and

Pub3.[21] Pub1 and Pub3 are more similar to each other than to Pub2 and are

redundantly essential for cell growth.[21] Pub1 has the highest expression level

among the three.[22,23] We used the GST pulldown assay to examine whether

Cw9pi(1-52) interacts with these three ubiquitin ligases and found that both Pub1

and Pub3 exhibited robust interactions with Cw9pi(1-52), whereas Pub2 showed

a much weaker interaction with Cw9pi(1-52) (Figure 2D).

The ability of Cw9pi(1-52) to interact with Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases

led us to hypothesize that Cw9pi(1-52) may neutralize the toxicity of associated

Cw9ki through recruiting ubiquitin ligases. This hypothesis predicts that the toxicity

of Cw9ki may be inhibited if a ubiquitin ligase is artificially tethered to it. To test this

idea, we employed the GFP–GBP interaction again. Indeed, tethering Pub1 to

Cw9ki abolished the toxicity of Cw9ki (Figure 2E). As a control, tethering Pub1-

C735S, a catalytically dead mutant of Pub1,[24] to Cw9ki did not affect the toxicity,

indicating that the ubiquitin ligase activity is required for neutralizing the toxicity.

Artificially tethering Pub3 to Cw9ki can also abolish the toxicity, whereas tethering

Pub2 only partially neutralized the toxicity (Figure 2F). These results suggest that

the antidote-specific region of Cw9pi acts as a binding platform for Rsp5/NEDD4

family ubiquitin ligases, especially Pub1 and Pub3, and these ubiquitin ligases,

when recruited near Cw9ki, can neutralize the toxicity of Cw9ki through promoting

ubiquitination.

PY motifs in the N-terminal tail of Cw9pi are responsible for binding ubiquitin

ligases and preventing Cw9pi from becoming toxic

The substrate proteins of Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases often contain one

or multiple [L/P]PxY sequences (PY motifs), which are binding sites of the WW

domains in the Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases.[25-27] There are three PY motifs

in Cw9pi, at positions 30-33, 41-44, and 69-72, respectively (Figure 3A). We

named them PY1, PY2, and PY3. PY1 and PY2 are located within the antidote-

specific region. In a sequence alignment of the N-terminal cytosolic tails of the

antidote products of two active *wtf* driver genes from CBS5557 (*cw9* and *cw27*)

and 16 non-pseudo *wtf* genes in the *S. pombe* reference genome, PY1 and PY2

are conserved in the products of all but the four most divergent *wtf* genes (*wtf7*,

wtf11, *wtf14*, and *wtf15*), which do not have KMD activities,[4] whereas PY3 is only

present in the products of four genes, *cw9*, *cw27*, *wtf19*, and *wtf23* (Figure 3A).

The absence of PY3 in the product of the known active driver gene *wtf13* suggests

that PY3 is not essential for the selfish actions.[6]

To examine whether the PY motifs in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi

mediate interactions with Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases, we performed GST

pulldown analysis using *E. coli*-expressed Cw9pi N-terminal fragments fused with

GST and *E. coli*-expressed Pub1 lacking the N-terminal C2 domain but containing

all three WW domains (Pub1-ΔC2) (Figure 3B). It is known that mutating the strictly

conserved tyrosine residue in a PY motif to alanine disrupts the ability of the PY

motif to bind WW domains.[28,29] Thus, we introduced into the PY motifs of Cw9pi

such tyrosine-to-alanine mutations (denoted by asterisks). Mutating the three PY

motifs individually (PY1*, PY2*, or PY3*) did not obviously affect the ability of the

N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi, Cw9pi(1-104) (abbreviated as N in Figure 3B),

to bind Pub1-ΔC2. When two out of the three PY motifs were mutated

simultaneously, the PY1*PY2* and PY2*PY3* combinations, but not the PY1*PY3*

combination, resulted in dramatically reduced but still detectable interactions.

Disrupting all three PY motifs (3PY*) weakened the interaction to an undetectable

level. Consistently, Cw9pi(24-52), a 29-amino-acid fragment containing both PY1

and PY2, interacted with Pub1-ΔC2 as strongly as Cw9pi(1-104). Cw9pi(53-104),

which contains only PY3, interacted weakly with Pub1-ΔC2, whereas Cw9pi(1-23)

that lacks any PY motifs did not interact with Pub1-ΔC2 (Figure 3B). Similar results

were obtained when using Pub3 as prey to perform GST pulldown assay (Figure

S2A). Together, these results indicate that the three PY motifs of Cw9pi act

redundantly to promote interactions with Pub1 and Pub3. PY2 is sufficient to

mediate a strong interaction by itself, whereas PY1 and PY3 individually are much

weaker binding motifs but together can mediate a robust interaction.

To examine the in vivo roles of the PY motifs, PY-mutated forms of Cw9pi were

expressed in vegetative *S. pombe* cells using the inducible *P81nmt1* promoter.

Mutations that did not substantially affect Pub1/Pub3 binding in the GST pulldown

analysis, including PY1*, PY2*, PY3*, and PY1*PY3*, did not alter the non-toxic

nature of Cw9pi (Figures 3C and S2B). In contrast, mutations that markedly

weakened ubiquitin ligase binding in the pulldown assay, including PY1*PY2*,

PY2*PY3*, and 3PY*, rendered Cw9pi strongly toxic to vegetative cells (Figure 3C).

Thus, PY motif-mediated binding to Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases keeps

Cw9pi in a non-toxic state.

We found that the 3PY* mutation can also convert Cw9pi from non-toxic to toxic

in vegetative *S. cerevisiae* cells (Figure S2C), indicating that PY motifs in Cw9pi

can mediate toxicity neutralization in *S. cerevisiae*, probably because PY motif

binding is a conserved feature of Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases, which are

ubiquitously present in fungi and animals.[30]

To examine whether PY motifs are also important for preventing Cw9pi from

becoming toxic during sexual reproduction, we placed Cw9pi-3PY* under the

control of the native upstream sequence. This form, referred to as *cw9pi-3PY**, can

only be introduced into a strain that also contains a wild-type form of *cw9pi*,

presumably because of the toxicity of Cw9pi-3PY* to vegetative cells. When the strain containing both *cw9pi-3PY** and *cw9pi* was crossed to a strain without any forms of *cw9*, spores containing *cw9pi-3PY** but not *cw9pi* were all inviable, whereas other spores were mostly viable (Figure 3D). Thus, *cw9pi-3PY** behaved as a suicidal gene during sexual reproduction, indicating that PY motif-mediated ubiquitin ligase binding is required to prevent the antidote from becoming a poison in the native context of KMD actions.

PY3 is located downstream of the antidote-specific region and thus is present in Cw9ki. The pulldown analyses showed that PY3 can support a weak binding with Pub1 and Pub3 (Figures 3B and S2A). To determine whether the presence of PY3 weakens the toxicity of Cw9ki, we expressed Cw9ki in vegetative cells using an attenuated version of the *P81nmt1* promoter (*P81nmt1a*) so that cell growth was inhibited but not completely prevented. We found that mutating PY3 of Cw9ki did not alter the extent of growth inhibition (Figure S2D), indicating that PY3 does not influence the toxicity of Cw9ki, perhaps because PY3-mediated ubiquitin ligase binding is too weak to reach a threshold needed to exert a neutralizing effect on the toxicity.

To further ascertain the toxicity-neutralizing role of PY motifs, we tested whether simply adding a strong exogenous PY motif to Cw9ki is sufficient to neutralize its toxicity. We fused to the N-terminus of Cw9ki a PY-motif-containing artificial peptide (artPY, MPSTP<u>PPPY</u>SRGT), which is known to promote strong in vitro ubiquitination by the Rsp5 ubiquitin ligase.[31] This fusion abolished the toxicity of Cw9ki (Figure 3E). As a control, fusing a PY-mutated peptide (artPY*, MPSTP<u>PPPA</u>SRGT) to Cw9ki did not affect the toxicity. When artPY-Cw9ki and Cw9ki were co-expressed, artPY-Cw9ki behaved like an antidote and neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki (Figure 3E). Thus, the antidote-specific region of Cw9pi can be substituted by an exogenous PY motif, indicating that the main function of the

antidote-specific region is binding Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases through

PY motifs.

Ubiquitination sites in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi are necessary

for preventing Cw9pi from becoming toxic

Because the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi interacts with Rsp5/NEDD4 family

ubiquitin ligases, we tested whether this region of Cw9pi harbors ubiquitination

sites that can be modified by Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases. We performed

in vitro ubiquitination reactions using recombinant Cw9pi(1-104) as the substrate

and recombinant Pub1-ΔC2 as the E3 (Figure 4A). Pub1-ΔC2 lacks the N-terminal

membrane-binding C2 domain but contains the catalytic domain and all three WW

domains. Upon SDS-PAGE separation of the reaction mixes, we observed that the

unmodified form of Cw9pi(1-104) decreased and higher molecular weight forms of

Cw9pi(1-104) appeared when and only when ubiquitin, E1, E2, and E3 were all

present, indicative of ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104). The extent of ubiquitination

correlated with the amount of E3. Thus, Cw9pi(1-104) is a ubiquitination substrate

of Pub1.

To test whether PY motifs are important for the ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104)

by Pub1, we performed ubiquitination reactions on PY-mutated Cw9pi(1-104)

proteins (Figure 4B). Mutating the three PY motifs individually caused mild

reduction of ubiquitination, with PY2* showing the strongest effect. Among the

double PY mutations, PY1*PY2* and PY2*PY3* but not PY1*PY3* mutations

caused substantially more severe reduction of ubiquitination than PY2*. 3PY*

mutations reduced the ubiquitination to an undetectable level. These results

parallel the binding assay results shown earlier, and indicate that PY motifs are

needed for the ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104) by Pub1.

To obtain evidence on the in vivo role of Cw9pi ubiquitination, we tested whether

artificially preventing the ubiquitination of Cw9pi can render it toxic. We tethered

UL36, a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB), to Cw9pi using the GFP–GBP

interaction.[32-35] Cw9pi fused at the N terminus with mECitrine, a variant of GFP,

was expressed from the thiamine-repressible *P81nmt1* promoter and DUB fused

with GBP was expressed from the ahTet-inducible *PtetO7* promoter. The co-

expression of GBP-DUB, but not the catalytically inactive GBP-DUB*, with

mECitrine-Cw9pi caused toxicity to vegetative *S. pombe* cells (Figure 4C),

indicating that ubiquitination of Cw9pi is essential for preventing it from becoming

toxic.

There are a total of 16 lysines in the cytosolic tails and loops of Cw9pi (Figure

4D). We predicted that mutating all these lysines should prevent Cw9pi from being

ubiquitinated and render Cw9pi toxic. Indeed, when all 16 lysines were mutated to

arginines, the resulting mutant, Cw9pi-16R, was toxic to vegetative cells (Figure

4E). Next, to define a minimal set of ubiquitination sites important for toxicity

neutralization, we mutated only the lysines in the N-terminal cytosolic tail. There

are seven lysines in this region (Figures 4D and 4F). Mutating the five lysines in

the antidote-specific region (Cw9pi-5R) or mutating the two lysines in the

remaining portion of the N-terminal cytosolic tail (Cw9pi-2R) had no effect,

whereas mutating all seven lysines (Cw9pi-7R) rendered Cw9pi toxic (Figure 4F).

Reverting any one of the seven mutations back to lysine abolished the toxicity of

Cw9pi-7R (Figure 4G), suggesting that these seven lysines can all be ubiquitinated

and the ubiquitination of these lysines is redundantly required for toxicity

neutralization. Consistently, using mass spectrometry analysis, we found that all

seven lysines in the N-terminal cytosolic tail can be ubiquitinated in vitro by Pub1

or Pub3 (Figures 4H and S2E). Together, these results indicate that PY motif-

dependent ubiquitination of the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi is necessary for

preventing Cw9pi from becoming toxic.

The vacuolar targeting of Cw9pi is mediated by the ESCRT machinery

It has been reported that the antidote and the poison products of the *Sk wtf4* gene from the *S. pombe var. kambucha* strain exhibited distinct subcellular localization patterns, with the antidote protein localizing to vacuoles and the poison protein forming cytoplasmic puncta.[5,7] To examine the localization of the protein products of *cw9*, we performed microscopy analysis on Cw9ki and Cw9pi tagged with fluorescent proteins. Cw9ki was tagged by inserting a fluorescent protein at an internal position of the N-terminal cytosolic tail (between S96 and G97, amino acid numbering based on the sequence of Cw9pi), because this way of tagging maintained the spore killing activity of Cw9ki better than C-terminal tagging or N-terminal tagging (Figure S3A). We refer to Cw9ki tagged internally with GFP as GFP[int]-Cw9ki. Cw9pi was tagged at the N terminus, as N-terminal tagging did not obviously affect the antidote activity of Cw9pi (Figure S3B). Similar to the observations made on the protein products of *Sk wtf4*,[5,7] GFP-Cw9pi showed perfect co-localization with the vacuole lumen marker Cpy1-CFP in spores (Figure S3C), whereas GFP[int]-Cw9ki formed puncta not overlapping with vacuoles in spores (Figure S3D).

In vegetative cells, Cw9ki and Cw9pi tagged with the yellow fluorescent protein mECitrine and expressed from the *P81nmt1* or *P41nmt1* promoter behaved like the untagged proteins in terms of the toxicity of Cw9ki and the antidote activity of Cw9pi (Figure S3E). Similar to the situation in spores, in vegetative cells, mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki formed cytoplasmic puncta and mECitrine-Cw9pi localized to the vacuole lumen (Figure 5A). mECitrine-Cw9pi localized in the vacuole lumen is expected to be cleaved by vacuolar proteases to release free mECitrine, which is resistant to protease digestion.[36] Indeed, immunoblotting analysis showed that mECitrine-Cw9pi was processed into free mECitrine in vegetative cells in a manner

dependent on the two main vacuolar proteases Isp6 and Psp3 (Figure 5B).[37]

It has been shown that the co-expression of *Sk wtf4* antidote protein results in

the relocalization of *Sk wtf4* poison protein to vacuoles.[5] Similarly, we found that

the co-expression of mCherry-Cw9pi altered the localization of mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki

in vegetative *S. pombe* cells, resulting in a perfect co-localization of mECitrine[int]-

Cw9ki with mCherry-Cw9pi in the vacuole lumen (Figure 5C). This effect of Cw9pi

on Cw9ki is likely mediated by a physical interaction between Cw9pi and Cw9ki,

as mCherry-Cw9pi can be co-immunoprecipitated with mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki (Figure

5D). Thus, as proposed before for *Sk wtf4*,[5] Cw9pi may exert its antidote activity

through binding Cw9ki and altering the localization of Cw9ki.

Because mutating PY motifs in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi can render

Cw9pi toxic, we hypothesized that PY-mutated forms of Cw9pi that become toxic

may have a localization pattern similar to that of Cw9ki. Indeed, toxic Cw9pi

mutants, including PY1*PY2*, PY2*PY3*, and 3PY* mutants, no longer showed

prominent vacuolar localization but instead mainly localized to cytoplasmic puncta,

whereas non-toxic PY-mutated forms of Cw9pi, including PY1*, PY2*, PY3*, and

PY1*PY3*, still mainly localized to the vacuole lumen (Figure 5E). Thus, the

targeting of Cw9pi to the vacuole lumen requires the PY motifs.

Ubiquitination of transmembrane proteins by Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin

ligases is known to be a sorting signal recognized by the endosomal sorting

complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery.[38] The ESCRT machinery sorts

ubiquitinated transmembrane proteins into intra-endosomal vesicles, which end up

in the vacuole lumen upon endosome-vacuole fusion. As the PY motifs in Cw9pi

promote the ubiquitination of Cw9pi by Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases, we

hypothesized that the vacuolar targeting of Cw9pi may rely on the ESCRT

machinery. Supporting this idea, we found that in the absence of Vps24, a

component of the ESCRT-III complex, Cw9pi no longer localized to the vacuole

lumen (Figure 5F), and instead formed cytoplasmic puncta, which tend to be larger

than the puncta formed by Cw9ki. These Cw9pi puncta showed substantial co-

localization with two endosome markers: the ESCRT-0 complex subunit Hse1 and

the endosomal membrane protein SPAC15A10.06 (ortholog of *S. cerevisiae* Nhx1)

(Figures 5F and 5G), suggesting that Cw9pi accumulates on the endosome when

the ESCRT machinery is defective. Thus, Cw9pi is transported to the vacuole

through the endosome and the ESCRT machinery is required for the endosome-

to-vacuole transport of Cw9pi.

The ubiquitination on the cargo transmembrane proteins transported by the

ESCRT machinery is known to be removed by DUBs when the cargo proteins are

sequestered into the endosome.[39] The transient nature of ubiquitination makes its

in vivo detection challenging. An ESCRT mutant preventing the endosomal

sequestration of cargo proteins, such as *vps24Δ*, causes the accumulation of

ubiquitinated cargo proteins and makes their detection easier.[40] Using biotin-

tagged ubiquitin (biotin-Ub) to enrich ubiquitinated proteins,[34,41] we detected

ubiquitinated Cw9pi in *vps24Δ* cells (Figure 5H). The PY1*PY3* mutation, which

does not prevent the vacuolar localization of Cw9pi in the wild-type background,

diminished but did not abolish ubiquitination in *vps24Δ* cells, whereas PY1*PY2*,

PY2*PY3*, and 3PY* mutations, which prevent the vacuolar localization of Cw9pi

in the wild-type background, decreased ubiquitination to an undetectable level in

vps24Δ cells (Figure 5H). These results support that PY motif-mediated

ubiquitination determines the endosome-to-vacuole transport of Cw9pi.

Trafficking of Cw9pi and Cw9ki from the TGN to the endosome prevents their

toxicity

Although deleting *vps24* prevented the vacuolar targeting of Cw9pi, Cw9pi did not

show toxicity in *vps24Δ* cells (Figure 5I). This is not due to a suppression effect of

vps24Δ on the manifestation of toxicity, as Cw9ki and PY-mutated forms of Cw9pi

showed toxicity in *vps24Δ* cells (Figure S3F). Thus, ubiquitination-dependent

neutralization of the toxicity of Cw9pi still occurs when Cw9pi localizes at the

endosome. We predicted that in this situation, Cw9pi can also act as an antidote

to inhibit the toxicity of Cw9ki. Indeed, mTurquoise2-Cw9pi neutralized the toxicity

of mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki in *vps24Δ* cells (Figure 5J). Moreover, they co-localized with

the endosome marker Hse1 (Figure S3G). In contrast, Cw9ki and Cw9pi-3PY*,

when individually expressed, did not show obvious co-localization with Hse1 in

vps24Δ cells (Figure S3H). These results suggest that endosomal localization

prevents Cw9pi and Cw9ki from becoming toxic.

To determine which compartment Cw9ki localizes to in the absence of Cw9pi,

we performed co-localization analysis using a number of organelle markers

exhibiting punctate patterns and found that mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki puncta partially co-

localized with the trans-Golgi network (TGN) marker Sec72-mCherry (Figure S3I).

The TGN is a dynamic organelle and typical TGN-localizing proteins only exhibit

partial overlap with each other.[42] We performed a time-lapse analysis and found

that the cytoplasmic puncta of Cw9ki exhibited dynamic behaviors and showed

transient co-localization with Sec72 puncta (Figure 5K). The most likely trafficking

route that Cw9ki takes to reach the TGN is the secretary pathway starting at the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Supporting this idea, overexpressing a dominant

negative Sar1-T34N mutant, which impedes ER-to-Golgi transport at the ER exit

step,[43] caused mECitrine[int]-Cw9ki to show a perfect co-localization with the ER

marker Ost4-mCherry (Figure S3J). These results suggest that Cw9ki enters the

secretary pathway at the ER and is transported through the Golgi to reach the TGN.

We hypothesized that Cw9pi follows the same trafficking route as Cw9ki to reach

the TGN, where the ubiquitination sorting signal on Cw9pi directs its transport to

the endosome. This hypothesis predicts that ubiquitin-binding trafficking factors

may promote the TGN-to-endosome transport of Cw9pi. The most obvious candidates for such factors are Golgi-localized gamma-ear-containing ARF-binding (GGA) family trafficking adaptors that can bind ubiquitin and act in TGN-to-endosome transport.[44,45] There are two GGA-encoding genes in *S. pombe*, *gga21* and *gga22*, which play partially redundant roles.[45] Cw9pi remained non-toxic in single deletion mutants lacking *gga21* or *gga22* (Figures S4A). However, in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* double deletion mutant, which has a moderate growth defect, the expression of Cw9pi further weakened the growth, indicative of a mild toxicity (Figure S4A).

Live cell imaging showed that in *gga21Δ gga22Δ* cells, Cw9pi exhibited mainly a vacuole lumen localization, but a small subset of Cw9pi signals were observed on cytoplasmic puncta outside of vacuoles (Figure S4B). Thus, the loss of Gga21 and Gga22 only weakly disturbed the trafficking of Cw9pi, possibly because there are additional trafficking factors promoting the TGN-to-endosome trafficking of Cw9pi. We surmised that partially reducing the ubiquitination of Cw9pi using PY mutations that moderately weaken the in vitro ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104) may enhance the phenotypic consequence of losing Gga21 and Gga22. Indeed, Cw9pi-PY2* and Cw9pi-PY1*PY3*, which localize to the vacuole lumen and do not show toxicity in the wild-type background, localized to cytoplasmic puncta and caused strong toxicity in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* double deletion background (Figures S4B and S4C). Furthermore, their puncta showed partial overlap with the TGN marker Sec72-mCherry (Figure S4D). These findings suggest that ubiquitination-mediated trafficking of Cw9pi from the TGN to the endosome renders it non-toxic. Further supporting this model, ubiquitination-defective Cw9pi-3PY* protein exhibited co-localization with the TGN marker (Figure S4E).

Ubiquitination neutralizes the toxicity of antidotes encoded by other *wtf* genes from *S. pombe* and *S. octosporus*

Among the 16 non-pseudo *wtf* genes in the *S. pombe* reference genome,[8] all but the four most divergent genes (*wtf7*, *wtf11*, *wtf14*, and *wtf15*) encode antidotes harboring the PY1 and PY2 motifs (Figure 3A). Our analysis of Cw9pi led us to hypothesize that like Cw9pi, these PY motif-containing antidote proteins may also be kept non-toxic by ubiquitination. This model predicts that artificially preventing ubiquitination of these antidote proteins may release their toxicity. To test this idea, we applied the DUB tethering analysis on the antidote products of these 16 non-pseudo *wtf* genes.

We crossed a strain expressing a GFP-tagged antidote protein from a thiamine-repressible promoter to a strain expressing GBP-DUB or a strain expressing GBP-DUB* (catalytically dead DUB) on mating/sporulation media containing thiamine and performed tetrad dissection analysis on media lacking thiamine. Spore progeny harboring both the *GFP-antidote* allele and the *GBP-DUB* allele were inviable for nine *wtf* genes, including all four genes that can express both the antidote and the poison (*wtf4*, *wtf13*, *wtf19,* and *wtf23*) and five genes that can only express the antidote (*wtf9*, *wtf10*, *wtf16*, *wtf18*, and *wtf21*) (Figure 6A). For the antidote-only gene *wtf25*, spore progeny of the *GFP-antidote GBP-DUB* genotype formed only extremely small colonies. Antidotes encoded by the other six non-pseudo *wtf* genes (*wtf5*, *wtf20,* and the four divergent genes) did not show toxicity upon DUB tethering (Figure S5A). For all 16 *wtf* genes, combining the *GFP-antidote* allele with the *GBP-DUB** allele did not result in spore viability loss or growth defect (Figures 6A and S5A). These results indicate that PY motif-containing antidotes mostly possess intrinsic toxicity that needs to be neutralized by ubiquitination to avoid suicidal killing.

The protein products of two antidote-only genes *wtf5* and *wtf10* are 82.9%

identical in their amino acid sequences (Figure S5B). However, only Wtf10 but not

Wtf5 displayed toxicity in the DUB tethering analysis. To understand the reason

behind this difference, we performed sequence swapping between Wtf5 and Wtf10,

and found that the difference is determined by the Wtf5(73-142) region (Figure

S5C). Within this region, these two proteins only differ at two residues (Figure S5B).

Replacing either residue in Wtf5 with the residue in Wtf10 rendered Wtf5 toxic in

the DUB tethering analysis (Figure S5D). Thus, Wtf5 may have only recently lost

its intrinsic toxicity and can regain the toxicity through a single point mutation.

wtf genes are present not only in *S. pombe* but also in three other fission yeast

species, *S. octosporus*, *S. osmophilus*, and *S. cryophilus*, which diverged from *S.*

pombe about 100 million years ago.[3] Inspecting sequence alignments of proteins

encoded by intact *wtf* genes in these three species showed that the N-terminal

cytosolic tails of the antidote isoforms all contain a single conserved PY motif

(Figure S6A). We predicted that, like the situation in *S. pombe*, these PY motif-

containing antidotes are intrinsically toxic and are kept non-toxic by ubiquitination.

To test this idea, we applied the DUB tethering analysis by crossing *S. pombe*

strains expressing individually GFP-tagged antidotes of four *S. octosporus wtf*

genes (*octo_wtf14*, *octo_wtf21*, *octo_wtf25*, and *octo_wtf46*) to an *S. pombe* strain

expressing GBP-DUB. Cross progeny harboring both the *GFP-octo_antidote* allele

and the *GBP-DUB* allele were inviable (Figure 6B). These results suggest that

ubiquitination-mediated toxicity neutralization is an ancient mechanism dating

back to the common ancestors of *wtf* genes existing 100 million years ago.

Discussion

489 Our study uncovers a ubiquitination-mediated toxicity neutralization mechanism

490 employed by *wtf* KMDs to convert the intrinsically toxic long isoform product into a

491 non-toxic antidote (Figure 6C). This conversion requires conserved PY motifs,

which promote ubiquitination through binding Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases. Ubiquitination serves as a sorting signal to direct the trafficking of the antidote protein from the TGN to the endosome, and thereby prevents it from exerting toxic effects at the TGN and/or at non-endosomal post-TGN locations. The ability of the antidote to interact with the poison allows the antidote to target the poison to the endosome and thereby neutralize the toxicity of the poison.

It remains unclear how non-ubiquitinated Wtf proteins cause toxicity. The partial co-localization of the toxic forms of Cw9 with a TGN marker suggests a possibility that the toxicity is due to perturbation of TGN functions, but we cannot rule out the possibility that toxicity is exerted at non-endosomal post-Golgi membrane locations. It has been proposed that the cytoplasmic puncta formed by the poison product of *Sk wtf4* are protein aggregates.[5] In this study, we show that Cw9ki is a transmembrane protein that traffics through the secretory pathway from the ER to the TGN, where it exhibits a punctate localization pattern, presumably because that is how the TGN appears in light microscopy.

The mechanisms uncovered in this study can serve as a paradigm for understanding how poison-antidote duality of single-gene KMDs is generated. We propose that for single-gene KMDs, ubiquitination or other types of post-translational modifications may be a commonly employed mechanism for converting a toxic protein product to a non-toxic protein or converting a non-toxic protein product to a toxic protein. As a result, both a toxic protein and a non-toxic protein can be produced from the same gene. An advantage of such a mechanism is that at the amino acid sequence level, post-translational modifications can be determined by simply a short sequence motif such a single PY motif. Interestingly, we noticed that another single-gene KMD family, the *Spk-1* gene and its homologs in *Neurospora*,[9] encode transmembrane proteins containing a conserved PY motif (Figure S6B), suggesting the possibility that PY motif-mediated ubiquitination may

control the poison-antidote duality of *Spk-1* family KMDs.

For single-gene KMDs that, like *wtf* driver genes, express overlapping but non-

identical protein products, post-translational modification-based poison-antidote

duality can be achieved through the presence of modification determinant

sequence motif(s) in the product-specific amino acid sequence. For single-gene

KMDs that express a single polypeptide, post-translational modification-based

poison-antidote duality may be realized through variable activities of

modifying/demodifying enzymes. For example, a modifying enzyme whose activity

is up-regulated in spores may prevent the toxicity of the newly synthesized KMD

product in spores and allow it to detoxify the poison inherited from the zygote.

For the non-toxic protein product of a single-gene KMD to fulfill the role of the

antidote, it needs to exert a toxicity neutralizing activity on the poison product. As

shown here for the case of *cw9*, this need can be satisfied if the poison and the

antidote can interact with each other. As the poison and antidote products of a

single-gene KMD share an overlapping region, the poison-antidote interaction can

be achieved if the overlapping region is capable of self-interaction.

Summing up the above considerations, we propose that poison-antidote duality

of single-gene KMDs can be based on the concurrent presence of the following

two features: 1) The gene product(s) can engage in homotypic interactions; and 2)

At least one gene product isoform undergoes a post-translational modification that

either causes or prevents toxicity. This model has implications for the evolutionary

origin of single-gene poison-antidote KMDs. We envision that any preexisting

genes encoding self-interacting proteins regulated by a post-translational

modification are potential precursors of single-gene poison-antidote KMDs. Should

a mutation arise that renders such a protein toxic in either the unmodified or

modified form, but not both, a rudimentary KMD may emerge. Subsequent

evolutionary processes would then refine its selfish behavior, ultimately

culminating in the formation of a fully-developed meiotic driver gene.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dan Zhao for providing a plasmid containing the *P81nmt1a* promoter;

Yan Ding for assistance with the in vitro ubiquitination assay; Gaihong Cai and She

Chen for assistance with the mass spectrometry analysis; and Ping Wei for

providing *S. cerevisiae* plasmids. This work was supported by grants from the

Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China and the

Beijing municipal government.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: J.-X.Z. and L.-L.D.; Methodology and Investigation: J.-X.Z., T.-

Y.D., G.-C.S., Z.-H.M., Z.-D.J., W.H., F.S., W.H., M.-Q.D., L.-L.D.; Writing – Original

Draft: J.-X.Z. and L.-L.D.; Writing – Review and Editing: J.-X.Z. and L.-L.D.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

METHODS

Strains and plasmids

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table S1, and plasmids used in this

study are listed in Table S2. Strain construction methods and media used for *S.*

pombe culturing are as described.[46,47] The SC medium (FunGenome, Beijing,

China) was used for culturing *S. cerevisiae*. Deletion strains were created using

PCR-based gene deletion. Strains expressing proteins fused with various tags

from native promoters were created using PCR-based tagging. Plasmids carrying

the *Pnmt1*, *P41nmt1*, or *P81nmt1* promoter were based on modified pDUAL

vectors.[48,49] The DUB used in the DUB tethering analysis consists of a linker region

from the budding yeast Ubp7 (residues 561-591) and the catalytic domain from the

herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) UL36 protein (residues 15-260).[33,34] The

catalytically dead DUB* harbors a C40S mutation in the sequence of UL36. The

P81nmt1a promoter was constructed by inserting a 57 bp sequence that contains

the restriction sites of XhoI, SalI, NruI, AscI, PstI, and ApaI between the NheI and

BamHI sites downstream of the *P81nmt1* promoter.[50] Plasmids containing the

PtetO7 promoter were constructed by replacing the *Pnmt1* promoter of the pDUAL

vector. For the plasmid expressing Sar1-T34N, the PCR sequence was inserted

into the modified pSIV vector.[51] *cw9ki-only* corresponds to the Te form of *cw9*

reported in the previous study.[8] Other forms of *cw9* used in this study were

generated by PCR-based plasmid construction. Plasmids expressing proteins in

budding yeast under the control of the *pGAL1* promoter were constructed using

pRS305 vectors.[52] Plasmids expressing proteins in budding yeast under the

control of the *pCYC1*, *pTEF1*, and *pURA3* promoters were constructed using

pNH605 vectors.[52] For the doxycycline (DOX) inducible system in budding yeast,

the sequence of *cw9ki* was inserted downstream of the *pTETO7* promoter, and the

plasmid expressing rtTA-Msn2 was constructed with the pNH607 vector.[52]

Plasmids expressing different fragments of Cw9pi and truncated Pub1/Pub3 were

constructed by inserting coding sequences into pETDuet vectors or pET15b

vectors. Plasmids expressing Uba1, Ubc4, and ubiquitin in *E. coli* were obtained

from the previous study.[53]

Tetrad analysis

Haploid parental strains freshly grown on YES plates were mixed and spotted on

SPAS plates. The SPAS plates were incubated at 30 °C for about 24 hr. The tetrad

analysis was carried out using a TDM50 tetrad dissection microscope (Micro Video

Instruments, Avon, USA) and spores were placed on YES plates. For the DUB

tethering analysis, thiamine was added to SPAS plates, and spores were placed

on PMG plates without thiamine.

Spot assay

Log-phase cells grown in the YES medium were washed twice with water and

diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.4 in water. 200 µL of cell suspension of each strain was

transferred to a well in a 96-well plate. Five-fold serial dilutions of cell suspension

were made in the 96-well plate and spotted onto plates using a pin tool (3 mm

diameter pins). Plates were incubated at 30 °C for about two days. For strains

expressing *cw9* from thiamine-repressible promoters, PMG plates with or without

thiamine were used. For *S. pombe* strains expressing *cw9* from the *PtetO7*

promoter, MSA plates with or without 2.5 µg/mL of anhydrotetracycline (ahTet)

were used.[54] For *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing *cw9* from the *pGAL1* promoter,

SC plates with 2% galactose or glucose were used. For *S. cerevisiae* strains

expressing *cw9* from the *pTETO7* promoter, SC plates with or without 200 µg/mL

of doxycycline (DOX) were used.

Protein extraction

Cell lysates were prepared from approximately 3 OD_{600} units of cells using a

trichloroacetic acid (TCA) lysis method.[55] The cells were harvested and

resuspended in 100 µL of water. Cells were lysed on ice for 15 min by adding 75

µL of NaOH/2-mercaptoethanol (1.85 M NaOH, 7.5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol) to

the suspension. The proteins were precipitated by adding 75 µL of 55% (w/v) TCA

solution for 10 min on ice. After centrifuging the sample at 13000 rpm for 10 min,

the supernatant was removed. Following the addition of about 5 µL of neutralizing

buffer (1.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8) to prevent any color change, the protein pellet was

resuspended in 100 µL of SDS loading buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% 2-

mercaptoethanol, 4% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 5% glycerol) at 65 °C for 20

min.

Immunoprecipitation

About 30 OD_{600} units of cells were harvested. The cells were mixed with 300 µL of

lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM EDTA, 1

mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1× Roche

protease inhibitor cocktail) and about 500 µL of 0.5-mm-diameter glass beads.

Using a Fastprep-24 device, bead beating lysis was carried out. The setting was

6.5 m/s for 30 s followed by a 2-min on-ice cooling. The beating step was repeated

three times to make sure more than 90% of cells were lysed. Microtubes used for

bead beating were punctured at the bottom with a hot needle and the lysates were

collected into new microtubes by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 1 min at 4 °C. The

cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 10 min. The

supernatants were incubated with GFP-Trap agarose beads for 2 hr at 4 °C.

Following incubation, the beads were washed with lysis buffer devoid of DTT,

PMSF, and the protease inhibitor cocktail. Bead-bound proteins were eluted by

incubating the beads at room temperature with SDS loading buffer for 10 min.

Affinity purification coupled with mass spectrometry (AP-MS) analysis

AP-MS analysis was performed as described[34] with some modifications. About

1000 OD_{600} units of cells were harvested. Cells were lysed by grinding in liquid

nitrogen. The powder was mixed with 30 mL of lysis buffer as described above and

incubated for 30 min at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the cell lysate was incubated with

GFP-Trap agarose beads for 2 hr at 4 °C. After incubation, the beads were washed

four times with lysis buffer. Elution was carried out by incubating the beads at 65 °C

with SDS loading buffer. Eluted proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Protein

bands on the SDS-PAGE gel were de-stained and in-gel digested with sequencing

grade trypsin (10 ng/μL trypsin, 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.0) overnight

at 37 °C. Peptides were extracted with 5% formic acid/50% acetonitrile and 0.1%

formic acid/75% acetonitrile sequentially and then concentrated to about 20 μl. The

extracted peptides were separated by an pre-column (75 μm × 5 cm) packed with

10 μm spherical C18 reversed phase material (YMC, Kyoyo, Japan) and analytical

capillary column (100 μm × 15 cm) packed with 3 μm spherical C18 reversed

phase material (Dr. Maisch, GmbH, Germany). A Waters nanoAcquity UPLC

system (Waters, Milford, USA) was used to generate the following HPLC gradient:

0-8% B in 10 min, 8-30% B in 30 min, 30-80% B in 15 min, 80% B in 5 min (A =

0.1% formic acid in water, B = 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile). The eluted peptides

were sprayed into a LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with a nano-ESI ion source. The mass

spectrometer was operated in data-dependent mode with one MS scan followed

by ten HCD (High-energy Collisional Dissociation) MS/MS scans for each cycle.

With the cutoff of peptide FDR < 1%, proteins were identified using the pFind

program.[56]

Recombinant protein purification

Recombinant proteins containing the His$_6$ tag were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 or

Rosetta strain. *E. coli* cells were cultured in 200 mL of LB medium (10 g/L

bactotryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L NaCl) containing 50 mg/L of ampicillin.

When OD$_{600}$ reached 0.4-0.6, 40 μL of 1 M IPTG was added and the culture was

incubated at 16 °C for 18 hr. The following steps were performed at 4 °C or on ice.

The cells were harvested and mixed with 8 mL of *E. coli* lysis buffer (50 mM

NaH_2PO_4, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol). The cell

suspension was transferred to a 10 mL beaker, and cells were lysed by sonication.

The lysate was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was

incubated with Ni-NTA beads at 4 °C for 1 hr. The beads were washed three times

with wash buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10%

glycerol). Elution was performed four times by incubating the beads each time with

an equal volume of elution buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 250

mM imidazole, 10% glycerol). The eluate was stored at −80 °C.

GST pulldown

For analyzing the interactions between Cw9pi(1-52) and three E3 ligases (Pub1,

Pub2, and Pub3) and the interactions between Cw9pi N-terminal fragments and

Pub3, the E3 ligases were expressed in *S. pombe* and Cw9pi fragments were

expressed in *E. coli*. *S. pombe* lysates were generated the same way as in the

immunoprecipitation analysis. *E. coli* lysates were generated the same way as in

recombinant protein purification, except for the composition of the lysis buffer (50

mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.05% NP-40). After

centrifugation, 1 mL of *E. coli* lysate and 100 μL of *S. pombe* lysate were mixed

and incubated at 4 °C for 30 min before the addition of glutathione-sepharose

beads. After 2-hour incubation, the beads were washed four times with *E. coli* lysis

buffer and subsequently eluted with SDS loading buffer.

For the interactions between Cw9pi N-terminal fragments and Pub1-ΔC2,

proteins were all expressed in *E. coli* and the other steps were as described above.

In vitro ubiquitination

In vitro ubiquitination was performed as described[31] with some modifications. Mix

1 was prepared as follows: 4 µL of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl,

10% glycerol), 2 µL of 5 × ATP (10 mM ATP, 50 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 mM DTT in buffer A),

1 µL of Uba1 (0.1 µg), 1 µL of Ubc4 (2.5 µg), 2 µL of ubiquitin (7 µg). Mix 1 was

preincubated for 10 min at 25 °C. Mix 2 was prepared as follows: 6.5 µL of buffer

A, 2 µL of 5 × ATP, 0.5 µL of Pub1 (0.3 µg), 1 µL of Cw9pi N-terminal fragment (2

µg) as the substrate protein, which was fused to eight copies of a modified myc

tag lacking lysine (myc*: EQRLISEEDL).[57] Mix 1 was added to Mix 2 and incubated

for 3 hr at 25 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding SDS loading buffer. During

immunoblotting, immediately after the protein transfer step, the PVDF membrane

was treated for 30 min with 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS to render the transferred

proteins more tightly associated with the membrane.[58]

In vitro ubiquitination coupled with mass spectrometry analysis

For in vitro ubiquitination followed by MS analysis, 10 µg of the substrate, 0.5 µg

of Uba1, and 0.5 µg of Pub1/Pub3 were used. The ubiquitination reaction was

carried out at 25 °C overnight. $CaCl_2$ was added to a final concentration of 1 mM.

The samples were digested with trypsin overnight at 37 °C. Formic acid was added

to the final concentration of 5% to stop the digestion reaction. LC-MS/MS analysis

was performed on an Easy-nLC 1000 II HPLC instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

San Jose, CA, USA) coupled to an Orbitrap QE-HF mass spectrometer (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Peptides were loaded on a pre-column (100

µm ID, 4 cm long, packed with C18 10 µm 120 Å resin from YMC Co., Ltd) and

separated on an analytical column (75 µm ID, 10 cm long, packed with Luna C18

1.8 µm 100 Å resin from Welch Materials) using an acetonitrile gradient from 0%

to 30% in 70 min at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. The top 20 intense precursor ions

from each full scan (resolution 60000) were isolated for higher-energy collisional

dissociation tandem mass spectrometry spectra analysis (HCD MS2; normalized

collision energy 27) with a dynamic exclusion time of 45 s. Tandem mass spectrometry fragment ions were detected using orbitrap in a normal scan mode. The pFind software was used to identify proteins with the cutoff of peptide FDR < 1%.

Streptavidin pulldown of biotin-tagged ubiquitin

About 30 OD_{600} units of *S. pombe* cells were harvested. Cells were resuspended with 500 μL of water and incubated at room temperature for 10 min after the addition of 500 μL of 0.7 M NaOH. After centrifugation, the supernatants were discarded. The pellets were resuspended with 1 mL of lysis buffer (2% SDS, 60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 5% glycerol, 4% 2-mercaptoethanol) and incubated at 42 °C for 20 min. After centrifugation, the supernatants were incubated with 20 μL of high capacity streptavidin agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) at room temperature for 3 hr. After incubation, the beads were washed two times with wash buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.4% SDS, 1% NP-40, 1× Roche protease inhibitor cocktail), one time with wash buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2% SDS), and two times with wash buffer A. Elution was performed two times by incubating the beads each time with 20 μL of elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 2% SDS, 5 mM biotin) at 60 °C for 20 min.

Electron microscopy

Approximately 20 OD_{600} units of cells treated with 2.5 μg/mL ahTet for 6 hr were harvested, and then washed once with water. Cells was fixed with freshly prepared 1% glutaraldehyde and 4% KMnO4, and then dehydrated through a graded ethanol series and embedded in Spurr's resin.[59] An FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit electron microscope operating at 120kV with a 4k×4k Gatan 895 CCD camera was used to

examine thin sections.

Fluorescence microscopy

For imaging of vegetative cells expressing Cw9 from a thiamine-repressible

promoter, cells were first cultured in the YES medium, which contains thiamine.

Log-phase cells were harvested and washed two times with the EMM medium,

which lacks thiamine. Next, cells were cultured in the EMM medium to induce

protein expression. For imaging of spores, we crossed the two haploid strains on

the SPAS plates. The SPAS plates were incubated at 30 °C for about 24 hr. Cells

were scraped from the SPAS plates and placed onto slides for imaging.

Images shown in Figures 1D, S1B, 5A, 5C, 5E, 5F, 5G, S3H, and S3I were

obtained using a DeltaVision PersonalDV system (Applied Precision) equipped

with an mCherry/YFP/CFP filter set (Chroma 89006 set) and a Photometrics

Evolve 512 EMCCD camera. The SoftWoRx program was used to analyze the

images. Images shown in Figures 5K, S3C, S3D, S3G, S3J, S4B, S4D, and S4E

were obtained using an Andor Dragonfly 200 high speed confocal microscope

system equipped with a Sona sCMOS camera. Images were acquired using the

Fusion software and analyzed using Fiji. During image analysis, we specifically

opted to select cells that exhibited no obvious depressions, as those with such

features were found to attenuate the fluorescence emission from mECitrine^{int}-

Cw9ki.

Protein sequence alignment

For the sequence alignment shown in Figure 3A, the N-terminal cytosolic tails of

Cw9pi, Cw27pi, and Wtf proteins encoded by the 16 intact *wtf* genes in the

reference *S. pombe* genome were analyzed. The ranges of the N-terminal

cytosolic tails were based on the transmembrane topology predicted by TOPCONS

(https://topcons.cbr.su.se/)[60] for the four divergent Wtf proteins (Wtf7, Wtf11, Wtf14,

and Wtf15), and the transmembrane topology described in our previous study for

the other 14 proteins.[8] Sequence alignment was performed using the MAFFT web

server (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) with the L-INS-i algorithm.[61,62]

For the sequence alignment shown in Figure S6A, the long isoform protein

sequences of 30 intact *wtf* genes from *S. osmophilus*,[63] 48 intact *wtf* genes from

S. octosporus,[3] and 2 intact *wtf* genes from *S. cryophilus*[3] were analyzed using

MAFFT. The transmembrane helices of these Wtf proteins were predicted using

PolyPhobius (http://phobius.sbc.su.se/poly.html).[64] Only the N-terminal cytosolic

tails were shown in Figure S6A. The sequence alignment shown in Figure S6B

was based on a published alignment.[9]

References

1.   Núñez, M. A. B., Nuckolls, N. L. & Zanders, S. E. (2018). Genetic villains: killer meiotic drivers. *Trends*
Genet. **34**, 424-433, 10.1016/j.tig.2018.02.003.

2.   Nuckolls, N. L., Nidamangala Srinivasa, A., Mok, A. C., Helston, R. M., Bravo Núñez, M. A., Lange, J.
J., Gallagher, T. J., Seidel, C. W. & Zanders, S. E. (2022). S. pombe wtf drivers use dual transcriptional
regulation and selective protein exclusion from spores to cause meiotic drive. *PLoS Genet.* **18**,
e1009847, 10.1371/journal.pgen.1009847.

3.   De Carvalho, M., Jia, G.-S., Srinivasa, A. N., Billmyre, R. B., Xu, Y.-H., Lange, J. J., Sabbarini, I. M., Du,
L.-L. & Zanders, S. E. (2022). The wtf meiotic driver gene family has unexpectedly persisted for over
100 million years. *eLife* **11**, e81149, 10.7554/eLife.81149.

4.   Núñez, M. A. B., Sabbarini, I. M., Eickbush, M. T., Liang, Y., Lange, J. J., Kent, A. M. & Zanders, S. E.
(2020). Dramatically diverse Schizosaccharomyces pombe wtf meiotic drivers all display high
gamete-killing efficiency. *PLoS Genet.* **16**, e1008350, 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008350.

5.   Nuckolls, N. L., Mok, A. C., Lange, J. J., Yi, K., Kandola, T. S., Hunn, A. M., McCroskey, S., Snyder, J. L.,
Núñez, M. A. B. & McClain, M. (2020). The wtf4 meiotic driver utilizes controlled protein
aggregation to generate selective cell death. *eLife* **9**, e55694, 10.7554/eLife.55694.

6.   Núñez, M. A. B., Lange, J. J. & Zanders, S. E. (2018). A suppressor of a wtf poison-antidote meiotic
driver acts via mimicry of the driver's antidote. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007836,
10.1371/journal.pgen.1007836.

7.   Nuckolls, N. L., Núñez, M. A. B., Eickbush, M. T., Young, J. M., Lange, J. J., Jonathan, S. Y., Smith, G.
R., Jaspersen, S. L., Malik, H. S. & Zanders, S. E. (2017). wtf genes are prolific dual poison-antidote

meiotic drivers. *eLife* **6**, e26033, 10.7554/eLife.26033.

8.   Hu, W., Jiang, Z.-D., Suo, F., Zheng, J.-X., He, W.-Z. & Du, L.-L. (2017). A large gene family in fission
yeast encodes spore killers that subvert Mendel's law. *eLife* **6**, e26057, 10.7554/eLife.26057.

9.   Svedberg, J., Vogan, A. A., Rhoades, N. A., Sarmarajeewa, D., Jacobson, D. J., Lascoux, M., Hammond,
T. M. & Johannesson, H. (2021). An introgressed gene causes meiotic drive in Neurospora sitophila.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **118**, 10.1073/pnas.2026605118.

10.   Zanders, S. & Johannesson, H. (2021). Molecular mechanisms and evolutionary consequences of
spore killers in ascomycetes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **85**, e00016-00021, 10.1128/MMBR.00016-
21.

11.   Vogan, A. A., Martinossi-Allibert, I., Ament-Velásquez, S. L., Svedberg, J. & Johannesson, H. (2022).
The spore killers, fungal meiotic driver elements. *Mycologia* **114**, 1-23,
10.1080/00275514.2021.1994815.

12.   Saupe, S. J. & Johannesson, H. (2022). On the Mechanistic Basis of Killer Meiotic Drive in Fungi.
Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **76**, 305-323, 10.1146/annurev-micro-041320-113730.

13.   Grognet, P., Lalucque, H., Malagnac, F. & Silar, P. (2014). Genes that bias Mendelian segregation.
PLoS Genet. **10**, e1004387, 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004387.

14.   Vogan, A. A., Ament-Velásquez, S. L., Granger-Farbos, A., Svedberg, J., Bastiaans, E., Debets, A. J.,
Coustou, V., Yvanne, H., Clavé, C. & Saupe, S. J. (2019). Combinations of Spok genes create multiple
meiotic drivers in Podospora. *eLife* **8**, e46454, 10.7554/eLife.46454.

15.   Bowen, N. J., Jordan, I. K., Epstein, J. A., Wood, V. & Levin, H. L. (2003). Retrotransposons and their
recognition of pol II promoters: a comprehensive survey of the transposable elements from the
complete genome sequence of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Genome Res.* **13**, 1984-1997,
10.1101/gr.1191603.

16.   Basi, G., Schmid, E. & Maundrell, K. (1993). TATA box mutations in the Schizosaccharomyces pombe
nmt1 promoter affect transcription efficiency but not the transcription start point or thiamine
repressibility. *Gene* **123**, 131-136, 10.1016/0378-1119(93)90552-e.

17.   Zilio, N., Wehrkamp-Richter, S. & Boddy, M. N. (2012). A new versatile system for rapid control of
gene expression in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Yeast* **29**, 425-434,
10.1002/yea.2920.

18.   Chen, Y.-h., Wang, G.-y., Hao, H.-c., Chao, C.-j., Wang, Y. & Jin, Q.-w. (2017). Facile manipulation of
protein localization in fission yeast through binding of GFP-binding protein to GFP. *J. Cell Sci.* **130**,
1003-1015, 10.1242/jcs.198457.

19.   Rothbauer, U., Zolghadr, K., Tillib, S., Nowak, D., Schermelleh, L., Gahl, A., Backmann, N., Conrath,
K., Muyldermans, S. & Cardoso, M. C. (2006). Targeting and tracing antigens in live cells with
fluorescent nanobodies. *Nat. Methods* **3**, 887-889, 10.1038/nmeth953.

20.   Nefsky, B. & Beach, D. (1996). Pub1 acts as an E6-AP-like protein ubiquitiin ligase in the degradation
of cdc25. *EMBO J.* **15**, 1301-1312.

21.   Tamai, K. K. & Shimoda, C. (2002). The novel HECT-type ubiquitin-protein ligase Pub2p shares
partially overlapping function with Pub1p in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *J. Cell Sci.* **115**, 1847-
1857, 10.1242/jcs.115.9.1847.

22.   Carpy, A., Krug, K., Graf, S., Koch, A., Popic, S., Hauf, S. & Macek, B. (2014). Absolute proteome and

phosphoproteome dynamics during the cell cycle of Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Fission Yeast).

Mol. Cell Proteomics **13**, 1925-1936, 10.1074/mcp.M113.035824.

23.   Marguerat, S., Schmidt, A., Codlin, S., Chen, W., Aebersold, R. & Bähler, J. (2012). Quantitative

analysis of fission yeast transcriptomes and proteomes in proliferating and quiescent cells. *Cell* **151**,

671-683, 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.019.

24.   Maspero, E., Valentini, E., Mari, S., Cecatiello, V., Soffientini, P., Pasqualato, S. & Polo, S. (2013).

Structure of a ubiquitin-loaded HECT ligase reveals the molecular basis for catalytic priming. *Nat.*

Struct. Mol. Biol. **20**, 696-701, 10.1038/nsmb.2566.

25.   Staub, O., Dho, S., Henry, P., Correa, J., Ishikawa, T., McGlade, J. & Rotin, D. (1996). WW domains of

Nedd4 bind to the proline-rich PY motifs in the epithelial Na+ channel deleted in Liddle's syndrome.

EMBO J. **15**, 2371-2380.

26.   Gupta, R., Kus, B., Fladd, C., Wasmuth, J., Tonikian, R., Sidhu, S., Krogan, N. J., Parkinson, J. & Rotin,

D. (2007). Ubiquitination screen using protein microarrays for comprehensive identification of Rsp5

substrates in yeast. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **3**, 116, 10.1038/msb4100159.

27.   Persaud, A., Alberts, P., Amsen, E. M., Xiong, X., Wasmuth, J., Saadon, Z., Fladd, C., Parkinson, J. &

Rotin, D. (2009). Comparison of substrate specificity of the ubiquitin ligases Nedd4 and Nedd4-2

using proteome arrays. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **5**, 333, 10.1038/msb.2009.85.

28.   Henry, P. C., Kanelis, V., O'Brien, M. C., Kim, B., Gautschi, I., Forman-Kay, J., Schild, L. & Rotin, D.

(2003). Affinity and specificity of interactions between Nedd4 isoforms and the epithelial Na+

channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 20019-20028, 10.1074/jbc.M211153200.

29.   Kanelis, V., Bruce, M. C., Skrynnikov, N. R., Rotin, D. & Forman-Kay, J. D. (2006). Structural

determinants for high-affinity binding in a Nedd4 WW3∗ domain-Comm PY motif complex.

Structure **14**, 543-553, 10.1016/j.str.2005.11.018.

30.   Marín, I. (2018). Origin and evolution of fungal HECT ubiquitin ligases. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 6419,

10.1038/s41598-018-24914-x.

31.   Saeki, Y., Isono, E. & Toh-e, A. (2005). Preparation of ubiquitinated substrates by the PY motif-

insertion method for monitoring 26S proteasome activity. *Methods Enzymol.* **399**, 215-227,

10.1016/S0076-6879(05)99014-9.

32.   Kattenhorn, L. M., Korbel, G. A., Kessler, B. M., Spooner, E. & Ploegh, H. L. (2005). A deubiquitinating

enzyme encoded by HSV-1 belongs to a family of cysteine proteases that is conserved across the

family Herpesviridae. *Mol. Cell* **19**, 547-557, 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.07.003.

33.   Stringer, D. K. & Piper, R. C. (2011). A single ubiquitin is sufficient for cargo protein entry into MVBs

in the absence of ESCRT ubiquitination. *J. Cell Biol.* **192**, 229-242, 10.1083/jcb.201008121.

34.   Liu, X.-M., Sun, L.-L., Hu, W., Ding, Y.-H., Dong, M.-Q. & Du, L.-L. (2015). ESCRTs cooperate with a

selective autophagy receptor to mediate vacuolar targeting of soluble cargos. *Mol. Cell* **59**, 1035-

1042, 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.07.034.

35.   Davidson, R., Laporte, D. & Wu, J.-Q. (2015). Regulation of Rho-GEF Rgf3 by the arrestin Art1 in

fission yeast cytokinesis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **26**, 453-466, 10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1252.

36.   Cheong, H. & Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Biochemical methods to monitor autophagy-related processes

in yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* **451**, 1-26, 10.1016/S0076-6879(08)03201-1.

37.   Mukaiyama, H., Iwaki, T., Idiris, A. & Takegawa, K. (2011). Processing and maturation of

carboxypeptidase Y and alkaline phosphatase in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Appl. Microbiol.*
Biotechnol. **90**, 203-213, 10.1007/s00253-010-3031-3.

38. Feyder, S., De Craene, J.-O., Bär, S., Bertazzi, D. L. & Friant, S. (2015). Membrane trafficking in the
yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **16**, 1509-1525, 10.3390/ijms16011509.

39. Raiborg, C. & Stenmark, H. (2009). The ESCRT machinery in endosomal sorting of ubiquitylated
membrane proteins. *Nature* **458**, 445-452, 10.1038/nature07961.

40. Katzmann, D. J., Babst, M. & Emr, S. D. (2001). Ubiquitin-dependent sorting into the multivesicular
body pathway requires the function of a conserved endosomal protein sorting complex, ESCRT-I.
Cell **106**, 145-155, 10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00434-2.

41. Tagwerker, C., Flick, K., Cui, M., Guerrero, C., Dou, Y., Auer, B., Baldi, P., Huang, L. & Kaiser, P. (2006).
A tandem affinity tag for two-step purification under fully denaturing conditions: application in
ubiquitin profiling and protein complex identification combined with in vivocross-linking. *Mol. Cell*
Proteomics **5**, 737-748, 10.1074/mcp.M500368-MCP200.

42. Day, K. J., Casler, J. C. & Glick, B. S. (2018). Budding yeast has a minimal endomembrane system.
Dev. Cell **44**, 56-72. e54, 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.12.014.

43. Connerly, P. L., Esaki, M., Montegna, E. A., Strongin, D. E., Levi, S., Soderholm, J. & Glick, B. S. (2005).
Sec16 is a determinant of transitional ER organization. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1439-1447,
10.1016/j.cub.2005.06.065.

44. Pelham, H. R. (2004). Membrane traffic: GGAs sort ubiquitin. *Curr. Biol.* **14**, R357-R359,
10.1016/j.cub.2004.04.027.

45. Yanguas, F., Moscoso-Romero, E. & Valdivieso, M.-H. (2019). Ent3 and GGA adaptors facilitate
diverse anterograde and retrograde trafficking events to and from the prevacuolar endosome. *Sci.*
Rep. **9**, 1-17, 10.1038/s41598-019-47035-5.

46. Forsburg, S. L. & Rhind, N. (2006). Basic methods for fission yeast. *Yeast* **23**, 173-183,
10.1002/yea.1347.

47. Petersen, J. & Russell, P. (2016). Growth and the environment of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Cold*
Spring Harb. Protoc. **2016**, pdb. top079764, 10.1101/pdb.top079764.

48. Matsuyama, A., Shirai, A., Yashiroda, Y., Kamata, A., Horinouchi, S. & Yoshida, M. (2004). pDUAL, a
multipurpose, multicopy vector capable of chromosomal integration in fission yeast. *Yeast* **21**,
1289-1305, 10.1002/yea.1181.

49. Wei, Y., Wang, H.-T., Zhai, Y., Russell, P. & Du, L.-L. (2014). Mdb1, a fission yeast homolog of human
MDC1, modulates DNA damage response and mitotic spindle function. *PLoS One* **9**, e97028,
10.1371/journal.pone.0097028.

50. Belén Moreno, M., Durán, A. & Carlos Ribas, J. (2000). A family of multifunctional thiamine-
repressible expression vectors for fission yeast. *Yeast* **16**, 861-872, 10.1002/1097-
0061(20000630)16:9<861::AID-YEA577>3.0.CO;2-9.

51. Vještica, A., Marek, M., Nkosi, P. J., Merlini, L., Liu, G., Bérard, M., Billault-Chaumartin, I. & Martin,
S. G. (2020). A toolbox of stable integration vectors in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe.
J. Cell Sci. **133**, jcs240754, 10.1242/jcs.240754.

52. Zhang, Z.-B., Wang, Q.-Y., Ke, Y.-X., Liu, S.-Y., Ju, J.-Q., Lim, W. A., Tang, C. & Wei, P. (2017). Design of
tunable oscillatory dynamics in a synthetic NF-κB signaling circuit. *Cell Syst.* **5**, 460-470. e465,

10.1016/j.cels.2017.09.016.

53.   Wei, Y., Diao, L.-X., Lu, S., Wang, H.-T., Suo, F., Dong, M.-Q. & Du, L.-L. (2017). SUMO-targeted DNA
translocase Rrp2 protects the genome from Top2-induced DNA damage. *Mol. Cell* **66**, 581-596.
e586, 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.017.

54.   Egel, R., Willer, M., Kjærulff, S., Davey, J. & Nielsen, O. (1994). Assessment of pheromone
production and response in fission yeast by a halo test of induced sporulation. *Yeast* **10**, 1347-1354,
10.1002/yea.320101012.

55.   Ulrich, H. D. & Davies, A. A. (2009). In vivo detection and characterization of sumoylation targets in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 81-103, 10.1007/978-1-59745-566-4_6.

56.   Chi, H., Liu, C., Yang, H., Zeng, W.-F., Wu, L., Zhou, W.-J., Wang, R.-M., Niu, X.-N., Ding, Y.-H. & Zhang,
Y. (2018). Comprehensive identification of peptides in tandem mass spectra using an efficient open
search engine. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 1059-1061, 10.1038/nbt.4236.

57.   Golnik, R., Lehmann, A., Kloetzel, P.-M. & Ebstein, F. (2016). Major histocompatibility complex (MHC)
class I processing of the NY-ESO-1 antigen is regulated by Rpn10 and Rpn13 proteins and
immunoproteasomes following non-lysine ubiquitination. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 8805-8815,
10.1074/jbc.M115.705178.

58.   Okita, N., Higami, Y., Fukai, F., Kobayashi, M., Mitarai, M., Sekiya, T. & Sasaki, T. (2017). Modified
Western blotting for insulin and other diabetes-associated peptide hormones. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1-11,
10.1038/s41598-017-04456-4.

59.   Kaiser, C. A. & Schekman, R. (1990). Distinct sets of SEC genes govern transport vesicle formation
and fusion early in the secretory pathway. *Cell* **61**, 723-733, 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90483-u.

60.   Tsirigos, K. D., Peters, C., Shu, N., Käll, L. & Elofsson, A. (2015). The TOPCONS web server for
consensus prediction of membrane protein topology and signal peptides. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**,
W401-W407, 10.1093/nar/gkv485.

61.   Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. i. & Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 3059-3066,
10.1093/nar/gkf436.

62.   Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772-780, 10.1093/molbev/mst010.

63.   Jia, G. S., Zhang, W. C., Liang, Y., Liu, X. H., Rhind, N., Pidoux, A., Brysch-Herzberg, M. & Du, L. L.
(2023). A high-quality reference genome for the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces osmophilus. *G3*
(Bethesda, Md.), 10.1093/g3journal/jkad028.

64.   Käll, L., Krogh, A. & Sonnhammer, E. L. (2005). An HMM posterior decoder for sequence feature
prediction that includes homology information. *Bioinformatics* **21**, i251-i257,
10.1093/bioinformatics/bti1014.

65.   Omasits, U., Ahrens, C. H., Müller, S. & Wollscheid, B. (2014). Protter: interactive protein feature
visualization and integration with experimental proteomic data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 884-886,
10.1093/bioinformatics/btt607.

Figure 1. Both the poison product and the antidote product of *cw9* are active in vegetative *S. pombe* cells.

(A) Diagrams depicting the two isoforms encoded by *cw9*. For the diagram of the genomic DNA (top), coding exons are denoted by boxes and introns are denoted by thick grey lines. Transcriptional start sites are shown as bent arrows. Start codons are denoted by the letter M. For the diagram of the protein products (bottom), regions corresponding to the exons are indicated by boxes and the numbering of amino acids is based on the sequence of Cw9pi.

(B) Tetrad analysis showed that a *cw9ki-only* allele inserted at the *ars1* locus on chromosome I killed nearly all spores in a hemizygous cross and a *cw9pi-only* allele inserted at the *leu1* locus on chromosome II neutralized the spore killing effect of *cw9ki-only*. The four spores of each tetrad are labeled A, B, C, and D.

(C) Cw9ki expressed in vegetative *S. pombe* cells from inducible promoters caused toxicity.

(D) Expressing Cw9ki in vegetative *S. pombe* cells from an inducible promoter caused vacuole enlargement. Zhf1 is a vacuole membrane marker. Cpy1 is a vacuole lumen marker. DIC, differential interference contrast. Bar, 5 μm.

(E) Cw9pi expressed in vegetative *S. pombe* cells from inducible promoters neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki in a dose-dependent manner.

Figure S1. Related to Figure 1 and Figure 2.

(A) Cw9ki expressed from the *PtetO7* promoter caused toxicity in vegetative *S. pombe* cells.

(B) Cw9ki expressed from the *PtetO7* promoter caused vacuole enlargement in vegetative *S. pombe* cells. DIC, differential interference contrast. Bar, 5 μm.

(C) Electron microscopy images of control cells containing an empty vector and cells expressing Cw9ki from the *PtetO7* promoter. N, nucleus; V, vacuole. Bar, 2 μm.

(D) Cw9pi neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter.

(E) Cw9ki was toxic to vegetative *S. cerevisiae* cells. *pGAL1* is a galactose-inducible promoter.

(F) Cw9pi neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki in a dose-dependent manner in *S. cerevisiae*. *pTETO7* promoter is a doxycycline (DOX)-inducible promoter and *pTEF1*, *pURA3*, and *pCYC1* are three constitutive promoters with different strengths.

(G) Transmembrane topology of Cw9pi was predicted using the TOPCONS web server (https://topcons.cbr.su.se/pred/). TOPCONS is a consensus-based method integrating the results of five different topology prediction algorithms. The topology predictions using the individual methods and the consensus prediction of TOPCONS 2.0 are shown. Inside indicates the cytosolic side of the membrane and outside indicates the non-cytosoilic side of the membrane.

Figure 2. The antidote-specific region of Cw9pi functions as a binding module for the Rsp5/NEDD4 family ubiquitin ligases Pub1 and Pub3.

(A) A diagram depicting the TOPCONS-predicted membrane topology of Cw9pi (see Figure S1G). The antidote-specific region is indicated by the bracket.

(B) The antidote-specific region of Cw9pi, Cw9pi(1-52), when tethered to Cw9ki through the interaction between GFP and the GFP-binding protein (GBP), neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki. GFP-Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. GBP, Cw9pi(1-52), and Cw9pi(1-52)-GBP were expressed from the *P41nmt1* promoter.

(C) Pub1 co-purified with GFP-Cw9pi(1-52). GFP-Cw9pi(1-52) was purified using GFP-Trap beads. Co-purified proteins were identified by mass spectrometry.

(D) GST pulldown assay showed that Pub1 and Pub3 but not Pub2 can strongly interact with Cw9pi(1-52). The lysate of *S. pombe* cells expressing 3×FLAG-tagged Pub1, Pub2, or Pub3 was mixed with the lysate of *E. coli* cells expressing GST or GST-tagged Cw9pi(1-52) and pulldown was performed using glutathione beads.

(E) Artificial tethering of Pub1 to Cw9ki neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki. GFP-Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. GBP-Pub1 was expressed from the *P41nmt1* promoter. Pub1-C735S is a catalytically inactive mutant of Pub1.

(F) Tethering Pub1 or Pub3 to Cw9ki confers a strong toxicity neutralization, whereas tethering Pub2 confers a weak toxicity neutralization. GFP-Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. GBP-tagged Pub proteins were expressed from the *P41nmt1* promoter.

Figure 3. PY motifs in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi mediate Pub1 binding and prevent Cw9pi from becoming toxic.

(A) Multiple sequence alignment of the N-terminal cytosolic tails of the antidote products of 18 *wtf* genes. Three PY motifs in Cw9pi are highlighted.

(B) GST pulldown assay showed that PY motifs in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi are redundantly required for Pub1 binding. Lysates of *E. coli* cells expressing GST-tagged Cw9pi N-terminal fragments were mixed with recombinant 6xHis-HA-Pub1-ΔC2 and pulldown was performed using glutathione beads. N denotes Cw9pi(1-104). Asterisk denotes the Y-to-A mutation in the PY motif.

(C) PY motif mutations strongly diminishing Pub1 binding rendered Cw9pi toxic to vegetative cells.

(D) Cw9pi-3PY* under the control of the native promoter caused self-killing during sexual reproduction.

(E) Fusing an artificial PY motif (artPY) to Cw9ki abolished the toxicity of Cw9ki and converted Cw9ki to an antidote.

Figure S2. Related to Figure 3 and Figure 4.

(A) GST pulldown assay showed that PY motifs in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi mediate Pub3 binding. Lysates of *E. coli* cells expressing GST-tagged Cw9pi N-terminal

fragments were mixed with the lysate of *S. pombe* cells expressing 3xFLAG-Pub3 and pulldown was performed using glutathione beads.

(B) mECitrine-Cw9pi showed no toxicity to vegetative *S. pombe* cells.

(C) Cw9pi-3PY* was toxic to vegetative *S. cerevisiae* cells when expressed from the *pGAL1* promoter.

(D) Mutating PY3 in Cw9ki did not affect its toxicity. Expression was under the control of the *P81nmt1a* promoter, which is an attenuated version of the *P81nmt1* promoter.

(E) Representative mass spectrometry spectra of ubiquitinated peptides from in vitro ubiquitinated GST-Cw9pi(1-104).

Figure 4. PY motif-dependent ubiquitination of the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi renders Cw9pi non-toxic.

(A) Pub1-catalyzed in vitro ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104). All components of the in vitro ubiquitination reactions were purified from *E. coli*. Immunoblotting was used to detect Cw9pi(1-104), which was fused to a lysine-free 8×myc* tag that can be recognized by the myc antibody.[57]

(B) PY motif mutations diminished the in vitro ubiquitination of Cw9pi(1-104).

(C) Tethering a de-ubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) UL36 to Cw9pi through the mECitrine-GBP interaction rendered Cw9pi toxic. UL36-C40S (DUB*) is a catalytically inactive mutant of UL36.

(D) Diagram highlighting lysines in the cytosolic regions of Cw9pi. Blue circles denote the 16 lysines residing in the cytosolic tails or loops, including K216, which is predicted to localize at the cytosolic edge of a transmembrane helix. Red circles denote the PY motifs. This diagram was generated using Protter.[65]

(E) Mutating all 16 lysines in the cytosolic regions of Cw9pi to arginines (16R) rendered Cw9pi toxic to vegetative cells. Expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter.

(F) Mutating all 7 lysines in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi (7R) rendered Cw9pi toxic, whereas mutating two subsets of the 7 lysines (5R and 2R) did not cause toxicity. Expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter.

(G) The 7 lysines in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi are redundantly required for preventing the toxicity. Mutated residues in the 7R mutant were individually reverted to lysine. Expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter.

(H) All seven lysines in the N-terminal cytosolic tail of Cw9pi can be ubiquitinated in vitro by Pub1 and Pub3. In vitro ubiquitination of GST-Cw9pi(1-104) was performed using either Pub1 or Pub3 as E3 and ubiquitination sites were identified by mass spectrometry.

Figure 5. PY motif-dependent ubiquitination of Cw9pi promotes its trafficking from the

TGN to the endosome and from the endosome to the vacuole.

(A) Cw9ki localized to cytoplasmic puncta and Cw9pi localized to the vacuole lumen in vegetative *S. pombe* cells. Bar, 5 μm.

(B) mECitrine-Cw9pi was processed to free mECitrine in wild-type cells but not in cells lacking vacuolar proteases Isp6 and Psp3. Post-blotting staining of the PVDF membrane using Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) served as the load control.

(C) Cw9ki exhibited a vacuole lumen localization when co-expressed with Cw9pi. Internally tagged Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. N-terminally tagged Cw9pi was expressed from the *P41nmt1* promoter. Bar, 5 μm.

(D) Cw9pi coimmunoprecipitated with Cw9ki. Cw9ki was internally tagged and Cw9pi was N-terminally tagged. The *isp6Δ psp3Δ* background was used to ameliorate degradation.

(E) PY mutations that rendered Cw9pi toxic changed its localization to a Cw9ki-like cytoplasmic punctate pattern. Bar, 5 μm.

(F) Cw9pi co-localized with the endosome marker Hse1 in *vps24Δ* cells. Bar, 5 μm.

(G) Cw9pi co-localized with the endosome marker SPAC15A10.06 in *vps24Δ* cells. Bar, 5 μm.

(H) In vivo ubiquitination of Cw9pi in the *vps24Δ* background was diminished by PY mutations. Under a denaturing condition, biotin-tagged ubiquitin was precipitated using streptavidin beads and Cw9pi in the precipitates was detected by immunoblotting.

(I) Cw9pi remained non-toxic in *vps24Δ* cells. *vps24Δ* itself causes a slight growth defect. Cw9pi expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter.

(J) Cw9pi neutralized the toxicity of Cw9ki in the *vps24Δ* background. Cw9pi expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter and Cw9ki expression was under the control of the *P81nmt1* promoter.

(K) Time-lapse imaging showed that Cw9ki exhibited transient co-localization with the TGN marker Sec72. Yellow arrowheads indicate overlapping signals of Cw9ki and Sec72. Internally tagged Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. Bar, 5 μm.

Figure S3. Related to Figure 5.

(A) When under the control of the native promoter, internally GFP-tagged Cw9ki exhibited a stronger spore killing than C-terminally and N-terminally tagged Cw9ki. A *ura4+* marker linked to the Cw9ki coding sequence caused faster growth of the surviving colonies containing the Cw9ki coding sequence.

(B) GFP-tagged Cw9pi under the control of the native promoter was able to neutralize the spore killing activity of Cw9ki.

(C) Cw9pi localized to the vacuole in spores. Cpy1-CFP is a vacuole lumen marker. Bar, 5 μm.

(D) Cw9ki localized to cytoplasmic puncta outside of vacuoles in spores. Bar, 5 μm.

(E) In vegetative cells, mECitrine-tagged Cw9ki caused growth inhibition and mECitrine-tagged Cw9pi conferred protection against Cw9ki.

(F) Cw9ki and the *PY1*PY2**, *PY2*PY3**, and *3PY** mutants of Cw9pi exhibited toxicity in the *vps24Δ* background. Expression was under the control of the *P81nmt1* promoter.

(G) Cw9ki localized to the endosome when co-expressed with Cw9pi in the *vps24Δ* background. Bar, 5 μm.

(H) Cw9pi-3PY* and Cw9ki did not co-localize with the endosome marker Hse1 in *vps24Δ* cells. Bar, 5 μm.

(I) Co-localization analysis of Cw9ki with markers of different subcellular organelles. Internally tagged Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. Anp1-mCherry is a cis-Golgi marker. Sec72-mCherry is a TGN marker. Hse1-mCherry is an endosome marker. Cox4(1-33)-mCherry is a mitochondria marker. Arrowheads indicate overlapping signals of Cw9ki and Sec72. Bar, 5 μm.

(J) Overexpression of Sar1-T34N changed the localization of Cw9ki to the ER. Sar1-T34N was expressed from the *Pnmt1* promoter. Internally tagged Cw9ki was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. Ost4-mCherry is an ER marker. Bar, 5 μm.

Figure S4. Related to Figure 5.

(A) Cw9pi caused a mild growth inhibition in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* background.

(B) Localization of Cw9pi and its *PY2** and *PY1*PY3** mutants in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* background. Expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter. Arrowheads indicate Cw9pi signals outside of vacuoles. Bar, 5 μm.

(C) The *PY2** and *PY1*PY3** mutants of Cw9pi exhibited strong toxicity in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* background.

(D) Cytoplasmic puncta of Cw9pi and its *PY2** and *PY1*PY3** mutants partially co-localized with the TGN marker Sec72 in the *gga21Δ gga22Δ* background. Expression was under the control of the *P41nmt1* promoter. Arrowheads indicate signals overlapping with Sec72-mCherry. Bar, 5 μm.

(E) Cw9pi-3PY* partially co-localized with the TGN marker Sec72. N-terminally tagged Cw9pi-3PY* was expressed from the *P81nmt1* promoter. Arrowheads indicate signals overlapping with Sec72-mCherry. Bar, 5 μm.

Figure 6. Antidote products of other *wtf* genes are kept non-toxic by ubiquitination.
(A) The antidote products of 10 *wtf* genes of the *S. pombe* reference genome were rendered toxic by artificial DUB tethering. Strains expressing a GFP-fused Wtf protein from the *P41nmt1* promoter were crossed to a strain expressing GBP-DUB or GBP-

DUB* from the *P41nmt1* promoter. Mating and sporulation were performed on thiamine-containing media and tetrad dissection was performed on thiamine-free media. Inviable spores whose genotype can be inferred assuming 2:2 segregation are indicated by red symbols.

(B) The antidote products of four *S. octosporus wtf* genes were rendered toxic by artificial DUB tethering. Experiments were performed as in A.

(C) A model of the role of PY motif-dependent ubiquitination in determining whether a Wtf protein is a poison or an antidote. PY motif-dependent ubiquitination diverts Wtf proteins from the TGN to the endosome, prevents toxicity, and confers antidote activities. Lack of ubiquitination results in the accumulation of Wtf proteins at the TGN and possibly other additional locations, and allows the manifestation of the toxicity.

Figure S5. Related to Figure 6.

(A) The antidote products of six *S. pombe wtf* genes of the *S. pombe* reference genome were not rendered toxic by artificial DUB tethering. Experiments were performed as in Figure 6A.

(B) The sequence alignment of Wtf5 and Wtf10. The positions of the two residues (Ile76 and Glu91) affecting toxicity are shown.

(C) Sequence swapping between Wtf5 and Wtf10. Experiments were performed as in Figure 6A.

(D) Either an I76K mutation or an E91A mutation rendered Wtf5 toxic in the DUB tethering analysis. Experiments were performed as in Figure 6A.

Figure S6. Related to Figure 6.

(A) Multiple sequence alignment of the N-terminal cytosolic tails of the antidote products encoded by *wtf* genes in *S. octosporus*, *S. osmophilus*, and *S. cryophilus*. Proteins sharing the same sequence in the N-terminal cytosolic tails are shown in a single row. The conserved PY motif is highlighted.

(B) Multiple sequence alignment of the C-terminal region of proteins encoded by representative *Spk-1* family genes. The conserved PY motif is highlighted.